Exploring the genome and protein space of viruses

Congyu Lu[1], Yifan Wu[1], Longfei Mao[1], Xingyi Ge[1], Aiping Wu[2,3], Li Deng[4], Fengzhu Sun[5], Yongqiang Jiang[6*], Yousong Peng[1,*]

[1]Bioinformatics Center, College of Biology, Hunan Provincial Key Laboratory of Medical Virology, Hunan University, Changsha 410082, China

[2]Institute of Systems Medicine, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences & Peking Union Medical College, Beijing, China

[3]Suzhou Institute of Systems Medicine, Suzhou, China

[4]Internal Medicine-Neurology, The Third Hospital of Changsha, Changsha 410015, China

[5]Department of Quantitative and Computational Biology, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA 90089, USA

[6]State Key Laboratory of Pathogen and Biosecurity，Institute of Microbiology and Epidemiology，Academy of Military Medical Sciences，Academy of Military Sciences，Beijing 100071，China

* To whom correspondence should be addressed. Email: pys2013@hnu.edu.cn (YP) or jiangyq710327@sina.com (YJ)

Abstract

Background: Recent metagenomic studies have identified a huge number of viruses. Yet, the systematic assessment of the true genetic diversity of the whole virus community in our planet remains to be investigated. Here, we explored the genome and protein spaces of viruses by simulating the process of virus discovery in viral metagenomic studies.

Results: This study estimated that there would be at least 3.52e+06 viral Operational Taxonomic Units (vOTUs) and 2.16e+07 viral protein clusters on earth, while only about 30% of the viral genetic diversity has been identified so far. Considering the balance of costs and the detected number of novel viruses, an additional 3.89e+05 samples are required to capture 84% of the total genetic diversity. When analyzing the viral genetic diversity by taxonomy and ecosystem, the estimated viral genetic

diversity were consistent with those mentioned above.

Conclusions: This study for the first time explored the virus genome and protein

space and estimated the total number of vOTUs and vPCs on earth when the virus

genetic space was saturated. It provides a guide for future sequencing efforts of virus

discovery and contributes to a better understanding of viral diversity in nature.

Main text

Viruses are the most abundant and diverse biological entities on earth[1]. According to

the Baltimore classification system, viruses can be classified into seven groups, i.e.,

+ssRNA, -ssRNA, dsRNA, ssDNA, dsDNA, ssRNA-RT, and dsDNA-RT, based on

the genetic materials and replication mode[2]. Viruses differ widely in terms of host

range, virion morphology, genome type and size[3]. For example, the virus genome size

can range from several hundred to millions of bases[4,5]. Viruses not only have a large

impact on human health and diseases, but also play an important role in the balance of

global ecosystems. Previous studies suggested that viruses kill 20% of bacterial hosts

every day in the oceans[1].

An enormous amount of viruses have been identified as the rapid development of

Next-Generation-Sequencing (NGS) technology compared to traditional methods of

virus identification based on virus isolation[6-8]. For example, the IMG/VR database,

which is the largest virus sequence database, has now stored more than 2 million viral

genomic sequences[6]. Besides, novel viruses are still being discovered at an

unprecedented rate. For example, the Global Ocean Viromes (GOV) 2.0 project has

uncovered nearly 200,000 marine viral populations based on sequencing of 145 ocean

samples[7]. A natural question arises as to what about the genetic space of viruses.

Previous studies by Forest Rohwer estimated that there were 100 million phage

species on earth[9]. The Global Virome Project estimated that there were 1.67 million

viruses in birds and mammals[10]. Both studies estimated the virus genetic space based

on their hosts, assuming that a host species harbors an average of dozens of viruses.

They did not consider the actual process of virus discovery through sampling and

sequencing.

This study explored the genome and protein spaces of viruses by simulating the

process of virus discovery in viral metagenomic studies. Firstly, we investigated the

increasing trends of the virus genome and protein space as the number of samples

increased. Specifically, each time 50 sequenced samples were randomly selected from

the IMG/VR database without replacement, and the accumulated number of viral

Operational Taxonomic Units (vOTUs) and viral Protein Clusters (vPCs) (the

definition of vOTU and vPC was described in Methods section) identified in

accumulated samples selected until that time was counted. This process was repeated

until no samples remained (see Methods). As shown in Figure 1A, the number of

vOTUs and vPCs increased rapidly when the number of samples selected increased

from 1 to 5000; then, the increasing trend slows down. With all the samples in the

IMG/VR database, a total of 839,092 vOTUs and 7,617,621 vPCs were identified.

Interestingly, the increasing trends of the numbers of vOTUs and vPCs versus the

number of samples selected were well fitted by the Sigmoid function, with the

R-squared greater than 0.99 for both the vOTUs and vPCs (Figure S1), which were

much larger than those obtained using several common functions such as Exponential,

Polynomial functions, and so on (Figure S1). Therefore, the increasing trends of

vOTUs and vPCs were predicted using the Sigmoid function assuming that more

sequenced samples were added in future studies (see the top-left sub-figure in Figure

1A). According to the predictions, the vOTUs and vPCs would continue to expand

with a slowing rate.

The total numbers of vOTUs and vPCs were estimated to be 3.52e+06 and 2.16e+07

respectively, when the virus genetic space was saturated (Figure 1B) (see Methods).

The Proportion of Explored Viral Genetic Space (PEVGS) that was defined as the

total number of vOTUs or vPCs identified in the IMG/VR database divided by the

estimated number of those when the virus genetic space was saturated, was 24% and

35%, for vOTU and vPC, respectively. As shown in Figure 1A, the number of new

viruses identified in new samples decreases as sampling continues. To balance the

cost of sampling and the amount of novel viruses found, the sampling endpoint was

suggested as the time when less than one novel vOTU was identified in a sample. The

number of samples required in the sampling endpoint was estimated to be 403,844

which could capture 84% of the total vOTUs and vPCs (see the top-left sub-figure in

Figure 1A). Unfortunately, only less than 20,000 samples had been sequenced so far

according to the IMG/VR database.

We then investigated the taxonomy of the virus genome and protein space.

Analysis of the taxonomy composition of viruses showed that the unclassified viruses

(defined as those without any taxonomy information) and the order of *Caudovirales*

accounted for 55.2% and 41.9% of all viruses, respectively (Figure S2), and they were

identified in 85.1% and 93.0% of samples, respectively (Table S1). In the remaining

viral orders, the *Algavirales* accounted for 1.5% of all viruses and were identified in

11.6% of samples in the database (Table S1), while the other viral orders combined

accounted for only 1.4% of all viruses. We then investigated the increasing trends of

the numbers of vOTUs and vPCs in the unclassified viruses and in multiple viral

orders as the number of samples increases. As shown in Figure 2A, the number of

vOTUs in unclassified viruses and the order of *Caudovirales* increased most rapidly

as the number of samples selected increased, with a much higher rate than that of

other viral orders. There are striking differences between the increasing trends of

vOTUs from different viral orders. Among the viral orders except the *Caudovirales*,

the vOTUs of the *Algavirales* increased more rapidly than other viral orders as the

samples increased. At the levels of vPC, the overall pattern of increasing trends was

similar to that at the vOTU level (Figure 2B). Interestingly, the order of *Imitervirales*

and "Other orders" (all other viral orders except those listed in Figure 2, see Table S1

for details) increased as fast as the *Algavirales* when the the numer of samples

selected increased.

We then used the Sigmoid function to fit the increasing trends of vOTU and vPC in

the unclassified viruses and in viral orders(Figure 2A & 2B), and estimated the total

numbers of vOTUs and vPCs when the virus genetic space was saturated (Figure 2C).

According to the estimates, the unclassified viruses and the *Caudovirales* would have

comparable genome and protein diversity, with the former having a total of 2 million

vOTUs and 12.8 million vPCs, and the latter having a total of 1.1 million vOTUs and 7.6 million vPCs. Other viral orders were estimated to have much less genome and protein diversity compared to the *Caudovirales*. For example, the order of *Tubulavirales* was estimated to contain 54 thousand vOTUs and 82 thousand vPCs.

We then calculated the PEVGS of vOTUs and vPCs for the unclassified viruses and different viral orders (Figure 2C). In most cases, the PEVGS increased from the level of vOTU to vPC, ranging from 20% to 50%. For example, the *Caudovirales* had a PEVGS of 32% and 48% at the level of vOTU and vPC, respectively. Interestingly, the order of *Ligamenvirales* with far smaller genetic diversity than other viral orders, had only a PEVGS of 8% at the vOTU level, while the PEVGSs at the vPC level was 80%.

We then analyzed the virus genome and protein space by ecosystem. According to the GOLD (Genomes OnLine database) ecological classification system[11], the samples used in the study were mainly obtained from seven ecosystems including two environmental ecosystems (Aquatic and Terrestrial ecosystems accounting for 38% and 18% of all samples, respectively), three host-associated ecosystems (Mammal, Other-animal and Plant ecosystems accounting for 22%, 4% and 5% of all samples, respectively), and two engineered ecosystems (Wastewater and Built-environment ecosystems accounting for 2% and 6% of all samples, respectively) (Table S2). We investigated the taxonomy composition of viruses in different ecosystems by calculating the proportion of vOTUs of different orders in each ecosystem[12]. As shown in Figure 3A, the taxonomy composition of viruses in different ecosystems was similar, with the order of *Caudovirales* and the unclassified viruses accounting for over 90% of all vOTUs. The order of *Caudovirales* had the largest proportion among all viral orders in all ecosystems, ranging from 41% to 69%. The taxonomy composition of the remaining vOTUs (less than 10%) varied greatly across ecosystems. For example, the order of *Tubulavirales* predominated in the remaining vOTUs of the Built-environment ecosystem, and few other viruses were detected in

this ecosystem. While in the Other-animal ecosystem, the order of *Petitvirales* and

Ortervirales predominated in the remaining vOTUs. Interestingly, the order of

Algavirales was enriched in the Aquatic ecosystem where their hosts (algae)

predominate[12].

We then analyzed the extent of shared viral genetic diversity among different

ecosystems. As shown in Figure 3B, the proportion of shared vPCs varied widely

among different ecosystems. For two environmental ecosystems, i.e., the Aquatic and

Terrestrial ecosystems, they shared 14% and 32% of the vPCs with other ecosystems,

respectively. The Aquatic ecosystem has the largest viral genetic diversity of any

ecosystems. For example, 3.88e+06 vPCs were identified in the Aquatic ecosystem,

accounting for 26%, 6%, 28%, 28%, 39%, and 35% of vPCs identified in the

ecosystems of Terrestrial, Mammal, Other-animal, Plant, Wastewater, and

Built-environment, respectively. For the host-associated ecosystems, the Plant and

Other-animal ecosystems shared 47% and 43% of the vPCs with other ecosystems,

respectively, while the Mammal ecosystem had only 9% of the vPCs shared with

others. For the Built-environment and Wastewater ecosystems, a large percentage

(60% and 46%, respectively) of vPCs were shared with other ecosystems. The

proportion of shared vOTUs in different ecosystems was also analyzed (Figure S3).

The shared proportion of viral genetic diversity increased from the level of vOTU

(range: 0.4%-6.8%) to vPC (range: 9%-60%). The median shared proportion in all

ecosystems were 2% and 43% at the level of vOTU and vPC, respectively.

In order to analyze the increasing trend of virus genetic diversity by ecosystem as the

number of samples increases, the random sampling was conducted as mentioned

above for each ecosystem using only samples of the corresponding ecosystem (see

Table S2 for the number of samples in different ecosystems). The increasing trends of

vOTU and vPC in each ecosystem were analyzed and fitted by the Sigmoid functions.

As shown in Figure 3C, the increasing trends of vOTU in all ecosystems were similar

which showed slowing rate as the number of samples selected increased. The number

of vOTUs of the Aquatic ecosystem increased most rapidly as the number of samples

selected increased, while that of other ecosystems increased much slowly. At the

levels of vPC, the overall increasing trends were similar to those observed at the

vOTU level (Figure 3D). However, the differences between the Aquatic and other

ecosystems, especially between the Aquatic and Terrestrial ecosystem, in increasing

trends of vPC were much smaller than those observed at the level of vOTU.

We then estimatedthe total numbers of vOTUs and vPCs in different ecosystems

when the viral genetic space was saturated. The Aquatic ecosystem was predicted to

have the largest number of vOTUs (2.2 million) and vPCs (10.9 million), followed by

Mammal (0.4 million vOTUs and 2.7 million vPCs) and Terrestrial ecosystems (0.3

million vOTUs and 5.8 million vPCs). The four remaining ecosystems were predicted

to contain much less viral diversity. For example, the Plant and Wastewater

ecosystems were predicted to contain only 76.7 thousand and 88.8 thousand vOTUs,

respectively.

The PEVGSs were calculated for each ecosystem at levels of vOTU and vPC (Figure

3E). For all ecosystems, the PEVGSs increased from the level of vOTU (12%-27%)

to vPC (21%-38%). The host-associated ecosystems had higher PEVGSs than

environmental and engineered ecosystems. For example, the Mammal ecosystem had

PEVGSs of 25% and 37% at levels of vOTU and vPC, respectively, while those were

12% and 21% for the Built-environment ecosystem.

Discussion

In the last few years, a number of large projects aimed at the whole virome in a

variety of specific ecological environments have been carried out and have discovered

huge numbers of novel viruses. However, there was still a lack of a comprehensive

understanding about the ultimate amount of virus genetic diversity on earth. This

study provided the first estimate of potential virus genome and protein space based on

the current virus genetic diversity, and found that there would be a total of 3.52e+06

vOTUs and 2.16e+07 vPCs on earth. Given that a vOTU has an average of 6 vPCs,

this estimate of virus genetic space was consistent at both the genome and protein

levels. Besides, the estimated virus genetic space was also consistent with the sum of
viral genetic diversity estimated individually at each ecosystem, and that estimated
individually by taxonomy. These results suggested the robustness of the estimates on
viral genome and protein space.

Only about one-third of the viral genetic space has been revealed until now. Many
more virome projects are needed to capture the viral genetic diversity. Unfortunately,
the number of novel virus identified in new samples becomes lower as more viruses
are identified. We estimated that an additional 3.89e+05 samples would be needed to
capture 84% of the whole viral genetic diversity. According to our analysis, the
samples from the Aquatic ecosystem captured much larger viral genetic diversity than
that in other ecosystems, and should be put in priority in efforts of virus discovery. In
addition, some viral orders had preferences for some ecosystems. For example, the
Algavirales was mainly enriched in the Aquatic ecosystem. Sequencing studies based
on such preference can help precise identification of some specific kinds of viruses.

Although the ICTV system and Baltimore system were used in viral taxonomy, more
than half of viruses analyzed in the study were unclassified and lack taxonomy
information. It is in great need to develop an expandable classification framework for
all viruses. The ideal classification framework should have the ability to classify all
viruses, easy to use, and scalable. Previous studies by Jang and colleagues have
developed the vConTACT for classification of prokaryotic viruses based on genomic
sequences[13]. In the study, a gene sharing network of viruses based on the shared
protein clusters among viral genomes was built, and a distance-based clustering and
metric were integrated to provide the measures of clustering confidence. vConTACT
is a scalable and automated classification tool as it can build classifications for more
than 10,000 sequences which were previously unclassified in oceanic viromics studies.
Moreover, Jang's study provided a novel and important framework for organizing
viral genetic diversity, and demonstrated that the gene content-based methods were
suitable for a unified classification of all viruses. Unfortunately, it was not widely
used in virome studies. There was still a lack of a feasible classification framework
for the whole virome on earth.

There are several limitations to this study. Firstly, the estimated virus protein space

(number of vPCs) may be influenced by the parameter settings in protein clustering.

Fortunately, the estimated total number of vPCs was relatively stable when the

parameters varied, suggesting the robustness of our estimate on virus protein space

(Table S3). Secondly, there are diverse ecosystems on earth, while samples used in the

study were mainly derived from seven ecosystems. Besides, the samples had bias in

the ecosystem. The ecosystems that are closely related to humans were sampled more

frequently than other ecosystems, such as the Mammal ecosystem (Table S2), while

some ecosystems may be under-represented such as the Other-animal ecosystem and

the extreme environments. This may lead to the under-estimation of the viral genetic

diversity. Thirdly, the samples may have bias in region or country as developed

countries generally sequenced much more samples than the developing countries,

especially those in Africa and South America, although the detailed location of

samples were unknown in the study. Fourthly, the RNA viruses were severely

under-represented as most viruses collected in the IMG/VR database were identified

from the metagenomic studies that favor DNA viruses. Combined together, our

estimate of the virus genetic diversity should be considered as the lower bound of that

in nature.

Conclusion

In summary, this study for the first time explored the virus genome and protein space

and estimated the total number of vOTUs and vPCs on earth when the virus genetic

space was saturated. It provides a guide for future sequencing efforts of virus

discovery and contributes to a better understanding of viral diversity in nature.

Methods

Data retrieval

The viral genome and protein sequences were downloaded from the IMG/VR

database (v3) in November, 2021[6]. The meta information of metagenomic samples

from which viruses were identified was downloaded from the IMG/M database[14]. The

samples derived from experimental cultures were removed because they can not reflect the natural virus diversity. A total of 14,998 samples were kept for further analysis which included a total of 2,021,802 viral genomic sequences, 839,092 vOTUs (a vOTU was defined as a cluster of genomic sequences that had an average of 95% or higher sequence identity on more than 85% of genomic regions according to the IMG/VR database[6]), and 48,121,348 protein sequences.

Generation of protein clusters

To reduce the computational cost in generation of protein clusters, firstly, all viral protein sequences were clustered by the linclust of MMseqs2 with both the coverage and identity set to be 0.6[15]. A total of 11,862,236 elementary protein clusters were obtained. Then, the representative sequences of these elementary protein clusters were compared with each other by 'all vs all' blast using DIAMOND BLASTP[16]. A protein similarity network was built by connecting the representative protein sequences with reciprocal blast E-value smaller than 1e-05. The edge weights were calculated by the negative logarithms of the average of E-values in the reciprocal blast between two nodes. The protein similarity network was then clustered with HipMCL with the inflation value set to be 3[17]. A total of 7,617,621 clusters were obtained, with each cluster defining a viral Protein Cluster (vPC) containing one or more elementary protein clusters. The influence of the parameter selection on the generation of vPCs were shown in Table S4.

Simulation of the sampling in viral metagenomic studies

To simulate the process of virus discovery in viral metagenomic studies, each time 50 sequenced samples were randomly selected from all samples without replacement, and the number of vOTUs and vPCs identified in accumulated samples selected until that time was counted. This sampling process was repeated until no samples left. The increasing trend of the number of accumulated vOTUs and vPCs versus the number of accumulated samples selected were analyzed. The simulation process was repeated 100 times to reduce the randomness in sampling.

Predicting the size of vOTUs and vPCs when the genetic space was saturated

Several mathematical functions including Sigmoid, Power, Exponential, Second-order

Polynomial, Triple-order polynomial, Logarithmic, and Inverse proportional functions

were used to fit the increasing trend of the number of accumulated vOTUs versus the

common logarithm of the number of accumulated samples selected in the simulation

process with the scipy.optimize.curve_fit package in Python (The formulas for these

functions were listed in Supplementary materials)[18]. Three criteria were used to select

the optimal function. The first is the R-squared of the function in fitting the increasing

trend of vOTUs[19]. The higher, the better. Both the Sigmoid and Power functions had

R-squared of greater than 0.99 which were much larger than the R-squared for other

functions (Figure S1A). The second is that the derivative of the function which

reflects the increasing rate of vOTUs, should decrease as the number of selected

samples increased since the number of newly discovered vOTUs per sample

decreased with the continued sampling. The third is that the function should converge

since the total number of viruses on earth is not infinite. Only the Sigmoid function

met these criteria (Figure S1). Therefore, the Sigmoid function was selected to fit the

increasing trend of vOTUs and vPCs (Figure S1).

Since the Sigmoid function is a bounded function, the upper bound of the function

was considered to be the ultimate size of viral genetic space when using the Sigmoid

function in fitting the increasing trend of vOTUs and vPCs.

Key Points

● This study provided the first estimate of potential virus genome and protein space

based on the current virus genetic diversity, and found that there would be a total

of 3.52e+06 vOTUs and 2.16e+07 vPCs on earth.

● This study investigated the virus genome and protein space by ecosystem and

taxonomy.

● This study provides a guide for future sequencing efforts of virus discovery and

contributes to a better understanding of viral diversity in nature.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Consent for publication

All authors gave their consent for publication.

330
331
332

Availability of data and materials

All data used in the study are derived from the IMG/VR and IMG/M databases.

333
334
335

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

336
337
338

Funding

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (32170651), Hunan Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China (2020JJ3006), and Double-First Class Construction Funds of Hunan University (521119400156)

Authors' contributions

Y.P. conceived and designed the analysis. Y.P., C.L. and Y.W. performed the analysis and prepared all figures. Y.P., C.L., Y.J., F.S., L.D., A.W., X.G. and L.M. wrote the main manuscript text. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank members in Peng's lab for helpful suggestions.

349
350
351

Biographical note

Congyu Lu and Yifan Wu are doctoral students at Hunan University.

Longfei Mao, Xingyi Ge and Yousong Peng are Professors at Hunan University.

Aiping Wu is a Professor at Suzhou Institute of Systems Medicine.

Li Deng is a doctor at Third Hospital of Changsha.

Fengzhu Sun is a Professor at University of Southern California.

Yongqiang Jiang is a Professor at Academy of Military Medical Sciences.

References

Suttle, C. A. Marine viruses—major players in the global ecosystem. *Nature reviews microbiology* **5**, 801-812 (2007).

Lefkowitz, E. J. *et al.* Virus taxonomy: the database of the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses (ICTV). *Nucleic acids research* **46**, D708-D717 (2018).

Masson, P. *et al.* ViralZone: recent updates to the virus knowledge resource. *Nucleic acids research* **41**, D579-D583 (2012).

Philippe, N. *et al.* Pandoraviruses: amoeba viruses with genomes up to 2.5 Mb reaching that of parasitic eukaryotes. *Science* **341**, 281-286 (2013).

Collins, R., Gellatly, D., Sehgal, O. & Abouhaidar, M. Self-cleaving circular RNA associated with rice yellow mottle virus is the smallest viroid-like RNA. *Virology* **241**, 269-275 (1998).

Roux, S. *et al.* IMG/VR v3: an integrated ecological and evolutionary framework for interrogating genomes of uncultivated viruses. *Nucleic acids research* **49**, D764-D775 (2021).

Gregory, A. C. *et al.* Marine DNA viral macro-and microdiversity from pole to pole. *Cell* **177**, 1109-1123. e1114 (2019).

Lu, C. & Peng, Y. Computational Viromics: Applications of the Computational Biology in Viromics Studies. *Virologica Sinica* **36**, 1256-1260, doi:10.1007/s12250-021-00395-7 (2021).

Rohwer, F. Global phage diversity. *Cell* **113**, 141 (2003).

Carroll, D. *et al.* The global virome project. *Science* **359**, 872-874 (2018).

Mukherjee, S. *et al.* Genomes OnLine Database (GOLD) v. 8: overview and updates. *Nucleic acids research* **49**, D723-D733 (2021).

Schoch, C. L. *et al.* NCBI Taxonomy: a comprehensive update on curation, resources and tools. *Database* **2020,** baaa062 (2020).

Bin Jang, H. *et al.* Taxonomic assignment of uncultivated prokaryotic virus genomes is enabled by gene-sharing networks. *Nature biotechnology* **37**, 632-639 (2019).

Chen, I.-M. A. *et al.* The IMG/M data management and analysis system v.6.0: new tools and advanced capabilities. *Nucleic Acids Research* **49**, D751-D763, doi:10.1093/nar/gkaa939 (2020).

Steinegger, M. & Söding, J. MMseqs2 enables sensitive protein sequence searching for the analysis of massive data sets. *Nature biotechnology* **35**, 1026-1028 (2017).

16 Buchfink, B., Reuter, K. & Drost, H.-G. Sensitive protein alignments at tree-of-life scale using

DIAMOND. *Nature methods* **18**, 366-368 (2021).

17 Azad, A., Pavlopoulos, G. A., Ouzounis, C. A., Kyrpides, N. C. & Buluç, A. HipMCL: a

high-performance parallel implementation of the Markov clustering algorithm for large-scale

networks. *Nucleic acids research* **46**, e33-e33 (2018).

18 Virtanen, P. *et al.* SciPy 1.0: fundamental algorithms for scientific computing in Python.

Nature methods **17**, 261-272 (2020).

19 Lewis-Beck, C. & Lewis-Beck, M. *Applied regression: An introduction*. Vol. 22 (Sage

publications, 2015).

Figure legend

Figure 1 Estimating the virus genome and protein space. (A) Fitting the increasing

trend of vOTU and vPCs versus the number of selected samples. The gray points

referred to the number of vOTUs and vPCs identified in the selected samples in 100

times of simulating sequencing studies (see Methods). The solid line referred to the

fitted curve of the Sigmoid function for the vOTU (green) and vPC (red). The top-left

sub-figure referred to the prediction of the Sigmoid function. The dashed vertical line

referred to the suggested sampling endpoint. (B) The estimated total number of

vOTUs and vPCs when the virus genetic space was saturated, and the proportion of

explored viral genetic space at levels of vOTU and vPC.

Figure 2 Analysis of the viral genome and protein space by taxonomy. (A) and (B)

 referred to the increasing trends of vOTUs and vPCs, respectively, as the number of

 selected samples in different viral taxonomy. (C) The estimated total number

 (histogram) of vOTUs and vPCs in each taxonomy unit when the virus genetic space

 was saturated, and the PEVGS of each taxonomy at levels of vOTU and vPC (blue

 dashed lines).

 Figure 3 Analysis of the viral genome and protein space by ecosystem. (A) The

 taxonomy composition of viruses identified in different ecosystems; (B) The number

 of vPCs shared between different ecosystems. The thickness of lines between

 ecosystems is proportional to the number of vPCs shared between ecosystems. (C)

 and (D) referred to the increasing trends of the numbers of vOTUs and vPCs,

 respectively, as the number of selected samples in different ecosystems. The

 simulation process was conducted based on the ecosystem. (E) The estimated total

numbers (histogram) of vOTUs and vPCs in each ecosystem when the virus genetic

space was saturated, and the PEVGS of viruses in each ecosystem at levels of vOTU

and vPC (blue dashed lines).