LeuO and H-NS are part of an expanded regulatory network for ectoine biosynthesis expression

Katherine Boas Lichty, Gwendolyn J. Gregory, E. Fidelma Boyd*

Department of Biological Sciences, University of Delaware, Newark, DE, 19716

Corresponding author*

E. Fidelma Boyd

Department of Biological Sciences

341 Wolf Hall, University of Delaware

Newark, DE 19716

Phone: (302) 831-1088. Fax: (302) 831-2281 Email: fboyd@udel.edu

Keywords: Ectoine biosynthesis regulation, *Vibrio,* LeuO, NhaR, H-NS, ToxR

Abstract

Bacteria accumulate compatible solutes, to maintain cellular turgor pressure when exposed to high salinity. In the marine halophile *Vibrio parahaemolyticus,* the compatible solute ectoine is biosynthesized *de novo*, which is energetically more costly than uptake; therefore, tight regulation is required. To uncover novel regulators of the ectoine biosynthesis *ectABC-aspk* operon, a DNA affinity pulldown of proteins interacting with the *ectABC-aspk* regulatory region was performed. Mass spectrometry analysis identified, amongst others, five regulators: LeuO, NhaR, OmpR, TorR, and the nucleoid associated protein H-NS. To determine their role in ectoine biosynthesis, in-frame non-polar deletions were made for each gene and P_{ectA}-*gfp* promoter reporter assays were performed. P_{ectA}-*gfp* expression was significantly repressed in the Δ*leuO* mutant and significantly induced in the Δ*nhaR* mutant compared to wild type, suggesting positive and negative regulation, respectively. Both Δ*ompR* and Δ*torR* mutants also showed induced expression of P_{ectA}-*gfp*, but not to the same extent as Δ*nhaR*. The Δ*hns* showed no change compared to wild type. To examine whether H-NS interacts with LeuO or NhaR at the ectoine regulatory region, double deletion mutants were created. In a Δ*leuO*/Δ*hns* mutant, P_{ectA}-*gfp* showed reduced expression, but significantly more than Δ*leuO* suggesting H-NS and LeuO interact to regulate ectoine expression. Whereas Δ*nhaR*/Δ*hns* had no additional effect as compared to Δ*nhaR* suggesting NhaR regulation is independent of H-NS. Next, a P_{leuO}-*gfp* reporter analysis was performed in wild type Δ*leuO*, Δ*hns,* Δ*leuO*/Δ*hns* and Δ*toxR,* which encodes ToxR a positive regulator of *leuO*. P_{leuO}-*gfp* showed significantly increased expression in the Δ*leuO*, Δ*hns* and Δ*leuO*/Δ*hns* mutants as compared to wild type, indicating both are repressors of *leuO,* and Δ*toxR* showed reduced expression. Growth pattern analysis of the Δ*leuO,*

Δ*hns,* and Δ*leuO*/Δ*hns* mutants in M9G 6%NaCl all showed growth defects compared to wild

type, with Δ*leuO*/Δ*hns* showing the greatest effect. Overall, the data show that NhaR is a

negative regulator and LeuO is a positive regulator of ectoine expression and suggest LeuO is an

anti-silencer of H-NS.

Importance: Ectoine is a commercially used compatible solute that acts as a biomolecule

stabilizer because of its additional role as a chemical chaperone. The *de novo* biosynthesis of

ectoine is carried out by approximately 70% of *Vibrionaceae* species many of which are marine

halophiles. This study identified LeuO as a positive regulator of ectoine biosynthesis and also

showed that similar to enteric species, LeuO is an anti-silencer of the nucleoid associated protein

H-NS. NhaR was a negative regulator of ectoine biosynthesis but growth analysis in high salinity

showed that LeuO, NhaR and H-NS are required for optimal growth under these conditions.

These data indicate that all three regulators also play a wider role in high NaCl tolerance.

Introduction

When bacteria are exposed to high salinity conditions, an efflux of water across the osmotic

gradient occurs lowering cellular turgor pressure (1-5). The initial short-term response to osmotic

up shock is the uptake of potassium (K+), which is accompanied by glutamate accumulation in

Gram-negative bacteria to maintain electro neutrality. This is the short-term strategy because

high concentrations of K+ have deleterious effects on cellular processes (1, 2, 6, 7). The long

term response to increased osmotic stress is characterized by the uptake or biosynthesis of

compatible solutes, which are small organic molecules that provide osmotic protection by

helping to maintain the turgor pressure of the cell and can be accumulated to extremely high

intracellular concentrations (3, 8, 9).

The compatible solute ectoine was initially discovered to provide osmotic protection in

anoxygenic phototrophs (10), but subsequent analysis showed that it functions as a compatible

solute in numerous Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria (reviewed in (3, 8, 11-14), which

included many members of the family *Vibrionaceae* (15-19). The ability to be accumulated to

very high intracellular concentrations without interfering with molecular processes is a hallmark

of compatible solutes. Additionally, ectoine was shown to act as a chemical chaperone through

the stabilization of proteins, thus it is an important compound for commercial uses in medicine

and cosmetics to stabilize biomolecules against factors such as heating, freezing, desiccation, and

UV radiation (20-23). Therefore, understanding the regulation of the ectoine biosynthetic

pathway is important to increase efficient industrial production using natural bacterial producers

(24).

Biosynthesis of ectoine (1,4,5,6-tetrahydro-2-methyl-4-pyrimidinecarboxylic acid) is *de novo*

from L-aspartic acid, and performed by the evolutionarily conserved operon *ectABC,* which

encodes the EctA, EctB, and EctC proteins (25-27). In many species an aspartokinase (*aspk*) is

clustered with the ectoine biosynthesis operon, which converts aspartic acid to β-aspartyl

phosphate, which is then converted to L-aspartate-β-semialdehyde by aspartate semialdehyde

dehydrogenase (Asd). This intermediate from the aspartic acid pathway is incorporated into the

ectoine biosynthesis pathway when it is converted to L-2,4-diaminobutyrate by EctB (L-2,4-

diaminobutyrate transaminase). EctA (L-2,4-diaminobutyrate N^γ-acetyltransferase) then

acetylates this product to form N^γ-acetyldiaminobutyrate. The final step to produce ectoine is a

cyclic condensation reaction performed by EctC (L-ectoine synthase) (13, 27).

In some species clustered with the ectoine biosynthesis operon is *ectR*, a MarR-type regulator

shown to repress transcription of the ectoine operon (24, 28-30). Among *Vibrionaceae*, an *ectR*

homolog is only present only in *Aliivibrio* species (31, 32). Previous studies in *V.*

parahaemolyticus and *V. cholerae* showed that *ectABC-aspK* transcription was repressed in low

salinity by another MarR-type regulator named CosR (17, 31, 33). CosR from *V.*

parahaemolyticus showed 31% amino acid identity to *Aliivibrio fischeri* EctR, with less than

60% query coverage and does not cluster with *ectABC-aspk*, but is located elsewhere in the

genome (31). The quorum sensing master regulators AphA and OpaR were found to activate and

repress expression of *ectABC-aspk*, respectively in *V. parahaemolyticus* (17). AphA and OpaR

also regulate expression of CosR, creating a tightly controlled feed-forward loop for ectoine

expression across the growth curve (17).

In this study, we set out to identify novel regulators of the ectoine biosynthesis genes by

performing a DNA affinity chromatography pulldown using the regulatory region of the *ectABC-*

aspk operon. We identified numerous candidates and selected the regulators LeuO, NhaR,

OmpR, TorR, and the nucleoid associated protein (NAP) H-NS to examine further. We

constructed in-frame nonpolar deletions in each gene. To determine the role that these putative

regulators play, reporter assays were used with *gfp* placed under the control of the regulatory

region of the ectoine biosynthesis genes. P_{ectA}-*gfp* expression was first examined in wild type and

each single mutant of Δ*leuO,* Δ*nhaR,* Δ*ompR,* Δ*torR,* and Δ*hns*. In addition, double mutants

Δ*leuO/*Δ*hns* and Δ*nhaR/*Δ*hns* were constructed to determine whether the NAP, H-NS interacted

with either LeuO or NhaR to control ectoine gene expression. To determine the physiological

role of LeuO, NhaR and H-NS, growth pattern analysis was performed under high salinity

conditions.

Results

Ectoine is present in cells grown in M9G 3%NaCl and absent in M9G 1%NaCl. To confirm

V. parahaemolyticus de novo ectoine biosynthesis is a response to increased salinity, ^{1}H nuclear

magnetic resonance spectroscopy (^{1}H-NMR) was performed. Ethanol extracts of *V.*

parahaemolyticus wild type cells grown in minimal medium supplemented with glucose (M9G)

with either 1% NaCl or 3% NaCl overnight at 37C were used to obtain H-NMR spectra (**Fig.**

S1A). Peaks corresponding to the hydrogen atoms of ectoine are labeled and were present in

M9G 3% NaCl extracts but were not detectable in M9G 1%NaCl extracts as previously shown

(34) (**Fig. S1A**). In addition to intracellular accumulation of ectoine, we also wanted to

demonstrate that *ectABC-aspk* expression is increased in M9G 3%NaCl. A transcriptional

reporter assay was performed, where *gfp* was placed under the control of the ectoine regulatory

region (P$_{ectA}$-*gfp*). The expression was examined in wild type cells grown in either M9G 1%NaCl

or M9G 3%NaCl by measuring the specific fluorescence of P$_{ectA}$-*gfp* as a cumulative readout of

ectA transcription (**Fig. S1B**). The observed level of specific fluorescence of P$_{ectA}$-*gfp* was

significantly increased in M9G 3%NaCl (4.0-fold) relative to that of cells grown in M9G

1%NaCl (**Fig. S1B**).

Identification of putative regulators of the ectoine biosynthesis operon. With the knowledge

that ectoine is present in cells grown in M9G 3%NaCl, we performed a promoter pulldown under

this growth condition. A promoter pulldown was performed using a 5'-biotin tagged DNA

fragment of the *ectABC-aspk* regulatory region bound to streptavidin beads. As a negative

control DNA probe, the coding region of downstream *ectB* gene was used. To identify novel

regulators of ectoine biosynthesis, we grew cells to mid-exponential phase in M9G 3%NaCl and

subsequent cell lysate was incubated with DNA probe-coated beads. Bound proteins were then

eluted using a stepwise NaCl gradient and separated with SDS-PAGE gel. Bands that were

present in the target lanes, but not present in the *ectB* negative control lanes were selected for

analysis via mass spectrometry (**Fig. S2**). The proteins identified with mass spectrometry

analysis were aligned to the *V. parahaemolyticus* genome and sorted by gene class and ranked by

score (**Table 1**). Candidate proteins of interest that belonged to the transcriptional regulator gene

class were selected. These candidate proteins are described in Table 1 and include homologs of

regulators NhaR (VP0527), OmpR (VP0154), and TorR (VP1032). NhaR and OmpR had

previously been shown in *Escherichia coli* to be involved in osmotic stress (35-40). NhaR, a

LysR-type transcriptional regulator, shows 62% amino acid homology to NhaR from *E. coli* K-

12, OmpR showed 84% amino acid identity and TorR 57% amino acid homology to OmpR and

TorR respectively from *E. coli*. NhaR, OmpR, and TorR have not been previously examined in

Vibrio species. An additional regulator of interest, LeuO (VP0350) was also examined further. In

V. parahaemolyticus, LeuO is a LysR-type regulator previously described as under the control of

ToxRS and negatively regulated by calcium (41, 42). A homolog of the H-NS (histone-like

nucleoid structuring) protein was also identified in our pulldown. In *E. coli* and *Salmonella*

enterica H-NS was shown to be a universal silencer of gene expression (43-48). In addition, an

E. coli study by Shimada and colleagues identified 140 LeuO-binding sites with over 90% of

these sites also showing H-NS binding. Further studies have shown that LeuO plays a significant

role as an antagonist of H-NS gene silencing (43, 49, 50). As a proof of concept for the

pulldown, LeuO and NhaR proteins were purified, and electrophoretic mobility shift assays

(EMSAs) were performed using the regulatory region of *ectABC-aspk* as a DNA probe. In these

assays both proteins bound to the DNA probe (**Fig. S3**).

P*ectA*-*gfp* reporter shows differential expression in Δ*leuO*, Δ*nhaR*, Δ*ompR* and Δ*torR*

mutants. To examine the role of *leuO*, *nhaR*, *ompR*, and *torR* candidate regulators in the control

of the ectoine biosynthesis operon, we performed GFP reporter assays using a reporter plasmid

where *gfp* was under the control of the ectoine regulatory region (P_{ectA}-*gfp*). This reporter

plasmid was transformed into wild type or in-frame non-polar mutants of Δ*leuO*, Δ*nhaR*, Δ*ompR*,

and Δ*torR*. Cultures were grown overnight in M9G 3%NaCl and relative fluorescence intensity

(RFU) was measured. Specific fluorescence was calculated by dividing RFU by OD. From this

analysis, the relative fluorescence (RFU) measured in the Δ*leuO* mutant background was 1.7-fold

reduced as compared to wild type (**Fig. 1**). This data suggests that LeuO is an activator of ectoine

biosynthesis genes. The relative fluorescence measured in a Δ*nhaR* background was significantly

increased 1.8-fold as compared to wild type, suggesting that NhaR is a repressor of the ectoine

operon (**Fig. 1**). P_{ectA}-*gfp* expression in the Δ*ompR* (1.3-fold) and Δ*torR* (1.4-fold) mutants were

also increased as compared to wild type, but not to the same level as NhaR, indicating that both

OmpR and TorR are negative regulators of the ectoine biosynthesis operon.

LeuO and H-NS interplay to control P_{ectA}-*gfp*. In *E. coli* and *V. cholerae*, H-NS has been

shown to interact with LeuO in both cooperative and antagonistic relationships at different gene

loci (43, 51-54). Therefore, we wanted to examine whether H-NS and LeuO interact at the

ectABC-aspk locus. We constructed an in-frame non-polar Δ*hns* mutant and a double

Δ*leuO*/Δ*hns* mutant to examine H-NS regulation of *ectABC-aspk* in a P_{ectA}-*gfp* reporter assay. In

these assays, P_{ectA}-*gfp* in the Δ*leuO* mutant showed significantly reduced expression, and in the

Δ*hns* mutant expression was similar to wild type (**Fig. 2**). However, in the Δ*leuO*/Δ*hns* mutant

expression was reduced, but not to the same level as the Δ*leuO* single mutant (**Fig. 2**). This

indicates interplay between both LeuO and H-NS to control ectoine gene expression with LeuO

playing an anti-silencer role.

To further investigate the relationship between LeuO and H-NS in *V. parahaemolyticus*, a *gfp*-

expressing reporter plasmid under the control of the LeuO regulatory region (P*leuO*-*gfp*) was

constructed. Relative fluorescence and OD_{595} were measured after overnight growth in M9G

3%NaCl in wild type, Δ*leuO*, Δ*hns*, Δ*leuO*/Δ*hns,* and Δ*toxR*. When compared to wild type, P*leuO*-

gfp expression in the Δ*hns* mutant showed a 4.0-fold increased relative fluorescence indicating

that H-NS is a repressor of *leuO* expression (**Fig. 3**). P*leuO*-*gfp* expression was 2.4-fold higher in

Δ*leuO* and 2.8-fold higher in Δ*leuO*/Δ*hns* when compared to wild type. These data indicate that

both H-NS and LeuO are repressors of *leuO* expression and in the absence of both negative

regulators other proteins are able to access the regulatory region (**Fig. 3**). In *V.*

parahaemolyticus, ToxR was shown to be a positive regulator of *leuO* expression (41, 55, 56)

and this was confirmed in this reporter assay, with Δ*toxRS* (1.8-fold) showing significantly less

expression of P*leuO*-*gfp* when compared to wild type (**Fig. 3**).

H-NS and LeuO are important for growth in high salinity. To assess whether the deletions of

leuO and *hns* have a physiological effect, we examined the ability of *V. parahaemolyticus* wild

type, Δ*leuO*, Δ*hns,* and Δ*leuO*/Δ*hns* mutants to grow in M9G 1% NaCl, 3% NaCl, or 6% NaCl at

37°C (**Fig. 4**). In M9G 1%NaCl and 3%NaCl, there were no significant growth defects observed

among the strains examined (**Fig. S4A, B**). However, growth in M9G 6%NaCl showed the wild

type and Δ*hns* had a 4 h lag phase, the Δ*leuO* mutant had a lag phase of 5 h, and Δ*leuO*/Δ*hns* had

a 12 h lag phase (**Fig. 4**). The double deletion mutant had a final OD_{595} of 0.2, which when

compared to wild type with final OD of 0.54 was significantly less (**Fig. 4**). These data

demonstrate that both LeuO and H-NS play a significant role in the osmotic stress response and

are likely required for salinity tolerance outside of ectoine biosynthesis.

NhaR is a negative regulator of the ectoine biosynthesis operon. Next, we considered NhaR

and H-NS interplay in the regulation of *ectABC-ask*. To accomplish this, we examined

transcription of the ectoine biosynthesis regulatory region in a Δ*nhaR*/Δ*hns* double mutant. P_{ectA}-

gfp expression was significantly higher in a Δ*nhaR* mutant (1.8-fold) as compared to wild type

(24,856 RFU/OD) confirming our previous results that NhaR is a negative regulator (**Fig. 5**).

P_{ectA}-*gfp* expression in the Δ*hns* mutant (1.0-fold) was similar to wild type. Expression of P_{ectA}-

gfp in the Δ*hns*/Δ*nhaR* mutant (1.8-fold) was increased as compared to wild type, but not

significantly different from Δ*nha*R, suggesting that there is no interplay between H-NS and

NhaR in ectoine expression.

Next we examined the effect of deleting *nhaR, hns,* and *hns/nhaR* on growth of *V.*

parahaemolyticus. Growth of the deletion mutants in M9G 1%NaCl and 3% NaCl was similar to

wild type (**Fig. S4C, D**). However, Δ*nhaR,* Δ*hns,* and Δ*hns*/Δ*nhaR* mutants grown in M9G 6%

NaCl, reached a final OD_{595} of 0.37, 0.36, and 0.38 respectively as compared to wild type OD_{595}

of 0.50 (**Fig. 6**). This growth data indicates that there are some defects when grown in increased

salinity for Δ*nhaR* but deletion of *hns* does not contribute further to this defect. This supports the

expression data that NhaR and H-NS do not interact to control ectoine expression, but the data

does indicate that NhaR is important for high NaCl tolerance.

Discussion

When bacterial cells are exposed to increased salinity, the osmotic pressure of the cell decreases

and water escapes from the cell (1-4). To maintain the appropriate turgor pressure, small organic

compounds called compatible solutes are accumulated (3, 8, 9, 32). This accumulation occurs

either via uptake or biosynthesis and in *V. parahaemolyticus* at least four transporters for the

uptake of compatible solutes and two compatible solute biosynthesis operons are present (19,

57). The biosynthesis of ectoine and not glycine betaine was previously shown to be essential for

V. parahaemolyticus survival in high salinity (15). However, the biosynthesis of ectoine is costly

as it drains intracellular pools of metabolites such as aspartic acid. Therefore, multiple regulators

that provide tight control are needed to manage ectoine biosynthesis (58).

In this study, we identified several novel regulators of the ectoine biosynthesis operon (**Fig. 7**).

We found that NhaR acted as a repressor of ectoine biosynthesis. However, the Δ*nhaR* mutant

had a growth defect when grown under high NaCl conditions. This could be explained by the

fact that NhaR is known to regulate genes related to the osmotic stress response outside of

ectoine biosynthesis (59-62). In *E. coli*, *nhaR* is present in an operon with *nhaA* which encodes a

Na+/H+ antiporter. NhaR was shown to activate transcription of *nhaA*, which is induced in high

salt and alkaline pH and is essential for survival under these conditions. It was also suggested

that NhaR is a sensor and transducer of Na+ because the presence of Na+ alters the contact

points between NhaR and the *nhaA* regulatory region (63). Therefore, our observed growth

defect further suggests regulation by NhaR at other loci important for the response to increased

salinity. A homolog of *nhaA* is present in *V. parahaemolyticus*, which could explain the growth

defect in high salinity for the *V. parahaemolyticus* Δ*nhaR* mutant.

Our data established that LeuO is a positive regulator of ectoine biosynthesis gene expression.

The subsequent analysis of a double Δ*leuO*/Δ*hns* mutant suggests LeuO also likely plays a role

as an anti-silencer of H-NS at this locus. In addition, we found that LeuO and H-NS both act as

repressors of *leuO* in *V. parahaemolyticus*. LeuO was first identified as a LysR-type regulator

and shown to positively regulate the *leuABCD* leucine synthesis operon in *Salmonella enterica*

(64, 65). Subsequently, chromatin-immunoprecipitation-on-chip analysis showed 178 LeuO

binding sites in *S. enterica*, indicating its role as a global regulator (66). H-NS was also shown to

be a global regulator in this species, silencing the transcription of a large number of horizontally

acquired genes. Many of these genes clustered together on the genome within pathogenicity

islands, regions that were AT rich and allowed H-NS binding along long sections of DNA (47,

67). A similar phenomenon was show in *E. coli* horizontally acquired DNA (48). These data led

to the idea that H-NS allows for the uptake of horizontally acquired DNA and insertion into the

genome in a relatively benign state (45, 47, 67). In *V. parahaemolyticus*, LeuO was first named

CalR as it was regulated by calcium (42). LeuO was subsequently shown to negatively control

swarming motility (*lafK*) and type III secretion system-1 (T3SS-1, *exsA*) in *V. parahaemolyticus*

(41, 42). LeuO was also shown to be regulated by NaCl and to positively regulate *ompU*, which

encodes an outer membrane porin important for acid stress (41). Additionally, the global

regulator ToxR was shown to be essential for LeuO expression (41). Also, in *V.*

parahaemolyticus, LeuO was shown to be an antagonist of H-NS at loci required for type VI

secretion system-1 (T6SS-1) expression (68). In *V. cholerae*, H-NS was shown to have many

roles in silencing genes expression such as those required for capsule biosynthesis and virulence

factors acquired by horizontal gene transfer (69-72). In this species, LeuO was shown to be

required for biofilm formation, and LeuO and H-NS were shown to interact at the *vieA* locus (53,

68, 73, 74). In addition, in *V. vulnificus* another important human pathogen, ToxR was shown to

activate *leuO* expression and LeuO was shown to be an auto-repressor with high levels of LeuO

binding (73, 74). HNS was subsequently shown to repress *leuO* by binding to sites that overlap

with ToxR and LeuO binding sites (73, 74). In a similar pattern, our data also showed that *leuO*

is an auto-repressor and that H-NS represses *leuO*, which is likely using a similar regulatory

mechanism to that in *V. vulnificus* (**Fig. 7**). Furthermore, our growth data showed that deletion of

either *leuO* or *hns* resulted in defects when grown at high salinity and a double Δ*leuO*/Δ*hns*

mutant showed a growth defect that was more pronounced than either of the single mutants. The

observed defects in high salinity suggests a larger role for both regulators in the osmotic stress

response. The more pronounced growth defect observed for Δ*leuO*/Δ*hns* suggest that these

proteins interact at other loci important for responses to increased salinity.

Methods

Bacterial strains, media and culture conditions. All strains and plasmids used in this study are

listed in Table S2. *V. parahaemolyticus* RIMD2210633, a streptomycin-resistant clinical isolate,

was used in this study as the wild-type (WT) strain (75, 76). Unless stated otherwise, *V.*

parahaemolyticus was grown in either lysogeny broth (LB; Fisher Scientific, Fair Lawn, NJ)

with 3% (wt/vol) NaCl (LB 3%) or M9 minimal media (47.8 mM Na_2HPO_4, 22 mM KH_2PO_4,

18.7 mM NH_4Cl, 8.6 mM NaCl; Sigma Aldrich) supplemented with 2 mM $MgSO_4$, 0.1 mM

$CaCl_2$, 20 mM glucose as the sole carbon source (M9G) and 3% (wt/vol) NaCl at 37°C. *E. coli*

strains were grown in either LB supplemented with 1% (wt/vol) NaCl (LB1%) or M9G

supplemented with 1% (wt/vol) NaCl. The strain *E. coli* β2155 *λpir* strain is a diaminopimelic

acid (DAP) auxotrophic strain and was grown with 0.3 mM DAP (77). All strains were grown at

37°C with aeration. Antibiotics were added to growth media at the following concentrations as

necessary: ampicillin (Amp), 100 µg/mL; chloramphenicol (Cm), 12.5 µg/mL; tetracycline (Tet),

1 µg/mL; kanamycin (Km), 50 µg/mL.

Preparation of cellular extracts and proton nuclear magnetic resonance (^{1}H-NMR). Wild-

type *V. parahaemolyticus* was grown overnight at 37°C in either M9G 1% NaCl or M9G

3%NaCl. Stationary phase cells were then pelleted and washed twice with 1XPBS. Three freeze-

thaw cycles were performed with the cell pellets to increase lysis, and the cells were then

suspended in 750 µL ethanol. Debris was pelleted by centrifugation, and the ethanol solution was

transferred to a clean tube and evaporated under vacuum. Then deuterium oxide (D_2O) was used

to resuspend the pellet, and insoluble material was removed by centrifugation. The solution was

then transferred to a 5-mm NMR tube for analysis on a Bruker AVANCE 600NMR spectrometer

at a proton frequency of 600.13 MHz with a sweep of 12,376 Hz and a relaxation delay of 5 s.

Sixteen scans were co-added for each spectrum.

DNA-Affinity Pulldown. DNA-affinity chromatography pulldown was performed as previously

described (78-80). The bait DNA primers were designed to amplify the 323-bp regulatory region

of *ectA* (VP1722) with a biotin moiety added to the 5' end. A 327-bp negative-control bait DNA

probe was amplified from a coding region of *ectB* (VP1721), which was also labeled with a

biotin moiety at the 5' end. Both probes were amplified using Phusion HF polymerase (New

England Biolabs) PCR. Ten reactions were completed for each probe and then pooled and

purified using an ethanol extraction technique (81). The pulldown was completed twice, once

after growth in non-inducing conditions (LB3%) and once after growth in inducing conditions

(M9G3%) in an effort to obtain both positive and negative regulators of the ectoine biosynthesis

operon. The wild-type strain was grown overnight in LB3%, cells were pelleted and washed two

times with 1XPBS and diluted 1:50 into 500 mL of LB3% or M9G3%. The cultures were then

grown to an OD_{595} of 0.5, pelleted and stored overnight at -80°C. The pellet was then suspended

in 1.5 mL Fast-Break lysis buffer (Promega, Madison, WI) with 1 mM PMSF, 0.5 mM

benzamidine, 0.1 µg/mL lysozyme and incubated for 30 min. at room temperature. The cells

were then sonicated on ice at 30% pulse amplitude for 15 seconds with 1 min. rest, and this was

repeated 5 times. The cell lysate was then clarified by centrifugation for 30 min. at 4°C. The cell

lysate was precleared with streptavidin DynaBeads (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA) to

remove nonspecific protein-bead interactions.

Streptavidin M280 DynaBeads (ThermoFisher) were then incubated two times with 10 µg of

biotinylated probe for 20 min. The precleared cell lysate was then incubated for 30 min. with the

bait-coated beads at room temperature with constant rotation in the presence of 100 µg sheared

salmon sperm DNA as a non-specific competitor. This was completed twice with washes in

between. Protein candidates were then eluted from the bait DNA-bead complex with a stepwise

NaCl gradient (100 mM, 200 mM, 300 mM, 500 mM, 170 mM, and 1 M). Next, 6X SDS and 1

mM β-mercaptoethanol (BME) was added to elution samples which were then boiled at 95°C for

5 min. Samples were then separated using a 12% SDS-PAGE and visualized using the Pierce

Silver Stain Kit (ThermoFisher). The P_{ectA} bait and *ectB* negative control were loaded next to

each other in order of increasing NaCl concentrations. Bands that were present in the P_{ectA} bait

but not the negative control lanes were excised, de-stained, and digested with trypsin following

the standard procedure for mass spectrophotometry preparation with C18 ZipTips (Fisher

Scientific, Fair Lawn, NJ). The samples were then analyzed with a Q-exactive Orbitrap mass

spectrometer with nano-flow electrospray (Thermo). Proteins were subsequently identified using

Proteome Discover 2.1 software.

Protein Purification. Protein were purified as previous described (82). The full-length genes for

leuO (VP0350) and *nhaR* (VP0527) were cloned into a pET-28a(+) vector with a C-terminal

histidine tag, transformed into *E. coli* Dh5α, purified, and sequenced. These vectors pET*leuO*

and pET*nhaR* were then transformed into *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) cells, and expression was induced

with 0.5mM IPTG at 0.4 OD_{595} and then cells were grown overnight at 25°C. Cells were then

pelleted and resuspended with lysis buffer (50 mM sodium phosphate, 200 mM NaCl, 20 mM

imidazole, pH 7.4, 1.0 mM phenylmethanesulfonyl fluoride, 0.5 mM benzamidine) and lysed via

sonication. Debris was pelleted, and supernatant applied to an IMAC column packed with HisPur

Ni-NTA resin (ThermoFisher) that was equilibrated with column buffer (50 mM sodium

phosphate, 200 mM NaCl, 20 mM imidazole, pH 7.4). The column was washed with buffer

containing increasing concentrations of imidazole (20mM – 100mM) to remove any

contaminants. His-tagged proteins were eluted using 500mM imidazole and then dialyzed

overnight at 4°C in sodium phosphate buffer to remove any excess salts. Samples of supernatant

and each flow through, wash, and elution were analyzed via SDS-PAGE gel to assess protein

purity and verify purification.

Electrophoretic mobility shift assay. DNA fragments were designed as outlined in Table S3, to

encompass the entire region (322 bp) or sections of the ectoine biosynthesis regulatory region

(125, 137, 106-bp). The concentration of purified protein (LeuO-His or NhaR-His) was

determined using the Bradford Assay, and LeuO (0 to 2.175 uM) or NhaR (0 to 2.175 uM) was

incubated for 20 minutes with 30 ng of each DNA fragment in a binding buffer (10 mM Tris [pH

7.4 at 4°C], 150 mM KCl, 0.1 mM dithiothreitol, 0.1 mM EDTA [pH 8.0], 5% polyethylene

glycol). The reactions were then loaded (10 µL) on a 6% native acrylamide gel (pre-run 200V for

2 hours at 4°C) and run for 2 hours at 4°C (200V) in 0.5X TBE running buffer. To visualize, gels

were stained in an ethidium bromide bath for 15 minutes.

Mutant strain construction. In-frame deletion mutants of *nhaR* (VP0527), *torR* (VP1032), and

hns (VP1133), were designed as previously described via allelic exchange (17). A truncated

region of the *nhaR* (15-bp of the 891-bp), *torR* (54-bp of the 714-bp), and *hns* (33-bp of the 408-

bp) genes was generated using the primers in Table S3. The truncated products were then ligated

with the suicide vector pDS132 using the Gibson assembly protocol and transformed into *E. coli*

Dh5α (83, 84). The resulting plasmids pDSΔ*nhaR*, pDSΔ*torR,* and pDSΔ*hns* were purified and

transformed into *E. coli* β2155 λ*pir* (diaminopimelic acid auxotroph), followed by conjugation

and homologous recombination into the *V. parahaemolyticus* RIMD2210633 genome. Single

crossover of the plasmids into the genome were selected for by plating onto chloramphenicol

(CM) and screened via PCR for a truncated allele. To induce a double-crossover event, the

single-cross strain was grown overnight in the absence of CM, leaving behind either the

truncated allele or the wild-type allele. The cultures were spread on 10% sucrose plates, and

healthy colonies were screened for double-crossover and gene truncation; colonies that contain

the plasmid will appear soupy on the plate due to the presence of the *sacB* selectable marker. In-

frame deletions were confirmed with sequencing.

An in-frame deletion of *ompR* (VP0154) was created in *V. parahaemolyticus* RIMD2210633

through splicing by overlap extension (SOE) PCR and homologous recombination (85). Primers

were designed to create a 692-bp truncated allele of *ompR* using the primer pair listed in Table

S3. This truncated product was ligated into the cloning vector pJET1.2 with T4 DNA ligase and

transformed into *E. coli* Dh5α λ*pir*. The truncated *ompR* allele was then excised from the

pJETΔ*ompR* vector using restriction enzymes (SacI, XbaI) and ligated into the suicide vector

pDS132. The pDSΔ*ompR* vector was then transformed into *E. coli* β2155 λ*pir* (DAP auxotroph),

and the same procedure as described above was completed. Double mutants Δ*leuO*/Δ*hns* and

Δ*hns*/Δ*nhaR* were generated by conjugating *E. coli* β2155 λ*pir* + pDS*hns* with *V.*

parahaemolyticus Δ*leuO* and β2155 λ*pir* + pDS*nhaR* with *V. parahaemolyticus* Δ*hns*. The same

procedure as described above was carried out to achieve these double deletions.

Transcriptional GFP-reporter assay. The reporter construct pRUP*ectA*-*gfp* was created

previously using the pRU1064 vector, which contains a promoterless *gfp* cassette, as well as Tet

and Amp resistance genes (17, 86). Using *E. coli* β2155 λ*pir* containing pRUP*ectA*-*gfp*, the

reporter plasmid was conjugated into each of the *V. parahaemolyticus* mutant strains Δ*leuO*,

ΔnhaR, ΔtorR, ΔompR, Δhns, ΔleuO/Δhns, and *Δhns/ΔnhaR.* Strains were grown overnight in

LB3% with tetracycline. The cultures were then washed two times with 1XPBS, diluted 1:100

into M9G3% with tetracycline, and grown for 20 hours. The reporter expression was determined

by measuring the relative fluorescence with excitation at 385 nm and emission at 509 nm in

black, clear bottomed 96-well microplates on a Tecan Spark microplate reader with Magellan

software (Tecan Systems, Inc., San Jose, CA). Specific fluorescence was calculated by dividing

the relative fluorescence units (RFU) by the optical density of each well. At least two biological

replicates were performed for each experiment. Statistics were completed either with a Student's

T-test or ANOVA followed by Tukey-Kramer Post Hoc test, as designated.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was supported by a National Science Foundation grant (award IOS-1656688) to

E.F.B. KBL and GJG were funded in part by a University of Delaware graduate fellowship

award and KBL in part by a Chemistry-Biology Interface predoctoral training program grant:

5T32GM008550.

Figure Legends

Fig. 1. Expression of a P$_{ectA}$-*gfp* transcriptional fusion in wild-type (WT) *V. parahaemolyticus*

Δ*leuO*, Δ*nhaR*, Δ*ompR,* and Δ*torR* mutants. Cultures were grown overnight in M9G 3% NaCl

and relative fluorescence intensity (RFU) was measured. Specific fluorescence was calculated by

dividing RFU by OD. Mean and standard deviation of three biological replicates are shown.

Statistics were calculated using a Student's t-test; (*, $P < 0.05$; ***, $P < 0.001$).

Fig. 2. Expression of a P$_{ectA}$-*gfp* transcriptional fusion in wild-type (WT) *V. parahaemolyticus*

Δ*leuO*, Δ*hns,* and Δ*leuO*/Δ*hns* mutants. Cultures were grown overnight in M9G3% and relative

fluorescence intensity (RFU) was measured. Specific fluorescence was calculated by dividing

RFU by OD. Mean and standard deviation of three biological replicates are shown. Statistics

were calculated using an ANOVA followed by Tukey-Kramer post hoc test; (***, $P < 0.001$).

Fig. 3. Expression reporter assays of P$_{leuO}$-*gfp* transcriptional fusion in *V. parahaemolyticus* wild

type (WT), Δ*leuO*, Δ*hns*, Δ*leuO*/Δ*hns, and* Δ*toxRS* mutants. Cultures were grown overnight in

M9G3% and relative fluorescence intensity (RFU) was measured. Specific fluorescence was

calculated by dividing RFU by OD. Mean and standard deviation of two biological replicates are

shown. Statistics were calculated using a Student's t-test; (*, $P < 0.05$; **, $P < 0.005$).

Fig. 4. Growth analysis of Δ*leuO*, Δ*hns* and Δ*leuO*/Δ*hns* mutants in M9G supplemented with

6%NaCl. Cells were grown to OD 0.5 in M9G1% and then inoculated into M9G M9G 6%NaCl

and growth was measured every hour for 24h at 37°C. Mean and standard deviation of two

biological replicates are shown. For all growth curves the area under the curve (AUC) was

calculated for each strain and a Student's t-test was performed (*, $P < 0.05$; **, $P < 0.005$; ***, P

< 0.001).

Fig. 5. Expression of a P$_{ectA}$-*gfp* transcriptional fusion in wild type (WT) *V. parahaemolyticus,* Δ*nhaR*, Δ*hns, and* Δ*hns/*Δ*nhaR* mutants. Cultures were grown overnight in M9G3% and relative fluorescence intensity (RFU) was measured. Specific fluorescence was calculated by dividing RFU by OD. Mean and standard deviation of three biological replicates are shown. Statistics were calculated using an ANOVA followed by Tukey-Kramer post hoc test; (***, $P < 0.001$).

Fig. 6. Growth analysis of Δ*nhaR,* Δ*hns* and Δ*nhaR/*Δ*hns* mutants in M9G supplemented with 6%NaCl. Cells were grown to OD 0.5 in M9G1% and then inoculated into M9G6% NaCl and growth was measured every hour for 24 h at 37°C. Mean and standard deviation of two biological replicates are shown. For all growth curves the area under the curve (AUC) was calculated for each strain and a Student's t-test was performed (**, $P < 0.005$).

Fig. 7. Model of ectoine biosynthesis gene expression in *V. parahaemolyticus*. Solid arrows represent positive regulation while hammer lines represent negative regulation. Previously it was shown that LeuO is required for OmpU expression, an important component of the acid stress response. Our data now show that the LeuO regulon also contains *ectABC* required for high salinity tolerance.

Supplementary data

Fig. S1. Ectoine production and P*ectA*-***gfp* expression induced in M9G3% NaCl A**.^{1}H-NMR spectroscopy of *V. parahaemolyticus* wild type (WT) cell lysate grown in M9G 1%NaCl or M9G 3%NaCl. The spectral peaks for ectoine are indicated with arrows, and chemical shifts are expressed in ppm. **B**. Expression of P*ectA-gfp* transcriptional fusion in *V. parahaemolyticus* WT. Cultures were grown overnight in M9G 1%NaCl or M9G 3%NaCl and relative fluorescence intensity (RFU) was measured. Specific fluorescence was calculated by dividing RFU by OD.

Mean and standard deviation of two biological replicates are shown. Statistics were calculated

using a Student's t-test; (**, P < 0.005).

Fig. S2. DNA-affinity pulldown, protein separation with SDS-PAGE. Proteins that bind to

the regulatory region of *ectABC-aspk* were identified with DNA affinity chromatography and

mass spectrometry. Shown here are gel pieces that were excised to identify candidate proteins.

Fig. S3. LeuO and NhaR bind to the *ectABC-ask* regulatory region. A. Region upstream of

ectABC-aspk operon (P_{ectA}) is presented here both as a large probe (2) and segmented into three

smaller probes (1A, 1B, and 1C). **B.** LeuO binds to probe P_{ectA}-2 and to the *leuO* regulatory

region. **C.** NhaR binds to the P_{ectA}-*2* regulatory region. **D**. LeuO binds to segmented portions of

P_{ectA} probes. **E.** NhaR binds to segmented portions of P_{ectA} probes. EMSA's were performed with

30 ng of P_{ectA}-*gfp* probe and purified LeuO or NhaR protein (0 to 2.175 uM) with DNA: protein

molar rations of 1:0, 1:1, 1:10, 1:20, and 1:50.

Figure S4. Growth analysis of mutants in 1%NaCl and 3%NaCl. A. Wild type, Δ*leuO,* Δ*hns,*

and Δ*leuO/*Δ*hns* in M9G supplemented with 1%NaCl or **B.** M9G 3%NaCl. **C.** Wild type, Δ*nhaR,*

Δ*hns,* and Δ*hns/*Δ*nhaR* in M9G 1%NaCl or **D.** M9G 3%NaCl. Cells were grown to OD 0.5 in

M9G1% and then inoculated into M9G 1%NaCl or M9G 3%NaCl and growth was measured

every hour for 24 h at 37°C. Mean and standard deviation of two biological replicates are shown.

Table 1. Candidate proteins identified in DNA protein pulldown assay.

Accession no.	Locus tag	Function/Predicted Domains	Score	Coverage	# AAs	MW [kDa]
Q87RV7	VP0669	Succinylglutamate/aspartoacyclase	29.64	50.84	356	39.0
Q87K62	VPA0036	N-terminal winged helix	23.07	65.63	288	33.2
Q87SD5	VP0489	Aerobic respiration control protein FexA	17.15	9.66	238	26.9
Q87S97	VP0527	NhaR Na+/H+ (NhaA) antiporter regulator	15.06	38.18	296	33.9
Q87SS2	VP0350	LeuO LysR family	13.64	17.87	319	36.2
Q87HC6	VPA1039	IcmF-related protein, type IV SS	11.73	21.93	1172	133.7
Q87QL6	VP1133	H-NS Nucleoid associated protein	10.40	79.26	135	15.1
Q87JF0	VPA0303	DeoR/GlpR family regulators	9.94	17.89	246	26.9
Q87M65	VP2393	GalR LacI family regulators	7.87	3.31	332	36.2
Q87QW7	VP1032	TorR DNA-binding response regulator	7.74	15.19	237	26.7
Q87FD8	VPA1741	MurR/RpiR family regulator	7.47	4.95	283	30.8
Q87IK0	VPA0606	Putative AraC-type regulator	6.64	11.61	267	29.9
Q87T18	VP0252	CytR LacI family regulator	4.51	9.85	335	36.8
Q87RT5	VP0692	GcvA LysR family regulator	4.12	37.25	306	34.5
Q87MQ9	VP2172	YheO, PAS and HTH domains	3.92	40.87	252	28.2
Q87N99	VP1976	MetR LysR family	3.44	28.38	303	34.1
Q87H16	VPA1149	ABC-transporter, SBP domain	2.41	2.42	414	45.3
Q87JP6	VPA0202	GGDEF family protein	2.36	3.26	337	38.2
Q87QW9	VP1030	PurR purine biosynthesis repressor	2.35	4.19	334	37.7
Q87TB6	VP0154	OmpR Osmolarity response regulator	2.33	3.77	239	27.3
Q87QB4	VP1236	Phosphogluconate repressor HexR	2.33	3.52	284	31.5
Q87FZ8	VPA1522	CrgA LysR family regulator	2.30	3.56	309	34.4
Q87S55	VP0569	PhoB DNA-bindng response regulator	2.20	4.80	229	26.1
Q87M71	VP2387	DeoR family transcriptional regulator	2.15	3.80	263	29.2
Q87J66	VPA0387	LysR family transcriptional regulator	2.09	3.86	311	34.7
Q87P35	VP1683	Hypothetical protein; periplasmic binding	2.01	4.31	325	35.0

References

1.   Wood J. 1999. Osmosensing by bacteria: signals and membrane-based sensors.

Microbiology and molecular biology reviews : MMBR 63.

2.   Poolman B, Glaasker E. 1998. Regulation of compatible solute accumulation in bacteria.

Mol Microbiol 29.

3.   Galinski EA. 1995. Osmoadaptation in bacteria. Adv Microb Physiol 37:272-328.

4.   Wood JM. 2011. Bacterial osmoregulation: a paradigm for the study of cellular

homeostasis. Annu Rev Microbiol 65:215-38.

5.   Wood J. 2015. Bacterial responses to osmotic challenges. J Gen Physiol 145.

6.   Csonka L. 1989. Physiological and genetic responses of bacteria to osmotic stress.

Microbiol Rev 53.

7.   McLaggan D, Naprstek J, Buurman ET, Epstein W. 1994. Interdependence of K+ and

glutamate accumulation during osmotic adaptation of *Escherichia coli*. J Biol Chem

269:1911-7.

8.   da Costa M, Santos H, Galinski E. 1998. An overview of the role and diversity of

compatible solutes in Bacteria and Archaea. Advan Biochemical

engineering/biotechnology 61.

9.   Galinski EA, Oren A. 1991. Isolation and structure determination of a novel compatible

solute from the moderately halophilic purple sulfur bacterium Ectothiorhodospira

marismortui. Eur J Biochem 198:593-8.

10. Galinski EA, Pfeiffer HP, Trüper HG. 1985. 1,4,5,6-Tetrahydro-2-methyl-4-

pyrimidinecarboxylic acid. A novel cyclic amino acid from halophilic phototrophic

bacteria of the genus Ectothiorhodospira. Eur J Biochem 149:135-9.

11.   Kempf B, Bremer E. 1998. Uptake and synthesis of compatible solutes as microbial stress

responses to high-osmolality environments. Arch Microbiol 170.

12.   Severin J, Wohlfarth A, Galinski E. 1992. The predominant role of recently discovered

tetrahydropyrimidines for the osmoadaptation of halophilic eubacteria. Microbiol 138.

13.   Czech L, Hermann L, Stöveken N, Richter AA, Höppner A, Smits SHJ, Heider J, Bremer

E. 2018. Role of the Extremolytes Ectoine and Hydroxyectoine as Stress Protectants and

Nutrients: Genetics, Phylogenomics, Biochemistry, and Structural Analysis. Genes

(Basel) 9.

14.   Hermann L, Mais CN, Czech L, Smits SHJ, Bange G, Bremer E. 2020. The ups and

downs of ectoine: structural enzymology of a major microbial stress protectant and

versatile nutrient. Biol Chem 401:1443-1468.

15.   Ongagna-Yhombi SY, Boyd EF. 2013. Biosynthesis of the osmoprotectant ectoine, but

not glycine betaine, is critical for survival of osmotically stressed *Vibrio*

parahaemolyticus Cells. Appl Environ Microbiol, 79:5038-49,

16.   Pflughoeft KJ, Kierek K, Watnick PI. 2003. Role of ectoine in *Vibrio cholerae*

osmoadaptation. Appl Environ Microbiol 69:5919-27.

17.   Gregory GJ, Morreale DP, Carpenter MR, Kalburge SS, Boyd EF. 2019. Quorum

Sensing Regulators AphA and OpaR Control Expression of the Operon Responsible for

Biosynthesis of the Compatible Solute Ectoine. Appl Environ Microbiol 85.

18.   Gregory GJ, Dutta A, Parashar V, Boyd EF. 2020. Investigations of Dimethylglycine,

Glycine Betaine, and Ectoine Uptake by a Betaine-Carnitine-Choline Transporter Family

Transporter with Diverse Substrate Specificity in Vibrio Species. J Bacteriol 202.

19.   Naughton LM, Blumerman SL, Carlberg M, Boyd EF. 2009. Osmoadaptation among

510   Vibrio species and unique genomic features and physiological responses of *Vibrio*

511   *parahaemolyticus*. Appl Environ Microbiol 75:2802-10.

512   20.   Graf R, Anzali S, Buenger J, Pfluecker F, Driller H. 2008. The multifunctional role of

513   ectoine as a natural cell protectant. Clin Dermatol 26:326-33.

514   21.   Buenger J, Driller H. 2004. Ectoin: an effective natural substance to prevent UVA-

515   induced premature photoaging. Skin Pharmacol Physiol 17:232-7.

516   22.   Jebbar M, Talibart R, Gloux K, Bernard T, Blanco C. 1992. Osmoprotection of

517   *Escherichia coli* by ectoine: uptake and accumulation characteristics. J Bacteriol 174.

518   23.   Sauer T, Galinski EA. 1998. Bacterial milking: A novel bioprocess for production of

519   compatible solutes. Biotechnol Bioeng 57:306-13.

520   24.   Czech L, Poehl S, Hub P, Stöveken N, Bremer E. 2018. Tinkering with Osmotically

521   Controlled Transcription Allows Enhanced Production and Excretion of Ectoine and

522   Hydroxyectoine from a Microbial Cell Factory. Appl Environ Microbiol 84.

523   25.   Kuhlmann AU, Bremer E. 2002. Osmotically regulated synthesis of the compatible solute

524   ectoine in *Bacillus pasteurii* and related Bacillus spp. Appl Environ Microbiol 68:772-83.

525   26.   Reshetnikov AS, Khmelenina VN, Trotsenko YA. 2006. Characterization of the ectoine

526   biosynthesis genes of haloalkalotolerant obligate methanotroph "*Methylomicrobium*

527   *alcaliphilum* 20Z". Arch Microbiol 184:286-97.

528   27.   Louis P, Galinski EA. 1997. Characterization of genes for the biosynthesis of the

529   compatible solute ectoine from Marinococcus halophilus and osmoregulated expression

530   in *Escherichia coli*. Microbiology (Reading) 143 (Pt 4):1141-1149.

531   28.   Mustakhimov, II, Reshetnikov AS, Fedorov DN, Khmelenina VN, Trotsenko YA. 2012.

532   Role of EctR as transcriptional regulator of ectoine biosynthesis genes in *Methylophaga*

533   *thalassica*. Biochemistry (Mosc) 77:857-63.

534   29.   Mustakhimov, II, Reshetnikov AS, Khmelenina VN, Trotsenko YA. 2009. EctR--a novel

535   transcriptional regulator of ectoine biosynthesis genes in the haloalcaliphilic

536   methylotrophic bacterium *Methylophaga alcalica*. Dokl Biochem Biophys 429:305-8.

537   30.   Mustakhimov, II, Reshetnikov AS, Glukhov AS, Khmelenina VN, Kalyuzhnaya MG,

538   Trotsenko YA. 2010. Identification and characterization of EctR1, a new transcriptional

539   regulator of the ectoine biosynthesis genes in the halotolerant methanotroph

540   *Methylomicrobium alcaliphilum* 20Z. J Bacteriol 192:410-7.

541   31.   Gregory GJ, Morreale DP, Boyd EF. 2020. CosR i a global regulator of the osmotic stress

542   response with widespread distribution among Bacteria. Appl Environ Microbiol 86.

543   32.   Gregory GJ, Boyd EF. 2021. Stressed out: Bacterial response to high salinity using

544   compatible solute biosynthesis and uptake systems, lessons from *Vibrionaceae*. Comput

545   Struct Biotechnol J 19:1014-1027.

546   33.   Shikuma NJ, Davis KR, Fong JN, Yildiz FH. 2013. The transcriptional regulator, CosR,

547   controls compatible solute biosynthesis and transport, motility and biofilm formation in

548   *Vibrio cholerae*. Environ Microbiol 15:1387-99.

549   34.   Ongagna-Yhombi SY, Boyd EF. 2013. Biosynthesis of the osmoprotectant ectoine, but

550   not glycine betaine, is critical for survival of osmotically stressed *Vibrio*

551   *parahaemolyticus* cells. Appl Environ Microbiol 79:5038-49.

552   35.   Williams SG, Carmel-Harel O, Manning PA. 1998. A functional homolog of *Escherichia*

553   *coli* NhaR in *Vibrio cholerae*. J Bacteriol 180:762-5.

36.   Rahav-Manor O, Carmel O, Karpel R, Taglicht D, Glaser G, Schuldiner S, Padan E.

1992. NhaR, a protein homologous to a family of bacterial regulatory proteins (LysR),

regulates nhaA, the sodium proton antiporter gene in *Escherichia coli*. J Biol Chem

267:10433-8.

37.   Król JE. 2018. Regulatory loop between the CsrA system and NhaR, a high salt/high pH

regulator. PLoS One 13:e0209554.

38.   Pratt LA, Hsing W, Gibson KE, Silhavy TJ. 1996. From acids to osmZ: multiple factors

influence synthesis of the OmpF and OmpC porins in *Escherichia coli*. Mol Microbiol

20:911-7.

39.   Kenney LJ, Bauer MD, Silhavy TJ. 1995. Phosphorylation-dependent conformational

changes in OmpR, an osmoregulatory DNA-binding protein of *Escherichia coli*. Proc

Natl Acad Sci U S A 92:8866-70.

40.   Chakraborty S, Kenney LJ. 2018. A New Role of OmpR in Acid and Osmotic Stress in

Salmonella and *E. coli*. Front Microbiol 9:2656.

41.   Whitaker WB, Parent MA, Boyd A, Richards GP, Boyd EF. 2012. The *Vibrio*

parahaemolyticus ToxRS Regulator Is Required for Stress Tolerance and Colonization in

a Novel Orogastric Streptomycin-Induced Adult Murine Model. Infect Immun, 80:1834-

45,

42.   Gode-Potratz CJ, Chodur DM, McCarter LL. 2010. Calcium and iron regulate swarming

and type III secretion in *Vibrio parahaemolyticus*. J Bacteriol 192:6025-38.

43.   Shimada T, Bridier A, Briandet R, Ishihama A. 2011. Novel roles of LeuO in

transcription regulation of *E. coli* genome: antagonistic interplay with the universal

silencer H-NS. Mol Microbiol 82:378-97.

44.   Navarre WW, McClelland M, Libby SJ, Fang FC. 2007. Silencing of xenogeneic DNA

578   by H-NS-facilitation of lateral gene transfer in bacteria by a defense system that

579   recognizes foreign DNA. Genes Dev 21:1456-71.

580   45.   Dorman CJ. 2004. H-NS: a universal regulator for a dynamic genome. Nat Rev Microbiol

581   2:391-400.

582   46.   Dorman CJ. 2007. H-NS, the genome sentinel. Nat Rev Microbiol 5:157-61.

583   47.   Lucchini S, Rowley G, Goldberg MD, Hurd D, Harrison M, Hinton JC. 2006. H-NS

584   mediates the silencing of laterally acquired genes in bacteria. PLoS Pathog 2:e81.

585   48.   Oshima T, Ishikawa S, Kurokawa K, Aiba H, Ogasawara N. 2006. *Escherichia coli*

586   histone-like protein H-NS preferentially binds to horizontally acquired DNA in

587   association with RNA polymerase. DNA Res 13:141-53.

588   49.   Hernández-Lucas I, Gallego-Hernández AL, Encarnación S, Fernández-Mora M,

589   Martínez-Batallar AG, Salgado H, Oropeza R, Calva E. 2008. The LysR-type

590   transcriptional regulator LeuO controls expression of several genes in *Salmonella*

591   *enterica* serovar Typhi. J Bacteriol 190:1658-70.

592   50.   Shimada T, Yamamoto K, Ishihama A. 2009. Involvement of the leucine response

593   transcription factor LeuO in regulation of the genes for sulfa drug efflux. J Bacteriol

594   191:4562-71.

595   51.   De la Cruz MA, Fernández-Mora M, Guadarrama C, Flores-Valdez MA, Bustamante

596   VH, Vázquez A, Calva E. 2007. LeuO antagonizes H-NS and StpA-dependent repression

597   in *Salmonella enterica ompS1*. Mol Microbiol 66:727-43.

598   52.   Hernández-Lucas I, Calva E. 2012. The coming of age of the LeuO regulator. Mol

599   Microbiol 85:1026-8.

53.   Ayala JC, Wang H, Benitez JA, Silva AJ. 2018. Molecular basis for the differential

expression of the global regulator VieA in *Vibrio cholerae* biotypes directed by H-NS,

LeuO and quorum sensing. Mol Microbiol 107:330-343.

54.   Medina-Aparicio L, Rebollar-Flores JE, Gallego-Hernández AL, Vázquez A, Olvera L,

Gutiérrez-Ríos RM, Calva E, Hernández-Lucas I. 2011. The CRISPR/Cas immune

system is an operon regulated by LeuO, H-NS, and leucine-responsive regulatory protein

in *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhi. J Bacteriol 193:2396-407.

55.   Ante VM, Bina XR, Howard MF, Sayeed S, Taylor DL, Bina JE. 2015. *Vibrio cholerae*

leuO Transcription Is Positively Regulated by ToxR and Contributes to Bile Resistance. J

Bacteriol 197:3499-510.

56.   Bina XR, Howard MF, Ante VM, Bina JE. 2016. *Vibrio cholerae* LeuO Links the ToxR

Regulon to Expression of Lipid A Remodeling Genes. Infect Immun 84:3161-3171.

57.   Ongagna-Yhombi SY, McDonald ND, Boyd EF. 2015. Deciphering the role of multiple

betaine-carnitine-choline transporters in the Halophile *Vibrio parahaemolyticus*. Appl

Environ Microbiol 81:351-63.

58.   Oren A. 1999. Bioenergetic Aspects of Halophilism. doi:10.1128/MMBR.63.2.334-

348.1999.

59.   Dover N, Higgins CF, Carmel O, Rimon A, Pinner E, Padan E. 1996. Na+-induced

transcription of nhaA, which encodes an Na+/H+ antiporter in *Escherichia coli*, is

positively regulated by nhaR and affected by hns. J Bacteriol 178:6508-17.

60.   Dover N, Padan E. 2001. Transcription of nhaA, the main Na(+)/H(+) antiporter of

Escherichia coli, is regulated by Na(+) and growth phase. J Bacteriol 183:644-53.

61.   Padan E, Gerchman Y, Rimon A, Rothman A, Dover N, Carmel-Harel O. 1999. The

molecular mechanism of regulation of the NhaA Na+/H+ antiporter of *Escherichia coli*, a

key transporter in the adaptation to Na+ and H+. Novartis Found Symp 221:183-96;

discussion 196-9.

62.   Toesca I, Perard C, Bouvier J, Gutierrez C, Conter A. 2001. The transcriptional activator

NhaR is responsible for the osmotic induction of osmC(p1), a promoter of the stress-

inducible gene osmC in *Escherichia coli*. Microbiology (Reading) 147:2795-2803.

63.   Carmel O, Rahav-Manor O, Dover N, Shaanan B, Padan E. 1997. The Na+-specific

interaction between the LysR-type regulator, NhaR, and the nhaA gene encoding the

Na+/H+ antiporter of *Escherichia coli*. Embo j 16:5922-9.

64.   Fang M, Majumder A, Tsai KJ, Wu HY. 2000. ppGpp-dependent leuO expression in

bacteria under stress. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 276:64-70.

65.   Hertzberg KM, Gemmill R, Jones J, Calvo JM. 1980. Cloning of an EcoRI-generated

fragment of the leucine operon of Salmonella typhimurium. Gene 8:135-52.

66.   Dillon SC, Espinosa E, Hokamp K, Ussery DW, Casadesús J, Dorman CJ. 2012. LeuO is

a global regulator of gene expression in Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium. Mol

Microbiol 85:1072-89.

67.   Navarre WW, Porwollik S, Wang Y, McClelland M, Rosen H, Libby SJ, Fang FC. 2006.

Selective silencing of foreign DNA with low GC content by the H-NS protein in

Salmonella. Science 313:236-8.

68.   Zhang L, Osei-Adjei G, Zhang Y, Gao H, Yang W, Zhou D, Huang X, Yang H. 2017.

CalR is required for the expression of T6SS2 and the adhesion of *Vibrio*

parahaemolyticus to HeLa cells. Arch Microbiol 199:931-938.

69.   Yu RR, DiRita VJ. 2002. Regulation of gene expression in *Vibrio cholerae* by ToxT

involves both antirepression and RNA polymerase stimulation. Mol Microbiol 43:119-34.

70.   Stonehouse EA, Hulbert RR, Nye MB, Skorupski K, Taylor RK. 2011. H-NS binding and

repression of the ctx promoter in *Vibrio cholerae*. J Bacteriol 193:979-88.

71.   Ayala JC, Wang H, Benitez JA, Silva AJ. 2015. RNA-Seq analysis and whole genome

DNA-binding profile of the *Vibrio cholerae* histone-like nucleoid structuring protein (H-

NS). Genom Data 5:147-150.

72.   Kazi MI, Conrado AR, Mey AR, Payne SM, Davies BW. 2016. ToxR Antagonizes H-NS

Regulation of Horizontally Acquired Genes to Drive Host Colonization. PLoS Pathog

12:e1005570.

73.   Park NY, Lee KW, Kim KS. 2020. H-NS Silences Gene Expression of LeuO, the Master

Regulator of the Cyclic(Phe-Pro)-dependent Signal Pathway, in Vibrio vulnificus. J

Microbiol Biotechnol 30:830-838.

74.   Park NY, Kim IH, Wen Y, Lee KW, Lee S, Kim JA, Jung KH, Lee KH, Kim KS. 2019.

Multi-Factor Regulation of the Master Modulator LeuO for the Cyclic-(Phe-Pro)

Signaling Pathway in Vibrio vulnificus. Sci Rep 9:20135.

75.   Makino K, Oshima K, Kurokawa K, Yokoyama K, Uda T, Tagomori K, Iijima Y, Najima

M, Nakano M, Yamashita A, Kubota Y, Kimura S, Yasunaga T, Honda T, Shinagawa H,

Hattori M, Iida T. 2003. Genome sequence of *Vibrio parahaemolyticus*: a pathogenic

mechanism distinct from that of V cholerae. Lancet 361:743-9.

76.   Whitaker WB, Parent MA, Naughton LM, Richards GP, Blumerman SL, Boyd EF. 2010.

Modulation of Responses of *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* O3:K6 to pH and Temperature

Stresses by Growth at Different Salt Concentrations ▿, p 4720-9, Appl Environ

Microbiol, vol 76.

77.   Dehio C, Meyer M. 1997. Maintenance of broad-host-range incompatibility group P and

group Q plasmids and transposition of Tn5 in Bartonella henselae following conjugal

plasmid transfer from *Escherichia coli*. J Bacteriol 179:538-40.

78.   Jutras BL, Verma A, Stevenson B. 2012. Identification of novel DNA-binding proteins

using DNA-affinity chromatography/pull down. Curr Protoc Microbiol Chapter

1:Unit1F.1.

79.   Chaparian RR, Olney SG, Hustmyer CM, Rowe-Magnus DA, van Kessel JC. 2016.

Integration host factor and LuxR synergistically bind DNA to coactivate quorum-sensing

genes in Vibrio harveyi. Mol Microbiol 101:823-40.

80.   Tague JG, Hong J, Kalburge SS, Boyd EF. 2022. Regulatory Small RNA Qrr2 Is

Expressed Independently of Sigma Factor-54 and Can Function as the Sole Qrr Small

RNA To Control Quorum Sensing in *Vibrio parahaemolyticus*. J Bacteriol

204:e0035021.

81.   Jutras BL, Liu Z, Brissette CA. 2010. Simultaneous isolation of Ixodidae and bacterial

(Borrelia spp.) genomic DNA. Curr Protoc Microbiol Chapter 1:Unit1E.2.

82.   Tague JG, Regmi A, Gregory GJ, Boyd EF. 2021. Fis Connects Two Sensory Pathways,

Quorum Sensing and Surface Sensing, to Control Motility in *Vibrio parahaemolyticus*.

Front Microbiol 12:669447.

83.   Gibson DG. 2011. Enzymatic assembly of overlapping DNA fragments. Methods

Enzymol 498:349-61.

84.   Philippe N, Alcaraz JP, Coursange E, Geiselmann J, Schneider D. 2004. Improvement of

690   pCVD442, a suicide plasmid for gene allele exchange in bacteria. Plasmid 51:246-55.

691   85.   Horton RM, Hunt HD, Ho SN, Pullen JK, Pease LR. 1989. Engineering hybrid genes

692   without the use of restriction enzymes: gene splicing by overlap extension. Gene 77:61-8.

693   86.   Karunakaran R, Mauchline TH, Hosie AHF, Poole PS. 2005. A family of promoter probe

694   vectors incorporating autofluorescent and chromogenic reporter proteins for studying

695   gene expression in Gram-negative bacteria. Microbiology (Reading) 151:3249-3256.

Fig. 1

Fig. 2

Fig. 3

Fig. 4

Fig. 5

Fig. 6

Fig. 7