Title: ERK1/2 Activation in Macrophages Promotes *Leishmania*

Internalization and Pathogenesis

Running title: ERK1/2 facilitates *Leishmania* pathogenesis

Authors: Umaru Barrie[1, 2], Katherine Floyd[1, †], Arani Datta[1], and Dawn M.

Wetzel[1, 3*]

Affiliations: [1]Department of Pediatrics, University of Texas Southwestern

Medical Center, 5323 Harry Hines Blvd, Dallas, Texas, 75390, United States;

[2]Medical Scientist Training Program, University of Texas Southwestern Medical

Center, 5323 Harry Hines Blvd, Dallas, Texas, 75390, United States;

[3]Department of Biochemistry, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center,

5323 Harry Hines Blvd, Dallas, Texas, 75390, United States.

*Corresponding author: Dawn M. Wetzel, M.D., Ph.D.

Departments of Pediatrics (Infectious Diseases) and Biochemistry

The University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, TX 75019

Phone: 214-645-9510; Email: Dawn.Wetzel@UTSouthwestern.edu

[†]**Current address:** Department of Internal Medicine and Molecular Microbiology,

Washington University School of Medicine, 660 S Euclid Ave, St. Louis, MO

63110, United States

Keywords: *Leishmania*, kinase, MAPK/ERK, parasite, macrophage

Abbreviations: BCA, Bicinchoninic acid; BMDM, bone marrow-derived macrophages; CC, cytotoxic concentration; CR3, complement receptor 3; FcγR, Fc gamma Receptor; h, hour; IC, inhibitory concentration; IL, Interleukin; INFγ, Interferon gamma; Mϕ, macrophage; m, minutes; MAPK/ERK, Mitogen-activated protein kinases/Extracellular signal regulated kinases; PKC, Protein Kinase C; PVDF, polyvinylidene difluoride; RAF, Rapidly Accelerated Fibrosarcoma; RIPA, radioimmunoprecipitation assay; p-, phosphorylated; PMA, Phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate; SD, standard deviation; SFK, Src family kinases; SYK, spleen tyrosine kinase; TNF, Tumor Necrosis Factor

This file includes:

Abstract word count: 219

Summary statement character count: 141

Manuscript word count: 9,549

Number of references: 57

Number of figures: 6

Number of tables: 2

Supplemental figures: 1

Supplemental tables: 3

ABSTRACT: The obligate intracellular protozoan parasite *Leishmania* binds

several host cell receptors to trigger its uptake by phagocytic cells, ultimately

resulting in visceral or cutaneous leishmaniasis. After *Leishmania* engages

receptors on macrophages and other phagocytes, a series of signaling pathways

in the host cell are activated during its internalization, which are critical for

establishment and persistence of *Leishmania* infection. Thus, preventing

Leishmania internalization by phagocytes could be a novel therapeutic strategy

for leishmaniasis. However, the host cellular machinery that mediates

promastigote and amastigote uptake is poorly understood. Here, using small-

molecule inhibitors of Mitogen-activated protein kinases/Extracellular signal

regulated kinases (MAPK/ERK), we demonstrate that ERK1/2 mediates efficient

Leishmania amazonensis uptake and phagocytosis of beads by macrophages.

We find that inhibition of MEK1/2 or ERK1/2 leads to inefficient amastigote

uptake by macrophages. Moreover, using inhibitors and primary macrophages

lacking spleen tyrosine kinase (SYK) or Abl family kinases, we show that SYK

and Abl family kinases mediate Raf, MEK, and ERK1/2 activity and are

necessary for efficient uptake. Finally, we demonstrate that trametinib, a MEK1/2

inhibitor used clinically to treat certain cancers, significantly reduces disease

severity and parasite burden in *Leishmania*-infected mice, even if it is started

after lesions develop. Our results show that maximal *Leishmania* infection

requires MAPK/ERK and highlight the potential for MAPK/ERK-mediated

signaling pathways to be novel therapeutic targets for leishmaniasis.

One sentence summary: An Abl2-SYK-Raf-MEK-ERK pathway facilitates

Leishmania uptake by phagocytic cells and promotes disease severity in

Leishmania-infected mice.

Main text:

INTRODUCTION

Leishmania spp. are obligate intracellular, protozoan parasites spread by the

sand fly vector (*1*), causing leishmaniasis, a group of diseases ranging from self-

healing cutaneous ulcers (*2*) to deadly disseminated visceral disease (*3*).

Leishmaniasis represents a major global health problem, since over 12 million

people currently suffer from this disease. Approximately 2 million people are

newly infected by *Leishmania* spp. annually (*4*). Moreover, it is estimated that

over 350 million people in 98 countries worldwide are at risk of developing

leishmaniasis (*4, 5*), and the disease is now spreading into previously non-

endemic areas, including the United States (*6, 7*). *Leishmania*, particularly the

species causing cutaneous disease, are understudied, and current treatments

are few, expensive, poorly effective, and toxic. Resistance is also emerging (*8-*

11). Thus, identifying new drugs with novel mechanisms of action that circumvent

resistance mechanisms is an urgent priority for effective drug development.

When an infected sand fly encounters its host, *Leishmania* promastigotes must

be internalized by phagocytic cells such as macrophages (Mϕ) in order to persist

in the host (*12, 13*). This process is then repeated as the mammalian life cycle

stage, the amastigote, replicates and spreads to other phagocytic cells to cause

pathogenesis (*14*). Based on prior studies, the Fcγ classes of receptors (FcγR)

are the primary receptor on the host cell surface that permit amastigote uptake

(*14*). Major advancements have been made in understanding the

immunomodulatory events involved in the pathogenesis of leishmaniasis.

However, little is known about the basic molecular events underlying the

signaling events, especially the host cellular pathways, which facilitate the

receptor-mediated uptake of *Leishmania* by Mϕ and other phagocytes.

Our previous studies have identified the Src (*15*) family kinases (SFK, and

specifically Hck, Fgr, and Lyn), Abl1 (Abl) and Abl2 (Arg) (*16*) kinases, and

Spleen Tyrosine Kinase (SYK) (*17*) as major protein families involved in

phagocytosis. Specifically, we have demonstrated that Abl facilitates

complement-mediated phagocytosis, and Arg enhances immunoglobulin/ FcγR-

mediated phagocytosis (*15, 16*). Modulation of these kinases via genetic

manipulation or small molecule inhibitors abrogates *L. amazonensis*

internalization by Mϕ, and thus limits disease progression in mice (*15-17*).

However, our studies also indicate that these kinases have a limited role in the

host's immune response to *Leishmania* or parasite clearance (*15-17*). A drug that

simultaneously decreases parasite uptake, limits parasite survival, and promotes

immunological responses that are advantageous to the host could provide added

advantages to reduce infection and disease burden. Host-cell active agents could

also theoretically be used to combat other intracellular pathogens that employ

similar uptake mechanisms.

Exactly how SFK, Abl family kinases, and SYK would regulate the events that

occur during *Leishmania* uptake and phagocytosis has not been fully established.

Others and we have demonstrated that CrkII is activated after signals have been

relayed from SFK to Arg to SYK, leading to actin-rich phagocytic cup formation

and pathogen uptake (*15-18*). Interestingly, studies have been published in

cancer model systems indicating that Src (*19*), Abl family kinases, and SYK (*20,*

21) also can activate MAPK/ERK signaling. However, it is not clear whether

MAPK/ERK signaling would play a role in phagocytosis. Nevertheless,

MAPK/ERK are known to directly phosphorylate cytoplasmic or nuclear proteins,

including transcription factors, that control several fundamental cellular

processes from proliferation and differentiation to innate and adaptive immune

responses (*22-24*). In addition, Phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate (PMA) is known

to activate MAPK/ERK through protein kinase C (PKC) (*25*). Previous studies

have shown that MAPK/ERK signaling modulates the immune response to

Leishmania infection to favor chronic infection and survival of the parasite inside

phagocytic cells (*26-30*). Correspondingly, inhibiting MEK1/2 with PD98059 has

been shown to enhance *L. amazonensis* killing by activating reactive oxygen

species (ROS) and repressing IL-10 production (*18, 31-33*). These results

directly implicate ERK1/2 phosphorylation as a mechanism through which the

parasite promotes persistence and subverts the immune response (*18*).

However, experiments characterizing early time points in the *Leishmania* life

cycle, during the uptake of these parasites by Mϕ, have not been conducted.

Here, we uncover a new role for MAPK/ERK during *Leishmania* internalization and

pathogenesis. We show that Raf-1, MEK1/2, and ERK1/2 activities are induced

following infection and are necessary for efficient uptake of amastigotes. Host

MAPK/ERK is activated by Arg and SYK to facilitate this process. In combination

with prior results (*15-18*), our molecular studies elucidate an FcγR-SFK-Arg-SYK-

Raf-MEK-ERK signaling relay as a major pathway involved in establishment of

leishmaniasis by facilitating parasite uptake. In addition, using a mouse model, we

establish that MAPK/ERK are required for the development and worsening of lesions

in cutaneous leishmaniasis. Of particular interest, targeting MAPK/ERK with specific

inhibitors limits leishmaniasis manifestations and parasite burden in mice, even

when these inhibitors are started after lesions are apparent. Our experiments

highlight MAPK/ERK inhibitors as potential new treatment modalities for this

devastating disease.

RESULTS

MAPK/ERK signaling in Mϕ facilitates *Leishmania* uptake

To directly test whether the MAPK/ERK pathway mediates *Leishmania* uptake,

we first explored the effect of the MEK1/2 inhibitor trametinib on the uptake of *L.*

amazonensis. We can grow both promastigotes and amastigotes of this species

in tissue culture without host cells (*i.e.*, axenic culture), which facilitates our

studies of *Leishmania* uptake by Mϕ. Trametinib is a highly selective and potent

MEK1/2 inhibitor, with an IC_{50} for the purified kinase ranging from 0.92 nM to 3.4

nM in cell-free assays (*34, 35*). It has no effect on the kinase activity of c-Raf, B-

Raf, or ERK1/2. We pretreated RAW 264.7 cells, a Mϕ−like cell line, for 2 hours

(h) with trametinib or imatinib, an Abl/Arg inhibitor with an IC_{50} of 100-500 nM in

kinase assays (*35*), as a positive control. We then added *Leishmania* to samples

to allow uptake. Trametinib decreased the uptake of IgG-opsonized amastigotes

in RAW 264.7 cells, comparable to the reductions seen after treatment with

imatinib (**Fig. 1A, B),** as demonstrated by a lower phagocytic index (PI), or

number of internalized parasites per 100 Mϕ. No differences were seen in the

total (external + internal) number of *Leishmania* per 100 RAW 264.7 cells, which

we term an adhesion index, among these conditions (**Fig. 1C**). We performed a

titration of trametinib's effects on amastigote uptake, and the maximal reduction

in PI was shown at 1 μM trametinib (**Fig. 1D, E).** We also determined that over

72 h, the CC_{50} (cytotoxic concentration required to kill 50% of viable cells) for

RAW 264.7 cells was greater than our maximum concentration of 2.5 μM.

Internalization defects remained even after prolonged incubation in trametinib (up

to 240 minutes) and were not due to reduced adhesion, as amastigotes

continued to be bound to trametinib-treated RAW 264.7 cells at levels

indistinguishable from controls (**Fig. 1F, G**). Treating Mφ with other MAPK/ERK

pathway inhibitors (*36*) such as selumetinib (which affects MEK1/2), PD0325901

(another MEK1/2 inhibitor), and SCH772984 (an ERK1/2 inhibitor), also

significantly reduced amastigote uptake without affecting adhesion

(**Supplemental Fig. 1A, B**). In addition, we found that treating RAW 264.7 cells

with the MEK1/2 inhibitor PD0325901 (*37*) decreased the internalization of

promastigotes, to a degree that was comparable to treatment with imatinib

(**Supplemental Fig. 1C, D**). Together, these results establish that targeting

MEK1/2 and ERK1/2 significantly attenuates internalization of both *L.*

amazonensis life cycle stages.

cRaf, MEK1/2, and ERK1/2 are activated during amastigote uptake

Having established that MAPK/ERK are important for *Leishmania* uptake, we

assessed whether kinases involved in this pathway were activated during

amastigote internalization. Raf-1 (cRaf), MEK1/2, and ERK1/2 are key members of

the MAPK/ERK pathway (*24, 38*). We thus measured cRaf, MEK1/2, and ERK1/2

phosphorylation in RAW 264.7 cells to examine the relative phosphorylation level of

each kinase during internalization of amastigotes. Essentially no phosphorylated

ERK or ERK was detected in amastigote samples (**Supplemental Fig. 1E**).

However, amastigotes potently induced ERK1/2 phosphorylation in Mφ, with

phosphorylation levels increasing by 3 and 4.5-fold at 10- and 30-minutes post-

infection, respectively (**Fig. 2A**). Similarly, MEK1/2 (**Fig. 2B**) and cRaf (**Fig. 2C**)

were activated by amastigotes during infection. These data indicate that amastigotes

rapidly activate MAPK/ERK in a sustained fashion during their uptake by Mϕ.

PMA rescues MAPK/ERK related uptake defects

PMA is known to activate ERK1/2 through PKC, with an IC_{50} of 11.7 nM against

purified kinase (*25*). Therefore, we next investigated whether PMA affected

amastigote internalization. To perform these experiments, and to confirm our prior

results from a cell line using primary cells, we isolated bone marrow derived-Mϕ

(BMDM) from C57BL/6 mice. PMA-treated BMDM were able to internalize IgG-

coated amastigotes at higher levels than DMSO-treated BMDM in a dose-dependent

manner, reaching maximal internalization at 2.5 μM PMA (**Fig. 3A, B**). Importantly,

10 μM trametinib and 10 μM PMA did not affect growth of amastigotes in culture

(**Fig. 3C**), indicating that they do not exert their effects on internalization by acting

directly on *Leishmania*. Furthermore, PMA treatment rescued trametinib inhibition of

Leishmania uptake in BMDM to PI that were indistinguishable from those of DMSO-

treated controls (**Fig. 3D-F**). Collectively, our data indicate that ERK1/2 kinase

activity is necessary for maximal *Leishmania* uptake. In addition, although PKC

activation was seen during the uptake of amastigotes, PMA treatment increased

PKC activity in RAW 264.7 cells to 8-fold higher levels than during baseline

amastigote uptake (**Fig. 3G, H**).

ERK1/2 is activated by Arg/Abl and SYK during amastigote uptake

Next, we investigated the mechanism by which *L. amazonensis* amastigotes induced

ERK1/2 phosphorylation during their uptake. Previous research has shown that Arg

facilitates *Leishmania* amastigote uptake downstream of SFK (*15*). In addition,

inhibition of SYK, a tyrosine kinase implicated in FcγR immunoglobulin-mediated

signaling (*17, 18, 39, 40*) reduces ERK1/2 activation in a dose-dependent manner in

other systems (*18, 41*). To test for roles for these kinases, small molecule inhibitors

(imatinib, entospletinib [IC$_{50}$ for activity against purified SYK = 7.7 nM] (*41*),

SCH772984, trametinib), and ERK1/2 activator PMA (*25*) were added to RAW 264.7

cells before infection with amastigotes. Inhibition of Arg and SYK with imatinib and

entospletinib, respectively, significantly reduced ERK1/2 phosphorylation, to a

similar degree to the MAPK/ERK inhibitors SCH772984 and trametinib (**Fig. 4A, B**).

As expected, PMA, the positive control, significantly increased ERK1/2

phosphorylation. Furthermore, addition of 1 μM PMA to trametinib-treated cells

rescued inhibition of ERK1/2 phosphorylation to DMSO/control levels. The inhibitors

and activators followed similar trends for promastigote-induced ERK activity

(**Supplemental Fig. 1F**). Thus, we could modulate *Leishmania*-induced ERK activity

by both inhibitors and activators *in vitro*.

To further study the role of SYK and Arg in *Leishmania*-induced ERK

phosphorylation, we next isolated BMDMs from mice lacking Abl family kinases

(*Arg$^{-/-}$Abl$^{flox/flox}$LysM Cre$^+$* [termed *Arg$^{-/-}$Abl$^{-/-}$* when discussing isolated BMDM]),

SYK (*SYK$^{flox/flox}$ Cre$^+$*, abbreviated *SYK$^{-/-}$* when referring to isolated BMDM) or wild

type (*WT*) littermates. We have previously shown that amastigote uptake is

dependent upon Arg but not Abl (*15-16*). Our immunoblots showed *Arg*$^{-/-}$*Abl*$^{-/-}$ and

SYK$^{-/-}$ BMDM nearly completely lack Arg or SYK, respectively, while ERK1/2

expression was maintained (**Supplemental Fig. 1G**). Consistent with our chemical

inhibition experiments, SYK$^{-/-}$ and *Arg*$^{-/-}$*Abl*$^{-/-}$ BMDM limited amastigote-mediated

ERK1/2 phosphorylation, demonstrating that SYK and Arg/Abl are necessary for

stimulating ERK1/2 phosphorylation following engagement of the FcγR (**Fig. 4C, D**).

At low doses (250 nM), PMA rescued ERK1/2 activity in *Arg*$^{-/-}$*Abl*$^{-/-}$ and *SYK*$^{-/-}$

BMDM. This suggested 1) amastigotes operate through a distinct pathway to

activate ERK1/2 compared to PMA, which activates MAPK/ERK through PKC (*25*)

and 2) during FcγR immunoglobulin-mediated amastigote infection, Arg and SYK

family kinases are upstream of MAPK/ERK and are necessary to activate the

MAPK/ERK pathway.

To directly test whether Arg is critical for ERK1/2-mediated amastigote uptake, we

treated *WT* and *Arg*$^{-/-}$*Abl*$^{-/-}$ BMDM with DMSO or PMA prior to infection with IgG-

opsonized amastigotes. We found that *Arg*$^{-/-}$ *Abl*$^{-/-}$ BMDM displayed defects in IgG-

mediated amastigote uptake, while PMA treatment partially rescued these defects

(**Fig. 4E-G**), returning uptake to 66.4 ± 16.6% (mean ± SD) compared to 29.3 ± 0.9%

with DMSO. Similarly, SYK was necessary for efficient amastigote uptake, and the

decreased PI of *SYK*$^{-/-}$ BMDM was partially rescued by PMA treatment

(**Supplemental Fig. 1H, I**). Taken together, these results, in combination with prior

data, are consistent with a signaling pathway in which Arg/Abl and SYK act

upstream of and activate the ERK1/2 pathway (which is at least partly independent

from PKC) to facilitate amastigote uptake (*25*).

MAPK/ERK inhibition minimally impacts phagocytosis of opsonized beads

Arg$^{-/-}$ BMDM have been shown to have impaired phagocytosis overall, as

demonstrated using IgG-coated (opsonized) beads (*16*). Thus, we hypothesized that

the Arg-SYK-ERK pathway may be necessary for the general process of

phagocytosis, in addition to amastigote uptake. To delineate the specific

contributions of MAPK/ERK to phagocytosis, we examined bead uptake compared

to amastigote uptake by RAW 264.7 cells. Surprisingly, phagocytosis of IgG-

opsonized beads by RAW 264.7 cells was less affected by trametinib (**Fig. 5A, B**) or

SCH772984 (**Supplemental Fig. 1J, K)** compared to the uptake of IgG-coated

amastigotes (**Fig. 1**). However, no differences in phagocytosis of IgG-opsonized

beads versus amastigotes were observed when RAW 264.7 cells were treated with

imatinib, suggesting that Arg/Abl play a more generalized role in phagocytosis.

Collectively, these data suggest that ERK1/2 activation is important for *Leishmania*

uptake specifically but may play a minor role in standard phagocytic mechanisms.

We also tested whether MAPK/ERK activity was differentially induced following

addition of beads compared to amastigotes. Beads induced ERK1/2 phosphorylation

in a time-dependent fashion, such that activation reached maximal levels between 5

and 10 m and ERK1/2 phosphorylation was not detectable after 30 m (**Fig. 5C, D**).

Similarly, beads activated upstream kinases MEK1/2 and cRaf; however, their

phosphorylation was not sustained (**Fig. 5E-H**). Thus, in contrast to the sustained

activation of MAPK/ERK that we saw during amastigote uptake, beads had only a

transient effect on ERK1/2 activation.

Trametinib decreases lesion size and parasite burden in a murine model of

cutaneous leishmaniasis. To test whether the MAPK/ERK pathway is necessary

for *Leishmania* pathogenesis *in vivo*, we employed a C57BL/6 mouse model of

cutaneous leishmaniasis using *L. amazonensis.* Footpad swelling (*i.e*., lesion size)

was measured in infected mice treated with 2 mg/kg of body weight/day of oral

trametinib or drug vehicle (control) starting 7 days (d) before inoculation and

continuing for the experimental duration of 14-16 weeks (*15, 16*) (**Fig. 6A**).

Compared to controls, trametinib-treated mice had smaller lesions develop (**Fig.**

6B), which contained fewer parasites in lesions (**Fig. 6C**). The decreased number of

parasites found within lesions may help to explain these changes in lesion size.

Overall, in leishmaniasis, a Th1 response is thought to be beneficial to the host,

while a Th2 response is thought to be deleterious. To determine whether the

immunological effects of MEK1/2 inhibition were associated with the healing seen

in trametinib-treated mice, we isolated draining lymph nodes from infected DMSO

and trametinib-treated mice. We then profiled cytokine and chemokine secretion

after stimulation with high (1×10^6) or low (1×10^5) doses of parasite lysates (*42,*

43) compared to unstimulated. A mild shift in the overall ratio of Th1 to Th2

cytokines (Th1/Th2) towards a Th2 phenotype in trametinib-treated mice was

seen (**Table 1**), which should be deleterious to the host. Notably, lymph nodes

extracted from trametinib-treated mice released lower quantities of cytokines in

response to *L. amazonensis* than those isolated from diluent-treated animals

(**Table 2**). Trametinib-treated mice also demonstrated decreased cytokine

production in response to concanavalin A (Con A) stimulation. In addition, we

assessed chemokine secretion following MEK1/2 inhibition. Of interest, the

production of several chemokines implicated in *Leishmania* pathogenesis,

including MIP-1α (CCL3) and MCP-1 (CCL2), were significantly decreased with

trametinib (**Table 2**), consistent with the decrease in cytokines/chemokines

overall.

We further tested whether MEK1/2 inhibition with trametinib reduces pathogenesis

after the development of symptoms by infecting mice as above. However, we started

diluent vs trametinib at 6 weeks after inoculation (*i.e.*, once lesions were visible) and

continued therapy for the remaining experimental duration (**Fig. 6D**). Remarkably,

even with delayed treatment, trametinib-treated mice reproducibly had smaller

lesions (**Fig. 6E**). They also had significantly lower parasite burdens than those of

controls (**Fig. 6F**), which at least in part may explain these results. We also saw

similar immunological responses in mice treated with trametinib that was initiated

partway through the experiment as we did for the mice treated prior to *Leishmania*

infection (**Supplemental Table 1, Supplemental Table 2**). Overall, our findings

demonstrate that trametinib administration limits the manifestations of leishmaniasis

in a mouse model, even if it is initiated after lesions develop.

DISCUSSION

Here, we have shown that efficient *Leishmania* uptake by Mϕ and infection in mice

requires MAPK/ERK. While previous studies have shown that ERK1/2 activation

post-infection by *Leishmania* alters various host signaling pathways, leading to

increased intracellular survival and replication of these parasites (*33, 44*), our results

establish an earlier role for host ERK1/2 activity during the *Leishmania* life cycle, and

show that ERK1/2 is necessary for *Leishmania* uptake into Mϕ. In combination with

prior data, we propose a model whereby, following engagement of the FcγR, host

Arg/Abl and SYK stimulate Raf, MEK, and ERK1/2 phosphorylation, leading to

amastigote uptake (**Fig. 6G**). Moreover, *in vivo* inhibition of MEK1/2 by trametinib in

a mouse model of cutaneous leishmaniasis significantly limits lesion development

and parasite burden, even when trametinib is started after these lesions are visible.

Our results highlight a critical role for MAPK/ERK signaling in *Leishmania* uptake

and pathogenesis.

Our data indicate that Arg/Abl and SYK are required for amastigote-mediated

ERK1/2 phosphorylation following FcR receptor activation. Our prior studies of host

signaling required for *Leishmania* uptake revealed that amastigotes bind directly to

FcγR (*45*) and activate SFK-Arg pathways (*15*) to induce uptake. We conclude from

our combined results that a FcR-SFK-Arg-SYK signaling pathway functions

upstream of and activates MAPK/ERK family kinases. Interestingly, pharmacological

inhibition of MEK1/2 and ERK1/2 in RAW 264.7 cells significantly reduces, but does

not completely abolish, *Leishmania* uptake. One possible explanation for these

results is that kinase inhibition via these compounds is incomplete. Another is that

Leishmania uptake is likely mediated via additional host signaling pathways.

Supporting the latter, we find that low or sub-EC_{50} doses of PMA, which activates

ERK1/2 through an SFK-Arg-SYK-independent manner, rescues trametinib inhibition

of *Leishmania* amastigote uptake in RAW 264.7 cells, and in $SYK^{-/-}$ and $Arg^{-/-}Abl^{-/-}$

BMDM. PMA is a known activator of PKC (*25, 46-50*). However, we find that PKC

activity is only slightly increased during amastigote infection of WT/DMSO-treated

Mϕ, suggesting that PKC may play only a minor role during typical amastigote

uptake. Ongoing work in the laboratory is directed at uncovering these additional

novel uptake mechanisms for *Leishmania*. In addition, although drug combinations

typically are not used to treat leishmaniasis, they are the standard of care for many

other infections, such as HIV or tuberculosis. Combination therapy with multiple

kinase inhibitors to inhibit several uptake pathways at once may provide additional

benefit for the treatment of leishmaniasis over single host cell kinase inhibitors

alone.

Our data using MEK/ERK inhibitors indicates that there are differences in

MAPK/ERK signaling within Mϕ depending on whether *Leishmania* amastigotes or

IgG-coated beads are undergoing internalization. These results differ from most

available literature that concludes that *Leishmania* primarily hijacks ongoing

phagocytic pathways to facilitate its uptake. We instead suggest that there may be

alternative, perhaps partly redundant, pathways that may support the uptake of

Leishmania over the phagocytosis of beads, and vice versa. Our future experiments

will compare and contrast the signaling pathways required for these different uptake

mechanisms between opsonized beads and *Leishmania* parasites.

Our experiments using a mouse model for *Leishmania* infection show that

MAPK/ERK are required for maximal *Leishmania* pathogenesis during cutaneous

leishmaniasis. Given that trametinib has no direct effect on parasite growth in

culture, one highly plausible explanation for the decreased lesion size and parasite

burden that we see in trametinib-treated mice is our previously documented

inhibition of *Leishmania* uptake. Consistent with this hypothesis, fewer parasites are

found in lesions from trametinib-treated mice than in control mice. Alternately, we

also considered that lesion size variations after *L. amazonensis* infection might result

from trametinib limitation of host inflammatory and immunological responses. Many

pathogens hinder immune responses by targeting host intracellular signaling

pathways, including MAPK pathways (*49, 51*). The murine immune response to

leishmaniasis is complicated and varies depending on the species of *Leishmania*

and the mouse strain used. As a simplified paradigm, Th1 responses are generally

protective, but Th2 responses are harmful to the host (*26, 29, 30*). We find that

cytokines assessed following *Leishmania* antigen stimulation in lymph nodes

isolated from infected trametinib-treated mice are reduced from the levels seen in

diluent-treated mice. One possible explanation is that fewer cytokines are generated

because the lesions contained fewer parasites. Another is that trametinib could

instead dampen pathways important for maintaining an active inflammatory

response to the ongoing host response to infection. Supporting this second

possibility, cytokines measured after Con A stimulation (an antigen-independent

mitogen used as an alternative T cell stimulus) are significantly reduced by MEK1/2

inhibition. Overall, the moderate shift in the total ratio of Th1 to Th2 cytokines

(Th1/Th2) toward a Th2 phenotype that we see theoretically should exacerbate

disease rather than alleviate it. Reduced levels of proinflammatory chemokines like

MIP-1 (CCL3) and MCP-1 (CCL2), on the other hand, may be responsible for

reducing lesion size by restricting the number of immune cells, especially phagocytic

cells, which reach the site of infection. Further work is needed to delineate the

regulation of immune responses to *Leishmania* infection and the resulting effects on

lesion worsening versus healing.

Finally, and of particular interest, we have demonstrated that there are reductions

in lesion size and parasite burden if trametinib therapy is used in the mouse

model of cutaneous leishmaniasis, even if it is started after foot swelling is

visible. Compared to our previous results, the data shown here strongly suggest

that trametinib reduces lesion size more than imatinib (*16*) or bosutinib (*15*),

which target signaling molecules in the same pathway. Trametinib is used

clinically as anti-cancer therapy, making it feasible that this drug could be

repurposed to treat an infectious disease, and facilitating potential clinical trials

for its use against leishmaniasis. Our data imply that inhibiting a signaling

pathway downstream of SFK-Arg-SYK might improve results over inhibiting

kinases upstream in the pathway. Our results also give credence to our

overarching hypothesis that targeting host cell pathways, particularly ones that

are required for multiple aspects of the parasite life cycle and pathogenesis, may

permit treatment of an infectious disease such as leishmaniasis.

In summary, we have demonstrated that Arg-SYK signaling through ERK1/2

facilitates IgG-mediated phagocytosis and *Leishmania* uptake. Furthermore, ERK

activity helps to govern the subsequent pathogenesis and disease progression of

leishmaniasis in the mouse model. Due to the specificity of MAPK/ERK small

molecule inhibitors, it is possible to selectively target MEK1/2 and ERK1/2 for

potential therapeutic intervention. Our findings demonstrate the significance of

MAPK/ERK signaling during *Leishmania* entry into phagocytic cells and suggest

innovative therapeutic methods for leishmaniasis. Our future studies will investigate

the use of host-cell-active drugs, potentially in conjunction with other kinase

inhibitors or existing antiparasitics, to improve efficacy, decrease toxicity, and limit

the likelihood of developing resistance. Furthermore, our results support the novel

possibility that medications that target conserved host cell processes rather than

infectious pathogens might be employed to treat a wide spectrum of intracellular

pathogens.

MATERIALS AND METHODS:

Mice. C57BL/6 mice were procured from Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME). $Syk^{flox/flox}$ Cre^+ and $Arg^{-/-}Abl^{flox/flox}$ $LysM\text{-}Cre^+$ mice are either on a pure C57BL/6 background (SYK)(*17*) or have been backcrossed to C57BL/6 mice at least 5 times (Abl/Arg) (*15*), (*16*). All the experiments involving knockout mice were conducted with WT littermates to ensure control for their genetic background. The Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center provided approval for all experimental protocols.

Mammalian cell culture. RAW 264.7 cells (ATCC, Manassas, VA) were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) plus 10% endotoxin-free, heat-inactivated, fetal bovine serum (FBS) (GeminiBio, Sacramento, CA) and 1% penicillin–streptomycin as described previously (*15*). For experiments with BMDM, cells were obtained from the humeri, tibias, and femurs of wild type (*WT), Syk^{flox/flox} Cre^+*, or *Arg^{-/-}Abl^{flox/flox} LysM-Cre^+* mice. They were differentiated to *WT, SYK^{-/-}*, and *Arg^{-/-}Abl^{-/-}* BMDM by culturing them in DMEM, 10% FBS, and 20% L929 cell supernatant over 7 days. Differentiation to Mϕ was confirmed (*16*) and samples were screened for *Mycoplasma* contamination (*52*).

Parasite culture. *Leishmania amazonensis* promastigotes (strain IFLA/BR/67/PH8, provided by Norma W. Andrews, University of Maryland, College Park, MD) were maintained at 26°C in Schneider's Drosophila medium (Sigma, S9895, St. Louis, MO) plus 15% FBS and 1% penicillin–streptomycin

(*15, 16*). *L. amazonensis* amastigotes were grown axenically at 32°C in

Schneider's Drosophila medium at pH 5.5, plus 20% FBS, 1% penicillin–

streptomycin, 0.1% hemin (25 mg/mL in 50% triethanolamine), 10 mM adenine, 5

mM L-glutamine, 0.25% glucose, 0.5% trypticase, and 40 mM sodium succinate

(all from Sigma) (*15, 16*). For uptake (internalization of amastigotes and

promastigotes) assays, promastigotes were incubated for 7 days to maximize

infective metacyclics (i.e., those isolated through a step Percoll gradient; Sigma).

Amastigotes were grown axenically for 5-7 days (*16*). To assess for amastigote

growth and replication defects during incubation in MEK/ERK activators or

inhibitors, medium containing 10 µM trametinib (Selleck Chemicals, Houston,

TX), PMA (Selleck Chemicals), or 0.1% Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO, Sigma) was

used. To ensure infectivity, parasites were periodically passed in C57/BL6 mice

prior to isolation of amastigotes from footpad lesions. A transgenic mNeonGreen

expressing line of *L. amazonensis* parasites (*L. amazonensis*-mNeon),

containing a marker for hygromycin resistance, was generated (*17*). *L.*

amazonensis-mNeon promastigotes and amastigotes were maintained as above,

but the media was supplemented with 100 µg/mL hygromycin (Sigma). *L.*

amazonensis virulence was maintained by passage in C57BL/6 mice (*16*). *L.*

amazonensis-mNeonGreen parasites were detected with an excitation

wavelength of 490–494 nm and emission of LP 515 nm.

Leishmania uptake assays. Experiments were adapted and modified as described

previously (*15, 16*). BMDMs (*WT, Syk$^{flox/flox}$ Cre^{+}, Arg$^{-/-}$Abl$^{flox/flox}$ LysM-Cre^{+}*) or RAW

264.7 cells were plated and treated with inhibitors (imatinib [Arg/Abl inhibitor],

PD0325901 [MEK1/2 inhibitor], SCH772984 [ERK1/2 inhibitor], trametinib [MEK1/2

inhibitor]) (Selleck Chemicals, Houston, TX), PMA [ERK1/2 activator] or DMSO,

where appropriate. Metacyclic promastigotes were incubated in fresh mouse serum

for C3bi opsonization, and amastigotes were coated with anti-P8-proteoglycolipid

complex (monoclonal antibody IgG1) (*53*). Next, samples with RAW 264.7 cells or

BMDMs were incubated with C3bi-opsonized promastigotes at 10 parasites to 1 Mϕ

or with IgG-opsonized amastigotes at 10 parasites to 1 Mϕ for 2 h, unless otherwise

indicated, and fixed with 3% formaldehyde for 20 minutes. Mouse anti-gp46 antibody

was allowed to bind to external promastigotes, whereas mouse anti-P8 antibody was

incubated with external amastigotes, as described previously (*15, 16*). Samples

were then incubated with Alexa-Fluor-568-conjugated donkey anti-mouse-IgG

secondary antibody. All antibodies are listed in **Supplemental Table 3**. This three-

color immunofluorescence assay (*54*) allowed distinguishing of internal (green) and

external *L. amazonensis* promastigotes or amastigotes (green + red).

For quantification, samples were imaged with a BioTek Cytation 5 (Agilent, Santa

Clara, CA) confocal imaging reader using a 40x objective lens with automated

analysis performed (*15*). At least 16 randomly selected fields were automatically

visualized per well with each field assessing the number of Mϕ and amastigotes or

promastigotes (internalized versus external). The absolute phagocytic index (the

number of internalized amastigotes or promastigotes per 100 Mϕ) and normalized

adhesion index (the total number of amastigotes or promastigotes per 100 Mϕ) was

calculated for each condition. The absolute phagocytic index for DMSO-treated

conditions (control) was taken as the maximum value (100%) for each experiment,

and phagocytic index for imatinib, SCH772984, trametinib, or PMA-treated samples

was determined and normalized relative to that of the control. Means ± SD were

calculated. Each experiment was performed with at least 3 biological repeats and

contained 3 technical replicates. A one-sample Student's *t*-test or two-way ANOVA

was used to determine statistical significance, where appropriate. The representative

images shown were visualized using a Biotek Cytation 5 imaging multimode reader.

Parasites in representative images were selected from files and linearly processed in

Adobe Photoshop CS6 (version 13.0.6).

Phagocytosis of beads. Experiments were adapted and modified as described

previously (*15, 16*). RAW 264.7 cells were plated at 70% confluence and incubated

overnight in serum-free medium. Except where indicated, samples were pre-

incubated in medium containing 3.3 µM imatinib, 1 µM SCH772984, 1 µM trametinib,

250 nM PMA or 0.1% DMSO for 1-2 hours. Next, latex yellow–green 2 µm beads

(Sigma) were coated with human IgM (Sigma, Cat. #: I-8260) and incubated in rabbit

anti-IgM (Sigma, Cat. #: 270A) for IgG opsonization (confirmed as described

previously (*16*)). Mϕ were incubated with 10–15 beads/ Mϕ for 2 hours, unless

otherwise stated, at 37°C. They were fixed with 3% formaldehyde for 20 minutes,

blocked using 2% BSA in PBS (no permeabilization), and then incubated with rabbit

anti-human IgM and Hoechst 33258 dye (Sigma) to visualize nuclei. Next, samples

were incubated with an Alexa-Fluor-568-conjugated goat anti-rabbit-IgG secondary

antibody (Invitrogen, Cat.#: A11034). All antibodies are listed in **Supplemental**

Table 3. This multi-color immunofluorescence assay (*54*) allowed distinguishing of

internalized (green) and external beads (green + red). Quantification was done as

above with BioTek Cytation 5 confocal imaging reader using a 40x objective lens

with automated analysis performed by the Cytation 5.

Western Blot. To analyze protein/phosphoprotein expression, western blotting was

performed. Briefly, RAW264.7 cells or BMDMs from *WT, Syk$^{flox/flox}$ Cre$^+$*, or

Arg$^{-/-}$Abl$^{flox/flox}$ LysM-Cre$^+$ mice were incubated overnight in serum-free media (RAW

264.7 cells) or L929-free media (BMDM). Experiments were performed at ~70%

confluence. Where appropriate, Mϕ were pre-incubated in imatinib, entospletinib,

PD0325901, selumetinib, SCH772984, trametinib, PMA or DMSO. IgG-opsonized

amastigotes or IgG-opsonized beads were added to adherent starved Mϕ for 30

minutes, unless otherwise indicated (*53*), and cells lysed with RIPA extraction buffer

and halt protease and phosphatase inhibitor (Thermo Scientific, Cat. #: 78440,

Richardson, TX). Protein purity and concentration were addressed using Coomassie

Blue staining and BCA assay, respectively, following the manufacturers' protocols.

Total proteins were resolved by polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (12% gels) and

transferred to PVDF membranes (BioRad). The membranes were blocked in 5%

non-fat dry milk in Tris-buffered saline (TBS) (20 mM Tris at pH 7.6, 150 mM NaCl).

The membrane was incubated overnight at 4°C with the primary antibody in 5% BSA

and TBS-T. Next, horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated anti-mouse secondary

antibody (#7076, Cell Signaling Technology) was added at 1:5000 in 5% non-fat dry

milk in TBS-T for 1 h. All antibodies are provided in **Supplemental Table 3**.

Membranes were incubated in SuperSignal West Pico Chemiluminescent Substrate

(Thermo Scientific) for 5 minutes and visualized by using a phosphorimager

(ImageQuant LAS 4000, GE Healthcare). For analysis, relative amounts of

phosphorylated proteins were compared to total proteins with ImageJ analysis

software (https://imagej.nih.gov/ij; membranes were stripped and reprobed). Each

experiment had at least 3 biological replicates. Two-way ANOVA was used for

statistical tests.

Leishmania **animal model.** For the mouse footpad model of cutaneous

leishmaniasis, 10 female C57BL/6 mice per group were infected between 6 and 8

weeks of age as previously described (*15, 16*). Briefly, 1×10^6 metacyclic

promastigotes were suspended in PBS and injected subcutaneously in the dorsal

side of the right hind foot. For the experiments in Fig 6A-C, mice were provided 2

mg/kg body weight of trametinib or drug vehicle (4% DMSO and 1% corn oil) by oral

gavage daily starting a week before infection and continuing until the mice were

euthanized. Two independent experiments were performed. For the experiments in

Fig 6D-F, mice were provided 2 mg/kg body weight of trametinib or drug vehicle by

oral gavage daily starting 6 weeks after infection and continuing until the mice were

euthanized. Lesion growth was documented with calipers every other week by an

investigator who was blinded to condition. The ratio of the infected: uninfected foot

size was obtained (*55*). ANOVA was used to compare diluent and trametinib-treated

mouse groups to swelling at time 0. The number of parasites in lesions was

determined by limiting dilution upon termination of the experiment between weeks 14

to 16 (*43*).

Lymph nodes from infected diluent versus trametinib-treated mice were obtained for

cytokine and chemokine profiling (*42, 43*). Briefly, lymph node cells were incubated

in RPMI 1640 (Invitrogen) plus 10% heat-inactivated FBS, 5×10^{-5} M 2-

mercaptoethanol (Sigma), and 1% penicillin-streptomycin. Cells were stimulated with

promastigote lysates (equivalent to 1×10^{6} or 1×10^{5} parasites per sample, as

indicated) or concanavalin A (ConA) (5 µg/ml; Sigma). Supernatants were harvested

at 72 h and the levels of the cytokines and chemokines IL-4, IL-10, IL-12, IL-13, IL-

17, IFN-γ, IL-1β, IL-1α, IP-10, MIP-1α (CCL3), MIP-2 (CXCL2), CXCL9, RANTES

(CCL5), and MIP-1β (CCL4) were profiled using the custom multiplex Luminex

Platform (R&D Systems, Inc, Minneapolis, MN) through the UT Southwestern

Microarray Core as described previously (*56, 57*). Background cytokine levels were

calculated using unstimulated supernatants.

REFERENCES AND NOTES:

603 1. S. M. Gossage, M. E. Rogers, P. A. Bates, Two separate growth phases during
604  the development of *Leishmania* in sand flies: implications for understanding the life
605  cycle. *Int J Parasitol* **33**, 1027-1034 (2003).

606 2. W. H. Markle, K. Makhoul, Cutaneous leishmaniasis: recognition and treatment.
607  *Am Fam Physician* **69**, 1455-1460 (2004).

608 3. L. I. McCall, W. W. Zhang, G. Matlashewski, Determinants for the development of
609  visceral leishmaniasis disease. *PLoS Pathog* **9**, e1003053 (2013).

610 4. S. P. Georgiadou, K. P. Makaritsis, G. N. Dalekos, Leishmaniasis revisited: Current
611  aspects on epidemiology, diagnosis and treatment. *J Transl Int Med* **3**, 43-50
612  (2015).

613 5. D. Steverding, The history of leishmaniasis. *Parasit Vectors* **10**, 82 (2017).

614 6. C. Gonzalez, O. Wang, S. E. Strutz, C. Gonzalez-Salazar, V. Sanchez-Cordero,
615  S. Sarkar, Climate change and risk of leishmaniasis in North America: predictions
616  from ecological niche models of vector and reservoir species. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*
617  **4**, e585 (2010).

618 7. B. E. McIlwee, S. E. Weis, G. A. Hosler, Incidence of endemic human cutaneous
619  leishmaniasis in the United States. *JAMA Dermatol* **154**, 1032-1039 (2018).

620 8. S. L. Croft, S. Sundar, A. H. Fairlamb, Drug resistance in leishmaniasis. *Clin*
621  *Microbiol Rev* **19**, 111-126 (2006).

622 9. E. K. Elmahallawy, A. Agil, Treatment of leishmaniasis: a review and assessment
623  of recent research. *Curr Pharm Des* **21**, 2259-2275 (2015).

624   10.   S. Mann, K. Frasca, S. Scherrer, A. F. Henao-Martinez, S. Newman, P. Ramanan,

625   J. A. Suarez, A review of leishmaniasis: current knowledge and future directions.

626   *Curr Trop Med Rep* **8**, 121-132 (2021).

627   11.   A. Ponte-Sucre, F. Gamarro, J. C. Dujardin, M. P. Barrett, R. Lopez-Velez, R.

628   Garcia-Hernandez, A. W. Pountain, R. Mwenechanya, B. Papadopoulou, Drug

629   resistance and treatment failure in leishmaniasis: A 21st century challenge. *PLoS*

630   *Negl Trop Dis* **11**, e0006052 (2017).

631   12.   E. Handman, D. V. Bullen, Interaction of *Leishmania* with the host macrophage.

632   *Trends Parasitol* **18**, 332-334 (2002).

633   13.   J. Alexander, D. G. Russell, The interaction of *Leishmania* species with

634   macrophages. *Adv Parasitol* **31**, 175-254 (1992).

635   14.   P. E. Kima, The amastigote forms of *Leishmania* are experts at exploiting host cell

636   processes to establish infection and persist. *Int J Parasitol* **37**, 1087-1096 (2007).

637   15.   D. M. Wetzel, E. L. Rhodes, S. Li, D. McMahon-Pratt, A. J. Koleske, The Src

638   kinases Hck, Fgr and Lyn activate Arg to facilitate IgG-mediated phagocytosis and

639   *Leishmania* infection. *J Cell Sci* **129**, 3130-3143 (2016).

640   16.   D. M. Wetzel, D. McMahon-Pratt, A. J. Koleske, The Abl and Arg kinases mediate

641   distinct modes of phagocytosis and are required for maximal *Leishmania* infection.

642   *Mol Cell Biol* **32**, 3176-3186 (2012).

643   17.   I. Ullah, U. Barrie, R. M. Kernen, E. T. Mamula , A. Datta, L. M. Booshehri, R. E.L.,

644   J. M. Bradford, D. M. Wetzel, The Src and Abl family kinases activate the Spleen

645   Tyrosine Kinase to maximize phagocytosis and *Leishmania* infection. *J Cell Sci.*

646   *BIORXIV/2022/513575*, (2022).

18.   Z. Yang, D. M. Mosser, X. Zhang, Activation of the MAPK, ERK, following

Leishmania amazonensis infection of macrophages. *J Immunol* **178**, 1077-1085

(2007).

19.   M. A. Ortiz, T. Mikhailova, X. Li, B. A. Porter, A. Bah, L. Kotula, Src family kinases,

adaptor proteins and the actin cytoskeleton in epithelial-to-mesenchymal

transition. *Cell Commun Signal* **19**, 67 (2021).

20.   D. Cortez, G. Reuther, A. M. Pendergast, The Bcr-Abl tyrosine kinase activates

mitogenic signaling pathways and stimulates G1-to-S phase transition in

hematopoietic cells. *Oncogene* **15**, 2333-2342 (1997).

21.   A. Jin, T. Kurosu, K. Tsuji, D. Mizuchi, A. Arai, H. Fujita, M. Hattori, N. Minato, O.

Miura, BCR/ABL and IL-3 activate Rap1 to stimulate the B-Raf/MEK/Erk and Akt

signaling pathways and to regulate proliferation, apoptosis, and adhesion.

Oncogene **25**, 4332-4340 (2006).

22.   S. Yang, G. Liu, Targeting the Ras/Raf/MEK/ERK pathway in hepatocellular

carcinoma. *Oncol Lett* **13**, 1041-1047 (2017).

23.   Y. J. Guo, W. W. Pan, S. B. Liu, Z. F. Shen, Y. Xu, L. L. Hu, ERK/MAPK signalling

pathway and tumorigenesis. *Exp Ther Med* **19**, 1997-2007 (2020).

24.   S. T. Eblen, Extracellular-Regulated Kinases: signaling from Ras to ERK

substrates to control biological outcomes. *Adv Cancer Res* **138**, 99-142 (2018).

25.   A. D. Verin, F. Liu, N. Bogatcheva, T. Borbiev, M. B. Hershenson, P. Wang, J. G.

Garcia, Role of Ras-dependent ERK activation in phorbol ester-induced

endothelial cell barrier dysfunction. *Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol* **279**, L360-

370 (2000).

26.   P. A. Martinez, C. A. Petersen, Chronic infection by *Leishmania* amazonensis

mediated through MAPK ERK mechanisms. *Immunol Res* **59**, 153-165 (2014).

27.   M. Shadab, N. Ali, Evasion of Host Defence by *Leishmania donovani*: Subversion

of Signaling Pathways. *Mol Biol Int* **2011**, 343961 (2011).

28.   M. Olivier, D. J. Gregory, G. Forget, Subversion mechanisms by which *Leishmania*

parasites can escape the host immune response: a signaling point of view. *Clin*

Microbiol Rev **18**, 293-305 (2005).

29.   H. W. Ghalib, J. A. Whittle, M. Kubin, F. A. Hashim, A. M. el-Hassan, K. H.

Grabstein, G. Trinchieri, S. G. Reed, IL-12 enhances Th1-type responses in

human *Leishmania donovani* infections. *J Immunol* **154**, 4623-4629 (1995).

30.   S. Bhardwaj, N. Srivastava, R. Sudan, B. Saha, *Leishmania* interferes with host

cell signaling to devise a survival strategy. *J Biomed Biotechnol* **2010**, 109189

(2010).

31.   R. M. Mukbel, C. Patten, Jr., K. Gibson, M. Ghosh, C. Petersen, D. E. Jones,

Macrophage killing of *Leishmania amazonensis* amastigotes requires both nitric

oxide and superoxide. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* **76**, 669-675 (2007).

32.   T. C. Calegari-Silva, R. M. Pereira, L. D. De-Melo, E. M. Saraiva, D. C. Soares, M.

Bellio, U. G. Lopes, NF-kappaB-mediated repression of iNOS expression in

Leishmania amazonensis macrophage infection. *Immunol Lett* **127**, 19-26 (2009).

33.   P. M. Boggiatto, P. A. Martinez, A. Pullikuth, D. E. Jones, B. Bellaire, A. Catling,

C. Petersen, Targeted extracellular signal-regulated kinase activation mediated by

Leishmania amazonensis requires MP1 scaffold. *Microbes Infect* **16**, 328-336

(2014).

34.   G. S. Falchook, K. D. Lewis, J. R. Infante, M. S. Gordon, N. J. Vogelzang, D. J.
DeMarini, P. Sun, C. Moy, S. A. Szabo, L. T. Roadcap, V. G. Peddareddigari, P.
F. Lebowitz, N. T. Le, H. A. Burris, 3rd, W. A. Messersmith, P. J. O'Dwyer, K. B.
Kim, K. Flaherty, J. C. Bendell, R. Gonzalez, R. Kurzrock, L. A. Fecher, Activity of
the oral MEK inhibitor trametinib in patients with advanced melanoma: a phase 1
dose-escalation trial. *Lancet Oncol* **13**, 782-789 (2012).

35.   Y. Cheng, H. Tian, Current development status of MEK inhibitors. *Molecules* **22**,
(2017).

36.   D. J. Wong, L. Robert, M. S. Atefi, A. Lassen, G. Avarappatt, M. Cerniglia, E.
Avramis, J. Tsoi, D. Foulad, T. G. Graeber, B. Comin-Anduix, A. Samatar, R. S.
Lo, A. Ribas, Antitumor activity of the ERK inhibitor SCH772984 [corrected] against
BRAF mutant, NRAS mutant and wild-type melanoma. *Mol Cancer* **13**, 194 (2014).

37.   L. Ciuffreda, D. Del Bufalo, M. Desideri, C. Di Sanza, A. Stoppacciaro, M. R.
Ricciardi, S. Chiaretti, S. Tavolaro, B. Benassi, A. Bellacosa, R. Foa, A. Tafuri, F.
Cognetti, A. Anichini, G. Zupi, M. Milella, Growth-inhibitory and antiangiogenic
activity of the MEK inhibitor PD0325901 in malignant melanoma with or without
BRAF mutations. *Neoplasia* **11**, 720-731 (2009).

38.   Y. Sun, W. Z. Liu, T. Liu, X. Feng, N. Yang, H. F. Zhou, Signaling pathway of
MAPK/ERK in cell proliferation, differentiation, migration, senescence and
apoptosis. *J Recept Signal Transduct Res* **35**, 600-604 (2015).

39.   M. T. Crowley, P. S. Costello, C. J. Fitzer-Attas, M. Turner, F. Meng, C. Lowell, V.
L. Tybulewicz, A. L. DeFranco, A critical role for Syk in signal transduction and

phagocytosis mediated by Fcgamma receptors on macrophages. *J Exp Med* **186**,

1027-1039 (1997).

40.   F. Kiefer, J. Brumell, N. Al-Alawi, S. Latour, A. Cheng, A. Veillette, S. Grinstein, T.

Pawson, The Syk protein tyrosine kinase is essential for Fcgamma receptor

signaling in macrophages and neutrophils. *Mol Cell Biol* **18**, 4209-4220 (1998).

41.   S. Ramanathan, J. A. Di Paolo, F. Jin, L. Shao, S. Sharma, M. Robeson, B. P.

Kearney, Pharmacokinetics, pharmacodynamics, and safety of entospletinib, a

novel pSYK inhibitor, following single and multiple oral dosing in healthy

volunteers. *Clin Drug Investig* **37**, 195-205 (2017).

42.   L. Soong, C. A. Henard, P. C. Melby, Immunopathogenesis of non-healing

American cutaneous leishmaniasis and progressive visceral leishmaniasis. *Semin*

Immunopathol **34**, 735-751 (2012).

43.   L. Soong, C. H. Chang, J. Sun, B. J. Longley, Jr., N. H. Ruddle, R. A. Flavell, D.

McMahon-Pratt, Role of CD4+ T cells in pathogenesis associated with *Leishmania*

amazonensis infection. *J Immunol* **158**, 5374-5383 (1997).

44.   P. M. Boggiatto, F. Jie, M. Ghosh, K. N. Gibson-Corley, A. E. Ramer-Tait, D. E.

Jones, C. A. Petersen, Altered dendritic cell phenotype in response to *Leishmania*

amazonensis amastigote infection is mediated by MAP kinase, ERK. *Am J Pathol*

174, 1818-1826 (2009).

45.   N. Ueno, M. E. Wilson, Receptor-mediated phagocytosis of *Leishmania*:

implications for intracellular survival. *Trends Parasitol* **28**, 335-344 (2012).

46.   K. L. Cheeseman, T. Ueyama, T. M. Michaud, K. Kashiwagi, D. Wang, L. A. Flax,

Y. Shirai, D. J. Loegering, N. Saito, M. R. Lennartz, Targeting of protein kinase C-

epsilon during Fcgamma receptor-dependent phagocytosis requires the

epsilonC1B domain and phospholipase C-gamma1. *Mol Biol Cell* **17**, 799-813

(2006).

47.   E. C. Larsen, T. Ueyama, P. M. Brannock, Y. Shirai, N. Saito, C. Larsson, D.

Loegering, P. B. Weber, M. R. Lennartz, A role for PKC-epsilon in Fc gammaR-

mediated phagocytosis by RAW 264.7 cells. *J Cell Biol* **159**, 939-944 (2002).

48.   T. S. Panetti, S. A. Wilcox, C. Horzempa, P. J. McKeown-Longo, Alpha v beta 5

integrin receptor-mediated endocytosis of vitronectin is protein kinase C-

dependent. *J Biol Chem* **270**, 18593-18597 (1995).

49.   N. Roy, S. Chakraborty, B. Paul Chowdhury, S. Banerjee, K. Halder, S. Majumder,

S. Majumdar, P. C. Sen, Regulation of PKC mediated signaling by calcium during

visceral leishmaniasis. *PLoS One* **9**, e110843 (2014).

50.   A. Zheleznyak, E. J. Brown, Immunoglobulin-mediated phagocytosis by human

monocytes requires protein kinase C activation. Evidence for protein kinase C

translocation to phagosomes. *J Biol Chem* **267**, 12042-12048 (1992).

51.   J. S. Arthur, S. C. Ley, Mitogen-activated protein kinases in innate immunity. *Nat*

Rev Immunol **13**, 679-692 (2013).

52.   C. C. Uphoff, H. G. Drexler, Eradication of mycoplasma contaminations. *Methods*

Mol Biol **946**, 15-26 (2013).

53.   A. A. Pan, D. McMahon-Pratt, Monoclonal antibodies specific for the amastigote

stage of Leishmania pifanoi. I. Characterization of antigens associated with stage-

and species-specific determinants. *J Immunol* **140**, 2406-2414 (1988).

54.   D. M. Wetzel, S. Hakansson, K. Hu, D. Roos, L. D. Sibley, Actin filament

761   polymerization regulates gliding motility by apicomplexan parasites. *Mol Biol Cell*

762   **14**, 396-406 (2003).

763   55.   J. Champsi, D. McMahon-Pratt, Membrane glycoprotein M-2 protects against

764   *Leishmania amazonensis* infection. *Infect Immun* **56**, 3272-3279 (1988).

765   56.   A. Navas, D. A. Vargas, M. Freudzon, D. McMahon-Pratt, N. G. Saravia, M. A.

766   Gomez, Chronicity of dermal leishmaniasis caused by *Leishmania panamensis* is

767   associated with parasite-mediated induction of chemokine gene expression. *Infect*

768   *Immun* **82**, 2872-2880 (2014).

769   57.   L. Gonzalez-Fajardo, O. L. Fernandez, D. McMahon-Pratt, N. G. Saravia, Ex vivo

770   host and parasite response to antileishmanial drugs and immunomodulators. *PLoS*

771   *Negl Trop Dis* **9**, e0003820 (2015).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS:

General: We thank Drs. Neal M. Alto, Melanie H. Cobb, James J. Collins,

Margaret A. Phillips, and Michael L. Reese (all at UT Southwestern) for helpful

discussions and feedback. Francis Khuong and Catherine Trice provided expert

technical assistance and helped to edit this manuscript. Members of the UT

Southwestern Departments of Pediatrics, Biochemistry, Microbiology, and

Pharmacology provided valuable feedback and permitted common equipment

use. Dr. Diane McMahon-Pratt (Yale University) generously donated

antileishmanial antibodies. Dr. Anthony J. Koleske (Yale University) provided the

$Arg^{-/-}Abl^{flox/flox}$ mice. The UT Southwestern Microarray Core assisted with

cytokine/chemokine profiling.

Funding: This work was supported by a Children's Clinical Research Advisory

Committee (CCRAC) Junior Investigator Award, a CCRAC Early Investigator

Award, the National Institutes of Health (K08 AI103036 and R01 AI146349),

Welch Foundation Grant I-2048, and the UT Southwestern Department of

Pediatrics (to D.M.W.). U.B. was supported by a National Institutes of Health

Supplement to Promote Diversity in Health-Related Research (R01 AI146349-

S1) and Medical Scientist Training (MD/PhD) Grant NIH T32GM008014. K.F.

was supported by a UT Southwestern-Amgen Summer Research Fellowship.

Author contributions: U.B. and D.M.W. designed and performed experiments,

analyzed data, and wrote the manuscript. K.F. conducted experiments, assisted

with data analysis, and edited the manuscript. A.D. helped to design and perform

experiments and edited the manuscript.

Competing interests: The authors have no competing financial interests.

Data and materials availability: All data are available within the main text of the

manuscript or the supplemental materials. Any materials generated for this

manuscript are freely available upon request.

List of supplementary materials:

Supplemental Figure 1. MAPK/ERK facilitate *L. amazonensis* uptake.

Supplemental Table 1. Trametinib initiated during *L. amazonensis* infection has

limited effects on Th1 vs Th2 responses.

Supplemental Table 2. Cytokine and chemokine profiles from *Leishmania*-

infected mice treated once lesions are visible.

Supplemental Table 3. Antibodies.

    FIGURES AND LEGENDS:

Figure 1

Figure 1. The MAPK/ERK pathway is required for optimal amastigote

uptake. (A) Trametinib decreases IgG-opsonized amastigote uptake. RAW 264.7

cells were treated with 3.3 µM imatinib, 1 µM trametinib or DMSO for 2 h, and 10

IgG-opsonized amastigotes were incubated per RAW 264.7 cell for 2 h at 37°C.

An immunofluorescence assay distinguished between intracellular (green) and

extracellular (red + green) amastigotes. Nuclei are labeled with Hoescht 33342

(blue). Scale bar, 100 µm. **(B)** Graph showing the mean phagocytic index (PI) ±

standard deviation (SD) for RAW 264.7 cells normalized to DMSO for each

experiment (100%), quantified from n = 6 biological experiments. Comparisons

between imatinib and trametinib by ANOVA were nonsignificant. **(C)** Imatinib and

trametinib do not affect the total (external + internal) number of amastigotes per

100 RAW cells (termed an adhesion index). Shown are percentages of total

amastigotes per 100 imatinib-treated and trametinib-treated RAW 264.7 cells

relative to DMSO-treated cells for the experiment shown in B. **(D)** Titration of

trametinib demonstrates that the reduction of amastigote internalization is

maximal at ~100x the purified MEK1/2 IC_{50}. RAW 264.7 cells were treated with

increasing doses of trametinib (0.25, 0.5, 1, or 2.5 µM) or DMSO. Bar graph

shows the mean PI ± SD for trametinib-treated samples relative to DMSO-treated

samples for each experiment (100%) for 4 biological experiments. **(E)** The

adhesion index for amastigotes from experiment in D is not affected by

increasing doses of trametinib. Experiment performed as in C. **(F)** The relative

decrease in PI for trametinib-treated samples persists even after prolonged

incubation. RAW 264.7 cells were treated with DMSO (D) or 1 µM trametinib (T)

prior to addition of IgG-opsonized amastigotes for 1 h, 2 h, or 4 h, as indicated.

Shown is the mean PI ± SD for each time point for the trametinib-treated

condition, relative to the DMSO-treated condition, calculated from 4 biological

experiments. **(G)** Treating samples with trametinib for prolonged periods does not

affect the adhesion index for amastigotes. Shown are the total number of

amastigotes (internal + external) per 100 RAW 264.7 cells for the experiments

performed in F. For all experiments, *** $P < 0.01$, **** $P < 0.0001$, ns =

nonsignificant compared to the relevant DMSO-treated category by ANOVA.

Figure 2

Figure 2. **MAPK/ERK are activated during *Leishmania* uptake by Mφ.**

Amastigotes were added to $\geq$ 70% confluent RAW 264.7 cells. Equal amounts of

protein from whole lysates were employed for each category. **Top:**

Representative blots displaying relative activation of MAPK/ERK. Phosphorylated

(A) ERK1/2, **(B)** MEK1/2, **(C)** cRaf (Raf-1) and the corresponding total proteins

were detected by Western Blot. An unrelated protein, GAPDH, is shown as an

additional loading control. **Bottom:** Quantification of phosphorylated MAPK/ERK

levels. Graphs shown in **(A)** for ERK1/2, **(B)** for MEK1/2, and **(C)** for cRaf

represent mean ± SD of relative phosphorylated kinase levels, normalized to total

kinase levels, for at least 3 biological replicates per condition. * $P < 0.05$, ** $P <$

0.01, *** $P < 0.001$, **** $P < 0.0001$ by ANOVA compared to the 0 m time point

for each set of kinases.

Figure 3

Figure 3. PMA activates ERK1/2 to modulate amastigote uptake. **(A)** PMA

increases IgG-opsonized amastigote uptake. BMDM were treated with DMSO or

increasing doses of PMA (0.25, 0.5, 1, 2.5 μM) prior to incubation with IgG-

opsonized amastigotes for the times indicated. n = 4 experiments. ** *P* < 0.01, ***

P < 0.001, **** *P* < 0.0001 compared to DMSO category by ANOVA. **(B)** PMA

does not affect the adhesion index for amastigotes. ns, not significant by

ANOVA. **(C)** Trametinib and PMA are not toxic to amastigotes. Shown is one

representative experiment of five experiments with two technical repeats

following the number of amastigotes growing in DMSO-treated, PMA-treated, or

trametinib-treated media over 5 days relative to on day 0. ns, *P* > 0.05 compared

to DMSO category by ANOVA. **(D)** Representative image demonstrating that

PMA rescues trametinib inhibition of *Leishmania* amastigote uptake. BMDM were

treated with DMSO, 1 µM PMA, 1 µM trametinib or a combination of 1 µM

trametinib and 1 µM PMA prior to incubation with IgG-opsonized amastigotes for

2 hours. **(E)** Mean PI ± SD for BMDM treated with trametinib/PMA relative to

DMSO-treated samples for each experiment (100%), obtained from 3 biological

experiments. **** *P* < 0.0001, ns = nonsignificant by ANOVA compared to

DMSO-treated sample. **(F)** Trametinib/PMA does not affect amastigote adhesion

for the experiment shown in E. **(G, H)** PKC is only slightly activated during

amastigote uptake. PMA serves as a positive control. Amastigotes were added to

monolayers of RAW 264.7 cells and processed as above. Shown are **(G)**

representative blots demonstrating and **(H)** bar graphs quantifying relative

activation of pPKC/PKC. * *P* < 0.05, *** *P* < 0.001, **** *P* < 0.0001 compared with

samples indicated by brackets (ANOVA). n = 3 experiments.

Figure 4

Figure 4. SYK and Arg/Abl stimulate ERK1/2 phosphorylation during

amastigote uptake. (A) Phosphorylation of ERK1/2 in RAW 264.7 cells is

induced upon amastigote uptake and is decreased by imatinib (Arg/Abl inhibitor),

entospletinib (SYK inhibitor), SCH772984 (ERK1/2 inhibitor), and trametinib

(MEK1/2 inhibitor). PMA serves as a positive control for pERK stimulation. RAW

264.7 cells were allowed to adhere to plates, and then treated with compounds or

DMSO prior to IgG-opsonized amastigote addition, lysis, and immunoblotting.

Representative immunoblots of pERK1/2 (top) and total ERK1/2 (bottom) [with

(+) or without (–) amastigotes] are shown. **(B)** Relative pERK levels, normalized

to ERK1/2 levels, among categories shown in A. Levels of pERK are normalized

to amastigote-exposed DMSO-treated RAW 264.7 cells (100%). 5 biological

replicates are quantified. $***P < 0.001$, $****P < 0.0001$ compared with

amastigote-stimulated, DMSO-treated RAW 264.7 cells (ANOVA). **(C)**

Phosphorylation of ERK1/2 induced upon FcγR engagement is decreased

in $SYK^{-/-}$ and $Arg^{-/-}Abl^{-/-}$ BMDM compared to WT BMDM. Shown is a

representative immunoblot of pERK1/2 (top) and total ERK1/2 (bottom) in WT,

$SYK^{-/-}$ and $Arg^{-/-}Abl^{-/-}$ BMDM treated with DMSO (D) or PMA (P), $\pm$ incubation

with amastigotes. **(D)** Graph showing relative levels of pERK1/2, normalized to

ERK1/2 levels, among WT, $SYK^{-/-}$, and $Arg^{-/-}Abl^{-/-}$ BMDM treated with DMSO

(D) or PMA (P), $\pm$ amastigotes (A). $**P < 0.01$, $***P < 0.001$, $****P < 0.0001$, ns:

not significant by ANOVA ($n = 6$ experiments), compared to DMSO + amastigote

category for each condition. **(E-G)** Primary cells lacking Arg and Abl exhibit

decreases in amastigote uptake, but PMA can partially rescue this amastigote

internalization defect. **(E)** Representative image performed as in Fig 1. **(F)** Mean

phagocytic index ± SD for PMA-treated and/or $Arg^{-/-}Abl^{-/-}$ BMDM relative to WT

DMSO-treated BMDM. **(G)** DMSO and PMA do not affect the adhesion indexes

for the experiments shown in F. n for F-G = 3 experiments. ** $P < 0.01$; *** $P <$

0.001; **** $P < 0.0001$; ns, not significant compared with DMSO-treated WT

BMDM (ANOVA).

 Figure 5

Figure 5. ERK 1/2's role in *Leishmania* uptake is greater than for

phagocytosis of beads. **(A)** Trametinib mildly decreases bead internalization.

RAW 264.7 cells were treated with 3.3 μM imatinib, 1 μM trametinib or DMSO for

2 hr. prior to addition of 10 IgG-opsonized beads per RAW 264.7 cell for 2 h at

37°C. Treating RAW 264.7 cells with trametinib decreases uptake of IgG-

opsonized beads to a lesser degree than imatinib. Graph shows the mean PI ±

SD for each category, normalized to DMSO (100%). **** *P* < 0.0001, ns: not

significant compared to indicated categories by ANOVA (n = 6 biological

experiments). **(B)** Imatinib and trametinib does not affect the adhesion index for

beads in the experiments shown in A. **(C-H)** IgG-coated beads activate the

MAPK/ERK pathway, but phosphorylation is not sustained. Beads were added to

> 70% confluent RAW 264.7 cells and experiments were processed as in Fig 2.

Top: Phosphorylated forms of **(C)** ERK, **(E)** MEK1/2, **(G)** cRaf and the

corresponding total kinase were detected by Western blotting. Bottom: Relative

activation was quantified for **(D)** ERK, **(F)** MEK1/2, and **(H)** cRaf, using the

methods described in Fig 2. * $P < 0.05$, ** P < 0.01, *** $P < 0.001$, **** $P < 0.0001$

and ns: not significant compared to 0 m timepoint by ANOVA ($n \geq 3$

experiments).

Figure 6

Figure 6. MAPK/ERK permit efficient infection in a mouse model of

cutaneous leishmaniasis. (A) Mouse footpad model for cutaneous

leishmaniasis. C57BL/6 mice diluent and trametinib-treated groups were injected

with promastigotes in the hind footpad. Mice were given 2 mg/kg body weight of

trametinib or an equal volume of diluent by oral gavage daily, starting 7 days

before infection and continuing until the mice were euthanized. Two experiments

were performed. Shown in the following panels is one representative experiment

containing 10 female mice per group. **(B)** Trametinib given prior to infection

reduces lesion size in mice. Depth of foot swelling was monitored with calipers by

a blinded investigator. Results represent the mean ± SD foot size increase over

the uninfected foot (normalized to 1). The control (diluent) and drug-treated

groups were compared to swelling at time 0 by ANOVA; *$P < 0.05$, *****$P <$

0.0001*, ns, not significant. **(C)** Trametinib given prior to infection significantly

reduces parasite burden. Parasite burdens in infected footpads were determined

by limiting dilution as described in *Materials and Methods*. Plotted is the mean

number of parasites (*i.e*, parasite burden) in millions at the end of the experiment

shown in B ± SD. In this example, there were 1.41×10^6 parasites in the control

mice versus 2.03×10^5 parasites in the trametinib-treated mice. *$P < 0.05$ (two-

tailed *t*-test). **(D)** C57BL/6 mice diluent and trametinib-treated groups were

injected with *L. amazonensis* promastigotes as above. Starting 6 weeks after

inoculation (arrow), mice were given 2 mg/kg body weight of trametinib or vehicle

by oral gavage daily and continuing until the experiment was terminated. **(E)**

Trametinib given once lesions have begun reduces lesion size in trametinib-

treated mice compared to diluent-treated mice. Arrow shows start time of drug

treatment. Shown is one representative experiment containing 10 female mice

per group. Statistics calculated as in B. **(F)** Lesions in mice treated with

trametinib 6 weeks after infection contain fewer *Leishmania* parasites than

control mice. Plotted is the mean ± SD lesion parasite burden in millions at the

end of the experiment shown in E; in this example, there were 1.01×10^6

parasites in the control mice versus 8.29×10^4 parasites in the trametinib-treated

mice (>10-fold reduction). *P <0.05 (two-tailed t-test). (**G**) MAPK/ERK signal

transduction in Mϕ facilitates *Leishmania* uptake and pathogenesis. Upon FcγR

ligation by amastigotes, SFK, Arg, and SYK are activated and relay signals to the

MAPK/ERK pathway, which leads to phagocytic cup formation and allows the

internalization of *Leishmania*. Diagrams created with Biorender.

Table 1

Experiment	Ratio	DMSO - High	Trametinib - High	DMSO - Low	Trametinib - Low
Trametinib prior to infection	**IFN Gamma/IL-4**	1.86	1.35	1.75	1.05
	IFN Gamma/IL-13	0.78	0.11	0.75	0.09
	IFN Gamma/IL-4+ IL-10+ IL-13	0.55	0.10	0.52	0.08

Table 1. Ongoing trametinib treatment during *L. amazonensis* infection has

limited effects on Th1 vs Th2 responses. Effects of trametinib during *L.*

amazonensis infection on cytokine secretion. Shown are profiles of draining

lymph nodes isolated from diluent versus trametinib-treated mice treated prior to

inoculation as described in methods. Ratios of IFNγ: IL-4, IFNγ: IL-13, and IFNγ:

IL-4+10+13 were calculated from the data shown in Table 2. Trametinib may

skew towards a Th2 response, which should not promote lesion healing.

1004

1005 **Table 2**

Experiment	Cytokine	Treatment	Cytokine secretion (pg) after					
			Con A stimulation		High stimulation		Low treatment	
			AVG	STD	AVG	STD	AVG	STD
Trametinib prior to infection	**IFN Gamma (38)**	DMSO	6.70	6.83	6.06	5.82	5.83	5.16
		Trametinib	0.48	0.64	0.73	1.05	0.58	0.75
		p-value	**0.01**		**0.01**		**0.005**	
	IL-1 BETA (19)	DMSO	0.88	0.51	0.97	0.48	0.73	0.46
		Trametinib	0.45	0.12	0.42	0.11	0.39	0.09
		p-value	**0.02**		**0.002**		**0.03**	
	IL-12/IL23p40 (64)	DMSO	5.56	2.97	5.24	2.49	6.04	3.06
		Trametinib	3.28	1.18	3.39	0.79	3.53	1.31
		p-value	**0.04**		**0.04**		**0.03**	
	IL-13 (35)	DMSO	5.08	3.04	7.72	2.47	7.78	3.07
		Trametinib	7.01	2.27	6.83	1.10	6.57	1.15
		p-value	NS		NS		NS	
	IL-17A (52)	DMSO	0.48	0.24	0.65	0.14	0.53	0.27
		Trametinib	0.59	0.09	0.74	0.25	0.53	0.25
		p-value	NS		NS		NS	
	IL-4 (26)	DMSO	2.36	1.26	3.26	1.89	3.32	2.57
		Trametinib	0.49	0.64	0.54	0.68	0.55	0.70
		p-value	**0.0006**		**0.0004**		**0.004**	

TNF ALPHA (45)	DMSO	2.98	1.6	2.68	2.10	2.45	1.22
	Trametinib	2.85	2.30	2.23	0.96	1.75	1.45
	p-value	NS		NS		NS	
IL-10 (13)	DMSO	.008	.007	.02	.009	.009	.01
	Trametinib	8.94	8.78	8.36	10.44	5.16	1.06
	p-value	**0.005**		**0.02**		**0.0001**	
MCP-1 (51)	DMSO	25.56	14.87	25.07	12.31	27.90	10.54
	Trametinib	7.23	6.43	7.23	5.87	7.23	6.56
	p-value	**0.002**		**0.0006**		**0.0001**	
MIP-1 ALPHA (47)	DMSO	4.69	3.95	3.93	2.77	4.62	2.96
	Trametinib	1.36	0.41	1.44	0.86	1.44	0.88
	p-value	**0.02**		**0.01**		**0.004**	

1006

Table 2. Cytokine and chemokine profiles in diluent versus trametinib-

treated, *Leishmania*-infected mice. Shown are profiles of draining lymph nodes

isolated from DMSO versus trametinib mice treated prior to infection as

described in methods. Post 14-16 weeks, mice were sacrificed and multiplex

chemokine ELISAs were performed on harvested lymph node supernatants. *P*

values were determined by two-tailed t-tests and are listed if $P < 0.1$. Bold: $P \leq$

0.05.

1014