Screening of metabolism-disrupting chemicals on pancreatic α-cells using in vitro

methods

Reinaldo Sousa Dos Santos[1,2], Ignacio Babiloni-Chust[1,2], Laura Marroqui[1,2], and Angel

Nadal[1,2]*

[1]Instituto de Investigación, Desarrollo e Innovación en Biotecnología Sanitaria de Elche

(IDiBE), Universidad Miguel Hernández de Elche, Alicante, Spain.

[2]CIBER de Diabetes y Enfermedades Metabólicas Asociadas, Instituto de Salud Carlos

III.

* Corresponding author:

Angel Nadal

Instituto de Investigación, Desarrollo e Innovación en Biotecnología Sanitaria de Elche,

IDiBE, Universidad Miguel Hernández de Elche, Ed. Torregaitán, Av. de la Universidad

s/n 03202 Elche, Spain.

Tel. +34 965222002

Email: nadal@umh.es

Disclosure Statement The authors have nothing to disclose.

Abstract

Metabolism-disrupting chemicals (MDCs) are endocrine disruptors with obesogenic and/or diabetogenic action. There is mounting evidence linking exposure to MDCs to increased susceptibility to diabetes. Despite the important role of glucagon in glucose homeostasis, there is little information on the effects of MDCs on α-cells. Furthermore, there are no methods to identify and test MDCs with the potential to alter α-cell viability and function. Here we used the mouse α-cell line αTC1-9 to evaluate the effects of MDCs on cell viability and glucagon secretion. We tested six chemicals at concentrations within human exposure (from 0.1 pM to 1 μM): bisphenol-A (BPA), tributyltin (TBT), perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA), triphenylphosphate (TPP), triclosan (TCS), and dichlorodiphenyldichloroethylene (DDE). Using two different approaches, MTT assay and DNA-binding dyes, we observed that BPA and TBT decreased α-cell viability via a mechanism that depends on the activation of estrogen receptors and PPARγ, respectively. These two chemicals induced ROS production, but barely altered the expression of endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress markers. Although PFOA, TPP, TCS, and DDE did not alter cell viability nor induced ROS generation or ER stress, all four compounds negatively affected glucagon secretion. Our findings suggest that αTC1-9 cells seem an appropriate model to test chemicals with metabolism-disrupting activity and that the improvement of the test methods proposed herein could be incorporated into protocols for the screening of diabetogenic MDCs.

Keywords: Apoptosis, Diabetes, Endocrine disruptors, Glucagon secretion, Metabolism-disrupting chemicals, Pancreatic α-cells, Test methods

1. Introduction

Diabetes has been considered one of the most serious metabolic diseases and its global prevalence has been rising at an alarming rate [1]. One of the emerging risks factors that promote diabetes development is our extensive exposure to environmental chemicals that act as endocrine disruptors [2,3].

Despite their differences in etiology and pathogenesis, both type 1 (T1D) and type 2 diabetes (T2D) present dysfunctional glucagon-producing α-cells and impaired glucagon secretion [4–7]. Glucagon is considered a critical regulator of glycemia because it counteracts the glucose-lowering effects of insulin by stimulating hepatic glycogenolysis and gluconeogenesis [8,9]. In the context of diabetes, dysregulated glucagon secretion may contribute to the observed insulin-induced hypoglycemia in T1D and hyperglycemia in early stages of T2D [10,11].

Accumulating data suggest that metabolism-disrupting chemicals (MDCs), a class of endocrine disruptors that alter susceptibility to metabolic disorders [12,13], impact β-cell function and survival by different molecular initiated events (MIE). For instance, bisphenol A (BPA), a well-studied MDC, can alter insulin secretion in primary mouse islets/β-cells by activating estrogen receptors and altering ion channel expression and activity [14–17]. Moreover, BPA induces β-cell apoptosis in an estrogen receptor-dependent manner, where BPA increases reactive oxygen species (ROS) generation and disrupts the formation of ERα/ERβ heterodimers [18–20]. Tributyltin (TBT), another well-known MDC, induces β-cell dysfunction and demise as well as ROS production by a mechanism involving peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ (PPARγ) [20–22]. Despite the mounting body of evidence that has been gathered about how MDCs affect β-cells, much less is known regarding the effects of these chemicals on pancreatic α-cells. The fact that α-cell dysfunction poses a risk for both T1D and T2D individuals reinforces the importance of identifying MDCs that might impact α-cell survival and/or function.

As part of GOLIATH, a European Union Horizon 2020-funded project focused on designing MDC testing approaches [23], we have recently described test methods to identify MDCs that might affect β-cells [20]. In our previous study, BPA and TBT were considered as positive controls, while other four chemicals, namely perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA), triphenylphosphate (TPP), triclosan (TCS) and dichlorodiphenyldichloroethylene (DDE), were used as "unknown" chemicals due to the lack of data about their effects on β-cells. In the present work we tested these chemicals

in α-cells following an adverse outcome pathway framework, where the MIE was studied
by pharmacology and two key events, namely ROS production and expression of
endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress markers. As adverse effects, we assessed α-cell
viability and glucagon secretion.

2. Results

2.1. MDCs affect α-cell survival

We have previously shown that the effects of MDCs on β-cell survival could be evaluated

by two different approaches, namely the MTT assay and staining with the DNA-binding

dyes Hoechst 33342 and propidium iodide (HO/PI) [20]. Here, we used these same

methods to evaluate whether different MDCs could affect α-cell viability. For this

purpose, the mouse α-cell line αTC1-9 was exposed to a range of concentrations of each

MDC. First, we measured cell viability by MTT assay following treatment for 48 h and

72 h (Figure 1A-F and Supplementary Figure 1). Considering the range of concentrations

established based on biomonitoring studies, BPA and TBT decreased α-cell viability in a

dose-dependent manner (Figure 1A,B, Supplementary Figure 1E,F), whereas the other

four MDCs tested did not change cell viability (Figure 1C-F, Supplementary Figure 1G-

J). At their highest concentrations, i.e., 1 μM for BPA and 200 nM for TBT, BPA and

TBT decreased viability by around 10% (BPA) and 30%, respectively, after treatment for

48 h. Exposure to higher concentrations of PFOA, TPP, TCS, and DDE showed that 1

mM of these chemicals had a robust effect on αTC1-9 cell viability, which was reduced

by nearly 80-90% upon treatment (Supplementary Figure 1A-D).

Next, we assessed αTC1-9 viability using the DNA-binding dyes HO/PI upon 24 h

treatment (Figure 1G-I). The high sensitivity of this method allowed us to detect that very

low concentrations of either BPA (Figure 1G) or TBT (Figure 1H) induced α-cell

apoptosis. While 1 μM BPA promoted a 2-fold increase in apoptosis, a 3-fold induction

was seen in 200 nM TBT-treated cells. As shown by MTT, treatment with concentrations

of PFOA, TPP, TCS, and DDE within the range observed in biomonitoring studies did

not induce apoptosis (Figure 1I).

As in our previous work [20], a mix of the proinflammatory cytokines interleukin-1β (IL-

1β) and interferon-γ (IFNγ) was used as positive control. As expected, IL-1β + IFNγ

decreased viability or induced apoptosis in our model (Figure 1,2, Supplementary Figure

1E-J).

2.2. Estrogen receptors and PPARγ are involved in BPA- and TBT-induced α-cell apoptosis

BPA is a xenoestrogen that, through the activation of the estrogen receptors ERα, ERβ, and G protein-coupled estrogen receptor (GPER) can affect β-cell function and survival [14,19,20,24]. We observed that BPA-induced apoptosis was totally blunted by concomitant treatment with the pure estrogen receptor antagonist ICI 182,780 (Figure 2A). As TBT is an agonist of both the retinoid X receptors (RXRs) and the PPARγ [25,26], we used the PPARγ antagonist T0070907 to investigate if PPARγ activation would be involved in TBT-induced apoptosis. As shown in Figure 2B, TBT-induced apoptosis was mostly blocked by T0070907. These findings suggest that estrogen receptors and PPARγ are involved in the MIE whereby BPA and TBT, respectively, induce α-cell death.

2.3. BPA and TBT promote ROS production

Previous data show that exposure to BPA and TBT, but not to PFOA, stimulate ROS production in β-cells [18–22]. Hence, we measured ROS generation in α-cells upon exposure to all six MDCs. In αTC1-9 cells, a 30-40% increase in ROS levels was observed upon treatment with BPA or TBT, whereas PFOA, TPP, TCS, and DDE did not change ROS production in these cells (Figure 3A-F). Of note, menadione was used as a positive control.

2.4. Exposure to different MDCs does not induce ER stress

Accumulating evidence suggests that some endocrine disruptors induce ER stress, which might lead to apoptosis in a variety of models [27]. We measured the protein expression of two ER stress markers, namely immunoglobulin heavy chain-binding protein (BiP) and the phosphorylated form of the eukaryotic initiation factor-2α (p-eIF2α), in αTC1-9 cells treated with each of the six chemicals for 24 h. Exposure to 1 μM BPA reduced BiP expression by 45%, while no changes were observed in p-eIF2α levels (Figure 4A-C). Treatment with TBT slightly augmented BiP levels without changing p-eIF2α expression (Figure 4D-F). Expression of BiP and p-eIF2α was not modified by exposure to PFOA, TPP, TCS, and DDE (Figure 4G-I).

2.5. α-cell function is perturbed by different MDCs

As a test method to assess α-cell function, we measured static glucagon secretion under

stimulatory and inhibitory conditions (0.5 mM and 11 mM glucose, respectively) upon

exposure to different doses of each MDC for 48 h (Figure 5). BPA (Figure 5A) and TBT

(Figure 5B) did not change glucose-regulated glucagon secretion, despite a trend in cells

treated with higher concentrations of TBT. The greatest changes were observed in cells

exposed to PFOA; at a stimulatory glucose concentration (i.e., 0.5 mM), PFOA induced

a decrease in glucagon secretion in a dose-dependent manner, reaching a reduction of

nearly 25% at 1 μM compared with vehicle-treated cells. At high glucose, 10 pM PFOA

augmented glucagon secretion (Figure 5C). Exposure to TPP also diminished glucagon

release at low glucose, albeit only 100 pM TPP was statistically significant (Figure 5D).

Curiously, we observed that TCS and DDE modulated glucagon secretion in a non-

monotonic dose response-dependent manner, in which exposure to 10 nM TCS and 100

pM DDE significantly reduced glucose-regulated glucagon secretion at a stimulatory

glucose concentration, while exposure to higher doses of each MDC did not change

secretion (Figure 5E and 5F). Of note, 1 μM TCS also increased glucagon release at high

glucose (Figure 5E).

3. Discussion

Aiming to detect environmental pollutants that could have metabolism disrupting activity

in α-cells, here we propose different in vitro test methods to assess α-cell viability (MTT

assay and staining with DNA-binding dyes) and function (glucagon secretion).

Up to now, there are no human α-cell lines available for research use as they are difficult

to generate, mainly due to the paucity of information about the glucagon promoter and its

lack of specificity for α-cells [28]. Among the limited α-cell lines existing for rodents, we

used the αTC1 Clone 9 (or αTC1-9), which is more differentiated than the parental αTC1

cell line and produces only glucagon (but not insulin or preproinsulin) [29]. Since its

cloning from the parental αTC1 cells, studies by us and other have confirmed that αTC1-

9 cells are a useful model to investigate α-cell physiology. This cell line allows us to

assess several cellular parameters, including Ca^{2+} signaling [30,31], electrical activity

[31], and glucagon secretion [30,32–35]. Furthermore, αTC1-9 response to different

stimuli, such as proinflammatory cytokines and leptin, is similar to their primary cell

counterparts [29,31,36,37].

3.1. α-cell viability tests

Using two previously established approaches to determine cell viability, namely the MTT assay and HO/PI DNA-binding dyes [20], we showed that low doses of BPA and TBT induced α-cell apoptosis, while only extremely high doses (i.e., >100 μM) of PFOA, TPP, TCS and DDE negatively affected α-cell viability.

Although recent evidence shows that BPA affects β-cell survival [18–20,38,39], BPA effects on α-cells are still unclear. To our knowledge, there is only a recently published study that analyzed pancreatic sections from rats given 4.5 μg/L BPA in drinking water for 45 days [40]. In this study, α-cells from BPA-treated rats presented signs of apoptosis, such as cell shrinkage and small dense nuclei, as well as higher levels of caspase 3 reactivity compared to vehicle-treated animals. Glucagon immunostaining confirmed that BPA-treated rats had less α-cells than control rats [40]. Despite the different approaches, these data agree with our results showing that BPA induces apoptosis in vitro.

BPA is a known xenoestrogen that induces β-cell apoptosis via activation of the estrogen receptors ERα, ERβ, and GPER [19,20]. As all three estrogen receptors are expressed in α-cells [41], we investigated whether these receptors were involved in BPA-induced apoptosis in αTC1-9 cells. In line with our previous findings in β-cells, the pure estrogen receptor antagonist ICI 182,780 prevented BPA-induced apoptosis, suggesting that the activation of estrogen receptors is part of the MIE underlying BPA effects in α-cells.

It has been reported that in vivo and in vitro exposure to TBT results in β-cell death [21,22,42,43]. Here we show for the first time that TBT also induces α-cell apoptosis at doses as low as 1 nM. Curiously, at the highest dose, TBT effect on viability was stronger than the mix of proinflammatory cytokines used as positive control. This effect on viability was mostly abrogated by a PPARγ antagonist, which suggests that this receptor is involved in TBT-induced apoptosis, as we have previously described in β-cells [20]. PFOA, TPP, TCS, and DDE only reduced cell viability when αTC1-9 cells were exposed to concentrations higher than 100 μM, which agree with prior studies in β-cells. We showed that concentrations of PFOA above 20 μM induced apoptosis in the human EndoC-βH1 cell line and the rat INS-1E cells [20]. In the rat RIN-m5F β-cell line, doses of PFOA higher than 100 μM induced apoptosis after 48 h, whereas 1 μM PFOA did not affect cell survival [44]. In MIN6, a mouse β-cell line, as well as in primary mouse islets, up to 300 μM PFOA did not change viability; doses above 500 μM, however, induced apoptosis upon 24 h treatment [45]. Up to 1 μM TPP did not induce apoptosis in EndoC-βH1 and INS-1E cells as well as in dispersed mouse islets, even after 72 h exposure [20].

Exposure to 17 and 35 µM TCS increased necrosis in MIN6 cells, while lower doses (up to 7 µM) did not change viability in MIN6, EndoC-βH1, and INS-1E cells [20,46]. Lastly, DDE treatment ranging from 10 fM to 50 µM had no effect on the viability of different β-cell lines, namely EndoC-βH1, INS-1E, and MIN6, or in dispersed mouse islets, when cells were treated for up to 48 h [20,47,48]. On the other hand, longer DDE exposure (from 4 to 8 days) diminished viability even at the lowest dose in INS-1E cells [48]. Taken together, our findings suggest that αTC1-9 cells are a reliable model for the identification of MDCs that could affect α-cell survival. Moreover, we confirm that the test methods used herein are suitable for the assessment of cell viability/apoptosis in response to MDCs.

Following an adverse outcome pathway framework, we next looked at two key events that could be involved in cell death and dysfunction, namely oxidative stress and ER stress [49,50]. For this purpose, we measured ROS production and the expression of two ER stress markers, namely BiP and p-eIF2α. Like it has been previously reported in β-cells [18–22,38], BPA and TBT induced ROS production in αTC1-9 cells; of note, exposure to the other four MDCs did not change ROS production at the concentrations tested. As ROS levels were increased only by chemicals that induced apoptosis, it is reasonable to state that generation of ROS is a key event in the pathway to MDC-induced α-cell apoptosis. Regarding the ER stress markers, expression of the chaperone BiP was reduced by 1 µM BPA and slightly increased by 200 nM TBT. PFOA, TPP, TCS, and DDE did not alter BiP expression. None of the six chemicals tested changed the expression of the activated form of eIF2α (i.e., p-eIF2α) at the doses tested. At first glance, this lack of major changes in the expression of ER stress markers may be unexpected for BPA and TBT, as these MDCs, which induce α-cell apoptosis, activate the ER stress response in other cell types [27,51–55]. However, it is important to keep in mind that the expression of ER stress markers may depend on the amount and time of exposure to a given stimulus. Moreover, it is possible that activation of the ER stress response is not part of the mechanism whereby BPA and TBT induce apoptosis in α-cells. Finally, contrary to β-cells, where ER stress is a key component contributing to β-cell loss, α-cells are more resistant to ER stress-mediated apoptosis [56]. Overall, these data suggest that MDC-induced ROS production is a key event that might be used as a substitute for measurement of cell viability, as it properly predicted α-cell apoptosis.

3.2. α-cell function tests

As glucagon is a hormone responsible for opposing the hypoglycemic effects of insulin, maintenance of adequate α-cell function is crucial for the regulation of glucose homeostasis.

Even though the effects of different MDCs on insulin release have been largely explored [12,57,58], whether exposure to these chemicals affects α-cell function remains largely unknown. The only study available to date showed that acute treatment with 1 nM BPA, suppressed low glucose-induced intracellular Ca^{2+} oscillations in primary mouse α-cells within intact pancreatic islets [59]. As intracellular Ca^{2+} signaling is intimately linked to glucagon secretion (see below), one might speculate that BPA-induced suppression of Ca^{2+} oscillations could ultimately inhibit glucagon release at low glucose concentrations. Unfortunately, glucagon secretion was not measured in Alonso-Magdalena et al study. In the present work we observed that glucose-regulated glucagon secretion remained unchanged upon BPA exposure for 48 h. It would be interesting to investigate if Ca^{2+} signals are altered in the αTC1-9 cell line.

Contrary to β-cells, where TBT increases glucose-stimulated insulin secretion in a variety of models [20–22,43], here we did not observe a clear effect on glucagon secretion. However, as a clear trend is seen at both glucose concentrations, it may be that higher TBT doses result in augmented glucagon release.

The four MDCs that did not affect α-cell viability, PFOA, TPP, TCS, and DDE, disturbed α-cell function in some way. Among them, PFOA was the one that induced the most changes in glucagon secretion, where doses ranging from 100 pM to 1 µM PFOA impaired glucagon secretion at glucose-stimulating levels. Our in vitro findings seem to contradict a recent in vivo study where oral administration of PFOA to adult male mice (1.25 mg/kg PFOA for 28 days) resulted in high blood glucagon levels, increased fasting glycemia, and augmented hepatic gluconeogenesis [60]. These apparently opposing outcomes might be due to different PFOA doses and exposure time between studies. The concentrations of PFOA used herein are within the range observed in biomonitoring studies, which varied between 650 pM and 34 nM [61]. Zheng et al., on the other hand, observed that the serum levels of PFOA at the end of exposure were around 134 µM [60]. Furthermore, in the present study we treated αTC1-9 cells for only 48 h, while Zheng and collaborators exposed mice to PFOA for 28 days, which may be long enough to allow α-cells to adapt and start secreting more glucagon. Unfortunately, as we have not tested longer time points, we cannot directly compare our findings with the aforementioned in vivo study. Along with prior studies showing that PFOA exposure also impairs β-cell

function [20,45,62], our findings in α-cells strongly suggest that PFOA acts as a

diabetogenic MDC.

TCS and DDE affected glucagon secretion at a stimulatory glucose concentration in a

non-monotonic dose response-dependent manner. Non-monotonic dose response curves

have been demonstrated for endocrine-disrupting chemicals in several biological systems,

such as cell lines, organ cultures, and animal models, and [63,64]. As these findings may

have important implications for risk assessment toxicology, it will be interesting to further

explore the mechanisms underlying TCS and DDE non-monotonic effect on α-cell

function.

Contrary to β-cells, where the stimulus-secretion coupling model is well-established, the

exact mechanisms regulating glucose-modulated glucagon secretion are still under

debate. Glucagon secretion can be regulated by intrinsic (exerted within the α-cell itself)

and paracrine (involvement of factors released from neighboring β- and δ-cells)

mechanisms. A generally accepted intrinsic model suggests that, at low glucose

concentrations, there is less glucose entering the cells via the glucose transporters, which

will result in decreased intracellular ATP/ADP ratio. This lower ATP/ADP ratio leads to

moderate activity of K_{ATP} channels, which causes membrane depolarization and

subsequent opening of voltage-dependent Na^+ and Ca^{2+} channels. The resulting increase

in intracellular Ca^{2+} triggers exocytosis of glucagon secretory granules. Activation of

store-operated Ca^{2+} entry due to ER Ca^{2+} depletion and cAMP-induced potentiation of

Ca^{2+}-induced Ca^{2+} release from the ER also contribute to exocytosis of glucagon-

containing vesicles [8,9,65,66]. Our findings suggest that MDCs affecting α-cell function

could be disrupting any step of this complex secretory pathway. In fact, considering our

experimental approach, i.e., direct treatment of a cell line, it is likely that PFOA, TPP,

TCS, and DDE might be interfering with intrinsic mechanisms controlling glucagon

release, such as glucose transport/metabolism, activity/expression of ion channels, and/or

exocytosis. Additionally, as we used an α-cell line instead of whole pancreatic islets, we

cannot discard the possibility that any of the MDCs tested in our study may affect the

paracrine regulation of glucagon secretion. This option should be investigated in future

studies. Either way, our study shows that MDC-induced α-cell dysregulation may

contribute to the diabetogenic actions of these chemical pollutants.

In conclusion, our present findings suggest that αTC1-9 cells represent a valid model for

the identification of MDCs with potential diabetogenic activity. We also validated in α-

cells the test methods for assessment of cell viability presented in our previous study.

Generation of ROS, but not expression of ER stress markers, could be a suitable surrogate

for the evaluation of cell viability. Lastly, measurement of glucagon secretion seems a

valuable test method to explore whether a given MDC affects α-cell function.

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Chemicals

Chemicals used in this work were acquired as follows: BPA (Cat. No. 239658), TBT (Cat.

No. T50202), PFOA (Cat. No. 77262), TPP (Cat. No. 241288), TCS (Cat. No. PHR1338),

and DDE (Cat. No. 123897) were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (Barcelona, Spain). MDC

stock solutions were weekly prepared by dissolution in 100% cell-culture grade, sterile-

filtered DMSO (Sigma-Aldrich; Cat No D2650) and stored at -20 °C between uses.

Recombinant human IL-1β was obtained from R&D Systems (Abingdon, UK; Cat. No.

201-LB/CF) and recombinant murine IFNγ from PeproTech (Rocky Hill, NJ, USA; Cat.

No. 315-05). ICI 182,780 (Cat. No. 1047) and T0070907 (Cat. No. HY-13202) were

obtained from Tocris Cookson Ltd (Avonmouth, UK) and MedChem Express (New

Jersey, USA), respectively. T0070907 was redosed every 8-10 h due to its short half-life.

4.2. Culture of αTC1-9 cells

Mouse glucagon-releasing cell line αTC1-9 (RRID: CVCL_0150, ATCC, Manassas, VA,

USA) was cultured in DMEM (Invitrogen, Barcelona, Spain) without phenol red

supplemented with 2 mM l-glutamine, 19 mM $NaHCO_3$, 15 mM HEPES, 10% inactivated

FBS, 100 U/mL penicillin, 0.1 mg/mL streptomycin, 0.1 mM non-essential amino acids,

and a final glucose concentration of 16 mM [35]. Cells were kept at 37 °C in a humidified

atmosphere of 95% O_2 and 5% CO_2.

4.3. Assessment of cell viability by MTT assay

The MTT assay was performed as previously described [20]. Briefly, MTT was added to

each well (final concentration: 0.5 mg/mL) and incubated for 3 h at 37°C. Following

incubation, the supernatant was removed by aspiration and formazan crystals were

dissolved by addition of 100 µL DMSO. The absorbance was measured at 595 nm using

an iMark™ Microplate Absorbance Reader (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) and the percentage

of cell viability was calculated.

4.4. Assessment of cell viability by DNA-binding dyes

Percentage of living, apoptotic and necrotic cells was assessed upon staining with DNA-binding dyes Hoechst 33342 and propidium iodide [67,68]. A minimum of 500 cells per experimental condition was counted by two different observers, one of them being unaware of sample identity to avoid bias (agreement between results from both observers was >90%). Results are expressed as percentage of apoptosis.

4.5. DCF assay

Generation of ROS was evaluated using the fluorescent probe 2',7'-dichlorofluorescein di-acetate (DCF; Sigma-Aldrich) as described [20]. DCF fluorescence was quantified in a POLASTAR plate reader (BMG Labtech, Germany). Data are represented as DCF fluorescence corrected by total protein. Menadione (15 μM for 90 minutes) was employed as a positive control.

4.6. Western blotting

Cells were washed with cold PBS and lysed in Laemmli buffer. Cells extracts were resolved on 12% SDS-PAGE and transferred to nitrocellulose membrane (Bio-Rad). Immunoblot analysis was performed by overnight incubation with antibodies against BiP, p-eIF2α, and α-Tubulin as described [19]. The antibodies used herein are listed in Supplementary Table 1.

4.7. Glucagon secretion

Cells were washed and then preincubated in a modified Krebs-Ringer buffer (120 mM NaCl, 5.4 mM KCl, 1.2 mM KH_2PO_4, 1.2 mM $MgSO_4$, 2.4 mM $CaCl_2$, 20 mM HEPES pH 7.4, and 0.1% BSA) added of 5.6 mM glucose for 2 h before stimulation. At the end of this incubation, cells were sequentially stimulated with low (0.5 mM) and then high glucose (11 mM) for 30 min (each stimulation). After each stimulatory period, the incubation medium was collected, placed onto ice, and centrifuged at 1400 *g*, 5 min at 4 °C. The supernatant was transferred into a fresh tube containing aprotinin (20 mg/L) and stored at -80 °C until glucagon measurements. Glucagon levels were assessed using a mouse glucagon ELISA kit (Mercodia, Uppsala, Sweden). Data are normalized to glucagon secretion at low glucose in vehicle-treated cells.

4.8. Data analysis

The GraphPad Prism 7.0 software (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA, USA) was used for statistical analyses. Data are presented as the mean ± SEM. Statistical analyses were performed using Student's t-test, one-way ANOVA or two-way ANOVA as stated in the figure legends. One- and two-way ANOVA were followed by Dunnett's test as post hoc analysis. p values ≤ 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Funding sources

This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement GOLIATH No. 825489 (AN), Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación, Agencia Estatal de Investigación (AEI) and Fondo Europeo de Desarrollo Regional (FEDER) grant PID2020-117294RB-I00 (AN) and PROMETEO II/2020/006 (AN) supported by Generalitat Valenciana, Spain. The authors' laboratories are also financed by the grant PID2020-117569RA-I00 from Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación, Agencia Estatal de Investigación (AEI) (LM). This research was supported by CIBER-Consorcio Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red (CB07/08/0002), Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Beatriz Bonmati Botella, Maria Luisa Navarro, and Salomé Ramon for their excellent technical assistance.

Author contributions

R.S.d.S.: Conceptualization, Supervision, Visualization, Investigation, Formal analysis, Writing – original draft & editing; I.B.-C.: Investigation, Formal analysis, Writing – review & editing; L.M.: Investigation, Formal analysis, Writing – review & editing; A.N.: Conceptualization, Supervision, Visualization, Resources, Funding acquisition, Writing – original draft & editing, Project administration. All authors have read and given approval to the final version of the manuscript.

Institutional Review Board Statement

The study was conducted according to the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Spanish Royal Decree 1201/2005 and the European Community Council directive 2010/63/EU.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Sun, H.; Saeedi, P.; Karuranga, S.; Pinkepank, M.; Ogurtsova, K.; Duncan, B.B.; Stein, C.; Basit, A.; Chan, J.C.N.; Mbanya, J.C.; et al. IDF Diabetes Atlas: Global, Regional and Country-Level Diabetes Prevalence Estimates for 2021 and Projections for 2045. *Diabetes Res. Clin. Pract.* **2022**, *183*, 109119, doi:10.1016/j.diabres.2021.109119.

2. Gore, A.C.; Chappell, V.A.; Fenton, S.E.; Flaws, J.A.; Nadal, A.; Prins, G.S.; Toppari, J.; Zoeller, R.T. EDC-2: The Endocrine Society's Second Scientific Statement on Endocrine-Disrupting Chemicals. *Endocr. Rev.* **2015**, *36*, doi:10.1210/er.2015-1010.

3. Sargis, R.M.; Simmons, R.A. Environmental Neglect: Endocrine Disruptors as Underappreciated but Potentially Modifiable Diabetes Risk Factors. *Diabetologia* **2019**, *62*, 1811–1822, doi:10.1007/s00125-019-4940-z.

4. Marroquí, L.; Alonso-Magdalena, P.; Merino, B.; Fuentes, E.; Nadal, A.; Quesada, I. Nutrient Regulation of Glucagon Secretion: Involvement in Metabolism and Diabetes. *Nutr. Res. Rev.* **2014**, *27*, 48–62, doi:10.1017/S0954422414000031.

5. Brissova, M.; Haliyur, R.; Saunders, D.; Shrestha, S.; Dai, C.; Blodgett, D.M.; Bottino, R.; Campbell-Thompson, M.; Aramandla, R.; Poffenberger, G.; et al. α Cell Function and Gene Expression Are Compromised in Type 1 Diabetes. *Cell Rep.* **2018**, *22*, 2667–2676, doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2018.02.032.

6. Gromada, J.; Chabosseau, P.; Rutter, G.A. The α-Cell in Diabetes Mellitus. *Nat. Rev. Endocrinol.* **2018**, *14*, 694–704, doi:10.1038/s41574-018-0097-y.

7. Dai, X.-Q.; Camunas-Soler, J.; Briant, L.J.B.; dos Santos, T.; Spigelman, A.F.; Walker, E.M.; Arrojo e Drigo, R.; Bautista, A.; Jones, R.C.; Avrahami, D.; et al. Heterogenous Impairment of α Cell Function in Type 2 Diabetes Is Linked to Cell Maturation State. *Cell Metab.* **2022**, *34*, 256-268.e5, doi:10.1016/j.cmet.2021.12.021.

8. Quesada, I.; Tudurí, E.; Ripoll, C.; Nadal, Á. Physiology of the Pancreatic α-Cell and Glucagon Secretion: Role in Glucose Homeostasis and Diabetes. *J. Endocrinol.* **2008**, *199*, 5–19, doi:10.1677/JOE-08-0290.

9. Wendt, A.; Eliasson, L. Pancreatic α-Cells – The Unsung Heroes in Islet Function. *Semin. Cell Dev. Biol.* **2020**, *103*, 41–50, doi:10.1016/j.semcdb.2020.01.006.

10. Dunning, B.E.; Gerich, J.E. The Role of α-Cell Dysregulation in Fasting and Postprandial Hyperglycemia in Type 2 Diabetes and Therapeutic Implications. *Endocr. Rev.* **2007**, *28*, 253–283, doi:10.1210/er.2006-0026.

11. Cryer, P.E. Minireview: Glucagon in the Pathogenesis of Hypoglycemia and Hyperglycemia in Diabetes. *Endocrinology* **2012**, *153*, 1039–1048, doi:10.1210/en.2011-1499.

12. Heindel, J.J.; Blumberg, B.; Cave, M.; Machtinger, R.; Mantovani, A.; Mendez, M.A.; Nadal, A.; Palanza, P.; Panzica, G.; Sargis, R.; et al. Metabolism Disrupting Chemicals and Metabolic Disorders. *Reprod. Toxicol.* **2017**, *68*, 3–33, doi:10.1016/j.reprotox.2016.10.001.

13. Nadal, A.; Quesada, I.; Tudurí, E.; Nogueiras, R.; Alonso-Magdalena, P. Endocrine-Disrupting Chemicals and the Regulation of Energy Balance. *Nat. Rev. Endocrinol.* **2017**, *13*, doi:10.1038/nrendo.2017.51.

14. Alonso-Magdalena, P.; Ropero, A.B.; Carrera, M.P.; Cederroth, C.R.; Baquié, M.;
Gauthier, B.R.; Nef, S.; Stefani, E.; Nadal, A. Pancreatic Insulin Content Regulation
by the Estrogen Receptor ERα. *PLoS ONE* **2008**, *3*,
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0002069.
15. Villar-Pazos, S.; Martinez-Pinna, J.; Castellano-Muñoz, M.; Alonso-Magdalena, P.;
Marroqui, L.; Quesada, I.; Gustafsson, J.A.; Nadal, A. Molecular Mechanisms
Involved in the Non-Monotonic Effect of Bisphenol-A on Ca2+ Entry in Mouse
Pancreatic β-Cells. *Sci. Rep.* **2017**, *7*, 11770, doi:10.1038/s41598-017-11995-3.
16. Martinez-Pinna, J.; Marroqui, L.; Hmadcha, A.; Lopez-Beas, J.; Soriano, S.; Villar-
Pazos, S.; Alonso-Magdalena, P.; Dos Santos, R.S.; Quesada, I.; Martin, F.; et al.
Oestrogen Receptor β Mediates the Actions of Bisphenol-A on Ion Channel
Expression in Mouse Pancreatic Beta Cells. *Diabetologia* **2019**, *62*, 1667–1680,
doi:10.1007/s00125-019-4925-y.
17. Marroqui, L.; Martinez-Pinna, J.; Castellano-Muñoz, M.; dos Santos, R.S.; Medina-
Gali, R.M.; Soriano, S.; Quesada, I.; Gustafsson, J.A.; Encinar, J.A.; Nadal, A.
Bisphenol-S and Bisphenol-F Alter Mouse Pancreatic β-Cell Ion Channel
Expression and Activity and Insulin Release through an Estrogen Receptor ERβ
Mediated Pathway. *Chemosphere* **2021**, *265*,
doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2020.129051.
18. Carchia, E.; Porreca, I.; Almeida, P.J.; D'Angelo, F.; Cuomo, D.; Ceccarelli, M.; De
Felice, M.; Mallardo, M.; Ambrosino, C. Evaluation of Low Doses BPA-Induced
Perturbation of Glycemia by Toxicogenomics Points to a Primary Role of Pancreatic
Islets and to the Mechanism of Toxicity. *Cell Death Dis.* **2015**, *6*, e1959–e1959,
doi:10.1038/cddis.2015.319.
19. Babiloni-Chust, I.; dos Santos, R.S.; Medina-Gali, R.M.; Perez-Serna, A.A.;
Encinar, J.-A.; Martinez-Pinna, J.; Gustafsson, J.-A.; Marroqui, L.; Nadal, A. G
Protein-Coupled Estrogen Receptor Activation by Bisphenol-A Disrupts the
Protection from Apoptosis Conferred by the Estrogen Receptors ERα and ERβ in
Pancreatic Beta Cells. *Environ. Int.* **2022**, *164*, 107250,
doi:10.1016/j.envint.2022.107250.
20. Dos Santos, R.S.; Medina-Gali, R.M.; Babiloni-Chust, I.; Marroqui, L.; Nadal, A.
In Vitro Assays to Identify Metabolism-Disrupting Chemicals with Diabetogenic
Activity in a Human Pancreatic β-Cell Model. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2022**, *23*, 5040,
doi:10.3390/ijms23095040.
21. Chen, Y.W.; Lan, K.C.; Tsai, J.R.; Weng, T.I.; Yang, C.Y.; Liu, S.H. Tributyltin
Exposure at Noncytotoxic Doses Dysregulates Pancreatic β-Cell Function in Vitro
and in Vivo. *Arch. Toxicol.* **2017**, *91*, 3135–3144, doi:10.1007/s00204-017-1940-y.
22. Huang, C.F.; Yang, C.Y.; Tsai, J.R.; Wu, C.T.; Liu, S.H.; Lan, K.C. Low-Dose
Tributyltin Exposure Induces an Oxidative Stress-Triggered JNK-Related
Pancreatic β-Cell Apoptosis and a Reversible Hypoinsulinemic Hyperglycemia in
Mice. *Sci. Rep.* **2018**, *8*, doi:10.1038/s41598-018-24076-w.
23. Legler, J.; Zalko, D.; Jourdan, F.; Jacobs, M.; Fromenty, B.; Balaguer, P.; Bourguet,
W.; Kos, V.M.; Nadal, A.; Beausoleil, C.; et al. The GOLIATH Project: Towards
an Internationally Harmonised Approach for Testing Metabolism Disrupting
Compounds. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2020**, *21*, 3480, doi:10.3390/ijms21103480.
24. Soriano, S.; Alonso-Magdalena, P.; García-Arévalo, M.; Novials, A.; Muhammed,
S.J.; Salehi, A.; Gustafsson, J.A.; Quesada, I.; Nadal, A. Rapid Insulinotropic Action
of Low Doses of Bisphenol-A on Mouse and Human Islets of Langerhans: Role of
Estrogen Receptor β. *PLoS ONE* **2012**, *7*, e31109,
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0031109.

25. Grün, F.; Blumberg, B. Environmental Obesogens: Organotins and Endocrine Disruption via Nuclear Receptor Signaling. *Endocrinology* **2006**, *147*, s50–s55, doi:10.1210/en.2005-1129.

26. le Maire, A.; Grimaldi, M.; Roecklin, D.; Dagnino, S.; Vivat-Hannah, V.; Balaguer, P.; Bourguet, W. Activation of RXR–PPAR Heterodimers by Organotin Environmental Endocrine Disruptors. *EMBO Rep.* **2009**, *10*, 367–373, doi:10.1038/embor.2009.8.

27. Kim, K.; Kwon, J.-S.; Ahn, C.; Jeung, E.-B. Endocrine-Disrupting Chemicals and Their Adverse Effects on the Endoplasmic Reticulum. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2022**, *23*, 1581, doi:10.3390/ijms23031581.

28. Scharfmann, R.; Staels, W.; Albagli, O. The Supply Chain of Human Pancreatic β Cell Lines. *J. Clin. Invest.* **2019**, *129*, 3511–3520, doi:10.1172/JCI129484.

29. Hamaguchi, K.; Leiter, E.H. Comparison of Cytokine Effects on Mouse Pancreatic α-Cell and β-Cell Lines Viability, Secretory Function, and MHC Antigen Expression. *Diabetes* **1990**, *39*, 415–425, doi:10.2337/diab.39.4.415.

30. Ravier, M.A.; Rutter, G.A. Glucose or Insulin, but Not Zinc Ions, Inhibit Glucagon Secretion From Mouse Pancreatic α-Cells. *Diabetes* **2005**, *54*, 1789–1797, doi:10.2337/diabetes.54.6.1789.

31. Tuduri, E.; Marroqui, L.; Soriano, S.; Ropero, A.B.; Batista, T.M.; Piquer, S.; Lopez-Boado, M.A.; Carneiro, E.M.; Gomis, R.; Nadal, A.; et al. Inhibitory Effects of Leptin on Pancreatic α-Cell Function. *Diabetes* **2009**, *58*, 1616–1624, doi:10.2337/db08-1787.

32. McKinnon, C.M.; Ravier, M.A.; Rutter, G.A. FoxO1 Is Required for the Regulation of Preproglucagon Gene Expression by Insulin in Pancreatic ATC1-9 Cells*. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2006**, *281*, 39358–39369, doi:10.1074/jbc.M605022200.

33. Leibiger, B.; Moede, T.; Muhandiramlage, T.P.; Kaiser, D.; Sanchez, P.V.; Leibiger, I.B.; Berggren, P.-O. Glucagon Regulates Its Own Synthesis by Autocrine Signaling. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **2012**, *109*, 20925–20930, doi:10.1073/pnas.1212870110.

34. Vieira, E.; Marroquí, L.; Figueroa, A.C.; Merino, B.; Fernandez-Ruiz, R.; Nadal, A.; Burris, T.P.; Gomis, R.; Quesada, I. Involvement of the Clock Gene Rev-Erb Alpha in the Regulation of Glucagon Secretion in Pancreatic Alpha-Cells. *PLoS ONE* **2013**, *8*, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0069939.

35. Quesada-Candela, C.; Tudurí, E.; Marroquí, L.; Alonso-Magdalena, P.; Quesada, I.; Nadal, Á. Morphological and Functional Adaptations of Pancreatic Alpha-Cells during Late Pregnancy in the Mouse. *Metabolism* **2020**, *102*, 153963, doi:10.1016/j.metabol.2019.153963.

36. Marroquí, L.; Vieira, E.; Gonzalez, A.; Nadal, A.; Quesada, I. Leptin Downregulates Expression of the Gene Encoding Glucagon in AlphaTC1-9 Cells and Mouse Islets. *Diabetologia* **2011**, *54*, 843–851, doi:10.1007/s00125-010-2024-1.

37. Marroqui, L.; Lopes, M.; dos Santos, R.S.; Grieco, F.A.; Roivainen, M.; Richardson, S.J.; Morgan, N.G.; de Beeck, A.O.; Eizirik, D.L. Differential Cell Autonomous Responses Determine the Outcome of Coxsackievirus Infections in Murine Pancreatic α and β Cells. *eLife* **2015**, *4*, 1–23, doi:10.7554/eLife.06990.

38. Lin, Y.; Sun, X.; Qiu, L.; Wei, J.; Huang, Q.; Fang, C.; Ye, T.; Kang, M.; Shen, H.; Dong, S. Exposure to Bisphenol A Induces Dysfunction of Insulin Secretion and Apoptosis through the Damage of Mitochondria in Rat Insulinoma (INS-1) Cells. *Cell Death Dis.* **2013**, *4*, e460–e460, doi:10.1038/cddis.2012.206.

39. Bansal, A.; Rashid, C.; Xin, F.; Li, C.; Polyak, E.; Duemler, A.; van der Meer, T.; Stefaniak, M.; Wajid, S.; Doliba, N.; et al. Sex- and Dose-Specific Effects of

Maternal Bisphenol A Exposure on Pancreatic Islets of First- and Second-Generation Adult Mice Offspring. *Environ. Health Perspect.* **2017**, *125*, doi:10.1289/EHP1674.

40. Morsi, A.A.; Mersal, E.A.; Alsabih, A.O.; Abdelmoneim, A.M.; Sakr, E.M.; Alakabawy, S.; Elfawal, R.G.; Naji, M.; Aljanfawe, H.J.; Alshateb, F.H.; et al. Apoptotic Susceptibility of Pancreatic Alpha Cells to Environmentally Relevant Dose Levels of Bisphenol-A versus Dibutyl Phthalate Is Mediated by HSP60/Caspase-3 Expression in Male Albino Rats. *Cell Biol. Int.* **2022**, *n/a*, 1–14, doi:10.1002/cbin.11909.

41. Handgraaf, S.; Dusaulcy, R.; Visentin, F.; Philippe, J.; Gosmain, Y. 17-β Estradiol Regulates Proglucagon-Derived Peptide Secretion in Mouse and Human α- and L Cells. *JCI Insight* **2018**, *3*, doi:10.1172/jci.insight.98569.

42. Zuo, Z.; Wu, T.; Lin, M.; Zhang, S.; Yan, F.; Yang, Z.; Wang, Y.; Wang, C. Chronic Exposure to Tributyltin Chloride Induces Pancreatic Islet Cell Apoptosis and Disrupts Glucose Homeostasis in Male Mice. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2014**, *48*, 5179–5186, doi:10.1021/es404729p.

43. Ghaemmaleki, F.; Mohammadi, P.; Baeeri, M.; Navaei-Nigjeh, M.; Abdollahi, M.; Mostafalou, S. Estrogens Counteract Tributyltin-Induced Toxicity in the Rat Islets of Langerhans. *Heliyon* **2020**, *6*, e03562, doi:10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.e03562.

44. Suh, K.S.; Choi, E.M.; Kim, Y.J.; Hong, S.M.; Park, S.Y.; Rhee, S.Y.; Oh, S.; Kim, S.W.; Pak, Y.K.; Choe, W.; et al. Perfluorooctanoic Acid Induces Oxidative Damage and Mitochondrial Dysfunction in Pancreatic β-Cells. *Mol. Med. Rep.* **2017**, *15*, 3871–3878, doi:10.3892/mmr.2017.6452.

45. He, X.; Wu, D.; Xu, Y.; Zhang, Y.; Sun, Y.; Chang, X.; Zhu, Y.; Tang, W. Perfluorooctanoic Acid Promotes Pancreatic β Cell Dysfunction and Apoptosis through ER Stress and the ATF4/CHOP/TRIB3 Pathway. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* **2022**, doi:10.1007/s11356-022-21188-9.

46. Ajao, C.; Andersson, M.A.; Teplova, V.V.; Nagy, S.; Gahmberg, C.G.; Andersson, L.C.; Hautaniemi, M.; Kakasi, B.; Roivainen, M.; Salkinoja-Salonen, M. Mitochondrial Toxicity of Triclosan on Mammalian Cells. *Toxicol. Rep.* **2015**, *2*, 624–637, doi:10.1016/j.toxrep.2015.03.012.

47. Al-Abdulla, R.; Ferrero, H.; Soriano, S.; Boronat-Belda, T.; Alonso-Magdalena, P. Screening of Relevant Metabolism-Disrupting Chemicals on Pancreatic β-Cells: Evaluation of Murine and Human In Vitro Models. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2022**, *23*, 4182, doi:10.3390/ijms23084182.

48. Bresson, S.E.; Isom, S.; Jensen, E.T.; Huber, S.; Oulhote, Y.; Rigdon, J.; Lovato, J.; Liese, A.D.; Pihoker, C.; Dabelea, D.; et al. Associations between Persistent Organic Pollutants and Type 1 Diabetes in Youth. *Environ. Int.* **2022**, *163*, 107175, doi:10.1016/j.envint.2022.107175.

49. Cao, S.S.; Kaufman, R.J. Endoplasmic Reticulum Stress and Oxidative Stress in Cell Fate Decision and Human Disease. *Antioxid. Redox Signal.* **2014**, *21*, 396–413, doi:10.1089/ars.2014.5851.

50. Hasnain, S.Z.; Prins, J.B.; McGuckin, M.A. Oxidative and Endoplasmic Reticulum Stress in β-Cell Dysfunction in Diabetes. *J. Mol. Endocrinol.* **2016**, *56*, R33–R54, doi:10.1530/JME-15-0232.

51. Asahi, J.; Kamo, H.; Baba, R.; Doi, Y.; Yamashita, A.; Murakami, D.; Hanada, A.; Hirano, T. Bisphenol A Induces Endoplasmic Reticulum Stress-Associated Apoptosis in Mouse Non-Parenchymal Hepatocytes. *Life Sci.* **2010**, *87*, 431–438, doi:10.1016/j.lfs.2010.08.007.

52. Isomura, M.; Kotake, Y.; Masuda, K.; Miyara, M.; Okuda, K.; Samizo, S.; Sanoh, S.; Hosoi, T.; Ozawa, K.; Ohta, S. Tributyltin-Induced Endoplasmic Reticulum Stress and Its Ca2+-Mediated Mechanism. *Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol.* **2013**, *272*, 137–146, doi:10.1016/j.taap.2013.05.026.

53. Moreno-Gómez-Toledano, R.; Sánchez-Esteban, S.; Cook, A.; Mínguez-Moratinos, M.; Ramírez-Carracedo, R.; Reventún, P.; Delgado-Marín, M.; Bosch, R.J.; Saura, M. Bisphenol A Induces Accelerated Cell Aging in Murine Endothelium. *Biomolecules* **2021**, *11*, 1429, doi:10.3390/biom11101429.

54. Ferreira, R.; Amaral, C.; Correia-da-Silva, G.; Almada, M.; Borges, M.; Cunha, S.C.; Fernandes, J.O.; Teixeira, N. Bisphenols A, F, S and AF Trigger Apoptosis and/or Endoplasmic Reticulum Stress in Human Endometrial Stromal Cells. *Toxicology* **2022**, *478*, 153282, doi:10.1016/j.tox.2022.153282.

55. Liu, J.; Chen, T.; Wang, S.; Wu, H.; Xu, S. BPA Exposure Aggravates Necroptosis of Myocardial Tissue in Selenium Deficient Broilers through NO-Dependent Endoplasmic Reticulum Stress. *Toxicology* **2022**, *472*, 153190, doi:10.1016/j.tox.2022.153190.

56. Marroqui, L.; Masini, M.; Merino, B.; Grieco, F.A.; Millard, I.; Dubois, C.; Quesada, I.; Marchetti, P.; Cnop, M.; Eizirik, D.L. Pancreatic α Cells Are Resistant to Metabolic Stress-Induced Apoptosis in Type 2 Diabetes. *EBioMedicine* **2015**, *2*, 378–385, doi:10.1016/j.ebiom.2015.03.012.

57. Alonso-Magdalena, P.; Quesada, I.; Nadal, A. Endocrine Disruptors in the Etiology of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. *Nat. Rev. Endocrinol.* **2011**, *7*, 346–353, doi:10.1038/nrendo.2011.56.

58. Mimoto, M.S.; Nadal, A.; Sargis, R.M. Polluted Pathways: Mechanisms of Metabolic Disruption by Endocrine Disrupting Chemicals. *Curr. Environ. Health Rep.* **2017**, *4*, 208–222, doi:10.1007/s40572-017-0137-0.

59. Alonso-Magdalena, P.; Laribi, O.; Ropero, A.B.; Fuentes, E.; Ripoll, C.; Soria, B.; Nadal, A. Low Doses of Bisphenol A and Diethylstilbestrol Impair Ca2+ Signals in Pancreatic α-Cells through a Nonclassical Membrane Estrogen Receptor within Intact Islets of Langerhans. *Environ. Health Perspect.* **2005**, *113*, 969–977, doi:10.1289/ehp.8002.

60. Zheng, F.; Sheng, N.; Zhang, H.; Yan, S.; Zhang, J.; Wang, J. Perfluorooctanoic Acid Exposure Disturbs Glucose Metabolism in Mouse Liver. *Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol.* **2017**, *335*, doi:10.1016/j.taap.2017.09.019.

61. Göckener, B.; Weber, T.; Rüdel, H.; Bücking, M.; Kolossa-Gehring, M. Human Biomonitoring of Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances in German Blood Plasma Samples from 1982 to 2019. *Environ. Int.* **2020**, *145*, 106123, doi:10.1016/j.envint.2020.106123.

62. Qin, W.P.; Cao, L.Y.; Li, C.H.; Guo, L.H.; Colbourne, J.; Ren, X.M. Perfluoroalkyl Substances Stimulate Insulin Secretion by Islet β Cells via G Protein-Coupled Receptor 40. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2020**, *54*, 3428–3436, doi:10.1021/acs.est.9b07295.

63. Vandenberg, L.N.; Colborn, T.; Hayes, T.B.; Heindel, J.J.; Jacobs, D.R., Jr.; Lee, D.-H.; Shioda, T.; Soto, A.M.; vom Saal, F.S.; Welshons, W.V.; et al. Hormones and Endocrine-Disrupting Chemicals: Low-Dose Effects and Nonmonotonic Dose Responses. *Endocr. Rev.* **2012**, *33*, 378–455, doi:10.1210/er.2011-1050.

64. Vandenberg, L.N. Non-Monotonic Dose Responses in Studies of Endocrine Disrupting Chemicals: Bisphenol a as a Case Study. *Dose-Response* **2014**, *12*, dose-response.13-020.Vandenberg, doi:10.2203/dose-response.13-020.Vandenberg.

65. Gilon, P. The Role of α-Cells in Islet Function and Glucose Homeostasis in Health
and Type 2 Diabetes. *J. Mol. Biol.* **2020**, *432*, 1367–1394,
doi:10.1016/j.jmb.2020.01.004.
66. Acreman, S.; Zhang, Q. Regulation of α-Cell Glucagon Secretion: The Role of
Second Messengers. *Chronic Dis. Transl. Med.* **2022**, *8*, 7–18,
doi:10.1016/j.cdtm.2021.06.001.
67. Santin, I.; Dos Santos, R.S.; Eizirik, D.L. Pancreatic Beta Cell Survival and
Signaling Pathways: Effects of Type 1 Diabetes-Associated Genetic Variants. In
Methods in Molecular Biology; Humana Press: New York, NY, USA, 2016; Vol.
1433, pp. 21–54.
68. Dos Santos, R.S.; Daures, M.; Philippi, A.; Romero, S.; Marselli, L.; Marchetti, P.;
Senée, V.; Bacq, D.; Besse, C.; Baz, B.; et al. DUTPase (DUT) Is Mutated in a Novel
Monogenic Syndrome with Diabetes and Bone Marrow Failure. *Diabetes* **2017**, *66*,
1086–1093, doi:10.2337/db16-0839.

Figure 1. α-cell viability upon MDC exposure. (A-F) αTC1-9 cells were treated with vehicle (DMSO) or different doses of BPA (A), TBT (B), PFOA (C), TPP (D), TCS (E), or DDE (F) for 48 h. A cocktail of the cytokines IL-1β + IFNγ (50 and 1000 U/ml, respectively) was used as a positive control. Cell viability was evaluated by MTT assay. (G-I) αTC1-9 cells were treated with vehicle (DMSO) or different doses of BPA (G), TBT (H), PFOA, TPP, TCS, or DDE (I) for 24 h. Apoptosis was evaluated using HO and PI staining. Data are shown as means ± SEM (n = 4–5 independent experiments, where each dot represents an independent experiment). * $p \leq 0.05$, ** $p \leq 0.01$ and *** $p \leq 0.001$ vs. Vehicle. MDCs vs. Vehicle by one-way ANOVA; Cytokines vs. Vehicle by two-tailed Student's t test.

Figure 2. Estrogen receptors and PPARγ are implicated in BPA- and TBT-induced α-cell apoptosis, respectively. (A) αTC1-9 cells were treated with vehicle (DMSO) or BPA (10 pM or 1 nM) in the absence or presence of 1 μM ICI 182,780 for 24 h. (B) αTC1-9 cells were treated with vehicle (DMSO) or TBT (20 nM or 200 nM) in the absence or presence of 100 nM T0070907 for 24 h. Apoptosis was evaluated using HO and PI staining. Data are shown as means ± SEM (n = 4 independent experiments, where each dot represents an independent experiment). ** $p \leq 0.01$ and *** $p \leq 0.001$ vs. its respective Vehicle; ## $p \leq 0.01$ and ### $p \leq 0.001$ as indicated by bars. Two-way ANOVA.

Figure 3. ROS production upon MDC exposure. αTC1-9 cells were treated with

vehicle (DMSO) or different doses of BPA (A), TBT (B), PFOA (C), TPP (D), TCS (E),

or DDE (F) for 24 h. Menadione (15 μM for 90 minutes) was used as a positive control.

Generation of ROS was assessed by oxidation of the fluorescent probe DCF and

normalized by total protein. Data are shown as means ± SEM (n = 3–4 independent

experiments, where each dot represents an independent experiment). * p ≤ 0.05 and ** p

≤ 0.01 vs. Vehicle. MDCs vs. Vehicle by one-way ANOVA; Menadione vs. Vehicle by

two-tailed Student's t test.

Figure 4. Expression of ER stress markers upon MDC exposure. αTC1-9 cells were treated with vehicle (DMSO) or different doses of BPA (A-C), TBT (D-F), PFOA, TPP, TCS, or DDE (G-I) for 24 h. Protein expression was measured by western blot. Representative images of three independent experiments are shown (A,D,G) and densitometry results are presented for BiP (B,E,H) and p-eIF2α (C,F,I). Data are shown as means ± SEM (n = 3-4 independent experiments, where each dot represents an independent experiment). ** $p \leq 0.01$ vs. Vehicle. MDCs vs. Vehicle by one-way ANOVA.

Figure 5. Glucagon secretion upon MDC exposure. αTC1-9 cells were treated with vehicle (DMSO) or different doses of BPA (A), TBT (B), PFOA (C), TPP (D), TCS (E), or DDE (F) for 48 h. Glucagon secretion was measured at 0.5 and 11 mM glucose, and glucagon released into the medium was measured by ELISA. Data are normalized to glucagon secretion at low glucose (i.e., 0.5 mM) in vehicle-treated cells. Data are shown as means ± SEM (n = 4 independent experiments, where each dot represents an independent experiment). * $p \leq 0.05$, ** $p \leq 0.01$ and *** $p \leq 0.001$ vs. its respective Vehicle. Two-way ANOVA.