Trafficking of nuclear-encoded apicoplast proteins depends on F-actin and Myosin F in
Toxoplasma gondii

Parvathi Madhavi Devarakonda[a], Valeria Sarmiento[a], Aoife T. Heaslip [a, #]

[a] Department of Molecular and Cell Biology, University of Connecticut, Storrs, CT, 06269

Running Title: Protein trafficking to the apicoplast

[#]Address correspondence to Aoife Heaslip, aoife.heaslip@uconn.edu

11
12

ABSTRACT

Toxoplasma gondii contains an essential single plastid organelle known as the apicoplast that

is necessary for fatty acid, isoprenoid, and heme synthesis. Perturbations affecting apicoplast

function leads to parasite death. To maintain a functional apicoplast, the parasite must execute

two important cellular processes: accurate division of this single copy organelle into daughter

parasites during cell division and trafficking of nuclear encoded apicoplast proteins (NEAT). In

this study we demonstrate that F-actin and an unconventional myosin motor, TgMyoF, are

important for both processes. Live cell imaging demonstrates that during division the apicoplast

is highly dynamic, exhibiting branched, U-shaped and linear morphologies that are dependent on

TgMyoF and actin. These dynamics appear to control apicoplast association with the centrosome

and positioning of the centrosome at the apicoplast tips. Loss of apicoplast dynamics correlated

with reduced apicoplast-centrosome association and ultimately apicoplast inheritance defects. In

addition, we uncovered the role of TgMyoF and actin in NEAT protein trafficking. Vesicles

containing the apicoplast protein APT1 were only observed during apicoplast division in control

parasites, however loss of TgMyoF and actin lead to accumulation of vesicles in the cytosol,

with only a small impact on vesicle movement suggesting that this actomyosin system is

important for vesicle fusion with the apicoplast. Consequently, loss of TgMyoF resulted in

reduced apicoplast length. This study has provided crucial new insight into mechanisms and

timing of protein trafficking to the apicoplast and demonstrated how apicoplast-centrosome

association, a key step in the apicoplast division cycle, is control by the actomyosin cytoskeleton.

INTRODUCTION

The phylum Apicomplexa contains over 6,000 parasites, many of which are of significant medical and veterinary importance, including *Toxoplasma gondii* the causative agent of Toxoplasmosis and *Plasmodium spp.* which cause malaria [1]. These parasites are distinguished by the presence of vestigial non-photosynthetic plastid organelle named the apicoplast (Apicomplexan plastid), that is found in most Apicomplexa.

The apicoplast is an essential organelle required for fatty acid, isoprenoid, iron-sulfur cluster and heme synthesis [2–8]. Genetic manipulations or perturbation of the apicoplast with pharmacological compounds results in apicoplast inheritance defects and subsequently in parasite death [9–11]. Despite containing a small 35kb genome, the majority of apicoplast localized proteins including the proteins that are part of important biosynthetic pathways are encoded in the nucleus. Therefore, trafficking of these proteins to the apicoplast is a critical cellular process and our understanding of the mechanisms of apicoplast protein trafficking is incomplete. Many apicoplast proteins contain a bipartite N-terminal signal sequence that includes a signal peptide (SP), which directs protein translation to the endoplasmic reticulum (ER), and an apicoplast transit peptide which is revealed after removal of the SP [12,13]. A subset of apicoplast proteins that localize within the apicoplast membranes, including APT1, Atrx1 and FtsH1, are thought to traffic using an alternate mechanism. These proteins do not contain a signal peptide or apicoplast targeting sequences and have been observed in vesicles that are thought to directly traffic from the ER to the apicoplast [14–18]. The molecular details of this trafficking system are poorly

understood, including timing and mechanisms of vesicle formation, transport and fusion with the

apicoplast.

Evolutionarily, the apicoplast was acquired by the parasite via a secondary endosymbiosis

of red algae and contains four membranes [19]. The inner two membranes are derived from the

progenitor chloroplast (inner most), the third from the algae plasma membrane (periplastid) and

outermost membrane from plasma membrane of the Apicomplexan ancestor which first acquired

this organelle (Fig. 1A). Protein translocons Tic and Toc in the inner two apicoplast membranes

facilitate protein transport from the periplastid to the apicoplast lumen [20,21]. Repurposed ER-

associated degradation (ERAD) proteins are thought to traffic proteins across the periplastid

membrane [22,23]. How proteins traverse the outer membrane is not understood but, in some

instances, may involve fusion of the outer membrane with ER derived vesicles [24].

The apicoplast is a single-copy organelle, thus apicoplast division and inheritance must

occur with high accuracy to ensure parasite survival. Apicoplast division is a multistep process.

During interphase the apicoplast has a circular morphology and is located at the parasite's apical

end (Fig. 1A). At the beginning of the cell division, the apicoplast interacts with the duplicated

centrosomes and elongates [25]. This interaction between centrosomes and the apicoplast is

dependent on the autophagy related protein Atg8 which localizes to the outer membrane of the

apicoplast and disruption of Atg8 in both *Toxoplasma* and *Plasmodium* results in apicoplast

inheritance defects [26–29]. While the apicoplast is elongated, daughter cell construction within

the parasite cytosol is initiated [30]. The apical tip of the growing daughters is connected to the

centrosomes via a fiber containing striated fiber assemblins (SFA) [31], ensuring that each

daughter cell inherits a single centrosome. As the centrosome migrates into the growing daughter

scaffolds, the elongated apicoplast then extends to a U-shaped structure, whereupon apicoplast

fission is mediated by dynamin related protein A (DrpA) [32].

Three cytoskeletal proteins, actin (TgAct1), Myosin F (TgMyoF: an unconventional

apicomplexan specific myosin motor), and the actin nucleator formin-2 also play major roles in

apicoplast division [33–36]. However, the field lacks a clear understanding of the specific steps of

apicoplast division mediated by this actomyosin system. In addition to their roles in apicoplast

division, TgMyoF and actin control the transport of a variety of vesicles, including dense granules

and Rab6 vesicles [36,37], although their role in trafficking vesicles destined for the apicoplast has

not been investigated.

In this study, we further define the roles of TgMyoF and actin in apicoplast division and

apicoplast vesicle trafficking. We show that loss of TgMyoF and actin led to an accumulation of

apicoplast-destined vesicles in the cytosol. However, loss of these proteins only had a minor

impact on vesicle movement suggesting a function in vesicle fusion with the outer membrane of

the apicoplast. Live cell imaging also revealed that elongated apicoplasts are highly dynamic,

exhibiting linear, branched and U-shaped morphologies which are driven by both TgMyoF and

actin. Loss of this actomyosin system results in reduced apicoplast length and defects in apicoplast-

centrosome association which ultimately leads to defects in apicoplast inheritance.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Cell culture

RH parasites were maintained by passage in human foreskin fibroblast (HFF) cells obtained from ATCC (Catalog # CRL-2429). HFFs were grown to confluence in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium containing 10% (v/v) heat inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 1% (v/v) antibiotic/antimycotic at 37°C, 5% CO_2. Before parasite addition, confluent HFF monolayers were maintained in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium containing 1% (v/v) heat inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 1% (v/v) antibiotic/antimycotic 37°C, 5% CO_2.

Drug treatment

Cytochalasin D treatment. For fixed cell imaging, parasites invaded confluent HFF monolayer for 4 hours and then treated with 0.2 μM cytochalasin D (CD) or equivalent volume of DMSO for 12 hours before fixation with 4% paraformaldehyde. For live cell imaging, parasites were grown for 12 hours before treatment with 2 μM CD or equivalent volume of DMSO for 30 minutes. Imaging was carried out in the presence of DMSO or CD.

IAA Treatment: To deplete TgMyoF protein levels, *TgMyoF-mAID:TgAPT1-EmGFP* parasites were grown in confluent HFF monolayer for 5 or 12 hours and then treated with a final concentration of 500 μM indole acetic acid (IAA) or equivalent volume of 100% ethanol for 6 or 15 hours before fixation for immunohistochemistry or live cell imaging [38,39].

Creation of transgenic parasite lines

A list of parasite lines, primers and gene accession numbers used in this study can be found in Tables 1, 2 and 3 respectively.

Parasite transfection

Parasite transfection was conducted as previously described [40]. Briefly, linearized or circular

plasmid DNA or CRISPR HR oligo (produced by PCR) was ethanol precipitated, washed twice

70% ethanol pre-chilled to -20°C and resuspended in cytomix (120mM KCl, 10mM potassium

phosphate (pH 7.6), 25mM HEPES (pH 7.6), 2mM EGTA (pH 7.6), 5mM $MgCl_2$, 2mM potassium

ATP, 150uM $CaCl_2$, 5mM reduced glutathione) and electroporated using a BTX electroporator set

as follows: voltage 1500 V, capacitance 25 µF and resistance 25 Ω.

Creation of TgAPT1-EmGFP parasite line

In the *TgAPT1-EmGFP* parasite line the endogenous TgAPT1 gene is tagged with EmeraldGFP

(EmGFP). The pTKOII_APT1EmGFP plasmid was created from pTKOII_MyoF-EmGFP

plasmid [37] by digesting with AvrII and BglII restriction enzymes to remove the TgMyoF

genomic sequence. 2 kb of genomic DNA upstream of the TgAPT1 stop site was amplified by

PCR using Q5 high fidelity polymerase (New England BioLabs) using the Ku80 genomic DNA

as a template and the primers TgAPT1 F1 and TgAPT1 R1 (Table 2). The plasmid backbone and

the PCR product were gel purified. Ligation of the plasmid was carried out via Gibson assembly

using NEB builder HiFi DNA assembly master mix as per manufacturer's instructions (New

England Biolabs; catalog # M5520AA2) and transfected into NEB5α bacteria. Positive clones

were screened by colony PCR and verified by sanger sequencing. pTKOII-TgAPT1-EmGFP

plasmid was linearized for homologous recombination using the PstI restriction enzyme and

25µg was transfected into $1x10^7$ *ΔKu80: ΔHXGPRT* parental parasites [41,42]. 24 hours after

transfection mycophenolic acid in ethanol and Xanthine in 0.3M NaOH were added to growth

media to a final concentration of 25µg/ml and 50µg/ml respectively. Drug treatment continued

until at least 75% of the parasites were EmGFP positive. Clonal parasites lines were FACS

sorted into a single 96-well plate (2 per well) and grown for 7 days to isolate clonal parasite lines

resulting in the creation of TgAPT1-EmGFP parasite line. To verify integration of this construct

into the APT1 genomic locus, genomic DNA was isolated using Qiagen DNeasy blood and

tissue kit as per manufacturer's instructions (Qiagen, Germantown, MD) and confirmed via PCR

using the primers TgAPT1-Promoter F1, APT1 CDS R, EmGFP R (Table 2) (Fig. S1).

Creation of TgMyoF-mAID; TgAPT1-EmGFP parasite line

In the *TgMyoF-mAID:TgAPT1-EmGFP* parasite line, the endogenous TgMyoF gene is tagged with

HA-mAID [37] and TgAPT1-EmGFP is expressed under the control of the APT1 promoter from

the dispensable UPRT locus. For the creation of 5'UPRT-pAPT1-APT1-EmGFP-3'UPRT

plasmid (which will be used as a template for created of the HR oligo via PCR), 5'UPRT-pMyoF-

mcherry-TgMyoF-3'UPRT (unpublished plasmid) was digested with AvrII and AflII restriction

enzyme to remove the pMyoF-TgMyoF-mcherry sequence and replace with pAPT1-TgAPT1-

EmGFP fragment, containing 1Kb of upstream of the APT1 start codon and the APT1 coding

sequence. TgAPT1-EmGFP sequence was amplified from the pTKOII_APT1-EmGFP plasmid

and primers 5'-APT1-UPRT-F and 3'-APT1-UPRT-R (Table 2). The plasmid backbone and the

PCR product were gel purified. Ligation of the plasmid was carried out via Gibson assembly as

per manufacturer's instructions (New England Biolabs; catalog # M5520AA2) and transfected into

NEB5alpha bacteria. Positive clones were screened by colony PCR and verified by sanger

sequencing.

The CRISPR HR oligo was generated by PCR using UPRT F and UPRT R primers and

the 5'UPRT-pAPT1-APT1-EmGFP-3'UPRT plasmid as a template with Q5 high fidelity

polymerase and50ul of PCR reaction was cotransfected with 25 μg of pSag1::Cas9::U6::sgUPRT

plasmid [43] (AddGene plasmid # 54467) into $1x10^7$ TgMyoF-mAID parasites [37]. 24 hours

post-transfection parasites were treated with 10 μM fluorodeoxyribose (FUDR) (which selects

for loss of the UPRT gene [44]) until at least 75% of the parasites were EmGFP positive.

Parasites were FACS sorted for EmGFP positivity into a single 96-well plate (2 per well) and

grown for 7 days. Single clones were selected for further analysis. Genomic DNA was isolated

using Qiagen DNeasy blood and tissue kit as per manufacturer's instructions (Qiagen,

Germantown, MD). Genomic DNA was analyzed for correct insertion into the UPRT locus via

PCR using primers 5'-UPRT F, APT1 CDS R and UPRT-Intron R (Table 2) (Fig. S2).

Creation of ectopic ATG8 expression plasmid

Generation of pAtg8-3xTy-Atg8 plasmid for ectopic expression of TgAtg8 under the endogenous

promoter was a 2-step process. First, we created the pAtg8-tdTomato-Atg8 plasmid by digesting

the pTub_tdTomato-Atg8 [26] with SfoI and BglII restriction enzymes to remove the tubulin

promoter sequence. TgAtg8 promoter was amplified from the Ku80 parental genomic DNA using

the primers Atg8 promoter F and Atg8 promoter R. Plasmid backbone and the PCR product was

gel purified. Ligation of the plasmid was carried out via Gibson assembly as per manufacturer's

instructions (New England Biolabs; catalog # M5520AA2) and transfected into NEB5alpha

bacteria. Positive clones were screened by colony PCR using Atg8 promoter F and Atg8 promoter

R (Table 2) and verified by sanger sequencing. In the second step, pAtg8-tdTomato-Atg8 was

digested with AvrII and BglII restriction enzymes to remove tdTomato coding sequence. The3xTy

tag was created by PCR using primers 3xTy F and 3xTy R (Table 2) and the pTKOII_MyoL-3xTy-

mAID plasmid as a template (unpublished plasmid; Table 1). Ligation of the plasmid with 3Xty

insert was carried out via Gibson assembly as per manufacturer's instructions (New England

Biolabs; catalog # M5520AA2) and transfected into NEB5alpha bacteria. Positive clones were

screened by colony PCR using primers Atg8 promoter F and 3xTy R and verified by sanger

sequencing.25 μg of the pAtg8-3xTy-Atg8 plasmid was transiently transfected into the TgMyoF-

mAID parasite line and were grown for 12h before treating the parasites with either ethanol or

IAA for 6h.

Fluorescence microscopy

All live and fixed images were acquired on a DeltaVision Elite microscope system built on an

Olympus base with a 100x 1.39 NA objective in an environmental chamber heated to 37 ˚C. This

system is equipped with a 15-bit scientific CMOS camera and DV Insight solid state illumination

module with excitation wavelengths DAPI = 390/18nm, FITC = 475/28nm, TRITC = 475/28nm

and Alexa 647 = 632/22nm. Single band pass emission filters had the following wavelengths DAPI

435/48nm, FITC = 525/48nm, TRITC = 597/45nm and Alexa 647 = 679/34nm. Image acquisition

speeds for the live cell imaging were determined on a case-by-case basis and are indicated in the

figure legends. All cells were imaged in multiple focal planes and Z-series were captured at 0.2

μm (fixed) or 0.4 μm (live) steps. Images presented in the figures represent maximum intensity Z-

slice projections. Brightness and contrast of images were optimized for print.

Immunocytochemistry and live cell imaging

Parasites were fixed with freshly made 4% paraformaldehyde (Electron microscopy sciences, Catalog # 15714) in 1x PBS for 15 minutes at room temperature. Cells were washed three times in 1x PBS and permeabilized in 0.25% Triton X-100 (ThermoFisher) in 1x PBS for 15 minutes at room temperature. Cells were washed three times in 1x PBS and were blocked in 2% BSA in 1x PBS and incubated for 15 minutes. All antibodies were diluted in 2% BSA/1x PBS at the concentrations listed in the Table 4. Cells were incubated sequentially with primary and secondary antibody at room temperature for 30 minutes each, with 3 washes with 1x PBS between incubations. To stain DNA parasites were incubated in 10 µM DAPI diluted in 1x PBS for 10 minutes and then washed three times in 1x PBS. Coverslips were mounted onto slides using either Prolong Gold (ThermoFisher Catalog # P36930) or Prolong diamond (ThermoFisher Catalog # P36970) anti-fade reagent and allowed to dry overnight before imaging.

Growth media was replaced with Fluorobrite DMEM (ThermoFisher) containing 1% FBS and 1x antimycotic/antibiotic pre-warmed to 37 ˚C before live cell imaging.

Image analysis

Quantification of TgAPT1 Vesicle number

To determine the number of APT1 vesicles during parasite division. The apicoplasts were categorized according to their morphology to distinct stages of apicoplast division stage (interphase, elongation, and post fission) by visualizing apicoplast morphology with anti-Cpn60 IFA and expression of TgAPT1-EmGFP. Cell cycle stage by determined by expressing ptub-mCherry-TubulinA1 a marker for the pellicle of daughter and mother parasites. Number of vesicles

were counted manually on average intensity projection images using cell counter plugin in Fiji

(Fiji is Just ImageJ; http://imageJ.net). Three independent experiments were performed with 25

parasites per experiment counted for each stage of division.

Characterization of TgAPT1 vesicle motion

To characterize TgAPT1 vesicle motion, vesicle tracking analysis was performed using Imaris

v9.9.0 microscopy image analysis software (Bitplane AG, Oxford Instruments). Z-stack movies

that were bleach corrected in ImageJ using the histogram matching algorithm were uploaded to

Imaris and brightness and contrast was auto-adjusted using the "normalize time point" extension.

Movies were cropped to remove areas that did not contain apicoplast and vesicles. To prevent

aberrant tracking inside the apicoplast itself, the apicoplasts were demarcated from vesicles using

the surface tool with a lower surface threshold of 1500 arbitrary units (a.u.). Vesicles were

identified using the spots creation wizard. Size parameter (estimated XY diameter) was 0.3 µm.

To distinguish between vesicles and background fluorescence, only objects with a fluorescence

intensity above 150 a.u. were tracked. Tracks were created using autoregressive motion algorithm.

To be considered true vesicles, vesicles must be located within 5 µm from the apicoplast surface.

A maximum gap of 2 frames was permitted, (i.e., maximum number of the consecutive time points

that a track segment can be missed to be considered continuous). Tracks with less than 5 data

points were excluded from the analysis as it was not possible to accurately assign a straightness

value. Two independent experiments with a total of 14-17 videos were used for this analysis.

Straightness values were calculated by determining the ratio of track displacement (the distance

between first and last positions of the vesicle) to track length (total distance traveled).

Measuring apicoplast to centrosome distance

Distance between the elongated apicoplast and centrosomes was determined using the straight-line

tool in ImageJ by measuring the closest distance from each centrosome to the apicoplast. The

distance was considered as 0 when there was an overlap between fluorescence pixels in each

channel. Three independent experiments were performed (N=108 and 93 from control and

knockdown respectively).

Measuring apicoplast length

Elongated apicoplast length was measured using segmented/straight line tool in Fiji. Three

independent experiments were performed (N=60 parasites total).

Daughter cell-apicoplast proximity categories

For determining the apicoplast proximity to growing daughter cells, we visualized apicoplast

localization (Cpn60 staining) in growing daughter parasites (based on eGFP-tubulin). Daughter

proximity to the apicoplast was determined visually and categorized as being near to both the

daughters (2D), near to one of the daughters (1D) or not close to either daughter (none). Three

independent experiments were performed (N=60 total).

TgAtg8 localization

TgAtg8 localization during different stages of apicoplast division was determined using anti-Ty

antibody staining (kind donation of Dr. Chris de Graffienried: Brown University). Two

independent experiments were performed (25 parasites per experiment).

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism software. Statistics on data sets were

performed using unpaired t-tests. P-values <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Superplots were made as described in Lord et al., [45].

RESULTS

The number of TgAPT1-EmGFP vesicles in the cytosol increases during apicoplast

elongation

To investigate the mechanisms of apicoplast division and apicoplast protein trafficking from the

ER, we generated a parasite line where the endogenous TgAPT1 gene was tagged with EmGFP

(Emerald green fluorescent protein) (Fig. 1A and 1B; Fig S1) as TgAPT1 was previously observed

localizing to vesicles in the parasite cytosol and the apicoplast [15,46]. To demarcate the parasite

periphery and enable quantification of vesicle number at each stage of the parasite division cycle,

mCherry-TubulinA1 was transiently expressed in parasites for ~18h prior to fixation and staining

with anti-Cpn60 antibody, a marker of the apicoplast stroma. Based on the apicoplast shape and

the presence and size of daughters, parasites were categorized as interphase (no daughter parasites,

circular apicoplast), elongating (small daughters, elongated apicoplast) or post-fission (large

daughters, circular apicoplast in each daughter) and the number of vesicles per parasite was

determined at each cell cycle stage. In interphase parasites, TgAPT1 forms a ring that surrounds

the TgCpn60 signal consistent with its localization at the apicoplast membrane (Fig. 1B) and the

number of TgAPT1 positive (TgAPT1+) vesicles per parasite is low (1.15±0.07, mean±SEM) (Fig.

1B and 1C). During elongation, the number of TgAPT1 vesicles in the cytosol increased 7-fold to

8.08±0.5 vesicles per parasite (Fig. 1B and 1C). After apicoplast fission and segregation into the

daughter cells, the number of TgAPT1 vesicles per parasite decreased to 3.68±0.2 (Fig. 1B and

1C). This data demonstrates that the vesicle trafficking to the apicoplast is cell cycle dependent

and occurs predominantly during apicoplast elongation. Interestingly, Cpn60, a nuclear encoded

protein that localizes to the apicoplast stroma, was not found in TgAPT1+ vesicles (Fig. 1B, inset).

Actin depolymerization and TgMyoF knockdown leads to increased number of TgAPT1-
EmGFP vesicles in the cytoplasm

286   TgACT1 and TgMyoF are cytoskeletal proteins with previously established roles in vesicle

287   transport [36,37]. Therefore, we wanted to determine if these proteins play a role in vesicle

288   trafficking to the apicoplast. To do this a previously generated auxin inducible TgMyoF

289   knockdown parasite line TgMyoF-mAID [37] was modified to express TgAPT1-EmGFP under

290   the endogenous TgAPT1 promoter from the dispensable UPRT locus to create TgMyoF-

291   AID/TgAPT1-GFP parasite line (Fig. S2A).

292   To knockdown TgMyoF, TgMyoF-AID; TgAPT1-GFP parasites were treated for 15 hours

with ethanol (control) or 500 μM IAA, which results in depletion of TgMyoF to undetectable

levels after 4 hours [37]. To depolymerize actin, TgAPT1-EmGFP parasites were treated with

DMSO (control) or 0.2 μM cytochalasin D (CD) for 12h prior to fixation. Parasites transiently

expressing mCherry-Tubulin were categorized as interphase, elongation or post-fission as

described for figure 1. Both actin depolymerization and TgMyoF depletion resulted in an

approximately 2-fold increase in the number of TgAPT1-EmGFP positive vesicles at all stages of

the apicoplast division (Fig. 2A-F; Fig. S3 & S4). In both treatment conditions, an apicoplast

inheritance defect was observed as expected [35] (Fig. 2A&2D; magenta arrow). "Apicoplast-less"

parasites contained the highest accumulation of vesicles in comparison to all other stages of

apicoplast division (Fig. 2C and F).

TgAPT1 vesicles exhibit predominately diffusive-like motion

Next, we sought to describe the motion characteristics of TgAPT1+ vesicles, as the

previous work demonstrated that other vesicle types within the parasite including dense granules,

Rab6+ and Rop1+ vesicles were transported throughout the parasite cytosol in an actin and

TgMyoF dependent manner [36,37]. TgAPT1-EmGFP parasites in the elongation phase of

apicoplast division were imaged by live cell microscopy. Visual inspection of these recordings

revealed two striking observations. First, TgAPT1-EmGFP vesicles were found in the parasite

cytosol, confirming observations from the fixed cell experiments (Fig. 1 and 2). The motion of

these vesicles was predominately diffusive (Fig 3A; inset 2), although a small number of vesicles

exhibited directed motion, i.e., – sustained movement in a single direction for continuous frames

(Fig. 3A; inset 1) (Video S1). Secondly, elongated apicoplasts was not static, linear structures, but

exhibited changes in morphology throughout the 2-5min imaging period (Fig. 4; Video S2).

To quantify vesicle movement in an automated and unbiased manner, vesicle motion

analysis was performed using spot creation wizard Imaris microscopy image analysis software

(https://imaris.oxinst.com/)(Fig. 3B). To prevent aberrant vesicle tracking inside the fluorescently

labeled apicoplast a surface mask was created over the apicoplast itself (Fig 3B; raw vs. surface).

Vesicles were automatically detected based on size and fluorescent intensity (Fig. 3B; surface and

vesicles) (See materials and methods for details). Vesicles that are transported in an active, motor

driven manner typically exhibit "directed trajectories", i.e.-vesicles move in approximately a

straight trajectory [36], while diffusive vesicles exhibit random movements. Thus, to determine

the number of vesicles exhibiting diffusive or directed movement track straightness was calculated

by determining the ratio of track displacement (the distance between first and last positions of the

vesicle) to track length (total distance traveled). A straightness value close to zero indicates random

motion, i.e. – track length is greater than the distance between the first and last positions indicating

random motion. A straightness value close to 1 indicates that the total distance travelled and the

distance between the first and last positions were similar indicating that the vesicle moved in

approximately a straight line. Vesicle tracks observed in the first 20 seconds of imaging are color

coded according to straightness (Fig. 3B). A frequency distribution of the straightness values

indicates that over 80% of vesicles have a straightness value less than 0.5 and a mean straightness

value was 0.17 ± 0.15 confirming that apicoplast vesicle motion is predominantly diffusive in nature

(Fig. 3C). We then compared the track displacement length for trajectories that had a straightness

value greater or less than 0.5. Randomly moving vesicles (with a straightness value <0.5) had a

mean track displacement value of 0.3μm. While vesicles with straighter trajectories moved twice

as far with a mean displacement of 0.59 μm (Fig. 3D).

TgAPT1-EmGFP vesicle motion is dependent on TgMyoF and Actin

Next, we sought to determine if actin depolymerization or TgMyoF knockout altered

vesicle motion. TgAPT1-EmGFP parasites were grown for 18h prior to treatment with 2 μM CD

or equivalent volume of DMSO for 30 min before live cell imaging (Fig. 3E) (Video S3), while to

deplete TgMyoF, TgMyoF-AID; APT1-EmGFP parasites were grown for 15 hours with ethanol

or 500μm IAA before live cell imaging (Fig. 3F) (Video S4).

Vesicles movements were again tracked with Imaris as described above. Actin

depolymerization or TgMyoF knockout had no effect on the mean straightness values for DMSO,

CD, Ethanol and IAA treated parasites were 0.18±0.18, 0.14±0.13, 0.2±0.17 and 0.17±0.17

respectively (Fig. 3G and 3H). Although, upon loss of F-actin and TgMyoF, the number of vesicles

in the cytosol was increased compared to controls. In all cases (treatment and control) the

percentage of vesicles with a straightness value <0.5 was ~80%. To determine if the movement of

the remaining ~20% of vesicles was TgMyoF and actin driven, vesicles with a straightness value

greater than 0.5 were analyzed further. Since vesicles with a straightness value with greater than

0.5 exhibited longer track displacement (Mean length was 0.59μm (Fig. 3D)) we defined "directed

trajectories" as those with a straightness value >0.5 and a track displacement length of at least

0.5μm. In no treatment, DMSO- and ethanol-treated parasites, the percentage of vesicle which met

these criteria were 9.5%, 10.3% and 11% for respectively. Upon actin depolymerization with CD

or TgMyoF knockdown this percentage was significantly reduced to 4.7% and 6.8% respectively

(Fig. 3I).

The apicoplast undergoes morphological changes during elongation

Live cell imaging of TgAPT1-EmGFP parasites also demonstrated that the apicoplast

morphology in the elongation phase of apicoplast division was highly variable between individual

vacuoles. In some vacuoles, the apicoplast appeared to be highly dynamic exhibiting alternating

linear, U-shaped and branched morphologies throughout the ~2-minute imaging period (Fig. 4A;

top panel) (Video S2), while others were static in the elongation phase of apicoplast division

(Figure 4A; bottom panel) (Video S2). Given this variability, we quantified the percentage of

apicoplasts that were highly dynamic and exhibited rapid changes in morphology during the course

of imaging (102 seconds) (Fig. 4A and B). ""Dynamic" apicoplasts were defined as those that exhibited one or more of the following morphological changes: extending and/or retracting, bending, or branch formation, or branch movement (Fig. 4A; top panel). 63±6.1% (Mean±SEM) of the apicoplasts were highly dynamic (Fig. 4B). Whereas 37±6.1% (Mean±SEM) of parasites contained either less dynamic apicoplasts, exhibiting subtle changes in morphology during the course of live cell imaging, or were static with no observable changes in the morphology throughout the ~2-minute imaging time (Fig 4A and B).

Apicoplast dynamics requires actin and TgMyoF proteins

Considering the dynamic nature of the apicoplast, we wanted to determine if these dynamics are dependent on actin and TgMyoF. To depolymerize actin, TgAPT1-EmGFP parasites were again treated with 2 μM cytochalasin D or equivalent volume of DMSO for 30 min before live cell imaging (Fig. 4C). 82±2.9% (Mean±SEM) of the apicoplasts in control DMSO-treated parasites were highly dynamic, while only 9±6.6% (Mean±SEM) of the apicoplasts in the cytochalasin D treated parasites were highly dynamic (Fig. 4D). To test the role of TgMyoF in apicoplast dynamics, TgMyoF-mAID; TgAPT1-EmGFP parasites treated with 500 μM indole acetic acid (IAA) to induce TgMyoF knockdown for 15h prior to live cell imaging (Fig. 4E). Similar to the cytochalasin D treatment, TgMyoF knockdown resulted in reduced apicoplast dynamics. The percentage of parasites with a highly dynamic apicoplast was significantly reduced from 86±4% (Mean±SEM) in control parasites to 22±13% in the TgMyoF KD parasites (Fig. 4F).

The apicoplast dynamically associates with static centrosomes

Apicoplast association with the centrosomes is a crucial step in the apicoplast division cycle. In the first step in the cell division cycle, the centrosome migrates to the basal end of the nucleus and duplicates, then both centrosomes return to the apical side of the nucleus where they associate with the apicoplast [25,47]. The dynamics of the elongated apicoplast have not previously been described and it is unclear if or how the apicoplast's interaction with the centrosomes, which are embedded in the nuclear envelope [48], is maintained during apicoplast movement.

To further explore this phenomenon, wild type parasites were transiently transfected with plasmids containing FNR-RFP (a marker for the apicoplast; [25]) and Centrin 1-GFP expression cassettes and visualized using live-cell microscopy [49]. In parasites with highly dynamic, elongated apicoplasts the centrosomes were not always associated with the tips of the apicoplast but were frequently associated with the sides of the elongated apicoplast (Fig. 5A; inset 1 and 3) (Video S5). The apicoplast appears to slide in relation to the static centrosome (Fig. 5A, Inset 1, Video S6). The apicoplast was observed to undergo branching when the centrosome was associated with the side rather than the tip of the apicoplast (Fig. 5A; Inset 3, magenta arrow) (Video S7). The most dramatic morphological changes occurred when the apicoplast was associated with only a single centrosome (Figure 5A, Inset 2). The apicoplast was observed extending towards the second centrosome before retracting backwards. In contrast to the dynamics observed in the elongation phase of apicoplast division, interphase and post-fission apicoplasts were static. In interphase parasites the apicoplast was circular and did not change shape over the course of imaging (Fig. 5B; upper panel) (Video S8). After apicoplast fission, the apicoplast

remains elongated for a time before retraction into a circular morphology. Post-fission elongated

apicoplasts are static over the course of the imaging period. The apicoplast movement and

branching that were observed prior to fission are no longer observed and centrosomes are statically

associated with the apicoplast tips (Fig. 5B; lower panel) (Videos S9).

TgMyoF knockdown results in the disruption of the apicoplast and centrosome
interaction

It has previously been demonstrated that loss of TgMyoF affects centrosome positioning

and the orientation of daughter cell growth within the mother resulting in a high number of "upside

down" daughter parasites [35]. However, "upside down" daughters are also observed in wildtype

parasites ([35]; Fig 6), and so it is unclear why the apicoplast fails to be inherited by "upside down"

parasites in the TgMyoF knockout. Our live cell imaging of apicoplast and centrosome

interactions, suggest that apicoplast dynamics are important for initiating the interaction between

these two structures and positioning of the centrosomes at the apicoplast tips. Therefore, we

directly investigated if the loss of TgMyoF, which disrupted apicoplast dynamics (Fig. 4), also

disrupted apicoplast-centrosome interactions. TgMyoF-mAID parasites transiently expressing the

centrosome marker GFP-Centrin1 were grown for 12 hours post invasion and then treated with

ethanol or 500 μM IAA for 6 hours before fixation. This shortened IAA treatment time compared

with previous experiments allowed us to visualize the apicoplast and centrosomes in the first cell

division cycle after depletion of TgMyoF. Parasites were stained with an anti-Cpn60 antibody to

mark the apicoplast and an anti-IMC1 antibody to mark the pellicle of both mother and daughter

parasites. In control parasites, the centrosomes are associated with either the sides or the tips of

the apicoplast (Fig. 6A). However, in TgMyoF knockout parasites, which contain daughter

parasites comparable in size to the daughters in control parasites, two out of four parasites

contained an apicoplast that was associated with only a single centrosome (Fig. 6A; inset).

Quantification of the distance between the elongated apicoplast and the centrosomes revealed that

the average distance between the centrosome and apicoplast increased by 50% from 0.44±0.05 µm

(Mean±SEM) in control parasites to 1.03±0.08 µm in TgMyoF knockout parasites (Fig. 6B) and

only 24% of centrosomes associated with the apicoplast in TgMyoF knockdown parasites

compared to 55% in controls.

We next assessed how this loss of centrosome interaction affected apicoplast inheritance.

Elongated apicoplasts in 100% of control parasites were found to be in close proximity to both of

the growing daughter parasites. In early stages of division, the apicoplast was adjacent to the

daughter parasites (Fig. 7C; white arrow head). Later in division, both daughters contain one end

of the elongated apicoplast, even when one the daughters was in the "upside down" orientation

(Fig 7C; magenta arrowhead). Upon depletion of TgMyoF only 13.3% of elongated apicoplasts

were in close proximity to both the growing daughter parasites (2D) while 70% of the elongated

apicoplasts were in close proximity to only one of the daughter parasites (1D) (Fig. 6C; white

arrow), and 16.6% of the elongated apicoplasts were not found to be in close proximity to either

of the growing daughter parasites (none) (Fig. 6D and Fig. S5). Thus, the disruption of the

centrosome-apicoplast interaction leads to asymmetric segregation of the apicoplast into a single

daughter cell or to the residual body.

TgMyoF knockdown did not alter TgAtg8 localization with the apicoplast

Previously, it has been shown that TgAtg8 localizes to the apicoplast and that the loss of TgAtg8 disrupts the interaction between centrosomes and the elongated apicoplast resulting in asymmetric distribution of elongated apicoplast to the growing daughter parasites, reminiscent of the phenotype observed after TgMyoF depletion (Fig. 6A-D) [26]. To determine if loss of TgMyoF affected the localization of TgAtg8 at the apicoplast, TgMyoF-mAID parasites transiently expressing TgAtg8-3xTy under the endogenous TgAtg8 promoter were treated with ethanol or 500 μM IAA for 6h before fixation and staining with anti-Cpn60 antibody. TgAtg8 localized to the apicoplast at all stages of the apicoplast division cycle and knockdown of TgMyoF did not disrupt TgAtg8 localization (Fig. 6E and Fig. S6) indicating that the loss of interaction between centrosomes and the elongated apicoplast seen in the TgMyoF knockdown parasites is not due to mislocalization of TgAtg8.

TgMyoF knockdown results in reduced apicoplast length

Depolymerization of actin and knockdown of TgMyoF resulted in reduced apicoplast dynamics (Fig. 4) and increased accumulation of TgAPT1 vesicles (Fig. 2. Since loss of these proteins only had a minor impact on vesicle movement (Fig. 3), this data suggests that actin and TgMyoF play a role in TgAPT1 vesicle fusion with the apicoplast that would thereby limit the addition of new material (proteins and lipids) needed for a doubling of apicoplast contents before fission. Therefore, we accessed apicoplast length in the elongation phase of apicoplast division in the absence of TgMyoF. In order to compare parasites at the same stage of cell division, parasites were transiently transfected with a GFP-tubulin expression cassette in order to fluorescently label the pellicle of mother and daughter parasites. Parasites were grown for 12h prior to initiating a 6h

treatment with ethanol or 500 μM IAA. Parasites were then stained with anti-Cpn60 antibody and

DAPI before image acquisition (Fig. 7A). During elongation, TgMyoF knockdown resulted in a

~25% reduction in apicoplast length (1.54±0.05μm, mean±SEM) when compared to the control

parasites (2.11±0.05 μm, mean±SEM) (Fig. 7B). Apicoplast morphology was not disrupted in

TgMyoF knockdown parasites in interphase and post-fission stages of division (Fig. S7).

DISCUSSION

The apicoplast is an essential organelle in *T. gondii*. In order to maintain a functional

apicoplast, the parasite must execute two distinct yet essential cellular processes: Division of this

single copy organelle once per cell cycle to ensure each daughter parasite inherits one apicoplast,

and trafficking of nuclear encoded proteins to the apicoplast. We investigated the role of actin

and an associated myosin motor, TgMyoF, in these processes. While it had previously been

demonstrated that loss of actin and TgMyoF resulted in apicoplast inheritance defects [35], the

mechanistic role for these proteins had not been defined. Since we had previously established a

role for actin and TgMyoF in dense granule and Rab6 vesicle transport [36,37], determining if

this actomyosin system was also involved in apicoplast vesicle trafficking was also warranted.

The sequence of events that occur during the apicoplast division cycle had previously been

established via fixed cell immunofluorescence of assays or live-cell imaging with low temporal

resolution (on the order of minutes) [25,30] (Fig. 1A). This study reveals new insight into how this

sequence of events proceeds (Fig. 8). First, the transition from circular to elongated occurs before,

and is not dependent on centrosome association, as elongated apicoplasts that are not centrosome

associated are frequently observed (Fig. 5 and 6). As a consequence, the elongated apicoplast must

orient itself such that each tip of the organelle is associated with a single centrosome. Live cell

imaging with high temporal resolution has provided insight into how this is achieved. The

centrosome associates with both the sides and tips of the elongated apicoplast. Centrosome sliding

along the apicoplast side and branch formation and retraction are likely important for positioning

of the centrosome at the apicoplast tips. Consistent with this the localization of TgAtg8 which

plays a role in mediating these interactions, is not restricted to the apicoplast tips but found on the

entire apicoplast surface (Fig. 6). Loss of TgMyoF results in decreased apicoplast dynamics (Fig.

4) and centrosome association (Fig. 6), which ultimately leads to apicoplast inheritance defects.

Despite the defects in centrosome-apicoplast association in the absence of TgMyoF, TgAtg8

remains localized at the apicoplast. Collectively, this data indicates that actomyosin-driven

apicoplast dynamics are important to facilitate the association between these two organelles and

for positioning of the centrosomes at the apicoplast tips.

While it has long been recognized that apicoplast protein trafficking to the apicoplast is

a vital cellular process, our understanding of this trafficking process is incomplete. A number of

apicoplast proteins show dual localization to the apicoplast and cytosolic vesicles indicating

that vesicular trafficking is one mechanism by which proteins reach the apicoplast. Outstanding

questions remained regarding the timing of this trafficking and the proteomic make up of these

vesicles. Using TgAPT1 as a marker, this study demonstrates that vesicle trafficking is

coordinated with the apicoplast division cycle with the number of vesicles increasing 7-fold

during elongation. We hypothesize that the increased trafficking during elongation provides

additional lipids and proteins required for increasing apicoplast volume prior to division. The

number of vesicles in the cytosol decreases post-fission, as presumably vesicles fuse with the

apicoplast.

Vesicle trafficking is a multi-step process involving vesicle formation and budding from

the donor compartment, transport, docking/tethering to the target membrane and finally vesicle

fusion. A 12-hour treatment with CD to induce actin depolymerization and 15-hour treatment

with IAA to deplete TgMyoF caused to a significant increase in the number of vesicles in the

cytosol at all phases of the cell division cycle. However, we cannot definitively pinpoint which

step of the vesicle trafficking pathway is defective in the absence of this actomyosin system.

The increased number of vesicles each cell division stage indicates that vesicle formation is not

affected, with the caveat that our imaging does not have the temporal or spatial resolution to

image individual budding events and so this could not be quantified directly. By tracking the

motion of individual vesicles in live parasites we demonstrate that vesicle motion appears

predominately diffusive-like. With ~80% of vesicles moving in a random manner, i.e., those

with a straightness value less than 0.5 (Fig. 3). "Directed" movements were defined as those

with a straightness value greater than 0.5 and a displacement length greater than 500nm. Only

~10% of vesicles in control parasites met these criteria. Actin depolymerization or TgMyoF

depletion reduced this percentage by ~50% (Fig. 3). Therefore, we conclude that only a small

proportion of vesicles are transported in an actomyosin dependent manner. Given that 90% of

vesicles exhibit diffusive motion it is unlikely that the small change in the percentage of

vesicles exhibiting directed motor driven movement can account for the large accumulation in

vesicles seen after CD and IAA treatment. We interpret the increase in vesicle number at all

stages of the cell cycle to be due to vesicles that are formed during elongation but which failed

to fuse with the apicoplast. These vesicles remain in the cytosol when the parasites exit the cell

cycle and enter interphase. Recent work has shown that the R-SNARE TgVAMP4-2 localizes

on the outer membrane of the apicoplast is essential for the trafficking of four luminal and two

membrane apicoplast proteins [50]. A full characterization of TgVAMP4-2 and the other

components of this SNARE complex (yet to be identified), is required for full understanding of

the mechanisms of vesicle fusion with the apicoplast.

Consistent with the idea that vesicle fusion is disrupted upon loss of F-actin and

TgMyoF, the increased vesicle accumulation was accompanied by decreased apicoplast length

during elongation which could result from insufficient delivery of lipids and proteins to the

apicoplast prior to division. Despite the shortened length, apicoplast elongation did occur in the

absence of F-actin and TgMyoF demonstrating that these proteins are not the main drivers of

membrane deformation.

TgAPT1 is a membrane associated apicoplast protein and does not contain the canonical

signal peptide and apicoplast transit sequence found in other apicoplast proteins, many of which

are found in the apicoplast lumen. Luminal proteins TgCpn60 and TgFNR were never observed

in TgAPT1 vesicles (Fig. 1; Fig S3 and S4), suggesting that there are two trafficking pathways

from the ER to the apicoplasts; one in which proteins are trafficking via vesicles and another

where trafficking occurs by an unknown mechanism. It has previously been suggested that ER-

apicoplast trafficking could occur via membrane contact sites although the experimental data to

support this hypothesis is limited and requires further investigation [51].

There are still a number of important outstanding questions that need to be addressed in

future studies. How does this actomyosin system interact with the apicoplast in order to drive

apicoplast dynamics during elongation? What role do TgMyoF and F-actin play in vesicle

docking or fusion with the apicoplast? What proteins drive the dramatic transition from a circular

to elongated morphology that occurs at the start of apicoplast division?

Overall, our results demonstrate that the actomyosin system comprising actin and TgMyoF

play two distinct roles in apicoplast function: Trafficking of ER derived vesicles to the apicoplast

and controlling apicoplast dynamics which is needed for apicoplast-centrosome association. This

study has refined our understanding of steps in apicoplast division and provides new insights into

the mechanisms and timing of protein trafficking to the apicoplast.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the members of the Heaslip Lab for helpful discussion during the course of these

experiments. We thank our colleagues for sharing reagents: Dr. Gary Ward (University of

Vermont) for the IMC-1 antibody; Dr. Boris Striepen (University of Pennsylvania) for the anti-

Cpn60 antibody. Dr. Ke Hu (Arizona State University) for pTub-mcherry-TubA1 and pTub-

eGFP-TubA1, pTub-FNR-RFP plasmids. Dr. Sebastian Besterio (Univ. Montpellier, France) for

the pTub-tdTomato-TgAtg8 expression plasmid

FUNDING INFORMATION:

This work was funded by the National Institute of General Medical Sciences 1R35GM138316-01

awarded to ATH. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and interpretation, the

decision to submit the work for publication or manuscript preparation. The authors declare that

no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Torgerson PR, Mastroiacovo P. The global burden of congenital toxoplasmosis: a systematic review. Bull World Heal Organ. 2013 Jul;91(7):501–8.

2. Renaud EA, Pamukcu S, Cerutti A, Berry L, Lemaire-Vieille C, Yamaryo-Botté Y, et al. Disrupting the plastidic iron-sulfur cluster biogenesis pathway in Toxoplasma gondii has pleiotropic effects irreversibly impacting parasite viability. J Biol Chem [Internet]. 2022 Aug 1 [cited 2022 Nov 2];298(8). Available from: http://www.jbc.org/article/S0021925822006858/fulltext

3. Waller RF, Keeling PJ, Donald RGK, Striepen B, Handman E, Lang-Unnasch N, et al. Nuclear-encoded proteins target to the plastid in Toxoplasma gondii and Plasmodium falciparum. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1998;95(21):12352–7.

4. Yeh E, DeRisi JL. Chemical Rescue of Malaria Parasites Lacking an Apicoplast Defines Organelle Function in Blood-Stage Plasmodium falciparum. PLOS Biol [Internet]. 2011 Aug [cited 2022 Nov 2];9(8):e1001138. Available from: https://journals.plos.org/plosbiology/article?id=10.1371/journal.pbio.1001138

5. Lim L, McFadden GI. The evolution, metabolism and functions of the apicoplast. Philos Trans R Soc B Biol Sci [Internet]. 2010 Mar 12 [cited 2022 Nov 2];365(1541):749–63. Available from: https://royalsocietypublishing.org/doi/10.1098/rstb.2009.0273

6. Bergmann A, Floyd K, Key M, Dameron C, Rees KC, Brock Thornton L, et al. Toxoplasma gondii requires its plant-like heme biosynthesis pathway for infection. PLOS

Pathog [Internet]. 2020 May 1 [cited 2022 Nov 2];16(5):e1008499. Available from: https://journals.plos.org/plospathogens/article?id=10.1371/journal.ppat.1008499

7. Sheiner L, Vaidya AB, McFadden GI. The metabolic roles of the endosymbiotic organelles of Toxoplasma and Plasmodium spp. Curr Opin Microbiol. 2013 Aug 1;16(4):452–8.

8. Brooks CF, Johnsen H, van Dooren GG, Muthalagi M, Lin SS, Bohne W, et al. The Toxoplasma Apicoplast Phosphate Translocator Links Cytosolic and Apicoplast Metabolism and Is Essential for Parasite Survival. Cell Host Microbe [Internet]. 2010 Jan 21 [cited 2022 Nov 2];7(1):62–73. Available from: http://www.cell.com/article/S1931312809004120/fulltext

9. Fichera ME, Roos DS. A plastid organelle as a drug target in apicomplexan parasites. Nature. 1997;390(6658):407–9.

10. He CY, Shaw MK, Pletcher CH, Striepen B, Tilney LG, Roos DS. A plastid segregation defect in the protozoan parasite Toxoplasma gondii. EMBO J. 2001;20(3):330–9.

11. Pfefferkorn ER, Nothnagel RF, Borotz SE. Parasiticidal effect of clindamycin on Toxoplasma gondii grown in cultured cells and selection of a drug-resistant mutant. Antimicrob Agents Chemother [Internet]. 1992 [cited 2022 Nov 2];36(5):1091–6. Available from: https://journals.asm.org/doi/10.1128/AAC.36.5.1091

12. Harb OS, Chatterjee B, Fraunholz MJ, Crawford MJ, Nishi M, Roos DS. Multiple functionally redundant signals mediate targeting to the apicoplast in the apicomplexan

parasite Toxoplasma gondii. Eukaryot Cell [Internet]. 2004 Jun [cited 2022 Nov

2];3(3):663–74. Available from: https://journals.asm.org/doi/10.1128/EC.3.3.663-

674.2004

13.   DeRocher A, Hagen CB, Froehlich JE, Feagin JE, Parsons M. Analysis of targeting

sequences demonstrates that trafficking to the Toxoplasma gondii plastid branches off the

secretory system. J Cell Sci [Internet]. 2000 Nov 15 [cited 2022 Nov 2];113(22):3969–77.

Available from: https://journals.biologists.com/jcs/article/113/22/3969/26332/Analysis-of-

targeting-sequences-demonstrates-that

14.   Parsons M, Karnataki A, Feagin JE, DeRocher A. Protein trafficking to the apicoplast:

deciphering the apicomplexan solution to secondary endosymbiosis. Eukaryot Cell

[Internet]. 2007 Jul [cited 2022 Dec 14];6(7):1081–8. Available from:

https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17513565/

15.   Derocher AE, Karnataki A, Vaney P, Parsons M. Apicoplast Targeting of a Toxoplasma

gondii Transmembrane Protein Requires a Cytosolic Tyrosine-Based Motif. Traffic.

2012;13(5):694–704.

16.   DeRocher AE, Coppens I, Karnataki A, Gilbert LA, Rome ME, Feagin JE, et al. A

thioredoxin family protein of the apicoplast periphery identifies abundant candidate

transport vesicles in Toxoplasma gondii. Eukaryot Cell [Internet]. 2008;7(9):1518–29.

Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18586952

17.   Karnataki A, Derocher AE, Coppens I, Feagin JE, Parsons M. A Membrane Protease is

Targeted to the Relict Plastid of Toxoplasma via an Internal Signal Sequence. Traffic

[Internet]. 2007 Nov 1 [cited 2022 Nov 4];8(11):1543–53. Available from:

https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1111/j.1600-0854.2007.00637.x

18. Karnataki A, DeRocher AE, Feagin JE, Parsons M. Sequential processing of the

Toxoplasma apicoplast membrane protein FtsH1 in topologically distinct domains during

intracellular trafficking. Mol Biochem Parasitol. 2009 Aug 1;166(2):126–33.

19. McFadden GI, Yeh E. The apicoplast: now you see it, now you don't. Int J Parasitol

[Internet]. 2017 Feb 1 [cited 2022 Dec 14];47(2–3):137–44. Available from:

https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27773518/

20. Van Dooren GG, Tomova C, Agrawal S, Humbel BM, Striepen B. Toxoplasma gondii

Tic20 is essential for apicoplast protein import. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A [Internet]. 2008

Sep 9 [cited 2022 Dec 14];105(36):13574–9. Available from:

https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/18757752/

21. Sheiner L, Fellows JD, Ovciarikova J, Brooks CF, Agrawal S, Holmes ZC, et al.

Toxoplasma gondii Toc75 Functions in Import of Stromal but not Peripheral Apicoplast

Proteins. Traffic [Internet]. 2015 Dec 1 [cited 2022 Dec 14];16(12):1254–69. Available

from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26381927/

22. Felsner G, Sommer MS, Gruenheit N, Hempel F, Moog D, Zauner S, et al. ERAD

components in organisms with complex red plastids suggest recruitment of a preexisting

protein transport pathway for the periplastid membrane. Genome Biol Evol [Internet].

2011 [cited 2022 Dec 14];3(1):140–50. Available from:

https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21081314/

23.   Sommer MS, Gould SB, Lehmann P, Gruber A, Przyborski JM, Maier UG. Der1-

mediated preprotein import into the periplastid compartment of chromalveolates? Mol

Biol Evol [Internet]. 2007 Apr [cited 2022 Dec 14];24(4):918–28. Available from:

https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17244602/

24.   Mallo N, Fellows J, Johnson C, Sheiner L. Protein Import into the Endosymbiotic

Organelles of Apicomplexan Parasites. Genes (Basel) [Internet]. 2018 Aug 14 [cited 2022

Dec 14];9(8). Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30110980/

25.   Striepen B, Crawford MJ, Shaw MK, Tilney LG, Seeber F, Roos DS. The plastid of

Toxoplasma gondii is divided by association with the centrosomes. J Cell Biol.

2000;151(7):1423–34.

26.   Lévêque MF, Berry L, Cipriano MJ, Nguyen HM, Striepen B, Besteiro S. Autophagy-

related protein ATG8 has a noncanonical function for apicoplast inheritance in

toxoplasma gondii. MBio [Internet]. 2015 Oct 27 [cited 2022 Nov 4];6(6). Available

from: https://journals.asm.org/doi/10.1128/mBio.01446-15

27.   Cheng L, Tian Y, Wang Y, Wang T, Yao Y, Yu H, et al. Toxoplasma TgAtg8-TgAtg3

Interaction Primarily Contributes to Apicoplast Inheritance and Parasite Growth in

Tachyzoite . Microbiol Spectr [Internet]. 2022 Feb 23 [cited 2022 Nov 4];10(1). Available

from: https://journals.asm.org/doi/10.1128/spectrum.01495-21

28.   Mizushima N, Sahani MH. ATG8 localization in apicomplexan parasites.

676   http://dx.doi.org/104161/auto32183 [Internet]. 2014 Sep 1 [cited 2022 Nov

677   4];10(9):1487–94. Available from:

678   https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.4161/auto.32183

679   29.   Walczak M, Ganesan SM, Niles JC, Yeh E. ATG8 Is Essential Specifically for an

680   Autophagy-Independent Function in Apicoplast Biogenesis in Blood-Stage Malaria

681   Parasites. MBio [Internet]. 2018 Jan 1 [cited 2022 Nov 4];9(1). Available from:

682   https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29295911/

683   30.   Nishi M, Hu K, Murray JM, Roos DS. Organellar dynamics during the cell cycle of

684   Toxoplasma gondii. J Cell Sci [Internet]. 2008;121(Pt 9):1559–68. Available from:

685   https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18411248

686   31.   Francia ME, Striepen B. Cell division in apicomplexan parasites. Nat Rev Microbiol

687   [Internet]. 2014;12(2):125–36. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro3184

688   32.   van Dooren GG, Reiff SB, Tomova C, Meissner M, Humbel BM, Striepen B. A novel

689   dynamin-related protein has been recruited for apicoplast fission in Toxoplasma gondii.

690   Curr Biol [Internet]. 2009;19(4):267–76. Available from:

691   https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19217294

692   33.   Tosetti N, Pacheco NDS, Favre DS, Jacot D. Three f-actin assembly centers regulate

693   organelle inheritance, cell-cell communication and motility in toxoplasma gondii. Elife.

694   2019 Feb 1;8.

34.   Stortz JF, Del Rosario M, Singer M, Wilkes JM, Meissner M, Das S. Formin-2 drives

polymerisation of actin filaments enabling segregation of apicoplasts and cytokinesis in

Plasmodium falciparum. Elife. 2019;

35.   Jacot D, Daher W, Soldati-Favre D. Toxoplasma gondii myosin F, an essential motor for

centrosomes positioning and apicoplast inheritance. EMBO J [Internet]. 2013/05/23. 2013;

Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23695356

36.   Heaslip AT, Nelson SR, Warshaw DM. Dense granule trafficking in Toxoplasma gondii

requires a unique class 27 myosin and actin filaments. Mol Biol Cell [Internet].

2016;27(13):2080–9. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27146112

37.   Carmeille R, Lomoriello PS, Devarakonda PM, Kellermeier JA, Heaslip AT. Actin and an

unconventional myosin motor, TgMyoF, control the organization and dynamics of the

endomembrane network in Toxoplasma gondii [Internet]. Vol. 17, PLoS Pathogens. 2021.

1–32 p. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1008787

38.   Brown K, Long S, Sibley L. Conditional Knockdown of Proteins Using Auxin-inducible

Degron (AID) Fusions in Toxoplasma gondii. BIO-PROTOCOL. 2018;

39.   Brown KM, Long S, Sibley LD. Plasma membrane association by N-acylation governs

PKG function in Toxoplasma gondii. MBio. 2017;

40.   Roos DS, Donald RG, Morrissette NS, Moulton AL. Molecular tools for genetic

dissection of the protozoan parasite Toxoplasma gondii. Methods Cell Biol [Internet].

1994;45:27–63. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7707991

41.   Fox BA, Ristuccia JG, Gigley JP, Bzik DJ. Efficient gene replacements in Toxoplasma

716   gondii strains deficient for nonhomologous end joining. Eukaryot Cell [Internet]. 2009

717   Apr [cited 2022 Nov 4];8(4):520–9. Available from:

718   https://journals.asm.org/doi/10.1128/EC.00357-08

719   42.   Huynh MH, Carruthers VB. Tagging of endogenous genes in a Toxoplasma gondii strain

720   lacking Ku80. Eukaryot Cell [Internet]. 2009 Apr [cited 2022 Nov 4];8(4):530–9.

721   Available from: https://journals.asm.org/doi/10.1128/EC.00358-08

722   43.   Shen B, Brown KM, Lee TD, David Sibley L. Efficient gene disruption in diverse strains

723   of Toxoplasma gondii using CRISPR/CAS9. MBio [Internet]. 2014 May 13 [cited 2022

724   Dec 14];5(3). Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24825012/

725   44.   Donald RGK, Roos DS. Insertional mutagenesis and marker rescue in a protozoan

726   parasite: cloning of the uracil phosphoribosyltransferase locus from Toxoplasma gondii.

727   Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A [Internet]. 1995 Jun 6 [cited 2022 Dec 14];92(12):5749–53.

728   Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/7777580/

729   45.   Lord SJ, Velle KB, Mullins RD, Fritz-Laylin LK. SuperPlots: Communicating

730   reproducibility and variability in cell biology. J Cell Biol. 2020;

731   46.   Bouchut A, Geiger JA, Derocher AE, Parsons M. Vesicles bearing Toxoplasma apicoplast

732   membrane proteins persist following loss of the relict plastid or Golgi body disruption.

733   PLoS One [Internet]. 2014 Nov 1 [cited 2022 Dec 14];9(11). Available from:

734   https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25369183/

735   47.   Hartmann J, Hu K, He CY, Pelletier L, Roos DS, Warren G. Golgi and centrosome cycles

736   in Toxoplasma gondii. Mol Biochem Parasitol. 2006 Jan;145(1):125–7.

737   48.   Suvorova ES, Francia M, Striepen B, White MW. A Novel Bipartite Centrosome

738   Coordinates the Apicomplexan Cell Cycle. PLOS Biol [Internet]. 2015 Mar 3 [cited 2022

739   Nov 4];13(3):e1002093. Available from:

740   https://journals.plos.org/plosbiology/article?id=10.1371/journal.pbio.1002093

741   49.   Hu K. Organizational changes of the daughter basal complex during the parasite

742   replication of Toxoplasma gondii. PLoS Pathog. 2008;4(1):0108–21.

743   50.   Cao S, Yang J, Fu J, Chen H, Jia H. The dissection of SNAREs reveals key factors for

744   vesicular trafficking to the endosome-like compartment and apicoplast via the secretory

745   system in toxoplasma gondii. MBio [Internet]. 2021 Aug 1 [cited 2022 Nov 4];12(4).

746   Available from: https://journals.asm.org/doi/10.1128/mBio.01380-21

747   51.   Vaishnava S, Morrison DP, Gaji RY, Murray JM, Entzeroth R, Howe DK, et al. Plastid

748   segregation and cell division in the apicomplexan parasite Sarcocystis neurona. J Cell Sci

749   [Internet]. 2005 Aug 1 [cited 2022 Nov 4];118(15):3397–407. Available from:

750   https://journals.biologists.com/jcs/article/118/15/3397/28470/Plastid-segregation-and-cell-

751   division-in-the

Figure 1

Figure 2.

Figure 3.

Figure 4.

Figure 5.

Figure 6.

Figure 7.

Interphase

Wildtype

Loss of F-actin or TgMyoF

Elongation

U-Shape

Post-fission

Apicoplast

Centrosome

Nucleus

ATG8

Time

Daughter Parasite

Figure 8.

Supplementary figures

Trafficking of nuclear-encoded apicoplast proteins depends on F-actin and Myosin F in *Toxoplasma gondii*

Devarakonda et al.,

Figure S1: Creation of TgAPT1-EmGFP parasite line

(A) Schematic of TgAPT1 genomic locus in parental Ku80 parasites and TgAPT1-EmGFP knock-in parasites. Binding sites of primers used for diagnostic PCR are indicated. Expected PCR product size with F1 and R1 primers is 1785bp. Expected PCR product size for F1 and R2 primers is 1053bp. (B) Diagnostic PCR with F1/R2 and F1/R1 primer pairs using genomic DNA from parental Ku80 and APT1 knock-in parasites as a template.

Figure S2: Creation of TgMyoF-AID: TgAPT1-EmGFP parasite line

(A) Schematic of UPRT genomic locus in parental TgMyoF-AID parasites and TgMyoF-AID:TgAPT1-EmGFP knock-in parasites. Binding sites of proteins used for diagnostic PCR are indicated. Expected PCR product size with F1 and R1 primers is 1101bp. Expected PCR product size for F1 and R2 primers is 2373bp. (B) Diagnostic PCR with F1/R1 and F1/R2 primer pairs using genomic DNA from parental and knock-in parasites as a template.

Figure S3: Actin depolymerization with cytochalasin D causes an accumulation of TgAPT1 vesicles in the cytosol

Fluorescent images of TgAPT1-EmGFP parasites treated with DMSO (control) or 0.2 μM cytochalasin D treated for 12 hours that were categorized as interphase, elongation and post-fission stages of the apicoplast division cycle. Parasites were fixed and stained with anti-Cpn60 antibody (a marker for the apicoplast shown in yellow) and DAPI (cyan). Panels are maximum intensity projections of deconvolved images. Scale bar represents 5 μm. White box indicates area used to make inset. Inset: Brightness and contrast of insets was adjusted to facilitate visualization of dimmer TgAPT1 vesicles. Inset scale bar represents 2 μm.

Figure S4: TgMyoF knockdown causes an accumulation of TgAPT1 vesicles in the cytosol

Fluorescent images of TgMyoF-mAID; TgAPT1-EmGFP parasites treated with ethanol (control) or 500 μM IAA treated for 15 hours and then categorized as being in the interphase, elongation and post-fission stages of the apicoplast division cycle. Parasites were fixed and stained with anti-Cpn60 antibody (a marker for the apicoplast shown in yellow) and DAPI (cyan). Panels are maximum intensity projections of deconvolved images. Scale bar represents 5 μm. White box indicates area used to make inset. Inset: Brightness and contrast of insets was adjusted to facilitate visualization of dimmer TgAPT1 vesicles. Inset scale bar represents 2 μm.

Figure S5: TgMyoF knockdown results in asymmetric localization of elongated apicoplast to daughter parasites.

Maximum intensity projection of deconvolved fluorescent images of TgMyoF-AID parasites treated with ethanol or IAA. Parasites are expressing eGFP-tubulin (magenta) stained with anti-Cpn60 antibody (yellow). Control parasites show that the elongated apicoplasts are in proximity to the daughter parasites (white arrowheads). Later in division, the tips of the elongated apicoplast are localized with the growing daughters (magenta arrowheads). Upon TgMyoF KD, there is an asymmetric localization of the elongated apicoplast to one of the daughter cells (white arrow). Parasites where the apicoplast association with the daughters appears normal is indicates with a white arrowhead. In some parasites the apicoplast is not associated with either daughter (indicated with an asterisk). Scale bar represents 5 μm.

Figure S6: TgMyoF knockdown does not disrupt ATG8 association with the apicoplast.

TgMyoF-mAID parasites ectopically expressing 3xTy-Atg8 under the Atg8 promoter were grown for 12 hours before treatment with ethanol (control) or IAA (TgMyoF KD) for 6 hours. Cell were stained with anti-Ty (magenta) and anti-Cpn60 antibodies (yellow), and DAPI.

Maximum intensity projections of deconvolved images of parasites during interphase, elongation and post-fission are shown. Scale bar represents 5 μm

Figure S7: TgMyoF knockdown did not lead to changes in apicoplats morphology in interphase of post-fission stages of apicoplast division.

(A) TgMyoF-mAID parasites expressing eGFP-tubulin (magenta) grown for 12 hours before treatment with ethanol (control) or IAA (TgMyoF KD) for 6 hours. Parasites with an anti-Cpn60 antibody (yellow) and DAPI (cyan). Parasites in interphase and post-fission stages of apicopalst divison are shown. Scale bar represents 5 μm.

Table S1: List of plasmids used in this study

Table S2: List of primers used in this study

Table S3: List of gene accession numbers associated with this study

Table S4: List of antibodies used in this study

Video S1: TgAPT1-EmGFP parasites were imaged in the elongation phase of apicoplast division. Images are maximum intensity projection of deconvolved images that contained 3 Z-slices with 0.4μm step size between planes. Imaging speed/stack was 2 stacks/second. Playback speed is 30fps or 15x real time.

Video S2: TgAPT1-EmGFP parasites were imaged in the elongation phase of apicoplast division. Examples of apicoplast that are dynamic (left) and static (right) over the imaging period. Images are maximum intensity projection of deconvolved images that contained 3 Z-

slices with 0.4μm step size between planes. Imaging speed/stack was 2 stacks/second. Playback speed is 40fps or 20x real time.

Video S3: TgAPT1-EmGFP parasites treated with DMSO (left) and CD (right) for 30 minutes were imaged in the elongation phase of apicoplast division. Images are maximum intensity projection of deconvolved images that contained 3 Z-slices with 0.4μm step size between planes. Imaging speed/stack was 2 stacks/second. Playback speed is 30fps or 15x real time.

Video S4: TgMyoF-AID: TgAPT1-EmGFP parasites treated with ethanol (left) or IAA (right) for 15 hours were imaged in the elongation phase of apicoplast division. Images are maximum intensity projection of deconvolved images that contained 3 Z-slices with 0.4μm step size between planes. Imaging speed/stack was 0.5 stacks/second. Playback speed is 25fps or 12x real time.

Video S5: RH parasites expressing and pmin-Centrin1-eGFP (centrosome marker; magenta) ptub-FNR-RFP (apicoplast marker; green) in the elongation phase of apicoplast division. Images are maximum intensity projection of deconvolved images that contained 5 Z-slices with 0.4um step size between planes. Images were acquired channel 1/channel 2 at each Z slice. Time lag between channels was ~0.4 seconds. Imaging speed is 4.6s/2-color stack. Total imaging time is 9 minutes. Playback speed is 20fps or 84x real time. Raw data was bleach and drift corrected as outlined in materials and methods

Video S6: Inset 1 from Video S8/Figure 5. Playback speed is 5fps or 22x real time.

Video S7: Inset 2 from Video S8/Figure 5. Playback speed is 10fps or 45x real time.

Video S8: Inset 3 from Video S8/Figure 5. Playback speed is 10fps or 22x real time.

Video S09: RH parasites expressing and pmin-Centrin1-eGFP (centrosome marker; magenta) ptub-FNR-RFP (apicoplast marker; green) in interphase and post-fission. Images are maximum intensity projections of deconvolved images that contained 5 Z-slices with 0.4μm step size between planes. Images were acquired channel 1/channel 2 at each Z slice. Time lag between channels was ~0.4 seconds. Imaging speed is 7.8s/2-color stack. Total imaging time is 2.5 minutes. Playback speed is 60x real time. Raw data was bleach and drift corrected as outlined in materials and methods

A

B

Figure S1: Creation of TgAPT1-EmGFP parasite line
(A) Schematic of TgAPT1 genomic locus in parental Ku80 parasites and TgAPT1-EmGFP knock-in parasites. Binding sites of primers used for diagnostic PCR are indicated. Expected PCR product size with F1 and R1 primers is 1785bp. Expected PCR product size for F1 and R2 primers is 1053bp. (B) Diagnostic PCR with F1/R2 and F1/R1 primer pairs using genomic DNA from parental Ku80 and APT1 knock-in parasites as a template.

Figure S2: Creation of TgMyoF-AID: TgAPT1-EmGFP parasite line

(A) Schematic of UPRT genomic locus in parental TgMyoF-AID parasites and TgMy-oF-AID:TgAPT1-EmGFP knock-in parasites. Binding sites of proteins used for diagnostic PCR are indicated. Expected PCR product size with F1 and R1 primers is 1101bp. Expected PCR product size for F1 and R2 primers is 2373bp. (B) Diagnostic PCR with F1/R1 and F1/R2 primer pairs using genomic DNA from parental and knock-in parasites as a template.

Figure S3: Actin depolymerization with cytochalasin D causes an accumulation of TgAPT1 vesicles in the cytosol

Fluorescent images of TgAPT1-EmGFP parasites treated with DMSO (control) or 0.2 mM cytochalasin D treated for 12 hours that were categorized as interphase, elongation and post-fission stages of the apicoplast division cycle. Parasites were fixed and stained with anti-Cpn60 antibody (a marker for the apicoplast shown in yellow) and DAPI (cyan). Panels are maximum intensity projections of deconvolved images. Scale bar represents 5 μm. White box indicates area used to make inset. Inset: Brightness and contrast of insets was adjusted to facilitate visualization of dimmer TgAPT1 vesicles. Inset scale bar represents 2 μm.

Figure S4: TgMyoF knockdown causes an accumulation of TgAPT1 vesicles in the cytosol

Fluorescent images of TgMyoF-mAID; TgAPT1-EmGFP parasites treated with ethanol (control) or 500 M IAA treated for 15 hours and then categorized as being in the inter-phase, elongation and post-fission stages of the apicoplast division cycle. Parasites were fixed and stained with anti-Cpn60 antibody (a marker for the apicoplast shown in yellow) and DAPI (cyan). Panels are maximum intensity projections of deconvolved images. Scale bar represents 5 μm. White box indicates area used to make inset. Inset: Brightness and contrast of insets was adjusted to facilitate visualization of dimmer TgAPT1 vesicles. Inset scale bar represents 2 μm.

Figure S5: TgMyoF knockdown results in asymmetric localization of elongated apicoplast to daughter parasites.

Maximum intensity projection of deconvolved fluorescent images of TgMyoF-AID parasites treated with ethanol or IAA. Parasites are expressing eGFP-tubulin (magenta) stained with anti-Cpn60 antibody (yellow). Control parasites show that the elongated apicoplasts are in proximity to the daughter parasites (white arrowheads). Later in division, the tips of the elongated apicoplast are localized with the growing daughters (magenta arrowheads). Upon TgMyoF KD, there is an asymmetric localization of the elongated apicoplast to one of the daughter cells (white arrow). Parasites where the apicoplast association with the daughters appears normal is indicates with a white arrowhead. In some parasites the apicoplast is not associated with either daughter (indicated with an asterisk). Scale bar represents 5 μm.

Figure S6: TgMyoF knockdown does not disrupt ATG8 association with the apicoplast.
TgMyoF-mAID parasites ectopically expressing 3xTy-Atg8 under the control of the Atg8
promoter were grown for 12 hours before treatment with ethanol (control) or IAA (TgMyoF
KD) for 6 hours. Cell were stained with anti-Ty (magenta) and anti-Cpn60 antibodies (yellow),
and DAPI (cyan). Maximum intensity projections of deconvolved images of parasites during
interphase, elongation and post-fission are shown. Scale bar represents 5 μm

Figure S7: TgMyoF knockdown did not lead to changes in apicoplat morphology in interphase or post-fission stages of apicoplast division.
TgMyoF-mAID parasites expressing eGFP-tubulin (magenta) grown for 12 hours before treatment with ethanol (control) or IAA (TgMyoF KD) for 6 hours. Parasites with an anti-Cpn60 antibody (yellow) and DAPI (cyan). Parasites in interphase and post-fission stages of apicopalst divison are shown. Scale bar represents 5 μm.

Table 1. List of Plasmids used in this study

Plasmid Name	Purpose	Reference
pTKOII_APT1_EmGFP	Endogenous tagging of APT1EmGFP genomic locus	This study
pUPRT_APT1_EmGFP_3'UPRT	Expression of APT1-EmGFP from UPRT locus	This study
pUPRT_MyoFp_mCherry_MyoFcds_3'UPRT	Expression of mCherry-MyoF from UPRT locus	Unpublished plasmid
pAtg8_3xTy_Atg8	Fluorescent labeling of Atg8 subcloned from ptub_tdtomato_Atg8 (Leveque et al., 2015 PMID: 26507233)	This study
pmin_Centrin1_GFP	Fluorescent labeling of centrosomes	Hu K. 2008; PMID: 18208326
ptub_mcherry_ tubulinA1	Fluorescent labelling of parasite periphery and daughters	Hu et al., 2002; PMID: 11901169
ptub_eGFP_tubulinA1	Fluorescent labelling of parasite periphery and daughters	Hu et al., 2002; PMID: 11901169

Table 2 List of primers used in this study

Primer Name	Sequence	Purpose
TgAPT1 F1	AAACGACGGCCAGTGAGCGCGCCCACCG CGGTGGCCTAGGcctcgcgcgcgttcgtccgg	Gibson Primer (F) for inserting APT1 gene into pTKOII//EmGFP Plasmid
TgAPT1 R1	TGAACAGCTCCTCGCCCTTGCTCACGAGT CCGGAAGATCTtccgtacttggtcttcgaga	Gibson Primer (R) for inserting APT1 gene into pTKOII//EmGFP Plasmid
TgAPT1 promoter F1	TACCACTTCGCTTCCCTGTC	PCR Confirmation of integration of APT1-EmGFP into the endogenous locus
APT1 CDS R	TCCGTACTTGGTCTTCGAGAGA	PCR Confirmation of integration of APT1-EmGFP into the endogenous locus
EmGFP R	TTACTTGTACAGCTCGTCCATG	PCR Confirmation of integration of APT1-EmGFP into the endogenous locus
5'-APT1-UPRT-F	CGTATTCCTTTTTTCGTCGGACCTTTC CACAGGGCCTAGGcctcgcgcgcgttcgtccgg	Gibson Primer (F) for inserting APT1 gene into pTKOII//mcherryMyoF Plasmid
3'-APT1-UPRT-R	ATTCCGTCAGCGGTCTGTCAAAAAAA CTAGAGACCTTAAGttacttgtacagctcgtcca	Gibson Primer (R) for inserting APT1 gene into pTKOII/mcherry MyoF Plasmid
5'-UPRT F	TTTTCCTGTTTTCGTCGTCATC	Creation of CrispR-HR Oligo and PCR Confirmation of integration of APT1-EmGFP into the UPRT locus
3'-UPRT R	TACCACTTCGCTTCCCTGTC	Creation of CrispR-HR Oligo
UPRT-Intron R	AGAGAGTTGAGAACAGGCTTC	PCR Confirmation of integration of APT1-EmGFP into the UPRT locus
Atg8 promoter F	AAGTGGCGAAACCCTCGGCAGTGCCTTG AAAAGAGGCGCCatgttttgccctgcggcat	Replacement of pTub with pATG8 to create pATG8-ATG8-tdTomato
Atg8 promoter R	TGACCTCCTCGCCCTTGCTCACCCTAGGC ATATGAGATCTgttttcaagacggcgaata	Replacement of pTub with pATG8 to create pATG8-ATG8-tdTomato
3xTy F	tattcgccgtcttgaaaaacacctaggATGGAGGTCCAT ACTAACCA	Replacement of tdTomato with 3xTy to create pATG8-ATG8-3xTy1
3xTy R	AGGACACTTCGTCGCGAATCGATGGCAT CCCATGAGATCTatcaagggggtcttggttcg	Replacement of tdTomato with 3xTy to create pATG8-ATG8-3xTy1

Table S3: List of gene accession numbers associated for proteins in this study

Gene Name	ToxoDB Accession Number
TgMyoF	TgME49_278870
TgAPT1	TgME49_261070
TgATG8	TgME49_254120
TgCpn60	TgME49_240600
Centrin 1	TgME49_247230

Table 3 List of antibodies used in this study

Primary Antibody	Catalog #	Dilution	Reference/Source
Rabbit anti-Cpn60	Custom	1:2000	Dr. Boris Striepen; University of Pennsylvania
Mouse anti-IMC1	Custom	1:750	Dr. Gary Ward; University of Vermont
Mouse anti-Ty1	Custom	1:1000	Dr. Christopher deGraffienried; Brown University
Secondary Antibody	**Catalog #**	**Dilution**	**Reference/Source**
Goat anti-mouse Alexa Fluor 488	A11006	1:500	Invitrogen
Goat anti-mouse Alexa Fluor 546	A11030	1:1000	Invitrogen
Goat anti-rabbit Alexa Fluor 647	A21245	1:5000	Invitrogen