1  **Drug-induced changes in connectivity to midbrain dopamine cells revealed by rabies**
2  **monosynaptic tracing**
3

4  Katrina Bartas[1], May Hui[2], Pieter Derdeyn[1], Guilian Tian[2], Jose J. Vasquez[2], Ghalia Azouz[2],
5  Cindy M. Yamamoto[2], Kevin T. Beier[2-5]
6
1 Program in Mathematical, Computational, and Systems Biology, University of California, Irvine,
Irvine, CA, USA 92697-4560
2 Department of Physiology and Biophysics, University of California, Irvine, Irvine, CA, USA
92697-4560
3 Department of Neurobiology and Behavior, University of California, Irvine, Irvine, CA, USA
92697-4560
4 Department of Biomedical Engineering, University of California, Irvine, Irvine, CA, USA 92697-
4560
5 Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of California, Irvine, Irvine, CA, USA 92697-
4560
17
*Corresponding author
Contact information:
Kevin Beier
825 Health Sciences Road
Medical Sciences D337
Irvine, CA 92697
Phone: 949-824-1083
Email: kbeier@uci.edu

**ABSTRACT:**

Drugs of abuse cause long-lasting changes in connectivity from inputs onto ventral tegmental area dopamine cells (VTA$^{DA}$) that contribute to drug-induced behavioral adaptations. However, it is not known which inputs are altered. Here we used a rabies virus-based mapping strategy to quantify rabies-labeled inputs to VTA cells after a single exposure to one of a variety of abused drugs – cocaine, amphetamine, methamphetamine, morphine, and nicotine – and compared the relative global input labeling across conditions. We observed that all tested drugs of abuse elicited similar input changes onto VTA$^{DA}$ cells, in particular onto DA cells projecting to the lateral shell of the nucleus accumbens and amygdala. In addition, repeated administration of ketamine/xylazine to induce anesthesia induces a change in inputs to VTA$^{DA}$ cells that is similar to but different from those elicited by a single exposure to drugs of abuse, suggesting that caution should be taken when using ketamine/xylazine-based anesthesia in rodents when assessing motivated behaviors. Furthermore, comparison of viral tracing data to an atlas of gene expression in the adult mouse brain showed that the basal expression patterns of several gene classes, especially calcium channels, were highly correlated with the extent of both addictive drug- or ketamine/xylazine-induced changes in rabies-labeled inputs to VTA$^{DA}$ cells. Reducing expression levels of the voltage-gated calcium channel *Cacna1e* in cells in the nucleus accumbens lateral shell reduced rabies-mediated input labeling of these cells into VTA$^{DA}$ cells. These results directly link genes controlling cellular excitability and the extent of input labeling by the rabies virus.

**INTRODUCTION:**

The ventral tegmental area (VTA) contains dopamine cells (VTA[DA]) that play key roles in several

behavioral processes, including reward, aversion, and motor control[1–3]. VTA[DA] cells are

heterogeneous, with recent studies identifying subpopulations of VTA[DA] cells that differentially

contribute to adaptive and pathological behaviors[4–7]. Numerous studies have mapped the input-

output architecture of VTA cells generally, as well as subpopulations of constituent DAergic,

GABAergic, and glutamatergic cells[7–12]. These studies have helped us to understand the biased

input and discrete output logic of the VTA, as well as generate hypotheses regarding the function

of circuits including the VTA.

In addition to normal adaptive behaviors, VTA[DA] cells critically contribute to a variety of behaviors

related to substance use disorder (SUD), including reward, sensitization, and withdrawal elicited

by drugs of abuse[13–18]. For a variety of drugs of abuse, even a single exposure triggers long-

lasting changes in synaptic plasticity onto VTA[DA] cells[19,20]. These changes include both a long-

lasting enhancement of excitatory synaptic strength onto VTA[DA] cells[20], and development of

inhibitory synaptic plasticity[21,22]. These changes are essential for a variety of drug-induced

behaviors, linking plasticity at VTA[DA] cells with downstream behavioral changes[22–24]. Furthermore,

the changes in excitatory synaptic plasticity specifically occur onto some cell types and not others[5],

indicating that these adaptations are likely cell-type and pathway-specific. However, it remains

unknown which sets of inputs are modified, and if these changes are consistent across abused

drugs. Furthermore, synaptic plasticity is not the only type of long-lasting change that can be

induced by drugs of abuse. Unfortunately, even less is known about how other forms of

experience-dependent changes, including elevations in input activity, may contribute to addiction-

related behaviors.

We recently showed that a single dose of cocaine causes long-lasting changes in cellular activity

in inputs to VTA[DA] cells[15,16]. These changes occur from the globus pallidus external segment (GPe)

and bed nucleus of the stria terminalis (BNST) projections to the VTA that contribute to drug-

induced reward and sensitization, or withdrawal anxiety, respectively[15,25]. Notably, while these

studies focused on inputs from the GPe and BNST, the viral mapping results also demonstrated

the existence of other input changes to the VTA that were not pursued in those studies. These

unexplored connections may provide critical information about how drugs alter connectivity onto

VTA[DA] cells, which in turn contributes to drug-induced behavioral changes[15,16].

In this study, we explore the brain-wide changes in rabies-labeled inputs to VTA cells elicited by

a variety of different drugs, including drugs of abuse, an anesthetic mixture of ketamine/xylazine

(K/X), and fluoxetine, a psychoactive but non-abused control substance. Our study provides a

first-of-its-kind exploration into how even a single drug exposure can change brain-wide

connectivity patterns, in addition to highlighting some concerns about the potential effects of K/X

anesthesia on motivated behavior.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS:**

**Experimental Procedures**

<u>Animals</u>: Mice were housed on a 12-hour light–dark cycle with food and water ad libitum. Males

and females from a C57/BL6 background were used for all experiments in approximately equal

proportions. Mice were approximately 3-4 months of age at the time of experiments. All surgeries

were done under isoflurane or ketamine/xylazine anesthesia. All procedures complied with the

animal care standards set forth by the National Institute of Health and were approved by the

University of California, Irvine's Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC).

<u>Stereotaxic surgery</u>: Mice were anesthetized with 3-4% isoflurane and maintained during surgery

at 1-1.5% isoflurane, or with a mixture of ketamine (100 mg/mL) and xylazine (5 mg/mL). Mice

were secured in a stereotaxic apparatus (Stoelting). Under aseptic conditions, guide holes were

drilled, and viruses were infused into the target sites using a glass capillary attached to a

microinjection pump (WPI, UMP3T). 100 nL of AAVs or 500 nL of EnvA-pseudotyped RABV were

infused at a rate of 1.6 nL/s. The glass capillary remained in place for 5 min following the infusion

to allow for virus diffusion. After infusion, the surgical incision sites were closed with either sutures

or Vetbond tissue adhesive (Patterson Veterinary). Vetameg (flunixin, Patterson veterinary) was

administered for pain management and topical bacitracin was applied to prevent infection at the

incision site.

For viral injections mapping experiments from a defined cell type, 100 nL of a 1:1 volume mixture

of $AAV_5$-CAG-FLEx$^{loxP}$-TCB and $AAV_8$-CAG-FLEx$^{loxP}$-RABV-G was injected into the VTA of DAT-

Cre[26], GAD2-Cre[27], or vGluT2-Cre mice[28]. Thirteen days later, animals were given a single

injection of a drug of abuse, fluoxetine, or saline. The following day, 500 nL of EnvA-pseudotyped

RABV was injected into the VTA. Animals were sacrificed five days later. For cTRIO experiments

shown in **Figure 4**, during the first surgery $AAV_5$-CAG-FLEx$^{FRT}$-TCB and $AAV_8$-CAG-FLEx$^{FRT}$-

RABV-G were injected into the VTA, and CAV2-FLEx$^{loxP}$-Flp was injected into an output site

(NAcLat, amygdala, NAcMed, mPFC) during the same surgery. All other procedures were the

same as above. RABV-labeled cells throughout the brain were manually quantified, as done

previously[7,10,15].

The injection coordinates and volumes used were as follows:

VTA: 100 nL AAV and 500 nL RABV, AP –3.2, LM 0.4, DV –4.2

NAcMed: 250 nL, AP +1.78, L0.4, DV –4.1

NAcLat: 250 nL, AP +1.45, LM 1.75, DV –4.0

Amygdala: 500 nL, AP –1.43, LM 2.5, DV –4.5

mPFC: 1 $\mu$L into mPFC (two injections of 500 nL, one at AP +2.15, LM 0.27, DV –2.1 and another

at AP +2.15, L0.27, DV –1.6

The titers of the viruses used, based on quantitative PCR analysis, were as follows:

AAV$_5$-CAG-FLEx$^{loxP}$-TC, 2.4 x 10$^{13}$ genome copies (gc)/mL;

AAV$_8$-CAG-FLEx$^{loxP}$-G, 1.0 x 10$^{12}$ gc/mL;

AAV$_5$-CAG-FLEx$^{FRT}$-TC, 2.6 x 10$^{12}$ gc/mL;

AAV$_8$-CAG-FLEx$^{FRT}$-G, 1.3 x 10$^{12}$ gc/mL;

CAV-FLEx$^{loxP}$-Flp, 5.0 x 10$^{12}$ gc/mL.

The titer of RABV$\Delta$G was estimated to be 5.0 x 10$^8$ colony forming units (cfu)/mL based on serial

dilutions of the virus stock followed by infection of the 293-TVA800 cell line.

Drug administration: Cocaine was administered (intraperitoneal injections) at a dose of 15 mg/kg,

morphine at 10 mg/kg, methamphetamine at 2 mg/kg, amphetamine at 10 mg/kg, nicotine at 0.5

mg/kg, and fluoxetine at 10 mg/kg.

Quantification of RABV data: RABV mapping data were analyzed as described previously[7,10]. All

RABV mapping data included were previously published[7,10,15], except for input tracing from mice

treated with a single dose of methamphetamine. A total of 118 samples were included in this study

(**Table 1**). Exclusion criteria included mice in which fewer than 200 input cells were labeled, or in

cases where injections were mistargeted (e.g., starter cells in the substantia nigra pars compacta).

Experimenters were blinded to the experimental condition when data quantification was being

performed. For starter cell center of mass calculations, we mapped the distribution of starter

neurons, as defined by TVA-mCherry expression along the A-P axis, and in the sections at the

center point along this axis, defined the center of labeling in along the M-L axis.

**CRISPRi experiments**

Plasmid construction: The CRISPRi system was adapted from Kumar's method[29]. pJEP317

pAAV-U6SaCas9gRNA(SapI)-EFS-GFP-KASH-pA was obtained from Addgene and was used as

a template to clone pJEP317-pAAV-U6-Cacna1eAC-EFS-GFP-KASH-pA using the Q5 Site-

directed mutagenesis kit (primers: 5'-acctccgtaaGTTTTAGTACTCTGGAAACAG-3' and 5'-

atgaacccttGGTGTTTCGTCCTTTCCAC-3') to insert the gRNA sequence

(AAGGGTTCATACCTCCGTAA) for *Cacna1e*. The gRNA sequence was selected from the IDT

Predesigned Alt-R™ CRISPR-Cas9 guide RNA resource

(https://www.idtdna.com/site/order/designtool/index/CRISPR_PREDESIGN). The plasmid for

CRISPRi (pJEP309-pAAV-EFS-dSaCas9-KRAB-Dio-pA), pAAV2-8, and pAAV2-5 were obtained

from Addgene. pHelper plasmid was a gift from Matthew Banghart at the University of California,

San Diego.

AAV production, purification, and titering: Generation of AAVs from plasmids and AAV Purification

by Iodixanol Gradient Ultracentrifugation were carried out as described on Addgene's

website with minor modifications. Briefly, pHelper, pAAV2-5/pAAV2-8 and pJEP309/pJEP317-

pAAV-U6-Cacna1eAC-EFS-GFP-KASH-pA plasmids were transfected into HEK293T cells using

PEIMAX (Polysciences, cat# 24765-100). The molar ratio for pHelper:pAAV2-5:transfer plasmid

was 1:1:1, and the ratio of total plasmids to PEIMAX was 1:3. 72 hrs post-transfection, cell lysate

and medium precipitated with PEG were purified by iodixanol gradient. Purified AAVs were titered

using primers against viral ITRs (5'-GGAACCCCTAGTGATGGAGTT-3' and 5'-

CGGCCTCAGTGAGCGA-3').

Viral injection: Prior to surgery, DAT-Cre mice were anesthetized with isoflurane (4% induction,

1-1.5% maintenance) and secured on a stereotaxic frame for precise localization of injection sites.

For assessment of *in vivo* genome editing, two conditions were generated. For the experimental

condition, 600 nL of a 1:1:1 volume mix of AAV$_8$-EFS-dSaCas9-KRAB-Dio, AAV$_9$-CamKII-0.4-

Cre-SV40 (Addgene, cat# 05558-AAV9) and AAV$_5$-U6-Cacna1eAC-EFS-GFP-KASH was

injected into the NAcLat. For a no gRNA control condition, 400 nL of a 1:1 volume mix of AAV$_8$-

EFS-dSaCas9-KRAB-Dio and AAV$_9$-CamKII-0.4-Cre-SV40 was infused into the NAcLat.

For mapping inputs to VTA cells in mice with *Cacna1e* downregulation in NAcLat, during a single

surgery, 300 nL of a of a 1:1 volume mix of AAV$_5$-FLEx$^{loxP}$-TC and AAV$_5$-FLEx$^{loxP}$-RABV-G was

injected into the VTA bilaterally, 600 nL of a 1:1:1 volume mix of $AAV_8$-EFS-dSaCas9-KRAB-Dio,
$AAV_9$-CamKII-0.4-Cre-SV40, and $AAV_5$-U6-Cacna1eAC-EFS-GFP-KASH was injected into the
left NAcLat, and 400 nL of a 1:1 volume mix of $AAV_8$-EFS-dSaCas9-KRAB-Dio and $AAV_9$-CamKII-
0.4-Cre-SV40 was injected into the right NAcLat. This study design enabled controls within the
same mice to prevent systematic biases due to differences in treatment of the mice. After 14 days,
RABV$\Delta$G was injected into the VTA. Mice were sacrificed five days later, and brains processed
as described below.

<u>Brain section preparation, imaging, and cell counting</u>: Five days after RABV injection, mice
underwent transcardial perfusion with 1x PBS followed by 4% formaldehyde in 1x PBS. Brains
were extracted and post-fixed in 4% formaldehyde for 24 hours, and then dehydrated with 30%
sucrose in 1x PBS. Brains were cut into 60 μm slices using a cryostat and mounted on Superfrost
Plus™ microscope slides (Fisher, cat # 1255015). Once the brain sections were fully dried on the
slide, they were rehydrated in 1xPBS containing DAPI at a dilution of 1:1000 (ThermoFisher, cat#
D1306). After 10 minutes, the liquid was removed, and Fluoromount-G (SouthernBiotech, cat#
0100-01) was applied to the sections. Coverslips (Thermo Scientific, cat# 152460) were used to
cover the brain sections on the slides. Images of the entire slides were captured using an Olympus
IX83 microscope, and manual cell counting was performed on the acquired images.

<u>Determination of CRISPRi-mediated knockdown efficiency</u>: To determine the efficacy of CRISPRi
knockdown of *Cacna1e* expression levels, 10 days following AAV injections into the NAcLat,
NAcLat brain tissue was dissected out from the brains of injected mice, and tissue was pooled (5
mice for *Cacna1e* gRNA and 3 mice for no gRNA control). Total RNA was extracted with TRIzol,
and 50 ng of total RNA was used for RT-qPCR using the Luna Universal One-Step RT-qPCR Kit
(New        England        Biolabs,        cat#        E3005L)        following        the        manufacturer's
instructions. Phosphoglycerate Kinase 1 (PGK1) was used as the housekeeping gene for
normalization of *Cacna1e* mRNA levels.

**Dimensionality reduction of RABV input data**
For RABV input mapping experiments, quantified inputs cells were binned into 22 regions: Cortex
(anterior cortex), NAcMed, NAcLat, NAcCore, DStr, VP, PO, Septum, BNST, EAM, EP, GPe, PVH,
LHb, MHb, CeA, LH, ZI, DR, LDT, PBN, and DCN. Altogether, this dataset consists of the input
cell counts for these 22 regions across 118 mouse brains, with n=4 or 5 for each condition.

RABV input tracing data were reported as the percentage of total quantified labeled RABV inputs,
as reported previously[7,10]. These data were further scaled using Z-score normalization. Principal
Component Analysis (PCA) was then implemented on these normalized data using the scikit learn
library in Python with default parameters[30]. Weights for PCA models were visualized as heatmaps,
reflecting the contribution of each feature to each principal component. To test for differences
between groups visualized in PC space, we assessed the value for each brain for each PC and if
comparing 2 conditions, used an unpaired t-test, and if >2 conditions, a one-way ANOVA and if p
< 0.05, followed by pairwise t-tests with multiple comparisons corrections (Dunnett or Tukey, as
appropriate). Input values were the first 3 principal components, and significant differences
between conditions for each principal component (drugs versus controls, for example) were
denoted as having a p-value of less than 0.05. Results are reported where relevant in the text or
in Table S2.
227
Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP), implemented using the UMAP library in
Python, was used to generate alternative visualizations of the RABV input data[31]. To choose and
standardize our UMAP parameters across analyses, we investigated which methods placed
mouse brains of the same type and treatment close together most consistently. For the distance
metric parameter, the metrics we found to perform best were Euclidean and cosine, but we chose
to use the Euclidean metric for consistency with previous analyses[32]. For the number of neighbors
parameter, we chose a large number, ⅓ the size of each of our datasets, for a more global view
of the data. Other parameters were kept at the default values. To account for the stochasticity of
individual embeddings, we applied UMAP 20 times to each data set and found the results to be
very similar, as found in previous analyses[32]. The results were then presented as correlograms.
Clustered heatmaps and plots of these data were made using a combination of the Python
packages seaborn[33] and matplotlib[34]. The seaborn clustermap function was implemented with
default values, including Euclidean distance as the distance metric.
241
242 To better visualize the distribution of a given condition in PCA and UMAP space, we overlaid the
243 points with ellipsoids. Ellipsoids were centered at the average coordinate of a condition and
244 stretched one standard deviation along the primary and secondary axes.
245
**Brain Region Selection in the Allen Mouse Brain Atlas**
To compare our data with connectivity data from the Allen Institute, we converted our 22 brain
regions into regions or groups of regions defined by the Allen Atlas. This was necessary as our

region annotations defined while doing cell counts of the RABV data were at times different from
the Allen Mouse Brain Atlas-defined regions. 22 brain regions were used for RABV analyses, but
these were reduced to 20 brain regions (NAcMed, NAcLat, and NAcCore were merged into the
NAc) for comparison with Allen Atlas data. In several cases, multiple Allen-defined regions were
used to constitute a single region that we defined, as in the case of the cortex. A summary of
these conversions is as follows:

| Full brain region name | Standard abbreviation | Allen abbreviations |
|---|---|---|
| Cortex | Anterior cortex | PL, ILA, ORB, GU, AI, MOs, MOp |
| Nucleus accumbens (medial) | NAcMed | ACB |
| Nucleus accumbens (lateral) | NAcLat | ACB |
| Nucleus accumbens (core) | NAcCore | ACB |
| Dorsal striatum | DStr | STRd |
| Ventral pallidum | VP | PALv |
| Preoptic area | PO | MPO, LPO |
| Septum | Septum | LSX, MS |
| Bed nucleus of the stria terminalis | BNST | BST |
| Extended amygdala area | EAM | MEA, AAA, NLOT, COA, MA, BMA, EPv, NDB |
| Internal globus pallidus | EP | GPi |
| External globus pallidus | GPe | GPe |
| Paraventricular nucleus of the hypothalamus | PVH | PVH |
| Lateral habenula | LHb | LH |
| Medial habenula | MHb | MH |
| Central nucleus of the amygdala | CeA | CEA |
| Lateral hypothalamus | LH | LHA |
| Zona incerta | ZI | ZI |
| Dorsal raphe nucleus | DR | DR, PAG |

| Laterodorsal tegmental nucleus | LDT | LDT |
|---|---|---|
| Parabrachial nucleus | PBN | PB |
| Deep cerebellar nuclei | DCN | CBN |

**Allen Mouse Brain Connectivity Atlas projection portrait construction**

Projection portraits of the VTA were made using data from the Allen Mouse Brain Connectivity Atlas[35], as described previously[32]. Briefly, data from 20 input brain regions, as defined in the above table, were downloaded and used for analyses. Cre-based and non-Cre-based experiments representative of each brain region were chosen for visualization. Labeled cell bodies at the site of injection often spread into adjacent brain regions or only covered a part of a region. Several experiments were chosen to account for this variation and give a better estimate for each region's projections. Experiment IDs are recorded in Table S1 in our previous work[32]. Connectivity of brain regions of interest to the VTA was visualized by showing a representative slice of the VTA and coloring the image based on high or low connectivity according to averaged AAV tracing data. AAV tracing data were averaged across experiments and across brain regions that grouped together according to weights assigned by PCA, as shown in **Figures 1, 3, and 4**.

Data for projection portraits and for subsequent network construction was accessed using the python Allen SDK package, developed and maintained by the Allen Institute for Brain Science.

**Gene Expression Data Access and Processing**

Gene expression data was downloaded from the Allen Institute's Anatomic Gene Expression Atlas (AGEA)[36], an *in situ* hybridization dataset which has annotated expression of 19,932 genes to every brain region defined in the Allen Mouse Brain Atlas. We used code written by Eli Cornblath (https://github.com/ejcorn/mouse_abi_tool) to access and download gene expression data for our regions of interest, as done in a previous study[37]. To ensure only high-quality data were included in our analyses, we filtered experiments based on metrics used in previous analyses of this dataset[38]. We retained genes for which there were data from coronal sections, or where the Pearson correlation coefficient across experiments was over 0.5 for a particular gene in our regions of interest. This filtering left us with 4,283 unique genes for the 36 Allen-defined brain regions to be aggregated to compare with our 22 quantified input sites from the RABV mapping data. We averaged data among brain regions that were defined differently in the atlas versus our RABV quantifications, which resulted in a total of 20 brain regions for comparative analyses, as

detailed above. Data were normalized using a scaled sigmoid function, a method that is robust to

outliers and was used in previous analyses of these data[39].

**Gene expression data analysis and comparison to RABV data**

To investigate how basal gene expression might be correlated to connectivity changes after drug

exposure, we used processed data from the AGEA. For each experimental condition, the RABV

data for the drug-treated animals were compared to those of the saline-treated controls. To

quantify this comparison, we computed a ratio, as used in **Figures 6 and S7-9**, by dividing the

average RABV counts in each brain region for the experimental condition by the average RABV

counts in each brain region for the saline condition. This resulted in a vector of 22 ratios for each

experimental condition, one ratio for each brain region. We also computed a difference, as used

in **Figures 5 and S4-S6**, by subtracting the average RABV counts in each brain region for the

experimental condition by the average RABV counts in each brain region for the saline control

condition. This resulted in a vector of 22 differences for each drug comparison, one difference for

each brain region.

We calculated the correlation of the condition-control differences with gene expression data for

each region. The Spearman correlation coefficient between the gene expression across regions

and our RABV differences was used because the correlative relationship between these values

cannot be assumed to be linear. A similar method was used in previous analyses[38]. A high positive

correlation between a gene and an experimental condition ratio was interpreted as a greater

likelihood of a brain region to exhibit RABV labeling changes after drug exposure if this gene is

highly expressed before drug exposure. A negative correlation between a gene and an

experimental condition ratio was taken to mean this region would be more likely to exhibit RABV

labeling changes after drug exposure if the gene expression level is low.

We performed GO analysis on the top 50 most highly correlated genes and on the top 50 most

negatively correlated genes. GO analysis was performed using the EnrichR package[40]. Lists of

genes with highly positive or negative correlations to our condition differences were compared to

GO annotations using the coding language R. The 2021 version of two databases were queried:

GO Biological Process and GO Molecular Function. GO enrichment analysis results were

visualized in dot plots using the package ggplot2, as shown in **Figures 5 and S4-S6**.

We next compared the drug/isoflurane ratios for a group of addictive drugs to gene expression of

subsets of genes of interest (**Figure 6, S7**). Similar analyses were done for ketamine and

fluoxetine (**Figure S8, S9**). The subgroups of genes used were synapse-related genes[41], ion-

channel related gene[42], neurotransmitter receptor-related genes[43], differentially expressed genes

related to substance dependence GWAS[44], and exo- and endocytosis-related genes[45]. Other

subsets of genes of interest were defined using the Guide to Pharmacology database[46].

Correlation coefficients (Spearman's ρ) and p-values are reported in the figures.

**RESULTS:**

**Dimensionality reduction methods identify differences in inputs of VTA cell populations**

We and others previously showed that DAergic, GABAergic, and glutamatergic cells in the VTA

receive inputs from similar brain regions, with some quantitative differences that varied depending

on the study[10,12]. In our work we also showed that connectivity differences in the VTA are largely

a consequence of spatial organization of cells within the VTA[7,10,32]. The fact that the distributions

of these three VTA cell populations are largely spatially overlapping may explain why the global

inputs to each population are similar. However, one limitation in interpreting these studies is that

they focused on individual comparisons of input counts on a per region basis. While this is a

common approach in analyzing viral tracing data, and useful for both hypothesis generation and

testing, it fails to account for the higher-level organization of input patterns. Our goal here is to go

beyond considering single brain regions and better understand the holistic connectivity patterns

of different cell populations or drug treatment conditions.

Our first goal was to explore the potential differences in input patterns generated using RABV

tracing to each of the DAergic, GABAergic, and glutamatergic neuron populations in the VTA

using principal component analysis, PCA. A schematic of the experiment is shown in **Figure 1A**,

with representative images of brain regions of interest in **Figure 1B**. A standard representation of

these data, a bar plot, is shown in **Figure 1C**. PCA embedding resulted in the three cell

populations clustering into largely distinct groups (**Figure 1E**). We considered the first two

principal components (PCs), which explain the most variance in the data. In PC space, DAT-Cre

brains were characterized by low PC1 and low PC2 values, GAD2-Cre brains by high PC1 values,

and vGluT2-Cre brains by high PC2 values. The differences we noted were statistically significant:

PC1 values of GAD2-Cre compared to DAT-Cre brains were significantly different (One-way

ANOVA p = 0.010, DAT-Cre vs. GAD2-Cre multiple comparisons adjusted p = 0.0087; **Figure 1F**)

and PC2 values of DAT-Cre compared to vGluT2-Cre brains were significantly different (One-way

ANOVA p = 0.016, DAT-Cre vs. vGluT2-Cre multiple comparisons adjusted p = 0.016; **Figure**

**1G**). An advantage of PCA over other dimensionality reduction approaches is that, because it is

a linear approach, the weights of each feature's contribution to each PC can be clearly interpreted.

In this case, we can infer which brain regions contribute most to the positive and negative

dimensions of each PC. For example, in our data, DAT-Cre and GAD2-Cre brains were separated

along PC1. Brain regions that contributed to the positive dimension of PC1 were the BNST,

entopeduncular nucleus (EP), GPe, central amygdala (CeA), and zona incerta (ZI) (**Figure 1D**).

These inputs were enriched onto GABAergic cells relative to DA cells (**Figure 1C**). To identify

where in the VTA these input populations projected, we assembled projection portraits of these

inputs to the VTA as we did previously[32]. This approach uses axonal projection data available

from the Allen Mouse Brain Connectivity Atlas to generate spatial heatmaps that show "hotspots"

of innervation in the midbrain[35]. We first selected experiments where GFP was expressed in the

input regions of interest. Pixel values representing projection density were pulled from a

representative coronal slice containing the VTA for each region. For a given set of inputs of

interest, we averaged together their projections to the VTA to see if the group had any common

spatial trends (**Figure 1H**). The projection portrait of the positive PC1 inputs indicated that they

preferentially project dorsally and laterally to areas surrounding the VTA (**Figure 1I**). In contrast,

the regions that contributed most strongly to the negative dimension of PC1 were the anterior

cortex, nucleus accumbens medial shell (NAcMed), ventral pallidum (VP), preoptic area (PO),

septum, lateral habenula (LHb), medial habenula (MHb), and lateral hypothalamus (LH). These

inputs were more highly labeled in DAT-Cre mice. The projection portrait of these inputs highlights

the whole VTA and not surrounding regions (**Figure 1J**). While the distribution of starter cells in

the VTA in DAT-Cre and GAD2-Cre mice is spatially overlapping[7], this analysis indicates that

inputs with biases onto DA cells target the VTA itself while those biased onto GABAergic cells

preferentially target areas dorsal and lateral to the VTA.

While DAT-Cre and GAD2-Cre conditions were separated along PC1, DAT-Cre and vGluT2-Cre

conditions were separated along PC2. Regions that contributed to the positive dimension of PC2

included the LHb, MHb, EP, ZI, and deep cerebellar nuclei (DCN) as well as the cortex, while

those contributing to the negative dimension included the NAcMed, nucleus accumbens lateral

shell (NAcLat), nucleus accumbens core (NAcCore), dorsal striatum (DStr), and GPe (**Figure 1K-**

**L**). The MHb and LHb both project medially in the VTA, whereas the NAcMed, NAcLat, NAcCore,

DStr, and GPe all project laterally[32]. The projection portrait corresponding to the positive

dimension of PC2 highlights the area dorsal of the VTA, as well as the medial aspect of the VTA

(**Figure 1K**), while those corresponding to the negative dimension highlight the ventrolateral

aspect of the ventral midbrain, including the substantia nigra pars reticulata (SNr) (**Figure 1L**).

These results are consistent with the medial-lateral projection gradient that principally divides the

projections into the VTA, with inputs from the basal ganglia projecting to the lateral portion of the

VTA and the habenula projecting to the medial portion[32]. As inputs from the MHb and LHb

contribute positive weights to the PC2 axis and vGluT2-Cre brains have the most positive PC2

values, it suggests that most of the vGluT2-expressing cells in the VTA are located within the

medial portion of the VTA. This is consistent with previous reports using immunohistochemistry

and in situ hybridization[47,48]. In addition, the EP, ZI, and DCN all project predominantly to the red

nucleus, and the cortex also innervates the red nucleus, which contains glutamatergic neurons

and is located dorsal to the VTA (**Figure 1K**). While injections for our viral mapping studies

targeted the VTA and most starter neurons were within the VTA, there were some starter neurons

in vGluT2-Cre brains located in the red nucleus. In contrast, this was not the case in DAT-Cre

brains[10]. As these starter populations were also largely spatially overlapping, the data indicate

that inputs with biases onto glutamatergic cells target the dorsomedial aspect of the VTA, while

those with a relative bias onto DA cells preferentially target the ventrolateral aspect of the VTA.

While PCA is particularly useful due to the interpretability of the weights of the PCs, it cannot

capture non-linear relationships in high-dimensional data, which are common in complex systems

like in neuroscience. To examine the overall relationship of input patterns between DAT-Cre,

GAD2-Cre, and vGluT2-Cre cell populations, we performed a second analysis on inputs from

each population using Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection, UMAP, which can capture

more complex relationships than PCA. We found that DAT-Cre and GAD2-Cre brains were the

most separated in UMAP space, with vGluT2-Cre brains located between them (**Figure 1M**). This

is consistent with the overlap in neurotransmitters released by these cells: cells expressing DA

markers such as tyrosine hydroxylase (TH) and traditional GABAergic markers such as GAD2 are

nearly mutually exclusive, while there is considerable overlap between cells expressing DA

markers and glutamatergic markers, as well as cells expressing GABAergic markers and

glutamatergic markers[10,12,49–51]. Note that while DA neurons have been found to co-transmit DA

and GABA[52,53], this occurs through a non-canonical GABA synthesis pathway, and therefore DA

cells do not express typical markers of GABAergic cells, such as GAD2, used to define the Cre

line in our study.

Since individual UMAP embeddings are stochastic depending on initial seeding conditions, we
examined the average Euclidian distance between pairs of brains in 20 UMAP embeddings and
plotted the averaged results as a correlogram. This showed that DAT-Cre and vGluT2-Cre brains
were more like one another, and the GAD2-Cre brains were the most distinct from the other
conditions (**Figure 1N**). These results are thus consistent with the known neurochemical overlap
between these cells and indicate that DAT-Cre and vGluT2-Cre label more overlapping cells with
each other than with GAD2-Cre. In sum, these results serve to demonstrate that we can
recapitulate the locations of different neurochemically-defined cells in the VTA based on PCA and
projection portraits based on information from RABV input mapping data. They also provide a
validation of our approach for dissecting the relationships between input patterns and different
cell types within the VTA, as the conclusions about the relationship between input regions and
cell types agree with other studies using different, complementary methodologies.
431
**A single injection of a drug of abuse changes brain-wide input patterns to VTA$^{DA}$ cells**
With our dimensionality reduction approaches validated on our cell type-specific RABV data, we
next applied them to examining how drugs of abuse may alter VTA input patterns. We first
assessed whether the psychoactive but non-addictive fluoxetine altered brain-wide input patterns
relative to saline-injected mice. We found no clear differences in inputs between these conditions,
and they overlapped completely in PC space – with no significant differences between PC1, PC2,
or PC3 between the saline and the fluoxetine brains (p > 0.05 for PC1-3) - indicating fluoxetine
did not alter brain-wide input patterns to VTA$^{DA}$ cells (**Figure 2A-F**). We therefore combined these
groups for additional statistical power and tested whether a single administration of one of several
drugs of abuse caused changes in brain-wide input patterns to VTA$^{DA}$ cells, first considered as a
single group. When comparing combined controls to the drugs group, we observed a statistically
significant difference in input patterns, including a reduction in inputs from the DStr and elevation
in inputs from the GPe following drug injection (**Figure 2G**). In order to more fully assess which
input sites may differentiate the control- versus drug-treated groups, we again used PCA. We
observed separation between the control and drug groups principally along PC2, with no
separation along PC1 and minimal separation along PC3 (**Figure 2H-I**). These differences in PC
space were statistically significant: as we observed visually, the control group's PC2 and PC3
values were significantly different from the drug group's PC2 and PC3 values (p = 0.0009 and p
= 0.024 for PC2 and PC3, respectively; **Figure 2K-L**). There was no significant difference
between drugs and controls in PC1 (p = 0.78; **Figure 2J**). The positive axis of PC2, which was
enriched in drug-treated brains, was driven by inputs from EAM, EP, GPe, LH, and PBN, while

the negative axis was driven by the NAcMed, NAcCore, DStr, septum, and MHb (**Figure 2M**).
Notably, the most negative weights for PC2, namely the NAcMed, NAcCore, DStr, septum, and
MHb are all reduced in drug-treated brains (**Figure 2G**). Conversely, the most positive weights,
namely the EAM, EP, GPe, LH, and PBN, are all increased in drug-treated brains (**Figure 2G**).
Therefore, while PCA allows us to observe trends consistent with traditional methods, we can
also observe the relationship between the percentage of inputs among different brains, and also
identify relationships that are not immediately apparent in the data when presented as bar graphs.
For example, while the BNST, CeA, and ZI do not show clear differences in control vs. drug-
treated brains in the bar graphs, they are all positive weights in PC2 and thus, likely change in
concert with regions such as the GPe and PBN (**Figure 2G-M**).
463
Importantly, unlike traditional bar graph approaches, PCA allows us to isolate technical variation
from the experiments, enabling us to focus more clearly on changes induced by the relevant
variable, here treatment with a control substance or drug of abuse. For example, PC1 does not
distinguish control vs. drug-treated brains (**Figure 2H, J**), indicating this variable was inherent to
the way the experiment was performed and not related to drug or control treatment. The strongest
negative weights for PC1 were the NAcLat, NAcCore, DStr, and GPe, while the strongest positive
weights for PC1 were the VP, PO, LHb, and DR (**Figure 2M**). This is consistent with connectivity
differences along the medial-lateral axis of the VTA, which underlies many of the connectivity
differences to different VTA$^{DA}$ subpopulations[7,10,32]. To assess whether PC1 may reflect
differences the location of starter cells in the VTA, we first assessed whether there was a
systematic difference in starter cell location between conditions. We noted a high level of overlap
in starter cell distribution between conditions (**Figure 2N**), indicating that this alone likely did not
explain differences between control and drug-treated groups. We then assessed whether brain to
brain variation in starter cell distribution could explain the effects observed along PC1. We
therefore colored each point in PC space according to the assessed center of injection for each
experiment. We noted a clear medial-lateral gradient along PC1, but not PC2 or PC3 (**Figure 2O-
P**). To test the significance of this relationship, we performed linear regression analysis,
comparing the x-coordinate of the injection center relative to location along PC1, PC2, or PC3 for
each brain. We observed a highly significant positive correlation with PC1, a slightly positive but
non-significant correlation with PC2, and no correlation for PC3 (**Figure 2Q-S**). These results
show that PC1 reflects variation in the injection site within the VTA, while PC2 reflects changes
induced by drugs of abuse, allowing us to isolate the changes in input connectivity induced by
administration of a single drug of abuse.

With our integrative methodology validated on cell type specific RABV data and demonstrating that drugs of abuse alter brain-wide input patterns to VTA[DA] cells, we applied our approaches to explore the effects of each drug specifically, as well as potential effects of the 2x use of the K/X anesthetic mixture. We first asked two questions: 1) How do injections of different drugs of abuse change the connectivity patterns onto VTA cells? 2) How do these potential drug-induced differences relate to differences between unique cell types in the VTA? To answer these, we first assessed experiments with ten separate experimental conditions: 2 conditions of GAD2-Cre mice, and 8 conditions of DAT-Cre mice. The GAD2-Cre mice were all anesthetized with isoflurane and administered either saline or cocaine one day prior to RABV injection. The DAT-Cre mice were given either isoflurane or K/X-based anesthesia. The K/X-anesthetized mice were administered saline. The isoflurane anesthetized mice were administered either saline, fluoxetine, amphetamine, cocaine, methamphetamine, morphine, or nicotine. RABV mapping was performed from targeted VTA cells in all cases, and the brain-wide inputs were quantified. We then performed PCA on the combined RABV tracing datasets, which showed that brains from GAD2-Cre mice were in the positive dimension of PC1, while those from DAT-Cre mice were in the negative dimension (**Figure 3A**), regardless of the substance administered. This difference in PC1 was highly statistically significant (One-way ANOVA $p < 0.0001$; all conditions pairwise comparisons with GAD2-Cre, multiple comparisons adjusted $p < 0.0001$; **Figure 3B**). The positive dimension of PC1 received contributions from largely the same sets of regions as in the previous analysis of DAT-Cre, GAD2-Cre, and vGluT2-Cre brains, which included the BNST, EP, ZI, CeA, as well as the DCN (**Figure 3H, 1D**). Given that the same set of brain regions differentiated DAT-Cre and GAD2-Cre brains along PC1 in both datasets, this suggests that the intrinsic differences in inputs to different cell populations in the VTA are more pronounced than differences induced by drugs of abuse, fluoxetine, or the method of anesthesia. Notably, these patterns were unlikely to be obtained by chance; scrambling the sample identity and percentage of RABV-labeled cells in each input site resulted in groups that overlapped in both PCA and UMAP space (**Figure S1**).

To specifically explore potential drug-induced differences in input labeling onto VTA[DA] cells, we removed GAD2-Cre brains from the dataset. Results and representative images are shown in **Figure 3J-K**. In PCA analyses of these brains only, PC1 and PC3 largely failed to differentiate the conditions, with no significant differences observed (**Figure 3C-G**), while PC2 significantly differentiated the conditions (One-way ANOVA $p = 0.0093$; saline vs. drugs multiple comparisons adjusted $p = 0.034$; **Figure 3F**). The most negative weights to PC1 were contributed by the basal

ganglia inputs that project to the SNr, located ventrolaterally to the VTA (**Figure 3I, L**) while
positive contributors came from brain regions that projected more medially (LHb, MHb, dorsal
raphe (DR)) and centrally to the VTA (ventral pallidum (VP), PO, extended amygdala area (EAM),
paraventricular nucleus of the hypothalamus (PVH), and LH) (**Figure 3I, M**). This is consistent
with a gradient along the medial-lateral axis[32]. As it did not differentiate the conditions, this axis
likely represents experimental variations in the location of starter cell populations within the
VTA[7,10,32]. The regions with the largest positive contribution to PC2 included the EAM,
parabrachial nucleus (PBN), DR, EP, and LH, with lesser contributions from the GPe, DCN, ZI,
CeA, and BNST (**Figure 3I, N**). These regions were over-represented in animals treated with a
single administration of a drug of abuse. Notably, this group of inputs contains brain regions that
are involved in the brain's stress response such as the PBN, EAM, CeA, and BNST, and have
been implicated in drug-induced behaviors[54,55]. For example, we recently showed the importance
of the GPe in conditioned place preference and locomotor sensitization and the BNST in drug-
induced withdrawal[15,25]. The regions with the largest negative contribution to PC2 included the
NAcMed, NAcCore, DStr, Septum, and MHb (**Figure 3I, O**). These regions were
underrepresented in animals given a single administration of a drug of abuse. These results
together demonstrate that drugs of abuse cause long-lasting changes in input populations to DA
cells, and that we can identify which sets of inputs distinguish drug-treated vs. control-treated
brains. These changes may be functionally important, as we previously demonstrated the
functional role of two inputs that we identified as differing between drug-treated and control
conditions.
542
Lastly, we wanted to explore the overall relationship between input changes induced by different
drugs. As before, we used UMAP to explore the higher-level organization of these conditions to
compare the relationship of holistic input patterns between groups. We found that the mice
anesthetized with isoflurane and treated with saline overlapped with the isoflurane-anesthetized,
fluoxetine-treated group, but not the K/X-anesthetized and saline-treated group (**Figure 3P**).
These conditions were segregated from animals treated with the drugs of abuse -
psychostimulants, morphine, and nicotine. Our analysis of the relationships between individual
conditions, generated using the Euclidian distances between points in 20 UMAP embeddings as
before, demonstrated that the expected control conditions formed a cluster (saline, fluoxetine)
distinct from the other groups. Interestingly, the K/X-anesthetized animals were more closely
associated with the mice treated with addictive drugs, in particular morphine, than with controls
(**Figure 3Q**). This suggests that drugs of abuse cause similar changes in brain-wide input patterns

to one another in DAT-Cre mice, and that input patterns in K/X-anesthetized animals more closely
resemble morphine-treated mice than saline-treated mice. This indicates that the method of
anesthesia, either using isoflurane or K/X, results in different patterns of input labeling. Given the
similarity of input patterns in K/X-anesthetized mice to isoflurane-anesthetized mice treated with
a drug of abuse but not saline, we suspect that two doses of K/X-anesthesia as is required for
RABV circuit mapping experiments triggers changes in input patterns in rodent brains that last at
least the five-day duration of these experiments.

**Anesthetic doses of K/X cause long-lasting changes in inputs to VTA$^{DA}\rightarrow$NAcLat and**
**VTA$^{DA}\rightarrow$Amygdala cells**
To examine how differences in anesthesia methods may impact brain-wide input patterns, we
performed some more targeted comparisons. When comparing only two groups using PCA –
isoflurane-anesthetized animals to K/X-anesthetized animals – these conditions completely
segregated (**Figure 4A**). While the difference in PC1 was not quite significant (p = 0.086), the
difference in PC2 was significant (p = 0.037). This separation was driven by positive weights from
the VP, PO, EAM, PVH, LH, and PBN along PC1, which were more strongly represented in the
K/X-anesthetized mice and whose combined projection portrait broadly targets the VTA (**Figure
4A, C, P, U**), and the NAcLat, DStr, GPe, and PVH along PC2, which were also more strongly
represented in the K/X-anesthetized mice whose combined projection portrait targets
ventrolateral to the VTA (**Figure 4A, C, P, V**). A similar separation of conditions was observed
using UMAP (**Figure 4B**).

Next, we wanted to assess which VTA$^{DA}$ cells may be impacted by whether the animals received
isoflurane or K/X-based anesthesia. To test this, we performed cell type-specific Tracing of the
Relationship between Inputs and Outputs (cTRIO) for each of four different VTA$^{DA}$ subpopulations:
those projecting to the NAcMed, NAcLat, amygdala, or mPFC, and receiving K/X anesthesia and
saline, isoflurane and saline, or isoflurane and cocaine. The differences in input patterns between
conditions were most pronounced for VTA$^{DA}\rightarrow$NAcLat cells, where the isoflurane- and K/X-treated
groups segregated, both in PCA and UMAP space (**Figure 4D-F**). Groups appeared to differ along
both PC1 and PC2, though differences in PC2 were not statistically significantly different, with
K/X-treated brains being characterized by lower PC1 values which received contributions
principally from the anterior cortex, NAcMed, NAcLat, NAcCore, septum, PVH, and MHb, as well
as higher PC2 values which received contributions from the VP, PO, EAM, LHb, and LH (PC1,
One-way ANOVA p = 0.0003, saline vs. cocaine multiple comparisons adjusted p = 0.011, k/x vs.

cocaine multiple comparisons adjusted p = 0.0002; **Figure 4D, Q, W, X**). The following

comparisons in PC1 were statistically significant: cocaine versus isoflurane controls (p = 0.011)

and cocaine versus K/X anesthesia (p = 0.001). Notably, K/X, saline-treated mice and isoflurane,

cocaine-treated mice segregate from one another in both PCA and UMAP space, indicating that

the K/X-induced changes are distinct from those induced by cocaine (**Figure 4D-F**). The similarity

in projection portraits for weights that contribute more to the K/X-treated group in VTA$^{DA}$→NAcLat

(**Figure 4U, V**) and all VTA$^{DA}$ neurons (**Figure 4W, X**) suggests that largely overlapping DA cells

may be targeted for each set of experiments and is consistent with the observation that

VTA$^{DA}$→NAcLat neurons comprise the majority of VTA$^{DA}$ neurons, as noted in previous studies[7,10].

These results thus serve as another proof of principle of the reliability of our approach to pull out

similar results from different datasets.

In addition to VTA$^{DA}$→NAcLat cells, we observed some segregation of isoflurane and K/X-treated

conditions in VTA$^{DA}$→Amygdala cells (**Figure 4G-I, R**). The lack of clear differentiation in PCA

space, with only PC3 showing significant differences between the isoflurane control group and

K/X anesthesia (One-way ANOVA p = 0.014, saline vs. K/X multiple comparisons adjusted p =

0.0078; **Figure S2**) makes it difficult to interpret which input sites may be contributing to the

differentiation in conditions that we observe. In the VTA$^{DA}$→NAcMed and VTA$^{DA}$→mPFC

subpopulations, the separation between isoflurane-saline, isoflurane-cocaine, and K/X-saline

conditions was much less pronounced (**Figure 4J-O, S-T**), and not to be significant; indeed,

similar separations were observed by chance (**Figure S3**). Notably, when considering inputs to

all VTA$^{DA}$ cells, the projection portraits that indicate the inputs most highly elevated or depressed

in K/X-treated mice highlight either the entire VTA, or the ventrolateral aspect of the VTA (**Figure**

**4U, V**). These projections are consistent with the locations of the VTA$^{DA}$→Amygdala and

VTA$^{DA}$→NAcLat cells, respectively, within the VTA. In contrast, the portraits do not highlight the

medial or ventromedial aspects of the VTA, which is the location of VTA$^{DA}$→mPFC and

VTA$^{DA}$→NAcMed cells[32,56]. These results together indicate that K/X anesthesia impacts inputs

that preferentially target VTA$^{DA}$→NAcLat or VTA$^{DA}$→Amygdala cells.

**Exploring gene expression patterns that predict changes in RABV input labeling**

We next wanted to explore if drug-induced changes in input connectivity might be related to gene

expression profiles in cells located in the input sites. The AGEA data are from all cells in the input

regions and are not limited to those projecting to the VTA and show only baseline gene expression

patterns and not those following drug administration. Nonetheless, these analyses can be a
valuable starting point in associating spatial gene expression data with patterns of RABV-labeled
inputs from VTA$^{DA}$ cells. To do this, we calculated the correlation of gene expression data from
the Allen Gene Expression Atlas (AGEA) with the difference (**Figure 5**) or the ratio (**Figure 6**)
between inputs labeled in each region in experimental conditions and isoflurane/saline-treated
controls. After filtering AGEA data for only high-quality experiments, we were left with 4,283 genes
to which we could calculate correlations within our 22 input brain regions of interest.

We first identified genes or pathways that may be linked to elevations or depressions in RABV
labeling for each condition. We selected genes that were highly positively or negatively correlated
to differences between 1) isoflurane-saline controls and 2) isoflurane- psychostimulant, morphine,
nicotine, or fluoxetine, or 3) K/X-saline mice. We used the top 50 genes in the AGEA that were
either positively or negatively correlated (Spearman's ρ) to differences in RABV labelling and
performed gene ontology (GO) analyses. Starting with drugs of abuse in aggregate, the 50 most
positively correlated genes (Spearman's ρ > 0.47, p < 0.05) were most significantly associated
with inhibitory ligand-gated chloride channels (**Figure 5A**), suggesting that higher basal
expression of these genes may be related to larger changes in connectivity induced by drugs of
abuse. Conversely, there were many more genes that were strongly negatively correlated to drug-
induced changes in RABV labeling. The 50 most negatively correlated genes (Spearman's ρ < -
0.68, p < 0.001) were most closely associated with negative regulation of long-term synaptic
potentiation (**Figure 5B**), suggesting that regulation of synaptic plasticity in input cell populations
may be a key indicator of the likelihood of a drug of abuse altering RABV input labeling. We
repeated this analysis of the top 50 genes with each individual drug: cocaine, methamphetamine,
amphetamine, morphine, and nicotine. In addition to findings in aggregate, other interesting
classes of genes that were negatively associated (Spearman's ρ < -0.50, p < 0.05) with individual
drug-induced changes in RABV labeling include regulation of synapse structural plasticity
(methamphetamine), regulation of calcium ion transport (nicotine), cellular response to Ca$^{2+}$ ions
(cocaine), and regulation of potassium ion transporters (nicotine) (**Figure S4**), which relate to
synaptic and cellular processes of plasticity and intrinsic excitability. There were fewer than 50
genes that were significantly positively correlated, but the top 50 genes (Spearman's ρ > 0.36, p
< 0.12) were associated with terms including anion channels (cocaine, amphetamine,
methamphetamine) and syntaxin binding (cocaine, amphetamine, nicotine) (**Figure S5**). We also
explored which gene expression patterns may be related to input changes driven by K/X
anesthesia. Anion channel activity, particularly GABA receptor expression, again shows up as

one of the most significant associations to the top 50 most positively correlated genes
(Spearman's ρ > 0.37, p < 0.10) (**Figure 5C**), while negatively correlated genes were associated
with a variety of metabolic processes (**Figure 5D**). Notably, these gene expression patterns were
not correlated with input changes induced by fluoxetine (**Figure S6**).

To further assess which classes of genes were the most closely related to the differences in drug
evoked RABV labeling relative to controls, we performed linear regressions of the ratios of RABV
labeling in experimental vs. control conditions relative to the mean of the log normalized
expression of AGEA RNA *in situ* hybridization data for a particular class of genes. We observed
an overall significant and slightly negative correlation between the RABV labeling ratio and the
gene expression mean (r = -0.30, p = 2.1 x $10^{-3}$) for all 4,283 genes (**Figure S7A**). This genome-
wide negative correlation is a useful reference to compare to correlation coefficients of subsets of
genes. Among the gene expression classes that we examined, the most significant association
was between the change in RABV-labeled inputs and ion channel gene expression (r = -0.56, p
= 1.3 x $10^{-9}$; **Figure 6A**), consistent with our results from GO analyses. The next most significant
classes were neurotransmitter receptors (r = -0.46, p = 1.9 x $10^{-6}$) and synapses (r = -0.42, p =
1.6 x $10^{-5}$), followed by genes that have been linked to SUDs through Genome-Wide Association
Studies (GWAS; r = -0.33, p = 7.7 x $10^{-4}$) as well as endo/exocytosis genes (r = -0.30, p = 2.3 x
$10^{-3}$). Each of the above relationships showed a negative correlation of RABV ratios with gene
expression (**Figure 6B-C, S7B-C**). Except for endo/exocytosis genes, each class had a more
negative, significant correlation than our genome-wide reference. As a further comparison, we
observed that the relationships between RABV labeling ratios and gene classes that are likely
irrelevant to RABV labeling, such as mitochondrial genes (r = -0.24, p = 1.4 x $10^{-2}$), sugar
transporters (r = -0.26, p = 1.0 x $10^{-2}$), and tumor-associated genes (r = -0.18, p = 7.0 x $10^{-2}$) were
weaker and less significant than our classes of interest (**Figure S7D-F**).

As the class of ion channel genes was the most strongly correlated to drug-induced differences
in RABV-labeled input patterns, we assessed which ion channels are most closely linked to RABV
labeling differences between conditions. The most significant relationship was between $Ca^{2+}$
channel genes (r = -0.57, p = 4.4 x $10^{-10}$), followed by $Cl^-$ (r = -0.39, p = 6.1 x $10^{-5}$), $K^+$ (r = -0.30,
p = 2.9 x $10^{-3}$), and $Na^+$ channels (r = -0.11, p = 0.30; **Figure 6D-G**). The expression levels of
these channels, in particular $Ca^{2+}$ channels, are linked to regulating a variety of cellular processes,
including immediate early gene expression networks as well as cellular excitability. Ligand-gated
channels (r = -0.40, p = 4.1 x $10^{-5}$) were more strongly related with RABV labeling than voltage-

gated ion channels (r = -0.33, p = 7.4 x $10^{-4}$) or other types of ion channels (r = -0.13, p = 0.21;

**Figure S7G-I**). Among the ligand-gated channels, the glutamate receptors were the most strongly

correlated (r = -0.49, p = 3.1 x $10^{-7}$; **Figure 6H**). The next most strongly correlated gene classes

were acetylcholine receptors (r = -0.36, p = 2.4 x $10^{-4}$), GABA receptors (r = -0.31, p = 1.8 x $10^{-3}$),

and glycine receptors (r = 0.32, p = 1.4 x $10^{-3}$) (**Figure 6I-K**). Notably, glycine receptors were the

only class of genes that we analyzed that was positively correlated with RABV labeling. Similar

patterns were observed for K/X anesthetized mice (**Figure S8**), but not with isoflurane-

anesthetized, fluoxetine-treated mice (**Figure S9**). These results together indicate that the basal

expression levels of a variety of ion channels, particularly $Ca^{2+}$ and ligand-gated ion channels,

may be related to driving elevations or depressions in RABV labeling following a single injection

of a variety of drugs of abuse, or K/X anesthesia.

As the correlation between RABV labeling ratios and $Ca^{2+}$ channel gene expression is negative,

lower expression of $Ca^{2+}$ genes is linked to an elevation in drug-induced RABV input labeling. This

relationship could be explained by several mechanisms. One, expression of $Ca^{2+}$ channel genes

could be inhibitory towards the drug-induced change in RABV transmission. Alternatively, lower

$Ca^{2+}$ channel gene expression levels could indicate more potential for a drug-induced rise in gene

expression level. To differentiate between these possibilities, we performed CRISPR-based gene

expression modulation of the voltage-gated calcium ion channel *Cacna1e* using CRISPRi, which

reduces gene expression through blocking DNA transcription without cutting DNA[57] (**Figure 7A-**

**B**). Three different adeno-associated viruses (AAV) were co-injected into the NAcLat, an input

site to the VTA, in DAT-Cre mice. These viruses expressed 1) Cre, 2) Cre-dependent dCas9, 3)

Cre-dependent small guide RNA (gRNA) targeting *Cacna1e*. A two AAV mixture lacking the AAV

expressing the gRNA was used as a control. The three AAV experimental mix was administered

into the NAcLat in one hemisphere, while the two AAV control mix was injected into the other

hemisphere. During the same surgery, AAVs expressing Cre-dependent TVA-mCherry and rabies

virus glycoprotein were bilaterally injected into the VTA, so that RABV mapping could be done in

both hemispheres (**Figure 7C-D**). Because the NAcLat, NAcCore, and GPe unilaterally project to

the VTA in the same hemisphere, and not the contralateral VTA[7,10,15,25], the ratio of labeled inputs

in these sites on a single hemisphere can be used to assess the relative labeling in the NAcLat

in experimental and control conditions within each mouse.

We first validated that our CRISPRi strategy reduced *Cacna1e* gene expression. We designed

our gRNA to cause only a mild reduction in *Cacna1e* expression to more naturally mimic potential

changes in gene expression. We found that our CRISPRi perturbation reduced gene expression
by ~20% (**Figure 7E**). This knockdown of *Cacna1e* in the NAcLat via CRISPRi resulted in
decreased RABV-labeled inputs from the NAcLat compared to control mice where the shRNA
was not included (**Figure 7F**). These results indicate that reduced levels of *Cacna1e* lower the
number of RABV-labeled inputs from the NAcLat, suggesting that expression levels of *Cacna1e*
are directly related to RABV-labeled inputs. This means that expression of this gene is not
inhibitory towards RABV transmission. Therefore, a more likely hypothesis is that a low basal
gene expression of $Ca^{2+}$ channels allows for greater changes in the expression of these genes
following drug exposure.
733
**DISCUSSION:**
Here we explored the long-term consequences of a single injection of a variety of abused drugs
on the connectivity of VTA$^{DA}$ cells. We first confirmed that dimensionality reduction approaches
could adequately differentiate the connectivity between different cell populations in the VTA, and
showed which inputs differentiate DAergic, GABAergic, and glutamatergic cells in the VTA
(**Figure 1**). We then showed that a variety of drugs of abuse as well as K/X-based anesthesia
trigger long-lasting changes in the brain-wide input patterns to VTA cells, which were clearly
observable though less substantial than the differences onto different cell types or natural
variation in starter cell location (**Figures 2-3**). We used our cTRIO method to identify DA cell type-
specific changes induced by cocaine as well as K/X anesthesia, implicating the VTA$^{DA}$→NAcLat
and VTA$^{DA}$→Amygdala cells as those exhibiting the most substantial input changes (**Figure 4**).
We then explored intrinsic gene expression patterns throughout the brain that relate to the
elevation or depression in RABV labeling by different drugs, finding that expression levels of ion
channels, neurotransmitter receptors, and synapse-related genes were all significantly negatively
correlated with RABV input labeling ratios, with $Ca^{2+}$ channels and glutamate receptors being the
most strongly correlated (**Figure 6**). We then demonstrated the causative role of one calcium
channel gene, *Cacna1e*, in influencing RABV input mapping efficiency (**Figure 7**).
751
**Drugs of abuse induce changes in connectivity onto VTA$^{DA}$ neurons from common and**
**distributed input populations throughout the brain**
Using dimensionality reduction approaches we differentiated the input patterns to different VTA
cells (**Figure 1**) and showed that these differences were larger than the differences in inputs from
the same cell populations in animals given a drug of abuse vs. saline (**Figure 3A**). Notably, these
differences were unique from those triggered by the psychoactive but non-addictive substances

fluoxetine and K/X (**Figure 3C, F**). Differences were apparent on the $2^{nd}$ PC axis, which were

driven by positive weights from the GPe, EP, EAM, LH, ZI, DR, and PBN, regions that showed

elevated connectivity in drug-treated mice. Many of these regions have been implicated in cocaine

addiction[58–61]. While these drug-induced differences in input labeling were less substantial than

intrinsic cell type connectivity differences (**Figure 3A**) or differences in starter cell location (**Figure**

**2N-S**), these differences are more apparent when using dimensionality reduction methods such

as PCA and UMAP. That different cell types exhibit larger differences in input patterns than the

same cell type with and without drug administration is perhaps not surprising, as different

populations of cells may receive different sets of inputs from one another, and these are likely

more stable across experiments than the differences in connectivity triggered following a single

exposure to a drug of abuse. The same is likely true for spatial gradients, which we determined

to be a major source of variation in RABV inputs to the VTA[32]. Notably, the minor differences in

starter cell location are likely not a technical concern specific to our study, but rather inherent to

all RABV mapping experiments that rely on intracranial injections, as a small amount of biological

or technical variation is to be expected. The PCA approach we employ here, however, can better

isolate these effects than traditional bar graph approaches and thus enable clearer observation

of the effect of the relevant variable being tested, here effects of a single drug administration (or

K/X anesthesia) on the input connectivity to VTA$^{DA}$ cells.

The results in this study further confirm our previous observations that a single exposure to a

variety of drugs of abuse triggers long-lasting changes in inputs to VTA$^{DA}$ neurons. Our

interpretation of these data is that the changes are largely being driven by a change in the activity

of input cells, as we have shown using fiber photometry and slice electrophysiology in three

separate studies[15,16,62]. However, we have not confirmed this to be true for all cases. As a single

exposure to a variety of drugs of abuse alters the strength of both excitatory and inhibitory inputs

in the VTA[5,20–22], we cannot rule out that changes in synapse number or strength may also

influence the observed connectivity results.

**Ketamine/xylazine anesthesia alters the input landscape of VTA$^{DA}$ cells**

Animals anesthetized with a K/X mixture showed a different pattern of input labeling to VTA$^{DA}$

cells than animals anesthetized with isoflurane (**Figure 4A-B**). While we cannot perform RABV

mapping on unanesthetized mice, making it impossible to definitively define which mode of

anesthesia, or both, alters RABV input patterns we suspect that isoflurane anesthesia has minimal

effect on the brain-wide inputs to VTA$^{DA}$ cells. This is largely because animals treated with a drug

of abuse with isoflurane anesthesia look more like those receiving K/X-saline than isoflurane-

saline animals (**Figure 3**). In addition, ketamine is a drug with known abuse potential[63–66]. While

the anesthetic doses used here (100 mg/kg) are well above the doses used recreationally (~1

mg/kg), the changes that we observed with K/X anesthesia may be related to the abuse potential

of ketamine. Interestingly, the K/X-induced input changes were most similar to those induced by

10 mg/kg of morphine (**Figure 3P-Q**). Antidepressant doses of ketamine may work in part via the

opioid system; indeed, it has been known for some time that ketamine binds the mu opioid

receptor[67–70], and it was more recently shown that the opioid antagonist naltrexone blocks the

antidepressant effects of ketamine[71,72]. Given that anesthetic doses of ketamine (100 mg/kg) are

roughly 10 times higher than antidepressant doses of ketamine (~10 mg/kg), K/X anesthesia

almost certainly engages the opioid system.

**Relationship of gene expression with the extent of drug-induced RABV input labeling**

Gene expression patterns in the mouse brain are correlated with the extent of elevations and

depressions of RABV labeling induced by one of several drugs of abuse. We observed a slightly

negative correlation of RABV labeling changes with the overall level of gene expression across

the brain. We are not sure of the implications of this negative correlation, though it could suggest

that if initial gene expression levels are lower, it leaves a higher potential for experience-induced

elevations. We observed significant negative correlations of RABV labeling changes induced by

drugs of abuse with the levels of expression of ion channel genes, neurotransmitter receptor-

related genes, and synapse-related genes. These results and our experiments demonstrating the

functional impact of downregulating *Cacna1e* for RABV input labeling (**Figure 7**) indicate that the

expression levels of these genes could be used as predictive biomarkers of which regions are

likely to undergo drug-induced changes in connectivity to VTA[DA] cells. They also suggest that the

expression levels of these gene classes are related to the drug-induced changes in RABV labeling.

While the AGEA is a useful resource for relating spatial gene expression information to our RABV

mapping datasets, there are notable limitations. First, the AGEA dataset is not as quantitatively

rigorous as modern single nucleus RNA sequencing or spatial transcriptomic datasets.

Nonetheless, we believe that the overall patterns of high and low expression, especially when

considered among classes of genes as was performed here, can still be informative. Furthermore,

the AGEA dataset provides a static picture of gene expression levels throughout the brain, and

thus does not account for potential changes in gene expression levels triggered by administration

of one of the drugs used in this study. Third, the AGEA dataset considers expression levels in all

cell types in a brain region, irrespective of their individual transcriptomic/molecular identities, while
only a subset of cells in a region project to VTA$^{DA}$ cells. Nonetheless, our CRISPRi experiment
showing that a mild reduction of *Cacna1e* reduces RABV labeling indicates the potential for
causative associations between gene expression patterns and drug-induced RABV input labeling
changes (**Figure 7**). This result also directly links cellular excitability and the extent to which RABV
labels these cells as monosynaptic inputs to defined cell populations.

Our RABV screen identified several input sites that show elevated or depressed labeling following
a single administration of a drug of abuse, suggesting potential changes in excitability of these
cells. $Ca^{2+}$ channel genes were also highly negatively correlated with the extent of drug-induced
changes in RABV input labeling. $Ca^{2+}$ channels have been implicated in substance abuse through
Genome-Wide Association Studies[73], and molecules that target these channels have shown some
promise as addiction therapeutics[74–79]. It is of particular interest that $Ca^{2+}$ and not $Cl^-$, $Na^+$, or $K^+$
channels are highly correlated to RABV input labeling changes. $Ca^{2+}$ is critically involved as a
secondary messenger in neurons that affects a variety of processes including long-lasting
changes in transcription and synaptic transmission, whereas the other ions are mostly involved
only in facilitating or inhibiting formation and propagation of the action potential. Understanding
how $Ca^{2+}$ channel gene expression levels relate to drug-induced changes in the brain will help to
illuminate the relationship between basal gene expression levels, drug-induced changes in RABV
labeling, and substance abuse, providing mechanistic insights into how inter-individual
differences influence susceptibility to substance abuse.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS:**
We would like to thank Hemmings Wu and Zhoule Zhu for their thoughtful critique of our
manuscript.

**AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS:** KTB performed the viral tracing experiments, KB performed the
majority of computational analyses of the data, PD assisted with network constructions and
projection portraits, MH assisted with figure preparation, GT, JJV, and GA performed CRISPRi
experiments, and KB and KTB wrote the manuscript.

**FUNDING:** This work was supported by the NIH (R00 DA041445, DP2 AG067666, R01
DA054374, R01 DA056599, R01 NS130044), Tobacco Related Disease Research Program
(T31KT1437, T31P1426), American Parkinson Disease Association (APDA-5589562),

Alzheimer's Association (AARG-NTF-20-685694), New Vision Research (CCAD2020-002),

Brightfocus Foundation (A2022031S), and the Brain and Behavior Research Foundation

(NARSAD 26845) to KTB, NIH T32GM008620 and NIH F30DA056215  to MH, T32 GM136624

to KB and PD, and NSF GRFP DGE-1839285 to PD.

**COMPETING INTERESTS:** The authors have nothing to disclose.

**REFERENCES:**

1.  Bromberg-Martin, E. S., Matsumoto, M. & Hikosaka, O. Dopamine in Motivational Control:
Rewarding, Aversive, and Alerting. *Neuron* **68**, 815–834 (2010).

2.  Lammel, S., Lim, B. K. & Malenka, R. C. Reward and aversion in a heterogeneous
midbrain dopamine system. *Neuropharmacology* **76**, 351–359 (2014).

3.  Wise, R. A. Dopamine, learning and motivation. *Nat Rev Neurosci* **5**, 483–494 (2004).

4.  Kim, C. K. *et al.* Simultaneous fast measurement of circuit dynamics at multiple sites
across the mammalian brain. *Nat Methods* **13**, 325–8 (2016).

5.  Lammel, S., Ion, D. I., Roeper, J. & Malenka, R. C. Report Projection-Specific Modulation
of Dopamine Neuron Synapses by Aversive and Rewarding Stimuli. *Neuron* **70**, 855–862
(2011).

6.  Lammel, S. *et al.* Input-specific control of reward and aversion in the ventral tegmental
area. *Nature* **491**, 212–217 (2012).

7.  Beier, K. T. *et al.* Circuit Architecture of VTA Dopamine Neurons Revealed by Systematic
Input-Output Mapping. *Cell* **162**, 622–634 (2015).

8.  Menegas, W. *et al.* Dopamine neurons projecting to the posterior striatum form an
anatomically distinct subclass. *Elife* **4**, 1–30 (2015).

9.  Watabe-Uchida, M., Zhu, L., Ogawa, S. K., Vamanrao, A. & Uchida, N. Whole-Brain
Mapping of Direct Inputs to Midbrain Dopamine Neurons. *Neuron* **74**, 858–873 (2012).

10. Beier, K. T. *et al.* Topological Organization of Ventral Tegmental Area Connectivity
Revealed by Viral-Genetic Dissection of Input-Output Relations. *Cell Rep* **26**, 159-167.e6
(2019).

11. Cardozo Pinto, D. F. *et al.* Characterization of transgenic mouse models targeting
neuromodulatory systems reveals organizational principles of the dorsal raphe. *Nat*
*Commun* **10**, (2019).

12. Faget, L. *et al.* Afferent Inputs to Neurotransmitter-Defined Cell Types in the Ventral
Tegmental Area. *Cell Rep* **15**, 2796–2808 (2016).

13. Kalivas, P. W. & Volkow, N. D. The neural basis of addiction: a pathology of motivation
and choice. *Am J Psychiatry* **162**, 1403–1413 (2005).

14. Hyman, S. E., Malenka, R. C. & Nestler, E. J. Neural mechanisms of addiction: the role of
reward-related learning and memory. *Annu Rev Neurosci* **29**, 565–598 (2006).

15. Beier, K. T. *et al.* Rabies screen reveals GPe control of cocaine-triggered plasticity.
*Nature* **549**, 345–350 (2017).

16. Tian, G. *et al.* An extended Amygdal-midbrain circuit controlling cocaine withdrawal-
induced anxiety and reinstatement. *Cell Rep* **39**, 1–16 (2022).

17. Juarez, B. & Han, M. H. Diversity of Dopaminergic Neural Circuits in Response to Drug
Exposure. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **41**, 2424–2446 (2016).
18. Mahler, S. V *et al.* Chemogenetic Manipulations of Ventral Tegmental Area Dopamine
Neurons Reveal Multifaceted Roles in Cocaine Abuse. *Journal of Neuroscience* **39**, 503–
518 (2019).
19. Saal, D., Dong, Y., Bonci, A. & Malenka, R. C. Drugs of abuse and stress trigger a
common synaptic adaptation in dopamine neurons. *Neuron* **37**, 577–582 (2003).
20. Ungless, M. A., Whistler, J. L., Malenka, R. C. & Bonci, A. Single cocaine exposure in
vivo induces long-term potentiation in dopamine neurons. *Nature* **411**, 583–7. (2001).
21. Niehaus, J. L., Murali, M. & Kauer, J. A. Drugs of abuse and stress impair LTP at
inhibitory synapses in the ventral tegmental area. *European Journal of Neuroscience* **32**,
108–117 (2010).
22. Bocklisch, C. *et al.* Cocaine Disinhibits Dopamine Neurons by Potentiation of GABA
Transmission in the Ventral Tegmental Area. *Science (1979)* **341**, 1521–1525 (2013).
23. Dong, Y. *et al.* Cocaine-induced potentiation of synaptic strength in dopamine neurons:
behavioral correlates in GluRA(-/-) mice. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **101**, 14282–14287
(2004).
24. Creed, M. *et al.* Cocaine exposure enhances the activity of ventral tegmental area
dopamine neurons via calcium-impermeable NMDARs. *Journal of Neuroscience* **36**,
10759–10768 (2016).
25. Tian, G. *et al.* An extended Amygdala-midbrain circuit controlling cocaine withdrawal-
induced anxiety and reinstatement. *Cell Rep* **39**, 1–16 (2022).
26. Backman, C. M. *et al.* Characterization of a mouse strain expressing Cre recombinase
from the 3' untranslated region of the dopamine transporter locus. *Genesis* **44**, 383–390
(2006).
27. Taniguchi, H. *et al.* A Resource of Cre Driver Lines for Genetic Targeting of GABAergic
Neurons in Cerebral Cortex. *Neuron* **71**, 995–1013 (2011).
28. Vong, L. *et al.* Leptin Action on GABAergic Neurons Prevents Obesity and Reduces
Inhibitory Tone to POMC Neurons. *Neuron* **71**, 142–154 (2011).
29. Kumar, N. *et al.* The development of an AAV-based crispr sacas9 genome editing system
that can be delivered to neurons in vivo and regulated via doxycycline and cre-
recombinase. *Front Mol Neurosci* **11**, 1–14 (2018).
30. Pedregosa, F. *et al.* Scikit-learn: Machine Learning in Python. *Journal of Machine
Learning Research* **12**, 2825–2830 (2011).
31. McInnes, L., Healy, J. & Melville, J. UMAP: Uniform Manifold Approximation and
Projection for Dimension Reduction. *ArXiv* **1802.03426**, 1–51 (2018).
32. Derdeyn, P., Hui, M., Macchia, D. & Beier, K. Uncovering the Connectivity Logic of the
Ventral Tegmental Area. *Front Neural Circuits* **15**, 799688 (2022).
33. Waskom, M. Seaborn: Statistical Data Visualization. *J Open Source Softw* **6**, 3021
(2021).
34. Hunter, J. D. Matplotlib: a 2D graphics environment. *Comput Sci Eng* **9**, 90–95 (2007).
35. Oh, S. W. *et al.* A mesoscale connectome of the mouse brain. *Nature* **508**, 207–214
(2014).

36.    Lein, E. S. *et al.* Genome-wide atlas of gene expression in the adult mouse brain. *Nature*
**445**, 168–176 (2007).

37.    Brynildsen, J. K. *et al.* Gene coexpression patterns predict opiate-induced brain-state
transitions. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **117**, 19556–19565 (2020).

38.    Fulcher, B. D., Murray, J. D., Zerbi, V. & Wang, X. J. Multimodal gradients across mouse
cortex. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **116**, 4689–4695 (2019).

39.    Sian, J., Youdim, M. & Riederer, P, et al. Biochemical Anatomy of the Basal Ganglia and
Associated Neural Systems. in *Basic Neurochemistry: Molecular, Cellular and Medical*
*Aspects.* (eds. Siegel, G., Agranoff, B. & Albers, RW, et al.) (1999).

40.    Xie, Z. *et al.* Gene Set Knowledge Discovery with Enrichr. *Curr Protoc* **1**, 1–51 (2021).

41.    Ji, X., Zhang, H. & Cui, Q. A Panel of Synapse-Related Genes as a Biomarker for
Gliomas. *Front Neurosci* **14**, 1–8 (2020).

42.    Wang, R. *et al.* Ion channel gene expression predicts survival in glioma patients. *Sci Rep*
**5**, 1–10 (2015).

43.    Berchtold, N. C. *et al.* Synaptic genes are extensively downregulated across multiple
brain regions in normal human aging and Alzheimer's disease. *Neurobiol Aging* **34**,
1653–1661 (2013).

44.    Shi, L. *et al.* AddictGene: An integrated knowledge base for differentially expressed
genes associated with addictive substance. *Comput Struct Biotechnol J* **19**, 2416–2422
(2021).

45.    Saheki, Y. & De Camilli, P. Synaptic vesicle endocytosis. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol*
**4**, (2012).

46.    Harding, S. D. *et al.* The IUPHAR/BPS guide to PHARMACOLOGY in 2022: Curating
pharmacology for COVID-19, malaria and antibacterials. *Nucleic Acids Res* **50**, D1282–
D1294 (2022).

47.    Yamaguchi, T., Wang, H. L., Li, X., Ng, T. H. & Morales, M. Mesocorticolimbic
glutamatergic pathway. *Journal of Neuroscience* **31**, 8476–8490 (2011).

48.    Yamaguchi, T., Sheen, W. & Morales, M. Glutamatergic neurons are present in the rat
ventral tegmental area. *European Journal of Neuroscience* **25**, 106–118 (2007).

49.    Root, D. H. *et al.* Single rodent mesohabenular axons release glutamate and GABA. *Nat*
*Neurosci* **17**, 1543–1551 (2014).

50.    Morales, M. & Margolis, E. B. Ventral tegmental area: Cellular heterogeneity, connectivity
and behaviour. *Nat Rev Neurosci* **18**, 73–85 (2017).

51.    Hnasko, T. S., Hjelmstad, G. O., Fields, H. L. & Edwards, R. H. Ventral tegmental area
glutamate neurons: electrophysiological properties and projections. *Journal of*
*Neuroscience* **32**, 15076–15085 (2012).

52.    Kim, J. I. *et al.* Aldehyde dehydrogenase 1a1 mediates a GABA synthesis pathway in
midbrain dopaminergic neurons. *Science (1979)* **350**, 102–106 (2015).

53.    Tritsch, N. X., Ding, J. B. & Sabatini, B. L. Dopaminergic neurons inhibit striatal output
through non-canonical release of GABA. *Nature* **490**, 262–266 (2012).

54.    Koob, G. F. A Role for Brain Stress Systems in Addiction. *Neuron* **59**, 11–34 (2008).

55.    Koob, G. F. Brain stress systems in the amygdala and addiction. *Brain Res* **1293**, 61–75
(2009).

56.  Lammel, S. *et al.* Unique Properties of Mesoprefrontal Neurons within a Dual
Mesocorticolimbic Dopamine System. *Neuron* **57**, 760–773 (2008).
57.  Larson, M. H. *et al.* CRISPR interference (CRISPRi) for sequence-specific control of gene
expression. *Nat Protoc* **8**, 2180–2196 (2013).
58.  Li, H. *et al.* Entopeduncular nucleus projections to the lateral habenula contribute to
cocaine avoidance. *Journal of Neuroscience* **41**, 298–306 (2021).
59.  López, A. J. *et al.* Medial habenula cholinergic signaling regulates cocaine-associated
relapse-like behavior. *Addiction Biology* **24**, 403–413 (2019).
60.  Tan, D., Nuno-Perez, A., Mameli, M. & Meye, F. J. Cocaine withdrawal reduces GABABR
transmission at entopeduncular nucleus – lateral habenula synapses. *European Journal*
*of Neuroscience* **50**, 2124–2133 (2019).
61.  Koob, G. F. & Volkow, N. D. Neurocircuitry of addiction. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **35**,
217–238 (2010).
62.  Tian, G. *et al.* Molecular and circuit determinants in the globus pallidus mediating control
of cocaine-induced behavioral plasticity. *Neuron Sneak Peek* (2023).
63.  Liu, Y., Lin, D., Wu, B. & Zhou, W. Ketamine abuse potential and use disorder. *Brain Res*
*Bull* **126**, 68–73 (2016).
64.  Ni, A., Lee Cantrell, F. & Clark, R. F. Ketamine exposure demographics and outcomes
over 16 years as reported to US poison centers. *American Journal of Emergency*
*Medicine* **36**, 1459–1462 (2018).
65.  Dillon, P., Copeland, J. & Jansen, K. Patterns of use and harms associated with non-
medical ketamine use. *Drug Alcohol Depend* **69**, 23–28 (2003).
66.  Morgan, C. J. A. & Curran, H. V. Ketamine use: A review. *Addiction* **107**, 27–38 (2012).
67.  Ryder, S., Way, W. L. & Trevor, A. J. Comparative pharmacology of the optical isomers of
ketamine in mice. *Eur J Pharmacol* **49**, 15–23 (1978).
68.  Finck, A. D. & Ngai, S. H. Opiate receptor mediation of ketamine analgesia. *Anesth Analg*
**56**, 291–297 (1982).
69.  Hustveit, O., Maurset, A. & Oye, I. Interaction of the Chiral Forms of Ketamine with
Opioid, Phencyclidine, c and Muscarinic Receptors. *Pharmacol Toxicol* **77**, 355–359
(1995).
70.  Bonaventura, J. *et al.* Pharmacological and behavioral divergence of ketamine
enantiomers:implications for abuse liability. *Mol Psychiatry* **26**, 6704–6722 (2021).
71.  Williams, N. R. *et al.* Attenuation of antidepressant and antisuicidal effects of ketamine by
opioid receptor antagonism. *Mol Psychiatry* **24**, 1779–1786 (2019).
72.  Williams, N. R. *et al.* Attenuation of antidepressant effects of ketamine by opioid receptor
antagonism. *American Journal of Psychiatry* **175**, 1205–1215 (2018).
73.  Gelernter, J. *et al.* Genome-wide association study of opioid dependence: Multiple
associations mapped to calcium and potassium pathways. *Biol Psychiatry* **76**, 66–74
(2014).
74.  Malcolm, R., Brady, K. T., Moore, J. & Kajdasz, D. Amlodipine treatment of cocaine
dependence. *J Psychoactive Drugs* **31**, 117–120 (1999).
75.  Altamura, A. C., Regazzetti, M. G. & Porta, M. Nimodipine in human alcohol withdrawal
syndrome - an open study. *European Neuropsychopharmacology* **1**, 37–40 (1990).

76.    Rosse, R. *et al.* Nimodipine Pharmacotherapeutic Adjuvant Therapy for Inpatient
1032            Treatment of Cocaine Dependence. *Clin Neuropharmacol* **17**, 348–358 (1994).
1033    77.    Muntaner, C., Kumor, K., Nagoshi, C. & Jaffe, J. Effect of nifedipine pretreatment on
1034            subjective and cardiovascular responses to intravenous cocaine in humans. *Drug Alcohol*
1035            *Depend* **105**, 37–41 (1991).
1036    78.    Johnson, B. A. *et al.* Effects of Isradipine on Cocaine-Induced Subjective Mood. *J Clin*
1037            *Psychopharmacol* **24**, 180–191 (2004).
1038    79.    Vaupel, D. B., Lange, W. R. & London, E. D. Effects of verapamil on morphine-induced
1039            euphoria, analgesia and respiratory depression in humans. *Journal of Pharmacology and*
1040            *Experimental Therapeutics* **267**, 1386–1394 (1993).
1041
1042

**Figure 1: Dimensionality reduction of RABV input tracing to 3 different VTA cell types.**

(A) Schematic and timeline of viral injections into mice.

(B) Representative images of the BNST, GPe, and DCN of DAT-Cre, GAD2-Cre, and vGlut2-Cre

mice. Green indicates RABV-labeled cells. Scale, 200 $\mu$m.

(C) Bar plot showing percent of RABV-labeled cells in each input region for DAT-Cre, GAD2-Cre,

and vGlut2-Cre mice.

(D) Heatmap of the contributions of each brain region, or feature, in the data to PCs 1 through 3.

(E) PCA of input labeling from brains from DAT-Cre, GAD2-Cre, and vGlut2-Cre mice. For this

and other figures, ellipsoids were centered at the average coordinate of a condition and stretched

one standard deviation along the primary and secondary axes.

(F) Box plot comparisons of PC1. One-way ANOVA p = 0.010, pairwise t-tests DAT-Cre vs.

GAD2-Cre multiple comparisons adjusted p = 0.0087, DAT-Cre vs. vGluT2-Cre p = 0.46, GAD2-

Cre vs. vGluT2-Cre p = 0.19. n=8 for DAT-Cre, n = 13 for GAD2-Cre, and n = 7 for vGluT2-Cre.

(G) Box plot comparisons of PC1. One-way ANOVA p = 0.016, pairwise t-tests DAT-Cre vs.

GAD2-Cre multiple comparisons adjusted p = 0.65, DAT-Cre vs. vGluT2-Cre p = 0.016, GAD2-

Cre vs. vGluT2-Cre p = 0.051.

(H) Schematic of how projection portraits are constructed. A representative slice of the VTA is

considered for experiments from the Allen Mouse Brain Connectivity Atlas with injections into

relevant brain regions that provide input to the VTA. Projection density values in this slice are

averaged and visualized to obtain the projection portrait in the VTA for that group of regions.

(I) Projection portrait of the VTA based on inputs from regions with a high positive contribution to

PC1: BNST, EP, GPe, CeA, and ZI.

(J) Projection portrait of the VTA based on inputs from regions with a large negative contribution

to PC1: anterior cortex, NAcMed, VP, PO, septum, LHb, MHb, and LH.

(K) Projection portrait of the VTA based on inputs from regions with a high positive contribution to

PC2: LHb, MHb, EP, ZI, DCN, and anterior cortex.

(L) Projection portrait of the VTA based on inputs from regions with a large negative contribution

to PC2: NAcMed, NAcLat, NAcCore, DStr, and GPe.

(M) UMAP embedding of input labeling of brains from DAT-Cre, GAD2-Cre, and vGlut2-Cre mice.

(N) Correlogram generated using the average Euclidean distance of data points from each other

from 20 UMAP embeddings.

1075

**Figure 2: PCA can deconvolve drug-induced effects from experimental variation.**

(A) Bar graph representation of inputs from DAT-Cre mice anesthetized with isoflurane and

treated with a single injection of saline or fluoxetine. N = 4 for saline, n = 5 for fluoxetine. Two-

way ANOVA, p = 0.91.

(B) PCA plot showing PC1 and PC2 of brains from saline or fluoxetine-treated mice.

(C) PCA plot showing PC2 and PC3 of brains from saline or fluoxetine-treated mice.

(D) Box plot of PC1, p = 0.75. n = 4 for saline, n = 5 for fluoxetine.

(E) Box plot of PC2, p = 0.78.

(F) Box plot of PC3, p = 0.81.

(G) Bar graph representation of inputs from DAT-Cre mice anesthetized with isoflurane and

treated with a single injection of saline or fluoxetine (controls), or one of the drugs of abuse

cocaine, methamphetamine, amphetamine, nicotine, or morphine. n = 9 for control, n = 24 for

combined drugs of abuse. Two-way ANOVA, p = 0.0001; unpaired t-tests with Sidak corrections

for multiple comparisons: DStr, p = 0.0051, GPe, p = 0.0036.

(H) PCA plot showing PC1 and PC2 of brains from control or drug-treated mice.

(I) PCA plot showing PC2 and PC3 of brains from control or drug-treated mice.

(J) Box plot of PC1, p = 0.78. n = 9 for control, n = 24 for drugs.

(K) Box plot of PC2, p = 0.0009.

(L) Box plot of PC3, p = 0.024.

(M) Heatmap of the contributions of each brain region to PC1 through PC3 for data shown in

panels E-F.

(N) Distribution of the starter cell center of mass along the medial-lateral axis for each

experimental condition. Saline n = 4, fluoxetine n = 5, cocaine n = 5, methamphetamine n = 4,

amphetamine n = 5, nicotine n = 5, morphine n = 5.

(O) PC1 vs. PC2 for control vs. drug conditions as shown in E, with each point colored according

to the medial-lateral coordinate of the center of mass of starter cells for each brain.

(P) PC2 vs. PC3 for control vs. drug conditions as shown in F, with each point colored according

to the medial-lateral coordinate of the center of mass of starter cells for each brain.

(Q) Linear regression between the x-coordinate of the center of mass of starter cells for each

brain vs. PC1. $r^2$ = 0.770, p = 1.62e-7,

(R) Linear regression between the x-coordinate of the center of mass of starter cells for each brain

vs. PC2. $r^2$ = 0.308, p = 0.082.

(S) Linear regression between the x-coordinate of the center of mass of starter cells for each brain

vs. PC3, $r^2$ = 0.085, p = 0.638.

**Figure 3: Dimensionality reduction analysis of brains from animals treated with a single**

**drug exposure.**

(A) PCA plot showing PC1 and PC2 of brains from DAT-Cre and GAD2-Cre mice. DAT-Cre mice

were anesthetized with K/X and treated with saline or anesthetized with isoflurane and treated

with saline or one of the drugs cocaine, methamphetamine, amphetamine, nicotine, morphine, or

fluoxetine. GAD2-Cre mice were anesthetized with isoflurane and treated with saline or cocaine.

(B) Box plot of PC1, One-way ANOVA $p < 0.0001$, pairwise t-tests DAT-Cre saline vs. DAT-Cre

fluoxetine multiple comparisons adjusted $p = 0.99$, DAT-Cre saline vs. DAT-Cre K/X $p = 1.0$, DAT-

Cre saline vs. DAT-Cre drugs $p = 0.23$, DAT-Cre saline vs. GAD2-Cre $p < 0.0001$, DAT-Cre

fluoxetine vs. DAT-Cre K/X $p = 1.0$, DAT-Cre fluoxetine vs. DAT-Cre drugs $p = 0.46$, DAT-Cre

fluoxetine vs. GAD2-Cre $p < 0.0001$, DAT-Cre K/X vs. DAT-Cre drugs $p = 0.31$, DAT-Cre K/X vs.

GAD2-Cre $p < 0.0001$, DAT-Cre drugs vs. GAD2-Cre $p < 0.0001$. n = 4 for DAT-Cre saline and

DAT-Cre K/X, n = 5 for DAT-Cre fluoxetine, n = 24 for DAT-Cre drugs, n = 17 for GAD2-Cre.

(C) PCA plot showing PC1 and PC2 of brains from DAT-Cre mice anesthetized with isoflurane

and treated with cocaine, methamphetamine, amphetamine, nicotine, morphine, fluoxetine, or

saline, or anesthetized with K/X and treated with saline.

(D) PC2 and PC3 of the same group of brains as shown in panel B.

(E) Box plot of PC1, One-way ANOVA $p = 0.81$.

(F) Box plot of PC2, One-way ANOVA $p = 0.0093$, pairwise t-tests DAT-Cre saline vs. DAT-Cre

fluoxetine multiple comparisons adjusted $p = 0.94$, DAT-Cre saline vs. DAT-Cre K/X $p = 0.87$,

DAT-Cre saline vs. DAT-Cre drugs $p = 0.034$, DAT-Cre fluoxetine vs. DAT-Cre K/X $p = 0.99$, DAT-

Cre fluoxetine vs. DAT-Cre drugs $p = 0.10$, DAT-Cre K/X vs. DAT-Cre drugs $p = 0.27$.

(G) Box plot of PC3, One-way ANOVA $p = 0.086$.

(H) Heatmap of the contributions of each brain region to PC1 through PC3 for data shown in panel

A.

(I) Heatmap of the contributions of each brain region to PC1 through PC3 for data shown in panels

B and C.

(J) Bar plot showing percent of RABV-labeled cells in each input region for the DAT-Cre mice

shown in panel B.

(K) Representative images of brain slices from DAT-Cre mice showing, from top to bottom, the

NAc, BNST, GPe, and PBN. Scale, 500 $\mu$m.

(L) Projection portrait of the VTA based on inputs from regions with a large negative contribution

to PC1, based on data from panel E: NAcCore, NAcLat, DStr, GPe.

(M) Projection portrait based on inputs from regions with a high positive contribution to PC1, based

on data from panel E: LHb, DR, VP, PO, EAM, LH.

(N) Projection portrait based on inputs from regions with a high positive contribution to PC2, based

on data from panel E: EAM, PBN, DR, EP, LH, GPe, ZI.

(O) Projection portrait based on inputs from regions with a large negative contribution to PC2,

based on data from panel E: NAcMed, NAcCore, DStr, Septum, MHb.

(P) UMAP embedding of data from the brains of DAT-Cre mice shown in panels B and F.

(Q) Correlogram showing average Euclidean distance of data points over 20 UMAP embeddings

of the data presented in panel J. Experiment conditions from bottom to top and left to right are

labelled 1-10 and are ordered as follows: GAD2-Cre cocaine, GAD2-Cre saline, saline, fluoxetine,

cocaine, nicotine, amphetamine, meth, K/X, and morphine.

**Figure 4: Dimensionality reduction analysis of brains from animals anesthetized with isoflurane and treated with either cocaine or saline, or anesthetized with ketamine/xylazine and treated with saline.**

(A) PCA plot of input data from VTA$^{DA}$ cells from mice anesthetized either with isoflurane or K/X and given saline. Box plot comparisons of PC1 and PC2 are shown to the right for this plot and D, G, J, and M. PC1, p = 0.086, PC2, p = 0.037, unpaired t-tests. N = 4 for both.

(B) UMAP plot of input data from VTA$^{DA}$ cells from mice anesthetized either with isoflurane or K/X and given saline.

(C) Bar plot showing percent of RABV-labeled cells in each input region from mice anesthetized either with isoflurane or K/X and given saline.

(D) PCA plot of RABV input mapping experiments to VTA$^{DA}$→NAcLat cells in mice anesthetized with isoflurane and given saline or cocaine, or anesthetized with K/X and given saline. PC1, One-way ANOVA p = 0.0003, pairwise t-tests saline vs. cocaine multiple comparisons adjusted p = 0.011, saline vs. K/X p = 0.071, K/X vs. cocaine p = 0.0002. PC2, One-way ANOVA p = 0.16. n=4 for saline and K/X, n = 5 for cocaine.

(E) UMAP plot of input mapping experiments to VTA$^{DA}$→NAcLat cells.

(F) Bar plot showing percent of RABV-labeled cells in each input region for cTRIO experiments from VTA$^{DA}$→NAcLat cells.

(G) PCA plot of input mapping experiments to VTA$^{DA}$→Amygdala cells. PC1, One-way ANOVA p = 0.48. PC2, One-way ANOVA p = 0.30. n=4 for saline, n = 5 for K/X and cocaine.

(H) UMAP plot of input mapping experiments to VTA$^{DA}$→Amygdala cells.

(I) Bar plot showing percent of RABV-labeled cells in each input region for cTRIO experiments from VTA$^{DA}$→Amygdala cells.

(J) PCA plot of input mapping experiments to VTA$^{DA}$→NAcMed cells. PC1, One-way ANOVA p = 0.64. PC2, One-way ANOVA p = 0.27. n=4 for saline and K/X, n = 5 for cocaine.

(K) UMAP plot of input mapping experiments to VTA$^{DA}$→NAcMed cells.

(L) Bar plot showing percent of RABV-labeled cells in each input region for cTRIO experiments from VTA$^{DA}$→NAcMed cells.

(M) PCA plot of input mapping experiments to VTA$^{DA}$→mPFC cells. PC1, One-way ANOVA p = 0.67. PC2, One-way ANOVA p = 0.97. n=4 for saline and cocaine, n = 5 for K/X.

(N) UMAP plot of input mapping experiments to VTA$^{DA}$→mPFC cells.

(O) Bar plot showing percent of RABV-labeled cells in each input region for cTRIO experiments from VTA$^{DA}$→mPFC cells.

(P-T) Heatmap of the contributions of each brain region to PC1 to PC3 for data shown in (P) panel

A, (Q) panel D, (R) panel G, (S) panel J, (T) panel M.

(U) Projection portrait of regions with high PC1 contributions in all VTA$^{DA}$ cells: VP, PO, EAM,

PVH, LH, PBN.

(V) Projection portrait of regions with high PC2 contributions in VTA$^{DA}$ cells: NAcLat, DStr, GPe,

PVH.

(W) Projection portrait of regions with low PC1 contributions in VTA$^{DA}$→NAcLat cells: cortex,

NAcMed, NAcLat, NAcCore, septum, PVH, MHb.

(X) Projection portrait of regions with high PC2 contributions in VTA$^{DA}$→NAcLat cells: VP, PO,

EAM, LHb, LH.

1201

**Figure 5: Gene ontology (GO) analysis of top 50 genes within the Allen Gene Expression Atlas correlated with differences in RABV input labeling obtained from experimental vs. control mice**.

(A-B) GO from an average of the addictive drug conditions vs. saline-treated controls, all anesthetized using isoflurane.

(C-D) GO from K/X-anesthetized vs. isoflurane-anesthetized saline-treated mice.

Panels A and C show molecular function-related gene classes whose expression was positively correlated with RABV labeling differences, and panels B and D show GO analyses that reflect biological process-related gene classes whose expression was negatively correlated with RABV labeling differences.

1212

**Figure 6: Relationship between RABV labeling ratio in addictive drug/saline-treated groups and mean basal gene expression of gene subgroups, subtypes of ion channels, and neurotransmitter receptors.** Linear regressions are shown for all five examined drugs against different gene classes expressed by brain region within the Allen Gene Expression Atlas, including (A) ion channel-related genes, (B) neurotransmitter-related genes, (C) synapse-related genes, (D) $Ca^{2+}$ channels, (E) $Cl^-$ channels, (F) $K^+$ channels, (G) $Na^+$ channels, (H) glutamate receptors, (I) acetylcholine receptors, (J) GABA receptors, (K) glycine receptors.

1220

**Figure 7: Inhibition of *Cacna1e* expression in the NAcLat reduces RABV-labeled inputs from the NAcLat onto VTA$^{DA}$ cells.**

(A) Schematic of viral injections performed.

(B) Schematic of connectivity in relevant brain regions and explanation of the normalized input metric.

(C) Representative image of fluorescence in starter cells in the VTA. Scale, 1 mm.

(D) Representative image of fluorescence in the NAcLat after either administration of no gRNA (left) or gRNA targeting *Cacna1e* (right). Scale, 1 mm.

(E) qPCR results showing slight inhibition of *Cacna1e* in the NAcLat.

(F) Difference in RABV-labeled inputs after CRISPRi-mediated knockdown of *Cacna1e* compared to controls[15], and no gRNA.

1232

**Figure S1: Brains from animals treated with a single exposure to a drug (Figure 3A), but with the brain identities scrambled.**

1233

1234

1235 (A) PCA plot showing the data with scrambled identities along PC1 and PC2.

1236 (B) The same scrambled data along PC1 and PC3.

1237 (C) UMAP of the scrambled data.

1238 (D) Correlogram of 20 UMAP embeddings of the scrambled data.

1239

**Figure S2: Dimensionality reduction analysis of brains from animals anesthetized with**
**isoflurane and treated with either cocaine or saline, or anesthetized with ketamine/xylazine**
**and treated with saline, focusing on PC3.**
(A) PCA plot of input data from VTA$^{DA}$ cells from mice anesthetized either with isoflurane or K/X
and given saline, showing PC2 and PC3.
(B) Box plot comparisons of PC3, p = 0.99, unpaired t-tests. N = 4 for both.
(C) PCA plot of RABV input mapping experiments to VTA$^{DA}$→NAcLat cells in mice anesthetized
with isoflurane and given saline or cocaine, or anesthetized with K/X and given saline, showing
PC2 and PC3.
(D) Box plot comparisons of PC3, One-way ANOVA p = 0.12. n=4 for saline and K/X, n = 5 for
cocaine.
(E) PCA plot of input mapping experiments to VTA$^{DA}$→Amygdala cells, showing PC2 and PC3.
(F) Box plot comparisons of PC3, PC1, One-way ANOVA p = 0.014, pairwise t-tests saline vs.
K/X multiple comparisons adjusted p = 0.011, saline vs. cocaine p = 0.24, K/X vs. cocaine p =
0.16. n=4 for saline, n = 5 for K/X and cocaine.
(G) PCA plot of input mapping experiments to VTA$^{DA}$→NAcMed cells, showing PC2 and PC3.
(H) Box plot comparisons of PC3,, One-way ANOVA p = 0.64. PC2, One-way ANOVA p = 0.16.
n=4 for saline and K/X, n = 5 for cocaine.
(I) PCA plot of input mapping experiments to VTA$^{DA}$→mPFC cells, showing PC2 and PC3.
(J) Box plot comparisons of PC3, One-way ANOVA p = 0.67. PC2, One-way ANOVA p = 0.083.
n=4 for saline and cocaine, n = 5 for K/X.
1261

1262

**Figure S3: Inputs to brains of mice anesthetized with isoflurane and receiving saline or**

**cocaine, or K/X-saline (Figure 4), but with the brain identities scrambled.**

(A) PCA plot of scrambled data from isoflurane-anesthetized and K/X-anesthetized mice.

(B) UMAP plot of scrambled data from isoflurane-anesthetized and K/X-anesthetized mice.

(C) PCA plot of scrambled data from input mapping experiments to VTA$^{DA}$→NAcLat cells.

(D) UMAP plot of scrambled data from input mapping experiments to VTA$^{DA}$→NAcLat cells.

(E) PCA plot of scrambled data from input mapping experiments to VTA$^{DA}$→Amygdala cells.

(F) UMAP plot of scrambled data from input mapping experiments to VTA$^{DA}$→Amygdala cells.

(G) PCA plot of scrambled data from input mapping experiments to VTA$^{DA}$→NAcMed cells.

(H) UMAP plot of scrambled data from input mapping experiments to VTA$^{DA}$→NAcMed cells.

(I) PCA plot of scrambled data from input mapping experiments to VTA$^{DA}$→mPFC cells.

(J) UMAP plot of scrambled data from input mapping experiments to VTA$^{DA}$→mPFC cells.

Molecular function: negative correlation

A Amphetamine

B Cocaine

C Methamphetamine

D Morphine

E Nicotine

1276   **Figure S4: Gene ontology analysis of top 50 expressed genes correlated to differences in**
1277   **RABV input labeling obtained from drug-treated vs. control mice**. GO from mice treated with
1278   (A) amphetamine, (B) cocaine, (C) methamphetamine, (D) morphine, and (E) nicotine-treated
1279   relative to saline-injected controls are shown. Each GO analysis reflects molecular function-
1280   related gene classes whose expression was negatively correlated with RABV labeling differences.

Biological process: positive correlation

A — Amphetamine

B — Cocaine

C — Methamphetamine

D — Morphine

E — Nicotine

 **Figure S5: Gene ontology analysis of top 50 expressed genes correlated to differences in**
 **RABV input labeling obtained from drug-treated vs. control mice**. GO analyses from mice
 treated with (A) amphetamine, (B) cocaine, (C) methamphetamine, (D) morphine, and (E)
 nicotine-treated relative to saline-injected controls are shown. Each GO analysis reflects
 biological process-related gene classes whose expression was positively correlated with RABV
 labeling differences.

1288

1289  **Figure S6: Gene ontology analysis of the top 50 genes correlated with differences in RABV**

1290  **input labeling obtained from fluoxetine vs. saline-treated controls**. Panel (A) shows

1291  molecular function-related gene classes whose expression was positively correlated with RABV

1292  labeling differences, and panel (B) shows GO analyses that reflect biological process-related

1293  gene classes whose expression was negatively correlated with RABV labeling differences.

1294

**Figure S7: Relationship between RABV labeling ratio in addictive drug/saline-treated groups and mean basal gene expression of gene subgroups.** Linear regressions are shown for all five examined drugs against all genes and different gene classes expressed by brain region within the Allen Gene Expression Atlas, including (A) all genes, (B) substance dependence-related genes, (C) endocytosis- and exocytosis-related genes, (D) mitochondrial genes, (E) sugar transporter genes, (F) tumor-associated genes, (G) ligand-gated ion channel genes, (H) voltage-gated ion channel genes, (I) other ion channel genes.

1302

**Figure S8: Relationship between RABV labeling ratio in K/X-saline vs. isoflurane-saline**
**groups and mean basal gene expression of gene subgroups.** Linear regressions are shown
for K/X-saline vs. isoflurane-saline groups against different gene classes, including (A) ion
channel-related genes, (B) synapse-related genes, (C) neurotransmitter-related genes, (D) DEGs
related to substance abuse, (E) voltage-gated ion channels, (F) ligand-gated ion channels, (G)
other ion channels, (H) $K^+$ channels, (I) $Ca^{2+}$ channels, (J) $Cl^-$ channels, (K) $Na^+$ channels, (L)
glutamate receptors, (M) glycine receptors, (N) acetylcholine receptors, (O) GABA receptor genes.

**Figure S9: Relationship between RABV ratio labeling in fluoxetine vs. saline-treated**
**groups, both anesthetized with isoflurane, and mean basal gene expression of gene**
**subgroups.** Linear regressions are shown for fluoxetine/saline-treated groups against different
gene classes, including (A) ion channel-related genes, (B) synapse-related genes, (C)
neurotransmitter-related genes, (D) DEGs related to substance abuse, (E) voltage-gated ion
channels, (F) ligand-gated ion channels, (G) other ion channels, (H) $K^+$ channels, (I) $Ca^{2+}$
channels, (J) $Cl^-$ channels, (K) $Na^+$ channels, (L) glutamate receptors, (M) glycine receptors, (N)
acetylcholine receptors, (O) GABA receptor genes.