Emergence of perceptual reorganisation from prior knowledge in human development and Convolutional Neural Networks

Milne, G. A.[1, 2], Lisi, M.[3], McLean, A.[1], Zheng, R.[4], Groen., I.I.A.[4], Dekker, T. M.[1, 2]

[1]Institute of Ophthalmology, University College London, London, UK
[2]Division of Psychology and Language Sciences, University College London, London, UK
[3]Department of Psychology, Royal Holloway, London, UK
[4]Informatics Institute, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Abstract

The use of prior knowledge to guide perception is fundamental to human vision, especially under challenging viewing circumstances. Underpinning current theories of predictive coding, prior knowledge delivered to early sensory areas via cortical feedback connections can reshape perception of ambiguous stimuli, such as 'two-tone' images. Despite extensive interest and ongoing research into this process of perceptual reorganisation in the adult brain, it is not yet fully understood how or when the efficient use of prior knowledge for visual perception develops. Here we show for the first time that adult-like levels of perceptual reorganisation do not emerge until late childhood. We used a behavioural two-tone paradigm to isolate the effects of prior knowledge on visual perception in children aged 4 - 12 years and adults, and found a clear developmental progression in the perceptual benefit gained from informative cues. Whilst photo cueing reliably triggered perceptual reorganisation of two-tones for adults, 4- to 9-year-olds' performed significantly poorer immediately after cueing than within-subject benchmarks of recognition. Young childens' behaviour revealed perceptual biases towards local image features, as has been seen in image classification neural networks. We tested three such models (AlexNet, CorNet and NASNet) on two-tone classification, and while we found that network depth and recurrence may improve recognition, the best-performing network behaved similarly to young children. Our results reveal a prolonged development of prior-knowledge-guided vision throughout childhood, a process which may be central to other perceptual abilities that continue developing throughout childhood. This highlights the importance of effective reconciliation of signal and prediction for robust perception in both human and computational vision systems.

Introduction

The mature human visual system is unique in its capacity to accurately recognise objects across a wide range of viewing circumstances. This flexibility and versatility are as yet unmatched by the best computer vision algorithms to date (Geirhos et al., 2020; Huber et al., 2022; Lindsay, 2021; Pei et al., 2021). However, robust object perception also poses a major challenge to the developing human system, whose recognition of familiar objects in clutter, noise, abstraction, and unusual lighting or orientations does not become adult-like until at least 10 years of age (Bova et al., 2007; Dekker et al., 2011; Nishimura et al., 2009). Current predictive coding models

of human vision assign a crucial role to prior knowledge, delivered via top-down pathways in the brain, for parsing such ambiguous objects (Bar, 2004; Friston, 2010; Kersten et al., 2004). Meanwhile, structural and functional MRI measures of long-range neural connectivity that may mediate these feedback signals have been shown to increase continuously over the first decade of life (Baum et al., 2020; Fair et al., 2007). We therefore predict that recognition of hard-to-recognise objects may develop gradually as long-range neural connections become more established across childhood, and improve knowledge-based parsing. To track this development, we asked children aged four to twelve years old to identify 'two-tone' image stimuli, which allow us to disentangle the presence of object knowledge from the ability to use this knowledge to inform perceptual inference.

Following their conception by artist Giorgio Kienerk (1869 - 1948) and introduction to psychology by Craig Mooney (1957), two-tone images have offered a famous example of the importance of prior knowledge for recognition. By subjecting greyscale images to Gaussian smoothing and binarisation, visual information is reduced and object boundaries are ambiguated in the resulting black-and-white two-tone (Moore and Cavanagh, 1998). These images are not easily recognisable when viewed naively, but have the intriguing property that once additional cues are made available, recognition becomes near-mandatory in subsequent viewings. This process, referred to as perceptual reorganisation, is thought to involve feedback to low-level visual cortex from higher-order brain areas, including the lateral occipital and prefrontal cortex (Bona et al., 2016; Flounders et al., 2019; González-García et al., 2018; Hardstone et al., 2021; Hsieh et al., 2010; Imamoglu et al., 2012; Teufel et al., 2018). Pharmacological interference of top-down pathways reduces the similarity of responses in primary visual cortex (V1) to two-tone and greyscale images, suggesting a causal role of these feedback connections in perceptual reorganisation (van Loon et al., 2016). This top-down information processing may operate by enhancing visual sensitivity to low-level image features of the two-tones computed in V1, such as orientation and edge information (Teufel et al., 2018). This is consistent with Bayesian models of perceptual inference, where priors are propagated to lower areas via top-down processes and combined with bottom-up information to shape perception (Kersten et al., 2004; Lee and Mumford, 2003).

Two-tone image recognition thus provides a well-controlled paradigm for studying how the use of prior knowledge to parse ambiguous images develops. Though Mooney himself already showed that children are impaired at recognising two-tones of faces naively and that this ability improves with age (Mooney, 1957), to our knowledge there have been two attempts at testing how prior knowledge affects two-tone recognition in childhood. In one report, appearing in a book chapter on the development of binocular rivalry, Kovács and Eisenberg (2005) made the incidental observation that four- to five-year-old children did not recognise two-tones even after greyscale cueing, but reported no data. In a 2007 conference paper testing this observation empirically, Yoon and colleagues showed that three- to four-year-olds made more errors than adults when asked to name two-tone images and to draw object features on the images after seeing the original greyscales (Yoon et al., 2007). These initial studies suggest there may be a drastic difference in how preschool children and adults use knowledge to guide perceptual inference. These important observations suggest that the neural mechanisms needed for top-down

modulation of visual inputs may be an underappreciated key constraint on developing adult-like proficiency in object recognition. However, a comprehensive characterisation of the development of two-tone perception across childhood is currently lacking.

Here we address this gap by testing perceptual reorganisation and two-tone image processing more broadly in 72 four- to twelve-year-olds. Crucially, we use methodological and analytical approaches that systematically account for potential confounding factors besides the ability to use prior knowledge to parse two-tones which also change with age. These include object knowledge, task comprehension, and response execution. We explore which computational mechanisms may underlie shifts from child-like toward adult-like two-tone recognition by investigating image parsing and feature extraction strategies used at different ages. We compare human performance to that of Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) to investigate the extent to which the architectural properties of these models, i.e., incorporation of feedback processing or computational depth, can emulate early stages of human visual development. We find a qualitative shift in the way that young children, and CNNs, parse ambiguous two-tone images, whereby adult levels of prior-knowledge-driven perception do not develop until late childhood. We link this to a more feature-based processing strategy that may also characterise how CNNs process images.

Results

Four- to five-year-olds (n = 31 including 1 three-year-old), six- to nine-year-olds (n = 23), ten- to twelve-year-olds (n = 18 including 1 thirteen-year-old) and adults (n = 13) naively viewed two-tone images and were asked to name the content of each (Figure 1A, stage 1). Each two-tone was then overlaid with the corresponding greyscale image to cue object knowledge, and participants were again asked to name the content to confirm they could recognise the image (Figure 1A, stage 2). To measure perceptual reorganisation, the same two-tone was presented again and participants were asked to touch the locations of two characteristic object features (Figure 1A, stage 3). After completing trials for twenty smoothed and thresholded images (Two-tones) and five easy unsmoothed two-tones (Catch images), participants were shown each greyscale image, and asked to touch the same target features that were prompted for the corresponding two-tones (Figure 1A, stage 4).

Accounting for age differences in Greyscale recognition

To account for potential age differences in object knowledge, we first established that Greyscale naming accuracy was high and matched at all ages (Figure 1B, grey squares, $X^2(3) = 3.58$, $p = 0.31$). Nevertheless, trials in which greyscales were incorrectly named were excluded from all further analyses (total trials excluded for 4- to 5-year-olds = 15, 7- to 9-year-olds = 2, 10- to 12-year-olds and adults = 0).

Age differences in Naive Two-tone recognition

In contrast to the accurate naming of the Grayscale images at all ages, a logistic mixed-effects model (see Methods for details) revealed that naive naming accuracy for the two-tone versions of these Grayscales was lower (Figure 1B), and improved substantially with age ($X^2(3)=89.88$, $p=2.2e-16$; Figure 2, coloured circles). Wald tests on model coefficients revealed that 4- to 5-year-olds ($z = -9.6$; $p < 2.0e-16$) and 7- to 9-year-olds ($z = -3.2$; $p < 1.38e-3$) were less accurate than adults, while 10- to 12-year-olds performed similarly to adults ($z = -0.70$, $p = 0.48$). This age difference is unlikely due to failure to comprehend or comply with the task since performance for easily recognisable Catch images was high for all ages (see Supplementary Figure 2A). Mean naming accuracy per image for naively viewed two-tones was correlated between all age groups ($r_{pearson's} > 0.7$, $p < 5.71e-4$, see Supplementary Figure 4 for all correlations). Together this suggests that up to at least 7 to 9 years of age, recognition of familiar objects is more impaired by two-tone transformation than in adulthood, but that the features that make a two-tone hard to recognise at first sight remain qualitatively consistent across age.



Figure 1: Experimental trial schema and Naive Two-tone recognition accuracy
A) An example trial for one image, stages 1-3 (naming of Naive Two-tone [1] and Greyscale cue [2], and pointing to 2 targets on Cued Two-tone [3]) are sequential, and stage 4 (pointing to 2 targets on Greyscale control) occurs separately after the main task. **B)** Naming accuracy of Naive Two-tones (coloured circles;

see stage 1 in A), and greyscale images (grey squares; see stage 2 in A). Small markers show participant means, large markers show age group means, error bars show bootstrapped 95% Confidence Intervals.

Age differences in perceptual re-organisation

Perceptual reorganisation was assessed by measuring two-tone recognition immediately after presenting participants with the Greyscale cue. To minimise memory load and make clear that greyscales and two-tones were different versions of the same image, all images were 'morphed' into one another by fading from the greyscale to the overlaid two-tone with position and dimensions maintained. Participants were then asked to touch two predetermined features on each two-tone on the touchscreen (Figure 1A, stage 3). To ensure participants could correctly recognise and point out all targets, they were also asked to locate the corresponding features on the original greyscale images in a control task after the main experiment (Figure 1A, stage 4). Touched coordinates were scored by two methods; first, the percentage of touched points falling within researcher-defined regions gives 'pointing accuracy', and second, the distance between corresponding touched coordinates on two-tone and greyscale images gives 'pointing distance' (see Figure 2A).

There were large age-related changes in cued two-tone recognition, with Pointing Accuracy and Distance both improving substantially with age (Figure 2B & 2C, coloured circles). In contrast, for greyscale and Catch images, Pointing Accuracy was high across all ages (Figure 3a, grey squares, and Supplementary Figure 2B, white circles), so this age difference is unlikely to reflect general changes in task comprehension. However, it is possible that these age differences are driven by factors unrelated to perceptual reorganisation, such as adults having recognised more two-tones naively, or children exhibiting greater imprecision or different pointing strategies despite recognising the content. Therefore, to test for perceptual reorganisation whilst accounting for naive Two-tone recognition and pointing skills, we compared pointing performance within subjects for trials in which two-tones were naively recognised before cueing (and therefore likely still recognised after) to trials in which they were not. If greyscale cueing effectively induced perceptual re-organisation, pointing performance should be equivalently high across these two trial groups, irrespective of initial recognition. Furthermore, in our mixed-effects modelling analysis (see Methods for details) we accounted for random effects of participants, individual images, ROI size, and unequal numbers of trials.

This analysis showed that a difference in cued pointing performance for naively recognised and unrecognised two-tones was largest for 4- to 5-year-olds and decreased with age (for pointing accuracy: $X^2(3)=13.85$, $p<3.11e-3$, Figure 2D; for pointing distance: $X^2(3)=16.24$, $p=1e-3$, Figure 2E), with greater benefits of cueing for older participants on both recognition indices. Wald tests on model coefficients showed that in adults, feature localisation was equivalently accurate for naively recognised and unrecognised two-tones (pointing accuracy: $z=-1.24$; $p=0.21$; pointing distance: $z=0.25$; $p=0.8$), in line with pervasive perceptual reorganisation in the mature system. For pointing accuracy, the Greyscale cue was significantly less beneficial for 4- to 5-year-olds than for adults ($z=3.22$, $p=1.26e-3$), marginally less beneficial for 7- to 9-year-olds ($z=1.71$, $p=0.08$), and adult-like for 10- to 12-year-olds ($z=1.46$, $p=0.14$). For pointing distance, no pairwise age group comparisons reached statistical significance, potentially because this



Figure 2: Cued Two-tone recognition measured by Pointing Accuracy and Distance

A) Circular and square markers show example two-tone and greyscale cued pointing responses for one of two targets respectively. Touched points were scored via two methods; the dashed polygon shows the

example ‘correct’ feature location used to determine ‘pointing accuracy’ (green outlined marker scored as correct and red outlined marker scored as incorrect). The dashed line represents the distance between touched points for the corresponding target in the two-tone and greyscale conditions, defining the ‘pointing distance’ measure. **B)** Pointing accuracy for two-tones (coloured circles) following greyscale exposure, and greyscales (grey squares), as measured by percentage of touched locations falling within the predefined correct area for each target (Pointing Accuracy). Small markers show participant means, large markers show age group means, error bars show bootstrapped 95% Confidence Intervals. **C)** Pointing Distance between touched locations on Cued Two-tone and Greyscale conditions of each image in mm (coloured circles; all images were displayed at a fixed height of 273.9 mm). Markers and error bars are as in Panel B. **D)** Pointing accuracy of previously recognised (white circles) and previously unrecognised (coloured circles) two-tones following greyscale exposure. Markers and error bars are as in Panel B. **E)** Pointing distance of previously recognised (white circles) and previously unrecognised (coloured circles) two-tones following greyscale exposure. Markers and error bars are as in Panel B.

measure was more variable. Image difficulty (number of errors for naming accuracy or pointing accuracy per image) was significantly correlated across naive and cued conditions for 4- to 5-year-olds only ($r_{pearson's}$= 0.50, p = 0.02; p > 0.09 for all other groups, see Supplementary Figure 4 for all correlations). Together, these data reveal that processes supporting adult-like perceptual reorganisation, in which two-tone parsing is qualitatively altered when prior knowledge is made available, develop gradually over the first 10 years of life.

What drives age differences in two-tone processing?

Age differences in cued two-tone parsing strategies

To investigate age differences in image parsing strategies of cued two-tones, we compared 4- to 5-year-olds’ cued pointing responses to those of adults. We have shown above that despite performing well on greyscales, 4- to 5-year-olds often failed to locate the same targets on cued two-tones, performing worse on initially unrecognised trials than those they recognised naively. Adults, however, typically located both targets on the two-tones regardless of performance on naive viewing. The touched coordinates of these age groups reveal that when failing to locate the target feature, 4- to 5-year-olds still seem to display a task-oriented strategy, best illustrated by two-tones with spurious shapes canonically resembling target features. For example, when asked to locate features of a woman’s face, many children who could not naively name this two-tone instead located corresponding features of a pareidolic face appearing on the woman’s forehead, despite performing accurately and precisely on the same task in the greyscale condition (Figure 3A). Likewise, when asked to point to the cowboy’s hat and horse’s ear, many erroneously located ‘hat-like’ and ‘ear-like’ shapes, regardless of their distance from the just seen grayscale target feature (Figure 3B). Similarly, when the outlines of target features were obscured following two-tone transformation (*e.g.*, the fox’s and panda’s noses in Fig 3C, Target 1; and 3D, Target 2) many children incorrectly selected nearby shapes with more defined outlines. These qualitative considerations suggest that young children who showed little benefit of cueing on image recognition made errors consistent with prioritisation of local feature, rather than holistic, image processing.



Figure 3: Illustrative examples of 4- to 5-year-olds' and adults' cued pointing locations.
Top row: 4- to 5-year-olds' greyscale pointing for targets 1 (circles) and 2 (crosses), target prompts were A) 'the lady's mouth' (1) and 'the lady's right eye' (2), B) 'the cowboy's hat'(1) and 'the horse's left ear' (2), C 'the middle fox's nose' (1) and 'the right fox's right ear' (2), and D) 'the panda's left eye' (1) and 'the panda's nose' (2). Middle and bottom rows show 4- to 5-year-olds' (yellow) and adults' (purple) cued two-tone pointing for targets features 1 and 2 respectively.

Human development versus computational image-recognition models

We next compared human performance on two-tone recognition to that of Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) to test how well different architectures represent sequential developmental stages. We selected three image recognition models based on their properties and use in the literature; (1) AlexNet (Krizhevsky et al., 2017) - a comparatively shallow feedforward network extensively used in computational neuroscience studies (Lindsay, 2021), (2) CorNet-S, a biologically inspired shallow network that incorporates feedback between late and early-stage processing layers (Kubilius et al., 2019), and (3) NASNet-Large - a deep feedforward network with a complex branching architecture designed by auto machine-learning algorithms optimising for transferable image classification (Zoph et al., 2018). All three models are publicly available and were pre-trained on 1.3 million images from the ImageNet training set into 1000 different classes (Deng et al., 2009).

To assess the possibility of improved two-tone recognition of ‘familiar’ images compared to novel images (akin to perceptual reorganisation) in these CNNs, models were tested on two image sets. First, a ‘novel’ image set of the two-tones used above that are not included in ImageNet (the data set used to train all three models) and second, a ‘trained’ image set of two-tones created from ImageNet. CNNs with the capacity to benefit from image cueing would be expected to more accurately classify two-tones from ‘trained’ as opposed to ‘novel’ image sets. This, however, was not the case for any of the models tested. It is unlikely that this reflects differences in difficulty levels across the two image sets; image pairs across the sets were matched on low-level statistics, piloting in humans ensured that adult two-tone recognition performance was equivalent across the two sets (novel set: 61% naive accuracy, 94% cued accuracy; trained set: 63% naive, 89% cued accuracy), and all CNNs showed comparable performance on the greyscale images of each set (Figure 4a).

We next tested if CNNs also differed from human adult performance in other aspects of object recognition. Of the three models, only NASNet reached adult-level accuracy for Greyscales, whilst both AlexNet and CorNet performed well below human-level recognition for both image sets. However, while AlexNet failed to recognise any two-tones from either image set, CorNet reached the range of 4- to 5-year-olds’ naive performance on the ‘novel’ image set. Again, NASNet outperformed both other models, with recognition performance for naively viewed two-tones falling within the range of that of 4- to 9-year-olds. Interestingly, NASNet’s classification confidence for two-tones from the ‘novel’ image set, quantified as the probability weighting of the highest-scoring correct label, correlated across images with human naive naming performance for all ages (Figure 4b, plotted for 4- to 5-year-olds, $r_{pearson's} = 0.84$, $p = 4.98e\text{-}7$; see Supplementary Figure 4 for all correlations). This suggests that despite vastly different computational implementations, there is a common aspect of these two-tone stimuli that poses a challenge to both human vision and CNNs, which human adults can overcome when object knowledge is made available.

Finally, to explore which factors make a Two-tone hard to recognise for developing humans and CNNs, we assessed correlations of naive two-tone recognition performance with various low-level image features and parameters of the two-tone transformation. First and unsurprisingly, we found that across all age groups as well as NASNet, naive recognition became worse with more smoothing of the grayscale image ($r_{pearson's} < -0.6$ and $p < 0.001$ for all groups; see Supplementary Table 5). Similarly, increasing the luminance threshold at which grey pixels are set to black also reduced naive recognition for 4- to 5-year-olds, adults, and NASNet ($r_{pearson's} < -0.4$ and $p < 0.05$); $p > 0.05$ for all other groups). Given the proposed need for prior knowledge to resolve edge information for Two-tone recognition (Teufel et al., 2018; Moore and Cavanagh, 1998), we next tested how distortion of edge information by the two-tone generation process correlates with two-tone recognition. We investigated how Two-tone transformation affected the outputs of several models that compute an overall summary statistic of edge information in the image (a Sobel edge detector, Mathworks, 2020a; and spatial image filters emulating magno- and parvocellular operations, see Supplementary Materials 5 for details, Groen et al., 2013). We found that the extent to which edge information had been altered was correlated with naive Two-tone recognition performance only in the 4 to 5-year-old age group and NASNet (see

Supplementary Table 5). This may suggest that object recognition in younger children is more sensitive to disruption of edge information in the image than adults, but note that these analyses suffer from the limited score range effects in older age groups. In addition, since recognition performance correlated better with image smoothing than these edge statistics, this suggests that the aspects which make two-tones challenging are not fully captured by the tested computations.



Figure 4: Comparison of CNN performance to human performance
A) Image recognition accuracy of CNNs (AlexNet, CorNet-S, NASNet-large; greyscale bars) and human performance (coloured bars, error bars show bootstrapped 95% confidence intervals) for each condition (Greyscale and Two-tone) of images used in current study (Novel Image Set) and Image Net images used to train CNN models (Trained Image Set). CNN performance: percent of images correctly labelled; human performance: Naive Naming Accuracy. **B)** Image-wise comparison of mean 4- to 5-year-old Naive Naming Accuracy and NASNet performance (measured by probability weighting of the highest-scoring correct label) for Catch and Two-tone trials of Novel Image Set. Red line shows linear least-squares line of best fit, dashed line shows x = y.

Discussion

To test how prior knowledge guides visual object perception between the ages of 4 and 12 years, we used a paradigm of ambiguous two-tone images and greyscale cues. In adults, information about a two-tone's content provided by the original greyscale induces perceptual reorganisation, the near-mandatory recognition of previously unrecognisable stimuli. To quantify how this process develops across childhood, we compared the ability to locate features on two-tones that

were naively recognised (before seeing the greyscale cue) to feature localisation on two-tones not previously recognised. Here, performance on naively recognised two-tones provides an individual benchmark for feature localisation error when objects *are* perceived. So, if previously unrecognised two-tones are correctly perceived after greyscale cueing (perceptual reorganisation), pointing performance should approach this benchmark. Crucially, this comparison isolates the effects of prior knowledge on two-tone recognition, as age differences in other task-relevant abilities (e.g., pointing precision, biases) should affect both conditions equally.

We first showed that two-tone naming accuracy on naive viewing underwent substantial developmental improvement, increasing from 26% to 63% correct between the ages of 4-5 years and adulthood. However, despite this large age difference in robustness to visual information loss in two-tones, correlations between image difficulties across all age groups showed that the processes hindering naive two-tone recognition remain consistent across age. After seeing the original greyscale, adults and the oldest children could locate object features on previously unrecognised two-tones with similar accuracy as on the two-tones they naively recognised, clearly demonstrating perceptual reorganisation. However, younger children experienced drastically less new recognition of two-tones after seeing the greyscale cue, pointing out substantially fewer image features correctly than on the benchmark of naively recognised two-tone images. In addition, image difficulty before and after greyscale cueing (i.e., imagewise naive naming and cued pointing accuracy) was correlated for young children, but not for older children and adults. This indicates that only at older ages, prior knowledge induces a qualitative shift in how two-tones are processed. Together these results reveal that the effective use of prior knowledge in perception poses a major challenge to human development, with improvements occurring gradually between the ages of 4 to 12 years.

It is highly unlikely that this developmental shift in perceptual reorganisation reflects age differences in object knowledge, task comprehension or non-perceptual processes. While the two-tone paradigm intrinsically minimises potential differences in participants' object knowledge by providing the relevant information via a greyscale cue, we further controlled for object knowledge differences by only including trials in which greyscale images were correctly named. In addition, the sequential and blurred transition between corresponding greyscale and two-tone images reduces the demand for working memory and configurement of mental representations. To confirm task comprehension of all participants before and throughout the task, we also used 'easy' two-tone images (Catch images, made by thresholding grayscale images without smoothing). During a training phase, participants had to correctly locate all targets of three Catch images before progressing to the main task, during which five randomly interleaved Catch trials were presented to monitor compliance. High recognition performance on these trials (Supplementary Figure 2) demonstrates that all age groups understood, remembered, and followed instructions for both two-tone recognition tasks (naming and pointing) throughout the experiment.

To explore what perceptual strategies younger children employed to parse cued two-tones, we reviewed the patterns of locations that 4- to 5-year-olds selected on these images. This revealed

that children often located correct object features if these were clearly outlined, but were prone to large localisation errors when object feature boundaries were obscured or disrupted. Even when failing to recognise the correct two-tone features, 4- to 5-year-olds often selected alternative shapes that plausibly matched the requested item in outline (*e.g.*, when asked to locate a hat, many identified a shape with a hat-like outline, albeit in the wrong location). This error pattern suggests that younger children looked for features by relying on local shape outlines, rather than by identifying and grouping shapes relevant to the object gestalt. Similar strategies have been reported in adults with Autism Spectrum Disorder, who have outperformed non-autistic adults in tasks that favoured perception of local rather than global features (Happé and Booth, 2008; though see Van der Hallen et al., 2015). These individuals also show evidence of reduced effects of prior knowledge of two-tone processing (Król and Król, 2019; though this may be specific to face-stimuli: Loth et al., 2010; Van de Cruys et al., 2018), which has been attributed to a relative downweighting of prior knowledge with respect to sensory inputs. Conversely, participants prone to experiencing hallucinations experience higher levels of perceptual reorganisation than healthy adults (Davies et al., 2018; Teufel et al., 2015), which has been attributed to an upweighting of prior information to compensate for increased noise levels in these patients' bottom-up sensory streams (Davies et al., 2018; Fletcher and Frith, 2009; Kapur, 2003; Rivolta et al., 2014; Teufel et al., 2015).

Like the youngest children in this study, Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) have also been shown to prioritise local over global information for object recognition, which too has been linked to higher levels of dependency on feedforward information processing when compared to the adult human visual system (Baker et al., 2018; Brendel and Bethge, 2019; Geirhos et al., 2019). To assess if this, or other image processing differences of CNNs, correspond to distinct levels of two-tone recognition, we compared human development of this ability to performance of CNNs with distinct network architectures. None of the models tested reached naive adult performance, or showed any recognition improvements for 'familiar' two-tones (made from the imageset on which models were trained (Deng et al., 2009) compared to novel two-tones despite matching for difficulty. However, NASNet (Zoph et al., 2018) - the only model to achieve human-like greyscale recognition - displayed accuracy levels equivalent to that of 4-5 year-old children for two-tone images. Whilst CorNet (Kubilius et al., 2019) and AlexNet (Krizhevsky et al., 2017) showed similarly poor levels of greyscale recognition, CorNet performed better on two-tones for both trained and novel image sets. These results suggest that training with the original image used to make a two-tone may not be sufficient to trigger an analogue of perceptual reorganisation in these CNNs. However, due to the common training set of these models, the differences in two-tone recognition performance seen here are likely driven by architectural features. Namely, increased depth (NASnet) and/or recurrency (CORnet) of information processing may facilitate improved two-tone recognition.

CNNs differ greatly from the human system in both visual experience and computational architecture and, as described above, employ different strategies to achieve high recognition performance. Indeed, Geirhos and colleagues (2019) found CNNs prefer images that are coloured or high-contrast. These biases may have inhibited general recognition of the stimuli in this study (all of which were black-and-white), but less so for Catch Images (binarised but

unsmoothed two-tones; Supplementary Figure 2C). Interestingly, despite large mechanistic differences, NASNet and human participants showed correlated imagewise performance on naive two-tones, revealing commonalities in the challenges these images pose for machine and human visual systems, especially those still developing.

To explore what these challenges may be, we tested how low-level spatial image properties correlated with two-tone recognition performance in developing humans and CNN. The smoothing and thresholding levels used to convert each greyscale image to a two-tone negatively correlated with naive recognition across ages in humans, and for NASNet. This is unsurprising, as higher smoothing levels result in a greater loss of information, and higher thresholds effectively increase image shadows. As these image manipulations can also be expected to interact in a non-linear or unpredictable manner, we used physiologically plausible models of image statistics extraction to quantify the effects of two-tone transformation on low-level spatial image properties (i.e., edge energy, contrast energy, and spatial coherence) computed at early visual processing stages (Groen et al., 2013). While the effects of smoothing and binarising images clearly shifted the low-level spatial properties, we did not find that these statistics correlated better with performance than smoothing levels alone. Nonetheless, as only 24 images were included to ensure a child-friendly task duration, this study was not optimised to model the effect of image properties on perception. Studies with larger image sets that can systematically compare the effects of different image features will therefore be necessary to assess the bottom-up contributions to two-tone perception across development.

Another factor that may contribute to the development of two-tone perception is the efficiency of spatial integration mechanisms across the cortical hierarchy. Two-tone perception requires both the detection and segmentation of object contours from irrelevant contours (i.e., from cast shadows, occluders and background objects) and the integration of these relevant contours to form the figural percept (Moore and Cavanagh, 1998; Poltoratski and Tong, 2020). There is evidence that contour integration develops substantially in childhood: Kovács et al. (1999) showed that the ability to detect contours consisting of collinear-oriented elements amidst misaligned distractor elements improves substantially between 4-12 years of age. Unlike adults, children were less tolerant to distractors when there were larger spacings between the contour elements, suggesting a limitation on spatial integration distance rather than a reduced ability to detect signal in noise. Similarly, the perception of illusory ‘Kanizsa’ shapes, in which shape corners are visible but the contours connecting these corners are not, has been shown to develop gradually over the first decade of life (Nayar et al., 2015). Though these stimuli are much simpler than most two-tones, perception of Kanizsa shapes has also been shown to involve hierarchically-organised feedback processing (Kok et al., 2016; Wokke et al., 2013). More complex images and those with less clearly defined object boundaries have been shown to require higher levels of recurrent processing in order to extract the image content (Groen et al., 2018; Kirchberger et al., 2021); a process that can be silenced by disrupting higher-order visual areas (Kirchberger et al., 2021; Wokke et al., 2012). An increase in top-down signal integration with sensory inputs across childhood may therefore provide a common explanation for the prolonged development of these perceptual tasks.

While we carefully matched visual object knowledge of the two-tone across age groups, it is possible that the primed object representation was still less abstract, or 'invariant' to imge distortions, in children (and CNNs), thus offering a less robust template for parsing the two-tone. Indeed, in a cross-cultural study, adults from an isolated tribe with little-to-no experience with pictorial representations benefitted less from greyscale cueing than Western adults (Yoon et al., 2014). We made every effort to make clear that two-tones and greyscales were two versions of the same photos by blurring corresponding images into each other. It is however possible that benefiting from greyscale cueing required an understanding of dual representations, which children have been shown to lack (DeLoache et al., 1997). It thus remains to be tested whether children (or computer vision models) would experience higher levels of perceptual reorganisation after extensive training with the depicted objects in varying orientations, sizes, and depictions, and/or the two-tone form.

In sum, we show that throughout most of childhood the benefit of prior knowledge on two-tone recognition is drastically reduced compared to in the mature visual system. When compared to adults, young children may focus more heavily on local image-features, characteristic of bottom-up processing streams. We found qualitative evidence of these strategies in young children, who's image recognition also correlated with the performance of a CNN - neural networks that have previously been shown to exhibit local-biases in image processing. For both these visual systems, the development of prior-knowledge-driven perception, a central aspect of adult human vision, could depend on the formation of more invariant or abstract object representations or increased connections across the processing hierarchy that enable informed integration of incoming spatial features.

Materials and Methods

Participants

Behavioral participants were 74 children aged 3 - 13 years and 14 adults: 31 4- to 5-year-olds (mean age = 4.8, *SD* = 0.5 years, includes one 3.1-year-old), 23 6- to 9-year-olds (mean age = 8.5, *SD* = 0.9 years), 18 10- to 12-year-olds (mean age = 11.0, SD = 0.8 years, including one 13.7-year-old) and 13 adults (mean age = 22.2 ± 2.2 years, range = 19.3 - 25.8 years). An additional eight adults (mean age = 30.4 ± 5.0 years) completed piloting of the second stimuli set ('trained' set, see *Convolutional neural networks).* All participants reported normal or corrected-to-normal vision.

Apparatus

Stimuli were presented on a 22" touchscreen monitor (Iiyama ProLite T2252MTS 22", 1900x1080 pixel resolution) driven by a MacbookPro, running Matlab R2015b with the Psychophysics Toolbox (Brainard, 1997). Participants sat ~30 cm in front of the monitor.

Behavioural Stimuli
Stimuli consisted of 41 two-tones created by processing greyscale photographs of objects, animals and faces in Matlab R2015b (MathWorks, Natick, MA, USA); images were first smoothed with a Gaussian filter and then thresholded to binarise pixel luminances to black or white to create images with obscured edges. Smoothing and thresholding varied per image. Following piloting with 29 adults and children (4-10 years), 20 images were selected for experimental trials to cover a range of difficulties for two-tone recognition, but with high recognition accuracy (>95%) of the original greyscale images at all ages. An additional 7 two-tones were generated without smoothing, creating easily recognisable two-tones, of which 3 were used in practice trials and 4 were used in 'Catch' trials, included to promote motivation, and obtain an index of attentiveness and task comprehension. All images were resized to a fixed height of 273.9 mm (680 pixels) on a mid-grey background. Text task prompts were displayed above the image and read aloud by the researcher.

Procedure
Participants first completed a training task, in which a greyscale image was displayed and transformed gradually into a two-tone image that was unsmoothed and easy to recognise at all ages. To confirm that participants had understood that image content was maintained across the Greyscale and Two-tone, they were asked to point out corresponding features between the original grey-scale image and the two-tone image when displayed side by side. Following this, participants completed 3 practice trials with unsmoothed Two-tone images. This was followed by 20 experimental trials with Two-tones of varying difficulty, presented in a randomised order and interspersed with easy 'catch' trials every 5th trial, after which prize-tokens were awarded to maintain motivation.

Trials consisted of 4 sequential stages (Figure 1). First, participants were asked to identify the content of a Two-tone on initial viewing. Next, this Two-tone was overlaid with its original greyscale template, which participants were asked to identify. This template image was then 'morphed' back into the Two-tone, and participants were asked to confirm recognition by pointing out two defining features on the image (regions of interest, ROIs). Importantly, to minimise memory requirements and stimulate perceptual reorganisation, all images (Two-tone → Greyscale → Two-tone) were overlaid on top of each other in the exact same position with no time gap between consecutive images. After completing all 20 experimental trials, participants located the ROIs they had pointed out on the Two-tone on each of the 20 corresponding Greyscales. Verbal responses and screen coordinates of touched image locations were recorded for each trial (see 'Response', Figure 1). All task instructions were displayed as text above the stimulus image and given verbally by the experimenter.

Scoring of behavioural performance
To quantify image recognition, we measured 'naive naming accuracy', 'cued pointing accuracy', and 'cued pointing distance' (see Figure 1). *Naive naming accuracy*: Image names were scored as correct if the content was correctly identified at the basic category level (Rosch et al., 1976) - superordinate categories names (e.g., naming a 'cow' an 'animal') were scored as incorrect. Similar basic level or subordinate categories were accepted (e.g. a 'tiger' named as 'cat', or

‘scissors’ named as ‘shears’) as long as there was consistency in naming across the two-tone and grayscale (for all answers and coding scheme details per image, see Supplementary Table 1). Trials were excluded from Cued Pointing analyses if both ROIs were not attempted for both Greyscale and Two-tone conditions, and if participants were unable to accurately name the image content or locate each ROI in the Greyscale condition. Cued pointing accuracy: pointed out feature location was scored as correct if the touched coordinates fell within a researcher-defined polygon demarcating the location of each ROI on both the two-tone and grey-scale images. Cued pointing distance: the distance between each touched location for greyscale ROIs and the corresponding two-tone ROIs in millimetres.

Convolutional neural networks

Pretrained AlexNet and NASNet-large models were acquired and run on Matlab 2020a’s Deep Learning Toolbox (MathWorks, Natick, MA, USA). CorNet models (RT, Z, S) were acquired and run with the Python toolbox THINGSvision (Muttenthaler and Hebart, 2021). Due to poorer greyscale performance, CorNet-RT and CorNet-Z were not included in the results. All models were pre-trained to classify images into 1000 classes on the ImageNet dataset (Deng et al., 2009). Following the same coding scheme for human participants described above, CNN Classification Accuracy was determined separately for grayscale and two-tone images of ‘trained’ and ‘novel’ image sets (see *CNN Stimuli*) by whether the first-choice (highest weighted) classification was correct (with at least a basic-level match). Classification Probability was measured as the probability weighting of the highest weighted label scored as correct as per the coding scheme within the top 100 predictions.

CNN Stimuli

Thirty-five images were selected from ImageNet to create additional greyscales and two-tones, chosen due to similarities with the beahvioural stimuli set and suitability for two-tone transformation. Resulting greyscales and two-tones were dynamically cropped to a 680*680-pixel square to minimise loss of image content. Image piloting was carried out in eight additional adult participants using an adapted version of the behavioural task described above: Images were shown in 7 blocks of 5 images, where 5 naive two-tone trials were followed by 5 corresponding grayscale trials and finally 5 cued two-tone trials, with image order randomised within conditions. Recognition was determined via Naming Accuracy (as above) for all three conditions, with an additional perceptual check (‘Which way is the animal/object facing?’) asked as confirmation for scoring cued trials correct. Following piloting, 20 images were selected to match the behavioural stimuli on smoothing, thresholding and adult performance levels. Of the 20 behavioural stimuli described above, 19 that were not found within the ImageNet dataset (one greyscale - two-tone pair excluded; behavioural image 6, see Supplementary Table 1) comprised the ‘novel’ image set. Images of both sets were resized and triplicated across RGB channels to match model input sizes (AlexNet: 227*227*3 pixels, NASNet: 331*331*3 pixels, CorNet-S: 224*224*3 pixels).

Statistical analyses

To analyse responses, we used a mixed-effects modelling approach, as this allowed us to account for different numbers of trials per condition and age-groups, and random effects of

individual participants and images. We used generalised linear models estimated using the lme4 library in R (Bates et al., 2015). To compare naming we used multilevel logistic regression models with crossed random intercepts for participants and images. To compare pointing accuracy, we used multilevel logistic regression models with crossed random intercepts for participants, images, and ROI area in pixels. For distance measures, we used a multilevel generalised linear model with a log link function (as pointing error is strictly positive, distance data were log-transformed to ensure normality), with crossed random intercepts for participants, images, and ROI area. To test for age trends, we tested each model against a reduced model without the age effect of interest, using a likelihood ratio test (data and analysis code available on request). We performed Wald tests on the coefficients for pair-wise age group comparisons.

References

Baker, N., Lu, H., Erlikhman, G., Kellman, P.J., 2018. Deep convolutional networks do not classify based on global object shape. PLoS Comput Biol 14, e1006613. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1006613

Bar, M., 2004. Visual objects in context. Nature Reviews Neuroscience 5, 617–629.

Bates, D., Mächler, M., Bolker, B., Walker, S., 2015. Fitting Linear Mixed-Effects Models Using **lme4**. J. Stat. Soft. 67. https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v067.i01

Baum, G.L., Cui, Z., Roalf, D.R., Ciric, R., Betzel, R.F., Larsen, B., Cieslak, M., Cook, P.A., Xia, C.H., Moore, T.M., Ruparel, K., Oathes, D.J., Alexander-Bloch, A.F., Shinohara, R.T., Raznahan, A., Gur, R.E., Gur, R.C., Bassett, D.S., Satterthwaite, T.D., 2020. Development of structure–function coupling in human brain networks during youth. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 117, 771–778. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1912034117

Bona, S., Cattaneo, Z., Silvanto, J., 2016. Investigating the Causal Role of rOFA in Holistic Detection of Mooney Faces and Objects: An fMRI-guided TMS Study. Brain Stimulation 9, 594–600. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brs.2016.04.003

Bonin, V., Mante, V., Carandini, M., 2005. The Suppressive Field of Neurons in Lateral Geniculate Nucleus. Journal of Neuroscience 25, 10844–10856. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3562-05.2005

Bova, S.M., Fazzi, E., Giovenzana, A., Montomoli, C., Signorini, S.G., Zoppello, M., Lanzi, G., 2007. The Development of Visual Object Recognition in School-Age Children. null 31, 79–102. https://doi.org/10.1080/87565640709336888

Brainard, D.H., 1997. The Psychophysics Toolbox. Spatial Vis 10, 433–436. https://doi.org/10.1163/156856897X00357

Brendel, W., Bethge, M., 2019. Approximating CNNs with Bag-of-local-Features models works surprisingly well on ImageNet.

Chow-Wing-Bom, H.T., Scholte, S., De Klerk, C., Mareschal, D., Groen, I.A., Dekker, T., 2019. Development of Rapid Extraction of Scene Gist.

Davies, D.J., Teufel, C., Fletcher, P.C., 2018. Anomalous Perceptions and Beliefs Are Associated With Shifts Toward Different Types of Prior Knowledge in Perceptual Inference. Schizophrenia Bulletin 44, 1245–1253. https://doi.org/10.1093/schbul/sbx177

Dekker, T., Mareschal, D., Sereno, M.I., Johnson, M.H., 2011. Dorsal and ventral stream activation and object recognition performance in school-age children. NeuroImage, Special Issue: Educational Neuroscience 57, 659–670. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2010.11.005

DeLoache, J.S., Miller, K.F., Rosengren, K.S., 1997. The Credible Shrinking Room: Very Young Children's Performance With Symbolic and Nonsymbolic Relations. Psychol Sci 8, 308–313. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9280.1997.tb00443.x

Deng, J., Dong, W., Socher, R., Li, L.-J., Kai Li, Li Fei-Fei, 2009. ImageNet: A large-scale hierarchical image database, in: 2009 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. Presented at the 2009 IEEE Computer Society Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition Workshops (CVPR Workshops), IEEE, Miami, FL, pp. 248–255. https://doi.org/10.1109/CVPR.2009.5206848

Fair, D.A., Dosenbach, N.U.F., Church, J.A., Cohen, A.L., Brahmbhatt, S., Miezin, F.M., Barch, D.M., Raichle, M.E., Petersen, S.E., Schlaggar, B.L., 2007. Development of distinct control networks through segregation and integration. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 104, 13507–13512. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0705843104

Fletcher, P.C., Frith, C.D., 2009. Perceiving is believing: a Bayesian approach to explaining the positive symptoms of schizophrenia. Nat Rev Neurosci 10, 48–58. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2536

Flounders, M.W., González-García, C., Hardstone, R., He, B.J., 2019. van. eLife 8, e41861.

https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.41861
Friston, K., 2010. The free-energy principle: a unified brain theory? Nat Rev Neurosci 11, 127–138. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2787
Geirhos, R., Rubisch, P., Michaelis, C., Bethge, M., Wichmann, F.A., Brendel, W., 2019. ImageNet-trained CNNs are biased towards texture; increasing shape bias improves accuracy and robustness.
Geirhos, R., Temme, C.R.M., Rauber, J., Schütt, H.H., Bethge, M., Wichmann, F.A., 2020. Generalisation in humans and deep neural networks. arXiv:1808.08750 [cs, q-bio, stat].
Ghebreab, S., Smeulders, A.W.M., Schoite, H.S., Lamme, V.A.F., 2009. A Biologically Plausible Model for Rapid Natural Image Identification 9.
González-García, C., Flounders, M.W., Chang, R., Baria, A.T., He, B.J., 2018. Content-specific activity in frontoparietal and default-mode networks during prior-guided visual perception. eLife 7, e36068. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.36068
Groen, I.I.A., Ghebreab, S., Prins, H., Lamme, V.A.F., Scholte, H.S., 2013. From Image Statistics to Scene Gist: Evoked Neural Activity Reveals Transition from Low-Level Natural Image Structure to Scene Category. Journal of Neuroscience 33, 18814–18824. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3128-13.2013
Groen, I.I.A., Jahfari, S., Seijdel, N., Ghebreab, S., Lamme, V.A.F., Scholte, H.S., 2018. Scene complexity modulates degree of feedback activity during object detection in natural scenes. PLOS Computational Biology 14, e1006690. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1006690
Happé, F.G.E., Booth, R.D.L., 2008. The Power of the Positive: Revisiting Weak Coherence in Autism Spectrum Disorders. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology 61, 50–63. https://doi.org/10.1080/17470210701508731
Hardstone, R., Zhu, M., Flinker, A., Melloni, L., Devore, S., Friedman, D., Dugan, P., Doyle, W.K., Devinsky, O., He, B.J., 2021. Long-term priors influence visual perception through recruitment of long-range feedback. Nat Commun 12, 6288. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-26544-w
Hsieh, P.-J., Vul, E., Kanwisher, N., 2010. Recognition Alters the Spatial Pattern of fMRI Activation in Early Retinotopic Cortex. Journal of Neurophysiology 103, 1501–1507. https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.00812.2009
Huber, L.S., Geirhos, R., Wichmann, F.A., 2022. The developmental trajectory of object recognition robustness: children are like small adults but unlike big deep neural networks.
Imamoglu, F., Kahnt, T., Koch, C., Haynes, J.-D., 2012. Changes in functional connectivity support conscious object recognition. NeuroImage 63, 1909–1917. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2012.07.056
Kapur, S., 2003. Psychosis as a State of Aberrant Salience: A Framework Linking Biology, Phenomenology, and Pharmacology in Schizophrenia. AJP 160, 13–23. https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.160.1.13
Kersten, D., Mamassian, P., Yuille, A., 2004. Object perception as Bayesian inference. Annu. Rev. Psychol. 55, 271–304.
Kirchberger, L., Mukherjee, S., Schnabel, U.H., van Beest, E.H., Barsegyan, A., Levelt, C.N., Heimel, J.A., Lorteije, J.A.M., van der Togt, C., Self, M.W., Roelfsema, P.R., 2021. The essential role of recurrent processing for figure-ground perception in mice. Sci. Adv. 7, eabe1833. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abe1833
Kok, P., Bains, L.J., van Mourik, T., Norris, D.G., de Lange, F.P., 2016. Selective Activation of the Deep Layers of the Human Primary Visual Cortex by Top-Down Feedback. Current Biology 26, 371–376. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2015.12.038
Kovács, I., Eisenberg, M., 2005. Human Development of Binocular Rivalry 18.
Kovács, I., Kozma, P., Fehér, Á., Benedek, G., 1999. Late maturation of visual spatial integration in humans. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 96, 12204–12209.

https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.96.21.12204
Krizhevsky, A., Sutskever, I., Hinton, G.E., 2017. ImageNet classification with deep convolutional neural networks. Commun. ACM 60, 84–90. https://doi.org/10.1145/3065386
Król, Magdalena, Król, Michał, 2019. The world as we know it and the world as it is: Eye-movement patterns reveal decreased use of prior knowledge in individuals with autism. Autism Research 12, 1386–1398. https://doi.org/10.1002/aur.2133
Kubilius, J., Schrimpf, M., Kar, K., Rajalingham, R., Hong, H., Majaj, N., Issa, E., Bashivan, P., Prescott-Roy, J., Schmidt, K., Nayebi, A., Bear, D., Yamins, D.L., DiCarlo, J.J., 2019. Brain-Like Object Recognition with High-Performing Shallow Recurrent ANNs 12.
Lee, T.S., Mumford, D., 2003. Hierarchical Bayesian inference in the visual cortex. J. Opt. Soc. Am. A 20, 1434. https://doi.org/10.1364/JOSAA.20.001434
Lindsay, G.W., 2021. Convolutional Neural Networks as a Model of the Visual System: Past, Present, and Future. Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience 33, 2017–2031. https://doi.org/10.1162/jocn_a_01544
Loth, E., Gómez, J.C., Happé, F., 2010. When seeing depends on knowing: Adults with Autism Spectrum Conditions show diminished top-down processes in the visual perception of degraded faces but not degraded objects. Neuropsychologia 48, 1227–1236. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2009.12.023
Mooney, C.M., 1957. Age in the development of closure ability in children. Canadian Journal of Psychology/Revue canadienne de psychologie 11, 219–226. https://doi.org/10.1037/h0083717
Moore, C., Cavanagh, P., 1998. Recovery of 3D volume from 2-tone images of novel objects 27.
Muttenthaler, L., Hebart, M., N., 2021. THINGSvision: a Python toolbox for streamlining the extraction of activations from deep neural networks.
Nayar, K., Franchak, J., Adolph, K., Kiorpes, L., 2015. From local to global processing: The development of illusory contour perception. Journal of Experimental Child Psychology 131, 38–55. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jecp.2014.11.001
Nishimura, M., Scherf, S., Behrmann, M., 2009. Development of object recognition in humans. F1000 Biol Rep 1. https://doi.org/10.3410/B1-56
Pei, Y., Huang, Y., Zou, Q., Zhang, X., Wang, S., 2021. Effects of Image Degradation and Degradation Removal to CNN-Based Image Classification. IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell. 43, 1239–1253. https://doi.org/10.1109/TPAMI.2019.2950923
Poltoratski, S., Tong, F., 2020. Resolving the Spatial Profile of Figure Enhancement in Human V1 through Population Receptive Field Modeling. J. Neurosci. 40, 3292–3303. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2377-19.2020
Rivolta, D., Castellanos, N.P., Stawowsky, C., Helbling, S., Wibral, M., Grutzner, C., Koethe, D., Birkner, K., Kranaster, L., Enning, F., Singer, W., Leweke, F.M., Uhlhaas, P.J., 2014. Source-Reconstruction of Event-Related Fields Reveals Hyperfunction and Hypofunction of Cortical Circuits in Antipsychotic-Naive, First-Episode Schizophrenia Patients during Mooney Face Processing. Journal of Neuroscience 34, 5909–5917. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3752-13.2014
Rosch, E., Mervis, C.B., Gray, W.D., Johnson, D.M., Boyes-Braem, P., 1976. Basic objects in natural categories. Cognitive Psychology 8, 382–439. https://doi.org/10.1016/0010-0285(76)90013-X
Scholte, H.S., Ghebreab, S., Waldorp, L., Smeulders, A.W.M., Lamme, V.A.F., 2009. Brain responses strongly correlate with Weibull image statistics when processing natural images. Journal of Vision 9, 29–29. https://doi.org/10.1167/9.4.29
Seijdel, N., Jahfari, S., Groen, I.I.A., Scholte, H.S., 2020. Low-level image statistics in natural scenes influence perceptual decision-making. Sci Rep 10, 10573. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-67661-8
Teufel, C., Dakin, S.C., Fletcher, P.C., 2018. Prior object-knowledge sharpens properties of early

visual feature-detectors. Scientific Reports 8. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-28845-5
Teufel, C., Subramaniam, N., Dobler, V., Perez, J., Finnemann, J., Mehta, P.R., Goodyer, I.M., Fletcher, P.C., 2015. Shift toward prior knowledge confers a perceptual advantage in early psychosis and psychosis-prone healthy individuals. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 112, 13401–13406. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1503916112
Van de Cruys, S., Vanmarcke, S., Wagemans, J., 2018. The Use of Prior Knowledge for Perceptual Inference Is Preserved in ASD 12.
Van der Hallen, R., Evers, K., Brewaeys, K., Van den Noortgate, W., Wagemans, J., 2015. Global processing takes time: A meta-analysis on local–global visual processing in ASD. Psychological Bulletin 141, 549–573. https://doi.org/10.1037/bul0000004
van Loon, A.M., Fahrenfort, J.J., van der Velde, B., Lirk, P.B., Vulink, N.C.C., Hollmann, M.W., Steven Scholte, H., Lamme, V.A.F., 2016. NMDA Receptor Antagonist Ketamine Distorts Object Recognition by Reducing Feedback to Early Visual Cortex. Cereb. Cortex 26, 1986–1996. https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhv018
Wokke, M.E., Sligte, I.G., Steven Scholte, H., Lamme, V.A.F., 2012. Two critical periods in early visual cortex during figure–ground segregation. Brain Behav 2, 763–777. https://doi.org/10.1002/brb3.91
Wokke, M.E., Vandenbroucke, A.R.E., Scholte, H.S., Lamme, V.A.F., 2013. Confuse Your Illusion: Feedback to Early Visual Cortex Contributes to Perceptual Completion. Psychol Sci 24, 63–71. https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797612449175
Yoon, J.M.D., Winawer, J., Witthoft, N., Markman, E.M., 2007. Striking deficiency in top-down perceptual reorganization of two-tone images in preschool children, in: 2007 IEEE 6th International Conference on Development and Learning. Presented at the 2007 IEEE 6th International Conference on Development and Learning, IEEE, London, UK, pp. 181–186. https://doi.org/10.1109/DEVLRN.2007.4354071
Yoon, J.M.D., Witthoft, N., Winawer, J., Frank, M.C., Everett, D.L., Gibson, E., 2014. Cultural Differences in Perceptual Reorganization in US and Pirahã Adults. PLoS ONE 9, e110225. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0110225
Zoph, B., Vasudevan, V., Shlens, J., Le, Q.V., 2018. Learning Transferable Architectures for Scalable Image Recognition. arXiv:1707.07012 [cs, stat].