1   Manuscript JB00440-22, revised

Gac is a transcriptional repressor of the Lyme disease spirochete's

OspC virulence-associated surface protein

Tatiana N. Castro-Padovani [1, §], Timothy C. Saylor[1, §], Olivia T. Husted[1, § ,†],

Andrew C. Krusenstjerna[1, §], Nerina Jusufovic[1, §], and Brian Stevenson [1,2, *]

1. Department of Microbiology, Immunology, and Molecular Genetics, University of

Kentucky College of Medicine, Lexington, Kentucky

2. Department of Entomology, University of Kentucky College of Agriculture, Food,

and Ecology, Lexington, Kentucky

§ T.N. Castro-Padovani, T.C. Saylor, O.T. Husted, A.C. Krusenstjerna, and N. Jusufovic

contributed equally to this work, and are to be considered equal co-first authors

* For correspondence:

Brian Stevenson, MS421 Medical Center, Department of Microbiology, Immunology,

and Molecular Genetics, University of Kentucky College of Medicine, Lexington,

Kentucky, USA, 40536-0298

22 † Current address for O.T. Husted: Venture Laboratories, Lexington, KY

Abstract

The OspC outer-surface lipoprotein is essential for the Lyme disease spirochete's initial

phase of vertebrate infection. Bacteria within the midguts of unfed ticks do not express

OspC, but produce high levels when ticks begin to ingest blood. Lyme disease

spirochetes cease production of OspC within 1-2 weeks of vertebrate infection, and

bacteria that fail to downregulate OspC are cleared by host antibodies. Thus, tight

regulation of OspC levels is critical for survival of Lyme borreliae, and therefore an

attractive target for development of novel treatment strategies. Previous studies

determined that a DNA region 5′ of the *ospC* promoter, the *ospC* operator, is required

for control of OspC production. Hypothesizing that the *ospC* operator may bind a

regulatory factor, DNA affinity pulldown was performed, and identified binding by the

Gac protein. Gac is encoded by the C-terminal domain of the *gyrA* open reading frame,

from an internal promoter, ribosome-binding site, and initiation codon. Our analyses

determined that Gac exhibits a greater affinity for *ospC* operator and promoter DNAs

than for other tested borrelial sequences. In vitro and in vivo analyses demonstrated

that Gac is a transcriptional repressor of *ospC*. These results constitute a substantial

advance to our understanding the mechanisms by which the Lyme disease spirochete

controls production of OspC.

Importance

Borrelia burgdorferi (sensu lato) requires its surface-exposed OspC protein in order to

establish infection of humans and other vertebrate hosts. Bacteria that either do not

produce OspC during transmission, or fail to repress OspC after infection is established,

are rapidly cleared by the host. Herein, we identified a borrelial protein, Gac, that

exhibits preferential affinity to the *ospC* promoter and 5' adjacent DNA. A combination

of biochemical analyses and investigations of genetically-manipulated bacteria

demonstrated that Gac is a transcriptional repressor of *ospC*. This is a substantial

advance toward understanding how the Lyme disease spirochete controls production of

the essential OspC virulence factor, and identifies a novel target for preventative and

curative therapies.

Introduction

The Lyme disease spirochete, *Borrelia burgdorferi* sensu lato (*B. burgdorferi* sl),

requires its OspC protein during the initial stages of vertebrate infection, and mutant

bacteria that cannot produce this outer surface-exposed lipoprotein are unable to

initiate infection of vertebrates (1-5). Production of OspC is tightly regulated. *B.*

burgdorferi sl within the midguts of unfed ticks do not express OspC (6, 7). As ticks

begin to feed on host blood, spirochetes initiate production of OspC, with the large

majority producing detectable quantities of OspC at the time of transfer from tick to

vertebrate (6, 8-11). The essential function(s) of OspC is not conclusively known - it can

bind a tick salivary protein and vertebrate plasminogen and complement C4b - but may

have additional functions (12-22). Infected humans and other animals mount strong

antibody responses against OspC within days of infection (23-26). At the same time, *B.*

burgdorferi sl represses expression of OspC, and spirochetes that do not shut off OspC

synthesis are cleared by immunocompetent hosts (2, 3, 5, 27-31). Thus, regulation of

OspC may be an excellent target for novel therapeutics to prevent and/or clear *B.*

burgdorferi infections.

OspC was the first *B. burgdorferi* sl protein to be identified as being regulated

during the natural tick-vertebrate infectious cycle (6). As noted above, OspC is not

produced in unfed ticks, but is highly expressed in feeding ticks and during the earliest

stages of vertebrate infection (6, 8). Regulated production can also be manipulated in

culture, with bacteria grown at 23˚C, pH=7.5, or culture media that yield slow

replication rates, producing low to no OspC, while the protein is highly expressed

following transfer from those conditions to 35˚C, pH=6.5, or complete culture media,

respectively (6, 32-34). It is not yet known how those culture conditions are interpreted

by *B. burgdorferi* sl to modulate OspC levels, but they provide convenient means to

evaluate borrelial regulatory mechanisms.

An alternative sigma factor, RpoS, is also affected by the above-described

infection and culture conditions (34-37). RpoS controls production of numerous *B.*

burgdorferi sl proteins that are required for vertebrate infection (36, 38, 39). Deletion of

rpoS blocks induction of OspC, which has led to a hypothesis that expression of *ospC* is

solely due to RpoS-RNA polymerase holoenzyme directly transcribing from the *ospC*

promoter (36, 37, 40, 41). Yet, there is evidence that transcriptional control of *ospC* is

more complicated than that model assumes. Previous studies found that a region of

DNA 5' of the *ospC* transcriptional start site, designated the *ospC* operator, is necessary

for induction of *ospC* transcription (42-44).

B. burgdorferi sl has been divided into several clades that are often referred to as

genospecies, which include *B. burgdorferi* sensu stricto (*B. burgdorferi* ss) and *B. garinii*.

An earlier study noted that the *ospC* operator of *B. burgdorferi* ss type strain B31 includes

a pair of inverted repeat sequences (Fig. 1) (44, 45). Yet, those inverted repeats are found

in only a subset of Lyme disease borreliae (46). However, the *ospC* operators of all

examined Lyme spirochetes contain two or more copies of a conserved 11bp directly-

repeating sequence (Fig. 1 and (46)). *B. burgdorferi* ss B31 contains two copies of the 11bp

repeat, which overlap the previously discussed inverted repeats (Fig. 1 and (44, 46)). In

contrast, the *ospC* operators of some strains of the *B. garinii* genospecies contain seven

adjacent copies of the 11bp repeat (Fig. 1). All *ospC* promoters also contain a copy of the

11bp repeat, oriented in the opposite direction (Fig. 1). The retention of the 11bp repeat

suggested to us that it may be a key component of *ospC* regulation, with sequence

conservation pointing toward it being a binding site for a regulatory protein. To address

that hypothesis, we performed DNA-binding studies with borrelial cytoplasmic extract,

identified a component protein that has affinity for the *ospC* operator, and identified a

functional role for that protein as a transcriptional repressor of *ospC*.

Results

Identification of Gac as an *ospC* operator-binding protein. As a first step, an

infectious clone of the *B. burgdorferi* ss type strain, B31-MI-16, was cultured to mid-

exponential phase at 35˚C. That condition was adopted based on our previous

characterization of the *B. burgdorferi erp* operons, whose expression is also responsive to

culture temperature, in which we found that the *erp* repressing and activating proteins

were all adequately expressed when cultured at 35˚C (47-49).

A fluorescently-tagged DNA sequence corresponding to the *ospC* operator region

of *B. garinii* Ip89 was incubated with the B31-MI-16 cytoplasmic extract, then subjected

to electrophoretic mobility shift electrophoresis (EMSA). The strain Ip89 sequence was

used for these analyses under the hypothesis that a protein that binds to the 11bp

repeated sequence would have an overall greater affinity for the 7 direct repeats of Ip89

as opposed to the smaller number of separated repeats of B31 (Fig. 1). Addition of the

cytoplasmic extract resulted in retarded mobility of the labeled DNA probe, indicating

that a borrelial component bound to the DNA (Fig. 2A).

Ip89 *ospC* operator DNA was again PCR amplified, but with one of the

oligonucleotide primers containing a 5′ biotin moiety. That DNA was adhered to

streptavidin-coated magnetic beads, incubated with B31 cytoplasmic extract, washed

extensively, then bound proteins eluted with buffers having progressively greater

concentrations of NaCl. Aliquots of each eluted fraction were subjected to SDS-PAGE

and proteins stained with SYPRO-Ruby. A protein band with an approximate molecular

mass of 30-35 kDa eluted at 0.3 and 0.5 M NaCl (Fig. 2B). The bands were excised from

the gel, subjected to trypsin digestion and mass spectrometry, and results compared to

the predicted proteome of strain B31. Only one potential match was obtained, to the

GyrA subunit of DNA gyrase, with 9 unique peptides identified. Notably, all of the

identified peptide fragments mapped to the C-terminal half of GyrA (Fig. 2C).

Bacterial DNA gyrases are comprised of two subunits, GyrA and GyrB (50).

GyrA proteins possess a unique C-terminal domain that bends DNA to facilitate the

topoisomerase activity of the gyrase (51, 52). Curiously, Lyme disease borreliae produce

two separate proteins from the *gyrA* locus, a full length GyrA protein and a second, 35

kDa protein named Gac, which is transcribed from an internal promoter and consists

solely of the C-terminal DNA-binding domain (52-54) (Fig. 2D, and Fig. S1). We

pursued the possibility that Gac preferentially binds to *ospC* operator DNA and thereby

affects production of OspC.

Gac exhibits affinity for the *ospC* operator and promoter. To address the

question of Gac affinity, labeled DNA probes were constructed that consisted of either

the strain Ip89 or B31 *ospC* operators (Fig. 1). Additional unlabeled DNAs were

produced for use as EMSA competitors: each of the *ospC* operators, truncated segments

of the B31 *ospC* operator, and DNA sequences corresponding to the 5′ ends of the

borrelial *flaB* and *ospAB* operons. The Ip89 *ospC* operator probe and competitor were

derived by PCR from plasmid pCR2.1 clones, using plasmid-specific M13 Reverse and

Forward primers, so an additional unlabeled competitor was produced by PCR of

empty pCR2.1, to account for vector-derived DNA sequences.

EMSAs of the Ip89 *ospC* operator probe and recombinant Gac found that the

protein bound to the labeled probe (Fig 3A, lane 2). Densitometric analyses of EMSAs

revealed that Gac exhibits differences in binding affinity to the tested sequences.

Addition of 10-times excess of unlabeled Ip89 *ospC* operator resulted in a two-fold

reduction in the DNA-Gac EMSA band, while 25-fold excess of unlabeled Ip89 *ospC*

operator resulted in greater than an eight-fold reduction in binding and eliminated

detectable levels of DNA-protein complexes (Fig. 3A, lanes 3 and 4, respectively).

Addition of 25-times excess unlabeled B31 *ospC* operator had little effect on the Ip89

operator-Gac complex, indicating a greater affinity of Gac for the Ip89 operator than for

the B31 operator (Fig 3A, lane 5). Note that the primary difference between the Ip89 and

B31 DNAs is the number of 11bp repeat sequences in their *ospC* operators. Inclusion of

25-fold excesses of either empty pCR2.1 amplicon, *flaB*, or *ospAB* unlabeled DNAs also

did not compete Gac away from the labeled probe (Fig 3A, lanes 6, 7, and 8,

respectively). Thus, Gac displays greater affinity for the Ip89 *ospC* operator than it does

for any of the other tested sequences.

Similar EMSAs and densitometric analyses were performed with labeled B31

ospC operator as probe. Unlabeled B31 *ospC* operator DNA effectively competed Gac

away from the labeled probe (Fig 3B, lanes 3-4). Addition of a 10-fold excess of

unlabeled B31 *ospC* operator competitor was sufficient to reduce binding of Gac by 2.6-

fold, while a 25-fold excess of that competitor completely diminished the shift. Inclusion

of 10-fold excesses of the empty pCR2.1 amplicon, *flaB*, or *ospAB* unlabeled DNAs

exhibited lower levels of competition for Gac binding with none resulting in a fold

change of greater than 1.3-times (Fig 3B, lanes 5, 6, and 7, respectively). However, 25-

fold excess of each of those unlabeled DNAs competed Gac away from the labeled B31

ospC labeled probe (Supplemental Figure S1). These results indicate that Gac binds to

the B31 *ospC* operator with a greater affinity than to the *flaB, ospAB* or pCR2.1

competitor sequences, but has a lower affinity for the B31 *ospC* operator than for the

Ip89 *ospC* operator.

Using labeled *ospC* operator from strain B31 as the EMSA probe, truncated

segments of that DNA region were used as competitors to narrow down the sequences

that bound Gac (Fig 4). As above, 10- and 25-fold excesses of unlabeled probe DNA

competed Gac away from the B31 *ospC* operator (Fig 4, lanes 3 and 4). No fragment

competed as well as the unlabeled probe, although some competed more efficiently

than others (Fig. 4, lanes 5-11). Notably, competitor D was more effective that the

similarly-sized competitor C, indicating that Gac-binding preferentially involves

nucleotides present in competitor D but absent from competitor C. The smaller

unlabeled DNAs did not compete as well as the longer DNAs, suggesting that Gac

interacts with extended and/or separate sequences in the B31 *ospC* 5′ region. Binding of

Gac to DNA has been demonstrated to involve direct interactions with 40-50bp and

additional associations with neighboring nucleotides (52, 55-57).

Altered *ospC* expression in *gac* mutant *B. burgdorferi*. To assess the effects of

Gac in live *B. burgdorferi*, *gac* mutant strain CKO-1, strain CKO-1(pBLS820) (CKO-1

complemented with wild-type *gac*), and strain NGR (an isogenic wild-type *gac* clone)

were cultured to mid-exponential phase at 23 ˚C, then diluted into fresh media and

incubated to mid-exponential phase at 35 ˚C (6, 32). Immunoblot analyses of lysates of

the 23 and 35˚C cultures using OspC-specific antibodies found that all three strains

exhibited differential expression of OspC protein, with greater amounts of OspC being

synthesized at 35 ˚C (Fig. 5). However, Gac-deficient strain CKO-1 produced 6.4 to 23-

times more OspC than did NGR at 23˚C, consistent with Gac being a repressor of OspC

synthesis. Levels of OspC in those two strains were very similar at 35˚C, ranging

between 0.92 to 1.4 times more OspC in CKO-1 than in NGR. Complementation of

CKO-1 with a wild-type *gac* gene on pBLS820 reduced OspC levels by 1.6 to 6.9-times at

23˚C, and by 1.2 to 4.3-times at 35˚C. These results suggest that relief of Gac repression

is a major contributor to the induction of OspC.

B. burgdorferi strains NGR and CKO-1 were next transformed with plasmids that

carry transcriptional fusions between B31 *ospC* operator/promoter sequences and *gfp*.

Plasmid pBLS761 carries the same operator/promoter sequence that was used as the

EMSA probe in the above-described studies, while pBLS764 lacks the DNA 5′ of the

ospC promoter. Each transformant was cultured at 35˚C to mid-exponential phase, then

bacterial GFP content was assessed by flow cytometry (Fig. 6). CKO-1(pBLS761)

produced substantially greater levels of GFP than did NGR(pBLS761), consistent with

the observed levels of OspC protein and transcript described above.

NGR(pBLS764), which lacks the *ospC* operator, did not produce GFP (Fig. 6). In

contrast, CKO-1(pBLS764) produced GFP at levels comparable to CKO-1(pBLS761). We

conclude that absence of Gac relieves transcriptional repression of the *ospC* promoter,

and that the *ospC* operator is also required for efficient transcription from the *ospC*

promoter if Gac is present. Those results suggest that one or more additional factors

interact with *ospC* operator DNA to inhibit Gac binding to the *ospC* promoter. Studies

are ongoing to examine that hypothesis.

***B. burgdorferi* regulates transcription of *gac*.** Since *ospC* is induced in *B.*

burgdorferi cultured at 35°C, we assessed *ospC* and *gyrA/gac* transcript levels over time in

cultures of wild-type *B. burgdorferi* (Fig. 7). Levels of *ospC* transcript increased as the

cultures grew through exponential into stationary phase. The two *gyrA/gac* primer sets

yielded different Q-RT-PCR results for each pair. Since the 5′ primers detected mRNA

that only encoded *gyrA*, whereas the 3′ primers detected both *gyrA* and *gac* mRNAs, the

relative concentration of *gac* mRNA was approximated by subtracting the results of 5′

Q-RT-PCR from results with the 3′ primer set. The concentration of Gac-encoding

transcript was greatest during lag and early exponential phases, then declined as the

cultures matured and entered stationary phase. Those changes were opposite of *ospC*,

and are consistent with the above-described results that indicate Gac is a repressor of

ospC.

Gac exhibits affinity for the telomere of *B. burgdorferi* B31 plasmid lp17.

Borrelia species contain both circular and linear DNAs, and there is considerable interest

in the mechanisms by which the spirochetes maintain linear replicons (58-60). The Gac

protein was initially identified through an affinity pull-down method similar to ours,

using a bait sequence derived from a telomere of strain B31's linear plasmid lp17 (53).

Having found that Gac preferentially binds to *ospC* 5' DNAs, we revisited the previous

study. A labeled DNA probe with the same telomere-derived sequence was produced,

then assessed by EMSA for ability to bind Gac in the presence of excess levels of various

unlabeled DNAs. Recombinant Gac produced an EMSA shift with the labeled telomere

probe, which was effectively competed away with 10-fold and 25-fold excesses of

unlabeled telomere sequence (Fig. 8, lanes 2-4). Ten-fold excesses of unlabeled Ip89 or

B31 *ospC* operator DNAs also competed Gac away from the labeled telomere DNA (Fig.

8, lanes 6 and 7, respectively). Unlabeled empty pCR2.1 amplicon partly competed for

Gac binding, while neither the *flaB* nor the *ospAB* DNAs detectably competed (Fig. 8,

lanes 5, 8, and 9, respectively). We conclude that Gac exhibits an affinity for the lp17

telomere region that is greater than for the control *ospAB* or *flaB* DNA sequences.

Discussion

These studies found that Gac exhibits preferential affinity for *ospC* promoter and

operator DNA, and inhibits transcription of *ospC*. Studies in wild-type and Gac-

deficient mutant bacteria found that absence of Gac resulted in substantially increased

expression levels of both native OspC and *ospC* promoter :: *gfp* transcriptional fusions.

Those results indicate that Gac is a major contributor to repression of OspC.

Deletion of the *ospC* operator did not alleviate Gac-dependent repression of

transcription from the *ospC* promoter, suggesting that Gac binding to the promoter itself

is sufficient to repress transcription. Additionally, the *ospC* operator is evidently

necessary for relief of Gac-dependent transcriptional repression, mirroring results from

previous studies that also found that the operator is essential for regulation of *ospC*

transcription (42-44). Thus, it appears that one or more unknown factors interact with

the *ospC* operator and somehow prevents repression by Gac (Fig. 9). On the hypothesis

that Gac in wild-type *B. burgdorferi* cytoplasmic extracts might have outcompeted the

predicted "factor X" for binding to the *ospC* operator/promoter probe used in the initial

DNA affinity pull-downs, we recently performed similar analyses using extract from

Gac-deficient mutant CKO-1. Salt fractionation and SDS-PAGE revealed two proteins

with sizes different from Gac (our unpublished results). We are currently examining

whether these might be the hypothesized "factor X" anti-repressor.

An earlier study found that inhibition of *B. burgdorferi* DNA gyrase function by

addition of coumermycin resulted in increased production of OspC protein (44).

Considering the effect of Gac on *ospC* expression, it will be important to determine

whether the effect of coumermycin is due to changes in DNA topology at the *ospC*

operon, changes in levels of Gac or other regulatory proteins, or a combination of those

and, perhaps, other factors.

Our studies found that Gac has elevated affinity for three sites in the *B.*

burgdorferi genome – the *ospC* operator, the *ospC* promoter, and the telomere of lp17 –

yet can also possesses lesser affinity for other DNA sequences. Gac wraps DNA around

itself, forming 180-220˚ bends (52). Gac complements some features of the *E. coli*

nucleoid-associate protein HU when expressed in that species (53, 54). Taken together,

these data suggest that Gac may be a nucleoid-associated protein ("histone-like

protein"). It is not uncommon for nucleoid-associated proteins to also influence

transcription (61-63). Indeed, the antirepressor of *B. burgdorferi erp* operon transcription,

EbfC, meets the criteria of being an NAP (64).

The ability of Gac to preferentially bind to certain DNA sequences raises the

possibility that GyrA, alone or as part of DNA gyrase, might also have a preference for

these sequences. We are currently investigating this possibility, as it could affect gene

expression and aspects of DNA supercoiling .

At this time, it is not known whether production of a separate Gac protein from

the 3′ end of the *gyrA* ORF is unique to *B. burgdorferi*. The possibility that *gyrA* can

encode two distinct proteins has never been addressed for the vast majority of other

bacterial species. It would be worth examining other *gyrA* genes for presence of

potential ribosome binding sites and start codons near the beginning of the C-terminal

domain.

In conclusion, our investigations found that *B. burgdorferi* Gac has elevated

affinity for *ospC* operator/promoter DNAs. Gac repressed *ospC* transcription in vitro

and in live spirochetes. Levels of *ospC* and *gac* transcripts changed in opposite directions

during cultivation, consistent with *ospC* repression by Gac. Analyses of mutants

indicate that the *ospC* operator is required to alleviate Gac repression of the *ospC*

promoter, implying that one or more additional factors interact with the *ospC* operator

to prevent repression by Gac. The Gac protein also bound with elevated affinity to an

lp17 telomere, suggesting that it might play a role in linear DNA maintenance. Our

observations, and those of others (52, 53), indicate that Gac functions like a nucleoid

associated protein that exerts effects on gene regulation and genomic structure.

Materials and Methods

Bacteria, plasmids, and culture conditions. *B. burgdorferi* sensu stricto strain B31

is the type strain, and was isolated from an *Ixodes scapularis* tick that was collected on

Shelter Island, New York (65, 66). Infectious clone B31-MI-16 was used to prepare

cytoplasmic extracts for identification of *ospC* operator-binding proteins (67). *B. garinii*

strain Ip89 was isolated from an *I. persulcatus* tick that was collected in central Eurasia

(68, 69).

Borrelia spp. strains were cultured in BSK-II broth at either 23 or 35˚C (70).

Temperature shift cultivation was performed by diluting a 23˚C culture 1:100 into fresh

medium, then incubating at 35˚C (32). Culture densities were determined with a Petroff-

Hausser counting chamber and dark field microscopy.

Analyses of the effects of Gac on OspC expression used a Δ*gac* clone of strain

B31, CKO-1, and an isogenic control strain, NGR (54). The overlap of the *gac* ORF with

the essential *gyrA* ORF precludes outright deletion of the *gac* locus. For that reason,

Knight et al. (54) produced *gac* defective strain CKO-1 by an allelic exchange mutation

that changed the two potential *gac* start codons from ATG to CTT and ATT (leucine and

isoleucine, respectively) and the ribosome binding site from AGGAGG to AGAAGA.

The mutant does not produce a Gac protein, although it does produce normal levels of

GyrA (54). The *gac* mutations were selected by simultaneous introduction of point

mutations into the adjacent *gyrB* gene, which confer resistance to coumermycin (54, 71).

The CKO-1 *gac* mutant exhibits growth patterns that are physiologically

indistinguishable from the wild-type parent or from NGR, an isogenic coumermycin-

resistant *gyrB* strain (54).

Plasmid pBLS820 was custom produced by GenScript (Piscataway, NJ) by

cloning the *B. burgdorferi gac* open reading frame, ribosome-binding site, and promoter

into vector pBSV2-G (Supplemental Fig. S2) (72). *B. burgdorferi* CKO-1 was transformed

by electroporation, and transformants were selected by culture in 150 µg/ml

gentamicin.

Plasmid pBLS761 contains the B31 *ospC* promoter and operator in a

transcriptional fusion with *gfp*. Plasmid pBLS764 is identical to pBLS761 except that it

lacks the *ospC* operator. Both are based on pBLS740, which was produced for this work

by cloning the *gfp* gene of pBLS590 between the BamHI and HindIII sites of vector

pKFSS1 (73, 74). The *ospC*-derived DNAs used to make pBLS761 and pBLS764 were

inserted between the KpnI and BamHI sites of pBLS740, custom synthesized by

GenScript. *B. burgdorferi* strains NGR and CKO-1 were individually transformed with

plasmids pBLS761 and pBLS764, to produce strains NGR(pBLS761), NGR(pBLS764,

CKO-1(pBLS761), and CKO-1(pBLS764). Two of our previously-described *B. burgdorferi*

strains were used as GFP expression controls: KS10, which carries a promoterless *gfp* on

pBLS590, and KS20, which carries the constitutively-expressed minimal *erpAB* promoter

driving *gfp* on pBLS599 (73). Plasmids pBLS590 and pBLS599 confer resistance to

kanamycin. *B. burgdorferi* containing pBLS590 or pBLS599 were cultured in media that

contained 200 µg/ml kanamycin, while spirochetes that contain pBLS761 or pBLS764

were cultured in media with 50 µg/ml streptomycin (73-76).

Cytoplasmic extracts for EMSA. *B. burgdorferi* ss B31-MI-16 was cultured at 35°C

to mid-exponential phase (approximately $5x10^7$ bacteria/ml). Bacteria were harvested

by centrifugation, washed with phosphate buffered saline (PBS), and resuspended in a

minimal volume of 50mM Tris (pH=7.6), 10mM EDTA, 10% (w/vol) sucrose. The

suspension was frozen in a dry ice/ ethanol bath, then allowed to thaw in an ice-water

bath. NaCl, dithiothreitol, and lysozyme were added to final concentrations of 140 mM,

1 mM, and 0.4 mg/ml, respectively, and incubated on ice for 5 min. The cell mixture

was subjected to four additional freeze-thaw cycles. Cellular debris was pelleted by

centrifugation, and the supernatant was aliquoted and stored at -80°C (77).

Electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSAs). Labeled and unlabeled nucleic

acids are described in Supplementary Table 1. Fluorescently tagged IRDye800 labeled

probes were utilized for EMSAs. For each EMSA binding reaction, a labeled probe was

incubated for 15 min at room temperature with protein and unlabeled competitor DNA

as necessary, in EMSA buffer (50mM Tris-HCl, 25mMKCl, 10% glycerol (vol/vol),

0.01% Tween 20, 100nM dithiothreitol, and 1mM phenylmethanesulfonyl fluoride

(PMSF). Protein-nucleic acid mixtures were then loaded into either 6% or 10% Novex

TBE gels (Thermo Fisher). Gels were pre-run for at least 30 min at 100V in 0.5x TBE

buffer before sample loading. Following the addition of the samples, electrophoresis

was conducted for up to 1.5 h at 100V.

Initially, a fragment of *B. garinii* Ip89 DNA, from 5′ of the *ospC* operator through

the *ospC* promoter, was amplified from genomic DNA by PCR with oligonucleotide

primers 1021 and 1022 (Supplementary Table 1). The resulting amplicon was cloned

into pCR2.1 (Invitrogen) to create pBLS756. Fluorescently-tagged IP89 *ospC* operator

was produced by PCR of pBLS756 using IRDye800-tagged M13-Reverse and unlabeled

M13-Forward primers (Supplementary Table 1). The probe was incubated with cleared

borrelial cytoplasmic extract in EMSA buffer for 15 min at room temperature with 10ng

poly-dI-dC, then subjected to electrophoresis. These exploratory EMSAs were

performed twice.

Other EMSAs used purified recombinant Gac protein, labeled *ospC*

operator/promoter probes, and unlabeled DNA competitors. Unless noted otherwise,

all EMSAs were performed a minimum of three times.

In addition, a sub-telomeric sequence of strain B31 lp17 was amplified from total

genomic DNA by PCR with oligonucleotides TL16h and TL16g as previously described

(53). The resulting PCR product was cloned into pCR2.1 (Invitrogen) and maintained in

E. coli TOP-10. The amplicon was completely sequenced on both strands to ensure

fidelity. Fluorescently tagged telomere probe was produced by PCR using IRDye800

tagged M13-Reverse and unlabeled M13-Forward primers.

Densitometric analyses of EMSAs was performed using Image Lab 6.1 (Bio-Rad)

software. Lanes and bands were added manually and subsequently analyzed to

generate the lane percentage totals for each band in a lane. Protein-DNA shifts were

considered as the entire region above the free DNA in each lane. The free DNA was

determined for each lane by analyzing the band percentage values. For each EMSA, the

free DNA percentage values for all lanes were normalized to the probe-only control

band percentage value. This set the free DNA percentage of the probe-only control as

equal 100%. The normalized free DNA values were then subtracted from 100% to

calculate the percent shifted DNA in each lane. Fold changes in DNA binding of EMSA

competition assays were calculated by dividing the percent shifted in competition lanes

by the percent shifted of the protein-probe only lane.

DNA affinity pull-down. Proteins that bind *ospC* operator DNA were purified

from B31-MI-16 cytoplasmic extracts as previously described (78). Briefly, bacteria of a

mid-exponential phase (approximately 5×10^{7} bacteria/ml) culture were harvested,

washed, and frozen at -80˚C. Bacterial pellets were then thawed on ice, and subjected to

three additional rounds of freezing at -80˚C / thawing on ice. Bacterial lysates were

resuspended in a minimal volume of BS/THES buffer (44.3% THES buffer, 20% BS

buffer, 35.7% water). THES Buffer consists of 50mM Tri HCl (pH 7.5), 10mM EDTA,

20% sucrose (w/vol), 140mM NaCl, 0.7% (vol/vol) Protease Inhibitor Cocktail II

(Sigma), and 0.1% (vol/vol) Phosphatase Inhibitor Cocktail II (Sigma). BS buffer

consists of 50mM HEPES (pH=7.5), 25mM $CaCl_2$, 250mM KCl, and 60% (vol/vol)

glycerol. Suspended bacteria were lysed by sonication, on ice. Cellular debris was

pelleted by centrifugation, and the supernatant was divided into aliquots.

Recombinant plasmid pBLS756, which contains the *B. garinii* Ip89 *ospC*

operator/promoter sequence, was used as template to produce a biotin-tagged

amplicon by PCR with biotin-tagged M13-Reverse and unlabeled M13-Forward primers

(Supplementary Table 1). The probe was incubated with cleared borrelial cytoplasmic

extract in EMSA buffer for 15 min at room temperature with 10ng poly-dI-dC, then

subjected to electrophoresis. The bait DNA was bound to streptavidin Dynal beads

(Invitrogen), then washed with BS/THES buffer. Cytoplasmic extracts were incubated

with the DNA-bound beads, and washed extensively with BS/THES. Bound proteins

were eluted by washing with buffer containing increasing NaCl concentrations to 0.2,

0.3, 0.5, 0.75, and 1.0 M. Fractions were analyzed by SDS-PAGE, and proteins were

stained with SYPRO-Ruby (Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR). A protein band that eluted

at 0.3 and 0.5 M NaCl was cut out. The samples were treated with trypsin and assayed

by LC-MS-MS at the University of Kentucky Proteomics Core. Results were compared

with the *B. burgdorferi* strain B31-MI sequence (59, 60) using Mascot (Matrix Science,

Boston).

Recombinant Gac protein. The B31 *gac* ORF was cloned into pET101, then

sequenced to confirm fidelity. C-terminally His-tagged Gac was expressed in *E. coli*

Rosetta II upon induction with 1 mM of isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG)

for 1 hour at 37°C. Bacteria were collected by centrifugation, and the pellet was frozen

at -80°C. The bacterial pellet was then thawed on ice, resuspended in phosphate

buffered saline (PBS) containing 10 mM imidazole, and bacteria lysed by sonication.

The sonicate was subjected to centrifugation, then recombinant protein purified from

the soluble fraction using HisPur Ni-NTA columns (Thermo Scientific). Purified

proteins were dialyzed against EMSA buffer. Protein concentrations were determined

by Bradford assay and purity assessed by SDS-PAGE with Coomassie brilliant blue

staining.

Immunoblot analyses. Cultures of strains NGR, CKO-1, and CKO-1(pBLS820)

were harvested at mid-exponential phase by centrifugation, then split into aliquots for

immunoblot assays and RNA extraction. Pellets for immunoblot assays were frozen at -

80°C, subsequently thawed at room temperature, then resuspended in 10% SDS, boiled

for 5-minutes, and vigorously vortexed. The resulting lysates were stored at 4°C. Prior

to electrophoresis, samples were preheated to 42° before adding to 2x sodium dodecyl

sulfate (SDS)-page loading dye (63mM Tris pH7.5, 18.75% [mass/vol] glycerol, 10%

[vol/vol] 2-mercaptoethanol, 4% [mass/vol] SDS, and .5% [mass/vol] bromophenol

blue). Separation by SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis was followed by

electrotransfer to nitrocellulose membranes and blocking with 5% nonfat dried milk in

Tris-buffered saline-Tween-20 (20 mM Tris [pH 7.5], 150 mM NaCl, 0.05% [vol/vol]

Tween-20). The membranes were then incubated with murine monoclonal antibodies

directed against FlaB or OspC (79, 80). After incubation with primary antibodies,

membranes were incubated with goat anti-mouse IgG conjugated to IRDye800 (LI-Cor).

Immunoblots were imaged with a ChemiDoc MP (Bio-Rad, CA) and densities analyzed

with background compensation using Image-Lab software (Bio-Rad). All immunoblots

were performed a minimum of three times.

Flow cytometric analysis of GFP expression. Transcriptional activity of *ospC*

promoter::*gfp* reporter constructs was assayed essentially as we previously described

(73). Briefly, cultures of s NGR(pBLS761), NGR(pBLS764, CKO-1(pBLS761), CKO-

1(pBLS764), KS10, and KS20 were grown to mid-exponential phase (approximately

$5x10^7$ bacteria per ml) in BSK-II broth at 35˚C. Bacteria were collected by centrifugation,

washed twice with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), then resuspended in PBS at

concentrations of approximately 10^6 bacteria per ml. Aliquots of each bacterial

suspension were analyzed using a FACSymphony flow cytometer (Becton Dickinson,

San Jose, Calif.), with excitation and detection wavelengths of 488 and 530 nm,

respectively. Approximately 100,000 events were measured for each flow cytometry

analysis.

Quantitative reverse transcription PCR (Q-RT-PCR). *B. burgdorferi* was cultured

at 35ºC, and 2 ml aliquots of bacteria were taken 1-, 3-, 5-, 7-, 9-, and 11-days post-

inoculation. Bacteria were pelleted, then washed two times with PBS and frozen at -

80ºC. Frozen pellets were later resuspended in 60ºC TRIzol (Thermo Fisher), and RNA

was extracted using Qiagen Mini RNA kits. Residual genomic DNA was depleted using

on-column Turbo DNase (Thermo Fisher). The quality (RIN > 7) and quantity of the

RNA were determined using an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer and Agilent 6000 Nano chips.

Purified RNA stocks were stored at -80°C.

Complementary DNA (cDNA) for qPCR was produced using the iScript gDNA

Clear cDNA synthesis kits (Bio-Rad). The cDNA stocks were diluted 1:100 into

nuclease-free water for use as template. Quantitative PCR was carried out using iTaq

Universal SYBR Green Supermix (Bio-Rad) and a Bio-Rad CFX96 Touch Real-time PCR

thermocycler. For all reactions, cycles involved melting at 95°C for 10s, annealing at

55°C for 10 s, and extension at 72°C for 30s. Each run was performed with technical

triplicates of each reaction as well as no-template control reactions that lacked template.

Melting curves were performed with each run to validate production of specific

amplicons. Every reaction was tested with a reverse transcriptase-negative template to

validate the absence of contaminating gDNA. Cq values were normalized to *ftsK*, a gene

whose expression is stable across different growth phases (81), using the ΔCq method,

and fold-difference was determined by the function $2^{-\Delta Cq}$ (82).

Acknowledgements

This work was funded by NIH grant 3R01AI144126-03S1. We thank Jessamyn Moore for

technical assistance and constructive comments; Scott Samuels for providing *B.*

burgdorferi strains NGR and CKO-1, and for helpful comments on these studies; Haining

Zhu and Jing Chen for assistance with mass spectrometric analyses; and Jennifer

Strange, Greg Bowman, and Cuiping Zhang for flow cytometry analyses. Figure 9 was

produced using BioRender.com.

References

1.   Grimm D, Tilly K, Byram R, Stewart PE, Krum JG, Bueschel DM, Schwan TG,

Policastro PF, Elias AF, Rosa PA. 2004. Outer-surface protein C of the Lyme

disease spirochete: a protein induced in ticks for infection of mammals. Proc Natl

Acad Sci USA 101:3142-3147.

2.   Stewart PE, Wang X, Bueschel DM, Clifton DR, Grimm D, Tilly K, Carroll JA,

Weis JJ, Rosa PA. 2006. Delineating the requirement for the *Borrelia burgdorferi*

virulence factor OspC in the mammalian host. Infect Immun 74:3547-3553.

3.   Tilly K, Krum JG, Bestor A, Jewett MW, Grimm D, Bueschel D, Byram R,

Dorward D, Vanraden MJ, Stewart P, Rosa P. 2006. *Borrelia burgdorferi* OspC

protein is required exclusively in a crucial early stage of mammalian infection.

Infect Immun 74:3554-3564.

4.   Tilly K, Bestor A, Jewett MW, Rosa P. 2007. Rapid clearance of Lyme disease

spirochetes lacking OspC from skin. Infect Immun 75:1517-1519.

5.   Tilly K, Bestor A, Rosa PA. 2013. Lipoprotein succession in *Borrelia burgdorferi*:

similar but distinct roles for OspC and VlsE at different stages of mammalian

infection. Mol Microbiol 89:216-227.

6.   Schwan TG, Piesman J, Golde WT, Dolan MC, Rosa PA. 1995. Induction of an

outer surface protein on *Borrelia burgdorferi* during tick feeding. Proc Natl Acad

Sci USA 92:2909-2913.

7.   Fingerle V, Hauser U, Liegl G, Petko B, Preac-Mursic V, Wilske B. 1995.

Expression of outer surface proteins A and C of *Borrelia burgdorferi* in *Ixodes*

ricinus. J Clin Microbiol 33:1867-1869.

8.   Schwan TG, Piesman J. 2000. Temporal changes in outer surface proteins A and

C of the Lyme disease-associated spirochete, *Borrelia burgdorferi*, during the chain

of infection in ticks and mice. J Clin Microbiol 38:382-388.

9.   Pal U, Yang X, Chen M, Bockenstedt LK, Anderson JF, Flavell RA, Norgard MV,

Fikrig E. 2004. OspC facilitates *Borrelia burgdorferi* invasion of *Ixodes scapularis*

salivary glands. J Clin Invest 113:220-230.

10.   Skare JT, Shaw DK, Trzeciakowski JP, Hyde JA. 2016. In vivo imaging

demonstrates that *Borrelia burgdorferi ospC* is uniquely expressed temporally and

spatially throughout experimental infection. PLoS ONE 11:e0162501.

11.   Fingerle V, Goettner G, Gern L, Wilske B, Schulte-Spechtel U. 2007.

Complementation of a *Borrelia afzelii* OspC mutant highlights the crucial role of

OspC for dissemination of *Borrelia afzelii* in *Ixodes ricinus*. Int J Med Microbiol

297:97-107.

12.   Ramamoorthi N, Narasimhan S, Pal U, Bao F, Yang XF, Fish D, Anguita J,

Norgard MV, Kantor FS, Anderson JF, Koski RA, Fikrig E. 2005. The Lyme

disease agent exploits a tick protein to infect the mammalian host. Nature

436:573-577.

13.   Lagal V, Portnoï D, Faure G, Postic D, Baranton G. 2006. *Borrelia burgdorferi* sensu

stricto invasiveness is correlated with OspC-plasminogen affinity. Microbes

Infect 8:645-652.

14.   Xu Q, McShan K, Liang FT. 2008. Essential protective role attributed to the

surface lipoproteins of *Borrelia burgdorferi* against innate defences. Mol Microbiol

69:15-29.

15.   Earnhart CG, Leblanc DV, Alix KE, Desrosiers DC, Radolf JD, Marconi RT. 2010.

Identification of residues within ligand-binding domain 1 (LBD1) of the *Borrelia*

burgdorferi OspC protein required for function in the mammalian environment.

Mol Microbiol 76:393-408.

16.   Antonara S, Ristow P, McCarthy J, Coburn J. 2010. Effect of *Borrelia burgdorferi*

OspC at the site of inoculation in mouse skin. Infect Immun 78:4723-4733.

17.   Önder Ö, Humphrey PT, McOmber B, Korobova F, Francella N, Greenbaum DC,

Brisson D. 2012. OspC is potent plasminogen receptor on surface of *Borrelia*

burgdorferi. J Biol Chem 287:16860-16868.

18. Caine JA, Coburn J. 2015. A short-term *Borrelia burgdorferi* infection model

identifies tissue tropisms and bloodstream survival conferred by adhesion

proteins. Infect Immun 83:3184-3194.

19. Carrasco SE, Troxell B, Yang Y, Brandt SL, Li H, Sandusky GE, Condon KW,

Serezani CH, Yang XF. 2015. Outer surface protein OspC is an antiphagocytic

factor that protects *Borrelia burgdorferi* from phagocytosis by macrophages. Infect

Immun 83:4848-4860.

20. Caine JA, Lin YP, Kessler JR, Sato H, Leong JM, Coburn J. 2017. *Borrelia*

burgdorferi outer surface protein C (OspC) binds complement component C4b

and confers bloodstream survival. Cell Microbiol 19:10.1111/cmi.12786.

21. Bierwagen P, Szpotkowski K, Jaskolski M, Urbanowicz A. 2019. *Borrelia* outer

surface protein C is capable of human fibrinogen binding. FEBS J 286:2415-2428.

22. Lin YP, Tan X, Caine JA, Castellanos M, Chaconas G, Coburn J, Leong JM. 2020.

Strain-specific joint invasion and colonization by Lyme disease spirochetes is

promoted by outer surface protein C. PLoS Pathog 16:e1008516.

23. Fuchs R, Jauris S, Lottspeich F, Preac-Mursic V, Wilske B, Soutschek E. 1992.

Molecular analysis and expression of a *Borrelia burgdorferi* gene encoding a 22kDa

protein (pC) in *Escherichia coli*. Mol Microbiol 6:503-509.

24. Fung BP, McHugh GL, Leong JM, Steere AC. 1994. Humoral immune response to

outer surface protein C of *Borrelia burgdorferi* in Lyme disease: role of the

immunoglobulin M response in the serodiagnosis of early infection. Infect

Immun 62:3213-3221.

25. Padula SJ, Dias F, Sampieri A, Craven RB, Ryan RW. 1994. Use of recombinant

OspC from *Borrelia burgdorferi* for serodiagnosis of early Lyme disease. J Clin

Microbiol 32:1733-1738.

26. Gilmore RD, Kappel KJ, Dolan MC, Burkot TR, Johnson BJB. 1996. Outer surface

protein C (OspC), but not P39, is a protective immunogen against a tick-

transmitted *Borrelia burgdorferi* challenge: evidence for a conformational

protective epitope in OspC. Infect Immun 64:2234-2239.

27. Liang FT, Jacobs MB, Bowers LC, Philipp MT. 2002. An immune evasion

mechanism for spirochetal persistence in Lyme borreliosis. J Exp Med 195:415-

422.

28. Crother TR, Champion CI, Whitelegge JP, Aguilera R, Wu XY, Blanco DR, Miller

JN, Lovett MA. 2004. Temporal analysis of the antigenic composition of *Borrelia*

burgdorferi during infection in rabbit skin. Infect Immun 72:5063-5072.

29.   Liang FT, Yan J, Mbow ML, Sviat SL, Gilmore RD, Mamula M, Fikrig E. 2004.

Borrelia burgdorferi changes its surface antigenic expression in response to host

immune reponses. Infect Immun 72:5759-5767.

30.   Xu Q, Seemanapalli SV, McShan K, Liang FT. 2006. Constitutive expression of

outer surface protein C diminishes the ability of *Borrelia burgdorferi* to evade

specific humoral immunity. Infect Immun 74:5177-5184.

31.   Tilly K, Bestor A, Dulebohn DP, Rosa PA. 2009. OspC-independent infection and

dissemination by host-adapted *Borrelia burgdorferi*. Infect Immun 77:2672-2682.

32.   Stevenson B, Schwan TG, Rosa PA. 1995. Temperature-related differential

expression of antigens in the Lyme disease spirochete, *Borrelia burgdorferi*. Infect

Immun 63:4535-4539.

33.   Carroll JA, Garon CF, Schwan TG. 1999. Effects of environmental pH on

membrane proteins in *Borrelia burgdorferi*. Infect Immun 67:3181-3187.

34.   Jutras BL, Chenail AM, Stevenson B. 2013. Changes in bacterial growth rate

govern expression of the *Borrelia burgdorferi* OspC and Erp infection-associated

surface proteins. J Bacteriol 195:757-764.

35.   Yang X, Goldberg MS, Popova TG, Schoeler GB, Wikel SK, Hagman KE, Norgard

MV. 2000. Interdependence of environmental factors influencing reciprocal

patterns of gene expression in virulent *Borrelia burgdorferi*. Mol Microbiol 37:1470-

1479.

36.   Hübner A, Yang X, Nolen DM, Popova TG, Cabello PC, Norgard MV. 2001.

Expression of *Borrelia burgdorferi* OspC and DbpA is controlled by a RpoN-RpoS

regulatory pathway. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 98:12724-12729.

37.   Caimano MJ, Groshong AM, Belperron A, Mao J, Hawley KL, Luthra A, Graham

DE, Earnhart CG, Marconi RT, Bockenstedt LK, Blevins JS, Radolf JD. 2019. The

RpoS gatekeeper in *Borrelia burgdorferi*: an invariant regulatory scheme that

promotes spirochete persistence in reservoir hosts and niche diversity. Front

Microbiol 10:1923.

38.   Burtnick MN, Downey JS, Brett PJ, Boylan JA, Frye JG, Hoover TR, Gherardini

FC. 2007. Insights into the complex regulation of *rpoS* in *Borrelia burgdorferi*. Mol

Microbiol 65:277-293.

39.   Caimano MJ, Iyer R, Eggers CH, Gonzalez C, Morton EA, Gilbert MA, Schwartz

I, Radolf JD. 2007. Analysis of the RpoS regulon in *Borrelia burgdorferi* in response

to mammalian host signals provides insight into RpoS function during the

enzootic cycle. Mol Microbiol 65:1193-1217.

40.   Eggers CH, Caimano MJ, Radolf JD. 2004. Analysis of promoter elements

627   involved in the transcription initiation of RpoS-dependent *Borrelia burgdorferi*

628   genes. J Bacteriol 186:7390-7402.

629   41.   Yang XF, Lybecker MC, Pal U, Alani SM, Blevins J, Revel AT, Samuels DS,

630   Norgard MV. 2005. Analysis of the *ospC* regulatory element controlled by the

631   RpoN-RpoS regulatory pathway in *Borrelia burgdorferi*. J Bacteriol 187:4822-4829.

632   42.   Xu Q, McShan K, Liang FT. 2007. Identification of an *ospC* operator critical for

633   immune evasion of *Borrelia burgdorferi*. Mol Microbiol 64:220-231.

634   43.   Xu Q, McShan K, Liang FT. 2008. Verification and dissection of the *ospC* operator

635   by using *flaB* promoter as a reporter in *Borrelia burgdorferi*. Microb Pathog 45:70-

636   78.

637   44.   Drecktrah D, Hall LS, Hoon-Hanks LL, Samuels DS. 2013. An inverted repeat in

638   the ospC operator is required for induction in *Borrelia burgdorferi*. PLoS One

639   8:e68799.

640   45.   Alverson J, Bundle SF, Sohasky CD, Lybecker MC, Samuels DS. 2003.

641   Transcriptional regulation of the *ospAB* and *ospC* promoters of *Borrelia burgdorferi*.

642   Mol Microbiol 48:1665-1677.

46. Tilly K, Casjens S, Stevenson B, Bono JL, Samuels DS, Hogan D, Rosa P. 1997. The

Borrelia burgdorferi circular plasmid cp26: conservation of plasmid structure and

targeted inactivation of the *ospC* gene. Mol Microbiol 25:361-373.

47. Babb K, Bykowski T, Riley SP, Miller MC, DeMoll E, Stevenson B. 2006. *Borrelia*

burgdorferi EbfC, a novel, chromosomally-encoded protein, binds specific DNA

sequences adjacent to *erp* loci on the spirochete's resident cp32 prophages. J

Bacteriol 188:4331-4339.

48. Burns LH, Adams CA, Riley SP, Jutras BL, Bowman A, Chenail AM, Cooley AE,

Haselhorst LA, Moore AM, Babb K, Fried MG, Stevenson B. 2010. BpaB, a novel

protein encoded by the Lyme disease spirochete's cp32 prophages, binds to *erp*

Operator 2 DNA. Nucleic Acids Res 38:5443-5455.

49. Jutras BL, Chenail AM, Carroll DW, Miller MC, Zhu H, Bowman A, Stevenson B.

2013. Bpur, the Lyme disease spirochete's PUR-domain protein: identification as

a transcriptional modulator and characterization of nucleic acid interactions. J

Biol Chem 288:26220-26234.

50. Sugino A, Higgins NO, Cozzarelli NR. 1980. DNA gyrase subunit stoichiometry

and the covalent attachment of subunit A to DNA during DNA cleavage. Nucleic

Acids Res 8:3865-3874.

51.   Reece RJ, Maxwell A. 1991. The C-terminal domain of the *Escherichia coli* DNA

662   gyrase A subunit is a DNA-binding protein. Nucleic Acids Res 19:1399-1405.

663   52.   Corbett KD, Shultzaberger RK, Berger JM. 2004. The C-terminal domain of DNA

664   gyrase A adopts a DNA-bending beta-pinwheel fold. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA

665   101:7293-7298.

666   53.   Knight SW, Samuels DS. 1999. Natural synthesis of a DNA-binding protein from

667   the C-terminal domain of DNA gyrase A in *Borrelia burgdorferi*. EMBO J 18:4875-

668   4881.

669   54.   Knight SW, Kimmel BJ, Eggers CH, Samuels DS. 2000. Disruption of the *Borrelia*

670   *burgdorferi gac* gene, encoding the naturally synthesized GyrA C-terminal

671   domain. J Bacteriol 182:2048-2051.

672   55.   Liu LF, Wang JC. 1978. DNA-DNA gyrase complex: the wrapping of the DNA

673   duplex outside the enzyme. Cell 15:979-984.

674   56.   Fisher LM, Mizuuchi K, O'Dea MH, Ohmori H, Gellert M. 1981. Site-specific

675   interaction of DNA gyrase with DNA. Proc Natl Acad Sci 78:4165–4169.

676   57.   Kirkegaard K, Wang JC. 1981. Mapping the topography of DNA wrapped

677   around gyrase by nucleolytic and chemical probing of complexes of unique DNA

678   sequences. Cell 23:721-729.

58. Barbour AG, Garon CF. 1987. Linear plasmids of the bacterium *Borrelia*

burgdorferi have covalently closed ends. Science 237:409-411.

59. Fraser CM, Casjens S, Huang WM, Sutton GG, Clayton R, Lathigra R, White O,

Ketchum KA, Dodson R, Hickey EK, Gwinn M, Dougherty B, Tomb J-F,

Fleischmann RD, Richardson D, Peterson J, Kerlavage AR, Quackenbush J,

Salzberg S, Hanson M, van Vugt R, Palmer N, Adams MD, Gocayne J,

Weidmann J, Utterback T, Watthey L, McDonald L, Artiach P, Bowman C,

Garland S, Fujii C, Cotton MD, Horst K, Roberts K, Hatch B, Smith HO, Venter

JC. 1997. Genomic sequence of a Lyme disease spirochaete, *Borrelia burgdorferi*.

Nature 390:580-586.

60. Casjens S, Palmer N, van Vugt R, Huang WM, Stevenson B, Rosa P, Lathigra R,

Sutton G, Peterson J, Dodson RJ, Haft D, Hickey E, Gwinn M, White O, Fraser C.

2000. A bacterial genome in flux: the twelve linear and nine circular

extrachromosomal DNAs of an infectious isolate of the Lyme disease spirochete

Borrelia burgdorferi. Mol Microbiol 35:490-516.

61. Dorman CJ, Schumacher MA, Bush MJ, Brennan RG, Buttner MJ. 2020. When is a

transcription factor a NAP? Curr Opin Microbiol 55:26-33.

62. Amemiya HM, Schroeder J, Freddolino PL. 2021. Nucleoid-associated proteins

shape chromatin structure and transcriptional regulation across the bacterial

kingdom. Transcription 12:182-218.

63. Muskhelishvili G, Sobetzko P, Mehandziska S, Travers A. 2021. Composition of

transcription machinery and its crosstalk with nucleoid-associated proteins and

global transcription factors. Biomolecules 11:924.

64. Jutras BL, Bowman A, Brissette CA, Adams CA, Verma A, Chenail AM,

Stevenson B. 2012. EbfC (YbaB) is a new type of bacterial nucleoid-associated

protein, and a global regulator of gene expression in the Lyme disease

spirochete. J Bacteriol 194:3395-3406.

65. Burgdorfer W, Barbour AG, Hayes SF, Benach JL, Grunwaldt E, Davis JP. 1982.

Lyme disease - a tick-borne spirochetosis? Science 216:1317-1319.

66. Johnson RC, Schmid GP, Hyde FW, Steigerwalt AG, Brenner DJ. 1984. *Borrelia*

burgdorferi sp. nov.: etiologic agent of Lyme disease. Int J Syst Bacteriol 34:496-

497.

67. Miller JC, von Lackum K, Babb K, McAlister JD, Stevenson B. 2003. Temporal

analysis of *Borrelia burgdorferi* Erp protein expression throughout the mammal-

tick infectious cycle. Infect Immun 71:6943-6952.

68.   Xu Y, Johnson RC. 1995. Analysis and comparison of plasmid profiles of *Borrelia*

715   *burgdorferi* sensu lato strains. J Clin Microbiol 33:2679-2685.

716   69.   Kraiczy P, Seling A, Brissette CA, Rossmann E, Hunfeld K-P, Bykowski T, Burns

717   LH, Troese MJ, Cooley AE, Miller JC, Brade V, Wallich R, Casjens S, Stevenson B.

718   2008. *Borrelia burgdorferi* complement regulator-acquiring surface protein 2

719   (CspZ) as a serological marker of human Lyme disease. Clin Vaccine Immunol

720   15:484-491.

721   70.   Zückert WR. 2007. Laboratory maintenance of *Borrelia burgdorferi*. Curr Protoc

722   Microbiol 12C:1-10.

723   71.   Samuels DS, Mach KE, Garon CF. 1994. Genetic transformation of the Lyme

724   disease agent *Borrelia burgdorferi* with coumarin-resistant *gyrB*. J Bacteriol

725   176:6045-6049.

726   72.   Elias AF, Bono JL, Kupko JJ, Stewart PE, Krum JG, Rosa PA. 2003. New antibiotic

727   resistance cassettes suitable for genetic studies in *Borrelia burgdorferi*. J Mol

728   Microbiol Biotechnol 6:29-40.

729   73.   Babb K, McAlister JD, Miller JC, Stevenson B. 2004. Molecular characterization of

730   *Borrelia burgdorferi erp* promoter/operator elements. J Bacteriol 186:2745-2756.

74.   Frank KL, Bundle SF, Kresge ME, Eggers CE, Samuels DS. 2003. *aadA* confers

streptomycin resistance in *Borrelia burgdorferi*. J Bacteriol 185:6723-6727.

75.   Bono JL, Elias AF, Kupko JJ, Stevenson B, Tilly K, Rosa P. 2000. Efficient targeted

mutagenesis in *Borrelia burgdorferi*. J Bacteriol 182:2445-2452.

76.   Stewart PE, Thalken R, Bono JL, Rosa P. 2001. Isolation of a circular plasmid

region sufficient for autonomous replication and transformation of infectious

Borrelia burgdorferi. Mol Microbiol 39:714-721.

77.   Babb K, El-Hage N, Miller JC, Carroll JA, Stevenson B. 2001. Distinct regulatory

pathways control the synthesis of *Borrelia burgdorferi* infection-associated OspC

and Erp surface proteins. Infect Immun 69:4146-4153.

78.   Jutras BL, Verma A, Stevenson B. 2012. Identification of novel DNA-binding

proteins using DNA-affinity chromatography/pull down. Curr Protocols

Microbiol 1F:1-13.

79.   Barbour AG, Hayes SF, Heiland RA, Schrumpf ME, Tessier SL. 1986. A *Borrelia*-

specific monoclonal antibody binds to a flagellar epitope. Infect Immun 52:549-

554.

80.   Mbow ML, Gilmore RD, Stevenson B, Golde WT, Piesman J, Johnson BJB. 2002.

Borrelia burgdorferi-specific monoclonal antibodies derived from mice primed

with Lyme disease spirochete-infected *Ixodes scapularis* ticks. Hybrid

Hybridomics 21:179-182.

81.   Arnold WK, Savage CR, Brissette CA, Seshu J, Livny J, Stevenson B. 2016. RNA-

Seq of *Borrelia burgdorferi* in multiple phases of growth reveals insights into the

dynamics of gene expression, transcriptome architecture, and noncoding RNAs

PLoS One 11:e0164165.

82.   Krusenstjerna AC, Saylor TC, Arnold WK, Tucker JS, Stevenson B. 2023. *Borrelia*

burgdorferi DnaA and the nucleoid-associated protein EbfC coordinate expression

of the *dnaX-ebfC* operon. J Bacteriol 205: in press.

83.   Hearnshaw SJ, Edwards MJ, Stevenson CE, Lawson DM, Maxwell A. 2014. A

new crystal structure of the bifunctional antibiotic simocyclinone D8 bound to

DNA gyrase gives fresh insight into the mechanism of inhibition. J Mol Biol

426:2023-2033.

Figure Legends

Figure 1. DNA sequences 5′ of the *ospC* open reading frames of *B. burgdorferi* ss B31 and

B. garinii Ip89 contain conserved and non-conserved repeated sequences. **(A)** Graphic

representation of the 11bp direct repeats (blue arrows) and a distinct, longer inverted

repeat sequence in the operator of strain B31 (red arrows), that is not found in strain

Ip89 or many other Lyme disease borreliae (44, 46). **(B)** Alignment of the *ospC* 5′ DNA

sequences. Strain B31 contains two 11bp repeats that are separated by a unique 8bp

sequence, while Ip89 carries seven directly repeated copies. In addition, both strains

contain an inverted copy of the 11bp sequence between their -35 and -10 promoter

elements.

Figure 2. (A) Electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA) of labeled Ip89 *ospC* operator,

without (-) and with (+) cytoplasmic extract from strain B31. The asterisk indicates

shifted probe that was present only when borrelial extract was included, indicating

presence of a DNA-binding component. Unbound DNA is indicated by "free". Some

labeled DNA probe remained in the wells of the gel. **(B)** Cytoplasmic extract from strain

B31 was incubated with Ip89 *ospC* operator DNA affixed to beads, eluted with

increasing concentrations of NaCl, and eluates were separated by SDS-polyacrylamide

gel electrophoresis. Lanes are labeled with the concentration of NaCl used for that

elution. The arrow indicated a protein band of 30-35 kDa that eluted in the 0.3 and 0.5

mM NaCl washes. **(C)** Amino acid sequence of GyrA and Gac (the two potential

initiation methionines of Gac are indicated by boldface and underlining). Mass

spectrometry identified nine unique peptides that all mapped to the Gac ORF. Peptides

identified with high confidence are shaded green, and those with lower confidence in

red. **(D)** Predicted structures of *B. burgdorferi* Gac and GyrA proteins, as determined by

AlphaFold (https://alphafold.ebi.ac.uk). Both are consistent with the solved structures

of *B. burgdorferi* Gac (52) and the N-terminal domain of *E. coli* GyrA

(https://www.rcsb.org/structure/4CKK) (83).

Figure 3. Representative EMSAs of purified recombinant Gac with labeled *ospC*

operator/promoter DNAs and unlabeled competitors. Shifted DNAs are indicated with

asterisks. Unoccupied DNAs are labeled "free". **(A)** Labeled Ip89 operator/promoter

(10 nM) without (lane 1) and with 25 nM Gac (lanes 2-8). Lane 2: no added competitor.

Lane 3: plus 10x excess unlabeled Ip89 *ospC* operator/promoter. Lane 4: plus 25x excess

unlabeled Ip89 *ospC* operator/promoter. Lane 5: plus 25x excess unlabeled B31 *ospC*

operator/promoter. Lane 6: plus 25x unlabeled pCR2.1 amplicon. Lane 7: plus 25x

excess unlabeled *flaB* DNA. Lane 8: plus 25x unlabeled *ospAB* DNA. **(B)** Labeled B31

operator/promoter (10 nM) without (lane 1) and with 25 nM Gac (lanes 2-7). Lane 2: no

added competitor. Lane 3: plus 10x excess unlabeled B31 *ospC* operator/promoter. Lane

4: plus 25x excess unlabeled B31 *ospC* operator/promoter. Lane 5: plus 10x unlabeled

pCR2.1 amplicon. Lane 6: plus 10x excess unlabeled *flaB* DNA. Lane 7: plus 10x

unlabeled *ospAB* DNA.

Figure 4. Mapping Gac binding sites in B31 *ospC* 5′ DNA by EMSA with purified

recombinant Gac and unlabeled competitor DNA fragments. Sequences of the probe

and competitors are shown above the EMSA, with the 11bp repeats indicated by blue

arrows. Shifted DNAs are indicated with asterisks. Unoccupied DNAs are labeled

"free". Labeled B31 operator (10 nM) without (lane 1) and with 25 nM Gac (lanes 2-11).

Lane 2: no added competitor. Lane 3: plus 10x excess unlabeled B31 *ospC* operator

probe. Lane 4: plus 25x excess unlabeled B31 *ospC* operator probe. Lanes 5-11: plus 10x

unlabeled competitors A through G, respectively.

Figure 5. Triplicate western blot analyses of strains NGR, CKO-1, and CKO-1(pBLS820)

that were cultured to mid-exponential phase at 23 or 35˚C, probed with monospecific

antibodies against OspC and FlaB. Results of densitometric analyses are shown in

Supplemental Table S2.

Figure 6. Flow cytometric analyses of green fluorescent protein (GFP) levels. Each panel

represent approximately 100,000 bacteria that were cultured to mid-exponential phase

(approximately 5×10^7 bacteria/ml) at 35˚C. Isogenic NGR and *gac* mutant CKO-1

contained transcriptional fusions of the *ospC* promoter, with an without the operator

(pBLS761 and pBLS764, respectively), fused to *gfp*. Negative control strain KS10 carries

promoterless *gfp* on pBLS590, and positive control strain KS20 carries the constitutive

erpAB promoter fused to *gfp* on pBLS599 (73).

Figure 7. Growth curves and quantitative-RT-PCR analyses of selected transcripts of *B.*

burgdorferi B31, cultured at 35˚C. Bacterial cell densities are indicated by black squares

and lines. Relative transcript levels are indicated by red circles and lines. **(A)** Schematic

of the locations of amplicons used to determine relative expression of the 5' and 3'

portions of *gyrA*. The 5' primer pair amplified only transcripts arising from the

promoter 5' of *gyrB*, while the 3' primer pair amplified transcripts arising from both the

promoter 5' of *gyrB* and within the *gyrA* ORF. **(B)** Results from the 5′ segment of *gyrA*.

(C) Results from the 3′ segment of *gyrA*. **(D)** Results from the *gyrA* 3′ segment minus the

gyrA 5′. **(E)** Analyses of *ospC* transcript levels.

Figure 8. Representative EMSAs of purified recombinant Gac with labeled *ospC* lp17

telomere DNA and competitors. Shifted DNAs are indicated with asterisks. Unoccupied

DNA is labeled "free". All lanes contain 10 nM labeled lp17 telomere probe, without

(lane 1) or with 25 nM Gac (lanes 2-9). Lane 2: no added competitor. Lane 3: plus 10x

excess unlabeled lp17 telomere. Lane 4: plus 25x excess unlabeled lp17 telomere. Lane 5:

plus 10x unlabeled pCR2.1 amplicon. Lane 6: plus 10x excess unlabeled Ip89 *ospC*

operator. Lane 7: plus 10x excess unlabeled B31 *ospC* operator. Lane 8: plus 10x excess

unlabeled *flaB* DNA. Lane 9: plus 10x unlabeled *ospAB* DNA.

Figure 9. Graphic summary of these results. Gac binds at or near the 11bp repeated

sequences in the *ospC* promoter and operator, and inhibits transcription from the *ospC*

promoter. Deletion of the *ospC* operator resulted in ablation of transcription from the

ospC promoter, as has also been noted previously (42-44). Those data indicate that

another factor, "X", interacts with the *ospC* operator and is required to activate

transcription. In the absence of Gac, the *ospC* promoter is transcribed at high levels,

indicating that "X" competes against Gac repression. X may be one or more protein or

other factor. The 11bp repeat sequences are indicated by blue arrows beneath the DNA.

The *ospC* promoter -10 and -35 sequences are indicated by black bars above the DNA.

A

B. burgdorferi B31

B

B31   GCATATTTGGCTTTGCTTATGTCGATTTTAAAATCAAATTAAGA

Ip89 GCATATTTGGCTTTGCTTCTGTCAATCTTATAATCAATTAAGAT

B31   CAATATTTTTCAAATTCTT CAATATTTATT

Ip89 AGTTCGTTTGTAATATTTT CAATAATTATT CAATAATTTTT CAATAATTTTT CAATAATTTTT CAATAATTATT CAATAATTATT GAAAAATTATT CAAATTTATTT

B31   CAAGATATTGAAGAATTTGAAAAAAATTATTTTTTCAAATAAAAAATTGAAAAA CAAAATTGTTG GACTAATAATTCATAAATAAAAAGGAGG

Ip89 --------------ATTGAAAAATTATTTTTTTTTATATAAAGAATTGAAAAG AAAAATTGTTG GACTAATAATTTATATAAAAAGGAGG

MAVGENKEQILNVRIEDEIKTSYLNYAMSVIVSRALPDVRDGLKPVHRRILYSMYEMGLRSDKAFKKAGRIVGDVLGKYHPH

GDQSIYDALVRLAQDFSLRYPVIRGQGNFGSIDGDPPAAMRYTEAKMEKITEYIVKDIDKETVNFKSNYDDSLSEPEIMPSS

FPFLLVNGSSGIAVGMATNMAPHNLREICDAIVYMLDNENASIFDLLKIVKGPDFPTFGEIVYNDNLIKAYKTGKGSVVIRA

RYHIEERAEDRNAIIVTEIPYTVNKSALLMKVALLAKEEKLEGLLDIRDESDREGIRIVLEVKRGFDPHVIMNLLYEYTEFK

KHFSINNLALVNGIPKQLNLEELLFEFIEHRKNIIERRIEFDLRKAKEKAHVLEGLNIALNNIDEVIKIIKSSKLAKDARER

LVSNFGLSEIQANSVLDMRLQKLTALEIFKLEEELNILLSLIKDYEDILLNPVRIINIIREETINLGLKFGDERRTKIIYDE

EVLKTS**M**SDL**M**QK ENIVVMLTKKGFLKRLSQNEYK LQGTGGKGLSSFDLNDGDEIVIALCVNTHDYLFMISNEGK LYLINAY

EIK DSSRASK GQNISELINLGDQEEILTIK NSK DLTDDAYLLLTTASGK IARFESTDFKAVKSRGVIVIKLNDKDFVTSAEI

VFKDEKVICLSK KGSAFIFNSR DVRLTNRGTQGVCGMK LKEGDLFV KVLSVKENPYLLIVSENGYGKRLNMSK ISELKRGAT

GYTSYKK SDKKAGSVVDAIAVSEDDEILLVSK RSKALRTVAGKVSEQGKDAR GIQVLFLDNDSLVSVSK FIK

A

P*gyrBA*

gyrB

P*gac*

gyrA

5' *gyrA*   3' *gyrA*

B 5' *gyrA*

C 3' *gyrA*

D "*gac*"

E *ospC*

ospC

Gac is a transcriptional repressor of the Lyme disease spirochete's

OspC virulence-associated surface protein

by

Tatiana N. Castro-Padovani, Timothy C. Saylor, Olivia T. Husted, Andrew C. Krusenstjerna,

Nerina Jusufovic, and Brian Stevenson

Supplemental Tables and Figures

Supplemental Table S1. Sequences of synthetic DNAs and oligonucleotide primers used in this study

DNA name	Purpose	Sequence (5' to 3')
5.1	insert to produce pBLS761	GGTACCGCATATTTGGCTTTGCTTATGTCGATTTTAAAATCAAAT TAAGACAATATTTTTCAAATTCTTCAATATTTATTCAAGATATTGA AGAATTTGAAAAAATTATTTTTTCAAATAAAAAATTGAAAAACA AAATTGTTGGACTAATAATTCATAAATAAAAAGGAGGATCC
5.4	insert to produce pBLS764	GGTACCAATTGAAAAACAAAATTGTTGGACTAATAATTCATAAA TAAAAAGGAGGATCC
ospC 1010	PCR B31 *ospC* promoter/operator for production of pBLS760 and EMSA probe, competitors A, D, F, and G	ATATATGGATCCTCCTTTTTATTTATGAATTATTAG
ospC 1011	PCR B31 *ospC* promoter/operator to produce pBLS756	ATATATGGTACCGCATATTTGGCTTTGCTTATG
ospC 1021	PCR Ip89 *ospC* promoter/operator to produce pBLS756	ATATATGGTACCGCATATTTGGCTTTGCTTCTG
ospC 1021	PCR Ip89 *ospC* promoter/operator for DNA affinity and EMSA probes	ATATATGGATCCTCCTTTTTATATAAATTATTAG
flaB F	Annealed to *flaB* R to form *flaB* Competitor	AACAGGCAAAAGGATTTGCCAAAGTCAGAAATT
flaB R	Annealed to *flaB* F to form *flaB* Competitor	AATTTCTGACTTTGGCAAATCCTTTTGCCTGTT
ospAB F	Annealed to *ospAB* R to form *ospAB* Competitor	GTATTAAGTTATATTAATATAAAAGGAGAATATATT
ospAB R	Annealed to *ospAB* F to form *ospAB* Competitor	AATATATTCTCCTTTTATATTAATATAACTTAATAC

CompB1F	Annealed to CompB1R to form competitor A	GCATATTTGGCTTTGCTTATGTCGATTTTAAAATCAAATTAAGAC AATATTTTTCAAATT
CompB1R	Annealed to CompB1F to form competitor A	TTAAACTTTTTATAACAGAATTAAACTAAAATTTTAGCTGTATTC GTTTCGGTTTATACG
CompB2F	Annealed to CompB2R to form competitor B	AATCAAATTAAGACAATATTTTTCAAATTCTTCAATATTTATTCAA GATATTGAAGAAT
CompB2R	Annealed to CompB2F to form competitor B	TAAGAAGTTATAGAACTTATTTATAACTTCTTAAACTTTTTATAAC AGAATTAAACTAA
761 AF	PCR *ospC* competitor C	GCATATTTGGCTTTGCTTATG
761 DF2	PCR *ospC* competitor E	TTATTCAAGATATTGAAGAATTTGAAA
761 ER3	PCR *ospC* competitors C and E	TCCAACAATTTTGTTTTTCAA
M13 Forward	PCR *ospC* and lp17 telomere probes and competitors	GTAAAACGACGGCCAG
M13 Reverse	PCR *ospC* and lp17 telomere probes and competitors	CAGGAAACAGCTATGAC
TL16g	PCR lp17 telomere region, for cloning	AGACTAATAAAATAATGAATA
TL16h	PCR lp17 telomere region, for cloning	GTATTTTGACTCAAAACTTTA
gyrA-F	Q-RT-PCR of *gyrA* 5' end	GTAGCCATTCCAACAGCAATTC
gyrA-R	Q-RT-PCR of *gyrA* 5' end	CGATTCTTTAAGTGAGCCTGAGA
gac-F	Q-RT-PCR of *gyrA* 3' end	CTTACAACTGCAAGTGGAAAGATAG

gac-R	Q-RT-PCR of *gyrA* 3' end	ACAATAACACCTCGTGACTTTACT
ospC-F	Q-RT-PCR of *ospC*	TGAAGCGTTGCTGTCATCTAT
ospC-R	Q-RT-PCR of *ospC*	GCATAAGCTCCCGCTAACA
ftsK-F	Q-RT-PCR of *ftsK*	GACCTTCTGATGAGCCAATGT
ftsK-R	Q-RT-PCR of *ftsK*	GCTGCTCTGTTGTAACCTATCT

Supplemental Table S2. Relative changes in OspC protein levels in analyses of *B. burgdorferi* NGR, CKO-1, and CKO-1 (pBLS820), as shown in Figure 5. The immunoblots were imaged with a ChemiDoc MP (Bio-Rad, CA), and densities analyzed with background compensation using Image-Lab software (Bio-Rad). **A.** relative differences between strains at the same temperature. For example, in western 1, CKO-1 produced 23x more OspC than did NGR at 23°C. **B.** relative changes of the same strain at 23ºC vs. 35ºC. For example, in western 1, NGR produced 32x more OspC after shift to 34°C.

A.

Western	Strain	Temperature	
		23°C	35°C
1	CKO-1 vs NGR	23	1.4
	CKO-1 (pBLS820) vs NGR	14	1.1
	CKO-1 vs CKO-1 (pBLS820)	1.6	1.2
2	CKO-1 vs NGR	17	0.92
	CKO-1 (pBLS820) vs NGR	2.5	0.22
	CKO-1 vs CKO-1 (pBLS820)	6.9	4.3
3	CKO-1 vs NGR	6.4	1.1
	CKO-1 (pBLS820) vs NGR	1.5	0.69
	CKO-1 vs CKO-1 (pBLS820)	4.2	1.2

B.

Western	Strain	Change when Shifted from 23°C to 35°C
1	NGR	32
	CKO-1	2.0
	CKO-1 (pBLS820)	2.6
2	NGR	72
	CKO-1	3.9
	CKO-1 (pBLS820)	6.2
3	NGR	57
	CKO-1	10
	CKO-1 (pBLS820)	26

Supplemental Figure S1. Representative EMSA of purified recombinant Gac with labeled B31 *ospC* operator/promoter DNA and unlabeled competitors. Shifted DNAs are indicated with asterisks. Unoccupied DNAs are labeled "free". Labeled B31 operator/promoter (10 nM) without (lane 1) and with 25 nM Gac (lanes 2-7). Lane 2: no added competitor. Lane 3: plus 10x excess unlabeled B31 *ospC* operator/promoter. Lane 4: plus 25x excess unlabeled B31 *ospC* operator/promoter. Lane 5: plus 25x unlabeled pCR2.1 amplicon. Lane 6: plus 25x excess unlabeled *flaB* DNA. Lane 7: plus 25x unlabeled *ospAB* DNA.

```
                                                         -35                          -10
CCAGTAAGGATTATTAATATTATAAGAGAAGAAACTATTAATTTAGGTTTGAAATTTGGCGATGAACGTCGAACTAAAATAATTTATGATG

   RBS                      Met          Met
AGGAGGTTTTAAAAACTAGTATGTCGGATTTAATGCAAAAAGAAAATATTGTTGTTATGCTTACAAAGAAAGGTTTCCTTAAAAGACTT
TCACAAAATGAGTATAAATTGCAAGGTACGGGAGGAAAAGGACTAAGTTCGTTTGATCTAAATGATGGAGATGAGATTGTTATTGCTTT
GTGTGTCAATACTCATGATTATTTATTTATGATTTCAAATGAAGGAAAGCTTTATTTAATCAATGCTTATGAAATAAAAGATTCTTCAAGAG
CTTCAAAAGGTCAGAATATTAGTGAGCTTATTAATTTAGGAGATCAAGAAGAAATATTAACTATTAAGAATAGTAAAGATTTAACTGATG
ATGCTTATTTATTGCTTACAACTGCAAGTGGAAAGATAGCTAGATTCGAATCTACAGATTTTAAAGCAGTAAAGTCACGAGGTGTTATTG
TTATTAAACTGAATGATAAAGATTTTGTTACAAGTGCAGAGATTGTTTTTAAGGATGAAAAAGTAATTTGTCTTTCTAAAAAGGGTAGTG
CATTTATATTTAATTCAAGGGATGTTAGGCTTACTAATAGAGGTACCCAAGGTGTTTGTGGAATGAAATTAAAAGAAGGTGATTTGTTTG
TTAAAGTTTTATCGGTTAAAGAAAATCCTTATCTTTTGATTGTTTCTGAAAATGGGTATGGAAAAAGGTTAAACATGTCTAAAATATCTG
AGCTTAAAAGAGGAGCCACTGGTTATACTAGTTATAAAAAATCTGATAAAAAAGCGGGTAGTGTTGTTGATGCTATAGCAGTTTCAGAG
GATGATGAAATCTTGCTTGTAAGTAAACGTTCAAAAGCTTTAAGAACAGTAGCTGGAAAAGTATCTGAACAAGGCAAAGATGCTAGAG
GAATTCAAGTATTATTTCTTGATAATGACAGCTTGGTTTCTGTTTCAAAATTTATTAAATAA
                                                                     Stop
```

Supplemental Figure S2. Sequence of the insert of pBLS820 that encodes Gac protein. Putative -10 and -35 promoter elements and the ribosome binding site (RBS) are indicated in **purple**. The *gac* ORF is preceded by two in-frame methionine codons, indicated in **green**. The *gac* termination codon is indicated in **red**.