6 Distinct Quaternary States, Intermediates, and Autoinhibition During Loading of
7 the DnaB-Replicative Helicase by the Phage λP Helicase Loader
8
9
10
11

12 †Abhipsa Shatarupa[1,2]†, Dhanjai Brown[1,2], Paul Dominic B. Olinares[5], Jillian
13 Chase[1,2], Eta Isiorho[6], Brian T. Chait[5], and *David Jeruzalmi[1,2,3,4]
14
[1]Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, City College of New York, New York,
NY 10031, USA.

Ph.D. Programs in Biochemistry[2], Biology[3], and Chemistry[4], The Graduate Center
of the City University of New York, New York, NY 10016, USA.

[5]Laboratory of Mass Spectrometry and Gaseous Ion Chemistry, The Rockefeller
University, New York, New York 10065, USA

[6]Structural Biology Initiative, CUNY Advanced Science Research Center, New
York, NY 10031, USA

23
24 *To whom correspondence should be addressed: 212-650-6062;
25 dj@ccny.cuny.edu
26
27 †These authors contributed equally.
28

Graphical Abstract

The DnaB helicase loading pathway at the phage λ replication origin populates two

intermediate states, distinguished by the number of λP loaders present. The DnaB

ring in the B_6P_6 complex is planar and partially open. Although it binds six copies

of the λP loader, the ajar planar state of DnaB yields inchoate interactions with the

loader.   During the maturation of the complex, the planar state of DnaB is

reconfigured into an open spiral in the B_6P_5 complex, which the pentameric λP

ensemble now grips tightly. This transition required the eviction of one copy of the

loader. Although the breach in the DnaB open spiral is sufficiently sized for entry

of ssDNA into the internal chamber, the disposition of one λP chain loader across

the single breached interface in DnaB effectively blocks the path to physiological

replication origin-derived ssDNA. DnaB is depicted in white/gray ribbon format

under a transparent surface. The λP chains are colored orange and shades of

blue. The pink cylinder represents the expected path of ssDNA through DnaB.

Abstract

Replicative helicases require loader proteins for assembly at the origins of DNA replication. Multiple copies of the bacteriophage λP (P) loader bind to and load the *E. coli* DnaB (B) replicative helicase on replication-origin-derived single-stranded DNA. We find that the *E. coli* DnaB•λP complex exists in two forms: B_6P_5 and B_6P_6. In the 2.66 Å cryo-EM model of B_6P_5, five copies of the λP loader assemble into a crown-like shape that tightly grips DnaB. In this complex, closed planar DnaB is reconfigured into an open spiral with a sufficiently sized breach to permit ssDNA to enter an internal chamber. The transition to the open spiral involves λP-mediated changes to the Docking Helix (DH)–Linker Helix (LH) interface. The loader directly stabilizes the open spiral. Unexpectedly, one λP chain in B_6P_5 is bound across the breach, precluding entry of replication-origin-derived ssDNA into DnaB's central chamber. We suggest that the B_6P_6 complex is an early intermediate in the helicase activation pathway wherein neither the DnaB helicase nor the λP loader has attained its final form. DnaB in this complex adopts a partially open planar configuration, termed ajar planar. The partially ordered λP loader assembly features a much looser interaction with DnaB. The ssDNA and ATP sites in both complexes are in a configuration ill-suited for binding or hydrolysis. Our work specifies the conformational changes required for the intermediate B_6P_6 to transition to B_6P_5 on the pathway to recruitment by the initiator protein complex to the replication origin.

Introduction

Efficient separation of duplex DNA into single-strand templates for DNA synthesis is a crucial feature of chromosomal replication systems across all cellular domains of life (1–10). Separation is carried out by the replicative helicase, an oligomeric entity that encircles an internal chamber into which one strand of DNA is loaded while the second strand is excluded. ATP-dependent translocation along the included strand leads to the melting of the duplex. Since bacterial chromosomal DNA is an infinitely long polymer with no free ends, assembling replicative helicases on DNA requires specialized loader proteins.

In bacteria, the replicative helicase (DnaB in most bacteria (henceforth: DnaB), or DnaC in *B. subtilis*) assembles into a two-tiered, ring-shaped homohexameric ensemble around one of the two strands of dsDNA (11–13) (Figure 1 and Supplementary Figure 1 and reviewed in (9, 10, 14–17) and in Supplementary Information). Each DnaB protomer comprises two domains: an amino-terminal domain (NTD) and a carboxy-terminal domain (CTD), linked by a linker helix (LH) element. The NTD and CTD tiers adopt two configurations, termed dilated or constricted, distinguished by the diameter of the central chamber, among other features. Each CTD harbors a helical element, termed the docking helix (DH), which packs against the LH element of an adjacent CTD (11–13). ATP is bound at CTD interfaces, using Walker A and Walker B motifs furnished by one CTD and the arginine finger β-hairpin motif by an adjacent CTD to mediate hydrolysis. Residues from each CTD also contact the phosphate backbone of ssDNA within the inner chamber (18).

An extensive literature provides insights into the structure and function of

helicase loaders in bacteria, including *E. coli* DnaC, phage λP (Figure 1), *B. subtilis*

DnaI, phage T4 gene 59, phage SPP1 G39P, phage P2 B, DciA, and DopE (1, 7,

19–30). Several schemes for helicase assembly on nucleic acid substrates have

been described, referred to here as (1) ring-opening, (2) ring-forming, or (3) ring-

closing ((10, 31, 32) and Supplementary Information). Two well-studied bacterial

loaders, *E. coli* DnaC and phage λP, are ring-opening loaders; although unrelated

in sequence or structure, they implement similar loading mechanisms. Both

loaders share an overall architecture, featuring a globular domain attached to an

element termed the lasso or grappling hook (15, 33, 34). Both capture and remodel

closed planar DnaB into an open right-handed spiral, maintaining both tiers in the

constricted conformation. Both suppress DnaB's ATPase and DNA unwinding

activities (35–38). Remodeling creates breaches in DnaB's two tiers of sufficient

size to allow access to the inner chamber by physiological ssDNA substrates

produced by the remodeling of the replication origins by the replication initiator

protein (*E. coli:* DnaA or phage λ: O) (19, 39–41). The structural resemblance

between the two loaders is limited to an alpha helix in the lasso/grappling hook

element, positioned between the DH and LH helices of two adjacent DnaB

subunits. Remarkably, the protein chain direction of these elements diverges (λP:

N-C; DnaC: C-N); this unusual feature reflects convergent evolution (15).

Maturation of the replication origin complex into the replisome requires the

eviction of the DnaB-inhibiting loader. DnaC (34, 35, 42) and λP (43, 44) utilize

distinct biochemical mechanisms to evict the loader. In phage λ, the tight *E. coli*

DnaB-λP loader (BP) complex (37) necessitates the involvement of the host heat

shock factors DnaJ, DnaK, and GrpE (40, 45–48) to evict the loader and activate

the helicase.

The 4.1 Å single-particle cryogenic-electron microscopy (cryo-EM) structure

of the BP complex informed many aspects of the helicase loading reaction (15,

33). However, the limited resolution revealed ~50% of the structure of the λP

loader, as only the carboxy-terminal domains of the five protomers (B_6P_5) were

visible in the EM map (EMDB: 7076, PDB: 6BBM (33)). Furthermore, the amino

acid sequence of the λP loader could not be assigned to its structure, thereby

obscuring the helicase and loader interface. As such, the mechanisms of DnaB

remodeling, ATPase suppression, and ssDNA entry into the central chamber were

incompletely deciphered. We have determined three cryo-EM structures of the BP

complex to gain insights into these mechanisms. Two of these structures extend

the precision of the B_6P_5 complex to 2.66 Å and 2.84 Å; the 2.66 Å structure

provides a nearly complete model. In the B_6P_5 complex, one copy of λP is entirely

resolved, and the other four are nearly so. The resulting pentameric λP ensemble

is held together by an extensive interface between its previously invisible domain

II sub-structure. In B_6P_5, the DnaB NTD and CTD layers are in open spiral

configurations, with openings sufficient for ssDNA to access the central chamber.

However, unexpectedly, we find that one copy of λP (chain Z) binds across the

breach in the DnaB CTD tier to create a significant block to the entry of

physiological origin-derived ssDNA into the central chamber of DnaB.

A third structure (3.85 Å) revealed the first view of a BP complex with six

copies of the λP loader (B_6P_6). The configurations of both DnaB and λP in the

B_6P_6 entity are strikingly different. Both tiers of DnaB are essentially planar, with

the NTD tier topologically closed and the CTD layer ajar relative to B_6P_5. The

configuration of the six copies of the λP loader and DnaB in B_6P_6 implies an

inchoate complex that has not reached its final form. We suggest that the ajar

planar configuration in B_6P_6 is intermediate in the helicase loading pathway

between the closed planar and open spiral forms of DnaB.

Materials and Methods

Constructs of the λP helicase loader

This work's biochemical and structural studies relied on a large set of λP

constructs (Supplementary Table 1). DNA sequencing (Genewiz/Azenta) was

used to verify the integrity of each construct. Extensive efforts identified soluble

domains corresponding to the following constructions: amino acids 105:210,

105:205, 105:215, 105:220, 110:210, and 110:215. Each construct included an N-

terminal (N-His) or C-terminal (C-His) hexahistidine tag for purification. The

construct spanning residues 105:210 provided a sample suitable for X-ray

crystallography.

Protein Biochemistry

Full-length *E. coli* DnaB•λP complex and DnaB were purified using the

procedure described in (33), except that 0.2 mM ATP was used instead of 0.5 mM.

In addition, full-length *E. coli* DnaB was further chromatographed over a 320 mL

Superdex200 size exclusion column equilibrated in 20 mM HEPES-NaOH, pH 7.5,

450 mM NaCl, 5% glycerol (v/v), 2 mM DTT, 0.5 mM $MgCl_2$, 0.2 mM ATP. DnaB-

containing fractions were concentrated to 23.5 mg/mL, aliquoted, flash-frozen in

liquid nitrogen, and stored at -80°C until use.

The *E. coli* DnaB•λP-C-His complex was first purified over nickel-

nitrilotriacetic acid beads (Ni-NTA, Qiagen) equilibrated in 50 mM sodium

phosphate, pH 7.6, 500 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol (v/v), and 5 mM β-

mercaptoethanol (BME). After sequential washing with 25 mM, 50 mM, and 100

mM imidazole in the equilibration buffer, the partially purified DnaB•λP-C-His

complex was eluted using successive washes containing 250 mM and 500 mM

imidazole in the equilibration buffer. The complex was further purified using methyl

hydrophobic interaction chromatography as described in (33). Lastly, the complex

was concentrated to ~9 mg/mL, aliquoted, flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen, and

stored at -80°C until use.

A λP construct encompassing residues 105:210 was expressed in *E. coli*

BL21 (DE3) cells as described in (33), except the induction proceeded at 16°C for

16 hours. λP105:210 substituted with selenomethionine (SeMet) was prepared for

X-ray crystallographic studies as described in (49). Cells expressing N-His-

λP105:210 were lysed by sonication (60% amplitude; 3 min; 0.3 secs on, 0.6 secs

off, Fisher Scientific Model 500). λP105:210 was recovered in the lysis

supernatant, purified over Ni-NTA as described above, and over Superdex200

equilibrated in 100 mM NaCl, 10 mM Tris-HCl pH 7, 5% glycerol (v/v), and 5 mM

BME. Fractions containing N-His-λP105:210 were concentrated to 3.5 mg/mL,

aliquoted, flash frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at -80°C until use.

An N-His-tagged λO construct encompassing residues 156-299 was

expressed in *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) cells using ECPM1 medium (50) according to

standard techniques. After growth at 37°C to an OD600 of 0.6 – 0.7, IPTG was

added to 0.5 mM, and protein expression was allowed to proceed overnight at

25°C. Induced cells were resuspended in 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8, 500 mM NaCl,

and 5% (V/V) glycerol at a ratio of 5 mL per gram of cells and frozen at -80°C until

use.

Cells expressing λO-156-299-N-His were lysed by sonication (70%

amplitude; 3 min; 30 secs on, 30 secs off, Fisher Scientific Model 500). The

clarified supernatant was chromatographed over Ni-NTA beads equilibrated in 50

mM Tris (pH 7.5), 500 mM KCl, and 5% glycerol (v/v). Following two washes of

the Ni-NTA beads with equilibration buffer supplemented with 20 mM and 30 mM

imidazole, λO-156-299-N-His was eluted with 250 mM imidazole. Dialysis into 40

mM Tris pH 8, 50 mM NaCl, and 5 mM BME preceded chromatography over Fast

Flow Q (Cytiva). The Q column was developed with a gradient from 50 mM to 1

M NaCl in the above buffer. λO-156-299-N-His-containing fractions were

precipitated with 70% saturated ammonium sulfate, resuspended, and further

chromatographed over a 320 mL Superdex 200 size exclusion column,

equilibrated in 20 mM HEPES-KOH pH 7.5, 300 mM NaCl, 5% glycerol, and 5 mM

BME. λO-156-299-N-His containing fractions were concentrated to 2 mg/mL,

aliquoted, flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at -80°C until use.

Protein biochemistry was performed at 4°C.

X-ray structure determination of λP domain III (residues 105 – 210)

N-His-λP105:210 in 100 mM NaCl, 10 mM Tris-HCl pH 7, 5% glycerol (v/v),

and 5 mM BME was thawed on ice and further concentrated to ~3.5 mg/mL (> 200

µM). High-throughput vapor diffusion (sitting drop) crystallization trials were

performed using an Art Robbins Gryphon liquid handling robot in the

Macromolecular Crystallization Facility (MCF) of the Structural Biology Initiative at

the Advanced Science Research Center, City University of New York. Crystals of

λP105:210 were prepared using the sitting drop vapor diffusion method by mixing

either 0.1, 0.2, or 0.4 µL of the protein solution and 0.2 µL of a series of

commercially available crystallization screens (Qiagen). Conditions that provided

initial hits were optimized using bespoke screens prepared at the MCF. Optimized

crystals for X-ray diffraction were grown at room temperature in a buffer containing

3 M–4 M NaCl with 0.1 M HEPES-NaOH, pH 7–8, and required 7 days to form.

SeMet-derivatized crystals were grown in the same manner. For X-ray diffraction,

crystals (>70 µm) were cryoprotected by adding 0.2 µL of LV CryoOil (MiTeGen)

directly to the crystallization drop before flash-freezing in liquid nitrogen.

Diffraction data for native and SeMet-derivatized N-His-λP105:210 crystals

were recorded at cryogenic temperatures at the 24-ID-E and 24-ID-C (respectively)

beamlines at the Northeastern Collaborative Access Team (NECAT) Center for

Advanced Macromolecular Crystallography at the Advanced Photon Source at

Argonne National Laboratory. Data from native crystals were measured using a

wavelength of 0.97918 Å to Bragg spacings of 1.86 Å. Data from SeMet-

derivatized crystals were measured at the Se K-edge (12,662 eV, λ = 0.97918 Å),

corresponding to Bragg spacings of 1.86 Å. Both native and derivatized

λP105:210 crystallized in space group P3$_1$21, with the following cell parameters: a

= 49.731, b = 49.731, c = 70.772, alpha = 90°, beta = 90°, and gamma = 120°

(Supplementary Table 2). The Matthews coefficient (51) implied the presence of

one copy of λP105:210 in the crystallographic asymmetric unit.

Diffraction images were integrated and scaled with HKL2000 (52). Efforts

to determine the phases of the λP105:210 structure using molecular replacement

and various search models derived from the cryo-EM structure of the DnaB-λP

complex did not yield a reliable solution. However, the structure was determined

using the single anomalous dispersion (SAD) method on the SeMet-substituted

crystals, as implemented in the Autosol feature of the Phenix suite (53). AutoBuild,

phenix.refine, and visualization with Coot (54) facilitated the development of the

final model, which comprises residues 119 to 192 (residues 105 to 118 and 193 to

210 could not be visualized). The model exhibited a crystallographic R factor,

$R_{work}/R_{free,}$ of 0.23/0.24.

Native mass spectrometry (MS)

The *E. coli* DnaB•λP•ssDNA•λO-156-299-N-His complex was assembled by

mixing 94.2 µL of 7.96 µM *E. coli* DnaB•λP complex in 20 mM Na-HEPES pH 7.5,

450 mM NaCl, 2 mM DTT, 0.5 mM MgCl$_2$, 0.2 mM ATP, 5% glycerol with 15 µL of

100 µM of an aqueous solution of a 43-nucleotide ssDNA (5'-

TGACGAATAATCTTTTCTTTTTTCTTTTGTAATAGTGTCTTTT-3') derived from

the DNA unwinding element (DUE) of Oriλ (38) for 20 minutes; the mixture was

prepared at a 1:2 molar ratio of BP:ssDNA. 53.1 µL of 212 µM λO in 20 mM

HEPES-KOH pH 7.5, 300 mM NaCl, 5% Glycerol, and 5 mM BME was added to

this mixture at a 15-fold molar excess relative to BP, and the mixture was incubated

for 20 minutes at 4°C. The volume of the reaction mixture was adjusted to 250 μL

by adding Milli-Q water and a buffer containing 2.5 M ammonium acetate (pH 7.5)

and 2.5 mM magnesium acetate. This yielded a sample containing 3 μM BP, 6 μM

ssDNA, and 45 μM λO in 500 mM ammonium acetate, 0.5 mM magnesium acetate,

~170 mM NaCl, and ~2% glycerol.   The complexes were incubated for an

additional 30 minutes at 4°C.

To   purify   the   DnaB•λP•ssDNA•λO-156-299-N-His   complex   from

uncomplexed components, 200 μL of the above mixture was applied to 300 μL of

Ni-NTA beads equilibrated in 500 mM ammonium acetate pH 7.5, and 0.5 mM

magnesium acetate.   After a 45-minute incubation at 4°C, the beads were

recovered by centrifugation and washed four times with 300 μL of 500 mM

ammonium acetate pH 7.5, 0.5 mM magnesium acetate, and 50 mM imidazole to

remove untagged species; each wash included a 30-second incubation at 4°C.

Bound complexes were eluted with three washes of 300 μL of 500 mM ammonium

acetate (pH 7.5), 0.5 mM magnesium acetate, 250 mM imidazole, and 0.01%

Tween-20. SDS-PAGE analysis confirmed the presence of the DnaB, λP, and λO

components.   Complex-containing fractions were pooled, concentrated over a

100kDa molecular weight cut-off membrane (Spin-X UF Corning Concentrator,

500uL, 431481) at 15,000 RPM to 0.48 mg/ml, flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen, and

stored at -80°C.

A 200 μL volume of the DnaB•λP•ssDNA•λO-156-299-N-His complex was

thawed and concentrated using a Microcon centrifugal filter with a 100-kDa

molecular weight cut-off (MWCO) (Millipore). To remove residual imidazole, 150

μL of the native mass spectrometry (nMS)-compatible solution (500 mM

ammonium acetate, pH 7.5, 0.5 mM magnesium acetate, 0.01% Tween-20, pH

7.5) was then added to the concentrate for another round of centrifugation. To

ensure the complete removal of imidazole, the concentrated sample was then

buffer-exchanged into an nMS-compatible solution using a Zeba microspin

desalting column with a 40-kDa molecular weight cutoff (Thermo Scientific) before

nMS characterization.

For nMS analysis, an aliquot (2 – 3 μL) of the buffer-exchanged sample was

loaded into a gold-coated quartz capillary tip that was prepared in-house and was

electrosprayed into an Exactive Plus EMR instrument (Thermo Fisher Scientific)

using a modified static nanospray source (55). The nMS parameters used

included: spray voltage, 1.24 kV; capillary temperature, 150 °C; S-lens RF level,

200; resolving power, 8,750 at m/z of 200; AGC target, 1 x 10^6; number of

microscans, 5; maximum injection time, 200 ms; in-source dissociation (ISD10 V;

injection flatapole, 8 V; interflatapole, 4 V; bent flatapole, 4 V; high energy collision

dissociation (HCD), 200 V; ultrahigh vacuum pressure, 5.8 × 10^{-10} mbar; total

number of scans, 100. Mass calibration in positive EMR mode was performed

using cesium iodide. Raw nMS spectra were visualized using Thermo Xcalibur

Qual Browser (version 4.2.47). Data processing and spectra deconvolution were

performed using UniDec version 4.2.0 (56, 57). The following parameters were

used for data processing: Gaussian smoothing, 3; background subtraction,

subtract curve 10; smooth charge state distribution, enabled; peak shape function,

Gaussian; beta setting (degree of Softmax distribution): 50, Mass range: 50,000 –

500,000 Da.

The measured masses are B_6P_4: 419,942 Da, B_6P_5: 446,642 Da, B_6P_5 +

oriλ ssDNA: 460,056 Da, and B_6P_6: 473,318 Da. The observed deviations of the

measured mass from the expected mass ranged from 0.07% to 0.10% and were

due to peak broadening mainly from nonspecific magnesium adduction. In

addition, peaks corresponding to DnaB subcomplexes were found, including B

(52,269 Da), B_2 (104,551 Da), and B_3 (156,899 Da). Although nMS analysis

observed several BP assemblies, including a B_6P_6 complex and B_6P_5-ssDNA

species(33), cryo-EM analyses did not reveal the positions of ssDNA or the binding

of λO-CTD. PDBO performed all native MS analyses in the laboratory of BTC.

ATPase rate measurements

An NADH-coupled microplate spectrophotometric assay was employed to

measure the rates of ATP hydrolysis (58, 59) by *E. coli* DnaB and to investigate

the effect of λP on these rates. DnaB was maintained at a fixed concentration of

100 nM in all experiments. To assess the contribution of unrelated helicases that

may have co-purified with the various DnaB entities, we conducted control

experiments using a bacterial protein extract prepared according to the exact set

of steps described above for DnaB, except that the cells contained the pET24

vector, which did not include either the DnaB or λP genes.

NADH oxidation data were recorded in the presence of 2 mM ATP (GoldBio

A-081-100), 2mM phosphoenolpyruvic acid monopotassium salt (PEP), 0.01 U/µL

lactate dehydrogenase (Sigma-Aldrich, L1254), 0.002 U/µL pyruvate kinase

(Sigma-Aldrich, P7768],) and 0.3 mM NADH in the following buffer: 50 mM

HEPES-KOH, pH=7.5, 150 mM potassium acetate, and 8 mM magnesium acetate,

5 mM BME, and 0.25 mg/mL bovine serum albumin (BSA, Fisher #BP9706100).

The above components, except for ATP, were combined and placed into wells of

a Corning 96-well clear round-bottom UV-transparent Microplate (p/n: 3788). The

plate was then warmed to 37 °C for 5 minutes in a pre-warmed SpectraMax M5

plate reader (Molecular Devices). After the warming phase, endpoint readings

were taken at a 340 nm wavelength to enable measurement of the path length.

This was followed by the addition of 2 mM ATP, mixing through pipetting, and

immediately reinserting the plate into the plate reader. Absorbance data were

taken at 340 nm every 30 seconds over 30 minutes. Each experiment was

performed in triplicate. Raw data was imported into Microsoft Excel for analysis.

Each absorbance curve was manually inspected to identify the period during the

experiment wherein the slope of the 340 nm absorbance vs. time(s) was constant;

in all cases, this period was the complete 30-minute period. The slope of the

absorbance curve was obtained by calculating the difference between the final and

initial absorbance values and dividing by time in seconds. The resulting slope

value was divided by the extinction coefficient of NADH (6,220 M^{-1} cm^{-1}) and the

path length (cm) calculated by the plate reader. This calculation produced the rate

of ATP hydrolysis in M/s. After correcting for background by subtracting the blank,

this value was processed into ATPase activity in turnovers per second by

multiplying by the reaction volume ($2x10^{-4}$ L), dividing by the mass of DnaB (g) in

the assay, and then multiplying by the molecular weight of monomeric DnaB

(52,390 g/mol).

Sample Preparation for Single Particle Cryogenic Electron Microscopy

The BP complex in 20 mM HEPES-NaOH pH 7.5, 450 mM NaCl, 2 mM DTT, 0.5 mM MgCl$_2$, 0.2 mM ATP, and 5% glycerol (v/v) and λO-156-299-NHis in 20 mM HEPES-KOH pH 7.5, 300 mM NaCl, 5% glycerol (v/v), and 5 mM BME were diluted with glycerol versions of their respective holding buffers to a concentration of ~0.25%.

Synthetic single-stranded DNA oligonucleotide 5'-TGACGAATAATCTTTTCTTTTTTCTTTTGTAATAGTGTCTTTT-3' (38) (IDT) was resuspended in Milli-Q water. BP-ssDNA complexes were prepared by mixing protein (1.5 µM) and ssDNA (1.875 µM) at a 1.25 molar excess. BP•λO-156-299-NHis•ssDNA complexes were prepared by mixing BP (1.5 µM) with ssDNA (1.875 µM) and λO-156-299-NHis (2.25 µM) at 1.25 and 1.5 molar excess, respectively.

Protein-DNA complexes were applied to ultra-gold foil grids with holey gold support and 300 mesh size (R 0.6/1, Quantifoil Micro Tools GmbH); the grids were flash-frozen as described (33) and stored in liquid nitrogen until use.

Acquisition of Cryo-EM Data

This work encompassed three single particle cryo-EM (cryo-EM) data sets: 1) the P45-J50 data set taken from samples that contained the BP complex bound to ssDNA, 2) the P155-J148 data set recorded from the BP•λO-156-299-NHis•ssDNA complex, and 3) the P11-J107 data set from BP complex bound to ssDNA (sample identical to the P45-J50 dataset) (Supplementary Figures 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, Supplementary Information and Supplementary Table 3). The P45-J50 data set produced a 2.66 Å map of the B$_6$P$_5$ complex. However, no ssDNA was observed in the EM maps. The P155-J148 data set yielded a 3.85 Å model of the

B_6P_6 complex; however, our maps did not display the λO-156-299-NHis and

ssDNA components. The P11-J107 data set produced a 2.84 Å resolution map of

the B_6P_5 complex (Supplementary Information and Supplementary Methods).

All data were taken on the Titan Krios (FEI, Hillsboro, Oregon) operating at

an accelerating voltage of 300 kV and equipped with a Gatan K3 Summit (Gatan,

Pleasanton, California) direct electron detector. Data collection was managed by

Leginon (60–62). All movies were collected at a pixel size of 1.083 Å. 7,863

movies were measured for the P45-J50 BP-ssDNA complex with a defocus range

of -1 μm to -2.5 μm, 6,479 for the P155-J148 BP•λO-156-299-NHis•ssDNA

complex with a defocus range of -0.6 μm to -2.7 μm, and 25,595 un-tilted movies

and ~6661 tilted movies for the P11-J107 BP-ssDNA complex with a defocus range

of -1 μm to -2.5 μm and 1 μm to -4.5 μm, respectively. The 25°-tilted data set was

collected from the same grid to mitigate the expected preferred specimen

orientation (63); the tilted data set was measured using similar imaging parameters

to those of the un-tilted collection. The dose rate was set to 25.51 e-/Å²/s for P45-

J50, 25.59 e-/Å²/s for P155-J148, 25.51 e$^-$/Å^2/s for P11-J107 un-tilted collection,

and 25.78 e$^-$/Å^2/s for P11-J107 for 25°-tilted collection, resulting in accumulated

doses of 51.01 e-/Å², 51.19 e-/Å², 51.01 e$^-$/Å^2, and 51.55 e$^-$/Å^2, respectively. Forty

frames were recorded per movie at 0.05-second intervals over a total duration of

2 seconds. The 2.84 Å B_6P_5 model, derived from the P11-J107 data set, is

discussed in the Supplementary Information, as it is less complete than the 2.66 Å

model from the P45-J50 data set.

388   Unless otherwise indicated, cryo-EM data sets were processed in

389 CryoSPARC (64–68). Processing was initiated for each dataset by applying patch

390 motion correction and estimating the contrast transfer function (CTF).

391 **Cryo-EM Image Processing of the P45-J50 Data Set (B_6P_5, 2.66 Å)**

392   The 7,863 movie frames were submitted to particle selection using the 'Blob

393 Picker' tool (Supplementary Figure 2). Inspection of the resulting 7,250,518

394 particles in the "inspect picks" job trimmed the data set to 3,762,436 particles. This

395 set was extracted with a 300-pixel box size and 2x binning to produce 3,193,376

396 particles. 2D classification with a total of 200 classes yielded 2,448,342 particles.

397 2D templates prepared from this set were used in template picking to produce

398 10,556,526 particles. Another round of inspection in the "inspect picks" job

399 reduced the number to 4,331,766 particles. Extraction with a larger box (400

400 pixels) and 2x binning produced 3,709,955 particles. These particles underwent a

401 second round of 2D classification with 200 classes to produce 2,798,195 particles.

402 *Ab initio* reconstruction with C1 symmetry enforced produced four classes, A-00,

403 A-01, A-02, and A-03, with ~780K, ~473K, ~996K, and ~547K particles.

404 Heterogeneous refinement of these classes resulted in four classes termed B-00,

405 B-01, B-02, and B-03 (grey, red, pink, and blue in Supplementary Figure 2), with

406 the following particle counts and map resolutions ~480K/4.40 Å, ~1.5 million/4.40

407 Å, ~283K/7.83 Å, and ~528K/4.40 Å. Class B-01, with the largest number of

408 particles, was pushed forward to non-uniform refinement with the 'optimize per-

409 particle defocus' parameter enabled to produce a 4.44 Å map. Particles from this

410 map and the B-01 volume then went through a second round of non-uniform

411 refinement with 'optimize per-group CTF params' enabled to produce a second

4.44 Å map. The particles from the second round of non-uniform refinement were

re-extracted with a larger and unbinned box size of 400 pixels to provide a new

collection of ~1.5 million particles. This revised set of particles and the class B-01

volume above were submitted to a third round of non-uniform refinement. This

calculation produced a 2.66 Å map (class D, green in Supplementary Figure 2).

Finally,   class   D   underwent   sharpening   using   DeepEMhancer   (69).

DeepEMhancer generates maps by applying three algorithms: tight target, wide

target, and high-resolution. Inspection of these maps suggested that the wide

target   was   the   best   option   regarding   map   quality   (class   E,   magenta   in

Supplementary Figure 2). Additional details about the final volume appear in

Supplementary Figure 3. Further processing of Class B-00 produced a 2.75 Å

map (class C). However, this map proved to be of lower quality than the 2.66 Å

map described above; as such, it was not further pursued.

Cryo-EM Image Processing of the P155-J148 Data Set (B$_6$P$_6$, 3.85 Å)

The 6,479 movie frames were submitted to particle extraction using Topaz

(70) and the pre-trained ResNet8 (64 units) model (Supplementary Figure 4). The

extracted particles were processed using the "inspect picks" job, resulting in

6,317,003 particles. They were then extracted with a 320-pixel box size and 2x

binning, yielding 5,694,258 particles. 2D classification with 200 classes produced

3,165,479 particles. *Ab initio* reconstruction with these particles, with enforcement

of C1 symmetry, resulted in five classes named I-00 to I-04, with 580K, 600K,

560K, 840K, and 585K particles in the various classes. Class I-03, with the highest

particle   count,   was   submitted   to   Topaz   training   using   the   ResNet8   model

architecture. The trained Topaz model was then used to re-extract 2,315,940

particles using a 200-pixel box size (binned/Fourier cropped).

2D classification, and *ab-initio* reconstruction with C1 symmetry produced 4

classes: class II-00, II-01, II-02, and II-03 with ~422K, ~312K, ~315K, and ~472K

particles, respectively.   Heterogeneous refinement of the above 4 classes

produced: class III-00, III-01, III-02, and III-03, with ~325K (4.40 Å), ~338K (4.40

Å), ~264K (4.40 Å), and ~590K (4.40 Å) particles/resolutions, respectively. Class

III-02 was not further processed because of its comparatively low particle count

and a distorted volume that appeared stretched in all directions and which could

not be reconciled with known structures of DnaB or the BP complex. Class III-03

volume appeared as a distinct two-tiered DnaB structure, whereas maps from

classes III-00 and III-01 resembled DnaB but lacked structural information.

Consequently, only the III-03 volume was selected for further refinement.

To refine the map further, 1,256,507 particles from all three classes (III-00,

01, and 03) were selected for the next round of heterogeneous refinement. Since

heterogeneous refinement requires multiple input maps of the same or different

types, we included the class III-03 volume three times. We chose the Class III-03

map because it was the most well-defined among all the Class III volumes and had

the highest number of particles. Heterogeneous refinement yielded three classes,

characterized by the following numbers of particles and resolutions: IV-00

(~354,000 particles, 4.40 Å), IV-01 (~581,000 particles, 4.40 Å), and IV-02

(~322,000 particles, 4.40 Å).   These volumes are grey, pink, and green in

Supplementary Figure 4. Since multiple particle sets can be used, but only a single

volume can be provided for non-uniform refinement, particles from classes IV-00,

IV-01, and IV-02 were combined, incorporating the class IV-01 volume with the

highest particle count, and submitted to non-uniform refinement. The resulting

volume (4.44 Å) was designated class V (blue in Supplementary Figure 4).

Refined particles from class V were used to extract 1,250,415 particles at a

full box size of 400 pixels. Non-uniform refinement produced a 3.00 Å map (Class

VI, red in Supplementary Figure 4). The 3.00 Å resolution, based on the Gold-

Standard Fourier shell correlation (GSFSC) curve, was a positive outcome (not

shown). However, the observation that the FSC curve did not drop to zero implied

a potential problem, possibly due to the presence of duplicate particles. To

address this potential problem, a "remove duplicates" job was applied, which

retained ~573K particles while discarding ~678K particles. The retained particles

were refined against the class V volume (blue), with the 'optimize per-particle

defocus' parameter enabled to yield a 3.90 Å map. Non-uniform refinement and

class V volume, with the 'optimize per-group CTF params' and the "fit tilt" and "fit

trefoil" parameters, yielded a 3.91 Å map. A last round of non-uniform refinement

using the refined particles from the 3.91 Å map and the class V volume, with the

'optimize per-group CTF params' and "fit spherical aberration" and "fit tetrafoil"

provided a 3.85 Å resolution map (Class VII, purple in Supplementary Figure 4).

The class VII volume was sharpened using DeepEMhancer (69). Inspection of the

maps suggested that the tight target (class VIII, as shown in Supplementary Figure

4) had the best map quality. Additional details about the final volume appear in

Supplementary Figure 5.

Model Building

P45-J50 (B_6P_5, 2.66 Å). To build a model of the B_6P_5 complex for refinement, we leveraged the availability of high-resolution crystal structures of the amino-terminal domain of DnaB• (PDB: 1B79, (71)), domain III of the λP (1.86 Å, this work), as well as Alphafold models of both *E. coli* DnaB (72) and λP ((73, 74) and Supplementary Information). We reasoned that a model constructed from high-resolution components would provide an excellent starting stereochemistry and perform best in a restrained real-space refinement against our 2.66 Å cryo-EM map in Phenix (75, 76).

Components were placed with MOLREP (77, 78) or guided by an earlier B_6P_5 structure (PDB: 6BBM, (33)). Notably, five λP domain II segments, missing from earlier models, could be built into the P45-J50 map. Several segments of DnaB and λP (DnaB residues: 25:30, 166:181, 500:501, and λP residues: 1:23, 78:82, 99:100, 120:122, 186:212, 226:232) could not be placed by superposition; these were built by hand in COOT (79) using the Alphafold models as guides. AlphaFold predicted a straight helix for the N-terminal lasso/domain I. However, this conformation did not fit the density in our EM map, so the helix had to be bent after Glu-14.

The final B_6P_5 model for the 2.66 Å P45-J50 map encompasses DnaB residues (chain A: 25:173 and 201:468, chain B: 16:468, chain C: 19:468, chain D: 18:468, chain E: 19:468, and chain F: 24:468) and λP residues (chain V: 40:232, chain W: 40:232, chain X: 40:232, chain Y: 37:232, and chain Z-2:231). Our model includes six ADP molecules bound to six magnesium ions. Although included in

the sample submitted to cryo-EM, the P45-J50 map showed no density that could

be interpreted as ssDNA.

P155-J148 (B_6P_6, 3.85 Å). The P155-J148 map revealed a partially ordered B_6P_6

complex. A model of the complex was constructed using the approach described

above for the P45-J50 map. The availability of the B_6P_5 model also guided model

building. While the complete structure of each DnaB chain could be seen, only

portions of the six λP loader chains were ordered. In four chains (V, W, Y, and Z),

only domains III/IV were visualized; for two chains (U and X), only the C-terminal

lasso/domain IV was seen. For all λP chains, the N-terminal lasso/domain I and

domain II were disordered. The final model encompassed DnaB residues (chain

A: 24:468, chain B: 24:468, chain C: 24:468, chain D: 24:468, chain E: 24:468, and

chain F: 24:468) and six protomers of λP (U: 211:232, V: 119:232, W: 119:232, X:

210:233, Y: 119:232, Z: 119:231). Each nucleotide binding site in B_6P_6 is filled

with ADP/Mg, though the occupancies are not identical. Although the C-terminal

domain of λO (residues 156-299) and ssDNA were included in the sample

submitted to cryo-EM, the P155-J148 maps showed no density for these entities.

Model Refinement

Models of the BP complexes described in this work were refined using the

RealSpaceRefine tool in Phenix (75, 76) against either maps produced by

CryoSPARC (64–68) and/or DeepEMhancer (69). Refinement encompassed

cycles with minimization global, NQH flips, and the atomic displacement

parameters (adp) options and leveraged Ramachandran and secondary structure

restraints. The rigid body option was applied in the first round of refinement. The

rigid bodies for all DnaB chains in all the refinements were defined as follows:

residues 1:173 (NTD), 174:200 (LH), and 201:468 (CTD). For λP, the rigid bodies

were defined as follows: residues 1:40 (domain I), 41:118 (domain II), 119:192

(domain III), and 193:233 (domain IV), and applied only when the domain in

question was present in a particular model. The refinement of B_6P_6 performed

better when the 2.66 Å B_6P_5 (P45-J50) model was included as the reference

model. We also performed two rounds of model rebuilding with high-resolution

components, as described above, followed by re-refinement. This procedure

produced high-quality models with excellent statistics, particularly in the less well-

defined segments.

Model Analysis and Visualization

Structural analysis was carried out using the CCP4 software package [80],

Coot [79, 81], the Uppsala software suite [82–85], UCSF-CHIMERA [86], Phenix

[53, 75, 76, 87–91], PyMOL [92], and as described previously [33]. Distances and

angles within and between protein structures were calculated using the PyMol

Python scripts titled "pairwise_dist"

(https://pymolwiki.org/index.php/Pairwise_distances) and

"angle_between_domains"

(https://pymolwiki.org/index.php/Angle_between_domains). Molecular graphics

and figures were generated using UCSF-CHIMERA [86] and PYMOL [92]. The

software used in this study was sourced from the SBGrid Consortium [93].

Results
Two States (B_6P_5, B_6P_6) of the *E. coli* DnaB Helicase–λP Loader Complex

This work aimed to obtain cryo-EM (94–97) structures of the *E. coli* DnaB–

λP Helicase Loader (BP) complex bound to ssDNA and, separately, bound to

ssDNA and the carboxy-terminal domain of the λO initiator protein (λO-CTD). We

have determined three cryo-EM structures at 2.66 Å, 2.84 Å, and 3.85 Å (Methods,

Supplementary Figures 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, Supplementary Information, Supplementary

Methods, and Supplementary Table 3). These analyses yielded EM maps of

higher resolution than our previous 4.1 Å study of the B_6P_5 entity (33) and provided

a first look at a second oligomeric form of the BP complex (B_6P_6). Native mass

spectrometry (nMS) analysis of these samples showed the presence of a B_6P_5

ssDNA assembly (33) and a B_6P_6 species (Supplementary Figure 8). However,

cryo-EM analyses did not reveal the positions of ssDNA in any of the complexes.

Our earlier study provided limited insights into the λP loader, as only the

carboxy-terminal domains (domains III and IV) were visible in our maps. We were

further limited by a model that lacked protein side chains, since the amino acid

sequence could not be assigned to the map. The 2.66 Å cryo-EM map of the B_6P_5

complex revealed a nearly complete structure of five copies of the λP loader

(Figure 2 and Supplementary Figure 9). One λP chain was entirely seen (chain Z;

residues 1:233, Supplementary Figure 10), and four chains were nearly completely

visible (Domains II, III, IV, chains V, W, X, and Y; residues ~40:233). Our maps

did not resolve four instances of λP domain I (chain V, W, Y, and X; residues

1:~39). In the nearly complete model, the B_6P_5 complex presents as a four-tier

entity with its λP and DnaB components arranged in a right-handed open spiral

configuration (Figure 3) whose architecture differs from the translocating form of

DnaB (18). A prominent breach is visible between the top and bottom of the open

DnaB spiral (Figure 2 and Supplementary Figure 11). The two additional tiers

constitute domains I/II and III/IV of λP; the remaining two are the NTD and CTD of

DnaB (Figure 2). The NTD and CTD tiers of DnaB in the B_6P_5 complex are in the

constricted configuration (Supplementary Figure 1 and Supplementary

Information). In the B_6P_5 complex, the six nucleotide sites on DnaB are filled with

ADP, although the site on chain B at the top of the spiral appears to have lower

occupancy (Supplementary Figure 12). As noted previously (15, 33), the open

spiral geometry of DnaB within the B_6P_5 complex leaves the ATP hydrolytic

catalytic machinery in positions sub-optimal for catalysis (Supplementary

Information and Supplementary Figure 13). The 2.84 Å B_6P_5 structure

superimposes with the 2.66 Å instance with an RMSD of 0.7 (3043 Cα) and is more

fully described in the Supplementary Information.

Previously, we used nMS to demonstrate that preparations of the *E. coli*

DnaB–λP helicase loader contained a second oligomeric form with a B_6P_6

stoichiometry ((33) and Supplementary Figure 8); our 3.85 Å cryo-EM map

revealed the atomic structure of this complex. Models corresponding to domain

III/IV were built for four of the six λP chains (V, W, Y, and Z); for the remaining

chains (U and X), only the domain IV-C-terminal lasso was visible in our maps. In

contrast to the open spiral seen in the B_6P_5 complex, DnaB in the B_6P_6 complex is

nearly planar, with no breach. Below, we provide evidence that DnaB in this

complex is partially open, with four of its CTDs further away from their neighbors

than in closed, planar DnaB. Unlike B_6P_5, the NTD DnaB in the B_6P_6 complex is

in the dilated configuration, while the CTD tier is constricted. The six nucleotide-

binding sites in B_6P_6 are filled with ADP, although judging from the density quality,

the occupancy of each site differs (Supplementary Figure 12).

The Pentameric λP Ensemble in B_6P_5 Keeps DnaB's Inner Chamber Sealed

In the nearly complete 2.66 Å B_6P_5 complex, five copies of λP assemble in

a crown-like shape on top of the CTD layer to effectively seal the breach in the

DnaB spiral, thereby preventing entry by physiological replication origin ssDNA

(Figure 2). Each monomer of λP features four domains: two compact domains

(domain II: residues ~30 to ~119; domain III: residues ~120 to ~192) flexibly linked

(Supplementary Figure 10). The amino (domain I, residues 1 to ~29) and carboxy

termini (domain IV, 193 to 233) of λP are likely intrinsically disordered in solution

but fold into an alpha helix linked to domains II and III by an extended segment;

we refer to these elements as the N-terminal and C-terminal lasso/grappling hooks.

The five previously unseen copies of the λP domain II assemble into an open spiral,

displaying an extensive interface that stabilizes the pentameric λP ensemble on

the B_6P_5 complex. The λP domain II average of ~1000 $Å^2$ of surface area for a

total of ~4000 $Å^2$ for the complete ensemble. These values are significant when

considered in the context of the NTD (average: ~1900 A^2) and CTD (average:

~2300 A^2) interfaces of DnaB. The five λP domain IIs circumscribe a volume

continuous with DnaB's inner chamber and exhibit modest flexibility relative to the

corresponding domain III position (Supplementary Figure 14). Five domains III/IV

of the λP loader ensemble bind at DnaB subunit interfaces; notably, five C-terminal

lasso/grappling hooks bind at the nexus of DnaB's DH and LH elements. As

predicted (15, 33), there are no contacts between the B_6P_5 complex's five copies

of λP domain III. Superposition suggests that domains III/IV are relatively rigid due

to their contact with DnaB (Figure 5, Supplementary Figure 14, and below).

One λP chain (Z) is entirely visible in our maps and, remarkably, adopts a

configuration that the other chains cannot access. λP chain Z is positioned to span

and effectively block the breach in the open DnaB spiral through its contacts to the

A, B, and F subunits. The N-terminal lasso (domain I) of λP binds to chain B at the

top of the open DnaB spiral (in the pose in Figure 2). A single breach in DnaB

implies that only domain I of one chain can adopt the configuration of the λP chain

Z; the remaining domain Is, disordered in our structure, must populate distinct

configurations. Notably, Tyr 27 in λP domain I makes a close contact with the ADP

in the chain B CTD; the role, if any, of nucleotide in stabilizing the B_6P_5 complex

requires clarification. Domain II spans the breach between subunits B and A at

the top and bottom of the spiral, respectively. Finally, Domain III/IV binds at the

interface between the CTDs of subunits A and F. Crucially, the position of the

breach-blocking domain II of λP chain Z is maintained through the extensive

interface between the five domain IIs of the ensemble (chains V, W, X, Y, and Z).

Thus, even though openings in the NTD and CTD tiers of DnaB provide entrances

for ssDNA of sufficient size (NTD: ~7.8 Å; CTD: ~10.5 Å; Figure 2 and

Supplementary Figure 11), the disposition of chain Z, along with the extensive

interface between the five domain IIs, effectively seals entry into DnaB's inner

chamber to a physiological ssDNA bubble-shaped substrate at a replication origin

(Figure 1).

Comparisons of the higher resolution B_6P_5 model with the *E. coli*

DnaB•DnaC (B_6C_6) complex (15, 33, 34) show that the single-ordered N-terminal

lasso/grappling hook (domain I) of λP-chain Z positions the N-terminal helix of this

element against the DH helix of DnaB chain B; this position <u>overlaps</u> with the

lasso/grappling hook of DnaC, which is bound at the same site (Figure 4 and

Supplementary Figure 15). Notably, although the binding modes of λP's five C-

terminal lassos are identical and resemble those of DnaC, save for the change in

protein chain direction, the N-terminal lasso binds to the DH helix via a binding

mode that differs from that seen with the C-terminal lasso (Supplementary Figure

16). Interestingly, one λP protomer (chain Z) binds at the top and bottom of the

breach (in the pose in Figure 2), using the N-terminal and C-terminal lassos,

respectively. However, in the DnaC complex, these functions are carried out by

two distinct protomers, chain G and chain L, at the top and bottom of the spiral.

For both loaders, positioning cognate elements on the DnaB chain B at the top of

the spiral could sterically suppress the re-closure of the helicase. This finding

represents another example (15) wherein the unrelated λP and DnaC helicase

loaders have evolved to converge on the same solution: positioning a loader helix

in a similar but distinct binding mode on the DH element at the top of the DnaB

spiral.

Our 2.66 Å model of the B_6P_5 complex forces reconsideration of the

mechanism of helicase loading. The finding that one λP protomer binds across

the DnaB breach and blocks access of ssDNA to the inner chamber implies a

previously unrecognized autoinhibited configuration. Our results predict that

remodeling of the B_6P_5 complex to clear the path for ssDNA into the DnaB hexamer

must accompany the recruitment of the B_6P_5 complex to the replication origin.

The Pentameric λP Loader Ensemble Grips DnaB Tightly

In the B_6P_5 complex, the pentameric λP loader ensemble grips the DnaB

helicase at five discrete and widely dispersed sites (Figure 5); each site comprises

a DnaB-specific insertion into the RecA-fold (Supplementary Figure 17). Owing to

the asymmetry of the complex, not every λP instance uses every site. The five

sites on DnaB are 1) the DH element (residues 291:307) on chain B of the

breached interface (its partner LH element from chain A is not visible in our maps);

the N-terminal lasso of chain Z is the only λP element to make this contact (BSA:

~1900 Å^2). This contact explains the finding that deletion of λP residues 9:85

disrupts the complex (98). 2) A short DnaB helix comprised of residues 387:391

on the CTD at the breached interface; this contact is only made by domain II of λP

chain Z (BSA: ~400 Å^2). 3) A wide patch that spans two adjacent DnaB CTDs

(residues 424:434, 456 on one CTD and residues 391:395, 429:430, 446:449, and

the C-terminal residue 468 from the adjacent CTD) and includes contacts (residues

447:450) on the arginine finger β-hairpin of each CTD; domain III of each λP

monomer contacts this patch (BSA: ~1600 Å^2). The involvement of the extreme

C-terminus of DnaB in λP contacts was anticipated by genetic experiments (99).

4) a site comprised of the DH (residues 290:307) and LH (residues 182:199)

elements of two adjacent DnaB subunits; the C-terminal lasso of each λP monomer

makes this contact (BSA: ~1400 Å^2). Notably, the nature of the DnaB•λP interfaces

at sites 1 (λP N-terminal lasso) and 4 (λP C-terminal lasso), both of which include

DnaB DH elements, diverge considerably; indeed, the N-terminal lasso occupies

the same space as that of the chain B LH element (Figure 4 and Supplementary

Figure 16). 5) A patch on the NTD of DnaB (residues 76:77, 183:195); two λP's

(chain Y and chain W) make this contact (BSA: ~350 Å^2). Our structure provides

a partial rationale for the finding that specific DnaB mutants (residues V256I/E426K

and G338E/E426K (98)) exhibit resistance to inhibition by λP, as residue 426 is

located at interfacial site #3. Residues 256 and 338 are packed against one

another in the CTD but are distant from the B_6P_5 interface; we speculate that

mutations at these sites disrupt the CTD's structure. The λP domain IIs of four

chains (V, W, X, and Y) make no contact with DnaB.

The extensive interface between the λP ensemble and DnaB contrasts with

the considerably more modest interface formed by the DnaC loader assembly (15,

33, 34). The six N-terminal lassos/grappling hooks of DnaC make identical

interactions with the DH-LH interface of each DnaB subunit: this binding site

overlaps with site #4 of the BP complex. Unlike domain III of λP, which slightly

overlaps with the position of the AAA ATPase domains of DnaC, the latter domain

makes no contact with DnaB. In this context, it is noteworthy that λP's higher

affinity to DnaB, which can displace DnaC from the BC complex (37), may be

explained by the multitude of contacts to DnaB made by the λP ensemble,

especially chain Z.

The λP Ensemble Stabilizes the DnaB Spiral in the B_6P_5 Complex

An extensive interface between helicase and loader stabilizes the right-

handed open DnaB spiral in the B_6P_5 complex (Figures 2, 3, 5, 6, and

Supplementary Figure 15). Domain III of each λP loader contacts two consecutive

DnaB subunits (interaction sites #2 and # 3). λP residues: 145:162 contact DnaB

714 loops (residues: 424:432) on one subunit, while λP residues: 131:141 and 169:174

715 of the same chain contact a DnaB loop (residues: 391:398) and a strand (residues:

716 447:449, 454:456, and 468) on a neighboring subunit.

717  Although the DnaC and λP loaders are unrelated in structure, the DnaB

718 portion of the B_6C_6 (34) and B_6P_5 (33) complexes adopts very similar right-handed

719 open spiral configurations (15). However, the underlying arrangement of the CTDs

720 is not identical; this divergence is reflected in the distinct helical parameters of each

721 CTD spiral (Figure 3, B_6P_5: average helical pitch (12.6 Å), rise (3.7 Å), and twist

722 (56.4°); B_6C_6: average helical pitch (15.8 Å), rise (4.2 Å), and twist (55.4°). This

723 distinct architecture arises from wedging apart the two CTDs in each B_2P_1 sub-

724 structure by ~3° relative to the arrangement seen in the BC complex; this translates

725 into small shifts in CTD positions (Figure 6). The disposition of DnaB subunits in

726 the B_6P_5 complex directly results from the unique interface made with λP. The

727 pentameric λP ensemble features an extensive interface with the helicase, and the

728 contacts mediated by λP domain III mediate the wedging apart of DnaB subunits.

729 On the other hand, the globular domains of the hexameric AAA+ DnaC ensemble

730 make no contacts with DnaB whatsoever; the absence of contacts permits a closer

731 approach of individual DnaB subunits to each other in the BC complex than is

732 possible in the B_6P_5 ensemble.

733  The observation of similar helicase configurations in the B_6P_5 and B_6C_6

734 complexes led us to previously suggest that the open spiral might be an intrinsic

735 DnaB state since it is observed in complexes with a loader that forms an extensive

736 interface (λP) and one that does not (DnaC) (15, 33, 34). The 2.66 Å B_6P_5 complex

structure teaches that the open spiral configuration may be intrinsic; however, the

interaction with λP also drives changes in the spiral that are not seen when DnaC

binds to DnaB. Whatever the source, an overall consequence of the inability of

DnaB subunits in the B_6P_5 or B_6C_6 complexes to approach each other optimally

has profound implications for both ATP hydrolysis and ssDNA binding

(Supplementary Information and Supplementary Figures 13 and 18).

The Carboxy-Terminal Lasso of the λP Ensemble Shears the LH-DH
Interface of Each DnaB Monomer in the B_6P_5 Complex
During the transition from the closed planar to the open spiral form (B_6P_5),

each C-terminal lasso helix of the λP ensemble binds alongside 5 DH - LH

elements to create a three-helical bundle (interaction sites #4 and #5), binding

shears and nearly completely disrupts the underlying DH-LH interface (Figures 5

and 7). Notably, the DH and LH elements are unique to the DnaB family of

helicases compared to other RecA family members ([100], Supplementary Figure

17). As noted above, the N-terminal lasso of λP binds to the sixth DH element

(chain B) in DnaB (top of the spiral as in the pose in Figure 2); this DH element

lines the breach in the hexamer (interaction site #1). Binding wholly expels the

partner LH element (chain A) since the N-terminal lasso-helix takes its place;

indeed, the sixth chain A LH helix is not seen in our EM maps.

In the closed planar form, the DH element of each DnaB subunit packs

against the LH element of an adjacent monomer in an antiparallel fashion via an

interface whose Cα atoms are, on average, ~15.2 Å apart (the closed planar forms

have the following values: 4NMN (constricted): 14.7 Å, 2R6D (dilated): 15.4 Å,

3BGW (constricted): 15.5 Å). In closed planar *E. coli* DnaB (modeled on the 4NMN

structure (12)), the underlying contacts between the DH and LH elements are

mediated primarily by hydrophobic interactions (Figure 7). For example, DH

residue Trp 294 packs into a pocket formed by LH residues Val 190, Ile 193, and

Phe 197 on an adjacent DnaB subunit. DH residue Met 301 packs in a pocket

comprised of LH residues Leu 186 and Val 190, and DH residue Leu 305 binds in

a pocket lined by Ala 183 and Leu 186.

Our prior work showed that the DH-LH interfaces in both the B_6C_6 (34) and

the B_6P_5 complexes (15) are disrupted by the DnaC and λP loaders. In the 2.66 Å

B_6P_5 structure, we observe that the helical segment (residues 211:227) of each C-

terminal lasso of λP is positioned alongside the DH-LH elements. Compared to

the closed planar form, the DH and LH helices are rotated by an average of +8.0°

and ~-3.7 °, respectively, and translated by ~2.4 Å from ~14.7 Å in the closed

planar form to ~17.1 Å in the λP-induced open spiral (Figure 7). A critical overall

consequence of λP binding is the near-complete abrogation of interactions

between the DH and LH elements in the DnaB open spiral. The repositioned DH

and LH elements combine with the λP C-terminal lasso helix to produce a three-

helix assembly; the disrupted DH-LH interface is replaced with distinct contacts

between each component and residues in the λP C-terminal lasso helix. In the

repositioned DH element, DnaB Trp 294 packs against λP residues Ala 215, Lys

218, and Ile 219; DH residue Met 301 resides next to λP residues Ile 222 and Phe

226; DH residue Leu 305 contacts λP residue Phe 226 as it maintains contacts

with LH residue Ala183 (the sole contact between DH and LH in the loader

complex). The repositioned DnaB LH elements also contact the λP C-terminal

lasso helix. LH residue Glu 194 contacts Ile 219. Notably, the DH-LH-λP three-

helix bundle interaction is nearly identical in all five instances of B_2P_1 in the B_6P_5

complex (DnaB CTD: RMSD = 0.2 Å on 200 Cα atoms, Supplementary Figure 14).

We found that, although not included in the superposition, the second CTD DnaB

in the B_2P_1 sub-structure and domain III/IV of the λP superimpose well; this

suggests a relatively rigid DnaB-CTD$_2$-λP substructure in B_6P_5 (Supplementary

Figure 14).

Unlike the four λP protomers (chains V, W, X, and Y), which contact two

DnaB subunits, chain Z contacts three DnaB chains (B, A, and F). The interaction

with chain B at the top of the spiral (as in the pose in Figure 2) is mediated by the

N-terminal lasso helix of λP, which is positioned adjacent to the DH element that

lines the breach in the hexamer. In contrast to the three-helical DH-LH-λP bundle

seen with the five instances of the B_2P_1 sub-structure, a two-helical bundle is

observed (Figure 2 and Supplementary Figure 16). In this species, the LH element

(chain A) has been displaced and is not visible in our maps; in its place, we find

the λP N-terminal lasso helix. Notably, the same DnaB (chain B) DH residues

highlighted above (Trp 294, Ile 297, Met 301, and Leu 305) participate in the

divergent interaction with the N-terminal lasso helix of λP. DnaB DH residue Trp

294 contacts λP residue Met 16; DnaB residue Ile 297 packs against Gln 15; DnaB

residue Met 301 packs into a pocket formed from λP residues Met 8, Phe 11, Asp

12. Finally, DnaB residue Leu 305 is found in a pocket formed by Met 8 and Val

9. The resolution of our maps is relatively low in the volume encompassed by site

#1; as such, a description of the underlying interface should be considered

tentative.

Examining the configuration of various DnaB structures suggests that the

DH-LH interface is an essential nexus of structural changes during helicase

loading. Measurements of the distances between DH and LH elements in the

closed planar forms of Stage I (dilated: 2R6D (13) and constricted: 3BGW (11) and

4NMN (12)) reveal a ~15.2 Å separation. In the Stage II DnaC loader bound

conformers, with and without ssDNA, the distance between DH and LH elements

increases to ~17.4 Å and 17.8 Å; these values closely follow the ~17.1 Å distance

seen in the 2.66 Å B_6P_5 complex structure. With the expulsion of the loader and

transition to the translocating Stage IV, the DH and LH elements move closer

together (4ESV (18): 15.5 Å, 7T20:16.0 Å (101), 7T21:16.1 Å (102), 7T22: 16.1 Å

(103), and 7T23: 16.2 Å (104)), but perhaps, not as close as the Stage I structures.

Notably, in *V. cholerae* (VC), isolated (PDB: 6T66, (105)) and DciA-bound DnaB

(PDB: 8A3V, (26)) diverge from the above trends. The average DH–LH distance

in isolated closed planar VC DnaB is ~17 Å, close to values extracted from loader-

bound open spiral forms of DnaB. Furthermore, this distance appears to contract

(15.6 Å) in the DciA-bound closed planar form of DnaB. Divergences in distances

between DH–LH elements may suggest substantially different loader mechanisms

between the DnaC/λP and the DciA helicase loading systems. Notably, the

moderate resolution (2.8–3.8 Å) of the available structures limits our analysis.

Our work establishes the helical DH—LH DnaB elements as important

moving parts in the loading pathway. The binding of the λP loader disrupts the

hydrophobic packing seen in the DH—LH element of the closed planar

configuration, yielding two new interfaces between the same surfaces of each

component and the C-terminal lasso. A distinct interaction is seen between the

DH elements and the λP N-terminal lasso.

Where Does the B_6P_5 Complex Bind ssDNA?

DnaB and the λP loader are known to interact with ssDNA (18, 38, 106);

however, the mechanism by which these contacts are integrated within the

helicase loader complex remains unknown. We had previously shown that the

ssDNA binding site on DnaB is disrupted compared to the translocating complex

(15, 33) (Supplementary Information and Supplementary Figure 18). The 2.66 Å

structure of the B_6P_5 complex teaches that not only is the ssDNA binding site

disrupted in the loader complex, but two other architectural features sever access

to the central chamber. First, as described above, one λP monomer (chain Z)

binds to two DnaB subunits that span the breach, and in doing so, utilizes λP

domains I/II to physically block the entry of ssDNA into the chamber. Second,

owing to contacts between λP domain III and DnaB, the central chamber cannot

achieve the active form while the loader remains bound (Supplementary Figure

19). As noted above, for DnaB in the B_6P_5 complex to achieve the configuration

in the ssDNA complex, each CTD must rotate by ~15° toward the central chamber.

However, this structural change is precluded due to the steric clash between each

λP domain III and pairs of DnaB CTDs in the ssDNA complex. This finding starkly

contrasts with the B_6C_6 complex, where DnaC's divergent binding mode places no

restriction on the adoption by DnaB of the conformation in the ssDNA complex.

Indeed, in the B_6C_6-ssDNA complex (PDB: 6QEM, (34)), DnaB adopts a

configuration closely related to that in the ssDNA-bound translocating form (PDB:

4ESV, (18)). Notwithstanding its internal chamber's distorted shape and geometry,

the B_6P_5 complex is known to bind ssDNA robustly (Supplementary Figure 8 and

(33, 38)).

Little is known about the binding modes that λP deploys to bind ssDNA.

Although λP contains no known ssDNA binding domains, one λP residue (F99)

has been shown to crosslink to ssDNA (38, 106). In the B_6P_5 complex, the five

instances of λP F99 trace a winding path in the inner chamber formed by the λP

domain IIs (Figure 8). This finding implies that, notwithstanding the restrictions to

entry and binding of ssDNA in DnaB's central chamber, the λP loader contacts

nucleic acid in its inner chamber at some point during the helicase loading

pathway. Since linear ssDNA was used for crosslinking, little light is shed on the

process of loading onto the initiator-produced bubble at a replication origin.

Our structural findings raise several intriguing possibilities: 1) the λP loader,

while bound to DnaB, contributes most, if not all, of the significant contacts to

ssDNA, and 2) ssDNA is bound by DnaB but not in the central chamber. Such a

distinct ssDNA binding mode is hinted at by the blocked entry path into the central

chamber of the B_6P_5 complex and its distorted central chamber shape. Of course,

the B_6P_5-ssDNA complex may blend both modes. Supporting the notion that

DnaB interacts with surfaces outside the central chamber during the initial ssDNA

encounter complex, prior work by Trakselis and colleagues provides ample

evidence for the existence of such contacts (107, 108). Clarifying the path of

ssDNA through the B_6P_5 complex and whether it changes during the loading

reaction is an urgent priority for future studies.

Six Copies of λP Program a DnaB Intermediate between Closed Planar and
Open Spiral

In the B_6P_6 assembly, the DnaB and the λP loader components adopt

significantly different arrangements than in B_6P_5 (Figure 9). DnaB exhibits two

main configurational changes relative to its counterpart in B_6P_5. First, in contrast

to the open spiral in B_6P_5, the CTD tier in B_6P_6 is essentially planar (B_6P_6: average

helical pitch (~2.0 Å), rise (~0.5 Å), and twist (~60°) (Figure 3). Moreover, although

individual CTDs are ~2 Å further apart in B_6P_6 (average = 35.2 +/- 0.8 Å) than in

B_6P_5 (average = 33.1 +/- 0.1 Å), the CTD layer features no breach (Figure 9). We

refer to this configuration as the 'ajar planar' configuration. The higher standard

deviation in the distance measurement implies that DnaB in B_6P_6 is transitioning

between the relatively rigid closed planar and open spiral forms (Supplementary

Figure 20). Second, the NTD tier in B_6P_6 is found in the closed planar dilated

configuration (Figure 9), in contrast to the constricted arrangement in B_6P_5. The

NTD tier from B_6P_6 resembles those from 2R5U (109) (root mean square deviation

(RMSD) = ~2.0 Å) and 7T20 (101) (RMSD = ~1.0 Å).

The ajar planar form of DnaB in B_6P_6, like B_6P_5, exhibits distortions in the

interfacial nucleotide binding sites and the ssDNA binding site in the internal

central chamber (Supplementary Figures 13 and 18). The six nucleotide binding

sites in B_6P_6 are filled with ADP/Mg^{2+}, although the occupancy of the sites on

chains B, C, and D is lower than that of the other chains (Supplementary Figure

12). As noted above, pairs of DnaB subunits are further apart, a finding upheld by

the average distance between the Walker A lysine from one subunit and the

arginine finger β-hairpin from the adjacent subunit (B_6P_6: ~15 Å; B_6P_5: ~12 Å;

4ESV: 11.4 Å). Therefore, the interfacial NTP sites on B_6P_6, like those on B_6P_5,

are not positioned appropriately for hydrolysis (Supplementary Figure 13). Indeed,

our preparations of the BP complex, a mixture of B_6P_4, B_6P_5, and B_6P_6 (33),

displayed no detectable traces of ATP hydrolytic activities (Supplementary Figure

21).

Compared to their positions in the closed planar, open spiral, and closed

spiral ssDNA complexes, the seven DnaB residues in contact with ssDNA are

significantly displaced in B_6P_6 (Supplementary Figure 18). The distances between

one of these positions (*E. coli* Arg 403) in B_6P_6 and the corresponding residue (*B.st*

4ESV: Arg 381) in the translocating form are shifted between 6.3 Å and 39.1 Å

(Supplementary Figure 18B). Like the B_6P_5 ensemble, the ssDNA binding surface

in B_6P_6 diverges substantially from its configuration in the ssDNA complex.

The Hexameric λP-DnaB Complex is Inchoate.

The six copies of λP in the B_6P_6 complex (Figures 9 and 10) are differentially

ordered in our EM maps. Domains I and II of each chain are entirely disordered.

Only domains III and IV of the four copies (chains Z, Y, W, and V) are visible in our

maps. The arrangement of these two domains in B_6P_5 is nearly identical to that in

the five copies of λP in B_6P_5. For chains U and X, only the lasso domain IV is

visible. The ajar planar DnaB configuration in B_6P_6 explains the pattern of ordered

and disordered domain IIIs. The chain U λP domain III is disordered in our maps,

and efforts to position it by superposition reveal a steric clash with corresponding

domains from chain V and chain Z; similarly for chain X, which would clash with

chains W and Y (Figure 10 and Supplementary Figure 22). These clashes arise

from the planar nature of DnaB in B_6P_6, which restricts the space available to

accommodate the domains III seen in B_6P_5. We also speculate that disorder in the

λP protomers may indicate that the loader ensemble is inchoate and has not yet

formed the stabilizing interfaces seen in the B_6P_5 entity.

The disorganized state of the λP protomers in B_6P_6 extends to the interfaces

formed with DnaB (Figure 10A). First, the lack of a breach in the DnaB CTD layer

obscures binding site #1 for the chain Z N-terminal lasso/domain I. The disordered

domain IIs do not permit the population of site #2, and changes to the NTD layer

in B_6P_6 also abolish site #5. Only λP interfaces at sites #3 and #4 are formed.

Moreover, the inchoate nature of B_6P_6 programs the burial of diverse surface area

depending on the λP protomer. The four ordered λP domain IIIs each bury less

surface area than in B_6P_5 (B_6P_6: ~1200 $Å^2$ vs. B_6P_5: ~1600 $Å^2$), and the amounts

buried vary by chain (Y > Z > V >W). Similarly, for the λP domain IV interfaces,

chain Y buries more surface area (~1430 $Å^2$) than the other chains (W=V=Z: ~1040

$Å^2$, X: ~620 $Å^2$, U: ~610 $Å^2$), but still less, on average, than in B_6P5 (B_6P_6: ~1000

$Å^2$ vs. B_6P_5: ~1400 $Å^2$). Both findings indicate a lack of complete engagement of

the various λP chains with DnaB. Second, comparing the six B_2P_1 sub-complexes

in B_6P_6 reveals a much less rigid arrangement than in B_6P_5 (Supplementary Figure

20). Unlike the corresponding superposition with B_6P_5 (Supplementary Figure 14),

the DnaB chain excluded from the calculation shows a considerably larger RMSD

(B_6P_6: 5.4 Å vs B_6P_5: 0.7 Å). Moreover, while the characteristic

rotations/translations of the sheared DH and LH elements are evident in B_6P_6, the

underlying values differ considerably between chains compared to B_6P_5 (Figure

9D). In considering the significance of these changes, the current 3.85 Å resolution

of B_6P_6 should be kept in mind.

Taken together, analysis of the architecture of DnaB and λP, nucleotide,

ssDNA binding sites, and helicase and loader interfaces suggests that B_6P_6

represents an early, partially open, inchoate intermediate that can be assigned to

a position between the closed planar and open spiral forms in the reaction

trajectory (Figure 11).

Discussion

The mechanisms accompanying the loading and activation of the bacterial

DnaB helicase at a replication origin are not yet fully understood. To gain insights

into these mechanisms, we determined cryo-EM structures of the *E. coli* DnaB• λP

helicase loader complex in two oligomeric states, B_6P_5 and B_6P_6. The B_6P_5

complex extends an earlier effort at a much lower resolution (33) by shedding light

on structural elements that undergo conformation switching during helicase ring

opening. The configuration of the pentameric λP ensemble in B_6P_5 also hints at a

previously unrecognized autoinhibited intermediate for ssDNA loading. In contrast

to the open spiral B_6P_5 entity, the B_6P_6 complex is essentially planar, but with the

DnaB CTDs further apart; we refer to this arrangement as the 'ajar planar'

configuration. The most economical scheme to sequence these states in the

helicase loading pathway is to position them in the following order: a) closed planar

DnaB, b) ajar planar B_6P_6, and c) open spiral B_6P_5, followed by other steps (Figure

11). We discuss these states in this order.

Closed planar DnaB exists in two conformational states, with the NTD and

CTD tiers in either dilated or constricted configurations (reviewed in (9, 10, 14–17)

and in Supplementary Information), with evidence for a hybrid state (NTD: dilated,

CTD: constricted (102)). We observed that six copies of the λP helicase loader

bind to closed planar DnaB, stabilizing its CTD tier in the constricted configuration

(Figure 11). In contrast, the NTD tier remains dilated. In B_6P_6, both tiers are

planar. However, the DnaB CTDs are positioned further apart than in the closed

planar form, but not enough to create a breach that exposes the central chamber.

The NTD tier is closed. The lack of a breach in ajar planar B_6P_6 DnaB explains

the disordered λP N-terminal lasso, whose binding site, which only forms in the

open spiral, is blocked (Supplementary Movies 1 and 2). Notably, the crystal

structure of the *Bacillus* DnaB-family helicase bound to its DnaI helicase loader

also features a 6:6 stoichiometry and a partially open planar DnaB species (110);

the relationship between the two complexes, if any, remains to be elucidated.

Several lines of evidence suggest that the DnaB and λP components in B_6P_6

are still developing and have not reached their final form. First, the six λP

protomers exhibit varying order levels in our maps. Each has an ordered C-

terminal lasso domain, but two chains are missing domain III, and all chains lack

the λP ensemble-stabilizing domain II found in B_6P_5 and the N-terminal

lasso/domain I. Six C-terminal lassoes are ordered in B_6P_6, indicating that this

segment is likely the first to bind stably to DnaB. Second, the nexus between the

DnaB DH and LH elements, representing the binding sites for the C-terminal

lassos, exhibits the characteristic rotations/translations seen in the well-defined

B_6P_5 entity, but these changes vary by subunit. Moreover, this finding suggests

that disruption of the DH-LH interface precedes the breach of one interface and

the transition to the open spiral observed in B_6P_5. Third, four of the six λPs in B_6P_6

feature ordered domain IIIs bound at CTDs from consecutive DnaB subunits.

However, the nature, number of contacts, and buried surface area vary by binding

site. Concomitantly, individual CTDs display greater configurational flexibility than

in B_6P_5. We presume that the two disordered domain IIIs populate diverse

conformations since binding to DnaB is precluded by steric clashes with the

ordered domain IIIs. Fourth, the extensive domain II interface in the B_6P_5 λP

ensemble cannot be accommodated in B_6P_6, leading us to conclude that these

interfaces are unformed and the underlying domain IIs adopt flexible

conformations. Lastly, mismatches in spiral configurations between B_6P_6 and the

translocating open spiral (PDB = 4ESV, (18)) suggest undeveloped ssDNA and

nucleotide-hydrolytic sites.

Transition to the B_6P_5 open spiral complex requires considerable

remodeling of the DnaB and λP entities (Supplementary Movies 1 and 2) and

eviction of one of the λP chains. Both the NTD and CTD tiers are reconfigured.

The planar NTD tier is breached and assumes an open spiral configuration. The

ajar planar CTD tier is remodeled into an open spiral. Remodeling both tiers

creates the complete set of five sites on DnaB for contacts with λP. Breach of the

DnaB ring reveals λP binding site #1. Reconfiguring the DnaB CTDs optimizes

sites #2 and #3 for binding, opening space for two additional λP domain IIIs to bind.

The λP C-terminal lassoes engage more fully with open spiral DnaB at site #4.

Remodeling of the NTD and CTD tiers creates site #5. Although there is room in

the pentameric λP ensemble for a sixth domain II to engage, its corresponding

domain III would have no binding site since binding occurs at DnaB subunit

interfaces, and all the interfaces are occupied. As such, the chain Z N-terminal

lasso binds near the DH helix on the DnaB CTD, where the sixth C-terminal lasso

(chain U) might have been expected to sit. Notably, λP chain Z's multivalent

contacts could also block non-productive DnaB reclosure to the closed planar form.

The finding that the λP loader harbors lasso elements at both the amino and

carboxy termini creates conceptual links with homologs of the DciA loader, which

also feature similar architectures at both termini (7, 27); however, a mechanistic

relationship between λP and these DciA loaders remains to be established.

Remodeling the DnaB CTD tier into the open spiral destroys the DH-LH binding

site for the chain U C-terminal lasso. We speculate that the lack of binding sites

for chain U domains III and the C-terminal lasso destabilizes its presence in the

complex, causing it to be evicted as B_6P_6 matures into B_6P_5.

Although DnaB in B_6P_5 exhibits openings in the NTD and CTD tiers of

sufficient size, the combination of contacts by the N-terminal and C-terminal lasso

domains of λP-chain Z and the extensive interface formed by the five λP domain

IIs precludes entry of physiological origin-derived ssDNA into the inner chamber.

Deepening the mystery is the finding that the B_6P_5 complex still binds ssDNA. We

speculate that ssDNA may bind to the λP ensemble (38) or to the exterior of DnaB,

as previously suggested (107, 108), or both, before the necessary conformational

changes that enable loading of ssDNA in DnaB's inner chamber.

Prior studies have shown that B_6P_5 must undergo additional remodeling as

the helicase loading and activation reaction progresses. The complex must

engage with the octameric λO initiator protein – Oriλ DNA complex (40, 41). We

speculate that four disordered λP N-terminal lassoes (chains V, W, X, and Y) may

have a role in engaging with the λO ensemble, where each lasso engages with two

λO subunits in the λO-λP-DnaB complex. We speculate that the autoinhibition will

be relieved as the B_6P_5 complex is recruited to the λO•Oriλ complex at the

replication origin (Figure 9) and may require intervention by the bacterial heat

shock/chaperone proteins: DnaK, DnaJ, and GrpE (45–48). Among other

questions to be addressed are: Does ssDNA populate a secondary binding site on

the surface of DnaB before entering the central chamber? How and when does

ssDNA enter the central chamber of DnaB? How is the λO-λP-DnaB complex

further reconfigured to activate the helicase for unwinding? The critical roles

played by the *E. coli* chaperones DnaK, DnaJ, and GrpE in additional remodeling

and eviction events remain to be clarified.

1053

Data Availability

Coordinates from the X-ray structure of λP – domain III and the cryo-EM structure of the *E. coli* DnaB–λP complex are available from the RCSB Protein Data Bank under accession codes 8V9S (λP – domain III), 8V9T (B_6P_5-2.84 Å), 9OA1 (B_6P_5-2.66 Å), and 9AO2 (B_6P_6-3.85 Å). The underlying primary data, X-ray detector diffraction images, structure factors, and cryo-EM maps are available from the Protein Data Bank, the SBGrid Data Bank, and the EMBD under the accession codes EMD-43086 (B_6P_5-2.84 Å), EMD-70269 (B_6P_5-2.66 Å), and EMD-70271 (B_6P_6-3.85 Å).

Author Contributions

DB, AS, and DJ conceptualized the study. DB and AS designed and prepared all the proteins. DB and EI performed crystallization and X-ray crystallography. AS performed all the ATPase assays. AS and JC acquired and analyzed the cryo-EM data. All nMS analyses were performed by PDBO in the BTC lab. DB, AS, JC, and DJ jointly built and analyzed the structures and drafted the manuscript.

Funding

This work was supported by the National Science Foundation (DJ: MCB 1818255), the National Institutes of Health (DJ: GM08416 and BTC: P41 GM109824 and P41 GM103314), and the Department of Education (JC: PA200A150068). Some of this work was performed at the Simons Electron Microscopy Center and National Resource for Automated Molecular Microscopy located at the New York Structural Biology Center, supported by grants from the Simons Foundation (SF349247), NYSTAR, and the NIH National Institute of General Medical Sciences (GM103310) with additional support from Agouron

Institute (F00316), NIH (OD019994), and NIH (RR029300). This work is based on

research conducted at the Northeastern Collaborative Access Team beamlines,

which are funded by the National Institute of General Medical Sciences of the

National Institutes of Health (P30 GM124165). The Eiger 16M detector on 24-ID-

E is funded by an NIH-ORIP HEI grant (S10OD021527). This research utilized

resources from the Advanced Photon Source, a U.S. Department of Energy (DOE)

Office of Science User Facility operated by Argonne National Laboratory under

Contract No. DE-AC02-06CH11357. Funding for the open charge: National

Science Foundation MCB #1818255.

Acknowledgments

1089   We thank the Jeruzalmi lab members, the biophysics group at City College,

1090   the CUNY Advanced Science Research Center, and the New York Structural

1091   Biology Center for scientific and technical advice. We dedicate our work on the

1092   DnaB–λP complex to the memory of Professor Roger McMacken, on whose

1093   shoulders we stand and who inspired us in so many ways.

Conflicts of Interest

1095   The authors have no financial or non-financial conflicts of interest.

Figures/Figure legends

Figure 1. Loading of the bacterial replicative helicase during the initiation of DNA replication. A) Molecules associated with initiating DNA replication in *E. coli* and phage λ. Both systems utilize *E. coli* DnaB (red). B) The loading of the bacterial DnaB helicase onto the origin DNA occurs at the nexus of two pathways: their products merge into the complete initiation complex at the replication origin (17, 111, 112). The first sub-complex is the oligomeric initiator protein complex (*E. coli:* DnaA or phage λ: O) formed at the corresponding replication origin (the four λO sites are colored in purple); a key outcome of this complex is the initial melting of the origin's DNA unwinding element (DUE, orange). Capture and remodeling of the DnaB helicase (designated with the letter 'B') by the helicase loader (*E. coli:* DnaC or phage λ: P) produces the second sub-complex (BP). These two pathways converge with the delivery of the loader-bound helicase to the origin. The resulting initiator-helicase-loader complex at the origin is stabilized by interactions between the initiator, helicase, and loader (1, 8, 113, 114). A series of additional binding and remodeling events, including the engagement of the bacterial chaperones DnaK, DnaJ, and GrpE, culminate in assembling a pair of replication forks (not shown).

Figure 2. The Structure of the B_6P_5 Form of the *E. coli* DnaB – λP Loader.

Linear domain architecture of λP (A) and *E. coli* DnaB (B). The amino (NTD) and carboxy-terminal (CTD) layers of DnaB are depicted using a design language that presents the CTDs as large spheres and the NTDs as small spheres/cylinders. C) The DnaB–λP complex, with its five loader molecules (labeled V, W, X, Y, and Z), is depicted in the ribbon representation and colored in shades of blue, save for chain Z, which is colored by domain as illustrated in panel A. The right-handed open spiral of DnaB is depicted as a surface. The DnaB NTD tier is colored in dark gray, and the CTD layer is in light gray, with the DH and LH elements colored and labeled in orange and yellow. The positions of λP domain I for chains V, W, X, and Y (outlined with a dashed line shape), which are not visible in our maps, are modeled for reference. D) Same as panel C, except that DnaB is depicted using

1128 the above design language. The CTD spheres of each subunit carry labels

1129 corresponding to the underlying chain.

1130

A

DnaB Closed spiral (PDB = 4ESV)

DnaB Open spiral (B$_6$P$_5$)

DnaB Ajar planar (B$_6$P$_6$)

DnaB Closed planar (PDB = 4NMN)

B

DnaB State	Pitch (Å)	Rise (Å)	Twist (°)
Closed Planar (PDB: 4NMN)	0	0	61
Ajar Planar (B$_6$P$_6$)	2.0	0.5	60
Open Spiral (B$_6$P$_5$)	12.6	3.7	56.4
Closed Spiral (PDB: 4ESV)	19.1	5.4	60.1

Figure 3. States Populated by the DNA-Binding CTD Tier of DnaB. A) The spirals of the CTD layer of the closed planar form (PDB: 4NMN (12), grey), the ajar planar (B$_6$P$_6$, this work, green), the open spiral (B$_6$P$_5$, this work, orange), and the closed spiral (PDB:4ESV (18), deep teal) are displayed. Individual CTDs, depicted as spheres centered around the gravity of the CTD, are interconnected. The underlying coordinates of each DnaB structure were superimposed onto the CTD of the closed planar structure at the bottom of the spiral. The plane of the CTD tier of the closed planar structure is oriented perpendicular to the Z-axis. B) Helical parameters (pitch, rise, and twist) for the specified states of the DnaB CTD.

Figure 4. **Asymmetry and Convergent Evolution in the λP ensemble.** A) In contrast to the other chains, which bind to two DnaB subunits, λP chain Z binds to three subunits (chains B, A, and F), two (chains A and B) located on either side of the breached DnaB interface. λP chain Z is colored by domain (domain I, yellow; domain II, light purple; domain III, blue; domain IV, green), and the remaining λP chains are colored in shades of cyan. The brown cylinder represents the expected position of ssDNA based on the DnaB-DnaC-ssDNA complex (PDB: 6QEM, (34)). The DnaB CTDs are depicted as grey spheres with labels corresponding to the underlying chain; the NTD domains are not shown for clarity. The DnaB DH and

LH helices are colored in red and orange. This disposition of the amino-terminal

domain (domains I/II) of λP chain Z effectively precludes entry of a physiological

origin ssDNA into the central chamber of DnaB (highlighted by the molecular clash,

which is circled in gray). B) The DnaB-DnaC-ssDNA complex (PDB: 6QEM) is

posed as superimposed on chain A of the BP complex and depicted in the same

style as the B_6P_5 complex in panel A. Individual DnaC protomers are colored in

shades of gray. C) The B_6P_5 and B_6C_6 loader complexes in panels A and B are

superimposed. Each of the C-terminal lassos from λP superimposes on a DnaC

lasso. However, the single visible λP N-terminal lasso overlaps with the position

of the DnaC lasso (chain G) at the top of the spiral (circled in red). Thus, one λP

subunit (chain Z) forms contacts made by two DnaC loader subunits (chains G and

L). D) Close-up of the red-circled segment in panel C.

1161

Figure 5. Five Widely Dispersed Sites Comprise the Interface between λP and *E. coli* DnaB. A) The five interaction sites (#1-#5, each uniquely colored) between the loader and helicase subunits. These interaction sites are depicted as surfaces, with points on the surface indicating positions within 4.5 Å between the helicase and the loader. Due to the asymmetry of the B_6P_5 complex, not every loader protomer utilizes each site. The λP ensemble is shown in ribbon format, colored in shades of orange as indicated in the legend. The DnaB hexamer, labeled from A to F, is presented in ribbon, sphere, and cylinder design language. Labels distinguish the CTD spheres. B) The B_6P_5 complex is depicted as in panel A, except that the interfaces between λP loader subunits are highlighted and indicated with arrows in the same color as the surface. Interaction sites between loader subunits are represented as surfaces, with points on the surface indicating positions within 4.5 Å between pairs of loader subunits.

Figure 6. Stabilization of the DnaB Spiral by Domain III of λP in the B₆P₅

complex. A) The open spiral of DnaB in the B_6P_5 complex is stabilized through

interactions with domain III of the λP loader. The CTDs of DnaB within the B_6P_5

complex are represented by transparent, labeled gray spheres. Chain Z of the λP

ensemble (green) is depicted in the PyMol ribbon representation, along with the

CTDs from chain A (light orange) and chain F (salmon). Residues in the DnaB

CTD from chain A (light orange) and chain F (salmon) that interact with domain III

of λP (outlined) are shown using the stick representation. The corresponding

interfacial residues on chain λP Z are not displayed for clarity. The interface from

the DnaB-DnaC complex (PDB: 6QEL, (34) and Supplementary Figure 15) does

not exhibit such features. B) Close-up view of the area outlined in panel A.

Figure 7. The Carboxy-Terminal Lassoes of the λP Ensemble in the B$_6$P$_5$ Complex Shear the DH-LH Elements. A) Knobs and holes packing between the docking helix (DH) and linker helix (LH) in the closed planar form of DnaB. The above model was constructed by superimposing DH and LH helices from the Alphafold model of *E. coli* DnaB (72) on the closed planar DnaB model (PDB: 4NMN, (12)). Residues 291:306 (DH) and 182:199 (LH) are depicted in the PyMol ribbon and transparent cylindrical cartoon representation. Interacting residues are shown as sticks and labeled. B) The C-terminal lasso of the λP loader disrupts the DH–LH interaction during the transition from the closed planar to the open spiral form of DnaB in the B$_6$P$_5$ complex. The DH and LH helices rotate an average of 8° and 3.7° away from the dimer interface as they move apart by 2-3 Å during the transition. The closed planar DH–LH interaction is disrupted and replaced by new interactions (residues shown as sticks and labeled) with the C-terminal lasso helix of λP. These interactions are found at each λP C-terminal lasso. Panel insets depict the relevant DnaB hexamer with each CTD drawn as a sphere; for clarity,

1202   the NTD domains are not shown. The letters on each inset represent protein chains

1203   of the DnaB hexamer.

1204

Figure 8. Path of ssDNA through the B$_6$P$_5$ complex. λP Phe 99 (red) is known to crosslink with ssDNA (38, 106). Within the B$_6$P$_5$ complex, the five instances of λP Phe 99 circumscribe a volume enclosed by the five copies of λP domain II. The constellation of λP Phe 99 residues is above the volume anticipated to be occupied by ssDNA (yellow), based on the DnaB•DnaC-ssDNA complex (PDB: 6QEM (34)). A) The B$_6$P$_5$ complex is illustrated in a ribbon representation. DnaB is shown with a transparent surface colored in alternating blue hues. B) The same orientation of the B$_6$P$_5$ complex, but with DnaB depicted using the sphere and cylinder design language.

Figure 9. The Structure of the B₆P₆ Form of the *E. coli* DnaB–λP loader. In all
the panels, the B₆P₆ complex, with its six loader molecules (labeled U, V, W, X, Y,
and Z), is depicted in the ribbon representation; domains III and IV of λP chain Z
are colored in blue and green; the other chains in hues of blue. DnaB in panels A
and C is shown as a surface. Panels B and D illustrate DnaB using the sphere
and cylinder design language. The DH and LH elements in each panel are shown
in the ribbon and cylinder format and are colored orange and yellow. Panels A
and B are rotated by 45° along the horizontal X-axis compared to the pose in
panels C and D. The orange and red values in panel D represent rotation angles

1224   experienced by the DH and LH elements in the transition from closed planar to ajar

1225   planar.

1226

Figure 10. The Helicase Loader Interface in the B$_6$P$_6$ Complex is Inchoate. A)
The interaction sites (#2, #3, and #4, each uniquely colored) between DnaB and
λP. As in Figure 5, the interaction sites are depicted as surfaces, with points on
the surface indicating positions within 4.5 Å between the helicase and the loader.
Sites #3 (yellow) and #4 (purple) encompass different amounts of buried surface
area in the various interfaces. The λP ensemble is shown in ribbon format, colored
in shades of orange. The DnaB hexamer, labeled from A to F, is presented in a
ribbon and the sphere/cylinder design language. The CTD spheres of each
subunit carry labels corresponding to the underlying chain. B) Incompatibility of
the disordered domain IIIs of chain U and X with the B$_6$P$_6$ complex is indicated by
the clash (close approach; within 1.5 Å) with chains W and Y, and V and Z. The
light-green-colored spheres show atomic positions in the above chains within 1.5
Å of chains U and X. The complete superposition appears in Supplementary
Figure 23.

Figure 11. Model for loading the DnaB-λP loader complex onto the λO-Oriλ.

Assembly stages of the DnaB helicase at the phage λ origin. This work focused

on the two oligomeric forms of the BP complex (boxed) that populate Stage II: B_6P_6

and B_6P_5. Six copies of the λP loader bind to the closed planar ring (Stage I) of *E.*

coli DnaB, creating the ajar-planar B_6P_6 complex. In this complex, the λP domains

I (chain Z), II, and III have not adopted the configuration in B_6P_5. Loss of one copy

of the λP loader accompanies reconfiguration into the B_6P_5 open spiral. This entity

features openings in the NTD and CTD layers that allow ssDNA to enter the central

chamber. However, the λP chain-Z binds on both sides of the breached interface

in DnaB, stabilized by a substantial interface between the domain IIs of the λP

pentamer. This configuration effectively blocks the entry of replication-origin-

derived ssDNA into DnaB's inner chamber. Despite the blockage, the B_6P_5

complex can still bind ssDNA; it is suggested that this binding may occur outside

of DnaB's inner chamber in Stage III. The disordered domain Is of λP chains V,

W, X, and Y are proposed to bind to the λO-Oriλ initiator-replication origin complex.

1257   The expulsion of the λP ensemble, assisted by *E. coli* chaperone proteins,

1258   facilitates the transition to Stage IV, the translocating form. The yellow cylinder

1259   represents the expected path of ssDNA inferred from the DnaB•DnaC-ssDNA

1260   complex (PDB: 6QEM, (34)). The docking helix and linker helix elements are

1261   colored red and orange.

1262

References

1. Bleichert,F., Botchan,M.R. and Berger,J.M. (2017) Mechanisms for initiating cellular DNA replication. *Science*, **355**, eaah6317.

2. Katayama,T. (2017) DNA Replication, From Old Principles to New Discoveries. *Adv. Exp. Med. Biol.*, **1042**, 79–98.

3. Yao,N.Y. and O'Donnell,M.E. (2016) Evolution of replication machines. *Critical Reviews in Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*, **51**, 135–149.

4. Yao,N. and O'Donnell,M. (2016) Bacterial and Eukaryotic Replisome Machines. *JSM biochemistry and molecular biology*, **3**.

5. Greci,M.D. and Bell,S.D. (2020) Archaeal DNA Replication. *Annu Rev Microbiol*, **74**, 1–16.

6. Trakselis,M.A. (2016) Structural Mechanisms of Hexameric Helicase Loading, Assembly, and Unwinding. *F1000Research*, **5**.

7. Blaine,H.C., Simmons,L.A. and Stallings,C.L. (2023) Diverse Mechanisms of Helicase Loading during DNA Replication Initiation in Bacteria. *J. Bacteriol.*, **205**, e00487-22.

8. Katayama,T. and Nagata,T. (1991) Initiation of chromosomal DNA replication which is stimulated without oversupply of DnaA protein in Escherichia coli. *Molecular & general genetics : MGG*, **226**, 491–502.

9. O'Donnell,M.E. and Li,H. (2018) The ring-shaped hexameric helicases that function at DNA replication forks. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.*, **25**, 122–130.

10. Fernandez,A.J. and Berger,J.M. (2021) Mechanisms of hexameric helicases. *Crit Rev Biochem Mol*, 10.1080/10409238.2021.1954597.

11. Wang,G., Klein,M.G., Tokonzaba,E., Zhang,Y., Holden,L.G. and Chen,X.S. (2008) The structure of a DnaB-family replicative helicase and its interactions with primase. *Nat Struct Mol Biol*, **15**, 94–100.

12. Strycharska,M.S., Arias-Palomo,E., Lyubimov,A.Y., Erzberger,J.P., O'Shea,V.L., Bustamante,C.J. and Berger,J.M. (2013) Nucleotide and Partner-Protein Control of Bacterial Replicative Helicase Structure and Function. *Mol. Cell*, **52**, 844–854.

13. Bailey,S., Eliason,W.K. and Steitz,T.A. (2007) Structure of hexameric DnaB
helicase and its complex with a domain of DnaG primase. *Science (New York,*
NY), **318**, 459–463.

14. Zhang,Z., Chen,J., Yao,M. and Wang,G. (2025) Structural Insight Into the
Function of DnaB Helicase in Bacterial DNA Replication. *Proteins: Struct.,*
Funct., Bioinform., **93**, 420–429.

15. Chase,J., Berger,J. and Jeruzalmi,D. (2022) Convergent evolution in two
bacterial replicative helicase loaders. *Trends Biochem Sci*, **47**, 620–630.

16. Oakley,A.J. (2019) A structural view of bacterial DNA replication. *Protein Sci*,
28, 990–1004.

17. Kaguni,J.M. (2018) The Macromolecular Machines that Duplicate the
Escherichia coli Chromosome as Targets for Drug Discovery. *Antibiotics*, **7**,
23.

18. Itsathitphaisarn,O., Wing,R.A., Eliason,W.K., Wang,J. and Steitz,T.A. (2012)
The Hexameric Helicase DnaB Adopts a Nonplanar Conformation during
Translocation. *Cell*, **151**, 267–277.

19. Kaguni,J.M. (2014) Molecular Life Sciences, An Encyclopedic Reference. In
Molecular Life Sciences. Springer New York, pp. 1–14.

20. Velten,M., McGovern,S., Marsin,S., Ehrlich,S.D., Noirot,P. and Polard,P.
(2003) A Two-Protein Strategy for the Functional Loading of a Cellular
Replicative DNA Helicase. *Mol Cell*, **11**, 1009–1020.

21. Brézellec,P., Vallet-Gely,I., Possoz,C., Quevillon-Cheruel,S. and Ferat,J.-L.
(2016) DciA is an ancestral replicative helicase operator essential for bacterial
replication initiation. *Nature communications*, **7**, 13271.

22. Brézellec,P., Petit,M.-A., Pasek,S., Vallet-Gely,I., Possoz,C. and Ferat,J.-L.
(2017) Domestication of lambda phage genes into a putative third type of
Replicative Helicase Matchmaker. *Genome Biol Evol*, **9**, evx111.

23. Marsin,S., Adam,Y., Cargemel,C., Andreani,J., Baconnais,S., Legrand,P.,
Li de la Sierra-Gallay,I., Humbert,A., Aumont-Nicaise,M., Velours,C., *et al.*
(2021) Study of the DnaB:DciA interplay reveals insights into the primary
mode of loading of the bacterial replicative helicase. *Nucleic Acids Res*,
10.1093/nar/gkab463.

24. Ozaki,S., Wang,D., Wakasugi,Y., Itani,N. and Katayama,T. (2022) The
Caulobacter crescentus DciA promotes chromosome replication through

topological loading of the DnaB replicative helicase at replication forks. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **50**, gkac1146-.

25. Cargemel,C., Baconnais,S., Aumont-Nicaise,M., Noiray,M., Maurin,L., Andreani,J., Walbott,H., Cam,E.L., Ochsenbein,F., Marsin,S., *et al.* (2023) Structural Insights of the DciA Helicase Loader in Its Relationship with DNA. *Int J Mol Sci*, **24**, 1427.

26. Cargemel,C., Marsin,S., Noiray,M., Legrand,P., Bounoua,H., Sierra-Gallay,I.L. de la, Walbott,H. and Quevillon-Cheruel,S. (2023) The LH–DH module of bacterial replicative helicases is the common binding site for DciA and other helicase loaders. *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. D*, **79**, 177–187.

27. Blaine,H.C., Burke,J.T., Ravi,J. and Stallings,C.L. (2022) DciA Helicase Operators Exhibit Diversity across Bacterial Phyla. *J Bacteriol*, **204**, e00163-22.

28. Jones,C.E., Mueser,T.C., Dudas,K.C., Kreuzer,K.N. and Nossal,N.G. (2001) Bacteriophage T4 gene 41 helicase and gene 59 helicase-loading protein: A versatile couple with roles in replication and recombination. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, **98**, 8312–8318.

29. Seco,E.M., Zinder,J.C., Manhart,C.M., Piano,A.L., McHenry,C.S. and Ayora,S. (2013) Bacteriophage SPP1 DNA replication strategies promote viral and disable host replication in vitro. *Nucleic Acids Res*, **41**, 1711–1721.

30. Odegrip,R., Schoen,S., Haggård-Ljungquist,E., Park,K. and Chattoraj,D.K. (2000) The Interaction of Bacteriophage P2 B Protein with Escherichia coli DnaB Helicase. *J. Virol.*, **74**, 4057–4063.

31. O'Shea,V.L. and Berger,J.M. (2014) Loading strategies of ring-shaped nucleic acid translocases and helicases. *Current Opinion in Structural Biology*, **25**, 16–24.

32. Davey,M.J. and O'Donnell,M. (2003) Replicative helicase loaders: ring breakers and ring makers. *Curr Biol*, **13**, R594–R596.

33. Chase,J., Catalano,A., Noble,A.J., Eng,E.T., Olinares,P.D., Molloy,K., Pakotiprapha,D., Samuels,M., Chait,B., Georges,A.D., *et al.* (2018) Mechanisms of opening and closing of the bacterial replicative helicase. *Elife*, **7**, 1822.

34. Arias-Palomo,E., Puri,N., Murray,V.L.O., Yan,Q. and Berger,J.M. (2019) Physical Basis for the Loading of a Bacterial Replicative Helicase onto DNA. *Mol Cell*, **74**, 173-184.e4.

35. Davey,M.J., Fang,L., McInerney,P., Georgescu,R.E. and O'Donnell,M. (2002)
The DnaC helicase loader is a dual ATP/ADP switch protein. *The EMBO*
journal, **21**, 3148–3159.

36. Puri,N., Fernandez,A.J., Murray,V.L.O., McMillan,S., Keck,J.L. and
Berger,J.M. (2021) The molecular coupling between substrate recognition and
ATP turnover in a AAA+ hexameric helicase loader. *Elife*, **10**, e64232.

37. Mallory,J.B., Alfano,C. and McMacken,R. (1990) Host virus interactions in the
initiation of bacteriophage lambda DNA replication. Recruitment of Escherichia
coli DnaB helicase by lambda P replication protein. *J. Biol. Chem.*, **265**,
13297–13307.

38. Learn,B.A., Um,S.J., Huang,L. and McMacken,R. (1997) Cryptic single-
stranded-DNA binding activities of the phage lambda P and Escherichia coli
DnaC replication initiation proteins facilitate the transfer of E. coli DnaB
helicase onto DNA. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the*
United States of America, **94**, 1154–1159.

39. Wegrzyn,K. and Konieczny,I. (2024) Toward an understanding of the DNA
replication initiation in bacteria. *Front. Microbiol.*, **14**, 1328842.

40. Mensa-Wilmot,K., Seaby,R., Alfano,C., Wold,M.C., Gomes,B. and
McMacken,R. (1989) Reconstitution of a nine-protein system that initiates
bacteriophage lambda DNA replication. *The Journal of biological chemistry*,
264, 2853–2861.

41. Dodson,M., Echols,H., Wickner,S., Alfano,C., Mensa-Wilmot,K., Gomes,B.,
LeBowitz,J., Roberts,J.D. and McMacken,R. (1986) Specialized nucleoprotein
structures at the origin of replication of bacteriophage lambda: localized
unwinding of duplex DNA by a six-protein reaction. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, **83**,
7638–7642.

42. Makowska-Grzyska,M. and Kaguni,J.M. (2010) Primase Directs the Release
of DnaC from DnaB. *Mol Cell*, **37**, 90–101.

43. Polissi,A., Goffin,L. and Georgopoulos,C. (1995) The Escherichia coli heat
shock response and bacteriophage lambda development. *FEMS microbiology*
reviews, **17**, 159–169.

44. Zylicz,M. (1993) The Escherichia coli chaperones involved in DNA
replication. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. B: Biol. Sci.*, **339**, 271–278.

45. Zylicz,M., Ang,D., Liberek,K. and Georgopoulos,C. (1989) Initiation of lambda
DNA replication with purified host- and bacteriophage-encoded proteins: the
role of the dnaK, dnaJ and grpE heat shock proteins. *EMBO J.*, **8**, 1601–1608.

46. Liberek,K., Georgopoulos,C. and Zylicz,M. (1988) Role of the Escherichia coli DnaK and DnaJ heat shock proteins in the initiation of bacteriophage lambda DNA replication. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, **85**, 6632–6636.

47. Hoffmann,H.J., Lyman,S.K., Lu,C., Petit,M.A. and Echols,H. (1992) Activity of the Hsp70 chaperone complex--DnaK, DnaJ, and GrpE--in initiating phage lambda DNA replication by sequestering and releasing lambda P protein. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, **89**, 12108–12111.

48. Osipiuk,J., Georgopoulos,C. and Zylicz,M. (1993) Initiation of lambda DNA replication. The Escherichia coli small heat shock proteins, DnaJ and GrpE, increase DnaK's affinity for the lambda P protein. *J. Biol. Chem.*, **268**, 4821–4827.

49. Orlova,N., Gerding,M., Ivashkiv,O., Olinares,P.D.B., Chait,B.T., Waldor,M.K. and Jeruzalmi,D. (2017) The replication initiator of the cholera pathogen's second chromosome shows structural similarity to plasmid initiators. *Nucleic Acids Res*, **45**, 3724–3737.

50. Bernard,A. and Payton,M. (1995) Fermentation and Growth of Escherichia coli for Optimal Protein Production. *Curr. Protoc. Protein Sci.*, **00**, 5.3.1-5.3.18.

51. Kantardjieff,K.A. and Rupp,B. (2003) Matthews coefficient probabilities: Improved estimates for unit cell contents of proteins, DNA, and protein-nucleic acid complex crystals. *Protein science : a publication of the Protein Society*, **12**, 1865–1871.

52. Otwinowski,Z. and Minor,W. (1997) Processing of X-ray diffraction data collected in oscillation mode. *Macromolecular Crystallography, Pt A*, **276**, 307–326.

53. Liebschner,D., Afonine,P.V., Baker,M.L., Bunkóczi,G., Chen,V.B., Croll,T.I., Hintze,B., Hung,L.W., Jain,S., McCoy,A.J., *et al.* (2019) Macromolecular structure determination using X-rays, neutrons and electrons: recent developments in Phenix. *Acta Crystallographica Section D: Structural Biology*, **75**, 861–877.

54. Emsley,P., Lohkamp,B., Scott,W.G. and Cowtan,K. (2010) Features and development of Coot. *Acta crystallographica Section D, Biological crystallography*, **66**, 486–501.

55. Olinares,P.D.B. and Chait,B.T. (2019) The Eukaryotic RNA Exosome, Methods and Protocols. *Methods Mol. Biol.*, **2062**, 357–382.

56. Reid,D.J., Diesing,J.M., Miller,M.A., Perry,S.M., Wales,J.A., Montfort,W.R. and Marty,M.T. (2019) MetaUniDec: High-Throughput Deconvolution of Native Mass Spectra. *J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom.*, **30**, 118–127.

57. Marty,M.T., Baldwin,A.J., Marklund,E.G., Hochberg,G.K.A., Benesch,J.L.P. and Robinson,C.V. (2015) Bayesian Deconvolution of Mass and Ion Mobility Spectra: From Binary Interactions to Polydisperse Ensembles. *Anal. Chem.*, **87**, 4370–4376.

58. Lindsley,J.E. (2000) DNA Topoisomerase Protocols. *Methods Mol Biology Clifton N J*, **95**, 57–64.

59. Kiianitsa,K., Solinger,J.A. and Heyer,W.-D. (2003) NADH-coupled microplate photometric assay for kinetic studies of ATP-hydrolyzing enzymes with low and high specific activities. *Analytical Biochemistry*, **321**, 266–271.

60. Cheng,A., Kim,P.T., Kuang,H., Mendez,J.H., Chua,E.Y.D., Maruthi,K., Wei,H., Sawh,A., Aragon,M.F., Serbynovskyi,V., *et al.* (2023) Fully automated multi-grid cryoEM screening using Smart Leginon. *IUCrJ*, **10**, 77–89.

61. Cheng,A., Negro,C., Bruhn,J.F., Rice,W.J., Dallakyan,S., Eng,E.T., Waterman,D.G., Potter,C.S. and Carragher,B. (2021) Leginon: New features and applications. *Protein Sci.*, **30**, 136–150.

62. Bepler,T., Borst,A.J., Bouvette,J., Cannone,G., Chen,S., Cheng,A., Cheng,A., Fan,Q., Grollios,F., Gupta,H., *et al.* (2022) Smart data collection for CryoEM. *J Struct Biol*, **214**, 107913.

63. Noble,A.J., Dandey,V.P., Wei,H., Brasch,J., Chase,J., Acharya,P., Tan,Y.Z., Zhang,Z., Kim,L.Y., Scapin,G., *et al.* (2018) Routine single particle CryoEM sample and grid characterization by tomography. *eLife*, **7**, e34257.

64. Punjani,A. and Fleet,D.J. (2023) 3DFlex: determining structure and motion of flexible proteins from cryo-EM. *Nat Methods*, **20**, 1–11.

65. Punjani,A. and Fleet,D.J. (2021) 3D variability analysis: Resolving continuous flexibility and discrete heterogeneity from single particle cryo-EM. *J Struct Biol*, **213**, 107702.

66. Punjani,A., Rubinstein,J.L., Fleet,D.J. and Brubaker,M.A. (2017) cryoSPARC: algorithms for rapid unsupervised cryo-EM structure determination. *Nature Methods*, **14**, 290–296.

67. Punjani,A., Zhang,H. and Fleet,D.J. (2020) Non-uniform refinement: adaptive regularization improves single-particle cryo-EM reconstruction. *Nat. Methods*, **17**, 1214–1221.

68. Punjani,A., Rubinstein,J., Fleet,D. and Brubaker,M. (2017) Protocol for rapid
unsupervised cryo-EM structure determination using cryoSPARC software.
Protoc Exch, 10.1038/protex.2017.009.

69. Sanchez-Garcia,R., Gomez-Blanco,J., Cuervo,A., Carazo,J.M.,
Sorzano,C.O.S. and Vargas,J. (2021) DeepEMhancer: a deep learning
solution for cryo-EM volume post-processing. *Commun Biology*, **4**, 874.

70. Bepler,T., Morin,A., Rapp,M., Brasch,J., Shapiro,L., Noble,A.J. and Berger,B.
(2019) Positive-unlabeled convolutional neural networks for particle picking in
cryo-electron micrographs. *Nat Methods*, **16**, 1153–1160.

71. Fass,D., Bogden,C.E. and Berger,J.M. (1999) Crystal structure of the N-
terminal domain of the DnaB hexameric helicase. *Structure (London,
England : 1993)*, **7**, 691–698.

72. AlphaFold-Protein-Structure-Database (2022) Alphafold Monomer V2.0
Prediction For Replicative DnaB Helicase; Title: 2 (A0a771b6f2).

73. Jumper,J., Evans,R., Pritzel,A., Green,T., Figurnov,M., Ronneberger,O.,
Tunyasuvunakool,K., Bates,R., Žídek,A., Potapenko,A., *et al.* (2021) Highly
accurate protein structure prediction with AlphaFold. *Nature*, **596**, 583–589.

74. Varadi,M., Anyango,S., Deshpande,M., Nair,S., Natassia,C., Yordanova,G.,
Yuan,D., Stroe,O., Wood,G., Laydon,A., *et al.* (2021) AlphaFold Protein
Structure Database: massively expanding the structural coverage of protein-
sequence space with high-accuracy models. *Nucleic Acids Res*, **50**, D439–
D444.

75. Adams,P.D., Afonine,P.V., Bunkóczi,G., Chen,V.B., Davis,I.W., Echols,N.,
Headd,J.J., Hung,L.-W., Kapral,G.J., Grosse-Kunstleve,R.W., *et al.* (2010)
PHENIX: a comprehensive Python-based system for macromolecular
structure solution. *Acta crystallographica Section D, Biological crystallography*,
66, 213–221.

76. Afonine,P.V., Poon,B.K., Read,R.J., Sobolev,O.V., Terwilliger,T.C.,
Urzhumtsev,A. and Adams,P.D. (2018) Real-space refinement in PHENIX for
cryo-EM and crystallography. *Acta Crystallogr Sect D*, **74**, 531–544.

77. Vagin,A. and Teplyakov,A. (2010) Molecular replacement with MOLREP.
Acta crystallographica Section D, Biological crystallography, **66**, 22–25.

78. Agirre,J., Atanasova,M., Bagdonas,H., Ballard,C.B., Baslé,A., Beilsten-
Edmands,J., Borges,R.J., Brown,D.G., Burgos-Mármol,J.J., Berrisford,J.M., *et
al.* (2023) The CCP4 suite: integrative software for macromolecular
crystallography. *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. D*, **79**, 449–461.

79. Emsley,P. and Cowtan,K. (2004) Coot: model-building tools for molecular
graphics. *Acta crystallographica Section D, Biological crystallography*, **60**,
2126–2132.

80. Winn,M.D., Ballard,C.C., Cowtan,K.D., Dodson,E.J., Emsley,P., Evans,P.R.,
Keegan,R.M., Krissinel,E.B., Leslie,A.G.W., McCoy,A., *et al.* (2011) Overview
of the CCP4 suite and current developments. *Acta crystallographica Section*
D, Biological crystallography, **67**, 235–242.

81. Brown,A., Long,F., Nicholls,R.A., Toots,J., Emsley,P. and Murshudov,G.
(2015) Tools for macromolecular model building and refinement into electron
cryo-microscopy reconstructions. *Acta crystallographica Section D, Biological*
crystallography, **71**, 136–153.

82. Kleywegt,G., Zou,J., Kjeldgaard,M. and Jones,T. (2001) International Tables
for Crystallography, Vol. F, edited by MG Rossmann & E. Arnold, ch. 17.1, pp.
353ą356, 366ą367.

83. Sierk,M. and Kleywegt,G. (2004) Deja Vu All Over Again: Finding and
Analyzing Protein Structure Similarities. *Structure (London, England : 1993)*,
12, 2103–2111.

84. Kleywegt,G.J. (2007) Quality control and validation. *Methods in molecular*
biology (Clifton, NJ), **364**, 255–272.

85. Kleywegt,G. and Jones,T. (1997) Model building and refinement practice.
Macromolecular Crystallography, Pt A, **277**, 208–230.

86. Pettersen,E.F., Goddard,T.D., Huang,C.C., Couch,G.S., Greenblatt,D.M.,
Meng,E.C. and Ferrin,T.E. (2004) UCSF Chimera--a visualization system for
exploratory research and analysis. *Journal of computational chemistry*, **25**,
1605–1612.

87. Williams,C.J., Headd,J.J., Moriarty,N.W., Prisant,M.G., Videau,L.L.,
Deis,L.N., Verma,V., Keedy,D.A., Hintze,B.J., Chen,V.B., *et al.* (2018)
MolProbity: More and better reference data for improved all-atom structure
validation. *Protein Sci.*, **27**, 293–315.

88. Echols,N., Moriarty,N.W., Klei,H.E., Afonine,P.V., Bunkóczi,G., Headd,J.J.,
Mccoy,A.J., Oeffner,R.D., Read,R.J., Terwilliger,T.C., *et al.* (2014) Automating
crystallographic structure solution and refinement of protein-ligand complexes.
Acta crystallographica Section D, Biological crystallography, **70**, 144–154.

89. Zwart,P.H., Afonine,P.V., Grosse-Kunstleve,R.W., Hung,L.-W., Ioerger,T.R.,
Mccoy,A.J., McKee,E., Moriarty,N.W., Read,R.J., Sacchettini,J.C., *et al.*

(2008) Automated structure solution with the PHENIX suite. *Methods in molecular biology (Clifton, NJ)*, **426**, 419–435.

90. Terwilliger,T.C., Read,R.J., Adams,P.D., Brunger,A.T., Afonine,P.V. and Hung,L.-W. (2013) Model morphing and sequence assignment after molecular replacement. *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. D: Biol. Crystallogr.*, **69**, 2244–2250.

91. Afonine,P.V., Grosse-Kunstleve,R.W., Echols,N., Headd,J.J., Moriarty,N.W., Mustyakimov,M., Terwilliger,T.C., Urzhumtsev,A., Zwart,P.H. and Adams,P.D. (2012) Towards automated crystallographic structure refinement with phenix.refine. *Acta crystallographica Section D, Biological crystallography*, **68**, 352–367.

92. Schrodinger-LLC (2024) The PyMOL Molecular Graphics System, Version 3.0.

93. Morin,A., Eisenbraun,B., Key,J., Sanschagrin,P.C., Timony,M.A., Ottaviano,M. and Sliz,P. (2013) Collaboration gets the most out of software. *eLife*, **2**, e01456.

94. Cruz,M.J. de la and Eng,E.T. (2023) Scaling up cryo-EM for biology and chemistry: The journey from niche technology to mainstream method. *Structure*, 10.1016/j.str.2023.09.009.

95. Saibil,H.R. (2022) Cryo-EM in molecular and cellular biology. *Mol Cell*, **82**, 274–284.

96. Guaita,M., Watters,S.C. and Loerch,S. (2022) Recent advances and current trends in cryo-electron microscopy. *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.*, **77**, 102484.

97. Chari,A. and Stark,H. (2023) Prospects and Limitations of High-Resolution Single-Particle Cryo-Electron Microscopy. *Annu. Rev. Biophys.*, **52**, 391–411.

98. Hayes,S., Erker,C., Horbay,M., Marciniuk,K., Wang,W. and Hayes,C. (2013) Phage Lambda P Protein: Trans-Activation, Inhibition Phenotypes and their Suppression. *Viruses*, **5**, 619–653.

99. Chang,S.F., Ng,D., Baird,L. and Georgopoulos,C. (1991) Analysis of an Escherichia coli dnaB temperature-sensitive insertion mutation and its cold-sensitive extragenic suppressor. *J. Biol. Chem.*, **266**, 3654–3660.

100. Leipe,D.D., Aravind,L., Grishin,N.V. and Koonin,E.V. (2000) The bacterial replicative helicase DnaB evolved from a RecA duplication. *Genome Res*, **10**, 5–16.

101. Oakley,A.J. and Xu,Z.Q. (2022) E. coli DnaB bound to ssDNA and AMPPNP. 10.2210/pdb7t20/pdb.

102. Oakley,A.J. and Xu,Z.Q. (2023) E. coli DnaB bound to ssDNA and ADP-AlF4. 10.2210/pdb7t21/pdb.

103. Oakley,A.J. and Xu,Z.Q. (2023) E. coli DnaB bound to three DnaG C-terminal domains, ssDNA, ADP and AlF4. 10.2210/pdb7t22/pdb.

104. Oakley,A.J. and Xu,Z.Q. (2023) E. coli DnaB bound to two DnaG C-terminal domains, ssDNA, ADP and AlF4. 10.2210/pdb7t23/pdb.

105. Marsin,S., Adam,Y., Cargemel,C., Andreani,J., Baconnais,S., Legrand,P., Li de la Sierra-Gallay,I., Humbert,A., Aumont-Nicaise,M., Velours,C., *et al.* (2021) Study of the DnaB:DciA interplay reveals insights into the primary mode of loading of the bacterial replicative helicase. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **49**, gkab463-.

106. Shao,H. (2006) Mechanism of Loading of DnaB Helicase Molecules at the Bacteriophage Lambda Replication Origin During Initiation of DNA Replication. *Ph.D Dissertation (UMI # 3262504), Johns Hopkins University*.

107. Carney,S.M., Gomathinayagam,S., Leuba,S.H. and Trakselis,M.A. (2017) Bacterial DnaB helicase interacts with the excluded strand to regulate unwinding. *J Biol Chem*, **292**, 19001–19012.

108. Behrmann,M.S., Perera,H.M., Hoang,J.M., Venkat,T.A., Visser,B.J., Bates,D. and Trakselis,M.A. (2021) Targeted chromosomal Escherichia coli:dnaB exterior surface residues regulate DNA helicase behavior to maintain genomic stability and organismal fitness. *Plos Genet*, **17**, e1009886.

109. Biswas,T. and Tsodikov,O.V. (2008) Hexameric ring structure of the N-terminal domain of Mycobacterium tuberculosis DnaB helicase. *The FEBS journal*, **275**, 3064–3071.

110. Liu,B., Eliason,W.K. and Steitz,T.A. (2013) Structure of a helicase-helicase loader complex reveals insights into the mechanism of bacterial primosome assembly. *Nature communications*, **4**, 2495.

111. Chodavarapu,S. and Kaguni,J.M. (2016) Replication Initiation in Bacteria. **39**, 1–30.

112. O'Donnell,M., Langston,L. and Stillman,B. (2013) Principles and concepts of DNA replication in bacteria, archaea, and eukarya. *Cold Spring Harbor perspectives in biology*, **5**, a010108–a010108.

1607 113. Bell,S.P. and Kaguni,J.M. (2013) Helicase loading at chromosomal origins
1608   of replication. *Cold Spring Harbor perspectives in biology*, **5**, a010124.

1609 114. Kaguni,J.M. (2013) DNA Replication: Initiation in Bacteria. In Encyclopedia
1610   of Biological Chemistry. Elsevier, pp. 121–125.

1611
1612