Self-assembly of CIP4 drives actin-mediated asymmetric pit-closing in clathrin-mediated endocytosis

Yiming Yu[1], Takuma Ozaki[1], and Shige H. Yoshimura[1*]

[1] Graduate School of Biostudies, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan, 606-8501

*Corresponding author

E-mail: yoshimura@lif.kyoto-u.ac.jp

Tel & Fax: +81-75-753-7906

Summary

Clathrin-mediated endocytosis plays a pivotal role in signal transduction pathways between the extracellular environment and the intracellular space. Accumulating evidence from live-cell imaging and super-resolution microscopy of mammalian cells suggests an asymmetric distribution of actin fibers near the clathrin-coated pit, which induces asymmetric pit-closing, rather than radial constriction. However, detailed molecular mechanisms of this "asymmetricity" remain elusive. Herein, we used high-speed atomic force microscopy to demonstrate that CIP4, a multidomain protein with a classic F-BAR domain and intrinsically disordered regions, is necessary for asymmetric pit-closing. Strong self-assembly of CIP4 via intrinsically disordered regions, together with stereospecific interactions with the curved membrane and actin-regulating proteins, generates a small actin-rich environment near the pit, which deforms the membrane and closes the pit. Our results provide a mechanistic insight into how spatio-temporal actin polymerization near the plasma membrane is promoted by a collaboration of disordered and structured domains.

Key Words: Cdc42-interacting protein 4; F-BAR domain-containing protein; clathrin-mediated endocytosis; high-speed atomic force microscopy; superresolution structural illumination microscopy; phase separation; actin

Introduction

Clathrin-mediated endocytosis (CME) is necessary for several cellular processes including the uptake of low-density lipoprotein, the transduction of epidermal growth factor receptor signaling, the formation of synaptic vesicles, and cell migration [1-6]. Clathrin-dependent endocytic machinery is highly disciplined in the recruitment and disassembly of more than 60 endocytic proteins in an ordered sequence [7-9]. The initiation of clathrin-coated pits (CCPs) requires the assembly of several adaptors and scaffold proteins including the Fes/Cip4 homology Bin/amphiphysin/Rvs (F-BAR) domain-only protein, AP2 complex, intersectin, and clathrin [10-14]. Polymerized clathrin forms a hexagonal lattice that curves the plasma membrane and gradually drives the invagination of CCP [15,16]. In the late stage of CME, highly invaginated CCPs are detached from the membrane by dynamin-mediated constriction and scission, and then transported to the cytosol for further processing [17,18].

The pit-closing step of CME has recently attracted much attention from researchers because of the series of processes involved, including membrane deformation, fusion, and scission. In addition to a canonical dynamin-dependent constriction mechanism [17,18], actin plays critical roles in both yeast and mammals [19]. In yeast cells, actin assembles symmetrically at the base of the CCP in a radial distribution and constricts the membrane tubule [20]. In mammalian cells, actin functions as a force generator that counteracts membrane tension, elongates the membrane tubules, and assists in the formation of deeply-invaginated vesicles [21-23]. Furthermore, actin coordinates other endocytic proteins such as dynamin and BAR domain proteins to promote the constriction and scission of the membrane tubules before the translocation of vesicles [24-26].

Recent progress in various imaging techniques revealed an asymmetric distribution of actin near the CCP [22,27]. Electron and super-resolution microscopy identified actin and some associated proteins, including the neural Wiskott–Aldrich syndrome protein (N-WASP) and actin-related proteins-2/3 (Arp2/3) complex, asymmetrically assembling near the CCP [22,27,28]. Correspondingly, live-cell imaging with ion conductance microscopy revealed a membrane bulge that formed asymmetrically around the CCP and gradually covered that area [29]. Correlative imaging of high-speed atomic force microscopy (HS-AFM) and confocal laser-scanning microscopy (CLSM) demonstrated that the asymmetric closing process was actin-dependent [30]. Because a lateral constriction is energetically more favorable for membrane fusion than a radial constriction [31], the actin-

dependent asymmetric closing mechanism seems to play a major role in CME; however, the detailed molecular mechanism generating asymmetric assembly of actin machinery remains elusive.

Herein, we studied the molecular mechanism underlying asymmetric actin assembly and the consequent generation of the membrane bulge near the CCP. We used live-cell HS-AFM and fluorescence-based imaging techniques to examine the involvement of F-BAR domain-containing proteins (CIP4, FBP17, and Syndapin 2), which carry structured domains to interact with actin regulatory proteins as well as long, disordered domains, and are known to be involved in CME. Our results provide mechanistic insights into how a multi-domain protein can spatio-temporally recruit and orchestrate the actin machinery near the CCP via phase separation of disordered domains and stereospecific interactions between structured domains.

Results

Asymmetric closing of the CCP

Using HS-AFM, CME was described as a group of near-circular invaginations with diameters ranging from 150 to 400 nm and lifetimes ranging from 40 to ~300 s [30]. This prior observation revealed a variety of dynamic processes of the plasma membrane during the CME. Three different closing patterns: "asymmetric," "symmetric," and "undetermined," were identified (Figure 1A and Figure S1A–C). The asymmetric pattern is the dominant closing pattern that corresponds to ~71 % of total closing events (Figure 1B), in which a membrane bulge emanates from one side (or a limited area) of the CCP, and covers the pit at the end (Figure 1A, Figure S1A, and Video S1). The diameter of the pit plummeted within 10–20 s before complete closing (Figure 1A and C and Figure S1A). In the symmetric pattern (~18 % of total events, Figure 1B), the pit slowly shrank isotropically and completely closed with a weak membrane bulge (Figure 1A and C and Figure S1B). There was a small portion of the pits (~10 % of the total events, Figure 1B) that closed within 10–20 s but without any detectable bulges (Figure 1A and C and Figure S1C). Even under the scanning with a higher time resolution (0.5 frames/s), a membrane bulge was not observed around these pits (Figure S1D); therefore they were classified as "undetermined."

Figure 1. Asymmetric closing pattern of the CCP.

A. Time-lapse HS-AFM images and schematic illustrations of the asymmetric, symmetric, and undetermined closing patterns of CCP identified in Cos7 cells. Images were taken every 10 s. Time 0 was defined as the time point when the pit completely closed on the HS-AFM image. The membrane bulge is indicated by arrowheads and the height information of the AFM image is presented using a color bar. Image size: $1.0 \times 1.0 \ \mu m^2$. Representative images are presented here, and additional images are provided in Figure S1A–C.

B. The ratio of the three CCP closing patterns in non-treated Cos7 cells (N = 98), Cos7 cells treated with DMSO (N = 60) or CK666 (N = 60), and Cos7 cells transfected with siRNA for the control (luciferase) (N = 60) or N-WASP (N = 60).

C. The diameter of CCP (black) and the maximum membrane height at the pit area (red) were plotted against time in three different closing patterns. A representative case is shown in (A). Additional cases are shown in Figure S1A–C. Time 0 was defined as the time when the pit completely closed and is indicated with a black dotted line.

D. The growth rate, maximum height and duration of the bulge, and the total lifetime and the closing rate of CCP in individual closing patterns were plotted (N = 30, for each condition). The median, lower quartile, and upper quartile values are shown in the box and the minimum and maximum values are indicated with upper and lower whiskers, respectively. In undetermined cases, the height, duration, and growth rate of the membrane bulge were not defined (n.d.). Statistical analysis was performed using the Student's t-test, $\alpha = 0.05$; **:P < 0.01; ***: P < 0.001; ****: P < 0.0001.

Please also refer to Figure S1, and Video S1.

The asymmetric bulge grew faster (increment of the membrane height per unit time), higher (the

maximum height of the bulge), and continued for a longer period (duration of the bulge) than the symmetric

bulge (Figure 1D), but both patterns had a similar total lifetime (Figure 1D). The closing rate (reduction of the

diameter per unit time) also differed: the asymmetric pattern (8.3 ± 3.9 nm/s) was faster than the symmetric one

(3.9 ± 1.8 nm/s) (Figure 1D), suggesting that the asymmetric bulge may accelerate the pit closure compared to

that of the symmetric bulge. Treatment with CK666, an inhibitor of the Arp2/3 complex [32,33], and the knockdown

of N-WASP (Figure S1E), an upstream activator of Arp2/3 [34], promoted the formation of CCP while reducing

the frequency of both asymmetric and symmetric bulges to < 20 % and ~0 %, respectively (Figure 1B and Figure

S1F and G). This demonstrates that N-WASP and Arp2/3-dependent actin polymerization are required for bulge formation.

CIP4 is necessary for the asymmetric bulge

We further identified the proteins that potentially mediate the asymmetric closing process. Owing to their membrane deformation and actin-associated properties, F-BAR domain-containing proteins served as ideal candidates to coordinate actin reorganization with membrane deformation during CME [26,35-37]. CIP4, Syndapin 2 (Synd2), and FBP17 are classic F-BAR domain proteins localized at CCPs [9-14], and Cos7 cells express all these proteins (Figure S2A and B). The time-lapse fluorescence observations of Cos7 cells expressing mCherry-fused CIP4, Synd2, or FBP17 together with enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP)-fused clathrin light chain B (CLTB) revealed different timings and kinetics of their assembly at the CCP (Figure 2A and Figure S3A–C). When the disappearance of the clathrin signal was set as time 0, CIP4, Synd2, and FBP17 appeared at the CCP at -26.0 ± 7.4, -16.0 ± 5.5, and -10.5 ± 4.0 s and disassembled at -5.0 ± 2.3, -7.8 ± 4.4, and -2.0 ± 6.0 s, respectively (Figure 2B). Knockdown of CIP4 in Cos7 cells (Figure S2C) reduced the frequency of the asymmetric bulges (from ~74 to ~20 %) and increased the undetermined pattern (~70 %) (Figure 2C and Figure S2D). In contrast, the knockdown of Synd2 or FBP17 did not greatly affect any closing patterns (Figure 2C and Figure S2D). Nevertheless, the overall frequency of CME was not affected (Figure S2E). These results demonstrated that CIP4, but not FBP17 or Synd2, is necessary for the asymmetric bulge.

Correlative imaging of HS-AFM and CLSM revealed a clear correlation between the assembly of CIP4 and the bulge formation. When the complete closure of the pit under the HS-AFM was set as time 0, CIP4 appeared at -12.3 ± 7.25 s under CLSM, and the membrane bulge formed at -10.8 ± 6.40 s under the HS-AFM (Figure 2D and Figure S2F), indicating a close correlation between CIP4 and the bulge formation.

To confirm the asymmetric localization of CIP4, the relative position of CIP4 and the clathrin signal was determined using time-lapse super-resolution structural illumination microscopy (SIM). The CIP4 signal started to appear at the determined position offset from clathrin (center-to-center distance within the first 5 s, 126.8 ± 66.6 nm, N = 160) (Figure 2E and F). As the CIP4 signal increased, the center-to-center distance fluctuated with a decreasing tendency until both signals disappeared (Figure 2E and Figure S2G). Based on these observations, we concluded that CIP4 was asymmetrically assembled at the site of CME.

Figure 2. CIP4 is necessary for the asymmetric bulge.

A. Time-lapse fluorescence images obtained from Cos7 cells expressing EGFP-fused CLTB and mCherry-fused CIP4, FBP17, or Synd2. Images were acquired every 5 s. Image size: $1.0 \times 1.0 \ \mu m^2$. Time 0 was defined as the time when the clathrin signal disappeared. Representative images are presented here, and additional images are provided in Figure S3A–C.

B. A summary of the assembly profile of the F-BAR domain proteins. The times when the fluorescence signal appeared and disappeared at the CCP area are defined as "start" and "end," respectively, and are plotted (N = 20, for each condition). Time 0 was defined as the time when the clathrin signal disappeared. Statistical analysis was performed using Student's t-test, $\alpha = 0.05$; ***: $P < 0.001$; ****: $P < 0.0001$. Error bars represent the standard deviation.

C. (Left) Time-lapse HS-AFM images obtained from Cos7 cells transfected with siRNA for CIP4, FBP17, or Synd2. The representative images are presented here. Additional cases are shown in Figure S2D. Images were taken every 10 s. Time 0 was defined as the time point when the pit completely closed on the HS-AFM image. The membrane bulge is indicated by arrowheads and the height information of the AFM image is presented using a color bar. Image size: $1.0 \times 1.0 \ \mu m^2$. (Right) The ratio of three different closing patterns in non-transfected Cos7 cells (N = 98) and the cells transfected with siRNA for the control (luciferase) (N = 60), CIP4 (N = 80), FBP17 (N = 60), or Synd2 (N = 60).

D. (Left) Correlative imaging of HS-AFM and CLSM. Cos7 cells expressing EGFP-fused CLTB and mCherry-fused CIP4 were imaged. Images were taken every 10 s. Time 0 was defined as the time point when the pit completely closed on the HS-AFM image. The membrane bulge is indicated by arrowheads and the height information of the AFM image is presented using a color bar. Image size: $1.0 \times 1.0 \ \mu m^2$. The representative images are presented here. Additional cases are shown in Figure S2F. (Right) A summary of the assembly profile of CIP4 and the membrane bulge formation. The time points when the membrane bulge and the fluorescence signal of CIP4 appeared and disappeared at the CCP area were defined as "start" and "end" points, respectively, and are plotted (N=16). Time 0 was defined as the time point of the complete pit closing and indicated with a black dotted line. Statistical analysis was performed using Student's t-test, $\alpha = 0.05$; n.s., not significant (P > 0.05). Error bars represent the standard deviation.

E. Time-lapse superresolution SIM images obtained from Cos7 cells expressing EGFP-fused CLTB and mCherry-fused CIP4. Images were taken every second and displayed every 2 s. Image size: $0.5 \times 0.5 \ \mu m^2$. The fluorescent intensity of CIP4 and the x-y distance between the centroids of clathrin and CIP are plotted against time (bottom). Time 0 was defined as the time when the clathrin signal disappeared. The representative images are presented here. Additional cases are shown in Figure S2G.

F. The histogram of the x-y distance between the centroids of the clathrin and CIP4 fluorescence spots within the first 5 s of CIP4 assembly (N = 160, from 32 CMEs).

Please also refer to Figures S2 and S3.

Flanking disordered region is necessary for the assembly of CIP4 to CCP

The domain of CIP4 that is required for its assembly around CCP was subsequently determined. CIP4 and

FBP17 belong to the same subfamily of F-BAR proteins and have conserved F-BAR and SH3 domains at the

amino- and carboxyl-termini, respectively (Figure S3D and E). The middle region between these conserved

domains is less conserved and mostly disordered (Figure 3A and Figure S3D and E). In CIP4 and FBP17, but

Figure 3. Flanking disordered region (FDR) and SH3 domain is necessary for the asymmetric bulge.

A. (Top) Disorder plot of CIP4 predicted by IUPred. (Bottom) Schematic illustration of the domain structures of full-length CIP4 and Synd2, together with their chimeric constructs.

B. Time-lapse fluorescence images obtained from Cos7 cells expressing EGFP-fused CLTB and mCherry-fused CIP4 (WT or chimeric constructs). Image size: $1.0 \times 1.0 \ \mu m^2$. Time 0 was defined as the time when the clathrin signal disappeared. The representative images are presented here. Additional cases are shown in Figure S4A.

C. A summary of the assembly profile of CIP4, CIP4(ΔSH3), and chimeric molecules at CCP. Time 0 was defined as the time when the clathrin signal disappeared. The timing when the fluorescence signal appeared and disappeared at the CCP area was defined as "start" and "end," respectively, and are plotted (N = 20, for each condition). Statistical analysis was performed using Student's t-test, α = 0.05; n.s., not significant (P > 0.05). The error bars represent the standard deviation.

D. Time-lapse HS-AFM images obtained from Cos7 cells expressing EGFP-fused SCC, SC, or SCC(W524K) mutants under the CIP4-KD background. The representative images are presented. Images were taken every 10 s. Time 0 was defined as the time point when the pit completely closed on the HS-AFM image. The membrane bulge is indicated by arrowheads and the height information of the AFM image is presented using a color bar. Image size: $1.0 \times 1.0 \ \mu m^2$. The frequency of three different closing patterns was measured and summarized in the right panel (N = 60, for each condition).

E. Pull-down assay of CIP4-SH3 and N-WASP. GST-tagged CIP4-SH3(WT) or CIP4-SH3(W524) was mixed with the HeLa cell lysate containing EGFP-fused N-WASP and GSH bead. The eluted fractions were analyzed using western blot with an anti-GFP antibody. The immunoreactive bands were quantified and summarized (bottom). Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation from three independent experiments as a relative value to WT. Statistical analysis was performed using Student's t-test, α = 0.05; ****: P < 0.0001.

Please refer to Figure S3 and Figure S4.

not Synd2, the middle-disordered region is intervened by a conserved HR1 and therefore named the flanking-

disordered region (FDR) (Figure 3A and Figure S3D).

The assembly of CIP4 at the CCP was not affected by the deletion of the SH3 domain (CIP4(ΔSH3) in

Figure 3A–C, and Figure S4A). Neither the BAR domain nor the FDR by itself was sufficient for the assembly

(Figure 3B), indicating that both the F-BAR domain and FDR are necessary for CIP4 to assemble at the CCP.

Notably, the assembly of chimeric molecules carrying the Synd2-BAR and CIP4-FDR (SCC and SC chimera

in Figure 3A) to the CCP starts at a similar time point to that of full-length CIP4 (Figure 3B and C and Figure

S4A), whereas the CS mutant, which carries the CIP4-BAR and Synd2-IDR (Figure 3A), did not assemble at

the CCP (Figure 3B). Together these results demonstrate that the FDR of CIP4 is a major determinant of the

assembly at the CCP, although, the BAR domain is also necessary.

SH3 domain is required for the membrane bulge formation

In contrast to the assembly at the CCP, the SH3 domain was required for the formation of the membrane bulge.

The expression of the SC chimera, which could assemble at the CCP (Figure 3B), failed to rescue the CIP4-

knockdown (KD) phenotype; the frequency of the asymmetric membrane bulge was not recovered by the

Figure 4. Interaction between Cdc42 and CIP4 is required for the asymmetric bulge.

A. Pull-down assay between Cdc42 and CIP4-FDR, FBP17-FDR, Synd2-IDR, CIP4-FDR(IST), or CIP4-FDR(4S) in the presence of GDP or GTP-γS. Hexa-histidine-tagged recombinant proteins were mixed with the cell lysate containing EGFP-fused Cdc42 in the presence of GDP or GTP-γS. The eluted fraction was subjected to the western blot analysis and is summarized in the bottom panel. Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation from three independent experiments as a relative value to the binding amount between CIP4-FDR and GDP-loaded Cdc42. Statistical analysis was performed using Student's t-test, α = 0.05; ****: P < 0.0001.

B. Time-lapse fluorescence images of EGFP-fused CLTB and mCherry-fused CIP4 containing MGD to IST mutation expressed in Cos7 cells. Image size: $1.0 \times 1.0 \ \mu m^2$. Time 0 was defined as the time when the clathrin signal disappeared. The representative images are presented here. Additional cases are shown in Figure S5C.

C. A summary of the assembly profile of CIP4 and CIP4(IST) at CCPs. The timing when the fluorescence signal appeared and disappeared at the CCP area was defined as "start" and "end," respectively, and are plotted (N = 20, for each condition). Statistical analysis was performed using Student's t-test, α = 0.05; ****: P < 0.0001. Error bars represent the standard deviation.

D. Time-lapse HS-AFM images obtained from Cos7 cells expressing EGFP (none), EGFP-fused Cdc42 (WT or T17N mutant), and the cells expressing EGFP-fused SCC(IST) under the CIP4-KD background. Representative images are presented. Images were taken every 10 s. Time 0 was defined as the time point when the pit completely closed on the HS-AFM image. The membrane bulge is indicated by arrowheads and the height information of the AFM image is presented using a color bar. Image size: $1.0 \times 1.0 \ \mu m^2$. The frequency of three different closing patterns was measured and summarized in the right panel (N = 60, for each condition).

Please also refer to Figure S5.

149   expression of the SC mutant (Figure 3D). In contrast, the SCC chimera, which also carries the SH3 domain of

150   CIP4, could rescue the KD phenotype (Figure 3D), indicating that the SH3 domain was necessary for the

151   formation of the membrane bulge after the assembly at the CCP.

152   CIP4 binds and activates N-WASP via the SH3 domain [38]. The activated N-WASP interacts with Cdc42

through its GTPase binding domain (GBD) (Figure S4B and C) and recruits the Arp2/3 complex to promote

actin polymerization [39]. In our experimental system, the assembly of N-WASP at the CCP was also observed;

it appeared at the CCP immediately after CIP4 (Figure S4D and E). Therefore, we examined whether the

interaction between CIP4 and N-WASP is necessary for the asymmetric bulge. A point mutation in the SH3

domain of Toca1 (W518K) inhibited the interaction with N-WASP [40]. Mutating the corresponding residue in

the CIP4-SH3 domain (W524K) also reduced the interaction with N-WASP (Figure 3E and Figure S4F). In

agreement with this, the SCC chimera carrying the W524K mutation failed to rescue the CIP4-KD phenotype

(Figure 3D), indicating that the interaction with CIP4-SH3 and N-WASP is necessary for the formation of the

asymmetric bulge.

Cdc42 recruits CIP4 to the site of CCP

Next, we examined the involvement of FDR in the asymmetric assembly of CIP4 at the CCP. We first focused

on the interaction with Cdc42 [41,42]. A pull-down assay demonstrated that the CIP4-FDR had a higher affinity to

the active (GTPγS-loaded) form of Cdc42 than the FBP17-FDR and the IDR of Synd2 (Figure 4A, and Figure

S5A). Substitutions of three amino acids within HR1 (MGD to IST, Figure S5B), which abolish the interaction

with Cdc42 in Toca1-HR1 [40], also attenuated the interaction with Cdc42 (Figure 4A, and Figure S5A). The

assembly of full-length CIP4 carrying MGD-IST mutations at the CCP started at -12.5 ± 5.2 s (Figure 4B and

C and Figure S5C), which was delayed compared to that of the wild type (WT), indicating that the activated

Cdc42 is required for the assembly of CIP4 to the CCP.

The interaction with active Cdc42 was important not only for the assembly at the CCP but also for

the asymmetric bulge formation. The overexpression of a dominant-negative form of Cdc42(T17N), but not the

WT, severely impaired the asymmetric bulge (to ~17%) (Figure 4D). In addition, the SCC chimera carrying the

MGD-IST mutation failed to rescue the phenotype of CIP4-KD (Figure 4D). These results indicated that

activated Cdc42 recruits CIP4 to the site of CCP which further induces the formation of the asymmetric bulge.

Self-assembly of FDR generates the "asymmetric" distribution of CIP4

Although the interaction between Cdc42 and CIP4 plays a role in the assembly of CIP4 at the CCP, it does not

explain how "asymmetricity" is produced. One of the possible mechanisms could be the cooperativity of the

assembly. The structural prediction based on the amino-acid sequence suggested that the HR1 of CIP4 forms a

helical coil that is composed of two antiparallel α-helices (Figure S6A). The circular dichroism spectrum

measurement of the recombinant HR1 indeed exhibited a strong propensity of α-helix (Figure S6B). Therefore,

we speculated that it forms a multimer and the association proceeds with cooperativity. To test this, recombinant

HR1 was purified and its molecular size was estimated using gel filtration chromatography. HR1 existed mainly as a dimer (Figure S6C and D) and any multimers could not be detected in a physiological condition, demonstrating that HR1 multimerization is not the major driving force of asymmetricity.

Another possibility could be a self-assembly and phase transition of FDR via electrostatic interaction between IDRs. The HR1 domain of CIP4 and FBP17 is flanked by positively and negatively charged IDRs at the amino and carboxyl sides, respectively (Figure 5A and Figure S6E). In contrast, the charge segregation of Synd2 IDR was not so prominent (Figure S6E). It has been well-established that a polymer with segregated charges (i.e., block polyampholyte) exhibits stronger liquid-liquid phase separation (LLPS) than the chain with the same number of charges randomly distributed (i.e., random polyampholyte) [43-45]. These properties strongly

Figure 5. CIP4-FDR phase separates and promotes actin polymerization.

A. (Top) A charge plot of CIP4-FDR. HR1 is flanked by a net positive (marked in blue) and negative (marked in red) IDRs. (Bottom) A schematic illustration of phase separation of CIP4-FDR driven by electrostatic interaction between IDRs and HR1 dimerization.

B. *In vitro* phase separation of FDRs from CIP4 and FBP17 and IDR from Synd2. CIP4-FDR, Synd2-IDR, and FBP17-FDR were purified from bacteria, and incubated with 15 % polyethylene glycol. The turbidity of the solution was measured based on optical density at 600 nm, and plotted against the protein concentration. The saturation concentration (C_{sat}) was obtained by curve fitting (Methods) and is indicated. A representative microscopic image of the droplet of CIP4-FDR is also shown. For fluorescence imaging, protein was pre-labeled with ATTO488. Scale bar, 50 μm.

C. FRAP analysis of a protein droplet formed by CIP4-FDR. The protein droplet of ATTO488-labeled CIP4-FDR was prepared as shown in B. Half of the target droplet (dotted square) was bleached by laser radiation, and time-lapse imaging was continued every 10 s. Some representative images are shown. The average fluorescence intensity of the bleached region was quantified and plotted against time as a relative value to that of the pre-bleached signal. Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation from 5 independent measurements.

D. (left top) A schematic illustration of CIP4-FDR and its deletion mutants. (left bottom) The turbidity assay was performed and plotted as described in (B). (right) The C_{sat} values obtained from a series of turbidity assays are summarized. Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation from three independent experiments.

E. (Left) Time-lapse fluorescence images of EGFP-fused CLTB and mCherry-fused CIP4(ΔIDR2/SH3) expressed in Cos7 cells. Image size: 1.0×1.0 μm^2. Time 0 was defined as the time when the clathrin signal disappeared. The representative images are presented here. Additional cases are shown in Figure S6F. (Right) Assembly rates of CIP4 (WT, ΔSH3, and ΔIDR2/SH3) at CCPs was plotted (N = 20, for each condition). The median, lower quartile, and upper quartile values are shown in the box and the minimum and maximum values are indicated with upper and lower whiskers, respectively. Statistical analysis was performed using the Student's t-test, α = 0.05; ****: P < 0.0001; n.s., not significant (P > 0.05).

F. (Top) A schematic illustration of actin polymerization in a protein droplet of CIP4-FDR. After forming a droplet of ATTO488-labeled CIP4-FDR, rhodamine-labeled G-actin was incubated with ATP, Mg^{2+}, and K$^+$. (Bottom) Time-lapse fluorescence observation of CIP4-FDR (ATTO488-labeled) and G-actin (rhodamine-labeled). Scale bar, 100 μm.

G. (Left) A mixture of rhodamine-labeled and non-labeled G-actin (1:9) was loaded with or without ATP and Mg^{2+}, and incubated with pre-formed droplet of CIP4-FDR. Representative fluorescence images are shown. Enlarged images in the presence of ATP are shown below. (Right) Fluorescence intensity of F-actin in the droplet was quantified and plotted (N = 60, in each condition).

Please also refer to Figure S6.

suggest that the FDR self-assembles to undergo LLPS via electrostatic interaction among IDRs (Figure 5A). To

test this possibility, the recombinant protein of CIP4-FDR and FBP17-IDR was purified and subjected to an *in*

vitro droplet assay in the presence of polyethylene glycol as a crowder. As shown in Figure 5B, CIP4-FDR

formed protein droplets, unlike the IDR of Synd2. Quantification of protein droplets based on the turbidity

revealed that the saturation concentration of CIP4-FDR was similar to that of the FBP17-FDR, but was

remarkably lower than that of the Synd2-IDR (Figure 5B), indicating that CIP4-FDR and FBP17-FDR has a

stronger propensity for LLPS than Synd2-IDR. Fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP) analysis

revealed that the protein droplet was in a liquid-like state (Figure 5C). Deletion of either IDR (ΔIDR1 or ΔIDR2)

from CIP4-FDR severely attenuated the LLPS (Figure 5D), demonstrating that the interaction between positive

and negative IDRs plays a role in the LLPS of FDR.

The deletion of HR1 from FDR (ΔHR1) also reduced the propensity of LLPS (Figure 5D), implying

the involvement of HR1-dimerization in the LLPS. To confirm this, intensive mutations were introduced into

HR1 to reduce dimer formation. We found that substitutions of four hydrophobic residues (L347, L401, Y408,

and W411 labeled in Figure S6A) by serine (4S) disrupted the HR1-dimer without affecting the interaction with

Cdc42 (Figure 4A, Figure S5A, and Figure S6C). As expected, LLPS propensity of the CIP4-FDR(4S) mutant

was lower than that of the WT (Figure 5D), indicating that HR1 dimerization promotes the FDR-dependent

assembly of CIP4.

To test whether FDR-driven LLPS plays a role in the assembly of CIP4 at the CCP *in vivo*, we analyzed

the assembly rate of CIP4 through live-cell fluorescence imaging. The assembly rate of CIP4(ΔSH3) at the CCP

was comparable to that of the full-length (Figure 5E). In contrast, further deletion of IDR2(ΔIDR2/SH3) reduced

the assembly rate by ~70 % (Figure 5E and Figure S6F), indicating that IDR2 plays a critical role in CIP4

assembly. Similarly, the 4S mutation in the full-length CIP4 almost completely abolished the assembly (Figure

S6G), which was more severe than the deletion of IDR2. In agreement with this, the SCC chimera carrying the

4S mutation failed to rescue the CIP4-KD (Figure S6H). These observations indicated that the FDR-dependent

LLPS plays a critical role in the assembly of CIP4 at the CCP *in vivo*.

Actin accumulates and polymerizes in a liquid phase of CIP4-FDR

We then examined whether a liquid phase formed by CIP4-FDR can accelerate actin polymerization. Alexa488-

labeled CIP4-FDR was mixed with polyethylene glycol to induce LLPS, and then rhodamine-labeled G-actin

was added (Figure 5F). The time-lapse observation under a fluorescence microscope revealed that actin rapidly

moved into the droplet (Figure 5F). The concentration of actin reached ~100 times higher in the droplet than

that in the external medium, demonstrating that actin accumulated in the droplet of CIP4-FDR.

We then tested whether actin polymerization also occurs in the droplet. To induce actin polymerization

in the droplet, ATP and Mg^{2+} and a low concentration of G-actin (45 μg/mL) were added to the droplet solution

of CIP4-FDR (Figure 5F and G). Under this condition, action polymerization rarely occurred in the diluted

phase, owing to the low concentration of actin. However, fibrous actin was frequently observed in the droplet

(Figure 5G). The depletion of ATP almost completely abolished it (Figure 5G), demonstrating that actin

polymerization occurs in the droplet. Together, these results demonstrated that CIP4 not only self-assembles

via FDR to form a liquid-like phase but also concentrates G-actin in the liquid phase to accelerate the

polymerization.

Discussion

Herein, we used various live-cell imaging techniques and biochemical approaches to demonstrate that the BAR

domain-containing protein, CIP4, plays a critical role in the actin-dependent asymmetric closing process of

CME. CIP4 interacts with curved-membrane and actin-related proteins such as Cdc42 and N-WASP through its

distinct structured domains (i.e., BAR, HR1, and SH3). Our results demonstrated that the asymmetricity was

generated during the self-assembly of the disordered regions between those structured domains. This describes

an intriguing molecular mechanism of how a multi-domain protein, such as CIP4, spatio-temporally recruits

and orchestrates the actin machinery at a specific position of the cell. Strong self-assembly and phase separation

of IDRs, together with stereospecific interactions between structured domains, induces a local burst of branched

actin fibers nearby the CCP. The IDRs of membrane-bound, -anchored, and -embedded proteins are gaining

more attention for their effects on curvature sensing and membrane bending. Several endocytic proteins

including AP180, Amphiphysin 1, and FBP17 can sense a membrane curvature via their disordered regions [46-

48]. Current assumptions suggest that disordered regions can sense the curved lipid bilayer by either entropic or

electrostatic mechanisms that were determined by the length and net charge of the disordered chains [49]. A

common strategy applied by disordered proteins, including BAR domain proteins, to curve the membrane is

domain crowding or phase separation, which imposes intense compressive or steric pressure on the flat lipid

bilayer [50-53]. Together, these findings provide a novel perspective for understanding the role of disordered

proteins in CME progression.

Structural and functional diversity of F-BAR domain-containing proteins

There are four members of F-BAR domain-containing proteins: CIP4, FBP17, Synd2, and Toca1. CIP4, FBP-

17, and Synd2 assemble at the CCP at different times during CME (Figure 2B) and have different cellular

functions [54,55] despite their similar domain structures. This could partly be attributed to the slightly different

curvature of the 3D structures of the BAR domains [35]. Herein, we demonstrated that the middle disordered

regions lying between the BAR and SH3 domains had different properties of self-assembly. The FDRs of CIP4

and FBP17, but not the IDR of Synd2, had high propensities of LLPS, which was a result of different charge

distributions and the presence of the HR1 domain (Figure 5A and Figure S6E). These results clearly explain the

functional diversity between Synd2 and CIP4 and FBP17 in CME and possibly other cellular processes in which

they are involved.

In contrast to Synd2, the FBP17-FDR has a similar charge distribution pattern to that of CIP4 (Figure

S6E) and exhibits a similar phase behavior *in vitro* (Figure 5B); however, they greatly diverge regarding their

in vivo functions and localizations. During CME, CIP4 assembles at the CCP before complete pit-closure which

is remarkably earlier than that of FBP17 (Figure 2B and D). Although the HR1 domains of CIP4 and FBP17

have a similar affinity to Cdc42 [56], *in vitro* pull-down assay revealed that the FDR of CIP4 had a higher affinity

to Cdc42 (Figure 4A and Figure S5A); this implies that disordered regions have a role in Cdc42 interactions. In

dendritic neurons, FBP17 showed a different intracellular distribution from that of CIP4 due to its poly PxxP

region within the first IDR [57]. These observations implicate an underestimated role of disordered regions in

regulating nearby stereospecific interactions.

274   Phase separation of IDR and stereo-specific interactions coordinate to produce an asymmetric

275   distribution of actin machinery

Although the FDR of CIP4 strongly self-assembled and underwent LLPS *in vitro* (Figure 5B), it did not

condensate by itself even under *in vivo* overexpression (Figure 3B). These results imply that other domains and

interactions define the spatio-temporal assembly of CIP4 at the CCP. CIP4 contains several structural domains:

BAR domain for interacting with the membrane, HR1 for interacting with activated Cdc42, and SH3 domain

for N-WASP (Figure 3E and Figure S4B, C, and F). Our results demonstrate that stereo-specific interactions of

these structured domains play critical roles in locating the CIP4 near the CCP and in recruiting actin-related

machinery.

In the absence of the CCP, CIP4 binds to membrane-anchored active Cdc42 via the HR1 domain [58-60]

(Figure 6A). CIP4 also binds to N-WASP via the SH3 domain and disables the auto-inhibition of N-WASP to

allow the interaction with Cdc42 via the GBD, and ternary complexes form among CIP4, N-WASP, and Cdc42

Figure 6. CIP4 drives the asymmetric CCP closing.

A−E. Schematic illustrations of the CIP4-induced asymmetric CCP closing. Membrane-bound and activated Cdc42 recruits CIP4 via direct interaction with HR1 (A). CIP4 then recruits and activates N-WASP to form a ternary complex (B). When the membrane-bound complex gets close to a CCP, the F-BAR domain of CIP4 recognizes and assembles on the curved membrane (C). This increases the chance of interaction between FDRs and initiates phase separation, which results in an asymmetric assembly nearby the CCP (D). Meanwhile, activated N-WASP further recruits and activates the Arp2/3 complex which initiates actin polymerization (D). The condensed phase of CIP4-FDR concentrates G-actin and accelerates the local actin polymerization which creates an actin-rich environment (E). As a result, polymerized actin accumulated rapidly and asymmetrically around CCP which deforms the plasma membrane and promotes the complete closing of CCP (E).

(Figure 6B). However, at this stage, the local concentration of CIP4 may not be high enough to undergo LLPS

(Figure 6B). When the ternary complex gets close to the CCP, the BAR domain recognizes the membrane

curvature of the pit and binds to it (Figure 6C). Although the BAR domain is known to multimerize on the

membrane *in vitro* [61], it was not sufficient for assembly at the CCP, and the FDR was also necessary (Figure 3B

and Figure S4A). These results imply that both the self-assembly of FDR and the multimerization of the BAR

domain on the membrane synergistically work for the fast assembly of CIP4 at the CCP. This is in good

agreement with the previous study demonstrating that disordered domains enhance the affinity of BAR domains

to the curved membrane [46]. Moreover, our chimeric molecule between CIP4 and Synd2 demonstrated that the

BAR domain was functionally exchangeable (SCC chimera, Figure 3A–C and Figure S4A), implying that the

curvature-sensing by the BAR domain may not be critical at this step of the CME. The SH3 domain was not

necessary for the assembly of CIP4 (Figure 3A–C and Figure S4A), although it is necessary for recruiting N-

WASP and subsequent formation of the asymmetric bulge (see later section).

298   Upon CIP4 self-assembly initiation, the local concentration of CIP4 at a specific position near the CCP

299   rapidly increases (Figure 6D). Compared to HR1, GBD has a higher affinity to Cdc42 and will completely

300   displace the HR1 to interact with Cdc42, which further exposes the C-terminal acidic region of N-WASP

allowing the further recruitment and activation of the Arp2/3 complex [56]. As a result, the local concentration of the active Arp2/3 complex also increases, which accelerates actin polymerization (Figure 6D and E). A similar process had been demonstrated using Toca1, a subfamily member of CIP4 with a conserved HR1 and SH3 domain [40,56,62], suggesting a conserved role of CIP4 sub-family proteins in mediating Cdc42-WASP-Arp2/3 actin signaling. In addition to Arp2/3 complex accumulation, our *in vitro* assay demonstrated that G-actin preferred to localize in the condensed phase of CIP4-FDR (Figure 5F and G), implying that the concentration of free G-actin increases in the CIP4-rich phase near the CCP, which also promotes actin polymerization. A recent study revealed that actin-associated proteins with a long IDR, such as vasodilator-stimulated phosphoprotein and actin-binding LIM protein 1, formed liquid droplets under physiological conditions and promoted actin polymerization and bundling [63,64]. It is hypothesized that LLPS is an effective method applied by actin-related proteins to regulate the actin cytoskeleton.

It was recently reported that CIP4 is enriched at the site of artificially-induced nano-scale membrane invaginations, induces actin polymerization in a Cdc42-dependent pathway, and that disordered proteins were proven to sense the membrane curvature outside the area of endocytosis, such as in filopodia [46,58]. Therefore, it is reasonable to believe that the reorganization of the actin cytoskeleton through F-BAR domain proteins plays a critical role in a variety of cellular structures of the curved membrane, and this should be further elucidated.

Data and code availability

This study includes no original code deposited in external repositories. All original data will be deposited at Mendeley Data before the acceptance of this manuscript (Reserved DOI: 10.17632/xt2prdpnw7.1). Any additional information required to reanalyze the data reported in this study is available from the lead contact (RL) upon request

Acknowledgments

We thank K. Deguchi, S. Dodo, and B. Yi at Kyoto University for their technical assistance. We are especially thankful to Dr. F. Ishidate at the iCeMS Analysis Center, Institute for Integrated Cell-Material Sciences (WPI-iCeMS), Kyoto University Institute for Advanced Study (KUIAS) for his technical assistance in super-

328   resolution structural illumination microscopy (Elyra 7). We also thank Mr. Sakai, Mr. Uekusa, Mr. Yagi, and

329   Mr. Ito for their assistance in constructing and maintaining the HS-AFM system. This work was supported

330   by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers JP18H02436, JP18KK0196, JP19K22422, and JP22H05171 for SHY, and

331   AMED (Japan Agency for Medical Research and Development) under Grant Number JP18gm5810018 and

332   JP20wm0325009 for SHY.

Author contributions

335   Research design: Yiming Yu, Shige H. Yoshimura; Experiment and data analysis: Yiming Yu, Ozaki Takuma,

336   Shige H. Yoshimura; Manuscript writing: Yiming Yu, Shige H. Yoshimura.

Conflict of interest

339   The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

STAR Methods

Plasmids

Mammalian expression vectors encoding pEGFP-N1-CLTB and pmCherry-C1-CLTB were constructed as

described previously [30]. Fragments of the full-length (FL) CIP4 (amino acids (a.a.) 1 – 547), FL-FBP17 (a.a. 1

– 617), FL-Synd2 (a.a. 1 – 486), CIP4-F-BAR (a.a. 1 – 257), CIP4-FDR (a.a. 258 – 489), CIP4(ΔSH3) (a.a. 1 –

489), and CIP4(ΔIDR2/SH3) (a.a. 1 – 425) were amplified by PCR and subcloned into pmCherry-C1 vectors

for mammalian expression. Fragments of CIP4-FDR (a.a. 258 – 489), FBP17-FDR (a.a. 258 – 552), Synd2-IDR

(a.a. 276 – 428), CIP4-FDR-ΔIDR1 (a.a. 339 – 489), CIP4-FDR-ΔIDR2 (a.a. 258 – 425), CIP4-HR1 (a.a. 339

– 425), CIP4-SH3 (a.a. 490 – 547), and N-WASP-GBD (a.a. 197 – 255) were amplified by PCR and subcloned

into pET28a (+) or pGEX-6P-1 vectors for expression in *Escherichia coli*.

Vectors encoding mCherry-fused and EGFP-fused CSS chimeric molecules were constructed by amplifying

and ligating the DNA fragments corresponding to CIP4 (a.a. 1 – 257) and Synd2 (a.a. 276 – 486) and subcloning

into pEGFP-C1 and pmCherry-C1 vectors. Similarly, fragment of SCC chimera were obtained by amplifying

and ligating the nucleotide sequence corresponding to Synd2 (a.a. 1 – 275) and CIP4 (a.a. 258 – 547). To obtain

the fragments of SC and CS chimera, the DNA fragments corresponding to CIP4-SH3 (a.a. 490 – 547) and Synd2-SH3 (a.a. 429 – 486) were deleted from the SCC or CSS fragments respectively by PrimerSTAR® Max DNA Polymerase (Takara Bio Inc., Shiga, Japan). Fragment of CIP4-FDR(ΔHR1) was obtained by deleting the nucleotide sequence corresponding to CIP4-HR1 (a.a. 339 – 425) by PrimerSTAR® Max DNA Polymerase.

Single and multiple amino acid mutations were introduced using PrimerSTAR® Max DNA Polymerase. The MGD to IST mutation was generated by replacing the amino acids of M381, G382, and D383 with I, S, and T, respectively; the 4S mutation was generated by replacing the amino acids of L347, L401, Y408, and W411 with S; the W524K mutation was generated by replacing the amino acids of W524 with K.

Fragments of the Cdc42 (a.a. 1 – 191) and N-WASP (a.a. 1 – 511) were amplified by PCR and subcloned into pEGFP-C1 vectors for mammalian expression. pcDNA3-EGFP-Cdc42(T17N) was obtained from Klaus Hahn (Addgene; plasmid # 12601) [65].

Expression and purification of recombinant proteins

The following recombinant proteins were used herein: hexa-histidine-tagged CIP4-FDR, FBP17-FDR, Synd2-IDR, CIP4-FDR(4S), CIP4-FDR(IST), CIP4-HR1, CIP4-HR1(4S), CIP4-FDR(ΔHR1), CIP4-FDR(ΔIDR1) and CIP4-FDR(ΔIDR2), and glutathione S-transferase (GST)-tagged CIP4-SH3, CIP4-SH3(W524K), and N-WASP-GBD. Vectors encoding the recombinant proteins were constructed as described in the previous section and introduced into the BL21-CondonPlus (DE3)-RIL competent cells (Agilent Technologies, Inc., Santa Clara, CA, USA) by heat shock at 37 °C for 30 s. The expression of the recombinant protein was induced by 0.5 mM isopropylthio-β-D-galactoside at 16 °C for 16 h. Bacterial cells expressing hexa-histidine-tagged or GST-tagged recombinant proteins were harvested and resuspended with phosphate buffered saline (PBS) (137 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, 10 mM Na_2HPO_4, and 1.8 mM KH_2PO_4) (pH 7.4) containing lysozyme (0.2 mg/mL), DNaseI (20 µg/mL), $MgCl_2$ (1 mM), and phenylmethanesulfonylfluoride (1 mM). The cells were subjected to freeze-thaw cycles three times and homogenized by sonication. Insoluble cell debris was removed by centrifugation at 12,000 rpm, 4 °C for 15 min. To collect tagged proteins, the cleared lysate was then mixed with nickel-nitrilotriacetic acid (Ni-NTA)-agarose beads (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany) or glutathione (GSH) Sepharose 4B beads (Cytiva, Marlborough, MA, USA), and incubated at 4 °C for 1 h with rotation. The hexa-histidine- and

GST-tagged proteins were eluted with Elution buffer A (100 mM NaCl, 50 mM HEPES, 0.2 mM DTT, 400

mM Imidazole, pH 7.4), and Elution buffer B (100 mM NaCl, 50 mM HEPES, 0.2 mM DTT, 200 mM GSH,

pH 7.4), respectively, and dialyzed against a buffer containing 200 mM NaCl and 50 mM HEPES (pH 7.4) at

4 °C for 6 h. Proteins were concentrated by AmiconUltra (M.W. 3,000 Da) (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO,

USA) and stored at -80 °C before use.

Pulldown assay

The recombinant proteins (~5 μg) were immobilized on ~20 μl of Ni-NTA agarose or GSH beads. EGFP-fused

Cdc42 and EGFP-fused N-WASP were expressed in HEK293T cells. The cell pellet was resuspended with PBS

containing Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (0.5 %, Nacalai Tesque, Inc., Kyoto, Japan) and Triton-X-100 (0.25 %)

on ice for 10 min. To activate Cdc42, the cell lysate containing EGFP-Cdc42 (WT or T17N) was incubated with

GTPγ-S or GDP as described previously [66]. The cell lysate was mixed with the purified recombinant protein and

Ni-NTA or GSH beads and incubated at 4 °C for 25 min and the bound fraction was eluted with 400 mM

Imidazole or 100 mM GSH) in Elution Buffer (100 mM NaCl, 50 mM HEPES, 0.2 mM DTT, pH 7.4),

respectively. Approximately 20 % of the bound fraction and ~ 10 % of the unbound fraction were analyzed

using immunoblotting and SDS-PAGE, respectively.

Cell culture and transfection

Monkey kidney-dived fibroblast-like cells (Cos7 cells) purchased from the Cell Engineering Division in RIKEN

BioResource Center (RBC0539; Ibaraki, Japan) were cultured in high-glucose Dulbecco's Modified Eagle

Medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10 % fetal bovine serum (FBS) at 37 °C with 5 % CO_2. For HS-AFM

observation, slide glasses (Matsunami Glass Ind., Ltd., Osaka, Japan) or 0.15 CG pierced slide glass (ToA

Optical Technologies, Inc., Tokyo, Japan) were coated with 0.01 % poly-L-lysine solution (Sigma-Aldrich) and

incubated at 37 °C for 1 h. Cos7 cells were seeded on the glass, incubated overnight, and subjected to HS-AFM

observation the next day. CK666 (Abcam, Cambridge, United Kingdom) was added to the culture medium to a

final concentration of 100 μM 30 min before the observation. Polyethyleneimine (M.W. 40,000, Polycience,

Niles, IL, USA) was used to introduce the expression vectors into Cos7 cells.

RNA interference

Cos7 cells were transfected with siRNA using HiPerfect reagent (QIAGEN) according to the manufacturer's protocol. siRNA against CIP4 (ID: s17814, 5′-GGAGAAUAGUAAGCGUAAATT-3′), against FBP17 (ID: s22916, 5′-CAACCUAAAAAGAACUCGATT-3′), or against Synd2 (ID: s22216, 5′-AGAUGUUCUUAAGACCAAATT-3′) were purchased from Ambion Inc. (Austin, TX, USA). siRNA against N-WASP (siGENOME SMART pool) was purchased from Dharmacon Inc. (Lafayette, CO, USA). The cells were treated with trypsin 48 h after the transfection and seeded on slide glasses for HS-AFM observation or directed harvested and analyzed using SDS-PAGE followed by immunoblotting. The rescue experiment was performed by transfecting Cos7 cells with siRNA against CIP4 48 h before the observation and transfecting the cells with vectors encoding mCherry-tagged SC chimera 24 h before the observation.

HS-AFM imaging and image analyses

A tip-scan type HS-AFM system (BIXAM[TM]; Olympus Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) combined with confocal microscope (FV1200, Olympus Corporation) [30,67,68] was used for AFM imaging. All observations were performed at 28 ℃. An electron beam-deposited ultra-short cantilever (USC-F0.8-K0.1-T12-x66-10; Nonoworld Corporation, Neuchâtel, Switzerland) with a spring constant of 0.1 N m^{-1} was used for scanning. The scanning speed was 10 s per frame, and the total scanning area was 6000×4500 nm^2. A correlative imaging of HS-AFM and confocal microscopy was performed as described previously [30].

Time-lapse HS-AFM images were acquired using AFM Scanning System Software Version 1.6.0.12 (Olympus Corporation). The diameter, duration, and height of the membrane bulge were analyzed by using Gwyddion software (ver 2.55) (http://gwyddion.net/) and GIMP software (ver 2.10.18) (https://www.gimp.org/). To record the height of the membrane bulge, the highest point of the plasma membrane at the CCP area was recorded and compared with the surrounding plasma membrane. The lowest position in the HS-AFM image was set as '0' automatically. The duration of the membrane bulge was counted from the first image with the observable membrane bulge to its complete disappearance. For the size of the pit, the maximum diameter of the pit during the whole process of CME was measured by first doing a cross-section and measuring the distance between one side of the pit to the other side. For cases with no detectable membrane bulge (undetermined

pattern), the height and duration were set as '0 µm' and '0 s' respectively. By plotting the change of membrane height at the pit area and pit diameter against time, the slope of the ascending part of the height-time plot was used to obtain the growth rate of the membrane bulge while the slope of the descending part of the diameter-time plot was used to obtain the closing rate of CCPs.

Immunoblotting

The total cell lysate from Cos7 cells expressing EGFP-fused CIP4, FBP17, or Synd2 and from Cos7 cells subjected to single KD of CIP4, FBP17, or Synd2 was separated by 12 % SDS-PAGE gel, transferred to a poly vinylidene difluoride membrane, blocked in 5 % slim milk in tris buffered saline (5 mM Tris, 13.8 mM NaCl, 0.27 mM KCl, pH 7.4) containing 0.1 % Tween (Nacalai Tesque, Inc.), and incubated with a primary antibody for 1 h. The following antibodies were used: rabbit anti-CIP4 (1:1000; Bethyl Laboratories Inc., Montgomery, TX, USA), rabbit anti-pacsin2 (1:1000; Novusbio), rabbit anti-FNBP1 (1:1000; Novus Biologicals LLC, Centennial, CO, USA), rabbit anti-N-WASP (1:1000; Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA, USA), rabbit anti-GFP (1:1000; Medical & Biological Laboratories Co., Ltd., Nagano, Japan), mouse anti-β-actin (1:1000; Sigma-Aldrich). The blot was then incubated with a secondary antibody: goat anti-rabbit IgG (H+L) antibody (1:5000; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), or Goat anti-mouse IgG (H+L) antibody (1:5000; Thermo Fisher Scientific) at 27 °C for 1 h. The immunoreactive bands were visualized using Chemi-Lumi One Super Kit (Nacalai Tesque) under LAS-3000 Imager (Fuji-film, Tokyo, Japan).

Live-cell fluorescence microscopy

A confocal laser-scanning microscope (FV3000, Olympus Corporation) equipped with a stage incubator (TOKAI HIT Corporation, Shizuoka, Japan) was used for live-cell imaging. All observations were performed under 37 °C, 5.0 % CO_2. Cos7 cells were seeded on a 30-mm glass-bottom dish with 40 % density and transfected with vectors indicated above 24 h before imaging. Time-lapse fluorescence images were captured every five seconds and analyzed with ImageJ (ver 1.52a) (https://imagej.nih.gov) and GIMP software (ver 2.10.18).

Superresolution SIM live-cell imaging and analysis

Superresolution structure illumination microscopy (SIM) was performed using Elyra 7 with Lattice SIM[2] (Zeiss,

Oberkochen, Germany). For two-color live-cell imaging, Cos7 cells with 40 % cell density were seeded on a

30-mm glass-bottom dish and transfected with vectors encoding EGFP-fused CLTB and mCherry-fused CIP4

24 h before imaging. The culture medium in the dish was exchanged with the phenol red-free DMEM (Sigma-

Aldrich) 30 min before imaging. Images were taken every 0.5 s and processed with Zeiss Enz 3.6 blue edition

software. The images were analyzed with ImageJ (ver 1.52a).

CD spectroscopy

The circular dichroism (CD) spectra were acquired with JASCO J-805 spectropolarimeter (JASCO Corporation,

Tokyo, Japan) using 1.0 mL quartz cuvettes with a 5-mm path length. Purified HR1 domain was diluted with

1×PBS to the final concentration of 0.4 mg/mL. The measurement was performed at 25 °C in the 280 – 198 nm

range with a data point interval of 0.2 nm.

Gel-filtration chromatography

The gel filtration chromatography was performed with the AKTA purifier system (GE Healthcare, Chicago, IL,

USA) under the control of Unicorn 5.1 software. The running buffer contains 20 mM NaCl, 25 mM HEPES,

and 0.2 mM 2-mercaptoethanol. Superdex 75 10/300 GL column (Cytiva) with a void volume (Vo) of 7.2 mL

was used. The calibration curve was obtained by plotting the molecular weight of marker proteins against the

partition coefficient (Kav). Kav was calculated with the equation: Kav = (Ve-Vo) / (Vt-Vo). Ve: elution volume;

Vo: void volume; Vt: total volume.

Phase separation assay

Hexa-histidine-tagged recombinant proteins of CIP4-FDR, FBP17-FDR, and Synd2-IDR were used for the

phase separation assay. The purified protein (~10 mg/mL) was incubated with ATTO488-maleimide (Thermo

Fisher Scientific) at 28 °C for 1 h. The reaction was quenched by 4 mM DTT. Droplet formation was induced

by adding 5% polyethylene glycol and imaged by fluorescence microscopy (FV3000, Olympus Corporation).

For examining interaction with actin, purified actin (Cytoskeleton Inc., DENVER, CO, USA) and rhodamine-

labeled actin (Cytoskeleton Inc.) were reconstituted with the following buffer: 5 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 0.2

mM CaCl₂, 0.2 mM ATP, 5%(w/v) sucrose. Rhodamine-labeled and non-labeled actin were mixed at 1:20 to a

final concentration of 450 μg/mL and pre-incubated with Mg^{2+} (50 μM) and EGTA (0.2 mM) at 28 °C for 4

min. Purified CIP4-IDR was diluted to 3 mg/mL with the following buffer: 5 mM Tris-HCl (pH8.0), 1.2 mM

ATP, 1.1 mM DTT, 50 mM KCl, 2 mM MgCl₂, 5% (w/v) polyethylene glycol (Sigma-Aldrich). Mg^{2+}-charged

actin was then added to 45 μg/mL and observed by fluorescence microscope (FV3000).

Turbidity assay

Recombinant proteins of hexa-histidine-tagged CIP4-FDR, FBP17-FDR, Synd2-IDR, CIP4-FDR-4S, CIP4-

HR1, CIP4-HR1(4S), CIP4-FDR(ΔHR1), CIP4-FDR(ΔIDR1) and CIP4-FDR(ΔIDR2) were used in the

turbidity assay. Purified proteins were serially diluted with Dilution Buffer (50 mM HEPES pH 7.4 and 100

mM NaCl), mixed with an equal volume of Droplet Buffer (30% polyethylene glycol, 50 mM HEPES, 100 mM

NaCl, pH 8.0), and incubated at 25 °C for 10 min. The mixture was then transferred to a micro-cuvette

(BRANDTech Scientific Inc., ESSEX, CT, USA), and the optical density at 600 nm was measured using a

spectrophotometer (JASCO V-630). The saturation concentration (C_{sat}) was obtained by fitting the data with the

following equation by OriginPro (v.9.8):

$OD_{600} = OD_{max} C^n / (C_{sat}^n + C^n),$

where C represents protein concentration.

Multiple sequence alignment and three-dimensional protein structure predictions

Multiple sequence alignment was performed using the T-Coffee test [69]. Sequence similarity was required using

EMBOSS Needle (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/psa/emboss_needle/). Three-dimensional protein structure was

predicted using AlphaFold2 [70].

Statistical analysis

To analyze the closing patterns of CCPs, relatively equal numbers of CCP images were collected from four

different Cos7 cells. The total number of CCPs analyzed in each analysis is described in the figure legends. All

statical analyses were performed using student's t-test, unless otherwise indicated. Differences were considered statistically significant at $P < 0.05$

References

1. Anderson, R.G., Brown, M.S., and Goldstein, J.L. (1977). Role of the coated endocytic vesicle in the uptake of receptor-bound low density lipoprotein in human fibroblasts. Cell 10, 351–364. 10.1016/0092-8674(77)90022-8.

2. Rappoport, J.Z., and Simon, S.M. (2003). Real-time analysis of clathrin-mediated endocytosis during cell migration. J. Cell Sci. 116, 847–855. 10.1242/jcs.00289.

3. Vieira, A.V., Lamaze, C., and Schmid, S.L. (1996). Control of EGF receptor signaling by clathrin-mediated endocytosis. Science 274, 2086–2089. 10.1126/science.274.5295.2086.

4. Fielding, P.E., and Fielding, C.J. (1996). Intracellular transport of low density lipoprotein derived free cholesterol begins at clathrin-coated pits and terminates at cell surface caveolae. Biochemistry 35, 14932–14938. 10.1021/bi9613382.

5. Kim, H.R., Gil, S., Andrieux, K., Nicolas, V., Appel, M., Chacun, H., Desmaele, D., Taran, F., Georgin, D., and Couvreur, P. (2007). Low-density lipoprotein receptor-mediated endocytosis of PEGylated nanoparticles in rat brain endothelial cells. Cell. Mol. Life Sci. 64, 356–364. 10.1007/s00018-007-6390-x.

6. Brodin, L., Löw, P., and Shupliakov, O. (2000). Sequential steps in clathrin-mediated synaptic vesicle endocytosis. Curr. Opin. Neurobiol. 10, 312–320. 10.1016/s0959-4388(00)00097-0.

7. Kaksonen, M., Toret, C.P., and Drubin, D.G. (2005). A modular design for the clathrin-and actin-mediated endocytosis machinery. Cell 123, 305–320. 10.1016/j.cell.2005.09.024.

8. Weinberg, J., and Drubin, D.G. (2012). Clathrin-mediated endocytosis in budding yeast. Trends Cell Biol. 22, 1–13. 10.1016/j.tcb.2011.09.001.

9. Taylor, M.J., Perrais, D., and Merrifield, C.J. (2011). A high precision survey of the molecular dynamics of mammalian clathrin-mediated endocytosis. PLoS Biol. 9, e1000604. 10.1371/journal.pbio.1000604.

10.   Hussain, N.K., Jenna, S., Glogauer, M., Quinn, C.C., Wasiak, S., Guipponi, M., Antonarakis, S.E.,

Kay, B.K., Stossel, T.P., and Lamarche-Vane, N. (2001). Endocytic protein intersectin-l regulates

actin assembly via Cdc42 and N-WASP. Nat. Cell Biol. 3, 927–932. 10.1038/ncb1001-927.

11.   Yamabhai, M., Hoffman, N.G., Hardison, N.L., McPherson, P.S., Castagnoli, L., Cesareni, G., and

Kay, B.K. (1998). Intersectin, a novel adaptor protein with two Eps15 homology and five Src

homology 3 domains. J. Biol. Chem. 273, 31401–31407. 10.1074/jbc.273.47.31401.

12.   Collins, B.M., McCoy, A.J., Kent, H.M., Evans, P.R., and Owen, D.J. (2002). Molecular architecture

and functional model of the endocytic AP2 complex. Cell 109, 523–535. 10.1016/s0092-

8674(02)00735-3.

13.   Kadlecova, Z., Spielman, S.J., Loerke, D., Mohanakrishnan, A., Reed, D.K., and Schmid, S.L. (2017).

Regulation of clathrin-mediated endocytosis by hierarchical allosteric activation of AP2. J. Cell Biol.

216, 167–179. 10.1083/jcb.201608071.

14.   Henne, W.M., Boucrot, E., Meinecke, M., Evergren, E., Vallis, Y., Mittal, R., and McMahon, H.T.

(2010). FCHo proteins are nucleators of clathrin-mediated endocytosis. Science 328, 1281–1284.

10.1126/science.1188462.

15.   Kirchhausen, T., and Harrison, S.C. (1981). Protein organization in clathrin trimers. Cell 23, 755–761.

10.1016/0092-8674(81)90439-6.

16.   Nossal, R. (2001). Energetics of clathrin basket assembly. Traffic 2, 138–147. 10.1034/j.1600-

0854.2001.020208.x.

17.   Hinshaw, J.E., and Schmid, S.L. (1995). Dynamin self-assembles into rings suggesting a mechanism

for coated vesicle budding. Nature 374, 190–192. 10.1038/374190a0.

18.   Shnyrova, A.V., Bashkirov, P.V., Akimov, S.A., Pucadyil, T.J., Zimmerberg, J., Schmid, S.L., and

Frolov, V.A. (2013). Geometric catalysis of membrane fission driven by flexible dynamin rings.

Science 339, 1433–1436. 10.1126/science.1233920.

19.   Galletta, B.J., Mooren, O.L., and Cooper, J.A. (2010). Actin dynamics and endocytosis in yeast and

mammals. Curr. Opin. Biotechnol. 21, 604–610. 10.1016/j.copbio.2010.06.006.

20.   Mund, M., van der Beek, J.A., Deschamps, J., Dmitrieff, S., Hoess, P., Monster, J.L., Picco, A.,

Nédélec, F., Kaksonen, M., and Ries, J. (2018). Systematic nanoscale analysis of endocytosis links

574   efficient vesicle formation to patterned actin nucleation. Cell 174, 884–896. e817.

575   10.1016/j.cell.2018.06.032.

576 21. Boulant, S., Kural, C., Zeeh, J.-C., Ubelmann, F., and Kirchhausen, T. (2011). Actin dynamics

577   counteract membrane tension during clathrin-mediated endocytosis. Nat. Cell Biol. 13, 1124–1131.

578   10.1038/ncb2307.

579 22. Collins, A., Warrington, A., Taylor, K.A., and Svitkina, T. (2011). Structural organization of the actin

580   cytoskeleton at sites of clathrin-mediated endocytosis. Curr. Biol. 21, 1167–1175.

581   10.1016/j.cub.2011.05.048.

582 23. Yang, C., Colosi, P., Hugelier, S., Zabezhinsky, D., Lakadamyali, M., and Svitkina, T. (2022). Actin

583   polymerization promotes invagination of flat clathrin-coated lattices in mammalian cells by pushing at

584   lattice edges. Nat. Commun. 13, 6127. 10.1038/s41467-022-33852-2.

585 24. Tsujita, K., Suetsugu, S., Sasaki, N., Furutani, M., Oikawa, T., and Takenawa, T. (2006).

586   Coordination between the actin cytoskeleton and membrane deformation by a novel membrane

587   tubulation domain of PCH proteins is involved in endocytosis. J. Cell Biol. 172, 269–279.

588   10.1083/jcb.200508091.

589 25. Grassart, A., Cheng, A.T., Hong, S.H., Zhang, F., Zenzer, N., Feng, Y., Briner, D.M., Davis, G.D.,

590   Malkov, D., and Drubin, D.G. (2014). Actin and dynamin2 dynamics and interplay during clathrin-

591   mediated endocytosis. J. Cell Biol. 205, 721–735. 10.1083/jcb.201403041.

592 26. Ferguson, S., Raimondi, A., Paradise, S., Shen, H., Mesaki, K., Ferguson, A., Destaing, O., Ko, G.,

593   Takasaki, J., and Cremona, O. (2009). Coordinated actions of actin and BAR proteins upstream of

594   dynamin at endocytic clathrin-coated pits. Dev. Cell 17, 811–822. 10.1016/j.devcel.2009.11.005.

595 27. Jin, M., Shirazinejad, C., Wang, B., Yan, A., Schöneberg, J., Upadhyayula, S., Xu, K., and Drubin,

596   D.G. (2022). Branched actin networks are organized for asymmetric force production during clathrin-

597   mediated endocytosis in mammalian cells. Nat. Commun. 13, 3578. 10.1038/s41467-022-31207-5.

598 28. Yarar, D., Waterman-Storer, C.M., and Schmid, S.L. (2005). A dynamic actin cytoskeleton functions

599   at multiple stages of clathrin-mediated endocytosis. Mol. Biol. Cell 16, 964–975. 10.1091/mbc.e04-

600   09-0774.

29.   Shevchuk, A.I., Novak, P., Taylor, M., Diakonov, I.A., Ziyadeh-Isleem, A., Bitoun, M., Guicheney,

P., Lab, M.J., Gorelik, J., and Merrifield, C.J. (2012). An alternative mechanism of clathrin-coated pit

closure revealed by ion conductance microscopy. J. Cell Biol. 197, 499–508. 10.1083/jcb.201109130.

30.   Yoshida, A., Sakai, N., Uekusa, Y., Imaoka, Y., Itagaki, Y., Suzuki, Y., and Yoshimura, S.H. (2018).

Morphological changes of plasma membrane and protein assembly during clathrin-mediated

endocytosis. PLoS Biol. 16, e2004786. 10.1371/journal.pbio.2004786.

31.   Vasan, R., Rudraraju, S., Akamatsu, M., Garikipati, K., and Rangamani, P. (2020). A mechanical

model reveals that non-axisymmetric buckling lowers the energy barrier associated with membrane

neck constriction. Soft Matter 16, 784–797. 10.1039/c9sm01494b.

32.   Hetrick, B., Han, M.S., Helgeson, L.A., and Nolen, B.J. (2013). Small molecules CK-666 and CK-869

inhibit actin-related protein 2/3 complex by blocking an activating conformational change. Chem.

Biol. 20, 701–712. 10.1016/j.chembiol.2013.03.019.

33.   Ilatovskaya, D.V., Chubinskiy-Nadezhdin, V., Pavlov, T.S., Shuyskiy, L.S., Tomilin, V., Palygin, O.,

Staruschenko, A., and Negulyaev, Y.A. (2013). Arp2/3 complex inhibitors adversely affect actin

cytoskeleton remodeling in the cultured murine kidney collecting duct M-1 cells. Cell Tissue Res.

354, 783–792. 10.1007/s00441-013-1710-y.

34.   Rohatgi, R., Ma, L., Miki, H., Lopez, M., Kirchhausen, T., Takenawa, T., and Kirschner, M.W.

(1999). The interaction between N-WASP and the Arp2/3 complex links Cdc42-dependent signals to

actin assembly. Cell 97, 221–231. 10.1016/s0092-8674(00)80732-1.

35.   Qualmann, B., Koch, D., and Kessels, M.M. (2011). Let's go bananas: revisiting the endocytic BAR

code. EMBO J. 30, 3501–3515. 10.1038/emboj.2011.266.

36.   Armstrong, G., and Olson, M.F. (2022). Bending Over Backwards: BAR Proteins and The Actin

Cytoskeleton in Mammalian Receptor-Mediated Endocytosis. Eur. J. Cell Biol., 151257.

10.1016/j.ejcb.2022.151257.

37.   Aspenström, P. (2008). Roles of F-BAR/PCH proteins in the regulation of membrane dynamics and

actin reorganization. Int. Rev. Cell Mol. Biol. 272, 1–31. 10.1016/S1937-6448(08)01601-8.

38.   Fricke, R., Gohl, C., Dharmalingam, E., Grevelhörster, A., Zahedi, B., Harden, N., Kessels, M.,

Qualmann, B., and Bogdan, S. (2009). Drosophila Cip4/Toca-1 integrates membrane trafficking and

actin dynamics through WASP and SCAR/WAVE. Curr. Biol. 19, 1429–1437.

10.1016/j.cub.2009.07.058.

39.   Prehoda, K.E., Scott, J.A., Mullins, R.D., and Lim, W.A. (2000). Integration of multiple signals

through cooperative regulation of the N-WASP-Arp2/3 complex. Science 290, 801–806.

10.1126/science.290.5492.801.

40.   Ho, H.-Y.H., Rohatgi, R., Lebensohn, A.M., Ma, L., Li, J., Gygi, S.P., and Kirschner, M.W. (2004).

Toca-1 mediates Cdc42-dependent actin nucleation by activating the N-WASP-WIP complex. Cell

118, 203–216. 10.1016/j.cell.2004.06.027.

41.   Tian, L., Nelson, D.L., and Stewart, D.M. (2000). Cdc42-interacting protein 4 mediates binding of the

Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome protein to microtubules. J. Biol. Chem. 275, 7854–7861.

10.1074/jbc.275.11.7854.

42.   Aspenström, P. (1997). A Cdc42 target protein with homology to the non-kinase domain of FER has a

potential role in regulating the actin cytoskeleton. Curr. Biol. 7, 479–487. 10.1016/s0960-

9822(06)00219-3.

43.   Yamazaki, H., Takagi, M., Kosako, H., Hirano, T., and Yoshimura, S.H. (2022). Cell cycle-specific

phase separation regulated by protein charge blockiness. Nat. Cell Biol. 24, 625–632.

10.1038/s41556-022-00903-1.

44.   Lin, Y.-H., Forman-Kay, J.D., and Chan, H.S. (2016). Sequence-specific polyampholyte phase

separation in membraneless organelles. Phys. Rev. Lett. 117, 178101.

10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.178101.

45.   Danielsen, S.P., McCarty, J., Shea, J.-E., Delaney, K.T., and Fredrickson, G.H. (2019). Molecular

design of self-coacervation phenomena in block polyampholytes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 116,

8224–8232. 10.1073/pnas.1900435116.

46.   Zeno, W.F., Baul, U., Snead, W.T., DeGroot, A., Wang, L., Lafer, E.M., Thirumalai, D., and

Stachowiak, J.C. (2018). Synergy between intrinsically disordered domains and structured proteins

amplifies membrane curvature sensing. Nat. Commun. 9, 4152. 10.1038/s41467-018-06532-3.

47.   Su, M., Zhuang, Y., Miao, X., Zeng, Y., Gao, W., Zhao, W., and Wu, M. (2020). Comparative Study

656   of Curvature Sensing Mediated by F-BAR and an Intrinsically Disordered Region of FBP17. iScience

657   23, 101712. 10.1016/j.isci.2020.101712.

658   48.   Zeno, W.F., Snead, W.T., Thatte, A.S., and Stachowiak, J.C. (2019). Structured and intrinsically

659   disordered domains within Amphiphysin1 work together to sense and drive membrane curvature. Soft

660   Matter 15, 8706–8717. 10.1039/c9sm01495k.

661   49.   Zeno, W.F., Thatte, A.S., Wang, L., Snead, W.T., Lafer, E.M., and Stachowiak, J.C. (2019).

662   Molecular mechanisms of membrane curvature sensing by a disordered protein. J. Am. Chem. Soc.

663   141, 10361–10371. 10.1021/jacs.9b03927.

664   50.   Busch, D.J., Houser, J.R., Hayden, C.C., Sherman, M.B., Lafer, E.M., and Stachowiak, J.C. (2015).

665   Intrinsically disordered proteins drive membrane curvature. Nat. Commun. 6, 7875.

666   10.1038/ncomms8875.

667   51.   Snead, W.T., Zeno, W.F., Kago, G., Perkins, R.W., Richter, J.B., Zhao, C., Lafer, E.M., and

668   Stachowiak, J.C. (2019). BAR scaffolds drive membrane fission by crowding disordered domains. J.

669   Cell Biol. 218, 664–682. 10.1083/jcb.201807119.

670   52.   Stachowiak, J.C., Schmid, E.M., Ryan, C.J., Ann, H.S., Sasaki, D.Y., Sherman, M.B., Geissler, P.L.,

671   Fletcher, D.A., and Hayden, C.C. (2012). Membrane bending by protein–protein crowding. Nat. Cell

672   Biol. 14, 944–949. 10.1038/ncb2561.

673   53.   Yuan, F., Alimohamadi, H., Bakka, B., Trementozzi, A.N., Day, K.J., Fawzi, N.L., Rangamani, P.,

674   and Stachowiak, J.C. (2021). Membrane bending by protein phase separation. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.

675   U. S. A. 118, e2017435118. 10.1073/pnas.2017435118.

676   54.   Dawson, J.C., Legg, J.A., and Machesky, L.M. (2006). Bar domain proteins: a role in tubulation,

677   scission and actin assembly in clathrin-mediated endocytosis. Trends Cell Biol. 16, 493–498.

678   10.1016/j.tcb.2006.08.004.

679   55.   Heath, R.J., and Insall, R.H. (2008). F-BAR domains: multifunctional regulators of membrane

680   curvature. J. Cell Sci. 121, 1951–1954. 10.1242/jcs.023895.

681   56.   Watson, J.R., Fox, H.M., Nietlispach, D., Gallop, J.L., Owen, D., and Mott, H.R. (2016).

682   Investigation of the interaction between Cdc42 and its effector TOCA1: handover of Cdc42 to the

actin regulator N-WASP is facilitated by differential binding affinities. J. Biol. Chem. 291, 13875–13890. 10.1074/jbc.M116.724294.

57. Taylor, K.L., Taylor, R.J., Richters, K.E., Huynh, B., Carrington, J., McDermott, M.E., Wilson, R.L., and Dent, E.W. (2019). Opposing functions of F-BAR proteins in neuronal membrane protrusion, tubule formation, and neurite outgrowth. Life Sci. Alliance 2, e201800288. 10.26508/lsa.201800288.

58. Ledoux, B., Zanin, N., Yang, J., Coster, C., Dupont-Gillain, C., Alsteens, D., Morsomme, P., and Renard, H.-F. (2022). Plasma membrane nanodeformations promote actin polymerisation through CIP4/CDC42 recruitment and regulate type II IFN signaling. bioRxiv. 10.1101/2022.08.16.504113.

59. Hak, L.C.W., Khan, S., Di Meglio, I., Law, A.-L., Häsler, S.L.-A., Quintaneiro, L.M., Ferreira, A.P., Krause, M., McMahon, H.T., and Boucrot, E. (2018). FBP17 and CIP4 recruit SHIP2 and lamellipodin to prime the plasma membrane for fast endophilin-mediated endocytosis. Nat. Cell Biol. 20, 1023–1031. 10.1038/s41556-018-0146-8.

60. Leibfried, A., Fricke, R., Morgan, M.J., Bogdan, S., and Bellaiche, Y. (2008). Drosophila Cip4 and WASp define a branch of the Cdc42-Par6-aPKC pathway regulating E-cadherin endocytosis. Curr. Biol. 18, 1639–1648. 10.1016/j.cub.2008.09.063.

61. Shimada, A., Niwa, H., Tsujita, K., Suetsugu, S., Nitta, K., Hanawa-Suetsugu, K., Akasaka, R., Nishino, Y., Toyama, M., and Chen, L. (2007). Curved EFC/F-BAR-domain dimers are joined end to end into a filament for membrane invagination in endocytosis. Cell 129, 761–772. 10.1016/j.cell.2007.03.040.

62. Kobashigawa, Y., Kumeta, H., Kanoh, D., and Inagaki, F. (2009). The NMR structure of the TC10- and Cdc42-interacting domain of CIP4. J. Biomol. NMR 44, 113–118. 10.1007/s10858-009-9317-z.

63. Graham, K.D., Chandrasekaran, A., Wang, L., Ladak, A., Lafer, E.M., Rangamani, P., and Stachowiak, J.C. (2022). Liquid-like assembly of VASP drives actin polymerization and bundling. bioRxiv. 10.1101/2022.05.09.491236.

64. Yang, S., Liu, C., Guo, Y., Li, G., Li, D., Yan, X., and Zhu, X. (2022). Self-construction of actin networks through phase separation–induced abLIM1 condensates. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 119, e2122420119. 10.1073/pnas.2122420119.

710   65.   Nalbant, P., Hodgson, L., Kraynov, V., Toutchkine, A., and Hahn, K.M. (2004). Activation of

711   endogenous Cdc42 visualized in living cells. Science 305, 1615–1619. 10.1126/science.1100367.

712   66.   Ma, L., Cantley, L.C., Janmey, P.A., and Kirschner, M.W. (1998). Corequirement of specific

713   phosphoinositides and small GTP-binding protein Cdc42 in inducing actin assembly in Xenopus egg

714   extracts. J. Cell. Biol. 140, 1125–1136. 10.1083/jcb.140.5.1125.

715   67.   Zhang, Y., Yoshida, A., Sakai, N., Uekusa, Y., Kumeta, M., and Yoshimura, S.H. (2017). In vivo

716   dynamics of the cortical actin network revealed by fast-scanning atomic force microscopy.

717   Microscopy 66, 272–282. 10.1093/jmicro/dfx015.

718   68.   Yoshida, A., Sakai, N., Uekusa, Y., Deguchi, K., Gilmore, J.L., Kumeta, M., Ito, S., and Takeyasu, K.

719   (2015). Probing in vivo dynamics of mitochondria and cortical actin networks using high-speed

720   atomic force/fluorescence microscopy. Genes Cells 20, 85–94. 10.1111/gtc.12204.

721   69.   Notredame, C., Higgins, D.G., and Heringa, J. (2000). T-Coffee: A novel method for fast and accurate

722   multiple sequence alignment. J. Mol. Biol. 302, 205–217. 10.1006/jmbi.2000.4042.

723   70.   Jumper, J., Evans, R., Pritzel, A., Green, T., Figurnov, M., Ronneberger, O., Tunyasuvunakool, K.,

724   Bates, R., Žídek, A., and Potapenko, A. (2021). Highly accurate protein structure prediction with

725   AlphaFold. Nature 596, 583–589. 10.1038/s41586-021-03819-2.

Supplemental Information

Figure S1. Asymmetric closing pattern of the CCP, related to Figure 1.

A–C. Additional examples of the time-lapse HS-AFM images of asymmetric (A), symmetric (B), and undetermined (C) closing patterns obtained from Cos7 cells. Details of the experimental conditions and data presentations are the same as those in Figure 1A and C.

D. Time-lapse HA-AFM images of CCPs with the undetermined closing pattern at a scanning rate of 2 s/frame. Time 0 was defined as the time point when the pit completely closed on the HS-AFM image. The height information of the AFM image is presented using a color bar. Image size: $1.0 \times 1.0 \ \mu m^2$.

E. Knockdown efficiency of N-WASP. The total cell lysate of non-transfected Cos7 cells and Cos7 cells transfected with siRNA for the control (luciferase) or N-WASP was prepared 48 h after the transfection and then subjected to the western blot analysis using anti-N-WASP antibody. β-actin was detected as an internal control.

F. Time-lapse HS-AFM images obtained from Cos7 cells treated with DMSO or CK666 and cells transfected with siRNA for the control (luciferase) or N-WASP. Images were taken every 10 s. Time 0 was defined as the time point when the pit completely closed on the HS-AFM image. The membrane bulge is indicated by arrowheads and the height information of the AFM image is presented using a color bar. Image size: $1.0 \times 1.0 \ \mu m^2$.

G. The frequency of CCP formation in non-treated Cos7 cells, the cells treated with DMSO or CK666, and the cells transfected with siRNA for the control (luciferase) or N-WASP was quantified and summarized. Statistical analysis was performed using Student's t-test, $\alpha = 0.05$; **:$P < 0.01$; ****: $P < 0.0001$. Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation from four independent experiments.

Figure S2. CIP4 is necessary for the asymmetric bulge, related to Figure 2.

A. The endogenous amount of CIP4, FBP17, and Synd2 in Cos7 cells was examined using western blotting. The total cell lysate of Cos7 cells expressing EGFP-fused CIP4, FBP17, or Synd2 was subjected to western blotting using anti-CIP4, -FBP17, or -Synd2 antibody, respectively. The same lysate was also blotted with an anti-GFP antibody for reference. The amount of β-actin was detected with an anti-β-actin antibody as an internal control.

B. The endogenous amount of CIP4, FBP17, and Synd2 obtained from western blotting is shown in (A). Data from the three independent experiments are summarized. Statistical analysis was performed using Student's t-test, $\alpha = 0.05$; ****: $P < 0.0001$. Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation from three independent experiments as a relative value to that of CIP4.

C. Knockdown efficiency of CIP4, FBP17, and Synd2 in Cos7 cells. Total cell lysate of non-transfected Cos7 cells and Cos7 cells transfected with siRNA for the control (luciferase), CIP4, FBP17, or Synd2 was prepared 48 h after the transfection and then subjected to the western blot analysis using anti-CIP4, FBP17, or Synd2 antibodies. β-actin was detected as an internal control.

D. Additional examples of the time-lapse HS-AFM images obtained from Cos7 cells transfected with siRNA against CIP4, Synd2, or FBP17. Details of the experimental conditions and data presentations are the same as those in Figure 2C.

E. The frequency of CCP formation in non-transfected Cos7 cells and Cos7 cells transfected with siRNA for the control (luciferase), CIP4, FBP17, or Synd2 was quantified and summarized. Statistical analysis was performed using Student's t-test, $\alpha = 0.05$. There was no significant differences between any two groups. Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation from four independent experiments.

F. Additional examples of the correlative imaging of HS-AFM and confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM). The fluorescent (FL) intensity of EGFP-fused CLTB and mCherry-fused CIP4 and the maximum membrane height at the CCP area were plotted against time. Time 0 was defined as the time when the pit completely closed and is indicated with a black dotted line. Other details of the experimental conditions and data presentations are the same as those in Figure 2D.

G. Additional examples of the time-lapse superresolution SIM images obtained from Cos7 cells expressing EGFP-fused CLTB and mCherry-fused CIP4. Details of the experimental conditions and data presentations are the same as those in Figure 2E.

Figure S3. Assembly of F-BAR proteins CIP4, FBP17, and Synd2 to CCP, related to Figure 2 and Figure 3.

A–C. Additional examples of the time-lapse fluorescence images obtained from Cos7 cells expressing EGFP-fused CLTB and mCherry-fused CIP4 (A), FBP17 (B), or Synd2 (C). Details of the experimental conditions and data presentations are same as those in Figure 2A

D. Amino-acid sequence alignment of *Mus musculus* CIP4 (Uniprot, Q8CJ53-3), *Homo sapiens* FBP17 (Uniprot, Q96RU3), and *Mus musculus* Synd2 (Uniprot, Q9WVE8). Alignment was performed by Tcoffee (see Method). The conservation was scored and presented as shown in a color bar. The F-BAR, FDR/IDR, HR1, and SH3 domains are indicated.

E. Pairwise-domain similarity among CIP4, FBP17, and Synd2. The analysis was performed by EMBOSS Needle.

Figure S4. FDR and SH3 domain are necessary for the asymmetric bulge, related to Figure 3.

A. Additional examples of the time-lapse fluorescence images obtained from Cos7 cells expressing EGFP-fused CLTB and mCherry-fused chimeric CIP4 molecules. Details of the experimental conditions and data presentations are the same as those in Figure 3B.

B, C. Pull-down assay among CIP4-HR1, Cdc42, and the GBD of N-WASP. GST-tagged GBD was incubated with or without hexahistidine-tagged CIP4-HR1 domain and the cell lysate containing EGFP-fused Cdc42. The eluted fractions were subjected to the western blot analysis using anti-GFP antibody (B). The input amount of CIP4-HR1 and the unbound fraction were analyzed using SDS-PAGE (C).

D. Time-lapse fluorescence images of EGFP-fused N-WASP and mCherry-fused CLTB expressed in Cos7 cells. Time 0 was defined as the time when the clathrin signal disappeared. Image size: $1.0 \times 1.0 \ \mu m^2$.

E. A summary of the assembly profile of CIP4 and N-WASP at CCPs. Time 0 was defined as the time when the clathrin signal disappeared. The timing when the fluorescence signal appeared and disappeared at the CCP area was defined as "start" and "end," respectively, and are plotted as mean ± standard deviation (N = 20, for each condition). Statistical analysis was performed using the Student's t-test, α = 0.05; n.s., not significant (P > 0.05).

F. Input amount of GST-tagged CIP4-SH3(WT), CIP4-SH3(W524K), and empty GST and the unbound fraction of the pulldown assay in Figure 3E was analyzed using SDS-PAGE.

Figure S5. Cdc42 recruits CIP4 to the site of CCP, related to Figure 4.

A. SDS-PAGE analysis of the input and the unbound fraction of the pulldown assay shown in Figure 4A.

B. A three-dimensional structure of CIP4-HR1 predicted by AlphaFold2. Three mutated residues in the CIP4(IST) (M381, G382, D383) are shown in magenta.

C. Additional examples of the time-lapse fluorescence images of EGFP-fused CLTB and mCherry-fused CIP4(IST) expressed in Cos7 cells. Details of the experimental conditions and data presentations are the same as those in Figure 4B.

Figure S6. Structural and functional properties of FDRs, related to Figure 5.

A. Three-dimensional structure of the CIP4-HR1 domain predicted using AlphaFold2. The mutated residues in the CIP4-HR1(4S) are shown in magenta.

B. A circular dichroism spectrum of purified CIP4-HR1.

C. Gel filtration chromatography of CIP4-HR1(WT), and CIP4-HR1(4S). The absorbance at 280 nm (mAU) is plotted against the elution volume (mL). The peak position (Ve) was indicated with a dotted line.

D. A size calibration of gel filtration chromatography shown in B. RNase A (13.7 kDa), bovin carbonic anhydrase 1 (bovin CA-1, 28.8 kDa), and bovine serum albumin (BSA, 66.5 kDa) were analyzed. The partition coefficient (K_{av}) obtained from the chromatogram is plotted against the molecular weight.

E. Charge plot of Synd2-IDR and FBP17-FDR. Positively and negatively charged regions are marked in blue and red, respectively.

F. Additional examples of the time-lapse fluorescence images of EGFP-fused CLTB and mCherry-fused CIP4 (ΔIDR2/SH3) expressed in Cos7 cells. Details of the experimental conditions and data presentations are the same as those in Figure 5E.

G. Time-lapse fluorescence images of EGFP-fused CLTB and mCherry-fused CIP4(4S) expressed in Cos7 cells. Image size: 1.0×1.0 μm^2. The time point of the disappearance of the clathrin signal was defined as "0 s."

H. Time-lapse HS-AFM images obtained from Cos7 cells expressing EGFP-fused SCC(4S) under the CIP4-KD background. Images were taken every 10 s. Time 0 was defined as the time point when the pit completely closed on the HS-AFM image. The height information of the AFM image is presented using a color bar. Image size: 1.0×1.0 μm^2. The frequency of three different closing patterns was measured and summarized in the bottom panel (N = 60, for each condition).

Video S1. Morphological changes of the plasma membrane during the asymmetric CCP closing, related to Figure 1.

Representative movie of the asymmetric CCP closing in Cos7 cells captured by HS-AFM. Images were taken every 2 s. The height information of the AFM image is presented using a color bar. Image size on the x-y plane: $1.0 \times 1.0 \ \mu m^2$.