Preventing multiple resistance above all: new insights for managing fungal

adaptation

Short title: Multiple resistance and management of fungal adaptation

Agathe Ballu[1], Claire Ugazio[1], Clémentine Duplaix[1], Alicia Noly[1], Juerg Wullschleger[2], Stefano

F.F. Torriani[2], Anne Dérédec[1], Florence Carpentier[3,4*†], Anne-Sophie Walker[1*†]

[1]Université Paris-Saclay, INRAE, UR BIOGER, 91123 Palaiseau, France

[2]Syngenta, Crop Protection AG, 4332 Stein, Switzerland

[3]Université Paris-Saclay, INRAE, UR MaIAGE, 78350 Jouy-en-Josas, France

[4]AgroParisTech, 91123 Palaiseau Cedex, France

[*]Corresponding authors: anne-sophie.walker@inrae.fr; Florence.carpentier@agroparistech.fr

[†]These authors contributed equally

ORCID numbers:

Florence Carpentier: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6204-2220

Anne-Sophie Walker: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1246-246X

Agathe Ballu: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7104-6493

Abstract

Sustainable crop protection is crucial for food security, but is threatened by the adaptation of diverse, evolving pathogen population. Resistance can be managed by maximizing selection pressure diversity, by dose variation and the spatial and temporal combination of active ingredients. We explored the interplay between operational drivers for maximizing management strategy sustainability relative to the resistance status of fungal populations. We applied an experimental evolution approach to three artificial populations of an economically important wheat pathogen, *Zymoseptoria tritici,* differing in initial resistance status. We revealed that diversified selection pressure limited the selection of resistance in naïve populations and those with low frequencies of single. Increasing the number of modes of action delayed resistance development most effectively — ahead of increasing the number of fungicides, fungicide choice based on resistance risk and temporal variation in fungicide exposure — but favored generalism in the evolved populations. However, the prior presence of multiple resistantresistant isolates and their subsequent selection in populations overrode the effects of diversity in management strategies, incidentally invalidating any universal ranking. Initial resistance composition must therefore be considered specifically in sustainable resistance management, to address real-world field situation.

Keywords: antifungal resistance; multiple resistance; population composition; generalism; resistance management strategy; experimental evolution; environmental variation; *Zymoseptoria tritici;* fungal adaptation

Originality-Significance Statement

Pesticides are part of microbe environment in agricultural systems and may select for resistance. This

adaptation of pathogens is a burden for plant health. Using an original multicriteria assessment and

experimental evolution, we revealed that multi-fungicide use, selecting for multiple resistance,

trumped any other driver of selection, invalidating any universal ranking of management strategies, a

dispute extensively illustrated in literature and still inconclusive, especially in agriculture. This

outcome refocuses the debate on population diversity and evolution rather than on the intrinsic

properties of strategies, as mostly acclaimed in literature. From a practical point of view, our results

highlight the importance of considering local population composition when recommending spraying

programs. This practice is currently not generalized in agriculture but may be timely to effectively

delay resistance evolution *and* reduce pesticide load in agricultural systems, a growing social

demand, since resistance monitoring at relatively fine spatial scales and at low frequency may

become commonplace in a near future with the rise of new molecular biology technologies

Introduction

Pesticides or drugs exert selection pressures on microbes and pest populations, leading to the emergence of uncontrolled resistant individuals, resulting in losses of efficacy and a need for dose increases, compromising crop, animal and human health and aggravating the environmental impact of the chemicals used (McKenna, 2013; Fisher et al., 2018; Gould et al., 2018; Murray et al., 2022). Resistance management strategies aim to delay the evolution of resistance by subjecting populations to diverse and heterogeneous selection pressures or by reducing their exposure to selection (REX_Consortium, 2013; van den Bosch et al., 2014a). Selection can thus be mitigated by increasing its *diversity* (*i.e.* number of active ingredients (AIs) or modes of action (MoAs) deployed, choosing AIs according to their intrinsic risk of resistance development), or by increasing its *heterogeneity* (*i.e.* playing with pattern of application over time, either concomitantly as in mixtures, or successively *as* in alternation or cycling, or over space as in mosaic) or by varying its intensity (*i.e.* modulation of fungicide dose or number of sprays).

In agriculture, the ascomycete *Zymoseptoria tritici,* a hemibiotrophic plant pathogenic fungus responsible for Septoria tritici blotch (STB), the leading yield-reducing disease of wheat worldwide, provides an illustration of the debate about the relative performances of resistance management strategies (Fones and Gurr, 2015; Torriani et al., 2015). This pathogen is currently controlled principally by fungicide applications. This has led to its adaptation, to various degrees, to almost all the single-site MoAs authorized (benzimidazoles, which inhibit β-tubulin polymerization; QoIs, which inhibit complex III of mitochondrial respiration; DMIs, which inhibit sterol biosynthesis and SDHIs, which inhibit complex II of mitochondrial respiration), at least in Western Europe (Hellin et al., 2020; Garnault et al., 2021). Interestingly, this fungus displays various resistant phenotypes (Heick et al., 2017a; Huf et al., 2018; Jorgensen et al., 2018; Rehfus et al., 2018; Samils et al., 2021). Specialist

resistance results from the selection of mutations in genes encoding the target proteins of these

fungicides (target-site resistance or TSR). The combination of multiple mutations in the same isolate,

resulting in multiple resistance, is favored by the high rates of sexual reproduction in this pathogen

(Singh et al., 2021). Generalist resistance may result from non-target-site resistance (NTSR), as in the

multidrug resistance (MDR) caused by the enhanced efflux of unrelated fungicides (Leroux and

Walker, 2011; Omrane et al., 2015). The diversity of resistant phenotypes observed in *Z. tritici* and

their contrasting local frequencies in the field renders this pathogen a relevant biological model for

investigations of the interplay between the drivers of the performance of anti-resistance strategies .

Several empirical and modelling studies in *Zymoseptoria tritici* have concluded that strategies

including alternation, mixtures, and modulations of the dose, number and timing of applications can

effectively limit the evolution of resistance, provided that they are optimized (Hobbelen et al., 2013;

van den Bosch et al., 2014a; Dooley et al., 2016a; Heick et al., 2017a; Jørgensen et al., 2017;

Mikaberidze et al., 2017). However, it remains a matter of debate which of these strategies is the

most sustainable. Attempts to rank strategies have suggested that mixture-based strategies may be

the best, but the results obtained were not unequivocal for *Z. tritici* or for many agricultural or

clinical situations (REX_Consortium, 2013; van den Bosch et al., 2014a). There may be several reasons

for this. First, the results for strategy performance may depend on the criteria used for assessment

(Elderfield et al., 2018). Indeed, the evolution or frequency of resistance are among the most widely

used criteria, but many other criteria, such as disease control or fungicide effective life, may be just

as relevant and may alter the ranking of performance between strategies. Furthermore, strategies

must be truly comparable to obtain meaningful results. In most of the empirical data available,

alternation and mixture strategies are rarely compared at similar dose of AIs, but rather at their field

rates, in most instances designed not solely for fungicide resistance management but for a

combination of factors, including spectrum of control, (eco)toxicological aspects and cost-

effectiveness of the product. And when alternation and mixture strategies are fairly compared, the

ranking is not decisive (Hobbelen et al., 2013; van den Bosch et al., 2014b). Finally, the composition

of the pathogen population in terms of resistance types and frequencies (*i.e.* resistance status) may

also have an impact on strategy performance, as resistant individuals are fitter than susceptible

individuals in sprayed environments and higher doses of fungicide are required to control them.

Furthermore, when single-resistant genotypes are already present, the acquisition of multiple

resistance to two independent MoAs only requires the subsequent selection of a single new

mutation. The emergence of multiple resistance is therefore more likely than when a combination of

the same MoAs is used on a totally susceptible population. The drivers of heterogeneity and diversity

in selection pressure may, therefore, have different impacts on resistance management, according to

how and when they are implemented with respect to pathogen resistance dynamics, which depends

on the current composition of the pathogen population. For example, strategies that effectively

delay the initial emergence of resistance may be different from those most effective at slowing the

selection of resistances already present in the pathogen population (see an example on mixture

strategies (Hobbelen et al., 2014)). The initial frequency and nature of resistance mutations (*e.g.*

inducing resistance to one or multiple MoAs) may also influence the effective life of AIs (Hobbelen et

al., 2013). Thus, the overall performance of a strategy depends on the respective efficacies of its

components for controlling both susceptible and resistant individuals over time and space, whatever

the composition of the pathogen population in terms of resistance status.

In this context, we investigated the interplay between the operational drivers maximizing the

sustainability of management strategies relative to the resistance status of the pathogen population.

We explored several assumptions, based on published studies, including the work of Ballu *et al* (Ballu

et al., 2021; Ballu et al., 2023). We found that the various sources of heterogeneity and diversity in

selection pressures differed in their efficacy for delaying resistance evolution. We found that

combining these sources would probably increase the overall sustainability of strategies

(REX_Consortium, 2013; Ballu et al., 2023), and that the efficacy of anti-resistance strategies depends

strongly on pathogen population composition in terms of resistance (Hobbelen et al., 2013). These

results have been achieved through experimental evolution, an innovative approach that allows for

the design of complex experiments but remains underused in fungi. *Z. tritici* is particularly suitable

for investigations with this approach because of its haploid yeast-like form (*i.e.* blastospores) that is

easy to culture in liquid medium. By evolving strains carrying field resistances, we were able to

combine some of the characteristics of natural populations with accelerated experimental evolution

in miniaturized controlled conditions (Bailey and Bataillon, 2016; Fisher and Lang, 2016). We

followed the dynamics of populations and resistances under several selection regimes, which helped

to elucidate the impact of population composition on the efficacy of the drivers of resistance

management strategies. Several sources of diversity and heterogeneity generally considered to

promote the durable control of STB were tested: the number of AIs used (*i.e.* 1-3), the number of

MoAs (*i.e.* 1-3), the intrinsic vulnerability of these agents to the development of resistance (*i.e.* low to

high resistance risk), and the temporal heterogeneity of fungicide exposure (*i.e.* mixture *vs.*

alternation). We compared the performance of several selection strategies, defined as combinations

of one or several of these drivers, against artificial populations of different compositions in terms of

resistance status. We were especially interested in the introduction of multiple resistance, which is

associated to the combination, in a single individual, of independent resistance determinants each

involving resistance to a single mode of action, into artificial populations, to mimic some aspects of

the recombination that occurs naturally in field populations of *Z. tritici.* This made it possible to

explore the potential effect of such events on strategy performance in an artificial experimental

design (Fisher and Lang, 2016). A multi-criteria assessment of strategies, based on growth dynamics

of populations and evolution of resistance phenotype profiles, confirmed that diversification of

selection pressure, by alternation or mixture-based approaches, can limit the emergence and

selection of resistance, at the cost of selecting generalist or multiple resistance. But above all,

resistance status of pathogen population prior to the implementation of strategies was found to be

the primary determinant of strategy performance, particularly when multiple resistance was already

present in the initial population. These findings open up new perspectives for the informed tailoring

of strategies and show that population composition must be given priority over other drivers of

selection in such strategies.

Materials and methods

 Ancestral isolates

The susceptible ancestral isolate was the IPO-323 strain, the source of the reference genome

for *Z. tritici* (Goodwin et al., 2011), hereafter referred to as 'S'. The bias in fitness due to genetic

background was minimized by selecting resistant isolates from the F1 progeny of a cross between 'S'

and a resistant field strain (Walker and Gazeau, 2019). The SDHI-resistant isolate, R_{SDHI}, was

characterized by the substitution of an arginine (R) for the histidine (H) residue at codon 152 of the

sdhC protein (*sdhC*^{H152R} resistance allele), one of the subunits of succinate dehydrogenase, the target

of SDHIs (Rehfus et al., 2018). The DMI-resistant isolate, R_{DMI}, displayed five amino-acid changes

(V136A, A379G, I381V, Y461S and S524T) in the sterol 14α-demethylase protein encoded by *cyp51*

(the resistance allele is referred to hereafter as *cyp51*^{I381V}). An isolate exhibiting multiple resistance,

$R_{SDHI/DMI}$, carried both unrelated resistance alleles, as well as the G143A change in cytochrome b (the

target of QoI fungicides), inherited from its wild parent. The R_{SDHI} and R_{DMI} isolates might be referred

hereafter as "single-resistant isolates", whereas $R_{SDHI/DMI}$ might be referred as "the multiple-resistant

isolate".

Fungicide sensitivity of the ancestral isolates

We established the resistance profile of each strain by estimating EC_{50} values and resistance

factors (RFs) for a wide range of fungicides. The fungicides studied were all of technical grade and

included three SDHIs (benzovindiflupyr, boscalid and fluxapyroxad), three DMIs (prothioconazole-

desthio, metconazole and mefentrifluconazole), a QoI (azoxystrobin), a benzimidazole (carbendazim),

a multisite inhibitor (chlorothalonil) and tolnaftate (an inhibitor of squalene epoxidase, an enzyme

involved in sterol biosynthesis, used in medicine and as a marker of multidrug resistance). Fungicides

were dissolved in DMSO and stock solutions were prepared so as to ensure that the final medium

contained no more than 0.5% DMSO.

EC_{50} values were calculated from dose-response curves plotted for data obtained in 96-well

microtiter plates (Sarstedt, Germany). Pure liquid cultures of each isolate were grown in 50 mL

borosilicate Erlenmeyer flasks containing 25 mL YPD medium (20 g.L^{-1} dextrose, 20 g.L^{-1} peptone, 10

g.L^{-1} yeast extract; USBiological Salem, MA, USA), with carded cotton wool inserted into the neck. The

cultures were maintained at 18°C and a RH of 70% in the dark for 7 days, with shaking at 150 rpm.

These cultures were used to inoculate 200 µL of YPD medium per well in microtiter plates, at an

initial density of 2.5 x 10^{5} spores.mL^{-1}. We tested 10 fungicide concentrations for each fungicide, in

addition to a control amended only with 0.5% DMSO. The design included three replicates per isolate

and per fungicide concentration. The plate was sealed with a gas-permeable membrane (Breathe

Easy®; Diversified Biotech) and placed on a shaker in the dark, in the conditions described above.

Optical density at 405 nm (OD_{405}) (SpectraMax M2 spectrophotometer, Molecular Devices) was

measured after five days, *i.e.* at the end of the exponential growth phase, with three technical

replicates of each well. Each experiment was repeated at least three times.

EC_{50} values were determined by logistic regression (logistic nonlinear mixed effects model, with the

SSlogis function of the Self-Starting Nls Logistic Model, available in the STATS package and R version

4.1.3), with dose as a fixed effect and biological replicate as a random effect.

RFs were calculated as the ratio of the EC_{50} of the resistant isolate to that of the susceptible isolate.

Standard errors were calculated as follows:

$$\sigma RF = |RF| \times \sqrt{\left(\frac{\sigma EC_{50}R}{|EC_{50}R|}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\sigma EC_{50}S}{|EC_{50}S|}\right)^2} \qquad (1)$$

where R is the resistant isolate and S is the susceptible isolate.

Fitness and resistance profiles of ancestral strains

Fitness was inferred for each isolate from its growth in the culture conditions used for

experimental evolution (detailed below) but without fungicides. Population size was measured daily

on the basis of OD_{405} data, for seven days, as previously described (Ballu et al., 2021). The experiment

was performed five times. The growth curve for each strain was modeled with a mixed non-linear

logistic model, as follows:

Spore concentration = *asym*/(1+exp((*xmid*-t)/*scal*))   (2)

where *t* is the day, *asym* the asymptote, *i.e.* maximum growth, *xmid* is the time required to

decrease the value of the asymptote by half and *scal* is the inverse of the slope.

The strains were considered as a fixed effect, with replicate as a random effect. We compared

parameters between strains with the Tukey method for pairwise comparisons. Estimates were

obtained with the NLME, CAR and EMMEANS packages of R version 4.1.3.

Artificial ancestral populations

Three ancestral populations were prepared for the first round of experimental evolution by

inoculating medium with pure cultures of ancestral isolates (grown as described in the previous

section) in various proportions so as to obtain a final density of 10^7 spores in total in 25 mL YPD

medium amended with 0.25% antibiotics (100 mg.L^{-1} streptomycin and penicillin – to prevent

contamination in this long-term experiment) in 50 mL Erlenmeyer flasks (**Fig. 1C**). PopS was a naïve

population composed exclusively of the susceptible isolate S. PopR was composed of 95% S and of

5% R_{DMI} and R_{SDHI} (1:1) and therefore contained only single-resistance isolates although both

resistances coexisted in the same population. PopRR contained 95% S and 5% R_{DMI}, R_{SDHI} and $R_{SDHI/DMI}$

(2:2:1) and therefore contained a collection of single- and multiple-resistant isolates. This initial

population composition of PopR and PopRR was determined in preliminary experiments: a

proportion of 1% was found to be the smallest proportion providing the lowest mean and standard

deviation of experimental error in resistance allele quantification by qPCR or pyrosequencing (see

below) on a range of dilutions. As multiple resistance was expected to be scarce in natural

populations, we arbitrarily set the initial frequency of $R_{SDHI/DMI}$ at 1% and half that of single-resistant

isolates.

Components of selection regimes diversity

The 12 selection regimes differed in terms of four components and are described in detail in

Supplementary Information 2.

The number of AIs ranged from one (straight selection) to three (diversified selection).

The number of MoAs ranged from one (intra-MoA selection) to three (inter-MoA selection).

We selected AIs representative of their MoAs from among those currently used to control STB in the

field. MoAs, and, thus, their representative AIs, differed in terms of their vulnerability to resistance,

i.e. intrinsic risk of resistance (Brent and Hollomon, 2007). In our view, the risk of resistance is seen

globally and may include the time to resistance emergence, the speed of resistance selection and

resistance intensity and pattern, as determined by the specific resistance mechanism(s) selected.

Selected AIs were considered to be at medium-to-high risk (SDHIs, represented by benzovindiflupyr

(B) and fluxapyroxad (F)), low-to-medium risk (DMIs, represented by prothioconazole-desthio (P), the

active metabolite of the commercialized prothioconazole, and mefentrifluconazole (M)) or at very

low risk (multisite inhibitor, represented by chlorothalonil (C)) of resistance.

We also studied the effect of temporal heterogeneity through comparisons of the simultaneous

application of AIs (intracycle heterogeneity, *i.e.* mixture) with the sequential application of AIs

(intercycle heterogeneity, *i.e.* alternation). All the alternation regimes began with the AI with the

lowest risk of resistance (or highest intrinsic activity for strategies with only one MoA), as this has

been shown to be the most effective approach (van den Bosch and Gilligan, 2008; Elderfield et al.,

2018).

Regimes of selection applied to artificial populations

These 12 selection regimes and 2 control situations were applied to all or some of the three possible ancestral populations, resulting in 31 possible interactions, and 100 independent lines, as there were three replicates for each interaction (or four when chlorothalonil was involved) and five solvent-only controls were included (**Supplementary Information 2**). Given the large size of this experiment, selection regimes were grouped together into two batches (A and B) performed successively. Strategies that it was particularly important to compare directly were included in the same batch. The two control lines included only 0.5% of DMSO or ethanol 80%. Controls were repeated in both batches if necessary.

Fungicides preparation

Fungicides were used as technical products purchased from Sigma Aldrich (US). They were dissolved in 80% ethanol, with the exception of chlorothalonil, which was dissolved in DMSO due to its poor solubility in ethanol. Controls in which only solvent (80% ethanol or DMSO) was added were included in the experiment. Stock solutions of fungicides were prepared at the beginning of the experiment and were stored at 4°C. The fungicides and solvents added to culture medium never exceeded 0.5% of the final volume, to prevent toxic effects on the growth of *Z. tritici*.

Selection doses

For fungicides used alone (in sequence or in alternation), the selection dose was the EC_{97} of each AI determined in a preliminary experiment for the S isolate grown in the conditions used for experimental evolution (described below). EC_{97} values were established from dose-response curves for each fungicide based on the logistic regression model used to describe the resistance patterns of

the ancestral isolates. The growth of the populations, inferred from OD_{405} measurement after 7 days

of culture, was normalized by dividing by that of the control line grown without fungicide. The

validation of this selection dose included culture of the S isolate in the conditions used for

experimental evolution at its theoretical EC_{97} and at close doses. The lowest dose providing at least

97% inhibition was finally defined as the selection dose.

The selection doses of two-way fungicide mixtures were set at half the selection dose of each AI used

alone, for the comparison of alternation and mixture regimes containing the same amounts of each

fungicide. The only three-way mixture studied contained half the EC_{97} of chlorothalonil, a quarter the

EC_{97} of benzovindiflupyr and a quarter the EC_{97} of prothioconazole-desthio.

The selection doses are detailed in **Supplementary Information 2**.

Design of the experimental evolution experiment

Experimental evolution was performed over either six or eight cycles of seven days each (about six to

seven generations per cycle), depending on the experimental batch. This cycle duration corresponds

to about the time for which a control line remained in exponential growth phase before reaching a

plateau. On the first day of the experiment, ancestral population lines containing 10^7 spores were

prepared and incubated as described above, after amendment with the fungicides required for each

selection regime. OD_{405} was measured at the end of each cycle and transformed into population size,

as established in preliminary experiments (See more details in Ballu et al., 2023). The OD_{405} of the

treated lines was normalized against the mean OD_{405} for the control solvent lines. At each transfer,

500 µL of the evolving culture (*i.e.* 2% of the total volume of medium) or a maximum of 10^7 spores

was transferred to a new Erlenmeyer flask containing fresh medium. If the number of spores in the

500 µL of culture medium was less than 10^7, as would be the case before the evolution of resistance,

then the appropriate number of spores from the appropriate source population (*i.e.* spores from the

control (PopS) or mixtures of spores reconstituting PopR or PopRR from the pure cultures of the

different isolates grown separately without fungicides) was added to make the number of spores

present up to 10^7. Thereby the starting population size was equalized between lines at the start of

each new cycle, and the process mimicked the immigration occurring in field situations. For each of

the three replicates, a dedicated source population was used for immigration throughout the

experiment. In preliminary experiments, immigration was found to be useful for preventing

population extinction after weekly bottlenecks, and to minimize genetic drift.

At the end of each cycle, 2 mL of each line was mixed with glycerol (25%), frozen and stored at -80°C

for further analyses. If the normalized Malthusian growth (defined below) of a line reached at least

95% during three consecutives cycles, the line was ended. In such cases, we ensured fair comparison

between alternation and mixture approaches by stopping lines only after an even number of cycles

(*i.e.* not before the 4th cycle). In these situations, data (population size or allele content) for the

missing cycles during this establishment phase were extrapolated for analyses involving comparisons

between selection regimes. Extrapolated data were calculated using the average value of the last

three consecutive cyles with generalized resistance normalized by divided by the value of the control

at the considered cycle.

Assessment of the rate of resistance evolution

The Malthusian growth (Fisher, 1999) of each line was calculated as

$M_{it} = \ln(\frac{Spore\ concentration\ of\ line\ i\ at\ the\ end\ of\ cycle\ t}{Spore\ concentration\ of\ line\ i\ at\ the\ beginning\ of\ cycle\ t})$ (3)

and normalized against that of the solvent control line *C (M_Ct)* such that $M_{it}^n = \frac{M_{it}}{M_{Ct}}$ (4).

For each selection regime, the mean Malthusian growth M_i^n is the mean of M_{it}^n calculated over the

total number of cycles the line was grown, and constitutes a quantitative summary of the increase in

resistance of the three replicates over the entire experiment.

We used beta regression (package BETAREG for R) on the M_i^n of each line to investigate the effects of

population composition and of the components of selection diversity and temporal heterogeneity,

included as fixed factors, with experimental batch as a random factor. These models are detailed in

Supplementary Information 7. We evaluated the impact of these factors with an analysis-of-

variance-like (joint_tests function of EMMEANS package) analysis, with the Tukey adjustment method

for pairwise comparisons (package EMMEANS).

Molecular quantification of resistance alleles in evolved lines

The $sdhC^{H152R}$ allele, included in the R$_{SDHI}$ and R$_{SDHI/DMI}$ ancestral isolates, was quantified by

qPCR. The $cyp51^{I381V}$ allele, included in the R$_{DMI}$ and R$_{SDHI/DMI}$ ancestral isolates, was quantified by

pyrosequencing. The $cytb^{G143A}$ allele, included only in the R$_{SDHI/DMI}$ ancestral isolate was quantified by

qPCR. Details on these procedures are provided as **Supplementary Information 4**.

From qPCR and pyrosequencing data, we calculated RC_{it}^n, the normalized concentration of the

resistant mutants R$_{SDHI}$ and R$_{DMI}$ in the population, as the proportion of the resistance alleles $sdhC^{H152R}$

and $cyp51^{I381V}$, respectively, multiplied by the size of the population (in spores.mL^{-1}):

$RC_{it} = Resistance\ allele\ frequency * C_{it}$ (5)

where C_{it} is the concentration of the line i at time t.

We then divided this value by the spore concentration of the control lines, C_{Ct}, to obtain the

normalized concentration of resistance (hereafter referred to as the normalized allelic

concentration):

$$RC_{it}^n = \frac{RC_{it}}{C_{Ct}}\quad (6)$$

The qPCR and pyrosequencing methods used could not distinguish combinations of $sdhC^{H152R}$ and

$cyp51^{I381V}$ within the same individual (*i.e.* as in $R_{SDHI/DMI}$) from co-occurrence of the same alleles in

different individuals (*i.e.* as in the R_{SDHI} and R_{DMI} isolates). We therefore set the maximum possible

concentration of this multiple-resistant isolate as the lower proportion of the two resistant alleles

compared together. This calculation may overestimate the concentration of the $R_{SDHI/DMI}$ isolate in

PopRR. For validation of this estimate, we compared these data with those for $cytb^{G143A}$

quantification on samples collected from the last cycles of PopRR lines.

For each of the three resistance alleles, we used beta regression (package BETAREG for R) on the mean

normalized allele concentrations of each line to investigate the effects of population composition,

with the components of selection diversity and temporal heterogeneity treated as fixed factors, and

experimental batch as a random factor. We evaluated the impact of factors with an analysis-of-

variance-like (joint_tests function of EMMEANS package) approach with the Tukey adjustment method

for pairwise comparisons (package EMMEANS). Details of these models are provided in **Supplementary**

Information 7 and models are referred hereafter as MOD"*NAME*".

Phenotype resistance profiles of evolved lines

The cross-resistance patterns of all populations collected at the end of the experimental

evolution were established in droplet tests. We deposited population suspensions containing 10^7,

10^6, 10^5, and 10^4 spores.mL^{-1} as drops organized into columns, on 12 cm square Petri dishes. These

plates contained YPD supplemented with discriminatory doses of fungicides, as detailed in

Supplementary Information 3. The 10 treatments tested encompassed a control with 0.5% solvent

and fungicides B, P, F, M and C at their selection doses and their mixtures. Finally, for the detection

of generalist resistance, we also included fungicides not used for experimental evolution (tolnaftate

and azoxystrobin although this fungicide is of limited interest for PopRR lines where the $cytb^{G143A}$ was

introduced with the $R_{SDHI/DMI}$ isolate). Tolnaftate, in particular, identifies individuals displaying

enhanced fungicide efflux leading to non-specific multidrug resistance (MDR), as described in field

isolates of *Z. tritici* (Leroux and Walker, 2011). Ancestral isolates, and a MDR isolate collected in the

field were included as control strains. After seven days of incubation, drops were scored 0 or 1,

according to the presence or absence of fungal growth. A total score was established for each strain,

ranging from 0 (susceptible) to 4 (resistant if >0), according to the number of dilution droplets for

which growth was observed. For each strain, the resistance phenotype profile was determined by

concatenating the scores established for the 10 sets of fungicide conditions.

The distributions of resistance phenotype profiles between and within selection regimes were

plotted as heatmaps. The Euclidean pairwise distance was used for the hierarchical clustering of

these profiles, with dendrograms for the rows and columns, in R version 4.1.3. A principal

component analysis (PCA) was also performed on the same phenotypes.

Characterization of enhanced efflux

The 17 strains isolated from lines growing on tolnaftate in droplet tests were selected for

further investigation. We checked for changes in the length of the promoter of *mfs1* by PCR, as

previously described (Omrane et al., 2017). Several types of insertion into the promoter of this gene

encoding a MFS transporter have been shown to cause enhanced efflux leading to multidrug

resistance in field isolates of *Z. tritici*. We quantified *mfs1* expression in the same isolates by RT-qPCR

(Omrane et al., 2015). The protocols used are detailed in **Supplementary Information 6**.

Results

404 Ancestral isolates display contrasting patterns of resistance in artificial populations

405 Experimental evolution was performed with artificial populations constituted from ancestral

406 isolates exhibiting resistance alleles currently found in European populations of *Z. tritici*. The

407 resistant isolates (R_{SDHI}, R_{DMI} and $R_{SDHI/DMI}$) were isolated from a second-generation progeny of a cross

408 between the susceptible (S) wild-type reference isolate and a field isolate bearing the $sdhC^{H152R}$

409 change and several *cyp51* substitutions (*i.e.* V136A, A379G, I381V, Y461S and S524T; hereafter

410 simplified as $cyp51^{I381V}$). We checked that the resulting changes to the target proteins of SDHI and

411 DMI fungicides, respectively, were associated with the expected phenotypes and calculated their

412 resistance factors (RFs) relative to the S isolate (**Fig. 1A**; **Supplementary Information 1**). We

413 confirmed that the R_{SDHI} and $R_{SDHI/DMI}$ isolates bearing $sdhC^{H152R}$ had RFs exceeding 90 for all SDHIs

414 (Dooley et al., 2016b; Yamashita and Fraaije, 2018) and that the R_{DMI} and $R_{SDHI/DMI}$ isolates bearing

415 $cyp51^{I381V}$ displayed moderate resistance to all DMIs tested (9<RFs<40), although the RFs for

416 mefentrifluconazole were lower than those for other azoles (Huf et al., 2018; Ishii et al., 2020).

417 Complementary genotyping revealed that the R_{SDHI}, R_{DMI} and $R_{SDHI/DMI}$ isolates had inherited resistance

418 to benzimidazoles from their parental field isolate, precluding any further use of these fungicides in

419 selection regimes (Hawkins and Fraaije, 2016), and that only the multiple-resistant $R_{SDHI/DMI}$ isolate

420 had inherited resistance to QoIs due to the $cytb^{G143A}$ change (Torriani et al., 2015). All isolates were

421 equally susceptible to the multisite agent chlorothalonil and to tolnaftate, an inhibitor of squalene

422 epoxidase used to reveal multidrug resistance in *Z. tritici* (Leroux and Walker, 2011).

423 The fitness of the ancestral isolates was assessed by establishing growth curves under the conditions

424 used for experimental evolution and in the absence of fungicide selection (**Fig. 1B**), and the

425 parameters of the logistic model were used to compare isolates (**Fig. 1C**). The time required to reach

the half-maximal concentration of spores was significantly greater for the resistant isolates than for

the reference isolate (2.94-3.08 days *vs.* 2.5 days), but maximal spore concentration was significantly

higher for resistant isolates than for the reference isolate at the end of the experiment (+4.1 -

+5.8%). However, in populations from control lines not exposed to fungicide (see below), the

resistance alleles initially present at low frequency were not detected at the end of the experimental

evolution period, suggesting that either the weak and early penalty associated with resistance

observed in our conditions was expressed, and/or that they were lost by chance because of the

regular bottlenecks affecting populations during their serial cultivation. As no other genotype

replicates were tested, it remains unclear whether this fitness penalty was related to the resistance

mutations or to the genetic background of the isolates. Nevertheless, these findings clarify and

complete previous observations concerning the fitness cost associated with the $sdhC^{H152R}$ variant

expressed in culture *in vitro* and in competition experiments (Scalliet et al., 2012; Gutiérrez-Alonso et

al., 2017), and restoration of the fitness cost of the I381V variant when associated with a

modification to the Y461 codon of *cyp51*, as in R_{DMI} and $R_{SDHI/DMI}$(Hawkins and Fraaije, 2018). We

assumed that resistance to benzimidazoles did not bias fitness measurement, as no associated

fitness penalty has ever been observed for this resistance in any species, including *Z. tritici* (Hawkins

and Fraaije, 2016; Hawkins and Fraaije, 2018). Resistance to QoIs has been reported to decrease *Z.*

tritici virulence *in planta* (Hawkins and Fraaije, 2018), but we assumed that this cost did not operate

in vitro for the $R_{SDHI/DMI}$ isolate.

Population composition affects the performance of resistance management strategies

We investigated the effect of population composition on the performance of strategies, by

comparing the evolution of three artificial populations containing 0% (PopS) or 5% (PopR and PopRR)

mixed resistant individuals, to reproduce the late stages of emergence of resistance as usually

detected with current monitoring tools in field situations (**Fig. 1D**). PopR contained only single-

resistant isolates (*i.e.* R_{SDHI} and R_{DMI}) and PopRR contained both single-resistant isolates and a small

proportion of multiple-resistant individuals (*i.e.* $R_{SDHI/DMI}$), to mimic the results of the sexual

reproduction likely to occur in field populations of *Z. tritici* (Singh et al., 2021). These populations

were subjected to selection pressure from alternating treatments with benzovindiflupyr (a SDHI;

hereafter referred to as B) and prothioconazole-desthio (a DMI; hereafter referred to as P) or a

mixture of these treatments, and were then compared with untreated lines (**Fig. 2**; **Supplementary**

Information 2). We quantified the dynamics of resistance in each line as its mean normalized

Malthusian growth, M_i^n, a proxy for the increase in resistance under selection (**Fig. 3A**). The growth

of PopS was significantly smaller (82% and 84% smaller, under P and B mixture or alternation,

respectively) than that of the control. Significant decreases in growth relative to the control were

also observed for the PopR population treated with the P+B mixture (85%), and to a lesser extent,

the PopR population subjected to an alternation of P and B (46%). By contrast, the growth of PopRR

was much more similar to that of the control population, despite very slight, but nevertheless

significant decreases of 3% in alternation and 5% in mixture, revealing that both these strategies

performed very poorly once multiple-resistant isolates were present in the population. These

observations mirrored the strong and significant selection (increase by a factor of 53 to 157) of the

resistant $sdhC^{H152R}$ and $cyp51^{I381V}$ alleles in all PopRR lines (**Fig. 3B** and **3C**), and, more specifically, of

the multiple-resistant isolate $R_{SDHI/DMI}$, as revealed directly by the peak frequencies of $cytb^{G143A}$ at the

end of the experiment (**Supplementary Information 5**). The more moderate increase (increase by a

factor of 0 to 12) in the frequencies of $sdhC^{H152R}$ and $cyp51^{I381V}$ in PopR lines confirmed that the

various strategies, including mixture in particular, were useful for mitigating the selection of single-

resistant isolates, at least during the eight cycles of the experiment (*i.e.* ≈ 50-55 generations).

We also established phenotype resistance profiles for all the lines at the end of the experiment, to

observe the selection of *de novo* resistances other than those introduced *via* the ancestral

phenotypes. Principal component analysis confirmed that the evolved lines differed mainly in their

ancestral composition rather than in selection regimes (**Fig. 5**). The PopS and PopRR lines retained

their initial phenotypes, but one PopR line evolved resistance to tolnaftate, an inhibitor of squalene

epoxidase often used to reveal multidrug resistance(Leroux and Walker, 2011).

Mode-of-action diversity is a driver of strategy performance that should be considered

before increasing the number of AIs

We investigated the extent to which population composition interfered with the components

of strategies and determined their performance, by subjecting PopR and PopRR to 12 selection

regimes mimicking various degrees of selection heterogeneity and diversity, and comparing them

with untreated controls. We used two SDHI fungicides (B and F) potentially selecting for $sdhC^{H152R}$,

and two DMI fungicides (P and M) potentially selecting for $cyp51^{I381V}$ to investigate the effect of the

number of AIs (1 *vs.* 2) and of the number of MoAs (1 *vs.* 2) on resistance evolution (**Fig. 2** and

Supplementary Information 2). In PopRR lines, the maximal concentration of the multiple-resistant

isolate was estimated to be the lower of the concentrations of the two alleles. In situations in which

$cytb^{G143A}$ was quantified, these two measurements were found to be highly correlated (Pearson's r =

0.998; P<0.0001).

In strategies including two AIs with the same MoA, resistance was rapidly established, as shown by

the maximal Malthusian growth and the increase in resistance allele frequencies, as early as the

second cycle, as with the continuous use of a single AI, whatever the ancestral population (**Fig. 6A**).

Such strategies even significantly accelerated the selection of resistant alleles in PopR lines relative to

the continuous use of a single AI (+17.8% for $sdhC^{H152R}$ on average in strategies combining two SDHIs;

+2.3% for $cyp51^{I381V}$ on average in strategies combining two DMIs). The selection of single-resistant

isolates was also favored over that of multiple-resistant ones in PopRR lines (a mean allele frequency

of ≈97% and ≈86% for $cyp51^{I381V}$ and $sdhC^{H152R}$, respectively, over the experiment, *vs.* a mean

frequency of 42% for multiple-resistant individuals) (**Fig. 4**, **Fig. 6A** and **Supplementary Information**

5). Moreover, the relative ratio of single- *vs.* multiple-resistant isolates for each MoA was 2:1 at the

beginning of the experiment. This ratio greatly increased under DMI selection but stayed roughly

stable under SDHI selection, at the end of the experiment. This finding suggests a relative resistance

cost for the $R_{SDHI/DMI}$ isolate in competition with R_{DMI} isolate under DMI selection pressure although

both co-occurred.

By contrast, the introduction of a second AI with a different MoA (SDHI or DMI) significantly

decreased population growth (by 2 - 85%, with a mean of 39%), whilst reducing the selection of

resistant alleles (-49% - -100%, mean -82% for $sdhC^{H152R}$ and -43% - -100%, mean -87% for $cyp51^{I381V}$,

relative to selection with a single AI) in lines in which only single-resistant isolates to each of the two

MoAs were initially introduced (**Fig. 6B**). The greatest reductions were observed with the P and B

fungicides, rather than with the M and F ones. This benefit of combining MoAs was much more

limited (from -4% to +4% of M_i^n, mean -0.6%) when the multiple-resistant isolate was introduced,

due to its early and rapid generalization (increase by +85% - +596%; mean +241%), at the expense of

single-resistant isolates, revealing its greater relative fitness when multiple selection is applied (**Fig.**

4, **Fig. 6B** and **Supplementary Information 5**). These findings confirm that diversifying modes of

action, whatever the heterogeneity in the timing of their application (as a mixture or in alternation) is

beneficial only in the absence of multiple resistance.

We investigated whether increasing the number of AIs and of MoAs induced the selection of new

resistance profiles, by performing droplet tests on the 99 population lines evolved under one of the

31 selection regimes and collected at the end of the experiment, for 10 fungicide treatments and a

control. These treatments included the fungicides used for selection but also other independent AIs

(**Fig. 5** and **Supplementary Information 3**). Population resistance profiles were generally consistent

with allele quantification, with the population displaying the resistance phenotype profile of the

predominantly selected ancestral isolate. In particular, in PopRR lines that had evolved under

diversified selection pressure from SDHIs combined with DMIs, low phenotypic diversity was

observed, confirming the generalization of the multiple-resistant isolate. By contrast, greater

diversity was observed after selection by a single MoA, reflecting the variation in resistance

frequency of the single-resistant isolates between replicates and selection regimes. In PopR lines, the

lack of growth in the presence of DMI and SDHI mixtures of lines evolved under a single selection

pressure confirmed the preferential selection of single-resistant isolates. Interestingly, not only did

lines evolved under diversified selection regimes grow in the presence of the two MoAs

independently, confirming the co-selection of single-resistant isolates, but some also displayed

additional resistances, as suggested by their greater diversity in the PCA and original patterns of

resistance on heatmaps (**Fig. 5**). In particular, some lines selected under pressure from a combination

of M (DMI) and F (SDHI) fungicides displayed resistance to the non-DMI and non-SDHI fungicides

azoxystrobin and tolnaftate. Tolnaftate has been shown to reveal multidrug resistance in *Z. tritici*

(Leroux and Walker, 2011). We therefore checked for insertions in the promoter of the MFS1

transporter gene, which is overexpressed in field isolates (Omrane et al., 2017), in a selection of 20

strains isolated from these populations with various scores for tolnaftate resistance. We found no

change in the length of the promoter sequence (data not shown). We then measured the expression

of *mfs1* in the same isolates after growth in the absence of fungicide. We confirmed that nine of

these isolates displayed moderately enhanced (about 3.3 - 10 times higher) fungicide efflux. By

contrast, the level of expression of *mfs1* in the MDR field isolate was about 30 times higher,

suggesting only moderately enhanced efflux due to MFS1 in some of our isolates, with still unknown

determinism. Furthermore, the poor correlation between tolnaftate resistance scores and *mfs1*

expression strongly suggests that unidentified generalist resistance mechanisms may be at work in

our collection. Finally, this finding suggests that diversified selection pressure mediates a trade-off

between the growth rate of the evolving population and the degree of generalism of the evolved

isolates.

 The performance of strategies depends primarily on the diversity of MoAs displayed,

 but also on the particular characteristics of AIs

We further investigated the reasons for the better performance, in terms of effects on

population growth, of strategies combining several MoAs and AIs than of continuous use of the same

fungicide, by analyzing the effects of the respective intrinsic resistance risks of the MoAs and AIs on

resistance evolution. This analysis was made possible by the use of two different MoAs (SDHIs *vs.*

DMIs) and two AIs within each chemical class (B and F *vs.* P and M, respectively). It has been claimed

that the intrinsic risk of resistance is low-to-moderate for DMIs, but moderate-to-high for SDHIs

(Kuck and Russell, 2006). AIs were compared at the same effective dose (EC_{97}) to ensure a fair

comparison based on their intrinsic properties.

DMI-based strategies yielded a 4.4% higher M_i^n mean normalized Malthusian growth than SDHI-

based strategies, regardless of the initial population composition, the number of AIs in the strategy

or the temporal heterogeneity of selection (**Fig. 6A**). This moderate difference suggests that the

respective resistance risks of DMIs and SDHIs were not markedly different in the experimental

conditions used here, at least for resistance dynamics, not anticipating field efficacy. In PopR, both

MoAs were equally effective for reciprocally controlling the single-resistant isolates (the normalized

concentration of $sdhC^{H152R}$ and of $cyp51^{I381V}$ after exposure to either DMIs or SDHIs, respectively, both

below the detection limit) whereas in PopRR, the estimated normalized concentration of multiple

resistance in the presence of DMIs was only half that with SDHIs only (16% *vs.* 33%), suggesting that

SDHIs were less efficient than DMIs for controlling $R_{SDHI/DMI}$. These findings mirror the contrasting

selection responses, which are largely dependent on the resistance allele selected and its relative

fitness. This finding is not, therefore, readily generalizable when diverse mutations may arise under

selection pressure from a single MoA in the field, as is the case for SDHIs and DMIs.

The intrinsic resistance risk may also be AI-specific, and was therefore investigated for SDHIs and

DMIs. In our conditions, the M_i^n of strategies combining P and B was lower, by a factor of 1.45 - 5.7

(mean 2.4), than that of strategies combining M and F in PopR lines. This finding is consistent with

the -55% to -100% decrease in the concentrations of $sdhC^{H152R}$ or $cyp51^{I381V}$ in strategies including P

and B (**Fig. 6B**). The poorer control observed in M- and F-based strategies may also explain the

emergence of additional generalist resistance mechanisms, as described above, in these lines (**Fig.**

5D). The benefit of strategies combining P and B over those combining M and F was also significant in

PopRR lines, but to a much lesser extent (-2.6% of M_i^n; -1% in content of the multiple-resistant

isolate). These findings suggest that it may also be difficult to generalize resistance risk to a whole

MoA.

New MoAs at appropriate doses are relevant partners for enhancing the performance

of strategies

587   Fungicide diversity was found to affect the selection of resistance for AIs for which resistance

was present at low frequencies in the initial populations. We also explored whether introducing a

"new" AI, *i.e.* an AI to which the whole populations were fully susceptible, could improve the

performance of strategies, and under which conditions. We therefore combined chlorothalonil (C), a

multisite inhibitor with a very low risk of resistance, with the B and P fungicides previously used (**Fig.**

6B).

Introducing C into a combination of B and P in PopR lines induced a slight increase of M_i^n (+46% for

the BP/C alternation and +13% for the BPC mixture when compared with the already high-

performing BP mixture), its overall value being close to the detection limit over the course of the

experiment. This finding can be explained by equal full control of both single-resistant isolates by C

that reinforces that of the BP mixture. By contrast, in PopRR, combining C with B and P had a

beneficial effect on resistance (-48% and -30% M_i^n respectively, for the BP/C alternation and BPC

mixture, compared with the poorly-performing BP mixture) and on the frequency of resistant alleles

(-63% for $sdhC^{H152R}$ and -63% for $cyp51^{I381V}$ average).   However, resistance dynamics differed

depending on whether C was alternated or mixed with a BP mixture. In the mixture strategy, a half-

dose of C was not sufficient to control the increasing proportion of the multiple-resistant isolate in

the population and to compensate for the decrease in efficacy of P and B ($M_i^n = 0.66$ and mean

double resistant frequency = 38%). In the alternation strategy, C applied at full dose was fully

effective against the $R_{SDHI/DMI}$ ancestral isolate, restored efficacy, and selected against multiple

resistance every two cycles, enabling a better overall control of the population ($M_i^n = 0.49$ and

mean double resistant frequency = 25%). In populations in which resistance has emerged, the

performance of strategies involving a new AI may therefore be dependent on its dose and its

redundancy in the selection pattern.

In strategies combining B, C and P, the increase in MoA diversity due to the introduction of a third

MoA could potentially increase the degree of generalism in the evolved populations, as previously

described (Ballu et al., 2021; Ballu et al., 2023). This trade-off was not observed (*i.e.* no additional

growth on tolnaftate; **Fig. 5**) in PopRR lines where the multiple-resistant isolate was present and

fitter, and invaded the population rapidly, at the expense of the susceptible isolate. However, PopR

populations subjected to alternation or mixture involving C acquired generalist resistance, as

revealed by their growth on tolnaftate, unlike all but one population subjected to a combination of B

and P. This emergence and selection of generalist resistance might have been permitted by the

maintenance of higher diversity in populations, more likely to experience favourable mutation.

Therefore, when a new MoA is introduced and combined with previously used MoAs, attention must

be paid to the prior presence or absence of certain resistances, which could strongly influence the

risk of generalist resistance emerging, as well as specialist resistance to the new MoA, depending on

its own resistance risk.

As the search for the best anti-resistance strategies often pits mixtures against alternation,

we studied the effect of temporal heterogeneity (alternating *vs.* mixing AIs) on resistance dynamics

and phenotype evolution.

The temporal heterogeneity achieved by alternating instead of mixing AIs with the same MoA had no

(for DMIs) or little (-3% to -5%; for SDHIs) effect on M_i^n, whatever the composition of the ancestral

population (**Fig. 6A**). Within-MoA alternations and mixtures of SDHI and DMI fungicides led to the

rapid generalization of $sdhC^{H152R}$ and $cyp51^{I381V}$, respectively, in a similar manner. The rate of

$sdhC^{H152R}$ selection was slightly lower (-9% in PopR and -2% in PopRR) for the alternation of B and F

than for their mixture.

When SDHIs and DMIs were combined, their mixtures performed significantly but moderately better

than their alternation (in average, -34% for M_i^n; -70% for $sdhC^{H152R}$ concentration; -99% for $cyp51^{I381V}$

concentration), only when single-resistant isolates were introduced (PopR lines). However, mixtures

combining M and F were less effective than those combining B and P, because of the lower level of

control exerted by their half-doses or differences in their inherent resistance risks, as explained

above (**Fig. 6B**).

However, when the multiple-resistant isolate was present in the population (PopRR lines), it was

rapidly and intensively selected by both alternation and mixture, resulting in poor performances for

both types of strategy, for both M_i^n and the selection of single-resistant isolates, although slight

differences were observed between fungicide pairs. As described above, the addition of a third, new

MoA (C) was more effective in alternations than in mixtures (-26% for M_i^n; -32% for maximal

multiresistant isolate content) because it made it possible to use a full dose of C every second cycle.

Alternation and mixtures resulted in a diversity of resistance phenotype population profiles,

reflecting the variable frequencies of the resistances to SDHIs or DMIs, or their co-occurrence (**Fig. 5**).

No resistances other than those initially introduced from the ancestral strains were observed, with

the exception of the generalist resistance profiles of PopR lines, which were also able to grow on

tolnaftate and azoxystrobin, as previously described. These generalist profiles were found exclusively

in mixture and alternation selection regimes including two or three MoAs; neither alternation nor

mixing could be considered more likely to favor generalist resistance. Temporal heterogeneity may

not impact generalist resistance as much as the diversity of MoAs.

Overall, the advantage of mixtures over alternation selection regimes in terms of resistance dynamics

and prevention of generalist resistance was driven mostly by the occurrence of multiple resistance in

the ancestral population and the AIs used in the strategy and their doses.

Discussion

This experimental study was designed to explore the performance of some of the drivers of

resistance management strategies (*i.e.* the number and type of MoAs, the number and type of AIs,

their vulnerability to resistance and the temporal pattern of fungicide exposure) relative to the

resistance status of the population of the plant pathogenic fungus *Zymoseptoria tritici*. We ran a

comprehensive experimental evolution study that was designed to disentangle the respective

influences of these drivers on the evolution of resistance in three populations of different initial

compositions (a sensitive population, and two others, each including 5% fungicide-resistant

individuals carrying either only single target-site resistance alleles or single and multiple target-site

resistance alleles). We used multicriteria assessment (population growth rate, resistance allele

content and pattern of cross-resistance in evolved populations) to evaluate the performance of 31

selection regimes. We found that strategies combining several MoAs exerted sufficiently diversified

selection pressure to mitigate the selection of resistance if the initial populations were fully

susceptible or included only single-resistant isolates at low frequency. By contrast, the introduction

of multiple-resistant isolates abolished the beneficial impact of the best strategy drivers, indicating

that population composition is the principal factor to be considered when tailoring anti-resistance

strategies.

Alternation can be as sustainable as mixture and may reconcile resistance management and

socioenvironmental expectations

Using an original experimental evolution approach, we show here that maximizing the

diversity of selection while smartly combining drivers can effectively delay the evolution of

resistance, as previously reported in empirical studies comparing a limited number of field or

modeled situations, based on assumptions simplifying the biological reality (REX_Consortium, 2013;

van den Bosch et al., 2014a). In particular, our findings confirm that selection was weakest for the

combination of several MoAs (*i.e.* when maximizing inter-MoA diversity), and stronger when AIs with

the same MoA were combined (*i.e.* when maximizing intra-MoA diversity).

Indeed, the exclusive use of DMI or SDHI fungicides, with one or two AIs, rapidly led to the

establishment of resistance, associated with a loss of full control. This situation mirrors findings

previously obtained with the same approach concerning the emergence of resistance in a naïve

population (Ballu et al., 2023). Several studies have documented the poor efficacy of sequences of

fungicides with the same MoA for controlling target-site resistance in *Z. tritici,* in the field or in

models (Mavroeidi and Shaw, 2006; Hobbelen et al., 2013; Dooley et al., 2016a; Heick et al., 2017b;

Hagerty et al., 2021). Indeed, AIs with the same MoA generally display positive cross-resistance, as

confirmed by the phenotyping of our ancestral isolates. However, resistance intensity may differ

between AIs for the same resistance allele, or between alleles for the same AI, reflecting the

variation in AI affinity for the mutated target protein (e.g. Rehfus et al., 2018), ranging from strong to

weak or a lack of resistance to some specific AIs. AIs exceptionally not or less affected by cross-

resistance may appear of interest from a practical standpoint. However, this benefit is strongly

limited by the high diversity of resistance mutations and phenotypes in field populations and by the

later emergence of mutations determining full cross-resistance (Leroux and Walker, 2011; Huf et al.,

2018; Rehfus et al., 2018; Garnault et al., 2021). Our results suggest that although combinations of

fungicides sharing the same MoA involve potentially very diverse AIs, with the entire range of

authorized SDHIs and DMIs generally used in agricultural areas, they do not provide sufficiently

diverse selection pressure and globally favor the selection of resistance on a long-term perspective.

The use of multiple MoAs, rather than AIs, is another option to maximize the diversity of selection,

but this can be achieved either in mixture, with all generations of the pathogen submitted to the

same combination of selection pressures, or in alternation, in which a few generations are subjected

to a single selection pressure different from that imposed on their ancestors or progeny. The efficacy

of mixtures is based on redundant killing and the low probability of *de novo* multiple resistance

developing, but also possibly on higher dose rates (see below) (Ballu et al., 2021), whereas

alternation may delay the build-up of resistance by spreading selection pressure out over longer

periods, and by decreasing the rate of evolution of resistance to the fungicides alternated (Ballu et

al., 2023). Many studies have compared the efficacy of these strategies for limiting the emergence of

resistance and selection in fungi and other taxa (see reviews in REX_Consortium, 2013; Kim et al.,

2014; van den Bosch et al., 2014a). Most, but not all, of these studies ranked mixtures above

alternation in terms of performance in theoretical and empirical studies and simplicity of

implementation, notably due to the availability of commercial ready-to-use mixtures. In most

studies, mixtures were often defined as the simultaneous application of two MoAs, both used at

their effective dose (van den Bosch et al., 2014a). In the field, this would lead to the quantities of

fungicide used almost doubling. In this study, we propose an original and fair comparison of

alternation and mixtures at constant environmental impact, *i.e.* with equal cumulative amounts of

fungicide. Mixtures were, thus, defined as half the selection dose of each AI calculated for

susceptible isolates. In this context, the mixture and alternation of SDHIs and DMIs were equally

effective for delaying the selection of resistance and controlling the growth of initially naïve

populations. Mixtures moderately outperformed alternation when single-resistant isolates were

present in the initial population, because the partial and possibly synergistic efficacies of both

partners remained sufficient to control emerging single-resistant isolates and keep them at low

frequency in the population. By contrast, in alternation, each single-resistant isolate alternatively

escaped control when subjected only to a full dose of the corresponding AI every other cycle,

because this strategy allowed to increase frequency of both isolates, alternatively. Performance was

also determined by the AIs combined (*e.g.* at the chosen selection doses, M and F performed less

well than P and B, whatever the strategy used). Mixtures and alternation were equally poor when

the multiple-resistant isolate was present in the initial population and selected, as both AIs were

ineffective, which rapidly established itself within the population. Interestingly, in this context, the

introduction of a new MoA to which the whole population was susceptible was more valuable in

alternation than in mixtures, as alternation allowed maximal efficacy against multiple resistance due

to the application of a full dose every other cycle. In situations in which multiple resistance is

observed, the benefits of alternation may depend more on the dose, frequency of use and

vulnerability to resistance of the new agent introduced, than on the AIs alternated. Here, the new

fungicide introduced was a multisite inhibitor with a very low resistance risk. The introduction of a

new, previously unused fungicide may be considered with caution for a single-site fungicide with a

greater inherent risk of resistance, the efficacy of which may decrease over time. Overall, our

findings demonstrate that at similar and constrained total dose, mixtures may not always outperform

alternation and are in line with a recent modelling study (Hardy, 2022) that concludes that the

relative efficacies of these strategies clearly depend on the composition of the target population.

This finding might be highly relevant in a context where the reduction of pesticide use, more

achievable by using alternation than by using many current mixtures, constitutes a strong

socioenvironmental expectation in an increasing number of countries. It finally represents an exciting

perspective for future field investigations, where the unpredictable nature of the wider environment

may pose greater challenges and exaggerate some to the inherent differences that may exist

between the different MoAs used.

753 The performance of strategies creating highly diversified selection pressure is limited by multiple

754 and generalist resistance

Our analysis of the relevance of drivers of resistance management strategies for delaying the

development of resistance unequivocally identifies population composition with regard to resistance

as the primary determinant of the performance of strategies, ahead of the diversity of the MoAs

applied and temporal heterogeneity. Multiple resistance, in particular, is an aggravating factor, as it

is very poorly controlled by the fungicides that contributed to its selection, whether they were used

alone or in combination. In strategies combining multiple MoAs, multiple-resistant isolates are

preferentially selected over single-resistant ones, because they confer greater relative fitness under

multidimensionnal selection (Corkley et al., 2022). This study confirms *in vivo* the results of modeling

studies considering multiple resistance (Hobbelen et al., 2013; Tepekule et al., 2017). Finally, this

experimental evolution approach also provides evidence that increasing the dimensionality of

selection may favor generalist phenotypes over specialist ones, a question rarely explored so far,

except for a recent experimental work (White et al., 2022) whose results tend to agree with ours,

and that would deserve complementary theoretical investigation (Mérot, 2022).

Generalist fungicide resistance is also observed when non target-site resistance is selected, that is,

when resistance mechanisms other than those encoded by mutations in the target-encoding genes

are selected and confer wide patterns of cross-resistance to unrelated fungicides (Berman and

Krysan, 2020; Hu and Chen, 2021). In an experimental design in which resistance is already present,

we expected to see the direct selection of the resistance alleles, but at contrasting intensities,

depending on the selection regime applied. Surprisingly, the analysis of population phenotypes at the

end of the evolution experiment revealed the occurrence of *de novo* phenotypes in some lines. In

populations into which only single-resistant isolates were present, additional resistance to

azoxystrobin, a QoI not used for selection, and tolnaftate, an inhibitor of sterol-squalene epoxidase

generally used to reveal enhanced fungicide efflux (Leroux and Walker, 2011), formerly absent, was

detected, exclusively in some lines subjected to alternations or mixtures of SDHIs and DMIs,

associated or not to the multisite inhibitor C. This generalist resistance co-existed, within

populations, with the initially introduced DMI and SDHI resistances. Generalist resistance can even

be associated with target-site resistance allele, as highly probable in lines undergoing M and F

selection where $sdhC^{H152R}$ was fully established at the end of the experiment. The purification of

isolates resistant to tolnaftate made it possible to confirm the slight-to-moderate overexpression of

mfs1, encoding a MFS transporter responsible for multidrug resistance in *Z. tritici* in the field

(Omrane et al., 2017), in some, but not all of the strains tested. The absence of the usual regulatory

insertions in the promoter of *mfs1*, and the poor correlation between susceptibility to tolnaftate and

mfs1 expression suggest that unknown mutations and resistance mechanisms probably underlie the

generalist resistance of these isolates. This evolutionary trade-off in resistance management, with

attempts to reduce selection for specialist resistance traits promoting the evolution of generalist

resistance, was observed in a previous study dealing with alternation and effective-dose mixture

strategies in naïve populations (Ballu et al., 2021; Ballu et al., 2023). It may apply more generally to

any strategy combining multiple MoAs in alternation or mixtures and aiming to mitigate the

evolution of resistance in other organisms, such as weeds (Lagator et al., 2013a; Lagator et al.,

2013b; Comont et al., 2020) or bacteria (Kim et al., 2014). This trade-off is still little considered in the

field, with attention instead focused on more quantitative criteria for measuring strategy

performance, such as resistance frequency, or the effective life-time of a fungicide. However, high

frequencies of individuals with generalist resistance in the pathogen population may irreversibly

decrease the number of effective AIs even more than TSR. In weeds and insects, NTSR and TSR

generally occur together and are associated with high RFs and unexpected resistance patterns

(Mitchell et al., 2014; Gaines et al., 2020). In *Z. tritici,* MDR *per se* is associated with moderate

resistance factors, but its combination with target-site alterations has been found to increase

resistance factors to DMIs or SDHIs significantly (Walker and Gazeau, 2019).

Given the importance of population composition for strategy performance and the trade-off

described above, these findings suggest that the debate should be refocused on the prevention of

multiple and, to a lesser extent, generalist resistance, rather than on the relative merits of mixtures

and alternation, as summarized in (Corkley et al., 2022).

The crux of resistance management should focus on limiting multiple resistance

Multiple resistance occurs in populations for several reasons. First, it reflects the sequential

use of MoAs, following their successive discoveries and withdrawals following the development of

intensive cropping systems, which began just after World War II. Resistance led to new AIs often

being combined with their predecessors to improve residual efficacy, and resistance to new MoAs

was successively selected in genetic backgrounds that were already resistant to the older MoAs,

which were generally withdrawn once resistance became widely established. Multiple resistance has

been described as resulting from a stepwise accumulation of resistances in several plant pathogens

(*e.g. Venturia inaequalis* (Koller and Wilcox, 2001), *Monilinia fructicola* (Luo and Schnabel, 2008),

Botrytis cinerea (Li et al., 2014), and *Cercospora beticola* (Secor et al., 2010)), and it may even favor

the development of resistance to new MoAs. *Z. tritici* is no exception, as resistance to

benzimidazoles and QoIs remains widespread in populations from Western Europe, despite the

withdrawal of these fungicides from the market to control septoria leaf blotch. The ongoing selection

of resistance to SDHIs is almost systematically associated with DMI resistance, simply because it is

selected within DMI-resistant populations (Dooley et al., 2016b; Garnault et al., 2019).

Second, multiple resistance may result from the recombination of resistance alleles during sexual

reproduction. The primary inoculum of *Z. tritici,* including ascospores, is never a limiting factor for

epidemics and sexual reproduction. Indeed, population-level deep sequencing revealed intense

interplay between asexual and sexual reproduction (Singh et al., 2021). In this airborne pathogen, the

ascospores resulting from sexual reproduction are dispersed over long distances and have been

reported to spread QoI resistance between fields locally (Fraaije et al., 2005) but also at the

continental scale in Europe (Torriani et al., 2009).

Despite these strong assumptions on the occurrence of multiple resistance in agricultural systems,

the performance of strategies has generally, with few exceptions, been modeled from initially

susceptible or populations containing single-resistant isolates. Yet, the reality in the field is that new

AIs are probably only rarely confronted with the ideal situation in which all the AIs and MoAs used

are fully effective. In the real world, multiple resistance is the norm, and the initial frequency of

single- and multiple-resistant isolates almost certainly differ at fine scales depending on the historical

use of fungicides and resistance management ; strategies need to be adapted accordingly (Taylor and

Cunniffe, 2022). In this work, we assessed the performance of strategies in conditions mimicking

real-life conditions, while artificially introducing single- and multiple-resistant isolates, to reproduce

the combination of resistance alleles likely to occur in the field by sexual reproduction, but hardly

achievable in the laboratory. However, the lack of this phenomenon in our experimental system

precludes any assessment of the time required for the emergence of multiple resistance by

recombination, all the more since preexisting resistances are often established by stepwise

accumulation in populations.

One of the first conclusions to be drawn from our findings is that the design of resistance

management strategies, and the long-term durability of MoAs would greatly benefit from resistance

monitoring data (*i.e.* the composition of the local population) being made publicly available at the

finest possible scale and detection limit. The high-throughput quantification of multiple resistance

genotypes may become possible in the near future with the development of next-generation

sequencing techniques for use in diagnostics for plant pathogen populations (Radhakrishnan et al.,

2019; Samils et al., 2021). This, in turn, should make it possible to take informed decisions in spraying

programs. Second, more attention should be paid to limiting the development of multiple resistance,

and of the combination of TSR and NTSR, as both could greatly decrease the efficacy of any strategy.

Recombination in airborne pathogens such as *Z. tritici* is an aggravating factor, but it is one that is not

really preventable. However, delaying the emergence of single-resistant isolates and limiting the

probability of recombination between them by ensuring that their frequencies remain low might be a

more realistic option. It has been estimated that *Z. tritici* produces at least 2.1-9.9 trillion

pycnidiospores per hectare (McDonald et al., 2022). The number of *de novo* mutation events

associated with resistance occurring in a field may, therefore, be sufficiently high to prevent all of

these mutations being lost by chance, even if the mutation rate is low. The time to the emergence of

full resistance has been found to decrease monotonically with increasing dose, over realistic ranges

of pathogen life-history and fungicide dose-response parameters (Mikaberidze et al., 2017). The

maintenance of low frequencies of resistance alleles during the selection phase would involve

limitations on the use of all MoAs, especially when the frequency of resistance reaches a critical

threshold over a large area. Disease control should more than ever be based on complementarity

with other control measures, such as the replacement of synthetic fungicides by biological agents,

resistant cultivars, prophylactic measures and agricultural practices, to increase the diversity of

selection pressures and limit the emergence of resistance by controlling population size. The overall

sustainability of integrated pest management may therefore rely on the vulnerability of each method

to fungal adaptation and then on our capacity to predict and monitor these phenomena. This would

also lead the community to consider criteria other than the effective life of fungicides when assessing

the durability of crop protection. Assessing qualitative changes in evolved population while

evaluating the diversity of protection measures used would also help us to work towards current

socioenvironmental expectations while better reflecting the multiple evolutionary processes at work.

However, although adapting the way we conceive resistance management strategies to focus more

on multiple resistance would be a huge step forward in itself, the implementation of these strategies

in practice will probably prove an even greater challenge. Indeed, optimal disease control would

imply using the best fungicides and at high rates in standardized programs. By contrast, resistance

management with limited environmental impact would imply using the whole range of available AIs,

whatever their intrinsic efficacy, within parsimonious combinations tailored to the current local

composition of the population, associated with other control measures. These approaches are

conceived for short (*i.e.* an agricultural season) and long (*i.e.* decades) time scales, respectively, and

maximize profit or promote sustainable agriculture, respectively, potentially creating an intractable

dilemma for growers. Education about resistance issues and communication between stakeholders

and farmers are almost certainly the key to changing the paradigm and initiating a transition towards

agroecology.

Data availability

Data and scripts were deposited on the Research Data Gouv repository under DOI

https://doi.org/10.15454/DCVDZN.

Citation : Ballu, A., et al. (2022). Dataset of an experimental evolution on the effect of fungicide-resistance composition of populations on the durability of anti-resistance strategies on the wheat pathogen *Zymoseptoria tritici*, Recherche Data Gouv, https://doi.org/10.15454/DCVDZN.

Supporting Information Captions

Supplementary information 1: Phenotype of ancestral isolates

Supplementary information 2: Selection regimes used for experimental evolution

Supplementary information 3: Doses of fungicides used for experimental evolution

Supplementary information 4: Molecular quantification of resistance alleles in evolved lines

Supplementary information 5: cytb^{G143A} content of evolved lines for PopRR

Supplementary information 6: Expression of *mfs1* in evolved lines of *Zymoseptoria tritici*

Supplementary information 7: Models used for data analysis

Acknowledgments

We thank Fabrice Blanc for facilitating the administrative organization of this PhD studentship. We would also like to thank Dr. Gabriel Scalliet, Dr. Stephanie Bedhomme and Dr. Mato Lagator for their sound comments about our findings.

Funding

906 AB was supported by a PhD studentship funded by the French Ministry of Higher Education, Research

907 and Innovation, and Syngenta France, through the CIFRE program, supervised by the National

908 Association for Research and Technology (ANRT). This work was supported by the Plant Health

909 division of INRAE through the STRATAGEME project.

Author contributions

AB, ASW, FC, AD and CU conceived and designed the study. AB and CU performed the experimental

evolution experiment with the help of CD. CU, AB and CD isolated evolved strains and established

their phenotypes and genotypes. AN analysed the expression of *mfs1* in evolved isolates. JW and ST

quantified alleles content in evolved populations. AB and FC performed the statistical analysis, with

contributions from ASW and AD. The paper was written by AB, ASW, FC and AD, with additional

contributions from all authors.

Competing interests

920 The authors declare no competing interests.

References

Bailey, S.F., and Bataillon, T. (2016) Can the experimental evolution programme help us elucidate the genetic basis of adaptation in nature? *Molecular ecology* **25**: 203-218.

Ballu, A., Deredec, A., Walker, A.-S., and Carpentier, F. (2021) Are efficient-dose mixtures a solution to reduce fungicide load and delay evolution of resistance? An experimental evolutionary approach. *Microorganisms* **9**: 2324.

Ballu, A., Despréaux, P., Duplaix, C., Dérédec, A., Carpentier, F., and Walker, A.-S. (2023) Antifungal alternation can be beneficial for durability but at the cost of generalist resistance. *Communications Biology* **6**: 180.

Berman, J., and Krysan, D.J. (2020) Drug resistance and tolerance in fungi. *Nature Reviews Microbiology* **18**: 319-331.

Brent, J.K., and Hollomon, D.W. (eds) (2007) *Fungicide resistance: the assessment of risk*. Brussels, Belgium: Croplife.

Comont, D., Lowe, C., Hull, R., Crook, L., Hicks, H.L., Onkokesung, N. et al. (2020) Evolution of generalist resistance to herbicide mixtures reveals a trade-off in resistance management. *Nature Communications* **11**: 3086.

Corkley, I., Fraaije, B., and Hawkins, N. (2022) Fungicide resistance management: Maximizing the effective life of plant protection products. *Plant Pathology* **71**: 150-169.

Dooley, H., Shaw, M.W., Spink, J., and Kildea, S. (2016a) Effect of azole fungicide mixtures, alternations and dose on azole sensitivity in the wheat pathogen *Zymoseptoria tritici*. *Plant Pathology* **65**: 124-136.

Dooley, H., Shaw, M.W., Mehenni-Ciz, J., Spink, J., and Kildea, S. (2016b) Detection of *Zymoseptoria tritici* SDHI-insensitive field isolates carrying the SdhC-H152R and SdhD-R47W substitutions. *Pest Management Science* **72**: 2203-2207.

Elderfield, J.A.D., Lopez-Ruiz, F.J., van den Bosch, F., and Cunniffe, N.J. (2018) Using epidemiological principles to explain fungicide resistance management tactics: Why do mixtures outperform alternations? *Phytopathology* **108**: 803-817.

Fisher, K.J., and Lang, G.I. (2016) Experimental evolution in fungi: An untapped resource. *Fungal Genetics and Biology* **94**: 88-94.

Fisher, M.C., Hawkins, N.J., Sanglard, D., and Gurr, S.J. (2018) Worldwide emergence of resistance to antifungal drugs challenges human health and food security. *Science* **360**: 739-742.

Fisher, R.A. (1999) *The genetical theory of natural selection. A complete variorum edition.*: Oxford University press.

Fones, H., and Gurr, S. (2015) The impact of Septoria tritici blotch disease on wheat: An EU perspective. *Fungal Genetics and Biology* **79**: 3-7.

Fraaije, B.A., Cools, H.J., Fountaine, J., Lovell, D.J., Motteram, J., West, J.S., and Lucas, J.A. (2005) Role of ascospores in further spread of QoI-resistant cytochrome b alleles (G143A) in field populations of *Mycosphaerella graminicola*. *Phytopathology* **95**: 933-941.

Gaines, T.A., Duke, S.O., Morran, S., Rigon, C.A.G., Tranel, P.J., Küpper, A., and Dayan, F.E. (2020) Mechanisms of evolved herbicide resistance. *J Biol Chem* **295**: 10307-10330.

Garnault, M., Duplaix, C., Leroux, P., Couleaud, G., Carpentier, F., David, O., and Walker, A.-S. (2019) Spatiotemporal dynamics of fungicide resistance in the wheat pathogen *Zymoseptoria tritici* in France. *Pest Management Science* **75**: 1794-1807.

Garnault, M., Duplaix, C., Leroux, P., Couleaud, G., David, O., Walker, A.-S., and Carpentier, F. (2021) Large-scale study validates that regional fungicide applications are major determinants of resistance evolution in the wheat pathogen *Zymoseptoria tritici* in France. *New Phytologist* **229**: 3508-3521.

Goodwin, S.B., Ben M'Barek, S., Dhillon, B., Wittenberg, A.H.J., Crane, C.F., Hane, J.K. et al. (2011)
Finished genome of the fungal wheat pathogen *Mycosphaerella graminicola* reveals dispensome
structure, chromosome plasticity, and stealth pathogenesis. *Plos Genetics* **7**.
Gould, F., Brown, Z.S., and Kuzma, J. (2018) Wicked evolution: Can we address the sociobiological
dilemma of pesticide resistance? *Science* **360**: 728-732.
Gutiérrez-Alonso, O., Hawkins, N.J., Cools, H.J., Shaw, M.W., and Fraaije, B.A. (2017) Dose-dependent
selection drives lineage replacement during the experimental evolution of SDHI fungicide resistance
in *Zymoseptoria tritici*. *Evolutionary Applications* **10**: 1055-1066.
Hagerty, C.H., Klein, A.M., Reardon, C.L., Kroese, D.R., Melle, C.J., Graber, K.R., and Mundt, C.C.
(2021) Baseline and temporal changes in sensitivity of *Zymoseptoria tritici* isolates to
benzovindiflupyr in Oregon, USA, and cross-sensitivity to other SDHI fungicides. *Plant Disease* **105**:
169-174.
Hardy, N.B. (2022) Delaying quantitative resistance to pesticides and antibiotics. *Evolutionary*
Applications **15**: 2067-2077.
Hawkins, N., and Fraaije, B. (2018) Fitness penalties in the evolution of fungicide resistance. *Annual*
Review of Phytopathology **56**: 339-360.
Hawkins, N.J., and Fraaije, B.A. (2016) Predicting resistance by mutagenesis: Lessons from 45 years of
MBC resistance. *Frontiers in Microbiology* **7**: e1814.
Heick, T.M., Justesen, A.F., and Jorgensen, L.N. (2017a) Resistance of wheat pathogen *Zymoseptoria*
tritici to DMI and QoI fungicides in the Nordic-Baltic region - a status. *European Journal of Plant*
Pathology **149**: 669-682.
Heick, T.M., Justesen, A.F., and Jørgensen, L.N. (2017b) Anti-resistance strategies for fungicides
against wheat pathogen *Zymoseptoria tritici* with focus on DMI fungicides. *Crop Protection* **99**: 108-
117.
Hellin, P., Duvivier, M., Clinckemaillie, A., Bataille, C., Legrève, A., Heick, T.M. et al. (2020) Multiplex
qPCR assay for simultaneous quantification of CYP51-S524T and SdhC-H152R substitutions in
European populations of *Zymoseptoria tritici*. *Plant Pathology* **69**: 1666-1677.
Hobbelen, P.H.F., Paveley, N.D., and Van Den Bosch, F. (2014) The emergence of resistance to
fungicides. *PLoS ONE* **9**: e91910.
Hobbelen, P.H.F., Paveley, N.D., Oliver, R.P., and van den Bosch, F. (2013) The usefulness of fungicide
mixtures and alternation for delaying the selection for resistance in populations of *Mycosphaerella*
graminicola on winter wheat: A modeling analysis. *Phytopathology* **103**: 690-707.
Hu, M., and Chen, S. (2021) Non-target site mechanisms of fungicide resistance in crop pathogens: A
review. *Microorganisms* **9**: 502.
Huf, A., Rehfus, A., Lorenz, K.H., Bryson, R., Voegele, R.T., and Stammler, G. (2018) Proposal for a new
nomenclature for CYP51 haplotypes in *Zymoseptoria tritici* and analysis of their distribution in
Europe. *Plant Pathology* **67**: 1706-1712.
Ishii, H., Bryson, P.K., Kayamori, M., Miyamoto, T., Yamaoka, Y., and Schnabel, G. (2020) Cross-
resistance to the new fungicide mefentrifluconazole in DMI-resistant fungal pathogens. *Pesticide*
Biochemistry and Physiology: 104737.
Jorgensen, L.N., Matzen, N., Hansen, J.G., Semaskiene, R., Korbas, M., Danielewicz, J. et al. (2018)
Four azoles' profile in the control of Septoria, yellow rust and brown rust in wheat across Europe.
Crop Protection **105**: 16-27.
Jørgensen, L.N., Bosch, F.v.d., Oliver, R.P., Heick, T.M., and Paveley, N.D. (2017) Targeting fungicide
inputs according to need. *Annual Review of Phytopathology* **55**: 181-203.
Kim, S., Lieberman, T.D., and Kishony, R. (2014) Alternating antibiotic treatments constrain
evolutionary paths to multidrug resistance. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the*
United States of America **111**: 14494-14499.
Koller, W., and Wilcox, W.F. (2001) Evidence for the predisposition of fungicide-resistant isolates of
Venturia inaequalis to a preferential selection for resistance to other fungicides. *Phytopathology* **91**:
776-781.

Kuck, K., and Russell, P.E. (2006) FRAC: Combined resistance risk assessment. *Aspects of Applied*
Biology **78**: 3-10.
Lagator, M., Vogwill, T., Colegrave, N., and Neve, P. (2013a) Herbicide cycling has diverse effects on
evolution of resistance in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. *Evolutionary Applications* **6**: 197-206.
Lagator, M., Vogwill, T., Mead, A., Colegrave, N., and Neve, P. (2013b) Herbicide mixtures at high
doses slow the evolution of resistance in experimentally evolving populations of *Chlamydomonas*
reinhardtii. *New Phytologist* **198**: 938-945.
Leroux, P., and Walker, A. (2011) Multiple mechanisms account for resistance to sterol 14α-
demethylation inhibitors in field isolates of *Mycosphaerella graminicola*. *Pest Management Science*
67: 44-59.
Li, X.P., Fernandez-Ortuno, D., Chen, S., Grabke, A., Luo, C., Bridges, W.C., and Schnabel, G. (2014)
Location-Specific fungicide resistance profiles and evidence for stepwise accumulation of resistance
in *Botrytis cinerea*. *Plant Disease*.
Luo, C.X., and Schnabel, G. (2008) Adaptation to fungicides in *Monilinia fructicola* isolates with
different fungicide resistance phenotypes. *Phytopathology* **98**: 230-238.
Mavroeidi, V.I., and Shaw, M.W. (2006) Effects of fungicide dose and mixtures on selection for
triazole resistance in Mycosphaerella graminicola under field conditions. *Plant Pathology* **55**: 715-
725.
McDonald, B.A., Suffert, F., Bernasconi, A., and Mikaberidze, A. (2022) How large and diverse are
field populations of fungal plant pathogens? The case of *Zymoseptoria tritici*. *Evolutionary*
Applications **n/a**.
McKenna, M. (2013) Antibiotic resistance: the last resort. *Nature* **499**: 394-396.
Mérot, C. (2022) Evolution: How important is the dimensionality of natural selection in local
adaptation? *Current Biology* **32**: R274-R276.
Mikaberidze, A., Paveley, N., Bonhoeffer, S., and van den Bosch, F. (2017) Emergence of resistance to
fungicides: the role of fungicide dose. *Phytopathology* **107**: 545-560.
Mitchell, S.N., Rigden, D.J., Dowd, A.J., Lu, F., Wilding, C.S., Weetman, D. et al. (2014) Metabolic and
target-site mechanisms combine to confer strong DDT resistance in *Anopheles gambiae*. *PLOS ONE* **9**:
e92662.
Murray, C.J.L., Ikuta, K.S., Sharara, F., Swetschinski, L., Robles Aguilar, G., Gray, A. et al. (2022) Global
burden of bacterial antimicrobial resistance in 2019: a systematic analysis. *The Lancet* **399**: 629-655.
Omrane, S., Sghyer, H., Audéon, C., Lanen, C., Duplaix, C., Walker, A.-S., and Fillinger, S. (2015)
Fungicide efflux and the MgMFS1 transporter contribute to the multidrug resistance phenotype in
Zymoseptoria tritici field isolates. *Environmental Microbiology* **17**: 2805-2823.
Omrane, S., Audéon, C., Ignace, A., Duplaix, C., Aouini, L., Kema, G. et al. (2017) Plasticity of the *MFS1*
promoter leads to multidrug resistance in the wheat pathogen *Zymoseptoria tritici*. *mSphere* **2**.
Radhakrishnan, G.V., Cook, N.M., Bueno-Sancho, V., Lewis, C.M., Persoons, A., Mitiku, A.D. et al.
(2019) MARPLE, a point-of-care, strain-level disease diagnostics and surveillance tool for complex
fungal pathogens. *Bmc Biology* **17**: 17.
Rehfus, A., Strobel, D., Bryson, R., and Stammler, G. (2018) Mutations in *sdh* genes in field isolates of
Zymoseptoria tritici and impact on the sensitivity to various succinate dehydrogenase inhibitors.
Plant Pathology **67**: 175-180.
REX_Consortium (2013) Heterogeneity of selection and the evolution of resistance. *Trends in Ecology*
& Evolution **28**: 110-118.
Samils, B., Andersson, B., Edin, E., Elfstrand, M., Rönneburg, T., Bucur, D. et al. (2021) Development
of a PacBio long-read Sequencing assay for high throughput detection of fungicide resistance in
Zymoseptoria tritici. *Frontiers in Microbiology* **12**.
Scalliet, G., Bowler, J., Luksch, T., Kirchhofer-Allan, L., Steinhauer, D., Ward, K. et al. (2012)
Mutagenesis and functional studies with succinate dehydrogenase inhibitors in the wheat pathogen
Mycosphaerella graminicola. *PLoS ONE* **7**: e35429.
Secor, G.A., Rivera, V.V., Khan, M.F.R., and Gudmestad, N.C. (2010) Monitoring fungicide sensitivity
of *Cercospora beticola* of sugar beet for disease management decisions. *Plant Disease* **94**: 1272-1282.

Singh, N.K., Karisto, P., and Croll, D. (2021) Population-level deep sequencing reveals the interplay of
clonal and sexual reproduction in the fungal wheat pathogen *Zymoseptoria tritici*. *Microbial*
Genomics **7**.

Taylor, N., and Cunniffe, N.J. (2022) Optimal resistance management for mixtures of high-risk
fungicides: robustness to the initial frequency of resistance and pathogen sexual reproduction.
Phytopathology® **0**: null.

Tepekule, B., Uecker, H., Derungs, I., Frenoy, A., and Bonhoeffer, S. (2017) Modeling antibiotic
treatment in hospitals: A systematic approach shows benefits of combination therapy over cycling,
mixing, and mono-drug therapies. *PLOS Computational Biology* **13**: e1005745.

Torriani, S.F.F., Brunner, P.C., McDonald, B.A., and Sierotzki, H. (2009) QoI resistance emerged
independently at least 4 times in European populations of *Mycosphaerella graminicola*. *Pest*
Management Science **65**: 155-162.

Torriani, S.F.F., Melichar, J.P.E., Mills, C., Pain, N., Sierotzki, H., and Courbot, M. (2015) *Zymoseptoria*
tritici: A major threat to wheat production, integrated approaches to control. *Fungal Genetics and*
Biology **79**: 8-12.

van den Bosch, F., and Gilligan, C.A. (2008) Models of fungicide resistance dynamics. *Annual Review*
of Phytopathology **46**: 123-147.

van den Bosch, F., Oliver, R., van den Berg, F., and Paveley, N. (2014a) Governing principles can guide
fungicide-resistance management tactics. *Annual Review of Phytopathology* **52**: 175-195.

van den Bosch, F., Paveley, N., van den Berg, F., Hobbelen, P., and Oliver, R. (2014b) Mixtures as a
fungicide resistance management tactic. *Phytopathology* **104**: 1264-1273.

Walker, A.-S., and Gazeau, G. (2019) Mechanism of multidrug resistance and risk assessment towards
fungicides in *Zymoseptoria tritici*. In *19th International Reinhardsbrunn Symposium on Modern*
Fungicides and Antifungal Compounds. Deising, H.B., Fraaije, B., Mehl, A., Oerke, E.C., Sierotzki, H.,
and Stammler, G. (eds). Frierichroda, Germany: Deusche Phytomedizinische Gesellchaft,
Braunschweig.

White, N.J., Beckerman, A.P., Snook, R.R., Brockhurst, M.A., Butlin, R.K., and Eyres, I. (2022)
Experimental evolution of local adaptation under unidimensional and multidimensional selection.
Current Biology **32**: 1310-1318.e1314.

Yamashita, M., and Fraaije, B. (2018) Non-target site SDHI resistance is present as standing genetic
variation in field populations of *Zymoseptoria tritici*. *Pest Management Science* **74**: 672-681.

1101

Figures

A

Chemical class	Fungicide	EC$_{50}$ (mg.L^{-1}) S	Resistance factor (RF) R$_{SDHI}$	R$_{DMI}$	R$_{SDHI/DMI}$
SDHIs	Benzovindiflupyr	0.028 (0.003)	92.61 (18.4)	3.73 (0.53)	247.8 (191.6)
	Boscalid	0.242 (0.013)	>410	1.53 (0.13)	>410
	Fluxapyroxad	0.053 (0.005)	>950	2.9 (0.53)	>950
SBIs class I (DMIs)	Mefentrifluconazole	0.00097 (0)	0.98 (0.07)	9 (1.44)	13.22 (2.82)
	Metconazole	0.0038 (0.001)	1.06 (0.19)	22.76 (5.04)	34.78 (4.74)
	Prothioconazole-desthio	0.0016 (0)	0.86 (0.13)	25.61 (3.51)	27.7 (5.93)
Benzimidazoles	Carbendazim	0.115 (0.037)	>430	>430	>430
Qols	Azoxystrobin	0.047 (0.004)	0.61 (0.11)	0.7 (0.11)	454.1 (787)
Multisites	Chlorothalonil	1.185 (0.104)	0.42 (0.04)	0.67 (0.08)	0.78 (0.09)
SBIs class IV	Tolnaftate	0.23 (0.022)	1.47 (0.33)	1.34 (0.2)	0.5 (0.07)

C

Isolates	xmid	slope	Asym
S	2.54 (0.05) a	1.61 (0.02) a	4.84E+07 (4.37E+05) a
R$_{SDHI}$	3.08 (0.05) bc	1.41 (0.05) b	5.08E+07 (4.43E+05) b
R$_{DMI}$	2.94 (0.05) b	1.54 (0.02) ab	5.12E+07 (4.40E+05) b
R$_{SDHI/DMI}$	3.13 (0.05) c	1.49 (0.02) ab	5.04E+07 (4.42E+05) b

D

Isolates	Genotypes	Phenotypes SDHI	DMI	Population composition PopS	PopR	PopRR
S	sdhc^{wt}; cyp51^{wt}	S	S	100%	95%	95%
R$_{SDHI}$	sdhc^{H152R}; cyp51^{wt}	R	S	-	2.50%	2%
R$_{DMI}$	sdhc^{wt}; cyp51^{I381V}	S	R	-	2.50%	2%
R$_{SDHI/DMI}$	sdhc^{H152R}; cyp51^{I381V}	R	R	-	-	1%

Figure 1: *Features of the ancestral isolates and populations of Z. tritici. used in experimental evolution.* The S, R$_{SDHI,}$ R$_{DMI}$ and R$_{SDHI/DMI}$ isolates are the ancestral isolates used in the experiment fully susceptible, resistant to SDHIs, resistant to DMIs and resistant to both, respectively (Dooley et al., 2016b; Huf et al., 2018). *A. Profiles of susceptibility to multiple fungicides of ancestral isolates of Z. tritici.* Estimations of EC$_{50}$ and Resistance Factors (*i.e.* EC$_{50\ R\ isolate}$ / EC$_{50\ S\ isolate}$). The standard error is specified in brackets. *B. Fitness of ancestral isolates in the conditions used for experimental evolution.* Growth without fungicide, for cultures of single isolates.

The experiment was performed five times and the data were modeled with a mixed non-linear logistic

regression model. *C. Estimated parameters of the growth curves for ancestral isolates.* The standard error is

shown in brackets. *asym* is the asymptote (*i.e.* maximum growth); *xmid* is the time required to decrease the

asymptote by 50%;   *scal is* the inverse of the slope . *The group letters (a,b,..) refer to Tukey's pairwise tests;*

different letters indicate significant differences (P<0.05).   *D. Artificial populations of* Z. tritici *used for*

experimental evolution. The evolution of a fully susceptible PopS population was compared to that of the PopR

and PopRR populations containing   only single-resistant isolates and single- and multiple-resistant isolates,

respectively.

1118

A

S
R_{DMI}
R_{SDHI}
$R_{SDHI/DMI}$

PopS   PopR   PopRR

B

Ancestral population *(Immigration)*

Population inoculation

Treated lines   Fungicide application

transfer   *transfer*

1   2   ··· Cycles

C Modes of action

SDHI
(concerned by resistance)

DMI

Multisite
(naive)

B
Benzovindiflupyr

P
Prothioconazole-desthio

C
Chlorothalonil

F
Fluxapyroxad

M
Mefentrifluconazole

Selection regimes (4-8 cycles)

Unisite(s)   Single-site+multisite

Sequence

Alternation

Mixture

1119

Figure 2: Experimental evolution design. *A. Ancestral populations.* Isolate S (susceptible) in gray; R_{SDHI}

(resistant to SDHIs) in blue; R_{DMI} (resistant to DMIs) in red; $R_{SDHI/DMI}$ (resistant to SDHIs and DMIs) in purple. *B.*

General design of the experimental evolution. The three ancestral populations were used to found 10^7 spores

lines. Fungicides were added to the treated lines to mimic distinct patterns of selection. After seven days, 2% of

the population was used to inoculate fresh medium to start the next cycle, supplemented, if necessary, by

immigration from the untreated control line from the same cycle to achieve a total of 10^7 spores. *C.*

Fungicides and selection regimes. Five fungicides (indicated by specific labels and colors) were studied: two

SDHIs, benzovindiflupyr and fluxapyroxad (B and F; shades of blue; moderate resistance risk); two DMIs,

prothioconazole-desthio and mefentrifluconazole (P and M; shades of red; low resistance risk); and the

multisite inhibitor chlorothalonil (C; green; no risk of resistance). Fungicides were used in sequence, alternation

or in mixture (see **Supplementary Information 2** for detailed description of selection regimes).

Figure 3: Performance of management strategies according to the resistance composition of the ancestral

population of Z. *tritici*. Selection regimes included the mixture or alternation of benzovindiflupyr (B) and

prothio-desthio (P), contrasted with a control amended only with 0.5% solvent. Ancestral populations (PopS,

PopR and PopRR,) respectively in gray, yellow and dark red. The group letters refer to Tukey's pairwise tests;

different letters indicate significant differences (P<0.05). ***A: Mean normalized Malthusian growth. B: Mean***

normalized concentration of sdhc^{H152R}. This allele confers high-level resistance to B and was quantified by

qPCR. Black dots indicate the detection limit of this allele. ***C. Mean normalized concentration of cyp51^{I381V}.***

This allele confers moderate resistance to P and was quantified by pyrosequencing. Black dots represent the

detection limit of this allele. Statistics as for **B** (MODV0).

1140

Figure 4: Mean maximal concentration of the **multiresistant isolate in lines evolved from the PopRR ancestral**

population. Molecular methods used to quantify allele content could not distinguish combinations of $sdhC^{H152R}$

and $cyp51^{I381V}$ within the same individual (i.e. as in $R_{SDHI/DMI}$) from co-occurrence of the same alleles in different

individuals (i.e. as in the R_{SDHI} and R_{DMI} isolates). Group letters refer to Tukey's pairwise tests; different letters

indicate significant differences (P<0.05).

Figure 5: Phenotype resistance profiles of *Z. tritici* observed at the end of experimental evolution. The names

of the ancestral isolates and selection regimes are as in **Fig. 1** and **Supplementary Information 2**. The

fungicides tested in droplet tests included benzovindiflupyr (B), fluxapyroxad (F), prothioconazole-desthio (P)

and mefentrifluconazole (M) at their selection doses (EC_{97}) and in mixture (1/2 EC_{97} of each), as well as

azoxystrobin (Azo) and tolnaftate (Tol) which were not used for experimental evolution, at the minimal dose

controlling the ancestral susceptible ancestral isolate. MDR: MDR isolate collected in the field (Leroux and

Walker, 2011) serving as a reference for generalist resistance and exhibiting high resistant to tolnaftate. Scoring

after the 4th or 6th cycle, according to selection regime. ***A. Scoring scale used to assess the growth of lines on***

solid medium supplemented with discriminatory doses of fungicides (droplet tests). For each population, four

spore concentrations were tested on the same plate. 0: no growth (susceptible isolate). 1-4: growth varying

with spore concentration (resistant isolate). ***B: Individual graph from the principal component analysis***

performed on the phenotype resistance profiles of the evolved lines. Colors according to selection regimes

(ellipses for each ancestral population line x selection regime). The inset graphic shows the PCA variables. The

first axis of the PCA discriminated the evolved lines according to their ancestral populations, based on their

resistance phenotype profiles. ***C: Heatmaps of average resistance phenotype profile scores for all selection***

regimes and ancestral populations. Mean of the scores established for the three population replicates

subjected to the same selection regime. ***D: Heatmaps of phenotype resistance profile scores for individual***

PopR lines. Ancestral or field strains are shown in bold italics. Profiles of lines presenting no or few variability

between repeats are shown as their mean in order to improve heatmap visibility. Individual scores for the

three replicates of each selection regime are shown otherwise.. ***E: Heatmaps of phenotype resistance profile***

scores for individual PopRR lines. Ancestral or field strains are shown in bold italics. Profiles of lines presenting

no or few variability between repeats are shown as their mean in order to improve heatmap visibility.

Individual scores for the three replicates of each selection regime are shown otherwise.

Figure 6: Performance of management strategies according to the selection regime applied to the ancestral

population of _Z. tritici_. Selection regimes are indicated at the top of the panels and refer to the sequence (one

fungicide dot), alternation (two successive fungicide dots) or mixture (one shared dot) of fungicides applied.

The color and shape of the symbols in the graphs indicate the selecting fungicide used at a given cycle. Light

gray lines show the change in Malthusian growth in evolved lines over the eight cycles of experimental

evolution. Bars show the change in the concentration of resistance alleles ($sdhC^{H152R}$ in blue and $cyp5^{I381V}$ in

red). The dashed portion of these bars corresponds to the maximum possible proportion of the multiresistant

ancestral strain $R_{SDHI/DMI}$. The final concentration of $cytb^{G143A}$ measured in PopRR lines is indicated by a black

crossed circle. Dashed gray lines represent the detection limit of the alleles. Statistical models are detailed in

Supplementary Information 7. _**A. Performance of strategies with poorly diverse selection pressure.**_ Increasing

the number of AIs within a strategy did not significantly improve Malthusian growth (MODM1, P=0.5464),

normalized resistance allele concentration (MODR1, P=0.0706; MODV1, P=0.1384) or the normalized

concentration of the multiple mutant (MODDM1, P=0.1155). In particular, combining AIs sharing the same MoA

(SDHI or DMI) did not reduce the growth of the population, actually even increasing it (MODM1.1, P=0.9358 for

DMIs and P<0.0001 for SDHIs), whilst also accelerating the selection of single resistance alleles (MODR1.1,

P<0.0001; MODV1.1, P=0.0236) in PopR lines, and limiting the selection of multiple resistance in PopRR lines,

particularly under DMI exposure (MODDM1.1, P=0.3022). DMI-based strategies resulted in 1.04 times higher

mean normalized Malthusian growth than SDHI-based strategies, whatever the initial population composition

(PopR and PopRR, MODM1.1, P<0.0001), the number of AIs (1 or 2, MODM1.1, P<0.0001) or the temporal

pattern of fungicide application (alternation and mixture, MODM2.1, P<0.0001). In PopRR lines, SDHIs controlled

the multiple-resistant isolate less efficiently than DMIs (MODDM1.1, P<0.0001). The temporal pattern of

fungicide application had little or no effect on Malthusian growth when AIs with the same MoA were combined

(MODM2.1, P<0.0001 for SDHIs only, P=0.7783 for DMIs only) and on the selection of single resistance alleles

(MODR2.1, in the presence of SDHIs P=0.7833, in the presence of DMIs only P=0.9597; MODV2.1, in the presence

of DMIs only P=0.8505). _**B. Performance of strategies with highly diverse selection pressure.**_ Combining AIs

with different MoAs (SDHIs and DMIs) significantly reduced growth (modM1, P<0.0001) and the selection of

resistance alleles (MODR1, P<0.0001; MODV1, P<0.0001) when only single-resistant isolates were introduced into

the initial population. This benefit was not observed in PopRR lines (MODM1, P=0.2287), due to the early and

rapid selection of the multiple resistant ancestral strain as opposed to its counterselection in the presence of a

single MoA (MODDM1, *P*<0.0001; MODG143A1, *P*<0.0001). Strategies combining P and B were more efficient

than those combining M and F for decreasing Malthusian growth (MODM2.2, *Ps*<0.0001) or the selection of

single resistance alleles (MODR2.2 or MODV2.2, *Ps*<0.001) in PopR lines, and, to a much lesser extent, in PopRR

lines (MODDM2.2, *P*= 0.0296). The addition of a previously unused AI (C) maintained (PopR lines; MODM3,

P<0.0001) or restored (PopRR lines; MODM3, *P*<0.0001) the performance of strategies, but the utility of this

driver depended strongly on its dose and redundancy in the strategy in which both B and P lost efficacy due to

the establishment of multiple resistance (PopRR lines). Mixtures outperformed alternation when SDHIs and

DMIs were combined (Malthusian growth MODM2.2, P<.001) but only if exclusively single-resistant isolates

were present in the initial population. Mixture and alternation strategies performed similarly otherwise, but

with alternation limiting the Malthusian growth of the multiple-resistant isolate more efficiently when a third

previously unused MoA was added to SDHIs and DMIs (MOD3, *P*<0.001).

A

Chemical class	Fungicide	EC$_{50}$ (mg.L^{-1})	Resistance factor (RF)		
		S	R$_{SDHI}$	R$_{DMI}$	R$_{SDHI/DMI}$
SDHIs	Benzovindiflupyr	0.028 (0.003)	92.61 (18.4)	3.73 (0.53)	247.8 (191.6)
	Boscalid	0.242 (0.013)	> 410	1.53 (0.13)	> 410
	Fluxapyroxad	0.053 (0.005)	> 950	2.9 (0.53)	> 950
SBIs class I (DMIs)	Mefentrifluconazole	0.00097 (0)	0.98 (0.07)	9 (1.44)	13.22 (2.82)
	Metconazole	0.0038 (0.001)	1.06 (0.19)	22.76 (5.04)	34.78 (4.74)
	Prothioconazole-desthio	0.0016 (0)	0.86 (0.13)	25.61 (3.51)	27.7 (5.93)
Benzimidazoles	Carbendazim	0.115 (0.037)	> 430	> 430	> 430
QoIs	Azoxystrobin	0.047 (0.004)	0.61 (0.11)	0.7 (0.11)	454.1 (787)
Multisites	Chlorothalonil	1.185 (0.104)	0.42 (0.04)	0.67 (0.08)	0.78 (0.09)
SBIs class IV	Tolnaftate	0.23 (0.022)	1.47 (0.33)	1.34 (0.2)	0.5 (0.07)

B

C

Isolates	xmid	slope	Asym
S	2.54 (0.05) a	1.61 (0.02) a	4.84E+07 (4.37E+05) a
R$_{SDHI}$	3.08 (0.05) bc	1.41 (0.05) b	5.08E+07 (4.43E+05) b
R$_{DMI}$	2.94 (0.05) b	1.54 (0.02) ab	5.12E+07 (4.40E+05) b
R$_{SDHI/DMI}$	3.13 (0.05) c	1.49 (0.02) ab	5.04E+07 (4.42E+05) b

D

Isolates	Genotypes	Phenotypes		Population composition		
		SDHI	DMI	PopS	PopR	PopRR
S	*sdhc^{wt}; cyp51^{wt}*	S	S	100%	95%	95%
R$_{SDHI}$	*sdhc^{H152R}; cyp51^{wt}*	R	S	-	2.50%	2%
R$_{DMI}$	*sdhc^{wt}; cyp51^{I381V}*	S	R	-	2.50%	2%
R$_{SDHI/DMI}$	*sdhc^{H152R}; cyp51^{I381V}*	R	R	-	-	1%