H4K20me3 controls Ash1-mediated H3K36me3 and transcriptional
silencing in facultative heterochromatin

Mareike Möller*, John B. Ridenour[#], Devin F. Wright, Michael Freitag

Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics, Oregon State University, Corvallis OR
97331, USA

[#]Present address: Cell Cycle and Cancer Biology Research Program, Oklahoma Medical
Research Foundation, Oklahoma City, OK 73104, USA

*corresponding author: moellmar@oregonstate.edu, mareike.moeller89@gmail.com

keywords: chromatin, facultative heterochromatin, histone methylation, silencing,
H4K20

Abstract

Facultative heterochromatin controls development and differentiation in many eukaryotes. In metazoans, plants, and many filamentous fungi, facultative heterochromatin is characterized by transcriptional repression and enrichment with nucleosomes that are trimethylated at histone H3 lysine 27 (H3K27me3). While loss of H3K27me3 results in derepression of transcriptional gene silencing in many species, additional up- and downstream layers of regulation are necessary to mediate control of transcription in chromosome regions enriched with H3K27me3. Here, we investigated the effects of one histone mark on histone H4, namely H4K20me3, in the fungus *Zymoseptoria tritici*, a globally important pathogen of wheat. Deletion of *kmt5*, the gene encoding the sole methyltransferase responsible for H4K20 methylation, resulted in global derepression of transcription, especially in regions of facultative heterochromatin. Reversal of silencing in the absence of H4K20me3 not only affected genes but also a large number of novel, previously undetected, non-coding transcripts generated from regions of facultative heterochromatin on accessory chromosomes. Transcriptional activation in *kmt5* deletion strains was accompanied by a complete loss of Ash1-mediated H3K36me3 and chromatin reorganization affecting H3K27me3 and H3K4me2 distribution in regions of facultative heterochromatin. Strains with a H4K20M mutation in the single histone H4 gene of *Z. tritici* recapitulated these chromatin changes, suggesting that H4K20me3 is essential for Ash1-mediated H3K36me3. The Δ*kmt5* mutants we obtained are more sensitive to genotoxic stressors and both, Δ*kmt5* and Δ*ash1*, showed greatly increased rates of accessory chromosome loss. Taken together, our results provide insights into a novel, and unsuspected, mechanism controlling the assembly and maintenance of facultative heterochromatin.

Significance

Facultative heterochromatin contains genes important for specific developmental or life cycle stages. Transcriptional regulation of these genes is influenced by chromatin structure. Here, we report that a little studied histone modification, trimethylation of lysine 20 on histone H4 (H4K20me3), is enriched in facultative heterochromatin and important for transcriptional repression in these regions in an important agricultural pathogen. Furthermore, normal levels of H4K20me3 are essential for deposition of another repressive histone mark, Ash1-mediated H3K36me3, and affect the distribution of other marks including H3K27me3. We conducted the first genome-wide assessment of H4K20 methylation levels in a fungus, and our discoveries reveal that multiple chromatin modifications are required to establish transcriptional silencing, providing the framework to understand epistasis relationships among these histone marks.

Introduction

Chromatin, the assembly of DNA, RNA, and proteins that constitutes chromosomes, can assume active and inactive states that are correlated with different histone and DNA modifications (1). Transcriptionally inactive, "silent" chromatin is separated into "constitutive heterochromatin", which is most often marked by H3K9me2/3 and DNA methylation and found within or near centromeres, subtelomeric regions or telomere repeats, rDNA, and transposable elements, and "facultative heterochromatin", which is typically enriched with H3K27me3 and found on specific genes (2, 3), in larger BLOCs (broad local enrichments) in mouse (4), or across long sections of chromosomes in many fungi (5, 6). Facultative heterochromatin is more dynamic than constitutive heterochromatin, and "on" or "off" states vary between cell types or individuals within a species (7). While H3K27me3, mediated by Polycomb Repressive Complex 2 (PRC2), is considered to be a hallmark histone modification correlated with facultative heterochromatin (8), little is known about other chromatin marks that are important for formation, maintenance, and gene silencing in these regions. In animals, the PRC1 complex and H2AK119ub1 play an important role in PRC2 and H3K27me3 recruitment (9), but so far there is no evidence for a canonical PRC1-like complex in fungi (10).

Other, less studied, histone modifications found in transcriptionally silent regions of facultative heterochromatin include H4K20 and H3K36 methylation. Depending on the organism, one or multiple histone methyltransferases (HMTs) are responsible for methylating H4K20 (11). In animals, one HMT mediates H4K20me1, for example KMT5A in humans and PR-SET-7 in *Drosophila melanogaster* (12, 13), and at least one additional HMT is responsible for H4K20me2/3, for example KMT5B/C in humans and Su(var)4-20 in *D. melanogaster* (14, 15). In contrast, a single enzyme, Kmt5, called Set9 in *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* and SET-10 in *Neurospora crassa*, catalyzes all H4K20me in fungi (16–18). Presence of the different methylation states of H4K20 (i.e., me1, me2, or me3) are essential for development and associated with DNA repair, replication, cell cycle control, genome stability, and chromatin compaction in animals (11, 19–24). In contrast, there are few studies on fungi addressing the function of H4K20me. In fission yeast, H4K20me is important for DNA repair by recruitment of Crb2 to sites of DNA damage (16). Studies with the filamentous plant pathogenic fungi *Fusarium graminearum*, *F. fujikuroi*, and *Magnaporthe oryzae* (syn. *Pyricularia oryzae*) revealed minor, if any, effects on virulence (17, 18), and only subtle and species-specific effects on expression of secondary metabolite cluster genes and tolerance to stress conditions in Δ*kmt5* strains (17). Surprisingly, none of the previous studies analyzed the genome-wide distribution of any of the H4K20me modification states in fungi.

In contrast, H3K36me is a widespread and well-studied histone modification deposited by Set2 in an RNAPII-dependent manner during transcript elongation (25) and, in some organisms, by another group of RNAPII-independent SET-domain proteins, the ASH1 or NSD family proteins (26, 27). ASH1-mediated H3K36me is linked to Polycomb-mediated repression and is thought to counteract PRC2-mediated H3K27me3 (28, 29). In fungi, ASH1-mediated H3K36me3 co-occurs in regions enriched with H3K27me3 and is important for normal distribution of this mark, at least in *N. crassa* and *F. fujikuroi* (30, 31).

In this study, we wished to address how H4K20me and ASH1-mediated H3K36me3 contribute to the formation, maintenance, and silencing of facultative heterochromatin. The filamentous

fungus *Zymoseptoria tritici*, a global pathogen of wheat, has a well-characterized epigenetic
landscape, which allowed us to differentiate euchromatin, constitutive or facultative
heterochromatin, regions with cytosine methylation (5mC), short regional centromeres,
subtelomeric regions, and telomere repeats (6, 32, 33). Facultative heterochromatin, enriched
with H3K27me3 and transcriptionally silenced, covers subtelomeric regions and accessory
chromosomes (also referred to as "non-essential" or "conditionally dispensable" chromosomes)
that create variation between isolates (6, 34). While H3K27me3 in these regions is involved in
chromosome stability and accumulation of mutations, loss of H3K27me3 has only minor impacts
on transcriptional activation (32, 35). Therefore, we hypothesized that another repressive histone
modification exists that is epistatic to H3K27me in *Z. tritici*, and perhaps other organisms.

Here we show that H4K20me3 is one such modification and that it is generated exclusively by
the SET-domain HMT, Kmt5. Kmt5 and H4K20me3 are crucial for transcriptional silencing in
regions of facultative heterochromatin and essential for Ash1-mediated H3K36me3, thereby
contributing significantly to formation of H3K27me3-enriched facultative heterochromatin.
103

Results

Kmt5 and Ash1 are important for normal growth but not essential in *Zymoseptoria*

We identified *kmt5* (Zt_chr_3_00475) and *ash1* (Zt_chr_13_00232) in the genome of the reference
isolate IPO323 by BLAST searches with *S. pombe* SET9 and *N. crassa* ASH-1 sequences as baits.
We found a single H4K20 methyltransferase homolog, consistent with findings in other fungi but
different to metazoans, where multiple enzymes are involved in catalyzing H4K20me1 and
H4K20me2/3 (Figure 1A). Protein sequence alignments of the SET domains of H4K20
methyltransferases in *Z. tritici*, *S. pombe*, *D. melanogaster, Danio rerio*, and human revealed
higher sequence similarity between Kmt5 in fungi and known H4K20me2/3 methyltransferases
than H4K20me1 methyltransferases (Figure S1). We showed that Kmt5 in *Z. tritici* is responsible
for all H4K20 methylation, as *kmt5* deletion strains are unable to generate either H4K20me1 or
H4K20me3 (Figures 1B, S2).

There is a single gene encoding a *Z. tritici* Ash1 homologue. This Ash1 protein lacks, as does
Neurospora ASH-1 (30), the C-terminal BROMO, PHD and BAH domains found in animal Ash1
homologues but contains the conserved AWS, SET and post-SET domains (Figure 1C). While the
specific functions of the additional domains in most animal Ash1 proteins are not known, the
PHD and BAH domain are essential for Ash1 to counteract Polycomb-mediated repression in *D.*
melanogaster (36). Absence of these domains in fungal Ash1 homologs suggests different
mechanisms of interaction with chromatin and PRC2, specifically.

To determine the function of *kmt5* and *ash1*, we deleted the respective genes in the wild-type
isolate Zt09 (37) by replacement with a gene encoding hygromycin phosphotransferase (*hph*),
conferring resistance to hygromycin B. Mutant strains were confirmed by PCR and Southern
assays (Figure S3). Both deletion mutants exhibit phenotypic differences compared to the wild-
type strain. The Δ*kmt5* mutant showed increased sensitivity to genotoxic stress and altered
morphology at higher temperatures (28°C *versus* the usual 18°C; Figure 1D). Deletion of *ash1*

only slightly retards growth and had overall minor effects on growth under the tested conditions
(Figures 1D, S4).
131

Loss of Kmt5 and Ash1 result in increased chromosome loss

Previously, we measured accessory chromosome loss as an indicator for overall genome stability
(32). A four-week growth experiment including five replicate cultures of wild-type, Δ*kmt5*, and
Δ*ash1* strains revealed an increase in accessory chromosome loss in both mutants (Table 1).
Increased chromosome loss rates did not affect all accessory chromosomes equally;
chromosomes 14 and 16 in Δ*kmt5*, and chromosome 16 in Δ*ash1* were lost much more frequently
than any other accessory chromosomes. This indicates that both Kmt5 and Ash1 are important
for the maintenance of at least certain accessory chromosomes, and more likely general genome
stability.
141

ChIP-seq uncovers different heterochromatin states in *Z. tritici*

To determine the effects on chromatin in both Δ*kmt5* and Δ*ash1* mutants, we first performed
ChIP-seq of selected histone modifications associated with eu- and heterochromatin in wild-type
strains. We found that H4K20me3 is widespread along chromosomes, enriched across gene
bodies, and exhibits pronounced enrichment in regions we previously characterized as
facultative heterochromatin, based on low levels of gene expression and the presence of
H3K27me3 (6, 32, 33) (Figures 2A, S5). For the first time, we tested localization of H3K27me2 in
Z. tritici and found that it is present in the same regions as H3K27me3 but at lower levels of
enrichment. H4K20me1 did not show any specific enrichment but was reduced in regions with
strong H4K20me3 enrichment, e.g., facultative heterochromatin. H3K36me3 showed a similar
pattern and distribution as H4K20me3, and both H3K36me3 and H4K20me3 were absent from
constitutive heterochromatin that is marked by H3K9me3 alone, or by a combination of
H3K9me3 and H3K27me2/3 (Figures 2A, S5A). To characterize modifications enriched in
facultative heterochromatin regions in more detail, we plotted enrichment of H4K20me3 and
H3K36me3 within 500 bp windows of regions that are enriched with H3K27me3 in wild type
(Figure 2B). We found two distinct clusters within H3K27me3-enriched regions: cluster 1 was
enriched with H4K20me3 and H3K36me3, while cluster 2 exhibited very low levels of H3K36me3
and H4K20me3. Further analyses of the genomic context of these clusters revealed that cluster
1 mostly overlaps with genes and intergenic regions, while cluster 2 overlaps with transposable
elements (TEs) that are also enriched with H3K9me3, few genes, and intergenic regions (Figure
2C). H3K27me3 and H3K9me3 co-occur in regions close to the telomeres, some repeats, and
sections of accessory chromosomes; the common feature of these regions are TEs. Both
H3K36me3 and H4K20me3 are predominantly excluded from these regions.

These two clusters may signify functionally different types of facultative heterochromatin, with
cluster 1 representing the traditionally accepted type of facultative heterochromatin
conditionally repressing genes, while cluster 2 represents constitutive heterochromatin doubly
marked with H3K9me3 and H3K27me3. Based on these results we now differentiate at least
three types of heterochromatin in *Z. tritici*: (1) constitutive heterochromatin, correlated with
H3K9me3 enrichment only and enriched on repeats and TEs, (2) constitutive heterochromatin,

correlated with enrichment of H3K9me3 and H3K27me3, found close to telomeres and some repeats and TEs, especially on accessory chromosomes, and (3) facultative heterochromatin, correlated with H3K27me3, H3K36me3, and H4K20me3 enrichment, mostly found on genes and intergenic regions either in large blocks or in shorter segments dispersed on chromosomes. The functional relevance of these states remained to be determined and here we began these investigations.

Facultative heterochromatin marked by H4K20me3, H3K36me3, and H3K27me3 shows strong interactions

To further characterize chromatin states and their influence on spatial interactions in the nucleus, we performed Hi-C experiments to find regions in the genome that physically interact. We compared wild type and a Δ*kmt6* mutant to see if loss of H3K27me3, the hallmark modification of facultative heterochromatin, impacts interaction frequencies in specific regions. We found strong interactions between regions enriched with H3K27me3 that we characterized as facultative heterochromatin (cluster 1) in wild type (Figure 3). As in other organisms (38–41) centromeres, which cannot be identified by a single chromatin mark in *Z. tritici* (6), interact most strongly. Large blocks of facultative heterochromatin are found on accessory chromosomes and on the right arm of chromosome 7. These blocks show strong inter- and intrachromosomal interactions but few interactions with non-facultative heterochromatin regions (Figure 3), revealing that they are physically separated from euchromatin. When comparing results from wild type to those from the Δ*kmt6* mutant, we found that these strong interactions between facultative heterochromatin regions persist, even in absence of H3K27me3 indicating that other mechanisms and not H3K27me3 alone are responsible for these strong interactions. Notably, H4K20me3 enrichment was not affected by absence of H3K27me3 in the Δ*kmt6* mutant (Figures 3B, S6).

Kmt5 is essential for Ash1-mediated catalysis of H3K36me3 and normal H3K27me3 distribution

Deletion of *kmt5* resulted in complete loss of H4K20me3 (Figures 1B and 4) and impacted the distribution of several other histone modifications tested (Figures 4 and S7, S8). Most prominently, we found that H3K36me3 was lost from most regions in which it co-localized with H3K27me3 in wild type. The same regions lost H3K36me3 in the *ash1* deletion mutant, indicating that Ash1 activity is compromised in the *kmt5* mutant. Loss of Ash1, however, does not impact H4K20me3, indicating that H4K20me3 is epistatic to, or acts upstream of, Ash1-mediated H3K36me3.

While impacts on H3K36me3 were similar in *kmt5* or *ash1* deletion strains, loss of *kmt5* had more severe effects on H3K27me3 enrichment. In the absence of Kmt5, we observed major changes in H3K27me3 distribution, including losses of large blocks of H3K27me3, some movement to constitutive heterochromatin, and losses and gains of peaks in numerous shorter dispersed peaks. In addition, loss of H4K20me3, H3K36me3, and H3K27me3 in the Δ*kmt5* mutant was often accompanied by gain of H3K4me2, suggesting a transition from facultative heterochromatin to transcriptionally active euchromatin (Figure 4A). In contrast, we detected

fewer differences in H3K27me3 enrichment in the *ash1* mutant, mostly losses or gains in a limited
number of the shorter dispersed peaks and increased enrichment in large H3K27me3-enriched
blocks on accessory chromosomes.

We found that most changes in chromatin states in Δ*kmt5* and Δ*ash1* mutants occurred in regions
that we characterized as facultative heterochromatin (cluster 1), i.e., regions that show
enrichment for H3K27me3, H3K36me3, and H4K20me3, but to a much lesser extent in regions
outside of facultative heterochromatin (Figure S7). Looking specifically at enrichment of the three
marks in those regions in wild type and mutants (Figure 4B), we found that, as expected,
H4K20me3 is absent in Δ*kmt5* but only slightly reduced in Δ*ash1*. H3K27me3 was reduced in
many regions in Δ*kmt5* but slightly increased in Δ*ash1*, and H3K36me3 was greatly reduced in
Δ*ash1* and only slightly less so in Δ*kmt5* when compared to enrichment in wild type. Taken
together, we showed that Kmt5 is important for facultative heterochromatin assembly and
maintenance and that it is essential for Ash1 activity.
226

H4K20M mutation alters abundance and distribution of H4K20me3

To test whether the presence of the Kmt5 protein or its catalytic activity, i.e., methylation of
H4K20, is important for H3K36me3, we constructed histone H4K20 mutants, replacing lysine
residue 20 with a methionine. We generated two different types of mutants, one a clean
replacement of the single endogenous histone H4 gene (*hH4*) by *hH4^{K20M}* (*H4^{K20M}*) and the second
an ectopic addition of *hH4^{K20M}*, generating the potential for a mixed population of nucleosomes
(*H4$^{K20/K20M}$*). The replacement allele recapitulated results obtained with the Δ*kmt5* mutant,
showing complete absence of H4K20me3, loss of Ash1-mediated H3K36me3, and reduced
H3K27me3 enrichment, revealing that presence of the histone mark, but not necessarily
presence of the Kmt5 protein, is essential for Ash1 recruitment (Figures 5A-C, S8). In contrast,
we observed striking differences in H4K20me3 distribution in the strain with the ectopic *hH4^{K20M}*
integration (*H4$^{K20/K20M}$*). In this mutant, H4K20me3 enrichment was retained only in specific
regions, co-localizing with Ash1-mediated H3K36me3 and H3K27me3 but lacking from the rest
of the genome. Analysis of the regions enriched with H4K20me3 in the *hH4$^{K20/K20M}$* mutant
revealed that H3K36me3 was still slightly reduced compared to wild type, but levels were higher
than in both Δ*kmt5* and *hH4^{K20M}* mutants, while H3K27me3 enrichment was comparable to wild
type (Figure 5A-C). Presence of H4K20me3 in these regions suggests that these regions are
preferential targets of Kmt5. Based on data collected with mutant histone H4 alleles, presence
of H4K20me3 appears to be necessary to deposit H3K36me3 and H3K27me3 and thus for
maintenance of a facultative heterochromatin state.
247

Tagging of Kmt5 impairs protein function and limits H4K20me3 spreading

In order to characterize Kmt5 in more detail, we generated strains harboring N-terminally (*V5-*
gfp-kmt5) or C-terminally (*kmt5-gfp-3xFLAG*) tagged Kmt5 alleles by either replacing the
endogenous *kmt5* in wild type or complementing the Δ*kmt5* mutant at the original locus. Based
on ChIP-seq analyses, none of these mutants retained or restored wild-type levels of H4K20me3
enrichment. H4K20me3 levels were similar to those observed in the *H4$^{K20/K20M}$* strain in specific
locations co-localizing with Ash1-mediated H3K36me3 and H3K27me3 but mostly absent from

the rest of the genome (Figure 5D). The C-terminally tagged Kmt5 mutant maintained some
H4K20me3 outside of facultative heterochromatin but not at levels comparable to those in wild
type.

Notably, integration of the C-terminally tagged Kmt5 in either wild-type or Δ*kmt5* backgrounds
led to similar defects in H4K20me3 distribution. This suggests the difference in H4K20me3 is not
a result of impaired *de novo* deposition of H4K20me3 after complete loss of the modification
but rather a defect in H4K20me3 genome-wide deposition or maintenance associated with
impaired function of the tagged Kmt5 protein (Figure S8).

Eaf3 is essential for Ash1 activity
To further investigate the connection between H4K20me3 and H3K36me3, we searched the
genome for predicted proteins that, in other organisms, have been shown to bind to H4K20me3
or to interact with either Ash1 or Kmt5. In *S. pombe*, a PWWP domain-containing protein (Pdp1)
occurs in a complex with Kmt5 and is required for all H4K20me (42). We found a single protein
with a PWWP domain in *Z. tritici* (Zt_chr_4_873) and other filamentous fungi (Figure 6A). Deletion
of the respective gene in *Z. tritici* did not affect H4K20me3 or H3K36me3 (Figure 6B and C) but
rather led to increased enrichment of H3K27me3 in numerous regions along the genome
(Figures S7, S8, S9), suggesting that there is no homolog of the *S. pombe* Pdp1 in filamentous
fungi but that this protein is important for regulation of other chromatin states. A homolog of *Z.*
tritici Pdp1 was found to interact with a chromatin remodeling factor, ISWI, in *N. crassa*, where it
also increased H3K27me3 levels; thus *Z. tritici* Pdp1 is a putative homolog of *S. cerevisiae* Ioc4
(43).

Our second candidate protein for connecting H4K20 methylation and Ash1 is a homolog of the
chromodomain-containing protein Eaf3 in *S. cerevisiae* or MRG15 in *D. melanogaster*. In yeast,
Eaf3 is part of the histone deacetylase complex Rpd3S (44) and the histone acetyltransferase
complex NuA4 (45), and its chromodomain can bind to both H3K36me3 and H4K20me3 *in vitro*
(46), though not at the same time. *Drosophila* MRG15 interacts with Ash1 and is essential for
Ash1 function (47). We deleted the *Z. tritici* homolog of the *eaf3* gene (Zt_chr_1_1455) and
performed ChIP-seq on the mutant. Absence of *eaf3* resulted in loss of H3K36me3 in the same
regions where we observed loss of H3K36me3 in Δ*ash1* and Δ*kmt5* mutants indicating that Eaf3
is required for Ash1 activity (Figures 6B and C, S7, S8). Eaf3 was not required for H4K20me3; on
the contrary, we observed increased levels of H4K20me3 in the Δ*eaf3* mutant in regions that are
targeted by Ash1 in wild type (Figure 6B and C). We did not observe a similar increase outside
of regions of facultative heterochromatin. Because we did not detect a similar increase in
H4K20me3 in Δ*ash1* mutants, it is not absence of H3K36me3 but a mechanism upstream of Ash1
recruitment that influences H4K20me3 levels in Δ*eaf3*.

A Rad23 homolog may act as a histone H4K20me3 demethylase
A recent study found that two human hHR23 proteins, homologs of *S. cerevisiae* Rad23, act as
demethylases of H4K20me1/2/3 in human cells (48). We found one potential homolog of yeast
Rad23 in *Z. tritici* (previously not annotated, on chr 2: 3,656,371-3,657,715) and deleted the
putative *rad23* gene to determine whether Rad23 is involved in H4K20me3 demethylation in *Z.*

tritici. We found increased levels of H4K20me3 in facultative heterochromatin in Δ*rad23* strains
and minor differences in H4K20me3 levels outside of these regions indicating that Rad23 may
be involved in demethylation of H4K20, particularly in facultative heterochromatin regions
(Figure 6B and C, S7, S8).
301

H4K20me is required for transcriptional repression in facultative heterochromatin

To investigate whether the observed changes in chromatin structure correlate to changes in gene
expression, we sequenced mRNA from three replicates of wild type, Δ*kmt5*, Δ*ash1*, Δ*eaf3*,
hH4^{K20M}, and *hH4^{K20/K20M}* strains and determined which genes were differentially expressed
(Figures 7A, S10). As a control for the H4^{K20/K20M} strain, we also included a strain that carries an
ectopic wild-type H4 copy. We detected few (only 10) differentially expressed genes between
wild type and this strain, concluding that an additional wild-type H4 copy has negligible impact
under these conditions (Table S1). We showed previously (32) that loss of H3K27me3 alone has
surprisingly minor effects on transcription, as only a few genes, mostly located on accessory
chromosomes, showed increased levels of expression. In contrast, deletion of *kmt5* results in
upregulation of a large set of genes, especially in regions of facultative heterochromatin that are
usually enriched with H3K27me3, Ash1-mediated H3K36me3, and H4K20me3. Out of 718
upregulated genes (log$_2$fc >| 0.585 |, padj <0.01, Figure 7A) in Δ*kmt5*, 286 are located in
facultative heterochromatin (~40% of upregulated genes). Similarly, 39% of upregulated genes
in *hH4^{K20M}* are within facultative heterochromatin, indicating that Kmt5 and H4K20me3 are
especially important for gene repression in those regions. While we also observed upregulation
of genes in facultative heterochromatin in the other mutants, their relative proportion is
considerably lower (26% in Δ*ash1*, 19% in *hH4^{K20/K20M}*, and 15% in Δ*eaf3*). H4K20me3 levels in
these mutants were largely unchanged or even increased (Δ*eaf3*) suggesting that presence of
H4K20me3 may limit derepression. We found that more than 55% of upregulated genes were
shared in Δ*kmt5* and Δ*ash1* mutants and almost 90% of upregulated genes in the *hH4^{K20M}* mutant
were also upregulated in Δ*kmt5*, Δ*ash1*, or both. In general, a large proportion of upregulated
genes are shared in the mutants we sequenced, indicating that they affect a common silencing
pathway (Figure S10). Most of the upregulated genes (~94%), as well as genes in facultative
heterochromatin in general, lack functional annotations (only 57 out of 976 [~6%] genes have
been previously annotated in these regions), making it difficult to assess enrichment for putative
functions among those genes. A list including all genes, expression levels, and predicted function
can be found in Table S1.

We noticed that, especially on accessory chromosomes, many regions without previously
annotated genes or with incorrectly annotated genes showed transcriptional activity, often in a
strand-specific manner and generating spliced transcripts, suggesting typical fungal transcription
to generate mRNA by RNAPII. Many of these transcripts were more highly or newly expressed in
the Δ*kmt5* mutant. To quantify the number of new transcripts that were not captured by the
current gene annotation, we used stringtie (49) to identify transcripts in the combined RNA-seq
data of all strains. We identified a total of 1,023 transcripts in facultative heterochromatin, of
which only 516 were partially or fully overlapping with the previous annotation. Expression levels
of these transcripts were highest in Δ*kmt5* and *hH4^{K20M}* followed by Δ*ash1* (Figure 7B). The Δ*eaf3*

and *hH4^K20/K20M* strains exhibited only slightly higher expression of these transcripts (Figure 7B).
Many of the newly discovered transcripts do not appear to encode for proteins, as they contain
numerous, presumably premature, stop codons in all three reading frames. To better understand
the potential function of these transcripts, we manually annotated all transcripts expressed on
one accessory chromosome (chromosome 17), their chromatin state (in wild type), presence of
additional copies of homologs on other accessory or core chromosomes, any potential function
based on blastx searches, and presence of homologs in other species (Tables 2 and S2). We
identified a total of 186 transcripts of which 55 match or partially match the previous annotation.
Only 13 of these 186 transcripts seem likely to encode a protein based on the presence of an
open reading frame without premature stop codons; one of these transcripts encodes a putative
protease, while the others showed no predicted functional domains. If these 186 transcripts do
not encode proteins, they may function as regulatory RNAs. We looked for homologous genes
or sequences on other accessory chromosomes or core chromosomes using blastn searches in
the *Z. tritici* wild-type genome. Almost half of the transcripts (89/186) on chromosome 17 had
copies on other accessory chromosomes, often multiple copies on multiple chromosomes.
Almost one third (62/186) showed similarity to sequences or genes on core chromosomes.
Among the homologs on core chromosomes and functional annotation based on blastx searches,
we found a striking enrichment of genes that encode for proteins involved in chromosome
organization and segregation and cell cycle control. For example, we found eleven transcripts
with similarity to the gene encoding a Shugoshin homolog (chr12_379), a protein that is
important for chromosome cohesion during mitosis and meiosis. Seven transcripts are similar to
those for protein kinases that are important for cell cycle control, one transcript has homology
to transcripts of the kinetochore subunit, CenpC, two transcripts are similar to those of alpha
tubulin, two transcripts are similar to those of two different Snf2 chromatin remodeling ATPases,
and one transcript is similar to Sir2 histone deacetylase transcripts (Table S2). Half of these
conserved transcripts are expressed as antisense mRNAs to the transcripts produced from their
core chromosome homolog, while the other half is expressed from the same strand. Homologous
genes on core chromosomes were not differentially expressed in any of the mutants, except for
four genes (three in Δ*eaf3* and one in *hH4^K20M*), but these do not seem to correlate with
corresponding transcript expression levels on chromosome 17.
369

Discussion

We report chromatin dynamics and interactions in facultative heterochromatin that identify Kmt5
and H4K20me3 as important regulators for transcriptional repression and recruitment of Ash1-
mediated H3K36me3, which itself at least partially regulates the distribution of H3K27me3. Here
we investigated potential epistasis rules for repressive histone modifications and show that
presence of H4K20me3 and Kmt5 are essential for Ash1-mediated H3K36me3, because we
observed absence of H3K36me3 in selected regions upon either deletion of Kmt5 or introduction
of a mutant histone H4^K20M. We determined that H3K27me3 is controlled by both H4K20me3
and H3K36me3 levels, at least in most regions with H3K27me3 enrichment. Previously, no
genetic or physical interactions between H3K36me3 and H4K20me3, or the methyltransferases

involved (Ash1 and Kmt5, respectively) had been described. Our findings suggest that H4K20
methylation needs to be established before Ash1 can catalyze H3K36me3.

Based on our ChIP-seq, H4K20me3 is a widespread mark, heavily enriched along *Z. tritici*
chromosomes, especially in regions that are enriched with H3K27me3, segments of the genome
we refer to as facultative heterochromatin. Here we elucidated the function of H4K20me3 in the
formation and maintenance of facultative heterochromatin. Why H4K20me3 is also enriched
across some gene bodies outside of facultative heterochromatin remains unclear. In fungi, Kmt5
catalyzes all three methylation states, which makes it difficult to disentangle the relative
importance of the three different potential H4K20 methylation states. By ChIP, we showed that
H4K20me1 is not specifically enriched along the genome, but we showed that levels are lower
in facultative heterochromatin, where H4K20me3 enrichment is highest, suggesting that these
two methylation states do not coexist in facultative heterochromatin under the tested conditions.
This makes the trimethyl state the most likely to be responsible for the effects we observed in
facultative heterochromatin. We currently have no data on the distribution of H4K20me2.

In animals, H4K20 methylation is found in various chromatin environments, and in a tissue- and
life stage-dependent manner (11). Because of the presence of multiple H4K20
methyltransferases, non-histone targets, and interdependency of methylation states, distinct
roles for the different methylation states are difficult to study. H4K20me1 has been associated
with both active (24, 51), as well as repressed genes (52), promoting chromatin openness (24),
but is also enriched in inactive regions, such as the inactivated X-chromosome (53). Previous
studies found that H4K20me3 levels increase during senescence and aged tissues but decrease
in cancer cells, which is associated with both increased and decreased silencing, respectively,
suggesting that H4K20me3 is important in suppressing tumorigenicity (54, 55). The C-terminus
of KMT5C has been shown to interact with HP1, targeting H4K20me3 to H3K9me3-enriched
regions, such as TEs, telomeres and pericentric regions (56, 57). This overlap of H4K20me3 with
H3K9me3 is completely absent from *Z. tritici*, suggesting that Kmt5 has different interaction
partners than in animals: H4K20me3 is not involved in silencing of constitutive heterochromatin
in this species. In contrast to animals, fungi only possess a single methyltransferase mediating all
H4K20me, as opposed to different enzymes catalyzing either H4K20me1 or H4K20me2/3. The
presence of different H4K20 HMTs may result in more specialized interactions with different
complexes that are specific to the different states of H4K20 methylation.

We found that H4K20me3 overlaps with Ash1-mediated H3K36me3 and H3K27me3 in
facultative heterochromatin but also co-occurs with H3K36me3 outside of these regions. An
overlap of H4K20me3 and H3K36me3 in gene bodies of actively transcribed genes has been
shown in embryonic stem cells, possibly resembling the mechanisms that are responsible for
H4K20me3 enrichment outside of facultative heterochromatin regions we found here (58). Very
little is currently known about the connection between H4K20me and H3K27me3. H4K20me3
and H3K27me3 have been shown to co-occur on some transposons in *Xenopus tropicalis*
embryos (59) and a similar recruitment mechanisms to X-chromosomes has been proposed for
H4K20me1 and H3K27me3 (53). Results from other fungi are sparse, as no genome-wide studies
have been carried out, even in model organisms such as *S. pombe* and *N. crassa.* Lastly, H4K20

methylation appears to be lacking completely in plants (60), suggesting that the control of
H3K27me3-mediated facultative heterochromatin is regulated in entirely different ways.

To understand the biochemistry of a putative Kmt5 complex, we tagged the protein with GFP
and short tags for protein purification. We showed that both N- and C-terminal tags greatly
interfered with normal Kmt5 function, as documented by ChIP-seq. Taken together with our
results on the added histone H4^{K20M} allele (H4$^{K20/K20M}$), facultative heterochromatin may be the
first region in which H4K20 methylation occurs, and from which this histone mark spreads into
the bulk of the chromatin to normal levels observed in wild type. It is unclear how this partial
activity occurs, but studies on other histone mutants, specifically H3^{K27M} showed that K to M
mutations alter the release of histone methyltransferases from their substrates and thus sequester
them on chromatin (61, 62). The consequence is limited or greatly reduced activity of the
methyltransferase in other parts of the genome, which is what we observed with the H4$^{K20/K20M}$
mutant. The reason N- and C-terminal Kmt5 fusion proteins show similar distribution may well
relate to the overall structure of the Kmt5 protein, with the N-terminus being slightly more
sensitive to modifications or additions than the C-terminus. In the absence of fully, or at least
largely, complementing tagged alleles of Kmt5 we will address Kmt5 biochemistry in a separate
study.

How then does H4K20 trimethylation govern facultative heterochromatin *de novo* assembly and
maintenance? Our current working model (Figure 8) proposes that in maintenance mode either
Kmt5 alone, or in a complex, methylates H4K20 in regions that are already enriched with
methylated H4K20. For *de novo* methylation, some "signal" must be present. When Kmt5 is
reintroduced into a Δ*kmt5* mutant, the protein, alone or in a complex, is able to detect such
signals and methylate H4K20, as observed in the tagged Kmt5 strains we generated. These
signals do not appear to be other histone modifications we analyzed in this study (H3K27me3,
Ash1-mediated H3K36me3), as none of the mutants, except for Δ*kmt5* and histone *H4* mutants,
reduced H4K20me3 levels in facultative heterochromatin. Analyses of putative DNA-based
sequence motifs in regions enriched with H4K20me3 in the H4$^{K20/K20M}$ mutant did not yield
candidates.

Independently from how Kmt5 first finds its targets, it seems likely that H4K20me3 is bound by
Eaf3 which then stimulates activity of Ash1, as shown for MRG15 in flies (47, 63); this is supported
by finding increased H4K20me3 levels in the absence of Eaf3 and the requirement of Eaf3 for
Ash1-mediated H3K36me3. Whether Eaf3 is part of an Rpd3S-like complex in filamentous fungi,
or whether it may directly recruit Ash1 to regions of H4K20me3 remains unknown. We propose
that Ash-1-mediated H3K36 methylation results in a switch of Eaf3 binding from H4K20me3 to
H3K36me3, though it is also possible that it may bind both modifications at the same time.

Little is known about proteins that interact with Kmt5 in fungi except for *S. pombe* Pdp1 (42).
Here we showed that there is no true ortholog for this protein in filamentous fungi, and that the
best homolog in *Z. tritici* and other filamentous fungi is an ortholog of PWWP domain *S.*
cerevisiae loc4 protein, a component of some ISWI chromatin remodeling complexes in *N. crassa*
(43). While this protein does not seem to be important for H4K20me3, we did observe increased
enrichment of H3K27me3 outside of wild-type facultative heterochromatin regions, suggesting

an important role in chromatin regulation; modest increases of H3K27me3 enrichment was also
observed in *N. crassa* (43).

Similar to findings in *N. crassa* and *F. fujikuroi* but unlike in animals, H3K27me3 and Ash1-
mediated H3K36me3 occur in the same regions (28, 30, 31, 36). Loss of Ash1-mediated
H3K36me3 has minor impacts on H3K27me3 in *Z. tritici*, resulting in increased enrichment in
some regions and decrease in other regions. While Ash1 in animals is predominantly a
H3K36me2 methyltransferase, we found major impacts on H3K36me3, as shown previously in *N.*
crassa and *F. fujikuroi* (30, 31). The fungal Ash1 proteins are different from Ash1 in animals, as
they lack the C-terminal Bromo, PHD and BAH domains, suggesting they have different
chromatin binding properties and thus functions than their animal homologs.

Functional analyses of the Kmt5 homolog in *S. pombe*, Set9, and some of the animal Kmt5
proteins suggest importance during replication and DNA repair (15, 16, 20, 21, 64, 65). Here we
showed that both Kmt5 and Ash1 are necessary, but not essential, for normal growth of *Z. tritici.*
While the Δ*ash1* mutant showed only minor effects under our conditions, the Δ*kmt5* mutant was
more sensitive to genotoxic stress, especially at higher temperatures. Lack of both Kmt5 and
Ash1 also resulted in accelerated chromosome loss rates: While the loss rate for wild type was
~3%, mirroring our earlier results (32, 37), loss of accessory chromosomes was 41% and 58% in
Δ*ash1* and Δ*kmt5*, respectively. Increased chromosome loss affected some chromosomes more
than others. Chromosomes 14 and 16 are easily lost even in wild-type strains, especially under
heat stress conditions (32, 35, 37), and they were also lost most commonly, by far, in Δkmt5 and
Δash1. Thus, unlike the loss of *kmt6*, which results in an overall more stable genome (32), lack of
ash1 and *kmt5* both resulted in decreased genome stability, which suggests different, separable
functions in genome maintenance and control of gene expression in facultative heterochromatin
for both Ash1 and Kmt5.

Our earlier studies showed that lack of H3K27me3 alone did not result in large-scale upregulation
of regions enriched with H3K27me3 (32). One of our goals was to uncover which *Z. tritici* histone
modifications, if any, take on the gene silencing function usually mediated by H3K27me3 in other
organisms. Here, we showed that H4K20me3, and Ash1-mediated H3K36me3 are important for
transcriptional repression of facultative heterochromatin. Some of these effects are phenocopied
by replacement of histone H4K20 with a methionine (H4^{K20M}), or in a strain with a wild type and
H4K20M allele (H4$^{K20/K20M}$). While some *bona fide* genes on core chromosomes and even
accessory chromosomes are affected by the lack of Kmt5 or Ash1, most regions enriched with
H3K27me3, Ash1-mediated H3K36me3, and H4K20me3 have relatively few previously
annotated genes. To understand the transcripts that emanate from these regions we manually
curated RNA-seq data for one accessory chromosome. We found that most transcripts arise from
sequences that do not appear to be regular genes. How exactly these RNA species act, what
their specific functions may be, and whether they interfere or aid propagation of accessory
chromosomes in *Z. tritici* goes beyond the scope of the current study. Our results show, however,
that studies resulting in a lack of specific histone modifications may necessitate renewed
annotation efforts, which should capture transcripts from pseudogenes and apparent *bona fide*
genes with few nonsense codons.

In conclusion, we showed that H4K20me3, H3K27me3, and Ash1-mediated H3K36me3
delineate facultative heterochromatin in *Z. tritici*. H4K20me3, as well as the presence of Eaf3, are
essential for Ash1-mediated H3K36me3, uncovering a novel mechanism for establishment and
maintenance of facultative heterochromatin and transcriptional silencing.

Methods

Fungal and bacterial growth conditions

Zymoseptoria tritici cultures were grown on YMS (4 g yeast extract, 4 g malt, 4 g sucrose per liter, with 16 g agar per liter added for solid medium). Glycerol stocks (1:1 YMS and 50 % glycerol) were maintained at -80°C. *Escherichia coli* strain DH10beta (NEB) was grown at 37°C in LB (10 g tryptone, 10 g NaCl, 5 g yeast extract per liter) with appropriate antibiotics (100 µg/mL kanamycin). *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* strain AGL1 was grown in dYT (16 g tryptone, 5 g NaCl, 10 g yeast extract per liter) or LB media with appropriate antibiotics (100 µg/mL kanamycin, 100 µg/mL carbenicillin, 50 µg/mL rifampicin).

Generation of plasmids for fungal transformation

Plasmids were generated by Gibson Assembly (66) using a homemade Gibson reaction mix based on recipes from OpenWetWare (OpenWetWare, https://openwetware.org/wiki/Gibson_Assembly) (5x Isothermal Reaction Mix [600 µL]: 300 µL 1 M Tris-HCl [pH 7.5], 30 µL 1 M MgCl2, 6 µL 100 mM dGTP, 6 µL 100 mM dATP, 6 µL 100 mM dTTP, 6 µL 100 mM dCTP, 30 µL 1 M DTT, 0.15 g PEG 8000, 60 µL 50 mM NAD^+, 156 µL H_2O) and modified by using the "enhanced" version (68) (1.33x Gibson-Assembly Master-Mix [for 25 reactions]: 100 µL 5x Isothermal Reaction-Mix, 215.32 µL H2O, 0.2 µL T5 Exonuclease [10 U/µL NEB #M0363], 6.25 µL Phusion DNA-Polymerase [2 U/µL ThermoFisher #F530L], 3.125 µL ET SSB [500 µg/ml NEB #M2401S], 50 µL Taq DNA-Ligase [40 U/µL stock NEB #M0208L]). Primers, plasmids, and strains used in this study are listed in Table S3.

Transformation of *Zymoseptoria tritici*

Transformation was carried out by *A. tumefaciens* (69). In our updated protocol (detailed protocol is provided as supplemental method), *A. tumefaciens* strain AGL1 (transformed with the respective target plasmid, see Table S3) was grown for ~24 h in liquid culture (LB with 100 µg/mL kanamycin, 100 µg/mL carbenicillin, and 50 µg/mL rifampicin) at 28°C before induction. Induction was performed by centrifuging LB cultures (3000rpm for 5 min), and resuspending cells in 1 mL of induction medium (10 mM K_2HPO_4, 10 mM KH_2PO_4, 2.5 mM NaCl, 2 mM $MgSO_4$, 9 µM $FeSO_4$, 40 mM MES buffer, 0.7 mM $CaCl_2$, 0.5 % glycerol, 5 mM glucose, 6.25 mM NH_4NO_3, 0.002 % Vogel trace elements, 100 µg/mL kanamycin, 100 µg/mL carbenicillin, 50 µg/mL rifampicin, 200 µM acetosyringone) and inoculating resuspended AGL1 cultures in 5 mL induction medium (OD_{590} = 0.15) for overnight growth at 28°C. Induced cultures were adjusted to OD_{590} = 0.4 the following day and mixed with *Z. tritici* cells (OD_{590} = 1) at a 1:1 ratio. To grow recipient *Z. tritici* cells for this step, spores were streaked out on YMS plates from glycerol stocks 3-4 days before transformation, resuspended in water, and the concentration adjusted to an OD_{590} = 1 in induction medium, before mixing with induced AGL1 cells. Aliquots of 250 µL of the *A. tumefaciens* AGL1 and *Z. tritici* mixture were plated on Hybond N+ membranes on plates with induction medium and incubated at room temperature for 48-72 h. Membranes were transferred to YMS plates containing the appropriate antibiotics for selection (250 µg/mL

548   cefotaxime, 150 µg/mL timentin, 100 µg/mL G418, 100 µg/mL hygromycin) and incubated for
549   another ~10 days at 18°C until resistant colonies appeared.
550

Chromatin immunoprecipitation and sequencing (ChIP-seq)

ChIP experiments were carried out as described previously (70) with some modifications; a
detailed protocol is provided as supplemental method. Briefly, fungal spores were grown on
YMS plates for three to four days, harvested, and resuspended in 5 mL of 1x PBS (137 mM NaCl,
2.7 mM KCl, 10 mM Na_2HPO_4, 1.8 mM KH_2PO_4). Cells were crosslinked using 0.5% formaldehyde
for 15 min at room temperature and quenched by adding 150 µL of 2.5 M glycine. Crosslinked
cells were centrifuged at 3,000 rpm for 5 min at 4°C and washed once with cold 1x PBS. We
found that grinding cell pellets to a fine powder in liquid nitrogen and storage at -80°C resulted
in no noticeable decrease in ChIP efficiency (storage for at least six months was acceptable). For
ChIP, frozen, ground cells were resuspended in ice-cold ChIP lysis buffer (50 mM HEPES-NaOH
[pH 7.5], 90 mM NaCl, 1 mM Na-EDTA [pH 8.0], 1% Triton X-100, 0.1% DOC, and proteinase
inhibitors [1 mM PMSF, 1 µg/mL Leupeptin, 1 µg/mL E-64 and 0.1 µg/mL Pepstatin]) in a ratio of
5 µL ChIP lysis buffer to 1 mg of ground cells. To 1 mL of lysate, 2 µL 1 M $CaCl_2$ and 5 µL
micrococcal nuclease (NEB, #M0247S) were added and chromatin was fragmented into
predominantly mono-nucleosomes by incubation at 37°C for 15 min. The reaction was stopped
by adding 20 µL of 0.5 M Na-EGTA, pH 8. Digested chromatin was centrifuged at 6,000 rpm for
5 min and 250-300 µL of the supernatant was used per ChIP reaction. Chromatin was pre-cleared
by incubating with protein A Dynabeads (Invitrogen) at 4°C for 1 h followed by overnight
incubation with 3 µL of the following antibodies: H3K4me2 (Millipore 07-030), H3K9me3 (Active
Motif 39161), H3K27me3 (Active Motif 39155), H3K27me2 (Active Motif 39245), H3K36me2
(Active Motif 39255; abcam 9049), H3K36me3 (Active Motif 61101; abcam 9050), H4K20me1
(abcam 9051), H4K20me3 (Active Motif 91107). After overnight incubation, 25 µL protein A
Dynabeads were added and samples were incubated for 1-2 h at 4°C. Beads were washed twice
with ChIP lysis buffer, once with ChIP lysis buffer + 0.5 M NaCl (50 mM HEPES-NaOH [pH 7.5],
500 mM NaCl, 1 mM Na-EDTA [pH 8.0], 1% Triton X-100, 0.1% DOC), once with LiCl wash buffer
(10 mM Tris-HCl [pH 8.0], 250 mM LiCl, 0.5 % IGEPAL CA-630, 0.5 % DOC, 1 mM Na-EDTA [pH
8]) and once with 1x TE buffer. Chromatin was eluted in 125 µL TES (50 mM Tris-HCl [pH 8.0], 10
mM Na-EDTA [pH 8], 1% SDS) and de-crosslinked overnight at 65°C. Samples were treated with
RNAse A (2 h at 50°C) and proteinase K (2 h at 65°C) and DNA was extracted with the ChIP DNA
Clean & Concentrator kit (Zymo Research). Libraries for sequencing were prepared with a
modified version of the Next Ultra II DNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina (NEB, #E7645S).
Sequencing was performed on an Illumina HiSeq 3000, obtaining 2 x 150-nt read pairs by
Admera Health (South Plainfield, NJ, USA).
584

RNA isolation and sequencing (RNA-seq)

Fungal cells were grown and harvested as described for the ChIP experiments. Cells were ground
in liquid nitrogen and ~100 mg of ground cells were used for total RNA extraction by a TRIZOL
(Invitrogen) method. Extraction was carried out according to the manufacturer's instructions and
total RNA was treated with DNAse I. Stranded mRNA libraries were prepared by a modified
version of a previously published protocol (71); the detailed protocol is provided as supplemental

method. Stranded mRNA libraries were sent to Admera Health (South Plainfield, NJ, USA) for
sequencing on an Illumina HiSeq 3000, obtaining 2 x 150-nt read pairs.
593

Quantification of chromosome loss

Each strain (wild type, Δ*kmt5*, Δ*ash1*) was grown in five replicate populations on YMS plates, and
every three to four days a fraction of the population was transferred to a new plate. After eight
transfers (four weeks), populations were diluted and spread on YMS plates to obtain single
colonies, representing individual cells of the respective population. A total of 48
colonies/replicate (i.e., 240 per strain) were screened by PCR for the presence of all accessory
chromosomes as previously described (37).
601

Western blots

Fungal cells were grown on YMS plates for three to four days, harvested and washed in 1x PBS.
Cells were resuspended in three volumes of high-salt extraction buffer (25 mM HEPES-NaOH
[pH 7.9], 700 mM NaCl, 0.1 mM Na-EDTA [pH 8.0], 0.2% NP-40, 20% glycerol, 1.5 mM MgCl$_2$,
1 mM PMSF, 1 mM DTT, 1 μg/mL Leupeptin, 0.1 μg/mL Pepstatin) and incubated on ice for 10
min. Samples were sonicated (Branson Sonifier-450) for three sets of 10 pulses (output = 2, duty
cycle = 80), keeping the sample on ice between sets. Insoluble material was removed by
centrifugation at 14,000 rpm and 4°C for 10 min. Protein concentrations were quantified with a
Qubit fluorimeter (Invitrogen). Total protein (75 μg per lane) was loaded onto pre-cast 4-20%
gradient Mini-PROTEAN TGX Stain-Free SDS PAGE gels (BioRad). Proteins were transferred onto
nitrocellulose membrane (0.2 μm pore size; BioTrace) by wet transfer (1 h, 110V). The following
primary antibodies were used: αH3 (Active motif 39763, mAb, 1:5000), αH4K20me1 (abcam
9051, pAb, 1:1000), αH4K20me3 (Active motif 39180, pAb, 1:2000). The following secondary
antibodies were used: IRDye 680RD Goat anti-Mouse IgG (LI-COR 926-68070, 1:5000), IRDye
800CW Goat anti-Rabbit IgG (LI-COR 926-32211, 1:5000). Fluorescent signals were detected
using a LI-COR Odyssey CLx imager.
618

Hi-C analyses

WT and Δ*kmt6* cells were grown as described for ChIP experiments. Hi-C experiments were
carried out using the Phase Genomics Proximo Hi-C Kit (Microbe) following the instructions for
fungal samples; the Proximo Hi-C Kit (Fungal) had not been officially released when we carried
out our experiments. *Dpn*II was used as restriction enzyme. Libraries were generated and size-
selected according to the Phase Genomics kit instructions. Libraries were sent for sequencing to
Phase Genomics, obtaining ~100 million 2 x 100-nt reads per sample.
626

Phenotypic responses to genotoxic stress

Fungal cells were grown on YMS plates for three to four days and diluted to OD$_{590}$ = 1 in water
(~10^7 cells/mL and tenfold dilution series to 100 cells/mL); 3 μL of the spore dilutions were
pipetted onto plates. Pictures were taken after 7 and 12 days of growth (18°C, 28°C, and RT
[~23°C]). To test for responses to different genotoxic stressors *in vitro*, YMS plates containing
hydroxyurea (HU; 100 μM, 1 mM, 10 mM), camptothecin (1 mM, 10 mM, 100 mM), and methyl

methanesulfonate (MMS; 0.005% and 0.0075%) and three plates containing only YMS were
prepared.
635

Data analyses
637 Detailed descriptions of software and commands for analyses of ChIP-seq, RNA-seq and Hi-C
638 data can be found as supplementary methods. A list of all sequencing datasets can be found in
639 Table S4.

ChIP-seq
ChIP-seq data were quality-filtered and adapters removed with trimmomatic v.0.39 (72).
Mapping was performed with bowtie2 v.2.4.4 (73), and sorting and indexing with samtools v.1.9
(74). Normalized coverage bigwig files and heatmaps were created with deeptools v.3.5.1 (75).
Wiggletools v.1.2 and the UCSC Genome Browser tools were used to calculate means for
replicates and converting wig to bigwig files. Peaks were called with homer v4.11.1 (76) and
bedtools v2.30.0 were used to make windows and intersect peaks with genomic features.

RNA-seq
RNA-seq data were quality filtered and adapters removed with trimmomatic v.0.39 (72). Mapping
of all paired reads was performed with hisat2 2.1.0 (77), and sorting and indexing with samtools
v.1.9 (74). HTSeq v.0.11.2 (78) was used to count reads on features. The *Z. tritici* IPO323 gene
annotation was obtained from FungiDB (release 53) (79), based on previous annotations (80).
Differential expression analyses was conducted with DEseq2 v.1.32.0 (81), see supplemental R
script. New transcripts were annotated by combining all mapped RNA-seq data with stringtie v.
v2.2.1 (49). Manual annotation was done by combining all RNA-seq data and extracting transcript
sequences that were > 200 bp in length and supported by at least 10 reads with IGV (82) and
Geneious (83). Transcripts were characterized by blastn with the *Z. tritici* genome as a reference
to find homologous sequences on core and accessory chromosomes and blastx to find homologs
in other species and to infer functional annotations.

Hi-C
660 HiCUP v0.8.3 (84) was used to trim and map the data, see supplemental config file. Homer
661 v4.11.1 (76) was used to extract valid Hi-C interactions and generating normalized interaction
662 matrices. Treeview3 (85) was used to visualize Hi-C data.
663

Data availability
Sequencing raw reads (FASTQ files) of all ChIP-seq, RNA-seq and Hi-C data are available online
at Sequence Read Archive (SRA) under BioProject ID PRJNA902413.
Normalized bigwig files for all ChIP-seq datasets, reference genome and annotations have been
deposited at zenodo under doi: 10.5281/zenodo.7460287.

Acknowledgements
We thank Eva Stukenbrock for supplying critical materials and strains, and for supporting this
work while MM was still at CAU Kiel. We thank colleagues in the Freitag lab and Zachary Lewis
(University of Georgia) for conversations and comments on the manuscript. MM was supported
by a grant from the DFG (MO 3755/1-1). JBR was supported by a grant from USDA-AFRI (2019-
67012-29722). Chromatin research in the Freitag lab is supported by grants from the NSF
(MCB1818006), NIH (R01GM132644), and the BSF (#2019034) to MF.

Competing interests
The authors declare that no competing interests exist.

References

1.   Jenuwein T, Allis CD (2001) Translating the histone code. *Science* 293(5532):1074–80.
2.   Liu C, et al. (2016) Genome-wide analysis of chromatin packing in Arabidopsis thaliana at
single-gene resolution. *Genome Res* 26(8):1057–1068.
3.   Cheutin T, Cavalli G (2012) Progressive Polycomb Assembly on H3K27me3
Compartments Generates Polycomb Bodies with Developmentally Regulated Motion.
PLOS Genet 8(1):e1002465.
4.   Pauler FM, et al. (2009) H3K27me3 forms BLOCs over silent genes and intergenic
regions and specifies a histone banding pattern on a mouse autosomal chromosome.
Genome Res 19(2):221–233.
5.   Connolly LR, Smith KM, Freitag M (2013) The Fusarium graminearum histone H3 K27
methyltransferase KMT6 regulates development and expression of secondary metabolite
gene clusters. *PLoS Genet* 9(10):e1003916.
6.   Schotanus K, et al. (2015) Histone modifications rather than the novel regional
centromeres of Zymoseptoria tritici distinguish core and accessory chromosomes.
Epigenetics Chromatin 8:41.
7.   Żylicz JJ, Heard E (2020) Molecular Mechanisms of Facultative Heterochromatin
Formation: An X-Chromosome Perspective. *Annu Rev Biochem* 89(1):255–282.
8.   Trojer P, Reinberg D (2007) Facultative Heterochromatin: Is There a Distinctive Molecular
Signature? *Mol Cell* 28(1):1–13.
9.   Blackledge NP, et al. (2014) Variant PRC1 Complex-Dependent H2A Ubiquitylation
Drives PRC2 Recruitment and Polycomb Domain Formation. *Cell* 157(6):1445–1459.
10. Ridenour JB, Möller M, Freitag M (2020) Polycomb Repression without Bristles:
Facultative Heterochromatin and Genome Stability in Fungi. *Genes (Basel)* 11(6).
doi:10.3390/genes11060638.
11. Corvalan AZ, Coller HA (2020) Methylation of histone 4's lysine 20: a critical analysis of
the state of the field. *Physiol Genomics* 53(1):22–32.
12. Fang J, et al. (2002) Purification and Functional Characterization of SET8, a Nucleosomal
Histone H4-Lysine 20-Specific Methyltransferase. *Curr Biol* 12(13):1086–1099.
13. Nishioka K, et al. (2002) PR-Set7 Is a Nucleosome-Specific Methyltransferase that

Modifies Lysine 20 of Histone H4 and Is Associated with Silent Chromatin. *Mol Cell*
9(6):1201–1213.

14. Schotta G, et al. (2008) A chromatin-wide transition to H4K20 monomethylation impairs
genome integrity and programmed DNA rearrangements in the mouse. *Genes Dev*
22(15):2048–2061.

15. Yang H, et al. (2008) Preferential dimethylation of histone H4 lysine 20 by Suv4-20. *J Biol*
Chem 283(18):12085–12092.

16. Sanders SL, et al. (2004) Methylation of Histone H4 Lysine 20 Controls Recruitment of
Crb2 to Sites of DNA Damage. *Cell* 119(5):603–614.

17. Bachleitner S, Sulyok M, Sørensen JL, Strauss J, Studt L (2021) The H4K20
methyltransferase Kmt5 is involved in secondary metabolism and stress response in
phytopathogenic Fusarium species. *Fungal Genet Biol* 155:103602.

18. Pham KTM, et al. (2015) MoSET1 (Histone H3K4 Methyltransferase in Magnaporthe
oryzae) Regulates Global Gene Expression during Infection-Related Morphogenesis.
PLOS Genet 11(7):e1005385.

19. Beck DB, et al. (2012) The role of PR-Set7 in replication licensing depends on Suv4-20h.
Genes Dev 26(23):2580–2589.

20. Jørgensen S, Schotta G, Sørensen CS (2013) Histone H4 Lysine 20 methylation: Key
player in epigenetic regulation of genomic integrity. *Nucleic Acids Res* 41(5):2797–2806.

21. Brustel J, et al. (2017) Histone H4K20 tri-methylation at late-firing origins ensures timely
heterochromatin replication. *EMBO J* 36(18):2726–2741.

22. Evertts AG, et al. (2013) H4K20 methylation regulates quiescence and chromatin
compaction. *Mol Biol Cell* 24(19):3025–3037.

23. Chai X, Nagarajan S, Kim K, Lee K, Choi JK (2013) Regulation of the boundaries of
accessible chromatin. *PLoS Genet* 9(9):e1003778.

24. Shoaib M, et al. (2021) Histone H4 lysine 20 mono-methylation directly facilitates
chromatin openness and promotes transcription of housekeeping genes. *Nat Commun*
12(1):4800.

25. Morris SA, et al. (2005) Histone H3 K36 methylation is associated with transcription
elongation in Schizosaccharomyces pombe. *Eukaryot Cell* 4(8):1446–1454.

26. Dorighi KM, Tamkun JW (2013) The trithorax group proteins Kismet and ASH1 promote
H3K36 dimethylation to counteract Polycomb group repression in Drosophila.
Development 140(20):4182–4192.

27. Li Y, et al. (2009) The Target of the NSD Family of Histone Lysine Methyltransferases
Depends on the Nature of the Substrate. *J Biol Chem* 284(49):34283–34295.

28. Yuan W, et al. (2011) H3K36 Methylation Antagonizes PRC2-mediated H3K27
Methylation. *J Biol Chem* 286(10):7983–7989.

29. Dorafshan E, et al. (2019) Ash1 counteracts Polycomb repression independent of histone
H3 lysine 36 methylation. *EMBO Rep* 20(4). doi:10.15252/embr.201846762.

30. Bicocca VT, Ormsby T, Adhvaryu KK, Honda S, Selker EU (2018) ASH1-catalyzed H3K36
methylation drives gene repression and marks H3K27me2/3-competent chromatin. *Elife*
7:1–19.

31. Janevska S, et al. (2018) Elucidation of the Two H3K36me3 Histone Methyltransferases

Set2 and Ash1 in Fusarium fujikuroi Unravels Their Different Chromosomal Targets and a
Major Impact of Ash1 on Genome Stability. *Genetics* 208(1):153 LP – 171.

32.   Möller M, et al. (2019) Destabilization of chromosome structure by histone H3 lysine 27
methylation. *PLOS Genet* 15(4):e1008093.

33.   Möller M, et al. (2021) Recent loss of the Dim2 DNA methyltransferase decreases
mutation rate in repeats and changes evolutionary trajectory in a fungal pathogen. *PLOS*
Genet 17(3):e1009448.

34.   Goodwin SB, et al. (2011) Finished Genome of the Fungal Wheat Pathogen
Mycosphaerella graminicola Reveals Dispensome Structure, Chromosome Plasticity, and
Stealth Pathogenesis. *PLoS Genet* 7(6):e1002070.

35.   Habig M, Lorrain C, Feurtey A, Komluski J, Stukenbrock EH (2021) Epigenetic
modifications affect the rate of spontaneous mutations in a pathogenic fungus. *Nat*
Commun 12(1):5869.

36.   Dorafshan E, Kahn TG, Glotov A, Savitsky M, Schwartz YB (2019) Genetic Dissection
Reveals the Role of Ash1 Domains in Counteracting Polycomb Repression. *G3*
Genes|Genomes|Genetics 9(11):3801–3812.

37.   Möller M, Habig M, Freitag M, Stukenbrock EH (2018) Extraordinary Genome Instability
and Widespread Chromosome Rearrangements During Vegetative Growth. *Genetics*
210 no. 2:517–529.

38.   Winter DJ, et al. (2018) Repeat elements organise 3D genome structure and mediate
transcription in the filamentous fungus Epichloë festucae. *PLOS Genet* 14(10):e1007467.

39.   Klocko AD, et al. (2016) Normal chromosome conformation depends on subtelomeric
facultative heterochromatin in *Neurospora crassa. Proc Natl Acad Sci* 113(52):15048–
15053.

40.   Galazka JM, et al. (2016) *Neurospora* chromosomes are organized by blocs of importin
alpha- dependent heterochromatin that are largely independent of H3K9me3. *Genome*
Res (541):1069–1080.

41.   Varoquaux N, et al. (2015) Accurate identification of centromere locations in yeast
genomes using Hi-C. *Nucleic Acids Res* 43(11):5331–5339.

42.   Wang Y, et al. (2009) Regulation of Set9-Mediated H4K20 Methylation by a PWWP
Domain Protein. *Mol Cell* 33(4):428–437.

43.   Wiles ET, Mumford CC, McNaught KJ, Tanizawa H, Selker EU (2022) The ACF chromatin
remodeling complex is essential for Polycomb repression. *Elife* 11:e77595.

44.   Carrozza MJ, et al. (2005) Histone H3 methylation by Set2 directs deacetylation of
coding regions by Rpd3S to suppress spurious intragenic transcription. *Cell* 123(4):581–
592.

45.   Eisen A, et al. (2001) The yeast NuA4 and Drosophila MSL complexes contain
homologous subunits important for transcription regulation. *J Biol Chem* 276(5):3484–
3491.

46.   Xu C, Cui G, Botuyan MV, Mer G (2008) Structural basis for the recognition of
methylated histone H3K36 by the Eaf3 subunit of histone deacetylase complex Rpd3S.
Structure 16(11):1740–1750.

47.   Huang C, et al. (2017) Mrg15 stimulates Ash1 H3K36 methyltransferase activity and

facilitates Ash1 Trithorax group protein function in Drosophila. *Nat Commun* 8(1):1649.

48.   Cao X, et al. (2020) Histone H4K20 Demethylation by Two hHR23 Proteins. *Cell Rep*
30(12):4152-4164.e6.

49.   Pertea M, Kim D, Pertea GM, Leek JT, Salzberg SL (2016) Transcript-level expression
analysis of RNA-seq experiments with HISAT, StringTie and Ballgown. *Nat Protoc*
11(9):1650–1667.

50.   Grandaubert J, Bhattacharyya A, Stukenbrock EH (2015) RNA-seq based gene
annotation and comparative genomics of four fungal grass pathogens in the genus
Zymoseptoria identify novel orphan genes and species-specific invasions of transposable
elements. *G3* 5:1323–1333.

51.   Yang H, Kwon CS, Choi Y, Lee D (2016) Both H4K20 mono-methylation and H3K56
acetylation mark transcription-dependent histone turnover in fission yeast. *Biochem*
Biophys Res Commun 476(4):515–521.

52.   Congdon LM, Houston SI, Veerappan CS, Spektor TM, Rice JC (2010) PR-Set7-mediated
monomethylation of histone H4 lysine 20 at specific genomic regions induces
transcriptional repression. *J Cell Biochem* 110(3):609–619.

53.   Tjalsma SJD, et al. (2021) H4K20me1 and H3K27me3 are concurrently loaded onto the
inactive X chromosome but dispensable for inducing gene silencing. *EMBO Rep*
22(3):e51989.

54.   Nelson DM, et al. (2016) Mapping H4K20me3 onto the chromatin landscape of
senescent cells indicates a function in control of cell senescence and tumor suppression
through preservation of genetic and epigenetic stability. *Genome Biol* 17(1):158.

55.   Fraga MF, et al. (2005) Loss of acetylation at Lys16 and trimethylation at Lys20 of histone
H4 is a common hallmark of human cancer. *Nat Genet* 37(4):391–400.

56.   Benetti R, et al. (2007) Suv4-20h deficiency results in telomere elongation and
derepression of telomere recombination. *J Cell Biol* 178(6):925–936.

57.   Schotta G, et al. (2004) A silencing pathway to induce H3-K9 and H4-K20 trimethylation
at constitutive heterochromatin. *Genes Dev* 18:1251–1262.

58.   Xu J, Kidder BL (2018) H4K20me3 co-localizes with activating histone modifications at
transcriptionally dynamic regions in embryonic stem cells. *BMC Genomics* 19(1):514.

59.   van Kruijsbergen I, et al. (2017) Heterochromatic histone modifications at transposons in
Xenopus tropicalis embryos. *Dev Biol* 426(2):460–471.

60.   Grau-Bové X, et al. (2022) A phylogenetic and proteomic reconstruction of eukaryotic
chromatin evolution. *Nat Ecol Evol* 6(7):1007–1023.

61.   Justin N, et al. (2016) Structural basis of oncogenic histone H3K27M inhibition of human
polycomb repressive complex 2. *Nat Commun* 7(1):11316.

62.   Jiao L, Liu X (2015) Structural basis of histone H3K27 trimethylation by an active
polycomb repressive complex 2. *Science (80-)* 350(6258):aac4383.

63.   Hou P, et al. (2019) Structural Insights into Stimulation of Ash1L's H3K36
Methyltransferase Activity through Mrg15 Binding. *Structure* 27(5):837-845.e3.

64.   Nakamura K, et al. (2019) H4K20me0 recognition by BRCA1–BARD1 directs homologous
recombination to sister chromatids. *Nat Cell Biol* 21(3):311–318.

65.   Shoaib M, et al. (2018) Histone H4K20 methylation mediated chromatin compaction

threshold ensures genome integrity by limiting DNA replication licensing. *Nat Commun*
9(1). doi:10.1038/s41467-018-06066-8.

66. Gibson DG, et al. (2009) Enzymatic assembly of DNA molecules up to several hundred
kilobases. *Nat Methods* 6(5):343–345.

67. OpenWetWare Gibson Assembly. Available at:
https://openwetware.org/wiki/Gibson_Assembly [Accessed October 14, 2022].

68. Rabe BA, Cepko C (2020) A Simple Enhancement for Gibson Isothermal Assembly.
bioRxiv:2020.06.14.150979.

69. Poppe S, Dorsheimer L, Happel P, Stukenbrock EH (2015) Rapidly Evolving Genes Are
Key Players in Host Specialization and Virulence of the Fungal Wheat Pathogen
Zymoseptoria tritici (Mycosphaerella graminicola). *PLoS Pathog* 11(7):1–21.

70. Soyer JL, et al. (2015) Chromatin analyses of Zymoseptoria tritici: Methods for chromatin
immunoprecipitation followed by high-throughput sequencing (ChIP-seq). *Fungal Genet*
Biol 79:63–70.

71. Zhong S, et al. (2011) High-throughput illumina strand-specific RNA sequencing library
preparation. *Cold Spring Harb Protoc* 2011(8):940–949.

72. Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B (2014) Trimmomatic: A flexible trimmer for Illumina
sequence data. *Bioinformatics* 30(15):2114–2120.

73. Langmead B, Salzberg SL (2012) Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nat*
Methods 9(4):357–359.

74. Li H (2011) A statistical framework for SNP calling, mutation discovery, association
mapping and population genetical parameter estimation from sequencing data.
Bioinformatics 27(21):2987–2993.

75. Ramírez F, et al. (2016) deepTools2: a next generation web server for deep-sequencing
data analysis. *Nucleic Acids Res* 44(W1):W160–W165.

76. Heinz S, et al. (2010) Simple Combinations of Lineage-Determining Transcription Factors
Prime cis-Regulatory Elements Required for Macrophage and B Cell Identities. *Mol Cell*
38(4):576–589.

77. Kim D, Paggi JM, Park C, Bennett C, Salzberg SL (2019) Graph-based genome
alignment and genotyping with HISAT2 and HISAT-genotype. *Nat Biotechnol* 37(8):907–
915.

78. Anders S, Pyl PT, Huber W (2015) HTSeq-A Python framework to work with high-
throughput sequencing data. *Bioinformatics* 31(2):166–169.

79. Basenko EY, et al. (2018) FungiDB: An Integrated Bioinformatic Resource for Fungi and
Oomycetes. *J Fungi* 4(1). doi:10.3390/jof4010039.

80. Grandaubert J, Bhattacharyya A, Stukenbrock EH (2015) RNA-seq Based Gene
Annotation and Comparative Genomics of Four Fungal Grass Pathogens in the Genus
Zymoseptoria Identify Novel Orphan Genes and Species-Specific Invasions of
Transposable Elements. *G3 (Bethesda)* 5(July):g3.115.017731-.

81. Love MI, Huber W, Anders S (2014) Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion
for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol* 15(12):1–21.

82. Thorvaldsdóttir H, Robinson JT, Mesirov JP (2013) Integrative Genomics Viewer (IGV):
High-performance genomics data visualization and exploration. *Brief Bioinform*

14(2):178–192.

83.   Kearse M, et al. (2012) Geneious Basic: An integrated and extendable desktop software

platform for the organization and analysis of sequence data. *Bioinformatics* 28(12):1647–

1649.

84.   Wingett SW, et al. (2015) HiCUP: pipeline for mapping and processing Hi-C data [version

1; peer review: 2 approved, 1 approved with reservations]. *F1000Research* 4(1310).

doi:10.12688/f1000research.7334.1.

85.   Keil C, et al. (2018) Treeview 3.0 (beta 1) - Visualization and analysis of large data

matrices. *zenodo*. doi:doi:10.5281/zenodo.1303402.

Tables

Table 1: Chromosome loss in wild-type, Δ*kmt5* and Δ*ash1* strains. Chromosome loss is tabulated
by individual chromosome and as the sum of all losses (loss), compared to strains without
chromosome loss (no loss). Overall chromosome loss frequency (% loss) was calculated as
(loss/total tested) *100. Some strains lost multiple chromosomes.

	WT	Δ*kmt5*	Δ*ash1*
chromosome 14	2	107	17
chromosome 15	3	4	1
chromosome 16	2	60	89
chromosome 17	0	4	0
chromosome 19	0	0	0
chromosome 20	1	0	2
chromosome 21	0	0	0
total tested	240	240	240
loss	8	140	99
no loss	232	100	141
loss frequency (%)	3	58	41

898
899
900
901   **Table 2:** Manual annotation of transcripts expressed on chromosome 17 based on RNA-seq data
902   of wild type and mutants.

	number of transcripts
identified	186
protein coding	13
homologs on accessory chromosomes	89
homologs on core chromosomes	62
homologs in other (fungal) species	41
functional annotation	31

Figures

Figure 1. Domain structure and characterization of defects related to two histone methyltransferases involved in generation of facultative heterochromatin. **A)** Comparison of H4K20 methyltransferases related to Kmt5 (accession numbers in Figure S1B). The blue box denotes the SET domain. **B)** Deletion of *kmt5* and substitution of H4 lysine 20 with methionine (H4K20M) causes complete loss of H4K20 methylation but *ash1* deletion has no effect on H4K20 methylation. Calf thymus histones were used as controls. **C)** Comparison of H3K36 Ash1 methyltransferases. **D)** *kmt5* but not *ash1* mutants are mildly affected by the DNA replication inhibitor camptothecin at high temperature.

915
Figure 2: Characterization of different histone modification patterns and their genomic context.
A) Distribution of selected histone modifications on chromosome 7 in the wild-type *Zymoseptoria*
tritici strain. In facultative heterochromatin regions, H4K20me3, H3K27me2/3 and H3K36me3
overlap. **B)** Enrichment of H3K36me3 and H4K20me3 within H3K27me3 regions. There are two
distinct clusters, regions where H3K36me3 and H4K20me3 co-occur (cluster 1) and regions
where both marks are absent or only one of them is present (cluster 2). **C)** Genomic context of
cluster 1 and 2; Mb, million base pairs.

Figure 3: Genome-wide and chromosome-specific Hi-C interaction map in wild-type and Δkmt6
mutant. A) Interacting genomic regions in the wild-type genome. Shown are $\log_2$ ratios of
observed interactions relative to expected interactions; fewer interactions than expected are
colored in blue and more interactions than expected are colored in red. Most centromeres (6)
and regions of facultative heterochromatin (cluster 1) show strong interactions. **B)** Side-by-side
comparison of interactions on chromosome 7 in wild-type and the Δ*kmt6* mutant. The right arm
of chromosome 7 shows strong regional interactions but very few interactions with the left arm
of the chromosome. The interacting region correlates with H3K27me3 enrichment in WT, but
interactions do not change in absence of H3K27me3.

934
Figure 4: Effects of deletion of *kmt5* or *ash1*, encoding two histone methyltransferases involved
in the regulation of H4K20me3 and H3K36me3 levels. A) In the absence of Kmt5, all H4K20
methylation is removed but H4K20me3 enrichment is not affected by lack of Ash1. Lack of Kmt5
or Ash1 alters H3K27me3 in selected regions of the genome, and the lack of either Kmt5 or Ash1
removes H3K36me3 from regions that are not methylated by a second H3K36 methyltransferase,
Set2. H3K36 methylation by Set2 is largely correlated with H3K4me2. These results strongly
suggest that Kmt5 controls at least some H3K36 methylation, which in turn controls H3K27me3
in some regions of the genome. **B)** Comparison of enrichment of histone modifications in regions
of facultative heterochromatin. Deletion of *kmt5* results in loss of H4K20me3, overall reduction
in H3K27me3, and strong reduction in H3K36me3. Deletion of *ash1* affects H4K20me3 and
H3K27me3 only mildly but strongly reduces H3K36me3 in these regions.

946
Figure 5: An H4^K20M substitution phenocopies deletion of *kmt5* but heterozygous H4^K20/K20M
strains show specific H4K20me3 in regions of facultative heterochromatin in wild type. A)
H4K20me3 distribution in Δ*kmt5* and histone mutants. **B)** and **C)** Effects of deletion of *kmt5* or
substitution to H4^K20M and H4^K20/K20M on regions that retain H4K20me3 in H4^K20/K20M strains. **B)**
H3K36me3 is severely reduced in Δ*kmt5* and H4^K20M but partly restored in H4^K20/K20M. **C)**
H3K27me3 is reduced in *kmt5* and H4^K20M but similar to wild-type levels in H4^K20/K20M. **D)** A
similar effect on H4K20me3 observed with heterozygous H4^K20/K20M is found when Kmt5 is
tagged with GFP on either the amino or carboxy terminus.

955
Figure 6: Eaf3 links H4K20 and H3K36 methylation. A) A putative H4K20me3-binding protein
("?") attracts the Ash1 H3K36 methyltransferase, Ash1, to H4K20me3-enriched regions. The
PWWP motif protein, Pdp1, is essential for H4K20me in fission yeast, while the CHROMO and
MRG domain protein, Eaf3, had been shown to bind H3K36me3 in multiple organisms. **B)**
Enrichment of H4K20me3 and H3K36me3 in facultative heterochromatin. We excluded the
putative Pdp1 homolog (*pdp1*) because deletion of this gene had no effects on H4K20 or H3K36
methylation when compared to WT. The homologue of Eaf3, however, resulted in a large
increase in H4K20me3, and a loss in H3K36me3, suggesting that it may interact with both
H4K20me3 and H3K36me3. Loss of the potential H4K20me3 demethylase, Rad23, increased
H4K20me3 levels. **C)** ChIP-seq tracks showing H3K36me3 and H4K20me3 on accessory
chromosome 15 in WT and Δ*kmt5*, Δ*ash1*, Δ*eaf3,* Δ*pdp1* and Δ*rad23* strains.

967
Figure 7: H4K20 methylation is important for transcriptional silencing in facultative
heterochromatin. A) Results from differential expression analysis (log2fc > | 0.585 |, *padj* < 0.01)
comparing wild-type and mutant strains. B) Normalized counts assigned to transcripts in
facultative heterochromatin; transcripts were newly annotated with stringtie. RNA-seq results on
kmt5, eaf3, and histone mutants, as well as on wild-type (WT) samples revealed a large group of
novel transcripts, especially on accessory chromosomes, that had not been detected before and
that come from H3K27me3-enriched regions. These transcripts were more highly expressed in
most mutants compared to wild type, especially if H4K20me3 is absent. C) RNA-seq reads of
wild type and Δ*kmt5* mapped to accessory chromosome 17. The previous annotation (50)
identified only a fraction of transcripts expressed on accessory chromosomes.

H4K20me3   H3K36me3

978
979
980   **Figure 8: Model of how H4K20me3 is required for Ash1-mediated H3K36me3. (1)** Kmt5 mediates
981   H4K20me3 followed by **(2)** binding of Eaf3 to H4K20me3; Eaf3 may be in a complex with a
982   histone deacetylase (e.g., RPD3S). **(3)** Presence of Eaf3 promotes Ash1-mediated H3K36me3.

Supplement

Tables

Table S1. DESeq2 results for all mutants in comparison to wild type including functional annotation.

Table S2. Detailed overview of all manually annotated transcripts on chromosome 17.

Table S3. List of all plasmids, strains and primers generated and used in this study.

Table S4. Summary of all sequencing datasets generated in this study.

Figures

Figure S1. Comparison of H4K20 HMT SET domains. A) Alignment of SET domains of H4K20 methyltransferases in different species. SET domain of human EZH2 is used as an outgroup. B) Phylogenetic tree based on the alignment in A. Numbers indicate substitutions per site. C) Accession numbers of proteins.

Figure S2. Uncropped western blot images for detection of H4K20me1, H4K20me3 and H3.

Figure S3. Southern blots to confirm deletion of *kmt5* and *ash1*. A) Δ*kmt5* mutants show more bands in addition to the expected bands. Because one of the flanks used for homologous recombination and as probe for Southern analyses is a repetitive element, integration into the repetitive element may have altered restriction sites, and additional bands are detected because of TE copy number. Deletion of *kmt5* was confirmed in all ChIP- and RNA-seq datasets. Strains used for further analyses were 95 and 100. B) Confirmation of Δ*ash1*. Strains used for further analyses were 5 and 25.

Figure S4. Phenotypic characterization of WT, Δ*kmt5*, Δ*ash1*, $H4^{K20M}$, $H4^{K20/K20M}$ and Δ*eaf3* under different genotoxic stress conditions and temperatures.

Figure S5. Genome-wide distribution of H4K20me3, H3K36me3, H3K27me3, H3K9me3 and H3K4me2 in wild type.

Figure S6. Interacting genomic regions in the Δ*kmt6* genome. Shown are log_2 ratio of observed interactions relative to expected interactions, fewer interactions than expected are shown in blue, more interactions are shown in red.

Figure S7. Enrichment of different histone marks outside (A) and within (B) facultative heterochromatin regions. While we observed subtle changes outside of facultative

heterochromatin, we observed the most prevalent differences in regions of facultative heterochromatin.

Figure S8. Genome-wide distribution of H4K20me3, H3K36me3 and H3K27me3 in all mutants generated in this study. There are no ChIP-seq data for H3K36me3 in the tagged Kmt5 strains.

Figure S9. ChIP-seq of the Δ*pdp1* revealed an increase in H3K27me3 outside of facultative heterochromatin regions in WT. A) ChIP-seq tracks (chromosome 10 as an example region) show that H3K27me3 enrichment increases in gene-dense regions that are also enriched with H4K20me3 and H3K36me3 but not H3K9me3. B) H3K27me3 levels decrease in facultative heterochromatin but increase in some non-facultative heterochromatin regions.

Figure S10. RNA sequencing of mutant strains. A) Principal Component Analysis (PCA) plot of all sequenced replicate strains. B) Venn diagram of upregulated genes in all mutants compared to wild type.

Methods

ChIP analysis
RNA-seq analysis
Hi-C analysis

ChIP protocol
Stranded mRNA library protocol
Modified NEB Illumina library protocol
Zymoseptoria transformation protocol

A

B

C

Protein	Accession
Human EZH2	XP_005250019.1
D. melanogaster PR-Set7	NP_001247100.1
Human KMT5A	Q9NQR1.3
D. rerio KMT5A	NP_001038814.2
S. pombe Set9	NP_588078.1
Z. tritici Kmt5	SMQ48785.1
F. graminearum Kmt5	XP_011326140.1
N. crassa SET-10	XP_963033.2
D. melanogaster Hmt4-20	NP_569853.1
Human KMT5B	NP_001356355.1
D. rerio KMT5B	Q5U3H2.2
D. rerio KMT5C	NP_001091656.1
Human KMT5C	NP_116090.2

1051

1052 **Figure S1.** Comparison of H4K20 HMT SET domains. A) Alignment of SET domains of H4K20
1053 methyltransferases in different species. SET domain of human EZH2 is used as an outgroup. B)
1054 Phylogenetic tree based on the alignment in A. Numbers indicate substitutions per site. C)
1055 Accession numbers of proteins.

αH4K20me3 (Active motif 39180, pAb, anti-rabbit, green) 1:2000
IRDye 800CW Goat anti-Rabbit IgG (LI-COR 926-32211) 1:5000
Standard: BioRad precision plus protein kaleidoscope pre-stained protein

αH3 (Active motif 39763, mAb, anti-mouse, red) 1:5000
αH4K20me1 (abcam 9051, pAb, anti-rabbit, green) 1:1000
IRDye 680RD Goat anti-Mouse IgG (LI-COR 926-68070) 1:5000
IRDye 800CW Goat anti-Rabbit IgG (LI-COR 926-32211) 1:5000
Standard: BioRad precision plus protein kaleidoscope pre-stained protein

1056   **Figure S2.** Uncropped western blots for detection of H4K20me1, H4K20me3 and H3.
1057

A

95 100 103 138 161 169 182 218 224 WT

3.5
3
2.5
2
1.5
1

Enzyme: Expected bands:
NdeI   WT   6626 bp
SphI

                Δ*kmt5* 3443 bp
                          2059 bp
                          132 bp

B

5 22 25 34 51 62 74 76 84 90 WT

3.5
3
2.5
2
1.5
1

Enzyme: Expected bands:
PvuI   WT   5819 bp
                          3346 bp

                Δ*ash1* 6219 bp
                          1746 bp

Figure S3. Southern blots to confirm deletion of *kmt5* and *ash1*. A) Δ*kmt5* mutants show more bands in addition to the expected bands. Because one of the flanks used for homologous recombination and as probe for Southern analyses is a repetitive element, integration into the repetitive element may have altered restriction sites, and additional bands are detected because of TE copy number. Deletion of *kmt5* was confirmed in all ChIP- and RNA-seq datasets. Strains used for further analyses were 95 and 100. B) Confirmation of Δ*ash1*. Strains used for further analyses were 5 and 25.

1065

1067   **Figure S4.** Phenotypic characterization of WT, Δ*kmt5*, Δ*ash1*, *H4*^{K20M}, H4$^{K20/K20M}$ and Δ*eaf3* under
1068   different genotoxic stress conditions and temperatures.

Figure S5. Genome-wide distribution of H4K20me3, H3K36me3, H3K27me3, H3K9me3 and H3K4me2 in wild type.

1071

1072 **Figure S6.** Interacting genomic regions in the Δ*kmt6* genome. Shown are log₂ ratio of observed
1073 interactions relative to expected interactions, fewer interactions than expected are shown in
1074 blue, more interactions are shown in red.

1075

1076
1077 **Figure S7.** Enrichment of different histone marks outside (A) and within (B) facultative
1078 heterochromatin regions. While we observed subtle changes outside of facultative
1079 heterochromatin, we observed the most prevalent differences in regions of facultative
1080 heterochromatin.

1081

1082

1083 **Figure S8.** Genome-wide distribution of H4K20me3, H3K36me3 and H3K27me3 in all mutants
1084 generated in this study. There are no ChIP-seq data for H3K36me3 in the tagged Kmt5 strains.

A

chromosome 10

WT H4K20me3
Δpdp1 H4K20me3
WT H3K36me3
Δpdp1 H3K36me3
WT H3K27me3
Δpdp1 H3K27me3
genes
WT H3K9me3
Δpdp1 H3K9me3

B

H3K27me3 enrichment
in facultative heterochromatin

H3K27me3 enrichment
outside of facultative heterochromatin

WT Δkmt5 Δash1 Δeaf3 Δpdp1 Δrad23

WT Δkmt5 Δash1 Δeaf3 Δpdp1 Δrad23

Facultative heterochromatin
(500 bp windows)

Non facultative heterochromatin
(500 bp windows)

1085

1086   **Figure S9.** ChIP-seq of the Δ*pdp1* revealed an increase in H3K27me3 outside of facultative
1087   heterochromatin regions in WT. A) ChIP-seq tracks (chromosome 10 as an example region) show
1088   that H3K27me3 enrichment increases in gene-dense regions that are also enriched with
1089   H4K20me3 and H3K36me3 but not H3K9me3. B) H3K27me3 levels decrease in facultative
1090   heterochromatin but increase in some non-facultative heterochromatin regions.

A

B

1091

1092   **Figure S10.** RNA sequencing of mutant strains. A) Principal Component Analysis (PCA) plot of all
1093   sequenced replicate strains. B) Venn diagram of upregulated genes in all mutants compared to
1094   WT.