Title

Immediate reuse of patch-clamp pipettes with sonification

Authors

Kevin Jehasse[1,*], Sophie Wetz[1,3], Peter Neumann-Raizel[4,#], Björn M. Kampa[1,2,3,#,*]

Affiliation

[1]Systems Neurophysiology, Institute of Biology II, RWTH-Aachen University, Aachen,
Germany

[2]JARA BRAIN, Institute of Neuroscience and Medicine (INM-10), Forschungszentrum
Jülich, Germany

[3]Research Training Group 2610 InnoRetVision, RWTH-Aachen University, Aachen,
Germany

[4]Luigs & Neumann GmbH, Ratingen, Germany

*Correspondence: Kevin Jehasse: jehasse@bio2.rwth-aachen.de; Björn M. Kampa:
kampa@brain.rwth-aachen.de

# equal contribution

Abstract

The patch-clamp technique has revolutionized neurophysiology by allowing to study

single neuronal excitability, synaptic connectivity, morphology, and the transcriptomic

profile. However, the throughput in recordings is limited because of the manual

replacement of patch-pipettes after each attempt which are often also unsuccessful.

This has been overcome by automated cleaning the tips in detergent solutions,

allowing to reuse the pipette for further recordings. Here, we developed a novel method

of automated cleaning by sonicating the tips within the bath solution wherein the cells

are placed, reducing the risk of contaminating the bath solution or internal solution of

the recording pipette by any detergent and avoiding the necessity of a separate

chamber for cleaning. We showed that the patch-pipettes can be used consecutively

at least 10 times and that the cleaning process does not negatively impact neither the

brain slices nor other patched neurons. This method, combined with automated patch-clamp, highly improves the throughput for single and especially multiple recordings.

Introduction

Patch-clamp recording is a widely-used and powerful technique to study single-cell electrophysiology, especially in neuroscience. It led to the characterization of several aspects of neuronal physiology, *in vitro* and *in vivo*, such as ion channel activity underlying action potential[1,2], intrinsic excitability[3,4], synaptic integration[5,6] plasticity[7,8] and network activity[9,10]. Patch-clamp also allows recordings in the different compartments of neurons[11–13] including very distant dendritic branches or axonal sections[14]. In the *whole-cell* configuration, it is possible to dialyze cells with fluorescent dyes for morphological reconstructions and to collect the cytoplasm to analyze single-cell transcriptomic profiles[15,16]. Although the patch-clamp technique is well suited to characterize the heterogeneity of neurons in the brain, it is highly laborious and time-consuming with variable success rates resulting in a low-throughput. To overcome this issue, engineering advancements managed to automate patch-clamp *in vitro* and *in vivo*[17–19]. When automated, the software is able to track the patch pipette and individual neuron positions in order to approach and record them. While it reduces the human interaction for the recording, it is still required to manually change the patch pipette after each attempt.

To obtain a successful recording, one crucial parameter is to have a perfectly clean patch-clamp pipette tip filled with a filtered internal solution[20]. In this condition, there is a high chance to form a seal of high-resistance (≥ 1 GΩ, which is called "gigaseal") with the membrane of the neuron. After each successful or failed attempt, the used pipette has to be manually changed. Therefore, the human factor can be a big issue

when it comes to multiple recordings because of vibrations from the exchange that can affect the seal of other pipettes attached to neurons in the context of neuronal network characterization. It can also be an issue for *in vivo* patch-clamp studies as the manual pipette replacement could disrupt the animal and the following recordings. To prevent that, an automated method for cleaning patch pipettes has recently been developed[21]. This approach consists of immersing the tip of used patch-clamp pipettes in a separate chamber containing the detergent Alconox while applying cycles of positive and negative pressure to the pipette tip. Coupled with automated patch-clamp[22], the throughput of patch-clamp recording is significantly increased. However, the necessity of a separate bath cleaning chamber and the risk of contaminating the recording bath solution nor pipette internal solution with detergent reducing the usability of this cleaning method.

Since patch-clamp pipettes are made of borosilicate glass and can also be cleaned by sonification, we developed a novel cleaning system based on that method preventing the use of detergent. The sonification is performed by a piezo-element mounted on the recording headstage and connected to a pressure control system (Fig.1a). The ultrasonic cleaning is performed in artificial cerebrospinal fluid (aCSF) and allows to reuse the same patch-clamp pipette at least 10 times without affecting the recording quality. We also showed that the cleaning procedure within the same bath does not affect the stability of seal of other patch-clamp pipettes with their respective neurons. Therefore, ultrasonic cleaning is a powerful improvement offering significant advantages in particular for multiple simultaneous patch-clamp recordings.

Results

Cleaning efficiency

Before approaching the patch-clamp pipette to the brain slice, a "cleaning position" (>

5 mm from the slice) was defined to safely clean the pipette without affecting the

sample. This is determined by the micromanipulator control panel. When "cleaning" is

selected, the micromanipulator automatically goes to the saved XYZ coordinates (Fig.

1B). The piezo mounted on the headstage performs the sonification of the patch-clamp

pipettes by making it oscillate at 40 kHz. To help removing the membrane residue

inside the pipette, the sonification is coupled with a cycle of high positive and negative

pressure similar to the protocol used for cleaning in detergent[21]. After the cleaning

procedure the patch-clamp pipette can be placed into a "parking position" which

correspond to a determined XYZ distance to its original place. This prevents any

damage of the slice by the automatic replacement of the pipette and to freely move to

find another neuron to record.

For each attempt, the pipette resistance (R_{pip}) was monitored once a *whole-cell*

recording attempt was made, and compared before and after the ultrasonic cleaning

(Fig. 2A) to assess the efficiency of the procedure. We used pipettes with R_{pip} between

3-5 MΩ and between 8-15 MΩ to test the efficiency of ultrasonic cleaning on patch-

pipettes with regular tip size and sharp tip size regularly used, respectively for somatic

recordings and for dendritic recordings[23] or *in vivo* patch-clamp. As expected, R_{pip} was

reduced after sonification (before: 13.97 ± 0.45 MΩ; after: 6.75 ± 0.04 MΩ, n = 88

attempts, $p < 0.0001$, Wilcoxon signed-rank test). Over ten cleaning cycles, R_{pip}

remained constant ($p = 0.6454$ for fresh vs tenth cycle, n = 8, Wilcoxon signed-rank

test; Fig. 2B). These data show that ultrasonic cleaning coupled with alternating high

and low pressure can successfully remove remaining membrane residues and

repeatedly over at least ten attempts.

Next, we evaluated the quality of recordings by monitoring the resistance of gigaseal

(R_{GS}) and access resistance (R_a). R_{GS} was obtained before breaking in *whole-cell*,

when the value was steady. We managed to reach gigaseal in 88 neurons and *whole-*

cell configuration in 81 neurons with 8 pipettes (92.05% success rate) that were

cleaned 10 times and we observed no effect of ultrasonic cleaning on R_{GS} ($p = 0.988$,

Friedman test; Fig. 2C) and R_a ($p = 0.8192$, Kruskal-Wallis test; Fig. 2D). These results

show that with the novel sonification method patch-clamp pipettes can be reused at

least 10 times for successful *whole-cell* recordings.

Effect of sonification on cell survival

We next tested whether ultrasonic cleaning could affect neuronal survival within the

same chamber. In the context of simultaneous paired recordings, it is crucial that

cleaning one pipette tip does not affect other neurons maintained in *whole-cell* by the

patch-clamp pipettes. As mentioned above, the pipette is put at a safe distance (>5

mm) from the sample to prevent any harm or energy transfer to the other pipettes. In

this condition, the resting membrane potential (RMP) of neurons being recorded

simultaneously remained stable during the cleaning process (before: -65.0 ± 1.8 mV;

after: -65.1 ± 1.9 mV, $n = 5$, $p = 0.5625$, Wilcoxon signed-rank test; Fig. 3A, B) and

membrane resistance (R_m) is not affected (before: 110.3 ± 9.3 MΩ; after: 109.7 ± 7.7

MΩ, $n = 5$, $p > 0.99$, Wilcoxon signed-rank test; Fig. 3A, C). In one example case, we

successfully recorded the same neuron 3 times with the same patch-clamp pipette

after being cleaned and filled it with biocytin for confirmation (Fig. 3D, E). Its intrinsic

parameters remained stable for the all 3 attempts. And the post-hoc reconstructed

morphology did not show any sign of neuronal damage (Fig. 3E). Altogether, these

data show that ultrasonic patch-clamp pipette cleaning does not harm neurons situated

within the same bath chamber.

Discussion

The patch-clamp technique is a very powerful method but it is laborious and the success rate is not high. In order to increase its throughput, automatization of the patch-clamp technique has recently been the focus of engineering. While there have been several successful developments to automatize the whole procedure[17,19,22], it is crucial to overcome the changing of patch-clamp pipette. Others have shown that it is possible to clean the tips using either bleach[24] or detergent combined with automated pressure control[21,25]. However, even if not detected, there might be some trace left of these chemicals inside the patch-clamp pipette that can be harmful for the cells. We developed an ultrasonic cleaning system coupled with automated pressure control, which allows the tips to be cleaning in a physiological solution and is less likely to harm the cells.

Cleaning patch-clamp remains to this day one of, if not, the key element to make patch-clamp a routine. In the context of drug discovery, automated patch-clamp experiments are essential to explore the pharmacology of ion channels. Although we did not investigate the effect of ultrasonic cleaning on ion channels, Kolb and colleagues showed that GABA receptors pharmacology is not affected with pipettes being cleaned in Alconox[21]. With these observations combined with ours, showing that ultrasonic cleaning does not affect neuronal excitability, it is highly possible that our method is not affecting the pharmacology of ion channels either.

Regarding the longevity of pipettes, we did not investigate how many times pipettes can be used before replacing it with a fresh one. Kolb and colleagues showed that it is not possible to reuse the same pipette indefinitely[21]. We suspect that our approach will allow reusing the same pipettes for a limited time, as internal solution contains

chemicals that degrade when not refrigerated (e.g. ATP, GTP). Yet, for the time tested

in our experiments, we could repeatedly record even from the same neurons for up to

10 times suggesting that the life time of the pipette is not a limiting factor.

By being able to clean the pipette tip in standard aCSF, our method offers the

possibility to avoid using a second compartment. This is convenient, especially for *in*

vivo patch-clamp, as it would allow cleaning the pipette above the exposed brain and

reduce the time required to change it after each attempt. Coupled with recently

automated *in vivo* patch-clamp recordings[17], it will increase the throughput of these

recordings in vivo and make the method also more accessible.

In conclusion, we have developed a detergent free cleaning method for patch-clamp

pipettes based on sonification coupled with a pressure control system that can be

implemented for automated patch-clamp systems.

Methods

Cleaning procedure

We used a pressure control system (LN-PCS, Luigs & Neumann, Germany) to deliver

positive and negative pressure to the patch-pipette. The procedure is set and triggered

with a SM10 Remote Control Touch (Luigs & Neumann, Germany). The cleaning

protocol consisted of 6 steps alternating positive (+500 mBar for 3s) and negative

pressures (-300 mBar for 3s) and the frequency of sonification is set at 40 kHz.

Different pressures or frequencies can be used. A safe cleaning distance (> 5 mm from

the brain slice) was defined before the beginning of the experiment to prevent any

energy transfer from the pipette being cleaned to other pipettes attached to neurons in

whole-cell configuration.

Electrophysiology

All procedures were performed with the approval of local authority (LANUV NRW,

Germany). Acute brain slices were obtained as previously described[26]: adult C57BL/6

mice of both genders were anesthetized with isofluorane (AbbVie, UK) and

decapitated. 300 μm-thick coronal slices were cut with a vibratome (Leica VT1200s) in

an ice-cold modified cutting solution containing (in mM): 125 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 1.25

NaH_2PO_4, 25 $NaHCO_3$, 25 Glucose, 6 $MgCl_2$, 1 $CaCl_2$, pH 7.4 (95% O_2 / 5% CO_2 and

310 mOsm/l). Slices were incubated for 30 min at 34°C in aCSF containing (in mM):

125 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 1.25 NaH_2PO_4, 25 $NaHCO_3$, 25 Glucose, 1 $MgCl_2$, 2 $CaCl_2$, pH 7.4

(95% O_2 / 5% CO_2 and ~310 mOsm/l) and recovered at room temperature.

Patch-clamp recording were performed using a LNscope (Luigs & Neumann,

Germany) equipped with a 40x water immersion objective (Zeiss, Germany), infrared-

Dodt gradient contrast and a CMOS camera (Chameleion USB 3.0 monochrome

Camera, Point Grey, Canada). Patch pipettes (3-15 MΩ) were pulled from borosilicate

glass (GB150F-10, Scientific Products GmbH, Germany) with a horizontal puller (P-

1000, Sutter Instruments, Novato, CA, USA). The internal solution contained (in mM):

100 K-gluconate, 20 KCl, 10 Hepes, 4 Mg-ATP, 0.3 Na-GTP, 10 Na_2-phosphocreatine,

0.3 % biocytine, pH 7.2 (~300 mosm/l). Data were acquired with an EPC-10 USB

amplifier (Heka, Lambrecht, Germany) and Patchmaster Next software (Heka,

Lambrecht, Germany). Data were digitized at 20 kHz and lowpass filtered at 10 kHz.

Whole-cell patch-clamp recordings consisted of current steps from -100 pA to 300 pA

in steps of 50 pA for 500 ms. R_m was monitored before and after the cleaning procedure

of non-recording pipettes. RMP was monitored during the cleaning process to evaluate

any impact of the sonification on the stability of recording. R_{pip}, R_{GS} and R_a were

monitored in voltage-clamp mode to evaluate the efficiency of the cleaning procedure.

Data analysis

Data were analyzed with Matlab (version 2022a) or Stimfit 0.15 (Christoph Schmidt-

Hieber, UCL) and appropriate statistical analysis were performed using GraphPad

Prism 8. Representations in Fig. 1B and Fig. 3A were created with BioRender.com.

References

1. Bezanilla, F., Rojas, E. & Taylor, R. E. Sodium and potassium conductance
during a membrane action potential. *Journal of Physiology* **211**, 729–751
(1970).
2. de Hass, V. & Vogel, W. Sodium and potassium currents recorded during an
action potential. *European Biophysics Journal 1989 17:1* **17**, 49–51 (1989).
3. Spruston, N. & Johnston, D. Perforated patch-clamp analysis of the passive
membrane properties of three classes of hippocampal neurons. *J Neurophysiol*
67, 508–529 (1992).
4. Petersen, C. C. H. Whole-Cell Recording of Neuronal Membrane Potential
during Behavior. *Neuron* **95**, 1266–1281 (2017).
5. Chen, X., Leischner, U., Rochefort, N. L., Nelken, I. & Konnerth, A. Functional
mapping of single spines in cortical neurons in vivo. *Nature* **475**, 501–505
(2011).
6. Edwards, F. A., Konnerth, A. & Sakmann, B. Quantal analysis of inhibitory
synaptic transmission in the dentate gyrus of rat hippocampal slices: a patch-
clamp study. *Journal of Physiology* **430**, 213–249 (1990).
7. Bi, G. Q. & Poo, M. M. Synaptic Modifications in Cultured Hippocampal
Neurons: Dependence on Spike Timing, Synaptic Strength, and Postsynaptic
Cell Type. *Journal of Neuroscience* **18**, 10464–10472 (1998).
8. Kampa, B. M., Letzkus, J. J. & Stuart, G. J. Dendritic mechanisms controlling
spike-timing-dependent synaptic plasticity. *Trends Neurosci* **30**, 456–463
(2007).
9. Kampa, B. M., Letzkus, J. J. & Stuart, G. J. Cortical feed-forward networks for
binding different streams of sensory information. *Nat Neurosci* **9**, 1472–1473
(2006).
10. Taof, C., Zhangf, G., Xiong, Y. & Zhou, Y. Functional dissection of synaptic
circuits: in vivo patch-clamp recording in neuroscience. *Front Neural Circuits* **9**,
(2015).
11. Stuart, G. J. & Sakmann, B. Active propagation of somatic action potentials into
neocortical pyramidal cell dendrites. *Nature* **367**, 69–72 (1994).
12. Shu, Y., Hasenstaub, A., Duque, A., Yu, Y. & McCormick, D. A. Modulation of
intracortical synaptic potentials by presynaptic somatic membrane potential.
Nature **441**, 761–765 (2006).
13. Seutin, V. & Engel, D. Differences in Na+ conductance density and Na+
channel functional properties between dopamine and GABA neurons of the rat
substantia nigra. *J Neurophysiol* **103**, 3099–3114 (2010).
14. Kole, M. H. P. *et al.* Action potential generation requires a high sodium channel
density in the axon initial segment. *Nat Neurosci* **11**, 178–186 (2008).
15. Lee, B. R. *et al.* Scaled, high fidelity electrophysiological, morphological, and
transcriptomic cell characterization. *Elife* **10**, (2021).

16.   Cadwell, C. R. *et al.* Electrophysiological, transcriptomic and morphologic
251   profiling of single neurons using Patch-seq. *Nat Biotechnol* **34**, 199–203
252   (2016).
253   17.   Li, L. *et al.* A robot for high yield electrophysiology and morphology of single
254   neurons in vivo. *Nat Commun* **8**, 1–10 (2017).
255   18.   Wang, G. *et al.* An optogenetics- and imaging-assisted simultaneous multiple
256   patch-clamp recording system for decoding complex neural circuits. *Nat Protoc*
257   **10**, 397–412 (2015).
258   19.   Koos, K. *et al.* Automatic deep learning-driven label-free image-guided patch
259   clamp system. *Nat Commun* **12**, 1–11 (2021).
260   20.   Neher, E. Ion channels for communication between and within cells. *Neuron* **8**,
261   605–612 (1992).
262   21.   Kolb, I. *et al.* Cleaning patch-clamp pipettes for immediate reuse. *Sci Rep* **6**, 1–
263   10 (2016).
264   22.   Kolb, I. *et al.* PatcherBot: a single-cell electrophysiology robot for adherent cells
265   and brain slices. *J Neural Eng* **16**, 046003 (2019).
266   23.   Davie, J. T. *et al.* Dendritic patch-clamp recording. *Nat Protoc* **1**, 1235–1247
267   (2006).
268   24.   Kao, L., Abuladze, N., Shao, X. M., McKeegan, K. & Kurtz, I. A new technique
269   for multiple re-use of planar patch clamp chips. *J Neurosci Methods* **208**, 205–
270   210 (2012).
271   25.   Peng, Y. *et al.* High-throughput microcircuit analysis of individual human brains
272   through next-generation multineuron patch-clamp. *Elife* **8**, (2019).
273   26.   Ciganok-Hückels, N. *et al.* Postnatal development of electrophysiological and
274   morphological properties in layer 2/3 and layer 5 pyramidal neurons in the
275   mouse primary visual cortex. *Cerebral Cortex* (2022)
276   doi:10.1093/CERCOR/BHAC467.

Acknowledgments

This work was funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German

Research Foundation) Project number 424556709 – GRK 2610 and by Luigs &

Neumann GmbH.

Author contributions

K.J., P.N.R. and B.M.K. conceived the project; K.J. performed the experiments under

the supervision of B.M.K.; K.J. and S.W. performed the analysis; K.J. wrote the

manuscript with contributions from all authors.

Data Availability

The datasets generated and analyzed for the current study are available from the corresponding authors on reasonable request.

Competing Interest Statement

K.J. and B.M.K. have consulting agreements with Luigs & Neumann GmbH which manufactures LN-PCS. LN-PCS is patented, P.N.R. is its inventor

298 Figure legend

A

B

Figure 1: Ultrasonic cleaning is performed by a piezo coupled to a pressure

regulator. (A) Picture of the headstage unit. This unit is connected to a pressure

regulator to allow the cleaning. **(B)** When the recording is finished (1), the cleaning

sequence can be launched. The manipulator put the tip to a pre-defined cleaning

position (2) where the sonification coupled with cycle of high positive and negative

pressure can occur (3). Once the procedure is finished, the manipulator put the tip back

to its initial parking position (4) before the cleaning was launched.

Figure 2: Ultrasonic cleaning allows reusing pipettes for multiple consecutive recordings. (A) and **(B)** indicate that ultrasonic cleaning can clean the tip as R_{pip} is recovered after each cycle. **(C)** shows that patch-clamp pipette can be successfully reused at least ten times and R_{Gs} is successfully reached. **(D)** show that R_a remains unaffected after each cleaning cycle, indicating that the tip is cleaned and the pipette can be reused for successful patch-clamp recordings.

Figure 3: Ultrasonic cleaning is not harmful for neurons. (A) Example of a neuron being recorded before (left), during (middle) and after (right) ultrasonic cleaning of a patch-clamp pipette inside the same recording chamber. Scale bar is y = 20 mV (all panels) and x = 100 ms (left and right) and 20 s (middle). Blue bar corresponds to the moment when the non-recording tip is being cleaned. **(B)** and **(C)** show that the cleaning procedure does not interfere with simultaneous patch-clamp recordings as RMP and R_m of the recorded neurons remain unaffected. **(D)** Example a neuron being successfully recorded three consecutive times with the patch-clamp pipette after ultrasonic cleaning. Scale bar is y = 20 mV and x = 100 ms. **(E)** *Post-hoc* staining of neuron from **(D)**. Scale bar is x = 80 μm.