Transcriptomics of mussel transmissible cancer MtrBTN2 suggests

accumulation of multiple cancerous traits and oncogenic pathways shared

among bilaterians

E.A.V. Burioli[1]*, M. Hammel[1,2], E. Vignal[1], J. Vidal-Dupiol[1], G. Mitta[3], F. Thomas[4], N.

Bierne[2], D. Destoumieux-Garzón[1], G.M. Charrière[1]

[1]IHPE, Univ Montpellier, CNRS, IFREMER, Univ Perpignan Via Domitia, Montpellier, France;

[2]ISEM, Univ Montpellier, CNRS, EPHE, IRD, Montpellier, France;

[3]IFREMER, UMR 241 Écosystèmes Insulaires Océaniens, Labex Corail, Centre Ifremer du

Pacifique, Tahiti, Polynésie française;

[4]CREEC/CANECEV (CREES), MIVEGEC, Unité Mixte de Recherches, IRD 224–CNRS 5290–

Université de Montpellier, Montpellier, France;

*, erikaastrid.burioli@gmail.com

Abstract

Transmissible cancer cell lines are rare biological entities giving rise to diseases at the

crossroads of cancer and parasitic diseases. These malignant cells have acquired the amazing

capacity to spread from host to host. They have been described only in dogs, Tasmanian

devils and marine bivalves. The *Mytilus trossulus* Bivalve Transmissible Neoplasia 2

(MtrBTN2) lineage has even acquired the capacity to spread inter-specifically between

marine mussels of the *Mytilus edulis* complex worldwide. To identify the oncogenic

processes underpinning the biology of these atypical cancers we performed transcriptomics

of MtrBTN2 cells. Differential expression, enrichment, protein-protein interaction network,

and targeted analyses were used. Overall, our results suggest the accumulation of multiple

cancerous traits that way be linked to the long-term evolution of MtrBTN2. We also highlight

that vertebrate and lophotrochozoan cancers could share a large panel of common drivers,

which supports the hypothesis of an ancient origin of oncogenic processes in bilaterians.

1. Introduction

Transmissible cancers are rare and fascinating biological entities because they have evolved the ability to overcome the physical and immunological barriers of the host to become contagious and to spread between animals by direct transfer of cancer cells, thus behaving like parasites (1,2). They have been reported in only two vertebrate species so far, in dogs and in Tasmanian devils. The transmissibility of cancer cells was first demonstrated in the Canine Transmissible Veneral Tumor (CTVT) (3,4), which is thought to have originated more than 4,000 years ago (5). CTVT has since spread across continents, probably together with humans, through coitus and oral contact between dogs. Tasmanian Devil Facial Tumor Disease (DFTD) is the other well-known transmissible cancer that affects vertebrates. Two independent cancerous lineages DFT1 and DFT2 have been described to date and have emerged less than fifty years ago (6). Infection occurs via bites, a common behaviour in Tasmanian devil social interactions (7-9). As a result, cancer transmission has devastated much of the devil population, with some local populations declining by as much as 80% in the geographical areas most affected by DFTD (10-13). However, the highest number of transmissible cancer lineages identified to date has been found in bivalve molluscs (8 different lineages) and these multiple lineages have been grouped under the term Bivalve Transmissible Neoplasia (BTN) (14-17). BTNs usually transmit between individuals of the same species, but some lineages have crossed the species barrier and are circulating in populations of related host species (15-17). Indeed, one specific lineage of BTN, called *Mytilus trossulus* Bivalve Transmissible Neoplasia lineage 2 (MtrBTN2), has emerged in a *M. trossulus* founder host and has since spread to other *Mytilus* species populations (*M.*

trossulus, *M. edulis*, *M. galloprovincialis*, and *M. chilensis*) across several continents—South

America, Asia, and Europe (15,16,18-21).

Transmissible cancer lineages must have emerged from a first neoplastic transformation in a

founder host and then evolved specific phenotypes to become a new type of contagious

etiologic agent. In fact, we have previously shown that conventional non-transmissible

cancers also occur in *Mytilus* bivalves (20). MtrBTN2 cells are found circulating in the

haemolymph together with haemocytes, the mussel's immune cells. Like haemocytes, they

are able to infiltrate connective tissues of various organs (19,22). As the disease progresses,

MtrBTN2 cells outgrow the host cells and progressively replace almost all the circulating cells

present in the haemolymph and disseminate in the tissues. They have a characteristic

morphology of rounded and basophilic cells with a high nucleus-to-cell ratio and they are

polyploid (19). Therefore, like other haemolymphatic cancers (20), they are easily diagnosed

by histological/cytological observation or flow cytometry of haemolymph (19,23). This initial

diagnosis needs to be complemented by genetic analysis to distinguish MtrBTN2 from other

transmissible cancers and conventional haemolymphatic cancers (16,24). MtrBTN2 cells

proliferate very quickly with a mean doubling time of ~3 days (24). In addition, BTNs must

be able to survive in the extra-host environment long enough to infect a new host (24,27).

We have shown that MtrBTN2 cells can survive at least for 3 days without mortality and up

to 8 days in seawater (24). However, the molecular pathways related to the malignancy of

BTNs have not been characterised. Based on the phenotypic traits observed in MtrBTN2

cells, such as high proliferative activity, genomic abnormalities such as polyploidy,

supermetastatic ability, and extended cell survival capabilities, it is likely that multiple

molecular pathways contribute to the malignancy and long-term persistence of this lineage.

Among the most common and most studied functional capabilities observed in human

cancer cells are the sustaining of proliferative signalling, the evasion from growth

suppressors, the resistance to cell death, the replicative immortality, the activation of

invasion and metastasis, the reprogramming of cellular metabolism, and the avoidance of

immune destruction (25). The acquisition of these capabilities is ensured by the activation or

inactivation of specific signalling pathways. Among these, Sanchez-Vega et al. (26)

highlighted ten oncogenic signalling pathways that are most frequently altered in most

human cancers (HIPPO, MYC, NOTCH, NRF2, PI3K, RTK/RAS, TGFβ, P53, WNT, and cell cycle),

and are mainly involved in promoting cell proliferation. These conclusions were drawn from

extensive data on hundreds of human cancers obtained by transcriptomic, genomic and

epigenomic analyses.

Measuring relative levels of gene expression has been a key approach to identify genes and

biological pathways associated with the cancerous process and functional adaptations of

cancers (28). In recent years, RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) has emerged as a fast, cost-

effective, and robust technology to address various biological questions (29,30).

Transcriptome analyses allow to link cellular phenotypes to their molecular underpinnings.

In the context of cancers, these links provide an opportunity to dissect the complexity of the

cancer biological adaptations. For non-model organisms and in the absence of a suitable

reference genome, as is the case for *M. trossulus*, RNA-seq is used to reconstruct and

quantify *de novo* transcriptomes (31). Differential gene expression analysis is then carried

out to compare the effect of treatments or conditions on gene expression. To date, although

several transmissible neoplasia have been described in marine bivalves, transcriptome-wide

functional studies are still lacking. However, Bruzos et al. (pre-print 2022, 32) have

performed a comparative quantitative transcriptomic study between cancer cells and

various healthy tissues in cockle BTNs, which supports their status as haemocyte-derived

marine leukaemias.

Here, we performed a deep sequencing of cancer cell transcriptomes to investigate the gene

expression profile of MtrBTN2. We sequenced mRNA from circulating cells in both healthy

and MtrBTN2-affected *M. edulis*, and since that the founder host species was *M. trossulus*,

we included haemocytes from this species as a control. Analysis of differentially expressed

genes unveiled some functional characteristics of this unusual cancer and highlighted

potential cancer drivers common to all bilaterians.

2. Results

MtrBTN2 cells were collected from the haemolymph of *M. edulis* mussels reared in the

English Channel (Normandy, France). Only individuals with more than 95% of circulating

neoplastic cells in their haemolymph were used for MtrBTN2 cell collection. *M. edulis*

haemocytes were collected from individuals from the same geographical location but tested

negative for MtrBTN2 by cytology and qPCRs targeting a sequence in the EF1 gene specific

for the *M. trossulus* species and a MtrBTN2 specific sequence in the mitochondrial COI gene

(16,24). *M. trossulus* haemocytes were collected from the haemolymph of wild *M. trossulus*

found in the Barents Sea (Kola Bay, Russia), as this species is absent from the French coasts.

All *M. trossulus* mussels were positive for the qPCR targeting the *M. trossulus* specific

sequence, but negative by cytology and qPCR for MtrBTN2. We did not observe any other

pathogen by histology in the nine individuals used for RNA-Seq analysis. Transcripts from

haemolymph samples were sequenced for each condition: MtrBTN2-positive (i.e. cancerous)

M. edulis (CAN 1-3), MtrBTN2-negative *M. edulis* (EDU 1-3) and MtrBTN2-negative *M.*

trossulus (TRO 1-3). All RNA-seq reads were used to assemble *de novo* a pantranscriptome

from the 9 samples (CAN 1-3, EDU 1-3, and TRO 1-3). The graphical representation of the

experimental setup and bioinformatic analyses is shown in Figure 1.

Figure 1: Graphical representation of the experimental design and RNA-Seq data analysis. DGE: differential

gene expression analysis. Microphotographs of cancerous and healthy circulating cells are on the same scale.

2.1 Pantranscriptome assembly and annotation

The raw assembly contained 2,149,788 transcripts. After filtering, we retained a total of 138,071 transcripts consisting of predicted coding sequences (CDS). The pantranscriptome completeness assessment revealed that 95.6% of the highly conserved single-copy metazoan genes (n = 954) were present in full length as a single (81.2%) or duplicated (14.4%) copies. The mean remapping rate was 53.38 ± 0.58% with negligible differences between samples (Kruskal-Wallis, H(2)=1.69, p=0.43), which is acceptable for a remapping on CDS (33). Only 5826 transcripts showed no blast similarity or a conserved domain. The OmicsBox program v2.0 (34,35) assigned GO terms to 131,346 transcripts, but ultimately 41.39% (57,154) of transcript annotations were retained after computing a filtration step based on a minimum annotation score (Supplementary Table S1). The assembly and annotation metrics are shown in Table 1. Raw read sequences coming from RNA-seq were deposited in the NCBI BioProject database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/) with links to BioProject accession number PRJNA749800. Individual SRA numbers are listed in Supplementary Table S2.

Attributes	Cancerous individuals			Healthy individuals					
	MtrBTN2			*Mytilus edulis*			*Mytilus trossulus*		
	CAN1	CAN2	CAN3	EDU1	EDU2	EDU3	TRO1	TRO2	TRO3
Clean read pairs x10^6	83,69	82,83	82,05	83,88	81,93	98,33	81,57	76,67	84,82
GC%	36	36	36	37	37	37	36	36	36
Transcriptome statistics									
	Raw number of transcripts						2,149,788		
	Final number of transcripts						138,071		
	GC%						38		
	Total assembled bases						97,665,057		
	Contigs N50 (bp)						1,038		
	Median contig length (bp)						439		
	Average contig length (bp)						745		
	BUSCO % (*Metazoa, n=954*)						95,6		
	Complete single-copy						167		
	Duplicated						745		
	Fragmented						34		
	Missing						8		
Back alignment on pantranscriptome %	53,53	53,92	53,48	53,88	53,83	52,83	53,70	52,18	53,14
Annotation statitics									
	Transcripts with GO associated						131,346		
	Transcrips annotated*						57,154		
	No-blast, no Interproscan hits found						5,826		

Table 1: Assembly and annotation metrics. The pantranscriptome was obtained from the mRNA sequencing of

circulating cells from three *Mytilus edulis*, three *M. trossulus,* and three MtrBTN2-affected *M. edulis* at a late

stage of the disease (>95% of cancer cells).*after applying the OmicsBox annotation rule (e-value hit filter of

1.10^{-3}, annotation cutoff of 55, a HSP-Hit coverage of 60%).

2.2 The specific transcriptomic profile of MtrBTN2 cells

Principal component analysis (PCA) based on the normalised count matrix (RLE values)

discriminated the three groups of samples (CAN, EDU, TRO) well (Figure 2). These first results

indicate that the transcriptomic profile of MtrBTN2 differs from the transcriptomic profile of

healthy *Mytilus* haemocytes, irrespective of their species or geographical origin.

Figure 2: Principal component analysis (PCA) based on the normalised count matrix (RLE values). The first axis

discriminates MtrBTN2 cells from hemocytes.

We investigated differentially expressed transcripts in MtrBTN2 cells (CAN 1-3) by comparing

their abundance with *M. edulis* (EDU 1-3) and *M. trossulus* haemocytes (TRO 1-3) using

DESeq2 v1.34 (36). These comparative results were then validated by RT-qPCRs (see sections

5.3 and 5.5) targeting 17 key-gene transcripts and confirmed in 10 additional haemolymph

samples (CAN 7-11, EDU 7-11) (see Supplementary Table S3; Supplementary Figure S1 and

S2). A total of 4,356/138,071 transcripts were significantly differentially expressed; 2,632

were more expressed and 1,724 were less expressed in MtrBTN2 cells than in haemocytes

(see Log2 fold change (Log2FC) and adjusted p-values (FDR) in Supplementary Table S4). We

further validated the significance of differential expression for 4,254 transcripts by running

QuantEval (37), which builds connected components from the assembled transcripts based

on sequence similarity and evaluates the quantification results for each connected

component (Supplementary Tables S4 and S5, Supplementary Figure S3). Indeed, for 102

transcripts, the significance of the differential expression was not confirmed at the

component level. A hierarchical clustering of DETs is shown on a log2 centred-heatmap

(Figure 3). Although the results were less contrasted with RNA-seq data obtained from the

whole flesh of other 6 additional individuals coming from a separate study (CAN 4-6, EDU 4-

6) (see sections 5.3 and 5.4; Supplementary Table S3) than from haemolymph cells, the

principal component analysis of the transcript abundances also confirmed a differential

clustering between MtrBTN2-affected and MtrBTN2-free mussels (Supplementary Figure S4).

Figure 3: Heatmap (log2 centred) with hierarchical clustering of the 4254 differentially expressed transcripts at

a FDR <0.05.

Among the most differentially expressed transcripts (DET) (with log2FC > 5), we identified six

top-expressed (Relative Log Expression (RLE) > 500) transcripts of the genes *CYP11A1*,

THBS1, *SELP*, *ALOX5*, *BMP2*, and *ADAMTS1* (Figure 4). These transcripts were also confirmed

among the most differentially and top-expressed in the whole flesh of the additional 3

individuals (CAN 4-6) (see sections 5.3 and 5.5; Supplementary Table S3; Supplementary

Figure S5). Indeed, these genes can be considered as specific for the malignant state and

have been described to be involved in human tumorigenesis and metastasis (Table 2).

Figure 4: Scatter plot showing genes specific of the malignant state with y=log2FC, x=log(RLE+1). Lines delimit

the areas above log2FC values > 5 and RLE > 500. Six transcripts of genes (*CYP11A1, THBS1, SELP, ALOX5, BMP2,*

and *ADAMTS1)* exceeded these values and can be considered as specific of the malignant state.

gene	Product	Function	Biological processes	References
CYP11A1	Cholesterol side-chain cleavage enzyme	- catalysis of cholesterol to pregnenolone convertion	- modulation of immune response (glucocorticoids) - sexual development and gametogenesis (androgens and estrogens)	Hu et al., 2010 [38]
THBS1	Thrombospondin-1	- cell-to-cell and cell-to-matrix interactions - major activator of TGF-β to its mature form	- chemotactic gradient for immune cells participating in inflammatory response during acute phase - induction macrophage polarization to the M2 anti-inflammatory phenotype	Murphy-Ullrich and Poczatek, 2000 [39] Letterio and Roberts, 1998 [40] Ashcroft, 1999 [41]
SELP	P-selectin	- vascular adhesion molecules	- extravasation of circulating metastatic cells - inflammation - induction macrophage polarization to the M2 anti-inflammatory phenotype	Läubli and Borsig, 2010 [42] Fabricius et al., 2021 [43]
ALOX5	5-Lipoxygenase	- synthesis of leukotrienes	- inflammation - proliferation of cancerous cells - apoptosis inhibition of cancerous cells	Anderson et al., 1998 [44] Bishayee et al., 2013 [45]
BMP2	Bone morphogenetic protein 2	- growth factor - cell differentiation	- stemness maintenance - induction macrophage polarization to the M2 anti-inflammatory phenotype	Lee et al., 2013 [46]
ADAMTS1	A disintegrin and metalloproteinase with thrombospondin motifs 1	- matrix proteolytic enzyme	- ECM dismantling - induction macrophage polarization to the M2 anti-inflammatory phenotype	Redondo-García et al., 2021 [47] Rucci et al., 2011 [48]

Table 2: Top-expressed transcripts of genes in MtrBTN2 cells (log2FC > 5 and RLE > 500). Most are involved in

inflammation and immune response.

They are associated with inflammation, immune processes, and extracellular matrix (ECM)

disruption (38-48). The exact role of some of these genes (*THBS1, SELP, ALOX5,* and *BMP2*)

during tumorigenesis is still under debate, mainly for their involvement in the inflammatory

process (49,50). However, *THBS1* expression is increased in many cancers promoting

invasion and metastasis (51-54). *SELP* and *ALOX5* are highly expressed in some tumours and

cancer cell lines, inducing cancer cell proliferation (55-58) and inhibiting apoptosis (44,45).

There are also conflicting data regarding the effect of *BMP2* on cancer (59). Nevertheless,

most studies suggest that *BMP2* promotes metastatic progression and tumorigenesis.

Although mollusc immunity against cancer has never been studied so far, most of these

genes could be involved in modulating the host immune response. Some of them, such as

THBS1, *SELP*, *BMP2*, and *ADAMTS1,* are involved in the induction of macrophage polarisation

towards the anti-inflammatory M2 phenotype in mammals and their role in the interactions

of MtrBTN2 with the host immune response deserves further attention to better understand

the host invasion process.

2.3 Dysregulated biological processes in MtrBTN2

To identify the key biological processes altered in the MtrBTN2 phenotype, we performed a

GO_MWU rank-based enrichment analysis on the DETs (for protein descriptions and gene

IDs, see Supplementary Table S4). A biological process is considered to be altered if DETs are

significantly overrepresented (enriched) within the total set of transcripts related to that

process. The complete list of transcripts clustered by Gene Ontology (GO) term is provided in

the Supplementary Table S6. The GO_MWU analysis revealed several biological functions

that were differentially regulated in MtrBTN2 cells compared to normal haemocytes (Figure

5).

Figure 5: Biological processes dysregulated in transmissible neoplasia (p-value < 0.05): **1.**Meiosis I; **2.**Cellular process involved in reproduction; **3.**Sperm motility; **4.**Cytokenetic process; **5.**Contractil ring contraction; **6.**Mitotic spindle elongation; **7.**Regulation of cell cycle process; **8.**Protein deacylation; **9.**Proteolysis; **10.**Adenosine metabolic process; **11.**Nucleoside phosphate biosynthetic process; **12.**IMP metabolic process; **13.**Carbohydrate derivative metabolic process; **14.**Dolichol-linked oligosaccharide biosynthetic process; **15.**Tricarboxylic acid cycle; **16.**Peptidyl-amino acid modification; **17.**Histone modification; **18.**Protein metabolic process; **19.**Protein deubiquitination; **20.**Nucleobase metabolic process; **21.**Pyrimidine nucleobase metabolic process; **22.**DNA replication initiation; **23.**DNA strand elongation; **24.**DNA replication, synthesis of RNA primer; **25.**DNA-dependent DNA replication; **26.**Regulation of growth; **27.**Cell fate determination; **28.**Cis assembly of pre-catalytic spliceosome; **29.**RNA phosphodiester bond hydrolysis; **30.**Mitochondrial translation;

31. Transcription initiation from RNA polymerase I promoter; **32.**DNA-templated transcription, initiation;

33. Translational elongation; **34.**Spliceosomal snRNP assembly; **35.**mRNA processing; **36.**tRNA splicing, via

endonucleolytic cleavage and ligation; **37.**tRNA wobble base modification; **38.**tRNA metabolic process;

39. Deadenylation-dependent decapping of nuclear-transcribed mRNA; **40.**ncRNA metabolic process;

41. Response to oxidative stress; **42.**Double-strand break repair; **43.**Cellular response to amino acid starvation;

44. Response to bacterium; **45.**Defense response; **46.**Actin filament based process; **47.**Microtubule-based

movement; **48.**Cell surface receptor signalling pathway; **49.**Anion transport; **50.**Golgi vesicle transport;

51. Ribosomal small subunit assembly; **52.**Ribosomal large subunit assembly; **53.**rRNA-contain RNP complex;

54. Protein secretion; **55.**Protein targeting to ER; **56.**Mitochondrial respiratory chain complex assembly;

57. Protein insertion into ER membrane. The color intensity is proportional to the enrichment intensity,

expressed as the ratio between the number of transcripts that were significantly over- (yellow) or down-

expressed (blue) compared with the total number of transcripts in the process. The disk area is proportional to

the number of DETs linked to the process (from 2 to 191 transcripts). Lines connecting two functions represent

shared transcripts (solid line means that all transcripts in the smaller family are part of the largest family;

dotted line means that only some transcripts are shared).

Proliferation-related functions are altered

Some functions consistent with a high proliferative activity are altered in MtrBTN2 cells. The

"cell cycle" family (4.Cytokenetic process; 5.Contractil ring contraction; 6.Mitotic spindle

elongation; 7.Regulation of cell cycle process, in Figure 5) is enriched in MtrBTN2 cells, as

well as "DNA replication" (22.DNA replication initiation; 23.DNA strand elongation; 24.DNA

replication, synthesis of RNA primer; 25.DNA-dependent DNA replication, in Figure 5). More

specifically, negative regulation of the cell cycle progression appeared to be reduced: *ASAH2,*

which inhibits the ceramide mediation of the cell cycle arrest (60), was more highly

expressed, whereas *PPP2R5B,* which inhibits cell cycle progression (61), was less expressed

in MtrBTN2. Moreover, transcripts of genes promoting the cell cycle progression were more

abundant in MtrBTN2 cells, in particular five genes that form the Anaphase Promoting

Complex (*ANAPC1, ANAPC4, ANAPC5, CDC26, and CDC23*) and *CCND1* encoding cyclin D1,

which promotes progression through the G1-S phase of the cell cycle (62). *TERT,* which is

essential for the chromosome telomere replication in most eukaryotes and is particularly

active in progenitor and cancer cells (63) was also more expressed in MtrBTN2 cells. By

maintaining telomere length, telomerase removes a major barrier to cellular longevity,

allowing unlimited proliferation driven by oncogenes (64). In addition, in the "Development"

family, we found a higher abundance of transcripts of genes involved in promoting cell

growth, such as *TTK* (65), *METAP2* (66), *THBS1* (activator of TGF-β) (39), *MEAK7* (67) and

LAMTOR1 (68). Transcripts of several genes that act as growth inhibitors were less abundant

in MtrBTN2, as often seen in cancer contexts, such as *FAM107A* (69) and *LTBP3* (70), which

keeps TGF-β in a latent state.

Metabolic pathways are modified

Many metabolic processes were shown to be altered (Figure 5 and Supplementary Table S6).

Nucleotide metabolism in MtrBTN2 cells was characterised by a higher expression of more

than 25 transcripts of genes involved in the *de novo* and salvage biosynthetic pathways of

purines and pyrimidines and in the homeostasis of cellular nucleotides. The metabolism of

protein and carbohydrate derivatives was also modified. The enrichment concerned

transcripts of genes encoding ribosomal proteins, protein turnover and localisation

(especially deubiquitination), proteolysis, peptidyl amino-acid modification, histone

acetylation, and lipid and protein glycosylation. In addition to *MEAK7* and *LAMTOR1*,

transcripts of eight genes (*BMP2, KICS2, ITFG2, MIOS, NPRL2, RagA, RagD, and SEC13*)

involved in the mTORC1 amino-acid sensing pathway (43.Cellular response to amino acid

starvation, in Figure 5) (71) were differentially expressed. Interestingly, in addition to the

role of mTORC1 in the regulation of autophagy, mTORC1 plays a pivotal role as a master

regulator of protein, lipid, and nucleic acid metabolism changes that have been reported in

many different cancer cells (72-75). Several transcripts of genes involved in organelle

activities and related to protein metabolism (anabolism, catabolism, post-translational

modifications, folding, and secretion) were also more highly expressed in MtrBTN2.

The tricarboxylic acid cycle (TCA) also appeared to be differentially regulated in MtrBTN2,

with a lower expression of *DLST* (a component of the 2-oxoglutarate dehydrogenase

complex), *SDHD* and *NNT*, and a higher expression of *SUCLA2*. The TCA cycle is critical for the

generation of cellular energy and precursors for biosynthetic pathways (76). By screening

the expression of 16 glycolysis-related genes, we found that *PFK*, *PKM*, *SLC2A1, and SLC2A3*

were more expressed; however, *PGK1*, *TPI1*, and *ALDOA* were less expressed than in control

haemocytes. These conflicting results did not allow us to conclude on how the regulation of

glycolytic activities is altered in transmissible neoplasia cells. However, these results suggest

major changes in nucleotide, amino-acid and energy metabolism in MtrBTN cells as often

seen in other cancers (77).

DNA repair systems are dysregulated

MtrBTN2 cancer was found to express at higher levels a significant panel of transcripts of

genes involved in the DNA Homologous Recombination (HR) (42.Double-strand break repair,

in Figure 5), such as *BABAM1*, *ZSWIM7*, *RAD51L*, *RAD54L*, *MRE11*, *MCM9*, and *TONSL*. The

DNA helicase MCM9 is also involved in the repair of inter-strand crosslinks (78), as is MUS81

(79), which is also more expressed in MtrBTN2 cells. This type of damage is a double-edged

sword, as double-strand breaks can induce cell death if not efficiently repaired, but

inefficient or inappropriate repair can lead to mutation, gene translocation and cancer (80).

Due to their high proliferation rate and increased metabolic activities, cancer cells are under

a huge replication stress (81), which may emphasise the need for these long-lived cancer

lineages to activate DNA repair to persist on time. Cells are able to repair double-strand

breaks through two major pathways: non-homologous end joining and HR (82). HR is critical

for re-establishing replication forks at the sites of damage during S and G2 phases of the cell

cycle. However, when combined with the depletion of cell cycle checkpoints and apoptosis,

this mechanism can lead to genomic instability. As the ploidy of MtrBTN2 appears to be

highly abnormal (varying from 8N to 18N, (19)), further investigations will be required to

determine whether the dysregulation of these DNA repair pathways favours recombination

between homologous chromosomes and the accumulation of chromosomal abnormalities,

or whether these pathways are activated in order to limit DNA damage. Interestingly,

upregulation of double-stranded DNA break repair via the HR pathway has also been found

in DFTD, in addition to repair *via* non-homologous end joining (83).

Interaction with ECM is affected

The expression levels of transcripts of seven genes encoding integrin subunits (48.Cell

surface receptor signalling pathway, in Figure 5), a major class of transmembrane

glycoproteins that mediate cell-matrix and cell-cell adhesion, were altered (Supplementary

Table S6). As integrins are mostly less expressed in MtrBTN2 cells, this suggests that the

ability of these cells to interact with ECM components is profoundly altered, which is often

involved at multiple stages of the cancer processes (84).

Cell fate determination pathways are modified and soma-to-germline transition may have occurred

Major cell fate pathways appear to be modified (Figure 5 and Supplementary Table S6). The expression of transcripts of six genes involved in the Notch and Wnt pathways was altered. These changes may drive dedifferentiation processes often observed in aggressive cancer cells or cancer stem cells (85). We report the results of the focused analysis performed on these two signalling pathways in section 2.5. In addition, three biological processes clustered under GO terms related to "reproduction" were enriched (1.Meiosis I; 2.Cellular process involved in reproduction; 3.Sperm motility, in Figure 5). Nineteen transcripts more expressed in MtrBTN2 cells gathered under the "sperm motility" process and encode dynein assembly factors, dynein chains, and cilia and flagella associated proteins. Moreover, transcripts of genes involved in meiosis such as *MSH5* (86)*, SYCP3* (87) and *TEX11* (88), were more abundant in MtrBTN2. These unexpected results may reflect a soma-to-germline transition during the oncogenic process, which has been reported in a variety of cancers, and support the hypothesis of profound changes in the differentiation state of MtrBTN2 cells (89,90). Interestingly, when abnormally produced in mitotically dividing cells, the *SYCP3* can impair recombination and drive ploidy changes that affect chromosome segregation in cancer cells, which may be one of the mechanisms that led to the hyperploidy of MtrBTN2 cells (90).

Immune response is altered

One of the most striking differences between MtrBTN2 cells and haemocytes is that biological processes belonging to innate immunity (44.Response to bacterium; 45.Defense response; in Figure 5) are significantly underrepresented in MtrBTN2 cells. Transcripts of genes encoding important mussel antimicrobial peptides and bactericidal proteins such as

Mytilin B, *Myticin A*, *Myticin B*, *MGD1*, and *BPI* (91-93) were significantly less abundant in

MtrBTN2 cells. A similar pattern was also observed for genes encoding several pattern

recognition receptors, such as *TLR2* and *TLR4*, which belong to the Toll-Like-Receptor family

and are essential for innate immunity against pathogens (94), and the lectin-related pattern

recognition molecules *FCN2*, *FIBCD1*, *CLEC4E*, and *CLEC4M*, which are involved in the

recognition of pathogens and apoptotic or necrotic cells (95-97). This underrepresentation of

biological functions related to host defences suggests that MtrBTN2 cells are

immunologically incompetent. During MtrBTN2 disease progression, we also observe a

drastic decrease in the number of host haemocytes, which are progressively replaced by

circulating MtrBTN2 cells, which can represent over 95% of the circulating cells in cancerous

mussels (19,22). It remains to be determined whether MtrBTN2 cells outcompete normal

haemocytes in the haemolymph or whether they interfere with haematopoiesis, as seen in

some human leukaemias (98). Still, the combination of fewer circulating haemocytes and the

immune incompetence of MtrBTN2 cells could have dramatic consequences for the host

health, leading to lethal opportunistic systemic infections (99).

2.4 *CASP3*, *FN1*, and *CDC42* are hub genes in the interaction network of MtrBTN2

Protein-protein interaction (PPI) network of the DETs was constructed to evaluate their

connectivity and to identify hub genes that may play a critical role in the MtrBTN2

phenotype. The complete PPI network is shown in Figure 6. The top 20 genes in the

connectivity ranking were found in functions already identified as enriched with GO_MWU,

such as translation (most involved in ribosome biogenesis) and DNA replication. Outputs

from NetworkAnalyzer v4.4.8 (100) are reported in Supplementary Table S7. Interestingly,

this analysis highlighted three genes as major hubs in the PPI network that did not stand out

in the GO_MWU analysis, namely *FN1, CDC42,* and *CASP3*. Fibronectin 1, encoded by *FN1*, is

a major component of the ECM and plays an important role in cell adhesion, migration,

growth and differentiation (101). The abundance of *FN1* transcripts was significantly higher

in MtrBTN2 cells (log2FC > 5). CDC42, a member of the Rho GTPase family, plays an

important role in cell-cell and cell-matrix adhesion, actin cytoskeleon architecture, cell

migration, and cell cycle regulation (102); corresponding transcripts were significantly less

abundant in MtrBTN2 cells. As Fibronectin 1 and CDC42 are involved in complementary

functions and signalling pathways (such as cell-ECM interactions and cell migration), both

hub genes were found to be interconnected in the PPI network. In addition, a large number

of integrin subunits were found to be dysregulated. Taken together, these results strongly

suggest that cell-ECM interactions and cell migration functions are profoundly modified in

MtrBTN2 cells, consistent with their observed rounded morphology, poor adhesion

properties and f-actin modifications (19,21). The master effector of apoptosis, Caspase 3,

encoded by *CASP3*, is also less expressed in MtrBTN2 cells. It plays a central role in the

execution phase of cell apoptosis (103), which is often inhibited in cancer cells, allowing

them to escape cell death, despite the accumulation of DNA damage and cell cycle

dysfunction.

Figure 6: Protein-protein interactions (PPI) network. The size of the disc is proportional to the number of connections. The top 20 Hub proteins are shown in bold. Up-regulated genes are shown in yellow; Down-regulated genes are shown in blue. The color intensity is proportional to the Log2FC value.

2.5 Most key oncogenic signalling pathways are altered in MtrBTN2

Based on the Cancer Genome Atlas project, Sanchez-Vega et al. (26) highlighted that 10 signalling pathways are very frequently altered in most human cancers. We found that six of these 10 oncogenic signalling pathways may be altered in MtrBTN2 cancer. These pathways are involved in cell proliferation and differentiation.

385 DETs were found for key genes related to the "Hippo", "Notch", "Wnt", "Myc", "PI3K", and

386 "Cell Cycle" signalling pathways (Table 3).

Pathway	Main functions	Gene	Action on pathway	MtrBTN2/hemocytes expression	Log2FC
Hippo	anti-proliferative anti-stem cell self renewal pro-apoptotic	SAV1	activation	Lower	-3,65
Notch	cell proliferation cell fate	JAG1 (2 genes) FBXW7	activation repression	Higher Higher	3.75 and 4.32 1,24
Wnt	cell proliferation stemness maintenance	SFRP1 SFRP3 APC CTNNB1	repression repression repression /	Lower Higher Higher Higher	-3,54 7,38 2,63 1,09
Myc	cell proliferation apoptosis	MAD3	repression	Lower	-2,19
PI3K	cell proliferation cell survival	PTEN PIK3R1	repression repression	Lower Lower	-4,51 -1,68
Cell Cycle		CCND1	activation	Higher	1,89

Table 3: Transcripts of genes differentially expressed in MtrBTN2 among the most frequently altered genes in

the human oncogenic signalling pathways. We report their action on the corresponding pathway, their

expression status, and the Log2(FC). Two genes encoding JAG1 (confirmed as distinct by QuantEval analysis)

were more expressed in MtrBTN2 cells.

The Hippo signalling pathway plays a role in inhibiting cell proliferation, controlling cell fate,

and promoting apoptosis through the phosphorylation of YAP/TAZ transcription

coactivators, their cytoplasmic retention and degradation. *SAV1* is a key activator of the

Hippo pathway involved in LATS1/2 phosphorylation, a necessary step for subsequent

YAP/TAZ phosphorylation ([104]). As *SAV1* is less expressed in MtrBTN2 cells, the Hippo

pathway may be downregulated or inactivated.

The PI3K pathway also showed dysregulation. It is involved in cell metabolism, growth,

proliferation, cell-ECM interactions and survival. We observed a lower expression of two

genes, *PTEN* and *PIK3R1*, which act as PIK3 antagonists ([105]). When the pathway is

activated, AKT1 is phosphorylated and inhibits the activity of TSC1/2. AKT-mediated

phosphorylation of TSC1/2 removes its inhibition of RHEB activity, leading to activation of

the mTORC1 complex. Our enrichment analysis (section 2.3) has also highlighted the

activation of mTORC1 by the alternative amino-acid sensing pathway. In addition, AKT1 plays

an indirect role in the Wnt and Myc pathways by negatively regulating GSK3β through its

phosphorylation (106). Thus, the inhibitory effect of unphosphorylated GSK3β on MYC and

its contribution in the destruction complex of β-cathenin in the Wnt pathway may be

repressed in MtrBTN2.

The gene encoding MAD3, an antagonist of MYC for MAX binding (107), was less expressed

in MtrBTN2 cells, suggesting that the MYC-MAX complex is favoured and the pathway is

activated.

Among SFRPs, which act as Wnt pathway inhibitors by binding extracellular WNT ligands,

SFRP1 was less expressed and *SRFP3* more. Moreover, *APC*, which encodes a constitutive

protein of the Destruction Complex, was more expressed. APC is a multifunctional protein

and is involved, for instance, in the normal compaction of mitotic chromatin (108). We

looked for differentially expressed genes among the downstream genes of the Wnt pathway,

which transcription is regulated by unphosphorylated CTNNB1 mediation. We found that

CCND1, *JAG1*, and *FN1* were more expressed suggesting that the Wnt pathway was activated

(109). CCND1 promotes cell proliferation and JAG1 is a transmembrane ligand that activates

the Notch pathway. However, FBXW7, which inhibits the NOTCH cleavage and its

subsequent activation, was more expressed in MtrBTN2 cells. In addition, the *HES1*

expression, which is promoted by activated NOTCH, was lower in MtrBTN2 cells. HES1

negatively regulates the expression of downstream target genes such as tissue-specific

transcription factors (110).

To identify potentially relevant mutations related to these pathways, we screened for the

presence of MtrBTN2-specific SNVs in the transcript sequences of key genes. Although the

recovery of complete transcript haplotypes was hampered by the polyploidy of cancer cells

and the short-read sequencing data, we were able to identify MtrBTN2-specific SNVs,

variants that were only observed in the three MtrBTN2 samples (CAN 1, 2, 3) but not in the 6

MtrBTN2-free samples (TRO1-3, EDU1-3). MtrBTN2-specific SNVs were identified in 8 key

genes (Table 4; for alignments see Supplementary Files S1 and S2) and were confirmed to be

present in the additional cancerous individuals (CAN 4-6).

Gene	Transcript length	Pathway	Substitution type			Missense substitution in a domain
			Synonymous	Missense	Total number	
PIK3CA	3144 bp	PI3K	33	0	33	-
PIK3CB	3159 bp	PI3K	3	0	3	-
PTEN	1395 bp	PI3K	2	0	2	-
AKT	1458 bp	PI3K	1	1	2	1
p53	1797 bp	p53	4	1	5	0
MDM2	1647 bp	p53	21	10	31	0
MYC	1269 bp	Myc	0	2	2	2
FBXW7	2100 bp	Notch	1	-	1	-

Table 4: Genes with transcript sequences carrying MtrBTN2-specific SNVs among the most frequently altered

genes in the oncogenic signalling pathways. AKT and MYC carried missense substitutions in a protein domain.

The highest number of MtrBTN2-specific SNVs were found in *PIK3CA* (PI3K pathway), with 33

MtrBTN2-specific SNVs/3144 nucleotides. All these specific SNVs were synonymous

substitutions but missense substitutions were present in four genes: *AKT*, *p53*, *MDM2*, and

MYC. In two of these genes, the missense substitutions were located within protein

domains. The missense substitution in the *AKT* sequence was located in the kinase domain,

and the two missense substitutions in the *MYC* sequence in the transcriptional activation

domain. Interestingly, in the *p53* gene which encodes both p53 and p63/73 proteins in

molluscs (111) we found five MtrBTN2-specific SNVs. The variants T177C and C816T are

synonymous substitutions previously described by Vassilenko et al. (2010) (112) in *M.*

trossulus affected by haemic neoplasia. The variant A1264T is a missense substitution, newly

described here, but is not located in a domain. *M. trossulus p53* is characterized by a

deletion of 6 nucleotides if compared to *M. edulis p53* (113). We found this deletion in 100%

of reads coming from MtrBTN2 samples. The frequencies of these MtrBTN2-specific SNVs

are reported in Supplementary Table S8, and in most cases, heterozygosity was observed,

with maintenance of expression of the *M. trossulus* alleles whereas host-specific (*M. edulis*)

alleles were predominantly absent (Supplementary Files S1). Indeed, the real impact of these

variants on the cellular functions is difficult to assess and this MtrBTN2-specific variant set

could include both somatic variants and ancestral germline polymorphisms.

3. Discussion

In their survey of bilaterian animals, Aktipis et al. (114) have revealed that all or almost all

bilaterians are susceptible to cancer. Molluscs (Lophotrochozoa) are no exception (20,22).

Although a high degree of genotypic and phenotypic diversity has been described among

mammalian cancers (115), molecular studies, mainly in humans and mice, have identified

common drivers of the cancer process (25,26). Still, oncogenic processes in invertebrate

phyla have been poorly studied, especially at the molecular level. Even if expression levels

are not the only factor determining the effect of genes on phenotypes, our present

transcriptomic data suggest that the most common alterations associated with oncogenesis

found in mammals are present in MtrBTN2 cells. Six of the major oncogenic signalling

pathways are likely to be altered. One of the most fundamental traits of cancer cells is their

high proliferation rate. We have previously found a mean doubling time of ~ 3 days for

MtrBTN2 (24). The present study provides information on the molecular bases of the high

proliferation of MtrBTN2. The overexpression of these proliferation-related pathways is

probably even more evident because we have used specialised cells (haemocytes) as a

reference. At present, the issue of haematopoiesis in bivalves is poorly understood;

however, some circulating haemocytes are capable of dividing and expressing genes

involved in cell proliferation, particularly during the immune response (116). The

proliferative activity of MtrBTN2 appears to be supported by metabolic rewiring, as is often

seen in cancer cells (77). Indeed, we highlight a possible central role for the Pi3K-AKT-

mTORC1 pathway, which is a master regulator in coupling cell growth and amino-acid, lipid,

and nucleotide metabolisms that are frequently modified in many mammalian cancers of

diverse origin (117-119). Our data also suggest inhibition of apoptosis. Dysregulation of

receptors and pathways involved in cell-ECM interactions (120) was also highlighted here,

which is consistent with our knowledge of MtrBTN2 biology, pathogenesis (intra-host

disease progression) and its inter-host transmission. Indeed, MtrBTN2 cells are non-adherent

circulating cells that infiltrate tissues and breach physiological barriers such as epithelium

during the transmission process. MtrBTN2 cells are also characterised by a dysregulated

differentiation state often seen in aggressive cancers (85), as reflected by the aberrant

activation of some meiosis-related genes suggesting a soma-to-germline transition. Such

reactivation of meiosis-related gene expression has previously been observed in a variety of

non-germ cell cancers in humans (89). Finally, our transcriptomic data suggest a dampening

of host defenses in cancerous mussels, which is likely to facilitate disease progression and

pathological outcome. Indeed, evasion from immune destruction by silencing components of

the immune system is another hallmark of human cancers (121).

This transcriptomic analysis generated a large amount of information about gene expression

in MtrBTN2 cells and we identified candidate genes and pathways associated with their

cancerous state. These results represent a significant step forward in understanding this

disease at the cellular and molecular level, and lay the groundwork for future research. To

date, most of the molecular dysfunctions have not been studied in molluscs and may differ

to some extent from vertebrates. Priorities are to i) obtain well curated and annotated

reference genomes, ii) accurately characterise gene products, and iii) specifically describe

protein functions and relationships within and between pathways. To achieve these goals,

gene manipulation tools are strongly required, which is a major challenge in such non-model

organisms. However, a comparative oncology approach offers a unique and powerful

opportunity to learn more about the evolutionary mechanisms of cancers and metastatic

processes. Our model has several advantages. It is a naturally occurring cancer, it is

transmissible, ensuring the repeatability of analyses and long-term studies, and molluscs are

easy to handle under laboratory conditions with few ethical concerns.

5. Materials and Methods

5.1 Mussel samplings and MtrBTN2 diagnostic

We collected mussels in the English Channel and the Barents Sea in late 2019. Two hundred

Mytilus edulis were sampled in a farm located in Agon-Coutainville (49°0'44.784''N

1°35'55.643''O, Normandy, France) and immediately screened for cancer at the LABEO

laboratory (Caen, France). The presence of circulating cancer cells and the stage of the

disease were initially diagnosed by cytological examination of haemolymph samples (19). We

found 14 positive individuals among which three were at an advanced stage of the disease

(>95% of circulating cells were cancer cells). After anaesthesia (122), we drew a maximum

volume of haemolymph from the adductor muscle of these three cancerous mussels, as well

as from three mussels diagnosed as MtrBTN2-free. The haemolymph was placed individually

in RNase-free microtubes kept on ice and centrifuged at 800 x g for 10 min at 4°C. The

pelleted cells were immediately resuspended in TRIzol® (invitrogen) and stored at -80°C until

RNA extraction. As non-transmissible circulating cancers also exist in mussels (20), we

confirmed the MtrBTN2 diagnosis by two qPCRs, one specific for *M. trossulus* (and MtrBTN)

and targeting the nuclear marker EF1α (24) and one specific for the MtrBTN2 lineage

targeting mtCR (16). Briefly, a piece of mantle and gills was fixed in 96% ethanol and used for

DNA extraction using the Nucleomag® 96 Tissue kit (MachereyNagel). We carried out both

qPCRs on cancerous and cancer-free *M. edulis* using the sensiFAST™SyBR® No-ROX Kit

(Bioline) and the LightCycler® 480 Real-Time PCR (Roche Life Science) system. A transversal

section (including gills, digestive gland, mantle, and gonad) of each individual was fixed in

Davidson for 48h and embedded it paraffin (RHEM facility). Sections were cut at 3 µm-thin

and stained with haematoxylin and eosin to confirm the health status of each mussel and to

exclude the presence of other pathologies.

Three *M. trossulus* were collected in the wild in Mishukovo (69°02'39;34.3''N

33°01'39;45.9''E, Kola Bay, Russia, Barents Sea). The haemolymph was drawn as for *M. edulis*

individuals, the cells were pelleted and resuspended in 100 µL of RNA*later*™ (invitrogen) and

sent to our laboratory where they were frozen at -80°C until RNA extraction. We also

received a piece of mantle and gills from each individual fixed in 96% ethanol for genetic

screening and to exclude the presence of MtrBTN, and tissue sections in 70% ethanol after

48h of fixation in Davidson's solution. *M. trossulus* individuals were subjected to both qPCRs

and histological examination.

5.2 Total RNA extraction, library preparation, and sequencing

M. trossulus samples preserved in RNA*later*^{TM} were centrifuged at 5000 x g for 10 min at 4°C,

the supernatant removed, and the cells were resuspended in 500 μL of TRIzol®. *M. edulis*

preserved directly in TRIzol® were thawed. Both sample types were incubated at room

temperature for 20 min under agitation to lyse the cells. RNA extraction was performed

using Direct-zol^{TM} RNA MiniPrep according to the manufacturer's instructions (Zymo

Research). Quantification and integrity of total RNA were checked using a NanoDrop® ND-

1000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific) and by capillary electrophoresis on a 2100

Bioanalyzer (Agilent).

Polyadenylated RNA-seq library construction and sequencing using Illumina® technology

were performed by the GENEWIZ® Company (Germany). Four hundred ng of total RNA at a

concentration of 10 ng/μL, and OD260/280 comprised between 1.85 and 2.21 were used for

library preparation. The NEBnext® Ultra^{TM} II Directional RNA kit was used for the cDNA

library preparation and 9 cycles of enrichment PCR were run. Sequencing was performed on

Illumina® NovaSeq^{TM}, with a 150bp paired-end configuration, and a sequencing depth of

100M raw paired-end reads per sample.

5.3 Additional samples

Sixteen additional mussel individuals were used to validate our results. Ten mussels (5

MtrBTN2-affected and 5 MtrBTN2-free) were collected in a distinct location, at Le Croisic

(47°17'58.5"N 2°31'00.4"W, Loire-Atlantique, France) in February 2023 and used to validate

RNAseq-based quantification and differential expression between MtrBTN2 cells and normal

haemocytes by RT-qPCRs (see Section 5.5). Total RNA extraction was performed as

previously described (see Section 5.2). RNAseq reads from 6 mussels (3 MtrBTN2-affected

and 3 MtrBTN2-free) collected in Agon-Coutainville (49°0'44.784''N 1°35'55.643''O,

Normandy, France) in February 2021 for a separate study were used to confirm the

differential transcriptomic profile of MtrBTN2 (see Section 5.5) and to validate the MtrBTN2-

specific SNPs (see Section 5.6). Whole flesh from these 6 individuals was individually frozen

in liquid nitrogen and powdered by cryogenic grinding (Mixer Mill MM 400, Retsch). For RNA

extraction, mussel powder (10 mg) was homogenised in 500 µL of TRIzol by vortexing for

1 h at 4 °C. Prior to extraction, performed as described above, insoluble material was

removed by centrifugation at 12,000 × g for 10 min at 4 °C.

567 5.4 De novo transcriptome assembly and functional annotation

Raw reads were processed using RCorrector v1.04

(https://anaconda.org/bioconda/rcorrector/files?version=1.0.4) with default settings and -rf

configuration to correct sequencing errors (123). Uncorrectable reads were then removed

using the FilterUncorrectabledPEfastq tool

(https://github.com/harvardinformatics/TranscriptomeAssemblyTools/blob/master/FilterUn

correctabledPEfastq.py). The output reads were further processed for adapter removal and

trimming with TrimGalore! v0.6.4 (https://github.com/FelixKrueger/TrimGalore) with default

parameters and -q 28, --length 100. Ribosomal RNAs potentially still present after polyA

capture were removed by alignment against the SILVA Ribosomal database with Bowtie2

v2.4.1 (124). Read quality was assessed before and after read trimming using FastQC v0.11.9

(https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/).

In France, MtrBTN2 infects *M. edulis* hosts, but the lineage originated in a *M. trossulus*

founder host. These two species are closely related, hybridise when they come into contact,

either naturally or via human-induced introductions (125-127), and introgression between

them is observed. However, *M. trossulus* is not present in France and individuals of this

species have been sampled in a different environment (Barent Sea *vs* Channel Sea for

MtrBTN2). Therefore, to allow a differential gene expression analysis of the cancer cells, all

retained reads (from MtrBTN2 cells, *M. edulis* haemocytes and *M. trossulus* haemocytes)

were assembled into a pantranscriptome using Trinity v2.8.5 (128) with the default options.

TransDecoder v3.0.1 (https://github.com/TransDecoder/TransDecoder/wiki) was run on

these contigs to identify CDSs with a minimum length of 90 amino acids. Finally, CDS

sequence   redundancy   was   reduced   using   CD-HIT-EST   v4.8.1

(https://github.com/weizhongli/cdhit/wiki) with the following options: -n 6, -c 0.86, -G 0, -aL

1, -aS 1. We assessed the quality of the assembly using several tests. The assembly was first

analysed using TrinityStats, and the final pantranscriptome completeness was estimated

using BUSCO v5.1.1 (129) against the conserved single-copy metazoan gene database (n =

978). Finally, filtered reads were mapped back to the filtered pantranscriptome to assess the

individual mapping rate with Bowtie2 v2.4.1 (124). To conclude that our pantranscriptome

strategy was reliable, we performed a Redundancy Analysis (RDA) on the normalised read

counts to analyse the impact of explanatory variables ("cell type": haemocyte/MtrBTN2

cells, "species": trossulus/edulis, "environment": Barents Sea/Channel Sea) on response

variables (gene expression), followed by an ANOVA-like permutation test (nperm=999,

model="full") (https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/vegan/vegan.pdf) (supplementary

Figure S1). Both "cell type" and "environment" were retained as significant explanatory

variables (p<0.05). This confirms that the inclusion of healthy *M. edulis* mussels in the

analysis is necessary to subtract the environment effect (Channel Sea *vs* Barent Sea).

For functional annotation, the transcripts were searched against the Uniprot (Swissprot and

TrEMBL) protein reference database (130) using PLASTX v2.3.3 algorithm with an e-value

cutoff of 1.0E-3 (131). Domain prediction against the InterPro database (132) was carried out

with InterProScan v5.48-83.0. Both results were combined and we used the OmicsBox

program v2.0 (34,35) to assign GO terms to the annotated sequences with an e-value hit

filter of 1.10−3, an annotation cutoff of 55, a HSP-Hit coverage of 60%, and an evidence code

of 0.8. We searched for additional correspondences using EggNog v5.0 (133) by an orthology

analysis.

5.5 Quantification of transcript expression

To investigate differential expression between MtrBTN2 cells and *M. edulis-M. trossulus*

haemocytes, reads were first aligned to the pantranscriptome using SALMON v1.6 (134).

DETs were identified using DESeq2 v1.34 (36) with a FDR<0.05. Count normalisation was

performed using the RLE method implemented in the DESeq2 package (135). To confirm the

identified DETs, we ran the QuantEval pipeline (https://github.com/dn070017/QuantEval,

37) with the "contig mode" and DESeq2 on the quantification outputs. We compared the

quantification results by Spearman's correlation analysis and we retained the shared DETs

for the subsequent analyses.

To validate the quantifications and differential expression profiles obtained from our RNA-

seq data, we carried out two alternative analyses on additional samples (see Section 5.3).

First, we performed RT-qPCRs targeting 17 genes (*ADAMTS1, ALOX5, APC, BMP2, CCND1,*

CTNNB1, CYP11A1, FBXW7, JAG1, MAD3, PIK3R1, PTEN, SAV1, SELP, SFRP1, SFRP3, and

THBS1) selected for their contrasting expression levels according to the DESeq2 analysis and

their oncogenic relevance. Reverse transcription into cDNA was performed using M-MLV

Reverse Transcriptase (MMLV-RT, invitrogen) following the manufacturer's instructions. The

total RT-qPCR reaction volume was 1.5 µL and consisted of 1 µL of LightCycler 480 SYBR

Green I Master Mix (Roche) containing 0.5 µM of PCR primer (Eurogenetec) and 0.5 µl of

cDNA (1/10 dilution). The amplification efficiency of each primer pair (supplementary Table

S7) was validated by serial dilution of a pool of all cDNAs. Relative expression was calculated

as the threshold cycle (Cq) values of selected genes minus the mean of the measured

threshold cycle (Cq) values of three constitutively expressed genes (*GAPDH, RPS28,* and

UBE2D3) (supplementary Table S8). We compared the Log2FC values obtained from the two

quantification methods. Log2FCs for RT-qPCRs were calculated as follow:

FC= efficiency of the qPCR ^| mean of Ct of the 5 MtrBTN2-affected individuals - mean of Ct

of the 5 MtrBTN2-free individuals|

We also performed Spearman's correlation analysis between normalised expression

obtained from RNA-seq data (RLE values) and normalised Ct obtained from RT-qPCR.

Second, we performed DESeq2 analysis on complementary RNA-seq data obtained from the

whole flesh of 6 additional samples from a separate study (see Section 5.3) to confirm

transcripts specific to the malignant state.

5.6 Biological interpretation of gene expression profiles

In the context of a non-model species, we used three different approaches to interpret the

biological relevance of DETS.

Enrichment analysis

We performed a GO term enrichment analysis focusing on biological processes with the

GO_MWU tool (https://github.com/z0on/GO_MWU) using adaptive clustering and a rank-

based statistical test (Mann–Whitney U-test). We used the following parameters for

adaptive clustering: largest = 0.5; smallest = 10; clusterCutHeight = 0.5. We considered

both the level of expression and the significance of the differential expression: we assigned

the log2 fold change value to transcripts that were significantly differentially expressed

(adjusted p < 0.05), while assigning zero to the others. We considered as enriched a

biological process with a FDR < 1%. To display the results synthetically, we used the

Enrichment Map v3.3.3 tool (136) in Cytoscape v3.9.1 (137). The intensity of the enrichment

was evidenced in the network and was calculated as follows: i) for the processes enriched

with over-expressed transcripts, "number of transcripts significantly over-expressed in the

process/total number of transcripts in the process"; (ii) for the processes enriched with

under-expressed transcripts, "−1 × (number of transcripts significantly downregulated in

the process/total number of transcripts in the process)".

Hub and top expressed gene identification

The top 20 genes in terms of connectivity ranking in the PPI network were selected as Hub

genes. We used the Search Tool for the Retrieval of Interacting Genes (STRING), a biological

database designed to predict PPI networks (138). The results were visualised in Cytoscape

v3.9.1 using NetworkAnalyzer v4.4.8 visualisation software (139), which can construct

comprehensive models of biological interactions. Isolated and partially connected nodes

were not included.

To identify marker genes of the cancerous condition, we considered both differential

expression (Log2FC) and expression level (RLE) values to build a plot graph. We defined

arbitrarily thresholds (log2FC values > |5 |and RLE > 500) to highlight the most discriminating

genes between the two conditions (cancerous/healthy circulating cells).

Targeted analyses

We performed a targeted analysis focusing on genes and pathways that have been identified

by Sanchez-Vega et al. (26) as being altered at high frequency across many different human

cancer types. We searched for the presence of a differential expression of these genes in

MtrBTN2 cells or of MtrBTN2-specific alleles (i.e. present only in MtrBTN2-affected mussels,

and present in all MtrBTN2-affected mussels). We also identified *M. edulis* and *M. trossulus-*

specific alleles. Allele visualisation and calculation of variant frequencies were carried out

using the IGV v2.12.3 (140) and iVar (141) tools on BAM files obtained after read alignment

to the transcript sequences with Bowtie2. v2.4.1 (124). The minimum quality score threshold

to count a base and the minimum frequency threshold were set to 20 and 0.02, respectively,

with a minimum base coverage of 50 reads. MtrBTN2-specific alleles were searched in CAN1-

3 individuals and then confirmed in additional CAN4-6 individuals (see Section 5.3).

References

1. Ostrander EA, Davis BW, Ostrander GK. Transmissible tumors: breaking the cancer

paradigm. Trends Genet. 2016;32(1): 1-15. doi: 10.1016/j.tig.2015.10.001.

2. Dujon AM, Gatenby RA, Bramwell G, MacDonald N, Dohrmann E, Raven N, et al.

Transmissible cancers in an evolutionary perspective. iScience. 2020;23(7): 101269. doi:

10.1016/j.isci.2020.101269.

3. Nowinsky M. Zurfrageueber die Impfung der krebsigengeschwuelste. Zentralbl Med

Wissensch. 1876;14: 790-791.

4. Murgia C, Pritchard JK, Kim SY, Fassati A, Weiss RA. Clonal origin and evolution of a

transmissible cancer. Cell. 2006;126(3): 477-487. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2006.05.051.

5. Baez-Ortega A, Gori K, Strakova A, Allen JL, Allum KM, Bansse-Issa L, Bhutia TN, Bisson JL,

Briceño C, Castillo Domracheva A, Corrigan AM, Cran HR, Crawford JT, Davis E, de Castro

KF, B de Nardi A, de Vos AP, Delgadillo Keenan L, Donelan EM, Espinoza Huerta AR,

Faramade IA, Fazil M, Fotopoulou E, Fruean SN, Gallardo-Arrieta F, Glebova O, Gouletsou

PG, Häfelin Manrique RF, Henriques JJGP, Horta RS, Ignatenko N, Kane Y, King C, Koenig

D, Krupa A, Kruzeniski SJ, Kwon YM, Lanza-Perea M, Lazyan M, Lopez Quintana AM,

Losfelt T, Marino G, Martínez Castañeda S, Martínez-López MF, Meyer M, Migneco EJ,

Nakanwagi B, Neal KB, Neunzig W, Ní Leathlobhair M, Nixon SJ, Ortega-Pacheco A,

Pedraza-Ordoñez F, Peleteiro MC, Polak K, Pye RJ, Reece JF, Rojas Gutierrez J, Sadia H,

Schmeling SK, Shamanova O, Sherlock AG, Stammnitz M, Steenland-Smit AE, Svitich A,

Tapia Martínez LJ, Thoya Ngoka I, Torres CG, Tudor EM, van der Wel MG, Viţǎlaru BA,

Vural SA, Walkinton O, Wang J, Wehrle-Martinez AS, Widdowson SAE, Stratton MR,

Alexandrov LB, Martincorena I, Murchison EP. Somatic evolution and global expansion of

an ancient transmissible cancer lineage. Science. 2019;365(6452): eaau9923. doi:

10.1126/science.aau9923.

6. Pye RJ, Pemberton D, Tovar C, Tubio JM, Dun KA, Fox S, Darby J, Hayes D, Knowles GW,

Kreiss A, Siddle HV, Swift K, Lyons AB, Murchison EP, Woods GM. A second transmissible

cancer in Tasmanian devils. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2016;113(2): 374-379. Doi:

10.1073/pnas.1519691113.

7. Siddle HV, Kreiss A, Eldridge MD, Noonan E, Clarke CJ, Pyecroft S, et al. Transmission of a

fatal clonal tumor by biting occurs due to depleted MHC diversity in a threatened

carnivorous marsupial. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2007;104(41): 16221-16226. doi:

10.1073/pnas.0704580104.

8. Hamede RK, Bashford J, McCallum H, Jones M. Contact networks in a wild Tasmanian

devil (*Sarcophilus harrisii*) population: using social network analysis to reveal seasonal

variability in social behaviour and its implications for transmission of devil facial tumour

disease. Ecol Lett. 2009;12(11): 1147-1157. doi: 10.1111/j.1461-0248.2009.01370.x.

9. Hamede RK, McCallum H, Jones M. Biting injuries and transmission of Tasmanian devil

facial tumour disease. J Anim Ecol. 2013;82(1): 182-190. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-

2656.2012.02025.x.

10. Hawkins CE, Baars C, Hesterman H, Hocking GJ, Jones ME, Lazenby B, et al. Emerging

disease and population decline of an island endemic, the Tasmanian devil *Sarcophilus*

harrisii. Biol Conserv. 2006;131(2): 307-324. doi: 10.1016/j.biocon.2006.04.010.

11. Lachish S, Jones M, McCallum H. The impact of disease on the survival and population

growth rate of the Tasmanian devil. J Anim Ecol. 2007;76(5): 926-936. doi:

10.1111/j.1365-2656.2007.01272.x.

12. McCallum H, Jones M, Hawkins C, Hamede R, Lachish S, Sinn DL, Beeton N, Lazenby B.

Transmission dynamics of Tasmanian devil facial tumor disease may lead to disease-

induced extinction. Ecology. 2009;90(12): 3379-3392. doi: 10.1890/08-1763.1.

13. Lazenby BT, Tobler MW, Brown WE, Hawkins CE, Hocking GJ, Hume F, et al. Density

trends and demographic signals uncover the long-term impact of transmissible cancer in

Tasmanian devils. J Appl Ecol. 2018;55(3): 1368-1379. doi: 10.1111/1365-2664.13088.

14. Metzger MJ, Reinisch C, Sherry J, Goff SP. Horizontal transmission of clonal cancer cells

causes   leukemia   in   soft-shell   clams.   Cell.   2015;161(2):   255-263.   doi:

10.1016/j.cell.2015.02.042.

15. Metzger MJ, Villalba A, Carballal MJ, Iglesias D, Sherry J, Reinisch C, et al. Widespread

transmission of independent cancer lineages within multiple bivalve species. Nature.

2016;534(7609): 705-709. doi: 10.1038/nature18599.

16. Yonemitsu MA, Giersch RM, Polo-Prieto M, Hammel M, Simon A, Cremonte F, et al. A

single clonal lineage of transmissible cancer identified in two marine mussel species in

South America and Europe. elife. 2019;8: e47788. doi: 10.7554/eLife.47788.

17. Garcia-Souto D, Bruzos AL, Diaz S, Rocha S, Pequeño-Valtierra A, Roman-Lewis CF, et al.

Mitochondrial genome sequencing of marine leukaemias reveals cancer contagion

between clam species in the Seas of Southern Europe. eLife, 2022;11: e66946.doi:

10.7554/eLife.66946

18. Riquet F, Simon A, Bierne N. Weird genotypes? Don't discard them, transmissible cancer

could be an explanation. Evol Appl. 2017;10(2): 140-145. doi: 10.1111/eva.12439.

19. Burioli EAV, Trancart S, Simon A, Bernard I, Charles M, Oden E, Bierne N, Houssin M.

Implementation of various approaches to study the prevalence, incidence and

progression of disseminated neoplasia in mussel stocks. J Invertebr Pathol. 2019;168:

107271. doi: 10.1016/j.jip.2019.107271.

20. Hammel M, Simon A, Arbiol C, Villalba A, Burioli EAV, Pépin JF, et al. Prevalence and

polymorphism of a mussel transmissible cancer in Europe. Mol Ecol. 2022;31(3): 736-

751. doi: 10.1111/mec.16052.

21. Skazina M, Odintsova N, Maiorova M, Ivanova A, Väinölä R, Strelkov P. First description

of a widespread *Mytilus trossulus*-derived bivalve transmissible cancer lineage in M.

trossulus itself. Sci Rep. 2021;11(1): 5809. doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-85098-5.

22. Carballal MJ, Barber BJ, Iglesias D, Villalba A. Neoplastic diseases of marine bivalves. J

Invertebr Pathol. 2015;131: 83-106. doi: 10.1016/J.JIP.2015.06.004.

23. Benadelmouna A, Saunier A, Ledu C, Travers MA, Morga B. Genomic abnormalities

affecting mussels (*Mytilus edulis-galloprovincialis*) in France are related to ongoing

neoplastic processes, evidenced by dual flow cytometry and cell monolayer analyses. J

Invertebr Pathol. 2018;157: 45-52. doi: 10.1016/j.jip.2018.08.003.

24. Burioli EAV, Hammel M, Bierne N, Thomas F, Houssin M, Destoumieux-Garzón D,

Charrière GM. Traits of a mussel transmissible cancer are reminiscent of a parasitic life

style. Sci Rep. 2021;11(1): 24110. doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-03598-w.

25. Hanahan D. Hallmarks of Cancer: New Dimensions. Cancer Discov. 2022;12(1): 31-46. doi:

10.1158/2159-8290.CD-21-1059.

26. Sanchez-Vega F, Mina M, Armenia J, Chatila WK, Luna A, La KC, et al. Oncogenic signaling

pathways in the cancer genome atlas. Cell. 2018;173(2): 321-337.e10. doi:

10.1016/j.cell.2018.03.035.

27. Giersch RM, Hart SFM, Reddy SG, Yonemitsu MA, Orellana Rosales MJ, Korn M, Geleta

BM, Countway PD, Fernández Robledo JA, Metzger MJ. Survival and Detection of Bivalve

Transmissible Neoplasia from the Soft-Shell Clam Mya arenaria (MarBTN) in Seawater.

Pathogens. 2022;11(3):283. doi: 10.3390/pathogens11030283.

28. Sotiriou C, Piccart MJ. Taking gene-expression profiling to the clinic: when will molecular

signatures become relevant to patient care? Nat Rev Cancer. 2007;7(7): 545-553. doi:

10.1038/nrc2173.

29. Wang Z, Gerstein M, Snyder M. RNA-Seq: a revolutionary tool for transcriptomics. Nat

Rev Genet. 2009;10(1): 57-63. doi: 10.1038/nrg2484.

30. Conesa A, Madrigal P, Tarazona S, Gomez-Cabrero D, Cervera A, McPherson A, et al. A

survey of best practices for RNA-seq data analysis. Genome Biol. 2016;17: 13. doi:

10.1186/s13059-016-0881-8. Erratum in: Genome Biol. 2016;17(1): 181.

31. Martin JA, Wang Z. Next-generation transcriptome assembly. Nat Rev Genet.

2011;12(10): 671-682. doi: 10.1038/nrg3068.

32. Bruzos AL, Santamarina M, García-Souto D, Díaz S, Rocha S, Zamora J, Lee Y, Viña-Feás A,

Quail MA, Otero I, Pequeño-Valtierra A, Temes J, Rodriguez-Castro J, Villanueva A, Costas

D, Rodríguez R, Prieto T, Tomás L, Alvariño P, Alonso J, Cao A, Iglesias D, Carballal MJ,

Amaral AM, Balseiro P, Calado R, El Khalfi B, Izagirre U, de Montaudouin X, Pade NG,

Probert I, Ricardo F, Ruiz P, Skazina M, Smolarz K, Pasantes JJ, Villalba A, Ning Z, Ju YS,

Posada D, Demeulemeester J, Baez-Ortega A, Tubio JMC. The evolution of two

transmissible leukaemias colonizing the coasts of Europe. BioRxiv. 2022.08.06.503021.

doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.08.06.503021.

33. Payá-Milans M, Olmstead JW, Nunez G, Rinehart TA, Staton M. Comprehensive

evaluation of RNA-seq analysis pipelines in diploid and polyploid species. Gigascience.

2018;7(12):giy132. doi: 10.1093/gigascience/giy132.

34. Conesa A, Götz S, García-Gómez JM, Terol J, Talón M, Robles M. Blast2GO: a universal

tool for annotation, visualisation and analysis in functional genomics research.

Bioinformatics. 2005;21: 3674-3676. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/bti610.

35. Gotz S, Garcia-Gomez JM, Terol J, Williams TD, Nagaraj SH, Nueda MJ, et al. High-

throughput functional annotation and data mining with the Blast2GO suite. Nucleic acids

res. 2008;36(10): 3420-3435. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkn176.

36. Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for

RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol. 2014;15(12): 550. Doi: 10.1186/s13059-014-

0550-8.

37. Hsieh PH, Oyang YJ, Chen CY. Effect of de novo transcriptome assembly on transcript

quantification. Sci Rep. 2019;9(1):8304. doi: 10.1038/s41598-019-44499-3.

38. Hu J, Zhang Z, Shen WJ, Azhar S. Cellular cholesterol delivery, intracellular processing and

utilization for biosynthesis of steroid hormones. Nutr Metab (Lond). 2010;7: 47. doi:

10.1186/1743-7075-7-47.

39. Murphy-Ullrich JE, Poczatek M. Activation of latent TGF-β by thrombospondin-1:

mechanisms and physiology. Cytokine Growth Factor Rev. 2000;11(1-2): 59-69. doi:

10.1016/S1359-6101(99)00029-5.

40. Letterio JJ, Roberts AB. Regulation of immune responses by TGF-β. Annu Rev Immunol.

1998;16(1): 137-161. doi: 10.1146/annurev.immunol.16.1.137.

41. Ashcroft GS. Bidirectional regulation of macrophage function by TGF-β. Microbes Infect.

1999;1(15): 1275-1282. doi: 10.1016/S1286-4579(99)00257-9.

42. Läubli H, Borsig L. Selectins promote tumor metastasis. Semin Cancer Biol. 2010;20(3):

169-177. doi: 10.1016/j.semcancer.2010.04.005.

43. Fabricius HÅ, Starzonek S, Lange T. The role of platelet cell surface P-Selectin for the

direct platelet-tumor cell contact during metastasis formation in human tumors. Front

Oncol. 2021;11: 642761. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2021.642761.

44. Anderson KM, Seed T, Vos M, Mulshine J, Meng J, Alrefai W, Ou D, Harris JE. 5-

Lipoxygenase inhibitors reduce PC-3 cell proliferation and initiate nonnecrotic cell death.

Prostate. 1998;37(3): 161-173. doi: 10.1002/(sici)1097-0045(19981101)37:3<161::aid-

pros5>3.0.co;2-d.

45. Bishayee K, Khuda-Bukhsh AR. 5-Lipoxygenase antagonist therapy: a new approach

towards targeted cancer chemotherapy. Acta Biochim Biophys Sin. 2013;45(9): 709-719.

doi: 10.1093/abbs/gmt064.

46. Lee JH, Lee GT, Woo SH, Ha YS, Kwon SJ, Kim WJ, Kim IY. BMP-6 in renal cell carcinoma

promotes tumor proliferation through IL-10-dependent M2 polarization of tumor-

associated macrophages. Cancer Res. 2013;73(12): 3604-3614. doi: 10.1158/0008-

5472.CAN-12-4563.

47. Redondo-García S, Peris-Torres C, Caracuel-Peramos R, Rodríguez-Manzaneque JC.

ADAMTS proteases and the tumor immune microenvironment: Lessons from substrates

and pathologies. Matrix Biol Plus. 2020;9: 100054. doi: 10.1016/j.mbplus.2020.100054.

48. Rucci N, Sanità P, Angelucci A. Roles of metalloproteases in metastatic niche. Curr Mol

Med. 2011;11: 609-622. doi: 10.2174/156652411797536705.

49. Huang T, Sun L, Yuan X, Qiu H. Thrombospondin-1 is a multifaceted player in tumor

progression. Oncotarget. 2017;8(48): 84546-84558. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.19165.

50. Bach DH, Park HJ, Lee SK. The dual role of bone morphogenetic proteins in cancer. Mol

Ther Oncolytics. 2017;8: 1-13. doi: 10.1016/j.omto.2017.10.002.

51. Yee KO, Connolly CM, Duquette M, Kazerounian S, Washington R, Lawler J. The effect of

thrombospondin-1 on breast cancer metastasis. Breast Cancer Res Treat. 2009;114(1):

85-96. doi: 10.1007/s10549-008-9992-6.

52. Nucera C, Porrello A, Antonello ZA, Mekel M, Nehs MA, Giordano TJ, et al. B-Raf(V600E)

and thrombospondin-1 promote thyroid cancer progression. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.

2010;107(23): 10649-10654. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1004934107.

53. Borsotti P, Ghilardi C, Ostano P, Silini A, Dossi R, Pinessi D., et al. Thrombospondin-1 is

part of a Slug-independent motility and metastatic program in cutaneous melanoma, in

association with VEGFR-1 and FGF-2. Pigment Cell Melanoma Res. 2015;28: 73-81. doi:

10.1111/pcmr.12319.

54. Huang T, Wang L, Liu D, Li P, Xiong H, Zhuang L, Sun L, Yuan X, Qiu H. FGF7/FGFR2 signal

promotes invasion and migration in human gastric cancer through upregulation of

thrombospondin-1. Int J Oncol. 2017;50(5): 1501-1512. doi: 10.3892/ijo.2017.3927.

55. Iwamura T, Caffrey TC, Kitamura N, Yamanari H, Setoguchi T, Hollingsworth MA. P-

selectin expression in a metastatic pancreatic tumor cell line (SUIT-2). Cancer Res.

1997;57(6): 1206-1212.

56. Ding XZ, Kuszynski CA, El-Metwally TH, Adrian TE. Lipoxygenase inhibition induced

apoptosis, morphological changes, and carbonic anhydrase expression in human

pancreatic cancer cells. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 1999;266(2): 392-399. doi:

10.1006/bbrc.1999.1824.

57. Gupta S, Srivastava M, Ahmad N, Sakamoto K, Bostwick DG, Mukhtar H. Lipoxygenase-5

is overexpressed in prostate adenocarcinoma. Cancer. 2001;91(4): 737-743. doi:

10.1002/1097-0142(20010215)91:4<737::aid-cncr1059>3.0.co;2-f.

58. Ohd JF, Nielsen CK, Campbell J, Landberg G, Löfberg H, Sjölander A. Expression of the

leukotriene D4 receptor CysLT1, COX-2, and other cell survival factors in colorectal

adenocarcinomas. Gastroenterology. 2003;124(1): 57-70. doi: 10.1053/gast.2003.50011.

59. Skovrlj B, Koehler SM, Anderson PA, Qureshi SA, Hecht AC, Iatridis JC, Cho SK. Association

Between BMP-2 and Carcinogenicity. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 2015;40(23): 1862-1871. doi:

10.1097/BRS.0000000000001126.

60. Wu BX, Zeidan YH, Hannun YA. Downregulation of neutral ceramidase by gemcitabine:

Implications for cell cycle regulation. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2009;1791(8): 730-739. doi:

10.1016/j.bbalip.2009.03.012.

61. Loveday C, Tatton-Brown K, Clarke M, Westwood I, Renwick A, Ramsay E, et al.

Mutations in the PP2A regulatory subunit B family genes PPP2R5B, PPP2R5C and

PPP2R5D cause human overgrowth. Hum Mol Genet. 2015;24(17): 4775-4779. doi:

10.1093/hmg/ddv182.

62. Fu M, Wang C, Li Z, Sakamaki T, Pestell RG. Cyclin D1: normal and abnormal functions.

Endocrinology. 2004;145(12): 5439-5447. doi: 10.1210/en.2004-0959.

63. Pfeiffer V, Lingner J. Replication of telomeres and the regulation of telomerase. Cold

Spring Harbor Perspect Biol. 2013;5(5): a010405. doi: 10.1101/cshperspect.a010405.

64. Bell RJ, Rube HT, Xavier-Magalhães A, Costa BM, Mancini A, Song JS, Costello JF.

Understanding TERT Promoter Mutations: A Common Path to Immortality. Mol Cancer

Res. 2016;14(4): 315-323. doi: 10.1158/1541-7786.MCR-16-0003.

65. Mills GB, Schmandt R, McGill M, Amendola A, Hill M, Jacobs K, et al. Expression of TTK, a

novel human protein kinase, is associated with cell proliferation. J Biol Chem.

1992;267(22): 16000-16006. doi: 10.1016/S0021-9258(19)49633-6.

66. Tucker L, Zhang Q, Sheppard G, Lou P, Jiang F, McKeegan E, et al. Ectopic expression of

methionine aminopeptidase-2 causes cell transformation and stimulates proliferation.

Oncogene. 2008;27: 3967-3976. doi: 10.1038/onc.2008.14.

67. Nguyen JT, Ray C, Fox AL, Mendonça DB, Kim JK, Krebsbach PH. Mammalian EAK-7

activates alternative mTOR signaling to regulate cell proliferation and migration. Sci Adv.

2018;4(5):eaao5838. doi: 10.1126/sciadv.aao5838.

68. Sancak Y, Bar-Peled L, Zoncu R, Markhard AL, Nada S, Sabatini DM. Ragulator-Rag

complex targets mTORC1 to the lysosomal surface and is necessary for its activation by

amino acids. Cell. 2010;141(2): 290-303. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2010.02.024.

69. Pastuszak-Lewandoska D, Czarnecka KH, Migdalska-Sęk M, Nawrot E, Domańska D,

Kiszałkiewicz J, et al. Decreased FAM107A expression in patients with non-small cell lung

cancer. Adv Exp Med Biol. 2015;852: 39-48. doi: 10.1007/5584_2014_109.

70. Saharinen J, Hyytiäinen M, Taipale J, Keski-Oja J. Latent transforming growth factor-beta

binding proteins (LTBPs)-structural extracellular matrix proteins for targeting TGF-beta

action. Cytokine Growth Factor Rev. 1999;10(2): 99-117. doi: 10.1016/s1359-

6101(99)00010-6.

71. Shimobayashi M, Hall M. Multiple amino acid sensing inputs to mTORC1. Cell Res.

2016;26: 7-20. doi: 10.1038/cr.2015.146.

72. Cargnello M, Tcherkezian J, Roux PP. The expanding role of mTOR in cancer cell growth

and proliferation. Mutagenesis. 2015;30(2):169-76. doi: 10.1093/mutage/geu045.

73. Ricoult SJ, Yecies JL, Ben-Sahra I, Manning BD. Oncogenic PI3K and K-Ras stimulate de

novo lipid synthesis through mTORC1 and SREBP. Oncogene. 2016;35(10):1250-60. doi:

10.1038/onc.2015.179.

74. Valvezan AJ, Turner M, Belaid A, Lam HC, Miller SK, McNamara MC, Baglini C, Housden

BE, Perrimon N, Kwiatkowski DJ, Asara JM, Henske EP, Manning BD. mTORC1 Couples

Nucleotide Synthesis to Nucleotide Demand Resulting in a Targetable Metabolic

Vulnerability. Cancer Cell. 2017;32(5):624-638.e5. doi: 10.1016/j.ccell.2017.09.013.

75. Ben-Sahra I, Hoxhaj G, Ricoult SJH, Asara JM, Manning BD. mTORC1 induces purine

synthesis through control of the mitochondrial tetrahydrofolate cycle. Science.

2016;351(6274):728-733. doi: 10.1126/science.aad0489.

76. Martínez-Reyes I, Chandel NS. Mitochondrial TCA cycle metabolites control physiology

and disease. Nat Commun. 2020;11: 102. doi: 10.1038/s41467-019-13668-3.

77. DeBerardinis RJ, Lum JJ, Hatzivassiliou G, Thompson CB. The biology of cancer: metabolic

reprogramming fuels cell growth and proliferation. Cell Metab. 2008;7(1):11-20. doi:

10.1016/j.cmet.2007.10.002.

78. Nishimura K, Ishiai M, Horikawa K, Fukagawa T, Takata M, Takisawa H, Kanemaki MT.

Mcm8 and Mcm9 form a complex that functions in homologous recombination repair

induced by DNA interstrand crosslinks. Mol Cell. 2012;47(4): 511-522. doi:

10.1016/j.molcel.2012.05.047.

79. Hanada K, Budzowska M, Modesti M, Maas A, Wyman C, Essers J, Kanaar R. The

structure-specific endonuclease Mus81-Eme1 promotes conversion of interstrand DNA

crosslinks into double-strands breaks. EMBO J. 2006;25(20): 4921-49. doi:

10.1038/sj.emboj.7601344.

80. Chatterjee N, Walker GC. Mechanisms of DNA damage, repair, and mutagenesis. Environ

Mol Mutagen. 2017;58(5): 235-263. doi: 10.1002/em.22087.

81. Di Micco R, Fumagalli M, Cicalese A, Piccinin S, Gasparini P, Luise C, et al. Oncogene-

induced senescence is a DNA damage response triggered by DNA hyper-replication.

Nature. 2006;444(7119): 638-642. doi: 10.1038/nature05327.

82. Haber JE. Partners and pathways repairing a double-strand break. Trends Genet.

2000;16: 259-264. doi: 10.1016/s0168-9525(00)02022-9.

83. Kozakiewicz CP, Fraik AK, Patton AH, Ruiz-Aravena M, Hamilton DG, Hamede R, et al.

Spatial variation in gene expression of Tasmanian devil facial tumors despite minimal

host transcriptomic response to infection. BMC Genomics. 2021;22(1): 698. doi:

10.1186/s12864-021-07994-4.

84. Mizejewski GJ. Role of integrins in cancer: survey of expression patterns. Proc Soc Exp

Biol Med. 1999; 222(2):124-38. doi: 10.1046/j.1525-1373.1999.d01-122.x.

85. Jilkine A, Gutenkunst RN. Effect of dedifferentiation on time to mutation acquisition in

stem cell-driven cancers. PLoS Comput Biol. 2014;10(3):e1003481. doi:

10.1371/journal.pcbi.1003481.

86. Bocker T, Barusevicius A, Snowden T, Rasio D, Guerrette S, Robbins D, et al. hMSH5: A

human MutS homologue that forms a novel heterodimer with hMSH4 and is expressed

during spermatogenesis. Cancer res. 1999;59(4): 816-822.

87. Syrjänen JL, Pellegrini L, Davies OR. A molecular model for the role of SYCP3 in meiotic

chromosome organisation. eLife. 2014;3: e02963. doi: 10.7554/eLife.02963.

88. Adelman CA, Petrini JH. ZIP4H (TEX11) deficiency in the mouse impairs meiotic double

strand break repair and the regulation of crossing over. PLoS Genet. 2008;4(3):e1000042.

doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1000042.

89. McFarlane RJ, Wakeman JA. Meiosis-like Functions in Oncogenesis: A New View of

Cancer. Cancer Res. 2017;77(21):5712-5716. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-17-1535.

90. Simpson AJ, Caballero OL, Jungbluth A, Chen YT, Old LJ. Cancer/testis antigens,

gametogenesis and cancer. Nat Rev Cancer. 2005;5(8):615-625.doi:10.1038/nrc1669.

91. Hubert F, Noel T, Roch P. A member of the arthropod defensing family from edible

Mediterranean mussels (*Mytilus galloprovincialis*). Eur J Biochem. 1996;240(1): 302-306.

doi: 10.1111/j.1432-1033.1996.0302h.x.

92. Mitta G, Hubert F, Noël T, Roch P. Myticin, a novel cysteine-rich antimicrobial peptide

isolated from haemocytes and plasma of the mussel *Mytilus galloprovincialis*. Eur J

Biochem. 1999;265(1): 71-78. doi: 10.1046/j.1432-1327.1999.00654.x.

93. Krasity BC, Troll JV, Weiss JP, McFall-Ngai MJ. LBP/BPI proteins and their relatives:

conservation over evolution and roles in mutualism. Biochem Soc T. 2011;39(4): 1039-

1044. doi: 10.1042/BST0391039.

94. Zhang L, Li L, Zhang G. A *Crassostrea gigas* Toll-like receptor and comparative analysis of

TLR pathway in invertebrates. Fish Shellfish Immunol. 2011;30(2): 653-660. doi:

10.1016/j.fsi.2010.12.023.

95. Garlatti V, Belloy N, Martin L, Lacroix M, Matsushita M, Endo Y, et al. Structural insights

into the innate immune recognition specificities of L- and H-ficolins. EMBO j. 2007;26(2):

623-633. doi: 10.1038/sj.emboj.7601500.

96. Schlosser A, Thomsen T, Moeller JB, Nielsen O, Tornøe I, Mollenhauer J, Moestrup SK,

Holmskov U. Characterization of FIBCD1 as an acetyl group-binding receptor that binds

chitin. J Immunol. 2009 ;183(6): 3800-3809. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.0901526.

97. Dheilly NM, Duval D, Mouahid G, Emans R, Allienne JF, Galinier R, et al. A family of

variable immunoglobulin and lectin domain containing molecules in the snail

Biomphalaria glabrata. Dev Comp Immunol. 2015;48(1): 234-243. doi:

10.1016/j.dci.2014.10.009.

98. Trino S, Laurenzana I, Lamorte D, Calice G, De Stradis A, Santodirocco M, Sgambato A,

Caivano A, De Luca L. Acute myeloid leukemia cells functionally compromise

hematopoietic stem/progenitor cells inhibiting normal hematopoiesis through the release

of extracellular vesicles. Front Oncol. 2022;12: 824562. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2022.824562.

99. Kent ML, Elston RA, Wilkinson MT, Drum AS. Impaired defense mechanisms in bay

mussels, *Mytilus edulis*, with hemic neoplasia. J Invertebr Pathol. 1989;53(3): 378-386.

doi: 10.1016/0022-2011(89)90103-1.

100.   Assenov Y, Ramírez F, Schelhorn SE, Lengauer T, Albrecht M. Computing topological

parameters of biological networks. Bioinformatics. 2008;24(2): 282-284. doi:

10.1093/bioinformatics/btm554.

101.   Pankov R, Yamada KM. Fibronectin at a glance. J Cell Sci. 2002;115(20): 3861-3863.

doi: 10.1242/jcs.00059.

102.   Cotteret S, Chernoff J. The evolutionary history of effectors downstream of Cdc42

and Rac. Genome Biol. 2002;3(2):REVIEWS0002. doi: 10.1186/gb-2002-3-2-reviews0002

103.   Porter AG, Jänicke RU. Emerging roles of caspase-3 in apoptosis. Cell Death Differ.

1999;6(2): 99-104. doi: 10.1038/sj.cdd.4400476.

104.   Yu FX, Guan KL. The Hippo pathway: regulators and regulations. Genes Dev.

2013;27(4): 355-371. doi: 10.1101/gad.210773.112.

105.   Carracedo A, Pandolfi P. The PTEN–PI3K pathway: of feedbacks and cross-talks.

Oncogene. 2008;27: 5527-5541. doi: 10.1038/onc.2008.247.

106.   Cohen P, Frame S. The renaissance of GSK3. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2001;2: 769-776.

doi: 10.1038/35096075.

107.   Amati B, Land H. Myc-Max-Mad: a transcription factor network controlling cell cycle

progression, differentiation and death. Curr Opin Genet Dev. 1994;4(1): 102-108. doi:

10.1016/0959-437x(94)90098-1.

108.   Dikovskaya D, Khoudoli G, Newton IP, Chadha GS, Klotz D, Visvanathan A, et al. The

Adenomatous Polyposis Coli protein contributes to normal compaction of mitotic

chromatin. PLOS One. 2012;7(6): e38102. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0038102.

109.   Lecarpentier Y, Schussler O, Hébert JL, Vallée A. Multiple targets of the canonical

WNT/β-Catenin   signaling   in   cancers.   Front   Oncol.   2019;9:   1248.   doi:

10.3389/fonc.2019.01248.

110.   Iso T, Kedes L, Hamamori Y. HES and HERP families: multiple effectors of the Notch

signaling pathway. J Cell Physiol. 2003;194(3): 237-255. doi: 10.1002/jcp.10208.

111.   Kelley ML, Winge P, Heaney JD, Stephens RE, Farell JH, Beneden RJV, et al. Expression

1020   of homologues for p53 and p73 in the softshell clam (*Mya arenaria*), a naturally-occuring

1021   model for human cancer. Oncogene. 2001;20: 748-758. doi: 10.1038/sj.onc.1204144.

1022   112.   Vassilenko EI, Muttray AF, Schulte PM, Baldwin SA. Variations in p53-like cDNA

1023   sequence are correlated with mussel haemic neoplasia: A potential molecular-level tool

1024   for   biomonitoring.   Mutat   Res.   2010;701(2):   145-152.   doi:

1025   10.1016/j.mrgentox.2010.06.001.

1026   113.   Muttray AF, Cox RL, Reinisch CL, Baldwin SA. Identification of DeltaN isoform and

1027   polyadenylation site choice variants in molluscan p63/p73-like homologues. Mar

1028   Biotechnol (NY). 2007;9(2): 217-230. doi: 10.1007/s10126-006-6045-1.

1029   114.   Aktipis CA, Boddy AM, Jansen G, et al. Cancer across the tree of life: cooperation and

1030   cheating in multicellularity. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci. 2015;370(1673):

1031   20140219. doi:10.1098/rstb.2014.0219.

1032   115.   Lawrence MS, Stojanov P, Polak P, Kryukov GV, Cibulskis K, Sivachenko A, et al.

1033   Mutational heterogeneity in cancer and the search for new cancer-associated genes.

1034   Nature. 2013;499(7457): 214-218. doi: 10.1038/nature12213.

1035   116.   Bachère E, Gueguen Y, Gonzalez M, de Lorgeril J, Garnier J, Romestand B. Insights

1036   into the anti-microbial defense of marine invertebrates: the penaeid shrimps and the

1037   oyster *Crassostrea gigas*. Immunol Rev. 2004;198:149-68. doi: 10.1111/j.0105-

1038   2896.2004.00115.x.

1039   117.   Hoxhaj G, Manning BD. The PI3K-AKT network at the interface of oncogenic signalling

1040   and cancer metabolism. Nat Rev Cancer. 2020;20(2):74-88. doi: 10.1038/s41568-019-

1041   0216-7.

1042   118.   Magaway C, Kim E, Jacinto E. Targeting mTOR and Metabolism in Cancer: Lessons and

1043   Innovations. Cells. 2019;8(12):1584. doi: 10.3390/cells8121584.

1044   119.   Zou Z, Tao T, Li H, Zhu X. mTOR signaling pathway and mTOR inhibitors in cancer:

1045   progress and challenges. Cell Biosci. 2020;10:31. doi: 10.1186/s13578-020-00396-1.

1046   120.   Hamidi H, Ivaska J. Every step of the way: integrins in cancer progression and

1047   metastasis. Nat Rev Cancer. 2018;18(9):533-548. doi: 10.1038/s41568-018-0038-z.

1048   121.   Hanahan D. Hallmarks of Cancer: New Dimensions. Cancer Discov. 2022;12(1): 31-46.

1049   doi: 10.1158/2159-8290.

1050   122.   Suquet M, de Kermoysan G, Gonzalez Araya R, Queau I, Lebrun L, Le Souchu

1051   P, Mingant C. Anesthesia in Pacific oyster *Crassostrea gigas*. Aquat Living Resour.

1052   2009;22: 29-34. doi: 10.1051/alr/2009006.

1053   123.   Song L, Florea L. Rcorrector: efficient and accurate error correction for Illumina RNA-

1054   seq reads. GigaScience. 2015;4(1): 48. doi: 10.1186/s13742-015-0089-y.

1055   124.   Langmead B, Salzberg SL. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nat Methods.

1056   2012;9(4): 357-359. doi:10.1038/nmeth.1923.

1057   125.   Fraïsse C, Belkhir K, Welch JJ, Bierne N. Local interspecies introgression is the main

1058   cause of extreme levels of intraspecific differentiation in mussels. Mol Ecol. 2016;25(1):

1059   269-286. doi: 10.1111/mec.13299.

1060   126.   Popovic I, Matias AMA, Bierne N, Riginos C. Twin introductions by independent

1061   invader mussel lineages are both associated with recent admixture with a native

1062   congener in Australia. Evol Appl. 2020;13(3): 515-532. doi: 10.1111/eva.12857.

127. Simon A, Arbiol C, Nielsen EE, Couteau J, Sussarellu R, Burgeot T, et al. Replicated

anthropogenic hybridisations reveal parallel patterns of admixture in marine mussels.

Evol Appl. 2020;13(3): 575-599. doi: 10.1111/eva.12879.

128. Grabherr MG, Haas BJ, Yassour M, Levin JZ, Thompson DA, Amit I, et al. Full-length

transcriptome assembly from RNA-Seq data without a reference genome. Nat

Biotechnol. 2011;29(7): 644-652. doi: 10.1038/nbt.1883.

129. Simão FA, Waterhouse RM, Ioannidis P, Kriventseva EV, Zdobnov EM. BUSCO:

assessing genome assembly and annotation completeness with single-copy orthologs.

Bioinformatics. 2015;31: 3210-3212. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btv351.

130. Bairoch A, Apweiler R. The SWISS-PROT protein sequence database and its

supplement TrEMBL in 2000. Nucleic Acids Res. 2000;28: 45-48. doi:

10.1093/nar/28.1.45.

131. Nguyen VH, Lavenier D. PLAST: parallel local alignment search tool for database

comparison. BMC Bioinf. 2009;10: 329. doi: 10.1186/1471-2105-10-329.

132. Blum M, Chang H, Chuguransky S, Grego T, Kandasaamy S, Mitchell A, et al. The

InterPro protein families and domains database: 20 years on. Nucleic Acids Res.

2021;49(D1): 344-354. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkaa977.. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkaa977.

133. Huerta-Cepas J, Szklarczyk D, Heller D, Hernández-Plaza A, Forslund SK, Cook H, et al.

eggNOG 5.0: a hierarchical, functionally and phylogenetically annotated orthology

resource based on 5090 organisms and 2502 viruses. Nucleic Acids Res. 2019; 47(D1):

309-314. doi: 10.1093/nar/gky1085.

134.   Patro R, Duggal G, Love MI, Irizarry RA, Kingsford C. Salmon provides fast and bias-

aware quantification of transcript expression. Nat Methods. 2017;14(4): 417-419. doi:

10.1038/nmeth.4197.

135.   Anders S, Huber W. Differential expression analysis for sequence count data.

Genome Biol. 2010;11(10):NR106. doi: 10.1186/gb-2010-11-10-r106.

136.   Merico D, Isserlin R, Stueker O, Emili A, Bader GD. Enrichment map: a network-based

method for gene-set enrichment visualisation and interpretation. PLoS One.

2010;5(11):e13984. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0013984.

137.   Shannon P, Markiel A, Ozier O, Baliga NS, Wang JT, Ramage D, et al. Cytoscape: a

software environment for integrated models of biomolecular interaction networks.

Genome Res. 2003;13(11): 2498-2504. doi: 10.1101/gr.1239303.

138.   Szklarczyk D, Gable AL, Nastou KC, Lyon D, Kirsch R, Pyysalo S, et al. The STRING

database in 2021: customizable protein–protein networks, and functional

characterization of user-uploaded gene/measurement sets. Nucleic Acids Res.

2021;49(D1): 605-612. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkaa1074.

139.   Assenov Y, Ramírez F, Schelhorn SE, Lengauer T, Albrecht M. Computing topological

parameters of biological networks. Bioinformatics. 2008;24(2):282-4. doi:

10.1093/bioinformatics/btm554.

140.   Robinson JT, Thorvaldsdóttir H, Wenger AM, Zehir A, Mesirov JP. Variant review with

the Integrative Genomics Viewer (IGV). Cancer Res. 2017;77(21): 31-34. doi:

10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-17-0337.

141.   Grubaugh ND, Gangavarapu K, Quick J, Matteson NL, De Jesus JG, Main BJ, Tan AL,

Paul LM, Brackney DE, Grewal S, Gurfield N, Van Rompay KKA, Isern S, Michael SF, Coffey

LL, Loman NJ, Andersen KG. An amplicon-based sequencing framework for accurately

measuring intrahost virus diversity using PrimalSeq and iVar. Genome Biol. 2019;20(1):8.

doi: 10.1186/s13059-018-1618-7.

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge the Animal Health-Clinical Biology unit of the LABÉO laboratory (Caen,

France), especially Maryline Houssin and Ludovic Petit, for their help during cytological

screening and Professor Petr Strelkov from the Saint Petersburg State University for the

sampling of M. trossulus individuals. We thank the "Réseau d'Histologie Expérimentale de

Montpellier" - RHEM facility - supported by SIRIC Montpellier Cancer (Grant

INCa_Inserm_DGOS_12553), the European regional development foundation and the

Occitanian region (FEDER-FSE 2014-2020 Languedoc Roussillon), for processing our animal

tissues, histology technics and expertise. This study is set within the framework of the

'Laboratoires d'Excellence (LABEX)' Tulip (ANR- 10-LABX-41). **Funding:** this work was

supported by the French National Agency for Research, TRANCAN project (ANR-18-CE35-

0009) and the Montpellier Université d'Excellence (MUSE), BLUECANCER project. FT is

supported by the MAVA Foundation. **Author contributions:** Conceptualization: EAVB, DDG,

GM, GMC, EV, JVD; Investigation, Formal analysis: EAVB, MH; Methodology: EAVB, EV, JVD;

Funding   Acquisition: DDG,   GMC,   NB,   FT;   Original   Draft   preparation: EAVB;

Reviewing&Editing: DDG, GMC, MH, EV, JVD, NB, FT, GM. **Competing interests:** The authors

declare that they have no competing interests. **Data and materials availability:** Raw read

sequences were deposited with links to BioProject accession number PRJNA749800 in the

NCBI BioProject database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/).

1129