Lack of evidence supporting transgenerational effects of non-transmitted paternal

alleles on the murine transcriptome

Rodrigo Gularte-Mérida[1,2]*, Carole Charlier[1], Michel Georges[1]

[1]Unit of Animal Genomics, GIGA Institute and Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University

of Liège, 1 Avenue de l'Hôpital, 4000 Liège, Belgium

[2]Current address: Department of Surgery, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New

York, NY

*Correspondence: gularter@mskcc.org

Abstract (217 words)

Transgenerational genetic effects are defined as the effects of untransmitted parental alleles
on the phenotype of their offspring. Well-known transgenerational genetic effects, in
humans and other mammals, are the effects of a parental genotype on the nurturing ability
of the parents, coined "genetic nurture". However, there exist examples of
transgenerational genetic effects in model organisms that are independent of nurturing
effects and support the epigenetic transmission of a memory of the parental genotype
possibly mediated by small RNA species. To test whether such transgenerational
epigenetic effects might exist in mammals, we generated 833 isogenic C57BL/6J (B6) mice
that differed only by the presence in the genome of their sire of one copy of four A/J
chromosomes (MMU 15, 17, 19 or X). We measured 25 anatomical traits and performed
RNA-Seq on five distinct tissues (heart, liver, pituitary, whole embryo, and placenta).
There was no evidence of a significant effect from untransmitted A/J sire chromosome
alleles, whether on anatomical traits or gene expression level. We observed an effect on
Mid1 expression levels in multiple tissues, but this was shown to be due to a de novo
mutation that occurred in one of the sire lines. We conclude that transgenerational
epigenetic memory of non-transmitted paternal alleles - if it exists - is uncommon in mice
and likely other mammals.

Introduction (526 words)

Traits are typically considered to be genetic if individual phenotypes correlate with individual

genotypes. In several instances, individual phenotypes also correlate with parental genotypes

including with the non-transmitted alleles. These transgenerational genetic effects (TGE)

include instances of "genetic nurture", i.e., situations where the parental genotype will affect

the environment in which the offspring is raised. TGE aim to identify parental genotypes and

differ from transgenerational epigenetics where molecular changes are the result of

environmental perturbations in the absence of genetic variation. This is easily understood by

so-called "maternal effects", which are determined by the care that is provided pre- and

postnatally to offspring by mothers. Similar nurture effects of non-transmitted paternal alleles

have been reported in humans, where the genotype of the parents may also affect the offspring's

environment (Mott et al. 2014, Kong et al. 2018; Cheuquemán, et al. 2021). In addition, the

effects of non-transmitted parental alleles on the offspring's phenotype exist that cannot be

explained by genetic nurture. One such example is paramutation, which involves the

epigenetic conversion of transmitted by non-transmitted alleles. Paramutation-like phenomena

have been reported in plants, *D. melanogaster*, and possibly the mouse (Nadeau 2009; Heard

and Martienssen 2014). A second example is the effect of gene copy number of *Sly*, *Ssty1*,

Ssty2, *Srsy*, *Rbmy,* and *Rbm31y* of MMU Y on the predisposition and severity of experimental

autoimmune encephalomyelitis in the next generation (Teuscher et al. 2006; Case et al. 2015).

In C. elegans, the longevity of $Wd5^{+/+}$ wild-type descendants of $Wd5^{-/-}$ knockout worms was

increased for three generations even in the absence of transmission of the $Wd5^{-}$ knockout allele,

when compared to worms of a fully $Wd5^{+/+}$ wild-type lineage (Greer et al. 2011). Most

recently, promoter associated CpG DNA methylation via genetic engineering has been shown

to be transmitted across multiple generations and retain parental phenotypic differences

(Takahashi, et al. 2023). What remains unknown, however, is how common such nurture

independent TGE are in mammals under naturally occurring variation.

We took advantage of mouse consomic strains and global gene expression by RNA-Seq to

address this issue. Consomic mice, also referred to as chromosome substitution strains, harbour

one chromosome pair from a donor strain "A" in the background of a recipient strain "B". A

genome-wide panel of consomic mice is commercially available for which "A" alleles from

A/J mice were introgressed into the "B" background of *B6* mice (Nadeau et al. 2000). By

backcrossing consomic males (say with a donor chromosome 15 of "A" ancestry) for two

subsequent generations to the recipient "B" background strain, and selecting generation N2

offspring that have inherited a non-recombinant "B" chromosome 15, one obtains mice that are

genetically identical to the inbred "B" background strain (isogenic), except for the genotype of

their sire (heterozygous A/B for chromosome 15) (**Figure 1**). This design permits aggregation

of any potential TGE across a whole chromosome, maximizing our chances to detect such

effects if they exist. To study the effect of the non-transmitted paternal chromosome on many

phenotypes at once, we decided to study growth and body composition traits in adult mice, and

the transcriptome of five tissues at two developmental stages of the resulting N2 offspring by

RNA-Seq.

Results (1194 words)

We performed this experiment for four of the 21 murine chromosomes taking advantage of the

chromosome B6.A/J chromosome substitution strains (CSS) (MMU 15, 17, 19 and X.

Hereinafter mouse strains will be denoted by their standard congenic nomenclature B6.A-

<chr>, where B6 is the recipient strain, A is the A/J donor strain, chr refers to the specific

mouse chromosome. Autosomes MMU 15, 17, and 19 were selected on the basis that MMU

15 was found the most transcriptionally diverse chromosome relative to B6, MMU 17 is known

to affect body weight and body composition in other strains (Shockley and Churchill 2006,

Corva et al. 2001; Farber et al. 2006), MMU 19 because it has a short chromosome length, and

finally, MMU X was chosen for its convenience as one generation would automatically

generate isogenic B6/B6 males. We produced 2,456 N2 mice, resulting in 958 consomic-

derived *C57BL/6J* isogenic males throughout the experiment. The initial isogenic cohort was

composed of 123 B6.A-15 N2 [B6/B6], 132 B6.A-17 N2 [B6/B6], 136 B6.A-19 N2 [B6/B6], 220 B6.A-X

F1 [B6/B6], and 206 purebred *C57BL/6J* male controls. The corresponding proportions of

recombinant and non-recombinant mice matched expectations based on chromosome size (in

centimorgan), and were concordant with the observed results of Nadeau et al. (2000)

(**Supplemental Material, Supplemental Table S1**). 80% of N2 male mice were euthanized

at 60 days post-natal (dpn), dissected (recording 25 phenotypes pertaining to body weights,

and body composition), and major organs flash-frozen – namely heart, liver, and pituitary. The

other 20% of animals were collected in utero at 13.5 days post-coitum (dpc), embryo and

placenta severed, and flash frozen. For each of the 10-line x timepoint combinations – (B6.A-

15, B6.A-17, B6.A-19, B6.A-X, and B6 controls) x (60 dpn and 13 dpc) –, we extracted RNA

from eight randomly selected mice within 1 standard deviation (SD) of the phenotypic mean

for their corresponding genotypic group (60 dpn: heart, liver, and pituitary; 13 dpc: embryo,

placenta). We then generated two equimolar RNA pools of four individuals for the 25-line x

time point x tissue combinations, generating 50 libraries for next-generation RNA sequencing

using TrueSeq® Stranded Total RNA with RiboZero kits (Illumina), and produced an average

of 34.8 ± 8 million 100bp paired-end reads per library on an Illumina HiSeq 2000. One may

expect the B6 background genome of the five lines to have diverged by the accumulation of

de-novo mutations (DNMs) since their 19-year divergence. Such DNMs may cause differences

in gene expression that may erroneously be attributed to TGE. To recognize such direct genetic

effects, we resequenced the genomes of the founder male of each strain to 10-fold depth on an

Illumina HiSeq2000 instrument. We identified a total of 2,794 non-A/J single nucleotide

polymorphisms, 1,798 small insertion-deletions, and 63 copy number variants within the B6

genome of CSS founder males. The B6 genome of any two founders differed on average for

1,464 variants (**Supplemental Material**; **Supplementary Figure S1**, **Supplementary Table**

S2).

RNA-Seq reads were mapped to the murine GRCm38 reference genome using the STAR

aligner (Dobin et al. 2012; Engström et al. 2013). Read quantification and differential

expression analysis between consomic-derived and *C57BL/6J* control samples (matched for

tissue type) were conducted using HTSeq and DESeq2, respectively (Love et al. 2014; Anders

et al. 2015). In a first analysis, we obtained 53 instances of significant differential expression

(FDR 0.05) between consomic-derived and control samples involving 47 genes (**Figure 2A;**

Table 1). Thirty-five (66 %) corresponded to increased expression in the consomic-derived

samples (average fold change: 1.69; range: 0.228 – 4.33), and 18 (34%) to decreased expression

(average fold change: -1.42; range: -0.274 – -3.68) (**Supplementary Figure S2**). The 53

instances were distributed across lines and sample types as shown in **Figure 2B**. Gene

expressions were requantified using reverse transcriptase quantitative PCR assays (RT-qPCR)

for the corresponding 47 genes in triplicate for all 200 samples that constituted the 50 RNA

pools using the BioMarkHD qPCR system, Fluidigm at IntegraGen, Évry, France. Differential

expression was confirmed (FDR ≤ 0.05) in at least one tissue for 10 of the 47 analysed genes.

Mid1, Crem and *Gm26448* had the strongest evidence of a transgenerational genetic effect

(technical replicates in **Figure 2A**; **Supplementary Table S3**).

To further confirm the results obtained from the 10 remaining genes, we generated an

independent replicate cohort with >500 male mice using the same mating design as above. The

independent replicate cohort yielded 244 isogenic consomic-derived N2 males (MMU 17: 38;

MMU 19: 19; MMU X: 98) and 89 *C57BL/6J* controls that were euthanized and dissected at

60 dpn. We extracted RNA from relevant tissue samples for an additional 14 to 26 animals of

the discovery cohort, and 14 to 28 animals of the independent replicate cohort and evaluated

the expression of the 10 candidate genes by RT-qPCR on the BioMarkHD using previously

designed assays.   Initial findings were confirmed in the independent samples from the

discovery and replication cohort for one gene only: *Mid1* (**Figure 2A**, **Supplementary Table**

S3). *Mid1* was highly significantly overexpressed (p < 0.0001; Median Log$_2$ Fold change: 1.26

± 0.4; range: 0.81 – 2.69) in all tissues of the MMU 19 consomic-derived animals

(**Supplementary Figure S3**).

The *Mid1* gene (for *Midline 1*) encodes a member of the tripartite motif (TRIM) family that

forms homodimers that associate with microtubules. Mutations in *Mid1* cause Opitz syndrome

characterized by midline defects including cleft palate, laryngeal cleft, heart defects,

hypospadias, and agenesis of the corpus callosum. In *Mus musculus domesticus*, *Mid1* maps

to the X chromosome, yet its 5' end is truncated by the pseudoautosomal boundary (PAB) on

the Y chromosome (**Figure 3**). *Mid1* thus spans the PAB of *Mus musculus domesticus*. We

examined the PAB in the five studied founder males and observed that the B6.A-19 founder

had a duplication at the PAR that spanned Exon 4-10 of *Mid1* (**Figure 3**). The end of the

duplication could not be precisely determined due to the limitations of the reference assembly

(Morgan et al. 2019). Furthermore, our results support the hypothesis that the duplication is

located on MMU Y, as all F1, N2, and N3 male offspring from this male inherited the X

chromosome of B6 females for three generations, and the Y chromosome from the male. Thus,

the differential expression of *Mid1* in the B6.A-19 consomic-derived isogenic mice when

compared to the four other lines including controls is most likely due to the transmission of a

duplicated *Mid1* transcript throughout the male lineage of the cross rather than to a TGE

(**Supplementary Figure S4**). *Crem,* and *Gm26448* failed to replicate their initial effect

through the experimental validations.

We measured 25 anatomical phenotypes on the 1,370 60 dpn N2 animals from both the

discovery and replication cohorts. We tested for possible TGE due to the non-transmitted

paternal A/J chromosomes by comparing trait means between consomic-derived and control

lines in two successive generations N2 and N3. There was no evidence of significant

differences when accounting for multiple testing (*p$_{Bonf}$ ≤ 0.05;* **Supplementary Table S4**;

Supplementary Figure S5). There was – at best – suggestive evidence ($p = 0.0025$) for a

6.7% reduction in heart weight in the B6.A-17 N2 consomic-derived line. This effect did not

persist to the next generation through successive backcrossing, B6.A-17 N3 (**Supplementary**

Figure S6).

Discussion (170 words)

Our work tested the hypotheses for genetically driven transgenerational effect via the paternal

lineage comparing 25 anatomical traits of 1,164 isogenic male mice, and transcriptome-wide

expression from five tissues – heart, liver, pituitary, embryo, and placenta. Our initial results

suggested 3 genes —*Mid1, Crem,* and *Gm26448*— as differentially expressed between an

isogenic-derived cohort relative to B6 purebred mice. Further validation of these genes, showed

Mid1 expression was differentially expressed across all B6.A-19 N2 $^{B6/B6}$, and B6.A-19 N3$^{B6/B6}$

cohorts as a result of a segmental duplication at the XY-pseudoautosomal region

(**Supplementary Figure S4**). All other 9 tested genes (including *Crem,* and *Gm26448*) failed

to achieve significance in subsequent rounds of validation. We identified suggestive evidence

for a 6.7% reduction in heart weight in the B6.A-17 N2$^{B6/B6}$ consomic-derived line relative to

B6.C purebred (**Supplementary Figure S5**, **Supplementary Figure S6**). Based on these

results, we conclude that nurture independent TGE, if they exist at all, are extremely rare in

mammals under naturally occurring genetic variation and are unlikely to contribute

significantly to the phenotypic variance.

Methods (2018 words)

Animal husbandry. The initial breeding population purchased from the Jackson Laboratories

(Bar Harbor, Maine) consisted of one male breeder and one female breeder approximately 5

weeks of age for each of the four chromosome substitution strains listed above, and one male

breeder with three female breeders for C57BL/6J. These mice were placed under quarantine

and then brought into the Specific Pathogen Free (SPF) facility of GIGA Research. After a

seven-day acclimation period, males, and females for each of the four strains were coupled to

maintain and expand all the strains in-house. Breeding cages were set up with one male and up

to three females in clear polysulfone filter top cages (Model: 1290D EURO-STANDARD

TYPE III, Tecniplast S.p.A, Varese- Italy). Breeding cages were checked daily to identify new-

born pups and their corresponding dam. Whenever possible gestating females were transferred

to an independent polysulfone cage for rearing pups, as SPF practices were to maintain pre-

weaning pups and rearing females together with their sire. The presence or absence of the sire

during pre-weaning did not affect any tested phenotype. At 30 ± 1 day of age males and females

were weaned, identified with a numbered ear tag, divided into groups of up to 5, and placed in

clear polysulfone filter top cages (Model: 1284L EURO-STANDARD TYPE II L, Tecniplast

S.p.A). During the weaning process, mice were also weighed and a 1 - 2 mm tail biopsy was

collected for genotyping. Breeding cages were fed a Standard SDS RM3 E diet (Cat. No.

801066, Special Diets Services- Essex, UK), whereas non-breeding and non-lactating cages

were fed a maintenance diet, Standard SDS RM1 E diet (Cat. No. 801002, Special Diets

Services). Enrichment was provided to all cages in the form of a half-white cardboard frites

box which also provides nesting material. Experimental male mice from all cohorts N2, N3

and B6.C were sequentially weaned and placed in cages standardised with 5 males irrespective

of strain. All mice were housed in accordance with the European Union Directive 2010/63/EU

and the FELASA Recommendations and Guidelines (Guillen 2012), and experimental

protocols approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the Université de

Liège.

Characterization of 25 growth and body composition traits in adult and embryo male

mice. The complete population of 966 N2 male mice from Cohort I, 125 N3 male mice from

Cohort III, and 501 N2 male mice from Cohort IV were weighed at 30, 40, 50, and 60 days (d)

of age. At 60 ± 1.5 d, mice were harvested as described in Gularte-Mérida (2015). Mice were

anaesthetised with Isoflurane, during which time nasal-anal and nasal-tail lengths were

collected. Mice were euthanised by decapitation under anaesthesia. Trunk blood was collected

in BD Microtainer tubes containing Dipotassium EDTA (Cat. No. 365973, BD- Belgium),

subsequently spun at 8000 rpm for 4 minutes, plasma was transferred to a 2 mL screw cap tube

and stored at -20C. The weight of femoral, gonadal, mesenteric, and retroperitoneal fat pads,

spleen, kidneys, liver, heart, gastrocnemius muscle, whole brain, and carcass weights were

recorded up to the nearest 0.001 g. Lastly, femur length was measured on the left femur from

the ball of the femur to the base. A collection of 11 tissues were flash frozen from each mouse

and stored at -80C, these were spleen, liver, kidneys, heart, muscle, gonadal fat, brain,

hypothalamus (separated after weighing), and lastly adrenal glands and pituitary gland were

frozen but not weighed. A tail biopsy was also collected at the end of the harvest and used for

DNA extraction.

Mouse N2 foetuses and placentas from cohort II were collected at 14 dpc and weighted and

flash frozen in liquid nitrogen. This was achieved by isolating each female when the coital plug

was identified during a morning inspection, day 0.5. Thirteen days later, females were

euthanised by cervical dislocation, their uterus extracted, rinsed with DPBS (Cat. No BE17-

512F, Lonza-Verviers), and subsequently kept on a petri-dish containing DPBS to avoid

dehydration while foetuses were harvested under a stereoscope. Each amniotic sac containing

one foetus and its respective placenta was isolated from the uterus, rinsed under a stream of

DPBS. The amniotic sac was transferred to a new petri dish, opened carefully to maintain the

yolk sac intact, and gently tearing it off from the placenta. Foetuses were excised by severing

the umbilical cord under the stereoscope using fine tweezers and taken out from the yolk sac,

rinsed in PBS, placed on a ruler, photographed, and then weighed to the nearest 0.001 g.

Subsequently, the right leg was gently severed from the body with fine point tweezers to be

used for DNA extraction. Placentas were inspected for any remaining maternal tissue, further

cleaning any maternal tissue if needed, and weighed to the nearest 0.001 g. Both embryo and

placenta were subsequently flash-frozen for later use.

Statistical analyses. Organismal phenotypes were classified into three categories, body weight

traits (comprising weights (g) at 14, 30, 40, 50, and 60 dpc. d), length traits (comprising Nasal-

Anal, Nasal-Tail, and femoral lengths (mm)), and body composition traits (comprising liver,

spleen, heart, kidney, brain, gastrocnemius muscle, carcass, and the four fat pad weights). To

test for paternal transgenerational genetic effects the specific contrasts between each "test"

group of B6.A-N $N2^{B6/B6}$ isogenic derived mice and B6.C were analysed using general linear

hypothesis testing with the R/*multcomp* package via the *glht* function set with a Tukey test

(Bretz et al. 2010). Confounding factors such as litter size, presence of sire in the pre-weaning

cage, and dam's age were tested as covariates during the model selection across all phenotypes.

However, none of these showed any significant effects to change the conclusions of the

analyses and thus were excluded from the final comparisons. A summary of power calculations

is presented in **Supplementary Material**.

Characterization of global gene expression by RNA-Seq of adult and embryonic tissues. To characterize and analyse global gene expression we extracted RNA from 8 individuals in three adult tissues — liver, heart, pituitary —, whole embryo and placenta in each of the five isogenic derived sub-cohorts (B6.A-15 N2 $^{B6/B6}$, B6.A-17 N2 $^{B6/B6}$, B6.A-19 N2 $^{B6/B6}$, B6.A-X F1 $^{B6/B6}$, and B6.C) for a total of 200 samples. Libraries were constructed using Illumina TrueSeq® Stranded Total RNA with RiboZero kit (No. Cat. RS-122-2201 or -2202). We constructed two pooled libraries for each tissue × strain combination from equimolar quantities of RNA of four individuals. RNA-Seq libraries were sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq 2000 where each lane contained five libraries, one per strain.

We obtained on average 32.5 M reads per library, a total of 162.5 M reads per lane. Sequence reads were aligned to the mouse reference assembly GRCm38 with alternative loci using STAR aligner (Dobin et al. 2012) using the annotated 2-pass method (annotation with Ensembl Genes 75) described by Engström et al. (2013), where « splice junctions » are obtained from the first pass, and the second pass refines the alignment of all sequence reads. Following the alignment, we analysed sequences to 1) detect differential expression in the five cohorts of isogenic mice; and 2) identify if genetic variation exists among the strains that may explain the differences in significantly differentially expressed genes (details in **Supplementary Material**). For the first analysis, we used HTSeq to quantify the expression of each gene in the Ensembl 86 annotation and we used DESeq2 to perform the differential expression analyses of all genes (Love et al. 2014). Comparisons were made as described in the previous section where each isogenic derived sub-cohort (B6.A-15 N2 $^{B6/B6}$, B6.A-17 N2 $^{B6/B6}$, B6.A-19 N2 $^{B6/B6}$, B6.A-X F1 $^{B6/B6}$) is compared to B6.C. At a Log2FoldChange of ≥ 2, power of detection using the 2 pooled RNA-Seq samples ranged from ≥ 0.90 in the adult tissues(pituitary, liver, and heart), to 0.6 for the embryo and placenta (**Supplementary Material**).

Technical and biological replications of RNA-Seq differentially expressed genes by RT-qPCR. To confirm our initial findings, we carried out a technical replication on the same 200 samples used to construct the RNA-Seq libraries. In these samples, we re-measured the expression level of the 48 genes found differentially expressed by RNA-Seq using reverse transcriptase quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR) in triplicate determinations using Fluidigm's

BioMarkHD qPCR system. This platform allows us to simultaneously test up to 32 assays in

triplicate in 96 samples (a 96 x 96 factorial design on a single reaction plate), for a total of

9,216 RT-qPCR reactions per plate, and each gene was measured in two or more tissues. Primer

assays for the RT-qPCR of the technical replicate and first validation cohorts were designed

by IntegraGen (Évry, France) (**Supplementary Table S5**). For the top-ranking differentially

expressed genes –*Mid1, Coq10b, Per3, Ide, and Crem*– we designed multiple assays targeting

different exon-exon junctions maximising the number of transcript variants assayed. For the

remaining 43 genes, one assay per gene was designed (**Supplementary Table S3**). In addition,

we amplified eight genes used routinely as endogenous controls, *B2m, Eif3j1, Gusb, Hprt,*

Rpl37rt, Rp10, Tbp, and *Tubb5* each with one primer assay. Complementary DNA (cDNA)

was synthesized from RNA using Superscript III following the manufacturer's

recommendations (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA). A reference sample was created by

pooling cDNA from all the samples of each tissue, from which a 1:4 serial dilution was carried

out to develop a four-point standard curve. All reactions were carried in two stages, where the

first stage cDNA is a pre-amplification using TaqMan PreAmp Master Mix (Cat No. 4384267)

following the manufacturer's recommendations, and the second stage is the RT-qPCR reaction

on the BioMarkHD system using EvaGreen(R) master mix.

Differential expression was analysed using relative gene expression based on the 2^{-Ct} method

(Livak and Schmittgen 2001). First, we perform endogenous control gene selection based on

the geNorm algorithm (Vandesompele et al. 2002) using qBase+ (Biogazelle, Belgium). These

analyses suggested the use of *Tubb5* and *Gusb* as endogenous controls in embryo, *Tbp* and

Hprt in the placenta, liver, and pituitary, and all four genes, *Tubb5*, *Gusb*, *Tbp* and *Hprt* in the

heart. Expression values estimated as 2^{-Ct} were calculated using the R/Bioconductor *ddCt*

package (Zhang et al. 2015), where the median Ct for each sample is corrected by the median

of the pooled reference sample, and to the median of the endogenous control genes as described

in Livak & Schmittgen (2001).

The 14 genes replicated between RNA-Seq and the RT-qPCR differential expression –*Clpx,*

Srebf1, Col1a1, Crem, Gm129, Gm26448, Hpsa1a, Hpsa1b, Hspg2, Mid1, Per3, Tef, and

Thrsp–. *These* were quantitated in an additional 800 N2 littermates from all the cohorts

developed throughout the course of the experiment. Roughly 20 mice for each of the four

B6.A-15 N2 [B6/B6], B6.A-17 N2 [B6/B6], B6.A-19 N2 [B6/B6], and B6.C isogenic littermates (Cohort

I and II), 20 mice from each of B6.A-17 N3 $^{B6/B6}$, B6.A-19 N3 $^{B6/B6}$, and B6.C N3 controls

(Cohort III), and 20 mice from each of B6.A-17 N2 $^{B6/B6}$, B6.A-19 N2 $^{B6/B6}$, and B6.C

independent replicate backcross (Cohort IV). The cDNA synthesis reactions, RT-qPCR

technical replicate, and first validation results were performed by IntegraGen (Évry, France),

using *Tbp, Hprt, Tubb5,* and *Gusb* as endogenous control genes. Expression values for this first

validation were estimated as previously described and analysed by comparing the expression

values from the different B6.A-<N> N2 $^{B6/B6}$ to B6.C as described in the statistical analysis

section.

Based on the gene expression results from the previous RT-qPCR expression analyses, a

second of validation was performed on *Crem* and *Gm26448* in the pituitary. These two genes

and corresponding endogenous controls were measured in 32 B6.A-15 N2 $^{B6/B6}$, and 17 B6.A-

17 N2 $^{B6/B6}$ and 23 B6.C new male littermates from Cohort I. Also, included were an additional

14 B6.A-17 N2 $^{B6/B6}$ and 27 B6.C new littermates from Cohort IV. Complementary DNA from

these samples was synthesised using SuperScript IV following manufacturer's

recommendations. Where *Crem*, *Tbp* and *Hprt* had pre-designed assays from IDT

PrimeTime(R) probes and these were chosen to measure their expression, whereas *Gm26448*

was measured with a custom IDT PrimeTime(R) assay (**Supplementary Table S3**). Real-Time

qPCR reactions were carried out according to manufacturer's recommendations. Briefly, each

reaction was composed of 1X PrimeTime(R) Universal Master Mix (Cat No. 1055772, 0.2 μM

PrimeTime(R), and 10ng of cDNA.

Data availability

335   Sequence data for the mouse genomes and isogenic transcriptomes will be available through

336   the European Nucleotide Archive project (PRJEB70518). Genotype and phenotype data will

337   be publicly accessible at http://www.github.com/RodrigoGM/tgv_2023/

Acknowledgments

This project was funded by a grant from the Walloon Ministry of Agriculture (DGARNE). We

are grateful to all the personnel of the GIGA Mouse Housing and Transgenics Platform, in

particular Benoit Remy, Audrey Tromme, and Fabien Ectors. We also give special thanks to

the members of the GIGA Genomics Platform Nadine Cambisano, Naïma Ahariz, and Wouter

Coppieters for their assistance in sample processing.

TABLES

Table 1. Number of significant differentially expressed genes in discovery and validation data

sets

Discovery Population (N2.I)	Technical Replicate (N2.I)	Biological Replicate (N2.I)	Independent / Replicate Backcross (N2.III)	Persistence Backcross (N3)
RNA-Seq 2 pooled libs (N = 4 / lib; 50 libs)	qPCR 8 per group (N = 200)	qPCR ~20 per group (N = 332)	qPCR ~20 per group (N = 121)	qPCR ~20 per group (N = 185)
53 DE genes FDR ≤ 0.05	12 genes $p \leq 0.05$	2 genes $p \leq 0.05$	2 genes $p \leq 0.1$	0 genes $p \leq 0.05$
	1 gene $p < 0.0001$	1 gene $p < 0.0001$	1 gene $p < 0.0001$	1 gene $p < 0.0001$

Figure Legend

<u>**Figure 1**</u>. **A)** Single-locus two-allele model illustration of the mating design used to perform

a test of hypothesis for paternal transgenerational genetic effects in isogenic mice. Where

males heterozygous AA' are mated to AA females. Resulting offspring are genotyped and

only those with an AA genotype are compared to a control offspring on the right. **B)**

Schematic representation of the cross performed for each B6.A/J–<N> CSS. Cross begins at

F0 where a single male homozygous for each of chromosomes 15, 17, 19, and X, was mated

to a single B6 female, and a single B6 control male is mated in parallel. The resulting males

from the F1 were subsequently backcrossed with multiple B6 females, and N2 offspring

genotyped for 236 SNP polymorphic between A/J and B6 to identify isogenic mice that

inherit a complete B6 chromosome. Isogenic B6.A-<N> N2 $^{B6/B6}$ are then compared to the

control contemporary group of B6/B6 purebred mice. A persistence N3 cross was performed

by selecting an isogenic B6.A-<N> N2 $^{B6/B6}$ male mice and mated to multiple B6/B6 females

and compared to a contemporary group of B6/B6 males. The only exception was B6.A-X in

which B6.A-X F1 $^{B6/B6}$ isogenic mice are obtained within a single generation.

<u>Figure 2</u>. Differential expression analysis of RNA-Seq across five tissues comparing B6.A-

<N> $^{B6/B6}$ and B6 controls. **A)** QQ-plots differential expression -$\log_{10}$ (*p*-values) of all genes

compared across three cohorts, the Original N2 backcross (2012), a second independent

Replicate N2 backcross (2013), and a persistence backcross N3 (2013). Within the N2

backcross, differential expression was measured three times. RNA-Seq of 50 libraries each

composed of 4 mouse tissues, qRT-PCR of the same 200 mice for 53 genes with FDR ≤ 0.05

genes from RNA-Seq, and qRT-PCR of technically replicating genes on isogenic littermates

from the same cohort. The latter set of technically replicating genes was measured in the

Replicate N2, and Persistence N3 backcrosses. Colours represent the sire lineage. *P*-values

were calculated by comparing each B6.A-<N> N2 $^{B6/B6}$ isogenic lineage to B6 Controls.

Except for *Mid1,* from left to right our p-values show a loss of replication based on the

significance and the directionality of the effects across all differentially expressed genes. **B)**

Number of genes significant per B6.A–<N> N2 $^{B6/B6}$ vs B6.C comparison across the five

tested tissues for each of the four tested CSS.   B6.A-15 N2 $^{B6/B6}$ vs B6.C had the highest

amount of differentially expressed genes, particularly in the liver. B6.A-19 N2 $^{B6/B6}$ vs B6.C

had the second, totalling 14 genes, of which *Mid1* was consistently differentially expressed

across all 5 tissues.

<u>Figure 3</u>. A) Segmented copy number profiles from the sex chromosomes of the B6.A-19 F0

founder male. At the distal end of mouse chromosome (MMU) X – left panel–, results show

a duplication on the XY pseudo-autosomal region (PAR) highlighted with a circle. However,

no duplication is observed in the MMU Y PAR highlighted in a circle (right panel). **B)** A

closer view of the distal ends of MMU X and Y pseudo-autosomal boundaries (PAB), reveals

the duplication event spans the *Mid1* gene, explaining the significant differential over-

expression of B6.A-19 N2 $^{B6/B6}$ isogenic mice when compared to their B6 contemporary

group.

Supplemental Information

<u>Supplemental Material</u>. Extended methods for generating the backcross population,

genotyping, selection of isogenic mice, power calculations, and sequence analysis of founder

males

Supplementary Table S1. Differential expression analysis of isogenic B6/B6 derived mice
vs B6 Controls.

Supplementary Table S2. Means ± SE for body weights, growth, body length, and body
composition traits measured in isogenic B6/B6 N2 mice derived from sires with different
genotypes.

Supplementary Table S3. Gene expression assay design to validate differentially expressed
genes from RNA-Seq between B6.A-<N> and B6.C.

Supplementary Table S4. Summary of genotype and allelic frequencies across all 11
backcrosses.

Supplementary Table S5. DNA sequence polymorphisms and de-novo mutations in B6.A-
15, B6.A-17, B6.A-19 and B6.A-X.

Supplemental Figure S1. The mutational and copy number landscapes of de-novo and A/J
alleles across the B6.A-<N> founder males of the tested CSS.

Supplemental Figure S2. Volcano plots of differential expression across all derived isogenic
N2 mice from the four CSS.

Supplemental Figure S3. Global expression and *Mid1* effects comparisons across replicates.

Supplemental Figure S4. Inheritance model of the *Mid1* segmental duplication across the
B6-A-19 male lineage throughout the discovery, validation, and persistence experimental
crosses.

Supplemental Figure S5. QQ-plots of $-\log_{10}$ *p*-values of all 25 anatomical traits across all
three analyzed cohorts.

Supplemental Figure S6. Heart, and body weights weight across the Discovery (N2),
Replicate (N2), and Persistence (N3) backcrosses in B6.A-17 N2 $^{B6/B6}$, B6.A-X F1 $^{B6/B6}$, and
B6 Control mice.

References

Anders S, Pyl PT, Huber W. 2015. HTSeq--a Python framework to work with high-throughput sequencing data. *Bioinformatics* **31**: 166-169.

Case LK, Wall EH, Osmanski EE, Dragon JA, Saligrama N, Zachary JF, Lemos B, Blankenhorn EP, Teuscher C. 2015. Copy number variation in Y chromosome multicopy genes is linked to a paternal parent-of-origin effect on CNS autoimmune disease in female offspring. *Genome Biology* **16**: 28-14.

Cheuquemán C, Maldonado R. 2021. Non-coding RNAs and chromatin: key epigenetic factors from spermatogenesis to transgenerational inheritance. Review. Biol Res. 2021 Dec 20;54(1):41.

Corva PM, Horvat S, Medrano JF. 2001. Quantitative trait loci affecting growth in high growth (hg) mice. *Mammalian Genome* **12**: 284-290.

Dobin A, Davis CA, Schlesinger F, Drenkow J, Zaleski C, Jha S, Batut P, Chaisson M, Gingeras TR. 2012. STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-Seq aligner. *Bioinformatics* **29**: 15-21.

Engström PG, Steijger T, Sipos B, Grant GR, Kahles A, Rätsch G, Goldman N, Hubbard TJ, Harrow J, Guigo R et al. 2013. Systematic evaluation of spliced alignment programs for RNA-seq data. *nature methods* **10**: 1185-1191.

Farber CR, Corva PM, Medrano JF. 2006. Genome-wide isolation of growth and obesity QTL using mouse speed congenic strains. *BMC Genomics* **7**: 102.

Gapp K, Jawaid A, Sarkies P, Bohacek J, Pelczar P, Prados J, Farinelli L, Miska EA, Mansuy IM. 2014. Implication of sperm RNAs in transgenerational inheritance of the effects of early trauma in mice. *Nature Neuroscience* doi:10.1038/nn.3695.

Greer EL, Maures TJ, Ucar D, Hauswirth AG, Mancini E, Lim JP, Benayoun BA, Shi Y, Brunet A. 2011. Transgenerational epigenetic inheritance of longevity in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Nature* **479**: 365-371.

Heard E, Martienssen RA. 2014. Transgenerational epigenetic inheritance: myths and mechanisms. *Cell* **157**: 95-109.

Kong A, Thorleifsson G, Frigge ML, Vilhjalmsson BJ, Young AI, Thorgeirsson TE, Benonisdottir S, Oddsson A, Halldorsson BV, Masson G et al. 2018. The nature of nurture: Effects of parental genotypes. *Science* **359**: 424-428.

Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD. 2001. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2(-Delta C(T)) Method. *Methods* **25**: 402-408.

Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. 2014. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-Seq data with DESeq2. In *biorxivorg*, doi:10.1101/002832.

Morgan AP, Bell TA, Crowley JJ, Pardo-Manuel de Villena F. 2019. Instability of the Pseudoautosomal Boundary in House Mice. *Genetics* **212**: 469-487.

Mott R, Yuan W, Kaisaki P, Gan X, Cleak J, Edwards A, Baud A, Flint J. 2014. The Architecture of Parent-of-Origin Effects in Mice. *Cell* **156**: 332-342.

Nadeau JH. 2009. Transgenerational genetic effects on phenotypic variation and disease risk. *Human Molecular Genetics* **18**: R202.

Nadeau JH, Singer JB, Matin A, Lander ES. 2000. Analysing complex genetic traits with chromosome substitution strains. *Nature Genetics* **24**: 221-225.

Shockley KR, Churchill GA. 2006. Gene expression analysis of mouse chromosome substitution strains. *Mammalian Genome* **17**: 598-614.

Takahashi Y, Morales Valencia M, Yu Y, Ouchi Y, Takahashi K, Shokhirev MN, Lande K, Williams AE, Fresia C, Kurita M, Hishida T, Shojima K, Hatanaka F, Nuñez-Delicado E, Rodriguez Esteban C, Izpisua Belmonte JC. 2023. Transgenerational

inheritance of acquired epigenetic signatures at CpG islands in mice. Cell 186, 715-
731.
Teuscher C, Noubade R, Spach K, McElvany B, Bunn JY, Fillmore PD, Zachary JF,
Blankenhorn EP. 2006. Evidence that the Y chromosome influences autoimmune
disease in male and female mice. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of*
the United States of America **103**: 8024-8029.
Vandesompele J, De Preter K, Pattyn F, Poppe B, Van Roy N, De Paepe A, Speleman F.
2002. Accurate normalization of real-time quantitative RT-PCR data by geometric
averaging of multiple internal control genes. *Genome Biology* **3**: RESEARCH0034.
476

Figure 1

Figure 2

A

B

Figure 3