Competition between myosin II and β_H-Spectrin regulates cytoskeletal tension

Consuelo Ibar[1], Krishna Chinthalapudi[2], Sarah M. Heissler[2] and Kenneth D. Irvine[1]*

[1]Waksman Institute and Department of Molecular Biology and Biochemistry, Rutgers

University, Piscataway NJ 08854 USA

[2]Department of Physiology and Cell Biology, Dorothy M. Davis Heart and Lung Research

Institute, The Ohio State University College of Medicine, Columbus, Ohio, USA

*Corresponding author: irvine@waksman.rutgers.edu

ABSTRACT

Spectrins are membrane cytoskeletal proteins generally thought to function as heterotetramers

comprising two α-spectrins and two β-spectrins. They influence cell shape and Hippo signaling,

but the mechanism by which they influence Hippo signaling has remained unclear. We have

investigated the role and regulation of the *Drosophila* β-heavy Spectrin (β_H-Spectrin, encoded by

the *karst* gene) in wing imaginal discs. Our results establish that β_H-Spectrin regulates Hippo

signaling through the Jub biomechanical pathway due to its influence on cytoskeletal tension.

While we find that α-Spectrin also regulates Hippo signaling through Jub, unexpectedly, we find

that β_H-Spectrin localizes and functions independently of α-Spectrin. Instead, β_H-Spectrin co-

localizes with and reciprocally regulates and is regulated by myosin. In vivo and in vitro

experiments support a model in which β_H-Spectrin and myosin directly compete for binding to

apical F-actin. This competition can explain the influence of β_H-Spectrin on cytoskeletal tension

and myosin accumulation. It also provides new insight into how β_H-Spectrin participates in

ratcheting mechanisms associated with cell shape change.

INTRODUCTION

The spectrin cytoskeleton has been described as a lattice of cross-linked, spring-like proteins that provide structural support to cells (Liem, 2016; Machnicka et al., 2014). Spectrins were first discovered and characterized in red blood cells but are expressed in many cell types. Spectrins can bind to cell membranes and F-actin, linking them together. They are generally thought to act as heterotetramers, composed of two α subunits and two β subunits. *Drosophila* has one α-spectrin (α-Spec) and two β-spectrins: β-Spectrin (β-Spec) and β-Heavy-Spectrin (β_H-Spec, encoded by *karst* (*kst*)), which could potentially generate two distinct spectrin heterotetramers: $(\alpha\beta)_2$ and $(\alpha\beta_H)_2$. β-Spec and β_H-Spec interact with F-actin through their N-terminal domains, which contain two actin-binding calponin-homology (CH) domains, connecting the spectrin cytoskeleton to the actin cytoskeleton (Liem, 2016). In *Drosophila* epithelia, it has been reported that β-Spec localizes to the lateral sides of cells, β_H-Spec localizes to the apical sides of cells, and α-Spec localizes both laterally and apically, leading to inferences that spectrin exists as lateral $(\alpha\beta)_2$ tetramers and apical $(\alpha\beta_H)_2$ tetramers (Dubreuil et al., 1997; Lee et al., 1997; Thomas et al., 1998; Zarnescu and Thomas, 1999). Despite common assumptions that spectrins act as tetramers, there is some evidence that alternative arrangements may exist. In *Drosophila* ovarian follicle cells, the absence of α-Spec diminishes the recruitment of β_H-Spec to the apical domain, but it does not affect the recruitment of β-Spec to the lateral domain (Lee et al., 1997). Examination in *Drosophila* of a mutant form of α-Spec that, based on in vitro studies, is unable to bind β-spec and compromised in its ability to bind β_H-Spec, revealed that it could nonetheless rescue the lethality of an *α-Spec* mutant (Khanna et al., 2015). Experiments done with a mammalian homolog of β_H-Spec, βV-Spec, showed that it can

homodimerize through its C-terminal region, raising the possibility that βV-Spec might be able

to cross-link F-actin by itself (Papal et al., 2013).

Several studies have reported that spectrins also regulate Hippo signaling, with effects on

readouts of Hippo signaling reported in *Drosophila* imaginal discs and ovarian follicles, as well

as in cultured mammalian cells (Deng et al., 2015; Deng et al., 2020; Fletcher et al., 2015; Wong

et al., 2015). Hippo signaling is a signal transduction network that responds to diverse upstream

inputs, including the cytoskeleton and cells' physical environment (Misra and Irvine, 2018;

Zheng and Pan, 2019). Hippo signaling modulates cell proliferation and fate, largely through the

regulation of Yap family transcriptional co-activator proteins (Yorkie, Yki, in *Drosophila*, YAP1

and TAZ in humans). Yki is primarily regulated through phosphorylation by the kinase Warts

(Wts), which promotes the cytoplasmic localization of Yki. Various potential mechanisms for

biomechanical regulation of Yki/Yap activity have been described, but the best-characterized

mechanism in *Drosophila* is the Jub biomechanical pathway. This involves tension-dependent

recruitment of an Ajuba LIM protein (Jub in *Drosophila*, LIMD1 in mammals) to α-catenin at

adherens junctions (AJ) (Alegot et al., 2019; Ibar et al., 2018; Rauskolb et al., 2022; Rauskolb et

al., 2014; Sarpal et al., 2019; Sun et al., 2015). Jub then recruits and inhibits Wts, resulting in

increased Yki activity.

Studies of the influence of spectrins on Hippo signaling have suggested different

mechanisms by which this might occur (Deng et al., 2015; Deng et al., 2020; Fletcher et al.,

2015; Wong et al., 2015). Fletcher et al. (2015), focusing on βH-Spec, suggested that the spectrin

cytoskeleton influences the membrane density, and thereby the activation state, of upstream

regulators of Hippo signaling. Wong et al. (2015), focusing on β-Spec, suggested that spectrins

might influence Hippo signaling by modulating levels of F-actin; increased levels of F-actin have

been reported in other studies to be associated with increased Yki/Yap activity (Aragona et al.,

2013; Fernandez et al., 2011; Sansores-Garcia et al., 2011). Deng et al. (2015), focusing on α-

Spec, reported that spectrins regulate levels of phosphorylated myosin light chain (required for

myosin activation) but surprisingly did not affect levels of myosin or recruitment of Jub, leading

them to infer that spectrins can act through a novel tension-dependent but Jub-independent

pathway. These same authors later reported that in the pupal eye, spectrins can act through Jub,

while suggesting that the action of spectrins in the pupal eye differs from their action in the wing

disc (Deng et al., 2015; Deng et al., 2020).

The disparate models for how spectrins influence Hippo signaling have led to confusion

over whether a distinct spectrin-based mechanism exists for the mechanical regulation of Hippo

signaling. We were particularly interested in investigating claims that spectrins could alter

cytoskeletal tension in wing discs without affecting Jub localization or levels of myosin. Our

results reveal that both β_H-Spec and α-Spec influence Jub recruitment to AJ, and their effects on

Yki activity depend upon Jub. Together, these observations argue that spectrins influence Hippo

signaling through the Jub biomechanical pathway. Unexpectedly, our investigations also reveal

that β_H-Spec and α-Spec act separately in wing discs - they do not co-localize, nor do they

influence each other's localization. These observations argue that β_H-Spec does not act as part of

an $(\alpha\beta_H)_2$ tetramer in the wing disc but rather exerts its functions independently of α-Spec.

Finally, we establish that β_H-Spec and myosin reciprocally antagonize each other's apical

localization in wing discs - myosin inhibits β_H-Spec, and β_H-Spec inhibits myosin. We further

show that myosin can compete with β_H-Spec for binding to F-actin in vitro. Together with

structural modeling, our observations argue that β_H-Spec and myosin compete with each other

for binding to F-actin in vivo. This competition could explain how β_H-Spec influences myosin

activity and further suggests a simple mechanism for the contribution of β_H-Spec to ratcheting

processes that alter cell shape via actomyosin contractility.

RESULTS

β_H-Spectrin regulates myosin activity and levels in wing imaginal discs

Prior studies have reported that mutation or RNAi-mediated knockdown of spectrins in

wing and eye imaginal discs increased myosin activity, as visualized by staining for myosin light

chain phosphorylated at activation sites (pMLC) (Deng et al., 2015; Forest et al., 2018).

Surprisingly, it was also reported that levels of GFP-tagged myosin light chain (encoded in

Drosophila by spaghetti squash, Sqh:GFP) or F-actin were nonetheless unaffected (Deng et al.,

2015), whereas changes in myosin activity generally correlate with changes in myosin

accumulation (Fernandez-Gonzalez et al., 2009; Noll et al., 2017). To re-examine this, we

analyzed wing imaginal discs in which the apical, β_H-Spec *kst* was knocked down in posterior

wing cells by expressing UAS-RNAi lines under *en-Gal4* control (RNAi validation is presented

in Figure 1 – figure supplement 1). This approach leaves unaffected anterior wing disc cells as an

internal control. These experiments typically also include a neutral transgene expressed under

en-Gal4 control to mark posterior cells (e.g. UAS-RFP) and a transgene expressing Dicer2

(Dcr2) to increase the efficacy of RNAi (Dietzl et al., 2007). This increased apical pMLC in

posterior cells, where levels of β_H-Spec were reduced (Figure 1- figure supplement 2B and D),

consistent with previous reports (Deng et al., 2015; Forest et al., 2018). A difference in

junctional tension between anterior (control) cells and posterior (β_H-Spec RNAi) cells in our

experiments was confirmed by measuring the recoil velocity of cell junctions after laser cutting,

which demonstrated an increased tension in the β_H-Spec depleted sides (Figure 1G). To examine

myosin protein levels, we employed the myosin light chain GFP fusion Sqh:GFP. We found that

depletion of β_H-Spec led to increased levels of junctional myosin (Figure 1B). To quantify these

effects on Sqh:GFP levels, we made maps of Sqh:GFP intensity normalized against E-cad

intensity. These are displayed on a red (high) to blue (low) heat map (Pan et al., 2018) and we

also used this analysis to calculate the ratio of intensities of the anterior (control) versus posterior

(experimental) compartments (Alegot et al., 2019) (Figure 1D-E, H-I). This was further

confirmed by using a distinct β_H-Spec RNAi line (*UAS-kstRNAi^{HMS00882}*), which similarly

increased myosin levels (Figure 1- figure supplement 2E).

As earlier studies reporting no effect on myosin levels focused on mutation or

knockdown of α-Spec (Deng et al., 2015), we considered the possibility that knockdown of α-

and β_H-Spec might differ in their effects on apical myosin accumulation. However, we found that

knockdown of α-Spec also caused an increased accumulation of apical myosin, as well as pMLC

and junctional tension, similar to the effects of β_H-Spec knockdown (Figure 1C, F, H, I; Figure 1-

figure supplement 2C and D). α-Spec and β_H-Spec knockdown differed though in that the

thickness of the wing disc epithelium was reduced by α-Spec knockdown, but not by β_H-Spec

knockdown (Figure 1- figure supplement 3), indicating that even though α-Spec and β_H-Spec

have similar effects on junctional myosin, their roles in wing disc cells differ. Studies in pupal

eyes identified a role for α-Spec in attaching F-actin to membranes that was associated with

maintaining proper cortical tension and cell shape (Deng et al., 2020); we think the reduced

thickness of the epithelium is a reflection of this role in wing discs. That is, reduced stiffness of

the lateral sides of cells when α-Spec is removed may allow cells to expand laterally, and

through conservation of volume, simultaneously shorten along the apical-basal axis.

β_H-Spec regulates Hippo signaling through Jub

It was previously argued that spectrins do not influence Hippo signaling through the Jub

biomechanical pathway in wing discs, in part based on an apparent lack of effect of *α-Spec*

mutation or depletion on Jub localization (Deng et al., 2015). However, multiple studies have

consistently observed that Jub localization increases when tension at AJ is increased (Forest et

al., 2018; Pan et al., 2018; Rauskolb et al., 2019; Rauskolb et al., 2014; Razzell et al., 2018).

Thus, we examined Jub localization under conditions of β_H-Spec depletion. In wing imaginal

discs, Jub accumulates in puncta that often occur near intercellular vertices, together with a

lower-level, more even accumulation along the cell-cell junctions (Figure 2A and Figure 2–

figure supplement 1B). Jub is recruited by a tension-dependent conformational change of α-

catenin, and Jub puncta are increased when cytoskeletal tension is higher. Consistent with this,

examination of Jub:GFP confirmed that the increased junctional tension and myosin activity

caused by β_H-Spec depletion is associated with increased junctional recruitment of Jub (Figure

2B, E, G-H and Figure 2 -figure supplement 1C).

To assess the functional significance of increased Jub localization to AJ under β_H-Spec

knockdown conditions, we assayed the ability of RNAi-mediated *jub* knockdown to suppress β_H-

Spec (kst) RNAi phenotypes. Knockdown of β_H-Spec throughout the developing wing under

nub-Gal4 control increases wing size (wings were 116% and 119% of *nub-Gal4 UAS-dcr2*

control size for the two different UAS-RNAi lines used) (Figure 3A, C-D and K) (Deng et al.,

2015; Fletcher et al., 2015). Knockdown of *jub* leads to smaller wings (Das Thakur et al., 2010;

Rauskolb et al., 2011). In animals with simultaneous RNAi knockdown of *jub* and *kst,* wing size

is similar to that of *jub* RNAi wings (Figure 3B, E-F and K). The epistasis of *jub* to *kst* is

consistent with the hypothesis that β_H-Spec regulates wing size through its tension-dependent

regulation of Jub. To further illustrate this, we reduced tension in wing discs with β_H-Spec

knockdown by simultaneous RNAi knockdown of *Rho kinase (Rok)* (Rauskolb et al., 2014;

Winter et al., 2001). This reduced wing size and junctional Jub localization similar to that

observed in control wing discs with *Rok* knockdown (Figure 3-figure supplement 1) (Rauskolb et

al., 2014).

To confirm that the relationship between Jub and β_H-Spec revealed by analysis of adult

wing size corresponds to changes in Hippo pathway activity, we analyzed the expression of a

transcriptional reporter of *expanded (ex)*, *ex-lacZ*, which is a direct target of Yki (Hamaratoglu et

al., 2006). Knockdown of β_H-Spec in posterior compartments through the expression of UAS-*kst*

RNAi under *en-Gal4* control caused a mild increase in *ex-lacZ* expression compared to the

anterior compartment and to control posterior compartments (Figure 3L,M) (Fletcher et al.,

2015). Knockdown of *jub* reduces *ex-lacZ* expression (Das Thakur et al., 2010; Rauskolb et al.,

2011). Simultaneous RNAi knockdown of *jub* and *kst* reduced *ex-lacZ* expression, similar to that

in *jub* RNAi cells (Figure 3N,O). The suppression of the influence of *kst* on Hippo signaling is

again consistent with the inference that β_H-Spec regulates Hippo signaling through the Jub

biomechanical pathway.

As claims that spectrins act independently of Jub in wing discs were based primarily on

analysis of α-Spec, we also examined the effect of α-Spec knockdown on Jub levels. When α-

Spec was knocked down in posterior cells by *en-Gal4* driven RNAi, we observed that

recruitment of Jub to cell junctions was increased (Figure 2C, F-H). Moreover, as for β_H-Spec,

we found that the increased wing size and *ex-lacZ* expression caused by knockdown of α-Spec

were suppressed by knockdown of *jub* (Figure 3G, H, K and P,Q). Thus, as for β_H-Spec, and as

suggested for pupal eyes (Deng et al., 2020), our observations imply that α-Spec also regulates

Hippo signaling through Jub in wing discs.

$β_H$-Spec localizes independently from α-Spec in wing disc cells

Prior studies of spectrin function in imaginal discs have assumed that they function as

heterotetramers, with an apical complex composed of $(αβ_H)_2$ subunits and a lateral complex

composed of $(αβ)_2$ subunits (Deng et al., 2015; Deng et al., 2020; Fletcher et al., 2015; Thomas

et al., 1998), as was originally suggested for ovarian follicle cells (Lee et al., 1997). However,

our examination of spectrin localization in wing imaginal discs suggested that the apical-most

distributions of α-Spec and $β_H$-Spec differ. To directly compare them, we used an antibody

against α-Spec (Dubreuil et al., 1987) and a fully functional genomic YFP-trap of $β_H$-Spec

(Kst:YFP) (Lye et al., 2014). $β_H$-Spec is localized, as reported previously, at the apicobasal level

of the adherens junction in wing discs (Fletcher et al., 2015; Forest et al., 2018) (Figure 4A, C).

Conversely, α-Spec is enriched in a sub-apical region just below this, with slightly lower levels

extending all along the lateral membrane (Figure 4B, C). Only very low levels of α-Spec are

detected in the apical plane where $β_H$-Spec is detected, and their distributions in this plane appear

to differ (Figure 4A).

To confirm that $β_H$-Spec and α-Spec localize independently of each other in wing discs,

we examined the consequences of depleting α-Spec, β-Spec, or $β_H$-Spec on each other's

localization. For this, we used *en-Gal4* driven UAS-RNAi lines to knockdown one of the

spectrin proteins, and then examined whether the localization of the others was affected. These

experiments revealed, as expected, that β-Spec is not required for $β_H$-Spec localization (Figure

5B), but it does strongly reduce α-Spec localization (Figure 5E). α-Spec is not required for $β_H$-

Spec localization (Figure 5A) but its knockdown slightly reduces β-Spec localization (Figure

5D). Finally, $β_H$-Spec depletion does not affect α-Spec localization (Figure 5C). These

observations suggest that while α-Spec needs β-Spec to localize to lateral membranes, the

localization of $β_H$-Spec and α-Spec are functionally independent. To further examine the

relationship between spectrins, we performed proximity ligation assays using antibodies against

α-Spec and GFP. A PLA signal consistent with close association of α-Spec and β-Spec was

observed in wing discs expressing GFP-tagged β-Spec (Fig. 4E). Conversely, no significant PLA

signal was detected in wing discs expressing GFP-tagged $β_H$-Spec, implying that α-Spec and $β_H$-

Spec are not closely associated in wing discs (Figure 4D). Together with the distinct localization

of these proteins revealed by imaging, these observations argue against the existence of $(αβ_H)_2$

complexes in wing imaginal discs.

$β_H$-Spec and apical myosin antagonize each others' localization to apical F-actin

The discovery that $β_H$-Spec and α-Spec localize independently led us to consider what

factors might influence the apical localization of $β_H$-Spec. Intriguingly, the distribution of $β_H$-

Spec in wing disc cells appears very similar to the apical distribution of F-actin and myosin, and

similarities between the localization of $β_H$-Spec and myosin in *Drosophila* embryos have been

noted previously (Krueger et al., 2020; Thomas and Kiehart, 1994). To determine whether

myosin and $β_H$-Spec colocalize in wing discs, we imaged discs expressing GFP-tagged $β_H$-Spec

(Kst:GFP) and mCherry-tagged myosin light chain (sqh-Sqh:mCherry). This revealed extensive

co-localization between these proteins in the apical region of wing disc epithelial cells (Figure

6A, B). Quantitation of these images yielded a Pearson's Correlation Coefficient score of 0.636.

For comparison, the Pearson's Correlation Coefficient score between Kst:YFP and α-Spec

(Figure 4A) was 0.18. Both Kst:GFP and Sqh:mCherry also colocalized with F-actin, with

Pearson's Correlation Coefficient scores of 0.641 and 0.612, respectively.

The extensive co-localization of β_H-Spec and myosin prompted us to investigate the

functional relationship between them further. As noted above, reduction of β_H-Spec leads to

increased apical myosin levels and activity. To investigate whether myosin reciprocally regulates

β_H-Spec, we expressed transgenes that modulate actomyosin contractility. RNAi-mediated

knockdown of Rok reduces myosin activity by reducing phosphorylation of myosin light chain

(Winter et al., 2001). In wing discs, knockdown of *Rok* is also associated with reduced

recruitment of myosin to apical junctions and reduced junctional tension (Rauskolb et al., 2014)

(Figure 6 – figure supplement 1C), consistent with the generally positive correlation between

myosin activity and co-localization with F-actin (Fernandez-Gonzalez et al., 2009; Noll et al.,

2017). Conversely, examination of β_H-Spec in wing disc cells expressing *Rok* RNAi revealed

increased levels of β_H-Spec along apical junctions (Figure 6D). To increase myosin activity, we

expressed a constitutively activated, phosphomimetic form of myosin light chain, Sqh^{EE} (Winter

et al., 2001). This increases the recruitment of myosin to AJ and increases junctional tension

(Rauskolb et al., 2014) (Figure 6 – figure supplement 1D), but decreases the recruitment of β_H-

Spec to AJ, and a portion of β_H-Spec instead appears in apical vesicles (Figure 6E,F). To

quantify these effects on β_H-Spec levels, we made maps of β_H-Spec intensity normalized against

E-cad intensity, displayed on a red (high) to blue (low) heat map. Calculation of the ratio of

intensities of the anterior (control) versus posterior (experimental) compartments further

confirmed our observation that myosin antagonizes localization of β_H-Spec to AJ (Figure 6G-J).

Thus, β_H-Spec and myosin II localization to AJ are affected in opposite ways by changes in

cytoskeletal tension.

The opposing effects of changes in myosin activity on myosin and β_H-Spec localization,

together with the observation that loss of β_H-Spec leads to increased myosin activity and apical

localization, raised the possibility that myosin and β_H-Spec compete for localization to apical F-

actin.   To further investigate this possibility, we overexpressed β_H-Spec using a CRISPR-

activator (CRISPRa) approach. This involves the expression of a transcriptional activator fused

to dCas9 under UAS control, which can then be recruited to a gene of interest using a single-

guide RNA (sgRNA) targeted upstream of the transcription start site (Jia et al., 2019; Jia et al.,

2018). To verify the overexpression of β_H-Spec, we employed it in flies with GFP-tagged β_H-

Spec at the endogenous locus (Kst:GFP). To avoid excessive cell death caused by Kst

overexpression we expressed this construct under inducible conditions, using the temperature-

sensitive Gal4 repressor Gal80^{ts}. Both imaging and western blotting of wing discs confirmed that

this CRISPRa approach effectively increased expression of Kst:GFP (Figure 7 - figure

supplemental 1A-B). Examination of myosin under β_H-Spec overexpression conditions, using

Sqh:GFP, revealed a substantial reduction of myosin localization to AJs (Figure 7A, C, E-F), and

a reduction in tension along cell junctions as assayed by the recoil speed after laser cutting

(Figure 7I). Apical cell areas were also increased, consistent with a reduction of junctional

tension (Figure 7B and Fig 7-supplement1). As an independent method to overexpress β_H-Spec,

we used a previously described EP-element insertion near *kst*, P[EPgy2]EY01010 (UAS-kst^{EP})

(Pogodalla et al., 2021). Over-expression of β_H-Spec using UAS-kst^{EP} under *en-Gal4* control

also reduced myosin levels at AJ (Figure 7 – figure supplement 1C), although the effect appeared

weaker than that induced by the CRISPRa approach. These results provide further evidence that

β_H-Spec antagonizes myosin recruitment to AJs and establish that this effect can be observed

under both increased and decreased β_H-Spec expression conditions.

Consistent with these reductions in apical myosin accumulation, β_H-Spec overexpression

also reduced junctional recruitment of Jub (Figure 7B,D,G,H and Figure 7 – figure supplement

1D) and decreased wing size (wings were 92% and 89% of *nub-Gal4 UAS-dcr2* control size, for

the two different overexpression constructs) (Figure 3I-K).

β_H-Spec and myosin compete for binding to F-actin

The reciprocal antagonism between myosin and β_H-Spec suggested that they could

compete for binding to F-actin. β_H-Spec contains two N-terminal actin-binding domains, CH1

and CH2 (Liem, 2016), and myosin contains an actin-binding region in its motor domain (Duan

et al., 2018). While spectrins and myosin have been purified and characterized in vitro, we lack a

mechanistic understanding of the interaction between β_H-Spec and F-actin and how it might

affect myosin binding. To address this, we conducted in vitro co-sedimentation assays with

purified protein domains and F-actin. We found that the isolated *Drosophila* β_H-Spec actin-

binding region binds F-actin weakly (Figure 8A), in agreement with previous reports of F-actin

binding by other spectrin CH1-CH2 domains (Avery et al., 2017; Duan et al., 2018).

Constitutively active *Drosophila* Myosin II (subfragment-1-like protein) binding to F-actin

appears stronger than β_H-Spec binding, as at the same concentration a greater fraction of Myosin

II is bound to F-actin (Figure 8A,B). To investigate the antagonism between these proteins

observed in vivo, we performed biochemical competition assays between the β_H-Spec CH

domains and myosin for binding to F-actin. F-actin was preincubated with an excess of β_H-Spec

CH domains to maximize binding to F-actin, and then increasing concentrations of myosin were

added. We found that myosin can displace the actin-binding region of β_H-Spec from F-actin by

~50% at the highest myosin concentration that we could use (Figure 8C, bottom right). Myosin

binding to F-actin was unaffected by preincubation with β_H-Spec CH domains (Figure 8 – figure

supplement 1A), likely due to active myosin's higher binding affinity for F-actin.

To gain a structural understanding of the antagonism between β_H-Spec and myosin we

built homology models of the *Drosophila* CH1-CH2 domain of β_H-Spec and the myosin II motor

domain and compared them with previous cryo-EM structures of the human actin-bound β-III-

spectrin actin binding CH1 domain and actin-bound myosin (Avery et al., 2017; von der Ecken

et al., 2016). The superimposition of the isolated *Drosophila* β_H-Spec CH1 domain model on the

human β-III spectrin CH1 domain in a complex with F-actin structure revealed a binding site

between actin subdomains (SD) SD1 and SD2 along the filament (Figure 8D). The binding

region of myosin on F-actin includes SD1, SD2, and SD3 (Figure 8D'). The superimposition of

both models suggests that the binding position of the CH1 domain on F-actin sterically interferes

with the formation of a strong actin-myosin interface (Figure 8D''). Modeling of the β_H-Spec

CH1-CH2 domain indicates that the CH2 domain presents additional steric hindrance for myosin

binding to F-actin (Figure 8 – figure supplement 1B-B''). Thus, structural modeling suggests that

the binding of spectrin or myosin to individual binding sites on F-actin is mutually exclusive,

which could explain why they compete for F-actin association in vivo and in vitro.

DISCUSSION

Multiple models for how spectrins regulate Hippo signaling have been proposed (Deng et

al., 2015; Deng et al., 2020; Fletcher et al., 2015; Wong et al., 2015). We focused on

investigating claims that spectrins could alter cytoskeletal tension in wing discs by regulating

pMLC levels without affecting localization of myosin or Jub (Deng et al., 2015). In contrast to

prior studies, we observed that when β_H-Spec or α-Spec levels are decreased by RNAi, levels of

junctional myosin are increased. This increase in junctional myosin levels is associated with

increased junctional tension and with increased recruitment of Jub to AJ. We are not certain why

these effects were missed in prior studies, but we note that our observations are consistent with

studies linking recruitment of both myosin and Jub to AJ under tension (Alegot et al., 2019;

Fernandez-Gonzalez et al., 2009; Ibar et al., 2018; Noll et al., 2017; Rauskolb et al., 2019;

Rauskolb et al., 2014; Razzell et al., 2018; Sarpal et al., 2019). Moreover, our results are further

supported by the observation that *jub* is genetically required for the influence of spectrin

knockdown on Yki activity and wing growth. Taken together, these observations imply that β_H-

Spec and α-Spec regulate Hippo signaling in wing discs through the Jub-biomechanical pathway,

rather than through hypothesized alternate mechanisms.

Spectrin has been suggested to form two distinct complexes in *Drosophila* epithelial

cells, $(\alpha\beta)_2$ and $(\alpha\beta_H)_2$ heterotetramers, which localize to the lateral and apical sides of cells,

respectively (Deng et al., 2015; Dubreuil et al., 1997; Fletcher et al., 2015; Lee et al., 1997;

Thomas et al., 1998; Zarnescu and Thomas, 1999). However, our observations indicate that β_H-

Spec functions independently of α-Spec in wing imaginal discs. α-Spec and β_H-Spec do not

exhibit significant co-localization. Moreover, α-Spec and β_H-Spec are not required for each

other's localization to apical cell junctions. This contrasts with the requirement for β-Spec for

recruitment of α-Spec to lateral membranes in wing discs. The requirement is not entirely

reciprocal, as α-Spec knockdown only partially reduces β-Spec recruitment, but this likely

reflects mechanisms that recruit spectrins to cell membranes: β-spectrin subunits, but not α-

spectrin subunits, have a pleckstrin homology domain that can mediate membrane association, as

well as possessing the CH domains that mediate F-actin association. Thus β-Spec can associate

with lateral membranes without α-Spec, but α-Spec does not have a way to associate with lateral

membranes without β-Spec.

An independent role for β_H-Spec has also been suggested in mammalian photoreceptors,

where it was reported that that mammalian βV-Spec does not colocalize with αII-Spectrin, and

that βV-Spec could form homodimers, potentially allowing it to cross-link actin by itself,

enabling α-Spec-independent functions (Papal et al., 2013). The observation that a mutation

in *Drosophila* α-Spec that disrupts binding to β-Spec *in vitro* has only mild phenotypes also

suggests that spectrin functions do not depend entirely on αβ interactions (Khanna et al., 2015).

Collectively, our results together with these earlier studies emphasize that the dogma that

"Spectrin comprises α-and β-subunits that interact in an antiparallel manner to form an αβ dimer"

(Liem, 2016) should be revised. Nonetheless, our results do not exclude the possibility that β_H-

Spec and α-Spec might act together in a physical complex in other tissues, or under distinct

physiological conditions.

The conclusion that β_H-Spec and α-Spec act independently in wing discs implies that they

influence tension at apical junctions through distinct mechanisms. We suggest that α-Spec could

influence AJ tension in wing discs through the mechanism proposed to explain the influence of

α- and β-Spec in pupal eyes (Deng et al., 2020). It was inferred that α- and β-Spec maintain cell

rigidity by linking F-actin to membranes. In the absence of α- or β-Spec, it was proposed that

dissociation between F-actin and the membrane leads to an expansion of the apical regions. This

expansion increases cytoskeletal tension at AJs, which bind F-actin independently of spectrins.

Consistent with this suggested mechanism, we observed a decrease in cell height in α-Spec

knockdown cells in wing discs, in conjunction with increased tension at apical junctions. The

alteration in cell shape was not observed in β_H-Spec knockdown cells, further supporting the

conclusion that β_H-Spec and α-Spec act in different ways to regulate junctional tension.

Instead, our experiments analyzing the relationship between β_H-Spec and myosin

revealed an entirely different mechanism by which β_H-Spec influences tension at AJ. We

observed a mutual antagonism between β_H-Spec and myosin *in vivo* for localization to apical F-

actin: decreasing β_H-Spec increases junctional myosin, while increasing β_H-Spec decreases

junctional myosin. Reciprocally, increasing myosin activity decreases β_H-Spec localization to

apical F-actin, while decreasing myosin activity increases β_H-Spec localization to apical F-

actin. In vitro studies with purified protein domains revealed that myosin can compete with β_H-

Spec for binding to F-actin. Finally, computational modeling of protein structures revealed that

myosin and β_H-Spec would interfere with each other's binding to F-actin. Together, these

observations indicate that β_H-Spec and myosin can directly compete with each other for

localization to F-actin. We suggest therefore that the influence of β_H-Spec on junctional tension

is likely to be a direct consequence of its competition with myosin for overlapping binding sites

on F-actin.

Despite β_H-Spec and myosin sharing overlapping binding sites on F-actin, and competing

reciprocally in vivo, in our co-sedimentation experiments we could only detect a partial ability of

myosin to compete for β_H-Spec binding, and we could not detect an ability of β_H-Spec to

compete for myosin binding. Several factors are likely to contribute to these observations. First,

we could not use higher protein concentrations of myosin or β_H-Spec due to the need to keep the

salt concentration constant and close to physiological levels, and to prevent protein precipitation.

Second, it has been suggested for β-Spec that the CH2 domain regulates the actin binding

function of the CH1 domain through steric hindrance when the two domains are associated

(Avery et al., 2017). A specific mutation in β-Spec CH2 (L253P) has been shown to lower the

energetic barrier between closed and open structural states, increasing the affinity of β-Spec for

F-actin around 1000-fold (Avery et al., 2016), but it is unknown how β-Spec or β_H-Spec

conformational changes are normally regulated in vivo and whether both proteins share this

regulatory feature. Additionally, phosphorylation of myosin regulatory light chain shifts myosin

from a compact, autoinhibited conformation to a filamentous, active conformation (Kiehart and

Feghali, 1986; Vasquez et al., 2016). The autoinhibited conformation binds F-actin very weakly

(K_D>100 μM) (Heissler and Manstein, 2013; Sellers et al., 1982) compared to the active

conformation, suggesting that spectrin could outcompete autoinhibited myosin more effectively

than active myosin for binding to F-actin. Our in vitro experiments used an active form of

myosin. In addition, other factors including other actin-binding proteins and cytoskeletal tension

are likely to influence the dynamic localization and actin-binding properties of both proteins

(Duan et al., 2018; Greenberg et al., 2016).

399   The competition between β_H-Spec and myosin also provides key insights into how β_H-

Spec contributes to ratcheting of apical constriction. The apical constriction of cells in the ventral

furrow that initiates *Drosophila* mesoderm invagination occurs through fast constriction pulses

interrupted by pauses during which cells must stabilize their constricted state before reinitiating

constriction (Martin et al., 2009; Xie and Martin, 2015). This ratcheting-like behavior is thought

to be a consequence of the finite length of actin filaments. Myosin contracts the cytoskeleton by

driving filaments past each other, and extensive contractions require release and reassociation

with new pairs of filaments. β_H-Spec participates in ratcheting of apical constriction (Krueger et

al., 2020). When β_H-Spec is knocked down, cells can undergo cycles of unratcheted apical

constriction during which they alternately constrict and then expand. Consequently, most β_H-

Spec depleted embryos fail to complete normal mesoderm invagination. It was proposed that the

actin cross-linking function of β_H-Spec could hold F-actin in place for the next cycle of myosin

mediated-contraction, but this raises the question of how myosin and β_H-Spec association with F-

actin are coordinated so that β_H-Spec prevents relaxation without interfering with constriction.

Our results suggest a simple solution: since they compete for the same binding site, the release of

myosin from F-actin at the end of a cycle of contraction would naturally be coupled to the

accessibility of F-actin for binding by β_H-Spec. Thus the competition between myosin and β_H-

Spec for binding to F-actin enables myosin-mediated cell contraction to effectively alternate with

β_H-Spec-mediated stabilization.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

***Drosophila* genetics**

Unless otherwise indicated, crosses were performed at 29°C. Protein localization and

expression levels were monitored using previously characterized transgenes: *ex-lacZ*

(Hamaratoglu et al., 2006), *kst:YFP* (Lye et al., 2014), *kst:GFP* (Nagarkar-Jaiswal et al., 2015),

jub:GFP (Sabino et al., 2011), *sqh:GFP* (Royou et al., 2004), *sqh:mCherry* (Martin et al., 2009)

and *β-Spec:GFP* (II) (this paper).

To manipulate gene expression in the posterior compartment, *en-Gal4 UAS-RFP; UAS-*

dcr2 flies were crossed with to UAS-RNAi or overexpression lines. RNAi transgenes used were

UAS-kstRNAi (v37075), *UAS-kstRNAi* (HMS00882), *UAS-α-specRNAi* (v25387), *UAS-β-*

specRNAi (GL01174), *UAS-rokRNAi* (v104675) and *UAS-jubRNAi* (v38442). To increase

myosin activity, we used *UAS-sqh^{EE}* (Winter et al., 2001), and to increase β_H-Spec levels, we

used *UAS-kstCRISPRa* (II) (this paper) and *UAS-kst^{P[EPgy2]EY01010}* (Pogodalla et al., 2021).

DNA Cloning

To overexpress β$_H$-Spec *(UAS-kstCRISPRa)*, we used a second-generation CRISPR/Cas9-transcriptional activation approach (Jia et al., 2018), allowing us to recruit the transcriptional machinery near the transcription start site (TSS) of *kst* under UAS control. For this, we made the following primers to generate a gRNA located less than 400 nt from the TSS: 5'-TTCGGATAAGCCGACAGGGTCTAT and 5'-AAACATAGACCCTGTCGGCTTATC-3' These primers were duplexed and cloned in the FlySAM 2.0 vector using the BbsI site (Jia et al., 2019). Transgenic flies were made by injection, inserting the construct into the attP40 site (BestGene).

The actin binding domain (aa 1-278) of *kst* was cloned into pGEX-3X at the EcoRI site, using the following primers: 5'-gatctgatcgaaggtcgtggaATGACCCAGCGGGACGGC-3' and 5'-atcgtcagtcagtcacgatgTTACTTCTTGCGATCTGCGTCCATTAGC-3', and assembled using NEBuilder® HiFi DNA Assembly (New England Biolabs, E2621) to generate plasmid pGEX-3X-ABDkst.

Recombineering was used to generate the β-Spec:GFP construct. A left homology arm (LHA, 1 kb before the stop codon of β-Spec) and a right homology arm (RHA, 1 kb after the stop codon of β-Spec) were cloned in pL452-cEGFP (Venken et al., 2008) by Gibson assembly into EcoRI (for LHA) and NotI (for RHA) sites, respectively, using the following primers: LHA_b-spec_FWD 5' gacctgcagccaagctatcgATACATGGCTGCCAAGGC 3'; LHA_b-spec_REV 5' gatcggaattgggctgcaggCTTTTTCTTTAAAGTAAAAAACGATCTGC 3'; RHA_b-spec_FWD 5' caagtaactagttctagagcAGTAACAGCCGTAACGCAAC 3' and RHA_b-spec_FWD

5' tggagctccaccgcggtggcCGGCAATTGGTGTACTTTAAAG 3'. The regions of the primers in

lowercase indicate homology with the vector.

To activate the recombination machinery, SW106 E. coli containing the P[acman] clone

CH322-20K3 (chloramphenicol resistant) (Venken et al., 2009) were incubated at 42ºC for 17

min before making electrocompetent cells. A fragment containing LHA-loxP-NeoR/KanR-loxP-

EGFP-RHA was amplified and electroporated into the cells. Recombinant clones were selected

by chloramphenicol/kanamycin resistance and then floxed by inducible Cre expression by adding

0.1% L-arabinose. The final construct (LHA-loxP-EGFP-RHA) was confirmed by Sanger

sequencing and injected for insertion in flies into the attP40 site (BestGene).

Histology and Imaging

For most experiments wing discs were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 15 min at room

temperature. Sqh:GFP discs were fixed for 12 min. Primary antibodies used were mouse anti-α-

Spectrin (1:50, Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank (DSHB), 3A9, deposited to the DSHB

by Branton, D. / Dubreuil, R., RRID:AB_528473), rabbit anti-β-spectrin (1:100, a gift from

Christian Klämbt) (Hulsmeier et al., 2007), rabbit anti-Dcr2 (1:800, Abcam, ab4732,

RRID:AB_449344), rabbit anti-pMLC (T18/S19) (1:50, Cell Signaling Technologies, #3671,

RRID:AB_330248), rat anti-E-cad (1:200, DSHB, DCAD2-c, deposited to the DSHB by

Uemura, T., RRID:AB_528120), mouse β-galactosidase (1:200, DSHB, JIE7, deposited to the

DSHB by Mason, T.L. / Partaledis, J.A., RRID:AB_528101). Secondary antibodies were used at

a 1:100 dilution, and included anti-rat Alexa Fluor 647 (Jackson ImmunoResearch, 712-605-153,

RRID:AB_2340694), anti-rabbit Alexa Flour 647 (Jackson ImmunoResearch, 711-605-152,

RRID:AB_2492288), anti-mouse Alexa Fluor 647 (Jackson ImmunoResearch, 715-605-151,

RRID:AB_2340863), anti-mouse Cy3 (Jackson ImmunoResearch, 715-165-151,

RRID:AB_2315777), anti-rabbit Cy3 (Jackson ImmunoResearch, 711-165-152,

RRID:AB_2307443), anti-rat Cy3 (Jackson ImmunoResearch, 712-165-153,

RRID:AB_2340667), anti-mouse Alexa Fluor 488 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, A-21202,

RRID:AB_141607) and anti-rabbit Alexa Flour 488 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, A-21206,

RRID:AB_2535792). DNA was stained using Hoechst (Invitrogen, H3570). Wing discs were

removed and mounted on a slide in Vectashield (Vector Laboratories, H-1000). Confocal images

were captured on a Leica SP8 microscope.

Proximity Ligation Assay (PLA)

PLA was performed with the Duolink® Proximity Ligation Assay kit according to the

manufacturer's instructions (Sigma). Fixation was performed as in the normal immunostaining

procedure. For the permeabilization step, 0.5% Triton X-100 in PBS was used in two washes of

20 min each. Antibodies used for PLA include rabbit anti-GFP (1:200; ChromoTek # PABG1-

20, RRID:AB_2749857)) and mouse α-Spectrin (1:50, Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank,

3A9, RRID:AB_528473) antibodies, and secondary anti-mouse MINUS (Sigma-Aldrich Cat#

DUO92004, RRID:AB_2713942) and anti-rabbit PLUS (Sigma-Aldrich Cat# DUO92002,

RRID:AB_2810940) probes were used.

Immunoblotting

Wing discs (20 discs per lane) were lysed in 2× Laemmli Sample Buffer (Bio-Rad,

#1610737) supplemented with protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche) and phosphatase inhibitor

cocktail (Calbiochem). Protein samples were loaded in 4% to 15% gradient gels (Bio-Rad).

Antibodies used for immunoblotting include mouse anti-GFP (1:1000; Cell Signaling

Technology, #2955, RRID:AB_1196614) and as a loading control rabbit anti-GAPDH (1:5000;

Santa Cruz Biotechnology, sc-25778, RRID:AB_10167668). Blots were visualized with

fluorescent-conjugated secondary antibodies (LI-COR Biosciences) and the Odyssey Imaging

System (LICOR Biosciences).

Laser ablation

Live imaging and laser ablation experiments were performed as previously described

(Rauskolb et al., 2014). *en-Gal4 UAS-RFP/CyO; UAS-dcr2/TM6B* flies were crossed with *UAS-*

kstRNAi; Ubi-E-cad:GFP/TM6B, UAS-a-specRNAi; Ubi-E-cad:GFP/TM6B or *UAS-*

kstCRISPRa; Ubi-E-cad:GFP/TM6B flies. Eggs were collected at 25ºC for 4 hours and then

shifted to 29°C for 88 h. Wing disc culture was based on the procedure of (Dye et al., 2017). A

stock medium was prepared using Grace's medium (Sigma, G9771) without sodium bicarbonate

but with the addition of 5 mM Bis-Tris and the pH was adjusted to 6.6-6.7 at room temperature.

This was stored at 4°C for less than a month. Before every experiment, we added 5% fetal bovine

serum (FBS; ThermoFisher, 10082147), Penicillin-Streptomycin (Thermo-Fisher, #15070063,

100X stock solution) and 10 nM 20-hydroxy-ecdysone (Sigma, H5142) to the medium. Larvae

were floated on 25% sucrose and transferred into glass dishes with culture medium. Larvae of

the desired genotype were selected and sterilized in 70% ethanol for 1 min. Then, we drew a

circle on the glass bottom of a 35-mm glass-bottomed Petri dish (MatTek, P35G-0-14-C) using

glue made by mixing heptane with tape (Tesa, 5388). Wing discs were dissected out of larvae,

transferred into this Petri dish, and oriented using tungsten needles. Then we covered the discs

with a Cyclopore Polycarbonate membrane (GE Health, 7060-2513) and glued it to the glass

bottom to immobilize discs. Discs were imaged every 0.2 s on a Perkin Elmer Ultraview

spinning disc confocal microscope, and ablation of junctions was achieved using a Micropoint

pulsed laser (Andor Technology) tuned to 365 nm. Paired cutting of junctions, one in the anterior

compartment and another in the posterior compartment at a similar location, were performed and

compared. The displacement of vertices for the first second after ablation was used to calculate

the velocities.

Quantification and Statistical Analysis

To obtain the surface of the wing disc and remove signals from the peripodial epithelium,

we used the MATLAB toolbox ImSAnE (Heemskerk and Streichan, 2015) to detect and isolate a

slice of the disc epithelium that surrounds the adherens junctions, using E-cad as a reference, as

described previously (Pan et al., 2016). The KstGFP, KstYFP, SqhGFP and JubGFP images were

created using ImSAnE.

For the fluorescence intensity heat maps, a custom MATLAB script was used (Alegot et

al., 2019; Pan et al., 2018) (https://github.com/alegoth/Wing-disc-Intensity-Analysis). The script

generates a 3D mask with the normalization channel (E-cad) keeping only the relevant pixels.

The wing disc center (intersection between AP/DV boundaries) is picked for each image

manually. Then, the picture is split into blocks of a given *xy* size (3×3 µm), starting from the

center, and the average intensity per pixel of each channel is measured. The intensity of the

reference channel and the channel of interest are normalized over their respective average

intensity. The ratio of the channel of interest over the reference channel is then determined. To

average several discs, only matrices of the same *xy* size blocks were used. The center of the disc

serves as a reference point; smaller matrices were expanded to correspond to the size of the

biggest matrix and filled with NaN (Not-a-Number). We determined the minimum number of

values required (usually three) to average the ratio for a given position. This means that the

edges of the average disk are composed of the same minimum number of values, which

corresponds to the *n* given for each experiment. Finally, signals from several wing discs were

averaged and represented by the heat map, and a posterior versus anterior ratio was calculated.

Pearson's correlation coefficient was calculated to establish co-localization between

different proteins by using the Coloc 2 Plugin for ImageJ (https://imagej.net/plugins/coloc-2).

Statistical significance was determined with GraphPad Prism software by performing

Student's t-test (for comparison between two observations) or analysis of variance (ANOVA)

with $P<0.05$ set as the criteria for significance. The Dunnett test was used to derive adjusted P-

values for comparisons against the control experimental value, and the Tukey test was used to

derive adjusted P-values for multiple comparisons.

Protein production and purification

To purify the actin binding domain of β_H-Spec fused with GST, we transformed BL21-

DE3 cells (NEB) with pGEX-3X-ABDkst. Protein expression was induced with 0.2 mM

isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactoside (IPTG) at room temperature for 12–15 h. Cells were harvested and

lysed by sonication in lysis buffer: PBS (pH 7.4), 1% Triton X-100, 5 mM dithiothreitol, 1 mM

phenylmethyl sulfonyl fluoride and complete Mini Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (Roche). After

centrifugation, the supernatant was collected and passed through a 1 mL GST-Trap column

(Cytiva) at 4 °C. Then, the column was washed with PBS (pH 7.4) until the absorbance reached a

steady baseline. To remove the GST-tag, the column was loaded with 80 units of Factor Xa

(New England Biolabs, P8010) in cleavage buffer: 50 mM Tris-HCl, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM

$CaCl_2$, pH 7.5 and incubated for 16 h at room temperature. To elute the ABD of β_H-Spec while

removing the protease simultaneously, we used a HiTrap Benzamidine FF (Cytiva) column in

tandem with the GST-trap column and eluted the ABD of β_H-spec with cleavage buffer. To

switch buffers, we dialyzed the protein against 25 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM

TCP overnight. Then the purified protein was concentrated by ultrafiltration to 30 µM and stored

at -80ºC until used in experiments.

G-actin was prepared from rabbit muscle acetone powder as reported (Lehrer and

Kerwar, 1972) and further purified with size exclusion chromatography on a Superdex 75 pg

column (Cytiva, # 28989333) in buffer containing 5 mM Tris/HCl pH=8, 0.2 mM $CaCl_2$, 0.5

mM ATP, 1 mM DTT. G-actin was polymerized to F-actin by the addition of 10X

polymerization buffer (100 mM HEPES pH 7.0, 500 mM KCl, 20 mM $MgCl_2$, 10 mM EDTA).

Drosophila nonmuscle myosin-2 subfragment-1-like protein (*Zip*, amino acids 1-813) was

recombinantly overproduced together with the myosin regulatory (*Sqh*) and essential light chain

(*Mlc-c*) in the baculovirus/*Sf*9 insect cell system (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and prepared as

described (Heissler et al., 2015).

Co-sedimentation assays

For co-sedimentation assays, β_H-Spec CH domains or myosin were incubated with F-

actin for 15 mins at room temperature in an assay buffer containing 10 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 100

mM NaCl, 0.1 mM EGTA, 20µM ATP and 1 mM DTT and subsequently sedimented (100,000 x

g, 15 min, 4°C, TLA-100 rotor) in an Ultima MAX-XP ultracentrifuge (Beckman). For

competition assays, β_H-Spec and actin were preincubated for 15 mins at room temperature before

the addition of myosin. Supernatant and pellet fractions were separated and the pellet fraction

was resuspended in an equal volume of assay buffer. Samples were supplemented with NuPAGE

LDS sample buffer (Invitrogen, #NP0007) and heated for 10 min at 90°C. Supernatant and pellet

fractions were resolved on 4-12% NuPAGE Bis-Tris polyacrylamide gels (Invitrogen,

NP0323BOX). Gels were incubated with PageBlue protein staining solution (Thermo Scientific,

#24620) and destained with water. Gels were documented with a ChemiDoc MP (Bio-Rad) and

densitometric analysis was performed with Fiji (Schindelin et al., 2012). Data plots and

secondary analysis were performed in Origin 2019.

Model building

A homology model of the *Drosophila* myosin motor domain (*Zip*, amino acids 1-813) in

the actin-bound state was modeled using the cryo-EM structure of the human nonmuscle myosin-

2C motor domain in the rigor state (PDB entry: 5JLH) as a template. Both motor domains share

~ 72% sequence identity at the amino acid level. The motor domain model was built using

Modeler (Sali and Blundell, 1993). The model of the *Drosophila* β_H-Spectrin calponin homology

domain tandem (CH1-CH2, amino acids 1-278) was modeled using ColabFold (Mirdita et al.,

2022). This model was superimposed onto the cryo-EM structure of the human β-III spectrin

CH1 domain bound to F-actin (PDB entry: 6ANU) for binding site analysis.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Christian Klämbt for the β-Spec antibody, and Richard Ebright and Bryce Nickels for

sharing equipment for protein purification. This research was supported by National Institutes of

Health grants GM131748 (KDI) and R01GM143539 (KC).

DATA and MATERIAL AVAILABILITY

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in the manuscript and supporting

files. All material including plasmids and transgenic fly lines generated in this study are

available on request or through public repositories.

618
619   **REFERENCES**
620

Alegot, H., Markosian, C., Rauskolb, C., Yang, J., Kirichenko, E., Wang, Y. C. and Irvine,
K. D. (2019). Recruitment of Jub by alpha-catenin promotes Yki activity and Drosophila
wing growth. *J Cell Sci* **132**.

Aragona, M., Panciera, T., Manfrin, A., Giulitti, S., Michielin, F., Elvassore, N., Dupont, S.
and Piccolo, S. (2013). A mechanical checkpoint controls multicellular growth through
YAP/TAZ regulation by actin-processing factors. *Cell* **154**, 1047-1059.

Avery, A. W., Crain, J., Thomas, D. D. and Hays, T. S. (2016). A human beta-III-spectrin
spinocerebellar ataxia type 5 mutation causes high-affinity F-actin binding. *Sci Rep* **6**,
21375.

Avery, A. W., Fealey, M. E., Wang, F., Orlova, A., Thompson, A. R., Thomas, D. D., Hays,
T. S. and Egelman, E. H. (2017). Structural basis for high-affinity actin binding
revealed by a beta-III-spectrin SCA5 missense mutation. *Nat Commun* **8**, 1350.

Das Thakur, M., Feng, Y., Jagannathan, R., Seppa, M. J., Skeath, J. B. and Longmore, G.
D. (2010). Ajuba LIM proteins are negative regulators of the Hippo signaling pathway.
Curr Biol **20**, 657-662.

Deng, H., Wang, W., Yu, J., Zheng, Y., Qing, Y. and Pan, D. (2015). Spectrin regulates Hippo
signaling by modulating cortical actomyosin activity. *Elife* **4**, e06567.

Deng, H., Yang, L., Wen, P., Lei, H., Blount, P. and Pan, D. (2020). Spectrin couples cell
shape, cortical tension, and Hippo signaling in retinal epithelial morphogenesis. *J Cell*
Biol **219**.

Dietzl, G., Chen, D., Schnorrer, F., Su, K. C., Barinova, Y., Fellner, M., Gasser, B., Kinsey,
K., Oppel, S., Scheiblauer, S., et al. (2007). A genome-wide transgenic RNAi library
for conditional gene inactivation in Drosophila. *Nature* **448**, 151-156.

Duan, R., Kim, J. H., Shilagardi, K., Schiffhauer, E. S., Lee, D. M., Son, S., Li, S., Thomas,
C., Luo, T., Fletcher, D. A., et al. (2018). Spectrin is a mechanoresponsive protein
shaping fusogenic synapse architecture during myoblast fusion. *Nat Cell Biol* **20**, 688-
698.

Dubreuil, R., Byers, T. J., Branton, D., Goldstein, L. S. and Kiehart, D. P. (1987).
Drosophilia spectrin. I. Characterization of the purified protein. *J Cell Biol* **105**, 2095-
2102.

Dubreuil, R. R., Maddux, P. B., Grushko, T. A. and MacVicar, G. R. (1997). Segregation of
two spectrin isoforms: polarized membrane-binding sites direct polarized membrane
skeleton assembly. *Mol Biol Cell* **8**, 1933-1942.

Dye, N. A., Popovic, M., Spannl, S., Etournay, R., Kainmuller, D., Ghosh, S., Myers, E. W.,
Julicher, F. and Eaton, S. (2017). Cell dynamics underlying oriented growth of the
Drosophila wing imaginal disc. *Development* **144**, 4406-4421.

Fernandez, B. G., Gaspar, P., Bras-Pereira, C., Jezowska, B., Rebelo, S. R. and Janody, F.
(2011). Actin-Capping Protein and the Hippo pathway regulate F-actin and tissue growth
in Drosophila. *Development* **138**, 2337-2346.

Fernandez-Gonzalez, R., Simoes, S. d. M., Röper, J.-C., Eaton, S. and Zallen, J. A. (2009).
Myosin II dynamics are regulated by tension in intercalating cells. *Developmental Cell*
17, 736-743.

Fletcher, G. C., Elbediwy, A., Khanal, I., Ribeiro, P. S., Tapon, N. and Thompson, B. J.
(2015). The Spectrin cytoskeleton regulates the Hippo signalling pathway. *EMBO J* **34**,
940-954.

Forest, E., Logeay, R., Geminard, C., Kantar, D., Frayssinoux, F., Heron-Milhavet, L. and
Djiane, A. (2018). The apical scaffold big bang binds to spectrins and regulates the
growth of Drosophila melanogaster wing discs. *J Cell Biol* **217**, 1047-1062.

Greenberg, M. J., Arpag, G., Tuzel, E. and Ostap, E. M. (2016). A Perspective on the Role of
Myosins as Mechanosensors. *Biophys J* **110**, 2568-2576.

Hamaratoglu, F., Willecke, M., Kango-Singh, M., Nolo, R., Hyun, E., Tao, C., Jafar-Nejad,
H. and Halder, G. (2006). The tumour-suppressor genes NF2/Merlin and Expanded act
through Hippo signalling to regulate cell proliferation and apoptosis. *Nat Cell Biol* **8**, 27-
36.

Heemskerk, I. and Streichan, S. J. (2015). Tissue cartography: compressing bio-image data by
dimensional reduction. *Nat Methods* **12**, 1139-1142.

Heissler, S. M., Chinthalapudi, K. and Sellers, J. R. (2015). Kinetic characterization of the
sole nonmuscle myosin-2 from the model organism Drosophila melanogaster. *FASEB J*
29, 1456-1466.

Heissler, S. M. and Manstein, D. J. (2013). Nonmuscle myosin-2: mix and match. *Cell Mol*
Life Sci **70**, 1-21.

Hulsmeier, J., Pielage, J., Rickert, C., Technau, G. M., Klambt, C. and Stork, T. (2007).
Distinct functions of alpha-Spectrin and beta-Spectrin during axonal pathfinding.
Development **134**, 713-722.

Ibar, C., Kirichenko, E., Keepers, B., Enners, E., Fleisch, K. and Irvine, K. D. (2018).
Tension-dependent regulation of mammalian Hippo signaling through LIMD1. *J Cell*
Science **131**, jcs214700.

Jia, Y., Shen, D., Wang, X., Sun, J., Peng, P., Xu, R. G., Xu, B. and Ni, J. Q. (2019). flySAM
Transgenic CRISPRa System Manual. *Bio Protoc* **9**, e3147.

Jia, Y., Xu, R. G., Ren, X., Ewen-Campen, B., Rajakumar, R., Zirin, J., Yang-Zhou, D.,
Zhu, R., Wang, F., Mao, D., et al. (2018). Next-generation CRISPR/Cas9
transcriptional activation in Drosophila using flySAM. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **115**,
4719-4724.

Khanna, M. R., Mattie, F. J., Browder, K. C., Radyk, M. D., Crilly, S. E., Bakerink, K. J.,
Harper, S. L., Speicher, D. W. and Thomas, G. H. (2015). Spectrin tetramer formation
is not required for viable development in Drosophila. *J Biol Chem* **290**, 706-715.

Kiehart, D. P. and Feghali, R. (1986). Cytoplasmic myosin from Drosophila melanogaster. *J*
Cell Biol **103**, 1517-1525.

Krueger, D., Pallares Cartes, C., Makaske, T. and De Renzis, S. (2020). betaH-spectrin is
required for ratcheting apical pulsatile constrictions during tissue invagination. *EMBO*
Rep **21**, e49858.

Lee, J. K., Brandin, E., Branton, D. and Goldstein, L. S. (1997). alpha-Spectrin is required for
ovarian follicle monolayer integrity in Drosophila melanogaster. *Development* **124**, 353-
362.

Lehrer, S. S. and Kerwar, G. (1972). Intrinsic fluorescence of actin. *Biochemistry* **11**, 1211-
1217.

Liem, R. K. (2016). Cytoskeletal Integrators: The Spectrin Superfamily. *Cold Spring Harb*
Perspect Biol **8**.

Lye, C. M., Naylor, H. W. and Sanson, B. (2014). Subcellular localisations of the CPTI
collection of YFP-tagged proteins in Drosophila embryos. *Development* **141**, 4006-4017.

Machnicka, B., Czogalla, A., Hryniewicz-Jankowska, A., Boguslawska, D. M.,
Grochowalska, R., Heger, E. and Sikorski, A. F. (2014). Spectrins: a structural
platform for stabilization and activation of membrane channels, receptors and
transporters. *Biochim Biophys Acta* **1838**, 620-634.

Martin, A. C., Kaschube, M. and Wieschaus, E. F. (2009). Pulsed contractions of an actin-
myosin network drive apical constriction. *Nature* **457**, 495-499.

Mirdita, M., Schutze, K., Moriwaki, Y., Heo, L., Ovchinnikov, S. and Steinegger, M. (2022).
ColabFold: making protein folding accessible to all. *Nat Methods* **19**, 679-682.

Misra, J. R. and Irvine, K. D. (2018). The Hippo Signaling Network and its Biological
Functions. *Annual Reviews of Genetics* **52**, 3.1-3.23.

Nagarkar-Jaiswal, S., Lee, P. T., Campbell, M. E., Chen, K., Anguiano-Zarate, S.,
Gutierrez, M. C., Busby, T., Lin, W. W., He, Y., Schulze, K. L., et al. (2015). A
library of MiMICs allows tagging of genes and reversible, spatial and temporal
knockdown of proteins in Drosophila. *Elife* **4**.

Noll, N., Mani, M., Heemskerk, I., Streichan, S. J. and Shraiman, B. I. (2017). Active
tension network model suggests an exotic mechanical state realized in epithelial tissues.
Nature Physics **13**, 1221-1226.

Pan, Y., Alegot, H., Rauskolb, C. and Irvine, K. D. (2018). The dynamics of Hippo signaling
during Drosophila wing development. *Development* **145**.

Pan, Y., Heemskerk, I., Ibar, C., Shraiman, B. I. and Irvine, K. D. (2016). Differential
growth triggers mechanical feedback that elevates Hippo signaling. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U*
S A **113**, E6974-E6983.

Papal, S., Cortese, M., Legendre, K., Sorusch, N., Dragavon, J., Sahly, I., Shorte, S.,
Wolfrum, U., Petit, C. and El-Amraoui, A. (2013). The giant spectrin betaV couples
the molecular motors to phototransduction and Usher syndrome type I proteins along
their trafficking route. *Hum Mol Genet* **22**, 3773-3788.

Pogodalla, N., Kranenburg, H., Rey, S., Rodrigues, S., Cardona, A. and Klambt, C. (2021).
Drosophila ssHeavy-Spectrin is required in polarized ensheathing glia that form a
diffusion-barrier around the neuropil. *Nat Commun* **12**, 6357.

Rauskolb, C., Cervantes, E., Madere, F. and Irvine, K. D. (2019). Organization and function
of tension-dependent complexes at adherens junctions. *J Cell Sci* **132**.

Rauskolb, C., Han, A., Kirichenko, E., Ibar, C. and Irvine, K. D. (2022). Analysis of the
Drosophila Ajuba LIM protein defines functions for distinct LIM domains. *PLoS One* **17**,
e0269208.

Rauskolb, C., Pan, G., Reddy, B. V., Oh, H. and Irvine, K. D. (2011). Zyxin links fat
signaling to the hippo pathway. *PLoS Biol* **9**, e1000624.

Rauskolb, C., Sun, S., Sun, G., Pan, Y. and Irvine, K. D. (2014). Cytoskeletal tension inhibits
Hippo signaling through an Ajuba-Warts complex. *Cell* **158**, 143-156.

Razzell, W., Bustillo, M. E. and Zallen, J. A. (2018). The force-sensitive protein Ajuba
regulates cell adhesion during epithelial morphogenesis. *J Cell Biol*, jcb.201801171.

Royou, A., Field, C., Sisson, J. C., Sullivan, W. and Karess, R. (2004). Reassessing the role
and dynamics of nonmuscle myosin II during furrow formation in early Drosophila
embryos. *Mol Biol Cell* **15**, 838-850.

Sabino, D., Brown, N. H. and Basto, R. (2011). Drosophila Ajuba is not an Aurora-A activator
but is required to maintain Aurora-A at the centrosome. *J Cell Sci* **124**, 1156-1166.

Sali, A. and Blundell, T. L. (1993). Comparative protein modelling by satisfaction of spatial
restraints. *J Mol Biol* **234**, 779-815.

Sansores-Garcia, L., Bossuyt, W., Wada, K., Yonemura, S., Tao, C., Sasaki, H. and Halder,
G. (2011). Modulating F-actin organization induces organ growth by affecting the Hippo
pathway. *EMBO J* **30**, 2325-2335.

Sarpal, R., Yan, V., Kazakova, L., Sheppard, L., Yu, J. C., Fernandez-Gonzalez, R. and
Tepass, U. (2019). Role of α-Catenin and its mechanosensing properties in regulating
Hippo/YAP-dependent tissue growth. *PLoS Genet* **15**, e1008454.

Schindelin, J., Arganda-Carreras, I., Frise, E., Kaynig, V., Longair, M., Pietzsch, T.,
Preibisch, S., Rueden, C., Saalfeld, S., Schmid, B., et al. (2012). Fiji: an open-source
platform for biological-image analysis. *Nat Methods* **9**, 676-682.

Sellers, J. R., Eisenberg, E. and Adelstein, R. S. (1982). The binding of smooth muscle heavy
meromyosin to actin in the presence of ATP. Effect of phosphorylation. *J Biol Chem* **257**,
13880-13883.

Sun, S., Reddy, B. V. and Irvine, K. D. (2015). Localization of Hippo signalling complexes
and Warts activation in vivo. *Nat Commun* **6**, 8402.

Thomas, G. H. and Kiehart, D. P. (1994). Beta heavy-spectrin has a restricted tissue and
subcellular distribution during Drosophila embryogenesis. *Development* **120**, 2039-2050.

Thomas, G. H., Zarnescu, D. C., Juedes, A. E., Bales, M. A., Londergan, A., Korte, C. C.
and Kiehart, D. P. (1998). Drosophila betaHeavy-spectrin is essential for development
and contributes to specific cell fates in the eye. *Development* **125**, 2125-2134.

Vasquez, C. G., Heissler, S. M., Billington, N., Sellers, J. R. and Martin, A. C. (2016).
Drosophila non-muscle myosin II motor activity determines the rate of tissue folding.
Elife **5**.

Venken, K. J., Carlson, J. W., Schulze, K. L., Pan, H., He, Y., Spokony, R., Wan, K. H.,
Koriabine, M., de Jong, P. J., White, K. P., et al. (2009). Versatile P[acman] BAC
libraries for transgenesis studies in Drosophila melanogaster. *Nat Methods* **6**, 431-434.

Venken, K. J., Kasprowicz, J., Kuenen, S., Yan, J., Hassan, B. A. and Verstreken, P. (2008).
Recombineering-mediated tagging of Drosophila genomic constructs for in vivo
localization and acute protein inactivation. *Nucleic Acids Res* **36**, e114.

von der Ecken, J., Heissler, S. M., Pathan-Chhatbar, S., Manstein, D. J. and Raunser, S.
(2016). Cryo-EM structure of a human cytoplasmic actomyosin complex at near-atomic
resolution. *Nature* **534**, 724-728.

Winter, C. G., Wang, B., Ballew, A., Royou, A., Karess, R., Axelrod, J. D. and Luo, L.
(2001). Drosophila Rho-associated kinase (Drok) links Frizzled-mediated planar cell
polarity signaling to the actin cytoskeleton. *Cell* **105**, 81-91.

Wong, K. K., Li, W., An, Y., Duan, Y., Li, Z., Kang, Y. and Yan, Y. (2015). beta-Spectrin
regulates the hippo signaling pathway and modulates the basal actin network. *J Biol*
Chem **290**, 6397-6407.

Xie, S. and Martin, A. C. (2015). Intracellular signalling and intercellular coupling coordinate
heterogeneous contractile events to facilitate tissue folding. *Nat Commun* **6**, 7161.

Zarnescu, D. C. and Thomas, G. H. (1999). Apical spectrin is essential for epithelial
morphogenesis but not apicobasal polarity in Drosophila. *J Cell Biol* **146**, 1075-1086.

Zheng, Y. and Pan, D. (2019). The Hippo Signaling Pathway in Development and Disease. *Dev*
Cell **50**, 264-282.
801
802

     Figure Legends

Figure 1. Knockdown of β_H-Spec or α-Spec increases junctional myosin levels in wing discs

(A-C) Apical sections of wing discs stained for E-cad (red/gray) expressing en-Gal4 UAS-RFP

UAS-dcr2 sqh:GFP crossed to control (Oregon-R, OR) (A), UAS-kstRNAi(v37075) (B), or

UAS-α-specRNAi (C) showing the effect on Sqh:GFP (green/gray) levels and localization in the

posterior compartment (marked by RFP, blue). Dashed yellow line marks A/P compartment

boundary. Scale bar = 20 μm; all images are at the same magnification. Panels to the right, in

gray, show single channels, as indicated. (D-F) Heat maps of relative junctional Sqh:GFP

intensity of wing discs. Average levels of Sqh:GFP relative to E-cad levels are shown for the

different genotypes analyzed in A-C. Heat map scale is indicated on the top. Number of wing

discs used for analysis: Control (OR), n=6; UAS-kstRNAi, n=5; UAS-α-specRNAi, n=6. (G)

Average recoil velocities after laser cutting of cell junctions in anterior (A) or posterior (P)

compartments of wing discs expressing UAS-kstRNAi or UAS-α-specRNAi in posterior cells

under en-Gal4 control (n=20). (H-I) Quantification of Sqh:GFP normalized to E-cadherin in

posterior cells (P) compared to anterior cells (A) in wing disc expressing the indicated

constructs, displayed as individual values, normalized by E-cad (H) or normalized by the mean

intensity of Sqh:GFP (I). Data are shown as mean ± 95% CI. Statistical significance in (G) was

determined by Student's t-test between A and P. For (H) and (I), statistical significance was

determined by a one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple comparison test relative to the

control (Oregon-R): ns: not significant, *P<0.05; **P≤0.01; ***P≤0.001; ****P≤0.0001.

Figure 1 – figure supplement 1. Validation of RNAi lines

(A-B) horizontal (upper panels) and vertical (lower panels) sections of third instar wing imaginal

discs expressing en-Gal4 UAS-dcr2 Kst:YFP (green/gray) crossed with UAS-kstRNAi(v37075)

(A) and UAS-kstRNAi(HMS00882) (B), stained for E-cad (magenta). (C-D) Horizontal (upper

panels) and vertical (lower panels) sections of third instar wing imaginal discs expressing en-

Gal4 UAS-RFP (magenta) UAS-dcr2 crossed with UAS-α-specRNAi (C) or UAS-β-specRNAi

(D) immunostained with α-Spec antibody or β-Spec antibody, respectively (green/gray). Gray

scale images show the spectrin alone. β-specRNAi severely reduced β-Spec staining in most of

the wing disc but some signal remains near the peripodial membrane, which likely reflects non-
specific staining by the anti-sera. Scale bar = 20 μm.

Figure 1 – figure supplement 2. Modulation of levels of total and active myosin in wing
discs by spectrins.
(A-C) Apical sections of third instar wing imaginal discs expressing en-Gal4 UAS-dcr2 UAS-
RFP (blue) crossed with control (A), UAS-kstRNAi(v37075) (B) and UAS-α-SpecRNAi (C),
stained with pMLC antibody (green/gray) and E-cad (red/gray). (D) Quantification of pMLC
normalized to E-cadherin in posterior cells (P) compared to anterior cells (A) in wing discs
expressing the indicated constructs, displayed as individual values. Number of wing discs used
for analysis: Control (OR), n=5; UAS-kstRNAi, n=8, UAS-α-SpecRNAi, n=5. Error bars show
mean with 95% CI. Statistical significance was determined by one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's
multiple comparison test. Statistical comparisons are shown relative to the control (OR).
***P≤0.001, ****P<0.0001. (E) Third instar wing imaginal discs expressing en-Gal4 UAS-dcr2
UAS-RFP (blue) sqh:GFP (green) in combination with UAS-kstRNAi(HMS00882). Panels to
the right, in gray, show single channels, as indicated.

Figure 1 – figure supplement 3. α-Spec knockdown decreases wing disc thickness.
(A-C) Lateral views of wing discs expressing en-Gal4 UAS-RFP UAS-dcr2 crossed with control
(Oregon-R, OR) (A), UAS-kstRNAi(v37075) (B) or UAS-α-specRNAi (C), stained with
phalloidin to label F-actin (green), Hoechst to label nuclei (blue) and with the posterior
compartment marked by RFP (red) and mounted with 40 μm spacers to avoid compressing the
discs. Dashed lines indicate 30 μm from the A/P boundary. Scale bar = 20 μm (D) Quantification
of wing imaginal disc thickness determined 30 μm from the A/P boundary in anterior (A) and
posterior (P) sides for the different genotypes indicated. Statistical significance was determined
by Student's t-test. ns: not significative; **P≤0.01; ***P≤0.001

Figure 2. Knockdown of β$_H$-Spec or α-Spec increases junctional Jub levels in wing discs

(A-C) Apical sections of wing discs expressing en-Gal4 UAS-RFP UAS-dcr2 Jub:GFP crossed

to control (Oregon-R, OR) (A), UAS-kstRNAi(v37075) (B) or UAS-α-specRNAi (C) stained for

E-cad (red/gray) showing the effect on Jub:GFP (green/gray) in the posterior compartment

(marked by RFP, blue). Dashed yellow line marks the A/P compartment boundary. Panels to the

right, in gray, show single channels, as indicated. Scale bar = 20 μm. (D-F) Heat maps of relative

junctional Jub:GFP intensity of wing discs. Levels of Jub:GFP relative to E-cad levels are shown

for the different genotypes analyzed. Heat map scale is indicated on the top. Number of wing

discs used for analysis: Control (OR), n=9; UAS-kstRNAi, n=8; UAS-α-specRNAi, n=8. (G-H)

Quantification of Jub:GFP normalized to E-cadherin (G) or to Jub:GFP mean intensity (H) in

posterior cells compared to anterior cells (P/A) in wing discs expressing the indicated constructs,

displayed as individual values. Data are shown as mean ± 95% CI. Statistical significance was

determined by one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple comparison test relative to the control

(Oregon-R): ns: not significant, *P<0.05; **P≤0.01; ***P≤0.001; ****P≤0.0001

Figure 2 – figure supplement 1. β$_H$-spec modulates the recruitment of Jub:GFP to AJs.

(A) Third instar wing disc expressing en-Gal4 UAS-dcr2 UAS-RFP (blue) Jub:GFP (green/gray)

in combination with UAS-kstRNAi(HMS00882) (A) stained for E-cad (red/gray). Dashed yellow

line marks A/P compartment boundary. (B, C) Apical sections of wing discs expressing en-Gal4

UAS-RFP UAS-dcr2 Jub:GFP crossed to control (Oregon-R, OR) (B), UAS-kstRNAi(v37075)

(C) from Figure 2. Columns to the right show higher magnification views of the Jub:GFP

channel anterior (a) and posterior boxes (p).

Figure 3. Jub is required for β$_H$-Spec and α-Spec regulation of wing size and ex-lacZ

(A-J) Representative adult wings from flies cultured at 29ºC and expressing UAS transgenes

altering spectrin and/or Jub expression under control of a nub-Gal4 (nubG4) driver. (K)

Quantification of wing area (mean ± 95% CI) Number of wing discs used for analysis: Control

(OR), n=20; UAS-kstRNAi[GD], n=20; UAS-kstRNAi[HMS], n=21; UAS-α-specRNAi, n=20;

UAS-jubRNAi, n=20, UAS-jubRNAi UAS-kstRNAi[GD], n=20; UAS-jubRNAi UAS-

kstRNAi[HMS], n=20; UAS-jubRNAi UAS-α-specRNAi, n=20; UAS-kstCRISPRa, n=22; UAS-

kst[EP], n=26. Statistical significance was determined by a one-way ANOVA with Tukey's
multiple comparison test. Statistical comparisons are shown relative to nub-Gal4 UAS-dcr2/+ in
green, relative to nub-Gal4 UAS-dcr2 UAS-jubRNAi in purple and relative to UAS-kstRNAi in
blue. (L-Q) Third-instar wing discs expressing ex-lacZ en-Gal4 UAS-dcr2 UAS-GFP (green)
crossed to OR (L), UAS-kstRNAi (M), UAS-jubRNAi (N), UAS-jubRNAi UAS-kstRNAi (O),
UAS-α-specRNAi (P), UAS-jubRNAi UAS-α-specRNAi (Q) stained for expression of ex-lacZ
(red/white). Dashed yellow line indicates the A/P compartment boundary.

Figure 3 - figure supplement 1 *kst* phenotypes are suppressed by reduction of tension
(A-B) Representative adult wings from flies cultured at 29ºC expressing nub-Gal4 and UAS
transgenes reducing myosin activity (UAS-rokRNAi) enhancing RNAi (UAS-Dcr2) and in (B)
knocking down β_H-Spec (UAS-kstRNAi(v37075)). (C) Quantification of wing area (mean and
95% CI, n = 20). Statistical significance was determined by one-way ANOVA with Tukey's
multiple comparison test. Statistical comparisons are shown relative to nub-Gal4 UAS-dcr2 (data
from Figure 3) in green or between nub-Gal4 UAS-dcr2 UAS-rokRNAi genotypes in black. (D-
E) Sections of wing imaginal discs expressing en-Gal4 UAS-rokRNAi UAS-Dcr2 Jub:GFP
crossed to control (Oregon-R) (D) or UAS-kstRNAi(v37075) (E) stained for E-cad (red/gray)
showing the effect of reducing cytoskeletal tension on Jub:GFP (green/gray) in the posterior
compartment (marked by Dcr2 immunostaining, blue). Scale bar = 20 μm.

Figure 4. β_H-Spec and α-Spec do not co-localize in wing discs.
(A-C) Wing discs expressing Kst:YFP immunostained with α-Spec (red/gray) and E-cad
(blue/gray) antibodies showing the localization of β_H-Spec (Kst:YFP, green/gray) and α-Spec at
the AJ (A), below the AJ (B) and in cross sections (C). Upper yellow arrow in cross section
indicates AJ layer, lower yellow arrow indicates "Below AJ" layer. Inset shows higher
magnification of single channels from the boxed region in A. (D,E) Wing discs expressing
Kst:GFP (D) or β-spec:GFP (E), with GFP in green and signal from Proximity Ligation Assays
(PLA) using rabbit anti-GFP and mouse α-Spec antibodies in magenta.

Figure 5. Influence of spectrin knockdowns on spectrin subunit localization in wing discs.

(A-C) Apical sections of wing discs expressing en-Gal4 UAS-dcr2 Kst:YFP (green/gray) along with UAS-α-specRNAi (A), UAS-β-specRNAi (B) and UAS-kstRNAi (C) immunostained for α-Spec (A and C, blue/gray) or β-Spec antibodies (B, blue/gray). The AJ layers were obtained by ImSAnE, using as a reference the E-cad channel and 7 layers were projected (2.1 μm). (D,E) Sections of wing discs expressing en-Gal4 UAS-RFP (blue) UAS-dcr2 crossed with UAS-α-specRNAi (D) or UAS-β-specRNAi (E) stained with mouse α-Spec (green/gray) and rabbit β-Spec antibody (red/gray) . Dashed yellow lines indicate the A/P compartment boundary. Scale bar = 20 μm.

Figure 6. βH-Spec colocalizes with myosin and is regulated by myosin activity

(A,B) Wing disc co-expressing Kst:GFP (green/gray) and the labelled myosin II subunit sqh:mCherry (red/gray) in apical horizontal sections (A) and lateral sections (B), co-stained with phalloidin for F-actin (blue/gray). (C-E) Apical sections of wing discs expressing en-Gal4 UAS-RFP UAS-dcr2 kst:YFP crossed to (Oregon R, OR) (C), UAS-rokRNAi (D) or UAS-sqhEE (E) in the posterior compartment (marked by RFP, blue), showing the effect of altering myosin activity on Kst:YFP. Dashed yellow lines lines mark the A/P compartment boundary. Scale bar = 20 μm. (F) Higher magnification of the boxed regions indicated in E. Scale bar = 10 μm (G-I) Heat maps of junctional Kst:YFP intensity relative to E-cad in en-Gal4 UAS-RFP UAS-dcr2 kst:YFP crossed to (Oregon R, OR) (C), UAS-rokRNAi (D) or UAS-sqhEE (E) wing discs (n=5 for each genotype), as in the representative examples shown in C to E. Heat map scale is at bottom. (J) Quantification of Kst:YFP intensity normalized to E-cadherin in posterior cells (P) compared to anterior cells (A) in wing discs expressing the indicated constructs under en-Gal4 control (n=5). Data are shown as mean ± 95% CI, error bars indicate CI. Statistical significance was determined by a one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple comparison test relative to the control (Oregon-R): ns: not significant, *P<0.05; **P≤0.01.

Figure 6- figure supplement 1. Additional analysis of βH-Spec localization and the effect of
changes in tension on myosin.

(A) Lateral view of a wing imaginal disc co-expressing Kst:GFP (green) and the labelled myosin
II subunit sqh:mCherry (magenta) stained with α-Spec antibody (blue). Scale bar = 10 μm (B)
Linescan along the yellow line in A showing the intensities of Kst:GFP (green), Sqh::mCherry
(magenta) and α-Spec. (C) Apical sections of a wing disc expressing en-Gal4 UAS-RFP UAS-
dcr2 sqh:GFP crossed to UAS-rokRNAi in the posterior compartment (marked by Dcr2
immunostaining, blue), showing the effect of decreasing myosin activity on Sqh:GFP
(green/gray). (D) Apical sections of a wing disc expressing en-Gal4 UAS-dcr2 zip:GFP (myosin
heavy chain subunit tagged with GFP) crossed to UAS-sqh^{EE} in the posterior compartment
(marked by RFP, blue), showing the effect of increasing myosin activity on Zip:GFP
(green/gray). Discs were also stained for E-cad (red/gray). As described previously (Rauskolb et
al., 2014), decreasing myosin activity decreases myosin levels near apical junctions and
increasing myosin activity increases myosin levels near apical junctions. Scale bar = 20 μm.

971
972

Figure 7. βH-Spec overexpression reduces in junctional tension in wing discs.
(A-B) Apical sections of wing imaginal discs expressing en-Gal4 UAS-RFP UAS-dcr2 sqh:GFP
(A) or en-Gal4 UAS-RFP UAS-dcr2 jub:GFP (B) crossed to UAS-kst-CRISPRa showing the
effect on Sqh:GFP or Jub:GFP levels and localization in the posterior compartment (marked by
RFP, blue). Yellow dashed line indicates the A/P compartment boundary. Scale bar = 20 μm; all
images are at the same magnification. (C-D) Heat maps of relative junctional Sqh:GFP (C) or
Jub:GFP (D) intensity of wing discs. Levels of Sqh:GFP relative to E-cad levels are shown for
the different genotypes analyzed in A-B. Heat map scale is indicated on the top. Number of wing
discs used for analysis: UAS-kst-CRISPRa with Sqh:GFP, n=5; UAS-kst-CRISPRa with
Jub:GFP, n= 6 (E-F) Quantification of Sqh:GFP overlapping E-cad in posterior cells (P)
compared to anterior cells (A) in wing disc expressing the indicated constructs, displayed as
individual values, normalized by E-cad (E) or normalized by the mean intensity of Sqh:GFP (F).
(G-H) Quantification of Jub:GFP overlapping E-cad in posterior cells (P) compared to anterior
cells (A) in wing disc expressing the indicated constructs, displayed as individual values,
normalized by E-cad (G) or normalized by the mean intensity of Jub:GFP (H). (I) Average recoil

velocities after laser cutting of cell junctions in anterior (A) or posterior (P) compartments of
wing discs expressing UAS-kstCRISPRa in posterior cells (n=20). Data are shown as mean ±
95% CI. Statistical significance in (I) was determined by Student's t-test between A and P. For
(J) and (K), statistical significance was determined by a one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's
multiple comparison test relative to the control (Oregon-R): ns: not significant, *P<0.05;
**P≤0.01; ***P≤0.001; ****P≤0.0001.

994
995

Figure 7 - figure supplement 1.
(A) Apical sections of a wing imaginal disc expressing en-Gal4 tubG80ts kst:GFP crossed with
UAS-kstCRISPRa, stained for E-cad (magenta). Expression of Kst:GFP (green) was induced by
transferring the flies from 18ºC to 29ºC for 24 h. (B) Western blots of wing disc lysates with the
genotypes shown in the figure: nub-Gal4 UAS-Dcr2 kst:GFP crossed with w[1118] (control) and
UAS-kstCRISPRa (experimental). Kst:GFP was detected using a GFP antibody. GAPDH was
used as a loading control. (C) Third instar wing imaginal discs expressing enGal4 UAS-dcr2
UAS-RFP sqh:GFP in combination with UAS-kstP[EPgy2]EY01010. (D) Third instar wing
imaginal discs expressing enGal4 UAS-dcr2 UAS-RFP jub:GFP in combination with UAS-
kstP[EPgy2]
EY01010.

1007
1008

Figure 8. β$_H$-Spec and myosin have overlapping binding sites on F-actin.
(A-C) Co-sedimentation assays with βH-Spec CH domains (Spectrin), F-actin, and myosin-II
subfragment-1-like protein (myosin). For all sedimentation assays, A, M, Sp, E, and R refer to F-
actin, myosin heavy chain, spectrin, myosin essential light chain and myosin regulatory light
chain, respectively. Mw indicates marker and S and P refer to supernatant and pellet,
respectively. Quantification shows mean ± S.D. of the sedimentation behavior of spectrin and
myosin with F-actin (n = 3). (A) Co-sedimentation assay between spectrin (0-12 μM) and F-actin
(2 μM). (B) Co-sedimentation assay between myosin (0-2.59 μM) and F-actin (2 μM). (C) Co-
sedimentation assay between spectrin (12 μM), myosin (0-2.59 μM) and F-actin (2 μM).
Quantification shows that myosin reduces spectrin binding by ~50% (bottom panel). (D-D'')

Model of the *Drosophila* CH1 domain (yellow) bound to F-actin (grey) (D). Model of the

Drosophila myosin motor domain (purple) bound to F-actin (grey) (D'). Superimposition of the

CH1 and myosin motor domain on F-actin. The two strands of the actin filament are shown in

light and dark grey and subdomains are indicated.

1023

1024

Figure 8 – figure supplement 1. Additional examination of competition between β_H-Spec

and myosin for F-actin.

(A) Quantification of the binding behavior of myosin to F-actin in the presence and absence of

spectrin in co-sedimentation assays. The similarity in actin binding indicates that pre-loading

spectrin does not interfere with myosin binding to F-actin under these assay conditions. (B)

Model of the *Drosophila* CH1-CH2 domain (CH1, yellow; CH2, blue) bound to F-actin (grey)

(B'). Model of the *Drosophila* myosin motor domain (purple) bound to F-actin (grey) (B'').

Superimposition of the CH1-CH2 and myosin motor domain on F-actin. The two strands of the

actin filament are shown in light and dark grey and subdomains are indicated.

A   *Control*

B   *kstRNAi*

F-actin
RFP
DNA

C   *α-specRNAi*

D

A *en-Gal4 UAS-RFP UAS-dcr2 jub:GFP*

Jub:GFP
E-cad
RFP

Jub:GFP

E-cad

B *en-Gal4 UAS-RFP UAS-dcr2 jub:GFP kstRNAi*

Jub:GFP
E-cad
RFP

Jub:GFP

E-cad

C *en-Gal4 UAS-RFP UAS-dcr2 jub:GFP α-specRNAi*

Jub:GFP
E-cad
RFP

Jub:GFP

E-cad

D

E

F

20 µm

G

Jub:GFP P/A (normalized by E-cad)

OR   kstRNAi   α-specRNAi
*   ****

H

Jub:GFP P/A (normalized by Jub:GFP mean)

OR   kstRNAi   α-specRNAi
*   **

A nubG4 dcr2/+

B nubG4 dcr2 jubRNAi/+

C nubG4 dcr2 kstRNAi^HMS00882

D nubG4 dcr2 kstRNAi^GD

E nubG4 dcr2 kstRNAi^HMS jubRNAi

F nubG4 dcr2 kstRNAi^GD jubRNAi

G nubG4 dcr2 α-specRNAi

H nubG4 dcr2 α-specRNAi jubRNAi

I nubG4 dcr2 kst^EP

J nubG4 dcr2 CRISPRa-kst

K **Wing size**

Relative wing area (%)

nubG4 UAS-dcr2 x

L ex-lacZ en-Gal4 UAS-GFP UAS-dcr2

M ex-lacZ en-Gal4 UAS-GFP UAS-dcr2 kstRNAi

N ex-lacZ en-Gal4 UAS-GFP UAS-dcr2 jubRNAi

O ex-lacZ en-Gal4 UAS-GFP UAS-dcr2 jubRNAi kstRNAi

P ex-lacZ en-Gal4 UAS-GFP UAS-dcr2 α-specRNAi

Q ex-lacZ enG4 UAS-GFP UAS-dcr2 jubRNAi α-specRNAi

ex-lacZ
GFP
ex-lacZ

A

nub-Gal4 UAS-rokRNAi

B

nub-Gal4 UAS-rokRNAi, kstRNAi

C

Relative wing area (%)

ns

**** ****

+   *rokRNAi*   *rokRNAi kstRNAi*

D   *en-Gal4 UAS-rokRNAi jubGFP UAS-Dcr2*

Jub:GFP
E-cad
Dcr2

Jub:GFP

E-cad

20 µm

E   *en-Gal4 UAS-rokRNAi jubGFP UAS-Dcr2 UAS-kstRNAi*

Jub:GFP
E-cad
Dcr2

Jub:GFP

E-cad