Contribution of host species and pathogen clade to snake fungal disease hotspots in Europe

Gaëlle Blanvillain[1*], Jeffrey M. Lorch[2], Nicolas Joudrier[3,4,5], Stanislaw Bury[6,7], Thibault Cuenot[8], Michael Franzen[9], Fernando Martínez-Freiría[10,11], Gaëtan Guiller[12], Bálint Halpern[13,14], Aleksandra Kolanek[15,7], Katarzyna Kurek[16], Olivier Lourdais[17,18], Alix Michon[8], Radka Musilová[19], Silke Schweiger[20], Barbara Szulc[7,21], Sylvain Ursenbacher[5,22,23], Oleksandr Zinenko[24] and Joseph R. Hoyt[1]

[1] Biological Sciences Department, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, VA, USA

[2] U.S. Geological Survey, National Wildlife Health Center, Madison, WI, USA

[3] Institute of Biology, University of Neuchâtel, Neuchâtel, Switzerland

[4] Institute of Animal Pathology, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland

[5] Info fauna-Karch, Centre Suisse de Cartographie de la Faune (CSCF) and Centre de coordination pour la protection des reptiles et des amphibiens de Suisse (karch), Neuchâtel, Switzerland

[6] Department of Comparative Anatomy, Institute of Zoology and Biomedical Research, Jagiellonian University, Cracow, Poland

[7] NATRIX Herpetological Association, Wroclaw, Poland

[8] LPO Bourgogne-Franche-Comté, Comité territorial de Franche-Comté, Maison de l'environnement de BFC, Besançon, France

[9] Bavarian State Collection of Zoology (ZSM-SNSB), Munich, Germany

[10] CIBIO, Centro de Investigação em Biodiversidade e Recursos Genéticos, InBIO Laboratório Associado, Campus de Vairão, University of Porto, Vairão, Portugal

[11] BIOPOLIS Program in Genomics, Biodiversity and Land Planning, CIBIO, Campus de Vairão,

Vairão, Portugal

[12] Le Grand Momesson, Bouvron, France

[13] MME BirdLife Hungary, Budapest, Hungary

[14] Department of Systematic Zoology and Ecology, Institute of Biology, Eötvös Loránd University,

ELKH-ELTE-MTM Integrative Ecology Research Group, Budapest, Hungary

[15] Institute of Geography and Regional Development, Faculty of Earth Sciences and Environmental

Management, University of Wroclaw, Poland

[16] Department of Wildlife Conservation, Institute of Nature Conservation Polish Academy of

Science, Cracow, Poland

[17] Centre d'Etudes Biologiques de Chizé, ULR CNRS UMR 7372, Villiers en Bois, France

[18] School of Life Sciences, Arizona State University, Tempe, USA

[19] Zamenis Civic Association, Karlovy Vary, Czech Republic

[20] First Zoological Department, Herpetological Collection, Natural History Museum, Vienna, Austria

[21] Department of Genetics, Kazimierz Wielki University, Bydgoszcz, Poland

[22] Department of Environmental Sciences, Section of Conservation Biology, University of Basel,

Basel, Switzerland

[23] Balaton Limnological Research Institute, Tihany, Hungary

[24] V.N. Karazin Kharkiv National University, Kharkiv, Ukraine

* Corresponding author: gaelle.blanvillain@gmail.com

Keywords: disease hotspots; fungal pathogen; host-pathogen interactions; *Ophidiomyces*

ophidiicola; Snake fungal disease

Abstract

1. Infectious diseases are influenced by interactions between host and pathogen, and are rarely homogenous across the landscape. Areas with elevated pathogen prevalence maintain a high force of infection, can facilitate pathogen spread to new regions, and may indicate areas with impacts on host populations. However, isolating the ecological processes that result in increases in infection prevalence and intensity remains a challenge.

2. Here we elucidate the contribution of pathogen clade and host species in disease hotspots of *Ophidiomyces ophidiicola,* the pathogen that causes snake fungal disease, in 21 species of snakes infected with multiple pathogen strains across 10 countries in Europe.

3. We found isolated areas of disease hotspots in a landscape where infections were otherwise low. *O. ophidiicola* clade had important effects on transmission, and areas with multiple pathogen clades had higher host infection prevalence. Snake species identity further influenced infection, with most positive detections coming from the *Natrix* genus. Most species present in the community only experienced increased levels of infection when multiple strains were present. However, one species, *N. tessellata*, appeared highly susceptible, having increased infection prevalence regardless of pathogen strain, indicating that this species may be important in pathogen maintenance.

4. Our results suggest that both host and pathogen identity are essential components contributing to increased pathogen prevalence. More broadly, our findings indicate that coevolutionary relationships between hosts and pathogens may be key mechanisms explaining variation in landscape patterns of disease.

Introduction

Infectious diseases can shape ecological communities by altering host abundance and distributions across the landscape (LaDeau et al. 2007, Holdo et al. 2009, Langwig et al. 2012). Disease outcomes are determined by host-pathogen interactions which are multifaceted and can interact with environmental conditions, creating a mosaic of disease hotspots across broad spatial scales (Krauss et al. 2010, Paull et al. 2012, Brown et al. 2013, Wilber et al. 2020). Hotspots of high pathogen prevalence may represent potential areas of continued impacts to host populations, serve as a source for pathogen dispersal, and maintain high propagule pressure within host communities (Kilpatrick et al. 2006, Krauss et al. 2010, Paull et al. 2012, Brown et al. 2013, Wilber et al. 2020, Laggan et al. 2022).

Heterogeneity in innate species susceptibility is recognized as a strong force influencing pathogen transmission and disease impacts for multi-host pathogens (van Riper et al. 1986, LaDeau et al. 2007, Voyles et al. 2009, Langwig et al. 2017). The distribution of highly susceptible species can determine areas of high prevalence if they are critical in pathogen maintenance (Haydon et al. 2002, Ashford 2003). However, the disproportionate contribution of a particular species may be modified by differences in community structure, environmental conditions among patches, and variation in pathogen virulence (Balaz et al. 2014, Wilber et al. 2020). Pathogen replication rates can also differ among strains and across the landscape, producing additional variation in disease prevalence (O'Hanlon et al. 2018, Greener et al. 2020). Pathogen strains with high growth rates and virulence may be due to multiple factors, including the introduction of novel strains to new locations or hosts (Li et al. 2004, Becker et al. 2017), ease or independence of transmission from affected hosts (Hawley et al. 2013, Pandey et al. 2022), and the development of novel mutations or adaptations that facilitate the escape from host

resistance (McLeod and Gandon 2022). Although the interaction between host species and

pathogen identity is rarely examined, theory suggests that presence of highly resistant host

species could modify the effects of pathogens with high replication rates, creating cold spots of

transmission across the landscape (Gandon and Michalakis 2000). Conversely, highly

transmissible and virulent pathogen strains in the presence of more moderately affected host

species could drive hotspots of infection (Urbina et al. 2018, Ribeiro et al. 2019, McClure et al.

2020).

The fungal pathogen *Ophidiomyces ophidiicola,* that causes snake fungal disease (SFD,

also called ophidiomycosis), has been documented in over 42 species of wild snakes across three

continents (Lorch et al. 2016, Burbrink et al. 2017, Franklinos et al. 2017, Meier et al. 2018,

Allender et al. 2020, Davy et al. 2021, Grioni et al. 2021, Sun et al. 2021), and is considered a

serious threat to the conservation of snake populations (Sutherland et al. 2014, Allender et al.

2015). Clinical signs of disease caused by *O. ophidiicola* can range from mild skin lesions, from

which snakes can recover, to severe infections that impair movement, disrupt feeding behavior,

and can ultimately lead to death (Lorch et al. 2016). Although population declines associated

with SFD have been documented in some species of North American snakes (Lorch et al. 2016),

no such declines have been reported in species across Europe, where *O. ophidiicola* has most

likely coexisted with snakes for longer periods of time (Ladner et al. 2022). However, only

limited information is available on *O. ophidiicola* infections across Europe, with just a few

individual snakes confirmed to be infected with this pathogen from mainland Europe (Franklinos

et al. 2017, Marini et al. 2023, Meier et al. 2018, Origgi et al. 2022).

Little is known of the origin of *O. ophidiicola*, and to date, three distinct clades of *O.*

ophidiicola have been described: clade I, which has been found exclusively in wild snakes in

Europe; clade II, which has been reported in wild snakes in North America and Taiwan as well

as in captive snakes on multiple continents; and clade III, which has only been found in captive

snakes (Ladner et al. 2022). The estimation of the most recent common ancestor between clade I

and II (around 2000 years ago), as well as a lack of nonrecombinant intermediates in North

America strongly indicate that *O. ophidiicola* was introduced to North America, potentially

through multiple introduction events (Ladner et al. 2022). More recently, genotyping from a

limited number of samples indicated the presence of both clades I and II in Switzerland dating

back to at least 1959 (Origgi et al. 2022). In addition, slower growth rates have been reported for

clade I strains of *O. ophidiicola*, suggesting that they may be less virulent than clade II strains

(Franklinos et al. 2017). However, prevalence and disease severity associated with the two

strains and how they influence landscape patterns of disease has not been directly compared.

To investigate the macroecological patterns of SFD across Europe we examined host and

pathogen factors that may influence pathogen prevalence and disease severity across the

landscape. We evaluated the presence of pathogen hotspots across Europe, examined differences

in pathogen prevalence and disease severity among host species, and determined the effect of *O.*

ophidiicola clade on pathogen prevalence and lesion severity. Finally, we combined host species

and pathogen clade to explore factors that may contribute to areas with high pathogen prevalence

across the landscape.

5. <u>Methods</u>

(a) Location and host species considered

Free-ranging snakes were captured from March 2020 to June 2022 across 10 countries:

Portugal, Spain, France, Switzerland, Germany, Austria, Czech Republic, Hungary, Poland, and

Ukraine. The number of sites where snakes were collected ranged from one to nine per country,

for a total of 43 sites (Fig. 1, Table S1). Sites were selected based on preexisting geopolitical

boundaries within each country (e.g., counties or regions), to account for potential large variation

in landscape and geography within each country.

(b) Capture and sampling

Snakes were located by visual encounter surveys, an approach frequently used for

sampling snakes (Dorcas and Willson 2009). Surveys were guided by pre-existing knowledge of

snake presence or prediction of suitable habitats to sample as many species and individuals as

possible, and in a wide variety of habitat types. Snakes were captured by hand, placed in

individual cloth bags for temporary holding during processing and sampling, and released at their

capture location. Sterile handling procedures, including frequent glove changes and

decontamination of gear between each snake were followed during sample collection to avoid

cross-contamination. Snakes were individually identified using photo-identification or marking

(using PIT tags or scale-clipping). For each snake captured, location and morphometric data

were collected including latitude/longitude, species, sex, snout-vent-length, tail length, and

weight.

Snakes were swabbed in duplicate (except for a few individuals that were swabbed only

once due to limitations in the field) using a pre-moistened, sterile polyester-tipped applicator

(Puritan®, Guilford, Maine, USA) by running the swab five times (back and forth counting as a

single pass) on the ventral and dorsal areas (from the neck down to the vent), and two times on

the face of the snake. If a skin lesion was observed, a separate swab was used to specifically

swab the lesion and skin immediately adjacent to it by rubbing the swab over the affected skin.

In addition, for all snakes that had visible lesions, we collected photos for later quantification of

infection severity (see below). Swab tips were individually stored in a 2 mL sterile tube in a

cooler with ice while in the field, and later stored frozen at -20°C until analysis.

(c) Sample extraction and qPCR

A total of 2628 swabs were collected and processed by one of two laboratories following

the exact same methods as described below. DNA was extracted from swabs using 250 µL of

PrepMan® Ultra Sample Preparation Reagent (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, California, USA)

with 100 mg of zirconium/silica beads, following a previously published protocol (Hyatt et al.

2007). Briefly, samples were homogenized for 45 sec in a bead beating grinder and lysis system

(MP Biomedicals, Irvine, California, USA) and centrifuged for 30 sec at 13000 g to settle all

material to the bottom of the tube. Homogenization and centrifugation steps were repeated, and

tubes were incubated at 100°C in a heat block for 10 min. Tubes were then cooled at room

temperature for 2 min, then centrifuged for 3 min at 13000 g. Fifty to 100 µL of supernatant was

recovered and stored at -80°C. Extraction blanks (negative controls) were prepared using 250 µL

of PrepMan® Ultra Sample Preparation Reagent and 10 mg of zirconium/silica beads only.

Quantitative PCR targeting the internal transcribed spacer region (ITS) specific to *O.*

ophidiicola was performed on a real-time PCR QuantStudio 5 (Thermofisher Scientific,

Waltham, Massachusetts, USA) (Bohuski et al. 2015). QuantiFast Master Mix (QuantiFast Probe

PCR + ROX vial kit, Qiagen, Germantown, USA) was prepared according to manufacturer's

recommendations for a final reaction volume of 25 µL, which included 5 µL of extracted DNA.

Cycling conditions were as follows: 95°C for 3 min, then 95°C for 3 sec and 60°C for 30 sec for

a total of 40 cycles. For each plate run, a negative control (water added instead of extracted

DNA) and a 6-point (each point run in triplicate) standard curve using synthetic double-stranded

DNA (gBlock, Integrated DNA Technologies, Coralville, Iowa) of the target region (1.0×10^2,

1.0×10^1, 1.0×10^0, 1.0×10^{-1}, 1.0×10^{-2}, 1.0×10^{-3} fg/µL) were included. Samples that were

positive were analyzed in duplicate, and a snake was determined to be positive if any swab

associated with that snake was positive by qPCR.

(d) Sequencing and genotyping

Samples in which *O. ophidiicola* was detected by qPCR were subjected to follow up

genotyping analysis. We targeted a portion of the internal transcribed spacer 2 (ITS2) for this

analysis because the ITS2 exhibits variability between previously described clades of *O.*

ophidiicola and because ITS2 is a multicopy gene that can be amplified from samples containing

very small amounts of *O. ophidiicola* DNA (it is also the target of the qPCR assay). We used a

nested PCR protocol that consisted of first amplifying the entire ITS2 region with the panfungal

primer ITS3 and ITS4 (White et al. 1990). The first reaction consisted of 10 µL of 2x

QuantiNova probe PCR master mix (Qiagen, Venlo, Netherlands), 3.9 µL of molecular grade

water, 0.5 µL of each primer (20 µM each), 0.1 µL of 20µg/µL bovine serum albumin, and 5 µL

of DNA extracted with the PrepMan procedure described above. Cycling conditions were as

follows: 95˚C for 3 min; 40 cycles of 95˚C for 10 sec, 56˚C for 30 sec, and 72˚C for 30 sec; final

extension at 72˚C for 5 min. For the second reaction, primers ITS3 and Oo-rt-ITS-R (Bohuski et

al. 2015) were used. Each reaction consisted of 0.5 µL of the PCR product from the first reaction

added to 13.375 µL molecular grade water, 5 µL of GoTaq Flexi buffer (Promega Corporation,

Madison, Wisconsin, USA), 2 µL of dNTPs (2.5 mM each), 1.5 µL of 25 mM $MgCl_2$, 1.25 µL of

each primer (20 µM each), and 0.25 µL of GoTaq polymerase. Cycling conditions for the second

PCR were: 95˚C for 10 min; 45 cycles of 95˚C for 30 sec, 56˚C for 30 sec, and 72˚C for 1 min;

final extension at 72˚C for 5 min. Products from the second PCR were visualized on an agarose

gel, and those containing bands were sequenced in both directions using the Sanger method with

primers ITS3 and Oo-rt-ITS-R.

Samples that generated messy chromatograms or appeared to contain single nucleotide

polymorphisms (SNPs) indicative of multiple *O. ophidiicola* genotypes were re-amplified with

the second PCR using a proofreading polymerase (15.75 µL of molecular grade water, 5 µL of

5x SuperFi buffer [Thermo Fisher Scientific Corporation, Waltham, Massachusetts, USA], 2 µL

of dNTPs (2.5 mM each), 0.625 µL of 20 µM each primer, 0.5 µL of Platinum SuperFi DNA

polymerase [2U/µL], and 0.5 µL of product from the first PCR; cycling conditions were the

same as described for the second reaction above). The resulting amplicons were cloned using the

Invitrogen Zero Blunt TOPO PCR cloning kit for sequencing (Thermo Fisher Scientific

Corporation, Waltham, Massachusetts, USA), and individual transformants were sequenced.

Individual ITS2 sequences generated in our study were assigned to genotypes. Sequences

with 100% identity across the ITS2 region of *O. ophidiicola* were considered to be the same

genotype; any sequence differing from another by at least one SNP was classified as a unique

genotype.

(e) Quantification of disease severity

Disease severity was measured by calculating the percentage of surface area of each snake

covered by lesions. Using the image processing program ImageJ (Schneider et al. 2012) and the

photos of the snakes taken in the field, we measured each lesion five times and recorded the

mean length and width. We calculated the surface area of each lesion on a particular snake and

added up the surface area of each lesion to determine the total lesion surface area. Using the

morphometric measurements collected in the field, we also calculated each snake's total surface

area from the snout to the tail tip. We then calculated the percentage of total surface area covered

by lesions. We also quantified disease severity following a previously described SFD scoring

system (Baker et al. 2019) using a combination of lesion type, location, number, and coverage.

Scores ranged from 4 (mild) to 12 (most severe). We compared the relationship between lesion

score and percentage of total surface area covered by lesions (Fig. S1) and used lesion surface

area as a more quantitative measure of severity as opposed to the ordinal metric in the final

analyses to describe disease severity.

(f) Statistical analyses

We analyzed data using Bayesian hierarchical models and we assessed statistical support

using credible intervals that do not overlap zero. We fit all models (unless otherwise noted) using

the No-U-Turn Sampler (NUTS), an extension of Hamiltonian Markov chain Monte Carlo

(HMCMC). We created all Bayesian models in the Stan computational framework (http://mc-

stan.org/) accessed with the "brms" package (Bürkner 2017). To improve convergence and avoid

over-fitting, we specified weakly informative priors (a normal distribution with mean of zero and

standard deviation of 10), unless otherwise noted. Models were run with a total of 4 chains for

2000 iterations each, with a burn-in period of 1000 iterations per chain resulting in 4000

posterior samples, which, given the more efficient NUTS sampler, was sufficient to achieve

adequate mixing and convergence. All $\hat{R}$ values were less than or equal to 1.01, indicating model

convergence. We performed all statistical analyses in R software version 4.2.0 (R Core Team

2022).

To examine hotspots (i.e., relative disease risk) of the pathogen *O. ophidiicola* across

Europe, we used the Getis-Ord Gi* analysis with Local Indicators of Spatial Association (LISA)

statistics in the open-source Geographic Information System QGIS (version 3.22.10). A grid map

of 100 km x 100 km was created across the landscape to aggregate point data and look at

significant spatial clustering of neighboring features (each square) using the resultant z-scores

and p-values. A heatmap was created to visualize the *O. ophidiicola* infection risk zone using a

kernel density estimation with a quartic kernel shape and kernel radius of 100 km in QGIS. To

investigate the effect of host species on pathogen prevalence, we used a Bayesian multilevel

model with a Bernoulli distribution, pathogen detection as our response variable (0|1), species as

our predictor variables, and a group-level effect of site. We excluded any species that had been

sampled fewer than four times. We ran a similar model as described above with genus instead of

species and included species as a group-level effect.

To investigate differences in lesion prevalence and disease severity in snakes that were

qPCR positive, we first ran a multilevel model with a Bernoulli distribution, with detection of

lesions on a snake in the field (0|1) as our response variable, species as our predictor variable,

and a group-level effect of site. To examine differences in disease severity for the snakes that

were positive for *O. ophidiicola,* our response variable was each square millimeter of surface

area of the snake, which was treated as Bernoulli trials in a binomial sample (1 = lesion present

or 0 = no lesion), and our group-level effects were individual snake and site. We performed a

comparison between our lesions severity metric (percent of body covered in lesion) and

previously described lesion scoring system (Baker et al. 2019), using a hierarchical model with

the scoring system as our response variable, and the fraction of skin covered in lesions as our

predictor with a Poisson distribution.

We examined the best model that explained *O. ophidiicola* prevalence across the

landscape using leave-one-out cross-validation (LOO). The multilevel models included the

population level effects of just species, just pathogen clade, an additive model of species and

clade, and an interactive model of species and clade, with a Bernoulli distribution, pathogen

detection as our response variable (0|1), and with site as a group-level effect for all four models.

Models were run with a total of 4 chains for 6000 iterations each, with a burn-in period of 1500

iterations per chain resulting in 18000 posterior samples. We pooled the four genotypes together

(i.e., I-A, I-B, II-D/E and II-F) into two clades (clade I and II) as sample sizes were generally too

small across species to look at separately. The clade variable consisted of either clade I (sites

where only snakes infected with clade I were detected) or both clades I and II (sites where snakes

were infected with either clade I or clade II, as there were only a few locations with detections of

only clade II). The final clade dataset used for this analysis included 16 sites and 751 snakes.

Prevalence by pathogen clade comparisons are only reported for four species (*N. natrix*, *N.*

tessellata, *N. helvetica*, and *Z. longissimus*) for which there was sufficient data. To further

examine the contribution of host species and pathogen clade to disease hotspots we also ran the

analysis as described above with the addition of a spatial conditional autoregressive (CAR) term

in "brms". Finally, to examine how pathogen clade influenced infection severity, we performed

an analysis where our response variable was each square millimeter of surface area of the snake,

which was treated as a Bernoulli trial in a binomial sample and our predictor was pathogen clade,

with a group-level effect of individual snake and species.

6. Results

We captured 1254 individual snakes from 21 species representing 6 genera (Fig. 1, Table

S1). A total of 2628 swabs were collected, including 2357 full body skin swabs and 271 lesion

swabs. Overall, *O. ophidiicola* prevalence confirmed by qPCR was 8.7% (n = 109 positive

snakes) and prevalence was highly variable across the landscape. The hotspot analysis confirmed

that sites in Switzerland and across the border in France (Franche-Comte) have elevated

pathogen prevalence compared to surrounding areas (99% confidence interval, Z-score ≥ 2.58; p

≤ 0.01, Fig. 1 and S2), as well as sites sampled in southern Germany, eastern Austria, and eastern

Ukraine, but to a lesser extent (95% confidence interval, $1.96 \leq Z$ score < 2.58; $0.01 < p \leq 0.05$).

Other locations where *O. ophidiicola* was detected (Czech Republic, Hungary, and Poland) were

not found to be significant hotspot (p > 0.05). Pathogen prevalence was highest in Switzerland

(26.7%, Fig. 1, S3), followed by Germany (12.5%, Fig. S3) and Ukraine (12.1%, Fig. S3).

Poland and the Iberian Peninsula (Spain and Portugal) had the lowest prevalence at 2.7%, 0.0%,

and 0.0% respectively, despite comparable sample sizes to other locations (Table S1).

Figure 1. Spatial distribution of snake captures and detections of *O. ophidiicola* across

Europe. Each circle represents an individual snake capture and overlapping points were slightly

jittered for visualization. Different colors are used to distinguish countries, filled points indicate

snakes that were qPCR positive, and outlined points are qPCR negative snakes. Underlying

density heatmap shows spatial distribution of *O. ophidiicola* infection risk based on qPCR

positive detections using a kernel density estimation algorithm for visualization. We used a 100-

km radius around each positive point and the scale bar indicates point density (i.e. relative

disease risk) across each region.

In addition to geographic variation, differences in *O. ophidiicola* prevalence were

considerable among hosts (Fig. S4). We found statistical support (results formatted as coefficient

± standard deviation (95% credible intervals)) that species in the *Natrix* genus had higher

prevalence (8.2%; intercept: -2.51 ± 0.50 (-3.62, -1.67)) than other genera sampled (0.7%,

Coronella coeff: -3.13 ± 1.23 (-6.07, -1.24); 0.3%, *Dolichophis* coeff: -8.64 ± 5.60 (-22.53, -

0.99); 0.2%, *Vipera* coeff: -4.05 ± 0.87 (-5.95, -2.53)), except for *Hierophis* and *Zamenis* (4.2%

and 5.9 %, coeffs: -0.81 ± 0.48 (-1.79, 0.10) and -0.41 ± 0.43 (-1.27, 0.39), respectively). There

was also large variation in pathogen prevalence among congeneric species. *Natrix tessellata* had

higher *O. ophidiicola* prevalence (model prediction: 15.8 ± 6.2%; Fig. 2 and Table S2) compared

to several other members of the *Natrix* genus including *Natrix astreptophora* (0.6%, coeff: -8.5 ±

5.8 (-21.77, -0.39)), and *Natrix maura* (2.0%, coeff: -2.66 ± 1.31 (-5.7, -0.56), but there was no

statistical support for differences from *Natrix helvetica* (13.7%, coeff: -0.16 ± 0.38 (-0.9, 0.58))

or *Natrix natrix* (7.6%, coeff: -0.75 ± 0.49 (-1.73, 0.23)); Fig. 2 and Table S2).

Figure 2. Prevalence of *O. ophidiicola* in different species of snakes across Europe. Each

black circle represents a single snake as being either negative (0) or positive (1), which was then

used to calculate pathogen prevalence (fraction of the population that was positive). Larger

circles and whiskers show the model predicted posterior mean ± standard deviation (thick lines),

and 95% credible intervals (thin lines) for each species across all countries. Colors indicate

different species.

Overall, skin lesions were observed in 187 snakes from 15 species across all countries,

but only 46.5% of those tested positive by qPCR (n = 87). Of all the snakes that tested positive

by qPCR (n = 109), 80% of those had skin lesions that were consistent with SFD, while the other

20% had no visible skin lesion (n = 22) (Fig. 3a, Fig. S5). We found a high probability of finding

lesions on a snake if they tested positive for *O. ophidiicola* (range 46.2% – 92.8%, except for

two viper species which had no visual sign of disease, Fig. 3a). We observed variation in disease

severity but no statistical support for differences among species (Fig. 3b, Table S3).

Figure 3. Lesion prevalence (a) and disease severity (b) in *O. ophidiicola*-positive snakes across different species. Color circles and whiskers show the model predicted posterior mean, ± standard deviation (thick lines), and 95% credible intervals (thin lines) for different species across all countries. (a) Each black circle represents a single snake as being either negative (0) or positive (1) for presence of lesions, which was used to calculate the proportion of the population that tested positive (prevalence). (b) Each black circle represents the percentage of the body of a

single snake covered in lesions and the size of the circle is proportional to the total surface area

of the snake (scale ranges 250 – 1,000 cm^2).

Genotyping analyses were successful for 85.3% of positives swabs (93 total swab

samples) that were qPCR positive for *O. ophidiicola*. A total of four unique genotypes were

observed, belonging to two of the major *O. ophidiicola* clades (clade I & II, (Ladner et al. 2022))

(Table S4). Two of these genotypes (designated here as I-A and I-B) resided within clade I (i.e.,

the "European clade"). What we refer to as genotype I-A had an ITS2 sequence identical to

strains previously isolated from Great Britain, while genotype I-B had an ITS2 region sequence

identical to a strain from Czechia (Franklinos et al. 2017). The remaining two genotypes that we

observed in our study resided within clade II (i.e., the "North American clade") and were

identical to ITS2 region sequences of clonal lineages II-D/E (lineages D and E have identical

sequences in the ITS2 region) and II-F (Ladner et al. 2022). Here we refer to these genotypes as

II-D/E and II-F, respectively, although strains detected in this study may not be true

representatives of the clonal lineages reported from North America since recombinant strains can

have identical ITS2 sequences as clonal lineages (Ladner et al. 2022). We found that both clade I

and clade II are present across much of continental Europe, ranging from eastern France to

eastern Ukraine; however, both clades were not present in all locations where *O. ophidiicola* was

detected. Genotype I-A was detected primarily in western Europe (Switzerland, Germany, and

Austria), whereas genotype I-B was detected in eastern Europe (Czechia, Austria, Hungary,

Poland, and Ukraine) (Fig. 4a). Genotype II-D/E was more widely distributed across Europe,

whereas genotype II-F was only found along a single lake in Switzerland (Fig. 4a). On two

occasions, snakes were found to be infected with multiple genotypes of *O. ophidiicola*: a snake

from Switzerland from which genotypes I-A and II-D/E were detected and a snake from Hungary

from which genotypes I-A and I-B were detected.

(a)

Figure 4. Distribution of *O. ophidiicola* clades across Europe. (a) *O. ophidiicola* clades and

lineages from positive detections across the landscape in Europe. Points are slightly jittered for

better visual representation of the sampling range. Color of the point indicates the clades and

genotypes, samples that were qPCR negative are represented as grey points, and samples that

failed to amplify with the genotyping PCR are represented as black points. Yellow and purple

points represent simultaneous detections of genotypes I-A and II-D/E and genotypes I-A and I-B,

respectively, from the same swab sample (i.e., snakes infected with multiple genotypes). The

enlarged map (inset) shows better resolution of detections in Switzerland. (b) *O. ophidiicola*

prevalence across snake species based on *O. ophidiicola* clade presence at the different sites.

Small color points are mean prevalence at a site for a given species and clade. Large color points

and whiskers show the model predicted posterior mean, ± standard deviation (thick lines), and

95% credible intervals (thin lines) across different species. (c) Photo of a *N. tessellata* from

Hungary infected with *O. ophidiicola* from clade I-B. (d) Photo of a *N. tessellata* from

Switzerland infected with *O. ophidiicola* from clade II-F showing facial infection.

The top two models, as determined through LOO, that best predicted *O. ophidiicola*

prevalence across the landscape included both host species and pathogen clade as predictor

variables, with the best fit model including an interaction between these two variables (Table

S5). There was statistical support that *N. natrix* and *Z. longissimus* have higher probabilities of

being infected when clade II was detected at a site compared to just clade I (*N. natrix* coeff: 2.09

± 1.09 (0.05, 4.27), *Z. longissimus* coeff: 3.15 ± 1.23 (0.84, 5.69)). A third species, *N. helvetica*,

was associated with higher probability of infection when clade II was detected at a site, but the

credible intervals included zero (coeff: 0.64 ± 1.16 (-1.59, 3.03)). Conversely, we found that the

species with the highest pathogen prevalence, *N. tessellata,* had no differences in infection

probability based on clade (Fig. 4b, Table S6). Results from the spatial regression resulted in

qualitatively similar results, where both species present and pathogen clade were important

predictors of pathogen prevalence (*N. natrix* coeff: 1.10 ± 0.75 (-0.73, 2.35), *Z. longissimus*

coeff: 1.74 ± 0.85 (0.10, 3.44), *N. helvetica* coeff: 0.09 ± 0.44 (-0.72, 0.95)), except for *N.*

tessellata (coeff: -0.59 ± 0.54 (-1.66, 0.42)) (Table S7). Snakes that were infected by a strain of

O. ophidiicola belonging to clade I generally had less severe disease when compared to snakes

infected with a strain from clade II, although there was no strong statistical support as the

credible intervals overlapped zero (clade I coeff: -0.10 ± 0.34 (-0.77, 0.57), Fig. S6).

4. <u>Discussion</u>

Our results support the presence of hotspots for SFD across Europe and the potential

factors that contribute to higher infection prevalence. *O. ophidiicola* was detected in all countries

studied except for those on the Iberian Peninsula (Spain and Portugal), which may be attributed

to numerous factors (e.g. different species community, geographical barriers (Pyrenees

mountains), or environmental conditions) that may be unsuitable for persistence and growth of

O. ophidiicola. Our surveys establish four regions with elevated prevalence of *O. ophidiicola* in

Europe*,* with the highest being in Switzerland.

Snake fungal disease has garnered much attention over the last few decades, as this

disease has negatively affected snake populations (Allender et al. 2015, Lorch et al. 2016).

Despite this, few studies have systematically examined differences in disease severity and

prevalence across species. We found high variability of infection prevalence among snake

species, irrespective of the sampling location. Snakes in the *Natrix* genus had a higher

probability of infection compared to other genera, indicating that species in this genus may be

more susceptible to *O. ophidiicola* infections and are likely to be important in maintaining

elevated pathogen prevalence in a region. Underlying host characteristics such as dependence on

aquatic habitats, have previously been found to be associated with higher *O. ophidiicola*

infection prevalence (McKenzie et al. 2019), which could partly explain increased infection in

this genus. *Natrix tessellata* had the highest pathogen prevalence, followed by *N. helvetica* and

N. natrix, with all three species being either semiaquatic or living near water (with *N. tessellata*

being more piscivorous). Interestingly, only two vipers (out of a total of 341 samples) tested

positive for *O. ophidiicola*, and both snakes had no visual signs of infection (i.e. no lesions

present). This indicates that viperids may not be competent hosts for *O. ophidiicola*, possibly due

to environmental associations or behavioral and physiological mechanisms. Contrary to this,

North American pit vipers, such as the massassauga rattlesnake (*Sistrurus catenatus*), have been

reported to develop severe clinical signs of SFD (Allender et al. 2011). This could be attributed

to a sampling bias from more intensive monitoring of threatened rattlesnake species, or

rattlesnakes may have increased susceptibility to SFD due to their habitat requirements

(massassauga rattlesnakes are found near swamps and marshes) compared to European vipers,

which are generally associated with drier environments (except for *V. berus* which can be

associated with cool and humid habitats).

In our study, no mortality was reported, and snakes generally appeared healthy except in

a few cases where infection was severe and had spread to the face with possible disruption to

foraging behavior (Fig. S4). The low disease severity observed in Europe could be the result of

increased host resistance or generally lower pathogen virulence. We also found that only 46% of

snakes with lesions tested positive for *O. ophidiicola*, which has also been reported from North

America (Chandler et al. 2019, Haynes et al. 2020). The lesions that could not be attributed to *O.*

ophidiicola infection looked similar to SFD skin lesions and may be fungal or bacterial in origin

(Dubey et al. 2022). Further research investigating other sublethal effects of SFD and the

interaction between *O. ophidiicola* and other pathogens is important.

We found that models accounting for both host species and pathogen clade best explained

the variation in pathogen prevalence across the landscape. Importantly, the top model included

an interaction between host species and pathogen clade, indicating that the effect of clade is not

the same across species. Clade II was found in two of the four pathogen hotspots across Europe,

and generally when clade II was present in an area, we found support that the probability of

detecting *O. ophidiicola* was higher for three (*N. natrix*, *Z. longissimus*, and *N. helvetica)* of the

four species with the highest prevalence. Hotspots in Switzerland were primarily driven by *N.*

tessellata, which showed no difference in detection based on whether just clade I or both clades I

and II were present. This indicates that *N. tessellata* may be equivalently susceptible to both

clades I and II or that other factors may be contributing to infection probability in this species.

The history and origin of *O. ophidiicola* in Europe is unclear. Sampling of museum

specimens has revealed that strains of *O. ophidiicola* belonging to clades I and II were present in

Switzerland as early as 1959 (Origgi et al. 2022). It is estimated that clade I shared a common

ancestor within the last 100 to 500 years, but that analysis only included four clade I strains and

may greatly underestimate the time that *O. ophidiicola* has been present in Europe (Ladner et al.

2022). Despite the designation of clade II as the "North American" clade, it is believed that *O.*

ophidiicola is not native to North America and multiple introductions (most likely from Eurasia)

have occurred over the last century (Ladner et al. 2022). Thus, it is plausible that either or both

clades I and II are native to Europe. Strains of *O. ophidiicola* isolated from wild snakes in

Taiwan reside within clade II (Sun et al. 2021, Ladner et al. 2022), which could also indicate a

southeast Asian origin for that clade, raising the possibility that clade II is not native to Europe.

Clade II has been detected on captive snakes in Europe (Ladner et al. 2022), which could serve

as a source for transmission into wild populations. An introduction of clade II into Europe

sometime before 1960 and subsequent spread could explain the wide distribution of this clade as

detected in our sampling. We detected two genotypes within clade II. One of these (II-D/E) was

widely distributed, whereas the other (II-F) was detected in a single snake community around a

lake in Switzerland. That snake community includes an introduced population of *N. tessellata*.

Taken together, this could indicate that genotype II-F was more recently introduced to Europe,

perhaps through the release of snakes originating in captivity. However, determining the genetic

diversity and origin of the various lineages of *O. ophidiicola* in Europe would require more in-

depth studies.

We find several disease hotspots in Europe, which could be attributed, at least partially,

to specific host species and the presence of distinct pathogen clades. The shape of this

relationship varied among areas with higher disease prevalence, and in some cases, host species

had higher infections regardless of pathogen genotypes, and others were likely attributed to the

presence of specific susceptible hosts infected with a pathogen clade that may be more

transmissible. Although virulence is recognized as an important factor in the effects of disease on

host populations, the general lack of landscape level data on pathogen lineage distribution and

association with disease has likely limited our ability to determine its importance for other

disease hotspots.

Ethics

Handling of snakes was reviewed and approved by Virginia Tech Institute for Animal Care and

Use Committee protocol 20-055. Permits to conduct our field study were obtained when

<u>Funding</u>

This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation Graduate

Research Fellowship under Grant No. 480040. Additional funding to GB was provided by a

Virginia Tech Cunningham fellowship, and a CeZAP (Center for Emerging, Zoonotic, and

Arthropod-borne Pathogens) grant as part of the Infectious Diseases (ID) Interdisciplinary

Graduate Education Program (IGEP). FM-F is supported by FCT - Fundação para a Ciência e a

Tecnologia, Portugal (contract ref. DL57/2016/CP1440/CT0010). Sampling in Poland was

funded by the statutory funds of the Institute of Nature Conservation, Polish Academy of

Science. Sampling in Hungary was supported by Duna-Ipoly National Park, Duna-Dráva

National Park, Aggtelek National Park, and LIFE HUNVIPHAB project

(LIFE18NAT/HU/000799). Sampling in France was funded by the Agence de l'eau Rhône-

Méditerranée-Corse, Région Bourgogne-Franche-Comté, DREAL Bourgogne-Franche-Comté,

Département du Jura, Doubs and Territoire de Belfort, and UNICEM (to AM and TC), and by

the Regional Council of Nouvelle-Aquitaine and Aquastress project (2018-1R20214 to OL).

Acknowledgements

We thank Otto Aßmann, Florian Bacher, Jacek Błachuta, Philomin Briot, Jon Buldain, Maxime

Chèvre, Pierre Cheveau, Sylvain Dubey, Anna Egerer, Lea Endrejat, Karin Ernst, Niklas

Franzen, Inês Freitas, Georg Gassner, Davy Guinchard, Krisztián Harmos, Marietta Hengl, Piotr

Hubal, Kacper Jurczyk, Yurii Kornilev, Roman Kurek, Nahla Lucchini, Adrian Neumann,

Daniel Renner, Sandra Wallner, and Bartłomiej Zając for assistance in the field. Many thanks

also to Kate Langwig for statistical insights, and to Oleksandra Klynova and Megan Winzeler for

laboratory assistance.

Author contributions

GB: conceptualization, data curation, investigation, formal analysis, visualization, methodology,

writing—original draft, writing—review and editing; JML: methodology, investigation,

writing—review and editing; NJ: methodology, resources, investigation, writing—review and

editing; SB: investigation, resources, writing—review and editing; TC: methodology,

investigation, writing—review and editing; MF: investigation, writing—review and editing; FM-

F: investigation, writing—review and editing; GG: investigation, writing—review and editing;

BH: investigation, writing—review and editing; AK: investigation, writing—review and editing;

KK: investigation, writing—review and editing; OL: investigation, writing—review and editing;

AM: methodology, investigation, writing—review and editing; RM: investigation, writing—

review and editing; SS: investigation, review and editing; BS: investigation, writing—review and

editing; SU: methodology, resources, investigation, writing—review and editing; OZ:

investigation, writing—review and editing; JRH: conceptualization, resources, supervision,

funding acquisition, writing—review and editing.

Disclaimer

Any use of trade, firm, or product names is for descriptive purposes only and does not imply

endorsement by the U.S. Government.

Data availability statement: The datasets and code generated for this study will be made

available in Dryad Digital Repository upon final submission.

Conflict of interest declaration

The authors declare no competing interests.

References:

Allender, M. C., M. Dreslik, S. Wylie, C. Phillips, D. B. Wylie, C. Maddox, M. A. Delaney, and

M. J. Kinsel. 2011. *Chrysosporium* sp. infection in eastern massasauga rattlesnakes.

Emerging Infectious Diseases **17**:2383.

Allender, M. C., D. B. Raudabaugh, F. H. Gleason, and A. N. Miller. 2015. The natural history,

ecology, and epidemiology of *Ophidiomyces ophiodiicola* and its potential impact on

free-ranging snake populations. Fungal Ecology **17**:187-196.

Allender, M. C., M. J. Ravesi, E. Haynes, E. Ospina, C. Petersen, C. A. Phillips, and R. Lovich.

2020. Ophidiomycosis, an emerging fungal disease of snakes: Targeted surveillance on

military lands and detection in the western US and Puerto Rico. PLoS One **15**:e0240415.

Ashford, R. W. 2003. When is a reservoir not a reservoir? Emerg Infect Dis **9**:1495-1496.

Baker, S. J., E. Haynes, M. Gramhofer, K. Stanford, S. Bailey, M. Christman, K. Conley, S.

Frasca, R. J. Ossiboff, and D. Lobato. 2019. Case definition and diagnostic testing for

snake fungal disease. Herpetological Review **50**:279-285.

Balaz, V., J. Vörös, P. Civis, J. Vojar, A. Hettyey, E. Sos, R. Dankovics, R. Jehle, D. G.

Christiansen, F. Clare, M. C. Fisher, T. W. Garner, and J. Bielby. 2014. Assessing risk

and guidance on monitoring of *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* in Europe through

identification of taxonomic selectivity of infection. Conserv Biol **28**:213-223.

Becker, C. G., S. E. Greenspan, K. E. Tracy, J. A. Dash, C. Lambertini, T. S. Jenkinson, D. S.

Leite, L. F. Toledo, J. E. Longcore, T. Y. James, and K. R. Zamudio. 2017. Variation in

phenotype and virulence among enzootic and panzootic amphibian chytrid lineages.

Fungal Ecology **26**:45-50.

Bohuski, E., J. M. Lorch, K. M. Griffin, and D. S. Blehert. 2015. TaqMan real-time polymerase

chain reaction for detection of *Ophidiomyces ophiodiicola*, the fungus associated with

snake fungal disease. BMC Veterinary Research **11**:95.

Brown, V. L., J. M. Drake, D. E. Stallknecht, J. D. Brown, K. Pedersen, and P. Rohani. 2013.

Dissecting a wildlife disease hotspot: the impact of multiple host species, environmental

transmission and seasonality in migration, breeding and mortality. J R Soc Interface

10:20120804.

Burbrink, F. T., J. M. Lorch, and K. R. Lips. 2017. Host susceptibility to snake fungal disease is

highly dispersed across phylogenetic and functional trait space. Science Advances

3:e1701387.

Bürkner, P. C. 2017. brms: An R package for Bayesian multilevel models using Stan. Journal of

Statistical Software **80**:1-28.

Chandler, H. C., M. C. Allender, B. S. Stegenga, E. Haynes, E. Ospina, and D. J. Stevenson.

2019. Ophidiomycosis prevalence in Georgia's Eastern Indigo Snake (*Drymarchon*

couperi) populations. PLoS One **14**:e0218351.

Davy, C. M., L. Shirose, D. Campbell, R. Dillon, C. McKenzie, N. Nemeth, T. Braithwaite, H.

Cai, T. Degazio, T. Dobbie, S. Egan, H. Fotherby, J. D. Litzgus, P. Manorome, S. Marks,

J. E. Paterson, L. Sigler, D. Slavic, E. Slavik, J. Urquhart, and C. Jardine. 2021.

Revisiting Ophidiomycosis (snake fungal disease) after a decade of targeted research.

Front Vet Sci **8**:665805.

Dorcas, M. E., and J. D. Willson. 2009. Innovative methods for studies of snake ecology and

conservation. Snakes: Ecology and Conservation:5-37.

Dubey, S., S. Pellaud, K. Gindro, J. Schuerch, J. Golay, R. Gloor, K. Ghali, and O. Dubey. 2022.

Fungal infection in free-ranging snakes caused by opportunistic species. Emerging

Animal Species **3**:100001.

Franklinos, L. H., J. M. Lorch, E. Bohuski, J. R.-R. Fernandez, O. N. Wright, L. Fitzpatrick, S.

Petrovan, C. Durrant, C. Linton, and V. Baláž. 2017. Emerging fungal pathogen

Ophidiomyces ophiodiicola in wild European snakes. Scientific Reports **7**:1-7.

Gandon, S., and Y. Michalakis. 2000. Evolution of parasite virulence against qualitative or

648   quantitative host resistance. Proc Biol Sci **267**:985-990.

649   Greener, M. S., E. Verbrugghe, M. Kelly, M. Blooi, W. Beukema, S. Canessa, S. Carranza, S.

650   Croubels, N. De Troyer, D. Fernandez-Giberteau, P. Goethals, L. Lens, Z. Li, G. Stegen,

651   D. Strubbe, R. van Leeuwenberg, S. Van Praet, M. Vila-Escale, M. Vervaeke, F.

652   Pasmans, and A. Martel. 2020. Presence of low virulence chytrid fungi could protect

653   European amphibians from more deadly strains. Nat Commun **11**:5393.

654   Grioni, A., K. W. To, P. Crow, L. Rose-Jeffreys, L. O. Chu, F. I. Hill, H. K. Chan, and K. S.

655   Cheung. 2021. Detection of *Ophidiomyces ophidiicola* in a wild Burmese python (*Python

656   bivittatus*) in Hong Kong SAR, China. The Journal of Herpetological Medicine and

657   Surgery **31**:283-291.

658   Hawley, D. M., E. E. Osnas, A. P. Dobson, W. M. Hochachka, D. H. Ley, and A. A. Dhondt.

659   2013. Parallel patterns of increased virulence in a recently emerged wildlife pathogen.

660   PLoS Biol **11**:e1001570.

661   Haydon, D. T., S. Cleaveland, L. H. Taylor, and M. K. Laurenson. 2002. Identifying reservoirs

662   of infection: A conceptual and practical challenge. Emerging Infectious Diseases **8**:1468-

663   1473.

664   Haynes, E., H. C. Chandler, B. S. Stegenga, L. Adamovicz, E. Ospina, D. Zerpa-Catanho, D. J.

665   Stevenson, and M. C. Allender. 2020. Ophidiomycosis surveillance of snakes in Georgia,

666   USA reveals new host species and taxonomic associations with disease. Scientific

667   Reports **10**:1-15.

Holdo, R. M., A. R. E. Sinclair, A. P. Dobson, K. L. Metzger, B. M. Bolker, M. E. Ritchie, and

R. D. Holt. 2009. A disease-mediated trophic cascade in the serengeti and its implications

for ecosystem C. Plos Biology **7**.

Hyatt, A. D., D. G. Boyle, V. Olsen, D. B. Boyle, L. Berger, D. Obendorf, A. Dalton, K. Kriger,

M. Hero, H. Hines, R. Phillott, R. Campbell, G. Marantelli, F. Gleason, and A. Colling.

2007. Diagnostic assays and sampling protocols for the detection of *Batrachochytrium*

dendrobatidis. Diseases of Aquatic Organisms **73**:175-192.

Kilpatrick, A. M., A. A. Chmura, D. W. Gibbons, R. C. Fleischer, P. P. Marra, and P. Daszak.

2006. Predicting the global spread of H5N1 avian influenza. Proceedings of the National

Academy of Sciences of the United States of America **103**:19368-19373.

Krauss, S., D. E. Stallknecht, N. J. Negovetich, L. J. Niles, R. J. Webby, and R. G. Webster.

2010. Coincident ruddy turnstone migration and horseshoe crab spawning creates an

ecological 'hot spot' for influenza viruses. Proc Biol Sci **277**:3373-3379.

LaDeau, S. L., A. M. Kilpatrick, and P. P. Marra. 2007. West Nile virus emergence and large-

scale declines of North American bird populations. Nature **447**:710-713.

Ladner, J. T., J. M. Palmer, C. L. Ettinger, J. E. Stajich, T. M. Farrell, B. M. Glorioso, B.

Lawson, S. J. Price, A. G. Stengle, D. A. Grear, and J. M. Lorch. 2022. The population

genetics of the causative agent of snake fungal disease indicate recent introductions to the

USA. PLoS Biol **20**:e3001676.

Laggan, N. A., K. L. Parise, J. P. White, H. M. Kaarakka, J. A. Redell, J. E. DePue, W. H.

Scullon, J. Kath, J. T. Foster, A. M. Kilpatrick, K. E. Langwig, and J. R. Hoyt. 2022.

Host abundance and heterogeneity in infectiousness determine extent of the

environmental reservoir. bioRxiv:2022.2009.2020.508714.

Langwig, K. E., W. F. Frick, J. T. Bried, A. C. Hicks, T. H. Kunz, and A. M. Kilpatrick. 2012.

692   Sociality, density-dependence and microclimates determine the persistence of

693   populations suffering from a novel fungal disease, white-nose syndrome. Ecol Lett **15**.

694   Langwig, K. E., J. R. Hoyt, K. L. Parise, W. F. Frick, J. T. Foster, and A. M. Kilpatrick. 2017.

695   Resistance in persisting bat populations after white-nose syndrome invasion.

696   Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences **372**:20160044.

697   Li, K. S., Y. Guan, J. Wang, G. J. Smith, K. M. Xu, L. Duan, A. P. Rahardjo, P. Puthavathana, C.

698   Buranathai, T. D. Nguyen, A. T. Estoepangestie, A. Chaisingh, P. Auewarakul, H. T.

699   Long, N. T. Hanh, R. J. Webby, L. L. Poon, H. Chen, K. F. Shortridge, K. Y. Yuen, R. G.

700   Webster, and J. S. Peiris. 2004. Genesis of a highly pathogenic and potentially pandemic

701   H5N1 influenza virus in eastern Asia. Nature **430**:209-213.

702   Lorch, J. M., S. Knowles, J. S. Lankton, K. Michell, J. L. Edwards, J. M. Kapfer, R. A. Staffen,

703   E. R. Wild, K. Z. Schmidt, and A. E. Ballmann. 2016. Snake fungal disease: an emerging

704   threat to wild snakes. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological

705   Sciences **371**:20150457.

706   Marini, D., M. R. Di Nicola, V. Crocchianti, T. Notomista, D. Iversen, L. Coppari, M. Di

707   Criscio, V. Brouard, J.-L. C. M. Dorne, J. Rüegg, and M. L. Marenzoni. 2023. Pilot

708   survey reveals ophidiomycosis in dice snakes Natrix tessellata from Lake Garda, Italy.

709   Veterinary Research Communications. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11259-023-10129-7

710   McClure, K. M., R. C. Fleischer, and A. M. Kilpatrick. 2020. The role of native and introduced

711   birds in transmission of avian malaria in Hawaii. Ecology **101**:e03038.

McKenzie, J. M., S. J. Price, J. L. Fleckenstein, A. N. Drayer, G. M. Connette, E. Bohuski, and J.

M. Lorch. 2019. Field diagnostics and seasonality of *Ophidiomyces ophiodiicola* in wild

snake populations. Ecohealth **16**:141-150.

McLeod, D. V., and S. Gandon. 2022. Effects of epistasis and recombination between vaccine-

escape and virulence alleles on the dynamics of pathogen adaptation. Nat Ecol Evol

6:786-793.

Meier, G., T. Notomista, D. Marini, and V. Ferri. 2018. First case of Snake Fungal Disease

affecting a free-ranging *Natrix natrix* (LINNAEUS, 1758) in Ticino Canton, Switzerland.

Herpetology Notes **11**:885-891.

O'Hanlon, S. J., A. Rieux, R. A. Farrer, G. M. Rosa, B. Waldman, A. Bataille, T. A. Kosch, K.

A. Murray, B. Brankovics, and M. Fumagalli. 2018. Recent Asian origin of chytrid fungi

causing global amphibian declines. Science **360**:621-627.

Origgi, F. C., S. R. R. Pisano, O. Glaizot, S. T. Hertwig, A. Schmitz, and S. Ursenbacher. 2022.

Ophidiomyces ophiodiicola, the etiologic agent of snake fungal disease, is present in

Europe since the late 1950's. Emerging Infectious Diseases **28**.

Pandey, A., N. Mideo, and T. G. Platt. 2022. Virulence evolution of pathogens that can grow in

reservoir environments. Am Nat **199**:141-158.

Paull, S. H., S. Song, K. M. McClure, L. C. Sackett, A. M. Kilpatrick, and P. T. J. Johnson.

2012. From superspreaders to disease hotspots: linking transmission across hosts and

space. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment **10**:75-82.

R Core Team. 2022. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for

Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL https://www.R-project.org/.

Ribeiro, L. P., T. Carvalho, C. G. Becker, T. S. Jenkinson, D. D. S. Leite, T. Y. James, S. E.

Greenspan, and L. F. Toledo. 2019. Bullfrog farms release virulent zoospores of the frog-

killing fungus into the natural environment. Scientific Reports **9**:13422.

Schneider, C. A., W. S. Rasband, and K. W. Eliceiri. 2012. NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of

image analysis. Nat Methods **9**:671-675.

Sun, P. L., C. K. Yang, W. T. Li, W. Y. Lai, Y. C. Fan, H. C. Huang, and P. H. Yu. 2021.

Infection with *Nannizziopsis guarroi* and *Ophidiomyces ophiodiicola* in reptiles in

Taiwan. Transboundary and Emerging Diseases **69**:764-775.

Sutherland, W. J., R. Aveling, T. M. Brooks, M. Clout, L. V. Dicks, L. Fellman, E. Fleishman,

D. W. Gibbons, B. Keim, and F. Lickorish. 2014. A horizon scan of global conservation

issues for 2014. Trends in ecology & evolution **29**:15-22.

Urbina, J., E. M. Bredeweg, T. S. Garcia, and A. R. Blaustein. 2018. Host–pathogen dynamics

among the invasive American bullfrog (*Lithobates catesbeianus*) and chytrid fungus

(*Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*). Hydrobiologia **817**:267-277.

van Riper, C., S. G. van Riper, M. L. Goff, and M. Laird. 1986. The epizootiology and

ecological significance of malaria in Hawaiian land birds. Ecological Monographs

56:327-344.

Voyles, J., S. Young, L. Berger, C. Campbell, W. Voyles, A. Dinudom, D. Cook, R. Webb, R.

Alford, L. Skerratt, and R. Speare. 2009. Pathogenesis of chytridiomycosis, a cause of

catastrophic amphibian declines. Science **326**:582 - 585.

White, T. J., T. Bruns, S. Lee, and J. Taylor. 1990. Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal

ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. Pages 315-322 *in* M. A. Innis, D. H. Gelfland, J.

J. Sninsky, and T. J. White, editors. PCR Protocols: A Guide to Methods and

Applications. Academic Press Inc., San Diego, CA.

Wilber, M. Q., P. T. J. Johnson, and C. J. Briggs. 2020. Disease hotspots or hot species?

Infection dynamics in multi-host metacommunities controlled by species identity, not

source location. Ecol Lett **23**:1201-1211.

761