All boreal forest successional stages needed to maintain the full suite of soil biodiversity

following natural wildfire in jack pine-dominated forest ecosites

Teresita M. Porter[1,2*], Emily Smenderovac[1], Dave Morris[3], Lisa Venier[1]

[1] Natural Resources Canada, Great Lakes Forestry Centre, Sault Ste. Marie, CANADA

[2] University of Guelph, Centre for Biodiversity Genomics, Guelph, ON CANADA

[3] Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry, Thunder Bay, ON CANADA

Corresponding author:

Teresita M. Porter, terrimporter@gmail.com

Keywords: chronosequence, *Pinus banksiana*, 16S rRNA gene, internal transcribed

spacer (ITS), cytochrome c oxidase (COI), DNA metabarcoding, bacteria, fungi,

arthropods, Archaeorhizomycetes

Abstract

Wildfire is a natural disturbance in boreal forest systems that has been predicted to increase in frequency, intensity, and extent due to climate change. Most studies that use conventional methods tend to assess the recovery of one component of the community at a time but here we use DNA metabarcoding to simultaneously monitor soil bacteria, fungi, and arthropods along an 85-year chronosequence following wildfire. Here we describe soil successional and community assembly processes to better inform sustainable forest management practices. Soil taxa showed different recovery trajectories following wildfire. Soil bacteria shared a large core community across stand development stages (~ 95-97% SVs) and appeared to recover relatively quickly by crown closure. By comparison soil fungi and arthropods shared smaller core communities (fungi 64-77% SVs, arthropods 68-69% SVs) and each stage appeared to support unique biodiversity. We show the importance of maintaining a mosaic ecosystem that represents each stand development stage to maintain the full suite of biodiversity in soils following wildfire, especially for fungi and arthropods. These results will provide a useful baseline for comparison when assessing the effects of human disturbance such as harvest or for assessing the effects of more frequent wildfire events due to climate change.

Introduction

Climate change is one of the driving forces behind the anticipated increase in the frequency, intensity, and scale of wildfires in boreal regions.[1] Wildfire is a natural stand-replacing disturbance in boreal forest systems affecting forest dynamics, biodiversity,

and terrestrial carbon stocks that can reset ecological soil processes.[2] Boreal forests

are the second largest forest system after tropical seasonal forests and represent about

1.9 billion hectares in the northern hemisphere that are currently considered a net

carbon sink.[3–5] In Canada, jack pine (*Pinus banksiana*) is a common boreal forest

species, source of timber, lumber, and pulpwood, and is prone to fire disturbance.[6]

Jack pine requires fire for cone and seed release and, to clear vegetation to ensure

successful regeneration.[7] As a result there are many fire-origin jack pine stands at

various stages of establishment located in Canada. Understanding succession

following natural disturbance regimes, and the consequences of their perturbation due

to climate change, is needed to mitigate these changes and adjust current forest

management practices.[8,9]

The effects of wildfire on forested ecosystems are complex, affecting both the

above ground and below ground components.[10] Until now, most studies addressing

boreal forest succession after wildfire have each focused on one of a relatively narrow

suite of abiotic indicators of recovery such as soil nutrients, pH, fluxes in volatile organic

compounds, or biotic indicators of recovery such as plants, arthropods, mosses, birds,

or fungi .[4,11–17,2,18–21] Environmental DNA sampling, coupled with high throughput

sequencing and automated taxonomic assignment methods, also known as DNA

metabarcoding, makes it possible to conduct large-scale biological surveys for

biomonitoring.[22,23] This approach, sometimes referred to as 'biomonitoring 2.0', has

gained traction in government programs to improve scalability, reproducibility, and

throughput, reducing the turnaround time between data gathering and reporting.[24–29]

Starting with a bulk environmental sample (e.g. homogenized soil), whole community

DNA can be extracted without having to isolate or identify individual organisms,

processed and sequenced at scale, then taxonomically assigned with a measure of

confidence using bioinformatic methods.[30–32] When assessing the sustainability of forest

management practices, below-ground processes mediated by soil bacteria, fungi, and

arthropods should be taken into consideration and these DNA-based tools can help with

this.[10]

Wildfire severity has been shown to affect soil organisms crucial for nutrient

cycling, soil stabilization, and remediation, but it can be challenging to study below-

ground systems using conventional methods.[10,33,34] DNA-based methods can help

open up this 'black box'.[35] In the literature, bacterial communities following wildfire,

particularly those associated with charcoal, have been conducted mostly using DNA-

based methods such as qPCR, RFLP typing, and more recently high throughput DNA

metabarcoding.[36–40] In studies that survey the microbial community of bacteria and

fungi, molecular methods have been useful to facilitate rapid taxonomic identifications

with finer resolution. The fungal community following wildfire has been relatively well

studied, using conventional above-ground sporocarp sampling, using field or bioassay

ectomycorrhizal root tip morphotyping, but also using DNA-based methods.[41–46] Fire-

associated fungal species and the recolonization of surface soils from the fungal spore

bank, sclerotia, or surviving mycorrhizae from deeper soil layers have been studied.[47–

49,42,50] Arthropod communities following fire, or following the addition of wood ash, have

also been studied using conventional methods, the collection and morphological

identification of individuals, but also more recently using metabarcoding.[51–57] As a result

multi-marker DNA metabarcoding is well positioned to further our understanding of

community succession and assembly processes in soils, allowing researchers to

simultaneously monitor phylogenetically diverse taxa.

When the successional trajectories of interest exceed the lifespan of

investigators, sites at different time points since disturbance can be assessed with this

chronosequence providing a long-term perspective that would otherwise not be possible

through repeated measures at one site.[58] In this study, DNA metabarcoding allowed us

to simultaneously detect shifts in the soil microbiome, bacteria and fungi, as well as the

soil zoobiome, arthropods along an 80-year chronosequence following stand-replacing

wildfires.[59,28] The objective of this study was to establish a post-fire temporal baseline

of soil community recovery in boreal jack pine dominated forests. The results from this

study will improve our understanding of changes in the community assembly processes

through time. This natural disturbance baseline will provide a point of comparison to

human disturbance as a reference condition and can help us assess the importance of

older age classes in forests that typically suffer a truncation of age class distribution in

managed landscapes due to repeated harvests with shortened timber extraction rotation

ages.[60]

Results

Establishing a post-fire temporal baseline of soil community recovery

We compared bacterial, fungal, and arthropod communities across fire-origin

sites spanning 5 stand development stages (Table 1, Fig 1). Alpha diversity, sample-

based richness of sequence variants (SVs), increased from the establishment to crown

closure stage (Wilcox test, p-value = 0.01), then plateaued (Fig 2a) for all species

groups. Pairwise beta diversity was assessed between successive stand development

stages using Jaccard dissimilarity (Fig 2b). To determine the importance of two

possible processes driving this dissimilarity, species turnover or species nestedness

(loss of species from sites with higher to lower richness), we also present the turnover

and nestedness components. We found that the turnover component contributed more

towards Jaccard dissimilarity, indicating the relative importance of species replacement

from one stand development stage to the next. Gamma diversity, the total SV richness

at each stand development stage showed that diversity is highest for bacteria, and

across all taxa, at the crown closure stage, with the lowest diversity at the establishment

stage (Fig 2c).

Table 1. Overview of site characteristics.

	Stand Establishment	Crown Closure	Early Self-Thinning	Late Self-Thinning	Mature
Years since fire	12	20 - 21	37 - 38	56-60	81-90
Number of sites	4	3	3	2	3
Dominant understory[1]	Moss, deadwood (fire origin), blueberry	Moss, deadwood (fire origin), bunchberry	Moss, litter	Moss, deadwood (self-thinning origin), mayflower	Moss, lichen, honey suckle
Mean pH (B/O/M)[2]	5.68/5.88/5.73	5.19/4.96/5.43	5.00/4.62/5.51	5.17/4.69/5.32	5.12/4.55/5.23
Mean total organic carbon (g/kg)	244	333	330	336	323
Mean total nitrogen (g/kg)	8.58	9.07	7.65	8.67	7.81

[1] Taxa with 80% + coverage

[2] Soil layers: bryophyte and leaf litter (B), organic (O), mineral (M)

Fig 1. Sampling locations in northern Ontario, Canada. Inset map shows the Great

Lakes region and the square point shows Ignace. Scale bar shows 50 km increments.

Map tiles by Stamen Design, under CC BY 3.0. Data by OpenStreetMap, under ODbL.

Fig 2. Contrasting patterns of diversity recovery seen for soil bacteria, fungi, and

arthropods. Recovery trends across all soil layers are shown in terms of: a) alpha

diversity based on sequence variant richness, b) beta diversity based on Jaccard

dissimilarity including the turnover and nestedness components, and c) gamma diversity

based on total SV richness across all sites at each stand development stage.

Abbreviations: establishment (E), crown closure (CC), early self-thinning (EST), late

self-thinning (LST), mature (M).

Indirect gradient analysis using non-metric multi-dimensional scaling (NMDS)

indicated a shift in the species composition across each stand development stage for

each organismal group (Fig 3). Both soil bacteria (stress=0.07, linear R^2= 0.98) and soil

arthropods (stress=0.10, R^2=0.95) were distinct especially at the establishment stage.

In contrast, soil fungi were distinct at each stand development stage (stress=0.08,

R^2=0.97). Generally, soil communities at the establishment stage were correlated with

increasing pH (p-value < 0.05). Subsequent stand development stages were correlated

with decreasing pH (p-value < 0.05) and increasing total organic carbon (p-value <

0.05). Variance in beta diversity measured using Sorensen dissimilarities was

homogenous across successive stand development stages for all taxa indicating that

differences in community composition are driven largely by differences among stages

as opposed to differences within the stages. For bacteria, fungi, and arthropods stand

development stage was found to explain 44.7%, 40.6%, and 32.2% of the variance in

beta diversity (PERMANOVA, p = 0.001).

Fig 3. Contrasting recovery patterns of soil taxa along an 80-year

chronosequence following natural wildfire disturbance. Community shifts for soil

bacteria (a,b), fungi (c,d), and arthropoda (e,f) are shown using non-metric

multidimensional scaling plots of Sorensen dissimilarities (left column) and whole

community nestedness based on overlap and decreasing fill (NODF) (right column).

The percentage of sequence variants shared from one stand development stage to the

next is also shown (g). Abbreviations: total nitrogen (g/kg) (TN), total organic carbon

(g/kg) (TOC); establishment (E), crown closure (CC), early self-thinning (EST), late self-

thinning (LST), mature (M).

Pairwise permutational analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) comparisons across

successive stand development stages showed that community composition was usually

significantly different between each successive development stage for all taxa (p-value

~ 0.01). The exception was for bacteria, whose community composition was not

significantly different between the crown closure and self-thinning stage (p-value >

0.05). Even at broader levels of resolution, i.e., from SVs to genera to family rank, soil

communities still appear to be distinct from one development stage to the next (Fig S1).

The exceptions are for the bacterial community resolved to the genus rank that is not

significantly different from the crown closure to self-thinning stages and the fungal

community resolved to the genus rank where there was not a significant difference

between the late self-thinning to mature stages.

Processes that describe community assembly patterns

Whole-community nestedness analysis helped us assess how species were

appearing and disappearing from stages over time (Fig 3b,d,f). Nestedness based on

overlap and decreasing fill (NODF) measures nestedness both in terms of species

compositions (highest richness in top row, lowest richness in bottom row) and in terms

of species occupancy across development stages (highest occupancy towards the left,

lowest occupancy towards the right). NODF is measured on a scale from 0 to 100

(perfect nestedness) and significance is assessed with respect to a null distribution.

The NODF profiles show the proportion of sequence variants that form the core

community and are found across every stand development stage (stacked grey blocks

towards the left). The grey and white bars to the right represent sequence variants that

appear (grey) and disappear (white) across stand development stages.

In contrast with Fig 2b that focused on the drivers of community dissimilarity,

Jaccard turnover and nestedness, Fig 3 looks at the whole community nestedness or

connectance, species common across all stages and those that come and go. Soil

bacterial (NODF 43.8, p-value 0.001), fungal (64.9, 0.001), and arthropods (63.4, 0.001)

were significantly more nested than null expectations. Note that in contrast with

calculations of nestedness temperature, SVs present in each stand development stage

do not contribute towards the NODF score, avoiding over-estimation of nestedness

structure. [61,62] In Fig 3b,d,f, the order of nestedness provides insights into succession

for all taxa: 1) the crown closure stage is always at the top, suggesting that some of the

species composition for later stages are inherited from the crown closure stage, 2)

establishment communities are nested below mature communities suggesting that at

least part of the mature community carries over into the establishment stage following

wildfire disturbance.

Bacterial community composition (rows) appears to be chronologically nested

from the crown closure stage onwards (Fig 3b). A large proportion of the bacterial

community is also similar across all stand development stages (grey block to the left), a

result corroborated by low Jaccard dissimilarity values from Fig 2b and clustering of

stand development stages in Fig 3a. This result contrasts with fungal and arthropod

communities where stand development stage richness increases from the

establishment to crown closure stages, then decreases to the onset of self-thinning and

late self-thinning stages, followed by an increase at the mature stage (Fig 3d,f).

Generally, fungi and arthropod communities show smaller core communities, with a

smaller subset of species being inherited through successional stages following crown

closure. Each stage harbours its own unique community of successional species that

shift over time, a result corroborated by the ordinations shown in Fig 3c,e. We also

calculated the proportion of sequence variants shared between pairwise comparisons of

successive stand development stages. For example, when comparing the

establishment to crown closure stage (E-CC), 97% of bacterial sequence variants from

the establishment stage were also detected in the crown closure stage. Approximately

95-97% of bacterial and 64-77% of fungal and arthropod sequence variants were

shared between stages. Following wildfire disturbance, about 83% of bacteria and 36-

43% of fungi and arthropod sequence variants from the mature stage were detected in

the establishment soil communities.

Simulating the truncation of stand development stages

We simulated shorter fire return intervals and losing older age classes (Fig 4)

on gamma diversity, the total number of unique sequence variants across all sites, and

found that gamma diversity decreased as later stand development stages are lost,

especially for fungi and arthropods (Fig 4b). This implies that each stand development

stage is important for maintaining total soil biodiversity, especially for fungi and

arthropods. We also found that whole community nestedness decreased for all taxa,

and again, especially for fungi and arthropods (Fig 4c). Nestedness was significantly

greater (p-value < 0.05) than null expectations when at least three of the early stand

development stages are present. When only establishment and crown closure stages

are compared, nestedness is not significantly different from a randomized community.

As these simulations show that whole community nestedness decreased, this result

would suggest that communities may be becoming less stable and more susceptible to

the loss of rare species as later stand development stages are lost. We also assessed

nestedness and specialization patterns for the major fungi taxonomic and functional

groups. Fungal undefined saprotrophs, ectomycorrhizal fungi, and all major taxonomic

groups showed greater nestedness than null expectations (p-value < 0.05) (Fig S2, S3).

All major fungal groups also showed greater specialization to a particular stand

development stage than null expectations (p-value = 0.001). This suggests that stand

development stage may 'select for' particular fungal taxa and functions, a result

corroborated by the large number of stand specific bioindicators that were detected in

this study, described in the next section.

Fig 4. Each stand development stage is important for maintaining gamma

diversity and whole community nestedness. Stand development stages for a) the

full cycle, establishment to mature (E->M), is compared with truncated cycles where the

oldest age class is sequentially removed. We show changes in simulated b) gamma

diversity and c) nestedness based on overlap and decreasing fill (NODF). For NODF,

nestedness is statistically greater than null expectations (p-value < 0.05) for each cycle

except for the shortest (E->CC). Abbreviations: establishment (E), crown closure (CC),

early self-thinning (EST), late self-thinning (LST), mature (M), nestedness based on

overlap and decreasing fill (NODF).

Identifying taxa and functions associated with post-fire recovery

The core communities, taxa detected at each stand development stage, were also described. The major fungal taxonomic groups detected across each stand development stage included the Agaricomycetes (many produce mushrooms),

Leotiomycetes (many are plant pathogens), Dothideomycetes (diverse ecological roles),

Eurotiomycetes (diverse ecological roles, some produce toxic or useful secondary

metabolites), and unidentified fungi (Fig 5a). For the fungal community, we also

mapped ecological function to assess the trajectory of recovery for major functional

groups (Fig 5b). It can be informative to compare changes in diversity based on

taxonomic groups, as well as based on function, decoupled from taxonomy. The

abundance of major taxonomic and functional groups varied across each stand

development stage, with two notable trends: 1) a decrease in the relative abundance of

fire-associated fungi and 2) an increase in the relative abundance of ectomycorrhizal

fungi from the establishment to onset of self-thinning stage.

Fig 5. Major groups vary across the post-fire chronosequence. The relative read

abundance of a) fungal classes, b) fungal ecological guilds and traits, c) bacterial

classes, and d) arthropod classes are shown. Major groups that comprise at least 5%

of the relative read abundance in at least one stand development stage are highlighted

in color and labelled. Abbreviations: establishment (E), crown closure (CC), early self-

thinning (EST), late self-thinning (LST), mature (M).

We expected that fire-associated taxa would be found at earlier stand

development stages based on the existing literature. To ensure that DNA

metabarcoding was successfully detecting taxa that we would expect to be present in

our sites, we screened our samples for pyrophilous bacteria, fungi, and arthropods. For

fungi, 8 species of previously known fire-associated fungal taxa, based on conventional

survey methods in the literature, were detected in this study including *Anthracobia*

macrocystis, *Coltricia perennis*, *Coprinellus micaceus*, *Gymnopilus decipiens*,

Hygrocybe conica, *Morchella exuberans*, *Sphaerosporella brunnea*, and *Thelephora*

terrestris (Fig S4, Table S1). Because 46 out of 105 (43%) fire-associated fungal

species from previous studies were not represented in our reference sequence

database, we also summarized our results to the genus rank where only 8 out of 56

(14%) targeted fire-associated fungal genera were missing. Twenty-four additional taxa

that are known to be associated with fire were also detected. Of these, unidentified

Pyronemataceae were represented by 4 unique species hypothesis (SH) groupings. In

the UNITE database, these SHs were comprised of sequences belonging to the heat-

resistant *Trichophaea abundans*, the cosmopolitain post-fire *Anthracobia melaloma*, as

well as the fire-associated cup-fungi genera *Scutellinia,* and *Byssonectria*.[63,33,64,65] The

frequency and diversity of fire-associated fungal species was highest at the

establishment stage, declining over time. Fungal genera, on the other hand, appeared

cosmopolitan across development stages and likely includes species that are not strictly

fire-associated.

Bacterial communities were dominated by bacterial classes expected to be

present in forest soils such as Alphaproteobacteria, Acidobacteria,

Gammaproteobacteria, Betaproteobacteria, Planctomycetacia, and Chitinophagia (Fig

5c).[66] An assessment of bacterial functional profiles, however, was difficult as ~ 60% of

bacterial reads could not be assigned and the remaining could only be assigned high-

level functional classifications such as chemoheterotrophy, aerobic chemoheterotrophy,

and chloroplast functions (Fig S5).

The dominant arthropod taxa included Insecta, Arachnida, and Collembola (Fig

5d). An assessment of arthropod functional profiles was also challenging because

online databases often focus on traits for freshwater rather than terrestrial taxa (Fig S6).

Although we were able to create a reference set of traits compiled based a combination

of online databases and literature searches, up to ~25% of arthropod reads could not be

functionally assigned. Additionally, some arthropod families represent such a diverse

array of ecological functions that functional assignment to the species rank would be

ideal but not feasible using the semi-automated methods applied in this study.

We also looked for additional sequence variants that were significantly

correlated with each development stage (Table S2). The number of stand development

stage bioindicators in fire-origin sites was greatest for bacteria (381 indicator SVs/1533

SVs total, 24.8% bioindicator SVs), followed by fungi (296/1258 SVs, 23.5%), and least

for arthropods (200/1091, 18.3%). The number of bioindicator sequence variants was

highest for the establishment stage (357 in total, 180 bacteria, 128 fungi, 49

arthropods). Taxa associated with the establishment stage include bacterial

Sphingomonas, *Mucilaginibacter*, and *Streptophyta*; fungal *Suillus* and *Pezoloma*; and

arthropod *Microcerotemes* and *Phortica*. The number of bioindicators was lowest in the

crown closure and early self-thinning stages (93 and 65 SVs respectively). The second

highest number of bioindicators were for the late self-thinning stage (242 in total, 102

bacteria, 73 fungi, 67 arthropods). Taxa associated with the late self-thinning stage

included bacterial *Mucilaginibacter*, *Flavitalea*, and *Phenylobacterium*; fungal *Piloderma*

and *Tomentella*; and arthropod *Moritzoppia*. The third highest number of bioindicators

were for the mature stage (120 in total, 61 bacteria, 26 fungi, 33 arthropods). Taxa

associated with the mature stage included bacterial *Granulicella*, *Acidibacter*, and

Roseiarcus; fungal *Archaeorhizomycetes* and *Infundichalara*; and arthropod

Ceratozetes, *Entomobrya*, *Moritzoppia*, *Orconectes*, *Winthemia*, and *Zhuginia*. These

additional results further suggest that stand development stages are distinct

communities that are significantly correlated with specific sets of taxa.

Discussion

Our study demonstrates that each successional stage contributes unique

biodiversity in multiple taxonomic groups. Of the groups examined here, this

uniqueness is more evident for fungi and soil arthropods than for bacteria which appear

to be more ubiquitous. This result has significant implications for the future of soil

biodiversity in a changing climate where fire return intervals are expected to shorten,

resulting in a truncation in the age class distribution across broad ecoregions.[67] More

specifically, habitats for the biodiversity unique to the oldest forests are expected to

decline. From a forest management perspective within the managed forest landscape,

our results support an adjustment to the current forest management planning and

harvest scheduling approach (i.e., currently the "oldest first" approach) to ensure

adequate traits of the oldest forests (e.g., old growth) are being maintained.

Fire-associated bacteria, fungi, and arthropods do not necessarily require fire to

complete their life cycles, but may share traits such as heat resistance, are

cosmopolitan, have thermotolerant structures, fast colonization/growth, and the ability to

acquire resources in an environment altered by fire.[68,52,38,69,65,70,46] For instance,

pyrophilous or fire-loving fungi often appear after fire and represent a range of species

that may be fire-adapted, cosmopolitan, or opportunists; some pyrophilous fungi have

even been identified living as endophytes inside mosses and living as endolichenic

fungi of lichens .[47,48,65,46] For example, *T. terrestris*, known to disperse quickly and

colonize roots from spores, was found more frequently in our study in early stages, but

was detectable from every stage.[71] This is consistent with a previous study in a jack

pine dominated chronosequence that found *T. terrestris* to be one of the dominant

ectomycorrhizal fungi associated with early stage samples 5-11 years post-wildfire.[71]

Here, DNA metabarcoding detected known pyrophilous fungi that were more diverse

and frequent in establishment sites compared with later stand development stages as

well as fungal SVs significantly correlated with post-fire sites at the establishment stage.

An unexpected taxon identified in our study, Archaeorhizomycete fungi, does not

form above-ground sporocarps so are not typically known as a pyrophilous taxon,

however, recent studies that have used DNA metabarcoding have found these fungi to

be abundant following wildfire.[39,72] Archaeorhizomycete fungi were initially described

from marker gene studies of soil, found to have a global distribution, and later two

species were isolated as slow-growing cultures.[73–75] In a study of soil fungi from a

subtropical peatland, Archaeorhizomycete fungi had high relative abundance

responding to low- and high-intensity fire at various soil depths.[72] Another study has

specifically linked Archaeorhizomycete fungi with decreased soil water repellency and

increased soil moisture content, following wildfire in a European pine stand.[39] In this

study, we detected Archaeorhizomyces SVs as bioindicators of establishment and

mature stand development stages, representing the 11th most abundant class of fungi

based on relative read abundance.

There were, however, pyrophilous taxa that we did not detect in our study. For

example, we did not detect *Pyronema* spp. or *Pholiota carbonaria* that have been found

to produce fruiting bodies following wildfire.[76,77,44] We also did not detect any

pyrophilous insects. The lack of detection may have been due to a lack of

representation in the underlying reference sequence database used making it

problematic for identifying pyrophilous insects. Secondly, our first set of samples were

at the establishment stage 12 years post-fire whereas most studies of pyrophilous taxa

usually occurs within days to weeks following the fire disturbance, so the opportunity to

detect these taxa in our study may have passed.

Soil bacteria had a large core community that shared many sequence variants

across stages. Community composition was also chronologically nested from the crown

closure stage onwards. We saw distinct species-inheritance structures through time,

i.e., organisms that colonized earlier persist across stages presumably so long as they

have a niche, with other taxa coming and going. These results suggest that soil

bacteria may be resistant to fire disturbance and recovery relatively quickly. These

findings are in agreement with a meta-analysis of 131 studies that also found that soil

bacteria tended to be more resilient to fire than soil fungi, showing a lower effect of fire

on bacterial richness and diversity compared with fungi.[43] Our results are also in

alignment with a previous study in a Chinese boreal forest that found that the bacterial

community recovered quickly in terms of richness and composition by 11 years, with

recovery correlated with edaphic soil properties such as changes in pH, moisture, and

nitrate.[78] Our natural disturbance results are also consistent with controlled soil heating

experiments that showed relatively rapid bacterial recovery compared to fungi, where

the authors suggested that higher soil pH favored bacterial growth and possible

competitive interactions between soil bacteria and fungi that resulted in extended

recovery time for fungi.[79] When describing recovery following wildfire, bacteria may be

better suited for assessing early successional changes rather than for assessing longer

term changes beyond the crown closure stage.

Soil fungi and arthropods in this study shared relatively small core communities

carried through each stand development stage and each stage appeared to support

distinct and unique community assemblages. For these groups, the order of

nestedness in terms of species composition was not strongly related to chronology,

rather there is an increase in richness from the establishment to crown closure stage,

then there is species loss with the onset of self-thinning and late self-thinning stages

which were compositionally nested within the crown closure stage, followed by an

increase in richness at the mature stage. Compared with bacteria, a greater proportion

of fungal and arthropod sequence variants come and go suggesting dynamic reshaping

of the communities that become more or less diverse from one stage to the next

following crown closure. These results are consistent with a previous study that found

ectomycorrhizal succession after fire was best described with respect to stand

development as opposed to categories such as early/multi-staged/late successional

types.[80] The large number of stand development stage bioindicator taxa we detected

further supports this stand development stage approach to post-disturbance

assessment of biotic recovery. To maintain the full suite of biodiversity in jack-pine

dominated boreal forest soil communities, it will be important to maintain a mosaic of

stand development stages across broad forested landscapes.

Increases in the frequency, intensity, and scale of wildfires in boreal regions have

been predicted due to climate change. [81,82,67,1,2,83] In addition, there is evidence that

current forest harvest levels over large scales has also resulted in a truncation of age class

distributions which is also likely to result in a reduction in biodiversity associated with these

older age classes.[60] In this study, we show a simulation where, as recovery time

decreases (i.e., truncation of later stand development stages), we see decreasing

landscape-level diversity. Although simple diversity metrics like richness appear to

plateau quickly, the underlying community composition can take significantly longer to

'recover', especially for fungi and arthropods. In jurisdictions where forest management

policy, guidelines, and practices are mandated to emulate natural disturbance, simply

looking for convergence across stand development stages may not be overly effective

when assessing sustainability since this approach may not adequately account for

changes in landscape-scale diversity. Successional species adapted to specific stand

development stages, especially later stages, could be lost if not actively preserved.

Forest management policies, planning, and practices should not only actively

control/suppress wildfires but should also be designed to maintain a mosaic of stand

development stages across broad landscapes (e.g., FMU – forest management unit or

ecoregional scales) to better preserve the taxonomic and functional diversity they,

collectively, represent.

Another consequence of truncated recovery times following wildfire disturbance,

is the decreasing stability of soil communities. In this study, when we simulated the loss

of older age classes, we observed a decrease in whole community nestedness. Based

on the network interaction literature, increased nestedness or connectance leads to

increased robustness; whereas decreased connectedness may result in communities

that are more susceptible to random extinctions.[62,84] In a jack pine system that depends

on ectomycorrhizal fungi, an interruption or truncation in community assembly

processes could hinder forest recovery following wildfire.[85] Although in the literature fire

has been described as a driver of fungal diversity[46], wildfire disturbance initially

decreases the diversity of all taxa in the short term especially when fire severity is

high.[78,86] Fungal taxa and functional groups have also been shown to vary with respect

to fire severity tolerance with basidiomycetes and ectomycorrhizal fungi shown to have

relatively low tolerance to fire.[87] In our study, the relative abundance of ectomycorrhizal

fungi did not plateau until the onset of self-thinning stage (20 – 37 years) yet the

underlying ectomycorrhizal community composition was distinct at each stand

development stage. As a comparison, in a British Columbia mixed temperate forest

ectomycorrhizal fungal diversity plateaued by 26 years but community composition did

not stabilize until 65 years.[80] If wildfire frequency continues to increase under climate

change as predicted, the recovery time of important ecological guilds such as

ectomycorrhizal fungi could be short-circuited, ultimately affecting nutrient dynamics in

boreal forest soils, and, in turn, may effect tree seedling establishment and growth.

Conclusions

473   Soil DNA metabarcoding was an effective tool to simultaneously survey a

comprehensive set of taxa across diverse groups of bacteria, fungi, and arthropods.

This study contributes to the literature by broadening the window of observation of the

occurrence and distribution of pyrophilous taxa, but future studies would benefit from

improved reference sequence databases to minimize false-negatives (false-absences).

The utility of this technique should improve over time with continued growth in

taxonomic and functional reference databases. Community differences across stand

development stages had a large turnover component, implicating the importance of

species replacement during succession. The implication for forest management is the

need to preserve the complete range of stand development stages to maintain a full

suite of biodiversity, including successional species, especially for fungi and arthropods.

The biotic characterization of soils in these development stages will act as an important

baseline for future work to assess the stability of soil networks and sustainability of

harvest. Lastly, silvicultural practices such as vegetation control may alter the natural

successional pathways and have the potential to change soil faunal assemblages. To

examine this explicitly we are planning future chronosequence work to examine the

post-harvest biodiversity relative to the post-fire biodiversity to better understand the

implications of harvest disturbance on soil biodiversity over the long-term through all

successional stages.

Methods

Study Site Selection

This study used the chronosequence approach that replaces time (repeated

measures) with space, with the underlying assumption that the primary differentiating

factor between sites both within and between disturbance types is time since

disturbance.[88] To conform to the assumption noted above, our principal selection

criterion was based on similarity in the basic site characteristics (*e.g.*, climate, soils, tree

species composition, and productivity). Sites were separated by at least 1km and

represent independent stands, although some were the result of the same large-scale

wildfire events. All of the burned sites experienced severe fires with Fire Weather Index

(FWI) values ranging from 17.8 to 44.6 in the wildfire sites and 12.5 to 44.6 in the

salvage sites. A total of 31 sites were included in this study (Table 1, Fig 1)

representing wildfire origin stands (14), full-tree clearcut harvests (11), and post-wildfire

salvage stands (6). These sites were selected to represent stand development stages,

with up to three replicates per disturbance type and stand development stage. Beyond

confirmed stand age, final selection and placement within stand development stages

was based on key stand attributes (i.e., establishment - uniformly established pine

regeneration along with low shrub and herbaceous species present; crown closure -

interlocking crowns with some evidence of self-pruning and a reduction of herbaceous

cover; early self-thinning - some canopy differentiation, with smaller trees beginning to

die; late self-thinning - most lower canopy pine dead, with spaced out larger trees;

mature - some random mortality of dominant trees, with some ingressed black spruce in

the lower to mid-canopy). We were able to locate harvest replicate stands from

establishment out to the late self-thinning stage (approximately 60 years). Post-wildfire

salvage operations have only become more common over the past 20 years in Ontario's

northwest region, so these sites only represent the establishment and crown closure

stages.

All study sites experience cold continental climates, with annual precipitation

ranging from 68 to 82 cm yr^{-1} (growing season precipitation from 41 to 49 cm yr^{-1}),

annual mean temperatures of 1.0^{o}C to 1.8^{o}C, and Growing Degree-Days (GDD) from

1112 to 1263. The soils for all of the study sites represent well-drained, outwash sands,

with well-developed Orthic Humo-Ferric Podzolic profiles. All stands were jack pine-

dominated (*Pinus banksiana*), with many having minor amounts of black spruce (*Picea*

mariana), trembling aspen (*Populus tremuloides*), and white birch (*Betula paperifera*).

The majority of the stands are classified as Site Class I (Plonski 1981), with estimated

site index values ranging between 18-22 m at breast height age 50.

Soil Sampling and Laboratory Analysis

As part of a larger project, 3 – 400 m^{2} (11.28 m radius) permanent growth plots

(PGPs) were randomly established at each study site and were located at least 50 m

apart from each other. Three 15 m transects (9 per site) to measure downed woody

debris were superimposed over each of the PGPs. The first transect was oriented

based on a random azimuth, with the remaining two transects offset 120° to form a

triangle. Soil sampling was done at each transect endpoint (points of the triangle),

giving 9 soil sampling locations per site.

In 2017, soil profiles at each of the 9 within-site locations were sampled, with the

organic horizon (i.e., LFH: litter, fermentation, and humus layers) and upper mineral soil

(MIN, 0-10 cm) collected separately. Soil was extracted using a 5 cm diameter PVC

pipe driven to a depth of 10 cm into the mineral soil. PVC pipes were sanitized with

bleach or concentrated alcohol before sampling was performed. All sampling was

completed with gloves to reduce cross-contamination. Samples were immediately

frozen at -20°C after sampling and held at that temperature until analysis. The collected

mineral soil consisted of a mix of the Ae and upper Bf horizons, which, through

pedogenetic processes, have different physical and chemical properties. Additional

samples of the LFH and mineral soil horizons (Ae, Bf collected separately) were also

collected for pH and chemical determinations. Once back in the laboratory, fresh (field

moist) subsamples were tested using an Oakton pHTestr5 for distilled water and $CaCl_2$

pH in a 2:1 slurry that was shaken and let stand for 10 minutes before the pH reading

was taken. The remaining samples were air-dried, and either ground in a Wiley mill

(forest floor samples) or sieved through a 2mm sieve and ground using a mortar and

pestle (mineral soil samples). Total soil C concentrations (%) was determined by dry

combustion using a LECO CNS-2000 analyzer (LECO Corporation, St. Joseph, MI,

USA). Soil nitrogen (N) concentrations were determined by the semi-micro-Kjeldahl

procedure (Kalra and Maynard 1991). Exchangeable cations were determined by ICAP

in unbuffered 1 mol L^{-1} NH_4Cl solution.[89] Extractable phosphorus (P) was determined

by ICAP in Bray and Kurtz No. 1 extractant.[89] Individual concentrations were adjusted

for air-dried moisture content and reported on an oven-dried basis (mg kg^{-1}).

Molecular biology methods & sequencing

DNA extractions performed by NRCan lab using 0.25 g of soil with the MoBio

PowerSoil DNA Isolation Kit following the manufacturer's except that 200 ul of 100 mM

$AlNH_4(SO_4)_2$ was added to the tube along with soil and Solution C1 followed by a 10

minute incubation at 70°C to help lyse cells. Bacterial and fungal communities were

enriched by targeting the 16S v4-v5 region using the 515F-Y (5' - adapter + *primer* - 3')

TCGTCGGCAGCGTCAGATGTGTATAAGAGACAG + *GTGYCAGCMGCCGCGGTAA*

and 926R GTCTCGTGGGCTCGGAGATGTGTATAAGAGACAG +

CCGYCAATTYMTTTRAGTTT primers; and the ITS2 region using the ITS9

TCGTCGGCAGCGTCAGATGTGTATAAGAGACAG + *GAACGCAGCRAAIIGYGA* and

ITS4 GTCTCGTGGGCTCGGAGATGTGTATAAGAGACAG +

TCCTCCGCTTATTGATATGC primers. [90–92] Invertebrate communities were enriched

using two sets of primers targeting the COI gene F230R_modN marker using the

LCO1490 (5' - adapter + *primer* - 3')

TCGTCGGCAGCGTCAGATGTGTATAAGAGACAG +

GGTCAACAAATCATAAAGATATTGG and the 230R_modN

GTCTCGTGGGCTCGGAGATGTGTATAAGAGACAG +

CTTATRTTRTTTATNCGNGGRAANGC primer adapted from Gibson *et al.* 2015 to

include N's instead of inosine bases; and the BE marker using the B

TCGTCGGCAGCGTCAGATGTGTATAAGAGACAG + *CCIGAYATRGCITTYCCICG*

and E GTCTCGTGGGCTCGGAGATGTGTATAAGAGACAG +

GTRATIGCICCIGCIARIAC primers.[93–95] For each sample a PCR cocktail of 5 µl

template DNA (5 ng/µl in 10 mM Tris pH 8.5), 0.5 µl each of 10 µM forward and reverse

primer, 25 µl HotStar Taq plus master mix kit 2x, and 19 µl of sterile water. PCR cycling

conditions were as follows: 95°C for 5 minutes followed by 30 cycles (16S) or 35 cycles

(ITS) or 40 cycles (COI) of 95°C for 30 seconds, 50°C for 30 seconds, and 72°C for 1

minute, followed by a final extension of 72°C for 5 minutes (10 minutes for COI) and

hold at 4°C.

PCR products were visualized on GelRed-stained 1% agarose gels using the

ChemiGenius Bioimaging System (Syngene, Cambridge, UK). PCR products were

purified using 81 µl of magnetic bead solution (Agencourt AMPure XP, Beckman Coulter

Life Science, Indianapolis, IN, USA) according to Illumina's protocol.[96] Indexes were

added to each sample by amplifying 5 µl of the purified PCR product with 25 µl of KAPA

HIFI HotStart Ready Mix, 5 µl of each Nextera XT Index Primer (Illumina Inc., San

Diego, CA, USA) and 10 µl of UltraPure DNase/RNase-Free Distilled Water for a total

volume of 50 µl. Thermal cycling conditions were as follows: 3 min at 98°C, 8 cycles of

30 sec at 98°C, 30 sec at 55°C, 30 sec at 72°C, and a final elongation step of 5min at

72 °C. Indexed amplicons were purified with the magnetic beads as previously

described, quantified using a Qubit dsDNA BR Assay Kit (Life Technologies) and

combined at equimolar concentration. Paired-end sequencing (2 × 250 bp) of the pools

was carried out on an Illumina MiSeq at the National Research Council Canada,

Saskatoon. 15% PhiX was added to help compensate for low sequence heterogeneity

on the plate.

Bioinformatic methods

Demultiplexed, paired-end Illumina reads were analyzed on the General Purpose

Science Cluster, a high performance computing cluster provided by Shared Services

Canada. Metabarcodes were processed using the MetaWorks v1.9.3 multi-marker

metabarcode pipeline using the standard exact sequence variant (ESV) workflow.[97]

Briefly, reads were paired using SEQPREP v1.3.2 using the default parameters except

that the Phred score quality cutoff was set to 20 and the minimum overlap was set to 25

bp.[98] Primers were trimmed using CUTADAPT v3.2 using the default parameters

except that the minimum sequence length was set to 150 bp, Phred quality score cutoffs

at the ends was set to 20, and the maximum number of N's was set to 3.[99] Reads were

dereplicated and denoised using VSEARCH v2.15.2, setting the minimum cluster size to

retain to 3, so clusters with only 1 or 2 reads were removed as noise.[100] This workflow

generates sequence variants (SVs), also referred to as amplicon sequence variants

(ASVs), exact sequence variants (ESVs), or zero-radius OTUs (ZOTUs) in the literature,

and are clusters of sequences that represent a unique sequence.[101,102] The 16S

metabarcodes were taxonomically assigned using the RDP Classifier v2.13 using the

built-in 16S reference set.[30] The minimum bootstrap support value was set to 0.80 at

each taxonomic rank as recommended. The ITS metabarcodes were taxonomically

assigned using the RDP Classifier with a custom-trained reference set based on the

UNITE v8.2, QIIME release for Fungi v 04.02.2020, available from

https://github.com/terrimporter/UNITE_ITSClassifier.[103] Outgroup taxa (single-celled

eukaryotes) were added from the RDP Classifier 2014 UNITE ITS reference were

added to the newer custom-trained ITS classifier used here.[104] A selection of outgroup

taxa (plants) were also added from the PLANiTS plant ITS reference set by clustering

their dataset by 50% sequence similarity in VSEARCH, and adding the centroid

sequence to the ITS classifier used here.[105] Leave-one-sequence-out testing showed

that fungal ITS taxonomic assignments using at least 200bp queries are at least 90%

correct at the kingdom rank and 80% correct at the phylum - family rank assuming that

the query sequence is represented in the reference set. Genus level assignments are

at least 80% correct when using a bootstrap support cutoff of 0.70. The COI

metabarcodes were taxonomically assigned using the RDP classifier with a custom-

trained reference set.[32] As a part of a larger study, we analyzed a total of 4,454,896

reads (16S 1,548,803; ITS 1,540,489; BE 68,396; F230 1,297,208) corresponding to

64,350 unique sequence variants (16S 45,354; ITS 13,248; BE 992; F230 4,756) from

wildfire sites.

Data analysis

All data analysis was conducted in RStudio 2021.09.0 build351 using R

v4.1.1.[106,107] Diversity analyses were carried out with the vegan package.[108] For each

sample, sequence reads were rarefied using the 'rarecurve' function to ensure that

sequencing depth was sufficient across samples (Fig S5). In subsequent richness and

beta diversity analyses, rare SVs that comprised less than 0.01% of reads were further

removed as these may be unreliable representing artefactual sequences or affected by

tag-jumping.[109] SV richness was assessed using the 'specnumber' function. Normality

was assessed visually using the 'ggqqplot' function from the ggpubr library and the base

R Shapiro-Wilk test 'shapiro.test' function, and t-tests were used to compare samples

against the reference condition.[15] "Holm" adjusted p-values are shown for multiple

comparisons. Beta diversity was assessed from binary stand development stage x

sequence variant matrices to calculate Jaccard dissimilarity as well as the turnover and

nestedness components using the nestedbetajac function in the vegan package.[111]

Gamma diversity was calculated as the total number of unique SVs found across all

sites and development stages. Differences in community composition were assessed

using binary Bray Curtis dissimilarity matrices created using the 'vegdist' function with

binary=TRUE in PERMANOVA and pairwise PERMANOVA comparisons.[108]

Community shifts were visualized using non-metric multidimensional scaling plots were

calculated using the 'metaMDS' function using a presence-absence matrix and Bray

Curtis dissimilarity (Sorensen dissimilarities), using 3 dimensions after running

'dimcheckNMDS' from the goeveg package and setting trymax=100.[112] Stress was

assessed using the 'stressplot' function. Dispersion was assessed using the

'betadisper' function and the base R 'anova' function. Environmental variables were fit

to the ordination using the 'envfit' function with 999 permutations, and only variables

with a p-value < 0.05 were plotted. All plots were created using ggplot2 and the map

was created using ggmap.[113,114]

Bacterial taxonomic assignments were retained if they had at a bootstrap value

of least 0.80 as recommended on the RDP Classifier website.[30] To assess functional

composition, 16S sequences were assigned using FAPROTAX v1.2.4. Since

FAPROTAX is optimized to work with SILVA 128 or 132 taxonomies, our denoised-

chimera-free SVs were re-assigned using the SILVA 132 taxonomy using qiime2

v2021.11, rescript, and biom. This was done by using rescript to download the SILVA

132 SSU nr99 sequences and taxonomy files, culling sequences using the default

settings (5+ ambiguous bases and homopolymers 8+ bp in length), filtering out short

sequences using the default settings (removing archaea < 900 bp, bacteria < 1200 bp,

and eukaryote < 1400 bp), dereplicating the sequences using p-mode 'uniq'. A

16Sv4v5 amplicon-region specific classifier was created by extracting this region using

the 515f and 926r primers, using rescript to dereplicate the extracted sequences using

p-mode 'lca' and p-perc-identity 0.97 then evaluating and fitting a naive Bayes classifier.

Using the SILVA 132 dataset, this classifier had the following metrics: precision 0.95

(species) - 1 (Domain), recall 0.87 - 1, and F-measure 0.91 - 1. Our denoised-chimera

free SVs were imported into qiime and classified. These taxonomic assignments were

combined with our SV table (SVs x samples) and used with FAPROTAX to assign

function to our 16S SVs. Unassigned SVs were labeled 'Unknown'. To try to improve

the annotation of terrestrial bacteria, additional annotations for nitrogen-fixers,

potassium- and phosphate-solubilizers, and chitinolytic bacteria were added.[115–118]

Fungal taxonomic assignments at the class rank were estimated to be at least

95% correct. Genera were retained if bootstrap values were at least 0.70 for

assignments that were at least 80% correct. Species were retained if the bootstrap

values were at least 0.80 for assignments that were at least 70% correct based on leave

one sequence out testing using a reference sequence database based on UNITE and

assuming that query taxa are present in the reference set.[103] ITS SVs were screened

for the presence of known pyrophilous (fire-loving) fungi based on studies that used

sporocarp sampling at the genus and species ranks.[44,48,77] Fungal ITS sequences were

then assigned using the FungalTraits database by importing their reference set into

R.[119] Functional assignments (trophic_mode_fg) were assigned to our SVs at the

genus rank. Unassigned SVs were labeled 'Unknown'. We attempted to improve the

guild & trait annotations from FungalTraits by adding additional information from the

literature for rhizomorph-forming, ericoid, and fire-associated fungi (based on sporocarp

sampling).

Arthropod taxonomic assignments at the class rank were estimated to be 99%

correct. Genera were retained if the bootstrap value was at least 0.30 for assignments

that are at least 99% correct. Species were retained if the bootstrap support value was

at least 0.70 for assignments that were at least 95% correct based on leave one

sequence out testing and assuming that the query taxa are present in the refence set.[32]

Arthropod SVs at the family rank were assigned function from several databases.

Fungal feeding groups (6 categories: collector-filterer (CF), collector-gatherer (CG),

herbivore (scraper) (HB), shredder (SH), predator (piercer, engulfer), parasite (PA))

were obtained from the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)

Freshwater Biological Traits Database available from

https://www.epa.gov/risk/freshwater-biological-traits-database-traits .[120] Feeding types

according to Moog, 1995 and feeding habits according to Tachet et al., 2010 were

obtained from the freshwaterecology.info database and re-coded to match the EPA

functional feeding groups plus 'Other' for other feeding types.[121–123] At the family rank, it

is sometimes possible to assign multiple functions, so these were concatenated into

their own functional categories. Unassigned SVs were labeled 'Unknown'. Note that

these macroinvertebrate trait databases are largely focused on aquatic species. To

improve the proportion of terrestrial species annotated, we broadly annotated the

Arachnida to the order rank; the Collembola were broadly annotated at the class rank;

and the Hymenoptera were annotated to the family rank.[124–128] COI species and genera

were screened for the presence of known pyrophilous (fire-loving) insects.[52–54]

We used the Pearson's phi coefficient of association with binary data to assess

the strength of association between potential bioindicator taxa and development stage

in fire-origin sites using the multipatt function from the 'indicspecies' package.[129] We set

the multipatt function to 'r.g' to correct for groups that may have more samples than

others, with duleg set to TRUE to avoid considering combinations of groups, with 9999

permutations. We only retained potential bioindicators if the p-value was < 0.05.

Nestedness based on overlap and decreasing fill (NODF) was calculated using

the nestednodf algorithm with the oecosimu function with 999 replicates to generate a

null distribution to assess significance.[62] Specialization, or the extent that the stand

development stage 'selects for' sequence variants, was assessed with the network-wide

H_2' index using the H2fun function from the bipartite package and significance was

assessed by comparison with a null distribution based on 999 randomized matrices

generated with the r2dtable function.[130]

We simulated the truncation of stand development stages due a decreased fire

return interval. We assessed the effect of progressively removing the oldest stand

successional stages on gamma diversity to assess the effect on landscape diversity and

on NODF to assess the effect on community nestedness and stability.

References

References

1. Seidl, R., Schelhaas, M.-J. & Lexer, M. J. Unraveling the drivers of intensifying forest

disturbance regimes in Europe: DRIVERS OF FOREST DISTURBANCE INTENSIFICATION. *Global*

Change Biology **17**, 2842–2852 (2011).

2. Kelly, R. *et al.* Recent burning of boreal forests exceeds fire regime limits of the past 10,000

years. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **110**, 13055–13060 (2013).

3. Potter, C. S., Matson, P. A., Vitousek, P. M. & Davidson, E. A. Process modeling of controls on

nitrogen trace gas emissions from soils worldwide. *J. Geophys. Res.* **101**, 1361–1377 (1996).

4. Apps, M. J. *et al.* Boreal forests and tundra. *Water, Air, and Soil Pollution* **70**, 39–53 (1993).

5. Brandt, J. P., Flannigan, M. D., Maynard, D. G., Thompson, I. D. & Volney, W. J. A. An

introduction to Canada's boreal zone: ecosystem processes, health, sustainability, and

environmental issues. *Environ. Rev.* **21**, 207–226 (2013).

6. Rudolph, T. D. & Laidly, P. R. Pinus banksiana Lamb. jack pine. in *Silvics of North America*

280–293 (1990).

7. Cayford, J. H. & McRae, D. J. The Ecological Role of fire in Jack Pine Forests. in *The Role of Fire*

in Northern Circumpolar Ecosystems 183–199 (John Wiley & Sons Ltd., 1983).

8. O'Hara, K. L. & Ramage, B. S. Silviculture in an uncertain world: utilizing multi-aged

management systems to integrate disturbance. *Forestry* **86**, 401–410 (2013).

9. O'Hara, K. L. What is close-to-nature silviculture in a changing world? *Forestry* **89**, 1–6 (2016).

10.   Neary, D. G., Klopatek, C. C., DeBano, L. F. & Ffolliott, P. F. Fire effects on belowground

sustainability: a review and synthesis. *Forest Ecology and Management* **122**, 51–71 (1999).

11.   Visser, S. Ectomycorrhizal fungal succession in jack pine stands following wildfire. *New*

Phytologist **129**, 389–401 (1995).

12.   Lynham, T. J., Wickware, G. M. & Mason, J. A. Soil chemical changes and plant

succession following experimental burning in immature jack pine. *Can. J. Soil. Sci.* **78**, 93–104

(1998).

13.   Buddle, C. M., Spence, J. R. & Langor, D. W. Succession of Boreal Forest Spider

Assemblages Following Wildfire and Harvesting. *Ecography* **23**, 424–436 (2000).

14.   Venier, L. A. & Pearce, J. L. Boreal bird community response to jack pine forest

succession. *Forest Ecology and Management* **217**, 19–36 (2005).

15.   Schieck, J. & Song, S. J. Changes in bird communities throughout succession following

fire and harvest in boreal forests of western North America: literature review and meta-

analyses. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* **36**, 1299–1318 (2006).

16.   Turetsky, M. R., Mack, M. C., Hollingsworth, T. N. & Harden, J. W. The role of mosses in

ecosystem succession and function in Alaska's boreal forestThis article is one of a selection of

papers from The Dynamics of Change in Alaska's Boreal Forests: Resilience and Vulnerability

in Response to Climate Warming. *Can. J. For. Res.* **40**, 1237–1264 (2010).

17.   Keeley, J. E., Pausas, J. G., Rundel, P. W., Bond, W. J. & Bradstock, R. A. Fire as an

evolutionary pressure shaping plant traits. *Trends in Plant Science* **16**, 406–411 (2011).

18.   Clemmensen, K. E. *et al.* Carbon sequestration is related to mycorrhizal fungal

community shifts during long-term succession in boreal forests. *New Phytol* **205**, 1525–1536

(2015).

19.   Pausas, J. G. Generalized fire response strategies in plants and animals. *Oikos* **128**, 147–

153 (2019).

20.   Bognounou, F. *et al.* Early avian functional assemblages after fire, clearcutting, and post-

fire salvage logging in North American forests. *Can. J. For. Res.* **51**, 393–407 (2021).

21.   Zhang-Turpeinen, H. *et al.* Age-related response of forest floor biogenic volatile organic

compound fluxes to boreal forest succession after wildfires. *Agricultural and Forest*

Meteorology **308–309**, 108584 (2021).

22.   Taberlet, P., Coissac, E., Pompanon, F., Brochmann, C. & Willerslev, E. Towards next-

generation biodiversity assessment using DNA metabarcoding. *Molecular ecology* **21**, 2045–

2050 (2012).

23.   Taberlet, P., Coissac, E., Hajibabaei, M. & Rieseberg, L. H. Environmental DNA. *Molecular*

ecology **21**, 1789–1793 (2012).

24.   Baird, D. J. & Hajibabaei, M. Biomonitoring 2.0: a new paradigm in ecosystem

assessment made possible by next-generation DNA sequencing. *Molecular ecology* **21**, 2039–

2044 (2012).

25.   Leese, F. *et al.* DNAqua-Net: Developing new genetic tools for bioassessment and

monitoring of aquatic ecosystems in Europe. *Research Ideas and Outcomes* **2**, e11321 (2016).

26.   Darling, J. A. How to learn to stop worrying and love environmental DNA monitoring.

Aquatic Ecosystem Health & Management **22**, 440–451 (2019).

27.   Porter, T. M. & Hajibabaei, M. Scaling up: A guide to high-throughput genomic

approaches for biodiversity analysis. *Molecular Ecology* **27**, 313–338 (2018).

28.   Edge, T. A. *et al.* The Ecobiomics project: Advancing metagenomics assessment of soil

health and freshwater quality in Canada. *Science of The Total Environment* **710**, 135906

(2020).

29.   Robinson, C. V. *et al.* Combining DNA and people power for healthy rivers: Implementing

the STREAM community-based approach for global freshwater monitoring. *Perspectives in*

Ecology and Conservation **19**, 279–285 (2021).

30.   Wang, Q., Garrity, G. M., Tiedje, J. M. & Cole, J. R. Naive Bayesian Classifier for Rapid

Assignment of rRNA Sequences into the New Bacterial Taxonomy. *Applied and*

Environmental Microbiology **73**, 5261–5267 (2007).

31.   Creer, S. *et al.* The ecologist's field guide to sequence-based identification of

biodiversity. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* **7**, 1008–1018 (2016).

32.   Porter, T. M. & Hajibabaei, M. Automated high throughput animal CO1 metabarcode

classification. *Scientific Reports* **8**, 4226 (2018).

33.   Claridge, A. W., Trappe, J. M. & Hansen, K. Do fungi have a role as soil stabilizers and

remediators after forest fire? *Forest Ecology and Management* **257**, 1063–1069 (2009).

34.   Filialuna, O. & Cripps, C. Evidence that pyrophilous fungi aggregate soil after forest fire.

Forest Ecology and Management **498**, 119579 (2021).

35.   Horton, T. R. & Bruns, T. D. The molecular revolution in ectomycorrhizal ecology:

peeking into the black-box. *Molecular ecology* **10**, 1855–1871 (2001).

36.   Noyce, G. L. *et al.* Soil microbial responses to wood ash addition and forest fire in

managed Ontario forests. *Applied Soil Ecology* **107**, 368–380 (2016).

37.   Smenderovac, E. E. *et al.* Does intensified boreal forest harvesting impact soil microbial

community structure and function? *Can. J. For. Res.* **47**, 916–925 (2017).

38.   Whitman, T. *et al.* Soil bacterial and fungal response to wildfires in the Canadian boreal

forest across a burn severity gradient. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* **138**, 107571 (2019).

39.   Hewelke, E. *et al.* Soil Functional Responses to Natural Ecosystem Restoration of a Pine

Forest Peucedano-Pinetum after a Fire. *Forests* **11**, 286 (2020).

40.   Woolet, J. & Whitman, T. Pyrogenic organic matter effects on soil bacterial community

composition. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* **141**, 107678 (2020).

41.   Baar, J., Horton, T. R., Kretzer, A. M. & Bruns, T. D. Mycorrhizal colonization of *Pinus*

muricata from resistant propagules after a stand-replacing wildfire. *New Phytologist* **143**,

409–418 (1999).

42.   Glassman, S. I., Levine, C. R., DiRocco, A. M., Battles, J. J. & Bruns, T. D. Ectomycorrhizal

fungal spore bank recovery after a severe forest fire: some like it hot. *ISME J* **10**, 1228–1239

(2016).

43.   Pressler, Y., Moore, J. C. & Cotrufo, M. F. Belowground community responses to fire:

meta-analysis reveals contrasting responses of soil microorganisms and mesofauna. *Oikos*

128, 309–327 (2019).

44.   Hughes, K. W. *et al.* Pyrophilous fungi detected after wildfires in the Great Smoky

Mountains National Park expand known species ranges and biodiversity estimates.

Mycologia **112**, 677–698 (2020).

45.   Tomao, A., Antonio Bonet, J., Castaño, C. & de-Miguel, S. How does forest management

affect fungal diversity and community composition? Current knowledge and future

perspectives for the conservation of forest fungi. *Forest Ecology and Management* **457**,

117678 (2020).

46.   Fox, S. *et al.* Fire as a driver of fungal diversity — A synthesis of current knowledge.

Mycologia 1–27 (2022) doi:10.1080/00275514.2021.2024422.

47.   Zak, J. C. & Wicklow, D. T. Structure and composition of a post-fire ascomycete

community: role of abiotic and biotic factors. *Can. J. Bot.* **58**, 1915–1922 (1980).

48.   Dix, N. J. & Webster, J. Phoenicoid Fungi. in *Fungal Ecology* (Springer, 1995).

49.   Torres, P. & Honrubia, M. Changes and effects of a natural fire on ectomycorrhizal

inoculum potential of soil in a Pinus halepensis forest. *Forest Ecology and Management* **96**,

189–196 (1997).

50.   Dahlberg, A. Effects of fire on ectomycorrhizal fungi in Fennoscandian boreal forests.

Silva Fenn. **36**, (2002).

51.   Wikars, L.-O. Clear-cutting before burning prevents establishment of the fire-adapted

Agonum quadripunctatum (Coleoptera: Carabidae). *Annales zoologici FEnnici* **32**, 375–384

(1995).

52.   Wikars, L.-O. Effects of forest fire and the ecology of fire-adapted insects. (Uppsala

University, 1997).

53.   Wikars, L.-O. The Wood-Decaying Fungus Daldinia loculata (Xylariaceae) as an Indicator

of Fire-Dependent Insects. *Ecological Bulletins* **49**, 263–268 (2001).

54.   Wikars, L.-O. Dependence on Fire in Wood-living Insects: An Experiment with Burned

and Unburned Spruce and Birch Logs. *Journal of Insect Conservation* **6**, 1–12 (2002).

55.   Higgins, J. W., Cobb, N. S., Sommer, S., Delph, R. J. & Brantley, S. L. Ground-dwelling

arthropod responses to succession in a pinyon-juniper woodland. *Ecosphere* **5**, art5 (2014).

56.   Venier, L. A. *et al.* Ground-dwelling arthropod response to fire and clearcutting in jack

pine: implications for ecosystem management. *Can. J. For. Res.* **47**, 1614–1631 (2017).

57.   Smenderovac, E. *et al.* Forest soil biotic communities show few responses to wood ash

applications at multiple sites across Canada. *Sci Rep* **12**, 4171 (2022).

58.   Walker, L. R., Wardle, D. A., Bardgett, R. D. & Clarkson, B. D. The use of

chronosequences in studies of ecological succession and soil development:

Chronosequences, succession and soil development. *Journal of Ecology* **98**, 725–736 (2010).

59.   Berg, G. *et al.* Microbiome definition re-visited: old concepts and new challenges.

Microbiome **8**, 103 (2020).

60.   Venier, L. A. *et al.* Effects of natural resource development on the terrestrial biodiversity

of Canadian boreal forests. *Environ. Rev.* **22**, 457–490 (2014).

61.   Atmar, W. & Patterson, B. D. The measure of order and disorder in the distribution of

species in fragmented habitat. *Oecologia* **96**, 373–382 (1993).

62.   Almeida-Neto, M., Guimarães, P., Guimarães, P. R., Loyola, R. D. & Ulrich, W. A

consistent metric for nestedness analysis in ecological systems: reconciling concept and

measurement. *Oikos* **117**, 1227–1239 (2008).

63.   Fujimura, K. E., Smith, J. E., Horton, T. R., Weber, N. S. & Spatafora, J. W. Pezizalean

mycorrhizas and sporocarps in ponderosa pine (Pinus ponderosa) after prescribed fires in

eastern Oregon, USA. *Mycorrhiza* **15**, 79–86 (2005).

64.   Šimonovičová, A., Nováková, A., Pangallo, D., Hnátová, V. & Hubka, V. The occurrence of

heat-resistant species of Trichophaea abundans in different types of soil in Slovakia and

Czech Republic. *Biologia* **69**, 168–172 (2014).

65.   Raudabaugh, D. B. *et al.* Where are they hiding? Testing the body snatchers hypothesis

in pyrophilous fungi. *Fungal Ecology* **43**, 100870 (2020).

66.   Janssen, P. H. Identifying the Dominant Soil Bacterial Taxa in Libraries of 16S rRNA and

16S rRNA Genes. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **72**, 1719–1728 (2006).

67.   Podur, J. & Wotton, M. Will climate change overwhelm fire management capacity?

Ecological Modelling **221**, 1301–1309 (2010).

68.   Egger, K. N. Substrate Hydrolysis Patterns of Post-Fire Ascomycetes (Pezizales).

Mycologia **78**, 771–780 (1986).

69.   Zak, J. C. & Wildman, H. G. FUNGI IN STRESSFUL ENVIRONMENTS. in *Biodiversity of Fungi*

303–315 (Elsevier, 2004). doi:10.1016/B978-012509551-8/50017-9.

70.   Enright, D. J., Frangioso, K. M., Isobe, K., Rizzo, D. M. & Glassman, S. I. Mega-fire in

redwood tanoak forest reduces bacterial and fungal richness and selects for pyrophilous taxa

that are phylogenetically conserved. *Molecular Ecology* mec.16399 (2022)

doi:10.1111/mec.16399.

71.   LeDuc, S. D., Lilleskov, E. A., Horton, T. R. & Rothstein, D. E. Ectomycorrhizal fungal

succession coincides with shifts in organic nitrogen availability and canopy closure in post-

wildfire jack pine forests. *Oecologia* **172**, 257–269 (2013).

72.   Tian, J. *et al.* Response of fungal communities to fire in a subtropical peatland. *Plant Soil*

466, 525–543 (2021).

73.   Schadt, C. W., Martin, A. P., Lipson, D. A. & Schmidt, S. K. Seasonal dynamics of

previously unknown fungal lineages in tundra soils. *Science* **300**, 1275–1277 (2003).

74.   Porter, T. M. *et al.* Widespread occurrence and phylogenetic placement of a soil clone

group adds a prominent new branch to the fungal tree of life. *Molecular Phylogenetics and*

Evolution **46**, 635–644 (2008).

75.   Rosling, A. *et al.* Archaeorhizomycetes: Unearthing an Ancient Class of Ubiquitous Soil

Fungi. *Science* **333**, 876–879 (2011).

76.   Jacobson, D. J. *et al. Neurospora* in temperate forests of western North America.

Mycologia **96**, 66–74 (2004).

77.   Bruns, T. D., Chung, J. A., Carver, A. A. & Glassman, S. I. A simple pyrocosm for studying

soil microbial response to fire reveals a rapid, massive response by Pyronema species. *PLoS*

ONE **15**, e0222691 (2020).

78.   Xiang, X. *et al.* Rapid recovery of soil bacterial communities after wildfire in a Chinese

boreal forest. *Sci Rep* **4**, 3829 (2015).

79.   Bárcenas-Moreno, G. & Bååth, E. Bacterial and fungal growth in soil heated at different

temperatures to simulate a range of fire intensities. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* **41**, 2517–

2526 (2009).

80.   Twieg, B. D., Durall, D. M. & Simard, S. W. Ectomycorrhizal fungal succession in mixed

temperate forests. *New Phytologist* **176**, 437–447 (2007).

81.   Weber, M. G. & Flannigan, M. D. Canadian boreal forest ecosystem structure and

function in a changing climate: impact on fire regimes. **5**, 22 (1997).

82. Gauthier, S. *et al. Ecosystem Management in the Boreal Forest*. (Presses de l'Université

du Québec, 2009).

83. Gauthier, S., Bernier, P., Kuuluvainen, T., Shvidenko, A. Z. & Schepaschenko, D. G. Boreal

forest health and global change. *Science* **349**, 819–822 (2015).

84. Dunne, J. A., Williams, R. J. & Martinez, N. D. Network structure and biodiversity loss in

food webs: robustness increases with connectance. *Ecol Letters* **5**, 558–567 (2002).

85. Policelli, N., Horton, T. R., Hudon, A. T., Patterson, T. R. & Bhatnagar, J. M. Back to Roots:

The Role of Ectomycorrhizal Fungi in Boreal and Temperate Forest Restoration. *Front. For.*

Glob. Change **3**, 97 (2020).

86. Pulido-Chavez, M. F., Alvarado, E. C., DeLuca, T. H., Edmonds, R. L. & Glassman, S. I.

High-severity wildfire reduces richness and alters composition of ectomycorrhizal fungi in

low-severity adapted ponderosa pine forests. *Forest Ecology and Management* **485**, 118923

(2021).

87. Holden, S. R., Rogers, B. M., Treseder, K. K. & Randerson, J. T. Fire severity influences the

response of soil microbes to a boreal forest fire. *Environ. Res. Lett.* **11**, 035004 (2016).

88. Dyck, W. J. & Cole, D. W. Strategies for determining consequences of harvesting and

associated practices on long-term productivity. in *Impacts of forest harvesting on long-term*

site productivity 13–40 (Springer, 1994).

89. Kalra, Y. P. & Maynard, D. G. *Methods manual for forest soil and plant analysis*. (1991).

90. Parada, A. E., Needham, D. M. & Fuhrman, J. A. Every base matters: assessing small

subunit rRNA primers for marine microbiomes with mock communities, time series and

global field samples. *Environ Microbiol* **18**, 1403–1414 (2016).

91.   Menkis, A. *et al.* Occurrence and impact of the root-rot biocontrol agent Phlebiopsis

gigantea on soil fungal communities in Picea abies forests of northern Europe. *FEMS*

Microbiology Ecology **81**, 438–445 (2012).

92.   White, T. J., Bruns, T., Lee, S. & Taylor, J. Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal

ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. in *PCR Protocols: A Guide to Methods and*

Applications 315–322 (Academic Press, Inc., 1990).

93.   Folmer, O., Black, M., Hoeh, W., Lutz, R. & Vrijenhoek, R. DNA primers for amplification

of mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I from diverse metazoan invertebrates.

Molecular marine biology and biotechnology **3**, 294–299 (1994).

94.   Gibson, J. *et al.* Large-Scale Biomonitoring of Remote and Threatened Ecosystems via

High-Throughput Sequencing. *PLOS ONE* **10**, e0138432 (2015).

95.   Hajibabaei, M., Spall, J. L., Shokralla, S. & van Konynenburg, S. Assessing biodiversity of a

freshwater benthic macroinvertebrate community through non-destructive environmental

barcoding of DNA from preservative ethanol. *BMC Ecology* **12**, 28 (2012).

96.   Illumina. 16S metagenomic sequencing library preparation - Preparing 16S ribosomal

RNA gene amplicons for the Illumina MiSeq System.

https://support.illumina.com/downloads/16s_metagenomic_sequencing_library_preparatio

n.html (2013).

97.   Porter, T. M. & Hajibabaei, M. MetaWorks: A flexible, scalable bioinformatic pipeline for

high-throughput multi-marker biodiversity assessments. *PLoS ONE* **17**, e0274260 (2022).

98.   St. John, J. SeqPrep. https://github.com/jstjohn/SeqPrep/releases (2016).

99. Martin, M. Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing

reads. *EMBnet. journal* **17**, pp-10 (2011).

100. Rognes, T., Flouri, T., Nichols, B., Quince, C. & Mahé, F. VSEARCH: a versatile open

source tool for metagenomics. *PeerJ* **4**, e2584 (2016).

101. Callahan, B. J., McMurdie, P. J. & Holmes, S. P. Exact sequence variants should replace

operational taxonomic units in marker-gene data analysis. *The ISME Journal* **11**, 2639–2643

(2017).

102. Edgar, R. C. UNOISE2: improved error-correction for Illumina 16S and ITS amplicon

sequencing. *bioRxiv* (2016) doi:10.1101/081257.

103. Abarenkov, K. *et al.* UNITE QIIME release for Fungi. Version 04.02.2020. UNITE

Community. (2020).

104. Abarenkov, K. *et al.* The UNITE database for molecular identification of fungi – recent

updates and future perspectives. *New Phytologist* **186**, 281–285 (2010).

105. Banchi, E. *et al.* PLANiTS: a curated sequence reference dataset for plant ITS DNA

metabarcoding. *Database* **2020**, baz155 (2020).

106. RStudio Team. RStudio: Integrated Development Environment for R.

http://www.rstudio.com/ (2016).

107. R Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. https://www.R-

project.org/ (2018).

108. Oksanen, J. *et al.* vegan: Community Ecology Package. R package version 2.5-2.

https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=vegan (2018).

109.   Bokulich, N. A. *et al.* Quality-filtering vastly improves diversity estimates from Illumina

996   amplicon sequencing. *Nature Methods* **10**, 57–60 (2013).

997   110.   Kassambara, A. ggpubr: 'ggplot2' Based Publication Ready Plots. (2020).

998   111.   Baselga, A. & Orme, C. D. L. betapart⬚: an R package for the study of beta diversity:

999   *Betapart package. Methods in Ecology and Evolution* **3**, 808–812 (2012).

1000   112.   Goral, F. & Schellenberg, J. goeveg: Functions for Community Data and Ordinations.

1001   https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=goeveg (2018).

1002   113.   Wickham, H. *ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis*. (Springer-Verlag, 2009).

1003   114.   Kahle, D. & Wickham, H. ggmap: Spatial Visualization with ggplot2. *The R Journal* **5**, 144–

1004   161 (2013).

1005   115.   Sansupa, C. *et al.* Can We Use Functional Annotation of Prokaryotic Taxa (FAPROTAX) to

1006   Assign the Ecological Functions of Soil Bacteria? *Applied Sciences* **11**, 688 (2021).

1007   116.   Puri, A., Padda, K. P. & Chanway, C. P. Evaluating lodgepole pine endophytes for their

1008   ability to fix nitrogen and support tree growth under nitrogen-limited conditions. *Plant Soil*

1009   **455**, 271–287 (2020).

1010   117.   Kulichevskaya, I. S., Naumoff, D. G., Ivanova, A. A., Rakitin, A. L. & Dedysh, S. N.

1011   Detection of Chitinolytic Capabilities in the Freshwater Planctomycete Planctomicrobium

1012   piriforme. *Microbiology* **88**, 423–432 (2019).

1013   118.   Ravin, N. V. *et al.* Genome Analysis of Fimbriiglobus ruber SP5 [T], a Planctomycete with

1014   Confirmed Chitinolytic Capability. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **84**, (2018).

1015   119.   Põlme, S. *et al.* FungalTraits: a user-friendly traits database of fungi and fungus-like

1016   stramenopiles. *Fungal Diversity* **105**, 1–16 (2020).

120. U.S. EPA. *Freshwater Biological Traits Database (Final Report)*. (2012).

121. Schmidt-Kloiber, A. & Hering, D. www.freshwaterecology.info – An online tool that

unifies, standardises and codifies more than 20,000 European freshwater organisms and

their ecological preferences. *Ecological Indicators* **53**, 271–282 (2015).

122. Moog, O. *Fauna Aquatica Austriaca - A Comprehensive Species Inventory of Austrian*

Aquatic Organisms with Ecological Notes. (1995).

123. Tachet, H., Bournaud, M., Richoux, P. & Usseglio-Polatera, P. *Invertébrés d'eau douce -*

systématique, biologie, écologie. 600 p. (2010).

124. Coddington, J. A. & Colwell, R. K. Arachnids. in *Encyclopedia of Biodiversity* 202–217

(Elsevier, 2001). doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-384719-5.00150-7.

125. Coulibaly, S. F. M. *et al.* Functional Assemblages of Collembola Determine Soil Microbial

Communities and Associated Functions. *Front. Environ. Sci.* **7**, 52 (2019).

126. Potapov, A. *et al.* : Towards a global synthesis of Collembola knowledge – challenges and

potential solutions. (2020) doi:10.25674/SO92ISS3PP161.

127. Coleman, D. C. & Wall, D. H. Soil Fauna. in *Soil Microbiology, Ecology and Biochemistry*

111–149 (Elsevier, 2015). doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-415955-6.00005-0.

128. Mateos, E., Santos, X. & Pujade-Villar, J. Taxonomic and Functional Responses to Fire

and Post-Fire Management of a Mediterranean Hymenoptera Community. *Environmental*

Management **48**, 1000–1012 (2011).

129. De Cáceres, M. & Legendre, P. Associations between species and groups of sites: indices

and statistical inference. *Ecology* **90**, 3566–3574 (2009).

130.   Blüthgen, N., Menzel, F. & Blüthgen, N. Measuring specialization in species interaction

networks. *BMC Ecology* 12 (2006).

1040

Acknowledgements

TMP was funded by the Government of Canada through the Genomics Research

and Development Initiative (GRDI) Metagenomics-Based Ecosystem Biomonitoring

(Ecobiomics) project. We are grateful to Susan Bowman at the Great Lakes Forestry

Centre for soil sample processing and conducting DNA extractions; Marie-Josée

Morency and the Seguin lab for amplicon and sequencing library preparation; as well as

to the Natural Research Council Canada sequencing facility in Saskatoon for Illumina

MiSeq sequencing services.

1049

Author contributions

1050   **Author contributions**

1051   DM and LV conceived and obtained funding for the project. TMP did the

1052   bioinformatics, data analysis, and wrote the manuscript. ES, DM, and LV assisted with

1053   data analysis. All authors contributed to editing the manuscript.

1054

Data availability

Data availability

Sequences have been deposited to the NCBI SRA under the GRDI-Ecobiomics

project accession PRJNA565010 for the BioSample accessions SAMN26926703 -

SAMN26926795 used in this study and data will be released upon manuscript

acceptance. The MetaWorks v1.9.3 multi-marker metabarcode bioinformatic pipeline is

available from https://github.com/terrimporter/MetaWorks. The COI classifier trained to

work with the RDP Classifier is available from

https://github.com/terrimporter/CO1Classifier. The ITS classifier based on the ITS

UNITE+INSD full dataset v8.2 and trained to work with the RDP Classifier

(https://github.com/terrimporter/UNITE_ITSClassifier). Infiles and scripts used to

generate manuscript figures are available along with other digital resources including: 1.

A list of fire-associated arthropods largely from Wikars (1997). 2. A list of fire-loving

fungi largely from Zak and Wicklow (1980) and Dix and Webster (1995). 3. A list of

terrestrial arthropod functional feeding guilds meant to facilitate comparisons with

freshwater macroinvertebrate FFGs. 4. A list of fungal traits for rhizomorph-forming,

ericoid, and fire-associated fungi that can be used to supplement FungalTraits

annotations. 5. The Sansupa et al., 2021 enhanced FAPROTAX annotations further

supplemented with chitinolytic taxa is available here in a digital format; available on

GitHub from https://github.com/terrimporter/Chronosequence .