Revealing intact neuronal circuitry in centimeter-sized formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded brain

Ya-Hui Lin, Li-Wen Wang, Yen-Hui Chen, Yi-Chieh Chan, Shang-Hsiu Hu, Sheng-Yan Wu, Chi-Shiun Chiang, Guan-Jie Huang, Shang-Da Yang, Shi-Wei Chu, Kuo-Chuan Wang, Chin-Hsien Lin, Pei-Hsin Huang, Hwai-Jong Cheng, Bi-Chang Chen* & Li-An Chu*

Y. H. Lin, L. W. Wang, Y. C. Chan, Prof. S. H. Hu, S. Y. Wu, Prof. C. S. Chiang, Prof. L. A. Chu
Department of Biomedical Engineering and Environmental Sciences
National Tsing Hua University
Hsinchu, 300044, Taiwan
E-mail: lachu@mx.nthu.edu.tw

Y. H. Lin, L. W. Wang, Prof. L. A. Chu
Brain Research Center
National Tsing Hua University
Hsinchu, 300044, Taiwan

Prof. Y. H. Chen
Institute of Biomedical Sciences
Academia Sinica
Taipei, 115024, Taiwan

G. J. Huang, Prof. S. W. Chu
Department of Physics
National Taiwan University
Taipei, 106216, Taiwan

Prof. S. D. Yang
Institute of Photonics Technologies
National Tsing Hua University
Hsinchu, 300044, Taiwan

Dr. Kuo-Chuan Wang
Department of Neurosurgery
National Taiwan University Hospital
Taipei, 100225, Taiwan

Dr. Chin-Hsien Lin
Department of Neurosurgery
National Taiwan University Hospital
Taipei, 100225, Taiwan

Dr. Pei-Hsin Huang
Department of Pathology
National Taiwan University Hospital
Taipei, 100225, Taiwan

Prof. Hwai-Jong Cheng

Institute of Molecular Biology
Academia Sinica
Taipei, 115024, Taiwan

Prof. Bi-Chang Chen
Research Center for Applied Sciences
Academia Sinica
Taipei, 115024, Taiwan
E-mail: chenb10@gate.sinica.edu.tw

Keywords: tissue clearing, multiplexed staining, formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded, archived tissues, whole-mouse brain imaging

Abstract

Tissue clearing and labeling techniques have revolutionized brain-wide imaging and analysis, yet their application to clinical formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) blocks remains challenging. We introduce HIF-Clear, a novel method for efficiently clearing and labeling centimeter-thick FFPE specimens using elevated temperature and concentrated detergents. HIF-Clear with multi-round immunolabeling reveals neuron circuitry regulating multiple neurotransmitter systems in a whole FFPE mouse brain, and is able to be used as the evaluation of disease treatment efficiency. HIF-Clear also supports expansion microscopy and can be performed on a non-sectioned 15-year-old FFPE specimen, as well as a 3-month formalin-fixed mouse brain. Thus, HIF-Clear represents a feasible approach for researching archived FFPE specimens for future neuroscientific and 3D neuropathological analyses.

1. Introduction

Clinical brain tissues play a critical role in unraveling the molecular mechanisms underlying neurological diseases and facilitating the development of effective treatments,[1–3] and also represent an invaluable resource for retrospective studies on rare diseases or conditions with long-term outcomes.[4] Currently, the majority of archived clinical specimens are stored in the form of formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) blocks. Advanced molecular analysis tools—such as chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP), DNA/RNA sequencing (DNA/RNA-seq), and Luminex assays—have been performed on FFPE specimens to enable comprehensive analysis from genomic, transcriptional, and proteomic perspectives.[3,5–7] However, image-based qualification and quantification of FFPE samples remains limited to performing chemical staining and immunohistochemistry on thin sections. Although whole-slide imaging enables unbiased analyses of entire tissue sections,[3,8–10] its two-dimensional (2D) nature cannot provide the 3D information required for accurate quantification. Such quantification in neurobiological contexts is crucial for evaluating neuronal damage and neuroprotection, including neuronal cell counts and the extent of necrosis. Moreover, such 2D-based techniques also fail to reveal structural changes within target tissues.

Tissue clearing protocols,[11–14] in combination with deep-tissue immunolabeling [15–17] and optical sectioning microscopy techniques,[18–20] represent powerful tools for collecting 3D information at a subcellular resolution from centimeter-scale specimens. Tissue clearing techniques render biological tissues transparent by removing components that induce refraction index mismatching, which is mainly attributable to two factors, i.e., light absorption due to pigments or biological chromophores and light scattering mainly caused by lipids.[11,21] In current tissue clearing protocols, samples are fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) for approximately 24 hours before undergoing delipidation steps that involve solvents,[22–24] aqueous solutions with denaturing reagents or detergents,[25–28] or protection with chemicals that create crosslinks to withstand harsh electrophoretic delipidation.[29–31] Such protocols now enable imaging of whole-rodent bodies.[32,33] and even entire

human organs at the cellular or subcellular level,[34] facilitating detailed and comprehensive analyses

of intact biological systems. However, FFPE specimens have not reaped the benefits of these advanced

techniques. For instance, although tissue clearing approaches such as CUBIC, iDISCO, CLARITY,

and passive SDS treatment have been applied previously to FFPE specimens,[35–40] they have only

yielded immunolabeling depths of 1-2 mm, underscoring the need for a procedure tailored to FFPE

samples in order to achieve centimeter-scale tissue clearing and labeling.

Our objective was to develop a protocol capable of rendering centimeter-scale FFPE specimens

optically transparent and suitable for deep immunolabeling. To this end, we took account of two critical

issues. First, successful immunohistochemistry of FFPE tissue sections requires antigen retrieval to

restore target conformation and expose masked epitopes.[41,42] Secondly, despite xylene treatment

during paraffin processing and dewaxing protocols removing a substantial proportion of FFPE tissue

lipids, certain phospholipids persist within the lipid bilayer, impeding antibody penetration and

maintaining opacity.[38,43] Therefore, we aimed to devise a procedure that achieves efficient antigen

retrieval and delipidation for centimeter-scale FFPE specimens. Here, we present Heat-Induced FFPE

based tissue Clearing (HIF-Clear), a pipeline that employs an optimized heat-induced antigen retrieval

technique to achieve that goal. We validated the effectiveness of HIF-Clear using FFPE human brain

tissues and entire mouse brains. Using HIF-Clear, we demonstrate the possibility of conducting

multiple rounds of immunolabeling, which allowed characterization of the same brain tissue using six

different neuronal markers and revealing neuronal circuitry. We further demonstrate volumetric

quantification by means of HIF-Clear on intact FFPE mouse brains, with statistical analysis of the

FFPE samples resulting in identical trends to the results determined for fresh brains. We also showcase

the broad applications of HIF-Clear by successfully conducting volumetric imaging of mouse brain

archived in FFPE blocks for a period of 15 years, as well as the compatibility of this apporach with

expansion microscopy.

2. Results

2.1. Optimized antigen retrieval: Delipidation and epitope recovery of FFPE specimens

SDS is a detergent commonly used for lipid removal in tissue clearing methods. It is also known as an antigen retrieval agent and has been used to enhance immunolabeling signals in cells,[44] cryosections,[45] formalin-fixed human brains,[46] and epithelia of the pancreas, liver and lung.[47] Therefore, we began optimizing tissue clearing by applying two types of SDS-based approaches, passive and active, to FFPE mouse brain hemispheres and then evaluated their impacts on antigen retrieval/delipidation by means of electrophoretic immunolabeling.[15] For the passive approach, we applied a FLASH protocol published previously involving sample incubation in an SDS-based solution (4% SDS and 200 mM borate) at 54 °C for antigen retrieval and tissue clearing of epithelial cells and abdominal organs.[47] For the active approach, we employed SDS-based electrophoresis at 42 °C for 48 hours. We observed that both FLASH-processed and SDS-electrophoresed samples showed weak tyrosine hydroxylase (TH, a marker of dopaminergic neurons) signal (Figure S1a). Additionally, we noticed that the FLASH-processed samples had almost no signal of NeuN (a marker of neuronal nuclei, Figure S1b left), and exhibited strong non-specific background noise (Figure S1a left). The presence of this background noise is considered an indicator of inadequate antigen retrieval.[48]

We found that FLASH-processed samples displayed weak tyrosine hydroxylase (TH, a marker of dopaminergic neurons) signal and almost completely lacked signal of NeuN (a marker of neuronal nuclei) (Figure S1a left, 1b left). We also observed that the SDS-electrophoresed sample only possessed weak TH-positive signal, as well as strong non-specific labeling (Figure S1a right), with this latter considered an indicator of inadequate antigen retrieval.[48]

These results imply insufficient antigen retrieval using the SDS approaches, likely due to the low-temperature experimental conditions. In 1991, Shi et al. published a heat-induced epitope retrieval (HIER) method utilizing a temperature of 100 °C,[49] which now represents the most broad-spectrum

antigen retrieval protocol for FFPE tissue sections. Superheating (i.e., temperatures >100 °C) in

pressure cookers has been reported to enhance immunohistochemistry signal intensity and

stability.[50,51] However, heating with a classical antigen-retrieval citrate buffer (10 mM sodium citrate,

pH 6.0) at 121 °C for 10 minutes in a pressure cooker, a condition appropriate for routine FFPE sections,

only resulted in weak immunostaining signals of NeuN and SMI312 (a pan-neurofilament marker) in

the middle of a 2-mm-thick FFPE mouse brain block (Figure S1b middle). Since dewaxed and

rehydrated FFPE specimens still have residual phospholipids that prevent antibody penetration,[38,43]

we added 1% SDS into the citrate buffer to increase the delipidation capability of the HIER approach

and found that NeuN and SMI312 signals were significantly enhanced (Figure S1b right). However,

deploying SDS at such high temperatures also resulted in tissue expansion and fragility (Figure S1b,

gross view), prompting us to search for a detergent condition that could achieve sufficient delipidation

while retaining tissue integrity.

Accordingly, we tested four additional detergent conditions: 1% Tween 20; 1% Triton X-100; 1%

sodium cholate (NaC); and 1% CHAPS. Tween 20 and Triton X-100 are commonly used to disrupt

cell membranes in immunohistochemistry. NaC and CHAPS have higher critical micelle

concentrations and form smaller micelles than SDS, and both have been reported as being more

efficient than SDS with respect to active electrophoretic delipidation[52] and passive delipidation,[34]

respectively. All tests were performed on 2-mm-thick FFPE mouse brain blocks with citrate buffer (10

mM sodium citrate, pH 6.0) at 121 °C for 10 minutes in a pressure cooker. First, we assessed amounts

of residual lipids by staining with DiD (a lipophilic dye with an excitation wavelength at 649 nm).

Undelipidated and SDS-delipidated (hereafter referred to as PFA-SDS) mouse brain blocks, as well as

dewaxed FFPE mouse brain blocks without HIER, were also stained as controls. To prove that DiD

signal quantification could be used to reflect amounts of residual lipids in tissue sections, we compared

DiD signal across the FFPE group without HIER, the undelipidated group and the PFA-SDS group

(**Figure 1A**, left panel). The FFPE group without HIER exhibited a higher DiD signal intensity than

the PFA-SDS group but lower than that of the undelipidated group, supporting partial lipid removal

during the paraffin processing and dewaxing process. Among all of the test groups subjected to varied

heated detergent conditions, only the 1% Tween 20 condition presented significantly lower lipid

content compared to the FFPE without HIER group (p-value = 0.0035), achieving the same level of

delipidation as the PFA-SDS group (p-value = 0.999) (Figure 1A, right panel). We also performed Oil

red O staining to visualize the residual lipids and tissue morphology under bright-field microscopy

(Figure S2).

Next, we evaluated the efficiency of antigen retrieval by conducting immunolabeling with NeuN

and SMI312 antibodies on all of the test groups and examined the signals at the center of each FFPE

specimen. We found that the 1% Tween 20 group displayed the sharpest and clearest neuronal nuclei

and axon bundles with a high signal-to-background ratio, indicating both successful delipidation and

antigen retrieval (Figure 1B, panel 2). Interestingly, for the 1% Triton X-100, 1% NaC and 1% CHAPS

groups, the fluorescence intensity of neuronal nuclei were even lower than the background signal,

implying that heating these detergents might hinder nuclear epitopes (Figure 1B, panels 3, 4 and 5).

We also examined the gross appearance of each group upon heating, which confirmed that the 1%

Tween 20 group retained good sample integrity without apparent changes in size or color (Figure 1C).

Therefore, we employed 1% Tween 20 in citrate buffer with heating in a pressure cooker at 121 °C for

10 minutes as the critical step of our HIF-Clear pipeline for delipidation and antigen retrieval of

centimeter-scale FFPE specimens.

2.2. The HIF-Clear pipeline

We illustrate the complete HIF-Clear pipeline in Figure 1D. To retrieve a specimen from a FFPE

block, the paraffin is first melted at 65-70 °C, before dewaxing at room temperature using xylene and

sequentially rehydrating the tissue with alcohol. It is important to note that when working on a large

sample, the dewaxing time should be increased to avoid opacity caused by residual wax due to

insufficient dewaxing. Opacity can be eliminated through repeated dehydration and dewaxing steps

(Figure S3b), with extended dewaxing times or repeated dewaxing steps not affecting tissue structure or antigenicity (Figure S3 and S4). A typical dewaxing timeframe for paraffin sections of less than 20 μm thickness is ~20 minutes, with complete dewaxing of an entire mouse brain or similarly-sized sample achievable within 24 hours (see Materials and Methods). Optimal dewaxing times for tissue sizes between these two extremes warrant further testing.

The rehydrated FFPE samples are then treated with epoxy[31] and immersed in the optimized antigen retrieval solution (10 mM sodium citrate, 1% Tween 20, pH 6.0) at 37 °C for 24 hours, before then being heated to 121 °C for 10 minutes. The samples are then subjected to active immunolabeling (see Materials and Methods). After staining, the specimen is immersed in 4% PFA for 24 hours to fix the antibodies bound to epitopes, matched for the refractive index (RI), and imaged by means of lightsheet microscopy. Figure S5 shows the gross views of the same mouse brain after undergoing 4% PFA fixation, paraffin processing, optimized antigen retrieval, and RI-matching, demonstrating intactness of the brain shape and preservation of tissue integrity. To enable 3D phenotyping and proteomic investigations, a multi-round staining protocol involving photobleaching and classic heat-induced antigen retrieval can also be included. In this study, unless otherwise specified, all HIF-Clear-processed whole mouse brains had been stored in paraffin blocks for two to six months before the experiments and underwent active labeling after heating with 1% Tween 20.

To further verify HIF-Clear's efficacy in removing lipids from whole mouse brains, we employed coherent anti-Stokes Raman scattering (CARS), a spectroscopic technique for detecting vibrational responses of specific molecular structures (Figure 1E). In contrast to a dewaxed FFPE mouse brain (Figure 1D, left) for which we observed CARS signals from axon bundles, thus indicating the presence of myelinated lipids, both the FFPE-HIF-Clear-processed and PFA-SDS-treated mouse brain samples displayed significantly lower CARS signals (Figure 1E, middle and right, respectively; quantification in Figure 1F), confirming removal of myelinated lipids.

2.3. The HIF-Clear pipeline enables immunolabeling and registration of intact FFPE mouse brain

Previous studies have confirmed that transcriptomic and proteomic data are equally preserved in FFPE sections and fresh-frozen sections. To assess biomarker preservation within a spatial context, we investigated patterns of anti-TH antibody labeling in FFPE-HIF-Clear-processed mouse brains (**Figure 2**A). We observed consistent distributions of dopaminergic neurons in key tissue regions, including the striatum, nigrostriatal fiber tracts, substantia nigra, ventral tegmental area, pontine reticular nucleus, and lateral reticular nucleus, aligning with the findings of a prior study[16] (Figure 2A, left) and matching results from Allen Brain Atlas registration[53] (Figure 2A, right). Furthermore, we compared the volume of each brain region between the left and right hemispheres, which revealed minimal sample distortion (Figure 2B). Our investigation extended to a 2-mm-thick mouse brain that had been preserved in an FFPE block for 15 years. By applying HIF-Clear, we unveiled clear clusters of dopaminergic neurons at a cellular resolution in that specimen (Figure 2C). These results confirm the feasibility of deploying HIF-Clear for long-term stored FFPE blocks, even without the need for sectioning, enabling effective retrieval of information on spatial biomarkers comparable to that obtained from freshly fixed samples. We also applied HIF-Clear to 3-month fixed mouse brain hemispheres (**Figure 3**). Although the long-term fixed specimens exhibited reduced TH intensity and S/N ratio, the major dopaminergic regions were labeled, and magnified images revealed clear details of cell bodies and neuronal fibers. These results suggest that HIF-Clear has the potential to be applied to long-term fixed specimens.

2.4. A tailored HIF-Clear+ pipeline enables multi-round immunolabeling of human brain tissues and whole mouse brains

Next, we investigated if HIF-Clear-processed whole-brain FFPE samples could be subjected to a multi-round staining process, a strategy commonly used for multiplexed immunolabeling. Chemical elution techniques utilizing detergents, reducing reagents, and denaturing reagents have been

developed previously and tested on thin paraffin sections or cryosections. For thick sections, the

CLARITY protocol published in 2013 involves incubation in 4% SDS solution, which was first

demonstrated on a 1-mm-thick mouse hippocampus specimen. However, based on our preliminary

experiments, we found that post-staining fixation is required to maintain signals in a centimeter-sized

sample (i.e., a whole mouse brain) (Figure S6) and the post-fixed antibodies cannot be completely

stripped using SDS-based stripping protocols (Figure S7). We also observed that fixation after staining

hindered subsequent rounds of staining, although this impediment could be overcome by traditional

heat-induced epitope retrieval (referred to hereafter as classical HIER to avoid confusion with the

aforementioned optimized antigen retrieval protocol) (Figure S8). To overcome the difficulty of

antibody stripping due to post-fixation, which is required for centimeter-sized specimens, we

developed HIF-Clear+ to include a multi-round immunolabeling protocol utilizing photobleaching and

classical HIER (Figure 1D). After imaging, we photobleached transparent RI-matched samples using

a 100W LED white light to quench the previously labeled fluorophores (Figure S9). The selected

duration of bleaching is dependent on sample thickness; overnight bleaching is sufficient for tissue

samples of <500 μm thickness, whereas ~72 hours are required for thicker samples such as whole

mouse brains (a thickness of 0.8-1 cm). Bleached specimens are then subjected to classical HIER to

restore the antigenicity hindered by post-staining PFA fixation. The brain shape and structural integrity

remained after 4 rounds of immunolabeling, and there is no cross-reactivity in subsequent rounds of

immunostaining following bleaching (Figure S10).

To explore the potential of revealing multiple neuronal circuitry in the whole FFPE mouse brain

using HIF-Clear+, we performed a six-round immunolabeling procedure for TH, tryptophan

hydroxylase 2 (TPH2), calbindin, parvalbumin (PV), choline acetyltransferase (ChAT) and SMI312,

respectively, on one intact FFPE mouse brain (**Figure 4**). For each round, the brain was labeled not

only with the antibody specific for the target protein, but also for lectin as a structural reference. Image

datasets from each immunolabeling round were combined into a single multichannel image (**Figure**

5A), with lectin-labeled blood vessels deployed as a reference channel using Elastix,[54] demonstrating

the ability of HIF-Clear+ to reveal the spatial distribution of different neuronal markers. We conducted

a more detailed examination of the habenula-interpeduncular circuitry (Hbn-IPN circuitry) and its

connection with these biomarkers. We visualized the expression of ChAT, calbindin, TH, and TPH2

in the IPN and the neighboring regions (Figure 5B). Previous studies have shown that these neurons

are regulated by the Hbn-IPN circuitry through their connections with the IPN region, which plays a

role in controlling emotion, addiction, and the reward process.[55,56] To demonstrate that HIF-Clear+

can also be applied to human brain FFPE blocks, we performed three consecutive rounds of

immunolabeling on a 1-mm-thick FFPE human brain specimen collected surgically from the temporal

lobe of a brain tumor patient. For each round, the brain tissue was labeled with GFAP/lectin,

SMI312/lectin, or MAP2/lectin, respectively (**Figure 6**A). Labeling homogeneity throughout the entire

block was confirmed by imaging at depths of 100, 500 and 900 μm (Figure 6B, S11)

2.5. Application of FFPE-HIF-Clear to mouse disease models

In disease research, volumetric imaging offers precise microenvironmental information and

reveals structural changes that are essential for evaluating injuries and therapeutic effects.[13,35,57] As a

first assessment of HIF-Clear applicability to disease models, we subjected an intact FFPE brain from

an astrocytoma mouse model (see Materials and Methods) to the HIF-Clear pipeline to label tumor

cells (ASTS1CI-GFP positive astrocytes) and GFAP-positive astrocytes (**Figure 7**A, C). Accordingly,

we could segment GFAP-positive astrocytes surrounding the tumor (Figure 7B, D, and E) and classify

them according to their distances from the tumor cells. Statistical analysis (Figure 7F) revealed that

nearly half of the GFAP-positive astrocytes were within the tumor, with 63.9% being located near the

tumor surface (±200 μm). These results demonstrate that FFPE-HIF-Clear-derived image datasets can

reveal cell distributions and the spatial architecture of disease microenvironments.

Next, we employed FFPE mouse brains from a traumatic brain injury (TBI) model to showcase

the feasibility of employing HIF-Clear for 3D quantification of neuronal circuit recovery in disease

research. Reduced dopamine levels are known to be a major cause of cognitive impairment after

TBI.[58] To assess the impact on dopaminergic neural regeneration across four treatment groups (sham,

sMN, aNORO@sMN, and aNORO@sMN+AMF; see Materials and Methods for details), we

conducted immunolabeling using anti-TH antibodies (Figure 7G) and compared quantitative changes

in the dopaminergic system among these groups. We calculated quantitative ipsilateral-to-contralateral

changes for three structural features: striatum volume (Figure 7H), nigrostriatal fiber tract volume

(Figure 7I), and the number of dopaminergic cells in the substantia nigra (SN) (Figure 7J). The sham

group displayed a decrease (~24%) in the ratio of dopaminergic neurons on the injured side to the

uninjured control side (Figure 7K), indicative of dopaminergic system malfunction or imbalance.

Treatment with aNORO@sMN rescued this disease phenotype (from 76% to 94%) (Figure 7K), a

positive impact on dopamine production and transmission recovery post-TBI.

Next, we evaluated the therapeutic effect of aNORO@sMN on angiogenesis. To quantify

angiogenesis, we selected three regions of interest around brain injury sites and segmented blood

vessels (Figure 7L). The aNORO@sMN treatment group exhibited a substantial increase in blood

vessel length, surface area, volume, and branch numbers (244%, 301%, 186%, and 220%, respectively)

compared to the sham group (Figure 7M), indicating enhanced angiogenesis. Thus, overall, HIF-Clear

facilitates the 3D quantification critical for assessing neuronal damage and recovery in FFPE

specimens.

We also performed identical TBI procedures and 3D quantification using the SHIELD protocol

and SDS-based electrophoresis for brain clearing and labeling (Figure S12), which resulted in

comparable quantitative outcomes compared to those determined for FFPE-HIF-Clear brains (Figure

S13). These results indicate that FFPE-HIF-Clear recovers biomarker signals as effectively as for

freshly processed samples, even after the samples have been subjected to prolonged storage as FFPE

blocks.

2.6. HIF-Clear enables expansion microscopy on thick FFPE samples

Expansion microscopy is a super-resolution microscopy technique that overcomes the limitations

of optical diffraction by enlarging biological structures. It is achieved by synthesizing a polymer

network within the specimen to anchor protein molecules, causing the specimen to physically expand

and enabling analysis of sub-cellular structures.[59,60] It is generally difficult to uniformly expand

heavily formaldehyde-fixed specimens, such as FFPE tissues, due to the formaldehyde occupying the

binding sites of anchoring reagents. ExPath, a modified version of protein-extension expansion

microscopy (ProExM) in which the EDTA concentration in the proteinase K digestion buffer is

increased, was developed to overcome this issue.[61] However, although ExPath has been successfully

deployed to expand 5-μm-thick FFPE sections, it cannot uniformly expand samples beyond 1 mm even

with a prolonged incubation time (**Figure 8**A). We discovered that tissues embedded in paraffin and

subjected to the optimized antigen retrieval step in the HIF-Clear pipeline can be directly expanded

using the original ProExM method without extended incubation, yielding clear staining signal for

abundant targets such as SMI312 or MAP2 (Figure 8B and C).

3. Discussion

In this study, we propose HIF-Clear, which utilizes a heat-induced antigen retrieval protocol optimized

with 1% Tween 20, to eliminate residual lipids and recover masked antigens from FFPE specimens.

Our method enables labeling agents to penetrate to a depth of centimeters within such specimens,

enabling large-scale neuronal circuitry analyses of archived brain tissues and providing comprehensive

information that previously could not typically be obtained from conventional 3-5 μm FFPE sections.

We have demonstrated herein that FFPE samples subjected to the HIF-Clear pipeline can be repeatedly

stained without compromising their integrity. Moreover, in evaluating therapeutic efficacy for a TBI

mouse model, we found that HIF-Clear-treated FFPE mouse brains provided as much statistical

information as shortly PFA-fixed mouse brains.

3.1. HIF-Clear facilitates retrospective 3D analyses of neuronal diseases

FFPE processing and sectioning are standard procedures in pathology departments, and FFPE sections are routinely subjected to targeted chemical staining or immunohistochemistry for morphological observations, biomarker validations, or clinical diagnoses.[62,63] However, it is challenging to obtain brain-wide information from conventional 3-5 μm-thick FFPE sections for studies of neurodegenerative diseases.[64] Moreover, biomarker quantifications and structural observations of 2D sections can be biased by sectioning positions and orientations. By applying HIF-Clear/HIF-Clear+, 3D histological information can be collected from FFPE specimens, thereby providing more accurate biomarker quantifications, brain-wide neuronal circuitry and cell analyses. Moreover, HIF-Clear/HIF-Clear+ may be performed on archived patient samples hosted in neuronal disease brain banks to establish a 3D biomarker atlas for neurological diseases, maximizing the utility of archived specimens. Such an atlas would represent an invaluable resource for retrospective research on biomarker distributions throughout the entire neural network, enabling tracking of their changes and interactions. The resulting comprehensive imaging datasets could also be deployed to train deep learning models, explore potential therapeutic targets, and to predict early diagnostic markers.

3.2. FFPE-HIF-Clear as an archival and delipidation strategy for clearing centimeter-sized tissue samples

The development of tissue clearing has revolutionized neuroscientific research. However, current tissue-clearing protocols impose a 24-hour fixation limit on mouse brains, requiring immediate tissue clearing and labeling upon animal sacrifice. HIF-Clear offers a solution to this predicament by enabling long-term preservation of mouse brains in FFPE blocks. Accordingly, clinical samples can be conveniently transported to imaging facilities and staining decisions can be postponed until essential analytical results, such as RNA sequencing, become available. Additionally, HIF-Clear minimizes excessive use of experimental mice in time-course studies by allowing brain samples in FFPE blocks after sacrifice, adhering to 3Rs principles. Consequently, researchers can decide on whole-brain

staining approaches upon completing experiments, such as for toxicological or pharmacological targets,

thereby avoiding the need to repeat animal experiments.

Another possible application of FFPE-HIF-Clear is to use it as a tissue clearing method for removing

lipids. Since paraffin embedding, dewaxing, and heat-induced antigen retrieval are commonly used

pathological procedures, FFPE-HIF-Clear can be quickly, easily, and efficiently executed in the

pathology department of hospitals or research institutions, reinforcing its potential utility in clinical

research.

3.3. Microscopy options for imaging centimeter-sized specimens

Optical sectioning techniques are crucial for obtaining high-quality volumetric images. Techniques

such as confocal microscopes, multi-photon microscopy, and light-sheet microscopy filter out-of-focus

signals, resulting in sharp images of individual planes. In our study, we used light-sheet microscopy

and multi-point confocal (i.e., spinning disc) for imaging centimeter-sized specimens because of their

scanning speeds. While two-photon and confocal microscopy offer high-resolution imaging of smaller

volumes, they are not ideal for scanning entire tissues because of their prolonged scanning times.

Non-optical sectioning wide-field fluorescence microscopes, like the Olympus BX series or ZEISS

Axio imager series, can also be used to scan samples up to about 3.5mm thick with long working

distance objective lenses. In these cases, deconvolution algorithms are required to eliminate out-of-

focus signals. However, it should be noted that the epifluorescence system might reduce fluorescent

intensity in deeper regions within the samples.

3.4. Heating source and buffer choices for heat-induced antigen retrieval in HIF-Clear procedure

Early efforts to clear and label archived FFPE specimens have only achieved limited labeling depths,

[35–40] likely due to a lack of effective antigen retrieval. Protein denaturants employed in hydrophilic

tissue clearing protocols, such as SDS (in CLARITY, PACT, FLASH) and urea (in CUBIC and Scale),

enhance signal intensity and specificity for some types of specimens, such as cryosections or well-

controlled formalin-fixed but non-paraffin-embedded tissues. However, their utility for FFPE tissues

is less apparent, with temperature being considered a primary factor in their applicability in such

instances.

Heat-induced antigen retrieval has been widely used since first being reported by Shi et al. in 1991,

with many protocol variations since being developed, including the use of different heat sources (e.g.,

water baths, steamers, microwave ovens, autoclaves, and pressure cookers) and buffer choices (low

molarity buffers of acid or alkaline pH).[65] Nevertheless, a unifying principle is to heat the fixed tissue

sections in buffer solutions at or above 100 °C for up to 30 minutes without boiling.[51,65] In our study,

we used a pressure cooker as the heat source to achieve a temperature of ~121 °C, thereby ensuring

temperature stability and time efficiency. Any heating condition that is effective for FFPE sections

should also work for centimeter-scale FFPE specimens (e.g., using water baths at 95-99 °C), but their

effects on delipidation require further testing.

The choice of antigen retrieval solutions depends on the antibody being deployed. For example, EDTA

solutions are favorable for antibodies against phosphorylated tyrosine.[65,66] Generally, alkaline pH

solutions exhibit higher antigen retrieval efficiency, but they are also more tissue-destructive and result

in higher background signal compared to lower pH solutions.[41,65] In our study, we tested the addition

of 1% Tween 20 to two common antigen retrieval solutions, citrate buffer (pH 6.0) and Tris-EDTA

(pH 9.0), both of which yielded satisfactory staining results (Figure S14), demonstrating that HIF-

Clear facilitates the choice between acidic or alkaline antigen retrieval solutions based on antibody

preference without compromising the delipidation effect.

3.5. Staining strategies and Epoxy/hydrogel pre-treatments in HIF-Clear

In Figure 1B, we propose two staining strategies for samples with thicknesses less than 500 um and

greater than 1 mm: passive immunolabeling and active immunolabeling. In passive immunolabeling,

antibodies penetrate and reach their targets solely through diffusion, without any additional force. It

takes approximately two months to passively stain a whole mouse brain.[26,28] Compared to passive

immunolabeling, active immunolabeling uses an external force, such as pressure, electrophoresis, etc.,

to facilitate antibody penetration and therefore significantly speed up the staining process, reducing

the required staining time for a whole mouse brain to one day. However, the harsh conditions, such as

pressure and heat, caused by external forces might damage specimens. To protect specimens from the

harsh conditions caused by active staining, specimens could be strengthened by treatment with epoxy

or acrylamide monomer to form a tissue-epoxy or tissue-hydrogel hybrid.[29,31] Laboratories that do

not have adequate devices or handle small specimens could use passive immunolabeling instead and

skip the step of epoxy or hydrogel pretreatment.

3.6. Limitations

We acknowledge that there are some limitations to HIF-Clear application, which we wish to address.

Firstly, the FFPE tissues we tested in this study have been limited to mouse and human brain tissues

fixed under well-controlled conditions. Differences in tissue fixation procedures at different hospitals

and tissue banks may affect the clarity and immunostaining efficiency of dewaxed FFPE tissues. In

the demonstration of HIF-Clear to 3-month fixed specimens, we observed that pontine reticular nucleus

(Figure 3A, yellow arrowheads) lose TH-positive signals after long-term fixation. The fluorescence

intensity was more affected by fixation with formalin, which is methanol-stabilized and stronger, than

with PFA. The results indicate that a stronger antigen retrieval method may be a possible solution.

However, achieving the right balance between antigen retrieval efficiency and tissue integrity will

require additional testing and investigation. Secondly, HIF-Clear has not yet been extensively tested

on tissues other than the brain. Thus, its applicability and effectiveness for other tissues remain to be

established. Third, the size of the samples used in this study is mostly in the range of 2-3 cm^3. Larger

samples may require a prolonged incubation time and/or increased Tween 20 concentration. Fourth,

HIF-Clear is not compatible with endogenous fluorescence due to a reduction in fluorescence intensity

caused by xylene and alcohol used in paraffin processing. Researchers who need to directly observe

genetically encoded fluorescent proteins can utilize tissue-clearing methods such as 3DISCO, X-

CLARITY, CUBIC, etc., which have been shown to minimize the decrease in fluorescence intensity.

On the other hand, if researchers need to visualize transgenic fluorescent proteins along with other

biomarkers, they can use HIF-Clear for delipidation and boost-immunolabeling to visualize the

transgenic fluorescent proteins. Fifth, HIF-Clear+ may not be suitable for cases necessitating iterative

staining with the same antibody. In addition, the applicability of HIF-Clear+ to antibodies not tested

in the study requires further testing and validation. Finally, whether HIF-Clear-treated tissues retain

sufficient molecular features and structural integrity for omics analysis warrants verification. Further

research and testing will help to address these limitations and improve the applicability and

effectiveness of the HIF-Clear pipeline we present herein.

4. Conclusions

In conclusion, as a method enabling multi-round immunolabeling of centimeter-scale archived FFPE

specimens, HIF-Clear/HIF-Clear+ presents new possibilities for researching neural disease and could

serve as a powerful tool for neuronal circuitry analyses and pathology. Its integration with conventional

pathological procedures also renders it readily applicable in clinical settings. Through further

validation across various organs and testing of its compatibility with spatial omics techniques, HIF-

Clear could potentially catalyze broader and more comprehensive investigations in both the

fundamental and clinical research domains.

Materials and Methods

Experimental animals and human samples

We used 8-week-old CD-1 male mice to develop the HIF-Clear pipeline. All animal procedures and handling complied with guidelines from the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Academia Sinica (IACUC protocol No.: 12-05-370), Taiwan. Information on the astrocytoma and TBI mouse models is provided in the respective subsections below. The analyses involving human participants were reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Board of National Taiwan University Hospital (IRB No.: 202203079RINC), and all participants provided signed informed consent.

Astrocytoma animal model

All animal procedures and handling complied with guidelines from the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of National Tsing Hua University (IACUC protocol No.: 109067), Taiwan. ALTS1C1-GFP cells (1×10^5 cells) were implanted into the brains of 8-week-old C57BL/6 mice according to a previously published procedure.[67] In brief, 1×10^5 ALTS1C1-GFP cells were injected into the C57BL/6 mice under anesthesia at a depth of 2.5 mm and 2 mm lateral to the midline and 1 mm posterior to the bregma. After injection, bone wax (ETHICON, W810, Somerville, NJ, USA) was applied to seal the drilled hole. Eighteen days after tumor implantation, mice were sacrificed after cardiac perfusion.

TBI mouse model

All animal procedures and handling complied with guidelines from the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of National Tsing Hua University (IACUC protocol Number: 110081). We divided 7-week-old C57BL/6 female mice into four groups: (1) untreated (sham), (2) treated with a paracetamol-coated silk-based microneedle (sMN), (3) treated with alternating magnetic field-responsive NO-release octahedrons (aNORO@sMN), and (4) treated with aNORO@sMN and an alternating magnetic field (aNORO@sMN+AMF). To perform traumatic brain injury (TBI), we used an electric drill to

create a hole in the skull at the left motor cortex (M1 & M2) and used a 2-mm-diameter punch to cause

a 1.5-mm-deep injury. For all treatments except sham, the microneedle was implanted one day post-

injury to avoid excessive swelling in the injured area. For the aNORO@sMN and

aNORO@sMN+AMF groups, the NO-release octahedrons (aNORO) were embedded in a silk

microneedle (sMN). For the AMF-treated group, a magnetic field at a power of 3.2 kW and a frequency

of 1 MHz was applied for 5 min per day until the mice were sacrificed. Additional experimental details

are as described in Chan et al..[68] Mice were sacrificed 45 days after TBI induction.

Sample collection and preparation

For mouse brain samples, the mice were euthanized by injecting them with 0.2 mL of a 30% Urethane

saline solution. The euthanized mice were perfused with 20 mL of cold phosphate-buffered saline

(PBS) and 20 mL of 4% PFA. The collected brains were further fixed using 4% PFA at 4 °C for 24

hours. Human brain tissue specimens were surgically collected from the temporal lobe of patients and

fixed with 4% PFA at 4 °C for 24 hours. For paraffin embedding, fixed specimens were dehydrated

and processed in a tissue processor (Tissue-Tek VIP 5 Jr., Sakura Finetek Japan Co. Ltd., Japan) under

vacuum conditions and then embedded in paraffin wax.

SHIELD processing, SDS-electrophoretic delipidation and FLASH delipidation

PFA-fixed specimens were incubated in SHIELD-OFF solution at 4 °C for 96 hours, followed by

incubation for 24 hours in SHIELD-ON solution at 37 °C. All reagents were prepared using SHIELD

kits (LifeCanvas Technologies, Seoul, South Korea) according to the manufacturer's instructions. For

SDS-electrophoretic delipidation, SHIELD-processed specimens were placed in a stochastic electro-

transport machine (SmartClear Pro II, LifeCanvas Technologies, Seoul, South Korea) running at a

constant current of 1.2 A for 5-7 days. For FLASH delipidation, the SHIELD-processed specimens

were placed in FLASH reagent (4% w/v SDS, 200 mM borate) and then incubated at 54 °C for 18

hours.[47] The delipidated specimens were washed with PBST at room temperature for at least 1 day.

Evaluating the extent of delipidation

Serial 20-μm-thick cryosections were cut from mouse brain slices (2-mm thick) of various treatment

conditions for subsequent DiD or Oil red O staining. For DiD staining, cryosections (that were of

approximately 0-40 μm depth) were post-fixed with 4% PFA at room temperature for 5 minutes. The

sections were then rinsed with distilled water and immersed in PBST (PBS with 0.1% Triton X-100)

for 10 minutes to remove OCT. These sections were stained with 0.0025 uM DiD/PBST solution at

room temperature for 1 hour, before rinsing them with distilled water and washing with 1% SDS for

several seconds to remove excess DiD. The slides were mounted in 50% glycerol and imaged under a

Zeiss 780 confocal microscope using a 10× 0.75NA Plan-Apochromat objective (Zeiss). For Oil red

O staining, the sections (that were of approximately 40-80 μm depth) were post-fixed with a 4%

formal-calcium solution for 5 minutes and then rinsed with distilled water. The fixed sections were

pre-incubated in 60% isopropanol for 5 minutes and then stained with fresh Oil red O working solution

(0.3% Oil red O in 60% isopropanol) for 15 minutes. The sections were briefly washed with 60%

isopropanol and mounted in glycerine jelly. Images were acquired using a 3DHISTECH Pannoramic

250 slide scanner with a 40× 0.95NA Plan-Apochromat objective (Zeiss). Details of statistical analyses

are described in the *Quantification and Statistics* section below.

Comparison of brain region volume

Autofluorescence-channel imaging datasets of whole mouse brains were aligned to the BrainGlobe

Atlas API[53] using the aMAP tool.[69] The volume of each brain subregion was then obtained and

organized based on anatomical hierarchy using the Allen Brain Atlas. The 679 subregions were merged

into 78 brain regions at the same anatomical hierarchy as the hippocampus. The brain region volume

of right and left hemisphere of the FFPE-HIF-Clear mouse brain was compared and plotted using

GraphPad Prism 9.5.1 (GraphPad Software Inc., San Diego, CA, USA).

HIF-Clear pipeline

The HIF-Clear pipeline is illustrated in Figure 1C and described in detail below.

Deparaffination and rehydration. FFPE blocks were incubated at 65-70 °C to melt the paraffin.

Residual paraffin was removed through immersion in xylene for 24 hours, with the xylene being

changed at least twice. Dewaxed brains were rehydrated with 100%, 100%, 95%, 85%, 75%, and 55%

alcohol diluted with distilled water. The rehydrated brains were then washed with PBS.

Epoxy processing. Specimens were processed with commercialized SHIELD kit as described above.

Optimized antigen retrieval.

Specimens were incubated overnight at 37 °C in a modified citrate buffer (10 mM sodium citrate, 1%

Tween 20, pH 6.0). The specimens and buffer were then heated for 10 minutes at 121 °C in a pressure

cooker (Bio SB, Santa Barbara, CA, USA) and kept in the pressure cooker until the temperature

dropped below 100 °C (for approximately 15 minutes). Next, the specimens were removed from the

cooker and cooled for 10 minutes at room temperature. The specimens were then washed three times

in PBST, with each wash lasting 1 hour.

Electrophoretic immunolabeling (active staining). The procedure was modified from the previously

published eFLASH protocol[15] and was conducted in a SmartLabel System (LifeCanvas Technologies,

Seoul, South Korea). The specimens were preincubated overnight at room temperature in sample

buffer (240 mM Tris, 160 mM CAPS, 20% w/v D-sorbitol, 0.9% w/v sodium deoxycholate). Each

preincubated specimen was placed in a sample cup (provided by the manufacturer with the SmartLabel

System) containing primary, corresponding secondary antibodies and lectin diluted in 8 mL of sample

buffer. Information on antibodies, lectin and their optimized quantities is detailed in Supplementary

Table 1. The specimens in the sample cup and 500 mL of labeling buffer (240 mM Tris, 160 mM

CAPS, 20% w/v D-sorbitol, 0.2% w/v sodium deoxycholate) were loaded into the SmartLabel System.

The device was operated at a constant voltage of 90 V with a current limit of 400 mA. After 18 hours

of electrophoresis, 300 mL of booster solution (20% w/v D-sorbitol, 60 mM boric acid) was added,

and electrophoresis continued for 4 hours. During the labeling, the temperature inside the device was

kept at 25 °C. Labeled specimens were washed twice (3 hours per wash) with PTwH (1× PBS with

0.2% w/v Tween-20 and 10 μg/mL heparin),[23] and then post-fixed with 4% PFA at room temperature

for 1 day. Post-fixed specimens were washed twice (3 hours per wash) with PBST to remove any

residual PFA.

Refractive index (RI) matching. Before imaging, the specimens were RI-matched by being immersed

in NFC1 (RI = 1.47) and NFC2 (RI = 1.52) solutions (Nebulum, Taipei, Taiwan). Each immersion

lasted for one day at room temperature. Alternatively, RI-matching can also be accomplished by

immersing specimens in a 1:1 dilution of CUBIC-R[28] for one day, followed by pure CUBIC-R for an

additional day.

Volumetric imaging and 3D visualization. For centimeter-scale specimens, images were acquired using

a light-sheet microscope (SmartSPIM, LifeCanvas Technologies, Seoul, South Korea) with a 3.6x

customized immersion objective (NA = 0.2, working distance = 1.2 cm). For samples <3 mm thick,

imaging was performed using a multipoint confocal microscope (Andor Dragonfly 200, Oxford

Instruments, UK) with objectives that were UMPLFLN10XW (10x, NA = 0.3, working distance = 3.5

mm), UMPLFLN20XW (20x, NA = 0.5, working distance = 3.5 mm), UMPLFLN40XW (40x, NA =

0.8, working distance = 3.3 mm). 3D visualization was performed using Imaris software (Imaris 9.5.0,

Bitplane, Belfast, UK).

Photobleaching. Immunolabeled and imaged specimens were placed in a multi-well plate and

immersed in RI-matching solutions so they retained transparency. The plate was then sealed with

paraffin. A 100-W projection lamp with an LED array was placed on the plate to quench fluorescence

signals. A representative photobleaching apparatus constructed using off-the-shelf components is

shown in Supplementary Figure 10a. In our experience, approximately 18 hours photobleaching is

sufficient for a 2-mm-thick sample, whereas 3 days is required for a whole mouse brain (approximately

8 mm to 1 cm in thickness).

Raman microscopy

A Yb:KGW laser (Carbide, Light Conversion) emitting a 190 fs, 200 kHz, 20 W pulse train at a 1030

nm wavelength was used to generate a supercontinuum via a double-pass multiple-plate continuum

module. The resulting spectrum (600-1300 nm) was spectrally sliced by tunable color filters (3G

LVLWP, LVSWP, and LVFBP, Delta) to provide pump (725 nm) and Stokes (914 nm) beams, which

could address the Raman shift (2850 cm-1) of lipids. The two beams were temporally and spatially

overlapped, and then guided into a commercial upright microscope (Axio Examiner.Z1, Zeiss) with a

scanning unit (LSM7MP, Zeiss) to achieve raster scanning on the x-y plane. A single 20x water

immersion objective (UMPLFLN 20XW, Olympus) was used to focus the combined laser beams on

the mouse sample. Epi-CARS signal at 601 nm was spectrally separated from the incident radiation

with a bandpass filter (BP 565-610, Zeiss) and detected using a photomultiplier tube.

Multichannel image registration

For six-round immunolabeling of a single whole mouse brain, each dataset included one structural

reference channel stained with lectin and one antibody-stained channel. The first round of staining

served as the standard brain, with lectin channel images of each subsequent dataset being registered to

the lectin channel of the standard brain using the Elastix toolbox.[54] The transformation parameters

obtained from rigid and B-spline deformable registration of the lectin channels were then applied to

the antibody-stained channels. The transformed images were merged and visualized using Imaris

software. In the case of three-round immunolabeling of human specimens, the image datasets from the

three rounds were registered and resampled using Amira software (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham,

MA, USA), and then merged and visualized using Imaris software.

Expansion microscopy and ExPath

Expansion microscopy was performed using the proExM protocol.[58] A 1-mm-thick HIF-Clear-

processed FFPE mouse brain slice was incubated in anchoring solution (0.01% w/v methacrylic acid

N-hydroxysuccinimide ester in 1×PBS) at 4 °C for 24 hours. After anchoring, the sample was washed

twice (5 minutes each time) with PBS at room temperature and then rinsed twice (5 minutes each time)

in acrylamide monomer solution (2 M NaCl, 8.625% w/w sodium acrylate, 2.5% w/w acrylamide,

0.15% w/w N,N'-methylene-bisacrylamide in 1×PBS) at 4 °C. For gelation, the specimen was pre-

incubated in gelation solution (0.02% w/w ammonium persulfate (APS), 0.02% w/w tetramethyl-

ethylenediamine (TEMED), and 0.01% w/w 4-hydroxy-2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidin-1-oxyl (4-

hydroxy-TEMPO) in monomer solution) for 5 minutes at 4 °C, and then moved into fresh gelation

solution for an additional 25 minutes. The specimen in gelation solution was then transferred to a

humidified 37 °C incubator for 2 hours. The gel was then immersed in a digestion solution (8 units/ml

proteinase K, 50 mM Tris, 1 mM EDTA, 0.5% w/v Triton X-100, 1M NaCl, pH 8.0) at 37 °C for 4

hours. After digestion, the gel was immersed in an excess of deionized water for 0.5-2 hours to expand.

This step was repeated 3−5 times with fresh water until the size of the sample ceased to increase.

For the ExPath experiment, a 1-mm-thick FFPE mouse slice was dewaxed, rehydrated and underwent

the same anchoring and gelation steps as described above. The gel was digested in ExPath digestion

solution (8 units/ml proteinase K, 50 mM Tris, 25 mM EDTA, 0.5% w/v Triton X-100, 0.8M NaCl,

pH 8.0) at 60 °C for 3 hours.[59]

Post-expansion immunolabeling

Digested gels were washed twice (20 minutes each time) in PBS, and then incubated in a primary

antibody cocktail containing anti-SMI312 (Biolegend, 837904, 1:500 dilution), anti-MAP2 (Cell

Signaling Technology, #8707, 1:500 dilution), 2% v/v normal goat serum (Jackson ImmunoResearch,

005-000-121, 1:500 dilution), and 0.1% w/v Triton X-100 in 1×PBS at 4 °C for 24 hours. The gels

were then washed three times (20 minutes each time) in wash buffer (30 mM sodium chloride, 0.1%

w/v Triton X-100 in 1×PBS) and incubated in a secondary antibody cocktail containing Alexa Fluor®

647 AffiniPure goat anti-mouse IgG (Jackson ImmunoResearch, 115-605-003, 1:500 dilution),

Rhodamine Red™-X (RRX) AffiniPure goat anti-rabbit IgG (Jackson ImmunoResearch, 111-295-003,

1:500 dilution), 0.2% v/v normal goat serum, and 0.1% w/v Triton X-100 in 1×PBS at 4 °C for 24

hours. The antibody-labeled gels were washed three times (20 minutes each time) in wash buffer and

563 then placed in deionized water for expansion. For nuclei staining, propidium iodine was added to

564 deionized water at a final concentration of 1 μg/ml during expansion.

565 *Quantification and statistics*

566 To quantify the extent of delipidation (Figure 1A), DiD-stained sections were imaged at an excitation

567 wavelength of 642 nm. To quantify the fluorescence intensity, 10 regions of interest (ROI) of 0.8×0.8

568 mm were selected randomly within the cortex of each specimen. The gray (pixel) value of each ROI

569 was measured using Fiji,[70] Mean values and standard deviations (SD) were plotted using GraphPad

570 Prism 9.5.1 (GraphPad Software Inc., San Diego, CA, USA). The significance of the difference in

571 mean values was determined by means of one-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparison tests

572 at an α level = 0.05 (*$P < 0.05$; **$P < 0.01$; ***$P < 0.001$; ****$P < 0.0001$).

573 For the Raman signal quantification shown in Figure 1F, gray values of 18 Raman images (0.4×0.4

574 mm) at a different depth for each group were measured using Fiji. The mean values and SD were

575 determined, and the significance of differences were also calculated by means of one-way ANOVA

576 using GraphPad Prism as described above.

577 For the astrocyte-tumor distance analysis shown in Figure 7F, the astrocytes were segmented using the

578 SPOT module of Imaris based on a fluorecence intensity threshold and point spread function (PSF)

579 size definition. GFP-expressing tumors were segmented using the Surface module of Imaris. Distances

580 of all segments were calculated in Imaris.

581 To quantify dopaminergic regions in TBI mouse brains, the volume of the striatum and nigrostriatal

582 fiber tracts was calculated using the Surface module of Imaris, and cell number in the substantia nigra

583 was determined using the SPOT module. To demonstrate the similarity of quantification results from

584 FFPE-HIF-Clear and PFA-SDS brains, the quantification data for the injured side of each brain was

585 normalized according to the results for the corresponding contralateral side. Mean values and SD were

586 plotted using GraphPad Prism (N = 3 in Figure 7K and Supplementary Figure 9a). The significance of

the difference in mean values was determined by means of one-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple

comparison tests at an α level = 0.05 (*$P < 0.05$; **$P < 0.01$; ***$P < 0.001$; ****$P < 0.0001$).

To evaluate angiogenesis, we selected three ROIs (each of $0.6 \times 0.6 \times 0.6$ mm) close to the injury site.

The Surface module of Imaris was used to segment the blood vessels and calculate their total volume

and surface area. Their length and numbers of bifurcation points were calculated using Vessap.[71]

Erosion and dilation were performed to remove false-negative pixels and to avoid false centerline

detections. Next, the centerlines were extracted by means of a 3D thinning algorithm.[72] The

bifurcation points were detected using the surrounding pixels of each point to define a point that splits

into two or more vessels. Mean values, SD, and the significance of differences were calculated by

independent *t* test using GraphPad Prism.

Acknowledgements

We thank the Brain Research Center, National Tsing-Hua University, Taiwan and Pathology core, Institute of Biomedical Sciences, Academia Sinica, Taiwan for the technical assistance. This work was funded by the grants from National Science and Technology Council (NSTC-111-2636-B-007-007, NSTC-07-2314-B-007-003-MY3, NSTC-111-2321-B-002-016, MOST-110-2321-B-010-006). This work was also supported by the Brain Research Center under the Higher Education Sprout Project, co-funded by the Ministry of Education and the National Science and Technology Council in Taiwan.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Data Availability Statement

The raw data that support the findings of this study are available upon request.

References

1.   Rajput, A. H. & Rajput, A. Saskatchewan Movement Disorders Program. *Can. J. Neurol. Sci.* **42**, 74–87 (2015).

2.   Hernandez-Ronquillo, L. *et al.* The Concept of an Epilepsy Brain Bank. *Front. Neurol.* **11**, 553157 (2020).

3.   Latimer, C. S. *et al.* Protocol for the Systematic Fixation, Circuit-Based Sampling, and Qualitative and Quantitative Neuropathological Analysis of Human Brain Tissue. *Methods Mol. Biol.* **2561**, 3–30 (2023).

4.   Talari, K. & Goyal, M. Retrospective Studies – Utility and Caveats. *https://doi.org/10.4997/jrcpe.2020.409* **50**, 398–402 (2020).

5.   Jacobsen, S. B., Tfelt-Hansen, J., Smerup, M. H., Andersen, J. D. & Morling, N. Comparison of whole transcriptome sequencing of fresh, frozen, and formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded cardiac tissue. *PLoS One* **18**, e0283159 (2023).

6.	Zhao, L. *et al.* FACT-seq: profiling histone modifications in formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded samples with low cell numbers. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **49**, e125–e125 (2021).

7.	Zhong, J. *et al.* Enhanced and controlled chromatin extraction from FFPE tissues and the application to ChIP-seq. *BMC Genomics* **20**, 1–11 (2019).

8.	Fraggetta, F. *et al.* The Importance of eSlide Macro Images for Primary Diagnosis with Whole Slide Imaging. *J. Pathol. Inform.* **9**, 46–46 (2018).

9.	Kumar, N., Gupta, R. & Gupta, S. Whole Slide Imaging (WSI) in Pathology: Current Perspectives and Future Directions. *J. Digit. Imaging* **33**, 1034–1040 (2020).

10.	Patel, A. *et al.* Contemporary Whole Slide Imaging Devices and Their Applications within the Modern Pathology Department: A Selected Hardware Review. *J. Pathol. Inform.* **12**, 50 (2021).

11.	Richardson, D. S. & Lichtman, J. W. Clarifying Tissue Clearing. *Cell* **162**, 246–257 (2015).

12.	Ueda, H. R. *et al.* Tissue clearing and its applications in neuroscience. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* vol. 21 61–79 (2020).

13.	Gómez-Gaviro, M. V., Sanderson, D., Ripoll, J. & Desco, M. Biomedical applications of tissue clearing and three-dimensional imaging in health and disease. *iScience* **23**, 101432 (2020).

14.	Richardson, D. S. *et al.* TISSUE CLEARING. *Nat. Rev. Methods Prim.* **1**, (2021).

15.	Yun, D. H. *et al.* Ultrafast immunostaining of organ-scale tissues for scalable proteomic phenotyping. *bioRxiv* 660373 (2019) doi:10.1101/660373.

16.	Susaki, E. A. *et al.* Versatile whole-organ/body staining and imaging based on electrolyte-gel properties of biological tissues. *Nat. Commun. 2020 111* **11**, 1–22 (2020).

17.	Yau, C. N. *et al.* Principles of deep immunohistochemistry for 3D histology. (2023) doi:10.1016/j.crmeth.2023.100458.

18.	Conchello, J. A. & Lichtman, J. W. Optical sectioning microscopy. *Nat. Methods 2005 212* **2**, 920–931 (2005).

19.	Dodt, H. U. *et al.* Ultramicroscopy: Three-dimensional visualization of neuronal networks in the whole mouse brain. *Nat. Methods* **4**, 331–336 (2007).

20.	Reynaud, E. G., Kržič, U., Greger, K. & Stelzer, E. H. K. Light sheet-based fluorescence microscopy: More dimensions, more photons, and less photodamage. *HFSP J.* **2**, 266–275 (2008).

21.	Yu, T., Zhu, J., Li, D. & Zhu, D. Physical and chemical mechanisms of tissue optical clearing. *iScience* **24**, 102178 (2021).

22.	Ertürk, A. *et al.* Three-dimensional imaging of solvent-cleared organs using 3DISCO. *Nat. Protoc.* **7**, 1983–1995 (2012).

23.	Renier, N. *et al.* IDISCO: A simple, rapid method to immunolabel large tissue samples for volume imaging. *Cell* **159**, 896–910 (2014).

24.	Jing, D. *et al.* Tissue clearing of both hard and soft tissue organs with the pegasos method. *Cell Res.* **28**, 803–818 (2018).

25.	Hama, H. *et al.* Scale: a chemical approach for fluorescence imaging and reconstruction of transparent mouse brain. *Nat. Neurosci. 2011 1411* **14**, 1481–1488 (2011).

26.	Susaki, E. A. *et al.* Whole-brain imaging with single-cell resolution using chemical cocktails and computational analysis. *Cell* **157**, 726–739 (2014).

27.   Hama, H. *et al.* ScaleS: An optical clearing palette for biological imaging. *Nat. Neurosci.* **18**, 1518–1529 (2015).

28.   Tainaka, K. *et al.* Chemical Landscape for Tissue Clearing Based on Hydrophilic Reagents. *Cell Rep.* **24**, 2196-2210.e9 (2018).

29.   Chung, K. *et al.* Structural and molecular interrogation of intact biological systems. *Nature* **497**, 332–337 (2013).

30.   Murray, E. *et al.* Simple, Scalable Proteomic Imaging for High-Dimensional Profiling of Intact Systems. *Cell* **163**, 1500–1514 (2015).

31.   Park, Y.-G. *et al.* Protection of tissue physicochemical properties using polyfunctional crosslinkers. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **37**, 73–83 (2019).

32.   Cai, R. *et al.* Panoptic imaging of transparent mice reveals whole-body neuronal projections and skull–meninges connections. *Nat. Neurosci.* **22**, 317–327 (2019).

33.   Mai, H. *et al.* Whole-body cellular mapping in mouse using standard IgG antibodies. *Nat. Biotechnol. 2023* 1–11 (2023) doi:10.1038/s41587-023-01846-0.

34.   Zhao, S. *et al.* Cellular and Molecular Probing of Intact Human Organs. *Cell* **180**, 796-812.e19 (2020).

35.   Chen, Y. *et al.* Three-dimensional imaging and quantitative analysis in CLARITY processed breast cancer tissues. *Sci. Rep.* **9**, 1–13 (2019).

36.   Hughes, C., Gaunt, L., Brown, M., Clarke, N. W. & Gardner, P. Assessment of paraffin removal from prostate FFPE sections using transmission mode FTIR-FPA imaging. *Anal. Methods* **6**, 1028–1035 (2014).

37.   Lai, H. M. *et al.* Next generation histology methods for three-dimensional imaging of fresh and archival human brain tissues. *Nat. Commun. 2018 91* **9**, 1–12 (2018).

38.   Nojima, S. *et al.* CUBIC pathology: Three-dimensional imaging for pathological diagnosis. *Sci. Rep.* **7**, 1–14 (2017).

39.   Tanaka, N. *et al.* Whole-tissue biopsy phenotyping of three-dimensional tumours reveals patterns of cancer heterogeneity. *Nat. Biomed. Eng.* **1**, 796–806 (2017).

40.   Tanaka, N. *et al.* Mapping of the three-dimensional lymphatic microvasculature in bladder tumours using light-sheet microscopy. *Br. J. Cancer 2018 1187* **118**, 995–999 (2018).

41.   Shi, S.-R., Cote, R. J. & Taylor, C. R. Antigen Retrieval Techniques: Current Perspectives. *J. Histochem. Cytochem.* **49**, 931–937 (2001).

42.   Thavarajah, R., Mudimbaimannar, V. K., Elizabeth, J., Rao, U. K. & Ranganathan, K. Chemical and physical basics of routine formaldehyde fixation. *J. Oral Maxillofac. Pathol.* **16**, 400 (2012).

43.   Denti, V. *et al.* Antigen Retrieval and Its Effect on the MALDI-MSI of Lipids in Formalin-Fixed Paraffin-Embedded Tissue. *J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom.* **31**, 1619–1624 (2020).

44.   Robinson, J. M. & Vandré, D. D. Antigen retrieval in cells and tissues: Enhancement with sodium dodecyl sulfate. *Histochem. Cell Biol.* **116**, 119–130 (2001).

45.   Brown, D. *et al.* Antigen retrieval in cryostat tissue sections and cultured cells by treatment with sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS). *Histochem. Cell Biol.* **105**, 261–267 (1996).

46.   Woelfle, S. *et al.* CLARITY increases sensitivity and specificity of fluorescence immunostaining in long-term archived human brain tissue. *BMC Biol.* **21**, 1–28 (2023).

47.   Messal, H. A. *et al.* Antigen retrieval and clearing for whole-organ immunofluorescence by FLASH. *Nat. Protoc.* **16**, 239–262 (2021).

48.   Kim, S. W., Roh, J. & Park, C. S. Immunohistochemistry for Pathologists: Protocols, Pitfalls, and Tips. *J. Pathol. Transl. Med.* **50**, 411 (2016).

49.   Shi, S. R., Key, M. E. & Kalra, K. L. Antigen retrieval in formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tissues: an enhancement method for immunohistochemical staining based on microwave oven heating of tissue sections. *J. Histochem. Cytochem.* **39**, 741–748 (1991).

50.   Norton, A. J., Jordan, S. & Yeomans, P. Brief, high-temperature heat denaturation (pressure cooking): A simple and effective method of antigen retrieval for routinely processed tissues. *J. Pathol.* **173**, 371–379 (1994).

51.   Taylor, C. R. *et al.* Comparative study of antigen retrieval heating methods: microwave, microwave and pressure cooker, autoclave, and steamer. *Biotech. Histochem.* **71**, 263–270 (1996).

52.   Na, M. *et al.* Sodium Cholate-Based Active Delipidation for Rapid and Efficient Clearing and Immunostaining of Deep Biological Samples. *Small Methods* **6**, (2022).

53.   Claudi, F. *et al.* BrainGlobe Atlas API: a common interface for neuroanatomical atlases. *J. Open Source Softw.* **5**, 2668 (2020).

54.   Klein, S., Staring, M., Murphy, K., Viergever, M. A. & Pluim, J. P. W. elastix: a toolbox for intensity-based medical image registration. *IEEE Trans. Med. Imaging* **29**, 196–205 (2010).

55.   McLaughlin, I., Dani, J. A. & DeBiasi, M. The medial habenula and interpeduncular nucleus circuitry is critical in addiction, anxiety, and mood regulation. *J. Neurochem.* **142**, 130–143 (2017).

56.   Fakhoury, M. The dorsal diencephalic conduction system in reward processing: Spotlight on the anatomy and functions of the habenular complex. *Behav. Brain Res.* **348**, 115–126 (2018).

57.   Liebmann, T. *et al.* Three-Dimensional Study of Alzheimer's Disease Hallmarks Using the iDISCO Clearing Method. *Cell Rep.* **16**, 1138–1152 (2016).

58.   Jenkins, P. O. *et al.* Dopaminergic abnormalities following traumatic brain injury. *Brain* **141**, 797–810 (2018).

59.   Chen, F., Tillberg, P. W. & Boyden, E. S. Expansion microscopy. *Science (80-.).* **347**, 543–548 (2015).

60.   Tillberg, P. W. *et al.* Protein-retention expansion microscopy of cells and tissues labeled using standard fluorescent proteins and antibodies. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **34**, 987–992 (2016).

61.   Zhao, Y. *et al.* Nanoscale imaging of clinical specimens using pathology-optimized expansion microscopy. *Nat. Biotechnol. 2017 358* **35**, 757–764 (2017).

62.   Berg, D., Malinowsky, K., Reischauer, B., Wolff, C. & Becker, K. F. Use of formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded tissues for diagnosis and therapy in routine clinical settings. *Methods Mol. Biol.* **785**, 109–122 (2011).

63.   Steeghs, E. M. P. *et al.* Comprehensive routine diagnostic screening to identify predictive mutations, gene amplifications, and microsatellite instability in FFPE tumor material. *BMC Cancer* **20**, 1–15 (2020).

64.   Golub, V. M. *et al.* Neurostereology protocol for unbiased quantification of neuronal injury and neurodegeneration. *Front. Aging Neurosci.* **7**, 158767 (2015).

65.   Krenacs, L., Krenacs, T., Stelkovics, E. & Raffeld, M. Heat-Induced Antigen Retrieval for Immunohistochemical Reactions in Routinely Processed Paraffin Sections. *Methods Mol. Biol.* **588**, 103 (2010).

66.   Pileri, S. A. *et al.* Antigen retrieval techniques in immunohistochemistry: comparison of different methods. *J. Pathol* **183**, 116–123 (1997).

67.   Wang, S. C., Hong, J. H., Hsueh, C. & Chiang, C. S. Tumor-secreted SDF-1 promotes glioma invasiveness and TAM tropism toward hypoxia in a murine astrocytoma model. *Lab. Invest.* **92**, 151–162 (2012).

68.   Chan, Y. C. *et al.* In situ magnetoelectric generation of nitric oxide and electric stimulus for nerve therapy by wireless chargeable molybdenum carbide octahedrons. *Nano Today* **51**, 101935 (2023).

69.   Niedworok, C. J. *et al.* aMAP is a validated pipeline for registration and segmentation of high-resolution mouse brain data. *Nat. Commun. 2016 71* **7**, 1–9 (2016).

70.   Schindelin, J. *et al.* Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. *Nat. Methods 2012 97* **9**, 676–682 (2012).

71.   Todorov, M. I. *et al.* Machine learning analysis of whole mouse brain vasculature. *Nat. Methods 2020 174* **17**, 442–449 (2020).

72.   Lee, T. C., Kashyap, R. L. & Chu, C. N. Building Skeleton Models via 3-D Medial Surface Axis Thinning Algorithms. *CVGIP Graph. Model. Image Process.* **56**, 462–478 (1994).

Figure 1. Development of the HIF-Clear pipeline.

(**A**) Comparison of DiD signal intensity in 2-mm-thick slices of undelipidated formaldehyde-fixed mouse brain, PFA-SDS mouse brain, and FFPE mouse brain treated under various detergent conditions.

(**B**) Multi-point confocal fluorescence images of neuronal nuclei in the cortex (top row) and axons in the striatum (bottom row) from 2-mm-thick FFPE mouse brain slices that had been treated under various detergent conditions. Images of optical sections captured at a depth of 1 mm are shown. Detergent types and concentrations are indicated. NeuN, a neuronal nuclear marker; SMI312, a pan-axonal marker.

(**C**) Gross views of 2-mm-thick slices of FFPE mouse brain treated under various detergent conditions.

(**D**) Schematic of the HIF-Clear pipeline.

(**E**) Images of coherent anti-Stokes Raman scattering (CARS) of dewaxed FFPE mouse brain, HIF-Clear-processed FFPE mouse brain, and PFA-SDS mouse brain. The images were taken at a Raman shift of 2850 cm^{-1} to address the CH2 vibration frequency.

(**F**) Statistical analysis of the gray values for the three treatment groups in (E). Gray values for 18 z-continuous images of each group were analyzed.

Statistical analysis: (A) n = 10; (E) n = 18. Mean ± SD, * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$, **** $p < 0.0001$, one-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparison test.

A

B

Volume (μm³)

C **D** **E**

Figure 2. Validation of the HIF-Clear pipeline.
(**A**) Brain-wide tyrosine hydroxylase (TH) expression (left panel) and registration (right panel) in FFPE-HIF-Clear C57BL/6 mouse brains. Whole mouse brain images were acquired using light-sheet microscopy, and the 3D-rendered images of the horizontal (XY) and sagittal (YZ) views are shown. Major dopaminergic regions are indicated: SN (substantia nigra), VTA (ventral tegmental area), LRN (lateral reticular nucleus), and PRN (pontine reticular nucleus). The results of brain registration for these regions (marked with green dotted lines and numbered in the XY view) are displayed from the coronal (XZ) view in the right panel.
(**B**) Comparison of brain region volumes between the left and right hemispheres of an FFPE-HIF-Clear mouse brain. All abbreviations are listed in Supplementary Table 2.
(**C** to **E**) Application of HIF-Clear to a 15-year-old FFPE block with TH-immunolabeling and multi-point confocal imaging. Specimen thickness: 2 mm.
(**C**) The projection image.
(**D**) Magnification of the cyan-lined region in (C). Dopaminergic regions are indicated. PVT, paraventricular nucleus of thalamus; PH, posterior hypothalamic nucleus; PVp, periventricular hypothalamic nucleus.
(**E**) Magnification of the magenta-lined region in (D). Scale bars are indicated in each panel.

Figure 3. Application of HIF-Clear to long-term fixed mouse brain hemispheres.
Mouse brain hemispheres were fixed under various fixation conditions and then subjected to FFPE processing and HIF-Clear. The specimens were stained with TH antibodies and imaged with light-sheet microscopy.
(**A**) Projection images of the horizontal (XY) view. The magenta-lined regions indicating Nigrostriatal fiber tract, VTA, and LRN were magnified in (**B**), (**C**), and (**D**), respectively. The yellow arrowheads indicate PRN, which was clearly immunolabeled in the 4% PFA 24-hour-fixed specimen but not in the 3-month-fixed specimens.

Figure 4. Multi-round immunostaining of FFPE-HIF-Clear whole mouse brain (HIF-Clear+). Light-sheet microscopy imaging datasets of six-round immunolabeling performed on one whole FFPE mouse brain. Projection images of the horizontal (XY), sagittal (YZ), and transverse (XZ) views are shown. Projection images (200-μm thick) of magenta-lined and cyan-lined regions marked in the XY and XZ views are magnified and displayed within correspondingly colored frames. Scale bars are indicated in the first row.

Figure 5. Merged multichannel neuron circuitry image generated by HIF-Clear+.
(**A**) Merged multichannel image generated using the datasets from Fig. 3. Optical sections from the horizontal (XY) and sagittal (YZ) perspectives are presented. For the transverse (XZ) views, projection images of the regions encompassed by the dotted lines in the XY view are displayed. (**B**) The spatial relationship of the Hbn-IPN circuitry, dopaminergic (TH), serotoninergic (TPH2), and calbindin+ GABAergic neurons. Fluorescence (right) and segmented (left) images are shown. mHb, medial habenula; FR, fasciculus retroflexus; IPN, interpeduncular nucleus; SuM, medial mammillary area of the hypothalamus; DR, dorsal raphe nuclei.

Figure 6. Multi-round immunostaining of a FFPE-HIF-Clear human brain specimen.
Three-round immunolabeling was performed on a FFPE human brain specimen collected from a patient with cerebral hemorrhage. Images were acquired using multi-point confocal microscopy.
(**A**) 3D rendering of the entire specimen.
(**B**) Images depicting merged and single channels of an optical section from the selected area (white frame) in (A) at depths of 100 μm, 500 μm, and 900 μm. GFAP: Glial fibrillary acidic protein; SMI312: pan-axonal marker; MAP2: microtubule-associated protein 2 (a dendritic marker).

Figure 7. Applications of HIF-Clear in disease models.
(**A** to **F**) HIF-Clear reveals cell-tumor relationships in an astrocytoma model.
(**A**) Projection light-sheet image of a FFPE-HIF-Clear mouse brain with a GFP-expressing astrocytoma xenograft (ALTS1C1 cells, see Materials and Methods) stained for GFP (yellow) and GFAP (cyan).
(**B**) Segmented tumor (white) and surrounding astrocytes (colored). The astrocytes are colored according to the color-coded scale of cell-to-tumor distance.
(**C**) Magnification of the region marked in (A), showing astrocyte morphology.
(**D**) Sagittal view of the segmented tumor and color-coded astrocytes.
(**E**) Magnification of the clipping plane marked in (D, yellow dashed line), showing astrocytes inside (dark blue, purple) and outside (red, orange, yellow, green, cyan, blue) the tumor.
(**F**) Quantification of detected astrocytes and their classification according to distance to the tumor surface.
(**G to M**) HIF-Clear reveals brain damage and the therapeutic effect of alternating magnetic field-responsive NO-release octahedrons (aNORO) administered using a paracetamol-coated silk-based microneedle (sMN) in a TBI mouse model.
(**G to J**) Segmentation of dopaminergic regions in FFPE-HIF-Clear TBI mouse brains.
(**G**) Whole-brain projection light-sheet image focusing on TH-positive regions.
(**H**) Frontal view of the segmented striatum (dashed white line in (G)). Magenta: the injured side; cyan: the contralateral side.
(**I**) Horizontal views of the nigrostriatal fiber tract (paired white boxes in (G)); fluorescence (top) and segmented (bottom) images are shown. Magenta: the injured side; cyan: the contralateral side.
(**J**) Detection of dopaminergic cells in the substantia nigra (SN). Magnification of the marked area in (G), including the TH fluorescence signal (yellow) and segmented cells (cyan dots).
(**K**) Comparison of the therapeutic effects of different treatments. n = 3 (three indicators: striatum volume, nigrostriatal fiber tract volume, SN cell number), mean ± SD, * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$, **** $p < 0.0001$, one-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparison test.
(**L**) Reconstruction of blood vessels in TBI brains with or without treatments. The regions of interest (ROIs) selected to assess angiogenesis are indicated in the top row (magenta boxes, directly below the injury site). 3D reconstructions of blood vessels are shown in the bottom row.
(**M**) Quantification of blood vessel volume, blood vessel surface area, number of blood vessel branches, and blood vessel length. n = 3, mean ± SD have been plotted.

Figure 8. Expansion microscopy on a FFPE-HIF-Clear human brain specimen.
(**A**) Gross views of expansion of a 1-mm FFPE specimen.
(**B**) Fluorescence images of a FFPE human brain pre- (top row) and post-expansion (bottom row).
Left: merged images of multiplexed staining; right: fluorescence images of SMI312 staining.
(**C**) Profiles of axon signal intensity taken along the white lines shown in the images of the right
panel in (B). The scale bar has been divided by the expansion factor.