Recruitment of TRiC chaperonin in rotavirus viroplasms directly associates

with virus replication.

Janine Vetter[a], Guido Papa[b,*], Kurt Tobler[a], Manuel Kley[a], Michael Myers[c], Mahesa

Wiesendanger[a,d], Elisabeth M. Schraner[a,d], Oscar R. Burrone[b], Cornel Fraefel[a], Catherine

Eichwald[a,#]

[a]   Institute of Virology, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

[b]   Molecular Immunology Lab, International Centre for Genetic Engineering and

Biotechnology, Trieste, Italy

[c]   Proteomics Lab, International Centre for Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology, Trieste,

Italy

[d]   Institute of Veterinary Anatomy, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

Running Head: TRiC regulates rotavirus replication

#Address correspondence to Catherine Eichwald, ceichwald@vetvir.uzh.ch

*Present address: MRC Laboratory of Molecular Biology, Cambridge, United Kingdom.

**Abstract**

Rotavirus replication takes place in the viroplasms, which are cytosolic inclusions allowing

the synthesis of virus genome segments, followed by their encapsidation in the core shell and

the addition of the second layer of the virion. The viroplasms are composed of several viral

proteins, including NSP5, which is the main building block. Microtubules, lipid droplets, and

miRNA-7 are among the host components recruited in viroplasms. Aiming to investigate the

relationship between rotavirus proteins and host components in the viroplasms, we performed

a pull-down assay of lysates from rotavirus-infected cells expressing NSP5-BiolD2.

Subsequent tandem mass spectrometry identified all eight subunits of the TRiC complex, a

cellular chaperonin responsible for folding at least 10% of the cytosolic proteins. Our

validated results show that TriC is recruited in viroplasms and there specifically surrounds

newly formed double-layered particles (DLPs). Chemical inhibition of TRiC and silencing of

its subunits drastically reduced virus progeny production.

Interestingly, TRiC-inhibited RV-infected cells lacked TLPs but harbored empty

DLPs. Using sequence-specific direct RNA nanopore sequencing, we demonstrate that TRiC

is essential for RV replication since it modulates dsRNA genome segment synthesis,

specifically (-)RNA. Moreover, TRiC is associated with RNA-dependent RNA polymerase,

VP1, and regulates the folding of VP2, a cofactor allowing dsRNA synthesis. This study

provides *in vivo* evidence of the regulatory mechanism by which dsRNA genome segment

replication is controlled and coordinated in the rotavirus viroplasms.

**Importance.**

The replication of rotavirus takes place in cytosolic inclusions termed viroplasms. In

these inclusions, the eleven double-stranded RNA genome segments are synthesized and

packaged individually into the newly generated virus particles. In this study, we show for the

first time that the TRiC complex, a cellular chaperonin responsible for the folding of at least

10% of the cytosolic proteins, is a component of viroplasms and is required for the synthesis

of the viral (-)ssRNA. Specifically, TRiC interacts with VP1, the RNA-dependent RNA

polymerase, and assists in the folding of VP2, the cofactor involved in RNA replication. Our

study adds a new component to the current model of rotavirus replication, where TRiC is

recruited in viroplasm to assist replication.

## Introduction

Virus factories are compartmentalized inclusions made during the virus life cycle to assemble the replication factors to generate virus progeny. For example, rotavirus (RV), a multisegmented double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) virus and member of the Reoviridae family, replicates in the so-called viroplasms. These structures are composed of several RV proteins and ss- and dsRNAs appearing as cytosolic membrane-less electron-dense inclusions when visualized at the electron microscope (1-3). Several essential processes for the RV life cycle take place in the viroplasms corresponding to the replication and packaging of the eleven dsRNA genome segments (gs) in newly formed VP2 icosahedral core-shells. This process is assisted by replication intermediates composed of the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp), VP1, and the helicase and guanylyl-methyltransferase VP3, which are found underneath each of the core-shell five-fold axis (4, 5). VP2 also acts as a cofactor of VP1, at least *in vitro*, for the dsRNA synthesis (6). The nonstructural proteins NSP5 and NSP2 take part in this replication step because they associate with VP1 in a mechanism that is still not completely elucidated (7-9). Subsequently, the filled core shells are coated by a second protein layer of VP6 trimers forming double-layered particles (DLP), which bud to the adjacent endoplasmic reticulum (ER) to acquire its outer coat. The triple-layered particles (TLP) are composed of glycoprotein VP7 and spike protein VP4, which can be found with transient lipid membranes in the ER surrounding the viroplasms (10). Moreover, the viroplasms, when detected by fluorescence microscopy, appear as cytosolic globular inclusions that are homogeneously distributed in the cell at early times post-infection (~4 hpi). The main building block for viroplasm formation is NSP5 (11-13), specifically, its hyperphosphorylated isoform was shown to be essential for forming viroplasms (7, 14-16). Additionally, phosphorylated NSP2 is a requirement for viroplasm formation as well (9, 17). Interestingly, the co-expression of NSP5 with either NSP2 or VP2 is sufficient to build

viroplasm-like structures (VLS) (12, 18, 19) which are morphologically identical to

viroplasms, but lack virus replication components and hence are unable to produce virus

progeny. The VLSs are excellent simplified tools for studying the complex viroplasm

organization.

It has been demonstrated that viroplasms are highly dynamic, being able to coalesce

between them and move to the juxtanuclear region of the cell at increasing times post-

infection (20-22). Furthermore, despite not yet being well-defined, several host factors have

been identified as necessary for viroplasm formation and maintenance (21, 23-26). On one

side, the initiation process for viroplasm formation requires a scaffold of lipid droplets by

incorporating perilipin-1 (27, 28). Furthermore, the host cytoskeleton, actin filaments and

microtubules, plays a role in the formation, maintenance, and dynamics of the viroplasms

(21, 29, 30). In this context, NSP2 octamers directly associate with microtubules to promote

viroplasm coalescence (8, 21, 31-33). Moreover, VP2 plays a role in viroplasm dynamics by

allowing their perinuclear motion (21). Finally, consistent with the above-described features,

the viroplasms have been recently attributed as liquid-liquid phase-separated structures (34).

The RdRp (VP1) shares a unique feature with all described RdRp enzymes of the

Reoviridae family (35-38) that it consists of four channels that connect the catalytic cavity

with the exterior corresponding to template entry, NTP entry, transcript exit, and template

exit (39). This enzyme transcribes from DLPs the mRNA or positive-sense single-stranded

RNA ((+)ssRNA) using as a template the negative-sense single stranded RNA ((-)ssRNA)

obtained by unwinding the dsRNA genome segments via the C-terminal domains (40, 41).

Also, *in vitro* experiments have shown that purified VP1 synthesizes dsRNA using (+)ssRNA

as a template by recognizing the 3' consensus sequence of each genome segment in a process

strictly assisted by purified VP2 (6, 42). Thus, there is no *in vivo* evidence yet identifying this

essential mechanism for the encapsidation of the newly generated genome segments.

The eukaryotic group II chaperonin tailless complex polypeptide I ring complex

(TRiC) engages in the folding of at least 10% of all cytosolic proteins. It mainly favors the

folding of newly translated proteins with complex beta-sheet topologies, such as actin and

tubulin, and cell cycle regulators (43). Although, TRiC has a continuously increasing list of

client proteins that are involved in diverse cellular processes (44, 45). TRiC is organized as

two back-to-back hetero-octameric rings having a barrel-shaped structure enclosing an ATP-

dependent folding chamber. Each of these rings comprises eight paralog subunits (CCT1-

CCT8) that tweak according to the specificity required for client proteins through differential

recognition modes and differentiate rates of ATP binding and hydrolysis between the ring

subunits (44, 46). Recently, TRiC activity also has been found to assist the folding of several

viral proteins involved in various steps of the virus life cycle, such as entry (47), virus

replication (48-54), virion assembly (55, 56) and virus particle release (57).

In this study, we demonstrate that TRiC is essential for RV replication by being

recruited to viroplasms, where it modulates dsRNA genome segment synthesis, specifically (-

)ssRNA. Furthermore, we reveal that TRiC is associated with RdRp (VP1) and regulates the

folding of VP2, a cofactor for dsRNA synthesis. Finally, we provided *in vivo* evidence of the

regulatory mechanism of RV dsRNA synthesis mediated by RdRp.

**Results**

**Association of TRIC components to NSP5-BioID2**. To assess the interaction of rotavirus

proteins and host components in the viroplasms, we generated a stable MA104 cell line,

MA/NSP5-BioID2, expressing NSP5 fused to BioID2, a promiscuous biotin ligase for the

detection of protein-protein associations and proximate proteins in living cells (58). As

expected (7, 20, 21), NSP5-BioID2 localizes and accumulates upon RV-infection into

viroplasms as visualized by the colocalization of streptavidin (StAV)-dylight 488 with VP2, a

marker for viroplasms at 6 and 24 hpi **(Fig 1A)**. Next (**Fig 1B**), we analyzed cell extracts of

RV-infected MA/NSP5-BioID2 cells at either 5 or 21 hpi by immunoblotting. We found that

most biotinylated proteins accumulate in cell extracts prepared at 21 hpi rather than at 5 hpi.

Moreover, the bands consistent with the predicted molecular weight of NSP5, NSP2, and

VP2 appeared at this specific time upon RV infection. The 21 hpi cell extracts were pulled

down with StAv conjugated to magnetic beads and analyzed by label-free tandem mass

spectrometry (MS/MS) (**Fig 1C and Table 1**) that enabled the identification of 272 proteins.

The distribution of non-infected and RV-infected samples is illustrated in the rank order plot

of **Fig 1C**. Consistent with previous publications (14, 20, 29, 59, 60) and validating our

experimental procedure, NSP5-BioID2 pulled down RV proteins NSP5, NSP2, VP2, and

VP1, which are recognized protein-protein interactors with NSP5. Interestingly, our study

also identified the eight subunits (CCT1 to CCT8) of the T-complex protein-1 ring complex

(TRiC), a eukaryotic cytosolic ATP-dependent chaperonin that assists the folding of up to

10% of cytosolic proteins (45, 61).

**TRiC localizes in viroplasms and surrounding DLPs.** To validate our mass spectrometry

analysis, we investigated whether TRiC localizes into viroplasms at 6 hpi. As visualized with

immunofluorescence confocal microscopy (**Fig 2a**), TRiC subunits CCT1, CCT2, and CCT3

colocalize with NSP5, a viroplasm marker, suggesting that TRiC is recruited to viroplasms.

Next, we also analyzed viroplasms at high-resolution using immune electron microscopy by

co-immunostaining of anti-CCT3 (12 nm gold particles) with either anti-NSP5 (6 nm gold

particles, **Fig 2b**) or anti-VP6 (6 nm gold particles, **Fig 2c**). Interestingly, CCT3, in addition

to localizing in viroplasms, was found in some cases rimming structures resembling DLPs by

their morphology and size and because VP6 surrounds them. Interestingly, NSP5 and CCT3

are also found rimming these globular structures.

**Inhibition of TRiC hampers viroplasm formation and RV replication.** We used a recently

described chemical TRiC inhibitor (62) (PubChem CID: 658022) corresponding to 2-[(4-

chloro-2λ4,1,3-benzothiadiazol-5-yl)oxy]acetic acid, shortly named TRICi, to investigate the

role of TRiC chaperonin in the RV life cycle. TRICi was validated in MA104 cells for its

ability to halt the onset of mitosis by impairing Cdc20 expression **(Fig S1a-e),** a well-

described protein dependent on TRiC for folding (63). When inspecting viroplasms at 6 hpi, a

time-frame for visualization of well-formed viroplasms (21), RV-infected cells treated at

early time post-infection (1 hpi) with TRICi showed significantly reduced numbers and size

of viroplasms per cell (**Fig 3a, b, and c**). In contrast, the addition of TRICi at 5 hpi showed

no changes in the morphology and number of viroplasms compared to untreated samples (**Fig**

**3a**). The TRICi treatment was not cytotoxic at the concentrations used in our experiments

**(Fig S1f)**. Notably, the impairment in viroplasm formation after TRiC inhibition was

observed for at least three RV strains, including porcine strain OSU and simian strains SA11

and RRV (**Fig S2**). The virus progeny was largely impaired (> 5 log) when TRICi was added

at 1 hpi at both tested concentrations but not when added at 5 hpi **(Fig 3d)**. Similarly, the

silencing of either CCT3 or CCT2 subunits significantly reduced virus progeny **(Fig S3a-d)**.

Consistent with the lack of virus progeny, we observed a complete depletion of the RV

dsRNA genome segments (**Fig 3e**) after TRICi treatment (lanes 2 and 3) when compared to

untreated cells (lane 1).

Additionally, the effect of TRICi on viroplasms was partially reversible when added

at 1 hpi and washed out at 2, 3, 4, or 5 hpi (**Fig S4a-e**), followed by analysis at 6 hpi. Large

viroplasms recovered even when removing the drug at 4 hpi, reaching the same levels as

untreated samples. Meanwhile, the number of small viroplasms did not recover even after

only 1 h of treatment with TRICi, remaining in large numbers distributed in the cytosol of the

infected cells. Besides, the expression of diverse RV proteins was recovered after removing

TRICi **(Fig S4f)**, which collectively suggests a delay in the coalescence of viroplasms

because of a lack of RV protein supplies required for building the inclusions. Additionally,

the distribution of diverse RV proteins was compared in untreated and TRICi-treated

conditions by immunofluorescence at 6 hpi. As observed in **Fig 3f**, RV viroplasm proteins

NSP5, NSP2, VP2, and VP6 delocalized from the virus factories and dispersed throughout

the cytosol, while VP1, NSP4, VP7, and VP4 did not show perceptible changes from their

characteristic distribution. Also, NSP3 showed dramatic changes with a dispersed

distribution.

**TRiC inhibition hampered RV packaging and TLP formation.** To investigate in detail the

decrease in both dsRNA genome segments and virus progeny associated with TRiC

inhibition, we examined the viroplasms by high-resolution electron microscopy at 6 hpi after

treatment with TRICi from 1 hpi. Surprisingly **(Fig 4a)**, we observed that viroplasms of both

untreated and TRICi-treated samples were electron dense. As expected, the endoplasmic

reticulum (ER) surrounding the viroplasms in the untreated sample was filled with TLPs at

diverse stages of maturation. Meanwhile, TRICi-treated samples showed almost empty ER

with a few immature virus particles. We then analyzed the virus particles isolated at 24 hpi

from cells treated with TRICi at 1 hpi or untreated to gain insights into virion structure and

composition. We found that the negatively stained virus particles from TRICi-treated cells

had an average size of 59 nm, consistent with the size and shape of DLPs. In contrast, the

virus particles from untreated cells had an average size of 72 nm, consistent with the size of

TLPs **(Fig 4b)**. Additionally, the virus particles isolated from TRICi-treated cells lacked the

outer layer proteins VP7 and VP4 **(Fig 4c)**, contrasting with the virus particles from untreated

cells that contained all TLP components. More importantly, particles from TRICi-treated

cells also lacked VP1 and dsRNA genome segments and had a reduced association with

NSP2 (64)**(Fig 4 c and d)**.

**TRiC is required for (-)ssRNA synthesis.** We next hypothesized that TRiC inhibition blocks the synthesis of (-)ssRNA but not (+)ssRNA. To assess this possibility, we established a method for direct RNA sequencing for RV dsRNA to read both positive- and negative-sense RNA strands using MinION Oxford nanopore technology (ONT) (65). For this purpose, we designed specific reverse transcriptase adapters (RTA) that anneal to the 3' ends of both positive and negative-sense RNA strands of the eleven genome segments of porcine RV strain OSU **(Table S2)**. Total RNA from OSU-infected cells at 6 hpi was harvested and sequenced via MinION to determine the effectiveness of the modified adapter. The sequence runs covered 100% of the eleven genome segments of both positive- and negative-sense RNA strands **(Fig 5a)**. The average coverage depth for the positive-sense RNA strands ranked from 7987.09 for gs 8 (NSP2) to 528.93 for gs5 (NSP1), while the negative-sense RNA strands ranked from 1632.10 for gs 11 (NSP5) to 132.48 for gs 4 (VP4), respectively **(Table 2)**. The average read level of accuracy of the eleven genome segments was $91.28 \pm 0.46$ % and $90.05 \pm 0.58$% for the positive- and negative-sense RNA strands, respectively. Meanwhile, the consensus sequence coverage corresponded to $99.47 \pm 0.32$% for the positive-sense RNA strands and $99.28 \pm 0.41$% for negative-sense RNA strands, in concordance with the consensus sequence of the eleven genome segments provided for the RV strain OSU. The distribution of positive-and negative-sense RNA strands read lengths **(Fig 5b)** correspond well to the expected length of each respective segment. An odd cumulative ratio **(Fig 5c)** was determined between the distribution of positive and negative sense RNA strand reads for each genome segment with an accumulative value of 453. We then harvested RNA at 6 hpi from RV-infected cells either untreated (DMSO) or treated with TRICi and followed direct RNA sequencing of both positive- and negative-sense RNA strands. The sample from DMSO-treated cells showed a complete coverage for both positive- and negative-sense RNA strands **(Fig 5d, upper panel; Table 3)**, with a similar distribution pattern observed for the above

mock sample (**Fig 5b, e, and f**). However, the samples from TRICi-treated cells, although

showing similar depth coverage for all the eleven positive-sense strands as for DMSO

samples, denoted a highly reduced coverage for the eleven negative-sense strand RNAs (**Fig**

**5, lower panel**). Even if the distribution of the eleven genome segments for positive-sense

RNA strand reads (**Fig 5e**) was comparable between samples from untreated and TRICi-

treated cells, it was highly diverse for the negative-sense reads (**Fig 5f**). More precisely, the

numbers of negative-sense RNA strand reads were at least three times lower in the TRICi-

treated samples compared to the untreated samples. The ratio of positive-/negative-sense

RNA strand reads (**Fig 5g**) for DMSO-treated cells had a value of 509, similar to the above-

described value, while that of the TRICi-treated cells was much higher with a value of 1897,

suggesting a preponderance of (+)ssRNA reads among the TRICi sample.

**TRiC associates with VP1 and VP2.** As (-)ssRNA synthesis is impaired upon TRiC

inhibition, we wondered if other viroplasm components are associated with TRiC in addition

to NSP5. To assess this option, we took profit of an already well-described (66-69) *in vivo*

protein-protein interaction assay based on the ability of the C-terminal region of reovirus

protein µNS (amino acids 471-721) to form spontaneous cytosolic inclusions. In this

particular assay, we used as bait red monomeric mCherry fused to the N-terminus of (471-

721) µNS, herein mCh-µNS. The RV proteins (VP1, VP2, VP3, VP4, VP6, NSP2, and

NSP5) were fused at the N-terminus of mCh-µNS (**Fig S5a**). Specific antibodies targeting

subunits for TRiC were used as fish. The colocalization visualized by the fluorescence

microscopy of the fish target protein with the RV protein-mCh-µNS cytosolic platform was

considered as a positive protein-protein interaction. In the first instance (**Fig 6a**), we

examined the ability of the CCT3 subunit to colocalize with the mCh-µNS, which resulted as

expected negative. However, CCT3 was clearly associated with VP1-mCh-µNS, VP2-mCh-

µNS, and NSP5-mCh-µNS and only partially with NSP2-mCh-µNS and VP6-mCh-µNS. No

association was observed for CCT3 with VP3-mCh-µNS, VP4-mCh-µNS, and VP6-mCh-

µNS. Additionally, we confirmed the association of VP1-mCh-µNS and VP2-mCh-µNS with

TRiC subunit CCT1 **(Fig 6b)**. Interestingly, we found that TRiC subunit CCT2 **(Fig 6c)** is

associated with VP1-mCh-µNS but not with VP2-mCh-µNS. These results suggest that VP1

is the main RV protein associated with TRiC.

To further confirm the association of VP1 with TRiC, we formed viroplasm-like

structures (VLS) based on the co-expression of NSP5 with either NSP2 and VP2 (12, 18) and

monitored the recruitment of the TRiC subunit CCT3 by confocal immunofluorescence. We

found mild or no localization of CCT3 in either VLSs induced by NSP2 or VP2 **(Fig 7a)**.

Similarly, CCT3 was not recruited in VLSs induced by co-expression of either three (NSP5,

NSP2, and VP2) or four (NSP5, NSP2, VP2, and VP6) viroplasm proteins. However, VLSs

induced by co-expression of NSP5 with either NSP2 and VP1 or VP2 and VP1 significantly

increased the recruitment of CCT3 in the VLS inclusions. Next, we confirmed these results

**(Fig 7b)** by monitoring the recruitment of diverse TRiC subunits in VLSs formed by NSP5

and NSP2 co-expressed with or without a V5-tagged version of VP1 (V5-VP1). The results

showed that adding V5-VP1 into VLSs enhanced the recruitment of all the TRiC subunits

tested, including CCT1, CCT2, and CCT3. Similarly, the recruitment of TRiC subunits

CCT1, CCT2, and CCT3 was also increased in VLS inclusions formed by NSP5, VP2 and

V5-VP1 **(Fig S5b)**. Collectively, these results suggest that VP1 associates with and recruits

TRiC in viroplasms.

**VP2 requires TRiC for folding.** We reasoned that even if VP1 improved the TRiC

recruitment in viroplasms, it does not necessarily mean that VP1 is TRiC folding-dependent.

To elucidate which viroplasm RV proteins are folded by TRiC, we designed an assay using

TRICi and a proteasome inhibitor added to RV-infected cell cultures at different times post-

infection. In this assay **(Fig 8a)**, we compared the level of expression of different virus

proteins at 6 hpi in total extracts of RV-infected cells untreated or following treatment with

TRICi (at 1 hpi), assuming that those RV proteins that are TRiC-folding dependent would

undergo proteasome degradation and will therefore be underrepresented upon TRiC

inhibition. Consequently, adding the proteasome inhibitor at 3.5 hpi should rescue their

expression levels. Densitometry of the band intensities was performed and compared to

untreated cellular extracts (lane 1) of at least three independent experiments **(Fig 8b)**. As

depicted in **Fig 8a and b**, the only RV protein dependent on TRiC for folding corresponds to

VP2, which showed reduced expression in the presence of TRICi and was partially rescued

by treatment with UBEI-41 (70), a ubiquitin-activating enzyme E1 inhibitor. To confirm this

result **(Fig 8c)**, we expressed each RV protein alone and treated with TRICi and UBEI-41 at

3.5- and 7-hours post-transfection (hpt), respectively. The cell lysates were prepared at 22

hpt, and RV proteins were detected by immunoblotting using specific antibodies. Consistent

with our previous result **(Fig 8d)**, the densitometric analysis revealed the dependence of VP2

on TRiC for folding. Meanwhile, the other RV proteins tested were not sensitive to

TRiC/UBEI-41 treatment. In addition, in the presence of YOD1(C160S) **(Fig 8e, lanes 3 and**

**4)**, a dominant negative mutant for deubiquitinase YOD1 (71), and TRICi, VP2 levels were

even higher than in untreated samples **(Fig 8e, lane 4)**. In constrast, the expression of VP2

alone with TRICi resulted in a less intense band than in untreated samples **(Fig 8e, lane 2)**.

Collectively, the results suggest that VP2 is the primary target for TRiC-assisted folding in

the viroplasms.

**Discussion**

The role of TRiC in folding the mammalian orthoreovirus (MRV) σ3, another

member of the Reoviridae family, has been recently demonstrated to be essential for the virus

capsid assembly (55, 72). Using tandem mass spectrometry, we identified all TRiC subunits

in viroplasms of RV and found that TRiC plays an essential role in the RV life cycle,

specifically in the synthesis of the dsRNA genome segments. Moreover, we provide neat

evidence that the inhibition of TRiC results in a reduced number of viroplasms, lack of TLPs

in the ER, and defective DLPs lacking both encapsidated genome segments and VP1. Our

result demonstrated that the inhibition of TRiC impairs (-)ssRNA RV synthesis but not

(+)ssRNA. We also show that VP1 and VP2 are associated with TRiC. The RdRp of RV,

VP1, has a double task corresponding to transcription and replication. When transcribing,

VP1 uses as a template (-)ssRNA for synthesizing (+)ssRNA, which is required for the

translation of RV proteins but also as a template for replication of its genome segments. It is

thought that transcription occurs in two waves (73, 74). The first transcription wave takes

place immediately after internalization when transcriptionally active DLPs are released in the

cytosol, permitting the translation of RV proteins required for halting host innate immunity,

shutting off host translation, and building the viroplasms. The second wave of transcription

occurs in the viroplasms, where the generated transcripts are released to the cytosol for

translation by ribosomes (73, 75). The replication corresponding to the synthesis of dsRNA

genome segments required for virus progeny occurs only in the viroplasms. Although it has

been demonstrated by *in vitro* experiments the strict requirement of VP2 as a cofactor for

VP1 to initiate the dsRNA synthesis (6), no evidence has been provided in RV-infected cells.

Moreover, high-resolution cryo-electron microscopy of purified RV particles deduced that

the C-terminal plug of VP1 coordinates the replication process with VP2 (40, 41). The

replication and packaging of each of the eleven genome segments in the new core-shell is a

fine-tuned, not yet elucidated mechanism occurring in viroplasms. The current model (73)

proposes a complex of VP1 and VP3 that associates in the viroplasm, in which VP1 interacts

with the 3' consensus sequence of each of the eleven (+) ssRNAs. In parallel, VP2 self-

assembles forming decamers allowing the concomitant formation of core shells and the

recruitment of the VP1-VP3 complex. This process enables VP1 to replicate. In this context,

our results provide new evidence on RV genome replication and the packaging mechanism.

Consistent with the model mentioned above, we demonstrate that VP1 improves the

recruitment of TRiC in the viroplasms. Additionally, we demonstrate that TRiC can be found

surrounding structures similar to DLPs. We provide evidence that TRiC mediates VP2

folding. Since VP2 directly associates with VP1 (76), and because the inhibition of TRiC

impairs (-)ssRNA synthesis, we propose a model in which TRiC coordinates the folding of

high-order structures of VP2 associated with VP1 to initiate the genome replication process.

In this model, TRiC would then release properly folded VP2 as either a stable homo-oligomer

or associated with VP1 to assemble DLPs. The recruitment of TRiC in the viroplasms could

result in a beneficial thermodynamic environment for VP2 to promote the initiation of the

replication by VP1. The biochemical features of a substrate to be recognized by TRiC are not

well understood. Similar to MRV σ3, VP2 shares some biochemical features that could

render as a suitable substrate. For example, the presence of conserved and complex beta-

sheets in VP2 central and apical domains (77) suits with already described conditions for

other beta-sheet-rich substrates like tubulin or actin (46). Alternatively, TRiC can stabilize

VP2 higher-order structures favoring primed forms, allowing later association with VP1 and

formation of the core shell. Thus, TRiC can retain a polypeptide in an almost native state

until it binds to a protein interactor or a co-chaperone, such as Hsp70, to assist in the folding

of higher-order structures (78, 79).

The contribution of NSP5 also needs to be considered. Even if NSP5 folding shows to

be independent of TRiC, we found NSP5 associated with TRiC and localized in viroplasms

surrounding DLP-like structures. As NSP5 has been previously demonstrated to be associated

directly with VP1 (59) and bind RNA (80), we cannot discard the possibility that NSP5 is

involved in coordinating RV replication by mediation with TRiC. Similarly, other RV proteins may depend on TRiC for folding. In fact, NSP3, which is not directly associated with viroplasms, was redistributed in the cytosol forming small aggregates upon TRiC inhibition, an indicator for misfolded proteins.

This study provides for the first time *in vivo* evidence explaining, at least partially, the mechanism by which dsRNA genome segment replication is regulated and coordinated in the RV viroplasms.

## Materials And Methods

**Cells and viruses.** MA104 cells (embryonic rhesus monkey kidney, ATCC®CRL-2378, RRID: CVCL_3845) were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's media (DMEM, Gibco®BRL) supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (FCS)(AMIMED, BioConcept, Switzerland) and penicillin (100 U/ml)-streptomycin (100 µg/ml)(Gibco, Life Technologies). MA-NSP5-BioID2 cell line was generated using a lentiviral system. Briefly, HEK293T cells were maintained in DMEM (Life Technologies) supplemented with 10% FBS (Life Technologies) and 50 µg/ml gentamycin (Biochrom AG). Approximately $7 \times 10^6$ HEK293T cells (human embryonic kidney, RRID: CVCL_0063) were seeded in a 10 cm$^2$ tissue culture dish 24 hours before transfection. For each well, 2.4 µg of pMD2- VSV-G, 4 µg of pMDLg pRRE, 1.8 µg of pRSV-Rev, and 1.5 µg pAIP-NSP5-BioID2 were co-transfected with Lipofectamine 3000 (Sigma-Aldrich) according to the manufacturer's instructions. After 48 h, the virus was collected and filtered with a 0.45-µm polyvinylidene fluoride filter. The virus stock was immediately used or stored at −80 °C. For lentiviral transduction, MA104 cells were transduced in six-well plates with 1 ml of lentiviral supernatant for 2 days. The positive cells were selected in 2 µg/ml puromycin.

Rotavirus porcine OSU strain (G5; P[9]), simian SA11 strain (G3; P6[1]), and rhesus RRV strain (G3; P5B[3]) were propagated in MA104 cells, as described previously (81). Virus titer

was determined as described previously by Eichwald et al. (21) and expressed as viroplasm-

forming units (VFU) per milliliter.

**Antibodies and reagents.** Guinea pig anti-NSP5, guinea pig anti-NSP2, mouse anti-NSP2,

guinea pig anti-VP2, and Mouse scFV anti-NSP5 clone 1F2 were described previously (15,

16, 59, 82). Mouse monoclonal (mAb) anti-VP6 (clone 2F) was a gift from Dr. N. Mattion

(CEVAN, Buenos Aires, Argentina). Mouse mAb anti-VP7 (clone 159), mouse anti-VP5

(clone 4G2), and mouse mAb anti-VP2 clone (3E8) were kindly provided by Harry B.

Greenberg (Stanford University, CA, USA). Rabbit anti-NSP3 and mouse anti-VP1 were

kindly provided by Susana López (UNAM, Mexico). Rabbit anti-NSP4 was kindly provided

by Daniel Luque (ISCIII, Madrid, Spain). Rabbit anti-CCT1 was purchased at Invitrogen.

Rabbit anti-CCT2 and rabbit polyclonal anti-CCT3 (A6547) were purchased at ABclonal.

Goat anti-mouse conjugated to 6nm colloidal gold particles and goat anti-rabbit conjugated to

12 nm colloidal gold particles were purchased from Jackson ImmunoResearch Europe Ltd.

Mouse anti-GAPDH (clone GAPDH-71.1, RRID: AB_1078991) was purchased from Sigma-

Aldrich. Mouse monoclonal anti-V5 was purchased at Abcam. Rat anti-Histone H3

phosphorylated Ser 328 (clone HTA28) S28P-Alexa 647 (RRID: AB_397065) was purchased

at Biolegend. Rabbit anti-cdc20 (RRID: AB_890558) was purchased at Bethyl Laboratories,

Inc. Mouse monoclonal anti-dsRed2 (RRID: AB_1562589) was purchased at Santa Cruz

Biotechnology, Inc.

Streptavidin-Dylight 488 was purchased at Invitrogen. Streptavidin-HRP was purchased at

Sigma Aldrich.

MG132, Paclitaxel (taxol), and nocodazole were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. UBEI-41

was purchased from NOVA biologicals. TRICi corresponds to 2-[(4- chloro-2λ4,1,3-

benzothiadiazol-5-yl)oxy]acetic acid, (STK526585) was chemically synthesized at Vitas M

Chemical (62).

**DNA plasmids.** pMD2.G (Addgene plasmid #12259, RRID:Addgene_12259),

pMDLg/pRRE (Addgene plasmid# 12251, RRID:Addgene_12251) and pRSV-Rev

(Addgene plasmid#12253, RRID:Addgene_12253) were a gift from Didier Trono (83).

pAIP(Addgene plasmid #74171, RRID:Addgene_74171) was a gift from Jeremy Luban

(84).

pAIP-NSP5-BioID2 was prepared by ligation of NSP5-BioID2 fragment in pAIP within

NotI and EcoRI restriction enzymes. NSP5-BiolD2 was synthesized as a gene block by

Genscript.

pcDNA-V5-VP1, pcDNA-VP1, pcDNA-VP2, pcDNA-NSP5, pcDNA-NSP3, pcDNA-VP4,

pcDNA-VP7, and pcDNA-VP6 were previously described (16, 18, 59, 85).

pCI-VP1-mCherry-(471-721)μNS was completely synthesized at Genscript (**Table S1**). pCI-

VP2-mCherry-(471-721)μNS, pCI-VP6-(471-721)mCherry were obtained by PCR

amplification of pT7-VP6-SA11 using specific primers to insert *Xho*I and *EcoR*I, followed by

ligation in-frame on those in pCI-mCherry-(471-721)μNS (68). pCI-VP3-mCherry-(471-

721)μNS was obtained by PCR amplification of pT7-VP3 (SA11) using specific primers to

insert *Xho*I and *Mlu*I, followed by ligation in-frame on those in pCI-mCherry-(471-721)μNS.

pCI-VP4-mCherry-(471-721)μNS was obtained by PCR amplification of pT7-VP4 (SA11)

using specific primers to insert *Nhe*I and *Mlu*I, followed by ligation in-frame on those in pCI-

mCherry-(471-721)μNS.    pCI-VP2-mCherry-(471-721)μNS,    pCI-NSP5-mCherry-(471-

721)μNS, pCI-NSP2-mCherry-(471-721)μNS were obtained by PCR amplification of

pcDNA-VP2, pcDNA-NSP5, pcDNA-NSP2 using specific primers to insert *EcoR*I and *Mlu*I,

followed by ligation in-frame on those sites in pCI-mCherry-(471-721)μNS. All the

oligonucleotides were obtained from Microsynth AG, Switzerland, and listed in Table **S1**.

**Pull-down assay.** MA-NSP5-BioID2 ($5 \times 10^6$) were infected with RV-SA11 (MOI of 5 VFU/

cell). For biotin labeling, cells were immediately washed after adsorption with PBS. Next, the

media was replaced by DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS, 50 µg/ml gentamycin, and 200

µM biotin (BRAND) and incubated for 17 h at 37˚C. Subsequently, the cells were gently

washed once in PBS and then lysed in lysis buffer (50 mM Tris pH 8.0, 500 mM NaCl, 0,1

mM EDTA). The cell lysate was harvested in a 1.5 ml tube and centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for

1 minute at 4˚C. Next, the supernatant was collected and incubated with 40 µl of Streptavidin

Mag Sepharose (GE Healthcare Life Sciences) in the rotator wheel for 1 h at 4°C. The beads

were subsequently washed three times with 500 µl of lysis buffer supplemented with 0.5 %

SDS, three times with lysis buffer supplemented with 1 % NP-40, and three times with lysis

buffer. The beads were then recovered and used for the downstream experiments.

**Mass spectrometry and proteomics.** MA104/NSP5-BioID2 cells ($1.5 \times 10^8$) were infected

with SA11 (MOI, 5), treated at 6 hpi with 100 µM biotin, and lysed 24 h post-transfection in

TNN buffer [Tris–HCl 100 mM (pH 8), NaCl 250 mM, NP-40 0.5 % with cOmplete protease

inhibitor cocktail (Roche)]. The pull-down of biotinylated proteins was performed using 100

µl StrAv Mag Sepharose™ (GE Healthcare) for each condition and incubated in a rotation

wheel for 3 h at 4°C. For mass spectrometry analysis, The washed biotin pull-downs were

digested directly with trypsin (200 ng) in 20 µl of 20 mM Triethyl Ammonium Bicarbonate

pH 8.5 for 16 hours at room temperature. The supernatant was removed, the

beads were washed once with 50 µl of 0.1 % formic acid, and the two fractions were pooled

and concentrated using STAGE tips (86). The samples were resuspended in 10 µl of 0.1%

Formic acid and analyzed by LC-MS/MS using a NanoEASY LC (Thermo) coupled with an

AMAZON ETD ion trap (Bruker Daltonics). The resulting spectra were searched against the

human and Rotavirus proteomes using the GPM (87). Results were filtered to remove all

results with an e-value > 0.05. Statistical analysis and plots were performed using R (4.1.3).

The figure was finalized in Adobe Illustrator 2022.

**Immunofluorescence.** Samples were processed for immunofluorescence as described in
detail by Vetter *et al.*, 2022 (29). Images were acquired at CLSM and processed with
imageJ2 version 2.3.0/1.53q (Creative Commons license).

**dsRNA genome segments extraction and electropherotype.** MA104 cells seeded at a
density of $5x10^5$ in a 60 mm tissue culture plate were reverse transfected with 10 nM of
indicated siRNA and 10 µl Lipofectamine RNAiMAX Transfection Reagent (ThermoFisher
Scientific) in a final volume of 5 ml as described above. At 48 hpt, cells were RV-infected
(MOI, 25 VFU/cell). For this purpose, cell monolayers were washed once with phosphate-
buffered saline (PBS) (137 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, 8 mM $Na_2HPO_4$, and 2 mM $KH_2PO_4$ pH
7.4) and adsorbed with 500 µl of diluted virus for 1 h at 4˚C. Then, 3 ml of DMEM serum-
free was added to the cells and incubated at 37˚C. At 6 hpi, media was removed, and cells
were harvested in 500 µl of RNA extraction buffer (0.5 % NP-40, 150 mM NaCl, 1.5 mM
$MgCl_2$, 10 mM Tris pH 7.4) in a 1.5 ml tube. Then, the dsRNA genome was recovered by
extraction with 500 µl phenol-chloroform pH 4.6, followed by ethanol-sodium acetate
precipitation (88). The pellet was resuspended in 20 µl of distilled water and mixed with 18
µl Gel Loading Dye (New England Biolabs, Inc.). Samples were resolved in a 10% SDS-
PAGE, stained for dsRNA genome segments with visualization with GelRed (Biotium) in
Odyssey Fc Imager (LI-COR Biosciences).

**Immune and transmission electron microscopy.** For immune electron microscopy, three
confluent T-75 flasks of MA104 cells per sample were OSU-infected (MOI, 50 VFU/cell).
For this purpose, the virus was adsorbed for 1h at 4˚C and incubated in DMEM serum-free
for 5.5 hpi at 37˚C. Then, cells were incubated for 30 min with media containing 10 µM
Taxol. At 6 hpi, cells were released with trypsin, harvested in complete medium, and spinned
down at 1500 rpm for 2 min at room temperature. The cellular pellets were prepared
according to the Tokuyasu method (89). Briefly, the cells were fixed with 4% formaldehyde

at room temperature, washed several times with 0.1 M Na-phosphate, pH 7.4, and pelleted for

3 min at 13'000 rpm and 37°C in 12 % gelatin. The gelatin-embedded blocks immersed in 2.3

M sucrose were kept overnight at 4°C, mounted on ultramicrotome specimen holders (UC6,

Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany), and frozen by plunging into liquid nitrogen. After

trimming to a suitable block size and shape, 70 nm sections were cut at -120°C using a dry

diamond knife (Diatome, Biel, Switzerland). Flat ribbons were picked up with a wire loop

filled with a drop composed of 1 % methylcellulose, 1.15 M sucrose in 0.1 M Na-phosphate,

pH 7.4, and transferred onto carbon-coated formvar films mounted on 100 hexagonal

mesh/inch copper grids. For antigen retrieval, the sections were incubated for 1 h at 40°C

with 0.1 Na-phosphate buffer pH 5.5, washed with 50 mM glycine, blocked with 1% BSA,

and incubated with mouse monoclonal anti-NSP5 (clone 1F2) at a dilution of 1:1 and rabbit

anti-CCT3 (Abclonal) at a dilution of 1:5 at room temperatures for 90 minutes, washed

several times with 0.1% BSA. Then, the samples were incubated for 45 min at room

temperature with a goat anti-mouse antibody conjugated to 6 nm colloidal gold particles and

a goat anti-rabbit antibody conjugated with 12 nm colloidal gold particles (Jackson

ImmunoResearch Laboratories, Inc. West Grove, PA, USA). After incubation, the samples

were washed with 0.1 M Na-phosphate pH 7.4 and distilled water and transferred to a

mixture of 1.8 % methylcellulose and 0.4 % uranyl acetate. After 5 min incubation, the grids

were looped out, and the solution excess was drained and air dried to obtain a remaining thin

film on the grid.

For transmission electron microscopy images, MA104 cells were siRNA reverse transfected

as described above at a density of $2x10^4$ cells per well in a 24-well tissue culture plate and

then immediately added the sapphire discs. At 48 hpt, cells were RV-infected at MOI of 75

VFU/cell, fixed at 6 hpi with 2.5 % glutaraldehyde in 100 mM Na/K phosphate buffer, pH

7.4 for 1 h at 4°C and kept in 100 mM Na/K phosphate buffer overnight at 4°C. Afterward,

samples were post-fixed in 1% osmium tetroxide in 100 mM Na/K phosphate buffer for 1 h

at 4˚C and dehydrated in a graded ethanol series starting at 70 %, followed by two changes in

acetone, and embedded in Epon. Ultrathin sections (60 to 80 nm) were cut and stained with

uranyl acetate and lead citrate.

All the samples were analyzed in a transmission electron microscope (CM12; Philips,

Eindhoven, The Netherlands) equipped with a charge-coupled-device (CCD) camera (Orius

SC1000A 1; Gatan, Pleasanton, CA, USA) run with a Digital Micrograph software (Gatan) at

an acceleration of 100 kV. Images were processed for publication using Image J (version

2.0.0-rc-69/2.52p)

**Quantification of viroplasms.** Viroplasms size, number, and frequency were quantified

previously(21, 28). The data were processed using Microsoft ®Excel for mac (version

16.61.1). Statistical analysis, unpaired parametric Welch's t-test comparison post-test, and

plots were performed using Prism 9 for macOS version 9.3.1 (GraphPad Software, LLC).

**Immunoblotting.** Cells seeded in twelve multi-well tissue culture plates at a density of $2x10^5$

cells per well were lysed directly by adding 25 μl of Laemmli sample buffer 4X (8 % SDS,

40 % glycerol, 200 mM Tris-HCl pH 6.8, 0.4 % bromophenol blue). The cell extracts were

heated for 5 min at 95ºC, sonicated for 5 sec at 14 Hz, and loaded in SDS-polyacrylamide

gel. The proteins were migrated at 30 mA per gel and successively transferred to 0.45 μm

Protan nitrocellulose membrane (Amersham). The membranes were blocked for 30 min in

5% milk-PBS and then incubated with primary and the corresponding secondary antibody

conjugated to IRdye 680 or ICW780 (LI-COR). Samples were acquired at Odyssey Fc

Imager (LI-COR Biosciences).

**Nanopore sequencing and data analysis.** For extract preparation, MA104 cells were seeded

at a density of $4x10^5$ cells/ well in six multiwell plates. The next day, the cells were washed

twice with PBS and then infected with porcine strain OSU (MOI of 12.5 VFU/cell) diluted in

250 µl of DMEM serum-free. After 1 h of adsorption at 4ºC, 750 µl DMEM serum-free were

added to the well, and the cells were transferred to an incubator at 37ºC. For experiments,

including TRICi inhibitor, media was replaced at 1 hpi by adding 1.5 ml serum-free media

containing either 2 % DMSO or 2.5 mM TRICi. At 6 hpi, the cells were lysed and RNA

purified using an RNeasy mini kit (QIAGEN, Switzerland). Specifically, the genomic DNA

was digested in-column using DNAse I as described by the manufacturer. RNA was eluted

with 30 µl of nuclease-free water by incubating for 1 min before spinning down. Then, the

column was re-eluted using the 30 µl elution. The RNA concentration and integrity were

determined using 1 µl in 4150 TapeStation System (Agilent). The rest of the sample was

immediately frozen at -80ºC. For denaturation of dsRNA, the total purified RNA was heated

for 5 min at 95ºC and then immediately placed on ice. Then, the RNA sample was prepared

as described at Direct RNA sequencing protocol from Oxford Nanopore Technologies

Limited, starting with an input of 1350 ng of RNA and a specific Reverse Transcription

Adapter (RTA). For this purpose, specific primers binding the 5'- and 3'-UTR of each RV

genome segment and to GAPDH were synthesized at Microsynth AG (Switzerland) and

described in **Table S2.** Oligo A was diluted to 2.8 µM TN buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5,

50 mM NaCl). Next, each oligo B, corresponding to RV 5'-and 3'-UTR of each genome

segment, was diluted in TN buffer to 0.116 µM are added to reach a final concentration of 2.8

µM of total oligo B. Then, oligos were mixed 1:1 and annealed in a PCR machine (95º C for

2 min and then -1°C per 15 sec to 25°). This oligonucleotide was used as a replacement for

RTA in the nanopore protocol. The samples were loaded on MinION Mk1B and started with

the base calling in real-time using Guppy basecalling software version (6.0.7+c7819bc)

(Oxford Nanopore Technologies Limited). The data analysis was performed using Minimap2

software (90) followed by RStudio software version (2022.02.0+443) (RStudio: Integrated

Development for R. RStudio, PBC, Boston, MA URL https://www.rstudio.com/).

The RNA sequence coverage from ONT is found in the SRA repository with the following

accession reference: PRJNA900220 (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra/PRJNA900220). All

data reported in this publication will be shared by the lead contact upon request.

**Statistical analysis.** Statistical analyses were performed using Prism 9 (version 9.4.1,

GraphPad Software) and RStudio software (version 2022.02.0+443, PBC, Boston, MA). The

error bars and statistic tests are indicated for each corresponding experiment. $p$ values of

>0.05 were considered statistically significant (*, $p<0.05$; **, $p<0.01$; ***, $p<0.001$).

**Acknowledgments**

This work was supported by the University of Zurich. A pre-doctoral ICGEB

fellowship also supported this project for GP. The funders had no role in study design, data

collection, interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication.

**Author contributions**. Conceptualization, J.V., G.P., O.R.B., C.F. and C.E.; Methodology,

J.V., G.P., K.T., M.M., O.R.B., C.F. and C.E.; Software, J.V. and K.T.; Validation, J.V., K.T.

and C.E.; Formal analysis, J.V., K.T., M.M. and C.E.; Investigation, J.V., G.P., M.K., M.M.,

M.W., E.M.S. and C.E.; Resources, J.V., G.P., O.R.B., C.F. and C.E.; Data Curation, J.V.,

K.T. and C.E.; Writing-Original Draft, J.V. and C.E.; Writing-Review & Editing, J.V. and

C.E.; Visualization; J.V. and C.E.; Supervision, O.R.B., C.F. and C.E.; Project

Administration, C.E.; Funding Acquisition, O.R.B. and C.F.

**Declaration of Interests**

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

**References**

1.     McNulty MS, Curran WL, McFerran JB. 1976. The morphogenesis of a cytopathic

bovine rotavirus in Madin-Darby bovine kidney cells. J Gen Virol 33:503-8.

2.   Saif LJ, Theil KW, Bohl EH. 1978. Morphogenesis of porcine rotavirus in porcine
kidney cell cultures and intestinal epithelial cells. J Gen Virol 39:205-17.

3.   Altenburg BC, Graham DY, Kolb Estes M. 1980. Ultrastructural study of rotavirus
replication in cultured cells. Journal of General Virology 46:75-85.

4.   Settembre EC, Chen JZ, Dormitzer PR, Grigorieff N, Harrison SC. 2011. Atomic
model of an infectious rotavirus particle. EMBO J 30:408-16.

5.   Li Z, Baker ML, Jiang W, Estes MK, Prasad BV. 2009. Rotavirus architecture at
subnanometer resolution. J Virol 83:1754-66.

6.   Patton JT, Jones MT, Kalbach AN, He YW, Xiaobo J. 1997. Rotavirus RNA
polymerase requires the core shell protein to synthesize the double-stranded RNA
genome. J Virol 71:9618-26.

7.   Papa G, Venditti L, Arnoldi F, Schraner EM, Potgieter C, Borodavka A, Eichwald C,
Burrone OR. 2020. Recombinant rotaviruses rescued by reverse genetics reveal the
role of NSP5 hyperphosphorylation in the assembly of viral factories. Journal of
Virology 94:1-23.

8.   Bravo JPK, Bartnik K, Venditti L, Acker J, Gail EH, Colyer A, Davidovich C, Lamb
DC, Tuma R, Calabrese AN, Borodavka A. 2021. Structural basis of rotavirus RNA
chaperone displacement and RNA annealing. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 118.

9.   Criglar JM, Anish R, Hu L, Crawford SE, Sankaran B, Prasad BVV, Estes MK. 2018.
Phosphorylation cascade regulates the formation and maturation of rotaviral
replication factories. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 115:E12015-E12023.

10.  Suzuki H, Konno T, Numazaki Y. 1993. Electron microscopic evidence for budding
process-independent assembly of double-shelled rotavirus particles during passage
through endoplasmic reticulum membranes. J Gen Virol 74 ( Pt 9):2015-8.

11.  Campagna M, Eichwald C, Vascotto F, Burrone OR. 2005. RNA interference of
rotavirus segment 11 mRNA reveals the essential role of NSP5 in the virus replicative
cycle. J Gen Virol 86:1481-7.

12.  Fabbretti E, Afrikanova I, Vascotto F, Burrone OR. 1999. Two non-structural
rotavirus proteins, NSP2 and NSP5, form viroplasm-like structures in vivo. J Gen
Virol 80 ( Pt 2):333-9.

13.  López T, Rojas M, Ayala-Bretón C, López S, Arias CF. 2005. Reduced expression of
the rotavirus NSP5 gene has a pleiotropic effect on virus replication. J Gen Virol
86:1609-17.

14.  Eichwald C, Jacob G, Muszynski B, Allende JE, Burrone OR. 2004. Uncoupling
substrate and activation functions of rotavirus NSP5: phosphorylation of Ser-67 by
casein kinase 1 is essential for hyperphosphorylation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A
101:16304-9.

15.  Eichwald C, Vascotto F, Fabbretti E, Burrone OR. 2002. Rotavirus NSP5: mapping
phosphorylation sites and kinase activation and viroplasm localization domains. J
Virol 76:3461-70.

16.  Afrikanova I, Fabbretti E, Miozzo MC, Burrone OR. 1998. Rotavirus NSP5
phosphorylation is up-regulated by interaction with NSP2. J Gen Virol 79 ( Pt
11):2679-86.

17.  Criglar JM, Hu L, Crawford SE, Hyser JM, Broughman JR, Prasad BVV, Estes MK.
2014. A novel form of rotavirus NSP2 and phosphorylation-dependent NSP2-NSP5
interactions are associated with viroplasm assembly. Journal of Virology 88:786-798.

18.  Contin R, Arnoldi F, Campagna M, Burrone OR. 2010. Rotavirus NSP5 orchestrates
recruitment of viroplasmic proteins. J Gen Virol 91:1782-93.

19.  Buttafuoco A, Michaelsen K, Tobler K, Ackermann M, Fraefel C, Eichwald C. 2020.
Conserved rotavirus NSP5 and VP2 domains interact and affect viroplasm. J Virol 94.

20. Eichwald C, Rodriguez JF, Burrone OR. 2004. Characterization of rotavirus NSP2/NSP5 interactions and the dynamics of viroplasm formation. J Gen Virol 85:625-34.

21. Eichwald C, Arnoldi F, Laimbacher AS, Schraner EM, Fraefel C, Wild P, Burrone OR, Ackermann M. 2012. Rotavirus viroplasm fusion and perinuclear localization are dynamic processes requiring stabilized microtubules. PLoS One 7:e47947.

22. Carreño-Torres JJ, Gutiérrez M, Arias CF, López S, Isa P. 2010. Characterization of viroplasm formation during the early stages of rotavirus infection. Virol J 7:350.

23. Zhou Y, Chen L, Du J, Hu X, Xie Y, Wu J, Lin X, Yin N, Sun M, Li H. 2020. MicroRNA-7 Inhibits rotavirus replication by targeting viral NSP5 in vivo and in vitro. Viruses 12.

24. Cheung W, Gill M, Esposito A, Kaminski CF, Courousse N, Chwetzoff S, Trugnan G, Keshavan N, Lever A, Desselberger U. 2010. Rotaviruses associate with cellular lipid droplet components to replicate in viroplasms, and compounds disrupting or blocking lipid droplets inhibit viroplasm formation and viral replication. Journal of virology 84:6782-6798.

25. Zambrano JL, Sorondo O, Alcala A, Vizzi E, Diaz Y, Ruiz MC, Michelangeli F, Liprandi F, Ludert JE. 2012. Rotavirus infection of cells in culture induces activation of RhoA and changes in the actin and tubulin cytoskeleton. PLoS One 7:e47612.

26. Chandra P, Banerjee S, Saha P, Chawla-Sarkar M, Patra U. 2022. Sneaking into the viral safe-houses: Implications of host components in regulating integrity and dynamics of rotaviral replication factories. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 12:977799.

27. Criglar JM, Estes MK, Crawford SE. 2022. Rotavirus-induced lipid droplet biogenesis is critical for virus replication. Front Physiol 13:836870.

28. Martínez JL, Eichwald C, Schraner EM, López S, Arias CF. 2022. Lipid metabolism is involved in the association of rotavirus viroplasms with endoplasmic reticulum membranes. Virology 569:29-36.

29. Vetter J, Papa G, Seyffert M, Gunasekera K, De Lorenzo G, Wiesendanger M, Reymond JL, Fraefel C, Burrone OR, Eichwald C. 2022. Rotavirus spike protein VP4 mediates viroplasm assembly by association to actin filaments. J Virol:e0107422.

30. Cabral-Romero C, Padilla-Noriega L. 2006. Association of rotavirus viroplasms with microtubules through NSP2 and NSP5. Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz 101:603-11.

31. Taraporewala Z, Chen D, Patton JT. 1999. Multimers formed by the rotavirus nonstructural protein NSP2 bind to RNA and have nucleoside triphosphatase activity. J Virol 73:9934-43.

32. Martin D, Duarte M, Lepault J, Poncet D. 2010. Sequestration of free tubulin molecules by the viral protein NSP2 induces microtubule depolymerization during rotavirus infection. J Virol 84:2522-32.

33. Jing Z, Shi H, Chen J, Shi D, Liu J, Guo L, Tian J, Wu Y, Dong H, Ji Z, Zhang J, Zhang L, Zhang X, Feng L. 2021. Rotavirus viroplasm biogenesis involves microtubule-based dynein transport mediated by an interaction between NSP2 and dynein intermediate Chain. J Virol 95:e0124621.

34. Geiger F, Acker J, Papa G, Wang X, Arter WE, Saar KL, Erkamp NA, Qi R, Bravo JPK, Strauss S, Krainer G, Burrone OR, Jungmann R, Knowles TPJ, Engelke H, Borodavka A. 2021. Liquid–liquid phase separation underpins the formation of replication factories in rotaviruses. The EMBO Journal 40:e107711.

35. Tao Y, Farsetta DL, Nibert ML, Harrison SC. 2002. RNA synthesis in a cage-- structural studies of reovirus polymerase lambda3. Cell 111:733-45.

674    36.    Ding K, Nguyen L, Zhou ZH. 2018. Structures of the polymerase complex and RNA
675            genome show how aquareovirus transcription machineries respond to uncoating. J
676            Virol 92.

677    37.    Zhang X, Ding K, Yu X, Chang W, Sun J, Zhou ZH. 2015. In situ structures of the
678            segmented genome and RNA polymerase complex inside a dsRNA virus. Nature
679            527:531-534.

680    38.    He Y, Shivakoti S, Ding K, Cui Y, Roy P, Zhou ZH. 2019. In situ structures of RNA-
681            dependent RNA polymerase inside bluetongue virus before and after uncoating. Proc
682            Natl Acad Sci U S A 116:16535-16540.

683    39.    Lu X, McDonald SM, Tortorici MA, Tao YJ, Vasquez-Del Carpio R, Nibert ML,
684            Patton JT, Harrison SC. 2008. Mechanism for coordinated RNA packaging and
685            genome replication by rotavirus polymerase VP1. Structure (London, England : 1993)
686            16:1678-1678.

687    40.    Ding K, Celma CC, Zhang X, Chang T, Shen W, Atanasov I, Roy P, Zhou ZH. 2019.
688            In situ structures of rotavirus polymerase in action and mechanism of mRNA
689            transcription and release. Nat Commun 10:2216.

690    41.    Jenni S, Salgado EN, Herrmann T, Li Z, Grant T, Grigorieff N, Trapani S, Estrozi LF,
691            Harrison SC. 2019. In situ structure of rotavirus VP1 RNA-dependent RNA
692            polymerase. J Mol Biol 431:3124-3138.

693    42.    Patton JT. 1996. Rotavirus VP1 alone specifically binds to the 3' end of viral mRNA,
694            but the interaction is not sufficient to initiate minus-strand synthesis. J Virol 70:7940-
695            7.

696    43.    Lopez T, Dalton K, Frydman J. 2015. The mechanism and function of group II
697            chaperonins. J Mol Biol 427:2919-30.

698    44.    Grantham J. 2020. The molecular chaperone CCT/TRiC: an essential component of
699            proteostasis and a potential modulator of protein aggregation. Front Genet 11:172.

700    45.    Wang DY, Kamuda K, Montoya G, Mesa P. 2020. The TRiC/CCT chaperonin and its
701            role in uncontrolled proliferation. Adv Exp Med Biol 1243:21-40.

702    46.    Kelly JJ, Tranter D, Pardon E, Chi G, Kramer H, Happonen L, Knee KM, Janz JM,
703            Steyaert J, Bulawa C, Paavilainen VO, Huiskonen JT, Yue WW. 2022. Snapshots of
704            actin and tubulin folding inside the TRiC chaperonin. Nat Struct Mol Biol 29:420-
705            429.

706    47.    Bugnon Valdano M, Massimi P, Broniarczyk J, Pim D, Myers M, Gardiol D, Banks
707            L. 2021. Human Papillomavirus infection requires the CCT Chaperonin Complex. J
708            Virol.

709    48.    Fislová T, Thomas B, Graef KM, Fodor E. 2010. Association of the influenza virus
710            RNA polymerase subunit PB2 with the host chaperonin CCT. J Virol 84:8691-9.

711    49.    Hafirassou ML, Meertens L, Umaña-Diaz C, Labeau A, Dejarnac O, Bonnet-Madin
712            L, Kümmerer BM, Delaugerre C, Roingeard P, Vidalain PO, Amara A. 2018. A
713            global interactome map of the dengue virus NS1 identifies virus restriction and
714            dependency host factors. Cell Rep 22:1364.

715    50.    Wang Y, Uraki R, Hwang J, Fikrig E. 2020. TRiC/CCT complex, a binding partner of
716            NS1 protein, supports the replication of zika virus in both mammalians and
717            mosquitoes. Viruses 12.

718    51.    Inoue Y, Aizaki H, Hara H, Matsuda M, Ando T, Shimoji T, Murakami K, Masaki T,
719            Shoji I, Homma S, Matsuura Y, Miyamura T, Wakita T, Suzuki T. 2011. Chaperonin
720            TRiC/CCT participates in replication of hepatitis C virus genome via interaction with
721            the viral NS5B protein. Virology 410:38-47.

52.    Gopal S, Perez E, Xia AY, Knowlton JJ, Cerqueira F, Dermody TS, Upton JW. 2018.
Murine cytomegalovirus M72 promotes acute virus replication in vivo and is a
substrate of the TRiC/CCT complex. Virology 522:92-105.
53.    Zhang J, Wu X, Zan J, Wu Y, Ye C, Ruan X, Zhou J. 2013. Cellular chaperonin
CCTγ contributes to rabies virus replication during infection. J Virol 87:7608-21.
54.    Wang Q, Huang WR, Chih WY, Chuang KP, Chang CD, Wu Y, Huang Y, Liu HJ.
2019. Cdc20 and molecular chaperone CCT2 and CCT5 are required for the Muscovy
duck reovirus p10.8-induced cell cycle arrest and apoptosis. Vet Microbiol 235:151-
163.
55.    Knowlton JJ, Fernández de Castro I, Ashbrook AW, Gestaut DR, Zamora PF, Bauer
JA, Forrest JC, Frydman J, Risco C, Dermody TS. 2018. The TRiC chaperonin
controls reovirus replication through outer-capsid folding. Nat Microbiol 3:481-493.
56.    Lingappa JR, Martin RL, Mei Lie W, Ganem D, Welch WJ, Lingappa VR. 1994. A
eukaryotic cytosolic chaperonin is associated with a high molecular weight
intermediate in the assembly of hepatitis B virus capsid, a multimeric particle. The
Journal of cell biology 125:99-112.
57.    Hong S, Choi G, Park S, Chung AS, Hunter E, Rhee SS. 2001. Type D retrovirus Gag
polyprotein interacts with the cytosolic chaperonin TRiC. J Virol 75:2526-34.
58.    Kim DI, Jensen SC, Noble KA, Kc B, Roux KH, Motamedchaboki K, Roux KJ. 2016.
An improved smaller biotin ligase for BioID proximity labeling. Mol Biol Cell
27:1188-96.
59.    Arnoldi F, Campagna M, Eichwald C, Desselberger U, Burrone OR. 2007. Interaction
of rotavirus polymerase VP1 with nonstructural protein NSP5 is stronger than that
with NSP2. J Virol 81:2128-37.
60.    Berois M, Sapin C, Erk I, Poncet D, Cohen J. 2003. Rotavirus nonstructural protein
NSP5 interacts with major core protein VP2. J Virol 77:1757-63.
61.    Jin M, Liu C, Han W, Cong Y. 2019. TRiC/CCT Chaperonin: Structure and Function.
Subcell Biochem 93:625-654.
62.    Pines A, Dijk M, Makowski M, Meulenbroek EM, Vrouwe MG, van der Weegen Y,
Baltissen M, French PJ, van Royen ME, Luijsterburg MS, Mullenders LH, Vermeulen
M, Vermeulen W, Pannu NS, van Attikum H. 2018. TRiC controls transcription
resumption after UV damage by regulating Cockayne syndrome protein A. Nat
Commun 9:1040.
63.    Camasses A, Bogdanova A, Shevchenko A, Zachariae W. 2003. The CCT chaperonin
promotes activation of the anaphase-promoting complex through the generation of
functional Cdc20. Mol Cell 12:87-100.
64.    Long CP, McDonald SM. 2017. Rotavirus genome replication: Some assembly
required. PLoS Pathog 13:e1006242.
65.    Cao MD, Ganesamoorthy D, Elliott AG, Zhang H, Cooper MA, Coin LJ. 2016.
Streaming algorithms for identification of pathogens and antibiotic resistance
potential from real-time MinION(TM) sequencing. Gigascience 5:32.
66.    Eichwald C, Ackermann M, Fraefel C. 2020. Mammalian orthoreovirus core protein
μ2 reorganizes host microtubule-organizing center components. Virology 549:13-24.
67.    Eichwald C, Kim J, Nibert ML. 2017. Dissection of mammalian orthoreovirus μ2
reveals a self-associative domain required for binding to microtubules but not to
factory matrix protein μNS. PLoS One 12:e0184356.
68.    Nguyen CL, Eichwald C, Nibert ML, Münger K. 2007. Human papillomavirus type
16 E7 oncoprotein associates with the centrosomal component gamma-tubulin. J Virol
81:13533-43.

69.    Miller CL, Arnold MM, Broering TJ, Eichwald C, Kim J, Dinoso JB, Nibert ML.
772            2007. Virus-derived platforms for visualizing protein associations inside cells. Mol
773            Cell Proteomics 6:1027-38.
774    70.    Satheshkumar PS, Anton LC, Sanz P, Moss B. 2009. Inhibition of the ubiquitin-
775            proteasome system prevents vaccinia virus DNA replication and expression of
776            intermediate and late genes. J Virol 83:2469-79.
777    71.    Ernst R, Mueller B, Ploegh HL, Schlieker C. 2009. The otubain YOD1 is a
778            deubiquitinating enzyme that associates with p97 to facilitate protein dislocation from
779            the ER. Mol Cell 36:28-38.
780    72.    Knowlton JJ, Gestaut D, Ma B, Taylor G, Seven AB, Leitner A, Wilson GJ, Shanker
781            S, Yates NA, Prasad BVV, Aebersold R, Chiu W, Frydman J, Dermody TS. 2021.
782            Structural and functional dissection of reovirus capsid folding and assembly by the
783            prefoldin-TRiC/CCT chaperone network. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 118.
784    73.    Trask SD, McDonald SM, Patton JT. 2012. Structural insights into the coupling of
785            virion assembly and rotavirus replication. Nat Rev Microbiol 10:165-77.
786    74.    Guglielmi KM, McDonald SM, Patton JT. 2010. Mechanism of intraparticle synthesis
787            of the rotavirus double-stranded RNA genome. J Biol Chem 285:18123-8.
788    75.    Papa G, Venditti L, Braga L, Schneider E, Giacca M, Petris G, Burrone OR. 2020.
789            CRISPR-Csy4-mediated editing of rotavirus double-stranded RNA genome. Cell Rep
790            32:108205.
791    76.    Zeng CQ, Estes MK, Charpilienne A, Cohen J. 1998. The N terminus of rotavirus
792            VP2 is necessary for encapsidation of VP1 and VP3. J Virol 72:201-8.
793    77.    McClain B, Settembre E, Temple BR, Bellamy AR, Harrison SC. 2010. X-ray crystal
794            structure of the rotavirus inner capsid particle at 3.8 A resolution. J Mol Biol 397:587-
795            99.
796    78.    Feldman DE, Spiess C, Howard DE, Frydman J. 2003. Tumorigenic mutations in
797            VHL disrupt folding *in vivo* by interfering with chaperonin binding. Mol Cell
798            12:1213-24.
799    79.    Miyata Y, Shibata T, Aoshima M, Tsubata T, Nishida E. 2014. The molecular
800            chaperone TRiC/CCT binds to the Trp-Asp 40 (WD40) repeat protein WDR68 and
801            promotes its folding, protein kinase DYRK1A binding, and nuclear accumulation. J
802            Biol Chem 289:33320-32.
803    80.    Vende P, Taraporewala ZF, Patton JT. 2002. RNA-binding activity of the rotavirus
804            phosphoprotein NSP5 includes affinity for double-stranded RNA. J Virol 76:5291-9.
805    81.    Arnold M, Patton JT, McDonald SM. 2009. Culturing, storage, and quantification of
806            rotaviruses. Curr Protoc Microbiol Chapter 15:Unit 15C.3.
807    82.    Petris G, Bestagno M, Arnoldi F, Burrone OR. 2014. New tags for recombinant
808            protein detection and O-glycosylation reporters. PLoS One 9:e96700.
809    83.    Dull T, Zufferey R, Kelly M, Mandel RJ, Nguyen M, Trono D, Naldini L. 1998. A
810            third-generation lentivirus vector with a conditional packaging system. J Virol
811            72:8463-71.
812    84.    Pertel T, Hausmann S, Morger D, Züger S, Guerra J, Lascano J, Reinhard C, Santoni
813            FA, Uchil PD, Chatel L, Bisiaux A, Albert ML, Strambio-De-Castillia C, Mothes W,
814            Pizzato M, Grütter MG, Luban J. 2011. TRIM5 is an innate immune sensor for the
815            retrovirus capsid lattice. Nature 472:361-5.
816    85.    De Lorenzo G, Drikic M, Papa G, Eichwald C, Burrone OR, Arnoldi F. 2016. An
817            inhibitory motif on the 5'UTR of several rotavirus genome segments affects protein
818            expression and reverse genetics strategies. PLoS One 11:e0166719.

819    86.    Rappsilber J, Ishihama Y, Mann M. 2003. Stop and go extraction tips for matrix-
820           assisted laser desorption/ionization, nanoelectrospray, and LC/MS sample
821           pretreatment in proteomics. Anal Chem 75:663-70.
822    87.    Craig R, Cortens JP, Beavis RC. 2004. Open source system for analyzing, validating,
823           and storing protein identification data. J Proteome Res 3:1234-42.
824    88.    Chen D, Gombold JL, Ramig RF. 1990. Intracellular RNA synthesis directed by
825           temperature-sensitive mutants of simian rotavirus SA11. Virology 178:143-51.
826    89.    Tokuyasu KT. 1973. A technique for ultracryotomy of cell suspensions and tissues. J
827           Cell Biol 57:551-65.
828    90.    Li H. 2018. Minimap2: pairwise alignment for nucleotide sequences. Bioinformatics
829           34:3094-3100.
830

**Figure Legends**

**Figure 1. Tandem mass spectrometry analysis of pull-down proteins recruited to NSP5-**

**BioID2 in viroplasms. a)** Immunofluorescence images of RV-infected MA/NSP5-BioID2

cells treated with biotin either at 1 hpi (left panel) or 5 hpi (right panel) and fixed at 6 or 24

hpi, respectively. Viroplasms were immunostained with anti-VP2 (red), and NSP5-BioID2

was detected with streptavidin-dylight488 (green). Nuclei were stained with DAPI (blue).

Non-infected (NI) control cells are indicated at the top row. The scale bar is 10 μm. **b)**

Western blot of non-infected and RV-infected MA/NSP5-BioID2 cell extracts pulled down

with streptavidin agarose beads. The cells were treated with 100 μM biotin for the indicated

time post-infection ($T_x$). The membranes were incubated with anti-NSP5 (left) and

streptavidin-HRP (right). Red brackets indicate the NSP5 hyperphosphorylation state. **c)**

Mass spectrometry of virus-infected and mock-infected cells. The log(I) values were

normalized by subtracting the log(I) value of the NSP5 protein signal. The identified proteins

were ranked according to the log of the normalized intensity signal from the mass

spectrometry analysis. Proteins identified in the mock-infected samples were colored blue (c1

and c2), and proteins identified in virus-infected samples were colored red (v1 and v2). The

sizes of the dots are inversely proportional to the log of the p-values from the mass

spectrometry.

**Figure 2. TRiC subunits localize in viroplasms surrounding virus particles. a)**

Immunofluorescence of RV-infected cells immunostained at 6 hpi for the detection of

viroplasms (anti-NSP5, green), microtubules (anti-alpha tubulin, cyan), and TRiC subunits

CCT1, CCT2, and CCT3 (red). Nuclei were stained with DAPI (blue). The white dashed box

represents the enlarged image at the right. White arrows point to the colocalization of

viroplasms with TRiC subunits. The scale bar is 10 µm. Immune electron microscopy of

viroplasm fixed at 6 hpi. **b)** The thin sections were co-immunostained with either anti-NSP5

conjugated to 6 nm gold **(b)** or anti-VP6 conjugated to 6 nm gold **(c)** followed by anti-CCT3

conjugated to 12 nm gold. The white dashed open boxes correspond to enlarged indicated

images. Red arrowheads and white arrows point to the localization of CCT3 and NSP5 or

VP6 surrounding DLPs. The scale bar is 200 nm.

**Figure 3. TRiC inhibition impairs viroplasm morphology and virus progeny. a)**

Immunofluorescence micrograph of OSU-infected MA104 cells untreated or treated with

1.25 mM or 2.5 mM TRICi and fixed at 6 hpi. The drug inhibitor was added at 1 or 5 hpi as

indicated. Cells were immunostained to detect viroplasms (anti- NSP5, green). Nuclei were

stained with DAPI (blue). An enlarged image of a single cell is provided in black and white.

The scale bar is 10 µm. Plots for quantification of numbers **(b)** and size **(c)** of viroplasms per

cell after TRICi treatment from 1 to 6 hpi. Data represent the mean± SD. n> 50 cells; (****),

$p < 0.0001$. **d)** Plot for virus progeny of RV-infected cells treated with TRICi during the

indicated time post-infection. Data represent the mean± SD of three independent

experiments; (****), $p < 0.0001$. **e)** Electropherotype of RV genome segments (gs) extracted

at 6 hpi from RV-infected cells non-treated (NT) and treated with 1.25 mM or 2.5 mM TRICi

for 5 h before cell lysis. **f)** Immunofluorescence micrograph of OSU-infected cells showing

the distribution of RV proteins after treatment with 1.25 mM TRICi since 1 hpi. At 6 hpi,

cells were fixed and immunostained to detect viroplasms (anti- NSP5) and the indicated RV

protein (using specific antibodies for each of them). Nuclei were stained with DAPI (blue).

Yellow arrows point to the viroplasm position in TRICi-treated cells. The scale bar is 10 µm.

**Figure 4. Inhibition of TRiC leads to empty DLPs. a)** High-definition electron microscopy

of OSU-infected MA104 cells untreated and treated with TRICi at the indicated

concentrations. The inhibitor was added at 1 hpi, and the samples were fixed at 6 hpi. The

red, open arrowheads point to the endoplasmic reticulum surrounding viroplasms. The scale

bar is 500 nm. **b)** Negative staining of purified virus particles isolated from OSU-infected

cells untreated or treated with 1.25 mM TRICi from 1 hpi. The average size of the purified

particles is indicated. The scale bar is 100 nm. **c)** Immunoblot of the purified OSU virus

particles after treatment with or without 1.25 mM TRICi added at 1 hpi. The membranes

were incubated with the indicated specific antibodies. **d)** Electropherotype of OSU genome

segments extracted from purified virus particles of cells treated with 1.25 mM TRICi from 1

hpi to 6 hpi. The corresponding dsRNA genome segments are indicated.

**Figure 5. Rotavirus negative-strand synthesis is hampered upon inhibition of TRiC. a)**

Oxford nanopore technology (ONT) for direct sequencing of rotavirus positive and negative

sense strand RNA of the eleven genome segments at 6 hpi. The plot indicates the depth of the

sequence coverage of the positive (blue line) and negative (red line) sense strand RNA for the

eleven RV genome segments. The genome segments encoding for nonstructural and

structural proteins are indicated. **b)** Plot indicating the distribution of positive and negative

sequence reads for each of the eleven genome segments. **c)** Plot indicating the cumulative

ratio between positive and negative RNA sequence reads for the eleven genome segments. **d)**

Direct RNA sequencing using ONT of RV-infected cell extracts untreated (DMSO, upper

panel) or treated with 2.5 mM TRICi (TRICi, lower panel) at 6 hpi. The chemical compound

was added at 1 hpi until cell lysis. The plot indicates the depth of the sequence coverage of

the positive (blue line) and negative (red line) for the eleven RV genome segments. The

genome segments encoding for nonstructural and structural proteins are indicated. Plot for the

distribution of the positive **(e)** and negative **(f)** sequence reads of RNA isolated from DMSO

and TRICi-treated RV-infected cells. **(g)** Plot comparing the ratio between positive and

negative RNA sequence reads of RV-infected cell extracts treated with DMSO or TRICi.

**Figure 6. VP2 and VP1 recruit TRiC in viroplasms. a)** *In vivo* protein-protein interaction

platform assay using as "bait" mCh-µNS fused at N-terminal with the indicated RV-proteins.

The "fish" interactors correspond to the TRiC subunit, CCT3.  At 24 hpt, the cells were fixed

and immunostained for CCT3 (anti-CCT3, green). Nuclei were stained with DAPI (blue).

Scale bar is 10 µm. In vivo protein-protein interaction platform for VP2-mCh-µNS (middle

row) and VP1-mCh-µNS (lower row) with TRiC components CCT1 **(b)** and CCT2 **(c)**.

Negative control mCh-µNS (upper row) is shown. At 24 hpt, cells were fixed and

immunostained for CCT1 (anti-CCT1, green) or CCT2 (anti-CCT2, green). Nuclei were

stained with DAPI. Scale bar is 10 µm. Yellow arrowheads point to mCh-µNS globular

cytosolic platforms. Each plot corresponds to the intensity profile of the linear region of

interest (LROI) indicated in the corresponding left image frame.

**Figure 7. VP1 enhances the recruitment of TRiC components into VLSs. a)**

Immunofluorescence images of VLSs composed of the indicated RV proteins. At 16 hpt, the

cells were fixed and immunostained to detect VLS (anti-NSP5, green) and CCT3 (anti-CCT3,

red). The white arrowheads point to the colocalization of CCT3 in VLS. Scale bar is 10 µm.

**b)** Immunofluorescence images of VLS composed of NSP5 and NSP2 in the absence (left

panel) and presence (right panel) of V5-VP1. At 16 hpt, the cells were fixed and

immunostained for the detection of VLS (anti-NSP5, green), V5-VP1 (anti-V5, red), and the

TRiC components CCT1, CCT2, or CCT3 (anti-CCT1, -CCT2, or -CCT3, cyan). Nuclei were

stained with DAPI (blue). Scale bar is 10 µm.

**Figure 8. VP2 folding is TRIC-dependent. a)** Immunoblotting showing the expression of

RV protein at 6 hpi. RV-infected cells (MOI: 25 VFU/cell) were untreated or treated with 2.5

mM TRICi at 1 hpi followed by treatment without or with 10 µM UBEI-41 at 3 hpi. Next, the

membranes were incubated with specific antibodies to detect the indicated RV proteins. **b)**

Plot for the expression of the indicated RV proteins normalized to the corresponding

untreated condition (black bar). The data correspond to at least three independent

experiments analyzed with one-sample t-test using a theoretical value equal to 1. (*), $p<0.05$

and (**), $p<0.01$. **c)** Immunoblotting showing RV proteins expressed in the absence of other

virus proteins at 22 hpt. At 3.5 hpt, cells were untreated or treated with 2.5 mM TRICi

followed by the addition, when indicated, of 10 µM UBEI-41 at 7 hpt. Then, the membranes

were incubated with specific RV antibodies. Except for V5-VP1, which was incubated with

mouse mAb anti-V5. **d)** Plot for the expression of RV proteins in the absence of other virus

proteins normalized to the corresponding untreated condition (black bars). The data

correspond to at least three independent experiments analyzed with one-sample t-test using a

theoretical value equal to 1. (*), $p<0.05$ and (**), $p<0.01$. **e)** Immunoblotting of cellular

extract co-expressing VP2 without or with Flag-YOD-1 (C160S), a dominant negative

deubiquitinase, after 22 hpt. The cells were untreated or treated with 2.5 mM TRICi at 3 hpt.

Then, the membranes were incubated with the indicated specific antibodies.

# Tables

**Table 1**. List of ranked data obtained from mass spectrometry analysis of RV-infected MA-NSP5-BioID2 pulled-down extracts

| Symbol | Accession | Control 1 | | Control 2 | | RV 1 | | RV 2 | | MW [kDa] |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | log(e) | log(I) | log(e) | log(I) | log(e) | log(I) | log(e) | log(I) | |
| ACACA | ENSP00000344789 | -193.9 | 4.4 | -219 | 8.65 | -67.2 | 4.03 | -135.2 | 8.25 | 269.8 |
| FLNA | ENSP00000353467 | -129 | 4.28 | -204.4 | 8.73 | -34.9 | 3.76 | -206.9 | 8.67 | 279.8 |
| NSP5 | gi\|210136690\| | -59.3 | 4.59 | -98.1 | 9.28 | -113.4 | 4.99 | -143.5 | 9.57 | 21.7 |
| TCP1 | ENSP00000317334 | -2.4 | 2.78 | -69.9 | 7.99 | -14.5 | 3.24 | -87 | 7.85 | 60.3 |
| AHNAK | ENSP00000367263 | -21 | 3.63 | -66.3 | 7.74 | -1.5 | 3.1 | -7.5 | 7.19 | 628.7 |
| CCT7 | ENSP00000258091 | -6 | 3.5 | -38.3 | 7.93 | -7.7 | 3.31 | -37.1 | 7.97 | 59.3 |
| CCT4 | ENSP00000377958 | -4.1 | 3.12 | -37.6 | 7.59 | -1.8 | 3.05 | -40.8 | 7.69 | 57.9 |
| SRSF1 | ENSP00000258962 | -20.2 | 3.84 | -9.6 | 7.62 | -23.8 | 3.6 | -15.1 | 8.14 | 27.7 |
| CCT8 | ENSP00000373811 | -7.3 | 3.29 | -25.7 | 7.66 | -12.1 | 3.42 | -20.3 | 7.1 | 59.4 |
| CCT5 | ENSP00000280326 | -1.1 | 3.07 | -30.3 | 7.73 | -3.5 | 3.14 | -30.4 | 7.84 | 59.6 |
| CCT3 | ENSP00000295688 | -8.6 | 3.18 | -3.7 | 8.1 | -6.9 | 3.34 | -11.3 | 8.05 | 60.5 |
| TKFC | ENSP00000378360 | -1.5 | 2.76 | -2.9 | 7.86 | -1.4 | 2.9 | -9.3 | 8.44 | 58.9 |
| MCCC1 | ENSP00000265594 | -6 | 3.48 | -13.7 | 7.04 | | | | | |
| DVL3 | ENSP00000316054 | -2.1 | 2.76 | -4 | 7.32 | | | | | |
| VP2 | gi\|210136693\| | | | | | -40.2 | 3.94 | -53.9 | 7.58 | 102.7 |
| NSP2 | gi\|210136684\| | | | | | -32.7 | 3.9 | -38.8 | 8.19 | 36.5 |
| VP1 | gi\|210136695\| | | | | | -9.9 | 3.33 | -59.2 | 7.92 | 125.1 |

a) The proteins were ranked on statistical confidence of the match.
b) Control and RV correspond to non-infected and RV-infected samples—Illustrated data from duplicated analysis.
c) Accession: the accession number for the matched protein.
d) Log (e): the statistical confidence of the match, expressed as $\log_{10}$ of the e-value.
e) Log (I): measure the overall intensity of the match.
f) The predicted molecular weight of the identified protein is indicated.

954 **Table 2:** ONT average coverage depth for (+) and (-) ssRNAs isolated from cells infected
955 with porcine OSU strain.

| RNA sequence[a] | Average coverage depth (reads/nucleotide) | |
|---|---|---|
| | positive strand | negative strand |
| gs 1 (VP1) | 3282.45 | 196.16 |
| gs 2 (VP2) | 3901.18 | 261.65 |
| gs 3 (VP3) | 1223.30 | 271.19 |
| gs 4 (VP4) | 2109.55 | 132.48 |
| gs 5 (NSP1) | 528.93 | 671.66 |
| gs 6 (VP6) | 7705.13 | 864.61 |
| gs 7 (NSP3) | 7465.08 | 607.89 |
| gs 8 (NSP2) | 7987.08 | 343.86 |
| gs 9 (VP7) | 5845.46 | 1154.66 |
| gs 10 (NSP4) | 7906.21 | 1060.12 |
| gs 11 (NSP5) | 7858.12 | 1632.10 |
| [b] GAPDH | 4.12 | 0.00 |

956 [a] Rotavirus porcine strain OSU.
957 [b] Sequence covering GAPDH of *Macaca fascicularis* (MA104 cells)
958
959
960 **Table 3:** ONT average coverage depth for (+) and (-)ssRNAs isolated of OSU infected cells
961 untreated or treated with TRICi.

| RNA sequence[a] | Average coverage depth (reads/nucleotide) | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | DMSO | | TRICi | |
| | positive strand | negative strand | positive strand | negative strand |
| gs 1 (VP1) | 1234.23 | 90.94 | 1874.40 | 26.46 |
| gs 2 (VP2) | 2055.77 | 128.91 | 2340.52 | 33.37 |
| gs 3 (VP3) | 414.28 | 117.13 | 936.93 | 31.11 |
| gs 4 (VP4) | 1021.22 | 36.40 | 1283.85 | 9.83 |
| gs 5 (NSP1) | 1167.30 | 261.97 | 275.04 | 58.00 |
| gs 6 (VP6) | 5392.04 | 251.83 | 5365.27 | 75.08 |
| gs 7 (NSP3) | 1195.27 | 183.01 | 1986.40 | 44.96 |
| gs 8 (NSP2) | 6346.81 | 116.86 | 6825.37 | 37.34 |
| gs 9 (VP7) | 7771.91 | 226.33 | 7526.26 | 77.71 |
| gs 10 (NSP4) | 5846.58 | 345.27 | 7324.93 | 108.73 |
| gs 11 (NSP5) | 7377.46 | 383.15 | 7548.64 | 131.79 |
| [b] GAPDH | 7.47 | 0.00 | 4.33 | 0.00 |

962 [a] MA104 infected with porcine strain OSU
963 [b] Sequence covering GAPDH of *Macaca fascicularis* (MA104 cells)
964
965

966
967

*a)*

*b)*

*c)*

*a)*

*b)*