Facing lethal temperatures: heat shock response in desert and temperate ants

Natalia de Souza Araujo[1,¶,*], Rémy Perez[1,¶], Quentin Willot[1,3], Matthieu Defrance[2] and Serge Aron[1]

[1] Department of Evolutionary Biology & Ecology, Université Libre de Bruxelles. Avenue F.D. Roosevelt, 50. B-1050 Brussels, Belgium

[2] Interuniversity Institute of Bioinformatics in Brussels, Université Libre de Bruxelles. B-1050 Brussels, Belgium

[3] Zoophysiology, Department of Biology, Aarhus University, 8000, Aarhus-C, Denmark

[¶]Authors contributed equally to this study

[*] Corresponding author
Natalia de Souza Araujo
e-mail: Natalia.De.Souza.Araujo@ulb.be

Short title: Heat shock response in desert and temperate ants

Abstract

Several genera of desert ants have adapted to endure prolonged exposure to high temperatures. The study of these ants is essential to unravel how species respond and adapt to thermal stress. We investigated the thermal tolerance and the transcriptomic heat stress response of three desert ant genera (*Cataglyphis*, *Melophorus* and *Ocymyrmex*) and two temperate genera (*Formica* and *Myrmica*) to explore convergent and specific adaptations. We found a variable transcriptomic response among desert species exposed to similar levels of physiological heat-stress: *Cataglyphis holgerseni* and *Melophorus bagoti* differentially regulated very few transcripts, 0.12% (54/44,525) and 0.14% (53/38,726) respectively, while *Cataglyphis bombycina* and *Ocymyrmex robustior* showed greater expression alterations affecting 0.6% (253/41,912) and 1.53% (698/45,701) of their transcriptomes, respectively. These two responsive mechanisms – reactive and constitutive – were related to desert species thermal tolerance survival pattern and convergently evolved in distinct desert ant genera. By comparison, the two temperate species differentially expressed thousands of transcripts more than desert ants in response to heat stress (affecting 8% and 12,71% of *F. fusca* and *Myr. sabuleti* transcriptomes), suggesting that keeping restrained gene expression is an important adaptation in heat adapted species. Finally, we found a significant overlap of the molecular pathways activated in response to heat-stress in temperate and desert species, and our data revealed that larger gene expression responses also affected a greater number of taxonomically restricted genes. These results suggest that the molecular processes involved in heat-stress response are mostly evolutionary conserved in ants, but new genes may also play a role.

Introduction

Global climate changes affect species distribution, adaptation, and survival [1]. Ectotherm species are particularly highly sensitivity to temperature increase, and even moderate warmer temperatures may lead to a disproportionate increased heat failure [2]. To establish and improve predictive models and management plans to control biodiversity loss, it is therefore primordial to understand the effects of thermal stress in different organisms [2,3]. A major effect of severe heat stress is the denaturation of the three-dimensional structure of macromolecules such as proteins and cell membranes, leading to function loss, metabolic failure and, ultimately, cellular and organism death [4,5]. To face heat-stress, the cellular machinery may activate multiple pathways increasing the production of proteins to limit or repair cell damage [6]. The best-known examples of proteins involved in this response are the heat-shock proteins (HSP). These proteins perform several functions essential to survival; they ensure correct refolding of denaturated proteins, prevent harmful protein aggregation (due to the association of misfolded proteins), and participate in the elimination of protein aggregates [7]. Other pathways triggered by high temperature include that of unsaturated longer fatty acids and sterols accumulation, whose increased production helps to keep the fluidity of the cell matrix and of the membrane [8]. Likewise, the production of antioxidants and detoxification enzymes, like super oxide dismutase (SOD) or glutathione peroxidase (GPx), helps reducing the accumulation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) [9]. Under heat stress, these molecular pathways interact composing an intricate multi-level response that, despite its complexity, must be rapidly activated to optimize survival [10]. This response is expected to be particularly important in small ectotherms – like insects – whose body temperature is highly correlated to that of their local environment [11].

Hot deserts are amongst the most stressful habitats on Earth. Organisms inhabiting these regions must cope with extremely high temperatures and low humidity [12]. These conditions have led most desert animals to adopt nocturnal or bimodal activity patterns, exploiting the cooler dawn and twilight hours to avoid the scorching heat [13,14]. Amazingly, several ant species display the exact opposite behaviour; they only leave the nest during the warmest hours of the day when air and ground temperature are close to their own lethal thermal limits [15–17]. Such unusual activity pattern allows these insects to forage for heat-struck arthropods while reducing competition and predation pressures from other desert organisms. This so called "thermal scavenging" behaviour has appeared at least three distinct times in phylogeographically distant heat adapted ant genera: (A) within the *Cataglyphis* in the deserts of the northern hemisphere, (B) in some *Melophorus* species from the Australian outback, and (C) among the *Ocymyrmex* who inhabit deserts of sub-tropical Africa (Fig 1; [18–20]). Workers

of these genera exhibit similar behavioural and morphological adaptations likely resulting from
a convergent evolutionary process in response to their peculiar thermal niche [21]. They can
withstand body temperature exceeding 45°C during a long period of time [22–24]; their legs
are considerably longer relatively to their body size, which maximizes the distance between
their body and the burning ground and allows higher running speed reducing foraging time and
enhancing forced convective cooling [25,26]; and they periodically exploit thermal refuges in
shaded areas or ground elevated places to benefit from cooler spots [20]. Recently, our group
has characterized the transcriptomic heat shock response of six *Cataglyphis* species from
different habitats and thermal regimes [10,27]. We found that the *Cataglyphis* heat stress
response builds upon the co-expression of gene clusters mainly involved in proteome stability,
elimination of toxic residues, DNA/RNA metabolism and the maintenance of cell integrity
through membrane modification and cytoskeletal rearrangements. Whether such molecular
adaptations/pathways to cope with heat stress are genera-specific or convergently evolved in
different desert ants remains however unknown.
100
101 **Fig 1.** Workers of the ant species: (A) *Cataglyphis holgerseni*, (B) *C. bombycina*, (C)
102 *Melophorus bagoti*, (D) *Ocymyrmex sp.*, (E) *Formica fusca,* and (F) *Myrmica sabuleti*. With
103 permission: (A) A. Kuhn, (B, E, F) Q. Willot, (C) A. Wystrach, (D) R. Duncan.
104
Herein, we investigated the thermal tolerance and the transcriptomic response to heat
stress of four species of desert ants belonging to the genera *Cataglyphis*, *Melophorus* and
Ocymyrmex (Fig 1). Additionally, to explore convergent and specific adaptations to heat-stress,
we compared the heat-shock response found in these desert ants with that of related outgroup
temperate species from the Formicinae (same subfamily as *Cataglyphis* and *Melophorus*) and
Myrmicinae (same subfamily as *Ocymyrmex*). We first measured their heat tolerance by
assessing the survival rate of workers at increasing temperatures and established the species
thermal limit. Then, we identified genes involved in the heat-shock response by quantifying the
differentially regulated transcripts between heat stressed and control workers. Finally, we
performed cross-species comparisons using differential gene expression and survival results
to identify whether any convergence could be observed in the heat shock response of desert
species. We show that desert ants benefit from two main molecular strategies to deal with high
temperatures. The first is a constitutive high expression of heat stress genes; this response is
associated with individuals' survival decreasing rapidly after reaching their thermal limit. The
second, a reactive gene expression regulation in response to increasing temperatures that
was associated with a wider variation in individual survival capacity above species thermal
limit. Additionally, unlike temperate species, desert ants maintain strong gene expression

control even at considerably higher temperatures, indicating this is a key adaptation to
withstand heat stress.
124

Results

Desert ants show two survival patterns aligned to two distinct gene expression regulation responses under heat stress.

We estimated ant heat tolerance through heat-stress assays in which workers were
exposed to constant temperatures (39°C, 41°C, 43°C, 45°C, 47°C, 49°C or 51°C). In total six
groups of 10 randomly selected workers (totalizing 60 individuals) were tested per species,
and after 3 hours of exposure to the tested temperature the per cent survival of each group
was recorded. The median lethal temperature after 3h exposure (LT50_3h) differed
significantly among species (Fig S1). It was 39.91°C (CI 95%: ± 0.16) for *Myr. sabuleti*; 42°C
(± 0.17) for *F. fusca*; 44.83°C (± 0.18) for *C. bombycina*; 46°C (± 0.16) for *C. holgerseni*; 46.8°C
(± 0.16) for *O. robustior*; and 49.83°C (± 0.15) for *Mel. bagoti*. Consistently, the temperature
below which the survival rate was significantly different from 100% after 3h exposure (upper
thermal limit, UTL_3h) was also the lowest for the two temperate species, *Myr. sabuleti* and *F.*
fusca, at 39°C and 41°C respectively. *Mel. bagoti* was the most heat tolerant ant species with
95% of workers surviving at 49°C for 3 hours. The other ants showed intermediated UTL_3h
values; *C. holgerseni* had a UTL_3h of 45°C, and both *C. bombycina* and *O. robustior* an
UTL_3h of 43°C. For all species, the survival ratio markedly dropped from about 100% to 0%
within a two-degree temperature increase interval (Fig 2), except for the Sahara ant *C.*
bombycina and the Namib ant *O. robustior*, whose survival gradually decreased within a wider
temperature range of six degrees.
146

147 **Fig 2.** Percent survival of ant workers during heat-stress assays. The ants (60 workers from 4-
148   6 colonies per species) were exposed to increasing temperatures (39°C, 41°C, 43°C,
149   45°C, 47°C, 49°C or 51°C) for 3 hours; their percent survival was then measured. Workers
150   were categorized as moribund when reaching muscular paralysis. For each species, UTL
151   is indicated by a filled circle (•).
152

Reference transcriptomes were assembled using RNASeq data from a pool of workers
(Heat Stressed – HS – and Non Heat Stressed – NHS), eggs, and pupae. For species with a
reference genome available (*i.e.*, the *Cataglyphis* species and *F. exsecta*) we generated a
reference guided and a *de novo* assembly; for *O. robustior*, *Mel. bagoti* and *Myr. Sabuleti*, only
a *de novo* assembly was performed. In all cases, we clustered similar transcripts using CD-Hit

[28], Corset [29] and Lace [30], and removed non protein coding transcripts. Reference
transcriptome sizes ranged from 38,726 in *Mel. bagoti* to 84,784 in *Myr. sabuleti* (Fig 3B, major
quality parameters are given in Table S2). The cause for such variation in transcriptome size
among species is unknown; it might stem from the presence of multiple isoforms, the nature
of the transcripts (CG or AT rich) or the quality of the reference genome used. Assembly
strategy (with or without the reference genome) did not relate directly with the total number of
transcripts, as species with a reference genome did not necessarily have less transcripts.
Instead, transcripts were likely more complete – with higher N50 values and more complete
BUSCOs (Table S2) – when using the reference genome.

Fig 3. Transcriptomic analyses summary. **A** – Number of differentially expressed transcripts
between heat stressed and non-heat stressed conditions for each species tested. Up HS
transcripts refers to transcripts up regulated in the heat stressed samples when compared
to the non-heat stressed samples, while Down HS refers to transcripts down regulated in
heat stressed samples (i.e., up regulated in non-heat stressed samples in comparison to
heat-stressed ones). **B** – Reference transcriptome sizes. Dashed lines show the
proportion of transcripts with at least one significant blast hit. **C** – Number of transcripts
differentially expressed and annotated in common among desert species. Only the overlap
between *C. bombycina* and *O. robustior* was significant at p < 0.01 random sampling test.
For the sake of clarity, overlapping results are shown only for desert species. All species
comparisons are given in Table S3.

Differentially expressed transcripts (DET) were identified using four replicates per
treatment; in the HS treatment, workers were exposed to the species upper thermal limit
temperature for 3h; in the NHS treatment, workers were maintained for 3h hours at 25°C
(desert species) or 18°C (temperate species). Ten worker whole bodies were pooled for RNA
sequencing of each sample replicate. The number of transcripts differentially expressed
between the HS and NHS conditions ranged from 53 in *Mel. bagoti* to 10,778 in *Myr. sabuleti*
(Fig 3A; complete lists of DET in each species and their annotations are provided in
Supplementary Files 2–13). Based on the range of differential gene expression observed
across the species, we grouped their responses into three responsive profiles that were not
phylogenetically conserved (Fig 4): the first comprised *Mel. bagoti* and *C. holgerseni,* both
species showing weak heat stress expression responses with only 53 DET in 38,726
transcripts (0.14%) and 54 DET in 44,525 transcripts (0.12%), respectively; the second
included *C. bombycina* and *O. robustior,* which had a medium heat stress expression response
of 253 DET in 41,912 transcripts (0.60%) and 698 DET in 45,701 transcripts (1.53%),
respectively; the third group contained *F. fusca* and *Myr. sabuleti* which had strong heat stress

responses and differentially expressed 4,991 in 62,416 transcripts (8%) and 10,778 in 84,784 transcripts (12,71%), respectively. We found a highly significant correlation between transcriptome size and the number of transcripts differentially expressed in response to heat stress across all species (*Pearson R* = 0.99, *p* = 6e−05, Fig S2). However, when only desert species were considered, this correlation was lost (*Pearson R* = 0.63, *p* = 0.37, Fig S2). This suggests that the difference in the number of DET between desert and temperate species is at least partly due to differences in their transcriptome sizes. Nevertheless, the difference between transcriptome sizes and the number of DET were of distinct magnitudes; temperate species showed a minimum seven-fold increase in gene expression activity during assays in comparison to desert ants (Fig 3A), while the maximum transcriptome size difference was only one-fold greater (Fig 3B).

Fig 4. Phylogenetic tree of the studied ant species estimated using orthologous proteins. Branch colours corresponds to the number of differentially expressed transcripts (DET) identified for that species between heat stressed and non-heat stressed samples. The exact number of DET is reported bellow each species branch along with the LT50. Grey lines represent internal nodes with no values. Dotted lines indicate species showing gradual decrease in survival ratio within a six-degree temperature interval while straight lines refer to species whose survival decline from 100 to 0% within a smaller temperature range of two degrees. Ant species from temperate areas are shown in bold.

In conclusion, desert ants displayed a more restrained gene expression regulation in response to thermal stress than temperate species, and transcriptome size variation alone cannot account for all the observed difference. Furthermore, amongst desert ants, wider gene expression alterations in response to heat stress were linked to larger variation in workers' survival: species with a more intense expression response (*i.e.*, *C. bombycina* and *O. robustior*; Fig 4) displayed a more gradual decrease in survival ratio (Fig 2) – dropping from 100% to 0% survival within a six-degree temperature interval – while species with weak heat stress expression response (*i.e.*, *C. holgerseni* and *Mel. bagoti*) showed a marked reduction in survival within a smaller temperature range of two degrees. In temperate species, survival also decreased rapidly within a two-degree temperature increase; however, in contrast to desert ants, many genes where differentially expressed.

Fewer gene expression alterations in response to heat stems from high constitutive levels of heat stress related genes in desert ants.

To further investigate whether the different expression patterns found among desert ants were due to a lack of expression of heat stress related genes, or instead, to a distinct timing

regulation of these genes, we focussed on the expression pattern of admittedly known heat
stress related genes – the heat shock proteins 70 and 90 (HSP70 and HSP90) – and inspected
the expression of their related transcripts (in TMM) in HS and NHS replicates. The expression
plots of these transcripts in all species are reported in supplementary files S14 to S19. Although
expression counts of desert ants HSP70 and HSP90 transcripts under heat stress and non-
heat stress conditions were only significantly differentially regulated in *C. bombycina* and *O.*
robustior, these genes were still expressed at a high level in *C. holgerseni* and *Mel. bagoti* (Fig
5). In the two latter species, however, expression of HSP70 and HSP90 in the control group
(NHS ants) were already elevated, which explains the lack of significant differences between
the two groups. This indicates that desert species with fewer gene expression alterations (*i.e.*,
C. holgerseni and *Mel. bagoti*) have a constitutive up regulation of HSP70 and HSP90 and do
not significantly change their expression levels when exposed to increasing temperatures,
unlike *C. bombycina* and *O. robustior*.

Fig 5. Expression of heat stress proteins 70 and 90 related transcripts in heat-stressed and
non-heat stressed treatments. Expression levels shown as TMM normalized read counts.
Transcripts shown represent one related transcript from each HSP that was either
differentially expressed or had highest expression in the referred species. HS – samples
heat stressed; NHS – non-heat stressed control samples; SP – UniProtKB/Swiss-Prot
annotation; UR – UniRef90 database annotation. * Indicates the transcript is significantly
differentially regulated between treatment conditions (log$_2$-fold change ≥ 2 between
treatments and FDR ≤ 1e-3).

These results support the hypothesis that the reduced number of differentially expressed
genes under heat stress in *C. holgerseni* and *Mel. bagoti* stems from a constitutive upregulation
of heat stress related genes. Therefore, the distinct expression patterns observed in desert
ants would reflect a difference in timing regulation of heat stress related genes with *C.*
holgerseni and *Mel. bagoti* constantly keeping a high expression of these genes, while *C.*
bombycina and *O. robustior* alter their expression in response to heat stress.

Molecular pathways responsive to heat stress in desert ants with greater gene
expression changes are also activated in temperate species.

Among desert ants, only *C. bombycina* and *O. robustior* had a significant number of DET
in common (Fig 3C). When including the ant species from temperate regions in the
comparisons, however, there was a significant number of DET in common among all species
except *C. holgerseni* and *Mel. bagoti* (Table S3). Species pair comparisons containing the list
of genes found to be differentially expressed in common across species follows in

supplementary file S20. Similarly, from the GO analyses (Table S4, Fig S3) we found that
terms associated with protein folding ("Protein folding", "Protein refolding" and "*de novo* protein
folding", respectively GO:0006457, GO:0042026 and GO:0006458) and cellular response to
heat (GO:0034605) were commonly enriched in *O. robustior*, *Myr. sabuleti* and *F. fusca*. In *C.*
bombycina, although several *hsp* genes were upregulated (Supplementary file S2), protein
folding GO terms were not significantly enriched using our adjusted *p*-value cut-off at < 0.01
("Protein refolding", *p*-value= 0.025). Between *Myr. sabuleti* and *F. fusca*, the two species with
a much greater number of enriched GO terms (Table S4, Fig S3), biological processes involved
in mitochondria homeostasis, actin or microtubules organisation, lipid metabolism, cellular
signalling and transduction, and DNA/RNA metabolism overlapped. When we categorized the
differentially expressed genes into the most frequent functional categories (Fig S4), instead of
only focusing on significantly enriched GO terms, we see that for all species most of the
characterized differentially expressed genes are commonly comprised within the same
categories being the larger proportion of them involved with cytoskeletal rearrangement and
DNA/RNA metabolism. Notably, *C. holgerseni* and *Mel. bagoti* did not differentially regulated
any gene involved in chaperoning and autophagy. Thus, for the 4 species with a wider gene
regulation response (*i.e.,* the desert species *C. bombycina* and *O. robustior*, as well as the
temperate species *Myr. sabuleti* and *F. fusca*), there was a conserved differential regulation
involving the same genes and pathways in response to heat stress.

To better visualise the metabolic and biochemical pathways of heat-shock response, we
analysed the KEGG pathways of DET (FCx2) for each species and checked the biological
function of each KEGG ortholog (KO) assigned. Overall, *C. bombycina* (7 KO), *C. holgerseni*
(4 KO) and *Mel. bagoti* (5 KO) displayed few KEGG pathway matches. *C. bombycina* and *C.*
holgerseni exhibited an upregulation of glutathione synthesis through its precursor [for *C.*
bombycina: glycine and cysteine/methionine metabolism; for *C. holgerseni*: cysteine-glycine
synthesis]. In addition, *C. holgerseni* showed a match for C10-20 isoprenoids biosynthesis
(M00367). In contrast, 51 KO were assigned for *O. robustior* including genes involved in
glutathione synthesis or its precursors (K00799, K00830 or K00273), lipid metabolism [Inositol
phosphate metabolism (M00130, M00131, M00132), fatty acid synthesis and elongation
(M00082 and M00083), and triacyl glycerol metabolism (M00089)], sugar metabolism [glucose
metabolism (K01189 and K00008), trehalose synthesis (K16055 and K00963), pentose
phosphate pathway (K00115 and K01623)], proline synthesis (K0086) and lysine degradation
(K01435). For *F. fusca* and *M. sabuleti,* we found 88 KO and 148 KO, respectively. In both
species, KO included genes involved in sugar metabolism [glycolysis (M00001) and citrate
cycle (M00010)], pentose phosphate pathway (M00165 and M00004), NADP/NADPH
recycling (M00172), fatty acid elongation (M00083 and M00085), tryacyl glycerol and ceramide
synthesis (M00089 and M00094 respectively and methionine salvage pathway (M00034). In

addition, *F. fusca* showed an enrichment in acyl glycerol and leucine degradation (M00098 and M00036) and *Myr. sabuleti* in inositol phosphate metabolism and ketone synthesis (M00130 and M00088). Overall, these results highlight the relevance of energetic pathways in ants heat stress response.

Greater gene expression changes are associated with increased participation of taxonomically restricted genes in the heat stress response.

As documented above, cross-species comparisons of gene annotation and functional categories showed that conserved genes and pathways are largely involved in the heat stress response. Nevertheless, our results also revealed several uncharacterized genes that were differentially regulated suggesting that new genes may also play a role in heat adaptation (Fig S4). To further investigate this issue, we accessed the transcripts orthology based on their protein sequence (using OrthoFinder) and considered lineage specific orthogroups as taxonomically restricted, hence, new genes. From the 292,232 protein coding genes analysed across all 6 species studied, 266,366 (91.1%) were assigned to at least one of the 34,135 orthogroups identified. Species-specific orthogroups accounted for 9,178 (26.9%) of all orthogroups and contained 29,645 genes (10.1% of the total), while 8,753 orthogroups contained all species and only 321 were single copy (Table S5). Compared to genomic orthology estimations [31], the transcriptomic based orthology analyses resulted in more species-specific and less single copy orthogroups, which can be expected since transcriptomic data include multiple isoforms per gene (see BUSCO duplication ratio at Table S2). For this reason, we estimated the relevance of new genes not only based on their frequency but comparatively, by contrasting the relative proportion of transcripts in each orthogroup category between the transcriptome and among the DET. We expected that any bias in orthology estimation due to the transcriptomic nature of the data affects the transcriptome and its subsets in a similar manner. Thus, our null hypothesis was that the proportion of transcripts in each orthology category would be equivalent in the entire transcriptome and among the differentially expressed transcripts.

According to the OrthoFinder resulting table (Supplementary file S21), we filtered orthogroups from five categories: I- orthogroups shared by all ants, II- orthogroups shared only by Myrmicinae ants, III- orthogroups shared only by Formicinae ants, IV- orthogroups shared only by the two *Cataglyphis* species, and V- species-specific orthogroups. Categories I to III included 8,753, 1,055 and 324 orthogroups respectively, and were considered as representative of taxonomically conserved genes. While categories IV and V represented taxonomically restricted genes. Category IV included 890 orthogroups. In category V, the number of species-specific orthogroups found for each species varied from 712 in *C. bombycina* to 3,053 in *Myr. sabuleti* (all species values are given in Table S5). In species with

medium and high gene regulation responses, namely *C. bombycina*, *O. robustior*, *Myr. sabuleti* and *F. fusca*, we found an overall increase in the proportion of taxonomically restricted genes amongst the DET (Fig 6). This increase was significant in *F. fusca* (Fisher's Exact Test *p*-value < 2.2e-16), *Myr. sabuleti* (*p*-value < 2.2e-16) and *O. robustior* (*p*-value < 4.5e-06), but not in *C. bombycina*, neither in *Cataglyphis* specific nor species-specific orthologs (*p*-values = 0.732 and 0.086, respectively). Contrastingly, a reduction of taxonomically restricted genes among the differentially expressed transcripts was observed in *C. holgerseni*, and in *Mel. Bagoti* only a slight increase of less than 1% in the ratio of new genes among the differentially regulated genes was found (Fig 6).

Fig 6. Relative proportion of transcripts in different orthologous categories. Colours highlight lineage specific categories while grey shades illustrate taxonomically conserved orthologous categories. Inner circles represent the proportion of transcripts in each category among the set of differentially expressed transcripts while outer circles show these proportions in the full transcriptome for comparison. Differences between the proportions observed in *F. fusca*, *Myr. sabuleti* and *O. robustior* are significant based on alpha level of .01.

These findings show that the involvement of taxonomically restricted genes in ants heat stress response is associated with the number of genes under differential expression, with species that differentially regulate more genes as temperature increases also altering the expression of a higher proportion of taxonomically restricted genes.

Discussion

We characterized and compared the heat tolerance and the heat stress transcriptomic response of four ecological equivalent desert ant species from three distinct genera, and of two outgroup species living in a temperate region. From all 6 studied species, the red honey ant *Melophorus bagoti* was the most heat tolerant, withstanding the highest temperatures ever reported in ants [10,32–34]. However, in natural habitats, the Sahara silver ant *Cataglyphis bombycina* is the species known to endure the most environmental heat, with a mean temperature in the warmest quarter of 30.8°C and maximum temperature of 42.3°C (Table S1). An important part of *C. bombycina* heat resistance mechanism in their natural habitats relies on prism-shaped hairs covering the body of workers. These hairs reflect solar radiation through total internal reflection, which limits heat absorption when the workers are exposed to sunlight [35,36]. This adaptive mechanism deflects the energy associated with visible wavelengths but

played no role in laboratory conditions when we measured heat-tolerance through infrared
warming, which could explain *C. bombycina* reduced thermal tolerance in our tests (see also
[10]. Not surprisingly, the least heat tolerant species studied were those from temperate areas,
namely *Myrmica sabuleti* and the dusky ant *Formica fusca*. Both species displayed the lowest
upper thermal limit and LT50_3h values (respectively, UTL_3h: 39°C and 41°C, LT50_3h:
39.9°C and 42°C). In ants and other insects, there is a clear association among conditions at
their microclimatic level, operative temperatures experienced by individuals, and upper-
thermal limits [37–39]. For example, species occupying niches exposed to greater variability
in environmental temperatures, such as open areas and canopies, often show increased heat
tolerance [40–42]. Our results show this association seems to also occur in strict desert thermal
scavenging ants, species whose peculiar thermal niche has likely driven to the evolution of
higher upper thermal limits. These observations highlight the vulnerability of ectotherms,
including social insects, to temperatures changes (reviewed in [43], [2,44]).

Temperate species differentially regulated thousands of transcripts more than thermophilic
ones, showing that focussed gene expression under heat stress is an important adaptation of
desert ants. A similar adaptative response has also been documented at the populational level;
studies comparing same species populations from colder and hotter environments found that
heat adapted populations show a reduced gene expression response under heat stress.
Japanese mantis shrimp populations from temperate regions differentially regulate almost one
thousand more transcripts in response to heat stress than shrimp populations inhabiting a
warmer environment [45]. Similarly, populations of the Chinese minnow fish from cooler
regions in the north show more temperature responding genes under heat stress than southern
populations [46]. In the oilseed rape (*Brassica napus*), the heat-sensitive genotype showed
larger variation in the methylation pattern of multiple genes under heat stress in comparison to
the heat-tolerant genotype [47]. Since molecular damage induce the heat shock response [7],
reduced gene expression in heat adapted organisms might simply reflect a limited molecular
damage at higher temperatures. Selection is expected to favour proteins optimized to certain
thermal environments [48–50], thus the proteome of thermophile desert species might keep
protein function even at elevated temperatures delaying the molecular heat shock response.
A recent study on *Mytilus* mussels indeed showed that small differences in habitats were
sufficient to cause such protein structural alterations [51]. Additionally, we found in desert ants
many differentially expressed genes in response to heat stress that were related to DNA/ RNA
metabolism and regulation (Fig S4). This is an indication that protective mechanisms against
uncontrolled gene expression, such as DNA heterochromatinization and histone aggregation,
are also in place. Altogether, these results suggest that the reduced gene expression
alterations observed in desert ants in comparison to temperate species likely stem from a
combination of diminished molecular damage and increased gene expression control

mechanisms at higher temperatures, rather than from the activation of a single gene or
pathway that evolved exclusively in desert ants. In line with this, the transcriptomic response
of *F. fusca* and *Myr. sabuleti* involved many of the same cellular pathways and protective
mechanisms found differentially regulated in desert species. Thus, temperate species have
most of the molecular toolkit necessary for the heat stress response of desert ants. However,
unlike the latter, temperate species co-activate many other genes and pathways upon heat
stress likely leading to an unspecific and energetically costly response.

Our results suggest that desert ants evolved two adaptive strategies at the transcriptomic
level to endure heat stress: (A) differentially regulating very few genes in response to heat
stress, as shown by *C. holgerseni* and *Mel. bagoti*, or (B) differentially regulating several genes
upon increased temperature, as observed in *C. bombycina* and *O. robustior*. In our analyses,
these desert species that showed the stronger expression response under heat stress also
displayed larger variation in temperature survival, with their survival curve gradually decreasing
from 100 to 0% within a six-degree interval (Fig 2). Contrastingly, survival of species with fewer
gene expression differences between heat stressed and non-heat stressed conditions
decreased more abruptly reaching 0% within a two-degree variation interval. In face on these
results, our hypothesis is that desert species with larger expression differences have a reactive
response to heat, while desert species with fewer changes in gene expression keep a
constitutive response to heat stress. Agreeingly, HSP70 and HSP90 transcripts are highly
expressed in non-heat stressed as well as in heat stressed workers of *C. holgerseni* and *Mel.*
bagoti (Fig 5). This constitutive synthesis of thermoprotective molecules would provide high
heat resistance, but low flexibility at their thermal limit capacity since gene expression would
not be as responsive to environmental temperature changes. Interestingly, the constitutive and
reactive responses were not phylogenetically conserved (Fig 4), instead they evolved
convergently in different desert species genera. Similarly, in some yeast species the
expression of stress-related genes is induced upon stress while in other species these genes
evolved to be constitutively activated independent of the stressor exposure [52]. Other
Cataglyphis species studied previously also show a reactive response, similarly to *C.*
bombycina and *O. robustior*, with a maximum of 1,118 DET (mean: 561 DET) accompanied
by a gradual decrease in survival upon a larger temperature range [10].

Both strategies – the reactive response and the constitutive response – result in an
incredible heat resistance in desert ants and could have evolved from distinct evolutionary
constraints. Examples of evolutionary constraints that could lead to such differences include
micro habitat differences, body-size, and metabolic trade-offs. Most *C. holgerseni* and *Mel.*
bagoti foragers are larger, while *C. bombycina* and *O. robustior* workers are comparatively
smaller (Fig S5). Large body sizes in desert ants could be advantageous to maintain high
thermal inertia, to store more water preventing desiccation, and to store energetic resources

to face cellular stress [32,53,54]. It is therefore possible that for ant species with smaller body

size, a reactive gene expression response would be necessary due to reduced internal storage

for keeping thermoprotective molecules. It is also important to consider that these two

strategies likely involve different energetic demands. The maintenance of constitutive levels of

thermoprotective genes is energetically costly, however a reactive gene expression response

as temperature increases would require high amounts of energy available to be used at once

to rapidly activate gene expression and to recover from the possible loss of biochemical activity

from protein denaturation [55]. Therefore, energetic trade-offs and alterations in energetic

pathways could represent important constraints to the evolution of these two strategies, as is

suggested by the activation of energetic pathways in the heat stress response.

Amongst desert ants, in *C. holgerseni* and *M. bagoti* we found respectively 3 and 4

differentially expressed transcripts involved in sugar energetic pathways. Interestingly, in *O.*

robustior more than 20 transcripts were upregulated in this functional category. For example,

we found genes involved in glucose synthesis (*maltase 1-like*, *alpha-glucosidase* or *alpha-*

amylase a-like) and transformation (*l glucose dehydrogenase fad quinone-like* or *sorbitol*

dehydrogenase). In addition, KEGG pathway analyses revealed that among the DET of *O.*

robustior there was a positive regulation of trehalose synthesis and of the pentose phosphate

pathway (PPP). The former is known to enhance heat and desiccation tolerance in various

organisms [56], while the oxidative phase of PPP is used to regenerate NADPH which is

required by antioxidant enzymes such as the *glutathion S-transferase* and the *cytochrome*

P450, both also upregulated in *O. robustior* [57,58]. A positive co-regulation of sugar

metabolism in response to heat stress was previously documented in *Cataglyphis* [10].

Similarly, among the temperate species, many transcripts differentially expressed are involved

in sugar metabolism as well as the upregulation of the PPP pathway. These results suggest

that the heat-shock response in ants requires a lot of energy provided by the sugar metabolism.

Nevertheless, in *O. robustior* this response was somewhat accentuated, with many of the

genes and regulatory pathways involved in the antioxidative machinery along with genes

involved in the trehalose synthesis being differentially regulated under heat stress.

The overlap of genes and pathways involved in the transcriptomic response to heat stress

of desert and temperate ants is strong evidence of taxonomically conserved genes and

pathways regulating this trait. Nevertheless, our analysis also shows an increased proportion

of new genes amongst the DET of desert and non-desert species when compared to the

proportion of new genes in their entire transcriptome (Fig 6). Only desert ants with fewer gene

expression alterations were an exception to this pattern. These observations indicate that new

genes are likely to be co-expressed along with taxonomically conserved genes under heat-

stress, possibly because they share the same promoter regions [59]. Agreeingly, in our data,

the larger the number of genes under differential expression, the larger the proportion of new

genes amongst them. This co-expression offers an opportunity to gene neofunctionalization during adaptation, which is one of the most important origins of new genes fixation [60,61]. New genes are known to be particularly relevant to species adaptative response [61–64]. For example, in Antarctic notothenioid fishes, the ice-biding antifreeze glycoprotein (AFGP), that originated from a functionally unrelated gene (the *pancreatic trypsinogen-like protease2*), has been co-opted to play a crucial adaptive role in preventing blood from freezing [65]. In ants, 10% to 30% of all genes in the genome are estimated to be taxonomically restricted [66], and evidence suggest that high ratios of *de novo* gene formation exist within the group [67]. It is therefore possible that taxonomically restricted genes are also involved in species-specific adaptations to heat stress in desert ants. Comparative genomic studies and functional analyses should further explore these genes and their putative roles in heat stress adaptation.

Interspecies comparative transcriptomic analyses are challenging, because normalization methods cannot account properly for species specific batch effects and the use of phylogenetic information to weight transcriptomic differences can be misleading [68]. Herein, we first performed an intra species transcriptomic analysis and then compared the results across species in search of similarities/differences. This approach has the advantage of avoiding normalization problems across species – particularly when using whole body data –, but it has the caveat of accumulating trade-off errors of the independent analyses, as for each species test, we would expect independent false positive and false negative results. Due to our conservative data analyses pipeline (overlapping two programs for differential analyses, using conserved FDR and FC cut-offs), we expect our results to be mostly impacted by false negatives. Thus, transcripts differentially regulated between the two conditions may not be detected as so statistically, and consequently, matches of common DET across species will be reduced. Moreover, we have chosen to pool whole body samples from multiple individuals and colonies to reduce individual variation biases and to focus on overall changes in expression tendencies. That means that transcripts with reduced expression and/or with tissue specific variations were overseen in our results. We also found that the quality of the transcriptome assembly was affected by using the reference genome, thus species whose genomes were available had less fragmented transcriptome assemblies, as suggested by the quality analyses in Table S2. This could affect our results in two ways, first by reducing the gene counts of fragmented transcripts in certain species, thus hindering their identification as differentially expressed, and secondly by interfering with transcripts annotation. Based on the differences of BUSCO completeness between transcriptomes with and without the genome guided assembly, we expect that around 10% of the transcripts could be affected in this way. Still, we have chosen to use the reference genomes to improve some assemblies, first because we had at least one desert and one temperate species with a reference genome, allowing us to account at some level for the impact of different assembly strategies, and secondly because

we wanted to make available to the community the best reference transcriptome sets possible.
These caveats could hardly be avoided due to the before mentioned reasons, and overall, they
do not hinder the main conclusions of our study.

In summary, our results show that, as opposed to temperate ant species, desert ants have
reduced gene expression alterations even when under extreme heat stress. Furthermore, they
support the hypothesis that desert species evolved convergently two transcriptomic strategies
to withstand heat stress: in *C. holgerseni* and *Mel. Bagoti*, a constitutive level of
thermoprotective genes reduces the need for great changes in gene expression when
temperature increases; contrastingly, in *C. bombycina* and *O. robustior* a larger number of
genes are differentially regulated in response to increasing heat. These two molecular
responses are associated with the survival pattern of the ants: the species with fewer gene
expression alterations have a small variability in individual survival, with all workers dying within
a two-degree interval, while species with greater expression responses experience a survival
reduction from 100% to 0% within a larger temperature interval of six degrees. The interplay
among body size, energetic metabolism, and heat tolerance in the evolution of these two
strategies deserves further exploration. Overall, the heat stress response involved mostly
conserved genes and pathways across the studied species, still new genes were co-expressed
during heat stress offering an opportunity for adaptative gene neofunctionalization. Finally, this
study shows that, in some circumstances, transcriptional differences between conditions may
be insufficient to understand putative adaptative mechanisms if they are not contextualized
within a phylogenetic perspective. Indeed, the expression of some genes may be constitutive
and, therefore, these genes will not be detected as differentially regulated even though they
may be functionally relevant to species adaptation. Clearly, transcriptome studies should
consider an evolutionary approach for comparative data analyses including multiple species
from different phylogenetical backgrounds.

Material and methods
553

Ant sampling and rearing conditions
555

We studied six ant species from different locations. Four from arid and sandy deserts:
Cataglyphis bombycina (Morocco), *C. holgerseni* (Israel), *Ocymyrmex robustior* (Namibia) and
Melophorus bagoti (Australia); and two from a temperate region: *Formica fusca* and *Myrmica*
sabuleti (Belgium). The four desert species can be considered ecologically equivalent [43].
They inhabit sandy desert environments with mean annual temperature (AMT) above 21°C,
and warmest month recorded (MaxT) ranging from 32.3 to 42.3 °C (Table S1). We included
two species of desert ants from the same genus (*C. bombycina* and *C. holgerseni*) to account

for intra genus variation, as well as species from three different genera of heat adapted ants
(*Cataglyphis*, *Ocymyrmex* and *Melophorus*) that evolved their adaptations independently to
account for inter genera variability. The two temperate ant species, *Formica fusca* and *Myrmica*
sabuleti, were chosen as comparative outgroups being, respectively, representative species
from the Formicinae and the Myrmicinae subfamilies. Both these species inhabit temperate
areas with AMT of 10.2°C and MaxT of 22.8°C. Colonies of desert species are headed by a
single queen (monogyny); queens are polyandrous (multiple mated), except for *O. robustior*
where queens are strictly monandrous [69–72]. The two temperate species studied are
facultatively polygynous (a single or several reproductive queens per colony); *F. fusca* is
facultatively polyandrous, no information about queen mating frequency is available for *Myr.*
sabuleti [73,74].

We excavated 4–6 colonies for each species at sampling sites and brought them back to
the lab accompanied by the queen, brood, and workers. The colonies were kept under steady
conditions: mean temperature of 25°C (± 1°C) for desert species and 18°C (± 1°C) for
temperate species, light-dark cycle of 12 h/12 h, and relative humidity between 30% and 40%
for all ants. Environmental conditions during this adaptation period were established based on
species optimal parameters for laboratory brood rearing (personal observations). Ants were
fed with sugar solution provided *ad libitum*, and sliced mealworms that were provided three
times per week. Colonies were maintained under these conditions for one month prior to the
beginning of the assays. Only adult workers with a highly sclerotized and melanized
exoskeleton (*i.e.,* likely born in the field) were used.

Heat tolerance assays

Workers heat tolerance was determined using a heat stress assay, as detailed in [10,22].
For each species, six groups (*n* = 6) of 10 randomly selected workers (from 4-6 colonies per
species) were placed in glass tubes – totalizing 60 workers – and used in the heat tolerance
assays. Because body size influences heat tolerance due to differences in relative water loss
[75–77], a wet cotton ball was added in the testing tubes to reduce ant desiccation and its
effect on specimens' survival during the tests. The tubes were immersed in a water bath
(SW22, Julabo GmbH, Seelbach, Germany) kept at constant temperature of 39°C, 41°C, 43°C,
45°C, 47°C, 49°C or 51°C. Tubes temperatures were monitored using 0.075-mm diameter
thermocouples (Type K Thermocouple [Chromel/Alumel], RS Components Ltd, UK) connected
to a digital thermometer (RS Pro RS52 Digital Thermometer, RS Components Ltd, UK).
Percent survival was recorded after 3 hours; workers were identified as moribund once they
lost their locomotor ability (*i.e.*, muscular paralysis; [78]). For each species, we measured two
indicative parameters of heat tolerance: (A) the upper thermal limit (UTL_3h), the temperature
below which the survival rate is significantly different from 100% (ANOVA test followed by a

Tukey's test; $p < 0.05$), and (B) the median lethal temperature (LT50_3h), the temperature at which survival probability equals 50%. LT50_3h was estimated using a simple logistic regression of death probability in function of the temperature. The LT50_3h, and its 95% confidence intervals, were compared between species using the ratio test [79], implemented in the *ratio_test* function from the *ecotox* package [80].

RNA-Seq library preparation and sequencing

For each species, we sequenced the total RNA of four replicates per treatment (n = 4). In the heat stress (HS) treatment, workers were exposed to their UTL_3h for 3 hours; in the non-heat stress (NHS or control) treatment, workers were maintained in the glass tubes for 3 hours at 25°C or 18°C, for desert and temperate species respectively. Each sample replicate contained 10 workers randomly chosen among the different colonies of a species (4-6 colonies per species), totalizing 40 workers per treatment among 4 replicates. Ants were immediately frozen after treatments and stored at -80°C until RNA extraction. Workers were pooled to avoid individual and colony biases expression responses. Since heat stress may affect multiple body tissues, we used entire bodies for expression analyses. RNA extractions, RNA quality assessments (determined using Bioanalyzer), RNA-seq library preparations and sequencing were performed by BGI Tech Solutions (Hong Kong). Total RNA was extracted using Trizol (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) according to manufacturer's instructions (EDTA 250mM was added to *Formica fusca* extractions to avoid non enzymatic RNA degradation; Valles et al., 2012). Sequencing was performed using an Illumina HiSeq 4000 System. About 25 million single reads of 50 bp in length were generated per sample replicate. We additionally sequenced for each species a pool of workers (HS and NHS), eggs, and pupae using a HiSeq X Ten System that was used to assemble the species reference transcriptomes. About 90 million paired reads of 150 bp in length were obtained for each of these species' pools.

Transcriptome assembly and differential expression

Transcriptome assembly and differential expression analyses were performed independently for each species. For both *Cataglyphis* species, the transcriptome and differential expression data have been already published elsewhere using the same methodology described hereafter [10]. Quality of all sequenced reads were estimated using FastQC v0.11.7 [81]. We used the Trinity v2.8.4 pipelines [82] with the sequenced dataset from the pool of workers, eggs and pupae for transcriptome assemblies. To increase assembly efficiency, reads were first digitally normalized (20x coverage) through computational coverage systematization [83]. Then two assembly strategies were used depending on the species: a full *de novo* and a reference guided assembly. For the two *Cataglyphis* species and for *Formica fusca* we generated both, the full *de novo* and the reference guided assemblies. The reference

guided approach for the *Cataglyphis* was based on the genome of *Cataglyphis hispanica* (assembly ASM419527v1 - [31] and that of *F. fusca* in the genome of *Formica exsecta* (assembly ASM365146v1 - [84]. These two independent assemblies were then concatenated. For *Ocymyrmex robustior*, *Melophorus bagoti* and *Myrmica sabuleti* only the full *de novo* assembly was performed due to lack of a close reference genome. In all cases, transcripts redundancy was initially removed with CD-Hit v4.8.1 [28] using the threshold of 95% nucleotide similarity, then Corset v1.08 [29] and Lace v1.13 [30] were used to cluster transcripts into superTranscripts, which further reduced transcript redundancy and improved posterior gene expression counts [30]. Assemblies were then annotated with Annocript v2.0.1 [85] in tandem with the UniProt Reference Clusters (UniRef90) and the UniProtKB/Swiss-Prot [86] databases (March 2019 versions). Only transcripts potentially encoding proteins – based on ORF estimation or blast results – were retained in the final reference transcriptome assemblies. All the parameters used in the assembly and annotation pipelines were the programmes' suggested defaults, unless otherwise stated. Assessments of assemblies' quality were obtained with BUSCO v5.3.2 [87], against the Hymenoptera (odb10) database, and with QUAST v5.0.2 [88].

For the differential gene expression analyses, reads from ants of the HS and NHS treatments were aligned to the reference transcriptome and counted using Salmon [89]. The transcripts that were differentially expressed between treatments were identified using the edgeR [90] and the DESeq2 [91] pipelines. To increase stringency and reduce the rate of false positive results, the differentially expressed transcripts were selected based on the overlapping results of both analyses (*i.e*., overlapping results from edgeR and DESeq2) and using a cut-off of mean absolute $\log_2$-fold change ≥ 2 between treatments and FDR ≤ 1e-3 (as suggested in the Trinity pipeline for *de novo* transcriptomes). We then computationally compared the list of differentially expressed transcripts between each species pair based on their UniRef90 gene name annotation using R (*stringr* library). Only unique, non-redundant and meaningful gene annotations (*i.e.,* genes whose annotation did not contain "uncharacterised protein") were included in this comparison and in the statistical tests. After this computational comparison of gene names, the final list of overlapping genes was manually curated to avoid partial or redundant annotation matches. Significance of common differentially expressed genes between species pairs was assessed using 10,000 random samplings comparisons based on the transcriptome datasets, i.e., the same number of DET was randomly sampled from the transcriptome of each species and compared with another species randomly sampled in the same manner. These comparisons were repeated 10,000 times per species pair and a cut-off *p*-value < 0.01 of finding the same number of common DET by chance was used (Rscript available   at https://github.com/nat2bee/Foragers_vs_Nurses/blob/master/Statistics/common.stats.R.

Functional analyses of the differentially expressed genes

To test whether gene ontology (GO) terms for biological processes (BP) were enriched among the differentially expressed transcripts, we kept only transcripts displaying non-zero expression in the treatment groups (i.e., for *C. bombycina*: 29,965 transcripts; for *C. holgerseni*: 26,769 transcripts; for *Mel. bagoti*: 38,726 transcripts; for *O. robustior*: 45,701 transcripts; for *M. sabuleti*: 84,784 transcripts; and for *F. fusca*: 62,416 transcripts). We used the "weight01" algorithm with the Fisher enrichment test in the R package TopGO [92] for the enrichment test, and significance was evaluated based on an adjusted alpha level of 0.01 to obtain only highly significant enriched terms. For summary visualization of enriched GO terms in desert and non-desert species, we used the Revigo server and the *Drosophila* database [93].

For each species, the function of all differentially expressed transcripts was manually checked and categorized according to their metabolic and cellular role from which we selected nine most frequent categories: Apoptose, Authophagy, Chaperoning, Cytoskeletal rearrangement, DNA/RNA metabolism, Lipid metabolism, Proteolysis, ROS elimination, Sugar metabolism, Other and Uncharacterized (*i.e.,* genes of unknown function or not annotated). Finally, we assessed gene involvement in specific biochemical and metabolic pathways using the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG), via the KEGG Automatic Annotation Server (KAAS; [94]).

Expression levels of Heat shock proteins

We filtered transcripts in the reference transcriptome annotated to the heat shock protein genes HSP70 and HSP90 using a custom script (Find_Genes.py available at https://github.com/nat2bee/Cataglyphis_HS/tree/main/Scripts) based on representative search terms annotation (*i.e., Hsp70*, *Hsp 70*, *Heat shock protein 70*, *Hsp90*, *Hsp 90*, *Heat shock protein 90*). For each of these transcripts, we then plotted their normalized expression count in TMM from all heat stressed and non-heat stressed replicates for their comparative visualization.

Orthologous and new genes identification

We used TransDecoder v5.5.0 [95] to convert transcriptome ORF regions to amino acid sequences. Using OrthoFinder v2.5.4 [96] with default parameters, we then estimated orthology among the transcriptomes of all species and generated the species phylogenetic tree using MAFFT for sequence alignment and FasTree for tree estimation. Orthogroups containing at least one transcript differentially expressed were considered as a differentially expressed orthogroup. We classified the orthogroups into five categories filtering the

OrthoFinder resulting table: I- orthogroups containing representative transcripts from all ants, II- orthogroups containing representative transcripts only in Myrmicinae ants, III- orthogroups containing representative transcripts only in Formicinae ants, IV- orthogroups containing representative transcripts only in the two *Cataglyphis* species, accounting for intra genus similarities and V- species-specific orthogroups. Transcripts within orthogroups in categories IV and V were considered as taxonomically restricted genes, while categories I, II and III were composed by conserved orthologous. For each species, only the relevant categories were considered. Fisher's Exact Test was used to test whether the proportion of genes in each of these categories diverged significantly between the differentially expressed transcripts and the entire species transcriptome.

Data availability

Sequenced data and reference transcriptome assemblies for the studied ant species are available at NCBI under the Bioproject PRJNA632584; the annotations for these assemblies and scripts used are accessible at GitHub (https://github.com/nat2bee/Cataglyphis_HS).

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Belgian *Fonds National pour la Recherche Scientifique* (grant numbers J.0151.16 to SA, 40005980 to NSA and T.0140.18 to RP) and the Universté Libre de Bruxelles (FER 2017 and 2021). We are grateful to Morocco's Département des Eaux et Forêts (permit number: HCEFLCD - Decisions 11/2018, 23/2019 and 13/2020 *DEF/DLCDPN/DPRN/CFF*), to the Namibian National Commission on Research, Science and Technology (permit number: RPIV01042022), to the Australian Department of Environment and Energy (permit number: PWS2018-AU-002350), to the Parks & Wildlife Commission of Northern Territory (permit number: 63296) and to the Center for Appropriate Technology of Alice Springs for granting us collection and exportation permits. We thank A. Kuhn, and S. Deeti for their help with field collections.

References

1. Sala OE, Chapin FS, Armesto JJ, Berlow E, Bloomfield J, Dirzo R, et al. Global Biodiversity Scenarios for the Year 2100. Science (1979). 2000;287: 1770–1774. doi:10.1126/SCIENCE.287.5459.1770

2. Jørgensen LB, Ørsted M, Malte H, Wang T, Overgaard J. Extreme escalation of heat failure rates in ectotherms with global warming. Nature 2022 611:7934. 2022;611: 93–98. doi:10.1038/s41586-022-05334-4

3. Chevin LM, Lande R, Mace GM. Adaptation, Plasticity, and Extinction in a Changing Environment: Towards a Predictive Theory. PLoS Biol. 2010;8: e1000357. doi:10.1371/JOURNAL.PBIO.1000357

4. Kokura S, Yoshikawa T, Ohnishi T. Hyperthermic oncology from bench to bedside. Hyperthermic Oncology from Bench to Bedside. 2016; 1–444. doi:10.1007/978-981-10-0719-4/COVER

5. Lepock JR. Protein Denaturation During Heat Shock. Advances in Molecular and Cell Biology. 1997;19: 223–259. doi:10.1016/S1569-2558(08)60079-X

6. Richter K, Haslbeck M, Buchner J. The heat shock response: life on the verge of death. Mol Cell. 2010;40: 253–266. doi:10.1016/J.MOLCEL.2010.10.006

7. Evgen'ev MB, Garbuz DG, Shilova DG, Zatsepina OG. Molecular mechanisms underlying thermal adaptation of xeric animals. J Biosci. 2007;32: 489–499. doi:10.1007/S12038-007-0048-6

8. Hazel JR. Thermal adaptation in biological membranes: is homeoviscous adaptation the explanation? Annu Rev Physiol. 1995;57: 19–42. doi:10.1146/ANNUREV.PH.57.030195.000315

9. Birben E, Sahiner UM, Sackesen C, Erzurum S, Kalayci O. Oxidative stress and antioxidant defense. World Allergy Organ J. 2012;5: 9–19. doi:10.1097/WOX.0B013E3182439613

10. Perez R, de Souza Araujo N, Defrance M, Aron S. Molecular adaptations to heat stress in the thermophilic ant genus *Cataglyphis*. Mol Ecol. 2021; 1–14. doi:10.1111/mec.16134

11. Angilletta MJ. Thermal Adaptation: A Theoretical and Empirical Synthesis. Thermal Adaptation: A Theoretical and Empirical Synthesis. 2009; 1–302. doi:10.1093/ACPROF:OSO/9780198570875.001.1

12. Laity Julie. Deserts and desert environments. Wiley-Blackwell; 2008.

13. Costa G. Behavioural Adaptations of Desert Animals. 1995. doi:10.1007/978-3-642-79356-1

14. Marsh AC. Activity patterns of some Namib Desert ants. J Arid Environ. 1988;14: 61–73. doi:10.1016/S0140-1963(18)31097-8

15. Cerdá X, Retana J, Cros S. Critical thermal limits in Mediterranean ant species: trade-off between mortality risk and foraging performance. Funct Ecol. 1998;12: 45–55. doi:10.1046/J.1365-2435.1998.00160.X

16. Wehner R, Marsh AC, Wehner S. Desert ants on a thermal tightrope. Nature 1992 357:6379. 1992;357: 586–587. doi:10.1038/357586a0

17. Wehner R. Desert navigator the journey of an ant. The Belknap Press of Harvard University Press; 2020.

18. Boulay R, Aron S, Cerdá X, Doums C, Graham P, Hefetz A, et al. Social Life in Arid Environments: The Case Study of *Cataglyphis* Ants. 2017;62: 305–321. doi:10.1146/ANNUREV-ENTO-031616-034941

19. Andersen AN. Ant Diversity in Arid Australia: A Systematic Overview. Snelling R. R., Fisher B. L., Ward P. S., editors. Memoirs of the American Entomological Institute; 2007.

20.   Marsh AC. Thermal Responses and Temperature Tolerance in a Diurnal Desert
Ant, *Ocymyrmex barbiger*. 1985;58: 629–636.
doi:10.1086/PHYSZOOL.58.6.30156067

21.   Wehner R, Wehner S. Parallel evolution of thermophilia: daily and seasonal foraging
patterns of heat-adapted desert ants: *Cataglyphis* and *Ocymyrmex* species*. Physiol
Entomol. 2011;36: 271–281. doi:10.1111/J.1365-3032.2011.00795.X

22.   Willot Q, Gueydan C, Aron S. Proteome stability, heat hardening and heat-shock protein
expression profiles in *Cataglyphis* desert ants. J Exp Biol. 2017;220: 1721–1728.
doi:10.1242/JEB.154161

23.   Turner R, Lighton JR, Turner JS. Critical thermal maximum of the African ant, *Ocymyrmex
sp.*: Possibility of a new record prompts a review of methodologies. Comp Biochem Physiol
B Biochem Mol Biol. 2000;Supplement 1: S96.

24.   Christian JA, Morton SR. Extreme Thermophilia in a Central Australian Ant, *Melophorus
bagoti*. 1992;65: 885–905. doi:10.1086/PHYSZOOL.65.5.30158548

25.   Centorame M, Angelino D, Bonanni R, Fanfani A. Static and evolutionary allometry in the
Italian endemic ant species *Cataglyphis italica* (Emery 1906). 2019;32: 16–28.
doi:10.1080/03949370.2019.1639080

26.   Sommer S, Wehner R. Leg allometry in ants: extreme long-leggedness in thermophilic
species. Arthropod Struct Dev. 2012;41: 71–77. doi:10.1016/J.ASD.2011.08.002

27.   Willot Q, Mardulyn P, Defrance M, Gueydan C, Aron S. Molecular chaperoning helps
safeguarding mitochondrial integrity and motor functions in the Sahara silver ant *Cataglyphis
bombycina*. Scientific Reports 2018 8:1. 2018;8: 1–8. doi:10.1038/s41598-018-27628-2

28.   Huang Y, Niu B, Gao Y, Fu L, Li W. CD-HIT Suite: A web server for clustering and comparing
biological sequences. Bioinformatics. 2010;26: 680–682. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btq003

29.   Davidson NM, Oshlack A. Corset: enabling differential gene expression analysis for de novo
assembled transcriptomes. Genome Biol. 2014;15: 1–14. doi:10.1186/s13059-014-0410-6

30.   Davidson NM, Hawkins ADK, Oshlack A. SuperTranscripts: a data driven reference for
analysis and visualisation of transcriptomes. Genome Biol. 2017;18: 148.
doi:10.1186/s13059-017-1284-1

31.   Darras H, de Souza Araujo N, Baudry L, Guiglielmoni N, Lorite P, Marbouty M, et al.
Chromosome-level genome assembly and annotation of two lineages of the ant *Cataglyphis
hispanica*: stepping stones towards genomic studies of hybridogenesis and thermal
adaptation in desert ants. Peer Community Journal. 2022;2: e40.
doi:10.24072/pcjournal.140

32.   Johnson DJ, Stahlschmidt ZR. City limits: Heat tolerance is influenced by body size and
hydration state in an urban ant community. Ecol Evol. 2020;10: 4944–4955.
doi:10.1002/ECE3.6247

33.   Solis DR, Bueno OC. Thermal Tolerances of Three Tramp Ant Species (Hymenoptera:
Formicidae). Sociobiology. 2012;59: 213–223. doi:10.13102/SOCIOBIOLOGY.V59I1.678

34.   Andrew NR, Hart RA, Jung MP, Hemmings Z, Terblanche JS. Can temperate insects take
the heat? A case study of the physiological and behavioural responses in a common ant,
Iridomyrmex purpureus (Formicidae), with potential climate change. J Insect Physiol.
2013;59: 870–880. doi:10.1016/J.JINSPHYS.2013.06.003

35.   Willot Q, Simonis P, Vigneron JP, Aron S. Total Internal Reflection Accounts for the Bright
Color of the Saharan Silver Ant. PLoS One. 2016;11: e0152325.
doi:10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0152325

36.   Shi NN, Tsai CC, Camino F, Bernard GD, Yu N, Wehner R. Keeping cool: Enhanced optical
reflection and radiative heat dissipation in Saharan silver ants. Science (1979). 2015;349:
298–301. doi:10.1126/SCIENCE.AAB3564/SUPPL_FILE/PAPV3.PDF

37.   Clusella-Trullas S, Garcia RA, Terblanche JS, Hoffmann AA. How useful are thermal
835   vulnerability indices? Trends Ecol Evol. 2021;36: 1000–1010.
836   doi:10.1016/J.TREE.2021.07.001
837   38.   Woods HA, Dillon ME, Pincebourde S. The roles of microclimatic diversity and of behavior
838   in mediating the responses of ectotherms to climate change. J Therm Biol. 2015;54: 86–97.
839   doi:10.1016/J.JTHERBIO.2014.10.002
840   39.   Sunday JM, Bates AE, Kearney MR, Colwell RK, Dulvy NK, Longino JT, et al. Thermal-
841   safety margins and the necessity of thermoregulatory behavior across latitude and elevation.
842   Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2014;111: 5610–5615.
843   doi:10.1073/PNAS.1316145111/SUPPL_FILE/SD01.XLSX
844   40.   Bujan J, Roeder KA, de Beurs K, Weiser MD, Kaspari M. Thermal diversity of North
845   American ant communities: Cold tolerance but not heat tolerance tracks ecosystem
846   temperature. Global Ecology and Biogeography. 2020;29: 1486–1494.
847   doi:10.1111/GEB.13121
848   41.   Baudier KM, D'amelio CL, Malhotra R, O'connor MP, O'donnell S. Extreme Insolation:
849   Climatic Variation Shapes the Evolution of Thermal Tolerance at Multiple Scales. Am Nat.
850   2018;192: 347–359. doi:10.1086/698656
851   42.   Kaspari M, Clay NA, Lucas J, Yanoviak SP, Kay A. Thermal adaptation generates a diversity
852   of thermal limits in a rainforest ant community. Glob Chang Biol. 2015;21: 1092–1102.
853   doi:10.1111/GCB.12750
854   43.   Perez R, Aron S. Adaptations to thermal stress in social insects: recent advances and future
855   directions. Biological Reviews. 2020;95: 1535–1553. doi:10.1111/brv.12628
856   44.   Martinet B, Dellicour S, Ghisbain G, Przybyla K, Zambra E, Lecocq T, et al. Global effects
857   of extreme temperatures on wild bumblebees. Conserv Biol. 2021;35: 1507–1518.
858   doi:10.1111/COBI.13685
859   45.   Lou F, Han Z, Gao T. Transcriptomic Responses of Two Ecologically Divergent Populations
860   of Japanese Mantis Shrimp (*Oratosquilla oratoria*) under Thermal Stress. Animals (Basel).
861   2019;9. doi:10.3390/ANI9070399
862   46.   Yu D, Zhang Z, Shen Z, Zhang C, Liu H. Regional differences in thermal adaptation of a
863   cold-water fish *Rhynchocypris oxycephalus* revealed by thermal tolerance and
864   transcriptomic responses. Scientific Reports 2018 8:1. 2018;8: 1–11. doi:10.1038/s41598-
865   018-30074-9
866   47.   Gao G, Li J, Li H, Li F, Xu K, Yan G, et al. Comparison of the heat stress induced variations
867   in DNA methylation between heat-tolerant and heat-sensitive rapeseed seedlings. Breed
868   Sci. 2014;64: 125. doi:10.1270/JSBBS.64.125
869   48.   Fields PA, Dong Y, Meng X, Somero GN. Adaptations of protein structure and function to
870   temperature: there is more than one way to "skin a cat." J Exp Biol. 2015;218: 1801–1811.
871   doi:10.1242/JEB.114298
872   49.   Fields PA. Review: Protein function at thermal extremes: balancing stability and flexibility.
873   Comp Biochem Physiol A Mol Integr Physiol. 2001;129: 417–431. doi:10.1016/S1095-
874   6433(00)00359-7
875   50.   Sælensminde G, Halskau Ø, Jonassen I. Amino acid contacts in proteins adapted to
876   different temperatures: Hydrophobic interactions and surface charges play a key role.
877   Extremophiles. 2009;13: 11–20. doi:10.1007/S00792-008-0192-4/FIGURES/4
878   51.   Chao YC, Merritt M, Schaefferkoetter D, Evans TG. High-throughput quantification of protein
879   structural change reveals potential mechanisms of temperature adaptation in Mytilus
880   mussels. BMC Evolutionary Biology 2020 20:1. 2020;20: 1–18. doi:10.1186/S12862-020-
881   1593-Y
882   52.   Tirosh I, Wong KH, Barkai N, Struhl K. Extensive divergence of yeast stress responses
883   through transitions between induced and constitutive activation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.

2011;108:   16693–16698.
doi:10.1073/PNAS.1113718108/SUPPL_FILE/PNAS.201113718SI.PDF

53. Wendt CF, Verble-Pearson R. Critical thermal maxima and body size positively correlate in
red imported fire ants, *Solenopsis invicta*. https://doi.org/101894/0038-4909-61179.
2016;61: 79–83. doi:10.1894/0038-4909-61.1.79

54. Bouchebti S, Jost C, Caldato N, Forti LC, Fourcassié V. Comparative study of resistance to
heat in two species of leaf-cutting ants. Insectes Soc. 2015;62: 97–99. doi:10.1007/S00040-
014-0378-Y/METRICS

55. Nguyen AD, Gotelli NJ, Cahan SH. The evolution of heat shock protein sequences, cis-
regulatory elements, and expression profiles in the eusocial Hymenoptera. BMC Evol Biol.
2016;16: 1–13. doi:10.1186/S12862-015-0573-0/FIGURES/7

56. Jain NK, Roy I. Effect of trehalose on protein structure. Protein Sci. 2009;18: 24.
doi:10.1002/PRO.3

57. Pandian BA, Sathishraj R, Djanaguiraman M, Prasad PVV, Jugulam M. Role of Cytochrome
P450   Enzymes   in   Plant   Stress   Response.   Antioxidants.   2020;9.
doi:10.3390/ANTIOX9050454

58. Hayes JD, Flanagan JU, Jowsey IR. Glutathione transferases. Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol.
2005;45: 51–88. doi:10.1146/ANNUREV.PHARMTOX.45.120403.095857

59. Kaessmann H. Origins, evolution, and phenotypic impact of new genes. Genome Res.
2010;20: 1313–1326. doi:10.1101/gr.101386.109

60. Werner MS, Sieriebriennikov B, Prabh N, Loschko T, Lanz C, Sommer RJ. Young genes
have distinct gene structure, epigenetic profiles, and transcriptional regulation. Genome
Res. 2018;28: 1675–1687. doi:10.1101/gr.234872.118

61. Kaessmann H. Origins, evolution, and phenotypic impact of new genes. Genome Res.
2010;20: 1313–1326. doi:10.1101/GR.101386.109

62. Chen S, Krinsky BH, Long M. New genes as drivers of phenotypic evolution. Nat Rev Genet.
2013;14: 645–660. doi:10.1038/nrg3521

63. Taylor JS, Raes J. Duplication and divergence: The evolution of new genes and old ideas.
Annu Rev Genet. 2004;38: 615–643. doi:10.1146/annurev.genet.38.072902.092831

64. Johnson BR. Taxonomically restricted genes are fundamental to biology and evolution.
Front Genet. 2018;9: 407. doi:10.3389/FGENE.2018.00407/BIBTEX

65. Cheng CHC, Chen L. Evolution of an antifreeze glycoprotein. Nature. 1999;401: 443–444.
doi:10.1038/46721

66. Wissler L, Gadau J, Simola DF, Helmkampf M, Bornberg-Bauer E. Mechanisms and
dynamics of orphan gene emergence in insect genomes. Genome Biol Evol. 2013;5: 439–
445. doi:10.1093/gbe/evt009

67. Simola DF, Wissler L, Donahue G, Waterhouse RM, Helmkampf M, Roux J, et al. Social
insect genomes exhibit dramatic evolution in gene composition and regulation while
preserving regulatory features linked to sociality. Genome Res. 2013;23: 1235–1247.
doi:10.1101/gr.155408.113

68. Bastide P, Soneson C, Stern DB, Lespinet O, Gallopin M. A Phylogenetic Framework to
Simulate   Synthetic   Interspecies   RNA-Seq   Data.   Mol   Biol   Evol.   2023;40.
doi:10.1093/MOLBEV/MSAC269

69. Leniaud L, Pearcy M, Taheri A, Aron S. Testing the genetic determination of the soldier
caste in the silver ant. Insectes Soc. 2015;62: 517–524. doi:10.1007/S00040-015-0431-
5/METRICS

70. Lecocq de Pletincx N, Dellicour S, Aron S. The evolution of ant worker polymorphism
correlates   with   multiple   social   traits.   Behav   Ecol   Sociobiol.   2021;75:   1–11.
doi:10.1007/S00265-021-03049-6/METRICS

71.   de Pletincx NL, Aron S. Sociogenetic Organization of the Red Honey Ant (*Melophorus*
bagoti). Insects 2020, Vol 11, Page 755. 2020;11: 755. doi:10.3390/INSECTS11110755
72.   Lecocq de Pletincx N, Kuhn A, Aron S. Population structure and sociogenetic organisation
in a species with ergatoid queens, the desert ant *Ocymyrmex robustior*. Insectes Soc.
2019;66: 389–401. doi:10.1007/S00040-019-00697-W/METRICS
73.   Bargum K, Sundström L. Multiple breeders, breeder shifts and inclusive fitness returns in an
ant. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences. 2007;274: 1547–1551.
doi:10.1098/RSPB.2007.0295
74.   Elmes G, Keller L. Distribution and ecology of queen number in ants of the genus *Myrmica*.
1993.
75.   Hood WG, Tschinkel WR. Desiccation resistance in arboreal and terrestrial ants. Physiol
Entomol. 1990;15: 23–35. doi:10.1111/J.1365-3032.1990.TB00489.X
76.   Cerdá X, Retana J. Alternative strategies by thermophilic ants to cope with extreme heat:
individual versus colony level traits. Oikos. 2000;89: 155–163. doi:10.1034/J.1600-
0706.2000.890117.X
77.   Clémencet J, Cournault L, Odent A, Doums C. Worker thermal tolerance in the thermophilic
ant *Cataglyphis cursor* (Hymenoptera, Formicidae). Insectes Soc. 2010;57: 11–15.
doi:10.1007/S00040-009-0044-Y/METRICS
78.   Roeder KA, Roeder D v., Bujan J. Ant Thermal Tolerance: A Review of Methods,
Hypotheses, and Sources of Variation. Ann Entomol Soc Am. 2021;114: 459–469.
doi:10.1093/AESA/SAAB018
79.   Wheeler MW, Park RM, Bailer AJ. Comparing median lethal concentration values using
confidence interval overlap or ratio tests. Environ Toxicol Chem. 2006;25: 1441–1444.
doi:10.1897/05-320R.1
80.   Hlina BL, Birceanu O, Robinson CS, Dhiyebi H, Wilkie MP. The relationship between thermal
physiology and lampricide sensitivity in larval sea lamprey (*Petromyzon marinus*). J Great
Lakes Res. 2021;47: S272–S284. doi:10.1016/J.JGLR.2021.10.002
81.   Andrews S. FastQC: A quality control tool for high throughput sequence data. Babraham
Bioinformatics; 2010. Available: http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/
82.   Grabherr MG, Haas BJ, Yassour M, Levin JZ, Thompson D a, Amit I, et al. Full-length
transcriptome assembly from RNA-Seq data without a reference genome. Nat Biotechnol.
2011;29: 644–52. doi:10.1038/nbt.1883
83.   Brown CT, Howe A, Zhang Q, Pyrkosz AB, Brom TH. A reference-free algorithm for
computational normalization of shotgun sequencing data. Genome Announc. 2012;2: 1–18.
Available: http://arxiv.org/abs/1203.4802
84.   Dhaygude K, Nair A, Johansson H, Wurm Y, Sundström L. The first draft genomes of the
ant Formica exsecta, and its Wolbachia endosymbiont reveal extensive gene transfer from
endosymbiont to host. BMC Genomics. 2019;20. doi:10.1186/S12864-019-5665-6
85.   Musacchia F, Basu S, Petrosino G, Salvemini M, Sanges R. Annocript: A flexible pipeline
for the annotation of transcriptomes able to identify putative long noncoding RNAs.
Bioinformatics. 2015;31: 2199–2201. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btv106
86.   Suzek BE, Wang Y, Huang H, McGarvey PB, Wu CH. UniRef clusters: a comprehensive
and scalable alternative for improving sequence similarity searches. Bioinformatics.
2015;31: 926–932. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btu739
87.   Simão FA, Waterhouse RM, Ioannidis P, Kriventseva E V., Zdobnov EM. BUSCO:
Assessing genome assembly and annotation completeness with single-copy orthologs.
Bioinformatics. 2015;31: 3210–3212. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btv351
88.   Gurevich A, Saveliev V, Vyahhi N, Tesler G. QUAST: quality assessment tool for genome
assemblies. Bioinformatics. 2013;29: 1072–1075. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btt086

89.   Patro R, Duggal G, Love MI, Irizarry RA, Kingsford C. Salmon provides fast and bias-aware
983   quantification of transcript expression. Nat Methods. 2017;14: 417–419.
984   doi:10.1038/nmeth.4197
985   90.   Robinson MD, McCarthy DJ, Smyth GK. edgeR: A Bioconductor package for differential
986   expression analysis of digital gene expression data. Bioinformatics. 2009;26: 139–140.
987   doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btp616
988   91.   Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-
989   seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol. 2014;15: 1–34.
990   92.   Alexa A, Rahnenfuhrer J. topGO: Enrichment Analysis for Gene Ontology. R package; 2016.
991   Available: https://bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/topGO.html
992   93.   Supek F, Bošnjak M, Škunca N, Šmuc T. REVIGO Summarizes and Visualizes Long Lists
993   of Gene Ontology Terms. Gibas C, editor. PLoS One. 2011;6: e21800.
994   doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0021800
995   94.   Moriya Y, Itoh M, Okuda S, Yoshizawa AC, Kanehisa M. KAAS: an automatic genome
996   annotation and pathway reconstruction server. Nucleic Acids Res. 2007;35.
997   doi:10.1093/NAR/GKM321
998   95.   Haas BJ, Papanicolaou A, Yassour M, Grabherr M, Blood PD, Bowden J, et al. De novo
999   transcript sequence reconstruction from RNA-Seq: reference generation and analysis with
1000   Trinity. Nat Protoc. 2013;8: 1494–1512. doi:10.1038/NPROT.2013.084
1001   96.   Emms DM, Kelly S. OrthoFinder: Phylogenetic orthology inference for comparative
1002   genomics. Genome Biol. 2019;20: 238. doi:10.1186/s13059-019-1832-y
1003
1004

Fig 1. Workers of the ant species: (A) *Cataglyphis holgerseni*, (B) *C. bombycina*, (C) *Melophorus bagoti*, (D) *Ocymyrmex sp.*, (E) *Formica fusca,* and (F) *Myrmica sabuleti*. With permission: (A) A. Kuhn, (B, E, F) Q. Willot, (C) A. Wystrach, (D) R. Duncan.

Fig 2. Percent survival of ant workers during heat-stress assays. The ants (60 workers from 4-6 colonies per species) were exposed to increasing temperatures (39°C, 41°C, 43°C, 45°C, 47°C, 49°C or 51°C) for 3 hours; their percent survival was then measured. Workers were categorized as moribund when reaching muscular paralysis. For each species, UTL is indicated by a filled circle (•).

A

Number of transcripts differentially expressed

B

Total number of transcripts

C

Fig 3. Transcriptomic analyses summary. **A** – Number of differentially expressed transcripts between heat stressed and non-heat stressed conditions for each species tested. Up HS transcripts refers to transcripts up regulated in the heat stressed samples when compared to the non-heat stressed samples, while Down HS refers to transcripts down regulated in heat stressed samples (i.e., up regulated in non-heat stressed samples in comparison to heat-stressed ones). **B** – Reference transcriptome sizes. Dashed lines show the proportion of transcripts with at least one significant blast hit. **C** – Number of transcripts differentially expressed and annotated in common among desert species. Only the overlap between *C. bombycina* and *O. robustior* was significant at p < 0.01 random sampling test. For the sake of clarity, overlapping results are shown only for desert species. All species comparisons are given in Table S3.

Fig 4. Phylogenetic tree of the studied ant species estimated using orthologous proteins. Branch colours corresponds to the number of differentially expressed transcripts (DET) identified for that species between heat stressed and non-heat stressed samples. The exact number of DET is reported bellow each species branch along with the LT50. Grey lines represent internal nodes with no values. Dotted lines indicate species

showing gradual decrease in survival ratio within a six-degree temperature interval while straight lines refer to species whose survival decline from 100 to 0% within a smaller temperature range of two degrees. Ant species from temperate areas are shown in bold.

Fig 5. Expression of heat stress proteins 70 and 90 related transcripts in heat-stressed and non-heat stressed treatments. Expression levels shown as TMM normalized read counts. Transcripts shown represent one related transcript from each HSP that was either differentially

expressed or had highest expression in the referred species. HS – samples heat stressed; NHS – non-heat stressed control samples; SP – UniProtKB/Swiss-Prot annotation; UR – UniRef90 database annotation. * Indicates the transcript is significantly differentially regulated between treatment conditions ($\log_2$-fold change ≥ 2 between treatments and FDR ≤ 1e-3).

Fig 6. Relative proportion of transcripts in different orthologous categories. Colours highlight lineage specific categories while grey shades illustrate taxonomically conserved orthologous categories. Inner circles represent the proportion of transcripts in each category among the set of differentially expressed transcripts while outer circles show these proportions in the full transcriptome for comparison. Differences between the proportions observed in *F. fusca*, *Myr. sabuleti* and *O. robustior* are significant based on alpha level of .01.