The *C. elegans* Anchor Cell Transcriptome: Ribosome Biogenesis Drives Cell Invasion through Basement Membrane

Running Title: Ribosome Biogenesis Drives Invasion

Daniel S. Costa[1,2,*], Isabel W. Kenny-Ganzert[1,*], Qiuyi Chi[1], Kieop Park[1], Laura C. Kelley[1], Aastha Garde[3,4], David Q. Matus[5], Junhyun Park[6], Shaul Yogev[6], Bob Goldstein[7], Theresa V. Gibney[8], Ariel M. Pani[8,9] and David R. Sherwood[10,‡]

[1] Department of Biology, Duke University, Box 90338, Durham, NC 27708, USA
[2] Department of Cell Biology, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC 27708, USA
[3] Department of Molecular Biology, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544, USA
[4] Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544, USA
[5] Department of Biochemistry and Cell Biology, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY 11794, USA
[6] Department of Neuroscience, Yale School of Medicine, New Haven, CT 06510, USA
[7] Department of Biology, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC 27599, USA.
[8] Department of Biology, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA 29903, USA.
[9] Department of Cell Biology, University of Virginia School of Medicine, Charlottesville, VA 29904, USA
[10] Author for correspondence (david.sherwood@duke.edu)
* These authors contributed equally to the work
‡ Correspondence: david.sherwood@duke.edu

KEYWORDS: cell invasion, transcriptome, basement membrane, ribosome biogenesis, endomembrane expansion, Translationally Controlled Tumor Protein (TCTP)

SUMMARY STATEMENT

A transcriptome of an actively invading cell in C. elegans reveals a key role for ribosome

biogenesis in expanding translational capacity for pro-invasive protein production.

ABSTRACT

Cell invasion through basement membrane (BM) barriers is important in development, immune

function, and cancer progression. As invasion through BM is often stochastic, capturing gene

expression profiles of cells actively transmigrating BM *in vivo* remains elusive. Using the

stereotyped timing of *C. elegans* anchor cell (AC) invasion, we generated an AC transcriptome

during BM breaching. Through a focused RNAi screen of transcriptionally enriched genes, we

identified new invasion regulators, including TCTP (Translationally Controlled Tumor Protein).

We also discovered gene enrichment of ribosomal proteins. AC-specific RNAi, endogenous

ribosome labeling, and ribosome biogenesis analysis revealed a burst of ribosome production

occurs shortly after AC specification, which drives the translation of proteins mediating BM

removal. Ribosomes also strongly localize to the AC's endoplasmic reticulum (ER) and the

endomembrane system expands prior to invasion. We show that AC invasion is sensitive to ER

stress, indicating a heightened requirement for translation of ER trafficked proteins. These

studies reveal key roles for ribosome biogenesis and endomembrane expansion in cell invasion

through BM and establish the AC transcriptome as a resource to identify mechanisms

underlying BM transmigration.

INTRODUCTION

Basement membrane (BM) is a thin, dense, extracellular matrix (ECM) that enwraps most tissues (Yurchenco, 2011). Assembled from a cell-associated network of laminin molecules that connect to a covalently cross-linked type IV collagen meshwork (Hohenester and Yurchenco, 2013), BM mechanically supports tissues and has formidable barrier properties, which prevents the movement of cells between tissues (Bunt et al., 2010; Jayadev et al., 2022; Sherwood, 2021; Yurchenco, 2011). Yet, a number of cells acquire the unique ability to breach BM to exit or enter tissues. For example, vertebrate neural crest cells, gastrulating mesoderm and endoderm precursor cells, and limb muscle cell precursor cells undergo an epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT) and invade through the underlying BM to migrate away from the epithelial tissue (Gros and Tabin, 2014; Leonard and Taneyhill, 2020; Nakaya et al., 2008). Macrophages and neutrophils also breach BM to enter tissues during immune cell trafficking (Bahr et al., 2022; van den Berg et al., 2019). Cell invasive behavior is misregulated in developmental disorders, inflammatory diseases, and cancer (Fisher, 2015; Novikov et al., 2021; Xing et al., 2016). Thus, understanding the mechanisms underlying cell invasive behavior is of basic biological and clinical importance.

Studies examining BM transmigrating cancer cells, immune cells and cells during development have revealed that invasive cells use specialized cellular protrusions to penetrate BM (Cambi and Chavrier, 2021; Paterson and Courtneidge, 2018). Immunofluorescence and proteomic studies have indicated invasive protrusions harbor over 300 different proteins, such as adhesion receptors, cytoskeletal regulators, proteases, and signaling proteins (Cambi and Chavrier, 2021; Ezzoukhry et al., 2018; Linder et al., 2022). Invasive cells also require the production and secretion of ECM to build migration paths (Feng et al., 2014). In addition, BM transmigrating cells have robust metabolic networks that facilitate production of high levels of ATP to fuel the

invasive cytoskeletal and adhesion machinery (Garde et al., 2022; Garde and Sherwood, 2021;

Papalazarou et al., 2020). Together, these specialized features require the transcription of

numerous genes whose expression is controlled by pro-invasive transcription factor networks

(Medwig-Kinney et al., 2020; Pastushenko and Blanpain, 2019). How invasive cells translate

these mRNAs encoding numerous new proteins required to breach BM is less understood.

Notably, recent studies have revealed that ribosome biogenesis is required for the execution of

EMT in multiple cancer cell lines and neural crest cells during development (Prakash et al.,

2019). Whether ribosome biogenesis promotes BM invasion during EMT or is involved in

another aspect of the EMT program is unknown.

Anchor cell (AC) invasion in *Caenorhabditis elegans* is a visually and genetically tractable model

of BM transmigration (Sherwood and Plastino, 2018). The AC is a specialized uterine cell that

invades through the juxtaposed uterine and vulval BM to initiate uterine-vulval connection during

the L3 larval stage. The AC shares many similarities with other invasive cells, including a role

for the Fos proto-oncogene transcription factor in promoting pro-invasive gene expression, the

formation of specialized protrusions that breach BM, the use of matrix metalloproteinases

(MMPs) to degrade BM, and a complex metabolic system to fuel the invasive machinery (Garde

et al., 2022; Hagedorn et al., 2013; Kelley et al., 2019; Medwig-Kinney et al., 2020; Naegeli et

al., 2017; Sherwood et al., 2005). Complete disruption of AC invasion perturbs uterine-vulval

attachment and leads to a protruded vulva (Pvl) phenotype. As the Pvl phenotype is evident

under a dissecting microscope, many RNAi and genetic screens have used this phenotype to

identify invasion promoting genes (Kelley et al., 2019; Lattmann et al., 2022; Lohmer et al.,

2016; Matus et al., 2010; Matus et al., 2015; Sherwood et al., 2005). Genes encoding proteins

required for viability, as well as subtle regulators of AC invasion, however, have likely been

missed in Pvl screens. As a result, our understanding of AC invasion is incomplete.

Here, we have taken advantage of the stereotyped nature of AC invasion and established the

AC gene expression profile/transcriptome during BM breaching to gain deeper insight into BM

invasion. We identified ~1,500 genes enriched in the AC during BM breaching and conducted a

focused RNAi screen on a subset of these, and discovered new invasion regulators, including

TCT-1, an ortholog of vertebrate TCTP (Translationally Controlled Tumor Protein). The AC

transcriptome also revealed enrichment of genes encoding ribosomal proteins. Through AC-

specific RNAi knockdown, AC-specific protein degradation, endogenous ribosomal protein

labeling, and ribosome biogenesis analysis, we discovered that a burst of ribosome biogenesis

occurs shortly after AC specification. We provide evidence that ribosome biogenesis promotes

invasion by driving the translation of numerous proteins functioning to remove the BM barrier.

Finally, we show that prior to invasion ribosomes strongly colocalize with the Sec61 translocon,

where transmembrane and secreted proteins are imported into the ER and enter the

endomembrane system. We find that the endomembrane system expands leading up to BM

breaching and that invasion is sensitive to ER stress, indicating a requirement for enhanced

translation of ER trafficked proteins to breakdown the BM barrier. Together, our studies uncover

the transcriptome of an invasive cell during BM breaching and reveal a key role for ribosome

biogenesis and protein production in allowing an invasive cells to overcome a BM barrier.

RESULTS

RESULTS

The generation of RNA-seq libraries of invading *C. elegans* anchor cells (ACs)

AC invasion through BM is highly stereotyped and occurs over a 90-minute period during the L3

larval stage. The time course of invasion is staged by the divisions of the underlying primary

fated P6.p vulval precursor cell (VPC), as invasion occurs in coordination with P6.p VPC

divisions (Sherwood and Sternberg, 2003). The AC is specified during the late L2-to-early L3

stage (early P6.p 1-cell stage, ~ six hours before invasion (Kimble, 1981; Ziel et al., 2009)), and

AC invasion initiates at the P6.p 2-cell stage with protrusions that breach and then clear the BM

by the P6.p 4-cell stage (Fig. 1A) (Hagedorn et al., 2013).

To complement previous phenotypic screening approaches used to identify genes that promote

cell invasion, we sought to characterize the gene expression profile of the AC at the time of BM

breaching. We used synchronized worm culturing, single-cell isolation techniques, and FACS

(Fluorescence-Activated-Cell-Sorting) to isolate ACs at the time of BM breaching (Fig. 1B)

(Spencer et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2011). For fluorescent identification of ACs, we found that

the *lin-29* promoter driving GFP (*lin-29p::*GFP) (Garde et al., 2022) showed strong and specific

AC expression at the time of BM invasion (Fig. 1C). Chemomechanical disruption of *C. elegans*

at the mid-L3 P6.p 2-cell stage resulted in a cell suspension with rare highly fluorescent cells

that were presumably ACs (Fig. 1C). We isolated ACs using FACS followed by RNA-

sequencing (RNA-seq) to generate three AC libraries (between ~80,000-to-150,000 ACs/library,

8-10 ng of total RNA). We also generated three whole-body (WB) gene expression profiles at

the same mid-L3 stage. Gene body coverage showed unbiased representation of the 5' and 3'

ends of transcripts in all of the WB libraries and 2 of 3 AC libraries (Fig. S1). One AC library had

a slight 3' bias, likely because of the use of poly(dT) for cDNA synthesis. Hierarchical clustering

of all genes with a significant p-value (≤0.05) showed that specific genes differentiate the AC

and WB libraries (Fig. 1D). Using the three AC libraries, we created an AC transcriptome (Table

S1), and detected a total of 12,682 genes with at least 10 reads in one of the AC libraries.

Comparing the gene expression in the AC dataset versus WB dataset, we identified

1,502 transcripts with significantly elevated expression (2-fold higher, Benjamini–Hochberg

adjusted P-value < 0.1) (Table S2).

To validate our AC transcriptome, we first compiled a list of 52 genes previously shown with

fluorescent reporters to be expressed in the AC during invasion (Table S3), and found that 51 of

these genes were present with at least 10 copies in one of the AC libraries (Tables S1,S3). To

further test the AC transcriptome's rigor, we created genome edited *C. elegans* strains where

mNeonGreen (mNG) was inserted in frame with the protein coding region of five highly enriched

genes (2-fold or greater) —*tct-1* (translationally controlled tumor protein), s*nb-1* (synaptobrevin),

eif-1.A (translation initiation factor EIF1A), *lin-3* (EGF ligand) and *rab-11.1* (Rab11 small

GTPase). We also examined 16 other previously tagged genes (15 tagged endogenously) that

were enriched at various levels in the AC (Tables S3,S4). Examination of ACs at the time of BM

breaching (P6.p 2-cell stage, $n \geq 5$ animals each) revealed that the proteins encoding all genes

that were enriched in the AC versus WB (2-fold or greater) were present at high levels in the

AC, and most were expressed at levels higher than neighboring uterine cells (Fig. 1E, Fig. S2).

TCT-1, which was highly enriched in the AC, was also at high levels in neighboring uterine cells

(Fig. S3A). Notably, the DMD-3 protein (doublesex), whose encoding mRNA was extremely

enriched (~45-fold enrichment), was solely detected in the AC at the mid-L3 stage (Fig. S3B).

The proteins encoded by genes whose transcripts were slightly enriched or at equivalent levels

in the AC (~1-to-2-fold enrichment versus WB) were generally present at equivalent levels to

uterine cells (Fig. S2). An exception was the ZMP-1 protein (GPI anchored MMP), which was

present at high levels in the AC compared to uterine and vulval cells, but was not highly

enriched in the transcriptome. Lack of *zmp-1* mRNA enrichment in the AC versus WB was likely

a result of high levels in the WB from *zmp-1* expression in non-uterine and non-vulval tissues

(Kaletsky et al., 2018). AIR-2 (Aurora B) and PAT-3 (β integrin) proteins were also examined

and both were underenriched in the AC compared to the WB (< 0.5 fold). Consistent with this,

AIR-2 was at low-to-undetectable levels in the AC, but present in neighboring uterine cells (Fig.

1E; Fig. S3C). However, PAT-3 protein was present at high levels in the AC (Fig. S2). The low

enrichment of *pat-3* gene expression the AC versus WB transcriptome was likely because of

strong *pat-3* expression in body wall muscles (Gettner et al., 1995). We conclude that the AC

transcriptome has a high fidelity and that AC versus WB expression identifies genes enriched in

AC, but can miss genes that are also expressed highly in other tissues.

Identification of new genes that promote AC invasion

We were next interested in identifying new genes that promote AC invasion. As cell invasion

requires specific gene regulatory networks, dynamic membrane protrusions, BM interactions

and cell signaling (Paterson and Courtneidge, 2018; Sherwood and Plastino, 2018), we

examined AC enriched genes that encode transmembrane or secreted, cytoskeleton

component/regulator, or transcription factor proteins. We also filtered our search to include

genes that have a mammalian ortholog and removed genes previously identified as promoting

AC invasion. This led to a list of 84 genes (Table S5). We initiated RNAi feeding at the L1 stage

in the sensitized RNAi *rrf-3* strain (Simmer et al., 2002) then scored AC invasion at the mid-L3

larval stage (Methods) and identified 13 genes whose RNAi-mediated depletion caused a

significant invasion defect (Table S5).

RNAi mediated knockdown of all 13 newly identified genes led to modest AC invasion defects

(~12% to 34%, Table S5, Fig. 2A) and none caused a robust plate level Pvl phenotype that has

been used as a selection filter for invasion defects in previous screens (Matus et al., 2010).

RNAi mediated reduction of *tct-1*, which encodes the *C. elegans* ortholog of the mammalian

TCTP, caused the strongest defect (~34%). Of the remaining 12 genes, four mediate cell

signaling—*mom-1* (Porcupine, Wingless signaling), *wrt-6* (Hedgehog-like ligand), *paqr-3*

(Progestin and AdipoQ Receptor) and *fmi-1* (Flamingo/planar-cell polarity receptor) (Burglin and

Kuwabara, 2006; Sawa and Korswagen, 2013; Svensson et al., 2011). In addition, five facilitate

ER-to-plasma membrane trafficking—*dab-1* (Disabled, clathrin adaptor protein), *cyn-5* (PPIB,

ER chaperon), *snb-1* (Synaptobrevin, v-Snare), and *hhat-2* (ER localized palmitoyltransferase)

and *tmed-3* (TMED, Golgi organization) (Sato et al., 2014), suggesting an important role for the

endomembrane system in AC invasion.

TCT-1 (TCTP) encodes a multifunctional protein that interacts with many other proteins (Gao et

al., 2022). TCTP has been implicated in cell invasion in human cancer cells *in vitro*, potentially

through promoting a range of activities, including MMP expression and interactions with actin

and microtubule regulators (Bae et al., 2015; Gao et al., 2022). To gain insight into how TCT-1

fosters invasion through BM *in vivo*, we used an AC-specific RNAi strain and confirmed that

TCT-1 functions in the AC to promote invasion (Table 1). We also determined that *tct-1* RNAi

effectively knocks down AC TCT-1::mNG expression (>90% reduction in protein levels,

Methods). Using the *rrf-3* RNAi senstitized strain, we examined how *tct-1* loss affects several

key regulators of AC invasion. RNAi mediated reduction of *tct-1* led to a decrease in the levels

of the ZMP-1::mNG (MMP) during the time of invasion (Fig. 2B). There was also a modest, and

trending towards significant decrease in F-actin volume at the AC's invasive cell membrane

(Fig. 2C). ZMP-1 and F-actin promote BM degradation and BM physical displacement,

respectively, to remove BM during invasion (Kelley et al., 2019). Loss of *tct-1*, however, did not

alter the polarization of the UNC-40::GFP (DCC receptor) to the invasive (basal) cell membrane

(Fig. 2D), but did reduce the enrichment of mitochondria to the invasive front (Fig. 2E). UNC-40

directs the exocytosis of lysosomes to form a large invasive protrusion at the BM breach site

(Hagedorn et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2014b), while basally-localized mitochondria deliver ATP to

the invasive front to fuel F-actin and protrusion formation (Garde et al., 2022). Together, these

results suggest that TCT-1 promotes several aspects of invasion, including MMP expression, F-

actin formation, and mitochondria localization, which likely accounts for the defect in AC

invasion after TCT-1 loss.

Ribosomal proteins and ribosome biogenesis are required for AC invasion

We noted that 26 genes enriched in the AC transcriptome encoded translational regulators, and

12 of these encoded ribosomal proteins of the ribosomal large subunit (RPLs, Table S6). These

observations suggest that ribosome biogenesis and protein translation may be upregulated to

promote cell invasion through BM. While ribosome biogenesis is a canonical feature of cell

growth that supports proliferation (Donati et al., 2012), recent findings have implicated ribosome

production driving EMT in cancer cell lines and in mouse and chick neural crest cells *in vivo*

(Prakash et al., 2019). How ribosome biogenesis fuels EMT, however, is not understood.

As cells undergoing EMT require BM breaching (Kelley et al., 2014), we investigated whether

ribosome biogenesis and translation are necessary for AC invasion. Using an AC-specific RNAi

strain, where the AC becomes sensitive to RNAi shortly after the time of its specification (~6 h

prior to invasion (Sherwood et al., 2005)), we targeted 10 AC-enriched RPLs, as well as RPL-4

and RPL-6, which are core components of all large ribosomal subunits in mouse embryonic

stem cells (Shi et al., 2017). RNAi-mediated depletion of seven RPLs, including the putative

core proteins RPL-4 and RPL-6, gave strong BM invasion defects (Fig. 3A, Table 1). This

suggests a general ribosome upregulation requirement, rather than a function for specific

ribosomal subunits. To test the importance of ribosome production prior to invasion, we also

used RNAi to deplete 10 ribosome biogenesis proteins in the AC-specific RNAi strain. These

included *W08E12.7* (Pa2g4) (Tummala et al., 2016), which had high AC transcript levels (Table

S1), as well as *W07E6.2* (NLE1), *Y48B6A.1* (BOP1), *pro-1* (WDR18), *pro-2* (NOC2P), and *fib-1*

(FBL), which have been previously characterized as ribosome biogenesis genes in *C. elegans*

(Voutev et al., 2006; Yi et al., 2015). We also targeted the *C. elegans* orthologs of the vertebrate

ribosome biogenesis genes *rbd-1* (RBM19), *T23D8.3* (LTV1), *W09C5.1* (NSA2) and *nifk-1*

(NIFK*)* (Collins et al., 2018; Kallberg et al., 2012; Pan et al., 2015; Xing et al., 2018). RNAi-

mediated loss of seven ribosome biogenesis genes gave significant invasion defects (Fig. 3A,

Table 1). In addition, a viable loss-of-function allele in the conserved ribosome biogenesis gene

ddx-52 (*gc51*) (ROK1) (Itani et al., 2021) also had defects in cell invasion (Table S7). Together,

these results strongly implicate ribosome production in promoting invasion after AC

specification.

We next wanted to determine how disruption of ribosomes perturbs invasion. Loss of ribosome

production can lead to nucleolar stress and p53 stabilization in growing and dividing cells, which

halts cell cycle progression and can lead to apoptosis (Rubbi and Milner, 2003; Zhang and Lu,

2009). We thus wondered if p53 stabilization/activation might also occur in the AC and disrupt

invasion. RNAi mediated depletion of the core RPL, RPL-4, and AC-enriched RPL-37 and RPL-

31, however, did not increase CEP-1::eGFP (*C. elegans* p53 ortholog (Derry et al., 2001)) levels

in the AC (Fig. S4, n=10/10 each), strongly suggesting that perturbation of AC invasion after

ribosome reduction was not due to p53 activation.

We also noted that the AC increases in size dramatically prior to invasion, nearly doubling in

volume (Fig. 3B). As ribosome production promotes cell growth and is required for cell cycle

progression and proliferation (Donati et al., 2012), we examined if ribosome biogenesis is

required for AC growth and subsequent invasion. RNAi mediated loss of RPL-4 and RPL-31,

however, did not reduce the expansion in AC size (Fig. 3B). Furthermore, we found that AC

invasion proceeded normally in small body size *sma-5(n678)* mutant animals (Watanabe et al.,

2007), where the AC has a reduced size (Fig. 3B, Table S7). These results indicate that the

invasion defect associated ribosome reduction is not a result of perturbations in AC growth and

that AC invasion does not depend on reaching a large cell size.

Cell invasion through BM requires transcriptional networks that drive pro-invasive gene

expression (Medwig-Kinney et al., 2020; Sherwood and Plastino, 2018). There is thus a

requirement for translation of many new mRNA transcripts to execute invasion. To determine

how reduction of ribosomes alters protein production at the time of BM breaching, we examined

the levels of five endogenously tagged proteins that promote AC invasion--the Ena/VASP

ortholog UNC-34, the receptor dystroglycan DGN-1, the MMP ZMP-1, the small GTPase RAP-1,

and the microtubule end binding protein EBP-2 (Table 1) (Kelley et al., 2019; Naegeli et al.,

2017; Sallee et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2014a). RNAi-mediated reduction of RPL-4 and RPL-31

significantly reduced the levels of all pro-invasive proteins with the exception of RAP-1 after

reduction of RPL-4 and UNC-34 after reduction of RPL-31 (Fig. 3C,D). The differences in effects

on levels of RAP-1 and UNC-34 might be due to the stronger reduction of RPL-4 levels after

RNAi knockdown (~50% knockdown efficiency for RPL-4 versus ~20% for RPL-31, see

Methods), as the translation efficiency of distinct mRNAs can be affected by different ribosome

concentrations (Mills and Green, 2017). It could also indicate there might be distinct populations

of ribosomes within the AC tailored to translate certain mRNAs (Shi et al., 2017). Overall,

however, the enrichment of numerous RPLs and requirement of most for invasion, suggests that

it is primarily a general increase in ribosome levels that is required to translate pro-invasive

proteins that facilitate BM invasion.

Ribosome biogenesis occurs prior to pro-invasive protein production

We next wanted to understand how pro-invasive protein production and ribosome biogenesis

are coordinated during AC invasion. We first examined the levels of nine endogenously tagged

proteins within the AC that promote invasion. Levels were assessed shortly after AC

specification at the early P6.p 1-cell stage until completion of invasion at the P6.p 4-cell stage

(Fig. 4). The FOS-1 transcription factor, which promotes the expression of many pro-invasive

genes (Medwig-Kinney et al., 2020; Sherwood et al., 2005), was present at high levels in the

early P6.p 1-cell stage until the time of invasion and its levels were stable, consistent with an

early role in regulating pro-invasive gene transcription. In contrast, the other eight proteins, all of

which play roles near or at the time of BM breaching, showed a significant ramp up in levels

after AC specification that often increased until the time of invasion. These include the glucose

transporter FGT-1, which supplies energy for BM breaching (Garde et al., 2022), as well as the

integrin INA-1, the GTPase integrin regulator RAP-1, the dystroglycan receptor DGN-1, the

MMP ZMP-1 (Frische et al., 2007; Hagedorn et al., 2009; Kelley et al., 2019; Naegeli et al.,

2017), which regulate BM interactions, and the actin and microtubule cytoskeletal regulators

Ena/VASP (UNC-34), Arp2/3 (ARX-2), and microtubule end binding protein EBP-2 (Garde et al.,

2022; Kelley et al., 2019; Sallee et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2014a). Together these results

indicate that there is a requirement for significant pro-invasive protein production leading up to

AC invasion.

Next, we examined the timing of ribosome biogenesis and ribosome production in the AC.

Nucleolar size is a strong indicator of ribosome biogenesis (Derenzini et al., 2000; Lee et al.,

2012). Strikingly, the AC nucleolus showed an ~50% increase in cross-sectional area from the

early 1-cell stage (~6 h prior to invasion) to late 1-cell stage (~2.5 h prior to invasion) and then

decreased in size during invasion (Fig. 5A). Confirming this early timing of ribosome biogenesis,

levels of fibrillarin (FIB-1::eGFP), a nucleolar protein that acts as a methyltransferase for pre-

rRNA processing and modification (Nguyen Van Long et al., 2022), showed the same dynamics

(Fig. 5A). We also used genome editing to fuse mNG to NIFK-1, a ribosome biogenesis protein

involved in pre-rRNA maturation (Pan et al., 2015), and noted a similar trend in levels in the

nucleolus (Fig. S5A). These results suggest that there is a burst of ribosome biogenesis prior to

pro-invasive protein production leading to invasion.

Visualizing ribosomes in living animals has been challenging because large fluorophores tagged

to ribosomal proteins appear to interfere with the complex assembly and tightly packed

ribosome structure (see Methods (Noma et al., 2017)). To overcome this problem, a self-

assembling split-GFP strategy has been developed to label a ribosomal protein in *C. elegans*

(Noma et al., 2017). This technique uses a cell or tissue specific promoter to expresses the first

ten β-strands (GFP1-10) and a ribosomal protein fused with the last β-strand (GFP11, 16 amino

acids), which allows irreversible post-translational assembly of GFP to label ribosomal proteins

(Cabantous et al., 2005). However, this approach has only been used with a transgene

expressing the small ribosomal subunit protein RPS-18 fused to GFP11 (Noma et al., 2017),

precluding clear insight into the endogenous dynamics of ribosome assembly. To determine if

this approach can follow endogenous ribosomal proteins, we used genome editing to tag the

RPL genes *rpl-4* and *rpl-31* with GFP11 in a strain expressing GFP1-10 at high and likely

saturating levels driven by the ubiquitous promoter *eef-1A.1* ((Tomioka et al., 2016), Fig S5B).

Notably, RPL-4::GFP11 and RPL-31::GFP11 showed strong ubiquitous expression in animals

and the worms were fertile and healthy (Methods). Consistent with a burst of ribosome

production occurring shortly after AC specification, levels of RPL4::GFP11 and RPL-31::GFP11

increased in the AC between the early P6.p 1-cell stage and late P6.p 1-cell stage (Fig. 5B,C).

Together, these results offer compelling evidence that ribosome biogenesis occurs shortly after

AC specification to facilitate robust translation of pro-invasive proteins that promote BM

invasion.

Ribosomes localize to the ER prior to AC invasion and promote invasion at this time

Ribosomes are either located in the cytosol or associated with the endoplasmic reticulum (ER)

where they mediate cotranslational import of transmembrane and secreted proteins through the

Sec61 translocon (O'Keefe et al., 2022). Notably, we observed that both RPL4::GFP11 and

RPL31::GFP11 localized strongly in a ring around the nucleus starting at the late P6.p 1-cell

stage and continued this localization to the time of invasion (Fig. 5B-D, n = 10/10 for each

stage). This shift in localization was specific to the AC and not seen in neighboring non-invasive

uterine cells (Fig. 5D). To examine whether SEC-61 also localizes to this site, we endogenously

tagged *C. elegans* SEC-61.B with GFP11 and crossed it into the strain expressing GFP1-10

driven by the ubiquitous promoter *eef-1A.1.* SEC-61.B::GFP11 also localized strongly to a ring

around the nucleus (Fig. 5D), suggesting that many of the AC ribosomes translate proteins that

are translocated via Sec61 into the ER and trafficked through the endomembrane system.

Consistent with an important role of SEC-61 and ER translocated proteins, RNAi targeting *sec-*

61.G in the AC-specific RNAi strain, lead to a highly penetrant invasion defect (Table 1). To

determine if ribosome translation was important for invasion specifically at the time of ribosome

localization to SEC-61/the ER, we used the temporally controlled ZIF-1/ZF1 protein degradation

system in which the SOCS-box adaptor protein ZIF-1 targets proteins containing the ZF1

degron motif for proteasomal degradation (Armenti et al., 2014). We tagged RPL-31 with a 36

amino acid ZF1 motif at the C-terminus followed by GFP11. We used an AC-specific promoter

to express ZIF-1 fused to mCherry (*lin-29p*::ZIF-1::mCherry) and found that the ZIF-1 protein

first became detectable at the late P6.p 1-cell stage when ribosomes localize to SEC-61/the ER

(~2.5 hours prior to invasion, n=10/10 ACs). Concomitent with this time, loss of RPL-

31::ZF1::GFP11 was first observed (n=6/10 no detectable signal). By the P6.p 2- and 4-cell

stages, RPL-31::ZF1::GFP11 was not detected in the AC (Fig. 5E, n=10/10 each). The late loss

of RPL-31 resulted in a highly penetrant invasion defect (Table S7). Taken together these

results suggest that AC ribosomes enrich at SEC-61/the ER prior to invasion and that their

activity is required for BM breaching at this time.

The AC endomembrane system expands prior to invasion and is sensitive to ER stress

The localization of the ribosomes to the ER and penetrant invasion defects from reduction of

SEC-61 suggests that the AC has an enhanced need for transmembrane and secreted proteins

to breach the BM. Although we did not detect an enrichment of proteins with a secretion signal

in our AC transcriptome, the AC produces many transmembrane and secreted proteins that

mediate BM breaching (*e.g.,* integrin, dystroglycan, and MMPs, Fig. S2, Fig. 4 (Sherwood and

Plastino, 2018)), which require robust production and delivery to the invasive front. To examine

the development of the endomembrane system after AC specification, we first examined SEC-

61.B::GFP11 (translocon) and found there was a significant increase in SEC-61.B in the AC

between the early and late P6.p 1-cell stage, followed by a plateauing in levels (Fig. 6A). In

contrast, the ER (visualized with the ER localized, endogenously tagged protein ELO-1::mNG

(fatty acid elongase) (Guha et al., 2020)), showed a more delayed ramp up in levels, with the

most significant increase in ER occurring between the late P6.p 1-cell stage and P6.p 2-cell

stage (Fig. 6B). An AC-expressed marker for the Golgi (*lin-29p*::AMAN-2(aa1-84)::mScarlet)

(Sato et al., 2014), revealed an increase in dispersed Golgi stacks between the late P6.p 1-cell

and P6.p 2-cell stage, mirroring ER expansion (Fig. 6C). Finally, endogenously tagged Rab-11.1

(mNG::RAB-11.1), a GTPase that mediates exocytosis and recycling of vesicles at the plasma

membrane (Ferro et al., 2021), was upregulated most during the time of BM breaching and

clearance (P6.p 4-cell stage, Fig. 6D). Together, these results indicate a temporal expansion in

the AC's endomembrane system—from the early SEC-61 increase to import proteins into the

ER, followed by the expansion of the ER and Golgi, to finally the RAB-11.1 delivery of pro-

invasive proteins to the cell membrane at the time of BM breaching.

In the ER, the UPR maintains protein homeostasis by recognizing unfolded proteins and

upregulating ER protein folding capacity (Read and Schroder, 2021). Cells activate the UPR

during normal development and function to accommodate increased ER load or stress (Murao

and Nishitoh, 2017; Wei et al., 2015). In *C. elegans* and vertebrates, three transmembrane

proteins activate the UPR: the protein kinase IRE1, the protein kinase PERK and ER anchored

transcription factor ATF6 (Wei et al., 2015). To determine if the AC requires the UPR to invade,

we used an AC-specific RNAi strain to target the genes encoding each UPR sensor and found

that loss of *ire-1* resulted in an invasion defect (Fig. 6E, Table 1). Animals harboring a deletion

allele of *ire-1* (*ok799)* (Henis-Korenblit et al., 2010) also had AC invasion defects (Table S7).

Activation of IRE-1 results in its catalyzing a non-conventional cytosolic splicing of an intron in

the mRNA encoding the bZIP transcription factor XBP-1, which when translated enters the

nucleus to upregulate genes that relieve ER stress (Read and Schroder, 2021). Consistent with

activation of IRE-1 in the AC leading to invasion, we observed that an endogenously tagged

reporter for XBP-1 (XBP-1::eGFP) localized to the nucleus of the AC, and that nuclear levels

increased up until the time of invasion (Fig. 6F). We also observed cytosolic localization of XBP-

1 near the site of BM invasion at the late P6.p 1-cell and 2-cell stages (n=10/10 each),

suggesting that ER stress is activated towards the invasive front. Taken together, these findings

suggest that ribosome biogenesis and increased translation is directed in part through the AC's

endomembrane system, which expands and requires activation of the IRE1 branch of the UPR

to traffic proteins that mediate BM breaching.

DISCUSSION

The ability of cells to invade through BM barriers plays pivotal roles in development, immune cell trafficking and metastasis. We have taken advantage of the stereotyped timing of AC invasion in *C. elegans* to generate an AC transcriptome during BM breaching. We show the AC transcriptome can be used in conjuction with RNAi screening to discover genes and cellular processes that promote BM invasion. We specifically reveal a key role for a burst of ribosome biogenesis that enables the translation of proteins involved with BM clearance during invasion.

Cell invasion through BM is often stochastic and visually inaccessible *in vivo* and it is difficult to faithfully recapitulate BM complexity and cell interactions with *in vitro* invasion assays (Kelley et al., 2014; Schoumacher et al., 2013). To identify genes associated with invasive ability, expression profiling has been carried out using invasive cancer cell lines, tumor microdissection, isolating infiltrating T cells, single cell sequencing of whole tumors, and laser capture microdissection of infiltrating cancer cells (Hoelzinger et al., 2005; Kadonaga et al., 2022; Tkachev et al., 2021; Tokura et al., 2022; Zajchowski et al., 2001). While these approaches have revealed important factors that regulate cell migration through tissues and *in vitro* matrices, they have not isolated pure populations of cells invading through BM, and thus have not identified genes whose expression is tuned for BM invasion. In contrast, the AC transcriptome specifically captured the gene expression profile of a cell actively crossing a BM barrier *in vivo*. Strongly supporting the rigor of the AC transcriptome, almost all known AC expressed regulators of invasion were present and many highly enriched, such as the transcription factors *fos-1, egl-43, nhr-67* and *hlh-2* (Medwig-Kinney et al., 2020). Furthermore, we found that *air-2* (Aurora B kinase), an essential regulator of cell division (Richie and Golden, 2005), was downregulated in the AC, which is consistent with the known requirement of G1 cell cycle arrest for AC invasion (Matus et al., 2015).

Through a focused RNAi screen of a subset of AC transcriptome enriched genes, we

discovered a requirement for TCT-1 (Translationally Controlled Tumor Protein, TCTP) in AC

invasion. TCTP is a multifunctional protein that associates with and may regulate the stability or

activity of over 100 proteins (Bommer and Telerman, 2020). TCTP's promiscuity likely underlies

its influence on numerous cellular processes, including cell growth, cell division, apoptosis, and

response to cell stress (Bommer and Telerman, 2020). TCTP is overexpressed in most tumors,

is associated with poor patient prognosis, and is being targeted in cancer clinical trials (Boia-

Ferreira et al., 2017; Bommer, 2017; Gao et al., 2022; Karaki et al., 2017). Although strongly

implicated in metastasis (Gao et al., 2022; Zhang et al., 2017), a role for TCTP in BM invasion

has been difficult to establish because of the challenges of studying BM transmigration *in vivo*.

TCTP regulates the function of many key molecular components of BM invasion, such as actin

and microtubule associated proteins, GTPases, and MMP expression (Bae et al., 2015; Bazile

et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2017). Through endogenous tagging and AC-specific RNAi, we found

that TCT-1 (TCTP) is present at high levels in the AC during invasion and functions within the

AC to promote invasion. Loss of TCT-1 led to a reduction in F-actin within invasive protrusions,

a decrease in levels of the BM degrading MMP ZMP-1, and a reduction in the enrichment of

mitochondria at the invasive front (Garde et al., 2022; Kelley et al., 2019). These observations

are consistent with TCTP's multiple molecular targets and suggest that inhibiting TCTP in

cancers may be effective in preventing BM invasion.

Ribosome biogenesis is an established driver of cell growth and cell division in both normal

development and cancer (Donati et al., 2012). Recent studies have also linked ribosome

biogenesis to executing EMT and the differentiation of stem cells (Prakash et al., 2019; Sanchez

et al., 2016). Detailed analysis of germ stem cell differentiation in *Drosophila* has indicated that

ribosome biogenesis occurs before germ stem cell differentiation when translation levels are low

and that during differentiation translation increases (Sanchez et al., 2016). As differentiation

requires higher protein synthesis (Teixeira and Lehmann, 2019), it suggest that ribosome

biogenesis expands translation capacity early in stem cells to allow the translation of proteins

required for differentiation (Breznak et al., 2023). We found that numerous translational

regulators were enriched in the AC transcriptome, including many ribosomal proteins of the

ribosomal large subunit (RPLs). Furthermore, AC-specific RNAi knockdown of RPLs and

ribosome biogenesis factors indicated that ribosome biogenesis is required to support AC

invasion and that even a modest reduction in a ribosomal protein (~20%, RPL-31) was sufficient

to block invasion and reduce the translation proteins critical to BM breaching, such as the MMP

ZMP-1 and the dystroglycan receptor DGN-1 (Kelley et al., 2019; Naegeli et al., 2017). By using

live cell imaging to follow labelled ribosomes, ribosome biogenesis markers, and endogenous

proteins involved with BM breaching, we discovered that a burst of ribosome biogenesis occurs

shortly after AC specification and several hours prior to invasion prior to when proteins involved

with BM breaching increase in levels. Our observations are consistent with a model for early

ribosome biogenesis expanding the AC's translation capacity to facilitate the subsequent

translation of proteins that execute invasion. These findings may account for the role of

ribosome biogenesis prior to EMT, where epithelial cells undergoing EMT, such as neural crest,

breach the underlying BM to initiate their migration (Leonard and Taneyhill, 2020; Prakash et al.,

2019). Interestingly, we noted differences in the effects on translation of several pro-invasive

proteins after RNAi-mediated knockdown of two different RPLs. This could indicate specialized

ribosomes built for the translation of different pro-invasive mRNAs (Shi et al., 2017) or that

some mRNAs might have distinct translation sensitivities to ribosome concentrations (Mills and

Green, 2017). Nevertheless, the disruption of AC invasion after RNAi-mediated reduction of

numerous RPLs and ribosome biogenesis factors, strongly argues for a general ribosome

increase to support BM invasion.

ECM proteins, proteases, and ECM receptors mediating BM breaching are translated by

ribosomes associated with the ER Sec61 translocon, where they are translocated into the ER

membrane or ER lumen and enter the endomembrane system (O'Keefe et al., 2022). By using a

split-GFP approach to label endogenous ribosomes (Noma et al., 2017), we found that AC-

ribosomes enriched at the site of Sec61/ER ~2-3h prior to BM invasion. This timing

corresponded to the ramp up in proteins trafficked by the endomembrane system, such as the

MMP ZMP-1, the integrin receptor INA-1, the dystroglcan receptor DGN-1, and the glucose

transporter FGT-1, and when the AC begins secreting the ECM protein hemicentin into the

underlying BM (Morrissey et al., 2014). Correlating with this buildup of transmembrane and

secreted proteins, we found that the endomembrane system expanded, initially by an increase

in Sec61, followed by ER and Golgi and lastly by Rab11.1 marked recycling and exocytic

secretory vesicles (Ferro et al., 2021). Like B cells that differentiate into Ig-secreting plasma

cells through expansion of secretory organelles (Kirk et al., 2010), these observations suggest

that BM invasion requires enlargement of the endomembrane system to support increased

production of secreted and transmembrane proteins. Notably, EMT, which requires BM

breaching, is linked to increased ECM secretion as well as a sensitivity to ER stress (Feng et

al., 2014). Screening of the ER stress transmembrane sensors ATF6, PERK and IRE1 revealed

a sensitivity of AC invasion to reduction in IRE1 and nuclear localized buildup of its target, the

transcription factor XBP1 (Limia et al., 2019). XBP1 upregulates chaperones that promote ER

protein folding and secretion and increases lipid synthesis for ER expansion (Limia et al., 2019).

These observations further support the notion of an increased transmembrane and secretory

load in the AC leading up to invasion. Activated IRE1 directs the splicing of an intron from XBP1

mRNA, which is then translated in the cytosol (Cox and Walter, 1996). Interestingly, we

observed that cytosolic XBP1 was localized to the AC's invasive front, suggesting that ER stress

is generated from trafficking or translation near the BM invasion site. IRE1/XBP1 is strongly

correlated with cancer progression and the initiation of metastasis when BM are breached in

several types of carcinoma (Limia et al., 2019), suggesting a shared function for XBP1 in cell

invasion through BM.

Together our observations support a model where ribosome biogenesis occurs shortly after AC

specification to expand translation capacity, which allows pro-invasive protein production and

concomitant expansion of the endomembrane system to deliver transmembrane and secreted

proteins to the invasive front to enable BM breaching (Figure 7). We expect that the AC

transcriptome will allow other genes and cell biological processes involved with BM breaching to

be identified. As cell invasion has feedback and adaptive mechanisms that ensure robustness

(Parlani et al., 2022), focused screens in mutant backgrounds might be an especially powerful

approach to reveal mechanisms underlying invasion and potential new strategies for targeting

invasive activity in cancer.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the Duke University SOM Sequencing and Genomic Technologies Shared Resource,

which provided DNA sequencing and flow cytometry and M. Crowder for *ddx-52(gc51).* Some

strains were provided by the Caenorhabditis Genetics Center, which is funded by National

Institutes of Health Office of Research Infrastructure Programs [P40 OD010440].

COMPETING INTERESTS

D.Q.M. is an employee of Arcadia Science.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conceptualization: D.S.C. and D.R.S.; Methodology: D.S.C., I.W.K., Q.C., L.C.K. and D.R.S.;

Validation: D.S.C. and I.W.K.; Formal analysis: D.S.C., I.W.K.; Investigation: D.S.C., I.W.K.,

Q.C., K.P., and D.R.S.; Resources: D.R.S., A.G., D.Q.M., S.P., S.Y., T.V.G., A.M.P., B.G.; Data

curation: D.S.C and I.W.K.; Writing - original draft: D.S.C., D.R.S.; Writing- review & editing:

D.S.C., I.W.K., and D.R.S.; Visualization: D.S.C. and I.W.K. Supervision: D.R.S.; Project

administration: D.R.S.; Funding acquisition: D.R.S.

FUNDING

D.S.C., I.W.K., Q.C., K.P., A.G., and D.R.S., were supported by R35GM118049,

R21OD028766, and R21OD032430; D.Q.M by R01GM121597; S.P. and S.Y by

5R35GM133573; B.G. by R35GM134838; T.V.G by R35GM142880; and A.M.P by

T32CA009156 and R35GM142880. Deposited in PMC for release after 12 months.

	RNAi	Sequence ID	% ACs with incomplete invasion[a]	p value[b]	n[c]
Table 1: AC invasion after AC-specific RNAi					
Negative Control					
1	L4440 (Empty Vector)	N/A	12%	n/a	77
2	T444T (Empty Vector)	N/A	5%	n/a	20
Positive Control					
3	*fos-1*	F29G9.4	71%	<0.0001	65
Large Ribosomal Proteins					
4	*rpl-6*	R151.3	75%	<0.0001	24
5	*rpl-4*	B0041.4	60%	<0.0001	20
6	*rpl-38*	C06B8.8	60%	<0.0001	20
7	*rpl-27*	C53H9.1	50%	0.0002	20
8	*rpl-37*	C54C6.1	35%	0.01	20
9	*rpl-5*	F54C9.5	40%	0.03	10
10	*rpl-31*	W09C5.6	30%	0.04	20
11	*rpl-39*	C26F1.9	19%	ns	21
12	*rpl-19*	C09D4.5	21%	ns	14
13	*rpl-33*	F10E7.7	16%	ns	12
14	*rpl-34*	C42C1.14	17%	ns	12
15	*rpl-21*	C14B9.7	17%	ns	12
Ribosome Biogenesis					
16	*rbd-1*	T23F6.4	67%	<0.0001	15
17	*W09C5.1*	W09C5.1	40%	0.003	20
18	*W07E6.2*	W07E6.2	47%	0.004	15
19	*Y48B6A.1*	Y48B6A.1	47%	0.004	15
20	*pro-2*	C07E3.2	33%	0.05	15
21	*nifk-1*	T04A8.6	33%	0.05	15
22	*pro-1*	R166.4	27%	ns	15
23	*W08E12.7*	W08E12.7	27%	ns	15
24	*T23D8.3*	T23D8.3	15%	ns	20
25	*fib-1*	T01C3.7	13%	ns	15
Endomembrane and ER Stress					
26	*sec-61.G*	F32D8.6	45%	0.002	20
27	*ire-1*	C41C4.4	34%	0.03	15
28	*pek-1*	F46C3.1	20%	ns	15
29	*atf-6*	F45E6.2	7%	ns	15
Cytoskeletal and signaling					

30	*ebp-2*	VW02B12L.3	40%	0.01	20
31	*tct-1*	F25H2.11	35%	0.03	17
32	*rap-1*	C27B7.8	33%	0.05	15

573   [a] An AC specific RNAi strain was used for scoring invasion (see Methods).

574   [b] Fischer Exact 2x2 Tests were used. RNAi treatments were compared to the L4440 (empty
575   vector) control for statistical analysis, except for *tct-1*, which was compared to the T444T empty
576   vector control.

577   [c] Number of animals observed for each condition.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Creation, analysis and validation of the anchor cell (AC) transcriptome.

(A) *C. elegans* AC (green) invasion through BM (magenta) from the 1° vulval precursor cell (VPC) late P6.p 1-cell stage to the P6.p 4-cell stage. The top shows a schematic lateral view of invasion and the bottom shows micrographs of the AC (plasma membrane labeled by *cdh-3p*::mCherry::PLCδ^{PH}) and BM (viewed with *lam-1p*::LAM-1::GFP) fluorescence overlaid on differential interference contrast (DIC) images. Arrowheads mark the BM breach. Timeline shown is in hours post-hatching at 20°C.

(B) Outline of cell isolation, FACS, and generation of transcriptomes.

(C) Animals at the time of AC isolation (left, DIC; right, *lin-29p*::GFP AC fluorescence, dotted blue line represents BM). AC in the intact animal (arrows, top, 100X magnification; middle 1000X magnification) and in cell suspension (arrows, bottom).

(D) Hierarchical clustering of genes with a significant p-value (≤0.05). Raw Z-score values for the top 200 differentially expressed genes shown (red = high, blue = low).

(E) Endogenously tagged proteins expressed in the AC (arrows) and fold change of AC mRNA levels compared to the whole-body (WB) gene expression.

Abbreviations: Basement membrane (BM), anchor cell (AC), hours (h). Scale bars: (C) top scale bar, 40 µm. All other scale bars, 5 µm.

Figure 2. TCT-1 (TCTP) promotes AC invasion by regulating MMP expression, F-actin

formation, and mitochondria localization.

(A) AC (left, *cdh-3p*::GFP::CAAX) and BM (middle, *lam-1p*::LAM-1::mCherry; right, merge) at the P6.p 4-cell stage in control empty vector RNAi and RNAi targeting *tct-1*, *fmi-1*, and *mom-1*. Arrowheads mark normal BM breach and white vertical arrows show intact BM and failure to invade.

(B) Endogenously tagged ZMP-1::mNG levels in control and after *tct-1* RNAi treatment. Graphs show ZMP-1::mNG fluorescence mean intensity (right, boxplot n ≥ 13).

(C) F-actin volume (*cdh-3p*::moesinABD::mCherry*,* top; isosurface renderings generated using Imaris, bottom) in control and after *tct-1* RNAi treatment. Graphs show quantification of F-actin volume (right, boxplot n ≥ 11).

(D) Apical/Basal polarization of UNC-40 (*cdh-3p*::UNC-40::GFP) in control and after *tct-1* RNAi treatment. Arrowheads show enrichment of UNC-40 at AC invasive plasma membrane. Graphs show quantification of UNC-40 polarity (right, boxplot n ≥ 15).

(E) AC mitochondria (*zmp-1p*::MLS::GFP) in control and after *tct-1* RNAi treatment. Graphs show quantification of mitochondrial enrichment of apical cytoplasm/basal cytoplasm (right, boxplot n ≥ 10; for graphs in B-E *$p<0.05$, **$p<0.005$, unpaired two-tailed t-tests). Quantification for B,C is from one experiment, and D,E is from two experiments, in which several animals under each RNAi condition were processed in parallel. Scale bars: 5 µm.

Figure 3. Ribosomal proteins promote invasion and the translation of pro-invasive

proteins.

(A) AC (left, *cdh-3p*::GFP::CAAX) and BM (middle, *lam-1p*::LAM-1::mCherry; right,

merge) at the P6.p 4-cell stage in control empty vector RNAi and RNAi targeting *rpl-4*,

rpl-31, and *nifk-1.* Arrowheads mark BM breach and white vertical arrows mark intact BM

and failure to invade.

(B) AC (*cdh-3p*::mCherry::PLCδ^{PH}) volume from isosurface renderings of ACs from early

P6.p 1-cell to 4-cell stage in control empty vector RNAi and after RNAi mediated

reduction of *rpl-4* and *rpl-31*, and in *sma-*5 *(n678)* mutants. Graphs show quantification

of cell volume over time (n ≥ 4 ACs per timepoint, mean ± SD, one-way ANOVA with

post hoc Tukey's test). Quantifications are from two experiments in which several

animals under each condition were processed in parallel.

(C, D) AC expression of endogenously tagged ZMP-1::mNG, DGN-1::GFP, EBP-2::GFP,

UNC-34::mNG, and mNG::RAP-1 at the P6.p 2-cell stage in control empty vector RNAi

and after RNAi targeting *rpl-4* (C) and *rpl-31* (D). Graphs show quantification of mean

intensity (boxplot n ≥ 10 each, *p<0.05; **p<0.005; ***p<0.001, ****p<0.0001, unpaired

two-tailed t-tests). Each quantification is from two experiments in which several animals

under each RNAi condition were processed in parallel. Scale bars: 5 µm.

Figure 4. Pro-invasive proteins increase from the time of AC specification to invasion.

Timeline of endogenously tagged pro-invasive protein expression in the AC (arrows)

from shortly after AC specification at the early P6.p 1-cell stage (~6 h prior to invasion)

until the time when BM removal is completed at the P6.p 4-cell stage. Graphs show

GFP::FOS-1 mean fluorescence protein levels did not significantly change over time,

while all other pro-invasive proteins increased in levels through the time of invasion (n ≥

10 ACs per timepoint, mean ± SD, *p<0.05; ***p<0.001, ****p<0.0001, ns, not significant,

one-way ANOVA with *post hoc* Tukey's test). Each quantification is from two

experiments in which several animals were processed in parallel at each timepoint.

Scale bar: 5 µm.

Figure 5. A burst of ribosome biogenesis occurs prior to invasion and ribosomes

colocalize with SEC-61.

(A) Timeline of nucleolus area (top row, DIC images, dotted circles) and FIB-1 levels

(bottom row, arrows, *fib-1p*::FIB-1::eGFP) in the nucleolus of the AC from early P6.p 1-

cell stage until time of invasion at P6.p 4-cell stage (arrowheads in top row indicate BM

breach). Graphs show quantification of nucleolus area over developmental time and total

fluorescence levels of FIB-1::eGFP (n ≥ 10 ACs per timepoint each, mean ± SD,

***$p<0.001$, ****$p<0.0001$, one-way ANOVA with *post hoc* Tukey's test). Each

quantification is from two experiments in which several animals were processed in

parallel at each timepoint.

(B,C) Timeline of RPL-4 (RPL-4::GFP11; *eef-1A.1p*::GFP1-10) and RPL-31

(RPL31::GFP11; *eef-1A.1p*::GFP1-10) levels in the AC (arrows). Graphs show

quantification of mean fluorescence levels and ER to cytoplasm ratio (n ≥ 10 ACs per

timepoint, mean ± SD, $p = 0.08$, ***$p<0.001$, ****$p<0.0001$, one-way ANOVA with *post*

hoc Tukey's test). Each quantification is from three experiments in which several animals

were processed in parallel at each timepoint.

(D) Spectral fluorescence-intensity map displaying the minimum and maximum pixel

value range of the acquired data of SEC-61.B::GFP11, RPL-31::GFP11, RPL-4::GFP11

in the AC and in a neighboring uterine cell (UC). ACs and UC indicated with dashed

white outlines. A linescan (blue dashed line, bottom panels) shows peak levels of SEC-

61.B::GFP11, RPL-31::GFP11 and RPL-4::GFP11 surrounding the nucleus in the AC,

whereas RPL-4::GFP11 is uniform in the cytosol of the UC. Graphs showing

quantification of ER to cytoplasm ratio in P6.p 2-cell ACs and UCs expressing RPL-

4::GFP11 or RPL-31::GFP11 (boxplots, n ≥ 10 each, *$p<0.05$; ***$p<0.001$, unpaired two-

tailed t-test).

(E) Timecourse of RPL-31::GFP11 control (DIC, top row) and RPL-31::ZF1::GFP11

animals (DIC, middle top row; fluorescence, middle bottom row). Arrows indicate the AC.

All animals expressed *eef-1A.1p*::GFP1-10. RPL-31::ZF1::GFP11 was depleted upon

ZIF-1 expression (bottom row, ZIF-1 visualized with *lin-29p*::ZIF-1::SL2::mCherry) and

depletion caused a disruption of invasion as shown by unbreached BM (intact phase-

dense line in DIC image, middle top row, white vertical arrow). In contrast, in control

RPL-31::GFP11 animals the BM was cleared under the AC (phase-dense line breached,

top row, arrowheads). Graph displays reduction of RPL-31::ZF::GFP11, which correlated

with the beginning of ZIF-1 expression (n ≥ 10 each stage). Scale bars: 5 µm.

Figure 6. Expansion of the AC's endomembrane system occurs prior to invasion.

(A-D) A time course from the early P6.p 1-cell stage through the P6.p 4-cell stage of the AC (arrows) shows levels of the Sec61 translocon (SEC-61::GFP11; *eef-1A.1p*::GFP1-10), the ER (visualized by ELO-1::mNG), number of Golgi stacks (visualized with *lin-29*p::AMAN-2(aa1-84)::mScarlet, N/A at early P6.p 1-cell stage as *lin-29* promoter is not active at this time) and levels of recycling and secretory vesicles (mNG::RAB-11.1). Graphs show quantification of fluorescence levels and the number of golgi puncta over developmental time (n ≥ 10 ACs per timepoint, mean ± SD, *p<0.05, **p<0.005, ***p<0.001, ****p<0.0001, one-way ANOVA with *post hoc* Tukey's test). Each quantification is from two experiments in which several animals were processed in parallel at each timepoint.

(E) RNAi mediated reduction of the UPR sensor *ire-1* resulted in AC invasion defects as indicated by the intact BM (left, DIC, arrow AC; middle left, *cdh-3p*::mCherry::PLCδ^PH^; middle right, BM, *lam-1p*::LAM-1::GFP, white vertical arrow points to intact BM; right, overlay).

(F) XBP-1::eGFP in the AC (arrows) from the early P6.p 1-cell to 4-cell stage. XBP-1::eGFP localized to the cytoplasm near site of invasion at the late 1-cell and 2-cell stages (arrowheads). Graph shows quantification of fluorescence levels over developmental time (n ≥ 10 ACs per timepoint, mean ± SD, **p<0.005; ***p<0.001; ****p<0.0001, one-way ANOVA with *post hoc* Tukey's test). Each quantification is from two experiments in which several animals were processed in parallel at each timepoint.

Scale bars: 5 μm

Figure 7. Ribosome biogenesis precedes expansion of the endomembrane system and

drives pro-invasive protein translation.

Schematic diagram of the AC from the time of AC specification at the early vulval

precursor cell (VPC) P6.p 1-cell stage to completion of AC invasion at the P6.p 4-cell

stage. The timing of AC invasion can by staged by the divisions of the P6.p cell.

Ribosome levels begin increasing after the AC is specified and peak at the late P6.p 1-

cell stage. The endomembrane system expands after the burst of ribosome biogenesis.

Increased ribosome levels support the translation of pro-invasive proteins essential for

BM invasion, including proteins trafficked through the endomembrane system. Timeline

in hours post-hatching at 20°C shown.

 MATERIALS AND METHODS

C. elegans **strains and maintenance**

Caenorhabditis elegans were grown under standard conditions at 18°C or 20°C on nematode

growth media (NGM) and fed *Escherichia coli* OP50. N2 Bristol strains were used as wild-type

(Brenner, 1974). All the animals used in this study were hermaphrodites and were scored at the

time of anchor cell (AC) invasion during the L3 stage as described previously (Sherwood et al.,

2005). For the purpose of RNAi experiments and some developmental time courses, L1

synchronization was performed with hypochlorite treatment (Stiernagle, 2006). In texts and

figures, promoter-driven transgenes are denoted with a "p" following the gene's name, and

endogenously tagged proteins are denoted with a "::" annotation. The following alleles and

transgenes were used in this study: *air-2(ie31[degron::GFP::air-2])* I, *bmd15[eef-1A.1p::GFP1-*

10::unc-54 3' UTR; myo-2p::mCherry::3xHA::tbb-2 3' UTR] I, *hlh-2(bmd90[LoxP::GFP::HLH-2])*

I, *rab-11.1(qy190[mNG::rab-11.1])* I, *rpl-4(qy128[rpl-4::GFP11])* I, *rpl-31(qy110[rpl-31::GFP11])*

I, *rpl-31(qy189[rpl-31::ZF1::GFP11]* I, *tct-1(qy161[tct-1::mNG])* I, *qy121[eef-1A.1p::GFP]* I, *ddx-*

52(gc51) I, *ebp-2(wow47[ebp-2::GFP::3xFLAG])* II, *egl-43(bmd88[LoxP::GFP::EGL-43])* II, *ire-*

1(ok799) II, *ptp-3(qy47[ptp-3::mNG])* II, *qyIs17[zmp-1p::mCherry]* II, *rrf-3(pk1426)* II, *fgt-1(qy65*

[fgt-1::mNG]) II, *qyIs23[cdh3p::mCherry::PLCδ^{PH}]* II, *unc-119(ed4)* III, *unc-119(tm4063)* III, *ina-*

1(qy23[ina-1::mNG]) III, *pat-3(qy36[pat-3::mNG])* III, *nifk-1(qy126[nifk-1::mNG])* III, *sma-4(e729)*

III, *ten-1(qy56[ten-1::mNG])* III, *wgIs506[xbp-1::TY::eGFP::3xFLAG + unc-119(+)]* III, *zif-*

1(gk117) III, *zmp-1(qy17[zmp-1::mNG])* III, *eif-1.A(qy90[eif-1.A::mNG])* IV, *elo-1(qy97[elo-*

1::mNG]) IV, *him-8(e1489)* IV, *lin-3(cp226[lin-3::mNG-C1::3xFLAG])* IV, *nhr-67(syb509[nhr-*

67::GFP]) IV, *qyIs10[lam-1p::lam-1::GFP]* IV, *rap-1(cp151[mNG-C1::3xFLAG::rap-1])* IV, *sec-*

61.B(shy61[sec-61.B::GFP11x2]) IV, *arx-2(cas607[arx-2::GFP])* V, *fos-*

1(bmd138[LoxP::GFP::FOS-1]) V, *lag-2(cp193[lag-2::mNG::3xFLAG])* V, *ot932[dmd-*

3::GFP::3xFLAG] V, *qyIs49[guk-1p::guk-1::YFP]* V, *qyIs127[lam-1p::lam-1::mCherry + unc-*

119(+)] V, rde-1(ne219) V, snb-1(qy164[snb-1::mNG]) V, unc-34(ljf3[unc-

34::mNG[C1]^3xFlag::AID]) V, dgn-1(qy206[dgn-1::GFP]) X, qyIs166[cdh-3p::GFP::CAAX +

unc-119(+)] X, sdn-1(qy29[sdn-1::mNG]) X, sma-5(n678) X, knuSi221[fib-1p::fib-

1(genomic)::eGFP::fib-1 3' UTR + unc-119(+)], qyEx603[lin-29p::AMAN-2(aa1-84)::mScarlet],

qyIs66[cdh-3p::unc-40::GFP], qyIs24[cdh-3p::mCherry::PLCδ^{PH}], qyIs287[myo-3p::mCherry],

qyIs362[lin-29p::GFP], qyIs463[lin-29p::ZIF-1::SL2::mCherry], qyIs550[zmp-1p::MLS::GFP],

qyIs57[cdh-3p::mCherry::moesinABD + unc-119(+)], qyIs102[fos-1ap::rde-1(genomic) + myo-

2::YFP + unc-119(+)], wgIs793 [cep-1::TY1::eGFP::3xFLAG + unc-119(+)]. See Table S8 for

details of strains generated and used in this study.

FACS isolation of ACs

ACs expressing *lin-29p::GFP* were dissociated using a method similar to those described

previously (Zhang and Kuhn, 2013). Briefly, a synchronized population of worms were plated on

100mM NEP plates seeded with NA22 bacteria and collected once they reach the P6.p 2-cell

stage (mid L3 larval stage). An SDS-DTT (20mM HEPES pH 8.0, 0.25% SDS, 200mM DTT, 3%

sucrose) solution was used to begin breaking down the cuticle, followed by 15mg/mL Pronase E

treatment and mechanical disruption to further degrade the cuticle and release cells. Cells were

settled in ice-cold L-15/Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS) solution and then passed through a 5 µm filter

to remove any large debris. Cells were resuspended in ice-cold PBS + 2% FBS + 10 mM EDTA

and sorted using BD FACSDiva equipped with a 488 nm argon laser. Emission filters for GFP

were 530 ± 30 nm. Egg buffer was used to flush the machine prior to sorting. Gates were set

using N2 cell suspension samples. 15,000-150,000 fluorescently labeled GFP+ events were

sorted each session. N2 whole body control cells were collected in the same way as the AC but

were not sorted using FACS.

RNA isolation, amplification, library preparation, and sequencing

RNA was collected and prepared from FACS isolated GFP+ cells and wild-type (N2) cells. GFP+ cells collected from separate FACS sessions were combined so that each library contained 80,000-150,000 ACs per library. RNA was extracted using a modified protocol based on a phenol-chloroform extraction method (Roy et al., 2020). Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer System was used to assess the quality and quantity of the RNA. We considered the RNA to be of high quality if its RNA integrity number was at least 7. cDNA was synthesized from 8-10ng of high quality RNA using the Ovation RNA-Seq Sytem V2 Kit (Part No. 7102) according to the manufacturer's suggested practices. The generated cDNA was sheared to ~300 bp using Covaris E220 Focused-Ultrasonicator. Libraries were prepared using KAPA hyper prep kit. Libraries were sequenced using Illumina HiSeq 4000. We obtained 40-57 million (47,686,916 average) reads for each sample and mapped them to the *C. elegans* genome (WBcel235) (http://ftp.ensembl.org/pub/release-107/fasta/caenorhabditis_elegans/dna/).

RNA-seq data analysis

FASTQC was used to check the quality of the raw sequence data (Andrew, 2010). Trim Galore was used to remove any low-quality bases and adapter sequences (Krueger et al., 2021). The trimmed reads were mapped to the *Caenorhabditis elegans* genome (WBcel235) using STAR alignment (Dobin et al., 2013). The genomic alignment was run using the following parameters: outFilterMultimaoNmax 1, outSAMstrandField intronMOtif, alignSJoverhangMin 500. RsEQC was used to check for uniform coverage of reads (Wang et al., 2012). HTSeq was used to quantify gene expression data (Putri et al., 2022). Downstream Differential Expression analysis was performed in R version 4.0.2 (R_Core_Team, 2021) using DESeq2 v.1.30.1 (Love et al., 2014). Genes that did not contain at least 10 reads in a single AC library were filtered out. We

identified 1502 AC expressed genes with a log2fold change greater than or equal to 1.0

compared to the WB libraries and a Benjamini–Hochberg adjusted p-value less than or equal to

0.1 to be significantly elevated within the AC compared to the WB (Table S2). See Table S1 for

complete AC and whole-body transcriptomes.

Gene set enrichment analysis

For gene set enrichment analysis, we selected genes with a Benjamini–Hochberg adjusted p-

value less than or equal to 0.1 and a log2fold change greater than or equal to 1.5, resulting in

1189 genes. These enriched genes were then analyzed using Database for Annotation,

Visualization, and Integrated Discovery (DAVID), which compared all of the genes identified in

our WB transcriptome to the 1189 genes to determine important biological pathways and

significant genes with the Functional Annotation Table tool (Dennis et al., 2003). WormBase

ParaSite Biomart (Howe 2017) was used to identify genes with a human ortholog and identify

genes that are included in the following Gene Ontology terms: protein targeting to membrane

(GO:0006612), cytoskeleton (GO:0005856), DNA-binding transcription factor activity

(GO:0003700), intracellular membrane-bound organelle (GO:0043231) (Howe et al., 2016;

Howe et al., 2017).

Construction of genome edited strains

CRISPR-Cas9 mediated genome editing with a self-excising hygromycin selection cassette

(SEC) (Dickinson et al., 2015; Keeley et al., 2020; Meca-Cortes et al., 2017) was used to insert

mNG in frame into the open reading frame of genes to endogenously tag the encoded proteins

and visualize their levels under native regulatory control. Briefly, *tct-1*, *rab-11.1*, *snb-1*, *eif-1.A*,

nifk-1, *elo-1,* and *lin-3* were tagged at their C-termini, with a double linker fused to mNG, which

was inserted directly in front of the stop codon. To visualize endogenous DGN-1 levels, *dgn-1*

was tagged at the C-terminus with a double linker fused to GFP inserted directly in front of the

stop codon. To generate the *rpl-4* and *rpl-31* split-GFP constructs, a linker fused to GFP11 was

inserted at the C-terminus directly in front of the stop codon. Endogenous *sec-61.B* was tagged

with GFP11x2 following previously described protocols (Ghanta et al., 2021). For all constructs,

2-3 KB of DNA centered around the insertion site was amplified from N2 genomic DNA and

cloned into an intermediate vector (TOPO) to be used as a template for homology arms.

Primers were used to introduce silent point mutations adjacent to the Cas9 cut site. The

mutated homology arms were inserted into the repair plasmids mNG-SEC-LL (Keeley et al.,

2020), GFP-SEC (Dickinson et al., 2013), or GFP-11-SEC (this study) using New England

Biolabs Gibson assembly and confirmed with PCR. One or two short guide RNA (sgRNA)

plasmids were generated for each target by cutting plasmid pDD122 with Nhel and EcoRV, then

using New England Biolabs Gibson assembly to insert the respective sgRNA sequences into

the plasmid. The *lin-3* guide sequence was inserted into pDD162 (*eef-1A.1p::*Cas9 + empty

sgRNA, Addgene plasmid #47549) using Q5 site-directed mutagenesis (New England Biolabs).

The sgRNA targeting sequences are listed in Table S9.

To generate the *eef-1.a.1p*::GFP construct, Gibson Assembly was used to insert the *eef-1a.1*

promoter and GFP into the Multiple Cloning Site vector pCFJ352. CRISPR-Cas9 mediated

recombination was used to insert *eef-1a.1p::GFP* into the standard MosSCI insertion site

ttTi4348 on Chromosome I (Dickinson and Goldstein, 2016). Oligonucleotide sequences are

listed in Table S9.

Microinjection of the constructs, hygromycin selection, and excision of the SEC cassette were

carried out as previously described (Dickinson and Goldstein, 2016; Keeley et al., 2020). Split-

GFP constructs for *rpl-4* and *rpl-31* and guides were injected into animals with ubiquitous

somatic expression of GFP1-10 (*eef-1a.1::GFP1-10)* and a pharyngeal promoter driving

mCherry (*myo-2p::mCherry)*. Since GFP1-10 is not fluorescent until assembled with GFP11, the

red pharyngeal marker was used to track GFP1-10 positive animals. The *eef-1A.1p*::GFP

construct was used to confirm that the *eef-1A.1* promoter expresses GFP at similar levels in the

AC from the time of specification through the time of BM breaching.

The *sec-61.B* repair template with GFP11x7 and Cas9 protein (IDT) duplexed with tracrRNA,

and targeting crRNA (TCGTGGGCTGCGTCCAGAAT, IDT) were injected directly into the gonad

of MTS606, a strain carrying an integrated array of *mig-13*p::GFP1-10 (*shyIs32*). For the repair

template, 35bp homology arms flanking the GFP11x7 were PCR amplified and gel purified to

make the final concentration of 25ng/μL in the injection mixture. The mNG and GFP repair

constructs and guides were injected into a wild-type background (N2). To verify the proper

insertion of the constructs, homozygous animals with fluorescence were confirmed with PCR

genotyping, and the site of the insertion was sequenced with the exception of UNC-34 (see

Table S9 for a list of genotyping primers). Endogenous *sec-61.B* was confirmed using Sanger

sequencing with primers flanking the KI site. mNG::RAB-11.1 worms were maintained as

heterozygotes because homozygous worms were sterile. Attempts to tag *rpl-4, rpl-6, rpl-31,* and

rpl-37 directly with mNG directly were not successful as lines were not viable.

RNA interference and AC specific RNAi sensitive strain

RNAi clones originated from either an RNAi library constructed by the Ahringer Lab (Kamath et

al., 2003), the *C. elegans* ORF-RNAi V1.1 library (Open BioScience) (Rual et al., 2004), or were

generated in this study (Table S9). RNAi clones were sequenced using M13 Forward primer

and sequences were aligned to the *C.elegans* genome using BLAST to confirm the correct

clone. Correctly sequenced clones were frozen and used in subsequent experiments. RNAi was

generated for *pro-1* in the L4440 vector using New England Biolabs Gibson assembly. For all

RNAi screening experiments in the L4440 vector, an empty L4440 RNAi vector was used as a

negative control and the RNAi clone that targets the transcription factor *fos-1*, which is causes

AC invasion defects after RNAi-mediated knockdown (Sherwood et al., 2005), was used as a

positive control. RNAi was generated in the more efficient T444T RNAi vector for *tct-1* (Sturm et

al., 2018) using New England Biolabs Gibson assembly. The empty vector T444T was used as

a negative control. Both *pro-1* and *tct-1* RNAi plasmids were transformed into *E. coli*

HT115(DE3) bacteria. See Table S9 for a list of primers used to generate RNAi clones in this

study.

RNAi was delivered to animals by feeding *E. coli* strain HT115 (DE3), which expresses double-

stranded RNA (Timmons et al., 2000). RNAi cultures were grown for 12-16 h at 37 ˚C in

lysogeny broth with 1 μl/ml ampicillin. To induce transcription of RNAi vector expression,

cultures were grown for an additional hour after the addition of 1 mM Isopropyl b-D-1-

thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG). RNAi cultures were seeded onto 60 mm NGM plates with

topically applied 1 mM IPTG and 100 mg/ml ampicillin and left at room temperature overnight to

allow for further induction. A synchronized population of L1 Larvae (Porta-de-la-Riva et al.,

2012) was plated on the RNAi feeding plates and grown at 20˚C for 36-40 h before AC invasion

was scored at the L3 stage.

Sensitized RNAi screening using the *rrf-3 (pk1426)* mutant background was performed as

previously described (Matus et al., 2010). AC-specific RNAi experiments were preformed as

previously described using *rrf-3 (pk1426); qyIs102[fos-1p::rde-1; myo-2::GFP]; qyIs10[lam-*

1p::lam-1:GFP]; rde-1(ne219) (Hagedorn et al., 2009). This strain uses animals possessing a

null mutation of *rde-1*, which is required for RNAi sensitivity (Tabara et al., 1999). A functional

copy of RDE-1 is expressed in the AC at high levels with the *fos-1* promoter beginning at the

time of AC specification (~6 h prior to invasion (Medwig-Kinney et al., 2020)) and at low levels in

neighboring uterine cells (the uterine cells do not influence AC invasion (Sherwood and

Sternberg, 2003)), thus restoring RNAi in the AC to determine site of action of the gene and

avoid earlier requirements of the gene in development.

Assessment of AC invasion

Anchor cell invasion was scored as previously described (Hagedorn et al., 2009; Sherwood and

Sternberg, 2003). Briefly, animals were scored for invasion at the VPC P6.p 4-cell stage when

BM invasion is completed in 100% of wild-type animals. Wild-type invasion was defined as a

breach in the BM that is the width of the ACs basal surface. Invasion defects are defined as a

fully intact BM (blocked invasion) or a smaller than normal breach in the BM that is less than the

width of the ACs nucleus (partial invasion). The BM was either assessed examining the

presence or absence of the phase-dense line under the AC by DIC microscopy or by examining

animals expressing GFP or mCherry tagged laminin (*lam-1p::lam-1::GFP* or *lam-1p::lam-*

1::mCherry).

Microscopy and image acquisition

Confocal Microscopy images were acquired using a Zeiss AxioImager microscope controlled by

Micromanager software (Edelstein et al., 2010). The Zeiss AxioImager was equipped with a

Yokogawa CSU-10 spinning disc confocal, a Zeiss 100x Plan-Apochromat 1.4NA oil immersion

objective or a Zeiss 40× Plan-Apochromat 1.4-NA oil immersion objective and a Hamamatsu

Orca-Fusion sCMOS camera or ImageEM EMCCD camera. Micromanager imaging software

was used for microscopy automation. Animals were mounted into a drop of M9 onto 5% agar

pads containing 0.01M sodium azide and a coverslip was placed on top. AC invasion staging

was completed using 100x objective (1000X magnification) to identify the developmental stage

of the P6.p cell or its descendants in the mid L3 larva. Images of dissociated cells (Fig. 1C),

previously identified AC regulators present in AC transcriptomes (Fig. S2), FIB-1::eGFP

fluorescence levels (Fig. 5A), and DIC images of nucleolar size (Fig. 5A), were acquired on a

Zeiss AxioImager A1 microscope with a Zeiss 40x Plan-APOCHROMAT objective or a Zeiss

100x Plan-APOCHROMAT objective and Zeiss AxioCam 305 mono CMOS camera controlled

by Zeiss ZEN microscopy software (Zeiss Microimaging). Three-dimensional reconstructions

were built from confocal z-stacks using Imaris 9.9 (Bitplane).

GFP11 CRISPR Strain Viability Analysis

We assessed the phenotypic normalcy of genome edited GFP11 ribosomal strains by

confirming the absence of any plate-level phenotypes (e.g., uncoordinated (Unc), protruding

vulva (Pvl), dumpy (Dpy), and sterile (Ste)). To assess viability, five genome edited L4 larval

stage animals and five N2 L4 animals were plated on *E. coli* OP50 containing 60mm agar plates

and allowed to grow until starvation. One day prior to starvation, five more L4 animals were

picked to new plates for a second generation. This was repeated for a third generation. If no

differences were seen in growth, the worms were considered to have N2 viability.

1007

Analysis of F-actin Volume

F-actin volume was determined as described previously (Kelley et al., 2019). Briefly, a confocal

z-stack (0.37 µm step size, 20-28 slices) was taken through ACs expressing *cdh-*

3p::mCherry::moesinABD. Imaris 9.9 was used to generate 3D reconstructions of the F-actin

using the Isosurface rendering module using an absolute threshold surrounding the F-actin at

the invasive surface with a 0.124 µm surface detail. Total volumetric measurements were then

made.

1015

Determination of Polarization of UNC-40 and mitochondria in the AC

To determine polarization of UNC-40 within the AC, a 6-slice sum projection of a confocal z-

stack (0.37 µm step size) through the central region of the AC expressing *cdh-3p::UNC-40::GFP*

was collected. Polarization within the AC was measured as the ratio of the mean fluorescence

intensity in the invasive (basal) versus the AC's noninvasive (apical and lateral) plasma

membranes using a five-pixel-wide line manually drawn in Fiji/ImageJ. Mitochondrial

polarization was determined by building a 28-slice sum projection from a confocal z-stack (0.37

µm step size) through the entire AC (with background subtraction, 20 pixel rolling ball radius)

expressing *zmp-1p::MLS::GFP*. The AC was separated by hand into two equal basal and apical

regions by drawing ROIs. The mean fluorescence intensity was measured, and the

mitochondrial enrichment was determined as the ratio of the mean fluorescence intensity of the

invasive (basal) versus the AC's noninvasive (apical) signal.

1028

Quantification of AC Size

Using AC expressing plasma membrane localized mCherry (*cdh-3p::mCherry:: PLCδ^{PH}*) a

confocal z-stack was collected through the entire AC (14-24 total slices depending on size of the

AC, 0.37 µm step size). 3D isosurface renderings of the AC were generated in Imaris 9.9 using

an absolute threshold and 0.124 µm surface detail. The total volumetric measurements were

made for N2 worms, *rpl-4* RNAi treated worms, *rpl-31* RNAi treated worms, and *sma-5(n678)*

mutant worms. *Sma-5(n678)* mutants crossed with worms expressing AC-specific mCherry

(*cdh-3p::mCherry:: PLCδ^PH^*) were confirmed to be *sma-5* mutants by PCR genotyping (see

Table S9 for oligonucleotides used).

1038

1039 **Scoring of AC CEP-1::eGFP (p53)**

1040 L1 synchronized CEP-1::eGFP animals were plated on empty vector control or RNAi targeting

1041 *rpl-4, rpl-31,* or *rpl-*37, then imaged at the P6.p 2-cell stage for the presence or absence of CEP-

1042 1::eGFP signal in the AC.

1043

Analysis of Invasive Protein Levels in the AC

To measure fluorescent protein levels in the AC, the mean fluorescence intensity was

determined to control for variability in cell size. For the analysis of endogenous levels of pro-

invasive proteins over time (Fig. 4) a 6-slice sum projection of a confocal z-stack (0.37 µm step

size) through the central region of the AC was collected for each time point. All developmental

time points for each strain were acquired with the same laser power and exposure time to allow

for direct comparison. Mean fluorescence intensity was calculated from sum projections by

outlining the AC manually as the ROI. The same imaging and analysis procedure was used in

the experiments targeting ribosomal protein genes and *tct-1* with RNAi to determine the effects

on endogenously tagged pro-invasive protein levels (Fig. 2B and Fig. 3C,D).

1054

1055

1056

Ribosome Biogenesis markers, RPL-4 and RPL-31 levels, and ER Enrichment

1057

To examine ribosome biogenesis in the AC, a single confocal slice through the center of the AC

was taken of ribosome biogenesis markers *fib-1p::*FIB-1::eGFP and NIFK-1::mNG. Levels were

then determined by setting a threshold that outlined the intense signal in the nucleolus of the AC

where the integrated density of fluorescence was then calculated. For nucleolus area

measurements, DIC images the nucleoli of N2 worms were manually outlined and area was

measured in FIJI. Levels of reconstituted split-GFP RPL-31::GFP11 and RPL-4::GFP11 were

determined by taking a single confocal slice through the center of the AC and drawing a region

of interest around the AC and measuring the mean fluorescence of the total signal. RPL-

31::GFP11, and RPL-4::GFP11 enrichment at the site of SEC-61 around the nucleus was

assessed by measuring the mean fluorescence intensity of a five-pixel-wide line drawn within

the intense signal around the nucleus (site of strongest Sec61 localization) divided by the mean

fluorescence intensity of a five-pixel-wide line within the cytoplasm just under the plasma

membrane. Line-scan graphs showing RPL-4::GFP11, RPL-31::GFP11, SEC-61.B::GFP11

were generated by drawing a 2.5 µm long line within each respective representative image. The

intensities of the pixel values were measured using the "Plot Profile" function in FIJI

1073

RPL-31::ZF::GFP11 Degredation

1074

1075 AC-specific ZF1 mediated protein degradation experiments were completed in a *zif-1*(*gk117*)

1076 null mutant background to avoid degrading RPL-31 in the embryo when endogenous ZIF-1

1077 protein is normally expressed (Armenti et al., 2014). We rescued ZIF-1 expression only in the

1078 AC using the *lin-29p*::ZIF-1::SL2::mCherry, which initiates expression just before the late P6.p

1079 1-cell stage (about 2.5 hours prior to invasion).

Endomembrane Expansion and UPR Activation

1080

For analysis of SEC-61.B::GFP11 and ELO-1::mNG, fluorescence levels in the AC, a 5-slice

sum projection of a confocal z-stack (0.37 µm step size) was generated through the central

region of the AC for each time point. For mNG::RAB-11.1, a single confocal slice was used. For

each, a region of interest was manually drawn around the AC, and the total signal was

measured. To count Golgi puncta, a sum projection of of *lin-29p::AMAN-2(aa1-84)::mScarlet*

expression was generated from confocal z-stacks (0.37 µm step size, 20-28 slices) that

sectioned through the entire AC. The number of Golgi puncta was determined using the "Spots"

module in Imaris 9.9. The following parameters were used to count the puncta present: XY

diameter was set to 0.5µm, Filter type "quality", and boundary was set to 75. A single confocal

slice was used to measure the mean intensity of XBP-1::eGFP fluorescence in the AC. A region

of interest was manually drawn around the AC, and the total signal was measured to determine

mean intensity.

1093

RNAi Knockdown Efficiency

1094

To assess the knockdown efficiency of *tct-1* and *nifk-1* RNAi, animals expressing endogenous

TCT-1::mNG or NIFK-1::mNG were plated onto empty vector RNAi bacteria and *tct-1* and *nifk-1*

RNAi as L1 larva and allowed to grow at 20˚C until they reached P6.p 2-cell stage or P6.p 4-cell

stage. Mean fluorescence intensity of TCT-1::mNG was quantified from a single confocal slice

using Fiji to manually draw a region of interest around the AC. RNAi targeting *tct-1* resulted in a

90% knockdown of TCT-1::mNG signal (n ≥ 7 P6.p 2-cell ACs). Mean fluorescence intensity of

NIFK-1::mNG was measured from a single slice by setting a threshold that outlined the signal in

the nucleolus of the AC and RNAi treatment against *nifk-1* resulted in a 91% knockdown (n ≥ 10

P6.p 2-cell ACs). RNAi knockdown of all RPL's and ribosome biogenesis factors caused

delayed growth and some L2 developmental arrest as previously described after protein

synthesis inhibition (Dalton and Curran, 2018). We thus only scored animals escaping L2 arrest

that developed to the L3 stage. To optimize *rpl-4* and *rpl-31* RNAi knockdown in whole body

treatement RNAi, we performed timed RNAi plating to reduce or avoid L2 arrest. Split-GFP

RPL-4::GFP11 and RPL-31::GFP11 worms were synchronized as L1 larvae, grown on empty

vector RNAi, and then placed on *rpl-4* and *rpl-31* RNAi respectively at two hour intervals.

Protein knockdown of reconstituted RPL split-GFP was then measured at the time of invasion.

The optimal time for maximum knockdown for both *rpl-4* and *rpl-31* was 26.5 hours on RNAi

(plating started at beginning of L2 larval stage), when RPL-31::GFP11 levels were reduced 20%

compared to empty vector control (n ≥ 10 P6.p 2-cell ACs) and RPL-4::GFP11 levels were

reduced 50% (n ≥ 10 P6.p 2-cell ACs).

1115

Image Quantification and Statistical Analysis

All fluorescence intensity measurements were performed in Fiji 1.53f (Schneider and Eliceiri,

2012). For comparison of mean fluorescence intensity or ER enrichment, either a pilot trial was

conducted for each experiment, and a priori power analysis was performed to determine the

appropriate sample size (α: 0.05, β: 0.80) or a *post-hoc* power analysis was conducted to

determine the statistical power of the collected sample size (α: 0.05, $\beta > 0.80$) using GPower 3.1.

Statistical analyses were conducted and all graphs were generated in GraphPad Prism (Version

7). Statistical analyses comparing the percent defective invasion in treatment conditions to

control were conducted using Fisher's Exact 2x2 test. Comparisons of mean intensity or ER

enrichment were done using either an unpaired two-tailed t-test or an unpaired two-tailed t-test

with Welch's correction when variances were different between the two groups based on an F-

test. Comparisons of three or more conditions were made using a one-way ANOVA followed by

a *post-hoc* Tukey's test. Figure legends indicate sample sizes, statistical tests, and p-values.

Experiments were not randomized, and investigators were not blinded during analysis. All

figures were assembled in Adobe Illustrator Version 26.4.

1131

1132

1133

1134

1135

1136

1137

1138

1139

1140

1141

1142

1143

1144

1145

1146

REFERENCES

Andrew, S., 2010. FastQC: A Quality Control Tool for High Throughput Sequence Data [Online].

Armenti, S.T., Lohmer, L.L., Sherwood, D.R., Nance, J., 2014. Repurposing an endogenous degradation system for rapid and targeted depletion of C. elegans proteins. Development 141, 4640-4647.

Bae, S.Y., Kim, H.J., Lee, K.J., Lee, K., 2015. Translationally controlled tumor protein induces epithelial to mesenchymal transition and promotes cell migration, invasion and metastasis. Sci Rep 5, 8061.

Bahr, J.C., Li, X.Y., Feinberg, T.Y., Jiang, L., Weiss, S.J., 2022. Divergent regulation of basement membrane trafficking by human macrophages and cancer cells. Nat Commun 13, 6409.

Bazile, F., Pascal, A., Arnal, I., Le Clainche, C., Chesnel, F., Kubiak, J.Z., 2009. Complex relationship between TCTP, microtubules and actin microfilaments regulates cell shape in normal and cancer cells. Carcinogenesis 30, 555-565.

Boia-Ferreira, M., Basilio, A.B., Hamasaki, A.E., Matsubara, F.H., Appel, M.H., Da Costa, C.R.V., Amson, R., Telerman, A., Chaim, O.M., Veiga, S.S., Senff-Ribeiro, A., 2017. TCTP as a therapeutic target in melanoma treatment. Br J Cancer 117, 656-665.

Bommer, U.A., 2017. The Translational Controlled Tumour Protein TCTP: Biological Functions and Regulation. Results Probl Cell Differ 64, 69-126.

Bommer, U.A., Telerman, A., 2020. Dysregulation of TCTP in Biological Processes and Diseases. Cells 9.

Brenner, S., 1974. The genetics of Caenorhabditis elegans. Genetics 77, 71-94.

Breznak, S.M., Kotb, N.M., Rangan, P., 2023. Dynamic regulation of ribosome levels and translation during development. Semin Cell Dev Biol 136, 27-37.

Bunt, S., Hooley, C., Hu, N., Scahill, C., Weavers, H., Skaer, H., 2010. Hemocyte-secreted type IV collagen enhances BMP signaling to guide renal tubule morphogenesis in Drosophila. Dev Cell 19, 296-306.

Burglin, T.R., Kuwabara, P.E., 2006. Homologs of the Hh signalling network in C. elegans. WormBook, 1-14.

Cabantous, S., Terwilliger, T.C., Waldo, G.S., 2005. Protein tagging and detection with engineered self-assembling fragments of green fluorescent protein. Nat Biotechnol 23, 102-107.

Cambi, A., Chavrier, P., 2021. Tissue remodeling by invadosomes. Fac Rev 10, 39.

Collins, J.C., Ghalei, H., Doherty, J.R., Huang, H., Culver, R.N., Karbstein, K., 2018. Ribosome biogenesis factor Ltv1 chaperones the assembly of the small subunit head. J Cell Biol 217, 4141-4154.

Cox, J.S., Walter, P., 1996. A novel mechanism for regulating activity of a transcription factor that controls the unfolded protein response. Cell 87, 391-404.

Dalton, H.M., Curran, S.P., 2018. Hypodermal responses to protein synthesis inhibition induce systemic developmental arrest and AMPK-dependent survival in Caenorhabditis elegans. PLoS Genet 14, e1007520.

Dennis, G., Sherman, B., Hosack, D., Yang, J., Gao, W., Lane, H., Lempicki, R., 2003. DAVID: Database for Annotation, Visualization, and Integrated Discovery. Genome Biol.

Derenzini, M., Trere, D., Pession, A., Govoni, M., Sirri, V., Chieco, P., 2000. Nucleolar size indicates the rapidity of cell proliferation in cancer tissues. J Pathol 191, 181-186.

Derry, W.B., Putzke, A.P., Rothman, J.H., 2001. Caenorhabditis elegans p53: role in apoptosis, meiosis, and stress resistance. Science 294, 591-595.

Dickinson, D.J., Goldstein, B., 2016. CRISPR-Based Methods for Caenorhabditis elegans Genome Engineering. Genetics 202, 885-901.

Dickinson, D.J., Pani, A.M., Heppert, J.K., Higgins, C.D., Goldstein, B., 2015. Streamlined
Genome Engineering with a Self-Excising Drug Selection Cassette. Genetics 200, 1035-1049.
Dickinson, D.J., Ward, J.D., Reiner, D.J., Goldstein, B., 2013. Engineering the Caenorhabditis
elegans genome using Cas9-triggered homologous recombination. Nat Methods 10, 1028-1034.
Dobin, A., Davis, C.A., Schlesinger, F., Drenkow, J., Zaleski, C., Jha, S., Batut, P., Chaisson,
M., Gingeras, T.R., 2013. STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. Bioinformatics 29, 15-21.
Donati, G., Montanaro, L., Derenzini, M., 2012. Ribosome biogenesis and control of cell
proliferation: p53 is not alone. Cancer Res 72, 1602-1607.
Edelstein, A., Amodaj, N., Hoover, K., Vale, R., Stuurman, N., 2010. Computer control of
microscopes using microManager. Curr Protoc Mol Biol Chapter 14, Unit14 20.
Ezzoukhry, Z., Henriet, E., Cordelieres, F.P., Dupuy, J.W., Maitre, M., Gay, N., Di-Tommaso, S.,
Mercier, L., Goetz, J.G., Peter, M., Bard, F., Moreau, V., Raymond, A.A., Saltel, F., 2018.
Combining laser capture microdissection and proteomics reveals an active translation
machinery controlling invadosome formation. Nat Commun 9, 2031.
Feng, Y.X., Sokol, E.S., Del Vecchio, C.A., Sanduja, S., Claessen, J.H., Proia, T.A., Jin, D.X.,
Reinhardt, F., Ploegh, H.L., Wang, Q., Gupta, P.B., 2014. Epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition
activates PERK-eIF2alpha and sensitizes cells to endoplasmic reticulum stress. Cancer Discov
4, 702-715.
Ferro, E., Bosia, C., Campa, C.C., 2021. RAB11-Mediated Trafficking and Human Cancers: An
Updated Review. Biology (Basel) 10.
Fisher, S.J., 2015. Why is placentation abnormal in preeclampsia? Am J Obstet Gynecol 213,
S115-122.
Frische, E.W., Pellis-van Berkel, W., van Haaften, G., Cuppen, E., Plasterk, R.H., Tijsterman,
M., Bos, J.L., Zwartkruis, F.J., 2007. RAP-1 and the RAL-1/exocyst pathway coordinate
hypodermal cell organization in Caenorhabditis elegans. EMBO J 26, 5083-5092.
Gao, J., Ma, Y., Yang, G., Li, G., 2022. Translationally controlled tumor protein: the mediator
promoting cancer invasion and migration and its potential clinical prospects. J Zhejiang Univ Sci
B 23, 642-654.
Garde, A., Kenny, I.W., Kelley, L.C., Chi, Q., Mutlu, A.S., Wang, M.C., Sherwood, D.R., 2022.
Localized glucose import, glycolytic processing, and mitochondria generate a focused ATP burst
to power basement-membrane invasion. Dev Cell 57, 732-749 e737.
Garde, A., Sherwood, D.R., 2021. Fueling Cell Invasion through Extracellular Matrix. Trends
Cell Biol 31, 445-456.
Gettner, S.N., Kenyon, C., Reichardt, L.F., 1995. Characterization of beta pat-3 heterodimers, a
family of essential integrin receptors in C. elegans. J Cell Biol 129, 1127-1141.
Ghanta, K.S., Ishidate, T., Mello, C.C., 2021. Microinjection for precision genome editing in
Caenorhabditis elegans. STAR Protoc 2, 100748.
Gros, J., Tabin, C.J., 2014. Vertebrate limb bud formation is initiated by localized epithelial-to-
mesenchymal transition. Science 343, 1253-1256.
Guha, S., Calarco, S., Gachet, M.S., Gertsch, J., 2020. Juniperonic Acid Biosynthesis is
Essential in Caenorhabditis Elegans Lacking Delta6 Desaturase (fat-3) and Generates New
omega-3 Endocannabinoids. Cells 9.
Hagedorn, E.J., Yashiro, H., Ziel, J.W., Ihara, S., Wang, Z., Sherwood, D.R., 2009. Integrin acts
upstream of netrin signaling to regulate formation of the anchor cell's invasive membrane in C.
elegans. Dev Cell 17, 187-198.
Hagedorn, E.J., Ziel, J.W., Morrissey, M.A., Linden, L.M., Wang, Z., Chi, Q., Johnson, S.A.,
Sherwood, D.R., 2013. The netrin receptor DCC focuses invadopodia-driven basement
membrane transmigration in vivo. J Cell Biol 201, 903-913.
Henis-Korenblit, S., Zhang, P., Hansen, M., McCormick, M., Lee, S.J., Cary, M., Kenyon, C.,
2010. Insulin/IGF-1 signaling mutants reprogram ER stress response regulators to promote
longevity. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 107, 9730-9735.

Hoelzinger, D.B., Mariani, L., Weis, J., Woyke, T., Berens, T.J., McDonough, W.S., Sloan, A., Coons, S.W., Berens, M.E., 2005. Gene expression profile of glioblastoma multiforme invasive phenotype points to new therapeutic targets. Neoplasia 7, 7-16.

Hohenester, E., Yurchenco, P.D., 2013. Laminins in basement membrane assembly. Cell Adh Migr 7, 56-63.

Howe, K.L., Bolt, B.J., Cain, S., Chan, J., Chen, W.J., Davis, P., Done, J., Down, T., Gao, S., Grove, C., Harris, T.W., Kishore, R., Lee, R., Lomax, J., Li, Y., Muller, H.M., Nakamura, C., Nuin, P., Paulini, M., Raciti, D., Schindelman, G., Stanley, E., Tuli, M.A., Van Auken, K., Wang, D., Wang, X., Williams, G., Wright, A., Yook, K., Berriman, M., Kersey, P., Schedl, T., Stein, L., Sternberg, P.W., 2016. WormBase 2016: expanding to enable helminth genomic research. Nucleic Acids Res 44, D774-780.

Howe, K.L., Bolt, B.J., Shafie, M., Kersey, P., Berriman, M., 2017. WormBase ParaSite – a comprehensive resource for helminth genomics. Molecular and Biochemical Parasitology 215, 2-10.

Itani, O.A., Zhong, X., Tang, X., Scott, B.A., Yan, J.Y., Flibotte, S., Lim, Y., Hsieh, A.C., Bruce, J.E., Van Gilst, M., Crowder, C.M., 2021. Coordinate Regulation of Ribosome and tRNA Biogenesis Controls Hypoxic Injury and Translation. Curr Biol 31, 128-137 e125.

Jayadev, R., Morais, M., Ellingford, J.M., Srinivasan, S., Naylor, R.W., Lawless, C., Li, A.S., Ingham, J.F., Hastie, E., Chi, Q., Fresquet, M., Koudis, N.M., Thomas, H.B., O'Keefe, R.T., Williams, E., Adamson, A., Stuart, H.M., Banka, S., Smedley, D., Genomics England Research, C., Sherwood, D.R., Lennon, R., 2022. A basement membrane discovery pipeline uncovers network complexity, regulators, and human disease associations. Sci Adv 8, eabn2265.

Kadonaga, T., Sakabe, T., Kidokoro, Y., Haruki, T., Nosaka, K., Nakamura, H., Umekita, Y., 2022. Gene expression profiling using targeted RNA-sequencing to elucidate the progression from histologically normal lung tissues to non-invasive lesions in invasive lung adenocarcinoma. Virchows Arch 480, 831-841.

Kaletsky, R., Yao, V., Williams, A., Runnels, A.M., Tadych, A., Zhou, S., Troyanskaya, O.G., Murphy, C.T., 2018. Transcriptome analysis of adult Caenorhabditis elegans cells reveals tissue-specific gene and isoform expression. PLoS Genet 14, e1007559.

Kallberg, Y., Segerstolpe, A., Lackmann, F., Persson, B., Wieslander, L., 2012. Evolutionary conservation of the ribosomal biogenesis factor Rbm19/Mrd1: implications for function. PLoS One 7, e43786.

Kamath, R.S., Fraser, A.G., Dong, Y., Poulin, G., Durbin, R., Gotta, M., Kanapink, A., Le Bot, N., Moreno, S., Sohrmann, M., Welchman, D.P., Zipperlen, P., Ahringer, J., 2003. Systematic functional analysis of the Caenorhabditis elegans genome using RNAi. Nature.

Karaki, S., Benizri, S., Mejias, R., Baylot, V., Branger, N., Nguyen, T., Vialet, B., Oumzil, K., Barthelemy, P., Rocchi, P., 2017. Lipid-oligonucleotide conjugates improve cellular uptake and efficiency of TCTP-antisense in castration-resistant prostate cancer. J Control Release 258, 1-9.

Keeley, D.P., Hastie, E., Jayadev, R., Kelley, L.C., Chi, Q., Payne, S.G., Jeger, J.L., Hoffman, B.D., Sherwood, D.R., 2020. Comprehensive Endogenous Tagging of Basement Membrane Components Reveals Dynamic Movement within the Matrix Scaffolding. Developmental Cell 54, 60-74.e67.

Kelley, L.C., Chi, Q., Caceres, R., Hastie, E., Schindler, A.J., Jiang, Y., Matus, D.Q., Plastino, J., Sherwood, D.R., 2019. Adaptive F-Actin Polymerization and Localized ATP Production Drive Basement Membrane Invasion in the Absence of MMPs. Dev Cell 48, 313-328 e318.

Kelley, L.C., Lohmer, L.L., Hagedorn, E.J., Sherwood, D.R., 2014. Traversing the basement membrane in vivo: a diversity of strategies. J Cell Biol 204, 291-302.

Kimble, J., 1981. Alterations in cell lineage following laser ablation of cells in the somatic gonad of Caenorhabditis elegans. Dev Biol 87, 286-300.

Kirk, S.J., Cliff, J.M., Thomas, J.A., Ward, T.H., 2010. Biogenesis of secretory organelles during B cell differentiation. J Leukoc Biol 87, 245-255.

Krueger, F., James, F., Ewels, P., Afyounian, E., Schuster-Boeckler, B., 2021. FelixKrueger/TrimGalore: v0.6.7 - DOI via Zenodo (0.6.7), Zenodo.

Lattmann, E., Deng, T., Walser, M., Widmer, P., Rexha-Lambert, C., Prasad, V., Eichhoff, O., Daube, M., Dummer, R., Levesque, M.P., Hajnal, A., 2022. A DNA replication-independent function of pre-replication complex genes during cell invasion in C. elegans. PLoS Biol 20, e3001317.

Lee, L.W., Lee, C.C., Huang, C.R., Lo, S.J., 2012. The nucleolus of Caenorhabditis elegans. J Biomed Biotechnol 2012, 601274.

Leonard, C.E., Taneyhill, L.A., 2020. The road best traveled: Neural crest migration upon the extracellular matrix. Semin Cell Dev Biol 100, 177-185.

Limia, C.M., Sauzay, C., Urra, H., Hetz, C., Chevet, E., Avril, T., 2019. Emerging Roles of the Endoplasmic Reticulum Associated Unfolded Protein Response in Cancer Cell Migration and Invasion. Cancers (Basel) 11.

Linder, S., Cervero, P., Eddy, R., Condeelis, J., 2022. Mechanisms and roles of podosomes and invadopodia. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol.

Lohmer, L.L., Clay, M.R., Naegeli, K.M., Chi, Q., Ziel, J.W., Hagedorn, E.J., Park, J.E., Jayadev, R., Sherwood, D.R., 2016. A Sensitized Screen for Genes Promoting Invadopodia Function In Vivo: CDC-42 and Rab GDI-1 Direct Distinct Aspects of Invadopodia Formation. PLoS Genet 12, e1005786.

Love, M.I., Huber, W., Anders, S., 2014. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol 15, 550.

Matus, D.Q., Li, X.Y., Durbin, S., Agarwal, D., Chi, Q., Weiss, S.J., Sherwood, D.R., 2010. In vivo identification of regulators of cell invasion across basement membranes. Sci Signal 3, ra35.

Matus, D.Q., Lohmer, L.L., Kelley, L.C., Schindler, A.J., Kohrman, A.Q., Barkoulas, M., Zhang, W., Chi, Q., Sherwood, D.R., 2015. Invasive Cell Fate Requires G1 Cell-Cycle Arrest and Histone Deacetylase-Mediated Changes in Gene Expression. Dev Cell 35, 162-174.

Meca-Cortes, O., Guerra-Rebollo, M., Garrido, C., Borros, S., Rubio, N., Blanco, J., 2017. CRISPR/Cas9-Mediated Knockin Application in Cell Therapy: A Non-viral Procedure for Bystander Treatment of Glioma in Mice. Mol Ther Nucleic Acids 8, 395-403.

Medwig-Kinney, T.N., Smith, J.J., Palmisano, N.J., Tank, S., Zhang, W., Matus, D.Q., 2020. A developmental gene regulatory network for C. elegans anchor cell invasion. Development 147.

Mills, E.W., Green, R., 2017. Ribosomopathies: There's strength in numbers. Science 358.

Morrissey, M.A., Keeley, D.P., Hagedorn, E.J., McClatchey, S.T.H., Chi, Q., Hall, D.H., Sherwood, D.R., 2014. B-LINK: a hemicentin, plakin, and integrin-dependent adhesion system that links tissues by connecting adjacent basement membranes. Dev Cell 31, 319-331.

Murao, N., Nishitoh, H., 2017. Role of the unfolded protein response in the development of central nervous system. J Biochem 162, 155-162.

Naegeli, K.M., Hastie, E., Garde, A., Wang, Z., Keeley, D.P., Gordon, K.L., Pani, A.M., Kelley, L.C., Morrissey, M.A., Chi, Q., Goldstein, B., Sherwood, D.R., 2017. Cell Invasion In Vivo via Rapid Exocytosis of a Transient Lysosome-Derived Membrane Domain. Dev Cell 43, 403-417 e410.

Nakaya, Y., Sukowati, E.W., Wu, Y., Sheng, G., 2008. RhoA and microtubule dynamics control cell-basement membrane interaction in EMT during gastrulation. Nat Cell Biol 10, 765-775.

Nguyen Van Long, F., Lardy-Cleaud, A., Carene, D., Rossoni, C., Catez, F., Rollet, P., Pion, N., Monchiet, D., Dolbeau, A., Martin, M., Simioni, V., Bray, S., Le Beherec, D., Mosele, F., Bouakka, I., Colombe-Vermorel, A., Odeyer, L., Diot, A., Jordan, L.B., Thompson, A.M., Jamen, F., Dubois, T., Chabaud, S., Michiels, S., Treilleux, I., Bourdon, J.C., Perol, D., Puisieux, A., Andre, F., Diaz, J.J., Marcel, V., 2022. Low level of Fibrillarin, a ribosome biogenesis factor, is a new independent marker of poor outcome in breast cancer. BMC Cancer 22, 526.

Noma, K., Goncharov, A., Ellisman, M.H., Jin, Y., 2017. Microtubule-dependent ribosome localization in C. elegans neurons. Elife 6.

Novikov, N.M., Zolotaryova, S.Y., Gautreau, A.M., Denisov, E.V., 2021. Mutational drivers of
cancer cell migration and invasion. Br J Cancer 124, 102-114.
O'Keefe, S., Pool, M.R., High, S., 2022. Membrane protein biogenesis at the ER: the highways
and byways. FEBS J 289, 6835-6862.
Pan, W.A., Tsai, H.Y., Wang, S.C., Hsiao, M., Wu, P.Y., Tsai, M.D., 2015. The RNA recognition
motif of NIFK is required for rRNA maturation during cell cycle progression. RNA Biol 12, 255-
267.
Papalazarou, V., Zhang, T., Paul, N.R., Juin, A., Cantini, M., Maddocks, O.D.K., Salmeron-
Sanchez, M., Machesky, L.M., 2020. The creatine-phosphagen system is mechanoresponsive
in pancreatic adenocarcinoma and fuels invasion and metastasis. Nat Metab 2, 62-80.
Parlani, M., Jorgez, C., Friedl, P., 2022. Plasticity of cancer invasion and energy metabolism.
Trends Cell Biol.
Pastushenko, I., Blanpain, C., 2019. EMT Transition States during Tumor Progression and
Metastasis. Trends Cell Biol 29, 212-226.
Paterson, E.K., Courtneidge, S.A., 2018. Invadosomes are coming: new insights into function
and disease relevance. FEBS J 285, 8-27.
Porta-de-la-Riva, M., Fontrodona, L., Villanueva, A., Ceron, J., 2012. Basic Caenorhabditis
elegans methods: synchronization and observation. J Vis Exp, e4019.
Prakash, V., Carson, B.B., Feenstra, J.M., Dass, R.A., Sekyrova, P., Hoshino, A., Petersen, J.,
Guo, Y., Parks, M.M., Kurylo, C.M., Batchelder, J.E., Haller, K., Hashimoto, A., Rundqivst, H.,
Condeelis, J.S., Allis, C.D., Drygin, D., Nieto, M.A., Andang, M., Percipalle, P., Bergh, J.,
Adameyko, I., Farrants, A.O., Hartman, J., Lyden, D., Pietras, K., Blanchard, S.C., Vincent,
C.T., 2019. Ribosome biogenesis during cell cycle arrest fuels EMT in development and
disease. Nat Commun 10, 2110.
Putri, G.H., Anders, S., Pyl, P.T., Pimanda, J.E., Zanini, F., Boeva, V., 2022. Analysing high-
throughput sequencing data in Python with HTSeq 2.0. Bioinformatics 38, 2943-2945.
R_Core_Team, 2021. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. , in:
Computing, R.F.f.S. (Ed.).
Read, A., Schroder, M., 2021. The Unfolded Protein Response: An Overview. Biology (Basel)
10.
Richie, C.T., Golden, A., 2005. Chromosome segregation: Aurora B gets Tousled. Curr Biol 15,
R379-382.
Roy, D., Tomo, S., Modi, A., Purohit, P., Sharma, P., 2020. Optimising total RNA quality and
quantity by phenol-chloroform extraction method from human visceral adipose tissue: A
standardisation study. MethodsX 7, 101113.
Rual, J.F., Ceron, J., Koreth, J., Hao, T., Nicot, A.S., Hirozane-Kishikawa, T., Vandenhaute, J.,
Orkin, S.H., Hill, D.E., van den Heuvel, S., Vidal, M., 2004. Toward improving Caenorhabditis
elegans phenome mapping with an ORFeome-based RNAi library. Genome Res 14, 2162-2168.
Rubbi, C.P., Milner, J., 2003. Disruption of the nucleolus mediates stabilization of p53 in
response to DNA damage and other stresses. EMBO J 22, 6068-6077.
Sallee, M.D., Zonka, J.C., Skokan, T.D., Raftrey, B.C., Feldman, J.L., 2018. Tissue-specific
degradation of essential centrosome components reveals distinct microtubule populations at
microtubule organizing centers. PLoS Biol 16, e2005189.
Sanchez, C.G., Teixeira, F.K., Czech, B., Preall, J.B., Zamparini, A.L., Seifert, J.R., Malone,
C.D., Hannon, G.J., Lehmann, R., 2016. Regulation of Ribosome Biogenesis and Protein
Synthesis Controls Germline Stem Cell Differentiation. Cell Stem Cell 18, 276-290.
Sato, K., Norris, A., Sato, M., Grant, B.D., 2014. C. elegans as a model for membrane traffic.
WormBook, 1-47.
Sawa, H., Korswagen, H.C., 2013. Wnt signaling in C. elegans. WormBook, 1-30.
Schneider, C.A.R., Wayne S. , Eliceiri, K.W., 2012. NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of Image
Analysis. Nat Methods 9, 671-675.

Schoumacher, M., Glentis, A., Gurchenkov, V.V., Vignjevic, D.M., 2013. Basement membrane invasion assays: native basement membrane and chemoinvasion assay. Methods Mol Biol 1046, 133-144.

Sherwood, D.R., 2021. Basement membrane remodeling guides cell migration and cell morphogenesis during development. Curr Opin Cell Biol 72, 19-27.

Sherwood, D.R., Butler, J.A., Kramer, J.M., Sternberg, P.W., 2005. FOS-1 promotes basement-membrane removal during anchor-cell invasion in C. elegans. Cell 121, 951-962.

Sherwood, D.R., Plastino, J., 2018. Invading, Leading and Navigating Cells in Caenorhabditis elegans: Insights into Cell Movement in Vivo. Genetics 208, 53-78.

Sherwood, D.R., Sternberg, P.W., 2003. Anchor cell invasion into the vulval epithelium in C. elegans. Dev Cell 5, 21-31.

Shi, Z., Fujii, K., Kovary, K.M., Genuth, N.R., Rost, H.L., Teruel, M.N., Barna, M., 2017. Heterogeneous Ribosomes Preferentially Translate Distinct Subpools of mRNAs Genome-wide. Mol Cell 67, 71-83 e77.

Simmer, F., Tijsterman, M., Parrish, S., Koushika, S.P., Nonet, M.L., Fire, A., Ahringer, J., Plasterk, R.H., 2002. Loss of the putative RNA-directed RNA polymerase RRF-3 makes C. elegans hypersensitive to RNAi. Curr Biol 12, 1317-1319.

Spencer, W.C., McWhirter, R., Miller, T., Strasbourger, P., Thompson, O., Hillier, L.W., Waterston, R.H., Miller, D.M., 3rd, 2014. Isolation of specific neurons from C. elegans larvae for gene expression profiling. PLoS One 9, e112102.

Stiernagle, T., 2006. Maintenance of C. elegans, Wormbook.

Sturm, A., Saskoi, E., Tibor, K., Weinhardt, N., Vellai, T., 2018. Highly efficient RNAi and Cas9-based auto-cloning systems for C. elegans research. Nucleic Acids Res 46, e105.

Svensson, E., Olsen, L., Morck, C., Brackmann, C., Enejder, A., Faergeman, N.J., Pilon, M., 2011. The adiponectin receptor homologs in C. elegans promote energy utilization and homeostasis. PLoS One 6, e21343.

Tabara, H., Sarkissian, M., Kelly, W.G., Fleenor, J., Grishok, A., Timmons, L., Fire, A., Mello, C.C., 1999. The rde-1 gene, RNA interference, and transposon silencing in C. elegans. Cell 99, 123-132.

Teixeira, F.K., Lehmann, R., 2019. Translational Control during Developmental Transitions. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol 11.

Timmons, L., Court, D.L., Fire, A., 2000. Ingestion of bacterially expressed dsRNAs can produce specific and potent genetic interference in Caenorhabditis elegans. Gene 263.

Tkachev, V., Kaminski, J., Potter, E.L., Furlan, S.N., Yu, A., Hunt, D.J., McGuckin, C., Zheng, H., Colonna, L., Gerdemann, U., Carlson, J., Hoffman, M., Olvera, J., English, C., Baldessari, A., Panoskaltsis-Mortari, A., Watkins, B., Qayed, M., Suessmuth, Y., Betz, K., Bratrude, B., Langston, A., Horan, J.T., Ordovas-Montanes, J., Shalek, A.K., Blazar, B.R., Roederer, M., Kean, L.S., 2021. Spatiotemporal single-cell profiling reveals that invasive and tissue-resident memory donor CD8(+) T cells drive gastrointestinal acute graft-versus-host disease. Sci Transl Med 13.

Tokura, M., Nakayama, J., Prieto-Vila, M., Shiino, S., Yoshida, M., Yamamoto, T., Watanabe, N., Takayama, S., Suzuki, Y., Okamoto, K., Ochiya, T., Kohno, T., Yatabe, Y., Suto, A., Yamamoto, Y., 2022. Single-Cell Transcriptome Profiling Reveals Intratumoral Heterogeneity and Molecular Features of Ductal Carcinoma In Situ. Cancer Res 82, 3236-3248.

Tomioka, M., Naito, Y., Kuroyanagi, H., Iino, Y., 2016. Splicing factors control C. elegans behavioural learning in a single neuron by producing DAF-2c receptor. Nat Commun 7, 11645.

Tummala, H., Walne, A.J., Williams, M., Bockett, N., Collopy, L., Cardoso, S., Ellison, A., Wynn, R., Leblanc, T., Fitzgibbon, J., Kelsell, D.P., van Heel, D.A., Payne, E., Plagnol, V., Dokal, I., Vulliamy, T., 2016. DNAJC21 Mutations Link a Cancer-Prone Bone Marrow Failure Syndrome to Corruption in 60S Ribosome Subunit Maturation. Am J Hum Genet 99, 115-124.

van den Berg, M.C.W., MacCarthy-Morrogh, L., Carter, D., Morris, J., Ribeiro Bravo, I., Feng,
Y., Martin, P., 2019. Proteolytic and Opportunistic Breaching of the Basement Membrane Zone
by Immune Cells during Tumor Initiation. Cell Rep 27, 2837-2846 e2834.
Voutev, R., Killian, D.J., Ahn, J.H., Hubbard, E.J., 2006. Alterations in ribosome biogenesis
cause specific defects in C. elegans hermaphrodite gonadogenesis. Dev Biol 298, 45-58.
Wang, L., Wang, S., Li, W., 2012. RSeQC: quality control of RNA-seq experiments.
Bioinformatics 28, 2184-2185.
Wang, Z., Chi, Q., Sherwood, D.R., 2014a. MIG-10 (lamellipodin) has netrin-independent
functions and is a FOS-1A transcriptional target during anchor cell invasion in C. elegans.
Development 141, 1342-1353.
Wang, Z., Linden, L.M., Naegeli, K.M., Ziel, J.W., Chi, Q., Hagedorn, E.J., Savage, N.S.,
Sherwood, D.R., 2014b. UNC-6 (netrin) stabilizes oscillatory clustering of the UNC-40 (DCC)
receptor to orient polarity. J Cell Biol 206, 619-633.
Watanabe, N., Ishihara, T., Ohshima, Y., 2007. Mutants carrying two sma mutations are super
small in the nematode C. elegans. Genes Cells 12, 603-609.
Wei, X., Howell, A.S., Dong, X., Taylor, C.A., Cooper, R.C., Zhang, J., Zou, W., Sherwood,
D.R., Shen, K., 2015. The unfolded protein response is required for dendrite morphogenesis.
Elife 4, e06963.
Xing, J., Nan, X., Cui, Q., Ma, W., Zhao, H., 2018. Nop-7-associated 2 (NSA2) is required for
ribosome biogenesis and protein synthesis. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 505, 249-254.
Xing, R., Jin, Y., Sun, L., Yang, L., Li, C., Li, Z., Liu, X., Zhao, J., 2016. Interleukin-21 induces
migration and invasion of fibroblast-like synoviocytes from patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Clin
Exp Immunol 184, 147-158.
Yi, Y.H., Ma, T.H., Lee, L.W., Chiou, P.T., Chen, P.H., Lee, C.M., Chu, Y.D., Yu, H., Hsiung,
K.C., Tsai, Y.T., Lee, C.C., Chang, Y.S., Chan, S.P., Tan, B.C., Lo, S.J., 2015. A Genetic
Cascade of let-7-ncl-1-fib-1 Modulates Nucleolar Size and rRNA Pool in Caenorhabditis
elegans. PLoS Genet 11, e1005580.
Yurchenco, P.D., 2011. Basement membranes: cell scaffoldings and signaling platforms. Cold
Spring Harb Perspect Biol 3.
Zajchowski, D.A., Bartholdi, M.F., Gong, Y., Webster, L., Liu, H.L., Munishkin, A., Beauheim, C.,
Harvey, S., Ethier, S.P., Johnson, P.H., 2001. Identification of gene expression profiles that
predict the aggressive behavior of breast cancer cells. Cancer Res 61, 5168-5178.
Zhang, F., Ma, Q., Xu, Z., Liang, H., Li, H., Ye, Y., Xiang, S., Zhang, Y., Jiang, L., Hu, Y., Wang,
Z., Wang, X., Zhang, Y., Gong, W., Liu, Y., 2017. Dihydroartemisinin inhibits TCTP-dependent
metastasis in gallbladder cancer. J Exp Clin Cancer Res 36, 68.
Zhang, S., Banerjee, D., Kuhn, J.R., 2011. Isolation and culture of larval cells from C. elegans.
PLoS One 6, e19505.
Zhang, S., Kuhn, J.R., 2013. Cell isolation and culture. WormBook, 1-39.
Zhang, Y., Lu, H., 2009. Signaling to p53: ribosomal proteins find their way. Cancer Cell 16,
369-377.
Ziel, J.W., Hagedorn, E.J., Audhya, A., Sherwood, D.R., 2009. UNC-6 (netrin) orients the
invasive membrane of the anchor cell in C. elegans. Nat Cell Biol 11, 183-189.

1492

FIGURE 2

A

	AC	BM	Merge
control			
tct-1 RNAi			
fmi-1 RNAi			
mom-1 RNAi			

B ZMP-1

control   *tct-1* RNAi

ZMP-1::mNG

ZMP-1::mNG Mean Intensity (a.u.)

2-cell control / 2-cell *tct-1* RNAi / 4-cell control / 4-cell *tct-1* RNAi

C F-actin

mCherry::moesinABD

cdh-3p::mCherry::moesinABD Volume (μm³)

2-cell control / 2-cell *tct-1* RNAi / 4-cell control / 4-cell *tct-1* RNAi

D UNC-40

UNC-40::GFP

cdh-3p::UNC-40::GFP Apical/Basal Polarity

2-cell control / 2-cell *tct-1* RNAi / 4-cell control / 4-cell *tct-1* RNAi

E Mitochondria

MLS::GFP

zmp-1p::MLS::GFP Apical/Basal Polarity

2-cell control / 2-cell *tct-1* RNAi / 4-cell control / 4-cell *tct-1* RNAi

FIGURE 3

FIGURE 5

FIGURE 6

FIGURE 7