The lncRNA landscape of cardiac resident macrophages and identification of *Schlafenlnc* as a regulator of macrophage migratory function

Anne Dueck[1,2], Lara Althaus[1,2], Kathrin Heise[1,2], Dena Esfandyari[1,2], Seren Baygün[3], Ralf P. Brandes[4,5], Julien Gagneur[2,6,7,8], Nicolas Jaé[5,9], Percy Knolle[10], Matthias S. Leisegang[4,5], Lars Maegdefessel[2,11], Thomas Meitinger[2,7], Niklas Petzold[1,2], Deepak Ramanujam[1,2], Hendrik Sager[2,12], Christian Schulz[2,13], Evangelos Theodorakis[2,6,7,8], Anna Uzonyi[1], Tobias Weinberger[2,13], Michael Bader[14], Marc Schmidt-Supprian[3], Stefan Engelhardt[1,2]

[1] Institute of Pharmacology and Toxicology, Technical University Munich, Munich, Germany
[2] DZHK (German Centre for Cardiovascular Research), partner site Munich Heart Alliance, Munich, Germany
[3] Institute of Experimental Hematology, Central Institute for Translation Cancer Research, School of Medicine, Technical University Munich, Munich, Germany
[4] Institute for Cardiovascular Physiology, Goethe University, Frankfurt, Germany
[5] DZHK (German Centre for Cardiovascular Research), partner site RheinMain, Frankfurt, Germany
[6] Institute of Informatics, Technical University Munich, Munich, Germany
[7] Institute of Human Genetics, Klinikum rechts der Isar, School of Medicine, Technical University of Munich, Munich, Germany
[8] Computational Health Center, Helmholtz Center Munich, Neuherberg, Germany
[9] Institute for Cardiovascular Regeneration, Goethe University Frankfurt, Frankfurt am Main, Germany
[10] Institute for Molecular Immunology, MRI, Technical University Munich, Munich, Germany
[11] Department of Vascular and Endovascular Surgery, Technical University Munich, Munich, Germany
[12] Department of Cardiology, German Heart Centre Munich, Technical University Munich, Munich, Germany
[13] Medizinische Klinik und Poliklinik I, Klinikum der Universität München, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität, Munich, Germany
[14] Max-Delbrück-Center for Molecular Medicine (MDC), Berlin, Germany

Present address: Anna Uzonyi, Department of Molecular Genetics, Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, Israel

Keywords: long non-coding RNA, cardiac resident macrophages, pressure overload, *Schlafenlnc*, single cell sequencing

ABSTRACT

Cardiac resident macrophages (crMPs) were recently shown to exert pivotal functions in cardiac homeostasis and disease, but the underlying molecular mechanisms are largely unclear. Long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) are increasingly recognized as important regulatory molecules in a number of cell types, but neither the identity nor the molecular mechanisms of lncRNAs in crMPs are known. Here, we have employed deep RNA-seq and single cell RNA sequencing to resolve the crMP lncRNA landscape from healthy and diseased murine myocardium. CrMPs express previously unknown and highly cell type-specific lncRNAs, among which one lncRNA, termed *Schlafenlnc*, was particularly abundant and enriched in crMPs. We found *Schlafenlnc* to be necessary for migration-associated gene expression in macrophages *in vitro* and *in vivo* and essential for their adhesion and migration. Collectively, our data provide a basis to the systematic characterization of lncRNAs in crMPs and establish *Schlafenlnc* as a critical regulator of macrophage migratory functions.

INTRODUCTION

Long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) are the largest group of non-coding RNA molecules, with 14,764 lncRNA genes currently annotated in mouse and 19,933 in humans (Gencode in October 2022). Although only a small fraction of these has been functionally characterized, there is strong evidence that lncRNAs, through a variety of mechanisms, adopt critical roles in disease, for example in cancer[1], metabolic, neurologic[2] and cardiovascular disease[3]. Macrophage lncRNAs in cardiovascular disease have been primarily characterized in the vasculature and within the context of atherosclerosis, e.g., formation of foam cells or polarization (reviewed in [4]). With regard to cardiac disease, the analysis of lncRNAs and their functions has largely focused on cardiomyocytes[5], endothelial cells[6] and fibroblasts[7] (reviewed in [3]). By contrast, very little is known about lncRNAs in cardiac resident macrophages (crMPs), although this cell type constitutes the largest population of immune cells in the myocardium during homeostasis and also determines cardiac disease processes (reviewed in [8]). CrMPs have in recent years been characterized with respect to their developmental origin[9,10], their composition[10,11] and their putative functions such as roles in cardiac conduction[12,13], hypertrophy[14] or lymph vessel growth[15]. Although it can be readily assumed that many of these functions are subject to lncRNA-mediated regulation, a comprehensive description of the lncRNome from crMPs or functional data on a crMP-specific lncRNA is missing thus far.

In this study, we established the first comprehensive analysis of the lncRNA portfolios of the major cardiac cell types in health and disease, with particular emphasis on macrophages. In mouse myocardium, we identified lncRNAs that are specific for, and define, this cell type. One crMP lncRNA that has previously not been analyzed and which we termed *Schlafenlnc*, stands out for its strong enrichment and high abundance in crMPs. Upon functional characterization of a *Schlafenlnc* knockout in a mouse model for left ventricular pressure overload, we found that this lncRNA regulates macrophage abundance in the heart and the ability of this cell type to exert chemotactic migration. We therefore propose that *Schlafenlnc* critically regulates the function of cardiac macrophages in disease.

RESULTS

lncRNAs are important determinants for the definition of specific cell types

To determine the long non-coding RNA (lncRNA) repertoire in the major cardiac cell types and its

changes under disease conditions, we subjected mice to chronic left ventricular pressure overload

(induced by transverse aortic constriction, TAC), an established model of cardiac inflammation that

leads to hypertrophic and fibrotic remodeling of the heart. At day 6 after surgery, we sacrificed the mice,

isolated primary cells by Langendorff digestion[16], and performed droplet-based single cell RNA

sequencing (scRNA-seq, Chromium platform) (Fig. 1A). This experimental approach analyzes all

cardiac non-myocytes, while the number of cardiac myocytes is decreased due to size constraints of

the method. Unsupervised clustering of both samples based on the expression of both coding and non-

coding RNAs with the Seurat analysis tool (Seurat V3.2[17], Gencode vM22[18]) yielded a total of 20,010

cells after quality control. These comprised all major non-myocyte cardiac cell types (Seurat clustering

resolution 0.3, Fig. 1B), including subpopulations of immune cells, fibroblasts, endothelial cells and

mural cells (Fig. 1B). Differential gene expression analysis from these cell types revealed distinct sets

of protein-coding genes that define each major cardiac cell type as individual clusters (Extended Data

Fig. S1), in line with previous reports[19,20].

Despite the generally lower expression levels of lncRNAs in comparison to protein-coding genes[21–24],

we were able to readily detect over 440 lncRNAs. Several of the most strongly expressed lncRNAs

exhibited a very high level of cell type specificity (Fig. 1C and 1D), suggesting that cardiac cell types

can be defined based on their lncRNA transcriptomes. As Fig. 1D shows, our data not only confirmed

the previously reported expression of *Meg3* in fibroblasts[25], *H19* in endothelial cells[26] and *Mhrt* in cardiac

myocytes[27], but also revealed lncRNAs that had hitherto not been reported to be cell type-specific in the

heart. These lncRNAs may reasonably be presumed to potentially exert important roles in their specific

cell type, prompting for functional characterization.

To validate the contribution of lncRNAs to cell type identity, we performed differential clustering of

the scRNA-seq data sets with or without lncRNA genes, and tested for clustering precision. Independent

of whether the top 3,000 variable genes defined by the Seurat pipeline, all annotated genes (n=55,487)

or expressed genes (n=19,719, expression value >0) were used to perform clustering, the inclusion of

lncRNA marker genes significantly improved clustering compared to protein coding genes alone (Fig.

1E-G). Compared to clustering based on protein-coding genes alone, this resulted in an overall

increased sensitivity (indicated by an increased adjusted Rand Index value) and yielded additional

subclusters of cell types. Specifically, the detection of clusters representing venous capillary endothelial

cells, pericytes, *Fabp4+* macrophages and *Notch2+* fibroblasts was dependent on the inclusion of

lncRNAs (resolution 0.65) (Fig. 1H, Extended Data Fig. S1B).

In conclusion, lncRNAs were shown to be a valuable determinant of defining clusters and fine-tuning

cell type assignments.

As a means to approach lncRNAs of potential disease relevance, we next thought to assess the

expression of lncRNAs during cardiac disease by comparing the datasets obtained from sham-treated

vs. TAC-treated mice. Upon increasing the clustering resolution from 0.3 to 0.7, a more detailed and

granular cell assignment of lncRNA genes to cellular subtypes was achieved (Extended Data Fig. S2A

and S2B). With this setup, we performed differential gene expression analysis for the sub-populations
of the major cell types in the heart, and visualized the top de-regulated lncRNAs (Fig. 2A-C, Extended
Data Fig. S2C). Some lncRNAs were regulated after TAC throughout all subpopulations of a cell type,
e.g., Gm29686 in fibroblasts (Fig. 2A), whereas others were only significantly regulated within a defined
subpopulation, e.g., Gm49785 in the fibroblast subpopulation (FB6) (Fig. 2A). This subpopulation of
fibroblasts represents activated myofibroblasts (high expression of periostin), a cell type involved in
extracellular matrix secretion and immune cell recruitment[28]. Similarly, several lncRNAs displayed
dysregulation within cardiac endothelial cells (Fig. 2B) and cardiac macrophages (Fig. 2C), resolved to
the level of cellular subtypes.

Of particular interest are lncRNAs in macrophages of the myocardium, since no lncRNA had thus far
been identified or functionally characterized in this cardiac cell type. As a first approach to the potential
function of the lncRNAs we had identified as deregulated in crMPs in the TAC model, we correlated
these lncRNAs with biological processes of their overlapping neighboring genes (Extended Data Fig.
S2D). Since lncRNAs are often co-expressed and co-regulated with proximal genes in *cis*[29], we
speculated that the latter may give insight into the biological context within which these lncRNAs
function. For example, genes antisense to downregulated lncRNAs in the Mac1 cluster (*Lyve1*
macrophages) after TAC are associated with programmed cell death processes and genes antisense
to downregulated lncRNAs in the Mac2 cluster (*Cd74+* macrophages) after TAC were predominantly
linked to adhesion pathways (Extended Data Fig. S2D), suggesting that the reported de-regulated
lncRNAs might also be involved in the regulation of these processes.

Taken together, we report a comprehensive inventory of regulated lncRNA genes in the major non-
myocyte cell types of the heart during pressure overload. These lncRNA genes will be interesting
candidates to be studied in more detail.

Determination and annotation of the lncRNA landscape of cardiac resident macrophages

As the sequencing depth of current single cell sequencing methodology limits detection sensitivity, we
therefore complemented our scRNA-seq data by performing deep RNA-Seq on basal total murine
myocardium as well as crMPs that were isolated using well-established cell markers (CD45, CD64,
CD11b and F4/80[9,10]). These surface proteins collectively labelled essentially all crMPs in our scRNA-
seq data (Extended Data Fig. S3A), corroborating our purification strategy. Using a pipeline to identify
and quantify novel lncRNAs (Extended Data Fig. S3B), we identified 250 genes that had previously not
been annotated by Gencode vM22[18]. The identified novel lncRNAs showed the same characteristics as
the known lncRNAs with respect to having no coding probability (true non-coding) and transcript length
(Extended Data Fig. S3C upper panel), but were more highly expressed in our data set than the known
lncRNA population (Extended Data Fig. S3C lower panel). RNA-seq from fractionation experiments
showed for known lncRNAs a distribution of 80% in the nucleus (and 20% to the cytoplasm), the novel
lncRNAs are slightly less nuclear in localization (70% nuclear, 30% cytoplasmic; Extended Data Fig.
S3D).

As a further approach to the function of the newly identified lncRNAs, we built on the observation that
the products of lncRNA genes and protein-coding genes which are in proximity of 5kb or less and in
antisense overlapping orientation are prone to interact in the same biological processes[30]. The

distribution of the interaction categories (e.g. "antisense overlapping") was comparable between novel

and known lncRNAs (Extended Data Fig. S3E). Notably, 15 novel lncRNA genes were found without

any interaction, categorizing them as novel long intergenic RNAs (lincRNA). For both known and novel

lncRNAs we found many well-expressed genes (> 5 transcripts per million reads, TPM) that are specific

in cardiac macrophages compared to total murine myocardium (containing myocytes, endothelial cells,

fibroblasts, and macrophages) (Fig. 3A).

Identification of lncRNA *Schlafenlnc* as an abundant and enriched macrophage lncRNA

In order to select lncRNA candidates for experimental validation, we further filtered the datasets from

scRNA-Seq data and RNA-Seq based on the following stringent criteria: low coding probability

according to CPAT score (true non-coding RNA < 44% coding probability), absolute expression > 25

TPM in macrophages, enrichment of log2FC >3 and FDR <0.05, and de-regulation in TAC (scRNA-seq

data) FC >0.25). This yielded 13 lncRNA candidates that are highly abundant, enriched and regulated

in cardiac macrophages (Fig. 3B).

We found one of these candidates, lncRNA *AI662270*, particularly interesting due to its genomic

association with a cluster of eight genes on chromosome 11 which encode members of the Schlafen

family of proteins (Fig. 3C). Schlafen proteins are found in human and mouse and known to regulate

important processes and functions such as immune cell development, invasion and migration[31].

AI662270, however, is a hitherto uncharacterized gene within this locus. The genomic location of

lncRNA *AI662270* in the Schlafen cluster led us to name the transcript *Schlafenlnc.*

The Schlafen locus of protein-coding genes is very similar between human and mouse in general,

yet the internal order of the proteins (and lncRNAs) is slightly different. A study by Ulitsky and colleagues

developed a pipeline to identify syntenic genes across multiple organisms, where lncRNAs are tested

on the relative location and orientation to orthologous protein-coding genes[32]. By comparing Gencode

annotations[18] of human (V30) and mouse (vM21) with their pipeline, the gene *ENSG00000267074* was

designated as the putative human *Schlafenlnc* homolog (Fig. 3C). It is expressed in various human cell

types of leukocyte origin (using the RNA-Get module Encode data sets[33], Extended Data Fig. S4A),

making it an attractive molecule for studying the observed phenotypes in human cells. Besides the

analysis of expression, we tested if the two lncRNAs share common potential binding partners using a

pretrained deep neural network (DNN)[34] on eCLIP-seq data[35]. Indeed, the mouse *Schlafenlnc* and

human *ENSG00000267074* contain three major binding modules of the same or similar proteins

(Extended Data Fig. S4B and S4C), supporting the hypothesis of conservation.

Murine *Schlafenlnc* was up-regulated after TAC in both macrophage populations, but even more

pronounced in Mac2 (*MHCII*-high, *Cd74*+) macrophages (Fig. 3D). Its strong expression made it readily

detectable by Northern blotting (Fig. 3E). Interrogation of the RNA-seq datasets from the nucleus and

the cytosol, as well as RNA *in situ* hybridization, showed that *Schlafenlnc* localizes to both compartments

(Fig. 3F and G, Extended Data Fig. S4D).

Schlafenlnc determines myocardial macrophage infiltration and polarization in response to pressure overload

To assess the phenotype of a macrophage-specific deficiency of *Schlafenlnc in vivo*, we generated a *Schlafenlnc*-deficient mouse line (*Schlafenlnc*^{-/-}) by CRISPR/Cas9 and examined these mice under basal and disease conditions. The dominant transcript was targeted with specific sgRNAs, including the promoter region upstream of *Schlafenlnc* (determined by H3K4me3 signals in murine bone marrow-derived macrophages, ENCODE data set ENCSR000CFF[36]) to abrogate all transcription from that locus (Extended Data Fig. 5 A). Deletion was verified by PCR from genomic DNA (Extended Data Fig. 5 B). The early compensation stage of the TAC model is characterized by sterile inflammation and both an influx of monocytes as well as proliferation of resident macrophages. We therefore performed sham and TAC surgery (Fig. 4 A) and analyzed the heart phenotype and monocyte/macrophage numbers after 6 days (Fig. 4 B). The heart weight of *Schlafenlnc*-deficient mice was significantly reduced (Fig. 4 C) and heart function (comparing ejection fraction of sham and TAC mice) was significantly improved (Fig. 4 D). Furthermore, *Schlafenlnc*^{-/-} hearts showed a substantial decrease in pressure overload-induced interstitial fibrosis (Fig. 4 E) and interestingly a significantly reduced monocyte/macrophage infiltration after TAC, as determined by immunostaining of left ventricular myocardial sections against the monocyte/macrophage marker CD68 (Fig. 4 F).

To assess the composition of immune cells in more detail we next performed scRNA-seq of the CD45+ cell fraction isolated from the myocardium and blood (Fig. 5 A). As expected, macrophages are the dominant cell population within the heart whereas the blood contains more monocytes (Fig. 5 B). Activated macrophages and monocytes release signals in an auto- and paracrine fashion[19]. *Schlafenlnc*^{-/-} mice showed decreased signaling between almost all macrophage and monocyte populations after 6 days of TAC (Fig. 5 C), with a significant decrease in inflammatory signaling pathways such as Osteopontin (*Spp1*) and Macrophage Inhibitory Factor (*Mif*) signaling (Fig. 5 D), both are important factors in recruiting monocytes and other inflammatory cells from the blood after cardiac injury[37,38]. Performing RNA velocity analysis of cardiac leukocytes after TAC (Fig. 5 E) showed gene dynamics of *Schlafenlnc* WT *Ly6C*-high monocytes towards non-classical monocytes as well as a moderate dynamic from *MHCII*-high macrophages to *Lyve1* macrophages. In *Schlafenlnc*^{-/-}, the dynamics between different monocyte states was largely abolished while transition dynamics from monocyte-derived macrophages (*MHCII*-high) to resident-like macrophages (*Lyve1*) was strongly increased (Fig. 5 E). Taken together, the analysis of *Schlafenlnc*^{-/-} myocardium indicated stronger polarization of cardiac macrophages towards the *Lyve1* macrophage population, which is generally believed to play a more anti-inflammatory role in disease.

Next, we asked which genes were changing globally in *Lyve1* macrophages after TAC and upon *Schlafenlnc* deletion. Among the genes that were de-regulated during TAC (WT TAC vs. sham), 64 genes were additionally strongly de-regulated in *Schlafenlnc*^{-/-} vs. *Schlafenlnc* WT *Lyve1* macrophages (|Log2FC|>0.5, FDR<0.05). 17 of these genes are classified as coding for secreted proteins (Fig. 5 F, categorization according to Human Protein Atlas[39]), for example, *Lgals3* (Galectin-3) and *Spp1* (Osteopontin), which were de-regulated in all macrophage populations (Extended Data Fig. 5 C). An

analysis of the associated biological processes of de-regulated genes in *Lyve1* macrophages (Fig.5 F)

showed leukocyte chemotaxis and migration as the strongest impacted pathways (Fig. 5 G).

Taken together, *Schlafenlnc* deletion in immune cells of the heart altered the cardiac macrophage

response to early pressure overload towards an anti-inflammatory phenotype and disease-associated

phenotypes such as fibrosis and heart weight were improved.

Schlafenlnc is required for macrophage adhesion and migration

To elucidate the function of *Schlafenlnc* in more detail and explore its potential role in migration

processes, we generated *Schlafenlnc*-deficient macrophages from Hoxb8-FL macrophage

progenitors[40,41] (Extended Data Fig. S6A). Gene deletion was validated by RNA-Seq (Extended Data

Fig. S6A, middle track). As a genomic deletion might have an impact on nearby genes due to a

mechanism in *cis* by the deleted lncRNA or due to the deletion of a regulatory element within the DNA,

the surrounding region of *Schlafenlnc* (0.5 Mbp in each direction) was analyzed for de-regulation

(Extended Data Fig. S6B). 9 of 64 genes were found to be moderately dysregulated (with |log2FC| > 1,

FDR < 0.05) in the vicinity of *Schlafenlnc* revealing that there was not a general activation or de-

activation of that locus by *Schlafenlnc*. To identify major perturbations on gene expression level,

Schlafenlnc-deficient monoclonal cell lines (2 clones, each measured in n=4) were subjected to RNA-

Seq. These samples were compared to control monoclonal cell lines (two clones with n=3 each) that

were generated using an unrelated sgRNA (sequenced as wildtype at the *Schlafenlnc* locus). We

observed 2,660 genes significantly de-regulated (|log2FC| > 1, FDR < 0.05) (Fig. 6 A), among those

Schlafenlnc that dropped from 67 TPM to 0.33 TPM. Among the downregulated genes, we observed an

enrichment of genes involved in migration and adhesion similarly to our *in vivo* study (Fig. 6 B, e.g.,

Mmp14 and *Lgals3*). Lower amounts of *Lgals3* could not only be observed in our RNA-Seq data but

were validated on protein level (Galectin-3 is the gene product of *Lgals3*) by measurement of cell culture

supernatant using an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) (Fig. 6 C). More generally, gene

ontology analysis of all significantly de-regulated genes implicated pathways such as regulation of

cytokine production, regulation of cell adhesion and chemotaxis that are promoted by *Schlafenlnc* (Fig.

6 D). To validate these results, we performed an adhesion assay by rigorous washing (Fig. 6 E) as well

as tested the chemotactic migration ability of *Schlafenlnc*-deficient macrophages with a gradient of the

chemokine C5a (Fig. 6 F). Adhesion was diminished by approximately 23% (Fig. 6 E) and chemotaxis

was about 50% reduced (Fig. 6 F) in *Schlafenlnc* KO cells compared to wild type controls.

Together these data obtained on *Schlafenlnc*-deficient macrophages corroborate a cell autonomous

role for this lncRNA in macrophages, in line with its specific expression in this cell type. *Schlafenlnc* is

required for the activation of macrophage gene programs underlying cell adherence and migration

providing a mechanistic basis for the phenotype observed *in vivo* in *Schlafenlnc*-deficient mice.

DISCUSSION

This study provides the first comprehensive lncRNome of cardiac resident macrophages. One of the most highly enriched and abundant lncRNAs in cardiac resident macrophages – lncRNA *AI662270*, which we termed *Schlafenlnc* – was shown to be involved in adhesion and migration. As for *Schlafenlnc*, a particular characteristic of lncRNAs in general is their high degree of cell type-specificity[21–23] which makes them important for processes such as development, differentiation of cell types, cellular fate and function (reviewed in [3]). This characteristic could therefore make lncRNAs the natural choice when describing cell population expression signatures, especially in single cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq). scRNA-seq allows for an unbiased approach to identify main- and sub-populations of cells, yet little focus has been set to expressly include lncRNA marker genes. Initially, methodical problems such as library preparation, sequencing depth or analysis software hampered the detection of lncRNAs in such data sets. LncRNAs are still not as readily measured as mRNAs due to their on average lower expression levels, but together with improved scRNA-seq depth and improved annotations, our data suggest that lncRNAs are underestimated markers of cell type definition. Emerging platforms measuring RNA transcripts in tissue such as spatial transcriptomics or *in situ* sequencing (reviewed in [42]) might be able to leverage that potential further.

Focusing on lncRNAs and their potential regulation in ventricular myocardium during disease, we could identify an extensive set of regulated lncRNAs for all major cell populations. In general, we find more up-regulated than down-regulated genes upon induction of disease, which we believe to be due to the detection limit of scRNA-seq concerning very lowly expressed genes[43]. LncRNAs more specific to and regulated in a subset of cell types might offer new possibilities for therapeutic intervention and to gain insight into regulatory mechanisms specific for defined cell types. As we have shown for the three macrophage clusters (Mac1 (*Lyve1*+), Mac2 (*Cd74*+) and transitional macrophages), the different sets of regulated lncRNAs are implicated in distinct gene ontology pathways, which points to distinct functions of these macrophage populations.

Cardiac resident macrophages have been shown to be key players in diseases such as heart failure and myocardial infarction (reviewed in [44–47]). In the mouse model of left ventricular pressure overload, crMPs are proliferating during the compensation phase (from 0 days to about 7-14 days). An infiltration of monocyte-derived MPs starts early in disease and increases in the late, decompensated phase[48]. The infiltration of monocyte-derived MPs is typically associated with a long-term detrimental cardiac function[48–55]. This recruitment of monocytes is at least to some degree dependent on crMPs[56], and suppression of this communication axis might be beneficial. Galectin-3, for example, is known to be increased in heart failure patients[57] and is associated with murine cardiac remodeling during pressure-overload[58]. It is well known to be involved in the monocyte as well as macrophage migration and infiltration in cardiovascular diseases[59–61]. Our combination of unbiased scRNA-seq measurements and in-depth coverage by RNA-Seq allowed us to identify the hitherto uncharacterized lncRNA *Schlafenlnc* as a regulator of macrophage adhesion and migration. *Schlafenlnc* is up-regulated after 6 days of TAC as well as other genes involved in adhesion and migration such as Galectin-3 and its deletion impacts Galectin-3 expression both *in vivo* and *in vitro*. Our quantitative assessment of macrophage/monocyte numbers (CD68 staining) and the analysis in relative immune cell composition by scRNA-seq after 6 days of TAC point to a mechanism of defective signaling by *Schlafenlnc*-deficient crMPs to initiate

recruitment of inflammatory cells such as monocytes. Given its selective expression in crMPs, we
believe that targeting of *Schlafenlnc* warrants further exploration as a potential anti-inflammatory
therapeutic strategy.

Similar to its orthologue in mice, human *Schlafenlnc* is specific for immune cell types (Extended Data
Fig. S4A). In support of conserved function in immune cells, our protein interactor analysis of both
murine and human *Schlafenlnc* using deep learning showed similar binding modules for RNA binding
proteins (Extended Data Fig. S4B and S4C). Further studies will be required to dissect molecular
interactors for *Schlafenlnc* in mouse and human.

Taken together, our study demonstrates that lncRNAs act as critical contributors to the
transcriptome-based analysis of cell clusters in line with their crucial role in development and cell fate
determination[3], leading to the definition of cell identities. The combination of single cell sequencing and
RNA-Seq approaches led us to the identification of multiple novel candidate lncRNAs that warrant
further analysis in cardiac homeostasis and disease. One of the most abundant and macrophage-
enriched lncRNAs, *Schlafenlnc*, acts as a crucial regulator of macrophage adhesion and migration.

METHODS

Experimental animals, surgery conditions

Surgeries on mice were performed according to the guidelines and regulations of the responsible authorities. Consent was given by the institutional review board of the Regierung of Oberbayern (ROB-55.2-2532.Vet_02-19-194).

Wild type male mice of C57BL/6N background were purchased from Jackson Laboratories and submitted to transverse aortic constriction (TAC) or sham procedure as reported previously[16] at an age of 8-10 weeks.

To produce *Schlafenlnc* -knockout mice, two sgRNAs (5' cut site: 5'- GAGGTTCCATCAGTCTGACA-3', 3' cut site: 5'-ACATGTCTTTGGTAGGAGCA-3') targeting the flanking region of the *Schlafenlnc* gene in the mouse genome were designed and synthesized by IDT (Coralville, IA, USA). A mixture of Cas9 protein (IDT) and sgRNAs was electroporated into C57BL/6 mouse zygotes. Genomic DNA of newborn mice was amplified using the primers Slfnlnc1 (5'-TTCCTTCAGAGGTCCATCAG-3') and Slfnlnc4 (5'-AGCCAGTCTAAAGACTCTGG-3'). The appearance of a 360 bp band identified founder mice with a complete loss of the *Schlafenlnc* gene. The animals were bred to homozygosity and used for the experiments.

Echocardiography was performed as reported before[16].

Primary cell isolation from adult murine heart

Hearts of C57BL/6N mice were harvested at an age of 8-10 weeks and subjected to digestion by perfusion via the coronary arteries using Collagenase II (Worthington, Lakewood, NJ) as described previously[62]. Cell suspension was processed according to subsequent protocol/experiment.

Single cell cloning and sequencing

Primary cells from a sham[16] and an adult mouse heart 6d after TAC were washed three times with PBS to remove cell debris and passed successively through 100µm, 70µm and 30µm filters. The single cell library was generated using the Chromium Single Cell 3' GEM, Library & Gel Bead Kit v2 (10X Genomics) with a target of 10,000 cells per sample. The single cell library was cloned according to the manufacturer's instructions. Sequencing was performed on a HiSeq4000 (Illumina).

Primary cells from the hearts from *Schlafenlnc*^{-/-} and littermate wildtype mice in basal condition as well as after 6d TAC were passed through a 100µm filter and centrifuged for 1min at 100xg to pellet cardiomyocytes. The supernatant (non-myocytes) was passed through a 40µm filter and washed twice in magnetic-activated cell sorting (MACS) buffer (PBS + 0.5% BSA + 2mM EDTA) to result in 200µl cell suspension. For the isolation of PBMCs, blood was diluted with 5 ml of MACS buffer and layered onto 5ml of Ficoll-Paque (17144002, Cytiva), followed by centrifugation at 400xg and 4°C for 30min in a swinging rotor without a brake. The white layer containing the cells was aspirated, transferred to a 50ml tube and mixed with 30ml of MACS buffer. After centrifugation for 5 min at 300xg and 4°C, the pellet was washed once more with 30ml MACS buffer (200xg and 4°C for 5min) and resuspended in 200µl

MACS buffer. Cardiac and blood leukocytes (basal condition) were further purified using MACS, labelled
with cell hashing antibodies and isolated by FACS (all steps at 4°C): Cell suspensions were incubated
with Fc-block (1:50, BD Pharmingen 553142) for 10min and subsequently incubated with mouse CD45
MicroBeads (Miltenyi Biotec) for 15min. Cells were washed with MACS buffer and MACS was performed
using an AutoMACS (Miltenyi Biotec) running the program *possel_s*. After elution, pelleted cells were
resuspended in MACS buffer containing anti-CD45-FITC (1:1000, clone 30-F11, Biolegend, 103108),
PI (1:100) and cell hashing antibodies (1:1000, #1 for heart, #2 for blood, Biolegend) and incubated for
30min protected from light. For TAC samples, PBMCs were purified as above, cardiac non-myocytes
were directly used for cell sorting. Both cell fractions were stained with cell hashing antibodies (1:1000,
#2 for heart, #3 for blood, Biolegend) and Propidium iodide (1:100, Thermo) and incubated for 30min.
After washing, live cells of all samples were sorted on a Sony cell sorter SH800S, heart and blood cells
of each genotype and condition were pooled and run on a Chromium controller using the Chromium
Next GEM Single Cell 3' v3.1 Library & Gel Bead Kit (10X Genomics) with a target of 10,000 cells per
pool. Libraries were cloned according to the 10x Genomics user guide with feature barcode technology
for cell surface protein (RevD) and Biolegend's protocol for amplification of the cell hashing libraries.
Libraries were pooled according to the recommendations of 10x genomics protocol and sequenced on
a NovaSeq6000 (Illumina).

<u>Purification of primary cells and cardiac macrophages by F4/80 MACS and cell sorting for RNA-seq</u>

For a total myocardial cell sample, 100µl of the raw cell suspension of primary cells from adult wildtype
mouse hearts were washed twice with PBS and lysed in Trizol (ThermoFisher) for subsequent RNA
preparation. For the purification of cardiac macrophages, the cell suspension was filtered through cell
sieves of 70µm and 40µm of size and centrifuged at 100 x g for 3min at 4°C to completely remove
cardiac myocytes. All following steps were performed on ice/at 4°C. The supernatant (non-myocytes)
was washed twice in magnetic-activated cell sorting (MACS) buffer (PBS + 0.5% biotin-free BSA + 2mM
EDTA). MACS was performed in a two-step process using anti-F4/80-biotin coupled antibody (clone
BM8, Biolegend, 123106) and Streptavidin microbeads (Miltenyi Biotec) on LS columns (Miltenyi
Biotec). The eluted macrophage fraction was resuspended in a final volume of 200µl MACS buffer and
incubated 10 min with Fc block (1:50, BD Pharmingen). 200µl of staining solution containing flow
cytometry antibodies (anti-CD45-PECy7 (1:100, clone 30-F11, Invitrogen, 25-0451-82), anti-CD64-
PE/Dazzle594 (1:50, clone X54-5/7.1, Biolegend, 139320), anti-CD11b-PE (1:100, clone M1/70, BD
Pharmingen, 557397)) was added and incubated for 30min in the dark. Cells were washed twice with
MACS buffer and sorted on a Biorad S3 cell sorter. CD45+/CD64+/CD11+ macrophages were collected
(between 100k-170K cells), washed with PBS and lysed in Trizol (Invitrogen) for RNA preparation.

<u>Fibrosis staining</u>

Paraffin sections (8 µm) of ventricular myocardium were stained with Sirius red and Fast green. Images
of the whole heart were taken with a 10x objective using a AxioObserver.Z1 (Zeiss) motorized scanning-
stage microscopy (130 x 85; Märzhäuser). Fibrosis of the left ventricular myocardium was quantified as
the ratio of signals from Sirius red to Fast green in each section using the Metamorph software
(Molecular Devices).

CD68 staining

Cryosections (5 µm) of ventricular myocardium were fixed with methanol for 10 min at -20°C, washed thoroughly with tap water and treated with acetone for 1 min at -20°C. Sections were air dried and subsequently blocked with 5% goat serum in PBS for 1 h. Sections were stained with anti-mouse CD68 (1:100, clone FA-11, AbD Serotec, MCA 1957) at 4°C overnight. The sections were washed three times with PBS + 0.05 % Tween 20 and stained with anti-rat AF564 (1:100, Invitrogen, A11007) and SYTOX™ Green (1:1000, Life technologies, S7020). Images were acquired by confocal microscopy using 63x glycerol objective (Leica SP5) and analyzed using Metamorph (Molecular Devices).

Generation of knockout macrophages

Conditionally immortalized hematopoietic progenitor cells were generated from a R26-Cas9-eGFP transgenic mouse line[41] as previously described[40]. For inducing CRISPR-Cas9 mediated knockout in Hoxb8-FL cells, cells were electroporated with an sgRNA pair targeting the *Schlafenlnc* locus (IDT: Alt-R® CRISPR-Cas9 sgRNA, 5' cut site 5'-GAGGTTCCATCAGTCTGACA-3', 3' cut site 5'-GGCTTGGAAGTAGAAAACCG-3'), as control, a single sgRNA was used (5'-ACCCCTTCAGCTTCACCTCC-3'). Transfected cells were single-cell cloned by limiting dilution assay into 96-well plates and screened for knockout clones. CRISPR-directed deletions on *Schlafenlnc* were identified by a PCR-based strategy to amplify the genomic DNA of the clones. Single-cell clones carrying the induced deletions were expanded and frozen for further use. To do this, extraction of genomic DNA was performed with QuickExtract™ DNA Extraction Solution (Lucigen) according to the manufacturer's instruction. Briefly, approximately 100,000 cells were lysed in 50µl of QuickExtract by incubation as follows: 65°C – 15min, 68°C – 15min, 98°C – 10min. 50ng of genomic DNA was then subjected to PCR amplification with two primer pairs to distinguish successful *Schlafenlnc* knockout clones from wildtype or heterozygous knockout. *Schlafenlnc*_KO_forward 5'-CAGCCCTGAGTCAGAACATAC-3' and *Schlafenlnc*_KO_reverse 5'-TCCTTAGGGTGCACATCTACT-3' were used for detection of a successfully cut site with a resulting amplicon length of 480bp, *Schlafenlnc*_WT_forward 5'-TCTGTCTCTACTCCCTCAACTC-3' and *Schlafenlnc*_WT_reverse 5'-AAGGCATGTTCTGCTCCTAC-3' were used for detection of the wildtype allele/uncut gene locus with a resulting amplicon length of 320bp.

Hoxb8-FL *Schlafenlnc* KO cell culture and differentiation

Hoxb8 progenitor cells were cultured in RPMI 1640 supplemented with 10% FCS, 1% Pen/Strep, 50 ng/mL FLT3L, 50nM b-mercaptoethanol and 1nM b-estradiol. FLT3L supernatant was obtained from a FLT3L-producing B16 melanoma cell line.

For differentiation of HoxB8 cells into macrophages, Hoxb8 progenitor cells were cultured in differentiation medium consisting of the culturing medium described above without b-estradiol and supplemented with 20% MCSF supernatant, which was obtained from a MCSF-producing L929 cell line. Differentiation was accomplished after 6 days.

Generation of bone marrow-derived macrophages

Femurs and tibias from 6-12 week old C57BL/6N mice were prepared and bone marrow was isolated by flushing the bones with R10 medium (RPMI medium, 10% FCS, 1% antibiotics) with a syringe. To generate bone marrow derived macrophages, 3-4 mio. isolated bone marrow cells were seeded in a 10cm petri dish containing R10 medium supplemented with 20% MCSF supernatant. Medium was added on day 3 and exchanged on day 6 after seeding, macrophages were fully differentiated on day 8 and used for experiments.

Cytoplasmic/nuclear fractionation

Cytoplasmic/nuclear fractionation was performed as described before[63]. Briefly, bone marrow-derived macrophages (BMDMs) were washed two times with cold PBS and harvested. 10% of the cells was transferred into a new tube and used as a sample for the total gene expression. Cells were centrifuged at 200 x g for 10 minutes and the total expression sample was directly used for RNA isolation. The fractionation sample was resuspended in 200µl lysis buffer A (10 mM Tris pH=8, 140 mM NaCl, 1.5 mM MgCl2, 0.5% Nonidet P-40) and incubated for 5 minutes on ice. The lysate was centrifuged at 1000 x g for 3 minutes at 4°C. The supernatant containing the cytoplasmic fraction was directly used for RNA isolation through lysis with Trizol. The sediment containing the nuclear fraction was washed two times with lysis buffer A and one time with lysis buffer B (Buffer A supplemented with 1% Tween-40, 0.5% deoxycholic acid) and afterwards resuspended in Trizol for RNA isolation.

RNA cloning and sequencing

Libraries from primary cardiac macrophages and total myocardium as well as *Schlafenlnc*-deficient and control cell lines were generated using the TruSeq Stranded RNA Kit (Illumina) according to the manufacturer's protocol, except for one step. Instead of using the SuperScriptII (ThermoFisher) as the reverse transcriptase, SuperScriptIII (ThermoFisher) was used at a reaction temperature of 50°C. Sequencing was performed on a HiSeq4000 platform (Illumina).

Libraries from fractionation samples were generated using the Stranded mRNA Prep, Ligation Kit (Illumina) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Sequencing was performed on a NovaSeq6000 machine (Illumina).

RNA *in situ* hybridization (RNAscope)

Bone marrow derived macrophages were seeded on a removable 8-well chambers slide (Ibidi) and RNA *in situ* hybridization was performed using the RNAscope Fluorescent Multiplex Reagent Kit (Advanced Cell Diagnostics (ACD)) according to manufacturer's instructions. A custom designed RNA probe by ACD was used for detection of *Schlafenlnc* (ENSMUST00000143673.2). Following the RNA *in situ* hybridization, the slides were incubated with Phalloidin-AF647 (Abcam) for 60 minutes at room temperature. Subsequently, slides were washed three times with PBS and mounted using ProLong™ Gold Antifade Mountant (Invitrogen). Images were acquired using the confocal microscope Leica TCS SP II and the Leica Application Suite.

Galectin-3 ELISA

Cell culture supernatant of differentiated Hoxb8 macrophages was collected and the amount of soluble
Galectin-3 was quantitatively assessed using the Galectin-3 Mouse SimpleStep enzyme-linked immuno-
sorbent assay (ELISA) kit (Abcam) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The cell culture
supernatant was diluted 1:10 in sample diluent before use.

Chemotaxis

An IncuCyte® ClearView 96-Well Chemotaxis Plate (Sartorius) was coated on both sides of the
membrane using 50 µg/mL Matrigel (Corning) at 37°C for 30 minutes. After coating, plates were
incubated at room temperature for 30 minutes and afterwards washed with D-PBS. Differentiated HoxB8
macrophages were harvested and 2,000 cells were seeded in each well in differentiation medium
containing 0.5% FCS. Cells were allowed to settle at the bottom of the upper well for 30 minutes.
Subsequently, 100nM recombinant murine C5a (Pepro Tech) was added to the lower well of the
ClearView migration plate. Cells were imaged every 2 h for 72 hours using an Incucyte ZOOM
instrument and a 10x objective with chemotaxis plate settings. Chemotaxis was analyzed using the
IncuCyte ZOOM software.

Adhesion Assay

Ibidi black 96 well µ-Plate was coated with 20µg/mL Fibronectin (Sigma Aldrich) for 1 h at 37°C. 10,000
differentiated Hoxb8 macrophages were seeded in each well in differentiation medium and were
incubated for 2 hours at 37°C in a 5% CO2 atmosphere. After the incubation, cells were stained using
NucBlue Live ReadyProbes reagent (Invitrogen) and following the manufacturers' instructions. Images
of the Nuclei were taken before and after removing the non-adherent cells by washing three times with
pre-warmed medium using an EVOS FL Auto 2 cell imaging system (Invitrogen). Cell nuclei were
counted automatically using the MetaMorph analysis software (Molecular Devices).

Northern blotting

Northern blotting was performed as reported previously[64]. Briefly, 10µg total RNA from fibroblasts
(NIH/3T3) and *in vitro* differentiated macrophages were run on a 1.2% formaldehyde agarose gel,
transferred to a Hybond-N+ membrane (Ge Healthcare) and UV-crosslinked. Probes were end-labelled
using [gamma-^{32}P]-ATP and purified using an Illustra ProbeQuant G-50 micro column (GE Healthcare).
A mixture of two different probes was used per target. Probes were incubated over night with a pre-
hybridized membrane, signal was accumulated using a phophoimager screen and detected using a
Cyclone Plus (PerkinElmer). mGAPDH probe1 5'-
CATGGACTGTGGTCATGAGCCCTTCCACAATGCCAAAGTTG-3', mGAPDH probe2 5'-
GAATTTGCCGTGAGTGGAGTCATACTGGAACATGTAGACCATGTAGTTGAGG-3', Slfnlnc probe1
5'-CAGCTCTGCGTCTCTGCTCCTGTTCAGGTCCATCTTTCATCTCCG-3', Slfnlnc probe2 5'-
CAGTCGGGGTTCTGCCTCTTGATGTGGTTGTGCTAACCGGTGGGAC-3'.

 Quantitative real-time PCR

1 μg of total RNA was reverse transcribed with Protoscript II reverse transcriptase (NEB), both according

to the manufacturer's instructions using random hexamer primers. Quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR)

was carried out with primers listed below, using the FastStart universal SYBR Green master mix

(Roche). The sample volume of 12.5 μl contained 1x SYBR Green master mix, 5 pmol of each primer

and 10 ng of cDNA. PCR was performed using StepOnePlus Real-Time PCR systems (Applied

Biosystems). Slfnlnc_qPCR fwd 5'- ATGACTGGTCCTGGTTTTCG -3', Slfnlnc_qPCR fwd 5'-

TCTGCCTCTTGATGTGGTTG   -3',   mRPL32   fwd   (housekeeping   gene)   5'-

ACATCGGTTATGGGAGCAAC   -3',   mRPL32   rev   (housekeeping   gene)   5'-

GGGATTGGTGACTCTGATGG -3'.

Data analysis

Single cell sequencing data analysis

Raw reads generated by single cell RNA sequencing data from sham[16] and 6d after TAC (this study)

was aligned against the mouse genome (mm10, Gencode annotation vM22[18]) using CellRanger 5.0.0

(10x Genomics). Cell that were called by CellRanger were subsequently analyzed using the R package

Seurat v3.2[65]. After quality control (retaining cells with >500 or <3,600 detected genes, <10%

mitochondrial cell content), 7,913 cells of the sham condition and 13,803 of the TAC condition were

used for further downstream analysis. SCTransform[66] was used to normalize and scale the data as well

as remove unwanted variation (regressing out mitochondrial gene content and cell cycle score).

SCTransform was then applied to identify variable feature which were used to integrate both data sets

("IntegrateData" function). By using the ElbowPlot function on Principal Component Analysis results, the

number of relevant components was determined (set to 40) and used for embedding and visualization

by Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP). Seurat's "FindAllMarkers" function was

used for determination of cell population marker genes.

For the comparison of clusterings with adjusted Rand index score, gene sets were defined as follows:

all genes = all genes listed in the vM22 annotation of Gencode; protein coding genes = all genes with

gene biotype "protein coding" in vM22; lncRNAs = all genes in vM22 lncRNA annotation of Gencode

(gene biotype "lncRNA"+"TEC"); "expressed" gene sets = same definitions as above with filter for

average expression >0 in at least one cell cluster; all variable genes = gene list generated by Seurat's

"SelectIntegrationFeatures", default is 3,000 genes; subset of variable genes based on gene lists

defined above. Next, clusterings with resolutions from 0.01 to 1.00 with 0.01 increments were iteratively

calculated ("FindClusters" function) and cluster ID per cell was saved in a data frame. The adjusted

Rand Index is a measure for the degree of similarity between two clusterings, for example. The R

package mclust[67] with inbuilt function "adjustedRandIndex" was used to compare all gene sets to the

respective complete gene set (e.g. the subset of expressed lncRNAs to all expressed genes).

For calculating de-regulated genes after TAC, first Adaptively thresholded Low-Rank Approximation

(ALRA)[68] was performed in order to impute drop out gene expression values followed by using Seurat's

"FindMarkers" function to compare each cell population before and after TAC. For the analysis of

scRNA-seq data of *Schlafenlnc*-deficient and wildtype animals, raw reads from basal and 6d after TAC

from WT and KO were aligned against the mouse genome (mm10, Gencode annotation vM25[18]) using

CellRanger 6.0.1 (10x Genomics) and analyzed using the R package Seurat v3.2[65]. Quality control was

applied to basal (>200 or <5,000 detected genes, <10% mitochondrial cell content) and TAC (>200 or

<4,000 detected genes, <10% mitochondrial cell content) samples. SCTransform and FindAllMarkers

was applied as above to normalize, scale, integrate data and identify marker genes for cell populations.

The integrated object was subset on all cell clusters expressing *Cd45* (Leukocytes). Deregulated genes

were calculated using Seurat's "FindMarkers" function to compare each cell population between

SchlafenInc-deficient and wildtype cells. GO Term analysis of the deregulated genes was performed

using "DAVID 2021"[69].

Cell-cell communication analysis

A subset of cells of interest from blood and heart of *SchlafenInc*-decient and wildtype *Cd45+* (*Lyve1*

macrophages, *MHCII*-high macrophages, *Isg* macrophages, intermediate macrophages, classical

monocytes and non-classical monocytes) was used for analysis of secreted signaling pathways within

the CellChat database. The *SchlafenInc*-deficient and wildtype objects were analyzed separately using

CellChat[70] and merged with "mergeCellChat". The differential number of interactions between the cell

clusters in *SchlafenInc*-deficient vs. wildtype was analyzed and displayed using the CellChat function

"netVisual_diffInteraction" and the differential signaling pathways were assessed using "rankNet".

Estimating RNA velocity

To calculate the RNA velocity of single cells, the abundance of the spliced and unspliced RNA was

quantified by running Velocyto CLI (v0.17.5) on CellRanger output BAM files and the corresponding

gene annotation (GENCODE vM22). The resulting loom files were incorporated into the Seurat objects

using SeuratWrapper R package (v0.3.0), and after integration and clustering, the objects were

converted to AnnData format (h5ad) to be compatible for downstream analysis with the Python package

scVelo (v0.2.5). Using scVelo, cell-to-cell transition probabilities were estimated and RNA-velocity was

projected as streamlines on the UMAP embedding.

Identification of novel lncRNAs

Most steps of this pipeline were performed using the Galaxy platform[71]. Reads were mapped using RNA

STAR aligner (Galaxy version 2.6.0b-2)[72] using a built-in index based on Gencode vM22 annotation and

otherwise default parameters. StringTie (Galaxy Version 1.3.3.2)[73] was used to predict novel transcripts

(strand information RF, reference file Gencode vM22, average read length 90). StringTie merge (Galaxy

version 1.3.6) with default parameters was used to merge all new annotations together, including

Gencode annotation vM22 as reference annotation. Novel genes were extracted by Bedtools Intersect

tool (Galaxy version v2.29.0)[74], intersecting the merged annotation with the Gencode vM22 annotation.

Transcripts were extracted with the "Extract features" tool (Galaxy 1.0.0) and analyzed for coding

potential with FEELnc (Galaxy version 0.1.1.1)[30]. FEELnc produces a gene transfer format (gtf)

annotation file containing only transcripts classified as long non-coding RNAs. "cat" command was used

to merge this lncRNA annotation to Gencode vM22 reference annotation file to create reference

annotation file with additional novel lncRNA genes. All RNA-Seq libraries were measured again against

this new transcriptome using StringTie's "count" option. For visualizing coding probability, the python

version of the Coding-Potential Assessment Tool (CPAT, v2.0.0)[75] was run on the fasta sequences of

the novel lncRNAs ($ cpat.py -g novel_lncRNAs_gffread.fasta -d Mouse_logitModel_CPAT.RData -x

Mouse_Hexamer_CPAT.tsv -o output_novel_lncRNAs.txt). GraphPad Prism 8 was used to calculate

the frequency distribution in percentages. For plotting transcript lengths, the length determined in the

CPAT output was used and again transformed to frequency distribution in Prism. For the categorization

of interactions between lncRNAs and other genes, the classifier output of FEELnc was used.

Interactions with the same gene were discarded, for known lncRNAs only genes expressed

predominantly in cardiac macrophages were considered ($\log_2$ fold change >1). To compute differential

gene expression analysis, DESeq2 package was used (Galaxy version 2.11.40.2)[76], outputting also a

normalized counts file. Normalized counts served as input for clustering and heatmap visualization by

ClustVis R package (v. 0.0.0.9)[77].

RNA-Seq analysis of Hoxb8 Schlafenlnc-deficient macrophages

Fastq reads were mapped using RNA STAR aligner (Galaxy version 2.6.0b-2)[72] using a built-in index

based on Gencode vM22 annotation and otherwise default parameters. StringTie (Galaxy Version

1.3.3.2)[73] count option was used to quantify gene expression using Gencode vM22 as   reference

annotation. DESeq2 was used to calculate differential gene expression (Galaxy version 2.11.40.2)[76].

The normalized counts file was used for heatmap visualization with ln(x) transformation of the top 20

down-regulated genes (ClustVis R package[77]).

Neighboring genes analysis and Gene Ontology Analysis

Up- or downregulated lncRNAs during pressure overload (p-value<0.05) in either macrophage,

fibroblast or endothelial cell populations were analyzed for neighboring genes using FEELnc (Galaxy

Version 0.2[30]) and filtered for antisense protein coding genes. Gene ontology analysis for these gene

sets for each cell population was performed using the web-based tool "DAVID 2021"[69]. Neighboring

genes of known and novel lncRNAs were also identified using FEELnc (Galaxy Version 0.2[30]).

Biological processes associated with the differentially regulated genes in *Schlafenlnc*-deficient

macrophages (log2FC > 1 or < -1, FDR < 0.05) were determined using ClueGO application (v2.5.8)[78]

ran within Cytoscape (v3.8.2)[79] network analysis tool (p-value cutoff = 0.01, Min GO level = 4, Max GO

Level = 10, Number of Genes = 2, Min. Percentage = 4.0).

Kipoi RBP-eclip analysis (Protein interactors analysis)

Model training data: To access the binding probability of known RBPs on *Schlafenlnc*, a pretrained deep

neural network (DNN)[34] on eCLIP-seq data[35] was downloaded from the Kipoi repository[80]. In order to

test the binding probability of the 101 proteins on *Schlafenlnc*, a bed file containing the coordinates of

Schlafenlnc's 4 exons (ENSMUST00000143673.2 on Ensembl) was obtained. Then for each exon, we

took sliding windows of 101nt length resulting on a new bed6 file where each row is of 101nt length.

Kipoi builds on environments, making the sharing and loading of models easy. We started our analysis

by generating an environment with all the needed dependencies. Afterwards for each protein of interest,

we programmatically obtained the dataloader, load the fasta sequence for GRCm38.p6, load the

GENCODE annotation for mouse version vM22[18], and a bed file with the pre-extracted 101nt length

sliding windows and ran the predict function with default arguments (considering all the genomic

landmarks also for non-protein coding genes). Finally, for each protein of interest a csv file was

generated, containing the following info for each 101nt sequence considered: the chromosome, the

starting position, the end position, a sequence ID, a score as in the bed6 file, the strand and the binding
score [0 (no binding probability), 1 (high binding probability)] of the protein of interest on that window.

<u>Software list</u>

Leica Application Suite Advanced Fluorescence, version 2.7.9723
Invitrogen EVOS FL Auto 2 Software
CellRanger, version 5.0.0
Seurat, version 3.2
RNA STAR aligner, version 2.6.0b-2
StringTie and StringTie merge, version 1.3.3.2 and 1.3.6
Bedtools Intersect tool, version v2.29.0
"Extract features" tool, version 1.0.0
FEELnc, version 0.1.1.1 (Galaxy) and version 0.2
Coding-Potential Assessment Tool, CPAT, version 2.0.0
DESeq2, version 2.11.40.2
ClustVis R package, version 0.0.0.9
Cytoscape, version 3.8.2
ClueGO, version 2.5.8
MetaMorph Offline, version 7.10.1
Prism 8 for Mac OS, version 8.4.1
Adobe Illustrator for Mac OS, version 24.2.1
R studio, version 3.6.3
Microsoft Office for Mac

<u>Statistical Analysis</u>

Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism 8. To test for outliers Grubbs test (alpha=0.05)
was used. Data distribution and the difference between variances were tested using the Shapiro-Wilk
normality test. For statistical analysis of two groups, unpaired t-test was performed for normal distributed
samples, otherwise Mann-Whitney test was used. For more than two groups, two-way ANOVA was
performed. For chemotaxis experiments, area under the curve (AUC) was calculated and statistical
significance was tested using unpaired t-test. Significance is depicted as $*p < 0.05$, $**p < 0.01$,
$***p < 0.001$, $****p < 0.0001$.

ACCESSION NUMBERS

All sequencing data sets can be accessed at GEO database under GSE210732.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We thank Lucia Koblitz for expert support with primary cell preparations, Julia Kerler and Anton Bomhard for expert assistance in animal surgeries and Dr. Elisabeth Graf for assistance with RNA-seq. We thank Dr. Daniel Andergassen and Dr. Bernhard Laggerbauer for critical reading and helpful discussions.

FUNDING

This project was funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation)—Project- ID 403584255–TRR 267 (S.E., A.D., R.P.B., M.S.L., L.M., T.M., J.G.). S.E., A.D., L.A., D.E., K.H., N.P., H.S., C.S., T.W., J.G., E.T., H.B.S., T.M., N.J., M.S.L. and R.P.B. were supported in the framework of the German Centre for Cardiovascular Research (DZHK), and the partner site in Munich (MHA) (S.E., A.D., H.S., C.S., T.W., J.G., H.B.S., T.M.) projects 81X3600404 (A.D.), 81X2600245 (C.S.) and 81X2600246 (C.S.) or partner site RheinMain, Frankfurt (N.J., M.S.L. and R.P.B.). S.E. and D.R. were supported by DFG through the Research Training Group GRK2338 and the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement no. 813716.

Author contributions

A.D. and S.E. designed the study and wrote the manuscript. A.D., L.A. D.E., S.B., E.T., K.H., N.P. and A.U. performed the experiments or analyzed the resulting data. M.B. provided the *SchlafenInc* knockout mouse. R.P.B., J.G., P.K., M.S.L., L.M., T.M., D.R., H.B.S., M.S.-S., C.S. and T.W. provided experimental models, instruments and crucial expertise. All authors read, commented on and approved the manuscript.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

None declared.

REFERENCES

1. Goodall, G. J. & Wickramasinghe, V. O. RNA in cancer. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* **21**, 22–36 (2021).
2. Aliperti, V., Skonieczna, J. & Cerase, A. Long non-coding rna (Lncrna) roles in cell biology, neurodevelopment and neurological disorders. *Non-coding RNA* **7**, (2021).
3. Anderson, K. M. & Anderson, D. M. LncRNAs at the heart of development and disease. *Mamm. Genome* **33**, 354–365 (2022).
4. Wysoczynski, M., Kim, J., Iv, J. B. M. & Uchida, S. Macrophage Long Non-Coding RNAs in Pathogenesis of Cardiovascular Disease. (2020).
5. Klattenhoff, C. A. *et al.* Braveheart, a long noncoding RNA required for cardiovascular lineage commitment. *Cell* **152**, 570–583 (2013).
6. Li, K. *et al.* A noncoding antisense RNA in tie-1 locus regulates tie-1 function *in vivo*. *Blood* **115**, 133–139 (2010).
7. Micheletti, R. *et al.* The long noncoding RNA Wisper controls cardiac fibrosis and remodeling. *Sci. Transl. Med.* **9**, (2017).
8. Zaman, R. & Epelman, S. Resident cardiac macrophages: Heterogeneity and function in health and disease. *Immunity* **55**, 1549–1563 (2022).
9. Gomez Perdiguero, E. *et al.* Tissue-resident macrophages originate from yolk-sac-derived erythro-myeloid progenitors. *Nature* **518**, 547–551 (2015).
10. Epelman, S. *et al.* Embryonic and adult-derived resident cardiac macrophages are maintained through distinct mechanisms at steady state and during inflammation. *Immunity* **40**, 91–104 (2014).
11. Dick, S. A. *et al.* Three tissue resident macrophage subsets coexist across organs with conserved origins and life cycles. *Sci. Immunol.* **7**, 1–19 (2022).
12. Hulsmans, M. *et al.* Macrophages Facilitate Electrical Conduction in the Heart. *Cell* **169**, 510-522.e20 (2017).
13. Grune, J. *et al.* Neutrophils incite and macrophages avert electrical storm after myocardial infarction. *Nat. Cardiovasc. Res.* **1**, 649–664 (2022).
14. Fujiu, K. *et al.* A heart-brain-kidney network controls adaptation to cardiac stress through tissue macrophage activation. *Nat. Med.* **23**, 611–622 (2017).
15. Cahill, T. J. *et al.* Tissue-resident macrophages regulate lymphatic vessel growth and patterning in the developing heart. *Dev.* **148**, (2021).
16. Ramanujam, D. *et al.* MicroRNA-21–Dependent Macrophage-to-Fibroblast Signaling Determines the Cardiac Response to Pressure Overload. *Circulation* **143**, 1513–1525 (2021).
17. Butler, A., Hoffman, P., Smibert, P., Papalexi, E. & Satija, R. Integrating single-cell transcriptomic data across different conditions, technologies, and species. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **36**, 411–420 (2018).
18. Harrow, J. *et al.* GENCODE: The reference human genome annotation for the ENCODE project. *Genome Res.* **22**, 1760–1774 (2012).
19. Skelly, D. A. *et al.* Single-Cell Transcriptional Profiling Reveals Cellular Diversity and Intercommunication in the Mouse Heart. *Cell Rep.* **22**, 600–610 (2018).
20. McLellan, M. A. *et al.* High-Resolution Transcriptomic Profiling of the Heart during Chronic Stress Reveals Cellular Drivers of Cardiac Fibrosis and Hypertrophy. *Circulation* 1448–1463 (2020) doi:10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.119.045115.
21. Mercer, T. R., Dinger, M. E., Sunkin, S. M., Mehler, M. F. & Mattick, J. S. Specific expression of long noncoding RNAs in the mouse brain. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **105**, 716–721 (2008).
22. Derrien, T. *et al.* The GENCODE v7 catalog of human long noncoding RNAs: Analysis of their gene structure, evolution, and expression. *Genome Res.* **22**, 1775–1789 (2012).
23. Cabili, M. *et al.* Integrative annotation of human large intergenic noncoding RNAs reveals global properties and specific subclasses. *Genes Dev.* **25**, 1915–1927 (2011).
24. Ulitsky, I. Evolution to the rescue: Using comparative genomics to understand long non-coding RNAs. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **17**, 601–614 (2016).
25. Piccoli, M.-T. *et al.* Inhibition of the Cardiac Fibroblast-Enriched lncRNA Meg3 Prevents Cardiac Fibrosis and Diastolic Dysfunction. *Circ. Res.* CIRCRESAHA.117.310624 (2017) doi:10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.117.310624.
26. Hofmann, P. *et al.* Long non-coding RNA H19 regulates endothelial cell aging via inhibition of STAT3 signalling. *Cardiovasc. Res.* **115**, 230–242 (2019).
27. Han, P. *et al.* A long noncoding RNA protects the heart from pathological hypertrophy. *Nature* **514**, 102–106 (2014).
28. Alexanian, M. & Haldar, S. M. The cardiac myofibroblast from supporting cast to leading role? *Circ. Res.* **123**, 1258–1260 (2018).
29. Guttman, M. *et al.* Chromatin signature reveals over a thousand highly conserved large non-

729   coding RNAs in mammals. *Nature* **458**, 223–227 (2009).

730   30.   Wucher, V. *et al.* FEELnc: A tool for long non-coding RNA annotation and its application to the
731   dog transcriptome. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **45**, 1–12 (2017).

732   31.   Liu, F., Zhou, P., Wang, Q., Zhang, M. & Li, D. The Schlafen family: complex roles in different
733   cell types and virus replication. *Cell Biol. Int.* **42**, 2–8 (2018).

734   32.   Hezroni, H. *et al.* Principles of Long Noncoding RNA Evolution Derived from Direct Comparison
735   of Transcriptomes in 17 Species. *Cell Rep.* **11**, 1110–1122 (2015).

736   33.   Luo, Y. *et al.* New developments on the Encyclopedia of DNA Elements (ENCODE) data portal.
737   *Nucleic Acids Res.* **48**, D882–D889 (2020).

738   34.   Avsec, Z., Barekatain, M., Cheng, J. & Gagneur, J. Modeling positional effects of regulatory
739   sequences with spline transformations increases prediction accuracy of deep neural networks.
740   *Bioinformatics* **34**, 1261–1269 (2018).

741   35.   Van Nostrand, E. L. *et al.* Robust transcriptome-wide discovery of RNA-binding protein binding
742   sites with enhanced CLIP (eCLIP). *Nat. Methods* **13**, 508–514 (2016).

743   36.   Dunham, I. *et al.* An integrated encyclopedia of DNA elements in the human genome. *Nature*
744   **489**, 57–74 (2012).

745   37.   Shi, L., Shi, L., Wang, X. & He, J. Regulatory Roles of Osteopontin in Production of Monocyte-
746   Origin MCP-1. *Cell Transplant.* **27**, 1185–1194 (2018).

747   38.   Gregory, J. L. *et al.* Macrophage Migration Inhibitory Factor Induces Macrophage Recruitment
748   via CC Chemokine Ligand 2. *J. Immunol.* **177**, 8072–8079 (2006).

749   39.   Uhlén, M. *et al.* The human secretome. *Sci. Signal.* **12**, 1–9 (2019).

750   40.   Redecke, V. *et al.* Hematopoietic progenitor cell lines with myeloid and lymphoid potential. *Nat.*
751   *Methods* **10**, 795–803 (2013).

752   41.   Platt, R. J. *et al.* CRISPR-Cas9 knockin mice for genome editing and cancer modeling. *Cell* **159**,
753   440–455 (2014).

754   42.   Marx, V. Method of the Year: spatially resolved transcriptomics. *Nat. Methods* **18**, 9–14 (2021).

755   43.   Svensson, V. *et al.* Power analysis of single-cell rnA-sequencing experiments. *Nat. Methods* **14**,
756   381–387 (2017).

757   44.   Rhee, A. J. & Lavine, K. J. New Approaches to Target Inflammation in Heart Failure: Harnessing
758   Insights from Studies of Immune Cell Diversity. *Annu. Rev. Physiol.* **82**, 1–20 (2020).

759   45.   Duncan, S. E. *et al.* Macrophage Activities in Myocardial Infarction and Heart Failure. *Cardiol.*
760   *Res. Pract.* **2020**, (2020).

761   46.   Moskalik, A., Niderla-Bielińska, J. & Ratajska, A. Multiple roles of cardiac macrophages in heart
762   homeostasis and failure. *Heart Fail. Rev.* (2021) doi:10.1007/s10741-021-10156-z.

763   47.   Peet, C., Ivetic, A., Bromage, D. I. & Shah, A. M. Cardiac monocytes and macrophages after
764   myocardial infarction. *Cardiovasc. Res.* **116**, 1101–1112 (2020).

765   48.   Liao, X. *et al.* Distinct roles of resident and nonresident macrophages in nonischemic
766   cardiomyopathy. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **115**, E4661–E4669 (2018).

767   49.   Leuschner, F. *et al.* Rapid monocyte kinetics in acute myocardial infarction are sustained by
768   extramedullary monocytopoiesis. *J. Exp. Med.* **209**, 123–137 (2012).

769   50.   Dutta, P. *et al.* Myocardial infarction activates CCR2+ hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells.
770   *Cell Stem Cell* **16**, 477–487 (2015).

771   51.   Dutta, P. *et al.* Myocardial infarction accelerates atherosclerosis. *Nature* **487**, 325–329 (2012).

772   52.   Aoki, S. *et al.* Elevated peripheral blood mononuclear cell count is an independent predictor of
773   left ventricular remodeling in patients with acute myocardial infarction. *J. Cardiol.* **57**, 202–207
774   (2011).

775   53.   Van Der Laan, A. M. *et al.* A proinflammatory monocyte response is associated with myocardial
776   injury and impaired functional outcome in patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial
777   infarction: Monocytes and myocardial infarction. *Am. Heart J.* **163**, 57-65.e2 (2012).

778   54.   Swirski, F. K. & Al., E. Identification of Splenic Reservoir. *Science.* **325**, 612–616 (2009).

779   55.   Frantz, S. & Nahrendorf, M. Cardiac macrophages and their role in ischaemic heart disease.
780   *Cardiovasc. Res.* **102**, 240–248 (2014).

781   56.   Bajpai, G. *et al.* Tissue Resident CCR2- and CCR2+ Cardiac Macrophages Differentially
782   Orchestrate Monocyte Recruitment and Fate Specification Following Myocardial Injury. *Circ.*
783   *Res.* **124**, 263–278 (2019).

784   57.   Yakar Tülüce, S. *et al.* Galectin-3 levels in patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy and its
785   relationship with left ventricular mass index and function. *Anatol. J. Cardiol.* **16**, 344–348 (2016).

786   58.   Frunza, O. *et al.* Myocardial Galectin-3 Expression Is Associated with Remodeling of the
787   Pressure-Overloaded Heart and May Delay the Hypertrophic Response without Affecting
788   Survival, Dysfunction, and Cardiac Fibrosis. *Am. J. Pathol.* **186**, 1114–1127 (2016).

789   59.   Danella Polli, C. *et al.* Monocyte Migration Driven by Galectin-3 Occurs through Distinct
790   Mechanisms Involving Selective Interactions with the Extracellular Matrix. *ISRN Inflamm.* **2013**,

1–9 (2013).
60.   Jia, W., Kidoya, H., Yamakawa, D., Naito, H. & Takakura, N. Galectin-3 accelerates M2
macrophage infiltration and angiogenesis in tumors. *Am. J. Pathol.* **182**, 1821–1831 (2013).
61.   Papaspyridonos, M. *et al.* Galectin-3 is an amplifier of inflammation in atherosclerotic plaque
progression through macrophage activation and monocyte chemoattraction. *Arterioscler.*
Thromb. Vasc. Biol. **28**, 433–440 (2008).
62.   Ramanujam, D., Sassi, Y., Laggerbauer, B. & Engelhardt, S. Viral vector-based targeting of miR-
21 in cardiac nonmyocyte cells reduces pathologic remodeling of the heart. *Mol. Ther.* **24**, 1939–
1948 (2016).
63.   Hwang, H. W., Wentzel, E. A. & Mendell, J. T. A hexanucleotide element directs microRNA
nuclear import. *Science.* **315**, 97–100 (2007).
64.   Ziegler, C. *et al.* The long non-coding RNA LINC 00941 and SPRR 5 are novel regulators of
human epidermal homeostasis . *EMBO Rep.* **20**, 1–13 (2019).
65.   Stuart, T. *et al.* Comprehensive Integration of Single-Cell Data. *Cell* **177**, 1888-1902.e21 (2019).
66.   Hafemeister, C. & Satija, R. Normalization and variance stabilization of single-cell RNA-seq data
using regularized negative binomial regression. *Genome Biol.* **20**, 1–15 (2019).
67.   Scrucca, L., Fop, M., Murphy, T. B. & Raftery, A. E. Mclust 5: Clustering, classification and
density estimation using Gaussian finite mixture models. *R J.* **8**, 289–317 (2016).
68.   Linderman, G. C., Zhao, J. & Kluger, Y. Zero-preserving imputation of scRNA-seq data using
low-rank approximation. *bioRxiv* 397588 (2018) doi:10.1101/397588.
69.   Sherman, B. T. *et al.* DAVID: a web server for functional enrichment analysis and functional
annotation of gene lists (2021 update). *Nucleic Acids Res.* 1–6 (2022) doi:10.1093/nar/gkac194.
70.   Jin, S. *et al.* Inference and analysis of cell-cell communication using CellChat. *Nat. Commun.* **12**,
1–20 (2021).
71.   Afgan, E. *et al.* The Galaxy platform for accessible, reproducible and collaborative biomedical
analyses: 2018 update. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **46**, W537–W544 (2018).
72.   Dobin, A. *et al.* STAR: Ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. *Bioinformatics* **29**, 15–21 (2013).
73.   Pertea, M. *et al.* StringTie enables improved reconstruction of a transcriptome from RNA-seq
reads. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **33**, 290–295 (2015).
74.   Quinlan, A. R. & Hall, I. M. BEDTools: A flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic features.
Bioinformatics **26**, 841–842 (2010).
75.   Wang, L. *et al.* CPAT: Coding-potential assessment tool using an alignment-free logistic
regression model. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **41**, 1–7 (2013).
76.   Love, M. I., Huber, W. & Anders, S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-
seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol.* **15**, 1–21 (2014).
77.   Metsalu, T. & Vilo, J. ClustVis: A web tool for visualizing clustering of multivariate data using
Principal Component Analysis and heatmap. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **43**, W566–W570 (2015).
78.   Bindea, G. *et al.* ClueGO: A Cytoscape plug-in to decipher functionally grouped gene ontology
and pathway annotation networks. *Bioinformatics* **25**, 1091–1093 (2009).
79.   Shannon, P. *et al.* Cytoscape: A software Environment for integrated models of biomolecular
interaction networks. *Genome Res.* **13**, 2498–2504 (2003).
80.   Avsec, Ž. *et al.* The Kipoi repository accelerates community exchange and reuse of predictive
models for genomics. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **37**, 592–600 (2019).

Figure 1: LncRNA are important determinants for definition of specific cell types.

(A) Illustration for the measurement of lncRNA in murine myocardium and re-clustering analysis. (B) UMAP projection of murine myocardium as measured by single-cell sequencing (scRNA-seq). Cell populations are colored by type as indicated by the label. DC: dendritic cells, EC: endothelial cells, CM:

cardiac myocytes. (C) Bubble plot showing lncRNA marker genes conserved across conditions. Bubble

size corresponds to enrichment (logFC) and fill color to expression value (log10). Only values/bubbles

with a significant enrichment (p-val < 0.05) are shown. (D) Feature plots showing mRNA and lncRNA

markers for fibroblasts, endothelial cells (EC) and macrophages. (E), (F), (G) Adjusted Rand Index (ARI)

calculation. In order to compare the clusterings with and without lncRNAs, the respective complete gene

set was considered to yield the optimal clustering. We iterated the clustering in 0.01 steps with

resolutions ranging from 0.10 to 1.00, testing for the similarity to optimal clustering using adjusted Rand

index scoring. Here, the more similar a clustering would be to the "optimal" one the closer it will be to 1.

Displayed as boxplot with median and quartiles. (E) ARI calculation for the top variable genes (3,000

genes). (F) ARI calculation for all annotated genes (Gencode vM22[18]). (G) ARI calculation for all

expressed genes (expression value >0). pc: protein coding (H) Umap projection of murine myocardium

with cell population clustering based on protein coding genes plus lncRNA genes (left panel) or only

protein coding genes (right panel). The middle panel shows differentially assigned cell population

clusters.

Figure 2: Dynamic changes of lncRNA expression in crMPs during pressure overload.

Bubble plot showing the top 5 up-regulated and top 5 down-regulated lncRNA genes after TAC for the

sub-populations of the major cell types in the heart: (A) Fibroblasts (B) Endothelial cells and (C)

Macrophages. Fill color corresponds to regulation in TAC vs. sham (lnFC TAC/sham) and bubble size

corresponds to expression value (log10). Only values with a significant enrichment (p-val < 0.05) are

shown. Some genes are among the top regulated genes in more than one cell type.

Figure 3

Figure 3: Determination and annotation of the lncRNA landscape of cardiac resident macrophages.

(A) Unsupervised clustering of known and novel lncRNAs using normalized counts values, rows were averaged across samples for clustering. Genes shown are expressed with a TPM value >5 and differentially regulated (enriched) with a q-val of <0.05. (B) Flow scheme for the identification of lncRNA candidates using the indicated filters. (C) The Schlafen family locus showing the expression in cardiac resident macrophages (accumulated plus and minus strand). Lower panel: Zoom in of the *Schlafenlnc* locus in mouse as well as human for comparison. (D) Expression of the lncRNA *Schlafenlnc* in Mac1 (*Lyve1+* macrophages) and Mac2 (*MHCII* high, *Cd74+* macrophages) after sham or TAC in the scRNA-

seq data. (E) Northern Blot showing the expression of *Schlafenlnc* transcripts in bone marrow-derived

macrophages in comparison to fibroblasts (NIH3T3 cell line). (F) Relative nuclear and cytoplasmic

localization of *Malat1* (nuclear lncRNA), *Rpl32* (mRNA) and *Schlafenlnc* as determined by fractionation

of macrophages followed by quantification through RNA-Seq. Data are normalized counts displayed as

mean with SEM. (G) Detection of *Schlafenlnc* (green) in macrophages by RNA *in situ* hybridization

(RNAScope). Nuclei were stained with DAPI (blue), Phalloidin (red) was used for outlining the cellular

body (Scale bars = 15µm).

Figure 4: *Schlafenlnc*-deficient mice show improved cardiac function and reduced inflammatory response after transverse aortic constriction (TAC).

(A) Experimental setup of cardiac phenotyping of *Schlafenlnc⁻/⁻* and wildtype mice. (B) Quantification of normalized heart weight after TAC. HW= heart weight, TL= tibia length. Black line = median. C) Ejection fraction changes after 6 days TAC. Data displayed as mean with SEM. (C) Left: Quantification of interstitial fibrosis by Sirius red/Fast green staining. Black line = median. Right: Representative images of interstitial fibrosis, scale bar = 200µm. (D) Representative images and quantification of macrophage/monocyte content by CD68 staining in WT and *Schlafenlnc⁻/⁻* hearts after 6d after TAC. Black line = median. White arrows label CD68-positive cells, scale bar = 25µm.

Figure 5

Figure 5: scRNA-seq of *Schlafenlnc*⁻/⁻ mice reveals macrophage polarization towards an anti-inflammatory phenotype after TAC.

(A) Experimental setup of scRNA-seq of *Schlafenlnc*⁻/⁻ and wildtype mice. (B) Dimensional reduction (Umap) representation of combined measured cells with assigned cell types. (C) Cell interaction analysis of *Schlafenlnc*⁻/⁻ and wildtype mice (*Cd45*+ cells from blood and heart) after TAC using CellChat[70]. (D) Differential signaling in *Schlafenlnc*⁻/⁻ and WT macrophage and monocyte populations after TAC. Light grey and orange highlighted pathways are significantly enriched in wildtype or *Schlafenlnc*⁻/⁻, respectively (p-val<0.05). (E) RNA Velocity of *Schlafenlnc*⁻/⁻ and WT cells from heart after 6 days of TAC. Shown are macrophage and monocyte cell clusters. (F) Comparison of de-regulated genes (q-val<0.05) in TAC vs. basal condition in WT compared to de-regulated genes after 6d TAC KO

900   vs. WT in *Lyve1*^{+} macrophages (G) Gene ontology terms of genes de-regulated in *SchlafenInc*-deficient

901   mice and after 6 days TAC.

Figure 6

Figure 6: *SchlafenInc* is required for the activation of macrophage gene programs for cell adhesion and migration.

(A) MA plot showing differentially expressed genes in *SchlafenInc*$^{-/-}$ vs. Ctrl macrophages. Significantly deregulated genes (FDR < 0.05) are shown in red. (B) Heatmap of an unsupervised clustering showing the top 20 downregulated genes in *SchlafenInc*$^{-/-}$ macrophages. (C) Measurement of Galectin-3 protein secretion by control and *SchlafenInc*$^{-/-}$ macrophages as determined by ELISA. The supernatant of one control cell line and three *SchlafenInc*$^{-/-}$ clones were used in n=3 independent experiments. Data points are displayed as mean ±SEM. (D) Functionally grouped gene ontology term analysis of biological processes associated with significantly downregulated genes (FDR < 0.05, log2FC < -1) in *SchlafenInc*$^{-/-}$ macrophages. (E) Adhesion assay with control and *SchlafenInc*$^{-/-}$ macrophages (Ctrl clones n=2, KO clones n=3; 4 independent experiments). Data points are displayed as mean ±SEM. (F) Chemotaxis assay against a gradient of the chemokine C5a with control and *SchlafenInc*$^{-/-}$ macrophages

915   stimulated with 10ng/ml LPS overnight (Ctrl clones n=1, KO clones n=3; 3 independent experiments).

916   Data points are displayed as mean ±SEM.