Utilizing co-abundances of antimicrobial resistance genes to identify potential co-selection in the resistome

Authors:

Hannah-Marie Martiny[1]

Patrick Munk[1]

Christian Brinch[1]

Frank M. Aarestrup[1]

M. Luz Calle[2]

Thomas N. Petersen[1]

Affiliations:

[1]Research Group for Genomic Epidemiology, Technical University of Denmark, Kongens Lyngby, Denmark

[2]Biosciences Department, Faculty of Sciences and Technology, University of Vic - Central University of Catalonia, Vic, Spain

Abstract

The rapid spread of antimicrobial resistance (AMR) is a threat to global health, and the nature of co-occurring antimicrobial resistance genes (ARGs) may cause collateral AMR effects once antimicrobial agents are used. Therefore, it is essential to identify which pairs of ARGs co-occur. Given the wealth of NGS data available in public repositories, we have investigated the correlation between ARG abundances in a collection of 214,095 metagenomic datasets. Using more than $6.76 \cdot 10^8$ read fragments aligned to acquired ARGs to infer pairwise correlation coefficients, we found that more ARGs correlated with each other in human and animal sampling origins than in soil and water environments. Furthermore, we argued that the correlations could serve as risk profiles of resistance co-occurring to critically important antimicrobials. Using these profiles, we found evidence of

28 several ARGs conferring resistance for critically important antimicrobials (CIA) being co-

29 abundant, such as tetracycline ARGs correlating with most other forms of resistance. In

30 conclusion, this study highlights the important ARG players indirectly involved in shaping the

31 resistomes of various environments that can serve as monitoring targets in AMR

32 surveillance programs.

Introduction

Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) has become a global health threat, with ramifications not

only for modern medicine but also for agriculture and environmental health[1–3]. It is widely

acknowledged that the misuse of antimicrobials has accelerated the dissemination and

prevalence of antimicrobial resistance genes (ARGs)[4]. Most attempts to reduce the burden

of AMR have focused on reducing the use of single classes of antimicrobial agents

considered of critical importance[5]. Despite considerable efforts in various settings, such as

livestock, these regulations have not significantly reduced the spread of ARGs[6,7]. It has been

shown that after banning the use of specific antimicrobials, resistance to that antibiotic will

still be prevalent in the environment [8]. Indirect co-occurrence of ARGs has also been shown

to happen under selective antibiotic pressure[7,9–11]. We have also recently observed that

changes in the selective pressure of even a single antimicrobial agent influence several ARGs

in pig metagenomes[11]. However, there is also evidence that adding mobile ARGs to a

microbiome increased its stability, whereas if the ARGs were encoded in the chromosome,

the stability decreased[12]. The origin of the interplay between the microbiome and

resistome, however, remains largely unresolved, as there are many ecological, functional,

and evolutionary properties unaccounted for. It is unclear whether the co-selection of ARGs

happens due to genetic linkage or inter-species interactions.

Studies focused on studying the link between antimicrobial usages (AMUs) and the

prevalence of AMR have typically only focused on the effects of using one antimicrobial

agent and the impact on developing resistance to that agent, ignoring the overall effect on

the abundance of ARGs in the environment. This effect on the resistome must be

understood better to prevent these collateral damage effects from happening[13,14]. Co-

occurrence of microbes has been evaluated in soil[15,16] and marine environments[17,18],

whereas ARG co-occurrences have been studied in sewage sludge[19], freshwater[20], marine[21],

swine[8], and cattle[22]. However, most of these studies have only been evaluated on a smaller scale and not always using the same methods. There is currently a large collection of next-generation sequencing datasets from metagenomic samples available in public repositories, providing an excellent resource to quantify the prevalence of ARGs by analyzing the read abundances [23–26].

In this study, we have analyzed the co-abundance of sequencing reads aligned to acquired ARGs to assess how resistance to one specific antimicrobial agent is linked to the abundance of another class of antimicrobial. With a collection of 214,095 metagenomic datasets from public repositories[27], we examined the correlation between pairwise ARG read abundances with a compositional approach[28,29] using SparCC (Sparse Correlations for Compositional data)[30]. Our results demonstrated that many ARG pairs interact but are highly specific to the environment. We believe that these interactions provide another way to study how ARGs are being co-selected independently of the microbial context, and the findings can be used to design targeted interventions to limit the spread of AMR.

Methods

Data collection and pre-processing

We have previously described in detail the process of downloading and analyzing 214,095 metagenomic samples[25,27], but in brief: We downloaded raw sequencing reads corresponding to 442 Tbp from metagenomic samples deposited in the European Nucleotide Archive[31] that were uploaded between 2010-01-01 and 2020-01-01 and had at least 100,000 reads and were shotgun sequenced. The raw sequencing reads were quality-checked with FASTQC v.0.11.15 (https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/) and trimmed with BBduk2 36.49[32]. The trimmed reads were then globally aligned using KMA[33] 1.2.21 against two reference sequence databases: ResFinder[34] (downloaded 25-01-2020) and Silva[35] (version 38, downloaded 16-01-2020). ResFinder is a database of 3,085 acquired ARGs, whereas Silva is a 16S/18S rRNA database of 2,225,272 sequences.

Homology-based clustering of ResFinder sequences

With the possibility of detecting up to $C(3085,2) = \frac{3085!}{(2!(3085-2)!)} = 4,757,070$ pairwise interactions between the 3,085 ARGs of ResFinder, we decided to cluster similar reference sequences together to reduce the number of possible combinations. Using USEARCH[36] 11.0.667 and 90% nucleotide identity, we did a homology-based clustering that grouped the ARGs into a total of 716 groups. For each of these groups, the read counts for the genes in that group were first adjusted by the length of the gene and then aggregated together. These new group counts are used in the correlation analysis described in the next section. For the rest of the manuscript, we refer to these 716 ARG clusters as simply ARGs.

Calculating relative abundances

For a category label, we calculated the relative abundance of fragment counts assigned to different genes or classes as:

$$\text{Relative abundance}(x) = \frac{\kappa}{\sum n_i} n_i$$

where x is the label, n_i is the count of read fragments assigned to gene i, and $\kappa = 100$ is a scaling constant.

Inferring pairwise correlations with SparCC

The SparCC algorithm[30] was used to obtain correlations using pairs of log-ratio transformed ARG read counts to infer linear Pearson correlations. SparCC obtains linear Pearson correlations and p-values through an iterative approach that adjusts for spurious correlations and lowers the false discovery rate. The ARG-ARG correlations were inferred as the average over 50 iterations, and one-sided pseudo p-values were obtained through a bootstrapping procedure of 100 rounds. In each bootstrapping round, the input count matrix was shuffled, and correlations were averaged over 10 iterations to infer one-sided p-values to test whether the correlation for the observed data was statistically significant. Correlations values ≥ 0.6 with p-values ≤ 0.01 were selected for further analysis. We implemented SparCC to run on GPUs on the Danish National Supercomputer for Life Sciences (https://www.computerome.dk).

We ran SparCC on the entire dataset of the 214K metagenomic samples and subsets of

samples grouped by sampling host and environment, where at least 800 samples existed.

Due to inconsistent labeling of the sampling sources, we made new source groups, as shown

in Table 1. We only consider genes for SparCC analysis that are present in at least 10

samples with a minimum read fragment count of 50. In total, 11 different correlation

matrices were made, i.e., one for each of the source groups listed in Table 1. The correlation

networks were visualized in R 4.1.0[37] with packages igraph[38], qgraph[39], and ggraph[40] using

the Fruchterman-Reingold layout algorithm[41].

Network comparisons

The topology of the different networks is described using different metrics: the number of

nodes (N) and edges (E), the global clustering coefficient, network density, edge density,

and the number of components. The global clustering coefficient, or the graph transitivity,

measures the density of node triplets in the network[42]. The network density is calculated as

$2E/N(N-1)$ as given in Parente et al. (2018)[43]. Edge density is the number of edges over

the number of possible edges[44]. The average correlation between ARGs of two

antimicrobial classes was calculated using Fisher's z-transformation on the correlation

values, averaging the z-scores and converting it back to a correlation score with the inverse

Fisher transformation[45].

Data and code availability

The matrix of raw ARG read counts is available at https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.6519843 .

The code used to run the analysis and create figures and the output files of SparCC are

available at https://github.com/hmmartiny/global_resistome_correlations. Classifications of

antimicrobial importance were retrieved from the 6th revision of critically important

antimicrobials for human medicine from

https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789241515528, accessed 2022-10-10.

Results

This study investigated the correlation of pairwise ARG abundances across a set of 214,095 metagenomic sequencing datasets. This collection represents a highly diverse set of publicly available metagenomic samples collected between 2000 and 2020 from locations all over the world, where the sequencing datasets consist of short-read reads produced on Illumina platforms (Figure S1). We observed that not all samples contained sequence read fragments aligned to ARGs, so we only included those that did in the correlation analyses (n = 119,206; Table 1). Looking at the various sampling sources, read fragments aligned to ARGs conferring resistance to tetracycline and beta-lactams were very common in host-associated sources, whereas phenicol resistance was more frequently observed in environmental sources (freshwater, marine, and soil) (Table 2). *catA1* was the most dominant gene in the environmental samples, especially in marine samples, whereas various *tet* genes had high relative abundances in livestock or human samples: *tet(W)* in chicken and pig samples; *tet(Q)* in cow, human, and pig metagenomes. *blaTEM-52B* accounted for more than 30% of the read fragments assigned to ARGs in air metagenomes (Figure S2).

Balancing the sparsity and network complexity

Initially, we inferred correlations with SparCC on all samples that had at least one read fragment aligned to an ARG. We observed that most ARGs were found to correlate with each other in all environments, even in cases where there was only one read fragment per reference (Table S1). Across all samples, we observed template hits ranging from 1 to 252 with a median of 20 and fragment counts spanning 1 to $4.5 \cdot 10^7$, with a median of 880 fragment counts. To balance the sparsity and network complexity, we introduced a filtering step. This step determined whether an ARG should be included or excluded based on a minimum number of fragment counts and a minimum number of samples supporting hits to that ARG template. Among the 716 ARGs considered, we noticed that without any filters, SparCC indicated correlations even with very low read fragment counts. Therefore, we required that for an ARG to be included, it had to have a minimum fragment count of 50 across at least 10 samples (Table S1).

Analysis of large-scale metagenomic correlation networks

Based on our filter settings, we constructed a global network using the correlation coefficients for the entire collection of metagenomes, with each node representing an ARG and each edge representing a pairwise ARG connection (correlation ≥ 0.6, p-value < 0.01, Figure S3). The global network, nicknamed 'all', contained 225 ARGs connected through 2,344 correlation edges (Figure 1a). As this all-network was hard to interpret due to the many highly interconnected ARGs, we also inferred pairwise ARG correlations in specific sampling groups (Table 1). The genes that were part of these networks were found to correlate with varying degrees of strength (Figure 1a-b). For example, the human network contained many correlation coefficients, but most were less than 0.8 (Figure 1c). Another example is the marine network, where only a few ARGs were found to correlate, but with values above 0.9 (Figure 1b-c). Despite the networks reflecting the composition of the various environments, we still observed overlaps between which ARGs were found to correlate. One example is that all the correlations inferred from the pig metagenomes also existed in the human metagenomic network (Figure 1d).

There was a limited number of correlations for ARGs encoding resistance to fluoroquinolones, steroid antibiotics (fusidic acid), colistin, and rifampicin. On the other hand, beta-lactam, tetracycline, and aminoglycoside ARGs had many correlations with each other and with other classes (Figure S4, Figure S6). Despite resistance to some antimicrobial classes being the most abundant, the ARGs did not always correlate to many others. For example, tetracycline ARGs were the most abundant in dog metagenomes, but no correlations were inferred for these ARGs. Similarly, in the human samples where aminoglycoside and beta-lactam ARGs were less abundant than tetracycline ARGs, aminoglycoside and beta-lactam resistance genes had a higher number of correlation coefficients were reported (Table 2, Figure S4).

On the level of ARG abundance, we observed that just because an ARG was highly abundant in a sampling group, it did not automatically mean that it correlated to many other ARGs. The highly abundant *catA1* gene in marine (97.4% of all ARG reads), freshwater (55.1%), and soil samples (84.7%) (Figure S2) did only correlate with one or two other genes in the water environmental networks, and none in the soil network. On the other hand, *catA1* did seem

to be correlated with 15 other genes in the pig correlation network despite not being highly

abundant in that group of samples (Figure S5a). *mef(A)_1* accounted for 15.9% of the reads

aligned to ARGs in cow samples and 6.63% in pigs (Figure S2) and was also strongly

correlating with other genes, which mainly conferred resistance to aminoglycosides,

(fluoro)quinolones and tetracyclines (Figure S5b). *tet(L)_4* only accounted for 4.01% of the

read fragments aligned to ARGs in metagenomes of chicken origins (Figure S2) but was

shown to correlate in its abundance with the abundance of 8 other ARGs, e.g., with a

correlation of 0.77 with *lnu(A)_1 (*Figure S5c)*.

The hidden signals between ARGs profile the potential risk of co-selection in different

environmental contexts

Using the correlations between ARGs in the various environments (Figure 1), we calculated

the average correlation between ARGs of different antimicrobial classes (Figure S6). These

average correlations can then serve as profiles to assess the risk of indirectly selecting ARGs

that confer resistance to different ARGs through co- and cross-resistance. These risk profiles

can then be used to judge the strength of interactions upon using one antimicrobial in each

setting. Upon constructing these profiles, we observed that the strength and the number of

correlations highly depend on the antimicrobial classes and the environmental context

(Figure S6). We hypothesize that if an important (IA) or highly important antimicrobial (HIA)

is used, first, the resistance to the antimicrobial class will likely flourish, and, secondly,

through co- and cross-resistance, so will ARGs conferring resistance to other classes,

including those that are CIA.

Figure 2 shows two risk profiles for ARG correlations for glycopeptide and macrolide, two

highly critically important antimicrobials. Correlations between ARGs of glycopeptide

resistance to other resistance classes were much rarer than those connected with macrolide

ARGs (Figure S6) and those correlations that were observed were relatively low

(correlation<0.8, Figure 2). Different vancomycin resistance cassettes were responsible in

different environments, namely *VanHAX*, *VanC2*, and *VanX_bc* in human samples and

VanHDX and *VanC1XY* in pig samples (Figure S7a). *VanHAX* only has one correlation,

whereas the remaining five correlate with many different ARGs. On the contrary, ARGs

conferring resistance to macrolide were much more interactive with other classes of

resistance genes in all networks and had strong correlations with specific classes

(correlation > 0.9, Figure 2, Figure S6). The macrolide ARGs co-abundant with other ARGs

were many but separated into distinct network clusters (Figure S7b). For example, *mef(A)*

and *msr(D)* were usually found together in different environments, both in small and large

clusters.

While Figure 2 highlights how CIA ARGs interact, it is just as important to investigate what

ARGs of less critically important antimicrobials correlate with. As seen in Figure 3, ARGs for

pleuromutilin resistance (IA) and for tetracycline resistance (HIA) were found to interact

with many other classes, including those that are critically important. For example,

pleuromutilin ARGs are few but well connected (Figure 3, Figure S6), as seen with the

connections with *lsa(E)* and *cfr(C)* (Figure S8a)*.* Tetracycline ARGs correlated with the

abundance of multiple ARGs, such as those conferring resistance to lincosamides,

macrolides, and phenicols (Figure 3). While there were many ARGs for tetracycline

resistance, they often correlated to the same ARGs (Figure S8b).

Discussion

Considering the complexity of microbiomes, studying how microbial composition shapes the

distribution of ARGs is a challenging task but one that could shed light on how ARGs

indirectly select one another. However, with the high-throughput sequencing technologies

and many metagenomic datasets available in public repositories, it is now much more

feasible to extract the patterns of how ARGs co-occur without knowing their microbial

origin. Using our recently published collection of 214K metagenomic datasets[27], we have

inferred correlations of pairwise ARG abundances to profile which types of resistance

influence the shape of resistome and which genes are the key players. To the best of our

knowledge, this is the first study to relate ARG abundances on such a large and broad scale.

Our correlation networks revealed that not all ARGs are connected, as the pairwise ARG

interactions were largely shaped by the composition of the environmental resistome. We

observed cases where a highly abundant ARG had no correlations and the opposite where a

sparse ARG had many correlations, highlighted by our effort to apply appropriate filters to

ensure robust correlations (Table S1). However, by filtering on the individual abundance of

ARGs in each resistome, there is a risk of removing important links between ARGs, especially

in environments with very low abundant ARGs. We continued with settings that ensured

that sparse ARGs in complex environments were removed but that ARGs in overall sparse

environments could still be included, such as for the air resistome. More sensitive filtering

could be applied to further improve the robustness of the inferred ARGs for each resistome.

The network showing correlations for all metagenomic samples was the most complex,

which we speculate is due to both the wide variety of sampling sources and the

overrepresentation of human metagenomes (Table 1). We found the differences in the

animal and environmental networks much more interesting, as they reflect the dynamics of

ARG abundances in more localized settings (Figure 1). On the level of which antimicrobial

class an ARG confers resistance to, we could also observe that some resistance classes had

more and stronger correlations than others (Figure S6). We found several cases of strongly

correlated ARG pairs that have also been reported in other studies. These cases were often

due to the ARGs being present in the same microbial genome. For example, the gene

cassette *vanHAX* has been found together with *msr(C)* and *aac(6')* in genomes of human

isolates[46] and *mef(A)* linked with *tet(O)*[47] and *mdf(A)* with *blaTEM, aph(6), sul2, and, tet(A)*[48]

(Figure S7).

As highlighted in Figure 2 and Figure 3, we argue that the correlations can serve as a way to

profile the collateral effects occurring in a resistome when the abundance of different ARG

mechanisms changes. Antimicrobials have been classified differently to reflect their

importance to human medicine, of which glycopeptides and macrolides are CIA with the

highest priorities. Glycopeptide and macrolide resistance have previously been linked

genetically[7,9] in pigs, where we also can report the presence of correlations between ARGs

of glycopeptide and macrolide resistances not only in pigs but also in human and mouse

environments (Figure 2). Pleuromutilin and tetracycline antimicrobials are classified as being

less critically important[49], but SparCC reported many correlations of ARGs for these two

classes in various networks (Figure 3), which suggests that there are still risks associated

with the use of these two. Tetracycline resistance has been found to occur together with

resistance to macrolides[50–52], aminoglycosides[50,53], folate pathway antagonists[54,55],

lincosamide[11], and beta-lactams[53], to name a few studies. This high connectivity of

tetracycline ARGs seems in line with our results, as this specific group of ARGs was

connected to almost all classes of antimicrobials in most of our networks (Figure 3, Figure

S8b). The variety of connections to antimicrobials of less importance to human health

should be more in focus, as our results show that there are risks of critical antimicrobial

resistances emerging from the enrichment of less essential resistance genes.

Following this line of thought of focusing on ARGs that give resistance to less critically

important antimicrobials, a recent study by Tarek and Garner (2022)[56] proposed to create a

monitoring framework based on isolated components, or clusters, in correlation networks.

They constructed a correlation network encompassing ARG abundances in samples from

wastewater treatment plants and argued that representative ARG members from each

cluster in their network should be monitoring targets. Our networks suggest that limiting to

only a few ARGs would fail to capture the complete picture in some environments, such as

human microbiomes (Figure 1). Instead, we propose to limit the set of monitoring targets to

only what happens to ARG abundances during exposure to one type of antimicrobials

(Figures Figure 2,Figure 3, Figure S7Figure S8). If a monitoring system is implemented, it

would need to be updated regularly to show the changes in antimicrobial usage and ARG co-

occurrences since the correlations we have inferred in this study only reflect the current and

past abundances.

In order to use correlation networks for surveillance of AMR, more work is needed to

confirm that the observed interactions do indeed exist in nature[57]. We have defined

interactions as being indirect since SparCC does not indicate which way the interaction

occurred. One way to investigate this could be to investigate physical linkages or by using

other analytical methods. For example, to determine whether *vanHAX* influences *msr(C)* or

the other way around (Figure S7a), the SPIEC-EASI[58] method could be used to infer such

directional dependences. A directional correlation could be included in a risk profile.

Our choice of only studying the co-abundances of ARGs does not capture the causality and

directionality of the interactions. We have not disentangled whether the interactions are

due to co-selection or cross-selection, and neither investigating genetic linkages, co-

exposure, and the presence of other genetic elements such as mobile genetic elements. Understanding some of these factors could be achieved by expanding our analysis with bacterial read counts and counts of mobile genetic elements. We have speculated that the resulting correlations could reflect the community's response to external stressors, such as different usages of antimicrobials. While there has been work on linking the ARG prevalence with AMUs in various settings[7,9,11], incorporating AMU values, other non-antimicrobial stressors[59] and microbial signatures[12] in our analysis would likely help us better understand the microbiomes' response to selective pressures.

By utilizing the wealth of information on ARG abundances available in a collection of 214K metagenomic datasets, we have studied the co-abundance of ARGs to discover how these interactions shape the prevalence of resistances in different environments. The inferred correlation networks provide insights into how two resistance types indirectly and species-independent select for each other in different habitats. Our results further highlight that there are instances of genes of one type of resistance often co-occurring with many other types of resistance and that the environmental context plays an important role, revealing them as important targets in surveillance programs to limit their impact on global health.

References

1. O'Neill, J. & The Review on Antimicrobial Resistance (Chaired by Jim O'Neill). Tackling Drug-Resistant Infections Globally: Final Report and Recommendations. *Rev. Antimicrob. Resist.* 1–80 (2016).

2. Murray, C. J. *et al.* Global burden of bacterial antimicrobial resistance in 2019: a systematic analysis. *Lancet* **399**, 629–655 (2022).

3. Zhang, Z. *et al.* Assessment of global health risk of antibiotic resistance genes. *Nat. Commun.* **13**, (2022).

4. Holmes, A. H. *et al.* Understanding the mechanisms and drivers of antimicrobial resistance. *Lancet* **387**, 176–187 (2016).

5. Collignon, P., Powers, J. H., Chiller, T. M., Aidara-Kane, A. & Aarestrup, F. M. World health organization ranking of antimicrobials according to their importance in human medicine: a critical step for developing risk management strategies for the use of

366  antimicrobials in food production animals. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **49**, 132–141 (2009).

367 6. Jensen, H. H. & Hayes, D. J. Impact of Denmark's ban on antimicrobials for growth

368  promotion. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.* **19**, 30–36 (2014).

369 7. Aarestrup, F. M. *et al.* Effect of abolishment of the use of antimicrobial agents for

370  growth promotion on occurrence of antimicrobial resistance in fecal enterococci from

371  food animals in Denmark. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **45**, 2054–2059 (2001).

372 8. Sun, J. *et al.* Development of aminoglycoside and β-lactamase resistance among

373  intestinal microbiota of swine treated with lincomycin, chlortetracycline, and

374  amoxicillin. *Front. Microbiol.* **5**, 580 (2014).

375 9. Aarestrup, F. M. Characterization of glycopeptide-resistant Enterococcus faecium

376  (GRE) from broilers and pigs in Denmark: Genetic evidence that persistence of GRE in

377  pig herds is associated with coselection by resistance to macrolides. *J. Clin. Microbiol.*

378  **38**, 2774–2777 (2000).

379 10. Looft, T. *et al.* In-feed antibiotic effects on the swine intestinal microbiome. *Proc.*

380  *Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **109**, 1691–1696 (2012).

381 11. Andersen, V. D. *et al.* Predicting effects of changed antimicrobial usage on the

382  abundance of antimicrobial resistance genes in finisher' gut microbiomes. *Prev. Vet.*

383  *Med.* **174**, 104853 (2020).

384 12. Coyte, K. Z. *et al.* Horizontal gene transfer and ecological interactions jointly control

385  microbiome stability. *PLoS Biol.* **20**, 1–18 (2022).

386 13. Peterson, L. R. Squeezing the antibiotic balloon: The impact of antimicrobial classes

387  on emerging resistance. *Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Suppl.* **11**, 4–16 (2005).

388 14. Paterson, D. L. 'Collateral damage' from cephalosporin or quinolone antibiotic

389  therapy. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **38**, 341–345 (2004).

390 15. Barberán, A., Bates, S. T., Casamayor, E. O. & Fierer, N. Using network analysis to

391  explore co-occurrence patterns in soil microbial communities. *ISME J.* **6**, 343–351

392  (2012).

393 16. Yuan, M. M. *et al.* Climate warming enhances microbial network complexity and

394  stability. *Nat. Clim. Chang.* **11**, 343–348 (2021).

395 17. Zhang, Z. *et al.* The large-scale spatial patterns of ecological networks between

396  phytoplankton and zooplankton in coastal marine ecosystems. *Sci. Total Environ.* **827**,

397  154285 (2022).

18.   Li, L. G., Xia, Y. & Zhang, T. Co-occurrence of antibiotic and metal resistance genes

revealed in complete genome collection. *ISME J.* **11**, 651–662 (2017).

19.   Ju, F. *et al.* Antibiotic resistance genes and human bacterial pathogens: Co-

occurrence, removal, and enrichment in municipal sewage sludge digesters. *Water*

Res. **91**, 1–10 (2016).

20.   Pan, X., Lin, L., Zhang, W., Dong, L. & Yang, Y. Metagenome sequencing to unveil the

resistome in a deep subtropical lake on the Yunnan-Guizhou Plateau, China. *Environ.*

Pollut. **263**, 114470 (2020).

21.   Wu, J. *et al.* Prevalence and distribution of antibiotic resistance in marine fish farming

areas in Hainan, China. *Sci. Total Environ.* **653**, 605–611 (2019).

22.   Liu, J. *et al.* The fecal resistome of dairy cattle is associated with diet during nursing.

Nat. Commun. **10**, (2019).

23.   Munk, P. *et al.* Abundance and diversity of the faecal resistome in slaughter pigs and

broilers in nine European countries. *Nat. Microbiol.* **3**, 898–908 (2018).

24.   Hendriksen, R. S. *et al.* Global monitoring of antimicrobial resistance based on

metagenomics analyses of urban sewage. *Nat. Commun.* **10**, (2019).

25.   Martiny, H.-M. *et al.* Global distribution of mcr gene variants in 214,095 metagenomic

samples. *mSystems* (2022). doi:10.1128/msystems.00105-22

26.   Karkman, A., Do, T. T., Walsh, F. & Virta, M. P. J. Antibiotic-Resistance Genes in Waste

Water. *Trends Microbiol.* **26**, 220–228 (2018).

27.   Martiny, H.-M., Munk, P., Brinch, C., Aarestrup, F. M. & Petersen, T. N. A curated data

resource of 214K metagenomes for characterization of the global antimicrobial

resistome. *PLOS Biol.* **20**, e3001792 (2022).

28.   Aitchison, J. The Statistical Analysis of Compositional Data. *J. R. Stat. Soc. Ser. B* **44**,

139–160 (1982).

29.   Gloor, G. B., Macklaim, J. M., Pawlowsky-Glahn, V. & Egozcue, J. J. Microbiome

datasets are compositional: And this is not optional. *Front. Microbiol.* **8**, 1–6 (2017).

30.   Friedman, J. & Alm, E. J. Inferring Correlation Networks from Genomic Survey Data.

PLoS Comput. Biol. **8**, 1–11 (2012).

31.   Leinonen, R. *et al.* The European nucleotide archive. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **39**, 44–47

(2011).

32.   Bushnell, B. BBMap. (2014).

33.   Clausen, P. T. L. C., Aarestrup, F. M. & Lund, O. Rapid and precise alignment of raw
reads against redundant databases with KMA. *BMC Bioinformatics* **19**, 1–8 (2018).

34.   Zankari, E. *et al.* Identification of acquired antimicrobial resistance genes. *J.*
Antimicrob. Chemother. **67**, 2640–2644 (2012).

35.   Quast, C. *et al.* The SILVA ribosomal RNA gene database project: Improved data
processing and web-based tools. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **41**, 590–596 (2013).

36.   Edgar, R. C. & Bateman, A. Search and clustering orders of magnitude faster than
BLAST. *Bioinformatics* **26**, 2460–2461 (2010).

37.   Team, R. C. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. (2021).

38.   Csardi, G. & Nepusz, T. The igraph software package for complex network research.
InterJournal **Complex Sy**, 1695 (2006).

39.   Epskamp, S., Cramer, A. O. J., Waldorp, L. J., Schmittmann, V. D. & Borsboom, D.
qgraph: Network Visualizations of Relationships in Psychometric Data. *J. Stat. Softw.*
48, 1–18 (2012).

40.   Pedersen, T. L. ggraph: An Implementation of Grammar of Graphics for Graphs and
Networks. (2021).

41.   Fructherman, T. M. J. & Reingold, E. M. Graph Drawing by Force-directed Placement.
Softw. - Pract. Exp. **21**, 1129–1164 (1991).

42.   Barrat, A., Barthélemy, M., Pastor-Satorras, R. & Vespignani, A. The architecture of
complex weighted networks. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **101**, 3747 (2004).

43.   Parente, E., Zotta, T., Faust, K., De Filippis, F. & Ercolini, D. Structure of association
networks in food bacterial communities. *Food Microbiol.* **73**, 49–60 (2018).

44.   Wasserman, S. & Faust, K. *Social network analysis: Methods and applications.*
(Cambridge University Press, 1994).

45.   Silver, N. C. & Dunlap, W. P. Averaging Correlation Coefficients: Should Fisher's z
Transformation Be Used? *J. Appl. Psychol.* **72**, 146–148 (1987).

46.   Freitas, A. R. *et al.* High-Resolution Genotyping Unveils Identical Ampicillin-Resistant
Enterococcus faecium Strains in Different Sources and Countries: A One Health
Approach. *Microorganisms* **10**, (2022).

47.   Giovanetti, E., Brenciani, A., Lupidi, R., Roberts, M. C. & Varaldo, P. E. Presence of the
tet(O) gene in erythromycin- and tetracycline-resistant strains of Streptococcus
pyogenes and linkage with either the mef(A) or the erm(A) gene. *Antimicrob. Agents*

Chemother. **47**, 2844–2849 (2003).

48.   Trongjit, S. & Chuanchuen, R. Whole genome sequencing and characteristics of

464   Escherichia coli with coexistence of ESBL and mcr genes from pigs. *PLoS One* **16**, 1–17

465   (2021).

466   49.   WHO. *Critically Important Antimicrobials for Human Medicine, 6th Revision*. (2018).

467   50.   Arredondo, A., Blanc, V., Mor, C., Nart, J. & León, R. Tetracycline and multidrug

468   resistance in the oral microbiota: differences between healthy subjects and patients

469   with periodontitis in Spain. *J. Oral Microbiol.* **13**, (2021).

470   51.   Nielsen, H. U. K. *et al.* Tetracycline and macrolide co-resistance in Streptococcus

471   pyogenes: Co-selection as a reason for increase in macrolide-resistant S. pyogenes?

472   *Microb. Drug Resist.* **10**, 231–238 (2004).

473   52.   Ardic, N., Ozyurt, M., Sareyyupoglu, B. & Haznedaroglu, T. Investigation of

474   erythromycin and tetracycline resistance genes in methicillin-resistant staphylococci.

475   *Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents* **26**, 213–218 (2005).

476   53.   Stepanauskas, R. *et al.* Coselection for microbial resistance to metals and antibiotics

477   in freshwater microcosms. *Environ. Microbiol.* **8**, 1510–1514 (2006).

478   54.   Gao, P., Munir, M. & Xagoraraki, I. Correlation of tetracycline and sulfonamide

479   antibiotics with corresponding resistance genes and resistant bacteria in a

480   conventional municipal wastewater treatment plant. *Sci. Total Environ.* **421**–**422**,

481   173–183 (2012).

482   55.   Zhang, M. Q., Yuan, L., Li, Z. H., Zhang, H. C. & Sheng, G. P. Tetracycline exposure

483   shifted microbial communities and enriched antibiotic resistance genes in the aerobic

484   granular sludge. *Environ. Int.* **130**, 104902 (2019).

485   56.   Tarek, M. H. & Garner, E. A proposed framework for the identification of indicator

486   genes for monitoring antibiotic resistance in wastewater: Insights from metagenomic

487   sequencing. *Sci. Total Environ.* 158698 (2022). doi:10.1016/J.SCITOTENV.2022.158698

488   57.   Blanchet, F. G., Cazelles, K. & Gravel, D. Co-occurrence is not evidence of ecological

489   interactions. *Ecol. Lett.* **23**, 1050–1063 (2020).

490   58.   Kurtz, Z. D. *et al.* Sparse and Compositionally Robust Inference of Microbial Ecological

491   Networks. *PLOS Comput. Biol.* **11**, e1004226 (2015).

492   59.   Nagata, N. *et al.* Population-level Metagenomics Uncovers Distinct Effects of Multiple

493   Medications on the Human Gut Microbiome. *Gastroenterology* **163**, 1038–1052

494   (2022).

Source group	Sampling source label(s)	Number of samples	Number of samples with ARGs
Air	Air metagenome	914	870
Chicken	*Gallus gallus* (1,219), chicken gut metagenome (4)	1,223	1,215
Cow	*Bos taurus* (872), cow dung metagenome (14)	886	824
Dog	*Canis lupus familiaris*	3,439	3,182
Freshwater	Freshwater metagenome	4,494	585
Human	*Homo sapiens*	95,003	57,239
Marine	Marine metagenome	30,002	5,444
Mouse	*Mus musculus* (1,462), mouse metagenome (50), mouse gut metagenome (2,435)	3,947	3,909
Pig	*Sus scrofa* (673), *Sus scrofa domesticus* (355), pig metagenome (2,129), pig gut metagenome (72)	3,229	3,461
Soil	Soil metagenome	6,533	2,822
All		214,095	119,206

496   *Table 1: Grouped labels for hosts and environments. The parenthesis after each sampling source label denotes the number*
497   *of samples assigned to that label if the group consisted of multiple labels.*

Resistance class	Air	All	Chicken	Cow	Dog	Freshwater	Human	Marine	Mouse	Pig	Soil
Tetracycline (64)	13.61	32.48	53.99	60.05	32.27	5.2	36.39	0.06	59.97	47.76	3.18
Streptogramin (11)	<0.01	0.15	3.3	<0.01	-	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	0.11	0.02
Steroid_antibacterial (2)	0.09	0.06	<0.01	<0.01	-	<0.01	0.03	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01
Quinolone (17)	0.3	1.19	0.14	<0.01	<0.01	1.85	1.26	<0.01	0.18	0.14	0.12
Polymyxin (10)	0.02	0.06	<0.01	<0.01	-	0.39	0.06	<0.01	0.01	0.07	<0.01
Pleuromutilin (1)	-	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01
Phenicol (33)	6.19	9.21	6.1	4.33	0.36	55.89	3.33	97.37	0.14	0.81	85.04
Oxazolidinone/Phenicol/Tetracycline (1)	0.02	0.07	1.54	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	0.03	0.04	<0.01
Oxazolidinone/Phenicol (1)	0.03	0.02	0.1	<0.01	-	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	-	0.09	<0.01
Missing (26)	0.31	6.65	0.17	1.13	19.4	1.34	10.77	<0.01	0.9	2.83	0.2
Macrolide/Tetracycline (1)	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	-	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	-	<0.01	<0.01
Macrolide/Streptogramin (4)	1.69	1.1	0.12	0.39	1.01	1.18	1.43	<0.01	1.83	0.48	0.11
Macrolide (24)	1.86	3.04	0.36	16.71	5.78	1.9	2.53	<0.01	4.22	6.83	0.25
Lincosamide/Streptogramin (2)	0.12	0.28	0.02	<0.01	<0.01	0.01	0.38	<0.01	0.06	<0.01	<0.01
Lincosamide/Pleuromutilin/Streptogramin (7)	0.48	0.27	0.09	0.02	0.18	0.02	0.34	<0.01	<0.01	0.21	0.05
Lincosamide/Oxazolidinone/Phenicol/Pleuromutilin/Streptogramin (3)	0.41	0.49	1.36	0.5	0.01	<0.01	0.45	<0.01	1.64	1.25	0.04
Lincosamide/Macrolide/Streptogramin/Tetracycline (1)	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	0.07	<0.01	<0.01
Lincosamide/Macrolide/Streptogramin (44)	6.12	12.33	14.51	2.26	1.35	0.32	17.71	0.03	8.19	9.52	5.95
Lincosamide/Macrolide (1)	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	-	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	-	-	-
Lincosamide (9)	1.88	3.33	3.42	8.29	29	0.36	2.02	<0.01	4.01	11.61	0.71
Glycopeptide (27)	<0.01	0.29	0.01	<0.01	-	0.01	0.58	<0.01	0.72	0.02	0.01
Fosfomycin (28)	4.36	1.07	0.2	<0.01	0.01	1.29	1.49	<0.01	0.22	0.03	0.19
Folate_pathway_antagonist (37)	2.92	3.2	2.19	0.41	0.97	5.45	3.13	0.36	4.33	1.03	0.82
Beta_lactam (216)	41.3	14.32	0.64	0.57	0.7	7.28	8.34	1.84	2.14	2.89	1.69
Aminoglycoside/Fluoroquinolone/Quinolone (1)	<0.01	0.19	0.09	-	<0.01	0.46	0.15	<0.01	0.01	<0.01	<0.01
Aminoglycoside/Fluoroquinolone/Macrolide/Phenicol/Rifampicin/Tetracycline (1)	0.63	1.08	0.12	0.07	0.52	0.34	1.08	0.2	1.2	0.17	0.02
Aminoglycoside (144)	17.64	9.12	11.51	5.24	8.42	16.7	8.51	0.08	10.12	14.08	1.58

Table 2: Relative abundance of read fragments aligned to each resistance class (row) for each sampling source (column).

The relative abundance is the number of read fragments aligned to the group out of all fragments aligned to ARGs. For

each resistance class, the parenthesis shows the number of ARGs belonging to that category.

Figure 1: **The resistome networks consisting of correlations (edges) between pairs of ARGs (nodes)**. ***a****. Each correlation network is visualized, where each ARG node is colored by resistance class, and edges are*

colored by the correlation coefficient value. ***b****. Metrics of the correlation networks reveal how interconnected and complex the networks are. No. stands for number of.* ***c****. Distribution of included correlation*

coefficients in each network. Figure S3 shows the distribution of all inferred correlations and their p-values. ***d****. A heatmap showing how similar the content of the two networks is. In the upper half of the heatmap,*

the number of overlapping ARG nodes is shown. An overlap means that a specific ARG has a correlation in both networks, ignoring what it is co-abundant with. In the lower half of the heatmap, the number of

overlapping correlation edges is shown. An overlapping edge is defined as whether the correlation coefficient is reported in both networks, regardless of the value of the coefficient.

Figure 2: **Correlation profiles for ARGs conferring resistance to the critically important antimicrobial classes glycopeptides (left) and macrolides (right).** *Each column shows the average correlation from, e.g., macrolide ARGs to ARGs for other antimicrobial classes. The circle is colored by the average correlation, where a white circle indicates no statistically significant correlations of ARGs observed between the two antimicrobial classes.*

Figure 3: **Correlation profiles for ARGs conferring resistance to important pleuromutilins (left) and highly important tetracyclines (right).** *Each column shows the average correlation from, e.g., tetracycline ARGs to ARGs for other antimicrobial classes. The circle is colored by the average correlation, where a white circle indicates no statistically significant correlations of ARGs observed between the two antimicrobial classes.*

Supplementary materials

*Figure S1: **Metagenomic origins colored by the sampling group**. a. Overview of sampling year for metagenomic samples colored by their sampling origin. 100 samples were taken before 2000, and 84,238 did not have a valid sampling date. b. Overview of the amount of sequencing reads available for each sampling origin. c. Sampling locations of metagenomic samples used in the correlation analysis were split by their sampling source. Number of samples with no coordinates available; All: 83,361; Air: 16; Dog: 3,159; Chicken: 570; Cow: 262; Freshwater: 61; Human: 40,003; Marine: 363; Mouse: 2,842; Pig: 320; Soil: 477. The 'Other' label refers to those that are not in one of the source-specific networks but are included in the 'All' network.*

Figure S2: **Top 10 most abundant ARGs per sampling group.** *A barplot showing the ARGs having the highest 10 relative abundances per sampling source colored by resistance class.*

	Filter		Network		
Source	minF	minS	I	N	E
All	0	1	716	447	35,573
	25	10	459	241	3,899
	50	10	425	230	2,393
	50	20	313	137	223
	50	50	313	137	223
Air	0	1	436	314	15,079
	25	10	34	14	9
	50	10	19	9	5
Dog	0	1	158	113	1,318
	25	10	45	19	24
	50	10	38	14	17
	50	20	19	7	4
	50	50	19	7	4
Chicken	0	1	389	323	15,658
	25	10	145	114	235
	50	10	123	93	179
	50	20	69	52	71
	50	50	69	52	71
Cow	0	1	443	365	25,632
	25	10	94	72	179
	50	10	85	63	141
	50	20	37	23	32
	50	50	37	23	32
Freshwater	0	1	579	474	19,645
	25	10	56	32	57
	50	10	36	18	23
Human	0	1	692	462	48,821
	25	10	307	158	1210
	50	10	278	141	774
	50	20	201	75	86
	50	50	201	75	86
Marine	0	1	668	15	9
	25	10	24	5	4
	50	10	10	5	4
Mouse	0	1	326	253	10,411
	25	10	55	38	100
	50	10	41	34	92
	50	20	25	21	60
	50	50	25	21	60
Pig	0	1	433	383	21,488
	25	10	136	109	743
	50	10	118	96	526

	Filter		Network		
Source	minF	minS	I	N	E
	50	20	61	49	141
	50	50	61	49	141
Soil	0	1	613	433	9,046
	25	10	72	43	57
	50	10	47	22	22

Table S1: Count filtering of the observed ARG counts (I) greatly influences the number of

ARGs (nodes, N) and the correlations (edges, E). For an ARG to be included in the SparCC

analysis, it had to pass the two following criteria: it had to have a minimum number of

fragments detected (minF) and had to pass the minF criteria for a minimum number of

samples (minS).

Figure S3: **Distribution of correlations and p-values produced by SparCC for each data grouping.** *Each point is a correlation*
between two ARGs and is colored by whether the point was selected if the p-value < 0.01 and correlation ≥ 0.6.

Figure S4: **The relative abundance, number of ARGs, and the number of correlations for each resistance class in each sampling group.** *The relative abundance in green shows the percentage of read fragments for each class. In grey is the number of ARGs for each resistance class. Orange coloring indicates the number of correlation coefficients inferred for ARGs in a resistance class.*

Figure S5: **Networks illustrating how one ARG interacts differently depending on the sampling environments**. *The three genes catA1_1, mef(A)_1, and tet(L)_4 are selected to illustrate that the genes correlate more or less with other genes in their abundance in some habitats.*

Figure S6: The average correlation between ARGs of different resistance classes in the upper triangle of the heatmaps with the lower half shows the correlation coefficients between the two classes. Note that a correlation coefficient between two ARGs might be present in more than one tile, as some ARGs confer resistance to multiple classes of antimicrobials.

551
552
553

*Figure S7: **Highlights of interactions between ARGs of a. glycopeptide resistance and b. macrolide resistance**. The ARG node size shows*

whether the corresponding ARG gives resistance to the antimicrobial class in focus. Only correlation edges and ARG nodes are colored if they

correlate with the highlighted ARGs; otherwise, they are colored grey. The coloring schemes for nodes and edges are given in Figure 1a.

*Figure S8: **Highlights of interactions between ARGs of a. pleuromutilin resistance and b. tetracycline resistance.** The ARG node size shows*
whether the corresponding ARG gives resistance to the antimicrobial class in focus. Only correlation edges and ARG nodes are colored if they
correlate with the highlighted ARGs; otherwise, they are colored grey. The coloring schemes for nodes and edges are given in Figure 1a.