New insights into the role of *Cutibacterium acnes*-derived extracellular vesicles in inflammatory skin disorders

Maria Pol Cros[1] , Júlia Mir-Pedrol[1][2], Lorena Toloza[1], Nastassia Knödlseder[1], Julien Maruotti[3], Marc Güell[1] and Maria-José Fábrega Fernández[1]*.

[1] Department of Medicine and Life Sciences, Universitat Pompeu Fabra, Barcelona, ES
[2]Universitaet Tuebingen Fachbibliothek Mathematik und Physik Tubingen, Baden-Württemberg, DE [3] Phenocell, Grasse, FR.

* maria-jose.fabrega@upf.edu

ABSTRACT

Background: *Cutibacterium acnes* is one of the most prevalent bacteria that form the human skin microbiota and, depending on multifactorial conditions, may act as an opportunistic skin pathogen or can help to maintain the skin homeostasis. Actually, different phylotypes of *C. acnes* have been associated with different degrees of acne vulgaris development, while others, such as the H1 subtype, have been detected in patients with non-acneic skin. However, due to the physiology of the skin, the skin microbiota neither has direct access to the skin's sebaceous glands nor to the main immune cells, as they are protected by a sebum layer. Therefore, the inter-kingdom communication relies on secreted factors and bacterial extracellular vesicles (EVs). In this context, the purpose of this project is to study the role of EVs secreted by three different phylotypes of *C. acnes* (A1 as pathogenic, H1 as beneficial and H2 as commensal).

Results: Main findings showed that the proteomic profile of the cargo embodied in the EVs reflects unique characteristics of the different *C. acnes* phylotypes in terms of lifestyle, survival and virulence. Moreover, *in vitro* skin models showed an extended pro-inflammatory modulation of A1 EVs, while H1 EVs displayed a high sebum-reducing potential.

Conclusions: This study has highlighted the role of *C. acnes* EVs as key modulators during skin

alterations, specially H1 EVs as an alternative based-natural treatment to fight acne vulgaris

symptomatology.

Keywords: Microbiome, Extracellular vesicles (EVs), *Cutibacterium acnes*, Skin inflammation

and Acne vulgaris.

1. INTRODUCTION

The human body harbours a flourishing diversity of microbes that form a dynamic and functional

system that develops in synergy with the physiological development of its host (Rackaityte &

Lynch, 2020). The human microbiome resides primarily in the skin, the oral mucosa and the

gastrointestinal tracts (Paetzold et al., 2019). Recent data demonstrates that the specific

composition of the microbial community is associated with health and disease, which suggests

that a detailed characterization of the microbial community would reveal important commensal

host-microbiome as well as microbe-microbe interactions with therapeutic implications (Blum,

2017). Over the past decade, researchers have discovered evidence of extensive communication

between bacteria, skin cells and immune cells. These interactions are aimed at strengthening and

repairing the barrier formed by the skin, reinforcing the body's defences against infection and

reducing excess inflammation (Eisenstein, 2020). Nonetheless, failure of this communication can

disrupt the protective barrier of the skin and lead to dysbiosis between commensals and

pathogens.

One of the most common bacteria present in the normal human skin microbiota is the Gram-

positive anaerobic bacterium, *Cutibacterium acnes* (*C. acnes*) which dominates the pilosebaceous

units (McLaughlin et al., 2019). *C. acnes* helps to preserve and support the natural microbial

balance of the skin but under certain conditions, it can also substantially alter its local environment

and cause disease (Christensen et al., 2016; O'Neill & Gallo, 2018; Shu et al., 2013). In fact, this

bacterium is linked to a wide range of skin diseases, including acne vulgaris. Although the

aetiology and pathogenesis of acne are still uncertain, microbiome alterations are thought to be

one of the principal mechanisms behind the development of acne (Paetzold et al., 2019). Recently, some authors have described that different phylotypes of *C. acnes* have different degrees of association with acne vulgaris (Fitz-Gibbon et al., 2013; Lomholt & Kilian, 2010; McDowell et al., 2013; McLaughlin et al., 2019). This brings into question how bacteria communicate with host cells to maintain homeostasis of the skin microbiota if sebaceous glands and immune cells are protected by a layer of sebum from external environment and skin microorganisms. This communication is known to be mediated by bacterial extracellular vesicles (EVs), which are nano-sized lipid bilayer vesicles approximately 20-500 nm in diameter that can pass through the lipid barrier and interact with host cells (Bajic et al., 2020; Brown et al., 2015).

Since EVs play a key role in interkingdom communication and immune modulation, in the present study, different *in vitro* models mimicking human skin have been established to assay the potential of different *C. acnes* EVs. In this context and based on previous publications (Choi et al., 2018a; Chudzik et al., 2022; Paetzold et al., 2019), we decided to study the role that EVs from three different phylotypes of *C. acnes* play in the onset of acne, considering *C. acnes* A1 phylotype (clade IA1) as a pathogenic phylotype, *C. acnes* H1 phylotype (clade IB) as a probiotic phylotype, and *C. acnes* H2 phylotype (clade IB) as a commensal one. Moreover, proteomic characterization of *C. acnes* A1, H1 and H2 EVs has been carried out to determine the relationship of their protein cargo with the skin microbiota. Finally, a novel model mimicking acne-prone skin has been set up in which the sebum-reducing potential of EVs from different *C. acnes* phylotypes has also been tested.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Bacterial phylotypes and culture conditions

C. acnes phylotypes used in this study were extracted from healthy human skin (A1 and H1) or commercially acquired (H2, KPA17202 from DSMZ), typed by SLST typing and we previously sequenced. Sequencing data can be found in the European nucleotide Archive under project number PRJEB42527.

Initial cultures were always started from glycerol stocks (stored at −80°C) and seeded on Brucella

agar plates which were incubated for 4-5 days at 37°C under anaerobic conditions using the BD

GasPak EZ anaerobic bag system.

2.2 Isolation of EVs

The process followed for the isolation of EVs consisted of several steps: cultivation,

centrifugation, concentration or ultrafiltration and ultracentrifugation (Fig. S1).

In the cultivation step, *C. acnes* phylotypes A1, H1 and H2 were collected from a Brucella agar

plate using sterile swabs (Deltalab) and were transferred into 1 L of Brucella liquid medium. After

7 to 10 days at 37°C with 300 rpm of stirring and maintaining anaerobic conditions, the culture

was centrifuged in 250 mL sterile bottles at 10,000 x g for 30 min and at 4°C. For this step, a

Beckman Coulter Avanti JXN-26 high-velocity centrifuge with the J-LITE JLA-16.250 Fixed-

Angle Aluminium Rotor were used. Then, the pellet was discarded, and the supernatant (SN) was

filtered using the Thermo Scientific Nalgene Rapid-Flow 75 mm Filter Unit (500 mL) to avoid

bacterial contamination. Afterwards, the SN was concentrated by a Centricon Plus-70 10 KDa

system using the JS-5.3 Swinging-Bucket Rotor. To ensure free contamination during the process,

an extra step of 0.22 μm filtration was added to the protocol. The filtered and concentrated SN

was then ultra-centrifuged in a Beckman Coulter optima L-100 XP ultracentrifuge using the

SW41 Ti Swinging-Bucket Rotor at 154,300 x g for 2h and at 4°C to precipitate the EVs. The

pellet containing the isolated *C. acnes* EVs was washed with 1X phosphate buffered-saline (PBS)

buffer and ultra-centrifuged again for 1h under the same conditions as in the previous step.

Finally, the SN was discarded, and the pellet was resuspended with 200 μL of PBS buffer and

kept in an Eppendorf at −20°C. To ensure non-bacterial presence in EVs samples, 10 μL of each

batch were seeded in a Brucella agar plate and incubated for 4-5 days at 37°C under anaerobic

conditions.

2.3 EVs labelling protocol

EVs labelling was performed as previously described by (Bajic et al., 2020; Cañas et al., 2016;

Fábrega et al., 2017). Briefly, isolated A1 EVs were resuspended in PBS buffer, centrifuged at

154,300 x g for 2h at 4°C, and resuspended in labelling buffer (50 mM Na_2CO_3, 100 mM NaCl,

pH 9.2) in the presence of 1 mg/mL of octadecyl rhodamine B-R18 (Invitrogen) and incubated

for 1 h at 25°C. Labelled A1 EVs were pelleted by ultracentrifugation at 154,300 x g for 1h at

4°C, resuspended in PBS buffer (0.2 M NaCl) and washed three times to fully remove the

unbound dye. After the final ultracentrifugation step, B-R18 labelled A1 EVs were resuspended

in PBS buffer (0.2 M NaCl) containing a protease inhibitory cocktail (Complete Protease

Inhibitory Tablets, Roche) and were stored at -20°C.

2.4 Negative staining and Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM)

Isolated *C. acnes* H1 EVs were examined by TEM after negative staining, as described in previous

publications (Aguilera et al., 2014). In this particular case, EVs were resuspended in TRIS buffer

as PBS buffer is not compatible with uranyl acetate. A drop of EVs suspension was absorbed for

2 min on Formvar/carbon-coated grids that were previously activated with UV light. The grids

were washed with distilled water, stained with 2% uranyl acetate for 1 min, air dried and examined

by TEM (*Parc Científic de Barcelona*).

2.5 Nanoparticle Tracking Analysis (NTA) of EVs

EVs resuspended in 200 μL of PBS buffer were sent to *Institut de Ciència de materials de*

Barcelona to be examined with the Malvern Nanosight NS300 instrument. This system uses NTA

technology characterize nanoparticles in suspension in the size range of 10-2000 nm. A video is

taken and the NTA software tracks the Brownian motion of individual EVs and calculates their

size and total concentration.

2.6 Determination of protein concentration and SDS-PAGE

Protein concentrations in EVs samples were determined using the Qubit Protein Assay Kit

(Thermo Fisher Scientific). Measuring was done using the Qubit Fluorometer (Thermo Fisher

Scientific). This assay was performed at room temperature using specific Qubit assay tubes (Cat.

no. Q32856).

For protein separation, the samples were subjected to sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel

electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) using the Mini Gel Tank electrophoresis system (Invitrogen).

Proteins were denatured in a loading buffer (4X LDS sample buffer + β -mercaptoethanol) at

98°C for 5 min. Then, 10 µL of PageRuler Protein Ladder and 7 µg of each sample of proteins

mixed with 5 µL of loading buffer were loaded and separated on a NuPAGE 4 to 12% Bis-Tris

Gel (Invitrogen) using MOPS SDS Running Buffer 20X (Life technologies) at a constant voltage

of 100 V and for 40 min. After the run was completed, several bands were identified. Gel images

were visualized in the ChemiDoc MP Imaging System (Bio-Rad).

2.7 Proteomic analysis: EVs preparation

EVs were lysed to get a total representation of their protein content. To do that, liquid nitrogen

(N_2) was used to obtain beads of the EVs solutions of each *C. acnes* phylotype. Then, 0.5 g of

beads were disrupted using the Freezer Mill. Conditions used for the lysis were: precooling for 5

min, running step for 2 min, 2 cycles of interval and at a rate of 10 cycles per second (CPS). The

powder obtained was resuspended in RIPA buffer supplemented with complete protease

inhibitory tablets (Roche). Afterwards, total protein was precipitated with acetone and the final

precipitate was resuspended with a quantity ranging from 50 µL to 250 µL of 6 M urea to have a

minimum of 8 µg of protein. Qubit protein assay was performed to determine the estimated

quantity of protein in each EV sample.

2.8 Identification of EVs proteins by LC-MS/MS analysis

For the identification of the EVs proteins, mass spectrometry was performed by the UPF/CRG

Proteomics Unit.

Samples (8 µg) were reduced with dithiothreitol (24 nmol, 37°C, 60 min) and alkylated in the

dark with iodoacetamide (48 nmol, 25°C, 30 min). The resulting protein extract was first diluted

to 2M urea with 200 mM ammonium bicarbonate for digestion with endoproteinase Lys-C (1:10

w:w, 37°C, o/n, Wako, cat # 129-02541), and then diluted 2-fold with 200 mM ammonium

bicarbonate for trypsin digestion (1:10 w:w, 37°C, 8h, Promega cat # V5113). After digestion,

the peptide mix was acidified with formic acid and desalted with a MicroSpin C18 column (The

Nest Group, Inc) prior to LC-MS/MS analysis.

Afterwards, samples were analysed using the LTQ - Orbitrap Fusion Lumos mass spectrometer

(Thermo Fisher Scientific, San Jose, CA, USA) coupled with an EASY-nLC 1200 (Thermo Fisher

Scientific (Proxeon), Odense, Denmark). Peptides were loaded directly onto the analytical

column and were separated by reversed-phase chromatography using a 50-cm column with an

inner diameter of 75 µm, packed with a 2 µm C18 particles spectrometer (Thermo Scientific).

Chromatographic gradients started at 95% buffer A and 5% buffer B with a flow rate of 300

nL/min for 5 min and gradually increased to 25% buffer B and 75% A in 79 min and then to 40%

buffer B and 60% A in 11 min. After each analysis, the column was washed for 10 min with 10%

buffer A and 90% buffer B. Buffer A: 0.1% formic acid in water. Buffer B: 0.1% formic acid in

80% acetonitrile.

The mass spectrometer was operated in positive ionization mode with nanospray voltage set at

2.4 kV and source temperature at 305°C. The acquisition was performed in data-dependent

acquisition (DDA) mode and full MS scans with 1 micro scan at a resolution of 120,000 were

used over a mass range of m/z 350-1400 with detection in the Orbitrap mass analyser, auto gain

control (AGC) was set to "Standard" and maximum injection time to "Auto". In each cycle of

data-dependent acquisition analysis, following each survey scan, the most intense ions above a

threshold ion count of 10000 were selected for fragmentation. The number of selected precursor

ions for fragmentation was determined by the "Top Speed" acquisition algorithm and a dynamic

exclusion of 60 seconds. Fragment ion spectra were produced via high-energy collision

dissociation (HCD) at a normalized collision energy of 28% and they were acquired in the ion

trap mass analyser. AGC was set to 2E4, and an isolation window of 0.7 m/z and a maximum

injection time of 12 ms were used. Digested bovine serum albumin (New England Biolabs cat #

P8108S) was analysed between each sample to avoid sample carryover and to assure stability of

the instrument and QCloud (Chiva et al., 2018) has been used to control instrument longitudinal

performance during the project.

Acquired spectra were analysed using the Proteome Discoverer software suite (v2.0, Thermo

Fisher Scientific) and the Mascot search engine (v2.6, Matrix Science (Perkins et al., n.d.)). The

data were searched against NCBI *Cutibacterium acnes* phylotype H2171202 database (as in

August 2021, 2476 entries, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/NC_006085.1). For peptide

identification a precursor ion mass tolerance of 7 ppm was used for MS1 level, trypsin was chosen

as the enzyme, and up to three missed cleavages were allowed. The fragment ion mass tolerance

was set to 0.5 Da for MS2 spectra. Oxidation of methionine and N-terminal protein acetylation

were used as variable modifications whereas carbamidomethylation on cysteines was set as a

fixed modification. The false discovery rate (FDR) in peptide identification was set to a maximum

of 5%. Peptide quantification data were retrieved from the "Precursor ion area detector" node

from Proteome Discoverer (v2.0) using 2 ppm mass tolerance for the peptide extracted ion current

(XIC). The obtained values were used to calculate protein fold-changes and their corresponding

adjusted p-values.

2.9 Bioinformatic analysis

Proteins found by mass spectrometry were annotated from the UniProt database (UniProt

Consortium, 2021). Pseudo proteins or proteins lacking information in UniProt were removed

from the analysis. Only proteins with an area found in two or three of the replicas were considered.

The mean of the areas of each protein was calculated.

Proteins present only in one of the three phylotype EVs were selected for further analysis. The

information on biological processes, cellular components and molecular functions was obtained

and manually curated to classify each protein into a category.

2.10 *In vitro* human cell lines maintenance

The immortalized keratinocytes cell line (HaCaT), bought in the American Type Culture

Collection (ATCC), were cultured in T-75 culture flasks (Thermo Scientific) with Dulbecco's

Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM, Gibco) supplemented with 10% of Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS)

and 0.1% of penicillin and streptomycin. They were incubated at 37°C in 5% of CO_2, and 95% of

humidity until 90-100% of confluency.

The immortalized sebocytes cell line (SZ95) was donated by the Laboratory for Biogerontology,

Dermato-Pharmacology and Dermato-Endocrinology at the Departments of Dermatology,

Venereology, Allergology and Immunology of the Dessau Medical Centre. Sebocytes were

cultured in T-75 culture flasks (Thermo Scientific) with Sebomed basal medium (DMEM/F12,

Gibco) supplemented with 10% of FBS, 0.1% of penicillin and streptomycin, 5 ng/mL of

recombinant human epidermal growth factor (EGFr) and 500 μL of filtrated calcium chloride

($CaCl_2$) 1M. They were incubated at 37°C in 5% of CO_2, and 95% of humidity until 90-100% of

confluency.

The immortalized T lymphocytes cell line (Jurkat, Clone E6-1), bought in the ATCC, were

cultured in T-75 culture flasks (Thermo Scientific) with Roswell Park Memorial Institute medium

(RPMI, Gibco) supplemented with 10% of FBS and 0.1% of penicillin and streptomycin. They

were incubated at 37°C in 5% of CO_2, and 95% of humidity until 90-100% of confluency.

PCi-SEB, derived from human iPSC with a Caucasian phototype (Phenocell), were seeded at a

density of 25.000 viable cells/cm^2 on fibronectin-coated tissue culture plate (Falcon) in

PhenoCULT-SEB basal medium supplemented with 0.1% of supplement A. Then, the media was

changed and supplemented with 0.1% of supplement M and incubated for 2 days more until cells

reached 90% of confluence and they were differentiated into mature sebocytes. Cells were

incubated at 37°C in 5% CO_2 and 95% of humidity during the whole differentiation process.

2.11 Internalization of labelled-EVs

To monitor EVs uptake by both HaCaT and SZ95, a total of $1 \cdot 10^5$ cells were seeded in an 8-well

chamber slider (Ibidi) until approximately 80% of confluence. Before the assay, the medium was

aspirated and replaced with rhodamine B-R18-labelled A1 EVs (2 μg/mL) suspended in DMEM

and Sebomed both without fetal bovine serum and red phenol. The cells with the labelled A1 EVs

were incubated at 37°C in 5% of CO_2, and 95% of humidity for 24h.

2.12 Confocal fluorescence microscopy

Cells were fixed for 30 min with 3% of paraformaldehyde at room temperature (RT) and washed

with PBS buffer to eliminate possible EVs residues. Plasma membranes were labelled with

fluorescent Alexa-488 wheat germ agglutinin (WGA) (Invitrogen) and nuclei with Hoechst

(Invitrogen). For this, cells were incubated for 25 min with Alexa-488 WGA (1 μg/mL) and

during 10-15 min with Hoechst (3 drops/mL). After PBS buffer washing, Ibidi mounting medium

was added (3 drops/well) to prepare the cells for microscopic visualisation.

Confocal microscopy was carried out using a ZEISS LSM 980 with Airyscan 2 confocal

microscope, using the 63x oil immersion objective lens. Fluorescence was recorded at 405 nm

(blue; Hoechst), 488 nm (green; WGA) and 546 nm (red; rhodamine B-R18). Z-stack images

were taken at 1.0-μm. Images were analysed using the Fiji image processing package.

2.13 Cytotoxicity assay

To evaluate cytotoxicity, an XTT Cell Viability Assay (Invitrogen) was performed following the

manufacturer's protocol. The assay kit includes the XTT reagent and an Electron Coupling

Reagent. In this case, the XTT reagent, is sensitive to cellular redox potential and in the presence

of live cells converts from a water-soluble compound to an orange-coloured formazan product.

$2 \cdot 10^5$ cells/mL of HaCaT and SZ95 were seeded in two different 96-well plates. After 24h, cells

were incubated with 1.56 μg/mL, 3.125 μg/mL, 6.25 μg/mL, 12.5 μg/mL, 25 μg/mL, 50 μg/mL,

100 μg/mL and 200 μg/mL of *C. acnes* A1, H1 and H2 EVs for 24h at 37°C in 5% of CO_2 and

95% of humidity. Then, 70 μL of working solution (XTT reagent) were added directly to each

well. Cells were incubated for 4h at 37°C in 5% of CO_2 and 95% of humidity. After incubation,

the absorbance was measured in the plate reader (Tecan) at 450 nm and 660 nm to eliminate the

background signal contributed by cell debris or other non-specific absorbance. Negative controls

with non-treated cells were included as blanks.

The percentage of cell viability was calculated using the following formula:

262
$$\% \text{ Cell Viability} = \frac{\text{Absorbance in Test well}}{\text{Absorbance in Blank well}} \cdot 100$$

2.14 *C. acnes* EVs incubation in the outer skin layer model, the sebum model and the broken

skin barrier model

C. acnes A1, H1 and H2 EVs were incubated with the immortalized HaCaT, SZ95 and Jurkat cell

lines (Fig. S2). For this purpose, $2 \cdot 10^5$ cells/mL were seeded in a 12-well plate for the three cell

lines. After 24h, all cell types were incubated for 24h with *C. acnes* A1, H1 and H2 EVs. The

three plates were divided into three rows - one for each *C. acnes* phylotype - and each row had a

control well and different concentrations of EVs, namely 12.5 μg/mL, 25 μg/mL and 50 μg/mL.

2.15 Quantitative Real Time-PCR analysis (RT-qPCR)

Total RNA was extracted from HaCaT, sebocytes and Jurkat cells using the miRNeasy Mini Kit

(Qiagen) following the manufacturer's recommendations. Purity and RNA concentration were

measured by the absorbance ratio at 260 and 280 nm on the NanoDrop One spectrophotometer

(Thermo Scientific).

RNA was reverse transcribed using the cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) in a final volume of 20 μL following the manufacturer's protocol. The retro transcription reaction was performed in the ProFlex PCR System (Applied Biosystems). RT-qPCR reactions were performed on the QuantStudio 7 Flex Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems) using SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems) and cell-type specific primers. See the list of primers in Table S4 of the Supporting Information. A control reaction was set up with water in which no RNA was present. The $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method was used to normalise expression results. The values of the housekeeping CREBBP gene were used to standardise the values obtained for each of the genes being studied.

2.16 Multiplex panel (Eve Technologies)

To validate the results obtained in the RT-qPCR, a Human High Sensitivity Plex Discovery Assay was performed (Eve Technologies). The targets of interest were selected from an extensive list of analytes and the company allowed multiplexing the previously selected targets together in a single assay. Among all the analytes, the ones that stood out for us in the present project were cytokines and chemokines.

2.17 Evaluation of sebum-reducing potential of *C. acnes* A1, H1 and H2 EVs in the acne-mimicking skin model

For the acneic model, human iPSC-derived sebocytes (PCi-SEB) were incubated for 5 days with maturation supplement. After that, the sebum production was induced by 48h of treatment with 5 μM of arachidonic acid (AA5). Then, cells were treated with 12.5 μg/mL of *C. acnes* A1, H1 and H2 EVs for 24h and cells were fixed until sebum production determination. In parallel, non-treated cells were used as negative control. For lipid droplets detection, cells were stained with the fluorescent marker BODIPY 493/503 (Sigma Aldrich) following manufacture's recommendations. The nucleus was stained with DAPI. Samples were analysed by confocal fluorescence microscopy.

 2.18 Statistical analyses

 Statistical analyses were performed with GraphPad software. All tests were repeated

 independently at least three times in triplicate. The values for all measurements are presented as

 the mean ± standard deviation (SD). Differences between more than two groups were assessed

 using one-way or two-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's test. Data with a p-value less than

 0.05 and less than 0.001 were considered statistically significant and statistically highly

 significant, respectively.

 3. RESULTS

 3.1 Isolation and visualization of *C. acnes* EVs

 C. acnes H1 EVs were isolated from cell-free culture supernatants (Fig. 1) and evaluated by

 negative stain-TEM. Images displayed spherical vesicles approximately 70-100 nm in diameter

 (Fig. 2A). To validate the TEM results and to obtain additional information, NTA which is a more

 precise imaging technique, was carried out in *C. acnes* A1, H1 and H2 EVs. This last analysis

 provided high-resolution particle size distribution profiles and also concentration measurements.

 Fig. 2B shows the size distribution graphs of the different *C. acnes* EVs. On the other side, values

 obtained after NTA revealed that H2 EVs have the largest mean vesicle size. However, in terms

 of concentration, A1 is the phylotype with the highest EV secretion yield rate ($3.92 \cdot 10^{12}$

 EVs/mL). Moreover, recordings of the different types of *C. acnes* EVs were acquired from the

 NTA analysis (see Videos).

 3.2 Proteomic analysis comparison between *C. acnes* A1, H1 and H2 EVs

 SDS-PAGE analysis showed that EV triplicates of each phylotype display similar protein profiles

 with slight differences (Fig. 3A), which is consistent with the suggested variability of protein

 cargo between batches (Gandham et al., 2020; Hartjes et al., 2019a, 2019b; Paganini et al., 2019).

 Furthermore, it should be noted that, although the same amount of protein was loaded into the gel

 for the EVs of each phylotype (7 μg), the diversity of proteins is much higher in A1 EVs (clade

IA1) than in H1 and H2 EVs (clade IB). These findings are in line with the ones obtained by

Chudzik et al.

To characterize the protein profile of *C. acnes* A1, H1 and H2 EVs, the protein content was

isolated and examined by mass spectrometry by the UPF/CRG Proteomics Facility. As Fig. 3B

illustrates in a Venn diagram, the three phylotypes share 382 proteins. Additionally, a pairwise

comparison was performed, and the results showed that A1 and H1 share 171 proteins, A1 and

H2 share 66 proteins and H1 and H2 share 72 proteins.

Finally, observing the proteins exclusive to each phylotype it is shown that A1 EVs have the

highest number of high-confidence proteins identified, namely 396, followed by H1 and H2 EVs.

Using the UniProt database, a Gene Ontology (GO) and bioinformatics analysis were conducted

(Fig. 3C). In terms of biological processes, *C. acnes* A1 EVs were significantly enriched in

proteins participating in biosynthetic processes (22.77%) and metabolic processes (20.98%).

Regarding the molecular functions, binding (19.64%), transferase activity (14.29%) and

hydrolase activity (8.48%) were the most prevalent. For biological processes, proteins linked to

C. acnes H1 EVs were significantly enriched in transport (27.69%) and metabolic processes

(13.85%). Regarding the molecular functions, transporter activity (21.54%) and binding (16.92%)

were noteworthy. The predominant proteins involved in the biological processes of *C. acnes* H2

EVs were linked to transport (18.18%) and response to stimulus (9.09%). Regarding the

molecular functions of the vesicular proteins found in this phylotype, transporter activity

(27.27%), and oxidoreductase activity (18.18%) were the most prevalent. For all three types of

EVs, in terms of cellular components, the highest percentage of proteins were membrane-

associated proteins, followed by cytoplasmic proteins. Also, approximately 6-18% of the total

number of proteins were not properly classified as they were unknown or poorly characterized.

The identified proteins in *C. acnes* A1, H1 and H1 EVs are listed respectively in Tables S1, S2

and S3 of the Supporting Information.

3.3 EVs from *C. acnes* A1 phylotype are internalized into keratinocytes and sebocytes

C. acnes EVs internalization in HaCaT (keratinocytes) and SZ95 (sebocytes) cell lines was

confirmed by confocal fluorescence microscopy at 24h of incubation with rhodamine B-R18-

labeled A1 EVs (2 μg/ well). Membranes were labelled with WGA and nuclei with Hoechst. As

anticipated, no red signal was observed in untreated control cells (Fig. 4).

3.4 From a concentration of 50 μg/mL and below, *C. acnes* EVs do not appear to affect cell

viability in the two different skin models tested

A cytotoxicity assay was performed in HaCaT and SZ95 immortalized cell lines. In both tests, *C.*

acnes A1, H1 and H2 EVs were added at different doses (1.56 μg/mL, 3.125 μg/mL, 6.25 μg/mL,

12.5 μg/mL, 25 μg/mL, 50 μg/mL, 100 μg/mL and 200 μg/mL). As is shown in Fig. 5A, in the

HaCaT model cell viability is not reduced in any condition.

On the other hand, in the SZ95 model cell viability is reduced to 50-70% when concentrations of

200 μg/mL and 100 μg/mL of EVs are added (Fig. 5B). Nonetheless, in this model when adding

concentrations of 50 μg/mL and below, viability is lowered by approximately 15-20% in A1 and

H2 EVs but is maintained nearly at 100% in H1 EVs.

3.5 EVs released by *C. acnes* A1, H1 and H2 phylotypes modulate differently the expression

of biomarkers related to the immune system, oxidative stress, skin barrier and sebum

production

Different *in vitro* skin models were used to evaluate the immunomodulatory properties of direct

stimulation with a range of concentrations of *C. acnes* A1, H1 and H2 EVs (Fig. 1B). In this

sense, HaCaT, SZ95 and Jurkat immortalized cell lines were used(Colombo et al., 2017; Hewitt

et al., 2013; Kühbacher et al., 2017; Luongo et al., 2014; Nikolakis et al., 2015; Sutterby et al.,

2022; Törőcsik et al., 2021). In all *in vitro* models, cells were stimulated with *C. acnes* EVs for

24h, and after that, the expression level of different regulatory genes (immune system, skin barrier

and oxidative stress) was measured by RT-qPCR. As Fig. 6A shows, A1 EVs trigger a higher

stimulation of the pro-inflammatory cytokines Interleukin-8 (IL-8) and TGFβ-1, and the

prostaglandin COX-2 which is linked to oxidative stress, compared to H1 or H2 EVs. Regarding

genes involved in the reinforcement of the skin barrier, such as occludin, all concentrations of H2

EVs and high concentrations of H1 EVs (25 µg/mL and 50 µg/mL) were the only ones able to

induce a significant increase in the mRNA expression compared to the negative control. However,

for claudin-1, all the conditions seem to activate the expression of this gene, except low doses of

H2 EVs, compared to untreated cells. In contrast, expression levels of MMP-2, a matrix

metalloproteinase implicated in tissue remodelling (Manuel & Gawronska-Kozak, 2006), did

not show marked differences for any of the EVs treatment with respect to the control. Regarding

the skin immune system model, as Fig. 6B shows, only A1 EVs triggered a significant expression

of the pro-inflammatory cytokine IL-8 compared to the control, while only H1 EVs and high

doses of H2 EVs (50 µg/mL) had substantial anti-inflammatory cytokine IL-10 expression.

However, for the pro-inflammatory TNFα expression, none of the EVs conditions tested were

able to modulate its mRNA levels. As illustrated in Fig. 6C, A1 EVs are constantly triggering

greater activation of the inflammatory cytokine IL-8. Otherwise, for the sebum regulator gene

PLIN-2 none of the EVs tested were able to substantially stimulate the up-regulation of this gene

expression compared to the untreated control.

3.6 EVs derived from *C. acnes* A1 phylotype induce a higher secretion of

immunomodulatory mediators in three *in vitro* skin models compared to EVs from *C. acnes*

H1 and H2 phylotypes

To confirm the immunomodulatory effects assayed by RT-qPCR in HaCaT, Jurkat and SZ95 *in*

vitro models, after 24h of incubation with different concentrations of A1, H1 and H2 EVs, the

protein secretion levels of some cytokines released in the SN were evaluated by Human High

Sensitivity Plex Discovery Assay (Eve Technologies).

As shown in Fig. 7A in the HaCaT *in vitro* model, and following the same pattern as for IL-8

expression level, A1 EVs displayed the highest secretion of IL-8, IL-6, TNFα and GM-CSF

compared to the rest of the EVs, especially when high concentrations of EVs were applied to the

keratinocytes. Only 25 and 50 μg/mL for H1 EVs and 50 μg/mL for H2 EVs were able to induce

a significant difference in IL-6 secretion compared to the negative control. In the immune system

model, composed of Jurkat cells (Fig. 7B), high doses of A1 EVs were observed to trigger

increased secretion of IL-8, IL-6 and TNFα compared to the other conditions, but in the case of

GM-CSF, H1 and H2 EVs were the ones involved in the activation of this factor. Regarding the

SZ95 model (Fig. 7C), IL-8 stimulation correlates with the gene expression data obtained

previously. Overall, it can be observed that A1 EVs consistently trigger significant activation of

the inflammation-related factors IL-8, IL-6, TNFα and GM-CSF, compared to the negative

control. In the case of H1 EVs, only 25 and 50 μg/mL were able to induce significant IL-8 release

compared to control.

3.7 *C. acnes* H1 EVs showed to have a better skin sebum inhibition mechanism compared

to A1 and H2 EVs

The acneic *in vitro* model consisted of a 48-hour treatment with AA5 as a sebum inducer followed

by a 24-hour treatment with 12.5 μg/mL of *C. acnes* A1, H1 and H2 EVs. After AA5 incubation,

a strong and significant increase in lipid level by about 263-fold was observed, compared to the

negative control condition. When PCi-SEB were treated for 24h with 12.5 μg/mL of *C. acnes* A1,

H1 and H2 EVs, they showed a 2.8, 4.3 and 3.6-fold reduction *versus* the AA5 condition,

respectively. However, although results showed that the three types of EVs had a significant

inhibitory effect on sebum production compared to the positive control, the best sebum inhibitory

effect was obtained after H1 EVs treatment, with about 4-fold inhibition compared to AA5

condition (Fig. 8).

4. DISCUSSION

The Gram-positive bacterium *C. acnes* is one of the main representative genera presents in the

human skin microbiota with a key and pivotal role in maintaining a healthy skin barrier. In the

same way that occurs in the intestine, communication between C. acnes and host cells it is

proposed to be *established* via soluble mediators and EVs that can diffuse through the different

layers of the skin (epidermis, dermis and hypodermis). Specifically, EVs act as nano-carriers of

proteins, metabolites and nucleic acids upon interaction and internalization into host cells.

Therefore, it has been suggested that, considering the cargo of *C. acnes* EVs, they will have a

different role, being responsible on the different effects on skin alterations. *C. acnes* strains are

currently classified into eight phylotypes (IA1, IA2, IB1, IB2, IB3, IC, II and III), being the IA1

and IA2 clades preferentially found in acne lesions whereas phylotype IB is linked to a healthy

skin without sign of acne. In this context, where acne vulgaris is the 8th most prevalent disease,

with a 9.4% world population affection and without any effective or secure treatment in the

market, the objective of this project has been focused on studying the role of EVs secreted by A1

(IA1), H1 (IB) and H2 (IB) *C. acnes* phylotypes as a safety biotherapy in cutaneous disorders

such acne vulgaris.

EV research remains a major challenge due to their intrinsically complex biogenesis, their great

heterogeneity in terms of size and the natural variations between batches encountered during their

production (Gandham et al., 2020; Hartjes et al., 2019; Paganini et al., 2019). Nevertheless, the

nature (small, non-synthetic and non-replicative) of these structures makes them unique to

overcome important barriers found in the current dermatology and cosmetic field as it is the skin

penetrance, side effects derived from chemicals and maintaining the skin microbiota symbiosis.

However, despite this good prognose of EVs used as bio-carriers, what will determine a

successful, harmful or poor functionality in terms of acne vulgaris pathology, will depend on the

specific cargo of the EVs, which is directly related to the bacterium from which they derive.

Therefore, as the main components are proteins, a proteomic characterization of *C. acnes* EVs

was performed to determine the relationship of their protein cargo with the skin microbiota. A

Venn diagram identified, in agreement with Chudzik et al., 2022, that A1 EVs contain the highest

number of proteins, in our case almost four times more proteins than in H1 EVs and nine times

more than in H2 EVs. These results were validated with an SDS-PAGE, where the band profile

was different between phylotypes (Article). This could be associated with the extra number of

pathogenic proteins that EVs of A1 phylotype harbour in contrast to H1 and H2 EVs. In fact,

McDowell A. et al, 2013 found that certain strains of *C. acnes* (specifically type IA1) were

capable of secreting several virulence factors that enhanced the inflammatory response. However,

there are no genetic studies of the *C. acnes* strains used in this work, but as it has been showed

previously, H1 has a protective effect against acne vulgaris development, thus we could assume

that these two strains could have lost the virulence factors during the evolution. Following this

assumption, 25 virulence factors (related to adhesion, biofilm formation, etc.) were found during

the mass spectrometry analysis for A1 EVs while only 2 were attributed to H1 and 0 for H2 *C.*

acnes EVs.

Regarding shared proteins by all the *C. acnes* EVs types, the proteomic analysis revealed that a

big amount of proteins related to facilitate the transfer of multiple proteins involved in

biochemical processes and metabolism between cells, were present in EVs, validating the carrier

function of these structures. Moreover, it is known that EVs are used as a way of exchange cell

surface substances and improve bacterial survival during infections (Chen et al., 2022), and in

this sense we could identified, as described previously by Jeon et al., several proteins used in

antibiotic resistance, suggesting that *C.* acnes EVs are also involved in bacterial survival against

external threats. In addition, due to the nature and sebum oily niche of *C. acnes*, common proteins

involved in lipolysis such as lysophospholipase and triacylglycerol lipase were identified in the

EVs. Although these enzymes are generally considered putative virulence factors, lipases are

necessary for *C. acnes* to maintain healthy skin by metabolizing sebum and releasing fatty acids,

which are necessary to keep a proper skin acidity that acts as a natural barrier against harmful

pathogens, providing innate skin immunity (Kim et al., 2020).

Considering the analysis of *C. acnes* phylotype-exclusive proteins for A1, H1 and H2 EVs,

important differences were found in accordance with previous studies, pointing to *C. acnes*

phylogroup A1 (IA1) as an acne-associated group and *C. acnes* phylogroup H1 (IB) as a beneficial

and skincare group (Agak et al., 2018; Choi et al., 2018b; Chudzik et al., 2022; Fitz-Gibbon et

al., 2013; Liu et al., 2014; Lomholt & Kilian, 2010; McLaughlin et al., 2019; Nakase et al., 2021;

O'Neill & Gallo, 2018; Paetzold et al., 2019). As for A1 EVs, its protein profile revealed a great

number of proteins involved in bacterial competition and antibiotic resistance (hydrolase,

Ppx/GppA phosphatase family, Metallo-ß-lactamase domain protein and histidine kinase)

(McLaughlin et al., 2019), biofilm formation (glycosyltransferase and serine/threonine-protein

kinase) and virulence (porphyrin, sialidase, HtaA domain protein, phosphoesterase, hydrolase and

sigma factor SigA) which were not detected in H1 or H2 EVs. Regarding the exclusive proteins

of H1 EVs, different proteins directly involved in fatty acid catabolism were found, such as acyl-

CoA dehydrogenase (Swigoňová et al., 2009) and a putative two-component sensor kinase which

upregulates the hydrolysis of sebum triglycerides secreting free fatty acids. Indirectly, the N-

acetyl-gamma-glutamyl-phosphate reductase, a protein involved in the L-Arginine biosynthetic

pathway was also found as part of H1 EVs. In this regard, L-arginine helps to protect the skin

from free radicals, increases the skin's visible hydration levels, and potentially supports collagen

production (Gad, 2010). All these results indicate that EVs and bacteria from which they derive,

share functionalities, and it point to EVs as one of the mechanisms of action by which the specific

C. acnes strains exert their effect, as it has been previously described by Choi et al., 2018b or

Briaud & Carroll, 2020.

Then, the next step in our study was to show if the presence of unique peptides in the different

types of *C. acnes* EVs could translate, as expected, in different functionalities in the host. For this

purpose, an in vitro system representing the pathophysiology derived from acne was used. During

acne vulgaris development, as Mattii et al., 2018 showed, not only the external skin layer is

affected, having also sebocytes a pivotal role. Nonetheless, unlike most of the publications using

skin models are based only in keratinocytes, in this work, other cell types were taken into

consideration, as sebocytes and immune cells, to have a closer picture of what is happening in

real skin during acne vulgaris. To do that, different in vitro assays were carried out. Regarding

cytotoxicity assays, they revealed that doses of 50 µg/mL and below of *C. acnes* EVs did not

appear to affect cell viability either in the HaCaT model or in the SZ95 model, thus deeming them

as safe to be tested in the in vitro skin models. Comparing both cell types, it was also observed

that sebocytes were more responsive than keratinocytes. This can be explained because sebocytes

inhabit in the sebaceous gland, which is located in the dermis, protected from external microbes

in contrast to keratinocytes exposed and in constantly interaction with skin microorganisms.

Then, the different EVs were directly incubated for 24h in the different in vitro models: a) the outer layer skin model consisted of HaCaT cells to mimic the epidermis composed mainly of keratinocytes; b) the sebum model consisted of SZ95 cells to mimic the sebaceous gland environment and c) the broken barrier skin model, consisting of Jurkat cells, was chosen to get an idea of when the skin barrier is disrupted, one of the severe attributes of inflammatory skin diseases. The expression levels of different regulatory genes were measured by RT-qPCR and results displayed that, as previously was showed by Nagy et al., 2005, in all in vitro skin models, A1 EVs were systematically triggering increased activation of pro-inflammatory cytokines (Nagy et al., 2005). This could be due to the presence of a large number of virulence factors found in the proteomic analysis for A1 EVs. On the other side, in the broken skin barrier model, H1 EVs and 50 µg/mL doses of H2 EVs induced a significant expression of the anti-inflammatory cytokine IL-10, reinforcing the possible protective role of these two non-pathogenic strains during acne vulgaris development.

To cross-check the immunomodulatory effects assayed by gene expression, the protein secretion levels of some cytokines released in the SN of the *in vitro* models were evaluated and it was confirmed that EVs derived from *C. acnes* A1 induce a higher secretion of immunomodulatory mediators in the three *in vitro* skin models compared to *C. acnes* H1 and H2 EVs. According to the RT-qPCR results, it was determined that A1 EVs consistently triggered a higher activation of the inflammation-related factors IL-8, IL-6, TNFα and GM-CSF, compared to H1 and H2.

The pathophysiology of acne includes, apart from local inflammation, a hyperproduction of sebum by sebocytes which causes specific strains of *C. acnes* to overgrow causing a dysbiosis and triggering the development of acne (Paetzold et al., 2019; Rozas et al., 2021). In fact, one of the most prescribed treatments for dermatologists against acne is based on isotretionin, a retinoid which causes the apoptosis of skin sebocytes to reduce the amount of sebum (Zouboulis, 2006). However, the regulation of sebum during acne development modulated by the skin microbiota is a very new topic and there are few publications on the subject. Therefore, an acneic-mimicking skin model with PCi-SEB and AA5 as sebum inductor, was used to explore the effect of *C. acnes* EVs on sebum regulation. After 24-hour treatment with 12.5 µg/mL of *C. acnes* A1, H1 and H2

EVs, results showed that the three types of EVs had a significant inhibitory effect on sebum

production compared to the positive control, highlighting that the best inhibition was obtained

after H1 EVs treatment, with inhibition by about 4 folds compared to the positive control. These

results were expected and in accordance with the proteomic analysis that described H1 EVs as

being charged with exclusive proteins with lipidomic effect.

To our knowledge, this is the first study in which proteomic characterization of three different

phylotypes of *C. acnes* has been performed, in addition to the testing of three different *C. acnes*

phylotypes in different *in vitro* skin models. This work has proved that *C. acnes* EVs are more

than lipid spheres that act as mechanisms of action of the derived bacteria. Specially H1 EVs has

been highlighted as the best bioactive nanocarrier, counteracting acne symptomatology from two

flanks, inhibiting inflammation and modulating sebum production.

5. CONCLUSION

The findings of this project have shown that *C. acnes* EVs are key intermediators in the

communication between skin microbiota and the host, having an essential role during skin

alterations such as acne vulgaris. In this sense, these results point to H1 EVs as a potential,

efficient, safe and based-natural treatment to prevent and ameliorate symptomatology associated

with inflammation and oily skin. However, further scientific experiments need to be done

including assays with primary cell lines, *in vivo* animal models, checking EVs stability in a gel

cream and performing clinical tests to extend the knowledge and to have the whole picture of how

C. acnes EVs act on the skin.

ABBREVIATIONS

C. acnes: Cutibacterium acnes

EVs: extracellular vesicles

PBS: phosphate-buffered saline

SN: supernatant

TEM: transmission electron microscopy

NTA: nanoparticle tracking analysis

SDS-PAGE: sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis

PBS: phosphate-buffered saline

CPS: cycles per second

DDA: data-dependent acquisition

AGC: auto gain control

HCD: high-energy collision dissociation

FDR: false discovery rate

XIC: extracted ion current

ATCC: American Type Culture Collection

DMEM: Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium

FBS: Fetal Bovine Serum

EGFr: recombinant human epidermal growth factor

$CaCl_2$: calcium chloride

RPMI: Roswell Park Memorial Institute medium

RT: room temperature

WGA: wheat germ agglutinin

RT-qPCR: quantitative real time-PCR analysis

PCi-SEB: human iPSC-derived sebocytes

AA5: 5 μM of arachidonic acid

SD: standard deviation

GO: Gene Ontology

IL: interleukin

CITE: biofilm matrix dynamics

ETHICS APPROVAL AND CONSENT TO PARTICIPATE

Not applicable

CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION

All authors give their consent for publication of this work in Microbiome as a research article.

AVAILABILITY OF DATA AND MATERIALS

The raw data from proteomic analysis are included as Supporting Tables S1, S2 and S3.

The original R scripts for plotting the extracellular vesicle data are available on Bitbucket.
(https://bitbucket.org/synbiolab/fabrega_vesicles/src/master/)

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors declare that they have not competing interests

FUNDING INFORMATION

This work was funded and supported by the University Pompeu Fabra – Excelencia Maria de Maeztu Post-doctoral program (CEX 2018-0007392-M). NK is funded by a Maria Maetzu-UPF fellowship (Catalan Government) and by the Sociedad Española de Químicos Cosméticos (SEQC) and MJF is funded by a Juan de la Cierva Fellowship from the Spanish Government (Award FJC 2018-037096-I).

AUTHOR'S CONTRIBUTIONS

M.P. participated in the experimental laboratory work, data curation, formal analysis, writing the main manuscript text and preparing all figures. J.M. did the bioinformatic analysis, prepared figure 3 and helped with the writing of the original draft. L.T. and N.K. participated in the experimental laboratory work, specially helping to set up the in vitro assays and bacterial cultures. J.M. participated in the experimental laboratory work, developing the in vitro acneic model and preparing figure 8. M.G. contributed supervising the project and helping to write the main manuscript text. M.F. helped with the experimental laboratory work, data curation, formal

analysis, writing the manuscript, doing the funding acquisition/project administration, and

supervising the project.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We want to express our deepest gratitude to Dr. Bernhard Paetzold for generously providing *C.*

acnes strains used in this study and also Dr. Jordi García-Ojalvo for mentoring this project. We

also want to thanks the Office Naval Research (Award N62909-18-1-2155) for giving us the

opportunity to study how to work with anaerobic skin bacteria.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors report no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

Agak, G. W., Kao, S., Ouyang, K., Qin, M., Moon, D., Butt, A., & Kim, J. (2018). Phenotype and
Antimicrobial Activity of Th17 Cells Induced by Propionibacterium acnes Strains
Associated with Healthy and Acne Skin. *Journal of Investigative Dermatology*, *138*(2),
316–324. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jid.2017.07.842

Bajic, S. S., Cañas, M. A., Tolinacki, M., Badia, J., Sánchez, B., Golic, N., Margolles, A.,
Baldomá, L., & Ruas-Madiedo, P. (2020). Proteomic profile of extracellular vesicles
released by Lactiplantibacillus plantarum BGAN8 and their internalization by non-polarized
HT29 cell line. *Scientific Reports*, *10*(1). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-78920-z

Blum, H. E. (2017). The human microbiome. In *Advances in Medical Sciences* (Vol. 62, Issue 2,
pp. 414–420). Medical University of Bialystok.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advms.2017.04.005

Briaud, P., & Carroll, R. K. (2020). Extracellular vesicle biogenesis and functions in gram-
positive bacteria. *Infection and Immunity*, *88*(12). https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.00433-
20/FORMAT/EPUB

Brown, L., Wolf, J. M., Prados-Rosales, R., & Casadevall, A. (2015). Through the wall:
Extracellular vesicles in Gram-positive bacteria, mycobacteria and fungi. In *Nature Reviews*
Microbiology (Vol. 13, Issue 10, pp. 620–630). Nature Publishing Group.
https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro3480

Cañas, M. A., Giménez, R., Fábrega, M. J., Toloza, L., Baldomà, L., & Badia, J. (2016). Outer
membrane vesicles from the probiotic Escherichia coli Nissle 1917 and the commensal
ECOR12 enter intestinal epithelial cells via clathrin-dependent endocytosis and elicit
differential effects on DNA damage. *PLoS ONE*, *11*(8).
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0160374

Chen, J., Zhang, H., Wang, S., Du, Y., Wei, B., Wu, Q., & Wang, H. (2022). Inhibitors of
Bacterial Extracellular Vesicles. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, *13*.
https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2022.835058

Choi, E. J., Lee, H. G., Bae, I. H., Kim, W., Park, J., Lee, T. R., & Cho, E. G. (2018a).
Propionibacterium acnes-Derived Extracellular Vesicles Promote Acne-Like Phenotypes in
Human Epidermis. *Journal of Investigative Dermatology*, *138*(6), 1371–1379.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jid.2018.01.007

Choi, E. J., Lee, H. G., Bae, I. H., Kim, W., Park, J., Lee, T. R., & Cho, E. G. (2018b).
Propionibacterium acnes-Derived Extracellular Vesicles Promote Acne-Like Phenotypes in
Human Epidermis. *Journal of Investigative Dermatology*, *138*(6), 1371–1379.
https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JID.2018.01.007

Christensen, G. J. M., Scholz, C. F. P., Enghild, J., Rohde, H., Kilian, M., Thürmer, A.,
Brzuszkiewicz, E., Lomholt, H. B., & Brüggemann, H. (2016). Antagonism between
Staphylococcus epidermidis and Propionibacterium acnes and its genomic basis. *BMC
Genomics*, *17*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-016-2489-5

Chudzik, A., Migdał, P., & Paściak, M. (2022). Different Cutibacterium acnes Phylotypes Release
Distinct Extracellular Vesicles. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, *23*(10), 5797.
https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms23105797

Colombo, I., Sangiovanni, E., Maggio, R., Mattozzi, C., Zava, S., Corbett, Y., Fumagalli, M.,
Carlino, C., Corsetto, P. A., Scaccabarozzi, D., Calvieri, S., Gismondi, A., Taramelli, D., &
Dell'Agli, M. (2017). HaCaT Cells as a Reliable in Vitro Differentiation Model to Dissect
the Inflammatory/Repair Response of Human Keratinocytes. *Mediators of Inflammation*,
2017. https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/7435621

Eisenstein, M. (2020). The skin microbiome. *Nature Outlines*, *588*, S209.

Fábrega, M. J., Rodríguez-Nogales, A., Garrido-Mesa, J., Algieri, F., Badía, J., Giménez, R.,
Gálvez, J., & Baldomà, L. (2017). Intestinal anti-inflammatory effects of outer membrane
vesicles from Escherichia coli Nissle 1917 in DSS-experimental colitis in mice. *Frontiers
in Microbiology*, *8*(JUL). https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.01274

Fitz-Gibbon, S., Tomida, S., Chiu, B. H., Nguyen, L., Du, C., Liu, M., Elashoff, D., Erfe, M. C.,
Loncaric, A., Kim, J., Modlin, R. L., Miller, J. F., Sodergren, E., Craft, N., Weinstock, G.
M., & Li, H. (2013). Propionibacterium acnes strain populations in the human skin
microbiome associated with acne. *Journal of Investigative Dermatology*, *133*(9), 2152–
2160. https://doi.org/10.1038/jid.2013.21

Gad, M. Z. (2010). Anti-aging effects of L-arginine. In *Journal of Advanced Research* (Vol. 1,
Issue 3, pp. 169–177). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jare.2010.05.001

Gandham, S., Su, X., Wood, J., Nocera, A. L., Alli, S. C., Milane, L., Zimmerman, A., Amiji, M.,
& Ivanov, A. R. (2020). Technologies and Standardization in Research on Extracellular
Vesicles. In *Trends in Biotechnology* (Vol. 38, Issue 10, pp. 1066–1098). Elsevier Ltd.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibtech.2020.05.012

Hartjes, T. A., Mytnyk, S., Jenster, G. W., van Steijn, V., & van Royen, M. E. (2019).
Extracellular vesicle quantification and characterization: Common methods and emerging
approaches. In *Bioengineering* (Vol. 6, Issue 1). MDPI AG.
https://doi.org/10.3390/bioengineering6010007

Hewitt, N. J., Edwards, R. J., Fritsche, E., Goebel, C., Aeby, P., Scheel, J., Reisinger, K.,
Ouédraogo, G., Duche, D., Eilstein, J., Latil, A., Kenny, J., Moore, C., Kuehnl, J., Barroso,
J., Fautz, R., & Pfuhler, S. (2013). Use of human in Vitro skin models for accurate and
ethical risk assessment: Metabolic considerations. *Toxicological Sciences*, *133*(2), 209–217.
https://doi.org/10.1093/toxsci/kft080

Kim, S. Y., Kim, M. H., Son, J. H., Kim, S. il, Yun, S. H., Kim, K., Kim, S., Shin, M., & Lee, J.
C. (2020). Outer membrane vesicles produced by Burkholderia cepacia cultured with
subinhibitory concentrations of ceftazidime enhance pro-inflammatory responses.
Virulence, *11*(1), 995–1005. https://doi.org/10.1080/21505594.2020.1802193

Kühbacher, A., Sohn, K., Burger-Kentischer, A., & Rupp, S. (2017). Immune cell-supplemented
human skin model for studying fungal infections. In *Methods in Molecular Biology* (Vol.
1508, pp. 439–449). Humana Press Inc. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-6515-1_25

Liu, J., Cheng, A., Bangayan, N. J., Barnard, E., Curd, E., Craft, N., & Li, H. (2014). Draft
genome sequences of Propionibacterium acnes type strain ATCC6919 and antibiotic-
resistant strain HL411PA1. *Genome Announcements*, *2*(4).
https://doi.org/10.1128/genomeA.00740-14

Lomholt, H. B., & Kilian, M. (2010). Population genetic analysis of Propionibacterium acnes
identifies a subpopulation and epidemic clones associated with acne. *PLoS ONE*, *5*(8).
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0012277

Luongo, D., Russo, R., Balestrieri, A., Marzocco, S., Bergamo, P., & Severino, L. (2014). In vitro
study of AFB1 and AFM1 effects on human lymphoblastoid Jurkat T-cell model. *Journal*
of Immunotoxicology, *11*(4), 353–358. https://doi.org/10.3109/1547691X.2013.848250

Manuel, J. A., & Gawronska-Kozak, B. (2006). Matrix metalloproteinase 9 (MMP-9) is
upregulated during scarless wound healing in athymic nude mice. *Matrix Biology*, *25*(8),
505–514. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matbio.2006.07.008

Mattii, M., Lovászi, M., Garzorz, N., Atenhan, A., Quaranta, M., Lauffer, F., Konstantinow, A.,
Küpper, M., Zouboulis, C. C., Kemeny, L., Eyerich, K., Schmidt-Weber, C. B., Törőcsik,
D., & Eyerich, S. (2018). Sebocytes contribute to skin inflammation by promoting the
differentiation of T helper 17 cells. *British Journal of Dermatology*, *178*(3), 722–730.
https://doi.org/10.1111/bjd.15879

McDowell, A., Nagy, I., Magyari, M., Barnard, E., & Patrick, S. (2013). The Opportunistic
Pathogen Propionibacterium acnes: Insights into Typing, Human Disease, Clonal
Diversification and CAMP Factor Evolution. *PLoS ONE*, *8*(9).
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0070897

McLaughlin, J., Watterson, S., Layton, A. M., Bjourson, A. J., Barnard, E., & McDowell, A.
(2019). Propionibacterium acnes and acne vulgaris: New insights from the integration of
population genetic, multi-omic, biochemical and host-microbe studies. In *Microorganisms*
(Vol. 7, Issue 5). MDPI AG. https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms7050128

Nagy, I., Pivarcsi, A., Koreck, A., Széll, M., Urbán, E., & Kemény, L. (2005). Distinct strains of
Propionibacterium acnes induce selective human β-defensin-2 and interleukin-8 expression
in human keratinocytes through toll-like receptors. *Journal of Investigative Dermatology*,
124(5), 931–938. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0022-202X.2005.23705.x

Nakase, K., Koizumi, J., Midorikawa, R., Yamasaki, K., Tsutsui, M., Aoki, S., Nasu, Y., Hirai,
Y., Nakaminami, H., & Noguchi, N. (2021). Cutibacterium acnes phylogenetic type IC and
II isolated from patients with non-acne diseases exhibit high-level biofilm formation.
International Journal of Medical Microbiology, *311*(7).
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmm.2021.151538

Nikolakis, G., Seltmann, H., Hossini, A. M., Makrantonaki, E., Knolle, J., & Zouboulis, C. C.
(2015). Ex vivo human skin and SZ95 sebocytes exhibit a homoeostatic interaction in a
novel coculture contact model. *Experimental Dermatology*, *24*(7), 497–502.
https://doi.org/10.1111/exd.12712

O'Neill, A. M., & Gallo, R. L. (2018). Host-microbiome interactions and recent progress into
understanding the biology of acne vulgaris. In *Microbiome* (Vol. 6, Issue 1). BioMed Central
Ltd. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-018-0558-5

Paetzold, B., Willis, J. R., Pereira De Lima, J., Knödlseder, N., Brüggemann, H., Quist, S. R.,
Gabaldón, T., & Güell, M. (2019). Skin microbiome modulation induced by probiotic
solutions. *Microbiome*, *7*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-019-0709-3

Paganini, C., Capasso Palmiero, U., Pocsfalvi, G., Touzet, N., Bongiovanni, A., & Arosio, P.
(2019). Scalable Production and Isolation of Extracellular Vesicles: Available Sources and
Lessons from Current Industrial Bioprocesses. In *Biotechnology Journal* (Vol. 14, Issue 10).
Wiley-VCH Verlag. https://doi.org/10.1002/biot.201800528

Rackaityte, E., & Lynch, S. v. (2020). The human microbiome in the 21st century. In *Nature*
Communications (Vol. 11, Issue 1). Nature Research. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-
18983-8

Rozas, M., de Ruijter, A. H., Fabrega, M. J., Zorgani, A., Guell, M., Paetzold, B., & Brillet, F.
(2021). From dysbiosis to healthy skin: Major contributions of cutibacterium acnes to skin
homeostasis. In *Microorganisms* (Vol. 9, Issue 3, pp. 1–18). MDPI AG.
https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms9030628

Shu, M., Wang, Y., Yu, J., Kuo, S., Coda, A., Jiang, Y., Gallo, R. L., & Huang, C. M. (2013).
Fermentation of Propionibacterium acnes, a Commensal Bacterium in the Human Skin
Microbiome, as Skin Probiotics against Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus aureus. *PLoS*
ONE, *8*(2). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0055380

Sutterby, E., Thurgood, P., Baratchi, S., Khoshmanesh, K., & Pirogova, E. (2022). Evaluation of
in vitro human skin models for studying effects of external stressors and stimuli and
developing treatment modalities. *VIEW*, *3*(2), 20210012.
https://doi.org/10.1002/viw.20210012

Swigoňová, Z., Mohsen, A. W., & Vockley, J. (2009). Acyl-coA dehydrogenases: Dynamic
history of protein family evolution. *Journal of Molecular Evolution*, *69*(2), 176–193.
https://doi.org/10.1007/s00239-009-9263-0

Törőcsik, D., Fazekas, F., Póliska, S., Gregus, A., Janka, E. A., Dull, K., Szegedi, A., Zouboulis,
C. C., & Kovács, D. (2021). Epidermal Growth Factor Modulates Palmitic Acid-Induced
Inflammatory and Lipid Signaling Pathways in SZ95 Sebocytes. *Frontiers in Immunology*,
12. https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2021.600017

Zouboulis, C. C. (2006). Isotretinoin revisited: Pluripotent effects on human sebaceous gland
cells. In *Journal of Investigative Dermatology* (Vol. 126, Issue 10, pp. 2154–2156). Nature
Publishing Group. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.jid.5700418

FIGURES AND FIGURE LEGENDS

(A)

(B)

Fig. 1. **EVs isolation protocol and *in vitro* experimental design**. (A) Process to isolate C. acnes-derived extracellular vesicles (EVs). (B) Schematic presentation of the different skin in vitro models: the outer skin layer model with HaCaT cell line, the sebum model with SZ95 cell line, the broken skin barrier model with Jurkat cells and the acneic skin model with PCi-SEB cells using AA to induce sebum production.

(A)   **(B)**

Fig. 2. **Visualization of *C. acnes* EVs by different microscopy techniques.** (A) Transmission Electron Microscopy of C. acnes H1 EVs (bar 500 nm). (B) Nanoparticle Tracking Analysis of *C. acnes* A1, H1 and H2 EVs. Prior to the measurement, the samples were diluted in PBS buffer at 1:5000, 1:1000 and 1:1000 respectively. EVs have a mean diameter of 96.8 ± 0.5 nm, 100.7 ± 0.7 nm and 133.0 ± 2.3 nm respectively. EV-concentration is expressed as a number of particles per mL on the y axis. All data represent the mean of three independent experiments ± standard error.

(A)

(B)

(C)

Fig. 3. Proteomic characterization of *C. acnes* A1, H1 and H2 EVs. (A) Proteomic fingerprint

of *C. acnes* EVs. SDS-PAGE gel showing protein bands of triplicates from EVs of different

phylotypes. A concentration of 7 μg of total amount of protein was loaded in a NuPage 4 to 12%

Bis-Tris gel and stained with Coomassie Brilliant Blue R-250 staining solution. (B) Venn diagram

of the proteins contained in *C. acnes* A1, H1 and H2 EVs. The number of overlapping proteins

between the different phylotypes is indicated. All data is obtained from three independent

experiments. (C) Gene Ontology analysis of *C. acnes* A1, H1 and H2 EVs preparations.

Biological process, Molecular function and Cellular components of the identified vesicular

proteins in *C. acnes* EVs are presented here. For each *C. acnes* phylotype, three independent

batches were analysed in the proteomic analysis.

Fig. 4. Uptake of *C. acnes* A1 EVs in (A) HaCaT cell line and (B) SZ95 cell line. Visualization

of internalized EVs by confocal fluorescence microscopy. Both cell lines were incubated for 24h

at 37°C with rhodamine B-R18-labelled A1 EVs (2 μg/ well).

Fig. 5. Cytotoxicity assay of (A) HaCaT cell line and (B) SZ95 cell line treated with different doses of *C. acnes* A1, H1 and H2 EVs. All data are presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD) of triplicate measurements (*p ≤ 0.05 versus non-stimulated controls).

836
837

838 **Fig. 6**. *In vitro* **analysis with direct incubation of *C. acnes* EVs.** Human cells were treated for
839 24h with different concentrations (12.5, 25 and 50 μg/mL) of *C. acnes* A1, H1 and H2 EVs. Total
840 RNA was extracted and different biomarkers were assessed by RT-qPCR. (A) HaCaT (B) SZ95
841 and (C) Jurkat immortalized cell lines. All data are presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD)
842 of triplicate measurements (*p ≤ 0.05, **p ≤ 0.001 versus non-stimulated controls).

844
845

Fig. 7. Total protein concentration secreted into the SN after direct incubation with *C. acnes*
EVs. Human cells were treated for 24h with different concentrations (12.5, 25 and 50 μg/mL of
C. acnes A1, H1 and H2 EVs. Filtered SN was evaluated by Multiplex analysis to measure protein
secreted in it. Ranges for the standard curve for each of the biomarkers were: GM-CSF: 0.31 -
4978.62 pg/mL, TNF alpha: 0.11 - 1699.58 pg/mL and IL-6: 0.05 - 768.83 pg/mL. (A) HaCaT
(B) SZ95 and (C) Jurkat immortalized cell lines were used. All data are presented as mean ±
standard deviation (SD) of triplicate measurements (*p ≤ 0.05, **p ≤ 0.001 versus non-stimulated
controls).

855
856

Fig. 8. Reduction of lipid production was assessed after treating PCi-SEB with AA5 for 24h.
PCi-SEB were treated during 48h with AA at 5 µM (AA5) to induce lipid production. Afterwards
PCi-SEB were treated for 24h with 12.5 µg/mL of *C. acnes* A1, H1 and H2 EVs (A) Metadata
analysis inter-EVs batches and (B) Fluorescence microscopy image of PCi-SEB stained with
BODIPY 493/503; negative control (Vehicle), positive control (AA5). All data are presented as
mean ± standard deviation (SD) of triplicate measurements (*$p \leq 0.05$, **$p \leq 0.001$ versus non-
stimulated controls).

864
865
866
867

(A)

(B)

Outer skin layer model	Sebum model	Broken skin barrier model	Model mimicking acneic skin

C. acnes EVs   *C. acnes* EVs   *C. acnes* EVs   *C. acnes* EVs

HaCaT   SZ95   Jurkat   AA   PCi-SEB

(A)

(B)

(A)

(B)

(C)

	A1 EVs	H1 EVs	H2 EVs
Biological process			
Molecular function			
Cellular component			

Biological process legend:
- Biosynthetic process
- Transport
- Protein processing
- Metabolic process
- Cellular homeostasis
- Cell wall organization
- Lipid metabolism
- Degradation
- Transcription
- Translation
- DNA repair
- Unknown
- DNA replication
- DNA damage
- Regulation of biological process
- Energy process
- Pathogenesis (Virulence)
- Cell wall organization and cell shape
- Cell division
- Response to stimulus
- Response to stimulus
- Byosinthetic process

Molecular function legend:
- Binding
- Transferase activity
- Unknown
- Dehydrogenase activity
- Peptidase activity
- Lyase activity
- Isomerase activity
- Kinase activity
- Synthase activity
- Catalytic activity
- Phosphatase activity
- Hydrolase activity
- Molecular function regulator
- Transporter activity
- Sialidase activity
- Deaminase activity
- Oxidoreductase activity
- Glycosylase activity
- Decarboxylase activity
- Polymerase activity
- Structural molecule activity
- Reductase activity
- Transolcase activity
- Phosphogluconolactonase activity
- Ligase activity
- Ribonuclease activity
- Phosphorylase activity
- Structural molecular activity

Cellular component legend:
- Membrane
- Cytoplasm
- Unknown
- Nucleoid
- Ribosome

(A) HaCaT

(B) Jurkat

(C) SZ95

(A) HaCaT

(B) Jurkat

(C) SZ95

A1 EVs   H1 EVs   H2 EVs