LXR-inducible host E3 ligase IDOL targets a human cytomegalovirus reactivation determinant

Luwanika Mlera[1,2], Donna Collins-McMillen[1,2], Sebastian Zeltzer[1,2], Jason C. Buehler[1,2†], Melissa Moy[3†], Kristen Zarrella[1], Katie Caviness[1,2,4§], Louis Cicchini[2,5¶], David J. Tafoya[1,2], and Felicia Goodrum[1,2,3,5*]

[1]Department of Immunobiology, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ 85721, USA

[2]BIO5 Institute, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ 85721, USA

[3]Graduate Interdisciplinary Program in Cancer Biology, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ 85721, USA

[4]Graduate Interdisciplinary Program in Genetics, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ 85721, USA

[5]Department of Molecular and Cellular Biology, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ 85721, USA

[†]Current address: Imanis Life Sciences, Rochester, MN 55901, USA

[§]Current address: National Biodefense Analysis and Countermeasures Center, Fort Detrick, MD 21702, USA

[¶]Current address: PPD, Inc., 929 N Front St. Wilmington, NC 28401, USA

*Correspondence: fgoodrum@arizona.edu

Summary

Liver X receptor (LXR) signaling broadly restricts virus replication; however, the mechanisms of restriction are poorly defined. Here, we demonstrate that the LXR-inducible cellular E3 ligase IDOL (inducible degrader of low-density lipoprotein receptor, LDLR) targets the human cytomegalovirus (HMCV) UL136p33 protein for turnover. *UL136* encodes multiple proteins that differentially impact latency and reactivation. UL136p33 is a determinant of reactivation. UL136p33 is targeted for rapid turnover by the proteasome and its stabilization by mutation of lysine residues to arginine results in a failure to quiet replication for latency. We show that IDOL targets UL136p33 for turnover, but not the stabilized variant. IDOL is highly expressed in undifferentiated hematopoietic cells where HCMV establishes latency, but is sharply downregulated upon differentiation, a stimulus for reactivation. We hypothesize that IDOL maintains low levels of UL136p33 for the establishment of latency. Consistent with this, knockdown of IDOL impacts viral gene expression in WT HCMV infection, but not in infection where UL136p33 has been stabilized. Further, induction of LXR signaling restricts WT HCMV reactivation from latency, but does not affect replication of a recombinant virus expressing a stabilized variant of UL136p33. This work establishes the UL136p33-IDOL interaction as a key regulator of the bistable switch between latency and reactivation. It further suggests a model whereby a key viral determinant of HCMV reactivation is regulated by a host E3 ligase and acts as a sensor at the tipping point between the decision to maintain the latent state or exit latency for reactivation.

Importance

Herpesviruses establish life-long latent infections, which pose an important risk for disease particularly in the immunocompromised. Our work is focused on the beta-herpesvirus, human cytomegalovirus (HCMV) that latently infects the majority of the population worldwide. Defining the mechanisms by which HCMV establishes latency or reactivates from latency is important to controlling viral disease. Here, we demonstrate that the cellular inducible degrader of low-density lipoprotein receptor, IDOL, targets a HCMV determinant of reactivation for degradation. The instability of this determinant is important for the establishment of latency. This work defines a pivotal virus-host interaction that allows HCMV to sense changes in host biology to navigate decisions to establish latency or replicate.

Introduction

Viral latency, the ability of a virus to persist indefinitely in the infected host, is a hallmark of herpesviruses. Latency is defined as a non-replicative state punctuated by sporadic reactivation events where the virus replicates productively for transmission. Human cytomegalovirus (HCMV) is a beta-herpesvirus that establishes latent infection in hematopoietic progenitor cells (HPCs) and cells of the myeloid lineage (1). Reactivation of HCMV from latency in the immunocompromised can result in life-threatening disease and is a particularly important risk factor in the context of stem cell and solid organ transplantation. The molecular mechanisms by which HCMV senses changes in the host to make decisions to maintain latency or reactivate from latency is important in developing novel strategies to control viral reactivation and subsequent CMV disease.

Latency is a complex multifactorial phenomenon dependent on viral determinants, host

cell intrinsic, innate, and adaptive responses, as well as host cell signaling and

chromatin remodeling (2). Current paradigms hold that HCMV reactivation is tightly

connected to hematopoietic cell differentiation (3-7) or inflammatory signaling (3, 8-10).

However, much remains to be understood about the precise host contributions and key

viral factors that navigate the entry into or exit from latency. We and others have defined

roles of *UL133-138* gene locus encoded within the UL*b'* region of the HCMV genome for

latency and reactivation. *UL138* is required for latency and the UL138 protein functions

by regulating cell surface receptor trafficking and signaling (11-14), innate immune

responses (15, 16) and also contributes to repression of viral gene expression (17).

UL135, by contrast, is required for reactivation from latency, functioning in part by

opposing the function of *UL138* (18-20). *UL136* is expressed as 5 protein isoforms that

have differential effects on the establishment of latency or reactivation. The largest,

membrane-associated isoforms, UL136p33 (UL136p33) and p26, are required for

reactivation, whereas the small, soluble isoforms are required for latency in both *in vitro*

and *in vivo* experimental models (21, 22). Importantly, UL136 proteins accumulate with

delayed kinetics relative to UL135 and UL138 and maximal accumulation of *UL136*

gene products depend on the onset of viral DNA synthesis and/or entry into late phase.

Given its kinetics and dependence on viral DNA synthesis for maximal expression, we

postulate that UL136 may negotiate checkpoints that function to maintain latency or

commitment to reactivation from latency. Identifying host pathways regulating these viral

determinants is key to defining the mechanistic basis by which HCMV senses and

responds to changes in host biology to maintain latency or reactivate.

The cellular environment the virus encounters upon infection undoubtedly plays

important roles in the establishment of latency with a host of restriction factors prepared

to silence the viral genome, as well as a transcriptional milieu that impacts viral gene

expression. As an example, we have shown that the cellular transcription factor early

growth response factor-1 (EGR-1) drives the expression of UL138 for the establishment

of latency (20, 23). As EGR-1 is required to maintain stemness in the bone marrow

niche and is highly expressed in undifferentiated hematopoietic cells (24), cells are

primed to drive the expression of UL138 for latency upon infection. However, HCMV

also regulates EGR-1 expression through the differential trafficking and turnover of the

host receptor tyrosine kinase, epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) upstream of

EGR-1 (11, 19, 20) and through direct targeting by microRNAs that promote reactivation

(23). Thus, HCMV has evolved a multi-pronged strategy to manipulate and utilized host

pathways to regulate its gene expression, hardwiring infection into host signaling

pathways. With regards to reactivation, activation of the major immediate early (MIE)

transcriptional unit, encoding the major transactivators for viral replication, is highly

dependent on host transcription factors in myeloid cells (25). Host transcription factors

CREB, FOXO3a and AP-1 are downstream of major signaling pathways, including Src,

PI3K/Akt, and MEK/ERK, and are important for re-expression of MIE genes (26-28).

Further, UL7 encodes a ligand that binds the cellular Fms-like tyrosine kinase 3 receptor

(Flt-3R) that when secreted upon reactivation activates PI3K/AKT and MAPK signaling

to prevent cell death and stimulate differentiation for reactivation (29, 30). Through its

co-evolution with the human host, the biology of HCMV infection has become tightly

intertwined with the biology its host, which reflects the complex mechanisms the virus

has evolved to "sense" and "respond" to changes in the cellular environment to

influence "decisions" to maintain latency or reactivate from latency.

Here we demonstrate that the largest isoform of UL136, UL136p33, is targeted for rapid

turnover relative to other UL136 protein isoforms and that its instability ($t_{1/2}$~1 h) is

important to the establishment of latency. We identified the LXR-inducible degrader of

low-density lipoprotein receptor (LDLR) IDOL (also known as myosin regulatory light

chain interacting protein or MYLIP), as the host E3 ligase that targets UL136p33 for

turnover. IDOL expression is induced by oxysterol activation of the liver X receptor

(LXR) (31) and is regulated through hematopoietic differentiation (32, 33). In addition to

LDLR, IDOL also targets VLDLR and ApoER-2 and, therefore, is an important

homeostatic regulator of intracellular cholesterol (31, 34-36). The targeting of UL136p33

by IDOL suggests that the virus has evolved to be responsive to changes in cellular

cholesterol in its commitment to reactivation. A model emerges whereby high levels of

IDOL maintains low levels of UL136p33 for the establishment of latency, and the

downregulation of IDOL by differentiation results in the accumulation of UL136p33 to

drive reactivation. Indeed, induction of IDOL results in increased turnover of UL136p33

and prevents reactivation of HCMV from latency, whereas depletion of IDOL increases

viral gene expression in hematopoietic cells. Our findings define UL133p33 is a key viral

determinant of HCMV reactivation that acts as a sensor at the tipping point between the

decision to maintain the latent state or exit latency for reactivation.

Results

UL136p33 is unstable and is targeted for proteasomal degradation

UL136 is expressed as at least 5 co-terminal protein isoforms (Fig. 1A). These isoforms

are encoded by transcripts with unique 5' ends and have distinct roles in viral latency

and reactivation (21, 22). The largest of these isoforms is a 33-kDa protein (UL136p33)

that is required for replication in endothelial cells as well as reactivation from latency in

CD34+ HPCs and in humanized mice (21). Conversely, the two small isoforms p23 and

p19 suppress replication in endothelial cells and promote latency in CD34+ HPCs and

humanized mice (22). The diametric nature of the UL136 system presupposes

additional levels of control to coordinate and commit to one infection program over

another, thereby allowing for either optimal replication/reactivation or robust latency.

The UL136 isoforms, and particularly the full-length isoform UL136p33, are uniquely

labile and sensitive to mechanical lysis while being processed (22). Based on this, we

hypothesized that the concentration of some UL136 isoforms is regulated by increased

proteolysis, and that the instability of UL136p33 may be important to establishing or

maintaining latency.

To explore the possibility that UL136 isoforms have different stabilities, we analyzed the

levels of UL136 isoforms in infected fibroblasts treated with cycloheximide (CHX) to halt

protein synthesis. Cycloheximide treatment resulted in a striking loss of UL136p33, p25,

and p23, but not p26 or p19 (Fig. 1B, left panel). These results indicated differential

stability whereby UL136p33, p25, and p23 may be subject to more rapid turnover, while

other UL136 isoforms are relatively stable. Proteasome inhibition robustly rescued

UL136p33, p25, and p23 isoforms (Fig. 1B, right panel). Inhibition of the lysosome with

NH_4Cl had no effect on rescue of any of the UL136 isoforms; however, inhibition of both

the lysosome and proteasome showed a somewhat additive effect. Together, these

results indicate that the rapid turnover of UL136p33, p25, and p23 is regulated by the

proteasome.

To establish the kinetics of isoform turnover, we analyzed the decay of UL136 isoforms

in fibroblasts infected with TB40/E-$UL136_{myc}$ and treated with CHX at peak UL136

isoform expression (Fig 1C). UL136p33 decayed with a half-life of 1 h ($t_{1/2}$=1 h) and p25

exhibited a $t_{1/2}$=3 h, while all other isoforms were stable over the 6 h time course (Fig

1D). The p23 isoform accumulates to very low levels making the decay of this protein is

difficult to determine. The rapid decay of UL136p33 relative to the other isoforms, as

well as its significance in HCMV reactivation prompted us to focus on determining the

factor(s) contributing to its instability.

Lysine to arginine mutations stabilize UL136p33

UL136 has four lysine (K) residues (denoted by red lines in Fig. 1A), three of which lie

upstream of the transmembrane domain (TMD) and are unique to the p33 isoform. To

determine if the turnover of UL136p33 was regulated by ubiquitin-mediated proteolysis,

we substituted all lysine residues for arginine (R) through site-directed mutagenesis in

an expression vector encoding UL136p33 to generate $UL136p33_{myc}\Delta K \rightarrow R$. The p33$_{\Delta K \rightarrow R}$

mutant had a $t_{1/2}$=5 h, compared to ~1 h for UL136p33 following CHX treatment (Fig.

2A). This observation, together with the stabilization of UL136p33 by proteasomal

inhibition (Fig. 1B), suggests that UL136p33 turnover is regulated by ubiquitin-mediated

proteasomal decay. Further, the rapid turnover of exogenously expressed UL136p33

(Fig. 2A, left panel) indicates that UL136p33's degradation is not dependent on virus or

virus-induced factors.

To determine the importance of UL136p33 instability in infection, we introduced the

lysine to arginine mutations into the $UL136_{myc}$ gene within the bacterial artificial

chromosome clone of TB40/E ($UL136_{myc}\Delta K \rightarrow R$). We measured the relative rates of

decay in fibroblasts infected with either the parental $UL136_{myc}$ or $UL136_{myc}\Delta K \rightarrow R$ virus

and treated with CHX and observed that $p33_{\Delta K \rightarrow R}$ was stabilized during infection relative

to wild type UL136p33 (Fig. 2B). Interestingly, we stabilization of UL136p33 diminished

levels of UL136p26 and p25 isoforms by mechanisms that have yet to be determined.

We then performed multistep growth curves on fibroblasts infected with $UL136_{myc}$ or

$UL136_{myc}\Delta K \rightarrow R$ to analyze the impact of stabilizing UL136p33 on virus replication. No

change in the kinetics or yield of viral growth was observed during the productive

infection in fibroblasts when UL136p33 is stabilized relative to the WT infection (Fig.

2C). This was not surprising since the UL136p33 isoform, while important for replication

in endothelial cells and CD34+ HPCs, is dispensable for replication in fibroblasts (21,

22). In summary, UL136p33 instability is the result of lysine-dependent, proteasomal

degradation and mutating lysine residues to arginine in $UL136$ stabilizes the protein with

no effect on virus replication in fibroblasts.

Since UL136p33 is required for reactivation from latency in CD34+ HPCs (21), we

hypothesized that the instability of UL136p33 allows for a tunable concentration gradient

where low levels are important for the establishment of latency and accumulation past a

threshold is important for reactivation. To test this hypothesis, we performed a latency

assay in primary human CD34+ HPCs infected with the parental $UL136_{myc}$ or

$UL136_{myc}\Delta K{\to}R$ viruses. $UL136_{myc}$-infected CD34+ HPCs generated >2-fold

frequencies of infectious centers following reactivation compared to the pre-reactivation

control (Fig. 2D). However, the pre- and post-reactivation frequencies of infectious

centers were not different in the $UL136_{myc}\Delta K{\to}R$ infection and were almost two-fold

above $UL136_{myc}$ pre-reactivation. These results indicate that HCMV replication in

CD34+ HPCs is not quieted when UL136p33 is stabilized, and that this virus does not

establish a latent infection. In a separate study, we have shown that the stabilization of

UL136p33 results in increased virus replication (a failure to establish latency) in a

humanized mouse model of latency (37). As is the case with many viral proteins, UL136

isoforms, even with a myc epitope tag, are not expressed to detectable levels in

hematopoietic cells, and we have been unsuccessful in generating antibodies to UL136.

Nevertheless, given the requirement for UL136p33 for reactivation and replication in

CD34+ HPCs, the $UL136_{myc}\Delta K{\to}R$ infection phenotype is consistent with accumulation

of UL136p33 beyond a threshold critical for commitment to replication.

The host E3 ligase IDOL targets UL136p33 for proteasomal degradation

Ubiquitination of cargo by E3 ligases is an important step in targeting a protein for

proteasomal degradation (38, 39). Because UL136p33 is rapidly turned over by the

proteasome even in the absence of infection and lysine to arginine substitutions

stabilized UL136p33, we hypothesized that a host E3 ligase targets UL136p33 for

turnover. We identified UL136 interacting proteins by a yeast two-hybrid screen (Y2H)

using the C-terminal region of UL136 (amino acids 141-240) as bait. Intriguingly, we

identified the host E3 ligase IDOL (**i**nducible **d**egrader **o**f **l**ow-density lipoprotein

receptor), also known as MYLIP, as a candidate host interactor of UL136 (Supporting

information, SI Table 1). IDOL induces the degradation of the low-density lipoprotein

receptor family members LDLR, VLDLR, and ApoER-2 (35, 36). The degradation of

these receptors is a physiological response to increased cholesterol, which is modified

to oxysterols that activate the LXR transcription factor to induce *IDOL* expression (36,

40, 41).

To determine if IDOL targets UL136p33, we analyzed the ability of IDOL to interact with

and impact UL136p33 levels. We validated the interaction between IDOL and

UL136p33 by immunoprecipitating (IP) UL136p33-IDOL complexes from cells

transiently overexpressing myc-tagged UL136p33 or HA-tagged IDOL. Transient

overexpression is necessary due to the lack of commercially available antibodies

specific and sensitive enough to detect or immunoprecipitate endogenous IDOL.

Pulldown of $IDOL_{HA}$ or $p33_{myc}$ coprecipitated the alternant partner (Fig. 3A), confirming

the interaction between UL136p33 and IDOL. Further analysis indicated that co-

expression of IDOL with UL136p33 resulted in a ~60% reduction in UL136p33 levels

compared to when UL136p33 was co-expressed with an empty vector (Fig. 3B top and

quantified in Fig. 3C), consistent with IDOL driving UL136p33 turnover. By contrast,

p33$_{\Delta K \rightarrow R}$ levels were not affected by IDOL co-expression (Fig. 3B bottom and quantified

in Fig. 3C), in agreement with the hypothesis that ubiquitination on lysine residues is

required for UL136p33 turnover via the proteasome. Taken together, IDOL functions as

a host E3 ligase that targets UL136p33 for proteasomal degradation.

We next induced endogenous IDOL expression with an LXR agonist GW3965 or LXR-

independent agonist HBX41-108 in cells transiently expressing UL136p33 or p33$_{\Delta K \rightarrow R}$.

Because endogenous IDOL cannot be detected by western blot using available

antibodies (34, 42, 43), the induction of IDOL was verified by the loss of its target

ApoER-2 (Fig. 3D). Induction of IDOL, by both GW3965 and HBX41-108 resulted in a

reduction of UL136p33 (Fig. 3D, top), but not p33$_{\Delta K \rightarrow R}$ (Fig. 3D, bottom). These results

further confirm that endogenous IDOL targets UL136p33 for ubiquitin-dependent

degradation.

The Y2H bait was composed of the C-terminal region of UL136 spanning amino acids

141-240 downstream of the predicted transmembrane domain. These residues are

shared by all the UL136 isoforms (Fig. 1A). Further, our data indicated that p25 and p23

proteins are also labile following CHX treatment and these smaller isoforms could be

rescued, like UL136p33, by inhibiting the proteasome (Fig. 1B, right panel). The p25

and p23 isoforms have a single lysine which is located downstream of the TMD in the

full length UL136 isoform (Fig. 1A). Transient co-expression of IDOL with either p25 or

p23 did not lead to any notable turnover of these proteins (Fig. 3E-G). These data

collectively led us to conclude that IDOL specifically targets UL136p33 for turnover, and

it does not mediate the degradation of p25 and p23. The mechanism by which p25 and

p23 are turned over remains to be elucidated and could reflect differential trafficking and

localization of the proteins (22).

To further define the specificity of IDOL-mediated turnover of UL136p33, we co-

expressed UL136p33 with another E3 ligase, Cbl. Cbl targets tyrosine kinase receptors,

such as EGFR, for ubiquitination and subsequent degradation by the lysosome (44).

Levels of UL136p33 were unaffected by co-expression with Cbl (Fig. 3H-I), indicating

some specificity of IDOL for proteasomal turnover of UL136p33.

IDOL impacts UL136p33 protein levels in HCMV-infected primary fibroblasts

In the context of a productive infection in fibroblasts, we found that infection with either

the parental $UL136_{myc}$ or $UL136_{myc}\Delta K\rightarrow R$ induced $IDOL$ transcripts by at least 5-fold

compared to mock-infection by reverse transcriptase, quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR),

(Fig. 4A). $IDOL$ transcript upregulation was sustained over time during infection and

peaked at close to 10-fold by 3 dpi. No changes in $IDOL$ transcript levels were detected

in mock controls over the same time-course. Because $IDOL$ induction is a consequence

of increased cellular cholesterol, we measured cholesterol content in infected cells to

correlate it to the upregulation of $IDOL$ transcripts. Using this approach, we noted that

HCMV increased cholesterol concentration by at least 29% from 1 dpi onward (Fig. 4B),

consistent with previous findings on HCMV-modulation of lipid biosynthesis (45-50).

Taken together, infection in fibroblasts upregulates expression levels of IDOL

transcripts, which is correlated with increased intracellular cholesterol levels.

To address the effect of HCMV-mediated induction of IDOL on UL136p33 levels in a

productive infection, we again induced IDOL expression with the LXR agonist GW3965

or HBX41-108 in TB40/E-*UL136*~myc~- or -*UL136*~myc~ΔK→R-infected fibroblasts. IDOL

induction resulted in a 50% reduction in the levels of UL136p33 but did not significantly

affect p33$_{ΔK→R}$ levels (Fig. 4C and D). Diminished levels of smaller UL136 isoforms are

again apparent in the *UL136*~myc~ΔK→R infection. We next disrupted *IDOL* expression in

fibroblasts to answer the question whether IDOL depletion would rescue UL136p33

turnover. CRISPR-mediated knockout (KO) of *IDOL* using guide RNAs to disrupt all the

isoforms of IDOL was validated by Sanger sequencing and increased ApoER-2 as a

proxy for *IDOL* KO (Fig. 4E). Further, CRISPR KO of *IDOL* had no effect on HDAC1, a

potential off-target hit when knocking out *IDOL*. In fibroblasts depleted of IDOL,

UL136p33 levels increased at 2 and 3 dpi compared to luciferase KO controls, but did

not affect levels of p33$_{ΔK→R}$ (Fig. 4F and quantified in 4G). Further, IE2 levels were also

significantly increased in IDOL KO cells infected with *UL136*~myc~, but not changed by

IDOL KO in the *UL136*~myc~ΔK→R infection (Fig. 4H). Together, these results indicate that

IDOL regulates UL136p33 concentration, which indirectly impacts IE expression in the

context of infection.

LXR induction and IDOL restricts HCMV gene expression and reactivation in

models of latency

HCMV latency is studied in a variety of models. Due to limitations inherent to each

model, there is no perfect model and, therefore, we explore latency questions using

multiple complementary models. To explore the role of IDOL in regulating UL136p33

levels in latency and reactivation, we have used two models of HCMV: (1) THP-1 cell

line model and (2) CD34+ primary HPC model. In the THP-1 monocytic cell line model,

cells are infected in an undifferentiated state for the establishment of latency. Viral gene

expression is detected early (1 dpi) following infection but is silenced as the virus

establishes a latent-like state (51). Re-expression of viral genes for reactivation is

stimulated by differentiating the cells into macrophages with a phorbol ester,

tetradecanoylphorbol acetate (TPA) (52-57). This is a strong model for looking at

transcriptional changes associated with latency and reactivation; however, this model is

limited in that THP-1 cells do not robustly or consistently support viral genome synthesis

or production of viral progeny following TPA induction, a requirement for bona fide

reactivation. The gold-standard for HCMV latency is the ability of the virus to establish

and reactivate from latency in primary human CD34+ HPCs (58). In contrast to THP-1

cells, the primary CD34+ HPC model supports viral genome synthesis and the formation

of infectious progeny in response to reactivation. However, the model is limited by

substantial donor-variability associated with primary human cells, heterogeneity of the

population, the availability of cells in numbers to provide sufficient starting material for

many assays, and the ability to manipulate these cells (e.g. gene knockdowns or

overexpression) without affecting their differentiation or quantities sufficient for HCMV

infection assays. Further, because reactivation of HCMV from CD34+ HPCs occurs at

low frequency (1 in ~9,000 cells), analysis of molecular events associated with

reactivation is challenging. The use of both models yields complementary insights into

the patterns of gene expression upon infection or reactivation (THP-1) and virus

production (CD34+ HPCs) to understand latency and reactivation.

Dynamic gene expression changes are associated with monocyte-to-macrophage

differentiation (32, 59, 60). Because IDOL is highly expressed in bone marrow (61), we

hypothesized that *IDOL* expression may be dictated by the differentiation state of the

cell and would regulate UL136 levels in a differentiation-dependent manner. Indeed, we

found that *IDOL* was highly expressed in undifferentiated THP-1 monocytes prior to

infection but was sharply downregulated upon THP-1 cell differentiation (Fig. 5A).

Further, while no significant differences in *IDOL* expression were observed between

uninfected cells and $UL136_{myc}$ infection, $UL136_{myc}\Delta K \rightarrow R$ infection significantly reduced

IDOL expression in the undifferentiated, latent phase (Fig. 5A). This result suggests that

UL136p33 levels may feedback to impact IDOL expression in a cell type-dependent

manner.

To determine how IDOL impacts HCMV infection in hematopoietic cells, we knocked out

IDOL in THP-1 cells using CRISPR. The knockdown was validated using an ELISA

assay for the detection of IDOL protein (Fig. 5B); IDOL protein was reduced in

undifferentiated cells to levels induced by differentiation. Further, *IDOL* KO had no off-

target effects on HDAC1 (Fig. 5C). Given the challenges of detecting UL136 isoforms,

particularly in hematopoietic cells, we examined IE1, IE2 and UL135 gene expression

as proxies for determining the effect of *IDOL* KO on infection in THP-1 cells. UL135 and

IE1/2 proteins are detected early during infection in THP-1 cells but diminish as the

virus establishes latency between 3 and 5 dpi (Fig. 5D-E) (52). *IDOL* KO resulted in an

increased initial burst in the expression of IE1, IE2, and UL135 at 1 dpi relative to

control *Luc* KO cells in *UL136*$_{myc}$ infection. Notably, the major HCMV transactivator, IE2,

was detected in *UL136*$_{myc}$ infection in the IDOL KO condition, but not in the *Luc* KO

control. The increased viral gene expression in the IDOL KO is consistent with

increased viral activity. However, gene expression was still relatively quieted by 5 dpi,

suggesting that induction of IDOL does not fully prevent the silencing of viral gene

expression in this system and that other viral or cellular factors are required. As we

have never identified a condition or mutant virus that is not ultimately silenced in THP-1

cells, this is not unexpected and it may reflect the robust program for restriction and

silencing in THP-1, indicated by the inability of these cells to support viral DNA

synthesis and progeny formation even with TPA treatment. UL135 and IE1, as well as

IE2, were detected in *UL136*$_{myc}$*ΔK→R* infection of THP-1 cells. Importantly, *IDOL* KO

had no effect on IE1, IE2, or UL135 expression in *UL136*$_{myc}$*ΔK→R* infection (Fig. 5D-E),

consistent with a role for IDOL in regulating the establishment of latency by targeting

UL136p33 for turnover. In contrast to *UL136*$_{myc}$ infection, IE2 was detected in all

conditions of the *UL136*$_{myc}$*ΔK→R* infection and is not increased further by IDOL KO.

While a potent transactivator, IE2 feeds back to negatively regulate the major immediate

early promoter and its own expression (62-65). The differences in IE2 expression in

IDOL KO in *UL136*$_{myc}$ and *UL136*$_{myc}$*ΔK→R* infection may reflect complexities in the

regulation of IE gene expression or the possible dysregulation and loss of middle UL136

isoforms in *UL136*$_{myc}$*ΔK→R* infection (Fig. 2). Further work is required to understand

this relationship. These results indicate that loss of IDOL increases viral gene

expression in *UL136myc* infection at least at early times following infection of THP-1

cells, suggesting a role for IDOL in regulating HCMV infection in undifferentiated THP-1

cells. This finding reflects IDOL-induced changes in UL136p33 since the stabilized

variant does not differentially express genes in an IDOL-dependent manner. This

finding is consistent with a role for IDOL in driving instability of UL136p33 and the role of

UL136p33 in driving HCMV replication in hematopoietic cells (66). Further, these data

indicate that UL136p33 may negatively regulate IDOL expression in hematopoietic cells,

as the stabilized form of UL136p33 results in greater repression of IDOL transcripts by

HCMV infection.

To further explore the importance of IDOL in latency, we turned to the primary CD34+

HPC experimental model (58). IDOL is expressed in undifferentiated CD34+ HPCs and,

similar to our findings in the THP-1 cell model, its expression is downregulated by

differentiation (Fig. 6A). Further, the LXR-agonist GW3965 induces IDOL expression in

either infected or uninfected CD34+ HPCs (Fig. 6B). To measure the impact of LXR

induction on reactivation in primary CD34+ HPCs, we isolated CD34+ HPCs infected

with TB40/E-*UL136*myc or -*UL136*mycΔK$\rightarrow$R (GFP+) by fluorescent activated cell sorting

and seeded the cells into long-term bone marrow cultures. To analyze infectious virus

production, infected CD34+ HPC cultures were split at 10 dpi. Half the cells were

seeded by limiting dilution into co-culture with fibroblasts and cytokine-rich media to

stimulate reactivation from latency. The other half was mechanically lysed and lysates

were seeded by limiting dilution onto fibroblast monolayers to determine the virus

present prior to reactivation (pre-reactivation). Relative to the DMSO control, GW3965

treatment restricted $UL136_{myc}$ reactivation (Fig. 6C), consistent with a requirement for

accumulation of UL136p33 for reactivation. By contrast, $UL136_{myc}\Delta K\rightarrow R$ did not

establish latency and LXR induction, and presumably increased levels of IDOL, did not

restrict replication of $UL136_{myc}\Delta K\rightarrow R$. Given the limited availability of human CD34+

HPCs, data from two independent donors are shown for figure 6C. These results are

consistent with the model that IDOL restricts virus reactivation in a manner dependent

on its ability to turnover UL136p33 since LXR induction has not effect of the infection

the $UL136_{myc}\Delta K\rightarrow R$. Taken together with the THP-1 experiments, these results suggest

that IDOL-driven UL136p33 instability is required for latency and that induction of IDOL

suppresses reactivation, presumably through suppressing the accumulation of

UL136p33 (Fig. 7).

Discussion

Latent viruses require mechanisms to sense the cellular environment, filter noise and

respond to host cues to maintain a quiescent latent state or to reactivate replication (1).

While the decision to enter or exit latency is often depicted as a simple binary on/off

switch, the reality is more likely that a number of successive checkpoints must be

crossed, each requiring thresholds in viral or cellular activities to be reached in making

the commitment to productive reactivation. Developing strategies to control entry into or

exit from HCMV latency and ultimately control CMV disease rests upon identifying the

virus-host interactions that govern the decisions around latency and reactivation. Here

we demonstrate the existence of an unstable viral determinant of reactivation,

UL136p33 (Fig. 1) and that its instability is important for the establishment of latency in

CD34+ HPCs (Fig. 2). We demonstrate that its instability is dependent upon a host E3

ligase, IDOL, which is regulated by hematopoietic differentiation (Fig. 3). Knockdown of

IDOL levels increases viral gene expression (Fig. 4) and LXR induction restricts

replication in hematopoietic models of latency (Fig. 5-6). Our results support a model,

rich in hypotheses for further investigation, whereby UL136p33 is maintained at low

levels for the establishment of latency because IDOL levels are high (Fig. 7). Upon

differentiation, IDOL levels fall (Fig. 5A and 6A), allowing UL136p33 levels to rise, which

facilitates HCMV crossing at least one threshold towards reactivation. UL136p33

accumulation is amplified and re-enforced by the onset of viral DNA synthesis and entry

into late phase (22) and high levels of UL136p33 further suppresses IDOL expression

(Fig. 5A). These findings define novel virus-host interactions that govern entry into and

exit from latency. Moreover, these findings suggest that by utilizing IDOL to regulate

levels of a critical viral determinant for reactivation, the virus evolved to hardwire this

decision into LXR signaling, which ultimately controls IDOL levels. Future work will

define the mechanisms by which UL136 regulates HCMV infection and commitment to

replication in hematopoietic cells. Understanding the relationship between UL136

isoforms, to other *UL133-UL138* locus- encoded proteins and to host interactors will be

critical to mechanistic insights.

IDOL is transcriptionally upregulated by excess cholesterol derivatives (oxysterols) that

are sensed by LXR and in a cell type-dependent manner (36, 67-70). RNA sequencing

to classify the tissue-specific expression of genes across a representative set of all

major human organs and tissues revealed that IDOL is highly expressed in the bone

marrow, where CD34+ HPCs and monocytes are generated (71). The level of IDOL

expression in the BM is only second to the placenta. High expression of IDOL in

undifferentiated progenitor cells (Fig. 6A) or in monocytes (Fig. 5A) suggests they are

intolerant of high cholesterol levels. Consistent with this, undifferentiated THP-1

monocytes express significantly higher levels of cholesterol efflux genes, such as

CES1, and express significantly lower levels of influx genes (72). By contrast, THP-1

monocyte-derived macrophages upregulate cholesterol influx genes, such as scavenger

receptor A1 (SR-A1) and CD36 to become lipid-loaded macrophages or foam cells.

Further, statin treatment to lower cholesterol reduces CD34+ HPC populations in the

circulation and high cholesterol promotes CD34+ HPC proliferation, myelopoiesis as

well as monocyte and granulocytic differentiation (73-75). While carefully designed

studies are required to understand how statins specifically affect HCMV latency and/or

reactivation, these findings suggest the possibility that sterol homeostasis impacts

HCMV latency and reactivation. Untreated hypercholesterolemia might lead to

increased hematopoietic differentiation and a higher frequency of HCMV reactivation

events, whereas statin treatments may restrict HCMV reactivation and replication. Given

the role demonstrated here for IDOL in the regulation of cholesterol and UL136p33

expression, the high levels of IDOL expression make progenitor cells an ideal

environment for HCMV latency in which UL136p33 is suppressed by rapid turnover. It is

intriguing to speculate a possible role of UL136p33 as a viral sensor of changes in LXR

signaling compatible with reactivation.

HCMV infection remodels the cellular lipid metabolic landscape by upregulating *de novo*

cholesterol biosynthesis during a productive infection (46, 49, 50). It is, therefore,

expected that HCMV infection would induce IDOL expression during a replicative

infection. While IDOL and cholesterol are induced by infection in fibroblasts (SI Fig. 2A-

B), IDOL expression was not induced by infection in hematopoietic cells. In fact, IDOL

expression was downregulated by $UL136_{myc}\Delta K\rightarrow R$ infection (Fig. 5A). This disparity in

the regulation of IDOL likely reflects cell type-specific differences, including tolerance for

cholesterol and differences in the nature of the infection in each cell. While cholesterol

increases in macrophage cells, they also have higher tolerance to cholesterol than

HPCs or monocytes (76-78). Accordingly, despite increased cholesterol in

macrophages, IDOL levels are strikingly downregulated in THP-1 cells induced to

differentiate with TPA (Fig. 5A) or CD34+ HPCs are induced to differentiate with

cytokines (Fig. 6A).

IDOL recognizes a conserved FERM domain (WxxKNxxSI/MxF) within the cytoplasmic

tail of its targets (ApoER-2, LDLR and VLDLR), to mediate ubiquitination for lysosomal

degradation (31, 35, 36, 79). Canonical IDOL targets reside at the plasma membrane

and are typically internalized via the endocytosis and trafficked to the lysosome (79). By

contrast, UL136p33 is predominantly Golgi-associated (22), lacks a FERM domain, and

is degraded via the proteasome (Fig. 1B). Differences in localization and trafficking

pathways between natural IDOL targets and UL136p33 may differentially direct the

degradation pathway. It remains to be determined how IDOL recognized and targets

UL136p33, but it is possible that the two proteins interact indirectly.

Activation of LXR in human foreskin fibroblasts with GW3965 prior to HCMV infection

has been shown to depress expression levels of viral proteins, such as IE2, pp28, pp65

and gB (80). GW3965 treatment is also reported to induce IFN-γ (81), a cytokine which

directly inhibits IE1/2 mRNA expression (82). These findings suggest the possibility that

the effects of LXR agonists on UL136p33 levels are due to a global repression of viral

gene expression. However, our results argue against this scenario. First, we observed

no effect on IE gene expression at 2 dpi in infected fibroblasts treated with GW3965 or

HBX41-108 (Fig. 4C-D), consistent with the attenuation of IFN-γ at later times (83).

Second, specific depletion of *IDOL* increases UL136p33, consistent with IDOL-specific

effects on UL136p33 levels. Nevertheless, to avoid this potential pitfall, we treated cells

in our experiments with these agonists following the establishment of infection and IE

gene expression in our studies.

The LXR axis is important for the biology of other herpesviruses. A recent report

demonstrates that the alpha herpesvirus pseudorabies virus (PRV), etiologic agent of

the economically important Aujeszky's disease, has evolved to inhibit LXR at both

transcription and protein levels (84). Using an inverse LXR agonist SR9243, Wang and

colleagues showed that inhibiting LXR increased PRV replication, whereas 3 different

LXR agonists and the oxysterol 22R-hydroxycholesterol all inhibited PRV replication

(84). However, PRV can be rescued from the suppressive effects of an LXR agonist by

supplementing with cholesterol (84), consistent with a viral need for cholesterol to

replicate. LXR also inhibits the murine gamma herpesvirus MHV68 as it replicates better

in *LXR⁻ᐟ⁻* macrophages and, contrary to PRV, MHV68 infection increases LXRα (85).

Importantly, LXRα restricts reactivation of MHV86 in peritoneal cells, but not in

splenocytes (86). The cell type-dependent role of LXR signaling for reactivation

suggests the possibility that a LXR signaling might control a MHV68 determinant of

reactivation in peritoneal cells that is dispensable in splenocytes. Similarly, disruption of

or stabilization of UL136p33 has no impact on replication in fibroblasts (Fig. 2C) (22),

but strongly impacts replication in HPCs (Fig. 2D) and endothelial cells (21). Viral

factors encoded by MHV68 and regulated by LXR signaling have yet to be determined.

In addition to herpesviruses, LXR signaling suppresses the replication of other viruses,

including Hepatitis C Virus (HCV) (87, 88), human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) (89-

91), and Newcastle disease virus (NDV) (92). Intriguingly, activation of LXR signaling

suppresses hepatitis C virus (HCV) replication in an IDOL-dependent manner. The

suppression of HCV is presumably due to the requirement of LDLR for an entry receptor

since once infection is established, IDOL induction does not seem to have an effect on

HCV replication (93). However, there are mixed reports on the requirement for LDLR for

HCV entry or its specific function in HCV replication (94-96).

While many host pathways are implicated in the regulation of herpesvirus latency, the

interplay between viral determinants and their regulation by host mechanisms to

terminate activity is less well appreciated, particularly for HCMV. The expression of

HSV-1 ICP0, a critical protein for reactivation and replication, is suppressed by the host

neuronal microRNA-138 to facilitate latency (97, 98). Moreover, ICP0 was recently

shown to be regulated by the host E3 ligase, TRIM23, which is in turn, countered by the

HSV-1 late protein, $\gamma_1 34.5$ (99). While speculative, TRIM23 may moderate ICP0 protein

levels to suppress reactivation, where $\gamma_1 34.5$ ensures the commitment to replication by

blocking TRIM23-mediated turnover of ICP0. Further, the E3 ubiquitin ligase, MDM2,

restricts levels of the Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus (KSHV) transactivator,

RTA/ORF50, acting as pro-viral factor for latency (100). RTA/ORF50 in turn induces

degradation of vFLIP through the host Itch E3 ubiquitin ligase to attenuate vFLIP-driven

NFκB signaling for reactivation (101, 102). Coopting and regulating host E3 ligases to

target viral proteins for latency allows infections to respond quickly to host cues (e.g.,

prior to changes requiring transcription of genes and translation of proteins) for

reactivation. Further, it will be important to determine if UL136p33 feeds back to

regulate *IDOL* expression based on the observation that stabilization of UL136p33

represses *IDOL* expression in undifferentiated THP-1 cells (Fig. 5A). It will be important

to further define the mechanisms by which UL136p33 interacts with host pathways to

impact viral gene expression and promote context-dependent replication and

reactivation.

The evolution of viruses to depend on host factor-mediated turnover of critical viral

determinants is shared across highly divergent systems. Bacteriophages establish a

dormant lysogenic state to cope with their variable and unpredictable environment

(103). Bacteriophage λ lysogeny depends on the competition between repressors and

activators of gene expression that serve as a gatekeeper for the lytic pathways (104,

105). As a primary example, competitive binding of the lysogeny determinant CI and the

immediate early gene Cro at regulatory operator elements puts CI-Cro at the heart of a

bistable switch controlling lysogenic-lytic decisions. Another determinant, CII, is

expressed with later kinetics and is also critical to the commitment to lysogeny (106,

107). CII promotes expression of CI while it represses expression of the anti-terminator

Q, that must accumulate to a threshold to drive lytic gene expression. Critical effectors

in bacteriophage lysogeny, such as CI and CII, are susceptible to destruction by host

proteases that are induced in response to stresses, such as DNA damage (108, 109).

Similar to the model emerging for UL136-IDOL interaction in regulating a determinant of

reactivation for latency, the decision to establish lysogeny depends on the accumulation

of lysogenic effectors and is responsive to host determinants regulated by

environmental cues. Further, while CI is robust, the expression of CII, like UL136, is

sensitive to genome copy number or other fluctuations in the system, providing

additional checkpoints in regulating the decision.

With respect to the system emerging for HCMV, the *UL133-UL138* locus coordinates

the expression of multiple genes impacting infection fate decisions. While UL135 and

UL138 are not known to directly regulate gene expression, their opposing impact on

host EGFR/PI3K signaling (11, 18, 20) has parallels to the Cro-CI bistable genetic

switch. Further, UL136p33 is expressed with later kinetics than the UL135/UL138

determinants, and robust UL136p33 expression is dependent on crossing a threshold of

commitment to viral DNA synthesis (22). In addition, UL136p33 is remarkably unstable

compared to other *UL133-UL138* genes and other UL136 isoforms, and, as we have

shown here, its instability is dependent upon a host E3 ligase regulated by

differentiation-linked changes in IDOL expression. Although the UL136p33 protein is

undetectable in THP-1 monocytes or hematopoietic cells, KO of *IDOL* increases

expression of genes critical for replication (e.g., IEs and UL135) and stabilization of

UL136p33 results in a virus that replicates in the absence of a stimulus for reactivation

in vitro (Fig. 2D), but also in a humanized mouse model of latency (37). While UL135 is

critical for overcoming the repressive effects of UL138 for successful reactivation, we

assert that the subsequent accumulation of UL136p33 beyond a threshold is also

important for commitment to the replicative state (Fig. 7). It is important to understand

the relationship between UL135 and UL136p33 in the commitment to HCMV

reactivation (37) since as both are required for reactivation and how latency-promoting

UL136p23/19 isoforms (21) may contribute to the establishment of latency or counter

the progression towards reactivation to maintain a latent infection. This is a particularly

intriguing question as the stabilization of UL136p33 not only affects levels of IDOL

mRNA, but also affects levels of other UL136 isoforms (Fig. 2B). Finally, these findings

indicate that the LXR-IDOL axis may be a potential target for controlling HCMV

reactivation clinically.

Methods

Yeast two-hybrid screen

A yeast screen for human cellular interacting proteins of pUL136 was performed using the

Matchmaker Gold Yeast Two-Hybrid System (Clontech) and the Mate and Plate Universal

Human Library (Clontech). This yeast two-hybrid universal library was constructed from human

cDNA that has been normalized to remove high copy number cDNAs (overrepresented

transcripts) to facilitate the discovery of low copy number novel protein-protein interactions. All

protocols were carried out according to the manufacturer's guidelines. Yeast dual transformation

and yeast mating was performed according to established protocols from Clontech. Yeast prey

vectors were isolated from Y187 yeast and subsequently transformed into DH10B *E. coli*. After

selection for *E coli* containing yeast prey vectors, the plasmids were isolated and sequenced to

identify interacting partners. Candidate interactors are listed on SI Table 1.

Cells and viruses

Human primary embryonic fibroblasts (MRC-5; ATCC) and human kidney embryonic

293T (HEK 293T; ATCC) cells were maintained in Dulbecco's Essential Media (DMEM).

The DMEM was supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 10 mM HEPES, 1

mM sodium pyruvate, 2 mM l-alanyl-glutamine, 0.1 mM nonessential amino acids, 100

U/ml penicillin, and 100 µg/ml streptomycin. CD34+ hematopoietic progenitor cells

(HPCs) were isolated from de-identified medical waste following bone marrow isolations

from healthy donors for clinical procedures at the Banner-University Medical Center at

the University of Arizona (58). THP-1 cells were maintained in RPMI1640 (Gibco)

supplemented with 10% FBS, 1 mM sodium pyruvate, 0.1 mM β-mercapto-ethanol

(Sigma).

The wild type TB40E/05 strain expressing GFP as a marker for infection (110) was

engineered to fuse the myc epitope tag in frame at the 3' end of *UL136* (*UL136$_{myc}$* virus)

using BAC recombineering as described previously (22). The *UL136$_{myc}$ΔK➔R* virus was

constructed following methods previously described (22). For generation of

UL136$_{myc}$ΔK➔R, *UL136$_{myc}$ΔK➔R* pGEM-T Easy construct was created by site-directed

Phusion mutagenesis according to the manufacturer's instruction (New England

Biolabs). Inverse PCR was used to mutagenize lysines 4, 20, 25, and 113 to arginine

using the primers: 5'-GACGTTGGAAATAGATGGCGGCGTCGAAGGCCCTGAGTCGC-

3' (forward); CAAGTCCCACGTCATTTCTGGCATCTCCACGCCCCTGACTGACAT-3'

(reverse) for the first 3 lysine residues in the N-terminal region and 4th lysine was

mutagenized to arginine with the forward primer 5'-

ACGAGCGGCAGAGAAGCAGACGGC-3', and reverse primer

GGTGCCGACGGCACCTCTCAGGATAATG-3'. Following verification by Sanger

sequencing, a region of the *UL136K-Rmyc* pGEM-T Easy was PCR amplified from bp

854 of UL135 [UL135(bp854)] to UL138 (bp139). This PCR product was gel purified and

recombined with a ΔUL136<GalK> BAC as previously described (22). BAC integrity was

tested by enzyme digest fragment analysis and sequencing of the UL133/8 viral

genomic region. All BAC genomes were maintained in Escherichia coli SW102, and

viral stocks were propagated by transfecting 15 to 20 µg of each BAC genome, along

with 2 µg of a plasmid encoding UL82 (pp71), into 5 × 106 MRC-5 fibroblasts and

subsequently purified and stored as previously described (22).

Immunoblotting

Cells were washed twice in PBS (Gibco) and lifted from cell culture vessels with 0.25X trypsin

(Gibco). Cells were washed in cold PBS, pelleted at 1000 xg for 2 minutes followed by storage

at -80 ^{o}C before lysis in RIPA buffer. Total protein was quantified using a BCA assay and 50 µg

of each sample was prepared for loading onto 12% precast PAGE gels (GenScript). Wet

transfers were performed onto 0.45 uM pore-sized PVDF membranes (Immobilon). Blocking

was achieved by 5% skimmed milk in 1X TBST, or 5% BSA in 1X TBST. Primary and

secondary antibodies used for western blot analyses are indicated in Table 1.

Table 1. Antibodies used in this study

Antibodies		
Rabbit monoclonal anti-ApoER-2	Abcam	Cat#ab108208
Rabbit polyclonal anti-FLAG tag	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#F7425
Rabbit monoclonal anti-GAPDH (clone D16H11)	Cell Signaling Technology	Cat#5174
Rabbit polyclonal anti-HA tag	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#H6908
Mouse HDAC1 (clone 10E2)	Santa Cruz Biotech	Cat#sc-81598
IE1/2 (3H4)	Princeton University/Dr. Tom Shenk	N/A
Rabbit monoclonal anti-Myc tag (clone 71D10)	Cell Signaling Technology	Cat#2278
Tubulin (clone DM1A)		Cat#T6199
UL135	Open Biosystems	Custom antibody
Goat anti-mouse secondary antibody (IR Dye 680)	LI-COR Biosciences	Cat#926-68070
Goat anti-rabbit secondary antibody (IR Dye 800)	LI-COR Biosciences	Cat#926-32211

RT-qPCR assays

Cells were washed twice in cold PBS and lysed in 400 µl of RNA/DNA lysis buffer

(Zymo Research). Total cellular RNA was extracted according to the manufacturer's

protocol for Quick-DNA/RNA minipreps. cDNA was synthesized from 1 µg of DNAse-

treated RNA using the Vilo cDNA synthesis kit (Invitrogen, Thermo Fisher Scientific).

qPCR reactions were prepared with *IDOL* TaqMan assay (ID Hs00982312_m1;

ThermoFisher Scientific), Platinum^{TM} Quantitative PCR SuperMix-UDG w/ROX

(ThermoFisher Scientific) and 50 ng cDNA. qPCR reactions were run in a QuantStudio

3 real time PCR machine (Applied Biosystems). Gene expression was normalized to

GAPDH (Hs01922876_u1) or H6PD (Hs00188728_m1) and the ΔΔCT method was

used to calculate relative gene expression levels (111, 112). Alternatively, cDNA was

quantified using LightCycler SYBR Mix kit (Roche) and corresponding primers to IDOL

(BIORAD PrimePCR^{TM} Primers) and H6PD (Forward: 5'-

GGACCATTACTTAGGCAAGCA-3' Reverse: 5'-CACGGTCTCTTTCATGATGATCT-3').

Assays performed on Light Cycler 480 and corresponding software. Relative expression

was determined using the ΔΔCT method normalized to H6PD.

Co-immunoprecipitation

Cells were washed twice with PBS and trypsinized. The PBS-washed cell pellet was

lysed on ice for 30 minutes in a lysis buffer made of 100 mM Tris/HCl (pH 7.4), 100 mM

NaCl, 50 mM NaFl, and 0.5% NP-40. The complete protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche)

was added to the lysis buffer according to manufacturer recommendations. Lysates

were clarified by centrifugation at 3000 x g for 5 minutes at 4 ^{o}C. A milligram of the

lysate was incubated with Pierce anti-myc or anti-HA magnetic beads for 1 h at 4 ^{o}C.

The beads were washed 3 times and protein eluted according to manufacturer's

protocol.

CRISPR/CAS9-mediated knock out of *IDOL*

Guide RNAs (gRNAs) used to knock out IDOL were designed using online gRNA design

tools at atum.bio and synthego.com. Sequences of the gRNAs are shown in Table 2.

The gRNAs were cloned into lentiCRISPR v2 (113). Lentiviruses to deliver the gRNAs

were generated by co-transfecting the lentiCRISPR v2 constructs with pDMG.2 and

psPAX2 in HEK 293T cells. MRC-5 and THP-1 cells were transduced at a MOI of 3 and

10, respectively. Transduced cells were selected with 2 µg/ml puromycin for 2 days.

Validation of knock-out was done by blotting for ApoER-2, Sanger sequencing or

quantifying levels of IDOL by ELISA. The Synthego gRNA design algorithm

(synthego.com) indicates potential off-target genes for the gRNAs and we selected

HDAC1 to evaluate potential off-target effects by western blot analyses.

Table 2. gRNAs used to KO *IDOL* and *luciferase (Luc)* gene (from *Photinus pyralis*)

Gene	Position	Forward sequence	Reverse sequence
IDOL	16,145,148	CACCG**GCGCCGTGATGATGCAGUAU**[a]	AAACAUACUGCAUCAUCACGGCGCC
IDOL	16,143,526	CACCG**CCUUUUUGUUUUAGGUGUGC**	AAACGUUUCUCAGGUUUAGCCAUAC
IDOL	16,143,613	CACCG**UAUGGCUAAACCUGAGAAAC**	AAACGUUUCUCAGGUUUAGCCAUAC
Luc	1355	CACCG**ACAACUUUACCGACCGCGCC**	AAAC**GGCGCGGUCGGUAAAGUUGU**

[a]gRNA sequence is in bold text, and the preceding sequence was used to facilitate cloning onto

the lentiCRISPR v2 vector.

IDOL ELISA

We used a sandwich ELISA assay (Cusabio) to quantify IDOL protein levels in THP-1

cells. Cells were washed in cold PBS and resuspended at 10^8 cells/mL followed by

freezing at -20 $^{\circ}$C. Following 3 freeze-thaw cycles, IDOL was quantified according to the

manufacturer's protocol. Optical densities were determined using a Clariostar plate

reader (BMG Labtech) and sample values were interpolated from a standard curve in

GraphPad Prism v9.

Cholesterol assay

Fibroblasts were seeded at 3 x 10^5 cells per well in 6-well dishes and incubated

overnight. Infections were done at a MOI of 1 for 1 h and the inoculum was removed

followed by washing cells twice with PBS. Infected cells were incubated in serum-free

media and 50 000 cells were harvested at appropriate time points. Samples were

prepared using a cholesterol Glo bioluminescent assay (Promega) according to the

manufacturer's instructions. Relative light units were measured using a Clariostar Plus

plate reader (BMG Labtech) and sample values were extrapolated from a standard

curve in GraphPad Prism v9.

Latency assays

Latency assays in CD34+ HPCs were carried out as described previously (58). IDOL expression

was induced prior to reactivation by treatment with 1 µM GW3965. Infections for latency in THP-

1 cells were done as described previously (52)

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by the National Institutes of Allergy and Infectious Diseases/

National Institutes of Health (NIAID/NIH) grants AI079059 and AI131598 to FG. KZ was

supported by a training grant (T32 AG058503) from the National Institute of Aging. We

are grateful for the support of the Flow Cytometry and Human Immune Monitoring

Shared Resource, supported through the Cancer Center Support Grant P30 CA023074

awarded to University of Arizona. We appreciate technical assistance from Lincoln Gay.

We thank Drs. James Alwine (University of Pennsylvania), Lynn Enquist (Princeton

University), Jean Wilson (University of Arizona), and John Purdy (University of Arizona)

for critical discussions on this work.

References

1. Goodrum F. 2022. The complex biology of human cytomegalovirus latency and reactivation. *In* M. Kielian TCM, M. J. Roossinck (ed), Advances in Virus Research, 1 ed, vol 112. Academic Press.
2. Goodrum F, Britt W, Mocarski ES. 2022. Cytomegalovirus. *In* Howley PM, Knipe DM, Cohen JL, Damania BA (ed), Fields Virology: DNA Viruses, 7th ed, vol 2. Wolters Kluwer.
3. Hahn G, Jores R, Mocarski ES. 1998. Cytomegalovirus remains latent in a common precursor of dendritic and myeloid cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 95:3937-42.
4. Min C-K, Shakya AK, Lee B-J, Streblow DN, Caposio P, Yurochko AD. 2020. The Differentiation of Human Cytomegalovirus Infected-Monocytes Is Required for Viral Replication. 10.
5. Reeves MB, MacAry PA, Lehner PJ, Sissons JGP, Sinclair JH. 2005. Latency, chromatin remodeling, and reactivation of human cytomegalovirus in the dendritic cells of healthy carriers. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 102:4140.
6. Reeves MB, Sinclair JH. 2013. Circulating dendritic cells isolated from healthy seropositive donors are sites of human cytomegalovirus reactivation in vivo. J Virol 87:10660-7.
7. Taylor-Wiedeman J, Sissons JGP, Borysiewicz LK, Sinclair JH. 1991. Monocytes are a major site of persistence of human cytomegalovirus in peripheral blood mononuclear cells. 72:2059-2064.
8. Forte E, Swaminathan S, Schroeder MW, Kim JY, Terhune SS, Hummel M. 2018. Tumor Necrosis Factor Alpha Induces Reactivation of Human Cytomegalovirus Independently of Myeloid Cell Differentiation following Posttranscriptional Establishment of Latency. mBio 9:e01560-18.
9. Söderberg-Nauclér C, Fish KN, Nelson JA. 1997. Reactivation of Latent Human Cytomegalovirus by Allogeneic Stimulation of Blood Cells from Healthy Donors. Cell 91:119-126.
10. Reeves MB, Compton T. 2011. Inhibition of inflammatory interleukin-6 activity via extracellular signal-regulated kinase-mitogen-activated protein kinase signaling antagonizes human cytomegalovirus reactivation from dendritic cells. J Virol 85:12750-8.
11. Buehler J, Zeltzer S, Reitsma J, Petrucelli A, Umashankar M, Rak M, Zagallo P, Schroeder J, Terhune S, Goodrum F. 2016. Opposing Regulation of the EGF Receptor: A Molecular Switch Controlling Cytomegalovirus Latency and Replication. PLOS Pathogens 12:e1005655.
12. Weekes MP, Tan SYL, Poole E, Talbot S, Antrobus R, Smith DL, Montag C, Gygi SP, Sinclair JH, Lehner PJ. 2013. Latency-Associated Degradation of the MRP1 Drug Transporter During Latent Human Cytomegalovirus Infection. Science 340:199.
13. Le VTK, Trilling M, Hengel H. 2011. The Cytomegaloviral Protein pUL138 Acts as Potentiator of Tumor Necrosis Factor (TNF) Receptor 1 Surface Density To Enhance ULb'-Encoded Modulation of TNF-α Signaling. Journal of Virology 85:13260.
14. Montag C, Wagner JA, Gruska I, Vetter B, Wiebusch L, Hagemeier C. 2011. The Latency-Associated UL138 Gene Product of Human Cytomegalovirus Sensitizes Cells to Tumor Necrosis Factor Alpha (TNF-α) Signaling by Upregulating TNF-α Receptor 1 Cell Surface Expression. 85:11409-11421.
15. Albright ER, Mickelson CK, Kalejta RF. 2021. Human Cytomegalovirus UL138 Protein Inhibits the STING Pathway and Reduces Interferon Beta mRNA Accumulation during Lytic and Latent Infections. mBio 12:e0226721-e0226721.
16. Zarrella K, Longmire P, Zeltzer S, Collins-McMillen D, Hancock M, Buehler J, Reitsma JM, Terhune SS, Nelson JA, Goodrum F. 2023. Human Cytomegalovirus UL138 Interaction with USP1 Activates STAT1 in infection. bioRxiv doi:10.1101/2023.02.07.527452.
17. Lee SH, Albright ER, Lee J-H, Jacobs D, Kalejta RF. 2015. Cellular defense against latent colonization foiled by human cytomegalovirus UL138 protein. Science advances 1:e1501164-e1501164.

18. Umashankar M, Rak M, Bughio F, Zagallo P, Caviness K, Goodrum FD. 2014. Antagonistic
Determinants Controlling Replicative and Latent States of Human Cytomegalovirus Infection.
Journal of Virology 88:5987.
19. Rak MA, Buehler J, Zeltzer S, Reitsma J, Molina B, Terhune S, Goodrum F. 2018. Human
Cytomegalovirus UL135 Interacts with Host Adaptor Proteins To Regulate Epidermal Growth
Factor Receptor and Reactivation from Latency. 92:e00919-18.
20. Buehler J, Carpenter E, Zeltzer S, Igarashi S, Rak M, Mikell I, Nelson JA, Goodrum F. 2019. Host
signaling and EGR1 transcriptional control of human cytomegalovirus replication and latency.
PLOS Pathogens 15:e1008037.
21. Caviness K, Bughio F, Crawford LB, Streblow DN, Nelson JA, Caposio P, Goodrum F. 2016.
Complex Interplay of the UL136 Isoforms Balances Cytomegalovirus
Replication and Latency. mBio 7:e01986-15.
22. Caviness K, Cicchini L, Rak M, Umashankar M, Goodrum F. 2014. Complex expression of the
UL136 gene of human cytomegalovirus results in multiple protein isoforms with unique roles in
replication. J Virol 88:14412-25.
23. Mikell I, Crawford LB, Hancock MH, Mitchell J, Buehler J, Goodrum F, Nelson JA. 2019. HCMV
miR-US22 down-regulation of EGR-1 regulates CD34+ hematopoietic progenitor cell
proliferation and viral reactivation. PLoS Pathog 15:e1007854.
24. Min IM, Pietramaggiori G, Kim FS, Passegué E, Stevenson KE, Wagers AJ. 2008. The Transcription
Factor EGR1 Controls Both the Proliferation and Localization of Hematopoietic Stem Cells. Cell
Stem Cell 2:380-391.
25. Collins-McMillen D, Kamil J, Moorman N, Goodrum F. 2020. Control of Immediate Early Gene
Expression for Human Cytomegalovirus Reactivation. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 10:476.
26. Krishna BA, Wass AB, O'Connor CM. 2020. Activator protein-1 transactivation of the major
immediate early locus is a determinant of cytomegalovirus reactivation from latency. Proc Natl
Acad Sci U S A 117:20860-20867.
27. Hale AE, Collins-McMillen D, Lenarcic EM, Igarashi S, Kamil JP, Goodrum F, Moorman NJ. 2020.
FOXO transcription factors activate alternative major immediate early promoters to induce
human cytomegalovirus reactivation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 117:18764-18770.
28. Kew VG, Yuan J, Meier J, Reeves MB. 2014. Mitogen and stress activated kinases act co-
operatively with CREB during the induction of human cytomegalovirus immediate-early gene
expression from latency. PLoS Pathog 10:e1004195.
29. Crawford LB, Kim JH, Collins-McMillen D, Lee BJ, Landais I, Held C, Nelson JA, Yurochko AD,
Caposio P. 2018. Human Cytomegalovirus Encodes a Novel FLT3 Receptor Ligand Necessary for
Hematopoietic Cell Differentiation and Viral Reactivation. MBio 9.
30. Hancock MH, Crawford LB, Perez W, Struthers HM, Mitchell J, Caposio P. 2021. Human
Cytomegalovirus UL7, miR-US5-1, and miR-UL112-3p Inactivation of FOXO3a Protects CD34(+)
Hematopoietic Progenitor Cells from Apoptosis. mSphere 6.
31. Scotti E, Hong C, Yoshinaga Y, Tu Y, Hu Y, Zelcer N, Boyadjian R, de Jong PJ, Young SG, Fong LG,
Tontonoz P. 2011. Targeted disruption of the idol gene alters cellular regulation of the low-
density lipoprotein receptor by sterols and liver x receptor agonists. Molecular and cellular
biology 31:1885-1893.
32. de Bruin RG, Shiue L, Prins J, de Boer HC, Singh A, Fagg WS, van Gils JM, Duijs JMGJ, Katzman S,
Kraaijeveld AO, Böhringer S, Leung WY, Kielbasa SM, Donahue JP, van der Zande PHJ, Sijbom R,
van Alem CMA, Bot I, van Kooten C, Jukema JW, Van Esch H, Rabelink TJ, Kazan H, Biessen EAL,
Ares Jr M, van Zonneveld AJ, van der Veer EP. 2016. Quaking promotes monocyte differentiation
into pro-atherogenic macrophages by controlling pre-mRNA splicing and gene expression.
Nature Communications 7:10846.

33.   Liu Y, Tingart M, Lecouturier S, Li J, Eschweiler J. 2021. Identification of co-expression network
correlated with different periods of adipogenic and osteogenic differentiation of BMSCs by
weighted gene co-expression network analysis (WGCNA). BMC Genomics 22:254.

34.   Scotti E, Calamai M, Goulbourne CN, Zhang L, Hong C, Lin RR, Choi J, Pilch PF, Fong LG, Zou P,
Ting AY, Pavone FS, Young SG, Tontonoz P. 2013. IDOL stimulates clathrin-independent
endocytosis and multivesicular body-mediated lysosomal degradation of the low-density
lipoprotein receptor. Molecular and cellular biology 33:1503-1514.

35.   Hong C, Duit S, Jalonen P, Out R, Scheer L, Sorrentino V, Boyadjian R, Rodenburg KW, Foley E,
Korhonen L, Lindholm D, Nimpf J, van Berkel TJC, Tontonoz P, Zelcer N. 2010. The E3 ubiquitin
ligase IDOL induces the degradation of the low density lipoprotein receptor family members
VLDLR and ApoER2. The Journal of biological chemistry 285:19720-19726.

36.   Zelcer N, Hong C, Boyadjian R, Tontonoz P. 2009. LXR regulates cholesterol uptake through Idol-
dependent ubiquitination of the LDL receptor. Science 325:100-4.

37.   Moy MA, Collins-McMillen D, Crawford L, Parkins C, Zeltzer S, Caviness K, Capozio P, Goodrum F.
2023. UL135 and UL136 Epistasis Controls Reactivation of Human Cytomegalovirus. In revision,
Journal of Virology BIORXIV/2023/525282.

38.   Glickman MH, Ciechanover A. 2002. The ubiquitin-proteasome proteolytic pathway: destruction
for the sake of construction. Physiol Rev 82:373-428.

39.   Pickart CM. 2004. Back to the future with ubiquitin. Cell 116:181-90.

40.   Zhang J, Liu Q. 2015. Cholesterol metabolism and homeostasis in the brain. Protein & cell 6:254-
264.

41.   Zhang L, Reue K, Fong LG, Young SG, Tontonoz P. 2012. Feedback regulation of cholesterol
uptake by the LXR-IDOL-LDLR axis. Arteriosclerosis, thrombosis, and vascular biology 32:2541-
2546.

42.   Gao J, Marosi M, Choi J, Achiro JM, Kim S, Li S, Otis K, Martin KC, Portera-Cailliau C, Tontonoz P.
2017. The E3 ubiquitin ligase IDOL regulates synaptic ApoER2 levels and is important for
plasticity and learning. eLife 6:e29178.

43.   Nelson JK, Cook ECL, Loregger A, Hoeksema MA, Scheij S, Kovacevic I, Hordijk PL, Ovaa H, Zelcer
N. 2016. Deubiquitylase Inhibition Reveals Liver X Receptor-independent Transcriptional
Regulation of the E3 Ubiquitin Ligase IDOL and Lipoprotein Uptake. The Journal of biological
chemistry 291:4813-4825.

44.   Anonymous. 2004. Cytomegalovirus. Am J Transplant 4 Suppl 10:51-8.

45.   Du Y, Zhang G, Liu Z. 2018. Human cytomegalovirus infection and coronary heart disease: a
systematic review. Virology journal 15:31-31.

46.   Gudleski-O'Regan N, Greco TM, Cristea IM, Shenk T. 2012. Increased expression of LDL receptor-
related protein 1 during human cytomegalovirus infection reduces virion cholesterol and
infectivity. Cell Host Microbe 12:86-96.

47.   Xi Y, Harwood S, Wise LM, Purdy JG. 2019. Human Cytomegalovirus pUL37x1 Is Important for
Remodeling of Host Lipid Metabolism. J Virol 93.

48.   Xi Y, Lindenmayer L, Kline I, von Einem J, Purdy JG. 2021. Human Cytomegalovirus Uses a Host
Stress Response To Balance the Elongation of Saturated/Monounsaturated and Polyunsaturated
Very-Long-Chain Fatty Acids. mBio 12.

49.   Yu Y, Maguire TG, Alwine JC. 2012. Human cytomegalovirus infection induces adipocyte-like
lipogenesis through activation of sterol regulatory element binding protein 1. J Virol 86:2942-9.

50.   Yu Y, Pierciey FJ, Jr., Maguire TG, Alwine JC. 2013. PKR-like endoplasmic reticulum kinase is
necessary for lipogenic activation during HCMV infection. PLoS Pathog 9:e1003266.

51. Collins-McMillen D, Rak M, Buehler JC, Igarashi-Hayes S, Kamil JP, Moorman NJ, Goodrum F.
2019. Alternative promoters drive human cytomegalovirus reactivation from latency. Proc Natl
Acad Sci U S A 116:17492-17497.
52. Collins-McMillen D, Rak M, Buehler JC, Igarashi-Hayes S, Kamil JP, Moorman NJ, Goodrum F.
2019. Alternative promoters drive human cytomegalovirus reactivation from latency.
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 116:17492.
53. Arcangeletti M-C, Vasile Simone R, Rodighiero I, De Conto F, Medici M-C, Maccari C, Chezzi C,
Calderaro A. 2016. Human cytomegalovirus reactivation from latency: validation of a "switch"
model in vitro. Virology journal 13:179-179.
54. Yee L-F, Lin PL, Stinski MF. 2007. Ectopic expression of HCMV IE72 and IE86 proteins is sufficient
to induce early gene expression but not production of infectious virus in undifferentiated
promonocytic THP-1 cells. Virology 363:174-188.
55. Weinshenker BG, Wilton S, Rice GP. 1988. Phorbol ester-induced differentiation permits
productive human cytomegalovirus infection in a monocytic cell line. J Immunol 140:1625-31.
56. Wagenknecht N, Reuter N, Scherer M, Reichel A, Muller R, Stamminger T. 2015. Contribution of
the Major ND10 Proteins PML, hDaxx and Sp100 to the Regulation of Human Cytomegalovirus
Latency and Lytic Replication in the Monocytic Cell Line THP-1. Viruses 7:2884-907.
57. Lee CH, Lee GC, Chan YJ, Chiou CJ, Ahn JH, Hayward GS. 1999. Factors affecting human
cytomegalovirus gene expression in human monocyte cell lines. Mol Cells 9:37-44.
58. Peppenelli M, Buehler J, Goodrum F. 2021. Human Hematopoietic Long-Term Culture (hLTC) for
Human Cytomegalovirus Latency and Reactivation. Methods Mol Biol 2244:83-101.
59. Ramirez RN, El-Ali NC, Mager MA, Wyman D, Conesa A, Mortazavi A. 2017. Dynamic Gene
Regulatory Networks of Human Myeloid Differentiation. Cell Syst 4:416-429.e3.
60. Martinez FO, Gordon S, Locati M, Mantovani A. 2006. Transcriptional Profiling of the Human
Monocyte-to-Macrophage Differentiation and Polarization: New Molecules and Patterns of
Gene Expression. The Journal of Immunology 177:7303.
61. Fagerberg B, Gnarpe J, Gnarpe H, Agewall S, Wikstrand J. 1999. Chlamydia pneumoniae but not
cytomegalovirus antibodies are associated with future risk of stroke and cardiovascular disease:
a prospective study in middle-aged to elderly men with treated hypertension. Stroke 30:299-
305.
62. Pizzorno MC, Hayward GS. 1990. The IE2 gene products of human cytomegalovirus specifically
down-regulate expression from the major immediate-early promoter through a target located
near the cap site. J Virol 64:6154-6165.
63. Cherrington JM, Khoury EL, Mocarski ES. 1991. Human cytomegalovirus ie2 negatively regulates
alpha gene expression via a short target sequence near the transcription start site. J Virol
65:887-96.
64. Liu B, Hermiston TW, Stinski MF. 1991. A cis-acting element in the major immediate early (IE)
promoter of human cytomegalovirus is required for negative regulation by IE2. J Virol 65:897-
903.
65. Macias MP, Stinski MF. 1993. An in vitro system for human cytomegalovirus immediate early 2
protein (IE2)-mediated site-dependent repression of transcription and direct binding of IE2 to
the major immediate early promoter. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 90:707-11.
66. Caviness K, Bughio F, Crawford LB, Streblow DN, Nelson JA, Caposio P, Goodrum F. 2016.
Complex Interplay of the UL136 Isoforms Balances Cytomegalovirus Replication and Latency.
mBio 7:e01986.
67. Lee SD, Tontonoz P. 2015. Liver X receptors at the intersection of lipid metabolism and
atherogenesis. Atherosclerosis 242:29-36.

68. Janowski BA, Grogan MJ, Jones SA, Wisely GB, Kliewer SA, Corey EJ, Mangelsdorf DJ. 1999.
Structural requirements of ligands for the oxysterol liver X receptors LXRalpha and LXRbeta. Proc
Natl Acad Sci U S A 96:266-71.
69. Janowski BA, Willy PJ, Devi TR, Falck JR, Mangelsdorf DJ. 1996. An oxysterol signalling pathway
mediated by the nuclear receptor LXRα. Nature 383:728-731.
70. Peet DJ, Janowski BA, Mangelsdorf DJ. 1998. The LXRs: a new class of oxysterol receptors.
Current Opinion in Genetics & Development 8:571-575.
71. Fagerberg L, Hallström BM, Oksvold P, Kampf C, Djureinovic D, Odeberg J, Habuka M,
Tahmasebpoor S, Danielsson A, Edlund K, Asplund A, Sjöstedt E, Lundberg E, Szigyarto CA, Skogs
M, Takanen JO, Berling H, Tegel H, Mulder J, Nilsson P, Schwenk JM, Lindskog C, Danielsson F,
Mardinoglu A, Sivertsson A, von Feilitzen K, Forsberg M, Zwahlen M, Olsson I, Navani S, Huss M,
Nielsen J, Ponten F, Uhlén M. 2014. Analysis of the human tissue-specific expression by genome-
wide integration of transcriptomics and antibody-based proteomics. Mol Cell Proteomics
13:397-406.
72. Fernandez-Ruiz I, Puchalska P, Narasimhulu CA, Sengupta B, Parthasarathy S. 2016. Differential
lipid metabolism in monocytes and macrophages: influence of cholesterol loading[S]. Journal of
Lipid Research 57:574-586.
73. Cimato TR, Palka BA, Lang JK, Young RF. 2013. LDL Cholesterol Modulates Human CD34+ HSPCs
through Effects on Proliferation and the IL-17 G-CSF Axis. PLOS ONE 8:e73861.
74. Morgan PK, Fang L, Lancaster GI, Murphy AJ. 2020. Hematopoiesis is regulated by cholesterol
efflux pathways and lipid rafts: connections with cardiovascular diseases: Thematic Review
Series: Biology of Lipid Rafts. Journal of Lipid Research 61:667-675.
75. Soehnlein O, Swirski FK. 2013. Hypercholesterolemia links hematopoiesis with atherosclerosis.
Trends Endocrinol Metab 24:129-36.
76. Radley JM, Ellis S, Palatsides M, Williams B, Bertoncello I. 1999. Ultrastructure of primitive
hematopoietic stem cells isolated using probes of functional status. Exp Hematol 27:365-9.
77. Shariatmadar S, Sharma S, Cabana R, Powell S, Ruiz P, Krishan A. 2008. Electronic volume of
CD34 positive cells from peripheral blood apheresis samples. Cytometry B Clin Cytom 74:182-8.
78. Vacanti MP, Roy A, Cortiella J, Bonassar L, Vacanti CA. 2001. Identification and initial
characterization of spore-like cells in adult mammals. J Cell Biochem 80:455-60.
79. Calkin AC, Goult BT, Zhang L, Fairall L, Hong C, Schwabe JWR, Tontonoz P. 2011. FERM-
dependent E3 ligase recognition is a conserved mechanism for targeted degradation of
lipoprotein receptors. 108:20107-20112.
80. Liu B, Ma Y, Huang Y, Liu Z, Ruan Q, Qi Y. 2022. Inhibition of Human Cytomegalovirus Particle
Maturation by Activation of Liver X Receptor. Frontiers in microbiology 13:846386-846386.
81. Wang Q, Ma X, Chen Y, Zhang L, Jiang M, Li X, Xiang R, Miao R, Hajjar David P, Duan Y, Han J.
2014. Identification of interferon-γ as a new molecular target of liver X receptor. Biochemical
Journal 459:345-354.
82. Sainz B, LaMarca HL, Garry RF, Morris CA. 2005. Synergistic inhibition of human cytomegalovirus
replication by interferon-alpha/beta and interferon-gamma. Virology Journal 2:14.
83. Kropp KA, Robertson KA, Sing G, Rodriguez-Martin S, Blanc M, Lacaze P, Hassim MFBN,
Khondoker MR, Busche A, Dickinson P, Forster T, Strobl B, Mueller M, Jonjic S, Angulo A, Ghazal
P. 2011. Reversible inhibition of murine cytomegalovirus replication by gamma interferon (IFN-
γ) in primary macrophages involves a primed type I IFN-signaling subnetwork for full
establishment of an immediate-early antiviral state. Journal of virology 85:10286-10299.
84. Wang Y, Li G-L, Qi Y-L, Li L-Y, Wang L-F, Wang C-R, Niu X-R, Liu T-X, Wang J, Yang G-Y, Zeng L, Chu
B-B. 2022. Pseudorabies Virus Inhibits Expression of Liver X Receptors to Assist Viral Infection.
Viruses 14:514.

85. Lange PT, Schorl C, Sahoo D, Tarakanova VL. 2018. Liver X Receptors Suppress Activity of
Cholesterol and Fatty Acid Synthesis Pathways To Oppose Gammaherpesvirus Replication. mBio
9:e01115-18.
86. Lange PT, Jondle CN, Darrah EJ, Johnson KE, Tarakanova VL. 2019. LXR Alpha Restricts
Gammaherpesvirus Reactivation from Latently Infected Peritoneal Cells. Journal of virology
93:e02071-18.
87. Zeng J, Wu Y, Liao Q, Li L, Chen X, Chen X. 2012. Liver X receptors agonists impede hepatitis C
virus infection in an Idol-dependent manner. Antiviral Res 95:245-56.
88. Bocchetta S, Maillard P, Yamamoto M, Gondeau C, Douam F, Lebreton S, Lagaye S, Pol S, Helle F,
Plengpanich W, Guerin M, Bourgine M, Michel ML, Lavillette D, Roingeard P, le Goff W,
Budkowska A. 2014. Up-regulation of the ATP-binding cassette transporter A1 inhibits hepatitis
C virus infection. PLoS One 9:e92140.
89. Jiang H, Badralmaa Y, Yang J, Lempicki R, Hazen A, Natarajan V. 2012. Retinoic acid and liver X
receptor agonist synergistically inhibit HIV infection in CD4+ T cells by up-regulating ABCA1-
mediated cholesterol efflux. Lipids Health Dis 11:69.
90. Dubrovsky L, Van Duyne R, Senina S, Guendel I, Pushkarsky T, Sviridov D, Kashanchi F, Bukrinsky
M. 2012. Liver X receptor agonist inhibits HIV-1 replication and prevents HIV-induced reduction
of plasma HDL in humanized mouse model of HIV infection. Biochem Biophys Res Commun
419:95-8.
91. Hanley TM, Viglianti GA. 2011. Nuclear receptor signaling inhibits HIV-1 replication in
macrophages through multiple trans-repression mechanisms. J Virol 85:10834-50.
92. Sheng XX, Sun YJ, Zhan Y, Qu YR, Wang HX, Luo M, Liao Y, Qiu XS, Ding C, Fan HJ, Mao X. 2016.
The LXR ligand GW3965 inhibits Newcastle disease virus infection by affecting cholesterol
homeostasis. Arch Virol 161:2491-501.
93. Zeng J, Wu Y, Liao Q, Li L, Chen X, Chen X. 2012. Liver X receptors agonists impede hepatitis C
virus infection in an Idol-dependent manner. Antiviral Research 95:245-256.
94. Syed GH, Tang H, Khan M, Hassanein T, Liu J, Siddiqui A. 2014. Hepatitis C virus stimulates low-
density lipoprotein receptor expression to facilitate viral propagation. Journal of virology
88:2519-2529.
95. Albecka A, Belouzard S, Op de Beeck A, Descamps V, Goueslain L, Bertrand-Michel J, Tercé F,
Duverlie G, Rouillé Y, Dubuisson J. 2012. Role of low-density lipoprotein receptor in the hepatitis
C virus life cycle. Hepatology 55:998-1007.
96. Molina S, Castet V, Fournier-Wirth C, Pichard-Garcia L, Avner R, Harats D, Roitelman J, Barbaras
R, Graber P, Ghersa P, Smolarsky M, Funaro A, Malavasi F, Larrey D, Coste J, Fabre JM, Sa-Cunha
A, Maurel P. 2007. The low-density lipoprotein receptor plays a role in the infection of primary
human hepatocytes by hepatitis C virus. J Hepatol 46:411-9.
97. Sun B, Yang X, Hou F, Yu X, Wang Q, Oh HS, Raja P, Pesola JM, Vanni EAH, McCarron S, Morris-
Love J, Ng AHM, Church GM, Knipe DM, Coen DM, Pan D. 2021. Regulation of host and virus
genes by neuronal miR-138 favours herpes simplex virus 1 latency. Nat Microbiol 6:682-696.
98. Pan D, Flores O, Umbach JL, Pesola JM, Bentley P, Rosato PC, Leib DA, Cullen BR, Coen DM.
2014. A neuron-specific host microRNA targets herpes simplex virus-1 ICP0 expression and
promotes latency. Cell Host Microbe 15:446-56.
99. Liu X, Acharya D, Krawczyk E, Kangas C, Gack MU, He B. 2021. Herpesvirus-mediated
stabilization of ICP0 expression neutralizes restriction by TRIM23. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 118.
100. Chang TH, Wang SS, Chen LW, Shih YJ, Chang LK, Liu ST, Chang PJ. 2016. Regulation of the
Abundance of Kaposi's Sarcoma-Associated Herpesvirus ORF50 Protein by Oncoprotein MDM2.
PLoS Pathog 12:e1005918.

101. Chmura JC, Herold K, Ruffin A, Atuobi T, Fabiyi Y, Mitchell AE, Choi YB, Ehrlich ES. 2017. The Itch
ubiquitin ligase is required for KSHV RTA induced vFLIP degradation. Virology 501:119-126.

102. Ehrlich ES, Chmura JC, Smith JC, Kalu NN, Hayward GS. 2014. KSHV RTA abolishes NFkappaB
responsive gene expression during lytic reactivation by targeting vFLIP for degradation via the
proteasome. PLoS One 9:e91359.

103. Gandon S. 2016. Why Be Temperate: Lessons from Bacteriophage λ. Trends in Microbiology
24:356-365.

104. Atsumi S, Little JW. 2006. Role of the lytic repressor in prophage induction of phage lambda as
analyzed by a module-replacement approach. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences
of the United States of America 103:4558-4563.

105. Schubert RA, Dodd IB, Egan JB, Shearwin KE. 2007. Cro's role in the CI Cro bistable switch is
critical for {lambda}'s transition from lysogeny to lytic development. Genes & development
21:2461-2472.

106. Cortes MG, Trinh JT, Zeng L, Balazsi G. 2017. Late-Arriving Signals Contribute Less to Cell-Fate
Decisions. Biophys J 113:2110-2120.

107. Kobiler O, Rokney A, Oppenheim AB. 2007. Phage lambda CIII: a protease inhibitor regulating
the lysis-lysogeny decision. PLoS One 2:e363.

108. Little JW, Shepley DP, Wert DWJTEj. 1999. Robustness of a gene regulatory circuit. 18:4299-
4307.

109. Hernandez-Doria JD, Sperandio V. 2018. Bacteriophage Transcription Factor Cro Regulates
Virulence Gene Expression in Enterohemorrhagic Escherichia coli. Cell Host & Microbe 23:607-
617.e6.

110. Umashankar M, Petrucelli A, Cicchini L, Caposio P, Kreklywich CN, Rak M, Bughio F, Goldman DC,
Hamlin KL, Nelson JA, Fleming WH, Streblow DN, Goodrum F. 2011. A Novel Human
Cytomegalovirus Locus Modulates Cell Type-Specific Outcomes of Infection. PLOS Pathogens
7:e1002444.

111. Rao X, Huang X, Zhou Z, Lin X. 2013. An improvement of the 2^(-delta delta CT) method for
quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction data analysis. Biostat Bioinforma Biomath
3:71-85.

112. Winer J, Jung CK, Shackel I, Williams PM. 1999. Development and validation of real-time
quantitative reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction for monitoring gene expression in
cardiac myocytes in vitro. Anal Biochem 270:41-9.

113. Sanjana NE, Shalem O, Zhang F. 2014. Improved vectors and genome-wide libraries for CRISPR
screening. Nat Methods 11:783-784.

1074

1075

1076 **Figure legends**

1077

Figure 1. UL136p33 isoform is rapidly turned over by the proteasome. (A)

Schematic depiction of the *UL133-UL138* polycistronic gene locus and UL136 protein

isoform. A C-terminal myc tag is used to detect UL136 isoforms. Red lines represent

lysine residues, and the black box shows the putative transmembrane domain. (B-D)

Fibroblasts were infected with TB40/E-*UL136$_{myc}$* virus at a MOI of 1. (B) At 48 hpi, cells

were treated with 50 µg/ml cycloheximide (CHX) for 6 h. Alternatively, mock- or TB40/E-

infected cells were treated with CHX in the context of proteasomal (MG132) or

lysosomal (NH$_4$Cl) inhibition (right panel). UL136$_{myc}$ protein isoforms or tubulin as a

loading control were detected by immunoblotting using by a monoclonal α-myc antibody

or tubulin, respectively (left panel). (C) Cells were untreated or treated with CHX at 48

hpi and UL136 decay was analyzed over a 6-hour time course by immunoblotting. (D)

Relative quantification of UL136 isoforms normalized to tubulin. HPT, hours post

treatment

1091

Figure 2. Stabilizing UL136p33 results in virus that fails to establish latency. (A)

Fibroblasts were transduced with lentiviruses engineered to express p33$_{myc}$ or p33$_{K \rightarrow R}$

and protein decay was analyzed by immunoblotting following CHX treatment. (B)

Fibroblasts were infected with TB40/E-*UL136$_{myc}$* or -*UL136$_{myc}\Delta K \rightarrow R$* viruses (MOI =1)

and treated with CHX at 48 hpi or left untreated. Protein decay was analyzed as

described in Figure 1C. (C) Fibroblasts were infected at a MOI of 0.02 and samples

collected for TCID$_{50}$ at indicated times. All data points are an average of 3 biological

replicates and error bars represent standard deviation. (D) CD34+ HPCs were infected

with *UL136$_{myc}$* or *UL136$_{myc}\Delta K \rightarrow R$* viruses (MOI=2). Infected cells were purified by FACS

at 1 dpi seeded into long term culture. At 10 days, half of the culture was reactivated by

seeding intact cells by limiting dilution into co-culture with fibroblasts in a cytokine-rich

media to promote myeloid differentiation (reactivation). The other half of the culture was

mechanically lysed and seeded onto fibroblasts as the pre-reactivation control. The

frequency of infectious centers was determined for each sample by extreme limiting

dilution. (C-D) The data represents replicates with cells from 3 independent donors. The

means were compared with two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with a Tukey post

hoc test and the were no significant differences. **, $p<0.01$; ***, $p<0.001$.

1109

Figure 3. The host E3 ligase IDOL specifically targets UL136p33 for turnover. (A)

HEK cells were transiently transfected with plasmids expressing UL136p33, IDOL,

empty vector, or the indicated combinations. UL136p33 or IDOL was

immunoprecipitated from protein lysates using α-myc or α-HA magnetic beads. Protein

was detected by immunoblotting using the antibodies indicated. Lysates were blotted as

a control for expression and GAPDH used as a loading control. (B) HEK cells were

transiently transfected with plasmids expressing $p33_{\Delta K \rightarrow R}$, IDOL, or empty vector.

Proteins were detected by immunoblotting using α-myc or α-HA monoclonal antibodies.

(C) Protein densities of UL136p33 (from panel A) or $p33_{\Delta K \rightarrow R}$ (from panel B) relative to

their loading control. (D) HEK cells were transiently transfected to express UL136p33 or

$p33_{\Delta K \rightarrow R}$. IDOL was induced 48 hours later with 2 µM of LXR-agonists, GW3965 or

HBX41-108, or vehicle (DMSO) for 6 h. Detection of ApoER-2 was used as a proxy for

validating the induction of IDOL. $p33_{myc}$, $p33_{K \rightarrow R}$, and tubulin as a loading control were

detected by immunoblotting using α-myc or α-tubulin. (E-G) HEK 293T cells were

transfected with plasmids expressing (E) IDOL, p25 or empty vector or (F) IDOL, p23 or

empty vector. Proteins detected by immunoblotting using antibodies to FLAG or HA to

detect the indicated proteins. Tubulin or GAPDH were used as loading controls for

normalization. (G) Relative p25 or p23 densities. (H) HEK 293T cells were co-

transfected with plasmids expressing UL136p33, the E3 ligase Cbl or empty vector.

Proteins were detected by immunoblotting using antibodies to the myc epitope tag or

cbl. (I) Relative UL136p33 densities were measured and quantified. All data points

represent the mean of at least 3 independent replicates and the means were compared

by two-way ANOVA with a Tukey post hoc test. Error bars represent standard deviation.

ns, non-significant $p>0.05$; ****, $p<0.0001$.

1134

Figure 4. IDOL expression in HCMV-infected fibroblasts impacts UL136p33

stability. (A) Fibroblasts were mock-infected or infected with $UL136_{myc}$ or

$UL136_{myc}\Delta K\rightarrow R$ viruses at a MOI of 1 and total RNA extracted at indicated time points.

RT-qPCR was used to quantify relative $IDOL$ transcripts using the $\Delta\Delta CT$ method. $IDOL$

transcripts were normalized to GAPDH and compared to expression levels in mock

samples at 1 dpi. (B) Fibroblasts were infected with TB40/E at a MOI of 1 followed by

incubation in serum-free media. Cholesterol concentrations were measured and

compared to mock-infected cells at 1 dpi. (C) Fibroblasts were mock-infected or infected

with $UL136_{myc}$ or $UL136_{myc}\Delta K\rightarrow R$ viruses at a MOI of 1. At 2 dpi, IDOL expression was

induced by treatment with 2 µM GW3965 or HBX41-108 for 6 h followed by western blot

evaluation of UL136p33 levels (left). Relative UL136p33 levels are quantified in (D). (E)

$IDOL$ KO cell lysates were analyzed by western blot to evaluate ApoER-2 expression

levels compared to a Luc KO control. To evaluate CRISPR/CAS9 off-target effects we

measured HDAC1 in Luc or $IDOL$ KO cell lysates. (F) $UL136_{myc}$ or $UL136_{myc}\Delta K\rightarrow R$

viruses were used to infect either Luc or $IDOL$ KO cells at a MOI of 1. Cell lysates were

collected at indicated times and evaluated for UL136p33. IE1 and IE2 protein levels that

are quantified in panels G and H. (G) Quantification p33 protein densities relative to the

Luc KO at 2 dpi in each infection condition, $UL136_{myc}$ and $UL136_{myc}\Delta K \rightarrow R$. (H)

Quantification of IE2 protein densities relative to *Luc* KO at 2 dpi in each infection

condition, $UL136_{myc}$ and $UL136_{myc}\Delta K \rightarrow R$. Quantitative data points represent the mean

of 3 independent replicates and error bars represent standard deviation. Differences in

the means were compared using two-way ANOVA with a Tukey post hoc test. ns,

$p > 0.05$; *, $p < 0.05$; **, $p < 0.01$; ***, $p < 0.001$; ****, $p < 0.0001$.

1158

Figure 5. IDOL impacts viral protein expression in a THP-1 cell latency model. (A)

Total RNA was extracted from mock-, $UL136_{myc}$- or $UL136_{myc}\Delta K \rightarrow R$-infected THP-1

cells at indicated time points. At 5 dpi, cells were treated with TPA to induce

differentiation or the vehicle control (DMSO). cDNA was synthesized from the RNA and

qPCR was used to determine the relative expression levels of *IDOL* transcripts

compared to the low copy cellular housekeeping gene *H6PD* using the $\Delta\Delta CT$ method.

(B) Validation of *IDOL* KO in undifferentiated THP-1 cells was confirmed by ELISA.

Where indicated, cells were treated with TPA as a positive control for the loss of IDOL.

(C) Immunoblot of HDAC1 in *Luc* control or *IDOL* KO THP-1 cells to rule out off-target

CRISPR/CAS9 effects. (D) *IDOL* or *Luc* KO THP-1 cells were infected with TB40/E-

$UL136_{myc}$ (top panel) or -$UL136_{myc}\Delta K \rightarrow R$ (bottom panel) at a MOI of 2 and cell lysates

were collected at indicated time points. IE1/2 and UL135 accumulation was evaluated

by immunoblotting protein lysates over a time course using a monoclonal α-IE1/2 and

polyclonal α-UL135. (E) IE1, IE2 and UL135 were quantified, and levels normalized to

Tubulin and are shown relative to levels present at 1 dpi in the *Luc* KO control for

UL136_{myc} and *UL136_{myc}ΔK→R* infections.   Note that comparisons are made between

Luc KO and *IDOL* KO, not between *UL136_{myc}* and *UL136_{myc}ΔK→R* infections. All data

points are representative as the mean 3 independent replicates and error bars

represent standard deviation. The means were compared by two-way ANOVA with a

Tukey post hoc test. ns, $p > 0.05$; *, $p < 0.05$; **, $p < 0.01$; ****, $p < 0.0001$.

1179

Figure 6. Induction of IDOL in CD34+ HPCs inhibits reactivation. (A) CD34+ HPCs

were cultured for 5 days in media with cytokines to stimulate differentiation or left

undifferentiated. RNA was isolated and transcripts encoding IDOL were quantified by RT-qPCR

relative to the H6PD housekeeping gene using the ΔΔCT method. Values were normalized to

the undifferentiated population and graphed for statistical analysis. Statistical significance was

calculated using unpaired student t test and represented by asterisks. Graphs represent the

mean of three replicates and error bars represent SEM. (B) CD34+ HPCs were mock- or

TB40/E-infected at a MOI of 2. At 1 dpi, cells were treated with 1 µM GW3965 for 6 h

and total RNA isolated for qPCR to measure *IDOL* transcript levels relative to *H6PD*.

The data points represent the mean from 3 independent experiments. The means were

analyzed by two-way ANOVA with a Tukey post-hoc test. ***$p < 0.001$; ****, $p < 0.0001$.

(C) CD34+ HPCs were infected at a MOI of 2. At 24 hpi, infected CD34+ cells were

isolated by FACS and seeded into long term culture. GW3965 (1 µM) was added to

induce IDOL at the time of reactivation. At 10 days, half of the culture was reactivated

by seeding intact cells by limiting dilution into co-culture with fibroblasts in a cytokine-

rich media to promote myeloid differentiation (reactivation). The other half of the culture

was mechanically lysed and seeded onto fibroblasts as the pre-reactivation control. The

frequency of infectious centers was determined for each sample by ELDA. The data

points represent replicates from 2 independent donors and the range is indicated by

squares (pre-reactivation) and dots (post-reactivation).

1200

Figure 7. Model for differentiation-dependent IDOL regulation to control UL136p33

levels. Progenitor cells are relatively small and intolerant of high cholesterol, which they

control, in part, through the expression of IDOL to reduce cholesterol/lipid uptake. We

propose that high levels of IDOL maintain low levels of UL136p33 to restrict HCMV

replication for the establishment of latency following infection. Upon cell differentiation,

the downregulation of IDOL is observed and is expected to correspond to increased

accumulation of UL136p33 to drive reactivation and replication, consistent with our

finding that depletion of IDOL increases HCMV gene expression and that an LXR

agonist restricts reactivation. We have previously shown that UL136p33 levels further

accumulate in late phase upon the commitment to viral DNA synthesis. Therefore,

following reactivation, we postulate that UL136p33 levels increase due to a combination

of decreased turnover and increased synthesis. Further, because stabilization of

UL136p33 results in corresponding downregulation of IDOL expression, UL136p33 may

feedback to suppress *IDOL* gene expression. This work implicates IDOL as a host

factor that fine-tunes levels of UL136p33 to control decisions to enter and exit latency.

UL136p33 acts as a sensor at the tipping point between the decision to maintain the

latent state or exit latency for reactivation where its instability is critical for the

establishment or latency and its accumulation critical for reactivation. This study

suggests that LXR signaling and cholesterol homeostasis may impact HCMV latency

and reactivation and will be explored in future studies. Figure created with

Biorender.com.

1222

1223

1224

ApoER-2
LDLR
VLDLR

vDNA synthesis &
viral gene expression

UL136
p33

IDOL

Differentiation

REACTIVATION

Macrophage

ApoER-2
LDLR
VLDLR

vDNA

IDOL

UL136p33

LXR

LATENCY

Progenitor cell/monocyte