Convergent remodeling of the gut microbiome is associated with host energetic

condition over long-distance migration

Authors:

Brian K. Trevelline*[1,2], Daniel Sprockett[2], William V. DeLuca[3], Catherine R. Andreadis[2,4],

Andrew H. Moeller[†2], and Christopher Tonra[†5]

Affiliations:

[1] Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, USA

[2] Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, USA

[3] Science Division, National Audubon Society, New York, NY, USA

[4] University of Notre Dame, Department of Biological Sciences, South Bend, IN, USA

[5] School of Environment and Natural Resources, The Ohio State University, Columbus,

OH, USA

[†] Co-senior authors

* Correspondence to: brian.trevelline@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

The gut microbiome can be thought of as a 'forgotten organ', owing to its profound effects

on host phenotypes. Long-distance migratory birds are capable of adaptively modulating

their physiology, raising the hypothesis that the microbiome of migratory birds may

undergo a parallel remodeling process that helps to meet the energetic demands of long-

distance migration. To test this hypothesis, we investigated changes in gut microbiome

composition and function over the fall migration of a Neotropical-Nearctic migratory

Blackpoll Warbler (*Setophaga striata*), which exhibits one of the longest known autumnal

migratory routes of any songbird and rapidly undergoes extensive physiological

remodeling during migration. Overall, our results showed that the Blackpoll warbler

microbiome differed significantly across phases of fall migration. This pattern was driven

by a dramatic increase in the relative abundance of Proteobacteria, and more specifically

a single ASV belonging to the family Enterobacteriaceae. Further, blackpolls exhibited a

progressive reduction in microbiome phylogenetic diversity and within-group variances

over migration, indicating convergence of microbiome composition among individuals

during long-distance migration. Metagenomic analysis revealed that the gut microbiome

of staging blackpolls was enriched in bacterial pathways involved in vitamin, amino acid,

and fatty acid biosynthesis, as well as carbohydrate metabolism, and that these pathways

were in turn positively associated with host body mass and subcutaneous fat deposits.

Together, these results provide evidence that the gut microbiome of migratory birds may

undergo adaptive remodeling to meet the physiological and energetic demands of long-

distance migration.

INTRODUCTION

The microbial communities of the intestinal tract, known as the gut microbiome, can profoundly influence animal phenotypes through a myriad of effects on host physiology (McFall-Ngai et al., 2013). The gut microbiome is shaped by a variety of extrinsic environmental and intrinsic host factors (Ley et al., 2008a; Muegge et al., 2011; Rothschild et al., 2018a), which can influence host phenotypes through their effects on microbiome functions (Cho & Blaser, 2012; Clemente et al., 2012). However, the vast majority of research on the effect of the gut microbiome on host phenotypes has been derived from humans and model organisms under highly static conditions (Colston & Jackson, 2016; Pascoe et al., 2017). We now recognize that there is immense variation in host microbiota both within and between individuals, across habitats, and through time, and that these differences may reflect differences in host adaptive capacity and fitness in nature (Alberdi et al., 2016; Suzuki, 2017). Therefore, understanding the relationship between the gut microbiome and host phenotypes through space and time is essential to our understanding of wild vertebrate ecology and evolution (Moran et al., 2019; Trevelline, Fontaine, et al., 2019).

Animals faced with extreme energetic or physiological challenges are ideal natural models for investigating potentially adaptive microbiome-mediated host phenotypes. The gut microbiome of wild vertebrates can confer the ability for their hosts to tolerate seasonal temperature extremes (Fontaine et al., 2022), consume diets which would otherwise be toxic (Kohl et al., 2014, 2016), resist parasitic infections (Knutie et al., 2017), and balance nitrogen during fasting (Wiebler et al., 2018). Further, seasonal differences in host diet can trigger the remodeling of gut microbiome in a way that influences host

phenotypes such as intestinal epithelial structure, nitrogen balance, immune function, and

metabolism (Carey & Assadi-Porter, 2017). Many of these microbiome-mediated host

phenotypes could influence vertebrate fitness in the wild, and thus adaptive microbiome

functions may be favored by natural selection (Fontaine & Kohl, 2020; Groussin et al.,

2017; Henry et al., 2021; Ley et al., 2008b; Lynch & Hsiao, 2019; Zilber-Rosenberg &

Rosenberg, 2008).

Neotropical-Nearctic migratory birds annually complete some of the most

energetically-demanding long-distance movements in the animal kingdom and can

adaptively modulate aspects of their physiology to meet the extreme energetic demands

of migration (McWilliams & Karasov, 2001). At the conclusion of a months-long stationary

breeding period, migrants begin depositing protein (in the form of muscle and organ

tissue) and fat, which provide the primary source of metabolic energy for long-distance

migration (Jenni & Jenni-Eiermann, 1998). In general, migration is initially characterized

by the depletion of these energy reserves during short overnight flights followed by

daytime 'refueling' of subcutaneous fat stores and recovery of muscle and organ tissue

at intermediate "stopover" locations (McWilliams & Karasov, 2001; Schwilch et al.,

2002a). Nearctic-neotropical migrants repeat this depletion-recovery cycle of energy

reserves at stopover sites until they reach a natural barrier (*e.g.,* ocean, desert) where

they are faced with the extreme challenge of a multi-day, non-stop flight over thousands

of kilometers without food, water, or rest. To overcome this energetic challenge, some

migrants undergo a weeks-long form of stopover known as 'staging' – a period

characterized by extreme hyperphagia, increased muscle and digestive system mass,

and a rapid accumulation of subcutaneous fat stores in the weeks prior to long bouts of

flight (Warnock, 2010). The ability to adaptively modulate phenotypes that enhance

migratory performance is a unifying characteristic among migratory birds (McWilliams &

Karasov, 2001; Piersma, 1998), and therefore is considered a key adaptation in the

evolution of the migration (Alerstam et al., 2003).

The gut microbiome has been referred to as the 'forgotten organ', owing to its

profound effects on host physiology (O'Hara & Shanahan, 2006). This raises the

possibility that, like other organs, the microbiome of migratory birds undergoes a

potentially adaptive remodeling that helps to meet the energetic demands of long-

distance migration. While limited to just a few descriptive studies, previous work has

demonstrated that gut microbiome community composition of migratory birds differs

between breeding and wintering habitats (Skeen et al., 2021; Wu et al., 2018), and is

responsive to the timing and duration of migratory stopover (Lewis et al., 2016, 2017; Thie

et al., 2022). Further, these studies have demonstrated that migration can lead to the

enrichment of certain microbial taxa (e.g., Corynebacteria and Proteobacteria; Risely et

al., 2017, 2018; Skeen et al., 2021; Turjeman et al., 2020). Importantly, the functional

capacity of the microbiome is directly related to its composition (Burke et al., 2011), and

thus these findings suggest that the demands of migration exert strong selective

pressures that could potentially lead to an adaptive enrichment of microbiome functions

that help the host overcome the extreme energetic demands of long-distance migration.

However, whether variation in microbiome composition over space and time is associated

with the enrichment of microbial functions that could enhance host energy metabolism

remains unknown.

In this study, we investigated changes in gut microbiome composition and function

over the fall migration of a Neotropical-Nearctic migratory passerine, the Blackpoll

Warbler (*Setophaga striata*; hereafter blackpolls). Each fall, blackpolls complete one of

the longest known migratory routes of any songbird (~8,000 km), from breeding sites in

Western Canada to wintering habitats in northern South America (Fig. S1; DeLuca et al.,

2015, 2020). Similar to other species of migratory songbirds, blackpoll migration begins

following a months-long stationary breeding phase and is initially characterized by short

overnight flights to inland stopover sites where they recuperate their energy reserves

(DeLuca et al., 2020; Morris et al., 2016). This process is repeated over a period of several

months as blackpolls progress southeast across North America to the Atlantic Ocean,

where they are faced with a non-stop transoceanic migration (up to ~3,500 km/~80 hours)

to wintering sites in South America (DeLuca et al., 2015, 2020; Williams et al., 1978). To

successfully complete this energetically-demanding feat, blackpolls along the Atlantic

coast undergo a weeks-long process known as pre-migration staging characterized by an

extensive physiological transformation that includes a rapid increase in body mass and

subcutaneous fat deposits (DeLuca et al., 2020), which are important metrics of energetic

condition in blackpolls (Smetzer et al., 2017). To test the hypothesis that the gut

microbiome of can be adaptively remodeled to meet the demands of migration, we

characterized changes in gut microbiome composition and function from fecal samples

collected at multiple sites within each distinct phase of the Blackpoll warbler's North

American fall migratory route. We predicted that the gut microbiome of staging blackpolls

would be enriched in microbial pathways that could enhance blackpoll energy metabolism

during long-distance migration, and that these pathways would be associated with metrics

of their energetic condition.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sample and data collection

In collaboration with several research groups and avian monitoring stations across North

America, blackpoll fecal samples were collected for microbiome analysis at multiple

locations within three distinct phases of their fall migration: breeding, migratory stopover,

and pre-migration staging (Figure S1; Supplemental Data 1). Fecal samples collected

from breeding blackpolls in Western Canada were categorized as "breeding" (Yukon and

British Columbia). Blackpoll fecal samples collected at inland sites (>100 km from the

Atlantic coast) were categorized as "stopover" (Ohio, Pennsylvania, and Maryland, USA),

whereas those collected along the US Atlantic coast (New Jersey, Massachusetts, and

Rhode Island, USA) were categorized as "staging" (DeLuca et al., 2015; Morris et al.,

2016; Warnock, 2010). Captured blackpolls were placed in a clean paper bag for 10

minutes to facilitate defecation and fecal samples were transferred to vials of Queen's

lysis buffer using sterile swabs. All fecal samples were kept frozen at -20ºC in the field for

less than one month before long-term laboratory storage at -80ºC. Each sampled

blackpoll was aged, sexed, weighed, and measured for subcutaneous fat deposits

(scored 0-8) and wing chord. To assess energetic condition while controlling for structural

size, as measured by wing chord, we calculated a Scaled Mass Index (SMI) following

Peig & Green (2009).

16S rRNA amplicon profiling

DNA was extracted from 130 blackpoll fecal samples using the Qiagen PowerFecal DNA

Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany; 12830) before amplification and sequencing by the

Genome Research Core of the University of Illinois at Chicago as previously described

(Trevelline, MacLeod, et al., 2019). Briefly, polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was used

to amplify a portion of the bacterial 16S rRNA gene for Illumina sequencing using the

Earth Microbiome Project primers 515F (GTGCCAGCMGCCGCGGTAA) and 806R

(GGACTACNVGGGTWTCTAAT) targeting the V4 region of microbial small subunit

ribosomal RNA gene (Caporaso et al., 2011). Amplicon libraries were sequenced using

a 2x251 paired-end run on an Illumina MiSeq. Additionally, we also sequenced 2 lysis

buffer controls and 10 'blank' extractions to control for microbial DNA found in commercial

extraction kits (Salter et al., 2014). A total of 4,582,950 raw Illumina sequencing reads

(mean of 32,274 per sample [n = 142] ± 3679 SE) were trimmed, paired, and quality

filtered via the DADA2 pipeline (Callahan et al., 2016) in QIIME 2 (version 2021.11; Bolyen

et al., 2019) using default parameters. Sequences that passed the quality filter were

denoised into 1,774 amplicon sequence variants (ASVs), which were identified using the

SILVA reference database (release 138; Quast et al., 2013). Identified ASVs were filtered

to exclude sequences of non-bacterial origin (archaea, plants, eukaryotes, and

mitochondria) before being passed to the R package *Decontam* (Davis et al., 2018) for

the statistical identification and removal of 10 contaminant ASVs found in both control

extractions and blackpoll fecal samples, reducing the total number of reads to 1,384,384

(mean of 10,987 per sample [n = 126] ± 1,200 SE) and 1,394 ASVs. We rarefied ASV

tables to 273 sequences per sample (excluding 15 samples) before comparisons of alpha

and beta diversity in QIIME 2. We used unrarefied ASV tables (excluding the 15 samples

that failed to meet the rarefaction threshold) for analysis of taxonomic composition and

downstream differential abundance testing (McMurdie & Holmes, 2014).

Shotgun metagenomics

Extracted fecal DNA from breeding (Yukon Territory and British Columbia; n = 8) and

staging blackpolls (Block Island; n = 12) were sequenced by CoreBiome, Inc. (St. Paul,

MN) using shotgun metagenomics (BoosterShot™). Briefly, sequencing libraries were

prepared using a procedure adapted from the Illumina Nextera Library Prep Kit (Illumina,

20018705) and sequenced on an Illumina NovaSeq using single-end 1x100 reads with

the Illumina NovaSeq SP reagent kit (Illumina, 20027464). A total of 115,289,944 raw

sequence reads (mean of 5,764,497 per sample (n = 20) ± 1,117,040 SE) were filtered

for low quality (Q-Score < 20), trimmed of adapter sequences using cutadapt (version

2.10). To reduce the possibility of DNA contamination from hosts, reads were then

mapped against the Yellow-rumped warbler genome (*Setophaga coronata coronata*;

assembly mywa_2.1), the closest relative of the Blackpoll warbler with a fully sequenced

genome, as well as the human genome (assembly GRCh38.p13) using bowtie2 (version

2.4.3). Reads that did not align to either genome were profiled for microbial functions

using HUMAnN (version 3.0) and the UniRef50 database.

Statistical analysis

Differences in metrics of microbiome alpha diversity (Faith's phylogenetic diversity and

ASV richness) were assessed using the non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test with False

Discovery Rate (FDR) correction in QIIME 2. Principal Coordinates Analysis (PCoA) using

unweighted and weighted UniFrac distances (Lozupone & Knight, 2005) were used to

test for differences microbiome community membership and structure across migration

phases using permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) and

permutational analysis of dispersion (PERMDISP) in QIIME 2. Differences in the relative

abundance of bacterial taxa across migration phases and metrics of avian body condition

(SMI and fat scores) were tested in MaAsLin2 (analysis_method = "CPLM") with age and

sex as random effects and FDR corrections on variance-stabilized transformed data using

arcsin(relative abundance$^{0.5}$) (Mallick et al., 2021). Significant associations between

migration phase, SMI, and fat score with HUMAnN 3.0 gene pathway abundances were

identified using MaAsLin2 with negative binomial model (minimum prevalence = 0.5) and

FDR corrections on cumulative sum scaled data. For all statistical analyses, P/Q-values

≤ 0.05 were defined as 'significant'.

RESULTS

Blackpoll gut microbiome community composition and structure

We first investigated how blackpoll gut microbiota differed across three distinct phases of

fall migration: breeding (n = 16), stopover (n = 55), and pre-migration staging (n = 40).

For metrics of microbiome alpha diversity, there was a significant reduction in Faith's

Phylogenetic Diversity across phases of blackpoll migration (F = 14.30, P < 0.001; Fig.

1a). There were no differences in ASV richness across phases (F = 0.23, P = 0.890; Fig.

1b). For metrics of microbiome beta diversity, there were significant differences in both

gut microbiome community structure (PERMANOVA pseudo-F = 7.35, P = 0.001; Fig. 2)

and membership (PERMANOVA pseudo-F = 4.07, P = 0.001; Fig. S2) across phases of

migration. Further, we also observed a progressive reduction of within-group microbiota

dissimilarities (beta-dispersion) across migration phases for both microbiome community

structure (PERMDISP F = 17.61, P = 0.001; Fig. 2c) and membership (PERMDISP; F =

7.68, P = 0.006; Fig. S2c), indicating an overall reduction of inter-individual microbiome

variation as blackpolls migrate from breeding to staging habitats.

Across all stages of migration, the blackpoll warbler microbiome was dominated

by taxa in the phylum Proteobacteria (96%), followed by Firmicutes (3%) and 19 others

with total relative abundances less than 1% (Fig. 3a; Supplemental Data S2). For phyla

with relative abundances greater than 1%, Proteobacteria progressively increased as

blackpolls migrated from breeding to coastal staging sites (Fig. 4a), while Firmicutes

progressively decreased (Fig. 4b; Supplemental Data S2). At the family level, the

blackpoll gut microbiome was dominated by three families of Proteobacteria:

Enterobacteriaceae (54%), Yersiniaceae (23%), and Morganellaceae (9%; Fig. 3b;

Supplemental Data S2). The most dominant bacterial family, Enterobacteriaceae (phylum

Proteobacteria), progressively increased as blackpolls migrated to staging sites (Fig. 4c),

and this pattern was almost entirely driven by a single ASV that could not be identified

beyond the family level (Fig. 4d). There was no significant association between metrics

of host body condition (SMI and fat score) with the relative abundance of bacterial phyla,

families, or ASVs.

Blackpoll gut microbiome function

Next, we used metagenomics to characterize differences in microbiome function between

a subset of breeding (n=8) and staging (n=12) blackpolls. Our approach revealed a

significant enrichment of 34 MetaCyc pathways between breeding and staging blackpolls

(Fig. 5; Supplemental Data S3). The gut microbiome of staging blackpolls was enriched

in a diversity of bacterial pathways including those involved in vitamin, amino acid, and

fatty acid biosynthesis, as well as nucleoside/nucleotide cycling. Staging blackpolls were

also enriched in carbohydrate degradation and homolactic fermentation of carbohydrates

to the short-chain fatty acid lactate. In contrast, breeding blackpolls were primarily

enriched in bacterial metabolic pathways involved in nucleotide degradation and

polyprenoid biosynthesis.

Lastly, we investigated whether bacterial pathways were associated with host body

condition (SMI) and subcutaneous fat deposits. SMI and fat score were significantly

associated with a total of 36 unique bacterial metabolic pathways (Fig. 6). SMI was

positively associated with the enrichment of 16 pathways, primarily those involved in

bacterial carbohydrate metabolism, as well as vitamin and amino acid biosynthesis (Fig.

6a). In contrast, fat scores were associated with the enrichment of 15 pathways, 3 of

which were also positively associated with SMI: proline biosynthesis, sugar biosynthesis,

and glycolysis (Fig. 6b).

DISCUSSION

In this study, we demonstrated that the Blackpoll warbler gut microbiome undergoes a

dramatic and potentially adaptive remodeling during long-distance migration. Specifically,

we showed that blackpoll gut microbiota exhibited a progressive reduction in phylogenetic

diversity and within-group variability, as well as significant differences in composition

across three distinct phases of migration. Notably, these patterns were driven by a

significant increase in the relative abundance of Proteobacteria, and more specifically a

single ASV belonging to the family Enterobacteriaceae. Metagenomic analysis revealed

that the gut microbiome of staging blackpolls was enriched in several bacterial pathways

with potential relevance for the metabolic needs of migrating blackpolls. Indeed, we found

significant associations between several of these bacterial pathways and important

indicators of energetic condition and migratory performance in blackpolls. Together, these

results support the hypothesis that the gut microbiota of migratory birds is adaptively

remodeled to meet the physiological and energetic demands of long-distance migration.

Remodeling of microbiome community composition

We observed robust and significant response of the blackpoll warbler gut microbiota

across phases of migration. While the dominance of Proteobacteria in the Blackpoll

warbler gut microbiome is generally consistent with previous descriptions of wild

passerine gut microbiota (Bodawatta et al., 2021; Grond et al., 2018), there were dramatic

differences in microbiome community composition over phases of blackpoll migration.

Consistent with previous studies showing that that migrating birds exhibit reduced gut

microbial diversity (Risely et al., 2018; Skeen et al., 2021), blackpoll gut microbiota

exhibited a progressive reduction in gut microbial phylogenetic diversity (but not ASV

richness) from breeding sites in Western Canada to staging sites along the US Atlantic

coast (Fig. 1). Moreover, blackpoll microbiome community membership (Fig. 2) and

structure (Fig. S2) were distinct across migration phases, and were characterized by a

progressive reduction in within-group variability across phases of migration. These

findings suggest that the challenges imposed by long-distance migration may result in a

convergence of microbiome composition mediated by natural selection favoring specific

microbial lineages. Supporting this conclusion, differences in blackpoll gut microbial

community composition were driven by dramatic shifts in the relative abundance of

bacterial phyla belonging to Proteobacteria and Firmicutes among blackpolls at stopover

and staging sites (Fig. 3). The increase in the relative abundance of Proteobacteria across

phases of blackpoll migration was driven by a single ASV that belonged to the family

Enterobacteriaceae (Fig. 3f), but whose species-level identification and function are

unknown. The consistency across individuals with which this ASV came to dominate the

microbiota in staging birds is consistent with the possibility that this ASV may harbor

functions important for host migration performance. Notably, an increased relative

abundance of Proteobacteria among migrating individuals was also observed in Kirtland's

warbler (*Setophaga kirtlandii*), a species closely related to the Blackpoll warbler (Skeen

et al., 2021), suggesting that similar host factors (*e.g.,* diet) during migration may result

in convergent shifts in gut microbiota (Muegge et al., 2011). In contrast, our results differ

from previous studies in *Calidris* shorebirds showing that migratory individuals were

enriched in the bacterial family Corynebacteriaceae (phylum Actinobacteria; Risely et al.,

2017, 2018), as the relative abundance of this family in blackpolls did not differ across

migration phases. Cumulatively, these results suggest that microbiome remodeling may

be a widespread phenomenon among migratory birds, but that the adaptive enrichment

of potentially beneficial microbiota may be lineage specific.

Enrichment of potentially adaptive microbiome functions

Compared to breeding individuals, the gut microbiome of staging blackpolls was enriched in 26 bacterial pathways, predominantly those involved in the bacterial biosynthesis of amino acids, vitamins, and lipids – all of which have been identified as important biomolecules for migrating birds (Jenni & Jenni-Eiermann, 1998; Klaassen, 1996). For example, staging migratory birds have been shown to accumulate fat-free mass in the form of muscle and certain organ tissues (Lindström & Piersma, 1993; Piersma, 1990), which can be catabolized (along with fat and glucose) as a source of energy during migration (Jenni & Jenni-Eiermann, 1998). Migrating birds primarily catabolize protein from pectoral muscle, digestive organs, and the gastrointestinal tract (Battley et al., 2000; Bauchinger & Biebach, 2001; Biebach, 1998; Jenni & Jenni-Eiermann, 1998; Schwilch et al., 2002b), leading to corresponding reductions in organ mass and function that must be recovered during stopover (Karasov & Pinshow, 2000; Muñoz-Garcia et al., 2012). The availability of plasma amino acids is the limiting factor in protein synthesis in birds (Scanes & Dridi, 2022) and previous work has shown that microbial amino acid synthesis can contribute to the host plasma amino acid pool (Metges, 2000). Alternatively, birds can also synthesize glucose from plasma amino acids via gluconeogenesis, providing an additional source of energy in fasting birds (Scanes & Dridi, 2022). In this study, the gut microbiome of staging blackpolls was enriched in the biosynthesis of several essential amino acids identified as critical for tissue growth in birds (Scanes & Dridi, 2022), and these pathways were also significantly associated with host body mass and subcutaneous fat deposits. While there are several other factors that mediate amino acid availability in migratory birds (e.g., Guglielmo, 2010), these results suggest the possibility

that the microbiome of staging blackpolls provide a supplementary source of amino acids

that can be used to rebuild catabolized digestive organs, which must be fully functional in

order to digest and assimilate dietary nutrients during fall migration (McWilliams &

Karasov, 2001). This hypothesis is supported by the positive association of several amino

acid biosynthesis pathways and host body mass (Fig. 5).

The gut microbiome of staging blackpolls was also enriched in the biosynthesis of

several B vitamins, most notably three separate pathways producing thiamine (Fig. 4).

Thiamine (vitamin B1) is known to promote immune performance in vertebrates (Kumar

& Axelrod, 1978), and thiamine deficiencies have been linked to disease susceptibility in

wild birds (Balk et al., 2009). The energetic challenges of long-distance migration have

been shown to reduce several metrics of immune performance in birds (Owen & Moore,

2006), raising the possibility that the enrichment of thiamine biosynthesis pathways

among staging blackpolls is an adaptive property of the their microbiome that helps to

maintain immune function under periods of intense metabolic demand.

Lastly, the gut microbiome of staging blackpolls was enriched in several bacterial

pathways involved in cell membrane phospholipid biosynthesis. Phospholipids are readily

digested and absorbed in the avian intestinal tract before being transported to the liver,

where they are metabolized into triglycerides – the primary form of stored fat and the

primary fuel source for long-distance migration in birds (Price, 2010). While it is tempting

to speculate that microbial synthesized phospholipids could help facilitate fat storage in

staging blackpolls, experimental evidence has demonstrated that dietary phospholipid

content has no effect on body mass of migratory birds (Cerasale & Guglielmo, 2006).

Regardless, the overall enrichment of microbial pathways with plausible contributions to

migratory physiology among staging blackpolls supports the hypothesis that the

remodeling of blackpoll gut microbiome confers adaptive advantages to hosts facing the

energetic challenges of migration.

Potential mechanisms

The remodeling of the gut microbiome among migrating blackpolls could be triggered by

seasonal frugivory during migratory stopover. Many species of migratory birds (including

blackpolls) shift from a protein-rich insectivorous diet during breeding to a carbohydrate-

rich frugivorous diet during migration (Bairlein & Gwinner, 1994). The gut microbiome

responds rapidly to changes in carbohydrate consumption (David et al., 2014; Smits et

al., 2017), and recent work in bats provides evidence that fruit consumption can lead to

the functional enrichment of carbohydrate degradation pathways (Ingala et al., 2021). In

our study, staging blackpolls were enriched in bacterial metabolic pathways involved in

sugar degradation, homolactic fermentation, and glycolysis, indicating that the gut

microbiome of staging blackpolls may be specifically adapted to a fruit-based diet rich in

dietary carbohydrates. Notably, the gut microbiome of staging blackpolls was enriched in

the fermentation of fructose to the short-chain fatty acid lactate, which is readily absorbed

in the avian intestine and essential to hepatic gluconeogenesis (Scanes & Dridi, 2022).

Further, the association of host body condition with bacterial carbohydrate metabolism

(sugar biosynthesis and glycolysis) suggest that these pathways may facilitate energy

storage in migrating blackpolls (Fig. 5). While seasonal frugivory is thought to provide

important source of carbohydrates for migratory birds, these fruits are comparatively low

in protein (known as the "frugivory paradox"; Bairlein, 2002), which is necessary to rebuild

catabolized digestive organs during stopover (Muñoz-Garcia et al., 2012). As noted

above, the gut microbiome of staging blackpolls was enriched in several amino acids

synthesis pathways, raising the possibility that gut microbiota may help meet the

nutritional constraints of their diet during stopover and staging. Overall, these results

suggest that the widespread consumption of carbohydrate-rich fruit among migratory

birds could represent an additional adaptive response to the energetic demands of

migration, but further study of how diet and microbiota covary across several migratory

species is necessary.

Digestive systems are energetically-expensive to maintain, and previous work has

established that phenotypic flexibility is an important mechanism by which migratory birds

adaptively reduce certain digestive functions to help meet the energetic demands of long-

distance flight (McWilliams & Karasov, 2001). Our results suggest that this paradigm can

be extended to the gut microbiome, which we demonstrate exhibits remarkable flexibility

in composition leading to several potentially adaptive functions during blackpoll migration.

For instance, the enrichment of genes involved in bacterial protein and lipid metabolism

over migration is consistent with the hypothesis that the gut microbiome acts as a

phenotypically flexible trait that liberates the migratory birds from the energetic burden of

maintaining these costly digestive processes. The proximate mechanisms driving the

enrichment of these pathways could be initiated by changes in host diet and exposure to

environmental microbiota, which are known to strongly shape the gut microbiome of

migrants, and birds in general (Bodawatta et al., 2021; Grond et al., 2018). However,

experimental techniques such as fecal microbiome transplants into gnotobiotic animal

models (e.g., Sommer et al., 2016; Trevelline & Kohl, 2022) are necessary to definitively

establish the functional effects of particular microbial taxa on host digestive physiology
and migration performance.

Conclusions

Overall, our study provides substantial evidence that the gut microbiome of a long-
distance migratory bird undergoes a compositional and functional remodeling over fall
migration. Whereas previous work on migrants has focused on compositional differences
across just a few sites, we coordinated with researchers and avian monitoring stations to
show that potentially important microbiome functions are enriched across several staging
locations. These findings not only build upon numerous descriptive studies showing
immense variation in gut microbiota among migratory birds (Bodawatta et al., 2021;
Grond et al., 2018), but also contribute to a growing body of evidence that host-microbe
interactions shape the ecology and evolution of wild vertebrates. Given that the energetic
state of migratory birds is a critical determinant of migration performance, our results
suggest that the gut microbiome of migratory birds may be an important yet unrecognized
factor influencing the survival, fitness, and conservation of migratory birds.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS
We thank Lila Tauzer, Hilary Cooke, and Clara Cooper-Mullin for the collection of fecal
samples for metagenomics sequencing at breeding and staging sites in Western Canada
and Block Island, respectively. We also thank Trevor-Lloyd Evans of Manomet Bird
Observatory, Annie Lindsay of Powdermill Avian Research Center, Mark Shieldcastle and
staff of Black Swamp Bird Observatory, Liz Ames and staff of Winous Point Marsh

Conservancy, Maren Gimpel of Foreman's Branch Bird Observatory, David LaPuma of

Cape May Bird Observatory for the collection of blackpoll fecal samples at stopover and

staging sites. Blackpoll warbler range map provided by BirdLife International and Birds of

the World. The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

FUNDING

This work was funded by a grant from the British Ecological Society, a Rose Fellowship

from the Cornell Lab of Ornithology to B.K.T, funds to C.M.T. from Ohio Agricultural

Research and Development Center, and grant R35GM138284 from the National Institutes

of Health National Institute of General Medical Sciences to A.H.M.

REFERENCES

Alberdi, A., Aizpurua, O., Bohmann, K., Zepeda-Mendoza, M. L., & Gilbert, M. T. P.

(2016). Do vertebrate gut metagenomes confer rapid ecological adaptation?

Trends in Ecology & Evolution, *31*(9), Article 9.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2016.06.008

Alerstam, T., Hedenström, A., & Åkesson, S. (2003). Long-distance migration: Evolution

and determinants. *Oikos*, *103*(2), 247–260. https://doi.org/10.1034/j.1600-

0706.2003.12559.x

Bairlein, F. (2002). How to get fat: Nutritional mechanisms of seasonal fat accumulation

in migratory songbirds. *Naturwissenschaften*, *89*(1), 1–10.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s00114-001-0279-6

Bairlein, F., & Gwinner, E. (1994). Nutritional mechanisms and temporal control of

migratory energy accumulation in birds. *Annual Review of Nutrition*, *14*, 187–215.

https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.nu.14.070194.001155

Balk, L., Hägerroth, P.-Å., Åkerman, G., Hanson, M., Tjärnlund, U., Hansson, T.,

Hallgrimsson, G. T., Zebühr, Y., Broman, D., Mörner, T., & Sundberg, H. (2009).

Wild birds of declining European species are dying from a thiamine deficiency

syndrome. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *106*(29), 12001–

12006. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0902903106

Battley, P. F., Piersma, T., Dietz, M. W., Tang, S., Dekinga, A., & Hulsman, K. (2000).

Empirical evidence for differential organ reductions during trans-oceanic bird

flight. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, *267*(1439), 191–

195.

Bauchinger, U., & Biebach, H. (2001). Differential catabolism of muscle protein in

Garden Warblers (Sylvia borin): Flight and leg muscle act as a protein source

during long-distance migration. *Journal of Comparative Physiology B:*

Biochemical, Systemic, and Environmental Physiology, *171*(4), 293–301.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s003600100176

Biebach, H. (1998). Phenotypic organ flexibility in Garden Warblers (Sylvia borin) during

long-distance migration. *Journal of Avian Biology*, *29*(4), 529–535.

https://doi.org/10.2307/3677172

BirdLife International and Handbook of the Birds of the World. (2022). *Bird species*

distribution maps of the world. Version 2022.1.

http://datazone.birdlife.org/species/requestdis.

Bodawatta, K. H., Hird, S. M., Grond, K., Poulsen, M., & Jønsson, K. A. (2021). Avian

gut microbiomes taking flight. *Trends in Microbiology*, S0966842X21001633.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2021.07.003

Bolyen, E., Rideout, J. R., Dillon, M. R., Bokulich, N. A., Abnet, C. C., Al-Ghalith, G. A.,

Alexander, H., Alm, E. J., Arumugam, M., Asnicar, F., Bai, Y., Bisanz, J. E.,

Bittinger, K., Brejnrod, A., Brislawn, C. J., Brown, C. T., Callahan, B. J.,

Caraballo-Rodríguez, A. M., Chase, J., … Caporaso, J. G. (2019). Reproducible,

interactive, scalable and extensible microbiome data science using QIIME 2.

Nature Biotechnology, *37*(8), Article 8. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-019-0209-

9

Burke, C., Steinberg, P., Rusch, D., Kjelleberg, S., & Thomas, T. (2011). Bacterial

community assembly based on functional genes rather than species.

Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, *108*(34), 14288–14293.

https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1101591108

Callahan, B. J., McMurdie, P. J., Rosen, M. J., Han, A. W., Johnson, A. J. A., & Holmes,

S. P. (2016). DADA2: High-resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon

data. *Nature Methods*, *13*(7), Article 7. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.3869

Caporaso, J. G., Lauber, C. L., Walters, W. A., Berg-Lyons, D., Lozupone, C. A.,

Turnbaugh, P. J., Fierer, N., & Knight, R. (2011). Global patterns of 16S rRNA

diversity at a depth of millions of sequences per sample. *Proceedings of the*

National Academy of Sciences, *108*(Supplement_1), 4516–4522.

https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1000080107

Carey, H. V., & Assadi-Porter, F. M. (2017). The hibernator microbiome: Host-bacterial

interactions in an extreme nutritional symbiosis. *Annual Review of Nutrition*, *37*,

477–500.

Cerasale, D. J., & Guglielmo, C. G. (2006). Plasma metabolite profiles: Effects of dietary

phospholipids in a migratory passerine (Zonotrichia leucophrys gambelii).

Physiological and Biochemical Zoology, *79*(4), 754–762.

https://doi.org/10.1086/505510

Cho, I., & Blaser, M. J. (2012). The human microbiome: At the interface of health and

disease. *Nature Reviews Genetics*, *13*(4), 260–270.

https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg3182

Clemente, J. C., Ursell, L. K., Parfrey, L. W., & Knight, R. (2012). The Impact of the Gut

Microbiota on Human Health: An Integrative View. *Cell*, *148*(6), 1258–1270.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2012.01.035

Colston, T. J., & Jackson, C. R. (2016). Microbiome evolution along divergent branches

of the vertebrate tree of life: What is known and unknown. *Molecular Ecology*,

25(16), 3776–3800. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.13730

David, L. A., Maurice, C. F., Carmody, R. N., Gootenberg, D. B., Button, J. E., Wolfe, B.

E., Ling, A. V., Devlin, A. S., Varma, Y., Fischbach, M. A., Biddinger, S. B.,

Dutton, R. J., & Turnbaugh, P. J. (2014). Diet rapidly and reproducibly alters the

human gut microbiome. *Nature*, *505*(7484), Article 7484.

https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12820

Davis, N. M., Proctor, D. M., Holmes, S. P., Relman, D. A., & Callahan, B. J. (2018).

Simple statistical identification and removal of contaminant sequences in marker-

gene and metagenomics data. *Microbiome*, *6*(1), 226.

https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-018-0605-2

DeLuca, W. V., Holberton, R., Hunt, P. D., & Eliason, B. C. (2020). Blackpoll Warbler

(Setophaga striata). In *Birds of the World*. Cornell Lab of Ornithology.

DeLuca, W. V., Woodworth, B. K., Rimmer, C. C., Marra, P. P., Taylor, P. D.,

McFarland, K. P., Mackenzie, S. A., & Norris, D. R. (2015). Transoceanic

migration by a 12 g songbird. *Biology Letters*, *11*(4), 20141045.

https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2014.1045

Fontaine, S. S., & Kohl, K. D. (2020). Optimal integration between host physiology and

functions of the gut microbiome. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society*

B: Biological Sciences, *375*(1808), Article 1808.

https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2019.0594

Fontaine, S. S., Mineo, P. M., & Kohl, K. D. (2022). Experimental manipulation of

microbiota reduces host thermal tolerance and fitness under heat stress in a

vertebrate ectotherm. *Nature Ecology & Evolution*, *6*(4), 405–417.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-022-01686-2

Grond, K., Sandercock, B. K., Jumpponen, A., & Zeglin, L. H. (2018). The avian gut

microbiota: Community, physiology and function in wild birds. *Journal of Avian*

Biology, *49*(11), e01788. https://doi.org/10.1111/jav.01788

Groussin, M., Mazel, F., Sanders, J. G., Smillie, C. S., Lavergne, S., Thuiller, W., & Alm,

E. J. (2017). Unraveling the processes shaping mammalian gut microbiomes

over evolutionary time. *Nature Communications*, *8*(1), 14319.

https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms14319

Guglielmo, C. G. (2010). Move that fatty acid: Fuel selection and transport in migratory

birds and bats. *Integrative and Comparative Biology*, *50*(3), 336–345.

https://doi.org/10.1093/icb/icq097

Henry, L. P., Bruijning, M., Forsberg, S. K. G., & Ayroles, J. F. (2021). The microbiome

extends host evolutionary potential. *Nature Communications*, *12*(1), Article 1.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-25315-x

Ingala, M. R., Simmons, N. B., Dunbar, M., Wultsch, C., Krampis, K., & Perkins, S. L.

(2021). You are more than what you eat: Potentially adaptive enrichment of

microbiome functions across bat dietary niches. *Animal Microbiome*, *3*(1), 82.

https://doi.org/10.1186/s42523-021-00139-8

Jenni, L., & Jenni-Eiermann, S. (1998). Fuel supply and metabolic constraints in

migrating birds. *Journal of Avian Biology*, *29*(4), Article 4.

Karasov, W. H., & Pinshow, B. (2000). Test for physiological limitation to nutrient

assimilation in a long-distance passerine migrant at a springtime stopover site.

Physiological and Biochemical Zoology, *73*(3), 335–343.

https://doi.org/10.1086/316746

Klaassen, M. (1996). Metabolic constraints on long-distance migration in birds. *Journal

of Experimental Biology*, *199*(1), Article 1.

Knutie, S. A., Wilkinson, C. L., Kohl, K. D., & Rohr, J. R. (2017). Early-life disruption of

amphibian microbiota decreases later-life resistance to parasites. *Nature

Communications*, *8*(1), 86. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-00119-0

Kohl, K. D., Connelly, J. W., Dearing, M. D., & Forbey, J. S. (2016). Microbial

detoxification in the gut of a specialist avian herbivore, the Greater Sage-Grouse.

FEMS Microbiology Letters, *363*(14), Article 14.

https://doi.org/10.1093/femsle/fnw144

Kohl, K. D., Weiss, R. B., Cox, J., Dale, C., & Dearing, M. D. (2014). Gut microbes of

mammalian herbivores facilitate intake of plant toxins. *Ecology Letters*, *17*(10),

1238–1246. https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.12329

Kumar, M., & Axelrod, A. E. (1978). Cellular antibody synthesis in thiamin, riboflavin,

biotin and folic acid-deficient rats. *Proceedings of the Society for Experimental*

Biology and Medicine, *157*(3), 421–423. https://doi.org/10.3181/00379727-157-

40068

Lewis, W. B., Moore, F. R., & Wang, S. (2016). Characterization of the gut microbiota of

migratory passerines during stopover along the northern coast of the Gulf of

Mexico. *Journal of Avian Biology*, *47*(5), Article 5.

https://doi.org/10.1111/jav.00954

Lewis, W. B., Moore, F. R., & Wang, S. (2017). Changes in gut microbiota of migratory

passerines during stopover after crossing an ecological barrier. *The Auk*, *134*(1),

Article 1. https://doi.org/10.1642/AUK-16-120.1

Ley, R. E., Hamady, M., Lozupone, C., Turnbaugh, P., Ramey, R. R., Bircher, J. S.,

Schlegel, Michael. L., Tucker, T. A., Schrenzel, M. D., Knight, R., & Gordon, J. I.

(2008a). Evolution of mammals and their gut microbes. *Science*, *320*(5883),

Article 5883. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1155725

Ley, R. E., Hamady, M., Lozupone, C., Turnbaugh, P., Ramey, R. R., Bircher, J. S.,

Schlegel, Michael. L., Tucker, T. A., Schrenzel, M. D., Knight, R., & Gordon, J. I.

(2008b). Evolution of mammals and their gut microbes. *Science (New York,*

N.Y.), *320*(5883), 1647–1651. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1155725

Lindström, Å., & Piersma, T. (1993). Mass changes in migrating birds: The evidence for

fat and protein storage re-examined. *Ibis*, *135*(1), 70–78.

https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1474-919X.1993.tb02811.x

Lozupone, C., & Knight, R. (2005). UniFrac: A new phylogenetic method for comparing

microbial communities. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, *71*(12), Article

12. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.71.12.8228-8235.2005

Lynch, J. B., & Hsiao, E. Y. (2019). Microbiomes as sources of emergent host

phenotypes. *Science*, *365*(6460), 1405–1409.

https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aay0240

Mallick, H., Rahnavard, A., McIver, L. J., Ma, S., Zhang, Y., Nguyen, L. H., Tickle, T. L.,

Weingart, G., Ren, B., Schwager, E. H., Chatterjee, S., Thompson, K. N.,

Wilkinson, J. E., Subramanian, A., Lu, Y., Waldron, L., Paulson, J. N., Franzosa,

E. A., Bravo, H. C., & Huttenhower, C. (2021). Multivariable association

discovery in population-scale meta-omics studies. *PLOS Computational Biology*,

17(11), e1009442. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1009442

McFall-Ngai, M., Hadfield, M. G., Bosch, T. C. G., Carey, H. V., Domazet-Lošo, T.,

Douglas, A. E., Dubilier, N., Eberl, G., Fukami, T., Gilbert, S. F., Hentschel, U.,

King, N., Kjelleberg, S., Knoll, A. H., Kremer, N., Mazmanian, S. K., Metcalf, J. L.,

Nealson, K., Pierce, N. E., … Wernegreen, J. J. (2013). Animals in a bacterial

world, a new imperative for the life sciences. *Proceedings of the National*

Academy of Sciences, *110*(9), 3229–3236.

https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1218525110

McMurdie, P. J., & Holmes, S. (2014). Waste Not, Want Not: Why Rarefying

Microbiome Data Is Inadmissible. *PLoS Computational Biology*, *10*(4), e1003531.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1003531

McWilliams, S. R., & Karasov, W. H. (2001). Phenotypic flexibility in digestive system

structure and function in migratory birds and its ecological significance.

Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part A: Molecular & Integrative

Physiology, *128*(3), 577–591. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1095-6433(00)00336-6

Metges, C. C. (2000). Contribution of microbial amino acids to amino acid homeostasis

of the host. *The Journal of Nutrition*, *130*(7), 1857S-1864S.

https://doi.org/10.1093/jn/130.7.1857S

Moran, N. A., Ochman, H., & Hammer, T., J. (2019). Evolutionary and Ecological

Consequences of Gut Microbial Communities. *Annual Review of Ecology,*

Evolution, and Systematics, *50*, 451–475.

Morris, S. R., Covino, K. M., Jacobs, J. D., & Taylor, P. D. (2016). Fall migratory

patterns of the Blackpoll Warbler at a continental scale. *The Auk*, *133*(1), 41–51.

https://doi.org/10.1642/AUK-15-133.1

Muegge, B. D., Kuczynski, J., Knights, D., Clemente, J. C., González, A., Fontana, L.,

Henrissat, B., Knight, R., & Gordon, J. I. (2011). Diet drives convergence in gut

microbiome functions across mammalian phylogeny and within humans. *Science*,

332(6032), 970–974. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1198719

Muñoz-Garcia, A., Aamidor, S. E., McCue, M. D., McWilliams, S. R., & Pinshow, B.

(2012). Allocation of endogenous and dietary protein in the reconstitution of the

gastrointestinal tract in migratory blackcaps at stopover sites. *Journal of*

Experimental Biology, *215*(7), 1069–1075. https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.062547

O'Hara, A. M., & Shanahan, F. (2006). The gut flora as a forgotten organ. *EMBO*

Reports, *7*(7), 688–693. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.embor.7400731

Owen, J. C., & Moore, F. R. (2006). Seasonal differences in immunological condition of

three species of thrushes. *The Condor*, *108*(2), 389–398.

https://doi.org/10.1093/condor/108.2.389

Pascoe, E. L., Hauffe, H. C., Marchesi, J. R., & Perkins, S. E. (2017). Network analysis

of gut microbiota literature: An overview of the research landscape in non-human

animal studies. *The ISME Journal*, *11*(12), 2644–2651.

https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2017.133

Peig, J., & Green, A. J. (2009). New perspectives for estimating body condition from

mass/length data: The scaled mass index as an alternative method. *Oikos*,

118(12), 1883–1891. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0706.2009.17643.x

Piersma, T. (1990). Pre-migratory "fattening" usually involves more than the deposition

of fat alone. *Ringing & Migration*, *11*(2), 113–115.

https://doi.org/10.1080/03078698.1990.9673972

Piersma, T. (1998). Phenotypic flexibility during migration: Optimization of organ size

contingent on the risks and rewards of fueling and flight? *Journal of Avian*

Biology, *29*(4), Article 4.

Quast, C., Pruesse, E., Yilmaz, P., Gerken, J., Schweer, T., Yarza, P., Peplies, J., &

Glöckner, F. O. (2013). The SILVA ribosomal RNA gene database project:

Improved data processing and web-based tools. *Nucleic Acids Research*,

41(Database issue), D590–D596. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gks1219

Risely, A., Waite, D., Ujvari, B., Klaassen, M., & Hoye, B. (2017). Gut microbiota of a

long-distance migrant demonstrates resistance against environmental microbe

incursions. *Molecular Ecology*, *26*(20), 5842–5854.

https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.14326

Risely, A., Waite, D. W., Ujvari, B., Hoye, B. J., & Klaassen, M. (2018). Active migration

is associated with specific and consistent changes to gut microbiota in Calidris

shorebirds. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, *87*(2), Article 2.

https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2656.12784

Rothschild, D., Weissbrod, O., Barkan, E., Kurilshikov, A., Korem, T., Zeevi, D., Costea,

P. I., Godneva, A., Kalka, I. N., Bar, N., Shilo, S., Lador, D., Vila, A. V., Zmora,

N., Pevsner-Fischer, M., Israeli, D., Kosower, N., Malka, G., Wolf, B. C., …

Segal, E. (2018). Environment dominates over host genetics in shaping human

gut microbiota. *Nature*, *555*(7695), 210–215. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature25973

Salter, S. J., Cox, M. J., Turek, E. M., Calus, S. T., Cookson, W. O., Moffatt, M. F.,

Turner, P., Parkhill, J., Loman, N. J., & Walker, A. W. (2014). Reagent and

laboratory contamination can critically impact sequence-based microbiome

analyses. *BMC Biology*, *12*(1), 87. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12915-014-0087-z

Scanes, C. G., & Dridi, S. (2022). Protein metabolism. In *Sturkie's Avian physiology* (6th

ed., pp. 455–467). Academic Press.

Schwilch, R., Grattarola, A., Spina, F., & Jenni, L. (2002a). Protein loss during long-

distance migratory flight in passerine birds: Adaptation and constraint. *Journal of*

Experimental Biology, *205*(5), 687–695. https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.205.5.687

Schwilch, R., Grattarola, A., Spina, F., & Jenni, L. (2002b). Protein loss during long-

distance migratory flight in passerine birds: Adaptation and constraint. *Journal of*

Experimental Biology, *205*(5), Article 5. https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.205.5.687

Skeen, H. R., Cooper, N. W., Hackett, S. J., Bates, J. M., & Marra, P. P. (2021).

Repeated sampling of individuals reveals impact of tropical and temperate

habitats on microbiota of a migratory bird. *Molecular Ecology*, *30*(22), Article 22.

https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.16170

Smetzer, J. R., King, D. I., & Taylor, P. D. (2017). Fall migratory departure decisions

and routes of blackpoll warblers Setophaga striata and red-eyed vireos Vireo

olivaceus at a coastal barrier in the Gulf of Maine. *Journal of Avian Biology*,

48(11), 1451–1461. https://doi.org/10.1111/jav.01450

Smits, S. A., Leach, J., Sonnenburg, E. D., Gonzalez, C. G., Lichtman, J. S., Reid, G.,

Knight, R., Manjurano, A., Changalucha, J., Elias, J. E., Dominguez-Bello, M. G.,

& Sonnenburg, J. L. (2017). Seasonal cycling in the gut microbiome of the Hadza

hunter-gatherers of Tanzania. *Science*, *357*(6353), 802–806.

https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aan4834

Sommer, F., Ståhlman, M., Ilkayeva, O., Arnemo, J. M., Kindberg, J., Josefsson, J.,

Newgard, C. B., Fröbert, O., & Bäckhed, F. (2016). The gut microbiota modulates

energy metabolism in the hibernating Brown bear Ursus arctos. *Cell Reports*,

14(7), Article 7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2016.01.026

Suzuki, T. A. (2017). Links between natural variation in the microbiome and host fitness

in wild mammals. *Integrative and Comparative Biology*, *57*(4), Article 4.

https://doi.org/10.1093/icb/icx104

Thie, N., Corl, A., Turjeman, S., Efrat, R., Kamath, P. L., Getz, W. M., Bowie, R. C. K., &

Nathan, R. (2022). Linking migration and microbiota at a major stopover site in a

long-distance avian migrant. *Movement Ecology*, *10*(1), 46.

https://doi.org/10.1186/s40462-022-00347-0

Trevelline, B. K., Fontaine, S. S., Hartup, B. K., & Kohl, K. D. (2019). Conservation

biology needs a microbial renaissance: A call for the consideration of host-

associated microbiota in wildlife management practices. *Proceedings of the*

Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, *286*(1895), 20182448.

https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2018.2448

Trevelline, B. K., & Kohl, K. D. (2022). The gut microbiome influences host diet selection

behavior. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *119*(17),

e2117537119. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2117537119

Trevelline, B. K., MacLeod, K. J., Langkilde, T., & Kohl, K. D. (2019). Gestation alters

the gut microbiota of an oviparous lizard. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*, *95*(7),

fiz086. https://doi.org/10.1093/femsec/fiz086

Turjeman, S., Corl, A., Wolfenden, A., Tsalyuk, M., Lublin, A., Choi, O., Kamath, P. L.,

Getz, W. M., Bowie, R. C. K., & Nathan, R. (2020). Migration, pathogens and the

avian microbiome: A comparative study in sympatric migrants and residents.

Molecular Ecology, *29*(23), 4706–4720. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.15660

Warnock, N. (2010). Stopping vs. staging: The difference between a hop and a jump.

Journal of Avian Biology, *41*(6), 621–626. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-

048X.2010.05155.x

Wiebler, J. M., Kohl, K. D., Lee, R. E., & Costanzo, J. P. (2018). Urea hydrolysis by gut

bacteria in a hibernating frog: Evidence for urea-nitrogen recycling in Amphibia.

Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, *285*(1878), 20180241.

https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2018.0241

Williams, T. C., Williams, J. M., Ireland, L. C., & Teal, J. M. (1978). Estimated flight time

for transatlantic autumnal migrants. *American Birds*, *32*(3), 275–280.

Wu, Y., Yang, Y., Cao, L., Yin, H., Xu, M., Wang, Z., Liu, Y., Wang, X., & Deng, Y.

(2018). Habitat environments impacted the gut microbiome of long-distance

migratory swan geese but central species conserved. *Scientific Reports*, *8*(1),

Article 1. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-31731-9

Zilber-Rosenberg, I., & Rosenberg, E. (2008). Role of microorganisms in the evolution

of animals and plants: The hologenome theory of evolution. *FEMS Microbiology*

Reviews, *32*(5), 723–735. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6976.2008.00123.x

FIGURES

Figure 1. The Blackpoll warbler gut microbiome exhibited reduced alpha diversity
over phases of fall migration. (a) Faith's phylogenetic diversity differed significantly
across phases of blackpoll migration. (b) Blackpolls exhibited no significant differences
in ASV richness over phase of migration. ** denotes P ≤ 0.001 and * denotes P ≤ 0.05
after FDR correction. N.S. denotes no significant difference (P > 0.05).

Figure 2. Convergence of the Blackpoll warbler gut microbiome community

structure over phases of fall migration. (a, b) Principal Coordinates Analysis (PCoA)

illustrating significant differences in microbiome community structure using weighted

UniFrac distances (pseudo-F = 7.35, P = 0.001). **(c)** Within-group weighted UniFrac

distances differed significantly across phases of blackpoll migration (PERMDISP F =

17.61, P = 0.001). ** denotes P ≤ 0.01 and * denotes P ≤ 0.05 after FDR correction.

Figure 3. Remodeling of Blackpoll warbler gut microbiome composition over

phases of fall migration. (a) Relative abundances of bacterial phyla and **(b)** families

across phases of blackpoll migration. Letter codes denote sampling locations within

stages of blackpoll migration. Breeding sites: Yukon Territory (Y) and British Colombia

(BC); Stopover sites: Black Swamp Bird Observatory (BSBO), Winous Point (WP),

Powdermill Avian Research Center (PARC), and Foreman's Branch Bird Observatory

(FBBO); Staging sites: Cape May Bird Observatory (CMBO), Block Island (BI),

Manomet Bird Observatory (MBO).

4. Taxonomic enrichment of Blackpoll warbler gut microbiota over phases of fall

migration. The relative abundance of the bacterial phyla **(a)** Proteobacteria and **(b)**

Firmicutes differed significantly over phases of blackpoll migration. The relative

abundance of the bacterial family **(c)** Enterobacteriaceae and **(d)** a single ASV

representing an undescribed species of Enterobacteriaceae differed significantly over

phases of blackpoll migration. *** denotes P ≤ 0.001, ** denotes P ≤ 0.01, and * denotes.

P ≤ 0.05 after FDR correction.

Figure

Figure 5. The gut microbiome of staging Blackpoll warblers is enriched in pathways

related to energy metabolism. Log2 fold change plot illustrating 34 differentially

enriched bacterial metabolic pathways between breeding (n = 8; red points) and staging

(n = 12; green points) blackpolls. Red points represent pathways enriched in breeding

blackpolls, while green points represent those enriched in staging individuals. All

pathways were significant at the threshold of P ≤ 0.05 after FDR correction.

782
Figure 6. The enrichment of bacterial pathways is associated with metrics of

blackpoll body condition. Log2 fold change plot illustrating 36 unique bacterial

metabolic pathways between significantly associated with **(a)** Scaled Mass Index (SMI)

and **(b)** subcutaneous fat scores. Yellow points represent bacterial pathways significantly

associated with both SMI and fat, while red points represent those positively associated

with both SMI and fat. All pathways were significant at the threshold of P ≤ 0.05 after FDR

correction.

Legend
- 🔴 Breeding sites
- 🟠 Stopover sites
- 🟢 Staging sites
- 🟧 Breeding range
- 🟨 Migration range
- 🟦 Non-breeding range
- ▪▪▪ Fall migration

Supplemental Figure S1. Sampling locations across phases of Blackpoll fall migration. Points indicate sampling locations over three phases of blackpoll fall migration: Breeding sites (red points; n = 16) in Yukon Territory (Y) and British Colombia (BC); stopover sites (orange points; n = 55) at Black Swamp Bird Observatory (BSBO), Winous Point (WP), Powdermill Avian Research Center (PARC), and Foreman's Branch Bird Observatory (FBBO); Staging sites (green points; n = 40) at Cape May Bird Observatory (CMBO), Block Island (BI), Manomet Bird Observatory (MBO). Blackpoll warbler range map provided by BirdLife International and Birds of the World.

799
Supplemental Figure S2. Convergence of the Blackpoll warbler gut microbiome

community membership over phases of fall migration. (a, b) Principal Coordinates

Analysis (PCoA) illustrating significant differences in microbiome community membership

using unweighted UniFrac distances (pseudo-F = 4.07, P = 0.001). **(c)** Within-group

unweighted UniFrac distances differed significantly across phases of blackpoll migration

(PERMDISP; F = 7.68, P = 0.006). * denotes P ≤ 0.05 after FDR correction.