Semaphorin7A patterns neural circuitry in the lateral line of the zebrafish

3 Agnik Dasgupta[1,*], Caleb C. Reagor[1,2], Sang P. Paik[1,3], Lauren M. Snow[1,4], Adrian Jacobo[1,5],

4 and A. J. Hudspeth[1,]

5 [1] Howard Hughes Medical Institute and Laboratory of Sensory Neuroscience, The Rockefeller

6 University, New York, NY, USA

7 *Corresponding author: Dr. Agnik Dasgupta

8   Laboratory of Sensory Neuroscience

9   The Rockefeller University

10   New York, NY 10065

11   Telephone: 212-327-7353

12   E-mail: adasgupta@rockefeller.edu

[2] Tri-Institutional PhD Program in Computational Biology and Medicine, New York, NY, USA

[3] Present address: Department of Functional Neuroanatomy, Institute for Anatomy and Cell
Biology, Heidelberg University, Heidelberg, Germany

[4] Present address: Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, MA, USA

[5] Chan Zuckerberg Biohub San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA

Abstract

In a developing nervous system, axonal arbors often undergo complex rearrangements before

neural circuits attain their final innervation topology. In the lateral line sensory system of the

zebrafish, developing sensory axons reorganize their terminal arborization patterns to establish

precise neural microcircuits around the mechanosensory hair cells. However, a quantitative

understanding of the changes in the sensory arbor morphology and the regulators behind the

microcircuit assembly remain enigmatic. Here, we report that Semaphorin7A (Sema7A) acts

as an important mediator of these processes. Utilizing a semi-automated three-dimensional

neurite tracing methodology and computational techniques, we have identified and

quantitatively analyzed distinct topological features that shape the network in wild-type and

Sema7A loss-of-function mutants. In contrast to those of wild-type animals, the sensory axons

in Sema7A mutants display aberrant arborizations with disorganized network topology and

diminished contacts to hair cells. Moreover, ectopic expression of a secreted form of Sema7A

by non-hair cells induces chemotropic guidance of sensory axons. Our findings demonstrate

that Sema7A functions both as a juxtracrine and as a secreted cue to pattern neural circuitry

during sensory organ development.

Introduction

Pathfinding axons are directed to their appropriate synaptic targets by a variety of guidance

cues. While approaching or traversing the target field, the growth cones of migrating axons

encounter secreted or cell surface-attached ligands and respond by steering toward or away

from their sources (1–4). Fine-grained control of these factors is critical for the establishment

of proper neural circuitry.

The zebrafish's lateral line provides a tractable *in vivo* system for exploring the

mechanisms that guide the assembly of neural circuits in a peripheral nervous system. In

particular, it is possible to detect individual hair cells and the sensory axons that innervate them

throughout the first week of development (5). The primary posterior lateral line on each side of

the zebrafish's tail consists of about seven neuromasts, which contain mechanoreceptive hair

cells of opposing polarities—half sensitive to headward (rostrad) water motion and the

complementary half to tailward (caudad) water motion—that cluster at their centers (6). The

sensory axons of the lateral-line nerve branch, arborize, and consolidate around the basolateral

surfaces of the hair cells (Figure 1A). To aid in an animal's swimming behavior, these hair cells

sense water currents and relay signals to the brain through the sensory axons (7). The well-

defined structure of the ramifications and synaptic contacts of the sensory axons highlight the

need to determine the molecular signals behind the assembly of such precise microcircuits (8).

We adopted a candidate-gene strategy to seek factors that direct the growth of afferent

growth cones in the zebrafish's lateral line. Analysis of single-cell RNA sequencing data

identified the *semaphorin7a* (*sema7a*) gene to be highly expressed in hair cells of that organ

(9). Semaphorins are important regulators of axonal growth and target finding during the

patterning of diverse neural circuits (10). The semaphorin family includes proteins that are

secreted, transmembrane, and cell surface-attached (11). Among these, Semaphorin7A

(Sema7A) is the only molecule that is anchored to the outer leaflet of the lipid bilayer of the cell

membrane by a glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI) linker (11). Unlike many other semaphorins,

which act as repulsive cues (12,13), Sema7A is involved in promoting axon growth (4) and

imparting directional signals by interacting with the integrin and plexin families of receptors

residing on the pathfinding axons (14–16). Sema7A likely occurs *in vivo* in both GPI-anchored

and soluble forms (17–19), and studies of neuronal explants confirm that both forms can induce

directed axonal outgrowth (4). Furthermore, it has been proposed that the GPI anchor is

cleaved by membrane-resident GPI-specific phospholipases (GPI-PLs) or matrix

metalloproteases to release the Sema7A into the extracellular environment and thus regulate

dynamic cellular processes (20,21). Because these observations indicate that Sema7A might

influence neuronal development both as a juxtracrine and as a diffusive signal, we investigated

the role of Sema7A in sculpting a vertebrate peripheral sensory organ.

Results

Sema7A expression and localization in hair cells. The zebrafish genome contains a single

sema7a gene that produces two transcripts. One transcript encodes Sema7A-GPI, a full-

length, GPI-linked, cell surface-attached protein. The second transcript yields Sema7A^{sec}, a

protein with a truncated C-terminus, which we conjecture is secreted into the local environment.

Each transcript encodes an N-terminal signal sequence and a single copy of the conserved

sema domain (11). Using primers that flank the regions encoding the sema domain and the

distinct C-termini of the two transcripts, we performed reverse transcription and polymerase

chain reactions (RT-PCR) to identify both the membrane-anchored and the secreted transcript

in developing larvae (Figure 1B; Figure 1—figure supplement 1A). Single-cell RNA sequencing

data also have shown that the *sema7a* transcript is particularly enriched in the immature and

mature hair cells of the neuromast during early larval development (9), a period when sensory

axons of the posterior lateral line contact hair cells and form synapses with them (5,22). Indeed,

we have observed Sema7A to be specifically localized in both mature and immature hair cells

(Figure 1C; Figure 1—figure supplement 1B-E).

As neuromasts mature, the contacts between sensory axons and hair cells increase in

number, become stabilized, and establish synapses (5,22). Because Sema7A can modulate

axon guidance (4,14) and synapse formation (15), we wondered whether the level of Sema7A

also changes during neuromast development. Upon quantifying the average intensity of

Sema7A, we found similar expression in rostrally and caudally polarized hair cells of

neuromasts at 1.5, 2, 3, and 4 days post fertilization (dpf). The average Sema7A intensity

increased significantly over this period in both rostrally and caudally polarized hair cells. At

1.5 dpf, the neuromasts harbor primarily immature hair cells that make minimal contacts with

sensory axons and do not form stable association with axonal terminals (22). At this stage the

average Sema7A intensity in hair cells remained low. By 2-4 dpf the hair cells mature to form

stable contacts and well-defined synapses with the sensory axons (5,23). The average

Sema7A intensity in hair cells at each of these stages rose to levels that significantly exceeded

those of 1.5 dpf neuromasts (Figure 1D). This rise in the amount of Sema7A during neuromast

maturation supports a role for Sema7A in the guidance of sensory axons and their interaction
with hair cells.

We additionally demonstrated an anisotropic distribution of Sema7A along the
apicobasal axis of each hair cell. As neuromasts develop through 2, 3, and 4 dpf, Sema7A
remained highly enriched in the subapical region of the hair cell, the site of the Golgi network.
This localization suggests that Sema7A—like other proteins of the semaphorin family (24)—is
directed to the plasmalemma through Golgi-mediated vesicular trafficking. While Sema7A
maintained a high level in the subapical region, the protein increasingly accumulated at the
base of the hair cell: the Sema7A level at the hair cell base was low at 2 dpf, but increased
sharply by 3 dpf and 4 dpf (Figure 1E; Figure 1—figure supplement 1F, G). During this period
the hair cells increase in number and mature, whereas the associated sensory axons arborize
to contact multiple hair cells and form robust synaptic boutons at their bases (5,23). The
progressive enrichment of Sema7A at the hair cell base and sensory-axon interface (Figure 1E,
F; Video 1) suggests that Sema7A acts as a mediator of contacts between sensory axons and
hair cells.

Sema7A restricts sensory axons around clustered hair cells. If Sema7A guides and
restricts the sensory arbors at and around the hair cells clustered in a neuromast, then
inactivating Sema7A function should disrupt this process. To test this hypothesis, we obtained
a *sema7a* mutant allele (*sema7a^sa24691^*) with a point mutation that introduces a premature stop
codon in the conserved sema domain (Figure 2—figure supplement 1A). The homozygous
mutant, hereafter designated *sema7a^−/−^*, should lack both the secreted and the membrane-
attached forms of Sema7A. The average intensity of Sema7A immunolabeling in hair cells
diminished by 61% in *sema7a^−/−^* larvae (0.34 ± 0.01 arbitrary units) in comparison to controls
(0.87 ± 0.01 arbitrary units). In a few cases we observed minute accumulations of Sema7A at
the subapical region, but little or no protein at the base of the mutant hair cells (Figure 2A;
Figure 2—figure supplement 1B).

To characterize the impact of the *sema7a^−/−^* mutation on arbor patterning, we utilized
doubly labeled transgenic fish *Tg(myo6b:actb1-EGFP;neurod1:tdTomato)* that marked the hair

cells and the sensory axons of the posterior lateral line with distinct fluorophores (25). In control

neuromasts, the sensory axons approached, arborized, and consolidated around the bases of

clustered hair cells (Figure 2B). Although the sensory axons approached the hair cells of

sema7a$^{-/-}$ neuromasts, they displayed aberrant arborization patterns with wayward projections

that extended transversely to the organ (Figure 2C). To quantitatively analyze the arborization

morphology, we traced the sensory arbors in three dimensions to generate skeletonized

network traces that depict–as pseudocolored trajectories–the increase in arbor length from the

point of arborization (Figure 2D, E).

To visualize the hair cell clusters and associated arborization networks from multiple

neuromasts, we aligned images of hair cell clusters, registered them at their hair bundles, from

neuromasts at 2 dpf, 3 dpf, and 4 dpf. Throughout development, the arborization networks of

control neuromasts largely remained within the contours of the hair cell clusters; the few that

reached farther nonetheless lingered nearby (Figure 2F). This result suggests that an attractive

cue retained the axons near the sensory organ. In *sema7a*$^{-/-}$ neuromasts, however the neuronal

arbors failed to consolidate within the boundaries of hair cell clusters and extended far beyond

them (Figure 2G; Figure 2—figure supplement 1C-H; Video 2).

We quantified the distribution of the sensory arbors around the center of the combined

hair cell clusters. For both the control and the *sema7a*$^{-/-}$ neuromasts, the arbor densities peaked

proximal to the boundaries of the hair cell clusters. Beyond the cluster boundaries, in control

neuromasts at 2 dpf, 3 dpf, and 4 dpf the arbor densities fell sharply at respectively 31.7 *µ*m,

36.6 *µ*m, and 32.7 *µ*m from the center. In *sema7a*$^{-/-}$ neuromasts of the same ages, the sensory

arbors extended respectively 38.5 *µ*m, 51.4 *µ*m, and 56.6 *µ*m from the center (Figure 2H;

Figure 2—figure supplement 1I, J; Figure 2—figure supplement 2A). Furthermore, we

quantified the degree of contact of the sensory arbors to their hair cell clusters in individual

neuromasts from both control and *sema7a*$^{-/-}$ mutants. In control neuromasts, the degree of

contact was 83 ± 1 % (mean ± SEM) at 2 dpf and 84 ± 1 % at 3 dpf, but significantly increased

to 90 ± 1 % at 4 dpf (Figure 2I; Figure 2—figure supplement 2B). This observation indicates

that the sensory arbors reinforce their association to the hair cell clusters as neuromasts

develop. However, in *sema7a^-/-* neuromasts such contact was significantly reduced. Only

69 ± 4 % of sensory arbors at 2 dpf were closely associated with the corresponding hair cell

clusters, a value that remained at 67 ± 2 % at both 3 dpf and 4 dpf (Figure 2I). These findings

signify that, with simultaneous disruption of both signaling modalities of Sema7A, the organ

fails to restrict the localization of sensory arbors and has significantly diminished contact with

them.

Sema7A patterns the arborization network's topology. Accurate spatial arrangement of the

neuronal projections around hair cells is essential for proper retrieval and transmission of

sensory information. Mutations that perturb proper assembly of sensory neuronal microcircuits

lead to hearing impairment (26). Quantitative insight into the wiring pattern is therefore essential

to identify systematic aberrations in the microcircuit arising from genetic deficits. The three-

dimensional skeletonized network traces allowed us to accurately delineate the microcircuit

topology across individuals, developmental stages, and genotypes from living animals (Figure

2D, E). To quantitatively analyze the arbor network, we defined five topological attributes: (1)

nodes, denoted as junctions where arbors branch, converge, or cross; (2) loops, comprising

minimal arbor cycles forming topological holes; (3) bare terminals, defined as arbors with free

ends; (4) the node degree, calculated as the number of first-neighbor nodes incident to a node;

and (5) the arbor curvature, measured as the total curvature of a bare terminal (Figure 3A). We

quantified these attributes to accurately determine how the circuit topology is established

during maturation of the sensory organ in control larvae and how it is impacted by *sema7a*

deficit.

To determine how internode connectivity is distributed across networks, we quantified

the distribution of node degrees along the relative height of the hair cell cluster across

individuals, developmental stages, and genotypes. As for most other real-world networks (27),

we observed a highly skewed node-degree distribution: the majority of nodes had low node

degrees (three and four) and a small number of nodes—often acting as hubs, like the

arborization initiation point—had high node degrees (five to seven). The node degree varied

from three to seven in control larvae and three to six in *sema7a^-/-* larvae. Across

developmental stages and genotypes, the high node degrees primarily occurred at the base

(region from 0 % to 10 % of the cluster height) of the hair cell cluster and acted as hubs for

the majority of branching events. Low node degrees primarily occurred along the basolateral

region (region from 10 % to 60 % of the cluster height) of the hair cell cluster. The apical region

(region from 60 % to 100 % of the cluster height) was sparsely populated with low node

degrees. The network's hierarchical organization along the organ's axis suggests that arbors

receive sensory inputs at multiple levels, allowing the network to carry out robust neural

computations. Even though nodes of low degree were most prevalent between genotypes

across developmental stages, their distribution along the relative organ axis became

significantly different at 4 dpf, with nodes in control larvae localized higher along the axis than

in *sema7a$^{-/-}$* larvae (Figure 3A; Figure 3—figure supplement 1A-C; Table 1). These

observations indicate that Sema7A regulates both the spatial organization and node-degree

complexity of the sensory arbor network.

An average of 9.3 sensory axonal branches approach the hair cell cluster of a neuromast

(8). Pathfinding of sensory axons around the hair cell cluster results in the formation of axonal

fascicles that form numerous loops and bare terminals, which determine the microcircuit

topology (Figure 2B, D) (8). To optimally surround and contact the hair cell cluster, do the

sensory arbors prefer to adopt one topological feature over the other? To address this

question, we measured the correlation between the number of bare terminals and the number

of loops across individuals, developmental stages, and genotypes. In both 2 dpf and 3 dpf

larvae, the distributions remained highly scattered across individuals and genotypes.

However, by 4 dpf in the control larvae the arbor network contained either a high number of

loops with a low number of bare terminals or a high number of bare terminals with a low

number of loops. This result suggests that the stereotypical circuit topology observed in the

mature organ emerges from distinct events in which individual arbors transition from forming

bare terminals to forming closed loops encircling topological holes. That we did not observe a

similar transition in *sema7a$^{-/-}$* neuromasts of the same age suggests that Sema7A regulates

the switch between these two distinct topological features (Figure 3B; Figure 3—figure

supplement 1D, E; Table 1).

Measurement of the contour length of both bare terminals and loops additionally

revealed that across developmental stages in control larvae the sensory arbors followed a

three-step process to choose the appropriate topological feature: arbors shorter than 10 μm

always formed bare terminals; arbors between 10 μm and 30 μm began to switch between

features, with arbors longer than 20 μm strongly preferring to form loops; and arbors longer

than 30 μm always formed loops. These results imply that the switch between bare terminals

and loops is partly dependent on the length of the arbors. However, this characteristic

topological preference was significantly perturbed in the $sema7a^{-/-}$ larvae across

developmental stages, as many of the sensory arbors longer than 30 μm remained as bare

terminals (Figure 3C; Figure 3—figure supplement 1F, G; Table 1). Furthermore, in 4 dpf

control neuromasts the majority of the loops contained four nodes and utilized 30-40 μm of

arbors to form closed cycles. These conserved topological attributes were significantly

perturbed in the $sema7a^{-/-}$ larvae of same age (Figure 3D, E; Figure 3—figure supplement

2A-D; Table 1). These findings indicate that Sema7A tightly regulates the sensory arbor loop

formation, including the preferred loop length and number of nodes per loop, to properly

surround and contact the hair cell cluster.

As observed previously, bare terminals in control neuromasts project transverse to the

organ and linger nearby, often curving back to form new loops and contact the hair cell cluster

(Figure 2D, F). To analyze the propensity of the bare terminals to curl backward, we measured

their total curvatures, defined as the sum of the curvature at each point along the length of an

arbor. Henceforth, we address the total curvature as the curvature of a bare terminal. We

classified the bare terminals in two categories: high-curvature arbors with curled morphology

and curvature greater than π/6 (30 degrees); and low-curvature arbors with linear morphology

and curvature less than π/6. In control neuromasts 44.8 % of arbors had low curvature

compared to 56.4 % in $sema7a^{-/-}$ neuromasts at 4 dpf. Concomitantly, 55.2 % of arbors had

high curvature in control neuromasts compared to 43.6 % in $sema7a^{-/-}$ neuromasts at 4 dpf

(Figure 3F; Figure 3—figure supplement 2E, F; Table 1). These observations further confirm

our hypothesis that a Sema7A-mediated attractive cue is involved in orienting bare neural

arbors toward the hair cell cluster to potentially form loops.

In summary, we propose that Sema7A regulates four key aspects of the topology of the

arborization network: (1) the node degree complexity along the organ's axis; (2) the transition

of arbors from bare terminals to loops; (3) the preference for forming loops containing four

nodes with 30-40 μm of arbors; and (4) the preference of bare terminals to adopt higher

curvature.

Sema7A^{sec} is a sufficient chemoattractive cue for sensory axon guidance. Because

genetic inactivation of the *sema7a* gene disrupts both signaling modalities of the cognate

protein, we could not assess the specific activity of the Sema7A^{sec} diffusive cue in guiding

lateral-line sensory axons. To independently verify the potential role of that isoform, we

therefore expressed the secreted variant ectopically and investigated the resultant arborization

patterns.

Analysis of the microcircuit connectivity of the neuromasts has demonstrated bare

sensory-axonal terminals in the perisynaptic compartments, where they do not contact the hair

cell membrane (8). We speculate that these bare terminals are attracted by a Sema7A^{sec}

diffusive cue. To test this hypothesis, we ectopically expressed Sema7A^{sec} protein tagged with

the fluorophore mKate2, then analyzed its effect on the morphology of sensory arbors.

Fertilized one-cell embryos were injected with the *hsp70:sema7a^{sec}-mKate2* plasmid and

raised to 3 dpf. Larvae expressing the transgenesis marker—the lens-specific red fluorescent

protein mCherry—were heat-shocked, incubated to allow expression, and subsequently

mounted for live imaging. In these larvae, a random mosaic of cells—often embryonic muscle

progenitors in the dermomyotome or mature myofibers—expressed the exogenous

Sema7A^{sec}-mKate2 protein. We selected only those neuromasts in which an ectopic integration

had occurred near the network of sensory arbors (Figure 4A,B). In all 22 such cases, we

observed robust axonal projections from the sensory arbor network toward the ectopically

expressing Sema7A^{sec} cells. When the exogenous *sema7a^{sec}* integration occurred in

embryonic muscle progenitor cells, which reside in a superficial layer external to the muscle

fibers and adjacent to the larval skin (28), the projections were able to form direct contacts with

them (Figure 4C; Videos 3, 4). But more often, when mature myofibers expressed the

exogenous Sema7A^sec deep in the myotome, the axonal projections approached those targets

but failed to contact them (Figure 4D, Videos 5, 6). This behavior likely arose because other

components in the myotomal niche—including the fibrous epimysium, other myofibers,

myoblasts, and immature myotubes whose surroundings are permeable to diverse diffusive

cues (29)—physically obstructed direct interaction of the extended neurites with the ectopically

expressing myofibers. All 18 of the injected but not heat-shocked control larvae did not express

ectopic Sema7A^sec, and we did not observe aberrant projections from the sensory arbor

network (Figure 4E).

To measure the accuracy of the extended axonal projections in finding the ectopic

Sema7A^sec source, we defined three parameters: (1) the source path, denoted as the linear

distance from the point of arborization to the boundary of an ectopically expressing Sema7A^sec

cell; (2) the projection path, representing the linear distance from the point of arborization to

the terminus of the extended projection; and (3) the projection proximity, calculated as the

linear distance from the terminus of the extended axonal projection to the nearest boundary of

the ectopically expressing Sema7A^sec cell (Figure 4B). We quantified these parameters from

18 of the 22 mosaic integration events; in the remainder the extended axonal projections–

although following the ectopic source–reentered the posterior lateral-line nerve so that

identification of the axon terminals was not possible (Figure 4—figure supplement 1A-A";

Video 7). When we plotted the projection path length against the source-path length, we

observed a nearly perfect correlation (Figure 4F). This result signifies that irrespective of the

location of the ectopic source–whether proximal or distal to the sensory arbor network–the

Sema7A^sec cue sufficed to attract axonal terminals from the sensory arbor. Furthermore, the

low average projection-proximity length of $3.5 \pm 0.7\ \mu$m (mean $\pm$ SEM) indicated that the

extended projection terminals remained either in contact (8 of 18) or in the vicinity (10 of 18) of

the ectopic Sema7A^{sec} sources (Figure 4G). These observations together demonstrate that the

Sema7A^{sec} diffusive cue is sufficient to provide neural guidance *in vivo*.

As the posterior lateral-line ganglion matures, newly formed neurons extend their axonal

growth cones along the posterior lateral-line nerve to reach the neuromasts (30). We wondered

whether the axonal projections that had yet to be associated with a neuromast could also

respond to an ectopic source of Sema7A^{sec}. Indeed, on five occasions in which *sema7a^{sec}*

integration had occurred between two neuromasts, we detected neurite extensions from the

posterior lateral-line nerve to the ectopic Sema7A^{sec} source (Figure 4—figure

supplement 1B-B"; Videos 8-10). The responsiveness of the posterior lateral-line axons to

Sema7A^{sec} might therefore be an intrinsic property of the neurons that does not require the

neuromast microenvironment.

Sema7A deficiency impacts synaptic assembly. Contact stabilization between an axon and

its target cell is essential for synapse formation (31). Neural GPI-anchored proteins can act as

regulators of various synaptic-adhesion pathways (32). In the mouse olfactory system, GPI-

anchored Sema7A is enriched in olfactory sensory axons and mediates sustained interaction

with the dendrites of mitral and tufted cells in the olfactory bulb to establish synapses (15). In

the developing mouse brain–particularly in Purkinje cells–GPI-anchored Sema7A instead

regulates the elimination of synapses onto climbing fibers (33). The role of anchored Sema7A

in regulating synaptic architecture can thus vary according to the cell type and developmental

stage. Our demonstration that Sema7A is necessary to consolidate the contact between

sensory axons and hair cells during neuromast development suggests a possible role in

regulating synaptic structure.

Proper synaptogenesis requires the correct spatial organization of the presynaptic and

postsynaptic apparatus at the apposition of two cells. At the interface between hair cells and

sensory axons, the synaptic network involves two scaffolding proteins in particular: ribeye, a

major constituent of the presynaptic ribbons (34), and membrane-associated guanylate kinase

(MAGUK), a conserved group of proteins that organize postsynaptic densities (35). Utilizing

these scaffolding proteins as markers, we investigated the impact of the *sema7a$^{-/-}$* mutation on

synapse formation. To analyze the distribution of presynaptic densities–immunolabeled with

an antibody against the ribeye-associated presynaptic constituent C-terminal binding protein

(CTBP) (34)–we counted the number and measured the area of CTBP punctae from multiple

neuromasts at several stages of neuromast development (Figure 5A, B). The CTBP punctae

in each hair cell ranged from zero to seven across developmental stages. In the zebrafish's

posterior lateral line, the formation of presynaptic ribbons is an intrinsic property of the hair cells

that does not require contact with lateral-line sensory axons. However, sustained contact with

the sensory axon influences the maintenance and stability of ribbons (36). As neuromasts

matured from 2 dpf to 4 dpf, we identified similar distribution patterns with a characteristic

increase in the number of CTBP punctae in both control and $sema7a^{-/-}$ larvae (Figure 5C, D).

This result implies that the formation of new presynaptic ribbons is not perturbed in the mutants,

even though there are on average fewer CTBP punctae (Figure 5—figure supplement 1A-C).

Measurement of the areas of CTBP punctae also showed similar distributions in both

genotypes (Figure 5E, F) but the average area of presynaptic densities was reduced in the

mutants (Figure 5—figure supplement 1D-F).

Because GPI-anchored Sema7A lacks a cytosolic domain, it is unlikely that Sema7A

signaling directly induces formation of presynaptic ribbons. Instead, GPI-anchored Sema7A

might induce postsynaptic assembly on the apposed neuronal membrane (15). To characterize

the distribution of the postsynaptic aggregates–immunostained with a pan-MAGUK antibody–

we performed analyses similar to those above (Figure 5I, J). At 4 dpf, 68.3 % of the hair cells

in the control neuromasts were associated with at least one MAGUK punctum. In the

$sema7a^{-/-}$ mutant this value fell to 37.5 % (Figure 5K, L). The average number of MAGUK

punctae also declined in the mutants (Figure 5—figure supplement 1G-I). As control

neuromasts matured from 2 dpf to 4 dpf, the MAGUK punctae showed a shift toward smaller

sizes, suggesting that the postsynaptic structure fragmented into smaller entities as observed

in other excitatory synapses (37). At 4 dpf, 77.5 % of the hair cells in the control neuromasts

had postsynaptic densities with areas between 0.10 μm^2 and 0.40 μm^2. In the $sema7a^{-/-}$ mutant

the corresponding value was only 42.5 % (Fig. 4M,N). The average area of MAGUK punctae

was also reduced in the mutants compared to the controls (Figure 5—figure supplement 1J-L**).**

We speculate that the abnormalities in postsynaptic structure arose from reduced contact

between the hair cells and the sensory axons in the *sema7a*-/- mutants or from the lack of

Sema7A-mediated juxtracrine signaling onto the apposed neurite terminals (15).

Discussion

The specification of neural circuitry requires precise control of the guidance cues that direct

and restrict neural arbors at their target fields and facilitate synapse formation. In the lateral-

line neuromast of the zebrafish, we have identified Sema7A as an essential cue that regulates

the interaction between hair cells and sensory axons. We have discovered dual modes of

Sema7A function *in vivo*: the chemoattractive diffusible form is sufficient to guide the sensory

arbors toward their target, whereas the membrane-attached form likely participates in sculping

accurate neural circuitry to facilitate contact-mediated formation and maintenance of synapses.

Our results suggest a potential mechanism for hair cell innervation in which a local Sema7A[sec]

diffusive cue consolidates the sensory arbors at the hair cell cluster and the membrane-

anchored Sema7A-GPI molecule regulates microcircuit topology and synapse assembly.

The role of Sema7A in regulating diverse topological features of the arborization network

suggests that microcircuits of control and *sema7a*-/- neuromasts display important functional

differences. Loss of Sema7A disrupts the hierarchical organization of the node-degree

distribution along the organ's axis, as well as the preference towards forming loops containing

four nodes with 30-40 μm of arbors. What benefit does the network gain from surrounding the

hair cell cluster with closed loops instead of bare terminals? The network may actively form

loops to contribute to the neuromast's overall structure and function, or else emerge as a

consequence of the arbors navigating the intervening spaces between the hair cells and

support cells. Through our ectopic expression of Sema7A[sec], we have observed that free

spaces and physical obstructions can shape arbor morphologies, such as in the myotomal

niche. However, we speculate that the precise patterning of the network—with characteristic

looping behaviors—is not merely a byproduct of neuromast architecture, but rather contributes

to the network's ability to perform robust neural computations and faithfully transmit sensory

information to higher processing centers in the posterior lateral-line ganglion and medial

octavolateralis nucleus in the hindbrain (7). Further studies are necessary to dissect the

potential role of these topological features in performing robust neural computations in the

lateral-line microcircuit.

Recent studies have begun to dissect the molecular mechanisms of semaphorin

signaling in axonal navigation. In explants derived from the murine olfactory bulb, Sema7A

interacts directly with integrinβ1 to trigger downstream signaling effectors involving focal

adhesion kinase and mitogen-activated protein kinases, which are critical regulators of the

cytoskeletal network during axonal pathfinding (4,38). Moreover, the interaction between

Sema7A and its receptor plexinC1 activates the rac1-cdc42-PAK pathway, which alters the

actin network to promote axonal guidance and synapse formation (15,39). We speculate that

lateral-line sensory axons utilize similar mechanisms to sense and respond to Sema7A in the

establishment of microcircuits with hair cells.

During early development of the posterior lateral line, the growth cones of sensory axons

intimately associate with the prosensory primordium that deposits neuromasts along the lateral

surface of the larva (40,41). The growth of the sensory fibers with the primordium is regulated

by diverse neurotrophic factors expressed in the primordium (42,43). Impairing brain-derived

or glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factors and their receptors perturbs directed axonal

motility and innervation of hair cells (42,43). Lateral-line hair cells are particularly enriched in

brain-derived neurotrophic factor (44) and other chemotropic cues (Figure 6). Because the

sensory axons of *sema7a$^{-/-}$* mutants reliably branch from the lateral-line nerve to reach hair cell

clusters, these chemoattractive factors might compensate in part for the absence of Sema7A

function. Although sensory axons are strict selectors of hair cell polarity and form synapses

accordingly (5), diverse chemoattractant cues including Sema7A occur uniformly between hair

cell polarities (44). It seems likely that unbiased attractive signals bring sensory arbors toward

hair cells, after which polarity-specific molecules on hair cell surfaces dictate the final

association between axonal terminal and hair cells. Notch-delta signaling and its downstream

effectors regulate hair cell polarity and the innervation pattern (6,8,25). It would be interesting

to determine whether Notch-mediated polarity signatures on the hair cell's surface can refine

the neuromast neural circuitry.

Materials and Methods

Zebrafish strains and husbandry. Experiments were performed on 1.5-4 dpf zebrafish larvae

in accordance with the standards of Rockefeller University's Institutional Animal Care and Use

Committee. Naturally spawned and fertilized eggs were collected, cleaned, staged, and

maintained at 28.5 °C in system-water with 1 µg/mL methylene blue. Wild-type AB and

heterozygous mutant *semaphorin7a*[sa24691] zebrafish were obtained from the Zebrafish

International Resource Center. In addition, the following transgenic zebrafish lines were used:

Tg(myo6b:actb1-EGFP) (45), *Tg(neurod1:tdTomato)* (25), and *TgBAC(neurod1:EGFP)* (46).

Genotyping of mutant fish. The *semaphorin7a*[sa24691] allele harbors an A-to-T point mutation

in the seventh exon of the *semaphorin7a* gene, which creates a premature stop codon (Fig.

S2A). Genomic DNA was isolated from the tail fins of adult *semaphorin7a*[sa24691] (*sema7a*[sa91])

heterozygous mutant zebrafish. The mutant locus in the genome was PCR amplified using the

following primers: sema7a[sa91]F: 5´-AAAGCTGGAAAGCGAATCAA-3´ and sema7a[sa91]R:

5´-ATATCCAAGGATCCGCCTCT-3´. The 734-base pair amplicons were sequenced, and

heterozygous adults were propagated.

Reverse transcription-polymerase chain reactions (RT PCR). Total RNA was isolated from

whole zebrafish larvae by TRIzol extraction followed by DNAse treatment (TURBO DNA-free

Ambion). We used thirty 4 dpf zebrafish larvae to isolate total RNA. We generated cDNA

libraries using iScript cDNA Synthesis Kit (Bio-Rad). Each transcript was PCR amplified using

the following primers: sema7aF: 5´-GGTTTTTCTGAGGCCATTCC-3´, sema7aR1:

5´-GGCACTCGTGACAAATGCTA-3´, sema7aR2: 5´-TGTGGAGAAAGTCACAAAGCA-3´

(Fig. S1A).

Transient transgenesis with the hsp70l:sema7a^sec^-mKate2 construct. The pDNR-LIB

plasmid containing the *sema7a^sec^* coding sequence was purchased from horizon (7140389,

Perkin-Elmer). The coding sequence was PCR amplified using the following primers:

sema7a^sec^F:

5´-GGGGACAAGTTTGTACAAAAAAGCAGGCTTGATGATTCGACATTATTCT-3´ and

sema7a^sec^R:

5´-GGGGACCACTTTGTACAAGAAAGCTGGGTG*CTT*TGTGGAGAAAGTCACAAAGCA-3´.

The italicized nucleotides denote the mutated stop codon. The amplicon was then cloned into

the pDONR221 vector by BP recombination to generate the middle entry pME-sema7a^sec^

vector. To generate the hsp70:sema7a^sec^-mKate2 construct, gateway cloning was performed

by combining the plasmids p5E-hsp70 (47), pME-sema7a^sec^, p3E-mKate2-myc no-pA

(Addgene, 80812), and pDESTtol2pACrymCherry (Addgene, 64023) with LR Clonase II Plus

(Invitrogen). Verified constructs (25 ng/µl plasmid DNA) were injected with Tol2 Transposase

mRNA (approximately 25 ng/µl) into one-cell embryos. The transiently transgenic larvae—

identified by the expression of red fluorescent protein (mCherry) in their lenses—were raised

till 3 dpf, heat-shocked in a water bath at 37 °C for 1 hr, incubated at 28 °C for 1 hr to allow

expression, and subsequently mounted for live imaging.

Microscopy and volumetric rendering of living neuronal arbors and cells. Living larvae

were dechorionated, anaesthetized in 600 µM 3-aminobenzoic acid ethyl ester

methanesulfonate in system-water with 1 µg/mL methylene blue, and mounted in 1 % low-

melting-point agarose on a glass-bottom MetTek dish at specific stages. The larvae were

maintained at 28 °C during imaging in a temperature-controlled stage top chamber (OKO Lab

UNO-T). Images at successive focal depths were captured at 200 nm intervals and

deconvolved with Microvolution software in ImageJ (NIH). The three-dimensional datasets

were processed and volume-rendered with the surface evolver and filament-tracer tools in

Imaris (Bitplane, Belfast, UK). The Imaris workstation was provided by the Rockefeller

University Bio-imaging Resource Center.

Immunofluorescence microscopy. For the immunofluorescence labeling of wholemounted

wild-type control and *sema7a*$^{-/-}$ larvae, 1.5-4 dpf larvae were fixed overnight at 4 °C in 4 %

formaldehyde in phosphate-buffered saline solution (PBS) with 1 % Tween-20 (1 % PBST).

Larvae were washed four times with 1 % PBST for 15 min each, then placed for 2 hr in blocking

solution containing PBS, 2 % normal donkey serum (NDS), 0.5 % Tween-20, and 1 % BSA.

Primary antibodies were diluted in fresh blocking solution and incubated with the specimens

overnight at 4 °C. The primary antibodies were goat anti-Sema7A (1:200; AF1835, R&D

Systems) (14), rabbit anti-myosin VI (1:200; Proteus 25-6791), murine anti-GM130 (1:200;

610822, BD Transduction Laboratories), murine anti-CTBP (1:200; B-3, SC-55502, Santa Cruz

Biotech), and rabbit anti-mCherry (1:200; GTX128508, GeneTex). Larvae were washed four

times with 0.1% PBST for 15 min each. Alexa Fluor-conjugated secondary antibodies

(Invitrogen, Molecular Probes) applied overnight at 1:200 dilutions in 0.2 % PBST included anti-

rabbit (488 nm), anti-goat (555 nm), and anti-mouse (647 nm). Larvae were then washed four

times in 0.2 % PBST for 15 min each and stored at 4 °C in VectaShield (Vector Laboratories).

For the immunofluorescence labeling of postsynaptic density in wholemounted wild-type

control and *sema7a*$^{-/-}$ larvae, 2-4 dpf larvae were fixed with 4 % formaldehyde in PBS for

4.5-6 hr at 4 °C. Larvae were washed five times with 0.01 % PBST for 5 min each and then

rinsed in distilled water for 5 min. The larvae were permeabilized with ice-cold acetone at -20 °C

for 5 min. They were rinsed in distilled water for 5 min, washed five times with 0.01 % PBST

for 5 min each, and blocked overnight with PBS buffer containing 2 % goat serum and 1 %

BSA. Murine anti-pan-MAGUK antibody (1:500; IgG1 NeuroMab, K28.86, 75-029) was diluted

in PBS containing 1 % BSA, added to the larvae, and incubated for 3 hr at room temperature.

Larvae were washed five times for 5 min each with 0.01 % PBST. Alexa Fluor 555-conjugated

anti-mouse secondary antibody (1:1000) diluted in PBS containing 1 % BSA was added to the

larvae and incubated for 2 hr at room temperature. Larvae were washed five times with 0.01 %

PBST for 5 min each, rinsed in distilled water for 5 min, and stored at 4 °C in VectaShield

(Vector Laboratories).

Posterior segments of fixed larvae were mounted on a glass slide and imaged with a

microlens-based, super-resolution confocal microscope (VT-iSIM, VisiTech international)

under 60X and 100X, silicone-oil objective lenses of numerical apertures 1.30 and 1.35,

respectively. Images at successive focal depths were captured at 200 nm intervals and

deconvolved with the Microvolution software in ImageJ.

Measurement of Sema7A intensity. The average Sema7A fluorescence intensities of the hair

cells from 1.5 dpf, 2 dpf, 3 dpf, and 4 dpf neuromasts were measured by determining the mean

gray level within each hair cell labeled by actin-GFP expression. The intensity distribution of

Sema7A protein along the apicobasal axis of the hair cell was measured using the line profile

tool in ImageJ (Figure 1—figure supplement 1F). Because hair cell lengths differed among

samples, lines were drawn with 2 µm widths and varying lengths of 6-8 µm. For each hair cell,

apicobasal length was scaled from 0 to 100 arbitrary units and the intensity values from 0 to 1

arbitrary units.

Generation of skeletonized networks. The labeled sensory axons were traced in three

dimensions with ImageJ's semi-automated framework, SNT (48). Each trace depicts the

skeletonized form of the posterior lateral-line nerve, the posterior lateral-line branch, and the

point of arborization from which the sensory arbors radiate to contact the hair cells (Fig. 2D,E).

The three-dimensional pixels or voxels obtained from the skeletonized sensory arbor traces

were processed using custom code written in Python for visual representation and quantitative

analysis.

Generation of combined hair cell clusters and the corresponding combined skeletonized

networks. The hair cell clusters of neuromasts from each developmental stage were aligned

by the centers of their apices, which were identified by the hair bundles, and overlayed to

generate combined hair cell clusters using custom Python code. The associated skeletonized

sensory-arbor traces at each developmental stage were aligned similarly using custom Python

code. The details of the procedures are available upon request and the corresponding code is

available on GitHub.

Quantification of sensory arbor distributions around hair cell clusters. The region from

the center of the combined hair cell cluster to 60 µm in the post-cluster region was divided into

3600 concentric sections of equal area (Figure 2—figure supplement 2A). Each section, as

depicted with a distinct color shade, had an area of π µm^2. Sensory arbor density was defined

as Log_{10}[(Area occupied by the arbors in each section)/ (Area of each section)]. The arbor

density was then plotted as a histogram against distance from the center of the combined hair

cell cluster. Each bin of the histogram represents an area of π μm^2. The histograms were finally

represented as density trace graphs with Kernel Density Estimation (KDE) in Python.

Quantification of contact between sensory arbors and hair cell clusters. For each hair cell

cluster, the voxels of the sensory arbor traces that were inside or within 0.5 μm—the average

neurite radius—of the cluster boundary were denoted as arbors in contact with their

corresponding hair cell cluster (Figure 2—figure supplement 2B). The percentage of arbors in

contact with each hair cell cluster was defined as: (Number of voxels that remained inside the

cluster boundary/Total number of voxels in the arbor) X 100. The data were analyzed through

an automated pipeline with custom code.

Quantification of the sensory arborization network topology. We developed a custom

Python script (snt_topology.py; available on GitHub) to convert the skeletonized sensory

arborization networks to network graphs (NetworkX, v3.1). We first used a custom ImageJ

macro to convert SNT skeletons to csv files, then generated the network graph from the

individual arbor paths. As noted previously, we defined five topological attributes: (1) nodes—

junctions where arbors branch, converge, or cross; (2) loops—minimal arbor cycles forming

topological holes; (3) bare terminals—arbors with free ends; (4) node degree—the number of

first-neighbor nodes incident to a node; and (5) arbor curvature—the total curvature of a bare

terminal (Figure 3A). To enumerate loops and quantify their lengths and node numbers, we

computed the minimum cycle basis for each network graph. The relative organ height of each

node in a graph was defined in 3D using Equation (1):

$$(\vec{u} \cdot \vec{v}) / \|\vec{v}\|^2 , \tag{1}$$

where $\vec{u}$ is the vector from the arborization initiation point to a node and $\vec{v}$ is the vector from the

arborization initiation point to the hair cell cluster apex. The relative occurrence of nodes (Figure

3—figure supplement 1A-C) was normalized by both the number of hair cells per neuromast

and the total number of neuromasts, for comparison across ages and genotypes. The relative

number of bare terminals and loops (Figure 3B; Figure 3—figure supplement 1D, E) was

normalized by the number of hair cells per neuromast and scaled between 0 and 1. The density

was then normalized by the total number of neuromasts imaged for each developmental stage

and genotype. For Figure 3C and Figure 3—figure supplement 1F and G, the normalized

occurrence was computed as the number of arbors of a given length that formed either a bare

terminal or a loop, divided by the total number of arbors of either feature of that length. Lastly,

we defined bare terminals as having either high or low curvature (Figure 3F; Figure 3—figure

supplement 2C, D) if their absolute total signed curvature [Equation (2)] in three dimensions

was either higher or lower than $\pi/6$, respectively:

$$\left| \int \frac{dT}{ds} \cdot N(s)\, ds \right|, \tag{2}$$

where s is the arc-length parameter of the bare terminal, $T(s)$ is the unit tangent vector at each

point along the arbor, $N(s)$ is the unit normal vector at each point, and the integral is evaluated

from the terminal's branching node to the arbor's tip. For a closed curve forming a full loop, this

value will equal 2π. In practice, we first fit B-splines (scipy.interpolate.splprep; SciPy, v1.10.1)

in 3D to the skeletons for each bare terminal before evaluating their derivatives

(scipy.interpolate.splev) and integrating (scipy.integrate.simpson) over their lengths.

Quantification of aberrant sensory arborization in ectopic Sema7A[sec] expression. The

sensory arbor networks were traced in three dimensions with SNT. The surface of each of the

ectopically expressing Sema7A[sec]-mKate2 cells was represented by a single point that was

closest to the terminal point of the guided neurite. Distances between two points were

calculated using standard mathematical operations.

Statistical analysis. Data visualization and statistical analysis were conducted with GraphPad

Prism (Version 9) and Python (v3.10). The Mann-Whitney test was used for hypothesis testing

unless otherwise noted, and the statistical details are given in the respective figure legends.

Data and code availability. Owing to size restrictions, the experimental image data have not

been uploaded to a repository but are available from the corresponding author on request. The

code generated during this study is available on GitHub

(https://github.com/agnikdasgupta/Sema7A_regulates_neural_circuitry).

Acknowledgments. The authors thank Nicolas Velez and Emily Atlas for valuable discussions.

Samantha Campbell and Anna Kaczynska provided expert fish husbandry. Katie Kindt kindly

provided various strains of zebrafish. Image processing benefitted from facilities at the

Bio-Imaging Resource Center. A.D. was supported by a Kavli Neural Systems Institute

Postdoctoral Fellowship from The Rockefeller University. C.C.R. is supported by National

Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship Grant No. 1946429. A.J., S.P.P., and

L.M.S. were supported by Howard Hughes Medical Institute, of which A.J.H. is an Investigator.

Author Contributions

A.D. initiated the project, conducted experiments, analyzed data, and quantified results. C.C.R

developed the Python codes for the network topology analysis and quantified those results, as

well as analyzed published transcriptomics data. S.P.P. developed the Python codes for three-

dimensional visual representation of sensory arbors and quantified arbor morphologies. L.M.S.

conducted preliminary experiments. A.J. plotted Sema7A intensity measurements. A.D. wrote

the paper with contributions from C.C.R and A.J.H.

Declaration of Interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

References

1. Lykissas M, Batistatou A, Charalabopoulos K, Beris A. The Role of Neurotrophins in Axonal Growth, Guidance, and Regeneration. Curr Neurovasc Res. 2007 May 1;4(2):143–51.

2. Vaccaro G, Dumoulin A, Zuñiga NR, Bandtlow CE, Stoeckli ET. The Nogo-66 Receptors NgR1 and NgR3 Are Required for Commissural Axon Pathfinding. J Neurosci. 2022 May 18;42(20):4087–100.

3. Nguyen-Ba-Charvet KT, Di Meglio T, Fouquet C, Chedotal A. Robos and Slits Control the Pathfinding and Targeting of Mouse Olfactory Sensory Axons. J Neurosci. 2008 Apr 16;28(16):4244–9.

4. Jeroen Pasterkamp R, Peschon JJ, Spriggs MK, Kolodkin AL. Semaphorin 7A promotes axon outgrowth through integrins and MAPKs. Nature. 2003 Jul;424(6947):398–405.

5. Nagiel A, Andor-Ardo D, Hudspeth AJ. Specificity of Afferent Synapses onto Plane-Polarized Hair Cells in the Posterior Lateral Line of the Zebrafish. J Neurosci. 2008 Aug 20;28(34):8442–53.

6. Jacobo A, Dasgupta A, Erzberger A, Siletti K, Hudspeth AJ. Notch-Mediated Determination of Hair-Bundle Polarity in Mechanosensory Hair Cells of the Zebrafish Lateral Line. Curr Biol. 2019 Nov;29(21):3579-3587.e7.

7. Valera G, Markov DA, Bijari K, Randlett O, Asgharsharghi A, Baudoin JP, et al. A neuronal blueprint for directional mechanosensation in larval zebrafish. Curr Biol. 2021 Apr;31(7):1463-1475.e6.

8. Dow E, Jacobo A, Hossain S, Siletti K, Hudspeth AJ. Connectomics of the zebrafish's lateral-line neuromast reveals wiring and miswiring in a simple microcircuit. eLife. 2018 Jun 12;7:e33988.

9. Lush ME, Diaz DC, Koenecke N, Baek S, Boldt H, St Peter MK, et al. scRNA-Seq reveals distinct stem cell populations that drive hair cell regeneration after loss of Fgf and Notch signaling. eLife. 2019 Jan 25;8:e44431.

10. Carulli D, de Winter F, Verhaagen J. Semaphorins in Adult Nervous System Plasticity and Disease. Front Synaptic Neurosci. 2021 May 11;13:672891.

11. Yazdani U, Terman JR. The semaphorins. Genome Biol. 2006;7(3):211.

12. de Winter F, Kwok JCF, Fawcett JW, Vo TT, Carulli D, Verhaagen J. The Chemorepulsive Protein Semaphorin 3A and Perineuronal Net-Mediated Plasticity. Neural Plast. 2016;2016:1–14.

13. Coate TM, Spita NA, Zhang KD, Isgrig KT, Kelley MW. Neuropilin-2/Semaphorin-3F-mediated repulsion promotes inner hair cell innervation by spiral ganglion neurons. eLife. 2015 Aug 24;4:e07830.

14.   Carcea I, Patil SB, Robison AJ, Mesias R, Huntsman MM, Froemke RC, et al.
Maturation of cortical circuits requires Semaphorin 7A. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2014 Sep
23;111(38):13978–83.

15.   Inoue N, Nishizumi H, Naritsuka H, Kiyonari H, Sakano H. Sema7A/PlxnCl signaling
triggers activity-dependent olfactory synapse formation. Nat Commun. 2018
Dec;9(1):1842.

16.   Inoue N, Nishizumi H, Ooyama R, Mogi K, Nishimori K, Kikusui T, et al. The olfactory
critical period is determined by activity-dependent Sema7A/PlxnC1 signaling within
glomeruli. eLife. 2021 Mar 29;10:e65078.

17.   Morote-Garcia JC, Napiwotzky D, Köhler D, Rosenberger P. Endothelial Semaphorin
7A promotes neutrophil migration during hypoxia. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2012 Aug
28;109(35):14146–51.

18.   Nishide M, Kumanogoh A. The role of semaphorins in immune responses and
autoimmune rheumatic diseases. Nat Rev Rheumatol. 2018 Jan;14(1):19–31.

19.   Köhler D, Granja T, Volz J, Koeppen M, Langer HF, Hansmann G, et al. Red blood
cell-derived semaphorin 7A promotes thrombo-inflammation in myocardial ischemia-
reperfusion injury through platelet GPIb. Nat Commun. 2020 Dec;11(1):1315.

20.   Pham TL, He J, Kakazu AH, Jun B, Bazan NG, Bazan HEP. Defining a mechanistic
link between pigment epithelium–derived factor, docosahexaenoic acid, and corneal nerve
regeneration. J Biol Chem. 2017 Nov;292(45):18486–99.

21.   Xie J, Wang H. Semaphorin 7A as a potential immune regulator and promising
therapeutic target in rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Res Ther. 2017 Dec;19(1):10.

22.   Dow E, Siletti K, Hudspeth AJ. Cellular projections from sensory hair cells form
polarity-specific scaffolds during synaptogenesis. Genes Dev. 2015 May 15;29(10):1087–
94.

23.   Pujol-Martí J, Faucherre A, Aziz-Bose R, Asgharsharghi A, Colombelli J, Trapani JG,
et al. Converging Axons Collectively Initiate and Maintain Synaptic Selectivity in a
Constantly Remodeling Sensory Organ. Curr Biol. 2014 Dec;24(24):2968–74.

24.   De Wit J, De Winter F, Klooster J, Verhaagen J. Semaphorin 3A displays a punctate
distribution on the surface of neuronal cells and interacts with proteoglycans in the
extracellular matrix. Mol Cell Neurosci. 2005 May;29(1):40–55.

25.   Ji YR, Warrier S, Jiang T, Wu DK, Kindt KS. Directional selectivity of afferent neurons
in zebrafish neuromasts is regulated by Emx2 in presynaptic hair cells. eLife. 2018 Apr
19;7:e35796.

26.   Diaz-Horta O, Abad C, Sennaroglu L, Foster J, DeSmidt A, Bademci G, et al. ROR1 is
essential for proper innervation of auditory hair cells and hearing in humans and mice. Proc
Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2016 May 24;113(21):5993–8.

27.   Newman M. The structure of real-world networks [Internet]. Vol. 1. Oxford University
Press; 2018 [cited 2023 May 2]. Available from:
https://academic.oup.com/book/27884/chapter/203817512

28.   Sharma P, Ruel TD, Kocha KM, Liao S, Huang P. Single cell dynamics of embryonic
muscle progenitor cells in zebrafish. Development. 2019 Jan 1;dev.178400.

29.   Keenan SR, Currie PD. The Developmental Phases of Zebrafish Myogenesis. J Dev
Biol. 2019 Jun 2;7(2):12.

30.   Sato A, Koshida S, Takeda H. Single-cell analysis of somatotopic map formation in the
zebrafish lateral line system. Dev Dyn. 2010 Jul;239(7):2058–65.

31.   Shen K, Cowan CW. Guidance Molecules in Synapse Formation and Plasticity. Cold
Spring Harb Perspect Biol. 2010 Apr 1;2(4):a001842–a001842.

32.   Um JW, Ko J. Neural Glycosylphosphatidylinositol-Anchored Proteins in Synaptic
Specification. Trends Cell Biol. 2017 Dec;27(12):931–45.

33.   Uesaka N, Uchigashima M, Mikuni T, Nakazawa T, Nakao H, Hirai H, et al. Retrograde
semaphorin signaling regulates synapse elimination in the developing mouse brain.
Science. 2014 May 30;344(6187):1020–3.

34.   Sheets L, Hagen MW, Nicolson T. Characterization of Ribeye Subunits in Zebrafish
Hair Cells Reveals That Exogenous Ribeye B-Domain and CtBP1 Localize to the Basal
Ends of Synaptic Ribbons. Riley B, editor. PLoS ONE. 2014 Sep 10;9(9):e107256.

35.   Oliva C, Escobedo P, Astorga C, Molina C, Sierralta J. Role of the maguk protein
family in synapse formation and function. Dev Neurobiol. 2012 Jan;72(1):57–72.

36.   Suli A, Pujol R, Cunningham DE, Hailey DW, Prendergast A, Rubel EW, et al.
Innervation regulates synaptic ribbons in lateral line mechanosensory hair cells. J Cell Sci.
2016 Jan 1;jcs.182592.

37.   Taschenberger H, Leão RM, Rowland KC, Spirou GA, von Gersdorff H. Optimizing
Synaptic Architecture and Efficiency for High-Frequency Transmission. Neuron. 2002
Dec;36(6):1127–43.

38.   Myers JP, Gomez TM. Focal Adhesion Kinase Promotes Integrin Adhesion Dynamics
Necessary for Chemotropic Turning of Nerve Growth Cones. J Neurosci. 2011 Sep
21;31(38):13585–95.

39.   O'Donnell M, Chance RK, Bashaw GJ. Axon Growth and Guidance: Receptor
Regulation and Signal Transduction. Annu Rev Neurosci. 2009 Jun 1;32(1):383–412.

40.   Gilmour D, Knaut H, Maischein HM, Nüsslein-Volhard C. Towing of sensory axons by
their migrating target cells in vivo. Nat Neurosci. 2004 May;7(5):491–2.

41.   Metcalfe WK. Sensory neuron growth cones comigrate with posterior lateral line
primordial cells in zebrafish. J Comp Neurol. 1985 Aug 8;238(2):218–24.

42.   Gasanov EV, Rafieva LM, Korzh VP. BDNF-TrkB Axis Regulates Migration of the
Lateral Line Primordium and Modulates the Maintenance of Mechanoreceptor Progenitors.
Proost P, editor. PLOS ONE. 2015 Mar 9;10(3):e0119711.

43.   Schuster K, Dambly-Chaudière C, Ghysen A. Glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor
defines the path of developing and regenerating axons in the lateral line system of
zebrafish. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2010 Nov 9;107(45):19531–6.

44.   Germanà A, Laurà R, Montalbano G, Guerrera MC, Amato V, Zichichi R, et al.
Expression of Brain-Derived Neurotrophic Factor and TrkB in the Lateral Line System of
Zebrafish During Development. Cell Mol Neurobiol. 2010 Jul;30(5):787–93.

45.   Kindt KS, Finch G, Nicolson T. Kinocilia Mediate Mechanosensitivity in Developing
Zebrafish Hair Cells. Dev Cell. 2012 Aug;23(2):329–41.

46.   Obholzer N, Wolfson S, Trapani JG, Mo W, Nechiporuk A, Busch-Nentwich E, et al.
Vesicular Glutamate Transporter 3 Is Required for Synaptic Transmission in Zebrafish Hair
Cells. J Neurosci. 2008 Feb 27;28(9):2110–8.

47.   Kwan KM, Fujimoto E, Grabher C, Mangum BD, Hardy ME, Campbell DS, et al. The
Tol2kit: A multisite gateway-based construction kit forTol2 transposon transgenesis
constructs. Dev Dyn. 2007 Nov;236(11):3088–99.

48.   Arshadi C, Günther U, Eddison M, Harrington KIS, Ferreira TA. SNT: a unifying
toolbox for quantification of neuronal anatomy. Nat Methods. 2021 Apr;18(4):374–7.

Figures and Figure Legends

Figure 1. Expression of Semaphorin7A in the zebrafish's lateral line. (A) A volumetric

rendering of a PLL neuromast depicts the sensory axons (magenta) that branch from the lateral

line nerve to arborize around the basolateral surface of the hair cell cluster (green). Additional

cell types in the neuromast are not labeled. Larval age, 3 dpf. (B) A schematic drawing of the

two variants of the Sema7A protein molecule depicts the full-length GPI-anchored form and the

smaller, potentially secreted form. Both the molecules include a signal sequence (green) and

a conserved sema domain (orange). Gel-based RT-PCR analysis indicates the presence of

both the variants in developing larva. (C) A surface micrograph of a neuromast at 3 dpf depicts

two pairs of mature hair cells (green dashed lines) and a pair of immature hair cells (grey

dashed lines). Inset: among the three pairs of hair cell apices, the immature pair is indicated

by arrowheads. Immunolabeling reveals that the Sema7A protein (orange) occurs consistently

at the subapical region (arrowheads) and at the basolateral surface (arrows) of a hair cell. In

this and in each of the subsequent neuromast images, anterior is to the left and dorsal to the

top. (D) A plot quantifies developmental changes in the average Sema7A intensity in both

rostrally and caudally polarized hair cells of neuromasts from 1.5 dpf to 4 dpf. The data stem

from 18, 36, 39, and 40 hair cells in neuromasts of respectively 1.5 dpf, 2 dpf, 3 dpf, and 4 dpf

larvae. (E) A plot quantifies the distribution of average Sema7A intensity along the hair cell's

apicobasal axis. The results stem from 52, 57, and 54 hair cells of neuromasts from 2 dpf,

3 dpf, and 4 dpf larvae. (F) An immunofluorescence image at the nuclear level of a 4 dpf

neuromast shows the contact of the sensory arbors (magenta) with the basolateral surface of

the hair cells (green). Immunolabeling for Sema7A (orange) reveals enrichment of the protein

at the hair cell bases and sensory-axon interfaces (arrowheads). HC, hair cell; Scale bars,

5 μm; au, arbitrary unit; means ± SEMs; *** implies $p < 0.001$.

sema7aF: 5´-GGTTTTTCTGAGGCCATTCC-3´
sema7aR1: 5´-GGCACTCGTGACAAATGCTA-3´
sema7aR2: 5´-TGTGGAGAAAGTCACAAAGCA-3´

sema7aF/R1 amplicon size: 912 bp
sema7aF/R2 amplicon size: 303 bp

Figure 1—figure supplement 1. Expression of Semaphorin7A in the lateral line neuromast. (A) Distinct sets of primers (arrows) identify the two variants of the Sema7A protein molecule. (B-D) Surface micrographs show hair cells marked with actin-GFP (green dashed lines) and the localization of Sema7A protein (orange) in the subapical (arrowheads) and basal regions (arrows) of the corresponding hair cells from neuromasts of developing control larvae.

The inset in panel B depicts the apices of the immature hair cell pair. (E) Immunolabeling with

only the secondary antibody fails to detect any Sema7A signal. (F) A schematic diagram of a

single hair cell depicts the three distinct regions along the apicobasal axis of the cell. Sema7A

intensity was measured along this apicobasal axis (red dashed line). (G). A surface micrograph

depicts hair cells (green, left) whose subapical region (red dashed line) harbors the Golgi

network (cyan, middle) where the Sema7A protein is enriched (orange, right). Scale bars, 5 μm.

Video 1. Sema7A is enriched at the hair cell base and sensory-axon interface. A through-

focus scan of a 4 dpf control neuromast depicts the hair cells (green) that are innervated by the

sensory axons (magenta) at their basolateral surfaces where the Sema7A protein (orange) is

highly enriched. Scale bar, 5 μm.

Figure 2. *sema7a^-/-* mutants display aberrant sensory axon arborizations. (A) In a micrograph of a 3 dpf *sema7a^-/-* neuromast, Myosin VI (green) marks the hair cells (green dashed lines) in which the level of Sema7A (orange) is highly reduced, with sporadic localization in the subapical region (arrowheads) and none in the basolateral (arrow) region. A plot of normalized Sema7A intensity from 99 control and 100 *sema7a^-/-* hair cells quantitates the effect. (B,C) Surface views of a control and a *sema7a^-/-* neuromast at 4 dpf depict the

interaction of the sensory arbors (magenta) with hair cell clusters (green). In the control, the

arbors intimately contact the hair cells, with a few exceptions (arrowhead). In the *sema7a^{-/-}*

mutant, the arbors direct many aberrant projections (arrowheads) away from the hair cell

cluster. The two immature hair cell pairs in the *sema7a^{-/-}* neuromast are indicated by arrows.

(D,E) Skeletonized networks portray the three-dimensional topology of the sensory arbors from

the control and the *sema7a^{-/-}* neuromasts depicted in panels B and C. The pseudocolored

trajectories depict the increase in arbor contour length from each point of arborization, defined

as the point at which the lateral line branch (magenta) contacts hair cell cluster.

(F,G) Micrographs depict the skeletonized networks of the combined 4 dpf hair cell clusters,

whose centers are located at (0,0). The X- and Y-coordinates represent the anteroposterior

(AP) and the dorsoventral (DV) axes of the larva, respectively. Positive values of the X- and

Y-coordinates represent the posterior and ventral directions, respectively. Combined

skeletonized network traces from 27 control and 27 *sema7a^{-/-}* mutant neuromasts are

represented. H) The plot denotes the densities of the sensory arbors around the center of the

combined hair cell clusters for 35 control (magenta) and 27 *sema7a^{-/-}* (red) neuromasts at 4 dpf.

The shaded area marks the region proximal to the boundary of the combined hair cell cluster.

(I) The plot quantifies the degree of contact of the sensory arbors to their hair cell clusters in

individual neuromasts from both control (magenta) and *sema7a^{-/-}* mutants (red), each point

represents a single neuromast. Thirty-three, 29, and 35 neuromasts were analyzed from 2 dpf,

3 dpf, and 4 dpf control larvae, respectively. Seventeen, 53, and 27 neuromasts were analyzed

from 2 dpf, 3 dpf, and 4 dpf *sema7a^{-/-}* mutant larvae, respectively. HC, hair cell; Scale bars,

5 μm; au, arbitrary unit; means ± SEMs; *** signifies $p < 0.001$; ** signifies $p < 0.01$.

 Figure 2—figure supplement 1. *sema7a^{-/-}* **mutants exhibit aberrant sensory-axon**

 arborization throughout development. (A) Micrographs depict the overall morphologies and

 sema7a genomic profiles of 2 dpf control (top) and *sema7a^{-/-}* larvae (bottom). (B) In a surface

 micrograph of a 3 dpf control neuromast, myosin VI (green) marks hair cells (green dashed

 lines). Sema7A (orange) protein is highly enriched in the subapical region (arrowheads) and

 basolateral (arrow) region of the hair cells. (C,D) Micrographs depict combined 4 dpf hair cell

 clusters, each of whose centers arise located at (0,0). The X- and Y-coordinates represent the

anteroposterior (AP) and dorsoventral (DV) axes of the larva, respectively. Positive values of

the X- and Y-coordinates represent posterior and ventral directions, respectively. Combined

hair cell clusters from 27 control and 27 *sema7a$^{-/-}$* mutant neuromasts are represented.

(E,F) Micrographs depict the skeletonized networks of the combined 2 dpf hair cell clusters

from 17 control and 17 *sema7a$^{-/-}$* mutant neuromasts. (G,H) Micrographs depict the

skeletonized networks of the combined 3 dpf hair cell clusters from 29 control and 29

sema7a$^{-/-}$ mutant neuromasts. (I) A plot quantitates the densities of the sensory arbors around

the center of the combined hair cell clusters for 33 control (magenta) and 17 *sema7a$^{-/-}$* (red)

neuromasts at 2 dpf. The shaded area marks the region proximal to the boundary of the

combined hair cell cluster. (J) A plot quantitates the densities of the sensory arbors around the

center of the combined hair cell clusters for 29 control (magenta) and 53 *sema7a$^{-/-}$* (red)

neuromasts at 3 dpf. The shaded area marks the region proximal to the boundary of the

combined hair cell cluster. Scale bar, 5 μm; au, arbitrary units.

Figure 2—figure supplement 2. Quantification of sensory arbor distributions and
contacts with hair cell clusters. (A) A schematic drawing of the combined hair cell cluster
and surrounding region depicts concentric sections of equal area in distinct colors (cyan, green,
yellow, and red). The arbor density is plotted as a histogram against the distance (black arrow)
from the center (black circle) of the combined hair cell cluster. The histogram is represented as
a density trace graph (magenta). (B) A schematic drawing of a posterior lateral-line neuromast
depicts the posterior lateral-line (PLL) nerve (black), posterior lateral-line branch (black), the
sensory arbors (magenta) that contact the hair cell cluster (green), and the sensory arbors that
project away (blue) from the hair cell cluster.

Video 2. Three-dimensional arborization patterns in control and *sema7a*^{-/-} neuromasts.

Lateral views depict three-dimensionally rendered combined skeletonized network traces from

27 control and 27 *sema7a*^{-/-} mutant neuromasts.

Figure 3. Sema7A patterns the topology of the sensory arbor network . (A) A schematic drawing of the combined hair cell cluster (green) and associated sensory axons (magenta) shows diverse topological features of the arborization network, including nodes, loops, bare terminals, node degree, and bare-terminal curvature. The apex is defined as the center of the hair bundle cluster and the base is denoted by the node from which the arborizations extends.

The relative organ height describes the position along the axis formed between the arborization

initiation point and the apex of the hair cell cluster. (B) The correlation between the relative

number of bare terminals and loops from 35 control neuromasts and 27 *sema7a*^{-/-} neuromasts

at 4 dpf shows a negative correlation in the control but a scattered distribution in the mutants.

The same neuromasts were analyzed in the subsequent panels. R^2, correlation coefficient.

Dashed red line depicts the regression slope. (C) The relationship of contour lengths to bare

terminals and loops reveals reduced looping in the mutants. (D) Quantification of the number

of nodes per loop demonstrates a decrease in mutants. (E) Mutants in the same larvae manifest

a broader distribution of loop lengths. (F) A schematic diagram of the extending bare terminals

depicts regions of high and low curvature along the length of the arbor. The distributions of

bare terminals between low- and high-curvature groups show an enrichment of long, highly

curved arbors in the control and short, linear arbors in the mutants. Statistical analyses are

displayed in Table 1.

 Figure 3—figure supplement 1. Quantification of topological features across

 developmental stages and genotypes. (A-C) The distribution of node degrees as a function

 of the height in hair cell clusters shows the difference in the network's hierarchical organization

 between control and *sema7a*$^{-/-}$ larvae. Nodes of low degree are commonly observed between

 genotypes across developmental stages (A, B), but their distribution along the cluster height

 became significantly different at 4 dpf (C), with nodes in control larvae localizing higher along

 the axis than in the mutants. In this and the following two panels, 33, 29, and 35 neuromasts

 were analyzed from 2 dpf, 3 dpf, and 4 dpf control larvae, respectively and 17, 53, and 27

 neuromasts were analyzed from 2 dpf, 3 dpf, and 4 dpf *sema7a*$^{-/-}$ mutant larvae, respectively

 (D, E) The correlations between the relative number of bare terminals and loops from control

and *sema7a$^{-/-}$* neuromasts reveal dispersive distributions at both 2 dpf (D) and 3 dpf (E) stages,

suggesting that the networks are undergoing reorganization and yet to adopt an ordered

topology. (F, G) In the distribution of arbors between bare terminals and loops with respect to

their contour lengths, mutants' arbors display significantly higher propensity to remain as bare

terminals compared to the controls at both 2 dpf (F) and 3 dpf (G) stages. The samples were

identical to those in the previous panel. Statistical analyses are displayed in Table 1.

 Figure 3—figure supplement 2. Quantification of topological features between control

 and *sema7a*-/- larvae across developmental stages. (A, B) Quantifications of the number of

 nodes per loop demonstrate a broader, but not significantly different, distribution in the mutants

 than the controls at both 2 dpf (A) and 3 dpf (B). In this and the following panels, 33 and 29

 neuromasts were analyzed from 2 dpf and 3 dpf control larvae, respectively and 17 and 53

 neuromasts were analyzed from 2 dpf and 3 dpf *sema7a*-/- mutant larvae, respectively. (C, D)

 Quantifications of loop lengths from the same larvae indicate a wider, but not significantly

 different, distribution in the mutants than the controls at both 2 dpf (C) and 3 dpf (D). (E, F) The

 distributions of bare terminals between low- and high-curvature groups from the same larvae

 show higher occurrences of long, curved arbors in the control and short, linear arbors in the

 mutants at both 2 dpf (E) and 3 dpf (F) stages. Statistical analyses are displayed in Table 1.

Height of node degrees	p-values (control vs $sema7a^{-/-}$)		
	2 dpf	3 dpf	4 dpf
Degree = 3	3.50E-01	1.05E-08*	1.68E-03*
Degree = 4	3.85E-01	7.11E-02	1.71E-03*
Degree = 5	4.60E-01	5.23E-01	4.40E-01
Bare terminals vs Loop	2 dpf	3 dpf	4 dpf
0 to 10 μm	3.84E-01	7.89E-01	2.11E-01
10 to 20 μm	3.50E-02*	3.92E-01	2.94E-02*
20 to 30 μm	2.28E-04*	2.63E-02*	3.82E-09*
30 to 40 μm	5.04E-59*	3.63E-25*	1.28E-33*
40 to 70 μm	——	——	——
Nodes per loop	5.06E-01	1.96E-01	3.50E-02*
Loop length	5.81E-01	2.71E-01	2.21E-02*
Distribution of bare terminal curvature	2 dpf	3 dpf	4 dpf
	4.23E-01	8.91E-01	1.99E-02*

Table 1. Statistical comparison between control and $sema7a^{-/-}$ larvae for topological features. One-sided Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests were used to assess the difference in node degree heights, nodes per loop, and loop lengths for control *versus* $sema7a^{-/-}$ neuromasts at 2 dpf, 3 dpf, and 4 dpf. In each of these cases, the difference in the distributions were not significantly different (p-values in red) between the control and the mutants at 2 dpf and 3 dpf but became significantly different (p-values in green) at 4 dpf. Chi-square tests were used to assess the difference in occurrences of bare terminals *versus* loops, as well as the occurrences of bare terminals with low *versus* high curvature. The distribution at 4 dpf shows significant difference between the control and the mutant larvae. The occurrence of significant differences at 4 dpf for diverse topological features suggest that Sema7A function is required to achieve an ordered network topology at a mature stage. Thirty-three, 29, and 35 neuromasts were

873   analyzed from 2 dpf, 3 dpf, and 4 dpf control larvae, respectively, and 17, 53, and 27

874   neuromasts were analyzed from 2 dpf, 3 dpf, and 4 dpf *sema7a*$^{-/-}$ mutant larvae, respectively.

875   * signifies $p < 0.05$.

 Figure 4. Ectopic Sema7A^sec diffusive cue provides neural guidance *in vivo*. (A) A

diagrammatic overview depicts the generation of a transgenic animal that expresses the

Sema7A^sec protein ectopically under the control of a thermally inducible promoter. Larvae with

ectopic myotomal-integration near the network of sensory arbors (red line) were imaged to

analyze arbor morphology. (B) A schematic drawing of a sensory arbor from a heat-shocked

larva depicts an extended axonal projection (arrowhead) that reaches toward the myofibers

expressing the ectopic Sema7A^{sec} protein. Parameters that quantitate the accuracy of the

extended axonal projections toward the ectopic Sema7A^{sec} sources are denoted. (C) In a

micrograph of an ectopically expressing Sema7A^{sec} (orange) larva, the sensory arbor

(magenta) extends two aberrant axonal projections. One elongates (cyan arrowhead) along

the somite boundary to reach and contact an ectopically integrated muscle progenitor cell

(white dashed line) and the other (red arrowhead) reenters the posterior lateral-line nerve while

following a second ectopic source. The through-focus scans (i–iii) from the epidermis to the

dermomyotome and the three-dimensional (3D) surface reconstruction (iv) reveal the intimate

contact between the aberrant sensory arbor (arrowheads) and the muscle progenitor cell. (D) In

a micrograph of an ectopically expressing Sema7A^{sec} (orange) larva, the sensory arbor

(magenta) extends a single aberrant axonal process (cyan arrowhead) to reach ectopically

integrated myofibers (white dashed line). The through-focus scans (i–iii) from the epidermis to

the dermomyotome and the 3D surface reconstruction (iv) reveal the proximal association of

the aberrant sensory arbor (arrowheads) to the myofibers. Melanocytes (yellow arrowhead)

along the horizontal myoseptum intermittently block the visibility of the lateral-line nerve. (E) An

injected, but not heat-shocked, control larva does not express ectopic Sema7A^{sec} and does not

show aberrant projection from the sensory arbor. (F) A plot demonstrates the accuracy of 18

extended axonal arbors in finding ectopic Sema7A^{sec} sources. Each circle represents a single

ectopic integration event. The two pairs of numbers represent the minimal and maximal lengths

of the projection path (black) and its corresponding source path (green). (G) A plot quantitates

the distribution of projection-proximity length from 18 ectopic integration events. MPC, muscle

progenitor cell; scale bars, 20 μm; means ± SEMs.

Figure 4—figure supplement 1. Ectopic Sema7A^sec diffusive cues induce aberrant neural arborizations. (A-A") In a micrograph of an ectopically expressing Sema7A^sec (orange) larva, the sensory arbors (magenta) extend multiple aberrant axonal projections (arrowheads) that reenter the lateral-line nerve while following an ectopic Sema7A source. Maximal-intensity projections of the sensory arbors and the ectopically expressing Sema7A cells (white dashed line) are shown in A' and A", respectively. (B-B") Micrographs depict three individual incidents of ectopic *sema7a^sec* (orange) integrations that occurred distant from neuromasts. In each case, a set of myofibers expresses the ectopic Sema7A^sec protein that induces neurite extensions (arrowheads) from the lateral-line nerve (magenta) toward itself. Scale bars, 20 μm.

Video 3. Sensory axonal projections contact an embryonic muscle progenitor cell

expressing Sema7A^{sec}. A through-focus scan of a 3 dpf larva depicts a muscle progenitor

cell in the dermomyotome that expresses the ectopic Sema7A^{sec} (orange) and the sensory

axonal projection (magenta) that makes intimate contact with it. Scale bar, 20 μm.

Video 4. Volumetric surface reconstruction of a sensory arbor and an embryonic muscle

progenitor expressing Sema7A^{sec}. Reconstruction of the muscle progenitor cell (orange) at

3 dpf reveals its intimate association with an attracted sensory arbor (magenta).

Video 5. Sensory axonal projections remain in proximity to myofibers expressing

Sema7A^{sec}. A through-focus scan of a 3 dpf larva depicts mature myofibers expressing

exogenous Sema7A^{sec} deep in the myotome (orange) and the sensory axonal projection

(magenta) that remains nearby.

Video 6. Volumetric surface reconstruction of a sensory arbor and the mature myofibers

expressing Sema7A^{sec}. Reconstruction of mature myofibers expressing ectopic Sema7A^{sec}

(orange) at 3 dpf shows their close association with the attracted sensory arbors (magenta).

Video 7. Aberrant axonal projections reenter the lateral-line nerve while following an

ectopic Sema7A^{sec} source. A through-focus scan of a 3 dpf larva depicts cells expressing

exogenous Sema7A^{sec} (orange) that guides the aberrant sensory axonal projection (magenta)

back into the lateral-line nerve. Scale bar, 20 μm.

Video 8, 9, and 10. Ectopic Sema7A^{sec} expression induces aberrant neurite projections

from the lateral-line nerve. Through-focus scans of 3 dpf larvae depict three individual

incidents of ectopic *sema7a^{sec}* (orange) integrations that occurred distant from the neuromasts.

In each case, myofibers express ectopic Sema7A^{sec} protein that attracts neurite extensions

(arrowheads) from the lateral-line nerve (magenta). Scale bars, 20 μm.

Figure 5. Sema7A deficiency impairs synaptic assembly. (A,B) Maximal-intensity projections of micrographs from a control and a *sema7a⁻ᐟ⁻* neuromast depict the presynaptic aggregates marked by CTBP (cyan). The approximate hair cell basal region is outlined by dashed green circles. (C-F) Plots quantitate the numbers of presynaptic aggregates (C,D) and their areas (E,F), in each hair cell across developmental stages. One hundred and fifty-two, 317, and 325 hair cells were analyzed from 2 dpf, 3 dpf, and 4 dpf control larvae, respectively.

One hundred and sixty, 216, and 177 hair cells were analyzed from 2 dpf, 3 dpf, and 4 dpf

sema7a^{-/-} mutant larvae, respectively. (I,J) Maximal-intensity projections from micrographs of

a control and a *sema7a*^{-/-} neuromast depict the postsynaptic aggregates marked by MAGUK

(yellow). The approximate hair cell basal region is outlined by dashed green circles. (K-N) Plots

quantitate the numbers of postsynaptic aggregates (K,L) and their areas (M,N), in each hair

cell across developmental stages. One hundred and fifty, 218, and 167 hair cells were analyzed

from 2 dpf, 3 dpf, and 4 dpf control larvae, respectively. Ninety-seven, 141, and 141 hair cells

were analyzed from 2 dpf, 3 dpf, and 4 dpf *sema7a*^{-/-} mutant larvae, respectively. Scale bars,

5 μm.

Figure   5—figure

supplement 1. *sema7a^{-/-}* mutants display impaired synaptic assembly. (A-F) The plots

quantitate the average numbers and areas of presynaptic aggregates from control and *sema7a⁻*

^{/-} neuromasts. Significant decreases in the numbers and areas of presynaptic aggregates are

observed across development. Three hundred and forty-one, 1229, and 1286 presynaptic

aggregates were analyzed from 2 dpf, 3 dpf, and 4 dpf control larvae, respectively. Two

hundred and thirty-five, 643, and 419 presynaptic aggregates were analyzed from 2 dpf, 3 dpf,

and 4 dpf *sema7a^{-/-}* mutant larvae, respectively. (G-L) The plots quantitate the average

numbers and areas of postsynaptic aggregates from control and *sema7a^-/-* neuromasts.

Significant decreases in the numbers and areas of postsynaptic aggregates occurred during

development. Three hundred and ninety-seven, 1243, and 1300 postsynaptic aggregates were

analyzed from 2 dpf, 3 dpf, and 4 dpf control larvae, respectively. Three hundred and four, 651,

and 451 postsynaptic aggregates were analyzed from 2 dpf, 3 dpf, and 4 dpf *sema7a^-/-* mutant

larvae, respectively. Means ± SEMs; *** implies $p < 0.001$.

Figure 6. Expression of diverse neural guidance cues in developing neuromasts. Analysis of single cell RNA-sequencing data (9) shows the expression of multiple neural guidance genes that are expressed across young hair cells (Y), mature hair cells (M), and support cells (SC). Dot sizes and colors signify the proportion of cells in each cluster that express a gene and the average strength of expression (log[(counts/10,000) +1]), respectively.