An ESCRT grommet cooperates with a diffusion barrier to

maintain nuclear integrity

Nicholas R. Ader, Linda Chen, Ivan V. Surovtsev, William L. Chadwick, Megan C. King*,

and C. Patrick Lusk*

Department of Cell Biology, Yale School of Medicine, 295 Congress Ave, New Haven,

CT, 06520

*Correspondence: patrick.lusk@yale.edu, megan.king@yale.edu

ABSTRACT

The reformation of the nuclear envelope (NE) at the end of metazoan mitosis requires the

sealing of numerous fenestrations that recruit the endosomal sorting complexes required

for transport (ESCRT) machinery. The molecular mechanisms by which ESCRT proteins

drive NE sealing and their necessity to the reestablishment of the nuclear compartment

have yet to be fully defined. Here, we leveraged the single NE hole generated by mitotic

extrusion of the *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* spindle pole body to reveal two modes of

ESCRT function carried out by unique complements of ESCRT-III proteins, both of which

depend on the NE-specific CHMP7/Cmp7. The first is a grommet-like function, where we

tie the presence of specific ESCRTs to a constriction of the NE hole from ~150 to ~50 nm

in anaphase B. Consistent with a direct role for ESCRTs in restricting this NE hole

diameter, the hole dilates a remarkable five-fold in cells lacking Cmp7. Second, in the

subsequent G_1/S-phases, a sealing module of ESCRT proteins replaces Cmp7 and is

required to drive closure of the NE. Surprisingly, in the absence of Cmp7,

nucleocytoplasmic compartmentalization remains intact despite discontinuities in the NE

as large as 400 nm, suggesting a mechanism to establish a diffusion barrier(s) over

persistent NE holes. Indeed, we demonstrate that the ortholog of the pericentriolar

material protein, pericentrin (Pcp1), establishes such a barrier, likely through its ability to

form a biomolecular condensate. NE remodeling mechanisms therefore cooperate with

proteinaceous diffusion barriers beyond nuclear pore complexes to protect the nuclear

compartment.

INTRODUCTION

In eukaryotic cells, the genome is enclosed by the nuclear envelope (NE), which contributes to the establishment of a protected nuclear compartment. The NE is contiguous with the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) but is functionally specialized due to a unique proteome that populates its constituent inner, outer, and nuclear pore membranes. Nucleocytoplasmic compartmentalization relies on the integrity of these membranes and the selective barrier properties of nuclear pore complexes (NPCs) embedded in the NE (Dultz et al., 2022). During mitosis, the NE barrier presents a challenge for the spindle as it must access the duplicated chromosomes to faithfully segregate the genome to each daughter cell. Evolution has provided several solutions to this problem with a continuum of "closed" to "open" mitosis mechanisms employed in different organisms that reflects the degree to which the NE remains globally intact during chromosome segregation (Makarova and Oliferenko, 2016). Regardless, elaborate changes to the morphology of the NE during this period are universally observed, helping to ensure either the maintenance (in the case of a closed mitosis) or re-establishment (in the case of an open mitosis) of nucleocytoplasmic compartmentalization (Ungricht and Kutay, 2017).

These NE remodeling mechanisms are perhaps most elaborate at the end of the open mitosis of mammalian cells, when decondensing chromosomes are surrounded by nascent NE. Completion of NE sealing requires the closing of numerous NE fenestrations through an annular fusion mechanism thought to be executed by the endosomal sorting complexes required for transport (ESCRT) machinery, specifically the ESCRT-III proteins (Gu et al., 2017; Olmos et al., 2015; Olmos et al., 2016; Ventimiglia et al., 2018; Vietri et al., 2015; von Appen et al., 2020). A similar mechanism has been invoked in the sealing of nuclear pores housing defective NPCs (Thaller et al., 2019; Thaller et al., 2021; Webster et al., 2014; Webster et al., 2016) and at NE ruptures frequently observed in cancer cells (Denais et al., 2016; Raab et al., 2016). While the events that underlie ESCRT-III mediated NE sealing have not been mechanistically delineated, parallels have been drawn with more extensively studied ESCRT-mediated membrane fission reactions. For example, during intralumenal vesicle (ILV) formation on endosomes, ESCRT-I/II recruit and activate the polymerization of ESCRT-III proteins (Vietri et al., 2020). ESCRT-III proteins can form single- and double-stranded homo and heteropolymer filaments *in*

vitro; such filaments have affinity for negative or positive membrane curvature, perhaps

influenced by the specific ESCRT-III subunit composition (McCullough et al., 2018;

Pfitzner et al., 2021; Remec Pavlin and Hurley, 2020). The AAA+ ATPase VPS4 is

thought to be critical for the dynamic exchange of filament subunits with a monomer pool

(Adell et al., 2017; Mierzwa et al., 2017) and for the ultimate membrane fission step

(McCullough et al., 2018).

Despite the topological similarity between a NE hole and the neck of forming ILVs,

prior studies reveal distinct ESCRT requirements at the NE that hint at potentially unique

membrane remodeling and fission mechanisms. For example, ESCRT-I/II are

dispensable for the recruitment of ESCRT-III to the NE (Webster et al., 2014). Further,

there is an ESCRT-II/III fusion protein, CHMP7 (Chm7 in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and

Cmp7 in *Schizosaccharomyces pombe/Schizosaccharomyces japonicus*), with a unique

function at the NE. Interestingly, unlike most ESCRT-mediated membrane remodeling

events that rely on peripheral membrane proteins, the recruitment of CHMP7 to the NE

requires a direct physical interaction with an integral inner nuclear membrane protein,

LEM2 (Heh1/Src1 in *S.c.* and Heh1/Lem2 in *S.p./S.j.*) (Gu et al., 2017; Webster et al.,

2016). *In vitro* (von Appen et al., 2020) and *in vivo* (Thaller et al., 2019) evidence further

supports that LEM2 family members also activate CHMP7 polymerization. Additional

observations further hint that LEM2 and CHMP7 may form a membrane-embedded co-

polymer that lines NE holes like an O-ring, surrounding microtubules that remain attached

to kinetochores (von Appen et al., 2020). Lastly, although ESCRT-III subunits including

CHMP1A,B (Did2 in yeasts), CHMP2A,B (Vps2 in *S.c.* and Did4 in *S.p./S.j.*), CHMP3

(Vps24 in yeasts), CHMP4A,B (Snf7 in *S.c.* and Vps32 in *S.p/S.j.*), and IST1 (Ist1 in

yeasts) have been shown to be recruited to the NE alongside additional regulatory factors

in metazoans including CC2D1B (Ventimiglia et al., 2018), Nesprin-2G (Wallis et al.,

2021) and UFD1 (Olmos et al., 2015), we lack a quantitative spatiotemporal framework

including the order, co-dependency, and copy number of ESCRT proteins at a NE sealing

site. This information is critical to be able to fully elucidate how (and indeed whether)

ESCRTs seal the NE and will also lay the groundwork for further delineation of shared

and unique mechanisms that ESCRT proteins engage in to support a diverse range of

membrane remodeling activities.

Despite clear evidence for recruitment of ESCRT proteins to the NE in late mitosis

(Gu et al., 2017; Olmos et al., 2015; Olmos et al., 2016; Ventimiglia et al., 2018; Vietri et

al., 2015; von Appen et al., 2020), several observations call into question whether the

ESCRT proteins are indeed necessary and/or sufficient to seal the NE. First,

compromising ESCRT by depletion of various subunits only delays, but does not

abrogate, the re-establishment of the accumulation of a soluble nuclear import reporter

into the nucleus after mitotic exit in human cells (Olmos et al., 2015; Olmos et al., 2016;

von Appen et al., 2020) and the semi-open mitosis of *S. japonicus* (Lee et al., 2020; Pieper

et al., 2020). This result, which was mirrored by orthogonal studies modeling the repair of

interphase nuclear ruptures induced by laser ablation (Halfmann et al., 2019) or migration

through constrictions (Denais et al., 2016; Raab et al., 2016), suggest that there are

alternative, ESCRT-independent mechanisms by which nuclear compartmentalization is

reestablished. Indeed, Chm7/Cmp7 is dispensable for cell viability and global

nucleocytoplasmic compartmentalization in *S. cerevisiae* (Webster et al., 2016) and *S.*

pombe (Dey et al., 2020; Gu et al., 2017). One attractive explanation for these

observations is the existence of a redundant, ESCRT-independent NE sealing

mechanism(s). Indeed, genetic evidence in *Caenorhabditis elegans* and *S. japonicus*

suggests that altering phospholipid biosynthesis and/or membrane fluidity can mitigate

the ill effects of a compromised ESCRT-dependent NE sealing pathway (Lee et al., 2020;

Penfield et al., 2020). Compensation by an orthogonal and CHMP7/Cmp7-independent

ESCRT-III sealing mechanism has also been proposed (Lee et al., 2020). It is worth

considering, however, that most of these prior studies have relied on an indirect tool to

assess the integrity of the NE, namely the nuclear accumulation of a soluble reporter

containing a nuclear localization signal (NLS). Direct visualization of the continuity of the

NE membranes themselves, although more challenging, is ultimately essential to address

whether ESCRTs are necessary for NE sealing.

Here, we determine mechanisms of ESCRT function at the NE by taking

advantage of the fission yeast *S. pombe*. *S. pombe* inserts and extrudes its spindle pole

body (SPB) into and out of the NE at every mitotic entry and exit, respectively (Ding et

al., 1997). SPB extrusion thus leaves a single NE hole that must be sealed in each

daughter cell in a temporal and topological context similar, but much better defined than,

the numerous NE fenestrations present at the final stages of metazoan NE reformation.

We performed a comprehensive analysis of the complement, copy number, and relative

timing of ESCRT recruitment to the SPB extrusion site correlated to an ultrastructural

timeline of NE hole dynamics. In addition to providing definitive data that ESCRTs are

essential for ultimately sealing the NE, we also reveal an unexpected role for ESCRTs in

restricting the size of the NE hole during anaphase B in a manner akin to the function of

a grommet. Last, we uncover a mechanism that can explain the paradox of an apparently

intact nuclear compartment containing persistent NE holes: the existence of a

compensatory proteinaceous diffusion barrier, one component of which is

pericentrin/Pcp1. Our findings thus support both sealing dependent and independent

functions for NE-recruited ESCRTs while providing evidence for additional diffusion

barriers beyond NPCs that support the integrity of nucleocytoplasmic

compartmentalization.

RESULTS

ESCRTs are recruited in temporally distinct waves to the SPB extrusion site

We hypothesized that Cmp7 and member(s) of the ESCRT-III family would be recruited to the single NE hole generated in each fission yeast daughter cell nucleus upon SPB extrusion during anaphase B (Ding et al., 1997) (Figure 1A). We therefore tested the localization of functional (see Methods) GFP-tagged ESCRT proteins, including: Cmp7, Vps32, Ist1, Vps24, Did4, and Did2 (Figure 1B, Supplementary Figure S1A, and Supplementary Table S1) in cells co-expressing Pcp1-mCherry or Sad1-mCherry as well as mCherry-Atb2 (α-tubulin) to visualize the SPB and spindle microtubules, respectively (Wälde and King, 2014). By relating spindle length (mCherry-Atb2 signal) to the rate of anaphase B spindle elongation (Krüger et al., 2019), we categorized anaphase B cells as early (0–5 min), mid (5–7.5 min), or late (>7.5 min) to achieve greater temporal resolution.

We first determined the percentage of cells in each stage of the cell cycle where individual GFP-ESCRTs co-localized with Pcp1-mCherry or Sad1-mCherry (Figures 1B and 1C). Except for Cmp7-GFP, all GFP-ESCRTs localized to numerous cytoplasmic foci that we interpret to be endosomes (Figure 1B). Consistent with the idea that ESCRTs are only recruited to the NE when there is a NE hole, we rarely observed GFP-ESCRTs at the SPB site in G_2-phase of the cell cycle when the NE is expected to be fully sealed (Figures 1B and 1C). In contrast, except for Did2-GFP, which was never seen at the NE (Supplementary Figures S1A and S1B), all other tested ESCRT fusions colocalized with the SPB marker in cells from mid anaphase B to late G_1/S phases (Figures 1B and 1C), which correlates with the timing of SPB extrusion from the NE (Ding et al., 1997). Interestingly, the peak percentage of cells when a given GFP-ESCRT accumulated at the SPB site could be temporally segregated into three waves: Cmp7 defined the first wave (Mid Ana B, "Wave 1"), Vps32 and Ist1 the second (Late Ana B, "Wave 2",), and Vps24 and Did4 the third (G_1/S, "Wave 3") (Figure 1C).

Figure 1. ESCRT proteins are recruited to the SPB extrusion site in three temporally distinct waves.

A: Diagrammatic representation of *S. pombe* cell cycle from early anaphase B through G_2 of the following cell cycle to establish the timeline in panel B. The spindle pole body (SPB) extrusion site from the nuclear envelope (NE) is magnified with the membrane architecture, if known, as described in Ding et al., 1997. N, nucleus (blue); C, cytoplasm (beige); SPB, dark magenta; microtubules (MTs), light magenta. Duration of the three waves of ESCRT recruitment observed in this study are indicated by labeled colored bars. Mitotic exit is indicated by the dotted vertical line.

B: Gallery of deconvolved, live-cell fluorescence micrographs of GFP-tagged ESCRT proteins in *S. pombe* representative of cell populations at the indicated cell cycle stages (see key in A). In each subpanel, images of the GFP-ESCRT are on left, sum projection (over 4.8 μm) of mCherry-Atb2/Pcp1-mCherry or mCherry-Atb2/Sad1-mCherry in middle and magnifications of square region of interest (ROI) shown vertically arranged at right with (top to bottom) green, magenta and merged channels. To maximize image clarity, fluorescence intensity of magnified ROIs were independently adjusted in the linear range with the exception of the magenta channel where the gamma was adjusted to 0.05 to allow visualization of mCherry-Atb2 and Pcp1-mCherry or Sad1-mCherry without saturation. Colored bars below images indicate timing of peak ESCRT recruitment in the population (see C). Scale bar, 1 μm. Magnified ROIs, 1.6 μm wide. Cmp7-GFP, MKSP3363; GFP-Vps32, MKSP3353; Ist1-GFP, MKSP3365; GFP-Vps24, MKSP3352; GFP-Did4, MKSP3334.

C: Plots of the percentage of nuclei with indicated GFP-ESCRT colocalized with SPB marker at indicated phases of the cell cycle. Points and error bars represent mean and range, respectively, across at least three biological replicates with at least 50 nuclei/GFP-ESCRT/replicate. Cmp7-GFP (MKSP3363), N = 408 nuclei; GFP-Vps32 (MKSP3353), N = 442 nuclei; Ist1-GFP (MKSP3365), N = 401 nuclei; GFP-Vps24 (MKSP3352), N = 699 nuclei; GFP-Did4 (MKSP3334), N = 623 nuclei.

D: Scatter plot where each data point represents the time in anaphase B that a GFP-ESCRT was first visible colocalized with the SPB marker across three biological replicates. Bar and error bars represent mean and standard deviation of points, respectively. Vertical gray bars indicate one standard deviation of the mean across three biological replicates for Cut11-GFP (MKSP1697) focal loss (SPB extrusion, 1.96–2.87 min) and NE constriction (6.59–7.06 min) (N = 75 cells (25 cells/replicate)). Kruskal-Wallis test performed across all datasets with Dunn's multiple comparisons across all datasets (****, $p < 0.001$; n=100; at least 17 nuclei/strain/replicate).

To further probe the relative timing of Wave 1 and Wave 2, we performed

timelapse microscopy of cells progressing through mitosis expressing Cmp7-GFP, GFP-

Vps32, or Ist1-GFP. We plotted the timing of the arrival (i.e., the first frame when

observed) of each GFP-ESCRT at the SPB extrusion site with respect to anaphase B

progression (Figure 1D). We also placed this timeline in the context of the loss of Cut11

at the SPB, which is thought to reflect SPB extrusion, and nuclear constriction into a

dumbbell shape (Figure 1D, vertical grey bars) (West et al., 1998). Using this approach,

we confirmed that Cmp7-GFP was recruited to the SPB site significantly earlier than GFP-

Vps32 and Ist1-GFP, which were detected with similar timing (Figure 1D). Finally, to

rigorously test if we could temporally segregate the Wave 1 and 2 ESCRTs in anaphase,

we examined the localization of Cmp7-GFP and Ist1-mCherry within the same cell.

Indeed, we found that Cmp7-GFP appeared at the SPB extrusion site prior to recruitment

of Ist1-mCherry (Supplementary Figure S1C). Thus, taken together, we conclude that

there are three temporally distinct waves of ESCRT-III recruitment to the SPB extrusion

site: (1) Cmp7 in mid anaphase B, (2) Vps32/Ist1 in late anaphase B, and (3) Vsp24/Did4

in the subsequent G_1/S when Cmp7 departs (Figure 1A).

ESCRT protein copy number at the SPB extrusion site

With perhaps one exception (Adell et al., 2017), there remains uncertainty

regarding the range of numbers of ESCRT subunits required to execute a given

membrane fission reaction. Therefore, to inform on the organization and architecture of

the ESCRT polymers at the fission yeast NE, we calculated ESCRT subunit copy number

at the SPB extrusion site using a semi-automated ratiometric fluorescence quantification

approach. We directly related GFP-ESCRT fluorescence at the SPB site to kinetochore

foci formed by a GFP-tagged kinetochore protein, Fta3, which is found at ~37 molecules

per kinetochore (for details see Methods and Supplementary Figures S2A–S2C)

(Lawrimore et al., 2011).

Using the Fta3-GFP foci as standards, we quantified the average copy number of

all ESCRT subunits recruited to the SPB extrusion site in anaphase B and G_1/S (Table

1). Overall, there was an average of 16 ± 8 molecules of Cmp7-GFP per SPB extrusion

site. The spread in the Cmp7-GFP numbers did not reflect any change in copy number

during anaphase B progression or into the subsequent G_1/S-phases (Supplementary

Figures S2D and S2E). The Wave 2 ESCRT GFP-Vps32, regardless of mitotic stage, was

found at a much higher copy number of 72 ± 41 molecules (Supplementary Figures S2D

and S2F), suggesting an approximate 1 to 5 stoichiometry of Cmp7 to Vps32 molecules

at this NE hole. The Wave 3 ESCRT subunits GFP-Vps24 and GFP-Did4 were calculated

to be 77 ± 51 and 25 ± 10 molecules/focus, respectively (Supplementary Figure S2D).

The one exception to an apparently stochastic variation in ESCRT subunit copy number

was the Wave 2 protein Ist1-GFP, which increased in number from 25 ± 13 molecules in

anaphase B to 34 ± 18 into G_1/S (Supplementary Figure S2D). Consistent with an

increase in Ist1 copy number through anaphase B, there was a positive correlation

between Ist1-GFP copy number at individual NE holes with anaphase B progression

| | Mitotic NE hole | | | | | | ILV Formation[1] |
| Protein | Ana B | | G₁/S | | All | | |
	Mean ± SD	N	Mean ± SD	N	Mean ± SD	N	Mean
Cmp7	16 ± 8	88	15 ± 8	108	15 ± 8	196	*n.r.*
Vps32	75 ± 45	33	69 ± 37	35	72 ± 41	68	75–200
Ist1	25 ± 13	67	34 ± 18	80	30 ± 17	147	*n.m.*
Vps24	*n.m.*		77 ± 51	24	77 ± 51	24	15–50
Did4	*n.r.*		25 ± 10	26	25 ± 10	26	*n.m*

Table 1. Average copy number for ESCRT proteins at the NE. Copy number is shown for all examined ESCRTs by cell cycle. Copy number at intralumenal vesicle (ILV) formation at endosomes as reported in Adell et al. (2017). *n.r.,* not recruited. *n.m.,* not measured.

(Supplementary Figure S2G). This incremental accumulation of an ESCRT was not

observed for other ESCRTs in our work or that of others at ILVs (Adell et al., 2017),

suggesting that it could be a behavior unique to Ist1.

Cmp7 is required for ESCRT recruitment to the NE

The sequential timing of ESCRT recruitment waves to the NE suggests a

dependency of the later wave ESCRTs on those preceding them. To test this idea, we

next determined the co-dependency of ESCRT protein recruitment to the mitotic NE hole.

As predicted from prior work in budding yeast (Webster et al., 2016) and cultured human

cells (Gu et al., 2017), the recruitment of Cmp7-GFP to the SPB site required Heh1/Lem2

but not its paralog Heh2/Man1 (Figures 2A and 2B). Consistent with the observed

sequential nature of ESCRT recruitment, Wave 2 or 3 ESCRTs failed to be recruited to

the NE in the absence of the Wave 1 Cmp7 (Figure 2C). Thus, as has been observed in

other contexts (Gu et al., 2017; Olmos et al., 2016; Thaller et al., 2019; Vietri et al., 2015),

Cmp7 is required for all ESCRT-III recruitment to the NE.

We next tested the requirement of Wave 2 ESCRTs for recruitment of other GFP-

ESCRTs. As shown in Figure 2D, Ist1 is entirely dispensable for all GFP-ESCRT

recruitment to the SPB extrusion site. Interestingly, Ist1-GFP recruitment is compromised

but not completely abrogated in the absence of its Wave 2 partner, Vps32, appearing

both later and in a smaller percentage of cells compared to WT (Figure 2E). Thus,

Figure 2. Cmp7 is required for ESCRT-III recruitment to the NE and is replaced by Wave 3 ESCRTs.
Figure legend continued on next page.

232   although Cmp7 is essential for any ESCRT-III subunit recruitment, there may not be a

233   strict assembly order for other downstream filament assembly events.

Figure 2. Cmp7 is required for ESCRT-III recruitment to the NE and is replaced by Wave 3 ESCRTs.
A: Deconvolved fluorescence micrographs of Cmp7-GFP and mCherry-Atb2 in representative *heh1∆* (left) and *heh2∆* (right) cells in anaphase B. In each subpanel, images of the Cmp7-GFP are on the left, sum projection (over 4.8 µm) of mCherry-Atb2 in middle and magnifications of square ROI shown vertically arranged at right with (top to bottom) green, magenta and merged channels. Fluorescence intensity of magnified ROIs were independently adjusted in the linear range. Scale bar, 1 µm. Magnified ROIs, 1.6 µm wide.

B: Plots of the percentage of nuclei with Cmp7-GFP foci colocalized with the SPB site in WT (gray circles), *heh1∆* (red squares), and *heh2∆* (yellow triangles) strains in indicated phases of the cell cycle. Points and error bars represent mean and range, respectively, across at least three biological replicates with at least 50 nuclei/Cmp7-GFP/replicate. Cmp7-GFP, WT (data from Figure 1C), Cmp7-GFP, *heh1∆* (MKSP3259), N = 203; Cmp7-GFP, *heh2∆* (MKSP3275), N = 275 nuclei.

C: As in B but with the indicated GFP-ESCRTs in WT (gray circles) or *cmp7∆* (green squares) cells. Points and error bars represent mean and range, respectively, across at least three biological replicates with at least 50 nuclei/GFP-ESCRT/replicate. All WT data from Figure 1C; GFP-Vps32, *cmp7∆* (MKSP3354), N = 628 nuclei; Ist1-GFP, *cmp7∆* (MKSP3447), N = 384 nuclei; GFP-Vps24, *cmp7∆* (MKSP3355), N = 702 nuclei; GFP-Did4, *cmp7∆* (MKSP3366), N = 372 nuclei.

D: As in B but with the indicated GFP-ESCRTs in WT (gray circles) or *ist1∆* (blue squares) cells. Points and error bars represent mean and range, respectively, across at least three biological replicates with at least 50 nuclei/GFP-ESCRT/replicate. All WT data from Figure 1C; Cmp7-GFP, *ist1∆* (MKSP3429), N = 418 nuclei; GFP-Vps32, *ist1∆* (MKSP3449), N = 550 nuclei; GFP-Vps24, *ist1∆* (MKSP3669), N = 323 nuclei; GFP-Did4, *ist1∆* (MKSP3668), N = 455 nuclei.

E: As in B but with Ist1-GFP in WT (gray circles) or *vps32∆* (orange squares) cells. Ist1-GFP, WT (data from Figure 1C), Ist1-GFP, *vps32∆* (MKSP3504), N = 382.

F: As in A but in *vps24∆*, G_2 cells.

G: As in B but with the indicated GFP-ESCRTs in WT (gray circles) or *vps24∆* (red squares) cells. All WT data from Figure 1C; Cmp7-GFP, *vps24∆* (MKSP3387), N = 236 nuclei; Ist1-GFP, *vps24∆* (MKSP3544), N = 378 nuclei.

H: As in A but in *did4∆*, G_2 cells. Gamma was adjusted to 0.05 to allow visualization of mCherry-Atb2 and Pcp1-mCherry without saturation.

I: As in B but with the indicated GFP-ESCRTs in WT (gray circles) or *did4∆* (blue squares) cells. All WT data from Figure 1C; Cmp7-GFP, *did4∆* (MKSP3381), N = 323 nuclei; Ist1-GFP, *did4∆* (MKSP3496), N = 376 nuclei; GFP-Vps24, *did4∆* (MKSP3511), N = 438 nuclei.

J: Plot of the fluorescence intensity of Cmp7-GFP at the SPB site in *did4∆* cells (MKSP3381) at indicated cell cycle stages normalized to median mid/late anaphase B value for each biological replicate. Bar and error bars represent mean and standard deviation of points, respectively. Kruskal-Wallis test performed across all datasets with Dunn's multiple comparisons across all dataset (****, $p < 0.001$; N=160, at least 45 cells/replicate).

Cmp7 is replaced by Wave 3 ESCRT assemblies in G_1/S

As our temporal analysis suggests progressive remodeling of the ESCRT

complement at the NE hole in concert with the cell cycle, we next tested whether the

inability to recruit the final wave of ESCRTs impacted the dynamics of the upstream Wave

1 or 2 ESCRTs. Strikingly, even though the Wave 3 ESCRTs Vps24 and Did4 were found

at the SPB site when the majority of Cmp7 had departed in most WT cells (Figure 1), we

found that Cmp7-GFP recruitment was dysregulated in *vps24∆* and *did4∆* cells, remaining

at the NE throughout G_1/S and even G_2 (Figures 2F–2I). Moreover, Cmp7-GFP over-

accumulated at the NE with mean 2-fold greater fluorescence than WT cells in *vps24Δ*

and *did4Δ* cells (Figure 2J). Interestingly, although the initial recruitment of the Wave 2

ESCRT Ist1-GFP was normal in *vps24Δ* and *did4Δ* cells, Ist1-GFP was lost earlier

compared to WT cells (Figures 2G and 2I). Though still observed in a small percentage

of SPB extrusion sites, Vps24 recruitment appeared to be largely dependent on its Wave

3 partner, Did4 (Figure 2I). Thus, we interpret these data in a model in which the inability

to complete ESCRT remodeling during G_1/S drives a reversal of the ESCRT order into an

aberrant Wave 1 state with persistent Cmp7-GFP recruitment.

ESCRT waves correlate to changes in NE hole morphology

To help understand ESCRT function from anaphase to G_1/S, we correlated the

ESCRT recruitment timeline to the ultrastructure of the NE hole at the SPB extrusion site

using correlative light and electron microscopy (CLEM) and electron tomography (Figure

3). We used Pcp1-mCherry and Cdc7-GFP, the latter of which transiently localizes to

mitotic/G_1 spindle poles (Sohrmann et al., 1998), to help inform the location of the SPB

extrusion site and the cell cycle stage of embedded cells. At the correlated location of

these SPB markers, we identified the SPB plaques as an electron-dense region in the

cytoplasm apposing the nucleus from early anaphase B to G_2 (Figures 3A–3D, red

outline). We also observed an electron-lucent region of cytosol surrounding the SPB

plaques that excluded ribosomes (Figures 3A–D, orange outline).

The onset of the Wave 1 ESCRT recruitment occurs in mid anaphase B; in all cells

examined that corresponded to early/mid anaphase B, there was a 78 to 182 nm (median,

140 nm) wide (Figure 3I, n=10) fenestration in the NE apposing the SPB (Figure 3A, black

arrowhead); spindle microtubules were visible passing through this hole in select virtual

slices of the entire tomogram (Movie 1). Interestingly, we observed a marked narrowing

of the diameter of the NE hole to 14 to 115 nm (median, 59 nm) (Figure 3I, n=5) in late

anaphase B cells, alongside a reduction in the number of microtubules (Figure 3B, black

arrowhead) (Movie 2) (Ding et al., 1997). These data suggest that the recruitment of the

Wave 2 ESCRTs temporally correlates with a constriction of the NE hole from ~140 to

~60 nm. Subsequently, in G_1/S cells we found either relaxation of the NE hole (26 to 228

nm; median, 184 nm; 4/7 analyzed; Figures 3C, black arrowhead and 3I) or cells that had

Figure 3. Cmp7 is required to restrict the size of the NE hole in anaphase and seal the NE in G_1/S.

A–D: Top, fluorescence micrograph of a thick section of a resin-embedded yeast strain (MKSP3180) expressing Cdc7-GFP (green) and Pcp1-mCherry (magenta) prepared for correlative light and electron microscopy (CLEM) and electron tomography (ET). The indicated cell cycle stages were determined by a combination of fluorescence marker presence/localization and ultrastructural features. The white square in the fluorescence micrographs indicates regions where the electron tomogram was collected and is shown magnified at the right. Middle and bottom panels are a virtual slice taken from the electron tomogram shown without (middle) and with (bottom) manual annotation of features. NE, blue, SPB plaques, red, electron-lucent ribosome exclusion zone extending beyond SPB plaques, orange. White arrowheads, NPC. Black arrowheads, SPB extrusion hole. N, nucleus; C, cytoplasm. Scale bars: fluorescence micrographs, 1 μm; virtual slices from electron tomogram, 100 nm.

E–H: CLEM and ET for *cmp7Δ* cells (MKSP3243), prepared and displayed as in A. Mito, mitochondria; V, vacuole.

I: Scatter plot of NE hole widths in tomograms of WT (gray circles) and *cmp7Δ* (green circles). Solid circles represent exactly determined diameters while open circles represent minimum values in cases where the full diameter was not visualized in the volume. Black bars represent median. Mann-Whitney test performed between WT and *cmp7Δ* for each cell cycle phase individually (***, $p < 0.0001$; all others, $p ≥ 0.05$). WT cells, MKSP3180, N = 14 nuclei; *cmp7Δ* cells, MKSP3243, N = 39 nuclei.

completed NE sealing (3/7 analyzed), suggesting that the timing of Wave 3 corresponds

to the act of NE sealing. Consistent with this interpretation, the NE membranes were

continuous in all 7 G_2-phase cells examined (Figures 3D and 3I). Thus, each wave of

ESCRT recruitment corresponds to distinct morphological changes of the NE hole; Wave

2 corresponds to a transient NE constriction while Wave 3 represents a timepoint that is

suggestive of a role in NE sealing.

ESCRTs restrict the size of the NE hole during mitosis

To directly evaluate whether ESCRTs impact the observed NE hole dynamics, we

performed CLEM on *cmp7Δ* cells, which fail to recruit all ESCRT-III proteins (Figure 2C).

Loss of *CMP7* did not impact the morphology or diameter of the NE fenestration in

early/mid anaphase B cells, which appeared similar to WT in all cells examined (n=10;

Figures 3A, 3E, and 3I; Movie 3). In striking contrast, late anaphase B *cmp7Δ* cells (n=12)

exhibited massive NE gaps ranging from 197 to 475 nm (median, 313 nm) (Figures 3F

and 3I; Movie 4), an approximate five-fold increase compared to WT cells at the same

stage (Figures 3B and 3I). Thus, we conclude that Cmp7 is required to restrict the

diameter of the mitotic NE hole during anaphase B. Given that this state temporally

precedes the recruitment of Wave 3 ESCRTs (Figure 1), this function in restricting the

size of the NE hole in late anaphase B likely represents a state comprised of Wave 1 and

Wave 2 ESCRTs.

Cmp7 is required to complete NE sealing but must be remodeled to Wave 3 ESCRTs

Interestingly, in G_1/S when WT NE holes had either been sealed or persisted with
a width of 26 to 288 nm (median, 184 nm), NE gaps were observed in all imaged *cmp7Δ*
cells and displayed a larger range from 20 to 540 nm (median, 332 nm; n=6, Figures 3G
and 3I). Although not statistically different, the large spread of values in individual *cmp7Δ*
cells suggests that the aberrantly large fenestrations arising in anaphase B might persist
into the subsequent G_1/S. Most strikingly, G_2 *cmp7Δ* cells (n=9, Figure 3H) all retained a
discernable but small NE fenestration with a range of diameters from 14 to 29 nm
(median, 19 nm; Figure 3I), suggesting that an ESCRT-independent mechanism
ultimately constricted, but failed to seal, the NE fenestration.

As our light microscopy data demonstrated that Cmp7 aberrantly persists in cells
lacking Wave 3 ESCRTs (Figures 2F–2J), we also interrogated the morphological
consequences of reversion to an aberrant Wave 1 assembly state by applying CLEM and
electron tomography to G_2 *did4Δ* cells (Figures 4A and 4B). At the majority (8/13) of
Cmp7-GFP NE foci we selected, we correlated the fluorescence to an 8 to 34 nm (median,
21 nm) hole in the NE (Figure 4C), suggesting that the persistent Wave 1 state also
disrupts NE sealing; in the other 5 samples, we could not unambiguously observe a NE
hole (Figures 4A and 4B). Unlike in *cmp7Δ* cells, however, in *did4Δ* cells we found that
the persistent NE hole was filled with densely stained material (Figure 4A), which we
interpret to be Cmp7-GFP, although other, yet to be defined factors may also be present.
Interestingly, although Cmp7-GFP persisted at the SPB extrusion site throughout G_2 in
did4Δ cells, it began to disappear at the time of the subsequent SPB insertion cycle
(Figure 4D). These data suggest a mechanism to "reset" the SPB site for another round
of SPB insertion, extrusion and NE sealing that might entail clearing aberrant ESCRT
assemblies from the NE. Thus, we conclude that Cmp7's role in initiating ESCRT-III
assembly is required to close the constricted, 20 nm NE fenestration in G_2. Moreover, the
loss of Wave 3 ESCRTs leads to the accumulation of Cmp7 in NE holes that fail to seal,
highlighting that it is the replacement of the initial Wave 1/Wave 2 ESCRT assemblies to
the Wave 3 ESCRT state that ultimately drives the sealing reaction.

Figure 4. Did4 is required to remove Cmp7 to seal the NE.

A: Gallery of four representative electron tomograms showing either NE holes filled with electron density (left) or no visible NE holes (right). In each sub panel: Top, fluorescence micrograph of a thick section of a resin-embedded *did4Δ* strain (MKSP3381) in G_2 expressing Cmp7-GFP (green) and Pcp1-mCherry (magenta) prepared for CLEM and ET. The white square in the fluorescence micrographs indicates the region where the electron tomogram was collected and is shown magnified at right. Middle and bottom panels are a virtual slice taken from the electron tomogram shown without (middle) and with (bottom) manual annotation of features. NE, blue, SPB plaques, red, electron-lucent ribosome exclusion zone extending beyond SPB plaques, orange. White arrowheads, NPC. Black arrowheads, NE hole with density. N, nucleus; C, cytoplasm. Scale bars: fluorescence micrographs, 1 μm; virtual slices from electron tomogram, 100 nm.

B: Plot of the number of occurrences (black squares) of the observed NE phenotypes from A. MKSP3381, N = 13 nuclei.

C: Scatter plot of NE hole widths (nm) observed in *did4Δ*, G_2 cell tomograms as in A. Black bar represents median. MKSP3381, N = 8 nuclei.

D: Deconvolved fluorescence micrographs of a timelapse series (top, seconds representing the transition from G_2 into mitosis) of a *did4Δ* cell expressing Cmp7-GFP (green), Pcp1-mCherry (magenta), and mCherry-Atb2 (magenta). The white square in the overview micrograph indicates the ROI magnified in the image series vertically arranged at right with (top to bottom) green, magenta and merged channels. Representative cell (MKSP3381) from three biological replicates of imaging N = 180 cells, at least 60 cells/replicate.

Although required for NE sealing, Cmp7 is dispensable for maintaining the nuclear compartment

The persistence of NE holes at the SPB extrusion site throughout the cell cycle in *cmp7Δ* cells, and in particular the massive NE fenestrations observed during mitosis, prompted an evaluation of nucleocytoplasmic compartmentalization in these conditions. We tested the distribution of GFP fused to either three (MGM2) or five (MGM4) maltose-binding proteins; while MGM2 is able to diffuse across NPCs, the larger MGM4 construct is effectively excluded from the nucleus (Figure 5A and Supplementary Figure S3A) (Popken et al., 2015). Consistent with this, most MGM2 cells had nuclear to cytoplasmic ratios (N/C ratios) >0.75 and most MGM4 cells had N/C ratios <0.75; we therefore used N/C ratio = 0.75 as a cut-off to gauge whether cells expressing MGM4 displayed a defect in their nucleocytoplasmic compartmentalization (Supplementary Figure S3A). Using this framework, we found that *cmp7Δ* cells displayed no detectable loss of nuclear compartmentalization, consistent with prior work (Gu et al., 2017) (Figure 5A).

Cmp7 cooperates with a diffusion barrier to maintain the nuclear compartment

The observation that deletion of *CMP7* did not appreciably impact nucleocytoplasmic compartmentalization despite the presence of large NE fenestrations was, on the surface, surprising. It should be considered, however, that even in WT cells there is a period (~14 minutes) after SPB extrusion when the nuclear compartment appears intact despite the presence of a NE fenestration of at least ~60 nm but up to 228 nm beneath the SPB (Figure 3). Thus, there must be additional, unidentified diffusion barrier(s) (beyond the NPC) capable of maintaining nucleocytoplasmic compartmentalization in the face of a persistent NE hole. To test this idea, we employed 1,6-hexanediol (1,6-HD), an aliphatic alcohol that disrupts weak hydrophobic protein-protein interactions underlying biomolecular condensation including that of FG-repeat containing nucleoporins that contribute to the NPC diffusion barrier (Ribbeck and Görlich, 2002; Shulga and Goldfarb, 2003). We observed that 5%, but not 2.5%, 1,6-HD treatment led to the equilibration of MGM4 fluorescence across the NE in about 20 minutes in WT G_2 cells (Figures 5B and 5C), indicating disruption of the NPC diffusion

Figure 5. Cmp7 and a 1,6 hexanediol-sensitive diffusion barrier ensure nucleocytoplasmic compartmentalization during mitosis.

A: Histogram relating nucleoplasmic and cytoplasmic GFP fluorescence of MGM4 in a N/C ratio of individual WT and *cmp7Δ* cells across three biological replicates. Size between bins, 0.05. Dotted line at 0.75 bin. WT (MKSP3345 and MKSP3488), N = 240 nuclei; *cmp7Δ* (MKSP3535), N= 177 nuclei.

B: A time-lapse series of deconvolved fluorescence micrographs of cells (MKSP3699) expressing MGM4 and Cut11-mCherry (Cut11-mCh). Green (top), magenta (middle) and merged image (bottom) shown. Cells were treated with 0%, 2.5% or 5% 1,6-hexanediol (1,6-HD) as indicated. For G_2 cells, time 0 indicates the start of imaging after the delivery of 1,6-HD by microfluidics. For mitotic cells, only cells that went through mitosis after 1,6-HD treatment were considered. Across the population, the constriction of the NE into a dumbbell shape (~10 min) was used to align individual traces in C. Scale bar, 1 µm. Representative of 20 cells per condition with at least 5 cells per condition for each of three biological replicates.

C: Plot of the nuclear (NUC) fluorescence of MGM4 through timelapse imaging experiments as shown in B normalized to the initial timepoint for each individual nucleus of a cell (MKSP3699, untreated). Solid lines represent average of 20 cells per condition with at least 5 cells per condition for each of three biological replicates, with the shaded region representing the standard deviation. M = mitosis.

D–G: Asynchronous cells (MKSP3699) expressing MGM4, Cut11-mCherry (Cut11-mCh), Cmp7-AID and OsTIR1$_{F74A}$ were treated for 1 h with DMSO or 5-adamantyl-IAA (5IAA) followed by EMM5S media with or without 2.5% 1,6-HD—treatments indicated by closed circles—before imaging. Post-imaging, cells were categorized by cell cycle stage and analyzed. At the top of each panel are representative images of an ROI that encompasses a nucleus with MGM4, Cut11-mCh and merged images arranged vertically. Scale bar, 1 µm. At bottom, histograms of N/C ratios of MGM4 fluorescence of individual cells in untreated (gray), 5IAA (yellow), 2.5% 1,6-HD (teal), and both 5IAA and 2.5% 1,6-HD (orange). Percent of values above 0.75 bin (dotted gray line) for each reporter indicated. Size between bins, 0.05. Quantification was performed for three biological replicates N = 955, with at least 197 cells/condition, and at least 50 cells/biological replicate.

H: DMSO or 5IAA-treated cells (MKSP3699) at indicated cell cycle stages expressing MGM4, Cut11-mCherry (Cut11-mCh), Cmp7-AID and OsTIR1$_{F74A}$ were subjected to timelapse imaging after the addition of 2.5% 1,6 HD delivered by microfluidics. For G_2 and G_1/S cells, time 0 indicates the start of imaging after the delivery of 1,6-HD by microfluidics. For mitotic cells, only cells that went through mitosis after 1,6-HD treatment were considered. Images of representative nuclei at each timepoint are shown arranged vertically with MGM4 (green) at top, Cut11-mCherry (Cut11-mCh; magenta) in middle and merge at bottom. Scale bar, 1 µm. Representative of N=360, 20 cells/replicate/cell cycle phase/treatment.

I: Scatterplot of the percent change of MGM4 N/C ratios of individual cells (MKSP3699, either DMSO or 5IAA-treated) expressing MGM4, Cut11-mCherry, Cmp7-AID and OsTIR1$_{F74A}$ from start to end of timelapse imaging after addition of 2.5% 1-6 HD. Bars and error bars represent mean and standard deviation, respectively. Kruskal-Wallis test performed across all cell cycle phases with Dunn's multiple comparisons for each data set against G_2 for the corresponding treatment (****, $p < 0.0001$; ns, $p \geq 0.05$). N=360, 20 cells/replicate/cell cycle phase/treatment.

barrier. Interestingly, MGM4 fluorescence equilibrated approximately twice as fast in

mitotic cells (Figures 5B and 5C). This observation hints that either the NPC diffusion

barrier is weakened during mitosis or there is an additional proteinaceous NE diffusion

barrier required during mitosis that is also sensitive to 5% 1,6-HD.

Building on a model where there is a mitotic-specific NE diffusion barrier, we

reasoned that such a barrier would be vulnerable to the loss of Cmp7, which leads to a

much larger NE hole in anaphase B (Figures 3F and 3I). To test this, we turned to an

auxin-induced degron (AID) system to quickly deplete an endogenously expressed

Cmp7-AID fusion, which allowed us to specifically test the impact of the loss of Cmp7

during mitosis (Kanke et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2022). Cmp7-AID was efficiently degraded within 15 minutes of treatment with 5-adamantyl-IAA (5IAA) (Supplementary Figure S3B). Importantly, depletion of Cmp7-AID recapitulates phenotypes observed in *cmp7Δ* cells, for example abolishing recruitment of Ist1-GFP to the NE (Supplementary Figure S3C). Further, like *cmp7Δ*, there was no discernable change in MGM4 N/C fluorescence ratios in 5IAA-treated Cmp7-AID cells (Figures 5D–5G).

We therefore sought to specifically disrupt the putative mitotic diffusion barrier in Cmp7-AID depleted cells with 2.5% 1,6-HD, which is insufficient to disrupt the NPC diffusion barrier even during mitosis (Figures 5B–5D, and 5H). Strikingly, treatment of Cmp7-AID depleted cells with 2.5% 1,6-HD resulted in nuclear entry of MGM4 in 56% of late anaphase B cells and 24% of early G_1/S cells but did not impact MGM4 distribution in G_2 cells (Figures 5D–5G). To confirm that the nuclear entry of MGM4 occurred specifically during mitosis under conditions of Cmp7 depletion, we monitored MGM4 localization in Cmp7-AID cells (with or without 5IAA) alongside the temporally controlled delivery of 2.5% 1,6-HD in a microfluidic chamber (Figures 5H and 5I). As shown in Figure 5H, only mitotic cells depleted of Cmp7-AID in the presence of 2.5% 1,6-HD allowed entry of MGM4 into the nucleus, which we quantified as the percent change in N/C fluorescence ratios of individual cells from the beginning to the end of the experiment (Figure 5I). Together, these data suggest that Cmp7 supports a mitotic diffusion barrier independent of NPCs that is distinct from its role in NE membrane sealing.

CMP7 and HEH1 genetically interact with genes encoding SPB components

We next sought to identify the protein(s) that help form a diffusion barrier capable of preventing macromolecules from transiting a NE hole as large as ~500 nm. Based on the CLEM data, which showed the SPB and a zone of ribosome exclusion covering even the largest NE holes in *cmp7Δ* cells (Figure 3), SPB components were the most likely candidates. We therefore considered the possibility that the observed zone of ribosome exclusion is analogous to the pericentriolar material that surrounds mammalian centrosomes. A key evolutionarily conserved component of the pericentriolar material is pericentrin (Pcp1), which is an attractive candidate to form a diffusion barrier because it is capable of undergoing biomolecular condensation and may be sensitive to 1,6-HD

(Jiang et al., 2021). To begin to explore this hypothesis, we tested genetic interactions
between *CMP7* and *HEH1* with *PCP1* (Figures 6A and 6B). As *PCP1* is essential for
viability, we took advantage of strains expressing two temperature sensitive alleles, *pcp1-*
15 and *pcp1-18,* that inhibit growth at 36 ˚C (Fong et al., 2010). As shown in Figures 6A
and B, when grown at the permissive growth temperatures (25˚C and 32˚C), *cmp7Δpcp1-*
18 and *heh1Δpcp1-18* strains produced colonies of smaller size compared to their
parental counterparts, suggesting a functional relationship between Pcp1 and the
recruitment of Cmp7 to the SPB extrusion site.

Building upon this, we also tested whether there was a genetic interaction between
the gene encoding the KASH-domain protein, Kms2, which is thought to anchor the SPB
to the NE (Wälde and King, 2014) and *CMP7* or *HEH1*. We used a strain expressing a
conditional knockdown allele of *KMS2* that is depleted in media containing thiamine,
Pnmt81:kms2 DAmP (*kms2 DamP*) (Wälde and King, 2014). Although we detected a mild
loss of fitness in *cmp7Δkms2 DamP* cells at 25˚C (Figure 6A), the growth defect of the
heh1Δkms2 DamP strain was more severe, particularly at 32˚C, where the combination
was lethal (Figure 6B). Cumulatively, our data suggest that Cmp7 and Heh1 are required
for the fitness of cells whose SPBs are compromised or are defective at SPB anchoring
to the NE; Heh1 might also have additional roles beyond simply recruiting Cmp7 to the
NE that might explain the greater dependence of the *kms2 DamP* allele on its presence.

Pcp1 supports a mitotic diffusion barrier
We next sought to investigate if the loss of fitness of *cmp7Δpcp1-15/18* cells could
be attributed to a specific disruption of a mitotic NE diffusion barrier. To address this, we
analyzed the distribution of MGM4 in *pcp1-15* and *cmp7Δpcp1-15* cells grown at 30˚C
and then either imaged directly (0 h) or following 3 h at 36˚C (Figure 6C). At the permissive
temperature of 30˚C, there was little change in MGM4 N/C fluorescence ratios in either
strain at any cell cycle stage (Figures 6C and 6D). However, following 3 h at 36˚C, we
observed that *cmp7Δpcp1-15* cells had an increase in nuclear MGM4 fluorescence with
N/C ratios >0.75 that was particularly evident in G$_1$/S cells (65%). As 20% of *pcp1-15*
cells also showed a loss of nucleocytoplasmic compartmentalization in G$_1$/S (Figure 6D),
we interpret these data as evidence that Pcp1 establishes and/or maintains a NE diffusion

Figure 6. *CMP7* and *HEH1* interact with genes encoding SPB components; Pcp1 is required to maintain nuclear integrity in the absence of *CMP7*

A, B: The indicated strains were serially diluted 10-fold and plated on YE5S plates incubated at the indicated temperatures. Plates were imaged after 2 days (32˚C) or 5 days (25˚C). Images are representative of 3 biological replicates. WT, MKSP399; *cmp7Δ*, MKSP3340; *pcp1-15*, MKSP280; *cmp7Δpcp1-15*, MKSP3420; *pcp1-18*, MKSP281; *cmp7Δpcp1-18*, MKSP3425; *kms2 DAmP*, MKSP1474; *cmp7Δkms2 DAmP*, MKSP3683; *heh1Δ*, MKSP3248; *heh1Δpcp1-15*, MKSP3838; *heh1Δpcp1-15*, MKSP3839; *heh1Δkms2 DAmP*, MKSP3843.

C: Fluorescence micrographs of representative nuclei are shown arranged vertically with MGM4 (green) at top, Cut11-mCherry (Cut11-mCh; magenta) in middle and merge at bottom in either *pcp1-15* (gray circle, MKSP3812) or *cmp7Δpcp1-15* (green circle, MKSP3811) strains. Cells were grown at 30˚C then imaged directly (0 h) or following 3 h at 36˚C (3 h). Scale bar, 1 µm.

D: Plot of the percentage of *pcp1-15* (gray bar, MKSP3812) or *cmp7Δpcp1-15* (green bar, MKSP3811) MGM4-expressing cells with N/C fluorescence ratios greater than 0.75 reflecting a loss of nuclear integrity. Points are the mean from each biological replicate, while bars and error bars represent total mean and standard deviation, respectively. Points represent average of at least 30 nuclei/cell cycle phase/strain/replicate, N = 977.

E: Fluorescence micrographs of representative nuclei are shown arranged vertically with MGM4 (green) at top, Cut11-mCherry (Cut11-mCh; magenta) in middle and merge at bottom from individual *cmp7Δpcp1-15* cells (MKSP3811) in G₂ or through a timecourse (in minutes) after a temperature shift from 30˚C to 36˚C. MGM4 (top), Cut11-mCh (middle) and merge (bottom) shown. Scale bar, 1 µm.

barrier that is more susceptible to disruption in cells lacking Cmp7. To confirm that the

nuclear entry of MGM4 in G_1/S cells occurred specifically during mitosis, we performed

timelapse imaging of *cmp7Δpcp1-15* cells during a temperature shift from 30˚C to 36˚C.

Strikingly, the overwhelming majority of cells (91%, N=75) that leaked MGM4 into the

nucleus during this timecourse were undergoing mitosis (Figure 6E). Thus, Cmp7 is

required to support the integrity of a Pcp1-dependent NE diffusion barrier that functions

during mitosis. Moreover, these data further demonstrate that NPCs are not the sole NE

diffusion barrier.

DISCUSSION

Here we develop and exploit the fission yeast *S. pombe* as a model of ESCRT function at the NE (Supplementary Figure S4). The data firmly establish that ESCRTs are required to seal the NE hole generated by the extrusion of the SPB. Critically, however, we also propose a new function for ESCRTs prior to sealing in which they act as a molecular grommet that restricts the size of the persistent NE fenestration during anaphase B. This grommet function is needed to support a proteinaceous diffusion barrier that is essential to maintain nucleocytoplasmic compartmentalization. Thus, in addition to extending the functional repertoire of ESCRTs, we also introduce the exciting concept that, beyond the NPC, there are other protein diffusion barriers that restrict the passage of macromolecules across the NE.

Our data from fission yeast highlight a broader theme, together with published work, in which ESCRTs and proteinaceous diffusion barriers act in concert to protect the integrity of the nuclear compartment. A clear corollary, for example, is how the NPC diffusion barrier installed during NPC biogenesis is supported by the ESCRT pathway in the event that NPC biogenesis fails (Thaller et al., 2019; Thaller et al., 2021; Webster et al., 2014; Webster et al., 2016). Another example might include the rapid recruitment of barrier to autointegration factor (BAF) to exposed chromatin at induced NE ruptures (Halfmann et al., 2019). As BAF forms a cross-linked network that can restrict the passage of macromolecules 500 kDa/49 nm in size (Samwer et al., 2017), it is possible BAF together with other factors (including lamin C (Kono et al., 2022)) might plug NE holes quickly before the membranes themselves are later sealed through a mechanism involving LEM protein(s) and ESCRTs. A similar function could be served by galectin, which appears capable of forming biomolecular condensates (Chiu et al., 2020) and recruiting ESCRT-III (Jia et al., 2020) to prevent leakage of lysosomal hydrolases during ESCRT repair of lysosome membranes (Radulovic et al., 2018; Skowyra et al., 2018).

In the case of fission yeast, we identify the ortholog of the pericentriolar material protein pericentrin, Pcp1, as key to supporting the diffusion barrier that acts in concert with the ESCRT grommet at the NE in mitosis (Figure 6). We think the most likely physiochemical mechanism underlying the formation of a Pcp1-dependent diffusion barrier is biomolecular condensation. First, there is clear precedence for phase-separated

condensates forming selective barriers at the NE including the FG-nucleoporins of the
NPC (Celetti et al., 2020; Frey et al., 2006; Petri et al., 2012). Second, the SPB of budding
yeast is constitutively inserted in the NE (Byers and Goetsch, 1975; O'Toole et al., 1999)
while the nuclear compartment is defined by NPCs, suggesting that the ability of SPB
components to restrict the diffusion of macromolecules is a fundamental property of these
structures across divergent yeasts. Third, the Pcp1-dependent mitotic barrier described
here is, like many biomolecular condensates, sensitive to perturbation by relatively low
concentrations of 1,6-HD (Figure 5) (Ribbeck and Görlich, 2002; Shulga and Goldfarb,
2003). Fourth, we previously demonstrated that Pcp1 molecules incorporated into the
SPB undergo little to no turnover with the soluble Pcp1 pool (Wälde and King, 2014),
behaving essentially as a solid material, consistent with its ability to undergo biomolecular
condensation. Fifth, the ability of the orthologous pericentrin to undergo biomolecular
condensation has been suggested to support formation of the pericentriolar material
surrounding centrosomes (Feng et al., 2017; Jiang et al., 2021; Woodruff et al., 2017;
Zwicker et al., 2014). Last, the ability of the Pcp1-dependent diffusion barrier to form over
holes that span from tens to hundreds of nanometers in diameter (Figure 3) suggests a
remarkable plasticity in the nature of the barrier that could be consistent with an
unstructured biomolecular condensate. Future work will be needed to explore the
physiochemical properties of this barrier more fully, and in particular how its scale can be
modulated.

The Pcp1 barrier is sensitive to ESCRT perturbation presumably because larger
holes put greater reliance on this proteinaceous plug. Building on this, we propose that
ESCRTs act as a molecular grommet that restricts the size of the NE hole during
anaphase B to a scale that can be effectively supported by the diffusion barrier.
Superficially, this grommet model has similarities to the "O-ring seal" model that has been
suggested to reside at sites of reforming NE surrounding kinetochore-attached
microtubules in mammalian cells (von Appen et al., 2020). The concept of an O-ring is
primarily based on the formation of a ring-shaped CHMP7-LEM2 co-polymer that is
observed surrounding microtubules *in vitro* (von Appen et al., 2020)—the structure formed
in vivo remains unknown. However, our quantitative analysis reveals that only ~16
molecules of Cmp7 reside at the NE hole in anaphase B (Table 1 and Supplementary

Figure S2), far less than would be necessary to line the 50–150 nm NE hole
(corresponding to the widths measured when Cmp7 is recruited to the SPB extrusion site;
Figure 3) if it forms a co-polymer analogous to that suggested for the mammalian CHMP7-
LEM2 (von Appen et al., 2020). We suggest that the grommet model outlined here is
distinct, favoring instead the possibility that Cmp7 (the sequence of which contains
elements of both ESCRT-II and ESCRT-III family members) is a seed filament for the
assembly of additional downstream ESCRTs, akin to models that suggest a sequential
assembly order of ESCRT-IIIs at endosomes (Babst et al., 2002; Henne et al., 2012;
Pfitzner et al., 2020; Saksena et al., 2009; Teis et al., 2008) as well as other contexts that
begin with ESCRT-I and ESCRT-II proteins (Vietri et al., 2020). Such a model predicts
that Cmp7 is required for all ESCRT recruitment to the NE, which is indeed the case
(Figure 2). As CHMP7 is also required for ESCRT subunit recruitment to the NE in
mammalian cells at mitotic exit (Gu et al., 2017; Olmos et al., 2016), we suggest that the
fundamentals of ESCRT recruitment and function in *S. pombe* and mammalian cells are
highly similar. Subtleties between the two models will need to be revealed in future studies
that examine the copy number of ESCRTs at well-defined NE holes in mammalian cells
in the manner that we have performed here.

Although the observed copy number of Cmp7 molecules indicates that they may
not be able to independently line a 50–150 nm NE hole, they may nonetheless be
constituents of a more complex polymer that includes the Wave 2 ESCRTs, Vps32 and
Ist1, which are found at much higher copy numbers than Cmp7 (Table 1 and
Supplementary Figure S2). Indeed, based on the recruitment timing (Figure 1) and its
relationship to the normal constriction of the NE hole (Figure 3), we infer that a polymer(s)
consisting of Wave 1 and 2 ESCRTs comprises the grommet. This grommet appears to
restrict the NE hole diameter to support the Pcp1-dependent diffusion barrier (Figure 6).
However, as we observe that the NE hole shrinks from 150 nm to 50 nm during the Wave
1 and 2 recruitment period, it is also possible that the grommet is responsible for
physically driving its constriction. We speculate that this function could be attributed to
Ist1, which is thought to contribute to ILV neck constriction prior to membrane scission
during ILV formation (McCullough et al., 2015; Nguyen et al., 2020; Pfitzner et al., 2020),
with the caveat that the dimensions of a constricting ILV is an order of magnitude smaller

than the NE hole. This comparison further highlights a key difference between the order

of assembly/composition of ESCRTs at the NE compared to at endosomes, where Ist1 is

instead recruited much later than Vps32 (Pfitzner et al., 2020). Ultimately a model of

membrane fission at the NE must incorporate this difference, as well as the apparent

absence of the ESCRT-III Did2; we note, however, that the mammalian Did2 ortholog,

CHMP2A, was reported to localize to the reforming NE at mitotic exit, opening up the

alternative possibility that the levels of Did2-GFP present are below our threshold of

detection (Vietri et al., 2015) (Supplementary Figures S1A and S1B).

Our data clearly underscore that ESCRTs are required for the penultimate step of

NE sealing, likely through a membrane fission mechanism (Figure 3). However, our

observation of NE holes as small as 20 nm in the absence of Cmp7 suggests ESCRT-

independent mechanisms capable of minimizing the persistent NE hole in G_2, possibly

involving local lipid synthesis or flow of membrane from the ER (Kinugasa et al., 2019;

Kume et al., 2019; Penfield et al., 2020); normal nucleocytoplasmic compartmentalization

in this context further suggests the presence of a functional barrier to diffusion residing in

the persistent NE hole. Interestingly, our data also support that the NE sealing mechanism

requires maturation of the ESCRT filament including a wholesale replacement from a

Cmp7-Vps32-Ist1 grommet in late anaphase B to a NE sealing modality consisting of

Vps24 and Did4 in the subsequent G_1/S (Figures 2 and 4). Indeed, temporally the release

of Cmp7 occurs in concert with recruitment of the Wave 3 ESCRTs (Figure 1). Cmp7

therefore acts as a seed that initiates a sequence of ESCRT recruitment events, ultimately

leading to a mature state of a Wave 3 ESCRT filament that drives NE sealing. Disrupting

Wave 3 ESCRTs (Vps24 or Did4) drives the persistence of a Wave 1 state in which Cmp7

is found at an abnormally high copy number, apparently stuck in the NE hole that fails to

seal (Figures 2 and 4).

The observations that both disrupting ESCRT recruitment (*cmp7Δ* cells, Figure 3)

or accumulating a stalled ESCRT assembly (*did4Δ* cells, Figure 4) compromise NE

sealing has several implications for interpreting how loss of function of ESCRTs manifest

more generally. As nucleocytoplasmic compartmentalization can appear grossly normal

despite the persistence of these small NE holes, their presence may be undetected by

monitoring reporter proteins (Figure 5A). Thus, these observations highlight that it will be

important to revisit data suggesting ESCRT-independent NE sealing mechanisms that

did not include ultrastructural analysis. Perhaps most importantly, we think it likely that

persistent NE holes could lead to an unstable NE barrier that is more susceptible to further

perturbation. As loss of Cmp7 and persistent Cmp7 converge in precipitating a failure of

NE sealing, this work provides a useful framework to interpret the consequences of

ESCRT loss or gain of function in the context of disease. For example, variants in the

CHMP2B gene (ortholog of Did4) cause frontotemporal dementia (Skibinski et al., 2005)

and the aberrant nuclear entry of CHMP7 is upstream of a pathological cascade that could

contribute to both sporadic and familial forms of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (Coyne et

al., 2021). In both scenarios, it is possible that improper clearance of CHMP7 could

compromise the integrity of the NE over timescales beyond that easily monitored in cell

culture. Further, it will be interesting to examine if some cancer cells are prone to repeated

nuclear ruptures due to NE nanoholes arising from inefficient ESCRT-mediated repair,

rendering them more fragile.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the Center for Cellular and Molecular Imaging, Electron Microscopy Facility at

Yale School of Medicine for assistance with EM, particularly Morven Graham and Xinran

Liu. Additionally, we thank Elisa Rodriguez for technical support, and Li-Lin Du for yeast

strains. This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health (R01 GM105672 to

C.P. Lusk; F32 GM139285 to N.R. Ader) and an Allen Distinguished Investigator Award,

a Paul G. Allen Frontiers Group advised grant of the Paul G. Allen Family Foundation

(M.C. King).

METHODS

***S. pombe* culture and strain generation.** Strains used in this study are listed in Supplemental Table S2. Strains were cultured and mated using standard procedures and media (Moreno et al., 1991). In brief, *S. pombe* were cultured on yeast extract supplemented with 250 mg/liter adenine, histidine, leucine, uracil, and lysine hydrochloride (YE5S) agar plates and liquid cultures. For experiments with MGM4, cells were grown in Edinburgh minimal medium supplemented with 250 mg/liter adenine, histidine, leucine, uracil, and lysine hydrochloride (EMM5S) overnight prior to imaging. C-terminal tagging and gene replacement (knockout) were performed using the pFA6a, MX6-based drug resistance cassettes (Bahler et al., 1998; Hentges et al., 2005) using *S. pombe* genome information available through PomBase (Harris et al., 2022; Wood et al., 2002). Visualization and virtual manipulation of DNA sequences was facilitated by using ApE 2.0.61 (Davis and Jorgensen, 2022). For all GFP fusions, mEGFP was used. For C-terminal tagging of Cmp7 for AID depletion we used pDB4581, a gift from Li-Lin Du (Addgene plasmid # 171124) (Zhang et al., 2022). All genomic integrations were confirmed by screening of individual clones by PCR of whole yeast (colony PCR) (Huxley et al., 1990) with primers designed to amplify only a genomic insertion at the targeted locus following a standard lithium acetate transformation procedure (Murray et al., 2016).

As has been previously shown, dysfunctional tagged ESCRT proteins display a loss of dynamic remodeling and aberrantly accumulate in class E endosomes (Teis et al., 2008). Thus, to generate functional GFP-ESCRTs, we first attempted C-terminal tagging using a 3×HA linker. For Cmp7-3×HA-GFP, Ist1-3×HA-GFP, and Did2-3×HA-GFP this strategy yielded GFP-ESCRTs which were dynamic by live-cell imaging (Figure 1) and capable of generating progeny when mated. As we noted that expression of Vps32-3×HA-GFP and Did4-3×HA-GFP appeared to drive a dominant negative phenotype that inhibited tetrad formation, we used an N-terminal tagging strategy for tagging of Vps32, Did4, and Vps24. N-terminal GFP-tagging of Vps32, Vps24, and Did4 was performed by inserting a pJK148 plasmid cut with NruI at the *leu1-32* locus in a strain with the endogenous gene knocked out (pNA32 for GFP-3×HA-Vsp32, pNA23 for GFP-3×HA-Vps32, and pNA45 for GFP-3×HA-Did4; see Supplementary Table S2 for full plasmid list) (Keeney and Boeke, 1994). To generate pNA22, pNA23, and pNA45 plasmids, we

performed Gibson Assembly of the following sequences: (1) promoter (500 bp upstream
of start 5' UTR) + 5'UTR, (2) GFP-3×HA, (3) open reading frame + 3'UTR + terminator
(500 bp downstream of stop codon) (Gibson et al., 2009). Strains with GFP-3×HA -Vps32,
GFP-3×HA -Vps24, and GFP-3×HA -Did4 had dynamic GFP-ESCRT foci (Figure 1) and
had no apparent defect in mating, producing viable tetrads.

Live-cell fluorescence microscopy. Cells expressing fluorescent protein fusions were
grown to log phase (OD_{600} 0.4–0.8) in YE5S supplemented with an additional 250 mg/L
adenine (to ensure low autofluorescence) and 0.1 mM n-propyl gallate (NPG) (an oxygen
scavenger to reduce photobleaching and mitochondrial autofluorescence), concentrated
by 20 s centrifugation at 2000× g, sandwiched between a #1.5 glass coverslip (VWR
48393) and a glass slide (Thermo Fischer Scientific 420), and sealed with VALAP (1:1:1,
vaseline:lanonin:paraffin). Image acquisition was performed on a DeltaVision microscope
(Applied Precision; GE Healthcare) using Resolve3D in SoftWoRx using the Insight SSI
4-color Live Cell filter set (ex425–495/em500–550 nm for GFP, ex555–590/em600–675
nm for mCherry) on a CoolSNAP HQ^2 CCD (Photometrics) or Evolve-512 EMMCD
(Photometrics) with either an UPlanSapo 100× 1.4 NA oil immersion objective (Olympus)
or a PlanApo 63× 1.42 NA oil immersion objective (Olympus) and an AURA light engine
(Lumencor) at 32% transmission or 100% transmission for up to 1 s. Cells were imaged
over 4.8–5 µm in the z-plane at 0.2 intervals for counting, 0.5 µm for compartmentalization
(MGM4 and MGM2), and 0.2–0.4 µm for all other experiments. Deconvolution was
performed using SoftWoRx. For timelapse experiments with drug delivery, cells were first
loaded into a CellASIC ONIX microfluidic plate (Millipore Y04C) before imaging.
Microfluidics were controlled by the CellASIC ONIX microfluidic platform (Millipore EV262,
MIC230, GM230). For Cmp7-AID depletion, 5IAA (TCI Chemicals, A3390) was dissolved
in DMSO to make a 1 mM stock solution and used at a final concentration of 100 nM in
culture. For treatment with 1,6-HD, 1,6-hexanediol (Alfa Aesar, A12439) was dissolved in
YE5S plus 250 mg/L adenine to make a 25% stock solution, which was diluted to either
2.5% or 5% as needed. Fluorescence micrographs were viewed and analyzed using Fiji
2.3.0/1.53q unless otherwise noted (Schindelin et al., 2012).

Image Display. All representative micrographs are displayed to maximize the displayed
dynamic range without pixel saturation in the linear range. When mCherry-Atb2 and Pcp1-
mCherry or mCherry-Atb2 and Sad1-mCherry are displayed together, the gamma has
been adjusted to 0.05 to allow both fluorophores to be displayed without pixel saturation.
All magnified ROIs of fluorescence micrographs were independently adjusted.

Cell cycle classification for GFP-ESCRT localization to SPB extrusion site. To
classify cells into phases of the cell cycle from still images, we used the following criteria:
Cells with mitotic spindles longer than 3 μm were considered to be in anaphase B and
were converted to time in anaphase B using the following formula:

$$\text{Time in anaphase B} = \frac{\text{spindle length (μm)}}{0.70 \text{ μm min}^{-1}} - 4.29 \text{ min}$$

We used the rate of spindle elongation of 0.7 μm/min in anaphase B as determined in
(Krüger et al., 2019) and the correction factor of 4.29 min represents a spindle length of
3 μm at the anaphase A/B transition. Cells with mitotic spindles longer than 3 μm, but
smaller than 6.35 μm were classified as early anaphase B (approximately 0–5 min into
anaphase B). Cells with spindles between 6.35 μm and 8.39 μm were classified as mid
anaphase B (approximately 5–7.5 min into anaphase B) and any cell with a spindle longer
than 8.39 μm was classified as late anaphase B (>7.5 min into anaphase B). Late G_1/S
cells were distinguished from early G_1/S cells by the lack of microtubules spanning the
septum region. For each phase of the cell cycle the percentage of SPB foci (Sad1-
mCherry or Pcp1-mCherry) that colocalized with the GFP-ESCRT was quantified for still
images as shown in Figures 1C, 2C–E, 2G, and 2I as well as Supplementary Figures S1B
and S3C. Quantification was performed across three biological replicates with at least 50
nuclei per replicate.

Assessing the timing of GFP-ESCRT at SPB in anaphase B. Strains expressing a
GFP-ESCRT protein, a SPB marker (Sad1-mCherry or Pcp1-mCherry), and mCherry-
Atb2 were imaged every 3 min for approximately 20 min. For cells entering anaphase B,
we recorded the length of the mitotic spindle in the first frame of the time-series where a
focus of the GFP-ESCRT could be discerned. These spindle lengths were converted to

time in anaphase B using the above formula (Figure 1D). We also used this method to

quantify the timing of SPB extrusion and NE constriction (Figure 1D, vertical gray bars).

Quantification was performed across three biological replicates with at least 50 nuclei per

replicate.

Quantification of average copy number of ESCRT-III subunits. Cells were prepared

for imaging by overnight culture in YE5S plus 250 mg/L adenine + 0.1 mM NPG to log

phase. Cells (MKSP3186) expressing Fta3-GFP were mounted on the same pad as a

GFP-ESCRT-expressing strain of interest. Cells were imaged as above. Images were

then analyzed using a custom MATLAB script, 'Find_n_Fit_Spots'

(https://github.com/LusKingLab/Find_n_Fit_Spots_3D). First, spots were detected using

'findIrregularSpots3', a custom-written MATLAB code that finds fluorescent objects

regardless of their shape. Briefly, the code identifies all the brightest pixels within sub-

volumes of a given size. Then, it calculates the ratio of the mean intensities of "central"

pixels over "edge" pixels. If the ratio is above a given threshold, the code keeps the

identified spot and calculates its 3D coordinates as an intensity-weighted centroid of

pixels. Second, for each "good" spot, x-y-coordinates were used to crop a circular image

with R = 7 pixels around the spot from the best 2D image (determined by z-coordinate)

which was fitted by the linear combination of a 2D Gaussian and error function, *erf*, to

account for nuclear edge background signal. The volume of the best Gaussian fit was

then used as a spot intensity. Identified spots were then manually curated to classify the

spot (Fta3-GFP or GFP-ESCRT) based on secondary fluorescent markers. Position in

the cell cycle was determined using brightfield images and, for GFP-ESCRT strains,

mCherry-Atb2. For each GFP-ESCRT focus, the copy number was determined by

dividing the intensity of the focus by the average intensity of mitotic Fta3-GFP, previously

calculated to be approximately 111 molecules (Lawrimore et al., 2011). For the

comparison of Fta3-GFP intensities across cell cycles (Supplemental Figures S2E–S2G),

the measured intensity is plotted by position in the cell cycle. To allow comparisons across

three biological replicates, individual datapoints were normalized to the average value for

each cell cycle position within the replicate. Fta3-GFP or GFP-ESCRT foci identified as

outliers using ROUT test Q = 1%, 1 iteration were excluded.

Quantification of N/C ratio of fluorescent reporters. To calculate the ratio of MGM4 and MGM2 fluorescent reporters in the in nucleoplasm vs. cytoplasm, we measured the mean intensity of regions within the nucleoplasm, cytoplasm (avoiding vacuoles), and outside of the cells (background). The background mean intensity was subtracted from both the nucleoplasm and cytoplasmic mean intensities before calculating the N/C ratio. The individual N/C ratios were then binned with 0.05 bin size using Microsoft Excel. We displayed these data as a histogram, where the percentage of nuclei in each bin is shown. For percentage change of N/C ratio in time-series imaging, the N/C ratio of the first frame of the movie was subtracted from the N/C ratio of the last frame and then divided by the N/C ratio of the first frame. Quantification was performed across three biological replicates with at least 50 cells per replicate.

Quantification of MGM4 nuclear fluorescence over time. To analyze the fluorescence intensity of nuclear MGM4 reporter, we first corrected for photobleaching using the "Bleach Correction" function in Fiji with an exponential fit. For each cell, we measured the mean fluorescence intensity of a region within the nucleoplasm for each frame of a time series. To compare cells within and across populations, background subtracted intensity measurements were normalized to the intensity of the nuclear region in the first frame. Quantification was performed across three biological replicates with at least 5 nuclei per replicate.

Quantification of Cmp7-GFP fluorescence intensity in *did4Δ* cells. To compare the intensity of Cmp7-GFP at the SPB site across the cell cycle, we measured the mean intensity the GFP channel in a background-subtracted circular area. Position in the cell cycle was determined by mCherry-Atb2 morphology. To allow comparisons across three biological replicates, individual datapoints were normalized to the average value for mitotic cells with visible Cmp7-GFP foci. At least 30 cells were analyzed in each of three biological replicates.

Correlative light and electron microscopy. CLEM of resin-embedded cells was
performed as described by Kukulski et al. (2012). In brief, cells were grown overnight in
YE5S plus 250 mg/L adenine to log phase before being concentrated onto a 0.45 μm
nitrocellulose membrane (Millipore HAWP02500) using a microanalysis filter setup
(Millipore XX1012500). Concentrated cells were transferred using either a blunted
toothpick or micropipette to the 200-μm recess of an aluminum platelet (Engineering
Office M. Wohlwend 241) (McDonald, 1999). Platelets were then frozen using a high-
pressure freezer (Leica Microsystems HPM100). Samples were freeze substituted in 0.1–
5% uranyl acetate in acetone and embedded in Lowicryl HM20 (Polysciences) with
automated temperature control (Leica Microsystems EM-AFS2), manual agitation and
solution exchange. Resin-embedded cells were sectioned to a 250 nm nominal thickness
using an ultramicrotome (Leica Artos 3D) equipped with a diamond knife (Diatome) before
being collected on 200 mesh copper grids with carbon support (Ted Pella 01840).

Fluorescence micrographs of grids were acquired using a DeltaVision microscope
with the optical configuration as described above for live-cell imaging. For each grid
square of interest, 10 z-sections were acquired every 250 nm with 3 s exposure with GFP
and mCherry imaging setup at 32% transmission. 15 nm protein A-coated gold beads
(Cell Microscopy Core, University Medical Center Utrecht) were adhered to grids prior to
EM to aid in tilt-series alignment prior to tomogram reconstruction.

Single or dual-axis tilt series were collected on an electron microscope (FEI TF20)
operated at 200 kV using a high-tilt tomography holder (Fischione Instruments 2020) from
approximately -60 to +60 degrees (one-degree increments) at a binned pixel size of 1.242
nm on a 4k × 4k Eagle CCD (FEI) using a 150 μm C2 aperture and a 100 μm objective
aperture in an automated fashion using SerialEM (Mastronarde, 2005). Reconstruction
and segmentation were performed using IMOD (Kremer et al., 1996), with the former in
an automated fashion (Mastronarde and Held, 2017). For better visibility in shown virtual
slices, a nonlinear anisotropic diffusion and Gaussian filter were applied in IMOD.
Fluorescence micrographs have been rotated to match the orientation of virtual slices and
adjusted for contrast individually.

Measurement of NE hole width. To measure the size of the NE hole at the SPB extrusion site, we recorded the maximum 2D distance between the two pore membrane regions of the NE abutting the SPB through the tomogram in IMOD. As some tomograms captured only part of the NE in the visualized slice, some of these values may be underestimates of the actual hole size (Figure 3I, open circles).

Preparation of whole cell protein extracts and immunoblotting. Overnight cultures of yeast were grown in EMM5S to log phase. Cultures were centrifuged at 2000× g for 5 min and cell pellets were washed with 1 mM EDTA. Cells were then pelleted and lysed in 2M NaOH incubated for 10 min on ice. An equal volume of 50% trichloroacetic acid (TCA) was mixed in before incubating for 10 min on ice and collecting the protein precipitate by centrifugation. The pellet was then washed with –20°C acetone and air-dried for 15 min. The pellet was then dissolved in 5% SDS followed by an equal volume of SDS-PAGE sample buffer containing urea (24 mM Tris-Cl pH 6.8, 9 M urea, 1 mM EDTA, 1% SDS, 10% glycerol). Samples were then shaken at 37°C for 15 min before being centrifuged at 14,000× g for 15 min. Approximately equal loads of extracted protein was then resolved on tris-glycine 10% SDS-PAGE gels and transferred using wet transfer to 0.2 µm nitrocellulose membrane (Bio-Rad 1620112). Transferred proteins were then stained with Ponceau S Solution (Sigma-Aldrich P3504) before being scanned on a flatbed scanner (Epson V800 Photo). Blots were blocked overnight in blocking buffer (5% (w/v) dry milk/TBST) and incubated with primary mouse α-mAID antibody (MBL M214-3) (diluted 1:1000 in blocking buffer). The blots were then incubated for 1 h at room temperature with secondary HRP-conjugated goat anti-mouse antibodies (Invitrogen 3430). Antibody-labeled proteins were visualized using SuperSignal West Femto Maximum Sensitivity ECL Substrate (Thermo Fischer Scientific 34094) on a VersaDoc Imaging System (Bio-Rad 4000 MP) with a 1.4 mm aperture and a three-minute exposure time. Digital images of blots were processed using the "Despeckle" function in Fiji and then adjusted to maximize intensity range without over- or under-saturation.

Plate-based growth assays. To evaluate relative colony growth rates, cells were grown overnight in YE5S media. Cells were then diluted to 1 OD_{600} equivalent in YE5S before

801   sequentially plating 5 serial 1:10 dilutions on YE5S plates. The plates were incubated at

802   the indicated temperatures in figures/figure legends and scanned daily on a flatbed

803   scanner (Epson V800 Photo).

805   **Statistical methods**. All graphs were generated and analyzed for statistical significance

806   using Prism 9 (GraphPad). Tests used for each figure panel are denoted in figure legends.

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURES

Supplementary Figure S1. Did2 is not detected at the SPB extrusion site, while Ist1 is recruited after Cmp7

A: Deconvolved fluorescence micrographs of Did2-GFP, mCherry-Atb2, and Pcp1-mCherry in a representative cell in anaphase B. Image of the Cmp7-GFP is on left, sum projection (over 4.8 μm) of mCherry-Atb2 in middle and magnifications of square ROI shown vertically arranged at right with (top to bottom) green, magenta and merged channels. Fluorescence intensity of magnified ROIs were independently adjusted in linear range. Scale bar, 1 μm. Magnified ROIs, 1.6 μm wide. To maximize image clarity, fluorescence intensity of magnified ROIs were independently adjusted in the linear range with the exception of the magenta channel where the gamma was adjusted to 0.05 to allow visualization of mCherry-Atb2 and Pcp1-mCherry without saturation.

B: Plot of the percentage of nuclei with Did2-GFP (MKSP3342) colocalized with SPB marker at indicated phases of the cell cycle. Points and error bars represent mean and range, respectively, across at least three biological replicates with at least 50 nuclei/GFP-ESCRT/replicate, N = 372 nuclei.

C: Deconvolved, time-lapse fluorescence microscopy of Cmp7-GFP (green) and Ist1-mCherry (magenta) in a late anaphase B cell (MKSP3521). Cell representative of population from two biological replicates. White boxes in overview micrographs indicate ROI magnified below images as single channel and composite images.

Supplementary Figure S2. Average copy number of ESCRTs at the SPB extrusion site determined by ratiometric quantification.

Figure legend continued on next page.

Supplementary Figure S2. Average copy number of ESCRTs at the SPB extrusion site determined by ratiometric quantification.

A: Deconvolved fluorescence micrographs of representative mixture of a GFP-ESCRT strain (Ist1-GFP, MKSP3365) and one expressing Fta3-GFP (MKSP3186). In top left, strain expressing Ist1-GFP (green), mCherry-Atb2 (magenta), and Sad1-mCherry (magenta). In bottom right, Fta3-GFP strain (green). Scale bar, 1 μm. Blue and orange boxes in overview micrographs indicate ROI magnified to the right of image as single channel images for Fta3-GFP and Ist1-GFP, respectively.

B: Deconvolved fluorescence micrographs of representative Fta3-GFP expressing cells (MKSP3186) at indicated cell cycle stage (top). Black boxes in overview micrographs indicate ROI magnified below images (middle). Schematic representation of Fta3-GFP appearance by cell cycle show (bottom). Number of molecules based on Lawrimore et al. (2011).

C: Scatter plot of Fta3-GFP focus fluorescence intensity at indicated cell cycle stages in MKSP3186. Red lines represent the median. Expected and observed ratios of Fta3 molecules shown below. N = 366 foci across three biological replicates with at least 50 foci/replicate.

D: Number of molecules of indicated GFP-ESCRT at the SPB extrusion site during all phases of the cell cycle. Outlined point and black lines represent mean and standard deviation, respectively. *n.r.*, not recruited. *n.m.*, not measured. Data was collected over at least three biological replicates. Kruskal-Wallis test performed across all cell cycle phases for each ESCRT individually with Dunn's multiple comparisons across all other cell cycle phases (*, $p < 0.05$; **, $p<0.01$; all others, $p \geq 0.05$). Fta3-GFP (MKSP3186); Cmp7-GFP (MKSP3363), N = 196 foci; GFP-Vps32 (MKSP3353), N = 68 foci; Ist1-GFP (MKSP3365), N = 147 foci; GFP-Vps24 (MKSP3352), N = 24 foci; GFP-Did4 (MKSP3334), N = 26 foci.

E–G: Copy number of indicated GFP-ESCRT shown as a function of time in anaphase B. Gray lines represent a simple linear regression with the R^2 shown in gray. Fta3-GFP (MKSP3186); Cmp7-GFP (MKSP3363), N = 73 foci; GFP-Vps32 (MKSP3353), N = 32 foci; Ist1-GFP (MKSP3365), N = 64 foci.

Supplementary Figure S3. MGM4 and MGM2 reporters; auxin induced degron (AID) system allows for fast degradation of Cmp7.

A: Deconvolved live-cell fluorescence microscopy of MGM4 (top left, MKSP3345) and MGM2 (bottom left, MKSP3501). Histogram of nucleoplasmic to cytoplasmic GFP fluorescence (N/C ratio) for individual cells shown to the right of each reporter. MGM4 data replotted from Figure 5A. Size between bins, 0.05. Dotted line at 0.75 bin. Quantification was performed for three biological replicates. MGM2, N = 177 nuclei, with at least 46 nuclei/biological replicate.

B: Representative western blot (WB) of Cmp7-AID levels (detected with an α-AID antibody) in total protein extracts from cells grown in the presence of 5IAA for the indicated times. Ponceau stain is shown at bottom to assess relative total protein loads. Relative amount of Cmp7-AID protein, normalized to T_0, is shown below WB as mean and standard deviation for three biological replicates.

C: Plots of the percentage of nuclei with Ist1-GFP colocalized with SPB marker at indicated phases of the cell cycle in cells (MKSP3625) expressing Cmp7-AID and OsTIR1$_{F74A}$. Cells were treated with DMSO (gray) or 5IAA (green) for 1 h. Points and error bars represent mean and range, respectively, across at least three biological replicates with at least 50 nuclei/GFP-ESCRT/replicate. DMSO, N = 273 nuclei; 5IAA, N = 296 nuclei.

Supplementary Figure S4. An ESCRT grommet and Pcp1-dependent diffusion barrier maintain nuclear integrity

A model of NE sealing and maintenance of mitotic nuclear integrity by the ESCRT machinery and Pcp1. (1) In early mitosis, the SPB is inserted into the NE. (2) Once the SPB is extruded to the cytosol, Cmp7 is recruited by Heh1/Lem2 (not shown). Concurrently, Pcp1 contributes to the formation of a proteinaceous diffusion barrier sensitive to 2.5% 1,6-HD to prevent free diffusion through the unsealed NE. (3) A second wave of ESCRT recruitment comprising Vps32 and Ist1 occurs in late anaphase B, which generates a "grommet" that restricts the size of the NE hole and supports the Pcp1-diffusion barrier. (4) Finally, in the G_1/S of the following cell cycle, the third wave of ESCRTs, Did4 and Vps24, is recruited, displacing Cmp7 and sealing the NE.

817   **MOVIES**

Movie 1. CLEM and ET of a WT cell in early anaphase B. Electron tomogram of an early anaphase B cell (MKSP3180) with segmentation. NE, blue; SPB, dark orange; microtubules, yellow. Scale bar, 100 nm.

Movie 2. CLEM and ET of a WT cell in late anaphase B. Electron tomogram of a late anaphase B cell (MKSP3180) with segmentation. NE, blue; SPB, dark orange; microtubules, yellow. Annotations at end of movie show NPC and SPB extrusion hole. Scale bar, 100 nm.

Movie 3. CLEM and ET of a *cmp7Δ* cell in early anaphase B. Electron tomogram of an early anaphase B cell (MKSP3243) with segmentation. NE, blue; SPB, dark orange; microtubules, yellow. Scale bar, 100 nm.

Movie 4. CLEM and ET of a *cmp7Δ* cell in late anaphase B. Electron tomogram of a late anaphase B cell (MKSP3243) with segmentation. NE, blue; SPB, dark orange; microtubules, yellow. Scale bar, 100 nm.

818
819   **SUPPLEMENTARY TABLES**

Supplementary Table 1. GFP-tagging of key ESCRT machinery. ESCRT protein in *S. pombe* is shown on left. Orthologs in *S. cerevisiae* and *H. sapiens* are shown in center. Tagging approach to ensure function is shown on right.

Supplementary Table 2. List of *S. pombe* strains used in this study.

Supplementary Table 3. List of plasmids used in this study.

REFERENCES

Adell, M.A.Y., Migliano, S.M., Upadhyayula, S., Bykov, Y.S., Sprenger, S., Pakdel, M., Vogel, G.F., Jih, G., Skillern, W., Behrouzi, R., et al. (2017). Recruitment dynamics of ESCRT-III and Vps4 to endosomes and implications for reverse membrane budding. Elife *6*, e31652. 10.7554/eLife.31652.

Babst, M., Katzmann, D.J., Estepa-Sabal, E.J., Meerloo, T., and Emr, S.D. (2002). ESCRT-III: an endosome-associated heterooligomeric protein complex required for MVB sorting. Dev Cell *3*, 271-282. 10.1016/s1534-5807(02)00220-4.

Bahler, J., Wu, J.Q., Longtine, M.S., Shah, N.G., McKenzie, A., 3rd, Steever, A.B., Wach, A., Philippsen, P., and Pringle, J.R. (1998). Heterologous modules for efficient and versatile PCR-based gene targeting in *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*. Yeast *14*, 943-951. 10.1002/(SICI)1097-0061(199807)14:10<943::AID-YEA292>3.0.CO;2-Y.

Byers, B., and Goetsch, L. (1975). Behavior of spindles and spindle plaques in the cell cycle and conjugation of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. J Bacteriol *124*, 511-523. 10.1128/jb.124.1.511-523.1975.

Celetti, G., Paci, G., Caria, J., VanDelinder, V., Bachand, G., and Lemke, E.A. (2020). The liquid state of FG-nucleoporins mimics permeability barrier properties of nuclear pore complexes. J Cell Biol *219*. 10.1083/jcb.201907157.

Chiu, Y.P., Sun, Y.C., Qiu, D.C., Lin, Y.H., Chen, Y.Q., Kuo, J.C., and Huang, J.R. (2020). Liquid-liquid phase separation and extracellular multivalent interactions in the tale of galectin-3. Nat Commun *11*, 1229. 10.1038/s41467-020-15007-3.

Coyne, A.N., Baskerville, V., Zaepfel, B.L., Dickson, D.W., Rigo, F., Bennett, F., Lusk, C.P., and Rothstein, J.D. (2021). Nuclear accumulation of CHMP7 initiates nuclear pore complex injury and subsequent TDP-43 dysfunction in sporadic and familial ALS. Sci Transl Med *13*. 10.1126/scitranslmed.abe1923.

Davis, M.W., and Jorgensen, E.M. (2022). ApE, A Plasmid Editor: a freely available DNA manipulation and visualization program. Front Bioinform *2*, 818619. 10.3389/fbinf.2022.818619.

Denais, C.M., Gilbert, R.M., Isermann, P., McGregor, A.L., te Lindert, M., Weigelin, B., Davidson, P.M., Friedl, P., Wolf, K., and Lammerding, J. (2016). Nuclear envelope rupture and repair during cancer cell migration. Science *352*, 353-358. 10.1126/science.aad7297.

Dey, G., Culley, S., Curran, S., Schmidt, U., Henriques, R., Kukulski, W., and Baum, B. (2020). Closed mitosis requires local disassembly of the nuclear envelope. Nature *585*, 119-123. 10.1038/s41586-020-2648-3.

Ding, R., West, R.R., Morphew, D.M., Oakley, B.R., and McIntosh, J.R. (1997). The spindle pole body of
Schizosaccharomyces pombe enters and leaves the nuclear envelope as the cell cycle proceeds. Mol Biol
Cell *8*, 1461-1479. 10.1091/mbc.8.8.1461.

Dultz, E., Wojtynek, M., Medalia, O., and Onischenko, E. (2022). The nuclear pore complex: birth, life, and
death of a cellular behemoth. Cells *11*. 10.3390/cells11091456.

Feng, Z., Caballe, A., Wainman, A., Johnson, S., Haensele, A.F.M., Cottee, M.A., Conduit, P.T., Lea, S.M.,
and Raff, J.W. (2017). Structural basis for mitotic centrosome assembly in flies. Cell *169*, 1078-1089 e1013.
10.1016/j.cell.2017.05.030.

Fong, C.S., Sato, M., and Toda, T. (2010). Fission yeast Pcp1 links polo kinase-mediated mitotic entry to
gamma-tubulin-dependent spindle formation. EMBO J *29*, 120-130. 10.1038/emboj.2009.331.

Frey, S., Richter, R.P., and Görlich, D. (2006). FG-rich repeats of nuclear pore proteins form a three-
dimensional meshwork with hydrogel-like properties. Science *314*, 815-817. 10.1126/science.1132516.

Gibson, D.G., Young, L., Chuang, R.Y., Venter, J.C., Hutchison, C.A., 3rd, and Smith, H.O. (2009).
Enzymatic assembly of DNA molecules up to several hundred kilobases. Nat Methods *6*, 343-345.
10.1038/nmeth.1318.

Gu, M., LaJoie, D., Chen, O.S., von Appen, A., Ladinsky, M.S., Redd, M.J., Nikolova, L., Bjorkman, P.J.,
Sundquist, W.I., Ullman, K.S., and Frost, A. (2017). LEM2 recruits CHMP7 for ESCRT-mediated nuclear
envelope closure in fission yeast and human cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *114*, E2166-E2175.
10.1073/pnas.1613916114.

Halfmann, C.T., Sears, R.M., Katiyar, A., Busselman, B.W., Aman, L.K., Zhang, Q., O'Bryan, C.S., Angelini,
T.E., Lele, T.P., and Roux, K.J. (2019). Repair of nuclear ruptures requires barrier-to-autointegration factor.
J Cell Biol *218*, 2136-2149. 10.1083/jcb.201901116.

Harris, M.A., Rutherford, K.M., Hayles, J., Lock, A., Bahler, J., Oliver, S.G., Mata, J., and Wood, V. (2022).
Fission stories: using PomBase to understand *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* biology. Genetics *220*.
10.1093/genetics/iyab222.

Henne, W.M., Buchkovich, N.J., Zhao, Y., and Emr, S.D. (2012). The endosomal sorting complex ESCRT-
II mediates the assembly and architecture of ESCRT-III helices. Cell *151*, 356-371.
10.1016/j.cell.2012.08.039.

Hentges, P., Van Driessche, B., Tafforeau, L., Vandenhaute, J., and Carr, A.M. (2005). Three novel
antibiotic marker cassettes for gene disruption and marker switching in *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*.
Yeast *22*, 1013-1019. 10.1002/yea.1291.

Huxley, C., Green, E.D., and Dunham, I. (1990). Rapid assessment of *S. cerevisiae* mating type by PCR.
Trends Genet *6*, 236. 10.1016/0168-9525(90)90190-h.

Jia, J., Claude-Taupin, A., Gu, Y., Choi, S.W., Peters, R., Bissa, B., Mudd, M.H., Allers, L., Pallikkuth, S.,
Lidke, K.A., et al. (2020). Galectin-3 coordinates a cellular system for lysosomal repair and removal. Dev
Cell *52*, 69-87 e68. 10.1016/j.devcel.2019.10.025.

Jiang, X., Ho, D.B.T., Mahe, K., Mia, J., Sepulveda, G., Antkowiak, M., Jiang, L., Yamada, S., and Jao, L.E.
(2021). Condensation of pericentrin proteins in human cells illuminates phase separation in centrosome
assembly. J Cell Sci *134*. 10.1242/jcs.258897.

Kanke, M., Nishimura, K., Kanemaki, M., Kakimoto, T., Takahashi, T.S., Nakagawa, T., and Masukata, H.
(2011). Auxin-inducible protein depletion system in fission yeast. BMC Cell Biol *12*, 8. 10.1186/1471-2121-
12-8.

Keeney, J.B., and Boeke, J.D. (1994). Efficient targeted integration at leu1-32 and ura4-294 in
Schizosaccharomyces pombe. Genetics *136*, 849-856.

Kinugasa, Y., Hirano, Y., Sawai, M., Ohno, Y., Shindo, T., Asakawa, H., Chikashige, Y., Shibata, S., Kihara,
A., Haraguchi, T., and Hiraoka, Y. (2019). The very-long-chain fatty acid elongase Elo2 rescues lethal
defects associated with loss of the nuclear barrier function in fission yeast cells. J Cell Sci *132*, jcs229021.
10.1242/jcs.229021.

Kono, Y., Adam, S.A., Sato, Y., Reddy, K.L., Zheng, Y., Medalia, O., Goldman, R.D., Kimura, H., and Shimi,
T. (2022). Nucleoplasmic lamin C rapidly accumulates at sites of nuclear envelope rupture with BAF and
cGAS. J Cell Biol *221*, e202201024. 10.1083/jcb.202201024.

Kremer, J.R., Mastronarde, D.N., and McIntosh, J.R. (1996). Computer visualization of three-dimensional
image data using IMOD. J Struct Biol *116*, 71-76. 10.1006/jsbi.1996.0013.

Krüger, L.K., Sanchez, J.L., Paoletti, A., and Tran, P.T. (2019). Kinesin-6 regulates cell-size-dependent
spindle elongation velocity to keep mitosis duration constant in fission yeast. Elife *8*, e42182.
10.7554/eLife.42182.

Kukulski, W., Schorb, M., Welsch, S., Picco, A., Kaksonen, M., and Briggs, J.A. (2012). Precise, correlated
fluorescence microscopy and electron tomography of lowicryl sections using fluorescent fiducial markers.
Methods Cell Biol *111*, 235-257. 10.1016/B978-0-12-416026-2.00013-3.

Kume, K., Cantwell, H., Burrell, A., and Nurse, P. (2019). Nuclear membrane protein Lem2 regulates
nuclear size through membrane flow. Nat Commun *10*, 1871. 10.1038/s41467-019-09623-x.

Lawrimore, J., Bloom, K.S., and Salmon, E.D. (2011). Point centromeres contain more than a single
centromere-specific Cse4 (CENP-A) nucleosome. J Cell Biol *195*, 573-582. 10.1083/jcb.201106036.

Lee, I.J., Stokasimov, E., Dempsey, N., Varberg, J.M., Jacob, E., Jaspersen, S.L., and Pellman, D. (2020).
Factors promoting nuclear envelope assembly independent of the canonical ESCRT pathway. J Cell Biol
219. 10.1083/jcb.201908232.

Makarova, M., and Oliferenko, S. (2016). Mixing and matching nuclear envelope remodeling and spindle
assembly strategies in the evolution of mitosis. Curr Opin Cell Biol *41*, 43-50. 10.1016/j.ceb.2016.03.016.

Mastronarde, D.N. (2005). Automated electron microscope tomography using robust prediction of specimen
movements. J Struct Biol *152*, 36-51. 10.1016/j.jsb.2005.07.007.

Mastronarde, D.N., and Held, S.R. (2017). Automated tilt series alignment and tomographic reconstruction
in IMOD. J Struct Biol *197*, 102-113. 10.1016/j.jsb.2016.07.011.

McCullough, J., Clippinger, A.K., Talledge, N., Skowyra, M.L., Saunders, M.G., Naismith, T.V., Colf, L.A.,
Afonine, P., Arthur, C., Sundquist, W.I., et al. (2015). Structure and membrane remodeling activity of
ESCRT-III helical polymers. Science *350*, 1548-1551. 10.1126/science.aad8305.

McCullough, J., Frost, A., and Sundquist, W.I. (2018). Structures, functions, and dynamics of ESCRT-
III/Vps4 membrane remodeling and fission complexes. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol *34*, 85-109.
10.1146/annurev-cellbio-100616-060600.

McDonald, K. (1999). High-pressure freezing for preservation of high resolution fine structure and
antigenicity for immunolabeling. Methods Mol Biol *117*, 77-97. 10.1385/1-59259-201-5:77.

Mierzwa, B.E., Chiaruttini, N., Redondo-Morata, L., von Filseck, J.M., Konig, J., Larios, J., Poser, I., Muller-
Reichert, T., Scheuring, S., Roux, A., and Gerlich, D.W. (2017). Dynamic subunit turnover in ESCRT-III
assemblies is regulated by Vps4 to mediate membrane remodelling during cytokinesis. Nat Cell Biol *19*,
787-798. 10.1038/ncb3559.

Moreno, S., Klar, A., and Nurse, P. (1991). Molecular genetic analysis of fission yeast
Schizosaccharomyces pombe. Methods Enzymol *194*, 795-823. 10.1016/0076-6879(91)94059-l.

Murray, J.M., Watson, A.T., and Carr, A.M. (2016). Transformation of *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*: lithium
acetate/dimethyl sulfoxide procedure. Cold Spring Harb Protoc *2016*, pdb prot090969.
10.1101/pdb.prot090969.

Nguyen, H.C., Talledge, N., McCullough, J., Sharma, A., Moss, F.R., 3rd, Iwasa, J.H., Vershinin, M.D.,
Sundquist, W.I., and Frost, A. (2020). Membrane constriction and thinning by sequential ESCRT-III
polymerization. Nat Struct Mol Biol *27*, 392-399. 10.1038/s41594-020-0404-x.

O'Toole, E.T., Winey, M., and McIntosh, J.R. (1999). High-voltage electron tomography of spindle pole
bodies and early mitotic spindles in the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Mol Biol Cell *10*, 2017-2031.
10.1091/mbc.10.6.2017.

Olmos, Y., Hodgson, L., Mantell, J., Verkade, P., and Carlton, J.G. (2015). ESCRT-III controls nuclear
envelope reformation. Nature *522*, 236-239. 10.1038/nature14503.

Olmos, Y., Perdrix-Rosell, A., and Carlton, J.G. (2016). Membrane binding by CHMP7 coordinates ESCRT-
III-dependent nuclear envelope reformation. Curr Biol *26*, 2635-2641. 10.1016/j.cub.2016.07.039.

Penfield, L., Shankar, R., Szentgyorgyi, E., Laffitte, A., Mauro, M.S., Audhya, A., Muller-Reichert, T., and
Bahmanyar, S. (2020). Regulated lipid synthesis and LEM2/CHMP7 jointly control nuclear envelope
closure. J Cell Biol *219*. 10.1083/jcb.201908179.

Petri, M., Frey, S., Menzel, A., Görlich, D., and Techert, S. (2012). Structural characterization of nanoscale
meshworks within a nucleoporin FG hydrogel. Biomacromolecules *13*, 1882-1889. 10.1021/bm300412q.

Pfitzner, A.K., Mercier, V., Jiang, X., Moser von Filseck, J., Baum, B., Saric, A., and Roux, A. (2020). An
ESCRT-III polymerization sequence drives membrane deformation and fission. Cell *182*, 1140-1155 e1118.
10.1016/j.cell.2020.07.021.

Pfitzner, A.K., Moser von Filseck, J., and Roux, A. (2021). Principles of membrane remodeling by dynamic
ESCRT-III polymers. Trends Cell Biol *31*, 856-868. 10.1016/j.tcb.2021.04.005.

Pieper, G.H., Sprenger, S., Teis, D., and Oliferenko, S. (2020). ESCRT-III/Vps4 controls heterochromatin-
nuclear envelope attachments. Dev Cell *53*, 27-41 e26. 10.1016/j.devcel.2020.01.028.

Popken, P., Ghavami, A., Onck, P.R., Poolman, B., and Veenhoff, L.M. (2015). Size-dependent leak of
soluble and membrane proteins through the yeast nuclear pore complex. Mol Biol Cell *26*, 1386-1394.
10.1091/mbc.E14-07-1175.

Raab, M., Gentili, M., de Belly, H., Thiam, H.R., Vargas, P., Jimenez, A.J., Lautenschlaeger, F., Voituriez,

R., Lennon-Dumenil, A.M., Manel, N., and Piel, M. (2016). ESCRT III repairs nuclear envelope ruptures

during cell migration to limit DNA damage and cell death. Science *352*, 359-362. 10.1126/science.aad7611.

Radulovic, M., Schink, K.O., Wenzel, E.M., Nahse, V., Bongiovanni, A., Lafont, F., and Stenmark, H. (2018).

ESCRT-mediated lysosome repair precedes lysophagy and promotes cell survival. EMBO J *37*.

10.15252/embj.201899753.

Remec Pavlin, M., and Hurley, J.H. (2020). The ESCRTs - converging on mechanism. J Cell Sci *133*,

jcs240333. 10.1242/jcs.240333.

Ribbeck, K., and Görlich, D. (2002). The permeability barrier of nuclear pore complexes appears to operate

via hydrophobic exclusion. EMBO J *21*, 2664-2671. 10.1093/emboj/21.11.2664.

Saksena, S., Wahlman, J., Teis, D., Johnson, A.E., and Emr, S.D. (2009). Functional reconstitution of

ESCRT-III assembly and disassembly. Cell *136*, 97-109. 10.1016/j.cell.2008.11.013.

Samwer, M., Schneider, M.W.G., Hoefler, R., Schmalhorst, P.S., Jude, J.G., Zuber, J., and Gerlich, D.W.

(2017). DNA cross-bridging shapes a single nucleus from a set of mitotic chromosomes. Cell *170*, 956-972

e923. 10.1016/j.cell.2017.07.038.

Schindelin, J., Arganda-Carreras, I., Frise, E., Kaynig, V., Longair, M., Pietzsch, T., Preibisch, S., Rueden,

C., Saalfeld, S., Schmid, B., et al. (2012). Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. Nat

Methods *9*, 676-682. 10.1038/nmeth.2019.

Shulga, N., and Goldfarb, D.S. (2003). Binding dynamics of structural nucleoporins govern nuclear pore

complex permeability and may mediate channel gating. Mol Cell Biol *23*, 534-542. 10.1128/MCB.23.2.534-

542.2003.

Skibinski, G., Parkinson, N.J., Brown, J.M., Chakrabarti, L., Lloyd, S.L., Hummerich, H., Nielsen, J.E.,

Hodges, J.R., Spillantini, M.G., Thusgaard, T., et al. (2005). Mutations in the endosomal ESCRTIII-complex

subunit CHMP2B in frontotemporal dementia. Nat Genet *37*, 806-808. 10.1038/ng1609.

Skowyra, M.L., Schlesinger, P.H., Naismith, T.V., and Hanson, P.I. (2018). Triggered recruitment of ESCRT

machinery promotes endolysosomal repair. Science *360*, eaar5078. 10.1126/science.aar5078.

Sohrmann, M., Schmidt, S., Hagan, I., and Simanis, V. (1998). Asymmetric segregation on spindle poles

of the *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* septum-inducing protein kinase Cdc7p. Genes Dev *12*, 84-94.

10.1101/gad.12.1.84.

Teis, D., Saksena, S., and Emr, S.D. (2008). Ordered assembly of the ESCRT-III complex on endosomes
is required to sequester cargo during MVB formation. Dev Cell *15*, 578-589. 10.1016/j.devcel.2008.08.013.

Thaller, D.J., Allegretti, M., Borah, S., Ronchi, P., Beck, M., and Lusk, C.P. (2019). An ESCRT-LEM protein
surveillance system is poised to directly monitor the nuclear envelope and nuclear transport system. Elife
8, e45284. 10.7554/eLife.45284.

Thaller, D.J., Tong, D., Marklew, C.J., Ader, N.R., Mannino, P.J., Borah, S., King, M.C., Ciani, B., and Lusk,
C.P. (2021). Direct binding of ESCRT protein Chm7 to phosphatidic acid-rich membranes at nuclear
envelope herniations. J Cell Biol *220*. 10.1083/jcb.202004222.

Ungricht, R., and Kutay, U. (2017). Mechanisms and functions of nuclear envelope remodelling. Nat Rev
Mol Cell Biol *18*, 229-245. 10.1038/nrm.2016.153.

Ventimiglia, L.N., Cuesta-Geijo, M.A., Martinelli, N., Caballe, A., Macheboeuf, P., Miguet, N., Parnham,
I.M., Olmos, Y., Carlton, J.G., Weissenhorn, W., and Martin-Serrano, J. (2018). CC2D1B coordinates
ESCRT-III activity during the mitotic reformation of the nuclear envelope. Dev Cell *47*, 547-563 e546.
10.1016/j.devcel.2018.11.012.

Vietri, M., Radulovic, M., and Stenmark, H. (2020). The many functions of ESCRTs. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol
21, 25-42. 10.1038/s41580-019-0177-4.

Vietri, M., Schink, K.O., Campsteijn, C., Wegner, C.S., Schultz, S.W., Christ, L., Thoresen, S.B., Brech, A.,
Raiborg, C., and Stenmark, H. (2015). Spastin and ESCRT-III coordinate mitotic spindle disassembly and
nuclear envelope sealing. Nature *522*, 231-235. 10.1038/nature14408.

von Appen, A., LaJoie, D., Johnson, I.E., Trnka, M.J., Pick, S.M., Burlingame, A.L., Ullman, K.S., and Frost,
A. (2020). LEM2 phase separation promotes ESCRT-mediated nuclear envelope reformation. Nature *582*,
115-118. 10.1038/s41586-020-2232-x.

Wälde, S., and King, M.C. (2014). The KASH protein Kms2 coordinates mitotic remodeling of the spindle
pole body. J Cell Sci *127*, 3625-3640. 10.1242/jcs.154997.

Wallis, S.S., Ventimiglia, L.N., Otigbah, E., Infante, E., Cuesta-Geijo, M.A., Kidiyoor, G.R., Carbajal, M.A.,
Fleck, R.A., Foiani, M., Garcia-Manyes, S., et al. (2021). The ESCRT machinery counteracts Nesprin-2G-
mediated mechanical forces during nuclear envelope repair. Dev Cell *56*, 3192-3202 e3198.
10.1016/j.devcel.2021.10.022.

Webster, B.M., Colombi, P., Jäger, J., and Lusk, C.P. (2014). Surveillance of nuclear pore complex
assembly by ESCRT-III/Vps4. Cell *159*, 388-401. 10.1016/j.cell.2014.09.012.

Webster, B.M., Thaller, D.J., Jäger, J., Ochmann, S.E., Borah, S., and Lusk, C.P. (2016). Chm7 and Heh1
collaborate to link nuclear pore complex quality control with nuclear envelope sealing. EMBO J *35*, 2447-
2467. 10.15252/embj.201694574.

West, R.R., Vaisberg, E.V., Ding, R., Nurse, P., and McIntosh, J.R. (1998). cut11(+): A gene required for
cell cycle-dependent spindle pole body anchoring in the nuclear envelope and bipolar spindle formation in
Schizosaccharomyces pombe. Mol Biol Cell *9*, 2839-2855. 10.1091/mbc.9.10.2839.

Wood, V., Gwilliam, R., Rajandream, M.A., Lyne, M., Lyne, R., Stewart, A., Sgouros, J., Peat, N., Hayles,
J., Baker, S., et al. (2002). The genome sequence of *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*. Nature *415*, 871-880.
10.1038/nature724.

Woodruff, J.B., Ferreira Gomes, B., Widlund, P.O., Mahamid, J., Honigmann, A., and Hyman, A.A. (2017).
The centrosome Is a selective condensate that nucleates microtubules by concentrating tubulin. Cell *169*,
1066-1077 e1010. 10.1016/j.cell.2017.05.028.

Zhang, X.R., Zhao, L., Suo, F., Gao, Y., Wu, Q., Qi, X., and Du, L.L. (2022). An improved auxin-inducible
degron system for fission yeast. G3 (Bethesda) *12*, jkab393. 10.1093/g3journal/jkab393.

Zwicker, D., Decker, M., Jaensch, S., Hyman, A.A., and Julicher, F. (2014). Centrosomes are autocatalytic
droplets of pericentriolar material organized by centrioles. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *111*, E2636-2645.
10.1073/pnas.1404855111.

1040

Supplementary Table S1. GFP-tagging of key ESCRT machinery

Protein	Orthologs		GFP tagging approach
S. pombe	*S. cerevisiae*	*H. sapiens*	
Cmp7	Chm7	CHMP7	Endogenous, C-terminal 3×HA-mEGFP
Vps32	Snf7	CHMP4A-C	Ectopic in *vps32Δ,* N-terminal 3×HA-mEGFP
Ist1	Ist1	IST1	Endogenous, C-terminal 3×HA-mEGFP
Vps24	Vps24	CHMP3	Ectopic in *vps24Δ,* N-terminal 3×HA-mEGFP
Did4	Vps2	CHMP2A,B	Ectopic in did*4Δ,* N-terminal 3×HA-mEGFP
Did2	Did2	CHMP1A,B	Endogenous, C-terminal 3×HA-mEGFP

Supplementary Table S2. Complete list of *S. pombe* strains used in this study

Strain (MKSP)	Genotype	Origin
280	*pcp1::pcp1-15-HA::hygMX6 | leu1-32 | ura4-D18 | h-*	CSF0076 (Fong et al., 2010); gift from Takashi Toda
281	*pcp1::pcp1-18-HA::hygMX6 | leu1-32 | ura4-D18 | h-*	CSF0082 (Fong et al., 2010); gift from Takashi Toda
399	*leu1-32 | ura4-D18 | h+*	Lab stock
1474	*natMX6::nmt81-GFP-Kms2-3'::kanMX6 (kms2 DAmP) | aurR-pnda3-mCherry-atb2| ade6-M? | ura4-D18 | leu1-32 | h-*	Constructed in Wälde et al., 2014
1697	*cut11::cut11-GFP:ura4+ | aurR-pnda3-mCherry-atb2 | ade6-M? | leu1? | ura4-D18 | h-*	Constructed in this work, derived from CSF0511 (Fong et al., 2010) and PN#3779 (West et al., 1998)
3180	*cdc7::cdc7-GFP:kanMX6 | pcp1::pcp1-mCherry:kanMX6 | leu1-32 | ura4-D18*	This work
3186	*fta3::fta3-mEGFP::kanMX6 | leu1-32 | ura4-D18 | ade6-M210*	MSA007 (Pollard Lab)
3243	*cmp7::hygMX6 | cdc7::cdc7-GFP:kanMX6 | pcp1::pcp1-mCherry:kanMX6 | leu1-32 | ura4-D18*	This work
3248	*heh1::natMX6 | leu1-32 | ura4-D18 | ade6-M21? | h+*	This work
3259	*cmp7::cmp7-3×HA-mEGFP:kanMX6 | heh1::natMX6 | aurR-pnda3-mCherry-atb2 | leu1-32 | ura4-D18*	Constructed in this work, derived from CSF0511 (Fong et al., 2010)
3275	*cmp7::cmp7-3×HA-mEGFP:kanMX6 | heh2::hygMX6 | aurR-pnda3-mCherry-atb2 | leu1-32? | ura4-D18?*	Constructed in this work, derived from CSF0511 (Fong et al., 2010)
3334	*leu1::P_{did4}-mEGFP-3×HA-did4-T_{did4} | did4::hygMX6 | sad1::sad1-mCherry:kanMX6 | aurR-pnda-mCherry-atb2 | ura4-D18 | h-*	Constructed in this work, derived from CSF0511 (Fong et al., 2010)
3340	*cmp7::natMX6 | aurR-pnda3-mCherry-atb2 | leu1-32 | h+*	Constructed in this work, derived from CSF0511 (Fong et al., 2010)
3342	*did2::did2-3×HA-mEGFP:kanMX6 | pcp1::pcp1-mCherry:natMX6 | aurR-pnda3-mCherry-atb2 | leu1-32 | ura4-D18 | h-*	Constructed in this work, derived from CSF0511 (Fong et al., 2010)
3345	*leu1::P41nmt1-MBP-yeGFP-4×MBP-T_{cyc1} | ura4-D18 | h+*	This work
3352	*leu1::P_{vps24}-mEGFP-3×HA-vps24-T_{vps24} | vps24::hygMX6 | pcp1::pcp1-mCherry:kanMX6 | aurR-pnda-mCherry-atb2 | ura4-D18 | h-*	Constructed in this work, derived from CSF0511 (Fong et al., 2010)
3353	*leu1::P_{vps32}-mEGFP-3×HA-vps32-T_{vps32} | vps32::hygMX6 | pcp1::pcp1-mCherry:natMX6 | aurR-pnda-mCherry-atb2 | ura4-D18 | h-*	Constructed in this work, derived from CSF0511 (Fong et al., 2010)
3354	*leu1::P_{vps32}-mEGFP-3×HA-vps32-T_{vps32} | vps32::hygMX6 | cmp7::natMX6 | pcp1::pcp1-mCherry:kanMX6 | aurR-pnda-mCherry-atb2 | ura4-D18 | h?*	Constructed in this work, derived from CSF0511 (Fong et al., 2010)
3355	*leu1::P_{vps24}-mEGFP-3×HA-vps24-T_{vps24} | vps24::hygMX6 | cmp7::natMX6 | pcp1::pcp1-mCherry:kanMX6 | aurR-pnda-mCherry-atb2 | ura4-D18*	Constructed in this work, derived from CSF0511 (Fong et al., 2010)
3363	*cmp7::cmp7-3×HA-mEGFP:kanMX6 | pcp1::pcp1-mCherry:natMX6 | aurR-pnda3-mCherry-atb2 | leu1-32 | ura4-D18 | h-*	Constructed in this work, derived from CSF0511 (Fong et al., 2010)
3365	*ist1::ist1-3×HA-mEGFP:kanMX6 | sad1::sad1-mCherry:natMX6 | aurR-pnda3-mCherry-atb2 | leu1-32 | ura4-D18 | h-*	Constructed in this work, derived from CSF0511 (Fong et al., 2010)
3366	*leu1::P_{did4}-mEGFP-3×HA-did4-T_{did4} | did4::hygMX6 | cmp7::natMX6 | sad1::sad1-mCherry:kanMX6 | aurR-pnda-mCherry-atb2 | ura4-D18*	Constructed in this work, derived from CSF0511 (Fong et al., 2010)

Supplementary Table S2. Complete list of *S. pombe* strains used in this study

Strain (MKSP)	Genotype	Origin
3381	*cmp7::cmp7-3×HA-mEGFP:kanMX6 | did4::hygMX6 | pcp1::pcp1-mCherry:natMX6 | aurR-pnda3-mCherry-atb2 | leu1-32 | ura4-D18*	Constructed in this work, derived from CSF0511 (Fong et al., 2010)
3385	*cmp7::cmp7-3×HA-mEGFP:kanMX6 | vps32::hygMX6 | aurR-pnda3-mCherry-atb2 | leu1-32 | ura4-D18*	Constructed in this work, derived from CSF0511 (Fong et al., 2010)
3387	*cmp7::cmp7-3×HA-mEGFP:kanMX6 | vps24::hygMX6 | aurR-pnda3-mCherry-atb2 | leu1-32 | ura4-D18*	Constructed in this work, derived from CSF0511 (Fong et al., 2010)
3420	*cmp7::natMX6 | pcp1::pcp1-15-HA::hygMX6 | leu1-32 | ura4-D18 | h+*	Constructed in this work, derived from CSF0511 (Fong et al., 2010)
3425	*cmp7::kanMX6 | pcp1::pcp1-18-HA::hygMX6 | leu1-32 | ura4-D18 | h+*	Constructed in this work, derived from CSF0511 (Fong et al., 2010)
3429	*cmp7::cmp7-3×HA-mEGFP:kanMX6 | ist1::hygMX6 | pcp1::pcp1-mCherry:natMX6 | aurR-pnda3-mCherry-atb2 | leu1-32 | ura4-D18*	Constructed in this work, derived from CSF0511 (Fong et al., 2010)
3447	*ist1::ist1-3×HA-mEGFP:kanMX6 |cmp7::hygMX6 | sad1::sad1-mCherry:natMX6 | aurR-pnda3-mCherry-atb2 | leu1-32 | ura4-D18 | h?*	Constructed in this work, derived from CSF0511 (Fong et al., 2010)
3449	*leu1::P$_{vps32}$-mEGFP-3×HA-vps32-T$_{vps32}$ | vps32::hygMX6 | ist1::kanMX6 | pcp1::pcp1-mCherry:natMX6 | aurR-pnda-mCherry-atb2 | ura4-D18*	Constructed in this work, derived from CSF0511 (Fong et al., 2010)
3488	*leu1::P41$_{nmt1}$-MBP-yeGFP-4×MBP-T$_{cyc1}$ | aurR-pnda-mCherry-atb2 | ura4-D18 | h+*	This work
3496	*ist1::ist1-3×HA-mEGFP:kanMX6 |did4::hygMX6 | sad1::sad1-mCherry:natMX6 | aurR-pnda3-mCherry-atb2 | leu1-32 | ura4-D18*	Constructed in this work, derived from CSF0511 (Fong et al., 2010)
3501	*leu1::P41$_{nmt1}$-MBP-yeGFP-2×MBP-T$_{cyc1}$ | cmp7::hygMX6 | aurR-pnda-mCherry-atb2 | ura4-D18 | h+*	This work
3504	*ist1::ist1-3×HA-mEGFP:kanMX6 | vps32::hygMX6 | sad1::sad1-mCherry:natMX6 | aurR-pnda3-mCherry-atb2 | leu1-32 | ura4-D18*	Constructed in this work, derived from CSF0511 (Fong et al., 2010)
3511	*leu1::P$_{vps24}$-mEGFP-3×HA-vps24-T$_{vps24}$ | vps24::hygMX6 | did4:kanMX6 | pcp1::pcp1-mCherry:kanMX6 | aurR-pnda-mCherry-atb2 | ura4-D18 | h-*	Constructed in this work, derived from CSF0511 (Fong et al., 2010)
3517	*cmp7::cmp7-XTEN16-3×sAID:kanMX6 | ura4::Padh1-OsTIR1-F74A | leu1-32 | ade6?*	Constructed in this work, derived from DY48569 (Zhang et al., 2022)
3521	*cmp7::cmp7-3×HA-mEGFP:kanMX6 | ist1::ist1-3×HA-mCherry:kanMX6 | leu1-32 | ura4-D18 | h-*	This work
3535	*leu1::P41$_{nmt1}$-MBP-yeGFP-4×MBP | cmp7::hygMX6 | aurR-pnda-mCherry-atb2 | ura4-D18 | ade6-M210 | h-*	This work
3544	*ist1::ist1-3×HA-mEGFP:kanMX6 | vps24::kanMX6 | sad1::sad1-mCherry:natMX6 | aurR-pnda3-mCherry-atb2 | leu1-32 | ura4-D18*	Constructed in this work, derived from CSF0511 (Fong et al., 2010)
3557	*leu1::P41$_{nmt1}$-MBP-yeGFP-4×MBP-T$_{cyc1}$ | vps32::hygMX6 | aurR-pnda-mCherry-atb2 | ura4-D18 | h+*	This work
3559	*leu1::P41$_{nmt1}$-MBP-yeGFP-4×MBP-T$_{cyc1}$ | ist1::kanMX6 | aurR-pnda-mCherry-atb2 | ura4-D18 | h+*	This work
3586	*leu1::P41$_{nmt1}$-MBP-yeGFP-4×MBP-T$_{cyc1}$ | did4::hygMX6 | aurR-pnda-mCherry-atb2 | ura4-D18 | h+*	This work
3592	*leu1::P41$_{nmt1}$-MBP-yeGFP-4×MBP-T$_{cyc1}$ | vps24::kanMX6 | aurR-pnda-mCherry-atb2 | ura4-D18 | h+*	This work
3593	*leu1::P41$_{nmt1}$-MBP-yeGFP-4×MBP-T$_{cyc1}$ | did2::hygMX6 | aurR-pnda-mCherry-atb2 | ura4-D18 | h+*	This work

Supplementary Table S2. Complete list of *S. pombe* strains used in this study

Strain (MKSP)	Genotype	Origin
3625	*ist1::ist1-3×HA-mEGFP:kanMX6	cmp7-XTEN16-3×sAID::kanMX6	ura4+::P$_{adh1}$-OsTIR1$_{F74A}$	sad1::sad1-mCherry:natMX6	aurR-pnda3-mCherry-atb2	leu1-32	ade6-M216*	Constructed in this work, derived from CSF0511 (Fong et al., 2010) and DY48569 (Zhang et al., 2022)
3635	*leu1::P41$_{nmt1}$-MBP-yeGFP-4×MBP	heh1::kanMX6	aurR-pnda-mCherry-atb2	ura4-D18	h-*	This work
3668	*leu1::P$_{did4}$-mEGFP-3×HA-did4-T$_{did4}$	did4::hygMX6	ist1::natMX6	sad1::sad1-mCherry:kanMX6	aurR-pnda-mCherry-atb2	ura4-D18*	Constructed in this work, derived from CSF0511 (Fong et al., 2010)
3669	*leu1::P$_{vps24}$-mEGFP-3×HA-vps24-T$_{vps24}$	vps24::hygMX6	ist1::natMX6	pcp1::pcp1-mCherry:natMX6	aurR-pnda-mCherry-atb2	ura4-D18*	Constructed in this work, derived from CSF0511 (Fong et al., 2010)
3683	*cmp7::hygMX6	natMX6::nmt81-GFP-Kms2-3'::kanMX6 (kms2 DAmP)	aurR-pnda3-mCherry-atb2	ade6-M?	ura4-D18	leu1-32	h-*	This work
3699	*leu1::P41$_{nmt1}$-MBP-yeGFP-4×MBP-T$_{cyc1}$	cmp7::cmp7-XTEN16-3×sAID:kanMX6	ura4::P$_{adh1}$-OsTIR1$_{F74A}$	cut11::cut11-mCherry:natMX6	h+*	Constructed in this work, derived from DY48569 (Zhang et al., 2022)
3811	*leu1::P41$_{nmt1}$-MBP-yeGFP-4×MBP-T$_{cyc1}$	cmp7::natMX6	pcp1::pcp1-15-HA::hygMX6	cut11::cut11-mCherry:natMX6	ura4-D18	h+*	Constructed in this work, derived from CSF0511 (Fong et al., 2010)
3812	*leu1::P41$_{nmt1}$-MBP-yeGFP-4×MBP-T$_{cyc1}$	pcp1::pcp1-15-HA::hygMX6	cut11::cut11-mCherry:natMX6	ura4-D18	h+*	Constructed in this work, derived from CSF0511 (Fong et al., 2010)
3838	*heh1::natMX6	pcp1::pcp1-15-HA::hygMX6	leu1-32	ura4-D18	h?*	Constructed in this work, derived from CSF0511 (Fong et al., 2010)
3839	*heh1::natMX6	pcp1::pcp1-18-HA::hygMX6	leu1-32	ura4-D18	h?*	Constructed in this work, derived from CSF0511 (Fong et al., 2010)
3843	*heh1::hygMX6	natMX6::nmt81-GFP-Kms2-3'::kanMX6(kms2 DAmP)	aurR-pnda3-mCherry-atb2	ade6-M?	ura4-D18	leu1-32	h?*	This work

Supplementary Table S3. Complete list of plasmids used in this study

Identifier	Name/Description	Usage	Origin
pNA8	pFA6a-3×HA-mEGFP-KanMX6	Template for PCR based chromosomal integration of 3×HA-mEGFP ORF	This study; Addgene 87023
pNA22	pJK148-P_{vps32}-mEGFP-3×HA-vps32-T_{vps32}	Linearized with NruI and transformed into MKSP975	This study, Gibson assembly
pNA23	pJK148-P_{vps24}-mEGFP-3×HA-vps24-T_{vps24}	Linearized with NruI and transformed into MKSP975	This study, Gibson assembly
pNA33	pFA6a-hygMX6	Integration cassette amplification hygMX6 template	Hentges et al., 2005
pNA34	pFA6a-natMX6	Integration cassette amplification natMX6 template	Hentges et al., 2005
pNA35	pFA6a-kanMX6	Template for PCR based chromosomal integration of kanMX6 ORF	Wach et al., 1997; Addgene 39296
pNA45	pJK148-P_{did4}-mEGFP-3×HA-did4-T_{did4}	Linearized with NruI and transformed into MKSP975	This study, Gibson assembly
pNA47	pFA6a-mCherry-KanMX6	Template for PCR based chromosomal integration of mCherry ORF	EUROSCARF
pNA48	pFA6a-mCherry-NatMX6	Template for PCR based chromosomal integration of mCherry ORF	EUROSCARF
pNA50	pFA6a-3×HA-mCherry-NatMX6	Template for PCR based chromosomal integration of 3×HA-mCherry ORF	This study
pNA63	pJK148-$P41_{nmt1}$-MBP-yeGFP-4×MBP-T_{cyc1}	Linearized with NruI and transformed into MKSP399	Popken et al., 2015 and this study, restriction digest cloning
pDB4581	pFA6a-3×sAID-KanMX6	Template for PCR based chromosomal integration of 3×sAID ORF	Zhang et al., 2022; Addgene 171124