RNF43 mutations facilitate mucinous colorectal cancer metastasis via formation of a

tumour-intrinsic niche

Jeroen M. Bugter[1], Layla El Bouazzaoui[1, 2, *], Emre Küçükköse[2, *], Yourae Hong[3], Joep

Sprangers[1], Ingrid Jordens[1], Nicola Fenderico[1], Diego Montiel Gonzalez[4], Ruben van Boxtel[4],

Saskia J.E. Suijkerbuijk[5], Sabine Tejpar[3], Hugo J.G. Snippert[1], Onno Kranenburg[2, ✉],

Madelon M. Maurice[1, ✉]

[1]Oncode Institute and Centre for Molecular Medicine, UMC Utrecht, the Netherlands

[2]Department of Surgical Oncology, Lab Translational Oncology, UMC Utrecht, the

Netherlands

[3]Department of Oncology, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Belgium

[4]Princes Maxima Centre for Pediatric Oncology, Utrecht, the Netherlands

[5]Division of Developmental Biology, Institute of Biodynamics and Biocomplexity,

Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, Utrecht University, The Netherlands.

[*]These authors contributed equally to this work

[✉] corresponding authors; email: o.kranenburg@umcutrecht.nl & m.m.maurice@umcutrecht.nl

Abstract

Colorectal cancer (CRC) develops via two mutually exclusive genetic pathways that involve distinct WNT pathway alterations[1–3]. Downstream *APC* mutations initiate development of conventional WNT-high adenocarcinomas. By contrast, *RNF43* mutations induce hypersensitivity to WNTs at the receptor level and are mainly found in BRAF V600E-initiated serrated adenomas that advance into WNT-low mucinous adenocarcinomas with poor prognosis upon metastasis[3–6]. How *RNF43* mutations contribute to tumour progression remains unclear. Here, we employed gene editing to repair *RNF43* mutations in patient-derived CRC organoids. In comparison to their *RNF43*-mutant counterparts, *RNF43*-corrected CRC organoids display a diminished mucinous phenotype, loss of niche factor independency and loss of metastatic capacity upon orthotopic transplantation in mice. Mechanistically, mutant RNF43 promotes formation of a non-dividing secretory cell population that secretes essential growth factors and provide a state of self-sufficiency to the cancer epithelium. Unlike APC mutations, we show that RNF43-mutations provide tuneable WNT levels that permits the formation of these niche-like cells in parallel to proliferative WNT-high cancer cells. Also in patient samples, formation of these tumour-intrinsic niche cells (TINCs) correlate with *RNF43*-mutant CRC, mucinous histology and metastatic capacity and represents a cellular mechanism by which tumours acquire self-sufficiency.

Main

Self-renewal of human colon stem cells is controlled by specialized epithelial and mesenchymal niche cells that secrete essential factors to promote growth and prevent differentiation[7]. Different from healthy adult colon stem cells, patient-derived CRC cells commonly display loss of niche factor-dependency during *in vitro* outgrowth into organoids (Extended Data Fig. 1a), which correlates with their metastatic capacity *in vivo*[8,9]. While *APC*-mutant CRC organoids typically display WNT-independent growth, *RNF43/BRAF*-mutant CRC organoids are sensitive to Porcupine inhibition, indicating their growth depends on self-produced WNT ligands (Extended Data Fig. 1b)[9,10]. Despite their dependence on WNTs, *RNF43/BRAF*-mutant CRC organoids are characterized by relatively low levels of WNT target gene expression and an increased expression of mucin-related genes (Extended Data Fig. 1c).

To address the functional driver role of mutated *RNF43*, we employed the *RNF43/BRAF*-mutant human CRC organoid line (HUB040; Extended Data Fig. 1) derived from a primary human mucinous colorectal adenocarcinoma that presented with prominent metastasis in the patient[11]. We employed gene editing to correct the mutated *RNF43* locus to wildtype and obtained two *RNF43*-corrected clones (RC1, RC2) and one non-corrected control clone (NC1) (Fig. 1a, b). Whole genome sequencing of all clones confirmed preservation of pre-existing HUB040 driver mutations, other than *RNF43* (Extended Data Fig. 2a). Karyotypes of HUB040, NC1 and RC2 were similar, while RC1 was somewhat divergent, mainly due to whole genome duplication (Extended Data Fig. 2b-g). To investigate metastatic capacity, we performed orthotopic transplantation of organoids into the caecal wall of immune-deficient mice[12]. After 120 days, HUB040 and NC1 had formed primary tumours that spontaneously metastasized to the lungs and the liver (Fig. 1c, d, Extended Data Fig. 3a). Strikingly, for transplanted *RNF43*-corrected organoids no metastatic lesions were detected (Fig. 1c, d), even though their primary tumour load equalled that of *RNF43*-mutant counterparts (Extended Data

Fig. 3a). Thus, by restoring a single mutation we eliminated the metastatic capacity of this

aggressive cancer model. These data suggest a key role for mutated *RNF43* in promoting

metastasis.

Next, we assessed organoid niche-independency by performing single cell

reconstitution assays in growth factor-depleted conditions. Like HUB040, *RNF43*-mutant NC1

organoids retained their capacity to expand in medium lacking extrinsic growth factors (Fig.

1e, Extended Data Fig. 3b-d). By contrast, *RNF43*-repaired lines RC1 and RC2 acquired a

strong dependence on supplementation with WNT, RSPO and EGF (Fig. 1e, Extended Data

Fig. 3b-d). These results were unexpected, as introduction of *RNF43* mutations in healthy

human colon-derived or mouse intestinal organoids are known to enhance WNT sensitivity[6],

but are not known to alter EGF dependency. Our data thus imply a role for *RNF43* mutations

in promoting cancer cell survival and growth in the absence of growth factor supplementation.

We next aimed to investigate how *RNF43* mutations affect tumour phenotype.

Transcriptome comparison of HUB40, NC1 and both RC organoid lines yielded a mutant

RNF43-driven gene expression signature that comprised numerous genes encoding growth

factors and mucins (Fig. 2a, b, Extended Data Fig. 4a, b). In line with these findings, gene sets

representing Goblet and Deep Crypt Secretory (DCS) cells of healthy colon were significantly

depleted from RC1 and RC2 in comparison to control lines (Fig. 2c)[13,14]. Furthermore, *RNF43*-

mutant tumours displayed extensive mucus secretion *in vitro* and *in vivo*, while this property

was strongly diminished upon *RNF43* correction (Fig. 2d-f), strengthening the observation that

RNF43 mutations facilitate secretory cell differentiation.

Notably, the *RNF43*-mutant signature comprised several reported niche factors

including *IGF2*, *VEGFA*, *DLL1/4* and *WNT8B* (Fig. 2a, b, Extended Data Fig. 4b). Blocking

upstream IGF and WNT signalling diminished reconstitution capacity of HUB40 CRC

organoids, which was rescued by supplementation with exogenous EGF or WNT ligands,

respectively (Fig. 2g, h), reminiscent of the growth state of *RNF43*-corrected organoids (Fig.

1e, Extended Data Fig. 3b-d). Based on these data, we hypothesized that *RNF43* mutations

accommodate the production of self-produced niche-factors that are essential for tumour

survival and growth. To test this, we generated hybrid co-cultures of *RNF43*-mutant and

RNF43-corrected organoids and monitored them over time in growth factor-depleted medium

using live imaging (Fig 2i, Supplementary Videos 1-2)[15]. While cultures of unmixed *RNF43*-

corrected organoids displayed a high ratio of cell death over mitotic events, this ratio was

reversed when *RNF43*-corrected cells shared a lumen with *RNF43*-mutant cells (Fig 2j). These

results confirm that HUB040 CRC survival relies on paracrine signalling events that are

facilitated by mutant RNF43.

In a previous study, we showed that inactivation of *Rnf43* and its paralogue *Znrf3* in the

mouse small intestine induces hyperplasia of stem cells as well as secretory Paneth cells, that

function as an intra-epithelial stem cell niche[16,17]. To investigate how *RNF43* mutations may

promote the formation of specialized niche factor-producing cells within human CRC, we first

performed single cell RNA sequencing analysis of the HUB040 organoid line. Single cell

profiles spanned seven clusters with distinct expression patterns discovered by unsupervised

clustering (Fig. 3a, Extended Data Fig. 5a). Noticeably, one population of cells (cluster 1),

representing about one-sixth of tumour cells, entirely lacked expression of proliferation and

stem cell-related genes[18] (Fig. 3b, c, Extended Data Fig. 5b). Instead, this subset displayed

prominent hallmarks of differentiated secretory niche cells, as exemplified by the expression of

gene sets related to Goblet cells (Fig. 3d), Deep Crypt Secretory (DCS) cells (Fig. 3e)[13,14],

hypoxia and glycolysis (Extended Data Fig. 5c-e)[19,20], as well as mTOR activation and the

unfolded protein response (Extended Data Fig. 5e)[21–23]. We thus identified cluster 1 as a non-

proliferating, niche factor-producing secretory cancer cell subset that we call tumour-intrinsic

niche cells (TINCs).

Subjection of healthy colon organoids to growth factor depletion caused emergence of

a TINC and DCS signature-enriched cell population, supporting the observation that TINCs

share identity with differentiated, healthy DCS cells (Extended Data Fig. 6)[13]. Notably, the

TINC gene signature was significantly downregulated in both RNF43-corrected clones

(Extended Data Fig. 5f). scRNAseq analysis of all clones in complete medium revealed that

16% of both HUB040 and NC1 cells displayed high expression of the full TINC signature

which was reduced to 1-7% of cells within RNF43-corrected clones (Fig. 3f). In agreement,

expression of prominent TINC markers was diminished in RNF43-corrected clones (Fig. 3g).

Based on these findings, we conclude that TINC formation is promoted by mutant RNF43.

To characterise mutant *RNF43*-dependent TINC cells, we focussed on *NDRG1*, that we

identified as a prominent marker gene for TINCs in HUB40 organoids, and for DCS cells in

healthy colon organoids (Extended Data Fig. 5g, Extended Data Fig. 6i). Introduction of an

IRES-linked mNeon green fluorophore within the *NDRG1* gene revealed that TINCs are

heterogeneously distributed within HUB040 (Fig. 3h, i, Extended Data Fig. 7a). Non-dividing

$NDRG1^{high}$ TINC reporter cells were interspersed with proliferative $NDRG1^{low}$ cells as shown

by KI67 labelling (Fig. 3i) and EdU incorporation (Fig. 3j). Despite their differentiated and

non-proliferative appearance, TINCs retained regenerative capacity, as isolated $NDRG1^{high}$,

$NDRG1^{medium}$ and $NDRG1^{low}$ single cells gave rise to fully grown organoids in which the

heterogeneous distribution of TINCs was restored (Fig. 3k, Extended Data Fig. 7b). Our data

thus reveal that *RNF43/BRAF*-mutant HUB040 CRC organoids display phenotypic

heterogeneity and are capable of self-organising into a mixture of non-proliferative TINCs and

stem-like cell populations.

An in-depth transcriptome characterization of sorted $NDRG1^{high}$ cells compared to

sorted $NDRG1^{medium}$ and $NDRG1^{low}$ cells (Extended Data Fig. 7c-e) generated an 'extended

TINC signature' of about 1.000 genes that robustly confirmed previously identified TINC

hallmarks (Extended Data Fig. 7f, g, 5e), including mutant *RNF43*-dependent niche factors such

as *IGF2, Dll1, IGFBP2* and *VEGFA* (Fig. 3l, m). Intriguingly, TINCs displayed a relatively

decreased expression of WNT target genes, including *RNF43* itself (Fig. 3m). These results

support a model in which *RNF43* mutations allow for tuneable WNT signalling and intra-

tumoural lineage diversification, even though all cells are *RNF43*-mutant. Lastly, marker genes

related to regeneration (*ANXA1*) and metastatic relapse (*EMP1*) were enriched in TINCs (Fig.

3m)[24,25]. We conclude that *RNF43* mutations facilitate tumour cell differentiation into niche

factor-producing cells, which explains the acquired niche-independent growth state of these

tumours.

We next explored the broader relevance of TINCs for human CRC. TINC marker gene

expression was significantly enriched in *RNF43*-mutant as well as mucinous CRC subtypes of

the cancer genomics atlas (TCGA) (Fig. 4a, b). Moreover, TINC gene expression was

significantly enhanced in lung and liver metastases compared to primary colorectal tumours

(Fig. 4c, Extended Data Fig. 8a). At the single cell level, CRC tumours were recently classified

into two intrinsic epithelial subtypes[1]. Among these, TINC signature expression was highest in

the iCMS3 group, in which *RNF43* mutations are predominantly found (Fig. 4d)[1]. Furthermore,

within iCMS3 tumours, TINC signature expression was higher in *RNF43*-mutant compared to

RNF43 wildtype cases (Fig. 4d). We corroborated these findings by re-analysis of 18.320 single

cell transcriptomes derived from CRC tumours of 28 patients, of which four were *RNF43*

mutant[1] (Extended Data Fig. 8d). Supervised clustering with batch correction resolved eight

shared CRC cell clusters. In comparison with *RNF43* wildtype CRC, *RNF43*-mutant CRC

accumulated a larger fraction of cells within two clusters (cluster 3 and 5) (Fig. 4e, f, Extended

Data Fig. 8e) that were enriched for *RNF43*-regulated genes (Extended Data Fig. 8g).

Additionally, cluster 5 was enriched for goblet cell markers, while TINC markers mapped to

cluster 3, exemplified by high *NDRG1* expression (Fig. 4g, Extended Data Fig. 8g). Thus,

RNF43-mutant CRC subsets are not only enriched for TINC marker expression but also display

an overall increased fraction of TINCs (Extended Data Fig 8f).

The fraction of TINCs was lowest in iCMS2 subgroups that is often driven by *APC*

mutations and high WNT signalling (Fig. 4d)[1]. In line, TINC marker gene expression inversely

correlated with high WNT signalling and *APC* mutations when analysed in TCGA (Extended

Data Fig. 8b, c). To investigate how *APC* mutations affect WNT signalling and TINC formation

in context of a non-iCMS2 tumour, we introduced a conventional truncating mutation in the

APC mutation cluster region of the HUB040 organoid. Surprisingly, and in contrast to healthy

colon organoids[26], biallelic targeting of *APC* was rather inefficient in HUB040 (Extended Data

Fig. 9a), in line with the observed mutual exclusivity of *APC* mutations in *BRAF/RNF43*-mutant

human cancers[27]. Compared to HUB040$^{APC+/-}$ clones that we obtained, HUB040$^{APC-/-}$ organoid

clones displayed prominent WNT/β-catenin target gene expression, enhanced nuclear β-catenin

levels and acquired resistance to treatment with WNT secretion inhibitors (Fig. 5a, b Extended

Data Fig. 9b-d). Furthermore, introduction of truncated APC induced enrichment of gene

signatures representing transit amplifying (TA) cells and stem-like cells, while secretory cell

profiles and the TINC signature were significantly reduced (Fig 5c, d)[28]. Single cell analysis

revealed that introduction of an *APC* mutation shifted HUB040 cell identity and composition,

as shown by an increased fraction of WNT-high cells and a reduced fraction of cells expressing

the TINC signature (Fig. 5e, f, Extended Data Fig. 9e-g). These alterations were not compatible

with efficient growth, as HUB040$^{APC-/-}$ organoids were outcompeted by HUB040^{APCwt}

organoids during co-culture (Fig. 5g). We conclude that *APC* mutations, in context of a

BRAF/RNF43-mutant tumour background, interfere with intra-epithelial niche formation that

is otherwise facilitated by mutant *RNF43*.

We hypothesized that adjustable levels of WNT signalling are vital for *BRAF/RNF43*-

mutant tumour self-organising capacity. Indeed, constitutive pharmacological activation of

WNT signalling (CHIR99021) was not tolerated by HUB040 organoids (Fig. 5h). Furthermore,

HUB040 organoids treated with WNT inhibitors for 24 and 48 hours (Extended Data Fig. 9h-

j) revealed an incremental downregulation of proliferative, stem and TA signatures and an

increase in differentiation signatures, including TINC (Fig. 5c, d). In line with these findings,

the number and brightness of TINC reporter cells in HUB040 increased upon WNT inhibitor

treatment (Fig. 5i, Extended Data Fig. 9k). Thus, although *BRAF/RNF43*-mutant mucinous

cancers are wired towards lower levels of WNT signalling that are optimal to facilitate secretory

differentiation, a threshold of WNT signalling in a subset of cancer cells is still required to

prevent terminal differentiation and maintain self-renewal of proliferative cells (Fig. 5j).

Self-organisation principles are known to promote tumour progression in CRC[29–36], but

thus far the underlying genetic driver events remained poorly understood. In this study, we

show that *RNF43* mutations facilitate the generation of tumour-intrinsic NDRG1^{high}/VEGFA[high]

secretory niche cells. Different from classical *APC* mutations that promote uniform high WNT

signalling in all tumour cells, *RNF43* mutations allow tuneable WNT activity to drive a growth

state that relies on interactions between dividing and non-dividing cancer cell populations (Fig.

5k). This role of mutated *RNF43* is reminiscent of the concomitant hyperplasia of stem cells

and Paneth cells induced by co-deletion of *Rnf43/Znrf3* from the mouse small intestine[16]. In

human colon cancer development, however, *RNF43* mutations arise after tumour-initiating

BRAF mutations[3,6,27]. Consistently, our results indicate that *RNF43* mutations are crucial for

metastatic spread, but not for tumour initiation. As niche-independency correlates with

metastatic capacity in CRC[9], it is tempting to speculate that induced TINC formation is a

prerequisite for metastasis to distant organs. In line with this assumption, recent work has

uncovered phenotypic plasticity as a hallmark of CRC metastasis[33,35,36]. While we reveal a key

role of adjustable WNT signalling for TINC formation and *BRAF/RNF43*-mutant tumour

survival and growth, additional pathways may play a role. *RNF43* truncating mutations were

recently linked to activation of the PI3K-Akt-mTOR pathway[37], which also mediates activation of cellular programs linked to glycolysis and hypoxia[38], as well as Goblet and Paneth cell differentiation in the mouse intestine and human intestinal organoids[22,23,39,40]. Our findings further indicate that the cancer driver activity of WNT mutations relies on a specific mutational context. Additionally, we found that *RNF43* mutations are causative to a mucinous CRC phenotype. How excessive mucus production links to cancer development and metastasis formation remains incompletely understood[41], although roles in immune evasion, growth factor trapping and chemoprotection were proposed[42]. Thus, our observations likely have wider implications for other *RNF43*-mutant cancers with mucinous histology, including intraductal mucinous carcinoma of the pancreas and mucinous ovarian cancer[43–45]. Our study demonstrates that genetic correction of putative driver genes in patient-derived organoids is a powerful approach to examine the mechanistic role of these mutations in an advanced human cancer context.

References

1.   Joanito, I. *et al.* Single-cell and bulk transcriptome sequencing identifies two epithelial tumor cell states and refines the consensus molecular classification of colorectal cancer. *Nat. Genet.* **54**, 963–975 (2022).

2.   Nguyen, L. H., Goel, A. & Chung, D. C. Pathways of Colorectal Carcinogenesis. *Gastroenterology* **158**, 291–302 (2020).

3.   Chen, B. *et al.* Differential pre-malignant programs and microenvironment chart distinct paths to malignancy in human colorectal polyps. *Cell* **184**, 6262-6280.e26 (2021).

4.   Bugter, J. M., Fenderico, N. & Maurice, M. M. Mutations and mechanisms of WNT

pathway tumour suppressors in cancer. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* **21**, 5–21 (2021).

5.   McCarthy, A. J., Serra, S. & Chetty, R. Traditional serrated adenoma: an overview of

pathology and emphasis on molecular pathogenesis. *BMJ Open Gastroenterol.* **6**,

e000317 (2019).

6.   Yan, H. H. N. N. *et al.* RNF43 germline and somatic mutation in serrated neoplasia

pathway and its association with BRAF mutation. *Gut* **66**, 1645–1656 (2017).

7.   Spit, M., Koo, B. K. & Maurice, M. M. Tales from the crypt: Intestinal niche signals in

tissue renewal, plasticity and cancer. *Open Biol.* **8**, (2018).

8.   Fumagalli, A. *et al.* Genetic dissection of colorectal cancer progression by orthotopic

transplantation of engineered cancer organoids. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **114**,

E2357–E2364 (2017).

9.   Fujii, M. *et al.* A Colorectal Tumor Organoid Library Demonstrates Progressive Loss

of Niche Factor Requirements during Tumorigenesis. *Cell Stem Cell* **18**, 827–838

(2016).

10.   Van De Wetering, M. *et al.* Prospective derivation of a living organoid biobank of

colorectal cancer patients. *Cell* **161**, 933–945 (2015).

11.   Ubink, I. *et al.* Organoids from colorectal peritoneal metastases as a platform for

improving hyperthermic intraperitoneal chemotherapy. *Br. J. Surg.* **106**, 1404–1414

(2019).

12.   Fumagalli, A. *et al.* A surgical orthotopic organoid transplantation approach in mice to

visualize and study colorectal cancer progression. *Nat. Protoc.* **13**, 235–247 (2018).

13.   Sasaki, N. *et al.* Reg4+ deep crypt secretory cells function as epithelial niche for Lgr5+

stem cells in colon. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **113**, E5399–E5407 (2016).

14.   Wang, Y. *et al.* Single-cell transcriptome analysis reveals differential nutrient

260   absorption functions in human intestine. *J. Exp. Med.* **217**, (2020).

261   15.   Krotenberg Garcia, A., van Rheenen, J. & Suijkerbuijk, S. J. E. Generation of mixed

262   murine organoids to model cellular interactions. *STAR Protoc.* **2**, 100997 (2021).

263   16.   Koo, B. K. *et al.* Tumour suppressor RNF43 is a stem-cell E3 ligase that induces

264   endocytosis of Wnt receptors. *Nature* **488**, 665–669 (2012).

265   17.   Sato, T. *et al.* Paneth cells constitute the niche for Lgr5 stem cells in intestinal crypts.

266   *Nature* **469**, 415–418 (2011).

267   18.   Oost, K. C. *et al.* Specific Labeling of Stem Cell Activity in Human Colorectal

268   Organoids Using an ASCL2-Responsive Minigene. *Cell Rep.* **22**, 1600–1614 (2018).

269   19.   Rodríguez-Colman, M. J. *et al.* Interplay between metabolic identities in the intestinal

270   crypt supports stem cell function. *Nature* **543**, 424–427 (2017).

271   20.   Glover, L. E., Lee, J. S. & Colgan, S. P. Oxygen metabolism and barrier regulation in

272   the intestinal mucosa. *J. Clin. Invest.* **126**, 3680–3688 (2016).

273   21.   Huang, J. *et al.* Unfolded protein response in colorectal cancer. *Cell Biosci.* **11**, 1–16

274   (2021).

275   22.   Sampson, L. L., Davis, A. K., Grogg, M. W. & Zheng, Y. MTOR disruption causes

276   intestinal epithelial cell defects and intestinal atrophy postinjury in mice. *FASEB J.* **30**,

277   1263–1275 (2016).

278   23.   He, G. *et al.* Optimized human intestinal organoid model reveals interleukin-22-

279   dependency of paneth cell formation. *Cell Stem Cell* 1–13 (2022).

280   doi:10.1016/j.stem.2022.08.002

24.   Cañellas-Socias, A. *et al.* Metastatic recurrence in colorectal cancer arises from

residual EMP1+ cells. *Nature* (2022). doi:10.1038/s41586-022-05402-9

25.   Vasquez, E. G. *et al.* Dynamic and adaptive cancer stem cell population admixture in

colorectal neoplasia. *Cell Stem Cell* **29**, 1213-1228.e8 (2022).

26.   Drost, J. *et al.* Sequential cancer mutations in cultured human intestinal stem cells.

Nature **521**, 43–47 (2015).

27.   Giannakis, M. *et al.* RNF43 is frequently mutated in colorectal and endometrial

cancers. *Nat. Genet.* **46**, 1264–1266 (2014).

28.   Michels, B. E. *et al.* Human colon organoids reveal distinct physiologic and oncogenic

Wnt responses. *J. Exp. Med.* **216**, 704–720 (2019).

29.   Uhlitz, F. *et al.* Mitogen-activated protein kinase activity drives cell trajectories in

colorectal cancer. *EMBO Mol. Med.* **13**, 1–17 (2021).

30.   Miller, S. A. *et al.* LSD1 and aberrant DNA methylation mediate persistence of

enteroendocrine progenitors that support BRAF-mutant colorectal cancer. *Cancer Res.*

81, 3791–3805 (2021).

31.   Shimokawa, M. *et al.* Visualization and targeting of LGR5+ human colon cancer stem

cells. *Nature* **545**, 187–192 (2017).

32.   Morral, C. *et al.* Zonation of Ribosomal DNA Transcription Defines a Stem Cell

Hierarchy in Colorectal Cancer. *Cell Stem Cell* **26**, 845-861.e12 (2020).

33.   Sacchetti, A. *et al.* Phenotypic plasticity underlies local invasion and distant metastasis

in colon cancer. *Elife* **10**, 1–28 (2021).

34.   Van Der Heijden, M. *et al.* Spatiotemporal regulation of clonogenicity in colorectal

cancer xenografts. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **116**, 6140–6145 (2019).

35.   Fumagalli, A. *et al.* Plasticity of Lgr5-Negative Cancer Cells Drives Metastasis in

Colorectal Cancer. *Cell Stem Cell* **26**, 569-578.e7 (2020).

36.   Heinz, M. C. *et al.* Liver Colonization by Colorectal Cancer Metastases Requires YAP-

Controlled Plasticity at the Micrometastatic Stage. *Cancer Res.* **82**, 1953–1968 (2022).

37.   Fang, L. *et al.* RNF43 G659fs is an oncogenic colorectal cancer mutation and sensitizes

tumor cells to PI3K/mTOR inhibition. *Nat. Commun.* **13**, 1–12 (2022).

38.   Hoxhaj, G. & Manning, B. D. The PI3K–AKT network at the interface of oncogenic

signalling and cancer metabolism. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* **20**, 74–88 (2020).

39.   Zhou, Y., Rychahou, P., Wang, Q., Weiss, H. L. & Evers, B. M. TSC2/mTORC1

signaling controls Paneth and goblet cell differentiation in the intestinal epithelium.

Cell Death Dis. **6**, 1–10 (2015).

40.   Araujo, A. *et al.* IFN-γ mediates Paneth cell death via suppression of mTOR. *Elife* **10**,

1–19 (2021).

41.   Hugen, N., Brown, G., Glynne-Jones, R., De Wilt, J. H. W. & Nagtegaal, I. D.

Advances in the care of patients with mucinous colorectal cancer. *Nat. Rev. Clin.*

Oncol. **13**, 361–369 (2016).

42.   Kufe, D. W. Mucins in cancer: function, prognosis and therapy. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* **9**,

874–885 (2009).

43.   Ryland, G. L. *et al.* RNF43 is a tumour suppressor gene mutated in mucinous tumours

of the ovary. *J. Pathol.* **229**, 469–476 (2013).

44.   Lee, J. H., Kim, Y., Choi, J. W. & Kim, Y. S. KRAS, GNAS, and RNF43 mutations in

intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm of the pancreas: a meta-analysis. *Springerplus*

5, (2016).

45.   Sakamoto, H. *et al.* Clinicopathological significance of somatic RNF43 mutation and

aberrant expression of ring finger protein 43 in intraductal papillary mucinous

neoplasms of the pancreas. *Mod. Pathol.* **28**, 261–267 (2015).

Figures

Fig. 1 | Restoring *RNF43* mutations in patient derived organoids prevents metastasis and niche-independent outgrowth. a. Schematic of experimental setup of this study. **b.** Sanger sequencing traces of *RNF43*-corrected clones (RC1, RC2) and non-corrected control clone (NC1) compared to the locus of the HUB040 CRC organoid. **c.** Table showing tumour forming efficiency and tumour/metastatic load in caecum, liver and lung, 120 days after transplantation. Tumour and metastatic load were determined as human nucleoli area over total tissue area. **d.** Representative human nucleoli stainings of caecum, lung and liver of mice, 120 days after transplantation of indicated HUB040 clones. Scale bar = 200 μm **e.** Normalized viability of *RNF43* mutant and *RNF43*-corrected HUB040 clones treated as in Extended Data Fig. 3b, c. Results of six experiments are presented, including one to three replicates per condition per experiment (n =12 per condition in total). Data are normalised against mean value in complete

medium per experiment. Kruskal-Wallis test is used to compare *RNF43* mutant and corrected

within each condition.

Fig. 2 | ***RNF43*** **mutation drives mucinous differentiation and niche factor production. a,**

b. Scatter plots showing log2 fold change of gene expression between *RNF43*-corrected clones,

RC1 (Y-axis) and RC2 (X-axis), and HUB040 (**a**) or non-corrected clone 1 (NC1) (**b**). Genes

with significant differential expression (FC > 1.5 and p < 0.05) in both comparisons are

indicated as larger red dots. Downregulated genes of interest are annotated. Total number of

genes that are up- or downregulated in both comparisons is plotted in the corners. **c.** GSEA plots showing enrichment of a colonic goblet cell signature[14] and REG4+ deep crypt secretory cell (DCS) signature[13] in HUB040 compared to RC1 or RC2. **d.** Representative confocal microscopy images of organoids stained for mucin2 (MUC2, in red), actin (phalloidin, green) and nuclei (dapi, blue). Scale bar = 10 µm. **e.** Representative IHC images for mucin2 (MUC2) on primary subcutaneous tumours. Scale bar = 250 µm. **f.** Box plots showing the percentage of MUC2+ tumour area in primary subcutaneous tumours. Significance was evaluated using a Krusak-Wallis test. **g, h.** Representative low-magnification brightfield images of HUB040 organoids treated with 100 nM PORCN inhibitor LGK-974 (**g**), 1 µM IR/IGFR inhibitor Linsitinib (**h**) or DMSO in CRC culture medium with or without WNT surrogate (**g**) or EGF (**h**) supplementation for 14 days, passaged after 7 days. Scale bar = 1000 µm. **i.** Representative 3D projected images of time-lapse series of hybrid HUB040-RC2 or pure RC2 organoids in CRC medium at the start and end of the time-lapse; nuclei are visualized by expression of H2B-mNeon or H2B-mCherry. Scale bar represent 20 µm. **j.** Result of analysis of RC2-cell fate over time in the two conditions. Cell death and mitotic events were counted for all RC2-H2B-mNeon cells in 13-14 movies per condition. The ratio of number of cell death over mitotic events is shown.

Fig. 3 | *RNF43* **mutation promotes tumour-intrinsic niche cell formation. a.** Reduced

dimensionality (UMAP) visualisation of single cell RNA sequencing data of HUB040

organoids cultured in CRC medium. Results of unsupervised clustering are colour-coded per

cluster. **b, c.** Violin plots showing scaled single cell expression levels of proliferation marker

MKI67 (**b**) and cancer stem-cell marker ASCL2 (**c**) grouped by cluster. **d, e.** Violin plots

showing single cell module scores for expression of genes from a colonic goblet cell signature[14]

(**d**) and REG4+ deep crypt secretory cell (DCS) signature[13] (**e**) grouped by cluster. **f.** Plot

showing the fraction of cells that were scored as TINC in the analysis of single cell RNA

sequencing data of the four indicated organoid lines. **g.** Dot-plot showing the average

expression and fraction of cells expressing indicated TINC marker genes. **h.** Schematic of the

endogenous TINC reporter construct. **i.** Representative confocal microscopy pictures of TINC

reporter-positive cells (mNeon) in HUB040 organoids, combined with KI67 immunostaining.

Scale bar = 10 μm. **j.** FACS plot of HUB040 TINC reporter organoids gated for low, medium

or high mNeon signal showing the result of EdU labelling after 16h incubation with EdU. **k.**

Histograms showing distribution of mNeon fluorescence by FACS of TINC reporter organoids

that were sorted according to Extended Data Fig. 7a into mNeon-high, -medium and -low cells

and allowed to reconstitute into heterogeneous organoids for 12 days. **l.** GSEA plots showing

enrichment of the TINC signature that was derived from single cell RNAseq and the 'RNF43-

mutation dependent secretory gene signature' as defined in Extended Data Fig.4b for TINC

reporter high versus low cells. **m.** Heatmap showing Z-scores for the expression of selected

genes (TINC markers, growth factors, WNT target genes and regenerative genes) in the TINC

reporter low, medium and high cells.

Fig. 4 | TINCs are enriched in *RNF43* mutant CRC patients and metastatic lesions. a, b.

Box plots showing log2 Z-scores for the TINC signature (top 25 differentially expressed marker

genes of HUB040 cluster 1 as determined in single cell RNAseq analysis) over the colon

adenocarcinoma TCGA bulk RNA sequencing dataset grouped by *RNF43*-mutation status (n =

46 mut, 337 wt) (**a**) or pathology classification as mucinous (n = 37) or non-mucinous (n = 346)

(**b**). **c.** Box plots showing expression of TINC signature (RMA normalization data) in

microarray data of 186 primary tumours, 48 liver metastases and 20 lung metastases.[46] **d.** Violin

plot showing single cell module scores for expression of genes from the TINC signature of

single cells from 39 patients of Joanito et al[1] (iCMS2, 25 patients; iCMS3_RNF43wt, 10

patients; iCMS3_RNF43mut, 4 patients). Percentage of cells scoring above threshold of 0.55 is

indicated. **e.** Reduced dimensionality (UMAP) visualisation of supervised clustering using 154

TINC signature genes of 18.320 single cells from 28 patients by Joanito et al[1]. **f.** Box plots

showing the fraction of cells in cluster 3 or 5, divided by *RNF43* mutation status per patient.

410   The red dot marks a patient that has a *RNF43* and *APC* mutation. **g.** Violin plot showing single

411   cell module scores for expression of genes from the TINC signature grouped by cluster.

Fig. 5 | A tight window of WNT signalling balances tumour niche cells and stem cells. a.

Fig. 5 | A tight window of WNT signalling balances tumour niche cells and stem cells. a.

Representative confocal microscopy pictures of organoids with IF labelling for β-catenin. Scale

bar = 20 μm. **b.** Violin plot showing quantification of nuclear β-catenin signal for 205-231 cells

per organoid line. **c, d.** Results of GSEA analysis comparing HUB040$^{APC-/-}$ with HUB040

(WNT activation, blue) and HUB040 treated with 100 nM LGK-974 for 24 or 48 hours with

DMSO treatment (WNT inhibition, orange and green) by gene signatures of colon-specific cell

types[13,14] (**c**) or HUB040-specific clusters as determined in Fig. 3a (**d**). x-axis shows the

normalized enrichment score (NES) and size of the dots shows the false discovery rate (FDR).

e. Violin plots showing single cell module scores for expression of genes from the HUB040

TINC signature grouped by organoid line of origin. **f.** Scatter plot showing inverse relation

between single cell module scores for expression of genes from the HUB040 TINC signature

and the Van der Flier WNT signature[47]. Colour of dots indicates line of origin. **g.** Line graph

showing fraction of *APC* mutant DNA after each passage in the competition assay of indicated

lines. n = 3. **h.** Box plots showing the relative viability of HUB040 organoids after 14 days

treatment with 5 μM CHIR99021 or DMSO control. n = 3 per condition. **i.** Representative

confocal microscopy images of HUB040 NDRG1-IRES-mNeon (TINC reporter) organoids

after three days treatment with LGK-974 or DMSO control. Scale bar = 50 μm. **j.** Schematic

representation of the optimal window of WNT-signalling for fitness of colorectal mucinous

adenocarcinomas. **k.** Diagram of the biological model presented in the discussion comparing

two routes towards of niche-independency in cancer.

Extended Data Figures

Extended Data Fig. 1 | Patient-derived *RNF43* mutant CRC organoids are growth factor self-sufficient. a. Representative brightfield images showing healthy colon organoids and HUB040 CRC organoids cultured in CRC culture medium or complete colon organoid medium (including WNT, EGF and RSPO) for one passage and 14 days in total. Scale bar = 1000 μm. **b.** Line graphs showing normalized viability of HUB040 and P26T organoids treated with indicated concentration of PORCN inhibitor C59 for 7 days. Data were normalized to PBS-treated organoids. n = 2, mean + sd **c.** Heatmap of gene expression data for WNT markers or

 mucinous markers over a panel of CRC organoids (n = 8). Presence of *RNF43* or *APC* mutation

 is indicated on top. Unsupervised clustering into four groups shows that *RNF43*-mutant

 organoids cluster together in a low-WNT, high-mucinous group. Coloured bar represents row

 z-scores of normalised counts

 Extended Data Fig. 2 | Whole genome sequencing analyses of HUB040 and clonal organoid

 lines. a. Oncoplot showing high-confidence putative driver mutations derived from whole

 genome sequencing data of HUB040, normal colon organoids, NC1, RC1 and RC2. **b-e.** In

 silico karyograms of HUB040 naïve CRC organoids (**b**), Non-corrected control HUB040 clone

 (NC1) (**c**), *RNF43*-corrected clone 1 (RC1) (**d**) and *RNF43*-corrected clone 2 (RC2) (**e**). **f, g.**

455   B-allele frequency (BAF) plot for *RNF43*-corrected clone 1 (**f**) and RC2 (**g**) which is indicative

456   of a whole genome duplication event for RC1.

Extended Data Fig. 3 | Extended data belonging to Fig. 1. a. Box plots showing the fraction

of tumour tissue relative to total tissue area for caecum, liver and lungs in mice that had a

tumour or metastasis (see Fig. 1c for statistics). **b, c.** Representative low-magnification (2x, **b**)

and high-magnification (10x, **c**) brightfield images of HUB040-derived clones cultured in CRC

medium supplemented with indicated growth factors for 14 days, passaged after 7 days. Scale

bar = 1000 μm (**b**) or 200 μm (**c**). **d.** Representative low-magnification brightfield images of

indicated HUB040 clones treated with 100 nM PORCN inhibitor LGK-974 or similar volume

of DMSO in CRC culture medium with or without EGF supplementation for 14 days, passaged

after 7 days. Scale bar = 1000 μm.

Extended Data Fig. 4 | Extended data belonging to Fig 2. a. Principle component analysis of

RNA sequencing data of HUB040 and *RNF43*-corrected and non-corrected clones. **b.** Heatmap

of downregulated secretory genes in *RNF43*-corrected HUB040 from a list of 3000+ genes

encoding for secreted proteins, as predicted by MDSEC.[48] Coloured bar represents row z-scores

of normalised gene counts.

Extended Data Fig. 5 | Extended data single cell RNAseq HUB040. a. Single cell expression

heatmap showing row Z-scores for top 25 marker genes for each cluster. Marker genes for

cluster 1 are highlighted and later used as 'TINC signature'. **b.** Reduced dimensionality

(UMAP) visualisation with cell cycle phase as determined by Seurat Analysis. **c, d.** Violin plots

showing single cell module scores for expression of genes from the HALLMARK glycolysis

(c) and hypoxia (d) signatures[49] grouped by cluster. **e.** Bar plot showing p-value of the top ten

enriched HALLMARK gene signatures in the TINC marker genes list. **f. g.** Results of GSEA

comparing *RNF43*-corrected and non-corrected clones with HUB040 naïve by marker gene

signatures for three HUB040-specific clusters (CSC clusters 0 and 5 and TINC cluster 1). x-

axis shows the normalized enrichment score (NES) and size of the dots represents the false

discovery rate (FDR). **h.** Violin plots showing single cell expression levels for NDRG1.

Extended Data Fig. 6 | Single cell RNAseq normal colon organoids (HUB040N). a.

Representative brightfield images of HUB040N normal colon organoid cultures in CRC

medium or full (HCO) medium that were used for single cell isolation and sequencing. Scale

bar = 1000 μm. **b.** Dimension reduction (UMAP) plot of single cell RNA sequencing data of

HUB040N organoids. Results of unsupervised clustering are colour-coded per cluster. **c, d.**

UMAP visualisation plotted for tissue of origin (**c**) or cell cycle phase (**d**) plotted. **e-h.** Violin

plots showing single cell module scores for expression of genes from the HUB040 TINC (**e**).

REG4+ deep crypt secretory cell (DCS)[13] (**f**). HALLMARK glycolysis[49] (**g**) or HALLMARK

hypoxia[49] (**h**) signature grouped by cluster. **i, j.** Violin plots showing single cell expression

levels for NDRG1 (**i**) and REG4 (**j**).

Extended Data Fig. 7 | Extended data TINC reporter. a. Histogram of mNeon signal for

FACS sorted organoid: sorted populations are indicated. **b.** Representative confocal microscopy

images of HUB040 NDRG1-IRES-mNeon (TINC reporter) organoids 0, 4, 7 and 14 days after

plating of sorted cell populations. Scale bar = 50 μm. **c-e.** Volcano plots of differential gene

expression of mNeon-low vs mNeon-high (**c**), mNeon-medium vs mNeon-high (**d**) and mNeon-

low vs mNeon-medium (**e**) cells. Significantly (FC > 1.5 and p < 0.05) up and down regulated

genes are plotted as red and blue dots respectively. **f.** Venn-diagram comparing differentially

expressed genes as determined in **c** and **d** and defining and 'Extended TINC signature' as genes

508   upregulated in mNeon high cells compared to mNeon medium and mNeon low cells. **g.** Bar

509   plot showing p-value of the top ten enriched HALLMARK gene signatures in the Extended

510   TINC signature.

Extended Data Fig. 8 | Extended data belonging to Fig 4. a. Box plots showing expression

of TINC signature (log2 FPKM +1) in RNA sequencing data of 18 paired primary colon

tumours and liver metastases[50]. **b, c.** Box plots showing log2 Z-scores for the TINC signature

over the colon adenocarcinoma TCGA bulk RNA sequencing dataset grouped by WNT

signalling pathway activity as the upper and lower quartile of the Z-scores for the intestinal

WNT/TCF signature[47] (n = 95 each) (**b**) or *APC* mutation status (n = 277 mut, 106 wt) (**c**). **d.**

Oncoplot showing driver mutation status of the 28 patients included in single cell sequencing

cohort[1]. **e.** Alluvial plot comparing the fractions of cells per cluster for *RNF43* wildtype or

RNF43 mutant patient groups. **f.** Violin plots showing single cell module scores for expression

of genes from the TINC signature grouped by *RNF43*-mutation status. **g.** Marker gene analysis

of the single cell patient data. Genes of interest are coloured red.

Extended Data Fig. 9 | Extended data belonging to Fig 5. a. Pie charts showing the various genotypes found in *APC* targeted single clones of HUB040 and HUB040 RC2 organoids. **b.** Western blot showing truncated APC protein expression in homozygous *APC* mutant HUB040. **c.** Bar plot showing expression values, as measured by RT-qPCR, of classical WNT target genes in HUB040 organoids with CRISPR induced heterozygous (two clones) or homozygous (one

clone) *APC* mutation normalized to naïve HUB040. **d.** Line graph showing relative viability of

naïve HUB040 organoids, or HUB040 with heterozygous or homozygous *APC* mutation after

seven days treatment with indicated concentration of PORCN-inhibitor LGK-974 or DMSO

control. Dots indicate mean and SD. n = 3. **e.** Dimension reduction (UMAP) plot of single cell

RNA sequencing data of naïve and *APC* mutant HUB040 organoids. Results of unsupervised

clustering are colour-coded per cluster. **f.** UMAP colour-coded per organoid line of origin. **g.**

Violin plots showing single cell module scores for expression of genes from the HUB040 TINC

signature grouped by cell cluster. **h.** Representative 10x brightfield images of organoid cultures

used for RNA isolation. Organoids were treated with 100 μM LGK-974 for 24 or 48 hours, or

with vehicle control (DMSO). Scale bar = 200 μm. **i, j.** Volcano plot of differential gene

expression between 24h (**i**) or 48h (**j**) LGK-974 treated HUB040 organoid versus DMSO treated

HUB040 organoids. Significantly (FC > 1.5 and p < 0.05) up and down regulated genes are

plotted as red and blue dots respectively. **k.** Histogram showing FACS analysis of HUB040

NDRG1-IRES-mNeon reporter organoids after three days treatment with LGK-974 or DMSO

control. Mean fluorescence intensity is indicated.

Methods

Patient material

The collection of colorectal tissue for the generation of healthy colon and CRC organoids was performed according to the guidelines of the European Network of Research Ethics Committees (EUREC) following European, national and local law. In all cases, patients signed informed consent after ethical committees approved the study protocols. Organoids identified by HUB codes *HUB-02-B2-040* (HUB040, CRC) and *HUB-02-A2-040* (HUB040N, normal colon) are catalogued at https://huborganoids.nl/ and can be requested at info@huborganoids.nl. Distribution to third (academic or commercial) parties will have to be authorized by the Biobank Research Ethics Committee of the University Medical Center Utrecht (TCBio) at request of Hubrecht Organoid Technology (HUB). P26T (CRC) was established in a previous study.[10]

Organoid culture

Healthy colon organoids and CRC organoids were cultured according to previous protocols[10]. In short, organoids were cultured in drops of Matrigel (Corning) or BME (R&D systems), and the medium was refreshed every two or three days. CRC organoids were passaged using TrypLE Express (Life Technologies) incubation at 37 °C. Healthy colon organoid were passaged using mechanical shearing (pipetting). Healthy colon organoids were cultured in advanced DMEM/F12 medium (Invitrogen), supplemented with 1% Penicillin/Streptomycin (P/S, Lonza), 1% Hepes buffer (Invitrogen), 1% Glutamax (Invitrogen), 1x B27 (Invitrogen), 10 mM Nicotinamide (Sigma-Aldrich), 1.25 mM N-acetylcysteine (Sigma-Aldrich), 50 ng/mL EGF (PeproTech), 500 nM TGF-b type I receptor inhibitor A83-01 (Tocris), 10 μM P38 inhibitor SB202190 (Sigma-Aldrich), 50% Wnt3a-CM (homemade) or 1% surrogate WNT-CM (homemade)[51], 10% Noggin-CM (homemade), 20% Rspo1-CM (homemade) and 100 μg/mL

Primocin (Invitrogen) (full medium). Colorectal carcinoma organoids were cultured in medium

without EGF, WNT and RSPO (CRC medium), unless stated otherwise. *RNF43*-corrected

(RC), non-corrected (NC) HUB040 clones were maintained in full HCO medium to prevent

negative selection against growth factor dependence.

CRISPR strategy and design

To correct the *RNF43* P441fs mutation back to wildtype, HUB040 organoids were

electroporated with a single stranded HDR template (ss-oligo) and Cas9-gRNA. The ss-oligo

was designed according to previously established optimal rules.[52,53] Briefly, the *RNF43*

mutation in HUB040 is a five base pair duplication, which was corrected in the template. The

template was an asymmetric template oligo complementary to the non-target strand overlapping

the Cas9 cut site with 36 bp extension on the PAM-distal side, and with a 91-bp extension on

the PAM-proximal side of the break. The ss-oligo was ordered at Integrated DNA Technologies

with phosphorothioate modifications of the last two nucleotide bonds at the 3' and 5' end

(sequence:

TATGCACAAGGCTGGGGAATGAGCCACCTCCAATCCACCTCACAGCACCCTGCTG

CTTGCCCAGTGCCCCTACGCCGGGCCAGGCCTCCAGACAGCAGTGGATCTGGAGA

AAGCTATTGCACAGAACGC). A gRNA was chosen using the Benchling tool

(https://benchling.com) that created a double stranded break close to the mutation (sequence:

CCAGATCCACTGCTGTCAGG) and cloned in pSpCas9(BB)-2A-Puro (Addgene, 48139).

APC mutations were introduced by targeting the mutation cluster region (MCR) in the *APC*

gene using previously reported gRNA (sequence: TACATCTGCTAAACATGAG).[26]

Reporter targeting vector was generated by altering *HR180-LGR5-iCT* plasmid (Addgene

#129094) into a plasmid compatible with insertion of homology arms using golden-gate

cloning[54]. iCaspase-T2A-tdTomato sequence was removed and swapped for mNeonGreen-NLS

using a combination of Q5® High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase and the In-Fusion HD Cloning kit

(Takara). Final targeting vector was generated by insertion of homology arms (~600bp each)

flanking the targeted region downstream of NDRG1 to obtain the NDRG1-specific *IRES-*

mNeonGreen-NLS-WPRE-bGHpA-EF1-Ruby-T2A-Puro-SV40pA targeting plasmid. gRNAs

were cloned into pSpCas9(BB)-2A-Puro using golden gate cloning (sequence:

CCGGACTCTGATCTCTGTAG)).)).

Electroporation

Organoid electroporation was performed as previously described[55]. Briefly, organoids were

grown in antibiotic-free medium including 10 µM Y-27632 (Selleck chemicals) for two days

before electroporation. 24 hours before electroporation, 1.25% DMSO was added to the culture

medium. For electroporation, the NEPA21 electroporator was used with the configuration

reported by Fujii et al.[55]. For *RNF43* correction and generation of TINC-reporter, 5 µg of Cas9-

gRNA and 5 µg template were transfected. For other CRISPR targeting, 10 µg of Cas9-gRNA

was transfected. Organoids were recovered for two days by adding medium supplemented with

10 µM Y-27632. From five days post-electroporation organoids were grown in full medium.

For CRISPR-engineered organoids, single clones were established by manual picking of

individual organoids derived from single cells and genotyped. Reporter lines were selected by

puromycin treatment and sorted for the constitutive mRuby fluorophore and single cell derived

clones were picked manually and genotyped.

Genotyping

For genotyping of genome-edited organoids, genomic DNA was isolated using QIAamp DNA

micro kit (Qiagen). PCR amplification performed using using GoTaq Flexi DNA polymerase

(Promega) and products were loaded on an agarose gel. Gel fragments of expected sizes were

isolated using QIAquick gel extraction kit (Qiagen) and sequenced by Sanger sequencing.

Knockout and Knockin efficiencies were determined using the TIDE[56]

(http://shinyapps.datacurators.nl/tide/) and TIDER[57] (http://shinyapps.datacurators.nl/tider/)

tools respectively. Manual verification of genotypes for clonal lines was done in Benchling.

HUB040 NDRG1-IRES-mNeon clonal organoid lines were genotyped to confirm homozygous

integration of the knock-in construct using gel electrophoresis of PCR products.

Primers used for genotyping are listed in Supplementary Table 1.

Whole-Genome Sequencing and mapping

Genomic DNA of naïve HUB040 and clonal organoid lines was isolated using QIAamp DNA

micro kit (Qiagen) and DNA libraries for Illumina sequencing were generated by using standard

protocols (Illumina) Libraries were sequenced on a NovaSeq 6000 S4 platform with 2 x 150

bp read length to 30 times base coverage.

Sequencing reads were mapped against human reference genome GRCh38 by using Burrows-

Wheeler Aligner v0.7.17 mapping tool[58] with settings 'bwa mem -c 100 -M'. Sequence reads

were marked for duplicates by using Sambamba[59] v0.6.8 and sequence read quality scores were

recalibrated with GATK[60] BaseRecalibrator v2.7.2. CNVs detection was performed with

Control-FREEC[61] v11.56. Full pipeline description and settings are also available

at: https://github.com/UMCUGenetics/IAP.

Mutation calling and filtering

Mutation calling and filtering was performed on multi-sample vcfs generated by IAP using HaplotypeCaller from GATK v.4.1.3.0. GATK's VariantFiltration was used for variant quality evaluation with options: "--filter-expression 'QD < 2.0' --filter-expression 'MQ < 40.0' --filter-expression 'FS > 60.0' --filter-expression 'HaplotypeScore > 13.0' --filter-expression 'MQRankSum < -12.5' --filter-expression 'ReadPosRankSum < -8.0' --filter-expression 'MQ0 >= 4 && ((MQ0 / (1.0 * DP)) > 0.1)' --filter-expression 'DP < 5' --filter-expression 'QUAL < 30' --filter-expression 'QUAL >= 30.0 && QUAL < 50.0' --filter-expression 'SOR > 4.0' --filter-name 'SNP_LowQualityDepth' --filter-name 'SNP_MappingQuality' --filter-name 'SNP_StrandBias' --filter-name 'SNP_HaplotypeScoreHigh' --filter-name 'SNP_MQRankSumLow' --filter-name 'SNP_ReadPosRankSumLow' --filter-name 'SNP_HardToValidate' --filter-name 'SNP_LowCoverage' --filter-name 'SNP_VeryLowQual' --filter-name 'SNP_LowQual' --filter-name 'SNP_SOR' -cluster 3 -window 10".

Subsequently, SNPEffFilter[62], SNPSiftDbnsfp (database dbNSFP3.2a[63];, GATK VariantAnnotator (database COSMIC v.89), and SNPSiftAnnotate (database GoNL release 5) were used for variant annotation.

Finally, to obtain catalogues of high-quality somatic mutation calls, we applied post-processing filtering steps, per sample, as described below (all scripts are available at: https://github.com/ToolsVanBox/SMuRF).

Briefly, only variants were considered if present on autosomal chromosomes, passed VariantFiltration with a GATK phred-scaled quality score ≥ 100, had a base coverage of at least 10X, had a mapping quality (MQ) score of 60, did no overlap with single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in the Single Nucleotide Polymorphism Database v146 and a panel of unmatched normal human MSC and fetal genomes (BED-file available upon request), had a

GATK genotype score (GQ) of 99 (indel/sbs in clonal sample, or indel in paired control) or

higher than 10 (sbs in paired control), had a variant allele frequency (VAF) of >=0.1 to exclude

in vitro accumulated mutations.

Identification of driver mutations

Single base substitutions or indels were considered as driver mutations when they have a MQ

of 30, a minimal base coverage of 10x, had a VAF equal or higher than 0.1, or present in driver

genes COSMIC cancer gene consensus (version of 9/5/2019), were also annotated as missense,

frameshift, stop-gain, insertion or deletion, had either a high or moderate expected effect as

annotated by SnpEff, and were not present in the Single Nucleotide Polymorphism Database

v146 and a panel of unmatched normal human MSC and fetal genomes (BED-file available

upon request). Oncoplot (Extended Data Fig. 2a) was generated with the ComplexHeatmap[64]

v2.12.0 package from Bioconductor.

Structural variant and chromosomal copy-number alteration calling was performed using the

GRIDSS-purple-linx[65] pipeline developed at the Hartwig Medical Foundation.

***In vivo* subcutaneous tumorigenesis**

Animal experiments were approved by the Competent Authority, The Netherlands (License

number AVD115002016614), which is advised by the Animal Ethics Committee. Animal work

protocol (614-1-22) was approved by the Animal Welfare Body and were performed in

accordance with the Dutch Law on Animal Experiments and the European Directive

2010/63/EU. Healthy 8–10 week old 25–30 g male *NOD.Cg-Prkdc^scid Il2rg^tm1Wjl/SzJ* (NSG)

mice were supplied by Charles River. Animals were randomly allocated in groups of three mice

into individually ventilated cages. Animals were kept at room temperature under 12 hours

light/dark cycles and received standard chow pellets and water ad libitum. HUB040 organoids

were dissociated five days after passaging into single-cells by TrypLE Express incubation for

5 min at 37 °C. A cell-matrigel (1:1) suspension was prepared with 5×10^6 cells/mL. Using a

1 mL syringe and 25G 0.5×16 mm needles, 100 µl of the suspension were subcutaneously

injected into the right flank of animals. Animal welfare was monitored by physical appearance,

behaviour, and body weight. Animals were sacrificed when the tumour volume (V) reached 1.5

mm^3, as measured by V = $1/2 \times$ (smaller diameter^2 × larger diameter). Tumour tissue was

harvested for further immunohistochemical analyses. Sample sizes are outlined in the figure

legends. Mice were randomly assigned to experimental groups. No blinding method was used

for injection. No animals were excluded from downstream analysis.

Orthotopic implantation in the caecum of NSG mice

In order to evaluate the tumourigenic capacity of the organoids, murine orthotopic caecum-

implantation model[12] was used as described in animal work protocol (614-1-22). In summary,

day before implantation, HUB040 organoids were dissociated into single cells and 2.5×10^5

cells were plated in 10 µL drops of neutralized Rat Tail High Concentrated Type I Collagen

(Corning, C3867). Cultures were allowed to recover overnight at 37 °C, 5% (vol/vol) CO2.

NSG mice were treated with a s.c. dose of Carprofen (5 mg/kg, Rimadyl^{TM}) 30 min before

surgery and were subsequently sedated by using isoflurane inhalation anesthesia [~2%

(vol/vol) isoflurane/O2 mixture]. The caecum was exteriorized through a midline abdominal

incision and a single collagen drop containing organoids was surgically transplanted in the cecal

submucosa. Carprofen was administrated s.c. post 24 hour surgery. Animal welfare was

monitored by physical appearance, behaviour, and body weight. Power analyses were carried

out prior to experiments being carried out to determine the minimum number of animals required for each experiment. These analyses were informed by previous and / or preliminary experiments. Animals were house vested in groups of four. Every group (i.e. cage) contained mixture of mice transplanted with both organoid genotypes. No animals were excluded from downstream analysis. The biotechnician was blinded to the group allocation of the animals during the experiment.

Immunohistochemistry (IHC)

Mouse tissues with or without organoid-initiated tumours/metastases were fixed in 4% formaldehyde solution and paraffin embedded. Sections of 4 μm thickness were made. Prior to the staining, tissue sections were deparaffinized and rehydrated. Endogenous peroxidase activity was blocked with 1.5% hydrogen peroxide for 30 min. Heat-induced antigen retrieval was carried out using citrate buffer pH6.0 for 20 min, followed by the cooling of tissue sections for 20 min. Sections were incubated overnight at 4 °C with primary antibodies (anti-hNucleoli clone NM95, Abcam (ab190710) 1:1000 and anti-Mucin2 clone (H-300), Santa Cruz (sc-15334), 1:100) diluted in PBS with 0.1% Sodium azide and 3% BSA. The next day, sections were washed three times with 0.05% Tween-PBS solution for 5 min, followed by a 1 hour incubation with the HRP-conjugated secondary antibody. Subsequently, sections were washed three times with 1× PBS for 5 min and developed with 3,3'-Diaminobenzidine (DAB) chromogen for 10 min at room temperature in the dark. Sections were rinsed under running tap water for 10 min and counterstained with hematoxylin, followed by dehydration and mounting.

Stainings were scanned using NanoZoomerXR whole slide scanner (Hamamatsu) at 40X magnification, with a resolution of 0.25 μm/pixel. Quantification of the scans was performed using QuPath program analysis program[66]. Target of interest (tumour or distinct

immune populations) was quantified using QuPath's Trained Pixel classification command that allows an automated recognition of background, tissue (hematoxylin) and DAB staining areas. The percentage of target of interest area was then calculated by using the following formula: DAB area / (DAB + tissue– background area) * 100.

Reconstitution assays using growth factor and drug treatments

Single cells from organoid were harvested using TrypLE incubation at 37 °C. Cells were filtered through a 40 µm nylon cell strainer (Falcon). Cells were counted and seeded in a $1*10^6$ cells / mL dilution in Matrigel/BME. Cultures were incubated in standard culture medium with indicated additions/depletions of factors and drugs, which was replenished every 2-3 days. After seven days, brightfield images were taken using an EVOS imaging system and organoids were split 1:2 similar as mentioned before, after which outgrowth was monitored again for seven days. Quantitative viability assays were performed by CellTiter-Glo® (Promega) or Alamar Blue® (Thermo Fisher) according to manufacturer instructions. Pictures are shown for a representative experiment, which was performed at least three times. Normalized viability data was combined from multiple experiments and two or three technical replicates per experiment.

Bulk RNA sequencing

Organoids were lysed in RLT lysis buffer (Qiagen) + 1% β-mercapto-ethanol; seven days after passaging. Biological triplicates were employed that were passaged and lysed at separate moments. For the LGK-974 experiments, organoids were treated with 100 nM LGK-974 or a DMSO volume control for 24 or 48 hours prior to lysis. RNA was obtained using the Qiagen QiaSymphony SP (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Library prep was performed using Truseq RNA stranded polyA (Illumina). Libraries were sequenced on an

Illumina NextSeq2000 platform with 1x50bp reads. Single-end RNASeq reads were processed

using the UMCU RNASeq pipeline (v2.3.0) with default settings. Read quality was assessed

by FastQC (0.11.4) followed by splice-aware alignment against the human reference genome

(GRCh38) with STAR[67] (2.4.2a). RNA expression quantification was performed with htseq-

count (0.6.0) in reverse-stranded mode. Differential gene expression analysis was performed

with the DESeq2[68] (1.22.2) R package. In each comparison, genes were selected with an

absolute fold-change > 1.5 and p adjusted < 0.05. Generation of heatmaps and scatterplots was

done in using the pHeatmap and ggplot2 R packages.

768   GSEA and gene signatures

Gene set enrichment analyses (GSEA) were performed using the Broad Institute GSEA tool

(software.broadinstitute.org/gsea/index.jsp) with default settings, including permutation by

gene sets. HALLMARK genesets were derived from the Molecular Signatures Database.[49]

Geneset for REG4$^+$ deep crypt secretory cells (DCSs) was defined as human orthologues

(identified by bioDBnet) of the >2 fold upregulated genes with a p-value < 0.05 in Reg$^+$ cells

compared to Lgr5$^+$ cells that were previously reported.[13] Gene sets for human colon specific

cell types were derived from a published single-cell transcriptome study (Wang et al. table

S2).[14] Genesets for HUB040 single cell clusters were defined by marker gene analysis using

the 'FindAllMarkers' command in Seurat comparing one cluster to all other clusters using a

wilcox test. Threshold for adjusted p-value was set at p < 0.05. The WNT signature was reported

previously by Van der Flier *et al.*[47]

For the analysis of secretory genes, a list of secreted proteins was downloaded from human

protein atlas ("Secreted proteins predicted by MDSEC")[48]. Downregulated secretory genes

were defined as: significantly downregulated in at least three comparisons and not upregulated

in the other comparison (RC1 vs HUB040, RC2 vs HUB040, RC1 vs NC1, RC2 vs NC1)

Single cell RNA sequencing and analysis

Single cells from organoid were harvested using TrypLE incubation at 37 °C, seven days after

passaging. Cells were filtered through a 40 μm nylon cell strainer (Falcon). scRNA-seq was

performed according to the Sort-seq protocol[69]. Libraries were sequenced on an Illumina

NextSeq500 at paired-end 60- and 26-bp read length and 75,000 reads per cell.

Reads were mapped to the hg38 reference genome. Analysis was performed with the R-package

Seurat[70] (4.0.4). Cells with 400-50000 unique features and 1000-7000 total transcript counts

were included in the analysis. Scores for gene signature expression were calculated using the

AddModuleScore function.

Signature enrichment in marker gene lists was determined using the Enrichr tool[71]

(https://maayanlab.cloud/Enrichr/).

To analyse fraction of TINCs in *RNF43*-corrected and non-corrected clones, cells were filtered

according to previously mentioned settings and for each cell a module score for the original

TINC signature was calculated. Cells with a module score > 0.61 were scored as TINC, which

corresponded to 16% of cells in the HUB040 naïve sample (which was the fraction of cells

mapping to cluster 1 in the original analysis). Data was plotted using ggplot2.

To validate the TINC signature in a human cohort, published RNAseq data of tumour epithelial

cells of colorectal cancer patients were used[1]. Twenty *RNF43* wildtype patients from iCMS2

cohort based on single-cell RNA sequencing and inferred CNV result and four *RNF43* mutant

patients were selected. After selecting patients, supervised clustering using 154 TINC signature

genes was performed with batch correction using harmony algorithm[72] to remove patient

specificity. Differential gene expression analysis was performed using the 'FindAllMarkers'

command in Seurat with logistic regression framework.

TCGA data analysis

Signature scores for indicated gene sets were calculated for each samples as log2 Z-score over

the total TCGA Colon Adenocarcinoma set (rpkm values of 382 samples - cbcoad) using the

R2 genomic analysis platform (http://r2.amc.nl). TINC signature was determined as the top 25

marker genes for cluster 1 in HUB040 (from Seurat marker gene analysis). *RNF43* mutation

status was determined by combining the list of *RNF43* mutant TCGA samples (derived from

C-bioportal) combined with results of re-analysis by Gianakkis *et al.*[27] calling additional

mutations that were falsely labelled as polymerase slipping errors in the original TCGA

analysis.[27] CMS classifications were derived from the Colorectal Cancer Subtyping Consortium

(CRCSC)[73], samples without CMS label have been left out of the analysis. Classification as

mucinous was derived from Cancer Type in cbioportal (mucinous adenocarcinoma of colon and

rectum). "High and low WNT" was defined as the upper and lower quartile of the Z-scores for

the intestinal WNT/TCF signature as reported by Van der Flier *et al.*[47].

Immunofluorescence

For staining of Mucin2, organoids were seeded in 15-well IBIDI angiogenesis chambers during

passaging and fixed 7-12 days later in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) (Sigma-Aldrich) for 60 min

at room temperature. The fixation was quenched using 0.05 M NH_4Cl and cells were

permeabilised with PBD0.2T (48,5mL PBS, 1mL 10%Triton, 0,5mL DMSO and 0,5g BSA)

for 20 min at room temperature. The organoids were incubated with primary antibodies diluted

in PBD0.2T at 4 °C overnight. Washes were performed with PBD0.2T after which secondary

antibodies were incubated for 3h at room temperature. Stained organoids were mounted using

IBIDI mounting medium.

For other stainings, organoids were cultured as usual. 7-12 days after passaging, matrix was

removed using dispase (Invitrogen) and organoids were transferred to 8-well IBIDI imaging

slide. Fixation, permeabilisation and antibody staining were performed inside the imaging slide,

as indicated above, except for primary antibody incubations were performed for one hour at

room temperature. Stained organoids were mounted using IBIDI mounting medium.

The following antibodies and dyes have been used for immunofluorescence: Mouse-anti-

Mucin2 (sc-515032, Santa Cruz, 1:200), Mouse-anti-β-catenin (610154, BD Transduction

Laboratories, 1:200), Rabbit-anti-KI67 (ab15580, Abcam, 1:200), Goat-anti-Mouse-Alexa-488

(A11029, Invitrogen, 1:600) Goat-anti-Rabbit-Alexa-647 (A21245, Invitrogen, 1:600),

Phalloidin-Alexa-647 (8940S, CST, 1:200) and Dapi (D9542, Sigma, 1:1.000). Images were

acquired with a Zeiss LSM700 confocal microscope.

Image processing was performed using FIJI (2.3.0). Nuclear β-catenin and TINC-reporter

intensity were quantified using the StarDist plugin (0.8.3)[74] with the Dapi staining used for

single nucleus segmentation. Data was analysed and plotted in R.

Hybrid organoid co-culture, time-lapse imaging and analysis

The protocol on generating hybrid organoid co-cultures has been described previously[15]. In

short: HUB040 naïve organoids were transduced with mCherry tagged H2B constructs and

HUB040 RC2 organoids with mNeon green tagged H2B constructs and selected by FACS

sorting. Six days post splitting, both lines were starved in CRC medium for 24 hours and at day

7 broken up into small clumps of cells that were mixed in 1:1 ratio. Cells were concentrated by

centrifugation, resuspended in a small volume of CRC medium and incubated at 37 °C for 30

min Cell aggregates were plated in 10 μL BME droplets in an 18 Well μ-Slide (IBIDI #81811).

Three days after plating, time-lapse microscopy was performed on an inverted LSM880 Fast

AiryScan scanning confocal microscope (Zeiss) using LSM scanning mode with a 488nm

Argon-laser and 561nm Diode-laser, equipped with a 20X dry immersion objective (Objective

Plan-Apochromat 20x/0.8 M27, Zeiss). Cells were kept in CRC medium at 37°C under 5% CO_2

for the full duration of the experiment. Images were acquired with a Z-interval of 5μm covering

the full volume of the organoid and time-interval of one hour for a total duration on 60 hours.

Time-lapse images were processed and analysed following a previously described pipeline in

which depth-encoded movies were generated in which cell divisions and cell dead events were

scored[75]. The cell death / mitosis ratio was calculated as a proxy for organoid survival. Cell

numbers at the start of time-lapse were counted using Imaris software (Andor version 9.9.1).

Videos and snapshots of 3D-reconstructed representative organoids were made in Imaris.

Flow cytometry analysis and sorting of organoids

Single cells from organoid were harvested using TrypLE incubation at 37 °C. Cells were filtered

through a 40 μm nylon cell strainer (Falcon) and live-cells were measured at a FACS Celesta

with FACS Diva software (BD). The following fluorescent channels were used: BB515 for

mNeon, PE-CF594 for mRuby and PE-Cy5 for Alexa-647 labelled Edu.

EdU incorporation assays were performed by culturing organoids in CRC medium containing

10 μM EdU for 16 hours to cells, after which single cells were harvested, fixed in 4% PFA and

EdU-labeling was performed according to manufacturer instructions (Click-iT EdU Cell

Proliferation Kit for Imaging, Thermo Fisher).

Sorting of TINC-reporter populations was performed using a FACS Aria III machine (BD)

Populations were gated for viable single cells which were divided in three equal populations of

20-30% of the total based on mNeon histogram (example in Extended Data Fig 7a). Sorted cells

were directly lysed in RLT lysis buffer (Qiagen) for RNAseq analysis, or taken in culture for

organoid reconstitution, by seeding in a 1×10^6 cells / mL dilution in BME.

Gated single cells were analysed in FlowJo (version 10.6.1).

Western blot

Organoids were collected in Cell Recovery Solution (Corning), incubated for 20 min on ice and

subsequently lysed in RIPA buffer containing protease and phosphatase inhibitors. Lysates

were boiled in 1x SDS sample buffer and ran on a pre-casted SDS-page gel (Mini protean, BIO-

RAD). Gels were transferred to a Immobilon-FL PVDF membranes (Millipore), which was

blocked for 1 hour at room temperature in 1:1 ratio Odyssey blocking buffer (LI-COR): PBS,

and stained against APC (sc-7930, Santa Cruz, 1:1.000) and Actin (691001, MP, 1:10.000)

overnight at 4 °C. Blots were stained with secondary antibodies IRDye-680 goat anti-rabbit

(926-32221, LI-COR, 1:10.000) and IRDye-800 goat anti-mouse (925-68070, LI-COR,

1:10.000) for 1 hour at room temperature in the dark. The Typhoon (GE Healthcare) infrared

imaging system was used for immunoblot analysis.

RT-qPCR

Organoids, seven days after passaging, or FACS-sorted cell populations were lysed in RLT

lysis buffer (Qiagen) + 1% β-mercapto-ethanol and RNA was purified using the RNeasy kit

(Qiagen) according to manufacturer's protocol. RNA was used as a template for cDNA

production using the iScript™ cDNA Synthesis Kit (Biorad) according to the manufacturer's

protocol. The synthesized cDNA was subsequently used in a qRT–PCR using IQ SYBR green

mix (Biorad) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Used primers are listed in

Supplementary Table 2

Expression of WNT target genes was corrected against house-keeping gene *GAPDH* and

normalized to the control.

APC competition assay

HUB040 and HUB040$^{APC-/-}$ organoids were split into single cells, counted and seeded in a 1:1

ratio. Mixed organoid populations were passaged once a week, and during each passage,

genomic DNA was harvested for genotyping the fraction of *APC* mutant alleles as indicated

above.

Statistical analyses

For RNA sequencing analysis, statistical significance was determined using the DESeq function

in R, which uses a Wald test (two-tailed), see detailed methods. Gene set enrichment was

performed as described in the methods. For other data, p values were calculated using the

'stat_compare_means' function of the ggpubr package (0.40.0) in R. For comparing two or

more groups of non-parametric data, a two-sample Wilcoxon test or Kruskal-Wallis were used

respectively.

Data availability

The scRNA-seq and bulk RNA-seq data generated in this study are available from Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) under the accession codes GSE213079 (scRNAseq), GSE213077 (bulk RNAseq various organoid lines), GSE212959 (bulk RNAseq RNF43-corrected and non-corrected HUB040 organoids), GSE220128 (bulk RNAseq TINC reporter cells), GSE213068 (bulk RNAseq APC mutant and wildtype HUB040 organoids) and GSE213072 (bulk RNAseq HUB040 organoids treated with LGK-974).

Published scRNA-seq data referenced in the study is available from Synapse under the accession code syn26844071. Published microarray and bulk RNA-seq are available from Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) under the accession codes GSE41258 and GSE50760, respectively.

Methods references

46.   Sheffer, M. *et al.* Association of survival and disease progression with chromosomal instability: A genomic exploration of colorectal cancer. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **106**, 7131–7136 (2009).

47.   Van der Flier, L. G. *et al.* The Intestinal Wnt/TCF Signature. *Gastroenterology* **132**, 628–632 (2007).

48.   Uhlén, M. *et al.* Tissue-based map of the human proteome. *Science (80-.).* **347**, (2015).

49.   Liberzon, A. *et al.* The Molecular Signatures Database Hallmark Gene Set Collection. *Cell Syst.* **1**, 417–425 (2015).

50.   Kim, S. K. *et al.* A nineteen gene-based risk score classifier predicts prognosis of colorectal cancer patients. *Mol. Oncol.* **8**, 1653–1666 (2014).

944   51.   Miao, Y. *et al.* Next-Generation Surrogate Wnts Support Organoid Growth and

945   Deconvolute Frizzled Pleiotropy In Vivo. *Cell Stem Cell* **27**, 840-851.e6 (2020).

946   52.   Bollen, Y., Post, J., Koo, B.-K. & Snippert, H. J. G. How to create state-of-the-art

947   genetic model systems: strategies for optimal CRISPR-mediated genome editing.

948   *Nucleic Acids Res.* **46**, 6435–6454 (2018).

949   53.   Richardson, C. D., Ray, G. J., DeWitt, M. A., Curie, G. L. & Corn, J. E. Enhancing

950   homology-directed genome editing by catalytically active and inactive CRISPR-Cas9

951   using asymmetric donor DNA. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **34**, 339–344 (2016).

952   54.   Bollen, Y. *et al.* Efficient and error-free fluorescent gene tagging in human organoids

953   without double-strand DNA cleavage. *PLoS Biol.* **20**, 1–16 (2022).

954   55.   Fujii, M., Matano, M., Nanki, K. & Sato, T. Efficient genetic engineering of human

955   intestinal organoids using electroporation. *Nat. Protoc.* **10**, 1474–1485 (2015).

956   56.   Brinkman, E. K., Chen, T., Amendola, M. & Van Steensel, B. Easy quantitative

957   assessment of genome editing by sequence trace decomposition. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **42**,

958   1–8 (2014).

959   57.   Brinkman, E. K. *et al.* Easy quantification of template-directed CRISPR/Cas9 editing.

960   *Nucleic Acids Res.* **46**, e58 (2018).

961   58.   Li, H. & Durbin, R. Fast and accurate long-read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler

962   transform. *Bioinformatics* **26**, 589–595 (2010).

963   59.   Tarasov, A., Vilella, A. J., Cuppen, E., Nijman, I. J. & Prins, P. Sambamba: Fast

964   processing of NGS alignment formats. *Bioinformatics* **31**, 2032–2034 (2015).

965   60.   McKenna, A. *et al.* The Genome Analysis Toolkit: A MapReduce framework for

966   analyzing next-generation DNA sequencing data. *Genome Res.* **20**, 1297–1303 (2010).

967   61.   Boeva, V. *et al.* Control-FREEC: A tool for assessing copy number and allelic content

968   using next-generation sequencing data. *Bioinformatics* **28**, 423–425 (2012).

969   62.   Cingolani, P. *et al.* Using Drosophila melanogaster as a model for genotoxic chemical

970   mutational studies with a new program, SnpSift. *Front. Genet.* **3**, 1–9 (2012).

971   63.   Cingolani, P. *et al.* A program for annotating and predicting the effects of single

972   nucleotide polymorphisms, SnpEff: SNPs in the genome of Drosophila melanogaster

973   strain w1118; iso-2; iso-3. *Fly (Austin).* **6**, 80–92 (2012).

974   64.   Gu, Z., Eils, R. & Schlesner, M. Complex heatmaps reveal patterns and correlations in

975   multidimensional genomic data. *Bioinformatics* **32**, 2847–2849 (2016).

976   65.   Shale, C. *et al.* Unscrambling cancer genomes via integrated analysis of structural

977   variation and copy number. *Cell Genomics* **2**, 100112 (2022).

978   66.   Bankhead, P. *et al.* QuPath: Open source software for digital pathology image analysis.

979   *Sci. Rep.* **7**, 1–7 (2017).

980   67.   Dobin, A. *et al.* STAR: Ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. *Bioinformatics* **29**, 15–21

981   (2013).

982   68.   Love, M. I., Huber, W. & Anders, S. Moderated estimation of fold change and

983   dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol.* **15**, 1–21 (2014).

984   69.   Muraro, M. J. *et al.* A Single-Cell Transcriptome Atlas of the Human Pancreas. *Cell*

985   *Syst.* **3**, 385-394.e3 (2016).

986   70.   Hao, Y. *et al.* Integrated analysis of multimodal single-cell data. *Cell* **184**, 3573-

987   3587.e29 (2021).

71.   Chen, E. Y. *et al.* Enrichr: interactive and collaborative HTML5 gene list enrichment

analysis tool. *BMC Bioinformatics* **14**, 128 (2013).

72.   Korsunsky, I. *et al.* Fast, sensitive and accurate integration of single-cell data with

Harmony. *Nat. Methods* **16**, 1289–1296 (2019).

73.   Guinney, J. *et al.* The consensus molecular subtypes of colorectal cancer. *Nat. Med.* **21**,

1350–1356 (2015).

74.   Schmidt, U., Weigert, M., Broaddus, C. & Myers, G. Cell Detection with Star-Convex

Polygons. in (eds. Frangi, A. F., Schnabel, J. A., Davatzikos, C., Alberola-López, C. &

Fichtinger, G.) 265–273 (Springer International Publishing, 2018). doi:10.1007/978-3-

030-00934-2_30

75.   Bolhaqueiro, A. C. F. *et al.* Live imaging of cell division in 3D stem-cell organoid

cultures. *Methods Cell Biol.* **145**, 91–106 (2018).

1000

1001

Acknowledgements

The authors thank members of the Maurice laboratory for helpful discussions and suggestions. We thank Bas Ponsioen for help with time-lapse image analysis. This work is part of the Oncode Institute, which is partly financed by the Dutch Cancer Society. This work was supported by the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research NWO VICI Grant 91815604 (to M.M.M) and ZonMW TOP Grant 91218050 (to M.M.M., O.K. and H.J.G.S.), the IMAGINE! Gravitation grant 024.005.009 (to M.M.M. and H.J.G.S.), and Dutch Cancer Society Young Investigator Grant 11491/2018-1 (to S.J.E.S.).

Author Contributions

J.M.B., L.E.B., E.K., H.J.G.S., O.K. and M.M.M. conceptualized the project. J.M.B., O.K. and M.M.M. designed and interpreted experimental results. J.M.B. generated CRISPR lines, performed all *in vitro* organoids experiments and analysed single-cell and bulk RNAseq data, E.K. performed *in vivo* transplantation experiments and immunohistochemistry, L.E.B. prepared the PDO panel for RNAseq (Extended Data Fig. 1c), Y.H. and S.T., provided and analysed single-cell RNAseq patient data, J.S. designed and cloned the TINC-reporter construct and advised on single cell RNAseq analysis. I.J. performed FACS sorting and helped with image processing and analysis. N.F. designed the CRISPR strategy for *RNF43* correction and performed C59 experiments. D.M.G. and R.V.B. analysed and interpreted genomics data. S.J.E.S. performed time-lapse imaging and advised on hybrid co-culture experiments. J.M.B. and M.M.M. wrote the manuscript, which was reviewed by all authors.

Competing Interests

Madelon Maurice is cofounder and shareholder of Laigo Bio B.V. The other authors declare no competing interests.

 Supplementary table 1: primers used for genotyping and Sanger sequencing

RNF43_P441_fw	AAATGGCTGAGGGGAGGTTTAG
RNF43_P441_rev	AGGGAGCTGCAGAAAGTAGAAC
APC_fw	TGTAATCAGACGACACAGGAAGCAGA
APC_rev	TGGACCCTCTGAACTGCAGCAT
NDRG1_reporter_internal_fw	GGGCTGTCCCTGATATCAAACAT
NDRG1_reporter_internal_rev	CAGATCCCATACAATGGGGTAC
NDRG1_reporter_upstream_fw	TCTTTGGGAGAGATGACAACGA
NDRG1_reporter_downstream_rev	CCTGCTTTTGCTGCACATTAAG

 Supplementary table 2: RT-qPCR primers

GAPDH	CTTTTGCGTCGCCAG	TTGATGGCAACAATATCCAC
AXIN2	AAAGAGAGGAGGTTCAGATG	CTGAGTCTGGGAATTTTTCTTC
RNF43	TGTGTACAAGAACCAAAAGG	CAGGATGATCACAAAGATGG
ZNRF3	CATCCTCCTTGTCAAAATCAAG	TTCTGGTTTCCATCTTCTCTAG
LGR5	AAATGCCTTATGCTTACCAG	ATCTTGAGCCTGAAACATTC
ASCL2	CGTGAAGCTGGTGAACTTGG	GGATGTACTCCACGGCTGAG

 Supplementary video 1: Time-lapse series of 3D reconstructed pure RC2 H2B-mNeon

 organoid in growth factor depleted medium, representative image related to Fig. 2i, j.

 Supplementary video 2: Time-lapse series of 3D reconstructed RC2 H2B-mNeon organoid in

 hybrid structure with HUB040 H2B-mcherry in growth factor depleted medium, representative

 image related to Fig. 2i, j.