Transcriptomics of mussel transmissible cancer MtrBTN2 reveals

accumulation of multiple cancerous traits and oncogenic pathways shared

among bilaterians

Short title: **Transcriptomics of a mussel transmissible cancer**

E.A.V. Burioli[1]*, M. Hammel[1,2], E. Vignal[1], J. Vidal-Dupiol[1], G. Mitta[3], F. Thomas[4], N.

Bierne[2], D. Destoumieux-Garzón[1], G.M. Charrière[1]

[1]IHPE, Univ Montpellier, CNRS, IFREMER, Univ Perpignan Via Domitia, Montpellier, France;

[2]ISEM, Univ Montpellier, CNRS, EPHE, IRD, Montpellier, France;

[3]IFREMER, UMR 241 Écosystèmes Insulaires Océaniens, Labex Corail, Centre Ifremer du

Pacifique, Tahiti, Polynésie française;

[4]CREEC/CANECEV (CREES), MIVEGEC, Unité Mixte de Recherches, IRD 224–CNRS 5290–

Université de Montpellier, Montpellier, France;

*, erikaastrid.burioli@gmail.com

Abstract

Transmissible cancer cell lines are rare biological entities giving rise to diseases at the

crossroads of cancer and parasitic diseases. These malignant cells have acquired the amazing

capacity to spread from host to host. They have been described only in dogs, Tasmanian devils

and marine bivalves. The *Mytilus trossulus* Bivalve Transmissible Neoplasia 2 (MtrBTN2)

lineage has even acquired the capacity to spread inter-specifically between marine mussels of

the *Mytilus edulis* complex worldwide. To identify the oncogenic processes underpinning the

biology of these atypical cancers we performed transcriptomics of MtrBTN2 cells. Differential

gene expression, enrichment, protein-protein interaction network, and targeted analyses

were used. Overall, our results suggests that the long-term evolution of MtrBTN2 has led to

the accumulation of multiple cancerous traits. We also highlight that vertebrate and

lophotrochozoan cancers share a large panel of common drivers, which supports the

hypothesis of an ancient origin of oncogenic processes in bilaterians.

Teaser

Mussel transmissible cancers teach us that cancerous process has a remote origin and that

their long-term evolution has made them super-cancers.

Abbreviations

BTN: Bivalve Transmissible Neoplasia

CTVT: Canine Transmissible Venereal Tumor

DEG: Differentially Expressed Gene

DFTD: Tasmanian Devil Facial Tumor Disease

ECM: Extra Cellular Matrix

FDR: False Discovery Rate

GO: Gene Ontology

HR: Homologous Recombination

Log2FC: Log2 value of Fold Change

MtrBTN2: *Mytilus trossulus* Bivalve Transmissible Neoplasia lineage 2

PPI: Protein-Protein Interaction

RLE: Relative Log Expression

SNV: Single Nucleotide Variation

TCA: TriCarboxylic acid cycle

1. Introduction

Transmissible cancers are rare and fascinating biological entities as they evolved the ability to overcome host physical and immunological boundaries to become contagious and to spread between animals through direct transfer of cancer cells, thereby behaving like parasites (1,2). They have been reported in only two vertebrate species so far, in dogs and in Tasmanian devils. The transmissibility of cancer cells was first demonstrated in Canine Transmissible Veneral Tumor (CTVT) (3,4), which has probably emerged more than 4,000 years ago (5). CTVT has then dispersed across continents, through coitus and oral contacts between dogs. Tasmanian Devil Facial Tumor Disease (DFTD) is the other well-known transmissible cancer that affects vertebrates. Infection occurs via bites, a common behavior in Tasmanian devil social interactions (6-8). As a consequence, the cancer transmission has devastated a major part of devil populations with some populations that dropped by 80% in the most DFTD-affected geographical areas (9-12). However, the highest number of transmissible cancer lineages identified so far has been found in bivalve mollusks and these multiple lineages have been grouped under the term Bivalve Transmissible Neoplasia (BTN) (13-16). BTNs transmit between individuals of the same species but some lineages have also crossed the species barrier and are circulating in related bivalve host species (14-16). *Mytilus trossulus* Bivalve Transmissible Neoplasia lineage 2 (MtrBTN2) is one of these lineages that transmit inter-specifically. MtrBTN2 emerged originally in a *Mytilus trossulus* founder host and has since spread to *M. trossulus*, *M. edulis*, *M. galloprovincialis*, and *M. chilensis* populations across several continents —South America, Asia, and Europe (14-15,17-20).

Transmissible cancer lineages must have emerged from a first neoplastic transformation in a founder host and then evolved specific phenotypes to become a new type of contagious

etiologic agents. Indeed, we know that non-transmissible cancers also occur in *Mytilus*

mussels (19). MtrBTN2 cells are found circulating in the hemolymph together with hemocytes,

the mussel immune cells. Similar to hemocytes, they are able to infiltrate connective tissues

of various organs (18,21). During disease progression, MtrBTN2 cells overgrow host cells and

progressively replace almost all the circulating cells present in the hemolymph and

disseminate in tissues. They show a characteristic morphology of rounded and basophilic cells

with a high nucleus-to-cell ratio and they are polyploid (18). Thus, like other hemolymphatic

cancers (19), they are easily diagnosed by histological/cytological observation or flow

cytometry of hemolymph (18,22). This diagnostic needs to be complemented with genetic

analyses to distinguish MtrBTN2 from other *Mytilus* transmissible cancers and regular

hemolymphatic neoplasia (15,23). MtrBTN2 cells are able to proliferate very quickly with a

mean doubling time of ~3 days (23). In addition, BTNs have the capacity to survive in the

outside-host environment long enough to infect a new host (23,26). In the case of MtrBTN2,

we showed that these cells were able to survive at least 3 days with no mortality and up to 8

days in seawater (23). Although MtrBTN2 cells harbor phenotypic traits of most neoplastic

cells including a high proliferating activity, genomic abnormalities such as aneuploidy, the

supermetastatic ability to colonize diverse tissue niches of multiple hosts, and extended cell

survival capabilities, oncogenesis molecular pathways in BTNs remain uncharacterized.

Among the most frequent functional capabilities observed in human cancerous cells, which

have been the most studied, the core hallmarks are the sustaining of proliferative signaling,

the evasion from growth suppressors, the resistance to cell death, the replicative immortality,

the activation of invasion and metastasis, the reprogramming of cellular metabolism, and the

avoidance of immune destruction (24). The acquisition of these capabilities is ensured through

the activation or inactivation of specific signaling pathways. Among them, Sanchez-Vega et al.

(25) highlighted ten oncogenic signaling pathways as the most frequently altered in most

human cancers (HIPPO, MYC, NOTCH, NRF2, PI3K, RTK/RAS, TGFβ, TP53, WNT, and cell cycle),

and mainly involved in promotion of cell proliferation. These conclusions were drawn from

massive data over hundreds of human cancers acquired by transcriptomics, genomics and

epigenomics analyses.

Measuring relative levels of gene expression has been a key approach to identify genes and

biological pathways associated with the cancerous process and cancer functional adaptations

(27). In recent years, RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) has emerged as a fast, cost-effective, and

robust technology to address various biological questions (28,29). Transcriptome analyses

enable to link cellular phenotypes and their molecular underpinnings. In the context of

cancers, these links represent an opportunity to dissect the complexity of the cancer biological

adaptations. For non-model organisms and in the absence of a suitable reference genome,

which is the case for *M. trossulus*, RNA-seq is used to reconstruct and quantify *de novo*

transcriptomes (30). Differential gene expression analysis (DGE) is then carried out to compare

the effect of treatments or conditions on gene expression. To date, even if several

transmissible neoplasia have been described in marine bivalves, transcriptome-wide studies

are still lacking.

Here, we performed a deep sequencing of cancerous cell transcriptomes to investigate the

gene expression profile of MtrBTN2. We sequenced mRNA from circulating cells in both

healthy and MtrBTN2-affected *M. edulis*, and considering that the founder host species was

M. trossulus, we included hemocytes from this species as control. Analysis of differentially

expressed genes unveiled some functional characteristics of this uncommon cancer and

highlighted potential cancer drivers shared by all bilaterians.

2. Results and discussion

MtrBTN2 cells were sampled from the hemolymph of *M. edulis* mussels grown in the English

Channel (Normandy, France). Only individuals with more than 95% of circulating neoplastic

cells in their hemolymph were used for MtrBTN2 cell collection. *M. edulis* hemocytes were

collected from individuals from the same geographical site but tested negative for MtrBTN2

by cytology and qPCRs targeting a sequence in the EF1 gene specific of the *M. trossulus* species

and a MtrBTN2 specific sequence in the mitochondrial COI gene (15,23). *M. trossulus*

hemocytes were collected from the hemolymph of wild *M. trossulus* present in the Barents

Sea (Kola Bay, Russia) as this species is absent along the French coasts. All *M. trossulus* mussels

were positive for the qPCR targeting the *M. trossulus* specific sequence but were negative for

MtrBTN2 by cytology and the qPCR. We did not observe any other pathogen by histology in

the nine individuals used for RNA-Seq analysis. Transcripts from hemolymph samples were

sequenced for each condition: MtrBTN2-positive (i.e. cancerous) *M. edulis* (CAN 1-3),

MtrBTN2-negative *M. edulis* (EDU 1-3) and MtrBTN2-negative *M. trossulus* (TRO 1-3)*.* In the

absence of reference genomes for our species of interest and for MtrBTN2, all RNA-seq reads

were used to assemble *de novo* a pantranscriptome from the 9 samples (MtrBTN2 cells, *M.*

edulis hemocytes, and *M. trossulus* hemocytes). The graphical representation of the

experimental set up and bioinformatic analyses is shown in Fig. 1.

Figure 1: Graphical representation of the experimental design and RNA-Seq data analysis. DGE: differential gene

expression analysis. Microphotographs of cancerous and healthy circulating cells are on the same scale.

2.1 Pantranscriptome assembly and annotation

The raw assembly contained 2,149,788 transcripts. After filtration steps, we retained a total

of 138,079 transcripts. The pantranscriptome completeness evaluation indicated that 95.6%

of the highly conserved single-copy metazoan genes (n = 954) were present in full length as a

single (81.2%) or duplicated copy (14.4%). Mean re-mapping rate reached 53.38 ± 0.58% with

negligible differences among samples (Kruskal-Wallis, H(2)=1.69, p=0.43). Only 5826

transcripts did not show a blast similarity or a conserved domain. OmicsBox program v2.0

([31](,)[32]) associated GO terms to 131,346 transcripts but finally, 41.43% of transcript

annotations were retained after computing a filtration step based on a minimum annotation

score. Assembly and annotation metrics are reported in Table 1 and supplementary Table S1.

Raw read sequences coming from RNA-seq were deposited with links to BioProject accession

number   PRJNA749800   in   the   NCBI   BioProject   database

(https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/). Individual SRA numbers are displayed in

supplementary Table S2.

Attributes	Cancerous individuals			Healthy individuals					
	MtrBTN2			*Mytilus edulis*			*Mytilus trossulus*		
	CAN1	CAN2	CAN3	EDU1	EDU2	EDU3	TRO1	TRO2	TRO3
Clean reads (2x) x10^6	83.69	82.83	82.05	83.88	81.93	98.33	81.57	76.67	84.82
GC%	36	36	36	37	37	37	36	36	36
Transcriptome statistics									
	Raw number of transcripts						2,149,788		
	Final number of transcripts						138,079		
	GC%						38		
	Total assembled bases						97,665,057		
	Contigs N50 (bp)						1,038		
	Median contig length (bp)						439		
	Average contig length (bp)						745		
	BUSCO % (*Metazoa, n=954*)						95.6		
	Complete single-copy						167		
	Duplicated						745		
	Fragmented						34		
	Missing						8		
Back alignment on pantranscriptome %	53.53	53.92	53.48	53.88	53.83	52.83	53.70	52.18	53.14
Annotation statitics									
	Transcripts with GO associated						131,346		
	Transcrips annotated*						57,214		
	No-blast, no Interproscan						5,826		

Table 1: Assembly and annotation metrics. The pantranscriptome was obtained from the mRNA sequencing of circulating cells from three *Mytilus edulis*, three *M. trossulus*, and three MtrBTN2-affected *M. edulis* at a late stage of the disease (>95% of cancerous cells).*after applying the OmicsBox annotation rule (e-value hit filter of 1.10^{-3}, annotation cutoff of 55, a HSP-Hit coverage of 60%).

2.2 The specific transcriptomic profile of MtrBTN2 cells

We investigated differentially expressed genes in MtrBTN2 cells (CAN 1-3) by comparing their transcript abundance to the *M. edulis* (EDU 1-3) and *M. trossulus* hemocytes (TRO 1-3) using DESeq2. A total of 4358 transcripts were significantly differentially expressed; 2632 were more expressed and 1726 were less expressed in MtrBTN2 cells than in hemocytes (see Log2 fold change (Log2FC) in supplementary Table S3). The three groups of mussels were well discriminated through hierarchical clustering on a heatmap (log2 centered) (Fig. 2).

Remarkably, the transcriptome of MtrBTN2 cells (CAN 1-3) was very specific and distinct from

that of hemocyte samples of both healthy *M. edulis* (EDU 1-3) and *M. trossulus* (TRO 1-3),

which clustered together. These first results indicate that MtrBTN2 transcriptomic profile

significantly differs from the transcriptomic profile of healthy *Mytilus* hemocytes,

independently of their species or geographical origin. This biological material was therefore

used to identify the specificities of MtrBNT2 cell transcriptome.

Figure 2: Heatmap (log2 centered) with hierarchical clustering of the 4358 differentially expressed genes at a FDR <0.05.

Among the most differentially expressed genes (DEG) (with log2FC > 5), we identified six top-expressed genes (RLE > 500) in MtrBTN2 cells (*CYP11A1*, *THBS1*, *SELP*, *ALOX5*, *BMP2*, and

175   *ADAMTS1*) (Fig. 3)*. These genes may be considered as specific of the malignant state and have

176   been described as implicated in tumorigenesis and metastasis in human (Table 2).

178   **Figure 3:** Scatter plot representing y=log2FC, x=log(RLE+1). Lines limit the areas above log2FC values > 5 and RLE

179   > 500. Six genes (*CYP11A1*, *THBS1*, *SELP*, *ALOX5*, *BMP2*, and *ADAMTS1)* exceeded these values and may be

180   considered as specific of the malignant state.

gene	Product	Function	Biological processes	References
CYP11A1	Cholesterol side-chain cleavage enzyme	- catalysis of cholesterol to pregnenolone convertion	- modulation of immune response (glucocorticoids) - sexual development and gametogenesis (androgens and estrogens)	Hu et al., 2010 [33]
THBS1	Thrombospondin-1	- cell-to-cell and cell-to-matrix interactions - major activator of TGF-β to its mature form	- chemotactic gradient for immune cells participating in inflammatory response during acute phase - induction macrophage polarization to the M2 anti-inflammatory phenotype	Murphy-Ullrich and Poczatek, 2000 [34] Letterio and Roberts, 1998 [35] Ashcroft, 1999 [36]
SELP	P-selectin	- vascular adhesion molecules	- extravasation of circulating metastatic cells - inflammation - induction macrophage polarization to the M2 anti-inflammatory phenotype	Läubli and Borsig, 2010 [37] Fabricius et al., 2021 [38]
ALOX5	5-Lipoxygenase	- synthesis of leukotrienes	- inflammation - proliferation of cancerous cells - apoptosis inhibition of cancerous cells	Anderson et al., 1998 [39] Bishayee et al., 2013 [40]
BMP2	Bone morphogenetic protein 2	- growth factor - cell differentiation	- stemness maintenance - induction macrophage polarization to the M2 anti-inflammatory phenotype	Lee et al., 2013 [41]
ADAMTS1	A disintegrin and metalloproteinase with thrombospondin motifs 1	- matrix proteolytic enzyme	- ECM dismantling - induction macrophage polarization to the M2 anti-inflammatory phenotype	Redondo-García et al., 2021 [42] Rucci et al., 2011 [43]

Table 2: Top-expressed genes in MtrBTN2 cells (log2FC > 5 and RLE > 500). Most are involved in inflammation

and immune response.

They are linked to inflammation, immune processes, and extra-cellular matrix (ECM)

disruption (33-43). The exact role of some of these genes (*THBS1, SELP, ALOX5,* and *BMP2*)

during tumorigenesis is still debated mainly for their implication in inflammatory process

(44,45). However, *THBS1* expression is increased in numerous cancers promoting invasion and

metastasis (46-49). *SELP* and *ALOX5* are highly expressed in some tumors and cancer cell lines,

inducing proliferation of cancerous cells (50-53) and inhibiting apoptosis (39,40). Conflicting

data also exist with regard to the effect of *BMP2* on cancer (54). Nevertheless, most studies

suggest that *BMP2* enhances metastatic progression and tumorigenesis. Although mollusk

immunity against cancer has never been studied so far, most of these genes could be involved

in the modulation of host immune response. Some of them like *THBS1*, *SELP*, *BMP2*, and

ADAMTS1 are involved in the induction of macrophage polarization to the M2 anti-

inflammatory phenotype in mammals and their roles in the interactions of MtrBTN2 with host

immune response deserve further attention to better understand the host invasion process.

2.3 Dysregulated biological processes in MtrBTN2

To identify the main biological processes that are specific of the MtrBTN2 phenotype, we

performed a GO_MWU enrichment analysis on DEGs (for protein descriptions and gene IDs

see supplementary Table S3). The complete list of genes clustered by Gene Ontology (GO)

term is provided in the supplementary Table S4. The GO_MWU analysis revealed several

biological functions differentially regulated in MtrBTN2 cells compared to normal hemocytes

(Fig. 4).

Figure 4: Biological processes dysregulated in transmissible neoplasia: **1.**Meiosis I; **2.**Cellular process involved in reproduction; **3.**Sperm motility; **4.**Cytokenetic process; **5.**Contractil ring contraction; **6.**Mitotic spindle elongation; **7.**Regulation of cell cycle process; **8.**Protein deacylation; **9.**Proteolysis; **10.**Adenosine metabolic process; **11.**Nucleoside phosphate biosynthetic process; **12.**IMP metabolic process; **13.**Carbohydrate derivative metabolic process; **14.**Dolichol-linked oligosaccharide biosynthetic process; **15.**Tricarboxylic acid cycle; **16.**Peptidyl-amino acid modification; **17.**Histone modification; **18.**Protein metabolic process; **19.**Protein deubiquitination; **20.**Nucleobase metabolic process; **21.**Pyrimidine nucleobase metabolic process; **22.**DNA replication initiation; **23.**DNA strand elongation; **24.**DNA replication, synthesis of RNA primer; **25.**DNA-dependent DNA replication; **26.**Regulation of growth; **27.**Cell fate determination; **28.**Cis assembly of pre-catalytic spliceosome; **29**.RNA phosphodiester bond hydrolysis; **30.**Mitochondrial translation; **31.**Transcription initiation

from RNA polymerase I promoter; **32.**DNA-templated transcription, initiation; **33.**Translational elongation;

34. Spliceosomal snRNP assembly; **35.**mRNA processing; **36.**tRNA splicing, via endonucleolytic cleavage and

ligation; **37.**tRNA wobble base modification; **38.**tRNA metabolic process; **39.**Deadenylation-dependent

decapping of nuclear-transcribed mRNA; **40.**ncRNA metabolic process; **41.**Response to oxidative stress;

42. Double-strand break repair; **43.**Cellular response to amino acid starvation; **44.**Response to bacterium;

45. Defense response; **46.**Actin filament based process; **47.**Microtubule-based movement; **48.**Cell surface

receptor signaling pathway; **49.**Anion transport; **50.**Golgi vesicle transport; **51.**Ribosomal small subunit

assembly; **52.**Ribosomal large subunit assembly; **53.**rRNA-contain RNP complex. The disk diameter is

proportional to the number of DEGs linked to the process. The color intensity is proportional to the enrichment

intensity, expressed as the ratio between the number of genes that were significantly over- (yellow) or down-

expressed (blue) compared with the total number of genes in the process. Lines connecting two functions

represent shared genes (solid line means that all genes in the smaller family are part of the largest family; dotted

line means that only some genes are shared).

Proliferation-related functions are enriched

Most of the functions over-represented in MtrBTN2 cells are consistent with a high

proliferative activity (4.Cytokenetic process; 5.Contractil ring contraction; 6.Mitotic spindle

elongation; 7.Regulation of cell cycle process, in Fig. 4). Many genes linked to "DNA

replication" and "transcription and translation" underpin this enrichment (supplementary

Table S4). Among them, we found *TERT,* which is essential for the replication of chromosome

telomeres in most eukaryotes and is particularly active in progenitor and cancer cells (55). By

maintaining telomere length, telomerase relieves a main barrier on cellular lifespan, enabling

limitless proliferation driven by oncogenes (56). In addition, we found in the "development"

family a higher expression of transcripts involved in the promotion of cell growth such as *TTK*

(57), *METAP2* (58), *THBS1* (activator of TGF-β) (34), *MEAK7* (59)*,* and *LAMTOR1* (60). Several

gene transcripts acting as growth inhibitors, especially in cancer contexts, were less expressed

in MtrBTN2, such as *FAM107A* (61), and *LTBP3* (62), which keeps TGF-β in a latent state.

The "cell cycle" family (4.Cytokenetic process; 5.Contractil ring contraction; 6.Mitotic spindle

elongation; 7.Regulation of cell cycle process, in Fig. 4) was also enriched in MtrBTN2 cells.

The negative regulation of the cell cycle progression appeared less expressed: *ASAH2,* which

inhibits the mediation of the cell cycle arrest by ceramide (63), was more expressed, whereas

PPP2R5B, which inhibits the cycle progression (64), was less expressed in neoplasia. Moreover,

genes promoting the cell cycle progression were more expressed in MtrBTN2 cells, particularly

five genes forming the Anaphase Promoting Complex (*ANAPC1, ANAPC4, ANAPC5, CDC26, and*

CDC23) and *CCND1* encoding cyclin D1 that promotes progression through the G1-S phase of

the cell cycle (65).

Regulation of metabolic pathways are modified

Many metabolic processes were shown to be enriched (Fig. 4 and Suppl. Table S4). The

nucleotide metabolism was characterized by a higher expression in MtrBTN2 cells of more

than 25 genes involved in the *de novo* and salvage biosynthetic pathways of purines and

pyrimidines and in the homeostasis of cellular nucleotides. Protein and carbohydrate

derivative metabolisms were also over-represented in neoplasia. Enrichment concerned

genes encoding ribosomal proteins, protein turnover and localization (especially

deubiquitination), proteolysis, peptidyl amino-acid modification, histone acetylation, and lipid

and protein glycosylation. In addition to *MEAK7* and *LAMTOR1*, eight genes (*BMTP2, C12orf66,*

ITFG2, *MIOS*, *NPRL2*, *RagA, RagD, and SEC13*) involved in the mTORC1 amino-acid sensing

pathway (43.Cellular response to amino acid starvation, in Fig. 4) (66) were differentially

expressed. Interestingly, beside the role of mTORC1 in the regulation of autophagy, mTORC1

plays a pivotal role as a master regulator of protein, lipid, and nucleic acid metabolism

modifications reported in many different cancer cells (67-70). Several transcripts involved in

organelle activities and linked to protein metabolism (anabolism, catabolism, post-

translational modifications, folding, and secretion) were also more expressed in MtrBTN2.

The tricarboxylic acid cycle (TCA) appeared also highly differentially regulated in MtrBTN2 with

a lower expression of *DLST* transcripts (a component of 2-oxoglutarate dehydrogenase

complex), *FH*, *SDHD* and *NNT*, and a higher expression of *SUCLA2*. TCA cycle is crucial for

generating cellular energy and precursors for biosynthetic pathways (71). By screening the

expression of 16 glycolysis-related genes, we found *PFKP*, *PKM2*, and *SLC2A* more expressed;

however, *PGK1*, *TPI1*, and *ALDOA* were less expressed than in control hemocytes. These

conflicting results did not allow us to conclude on how the regulation of glycolytic activities in

transmissible neoplasia cells is modified. However, these results suggest major modifications

in nucleotides, amino-acid and energy metabolism in MtrBTN cells as often seen in other

cancers (72).

DNA repair systems are dysregulated

MtrBTN2 neoplasia were found to express at a higher level a significant panel of genes

involved in the DNA Homologous Recombination (HR) (42.Double-strand break repair, in Fig.

4), such as *BABAM1*, *ZSWIM7*, *RAD51L*, *RAD54L*, *MRE11*, *MCM9*, and *TONSL*. The protein DNA

helicase MCM9 is also related to the repair of inter-strand crosslinks (73), as well as MUS81

(74). This kind of damage is a double-edged sword as DSB can induce cell death unless repaired

efficiently, but inefficient or inappropriate repair can lead to mutation, gene translocation and

cancer (75). Due to their high proliferation rate and enhanced metabolic activities, cancer cells

suffer a huge replication stress (76), which emphasizes the need for cancer cells to activate

DNA repair. Cells are able to repair DSB through two major pathways: nonhomologous end

joining and HR (77). HR is critical for reestablishing replication forks at the sites of damage

during S and G2 phases of the cell cycle. However when combined with depletion of cell cycle

checkpoints and apoptosis this mechanism can result in genomic instability. As MtrBTN2

ploidy appears highly abnormal (varying between 8N to 18N, (18)), further investigations will

be needed to determine whether the dysregulation of these DNA repair pathways favors

recombination between homologous chromosomes and chromosomal abnormalities

accumulation, or whether these pathways are activated in order to limit DNA damages.

Interestingly, up-regulation of double-stranded DNA break repair via HR pathway was also

found in DFTD (78).

Interaction with ECM is affected

Seven genes encoding integrin sub-units (48.Cell surface receptor signaling pathway, in Fig. 4),

a major class of transmembrane glycoproteins that mediate cell-matrix and cell-cell adhesion

were dysregulated (Suppl. Table S4). As integrins are mostly less expressed in MtrBTN2 cells

this suggests that the capacities of these cells to interact with ECM components are

profoundly modified which is often involved at multiple stages of the cancerous processes

(79).

Cell fate determination pathways are modified and soma-to-germline transition may have

occurred

Major pathways of cell fate determination appear modified (Fig. 4 and Suppl. Table S4). The

expression of six genes involved in the Notch and Wnt pathways were altered. These

modifications may drive dedifferentiation processes often seen in aggressive cancer cells or

cancer stem cells (80). We report in paragraph 2.6 the results of the focused analysis carried

out on these two pathways. Additionally three biological processes clustered under GO terms

linked to the "reproduction" were enriched (1.Meiosis I; 2.Cellular process involved in

reproduction; 3.Sperm motility, in Fig. 4). Nineteen transcripts more expressed in MtrBTN2

cells gathered under the "sperm motility" process and encode dynein assembly factors, dynein

chains, and cilia and flagella associated proteins. Moreover, transcripts of genes involved in

meiosis such as *MSH5* (81)*, SYCP3* (82)*,* and *TEX11* (83), were more expressed in MtrBTN2.

These unexpected results could reflect a soma-to-germline transition during the oncogenesis

process that was reported in a diversity of cancers and reinforce the hypothesis of profound

modifications of the differentiation state of MtrBTN2 cells (84,85). Interestingly when

abnormally produced in mitotically dividing cells, the DEG *SYCP3*, may impair recombination

and drives ploidy changes influencing chromosomal segregation in cancer cells, which could

be one of the mechanisms that led to the hyperploidy of MtrBTN2 cells (85).

Immune response is underrepresented

One of the striking differences between MtrBTN2 cells and hemocytes is that biological

processes belonging to innate immunity (44.Response to bacterium; 45.Defense response; in

Fig. 4) are significantly underrepresented in MtrBTN2 cells. The genes with significant lower

expression in MtrBTN2 cells encode major mussel antimicrobial peptides and bactericidal

proteins such as *Mytilin B*, *Myticin A*, *Myticin B*, *MGD1*, and *BPI* (86-88). They also encode

multiple pattern recognition receptors: *TLR2* and *TLR4,* which belong to the Toll-Like-Receptor

family essential to innate immunity against pathogens (89), and the lectin-related pattern

recognition molecules *Ficolin-2*, *FIBCD1*, *CLEC4E*, and *CLEC4M* involved in the recognition of

pathogens and apoptotic or necrotic cells (90-92). This under-representation of biological

functions related to host defense suggests that MtrBTN2 cells are immunologically

incompetent. During MtrBTN2 disease progression, we also observe a drastic decrease in the

number of host hemocytes, progressively replaced by circulating MtrBTN2 cells, which can

represent over 95% of the circulating cells in cancerous mussels (18,21). To date, it remains to

be determined whether MtrBTN2 cells outcompete regular hemocytes in the hemolymph or

whether they interfere with hematopoiesis, as seen for some human leukemias (93). Still, the

combination of fewer circulating hemocytes and the immune incompetence of MtrBTN2 cells

could have dramatic consequences for the host health and could lead to lethal opportunistic

systemic infections (94).

2.4 CASP3, FN1, and CDC42 are hub genes in the interaction network of MtrBTN2 DEGs

Protein-protein interaction (PPI) networks of DEGs were constructed to evaluate their

connectivity and identify hub genes that could play a critical role in the MtrBTN2 phenotype.

The complete network of PPI is represented in Fig. 5. The top 20 genes in connectivity ranking

were found in functions already identified as enriched with GO_MWU such as translation

(most involved in ribosome biogenesis) and DNA replication. Outputs of NetworkAnalyzer

v4.4.8 (95) are reported in Supplementary table S5. Interestingly, this analysis highlighted

three DEGs as major hubs in the PPI networks that did not stand out in the GO_MWU analysis,

which are *FN1, CDC42,* and *CASP3*. Fibronectin 1 encoded by *FN1*, is a major component of

ECM and plays an important role in cell adhesion, migration, growth and differentiation (96);

the number of transcripts was substantially higher in MtrBTN2 cells (log2FC > 5). CDC42, is a

member of the Rho GTPase family and plays an important role in cell- cell and cell-matrix

adhesion, actin cytoskeletal architecture, cell migration, and cell cycle regulation (97), the

number of transcripts was significantly lower in MtrBTN2 cells. As FN1 and CDC42 are involved

in complementary functions and signaling pathways (like cell-ECM interactions and cell

migration) both hub genes were found interconnected in the PPI network, moreover a large

number of integrin sub-units were found dysregulated. Altogether these results strongly

suggest that cell-ECM interactions and cell migration functions are profoundly modified in

MtrBTN2 cells in line with their observed rounded morphology, low adhesion properties and

f-actin modifications (18,20). The master effector of apoptosis, Caspase 3 encoded by *CASP3*

is less expressed as well in MtrBTN2 cells. It plays a central role in the execution-phase of cell

apoptosis (98) that is commonly inhibited in cancerous cells allowing them to evade cell death

despite DNA damage accumulation and dysfunctions of the cell cycle.

Figure 5: Network of protein-protein interactions (PPI). The disk size is proportional to the number of gene connections. Top 20 Hub genes are in bold. In yellow, up-regulated genes; in blue, down-regulated genes. The color intensity is proportional to the Log2FC value.

2.6 Most major oncogenic signaling pathways are altered in MtrBTN2

Based on the Cancer Genome Atlas project, Sanchez-Vega et al. (25) highlighted that 10 signaling pathways are very frequently altered in most cancers. We found that seven of these 10 oncogenic signaling pathways were altered in MtrBTN2 neoplasia; six were highlighted by differential gene expression analysis and one by SNV analysis. These pathways are involved in cell proliferation and differentiation.

373 Key DEGs were found in the "Hippo", "Notch", "Wnt", "Myc", "PI3K", and "Cell Cycle" signaling

374 pathways (Table 3).

Pathway	Main functions	Gene	Action on pathway	MtrBTN2/hemocytes expression
Hippo	anti-proliferative anti-stem cell self renewal pro-apoptotic	SAV1	activation	Lower
Notch	cell proliferation cell fate	JAG1 FBXW7	activation repression	Higher Higher
Wnt	cell proliferation stemness maintenance	SFRP1 SFRP3 APC CTNNB1	repression repression repression /	Lower Higher Higher Higher
Myc	cell proliferation apoptosis	MXD3	repression	Lower
PI3K	cell proliferation cell survival	PTEN PIK3R1	repression repression	Lower Lower
Cell Cycle		CCND1	activation	Higher

Table 3: Genes differentially expressed in MtrBTN2 among the most frequently altered genes in the oncogenic

signaling pathways. We report their action on the corresponding pathway and their state of expression.

Hippo signaling pathway plays a role in the inhibition of cell proliferation, control of cell fate,

and apoptosis promotion through the phosphorylation of YAP/TAZ transcription coactivators,

their cytoplasmic retention and degradation. *SAV1* is a key activation factor of the Hippo

pathway participating to LATS1/2 phosphorylation, a necessary step for subsequent YAP/TAZ

phosphorylation (99). Since *SAV1* is less expressed in MtrBTN2 cells the Hippo pathway may

be down- or inactivated.

The PI3K pathway also showed dysregulation. PIK3 pathway is involved in cell metabolism,

growth, proliferation, Cell-ECM interactions and survival. We observed a lower expression of

two genes, *PTEN* and *PIK3R1* acting as PIK3 antagonists (100). When the pathway is activated,

AKT1 is phosphorylated and inhibits the activity of TSC1/2. AKT-mediated phosphorylation of

TSC1/2 lifts its inhibition on RHEB activity, leading to activation of the complex mTORC1. Our

enrichment analysis (Chap. 2.3) has also highlighted the mTORC1 activation by the alternative

amino-acid sensing pathway. AKT1 plays also an indirect role in Wnt and Myc pathways by a

negative regulation of GSK3β through its phosphorylation (101). Thus, the inhibitory effect of

unphosphorylated GSK3β on MYC and its contribution in the destruction complex of β-

cathenin in Wnt pathway may be repressed in MtrBTN2.

MAD3, an antagonist of MYC for MAX binding (102), was less expressed in MtrBTN2 cells

suggesting that the MYC-MAX complex was favored and the pathway activated.

Among SFRPs, which act as Wnt pathway inhibitors by binding extracellular WNT ligands,

SFRP1 was less and *SRFP3* was more expressed. Moreover, *APC,* which encodes a protein

constitutive of the Destruction Complex, was more expressed. APC is a multifunctional protein

and is involved, for instance, in the normal compaction of mitotic chromatin (103). We looked

for DEGs among the downstream genes of the Wnt pathway, which transcription is regulated

by unphosphorylated CTNNB1 mediation. We found that *CCND1*, *JAG1*, and *FN1* were more

expressed suggesting that the Wnt pathway was activated (104). CCND1 promotes cell

proliferation and JAG1 is a transmembrane ligand activating the Notch pathway. However,

FBXW7 that inhibits the NOTCHs cleavage and its consequent activation was more expressed

in MtrBTN2 cells. Moreover, *HES1* expression, which is promoted by activated NOTCH, was

lower in MtrBTN2 cells. HES1 negatively regulates expression of downstream target genes

such as tissue-specific transcription factors (105).

In order to identify potential relevant mutations in these signaling pathways, we investigated

for the presence of MtrBTN2-specific SNVs in the transcript sequences of the genes belonging

to the 10 oncogenic pathways by visualization of base variations and frequency with IGV (106).

Although the identification of these variations was complicated by the polyploidy of cancerous

cells and the short reads sequencing data, we managed to identify MtrBTN2-specific SNVs,

variants that were only observed in the three MtrBTN2 samples but not in the other 6 samples

(3 *M. edulis* and 3 *M. trossulus*). MtrBTN2-specific SNVs were found in 10 genes among those

involved in oncogenic pathways (Table 4).

| Gene | Transcript length | Pathway | Substitution type | | | Missense substitution in a domain |
			Synonymous	Missense	Total number	
PIK3CA	3144 bp	PI3K	26	1	27	-
PIK3CB	3156 bp	PI3K	3	0	3	-
PTEN	1398 bp	PI3K	2	0	2	-
AKT1	1458 bp	PI3K	3	1	4	1
KRAS	330 bp	RTK/RAS	3	0	3	-
MDM2	1707 bp	p53	26	22	48	9
p53	1795 bp	p53	2	1	3	-
MYC	1269 bp	Myc	0	1	1	1
FBXW7	2100 bp	Notch	1	-	1	-

Table 4: Genes carrying MtrBTN2-specific SNVs among the most frequently altered genes in the oncogenic

signaling pathways. *AKT1*, *MDM2* and *MYC* carried missense substitutions in a protein domain.

The highest number of MtrBTN2-specific SNVs were found in *PIK3CA* (PI3K pathway) and

MDM2 (p53 pathway) genes, with 27 specific SNVs/3144 nucleotides and 48/1707

respectively. Most of these specific SNVs were synonymous substitutions but missense

substitutions were present in five genes: *PIK3CA*, *AKT1*, *MDM2*, *p53*, and *MYC*. In three of

these genes, the missense substitutions were located within protein domains. A missense

substitution in AKT1 sequence was located in the kinase domain, and a missense substitution

in *MYC* sequence, was located in the transcriptional activation domain. The real impact of

these missense substitutions found in *PIK3CA* and *AKT1* is still unknown. However, *MDM2* was

particularly affected with 9 missense mutations in functional protein domains: one

substitution in the p53-binding domain, four in the acidic domain, two in the Zn-finger domain,

and two in the RING-finger domain. Interestingly, in the *p53* gene which encodes both p53

and p63/73 proteins in mollusks (107) we found three MtrBTN2-specific SNVs. The first

(nucleotide 177, C in MtrBTN2 instead of T) and the second (nucleotide 816, T instead of C)

were synonymous substitutions previously described by Vassilenko et al. (2010) (108) in *M.*

trossulus affected by haemic neoplasia. The third was a missense substitution located in

nucleotide 1258 (T instead of A), newly described here. *M. trossulus p53* is characterized by a

deletion of 6 nucleotides if compared to *M. edulis p53* (109). We found this deletion in 100%

of reads coming from MtrBTN2 samples. Altogether, SNVs found in the MDM2-p53 sequences

of MtrBTN2 suggest that this pathway could contribute to MtrBTN2 oncogenesis.

To summarize these results, we represented a simplified view of the altered pathways and

their interactions in Fig. 6.

Figure 6: Seven oncogenic signaling pathways showed expression or sequence alterations in MtrBTN2 cells. Green pathways are expected to be activated; red, inactivated; grey, indeterminate. Purple genes brought missense substitutions; yellow were more expressed in MtrBTN2 cells than in hemocytes; blue were less expressed.

2.7 MtrBTN2 shares multiple oncogenic pathways with mammals

In their survey of bilaterian animals, Aktipis et al. (110) have revealed that all or nearly all bilaterians are susceptible to cancer. Mollusks (Lophotrochozoa) are no exception (19,21). Even if high levels of genotypic and phenotypic diversity have been described among cancers in mammals (111), molecular studies mainly in human and mice have identified common drivers of the cancerous process (24-25). Still, oncogenic processes have been poorly studied in invertebrate phyla, especially at the molecular level. Our present data show that most

common alterations related to oncogenesis found in mammals are present in MtrBTN2 cells,

with seven oncogenic signaling pathways altered. One of the most fundamental traits of

cancerous cells consists in their high proliferation rates. We earlier found a mean doubling

time of ~ 3 days in the case of MtrBTN2 (23). The present study provides information on the

molecular bases of cell proliferation and suggests apoptosis inhibition. MtrBTN2 proliferative

activity is supported by metabolic rewiring, as often seen in cancer cells (72). Indeed, we

highlight a central role for the Pi3K-AKT-mTORC1 pathway that is a master regulator in

coupling cell growth and amino-acid, lipid, and nucleotide metabolisms that are frequently

modified in many mammalian cancers of diverse origins (74,112-114). Dysregulation of

receptors and pathways involved in cell-ECM interactions (115) were also highlighted here,

which is consistent with our knowledge of MtrBTN2 biology, pathogenesis (within-host

disease progression) and its inter-host transmission. Indeed, MtrBTN2 cells are non-adherent

circulating cells, they infiltrate tissues and breach physiological barrier such as epithelium

during the transmission process. MtrBTN2 cells are also characterized by a dysregulated

differentiation state often seen in aggressive cancers (80), as reflected by the aberrant

activation of some meiosis-related genes that suggests a soma-to-germline transition. Such

reactivation of meiosis-related genes expression has been previously observed in a wide range

of non-germ cell cancers in humans (84). Finally, our transcriptomic data reveal a dampening

of host defenses in cancerous mussels likely to facilitate disease progression and pathological

outcomes. Evasion from immune destruction by disabling components of the immune system

is indeed another hallmark of human cancers (116).

2.8 Future directions

This transcriptomic analysis generated a large amount of information on gene expression in

MtrBTN2 cells and we identified genes and pathways linked to their cancerous state. These

results represent a significant step for understanding this disease at the cellular and molecular

level and set the ground for future research. A comparative oncology approach offers a unique

and strong opportunity to learn more about the evolutive mechanisms of cancers and

metastatic processes. Moreover, our model offers various advantages. Indeed, it is a naturally

occurring cancer and mollusks are not subject to ethical concerns. Yet, a huge amount of work

remains to do. The oncogenic processes are characterized by disturbances of mechanisms

essential for cell integrity and tissue homeostasis. However, to date, most of the molecular

dysfunctions have not been studied in mollusks and could diverge from vertebrates to some

extent. Priorities are i) to obtain well curated and annotated reference genomes, ii) the

characterization of gene products, and iii) the specific description of protein functions and

relationships within and between pathways. To reach these objectives gene manipulation

tools are deeply needed, which is a significant challenge in such non-model organisms.

3. Conclusions

We provide here the first transcriptomic profiling of a lophotrochozoan cancer, which in

addition has the characteristic to be transmissible. Our results raise a number of evolutionary

implications. First, we show that oncogenic processes across bilaterians are underpinned by

conserved molecular pathways. This suggests a remote origin of oncogenic processes in which

the central features of cooperation that characterize multicellularity are broken down by

cheating in proliferation inhibition, cell death, differentiation, resource allocation and

extracellular environment maintenance. We also show that the long-term evolution of

MtrBTN2, due to its transmissibility, has led to the selection of a large number of oncogenic

traits that makes them super-metastases. However, in the specific case of transmissible

cancers, an equilibrium between evolution and genome stability is probably necessary for the

survival of these cancerous lineages in mussel populations over time. Indeed, a spontaneous

question that arises is how these transmissible lineages deal with clonal degeneration for

hundreds or even thousands of years. Such evolutionary implications open the way to future

investigation.

4. Materials and Methods

4.1 Mussel samplings and MtrBTN2 diagnostic

We collected mussels at the end of 2019 in English Channel and Barents Sea.

Two hundred *Mytilus edulis* were sampled in a farm located in Agon-Coutainville

(49°0'44.784''N 1°35'55.643''O, Normandy, France) and immediately screened for cancer at

the LABEO laboratory (Caen, France). The presence of circulating cancerous cells and the

disease stage were first diagnosed by cytological examination on hemolymph samples (18).

We found 14 positive individuals among which three were at an advanced stage of the disease

(>95% of circulating cells were cancerous cells). After anesthesia (117), we drew a maximum

volume of hemolymph from the adductor muscle of these three cancerous mussels as well as

of three mussels diagnosed as MtrBTN2-free. Hemolymph was deposited individually in

RNase-free microtubes conserved on ice and centrifuged at 800 x g for 10 min at 4°C. The

pelleted cells were immediately resuspended in TRIzol® (Invitrogen) and conserved at -80°C

until RNA extraction. As non-transmissible circulating cancers also exist in mussels (19), we

confirmed the MtrBTN2 diagnosis by two qPCR, one specific to *M. trossulus* (and MtrBTN) and

targeting the nuclear marker EF1α (23) and one specific to the MtrBTN2 lineage targeting

mtCR ([15]). Briefly, a piece of mantle and gills were fixed in 96% ethanol and used for DNA

extraction done with the Nucleomag® 96 Tissue kit (MachereyNagel). We carried out both

qPCRs on cancerous and cancer-free *M. edulis* using the sensiFAST™SyBR® No-ROX Kit

(Bioline) and the LightCycler® 480 Real-Time PCR (Roche Life Science) system. We fixed a

transversal section of each individual in Davidson for 48h and included it paraffin (RHEM

facility). Sections of 3 µm-thin were realized and stained with hematoxylin and eosin to

confirm the health status of each mussel and to exclude the presence of other pathologies.

Three *M. trossulus* were collected in the wild in Mishukovo (69°02'39;34.3''N 33°01'39;45.9''E,

Kola Bay, Russia, Barents Sea). Hemolymph was drawn as for *M. edulis* individuals, the cells

were pelleted and resuspended in 100 µL of RNA*later*™ (Invitrogen) to be sent to our

laboratory where they were frozen at -80°C until RNA extraction. In addition, we received a

piece of mantle and gills from each individual fixed in 96% ethanol for genetic screening and

to exclude the presence of MtrBTN, and tissue sections in ethanol 70% after 48h of fixation in

Davidson's solution. *M. trossulus* individuals have been subjected to both qPCRs and

histological examination.

4.2 Total RNA extraction, library preparation, and sequencing

M. trossulus samples conserved in RNA*later*™ were centrifuged at 5000 x g for 10 min at 4°C,

supernatant was removed, and cells were resuspended in 500 µL of TRIzol®. *M. edulis*

conserved directly in TRIzol® were defrosted. Both sample types were incubated at room

temperature for 20 min under agitation to lyse the cells. RNA extraction was performed using

Direct-zol™ RNA MiniPrep according to manufacturer's instructions (Zymo Research).

The quantification and integrity of the total RNA were checked using a NanoDrop® ND-1000

spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific) and by capillary electrophoresis on a 2100 Bioanalizer

(Agilent).

The polyadenylated RNA-seq library construction and the sequencing by Illumina® technology

were carried out by the GENEWIZ® Company (Germany). Four hundred ng of total RNA at a

concentration of 10 ng/µL, and OD260/280 comprised between 1.85 and 2.21 were used for

library preparation. The NEBnext® Ultra^{TM} II Directional RNA kit was used for the cDNA library

preparation and 9 cycles of enrichment PCR were run. Sequencing was performed on Illumina®

NovaSeq^{TM}, with a 150bp paired-end configuration, and a sequencing depth of 100M raw

paired-end reads per sample.

4.3 De novo transcriptome assembly and functional annotation

Raw   reads   were   processed   with   RCorrector   v1.04

(https://anaconda.org/bioconda/rcorrector/files?version=1.0.4) with default settings and -rf

configuration to correct sequencing errors (118). Then, we removed uncorrectable reads using

FilterUncorrectabledPEfastq   tool

(https://github.com/harvardinformatics/TranscriptomeAssemblyTools/blob/master/FilterUn

correctabledPEfastq.py). The output reads were further processed for adapter removal and

trimming with TrimGalore! v0.6.4 (https://github.com/FelixKrueger/TrimGalore) with default

parameters and -q 28, --length 100. Ribosomal RNAs potentially still present after polyA

capture were removed through alignment against the SILVA Ribosomal database with Bowtie2

v2.4.1 (119). Read quality was assessed before and after read trimming with FastQC v0.11.9

(https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/).

In France, MtrBTN2 infects *M. edulis* hosts but originated in a *M. trossulus* founder host. These

two species are closely related, hybridize when they come into contact, either naturally or via

human-induced introductions (120-122) and introgression between them is observed.

However, *M. trossulus* are not present in France and individuals of this species have been

sampled in a different environment (Barent Sea *vs* Channel Sea for MtrBTN2). Thus, in order

to make possible the differential gene expression analysis of the cancerous cells all the

retained reads (from MtrBTN2 cells, *M. edulis* hemocytes and *M. trossulus* hemocytes) were

assembled in a pantranscriptome with Trinity v2.8.5 (123) using the default options.

TransDecoder v3.0.1 (https://github.com/TransDecoder/TransDecoder/wiki) was run on

these contigs to identify CDS, with a minimum length of 90 amino acids. Finally, CDS sequence

redundancy was reduced with CD-HIT-EST v4.8.1 (https://github.com/weizhongli/cdhit/wiki)

with the following options: -n 6, -c 0.86, -G 0, -aL 1, -aS 1. We assessed the quality of the

assembly using several tests. The assembly was first analyzed with TrinityStats and the final

pantranscriptome completeness was estimated with BUSCO v5.1.1 (124) against the

conserved single-copy metazoan genes database (n = 978). Finally, filtered reads were

mapped back on the filtered pantranscriptome to evaluate individual mapping rate with

Bowtie2 v2.4.1 (119). To infer that our pantranscriptome strategy was reliable, we performed

a Redundancy Analysis (RDA) on normalized read counts to analyze the impact of explanatory

variables ("cell type": hemocyte/MtrBTN2 cells, species: trossulus/edulis, "environment":

Barents Sea/Channel Sea) on response variables (gene expression) followed by ANOVA like

permutation   test   (nperm=999,   model="full")   (https://cran.r-

project.org/web/packages/vegan/vegan.pdf) (Supplementary Figure S1). Both "cell type" and

"environment" were retained as significant explanatory variables (p<0.05). This confirms that

the inclusion of healthy *M. edulis* mussels in the analysis is necessary to subtract the

environment effect (Channel Sea *vs* Barent Sea).

For functional annotation, the transcripts were searched against the Uniprot (Swissprot and

TrEMBL) protein reference database (125) using PLASTX v2.3.3 algorithm with an e-value

cutoff of 1.0E-3 (126). Domain prediction against the InterPro database (127) was carried out

with InterProScan v5.48-83.0. Both results were combined and we used the OmicsBox

program v2.0 (51,52) to assign GO terms to the annotated sequences with an e-value hit filter

of 1.10−3, an annotation cutoff of 55, a HSP-Hit coverage of 60%, and an evidence code of 0.8.

We search for supplementary correspondences with EggNog v5.0 (128) by an orthology

analysis.

4.4 Biological interpretation of gene expression profiles

In the context of a non-model species, we used three different approaches to interpret the

biological relevance of DEGS.

Enrichment analysis

We performed a GO term enrichment analysis focusing on biological processes with the

GO_MWU tool (https://github.com/z0on/GO_MWU) using adaptive clustering and a rank-

based statistical test (Mann–Whitney U-test). We used the following parameters for adaptive

clustering: largest = 0.5; smallest = 10; clusterCutHeight = 0.5. We took into consideration

both the level of expression and the significance of the differential expression: we attributed

the log2 fold change value to genes that were significantly differentially expressed

(adjusted $p < 0.05$), while we attributed a zero to the others. We considered as enriched a

biological process with a FDR < 1%. To represent the results synthetically, we used the

Enrichment Map v3.3.3 tool (Merico et al., 2010) in Cytoscape v3.9.1 (129). The intensity of

the enrichment was evidenced in the network and was calculated as follows: i) for the

processes enriched with over-expressed genes, "number of genes significantly over-expressed

in the process/total number of genes in the process"; (ii) for the processes enriched with

under-expressed genes, "−1 × (number of genes significantly downregulated in the

process/total number of genes in the process)".

Hub and top expressed gene identification

The top 20 genes in connectivity ranking in the PPI network were selected as Hub genes. We

used the Search Tool for the Retrieval of Interacting Genes (STRING) which is a biological

database designed for predicting PPI networks (130). The results were visualized in Cytoscape

v3.9.1 using NetworkAnalyzer v4.4.8 visualization software (131) that can construct

comprehensive models of biologic interactions. Isolated and partially connected nodes were

not included.

To identify marker genes of the cancerous condition we took into account both differential

expression (Log2FC) and expression level (RLE) values to build a plot graph. We defined

arbitrarily thresholds (log2FC values > |5|and RLE > 500) to highlight the most discriminating

genes between the two conditions (cancerous/healthy circulating cells).

Targeted analyses

We carried out a targeted analysis focusing on genes and pathways that have been identified

as altered at high frequencies across many different human cancer types by Sanchez-Vega et

al. (25). Based on these results, we search for the presence of MtrBTN2 DEGs or genes carrying

MtrBTN2-specific alleles among these frequently altered genes. Allele visualization was

carried out with IGV tool v2.12.3 (106) on BAM files obtained after read alignment on the

transcript sequence with Bowtie2. v2.4.1 (119).

References

1. Ostrander EA, Davis BW, Ostrander GK. Transmissible tumors: breaking the cancer paradigm. Trends Genet. 2016;32(1): 1-15. doi: 10.1016/j.tig.2015.10.001.

2. Dujon AM, Gatenby RA, Bramwell G, MacDonald N, Dohrmann E, Raven N, et al. Transmissible cancers in an evolutionary perspective. iScience. 2020;23(7): 101269. doi: 10.1016/j.isci.2020.101269.

3. Nowinsky M. Zurfrageueber die Impfung der krebsigengeschwuelste. Zentralbl Med Wissensch. 1876;14: 790-791.

4. Murgia C, Pritchard JK, Kim SY, Fassati A, Weiss RA. Clonal origin and evolution of a transmissible cancer. Cell. 2006;126(3): 477-87. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2006.05.051.

5. Baez-Ortega A, Gori K, Strakova A, Allen JL, Allum KM, Bansse-Issa L, Bhutia TN, Bisson JL, Briceño C, Castillo Domracheva A, Corrigan AM, Cran HR, Crawford JT, Davis E, de Castro KF, B de Nardi A, de Vos AP, Delgadillo Keenan L, Donelan EM, Espinoza Huerta AR, Faramade IA, Fazil M, Fotopoulou E, Fruean SN, Gallardo-Arrieta F, Glebova O, Gouletsou PG, Häfelin Manrique RF, Henriques JJGP, Horta RS, Ignatenko N, Kane Y, King C, Koenig D, Krupa A, Kruzeniski SJ, Kwon YM, Lanza-Perea M, Lazyan M, Lopez Quintana AM, Losfelt T, Marino G, Martínez Castañeda S, Martínez-López MF, Meyer M, Migneco EJ, Nakanwagi B, Neal KB, Neunzig W, Ní Leathlobhair M, Nixon SJ, Ortega-Pacheco A, Pedraza-Ordoñez F, Peleteiro MC, Polak K, Pye RJ, Reece JF, Rojas Gutierrez J, Sadia H, Schmeling SK, Shamanova O,

Sherlock AG, Stammnitz M, Steenland-Smit AE, Svitich A, Tapia Martínez LJ, Thoya

Ngoka I, Torres CG, Tudor EM, van der Wel MG, Viţălaru BA, Vural SA, Walkinton

O, Wang J, Wehrle-Martinez AS, Widdowson SAE, Stratton MR, Alexandrov LB,

Martincorena I, Murchison EP. Somatic evolution and global expansion of an

ancient transmissible cancer lineage. Science. 2019;365(6452):eaau9923. doi:

10.1126/science.aau9923.

6. Siddle HV, Kreiss A, Eldridge MD, Noonan E, Clarke CJ, Pyecroft S, et al.

Transmission of a fatal clonal tumor by biting occurs due to depleted MHC

diversity in a threatened carnivorous marsupial. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.

2007;104(41): 16221-16226. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0704580104.

7. Hamede RK, Bashford J, McCallum H, Jones M. Contact networks in a wild

Tasmanian devil (*Sarcophilus harrisii*) population: using social network analysis to

reveal seasonal variability in social behaviour and its implications for transmission

of devil facial tumour disease. Ecol Lett. 2009;12(11): 1147-1157. doi:

10.1111/j.1461-0248.2009.01370.x.

8. Hamede RK, McCallum H, Jones M. Biting injuries and transmission of Tasmanian

devil facial tumour disease. J Anim Ecol. 2013;82(1): 182-190. doi:

10.1111/j.1365-2656.2012.02025.x.

9. Hawkins CE, Baars C, Hesterman H, Hocking GJ, Jones ME, Lazenby B, et al.

Emerging disease and population decline of an island endemic, the Tasmanian

devil *Sarcophilus harrisii*. Biol Conserv. 2006;131(2): 307-324. doi:

10.1016/j.biocon.2006.04.010.

10. Lachish S, Jones M, McCallum H. The impact of disease on the survival and

population growth rate of the Tasmanian devil. J Anim Ecol. 2007;76(5): 926-936.

doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2656.2007.01272.x.

11. McCallum H, Jones M, Hawkins C, Hamede R, Lachish S, Sinn DL, Beeton N,

Lazenby B. Transmission dynamics of Tasmanian devil facial tumor disease may

lead to disease-induced extinction. Ecology. 2009;90(12): 3379-3392. doi:

10.1890/08-1763.1.

12. Lazenby BT, Tobler MW, Brown WE, Hawkins CE, Hocking GJ, Hume F, et al.

Density trends and demographic signals uncover the long-term impact of

transmissible cancer in Tasmanian devils. J Appl Ecol. 2018;55(3): 1368-1379. doi:

10.1111/1365-2664.13088.

13. Metzger MJ, Reinisch C, Sherry J, Goff SP. Horizontal transmission of clonal cancer

cells causes leukemia in soft-shell clams. Cell. 2015;161(2): 255-263. doi:

10.1016/j.cell.2015.02.042.

14. Metzger MJ, Villalba A, Carballal MJ, Iglesias D, Sherry J, Reinisch C, et al.

Widespread transmission of independent cancer lineages within multiple bivalve

species. Nature. 2016;534(7609): 705-709. doi: 10.1038/nature18599.

15. Yonemitsu MA, Giersch RM, Polo-Prieto M, Hammel M, Simon A, Cremonte F, et

al. A single clonal lineage of transmissible cancer identified in two marine mussel

species in South America and Europe. elife. 2019;8: e47788. doi:

10.7554/eLife.47788.

16. Garcia-Souto D, Bruzos AL, Diaz S, Rocha S, Pequeño-Valtierra A, Roman-Lewis CF,

et al. Mitochondrial genome sequencing of marine leukaemias reveals cancer

contagion between clam species in the Seas of Southern Europe. eLife, 2022;11:

e66946.doi: 10.7554/eLife.66946

17. Riquet F, Simon A, Bierne N. Weird genotypes? Don't discard them, transmissible

cancer could be an explanation. Evol Appl. 2017;10(2): 140-145. doi:

10.1111/eva.12439.

18. Burioli EAV, Trancart S, Simon A, Bernard I, Charles M, Oden E, Bierne N, Houssin

M. Implementation of various approaches to study the prevalence, incidence and

progression of disseminated neoplasia in mussel stocks. J Invertebr Pathol.

2019;168: 107271. doi: 10.1016/j.jip.2019.107271.

19. Hammel M, Simon A, Arbiol C, Villalba A, Burioli EAV, Pépin JF, et al. Prevalence

and polymorphism of a mussel transmissible cancer in Europe. Mol Ecol.

2022;31(3): 736-751. doi: 10.1111/mec.16052.

20. Skazina M, Odintsova N, Maiorova M, Ivanova A, Väinölä R, Strelkov P. First

description of a widespread *Mytilus trossulus*-derived bivalve transmissible

cancer lineage in M. trossulus itself. Sci Rep. 2021;11(1): 5809. doi:

10.1038/s41598-021-85098-5.

21. Carballal MJ, Barber BJ, Iglesias D, Villalba A. Neoplastic diseases of marine

bivalves. J Invertebr Pathol. 2015;131: 83-106. doi: 10.1016/J.JIP.2015.06.004.

22. Benadelmouna A, Saunier A, Ledu C, Travers MA, Morga B. Genomic

abnormalities affecting mussels (*Mytilus edulis-galloprovincialis*) in France are

related to ongoing neoplastic processes, evidenced by dual flow cytometry and

cell monolayer analyses. J Invertebr Pathol. 2018;157: 45-52. doi:

10.1016/j.jip.2018.08.003.

23. Burioli EAV, Hammel M, Bierne N, Thomas F, Houssin M, Destoumieux-Garzón D,

Charrière GM. Traits of a mussel transmissible cancer are reminiscent of a

parasitic life style. Sci Rep. 2021;11(1): 24110. doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-03598-

w.

24. Hanahan D. Hallmarks of Cancer: New Dimensions. Cancer Discov. 2022;12(1): 31-

46. doi: 10.1158/2159-8290.CD-21-1059.

25. Sanchez-Vega F, Mina M, Armenia J, Chatila WK, Luna A, La KC, et al. Oncogenic

signaling pathways in the cancer genome atlas. Cell. 2018;173(2): 321-337.e10.

doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2018.03.035.

26. Giersch RM, Hart SFM, Reddy SG, Yonemitsu MA, Orellana Rosales MJ, Korn M,

Geleta BM, Countway PD, Fernández Robledo JA, Metzger MJ. Survival and

Detection of Bivalve Transmissible Neoplasia from the Soft-Shell Clam Mya

arenaria (MarBTN) in Seawater. Pathogens. 2022;11(3):283. doi:

10.3390/pathogens11030283.

27. Sotiriou C, Piccart MJ. Taking gene-expression profiling to the clinic: when will

molecular signatures become relevant to patient care? Nat Rev Cancer.

2007;7(7): 545-553. doi: 10.1038/nrc2173.

28. Wang Z, Gerstein M, Snyder M. RNA-Seq: a revolutionary tool for transcriptomics.

Nat Rev Genet. 2009;10(1): 57-63. doi: 10.1038/nrg2484.

29. Conesa A, Madrigal P, Tarazona S, Gomez-Cabrero D, Cervera A, McPherson A, et

al. A survey of best practices for RNA-seq data analysis. Genome Biol. 2016;17:

13. doi: 10.1186/s13059-016-0881-8. Erratum in: Genome Biol. 2016;17(1): 181.

30. Martin JA, Wang Z. Next-generation transcriptome assembly. Nat Rev Genet.

2011;12(10): 671-682. doi: 10.1038/nrg3068.

31. Conesa A, Götz S, García-Gómez JM, Terol J, Talón M, Robles M. Blast2GO: a

universal tool for annotation, visualization and analysis in functional genomics

research.   Bioinformatics.   2005;21:   3674-3676.   doi:

10.1093/bioinformatics/bti610.

32. Gotz S, Garcia-Gomez JM, Terol J, Williams TD, Nagaraj SH, Nueda MJ, et al. High-

throughput functional annotation and data mining with the Blast2GO

suite. Nucleic acids res. 2008;36(10): 3420-3435. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkn176.

33. Hu J, Zhang Z, Shen WJ, Azhar S. Cellular cholesterol delivery, intracellular

processing and utilization for biosynthesis of steroid hormones. Nutr Metab

(Lond). 2010;7: 47. doi: 10.1186/1743-7075-7-47.

34. Murphy-Ullrich JE, Poczatek M. Activation of latent TGF-β by thrombospondin-1:

mechanisms and physiology. Cytokine Growth Factor Rev. 2000;11(1-2): 59-69.

doi: 10.1016/S1359-6101(99)00029-5.

35. Letterio JJ, Roberts AB. Regulation of immune responses by TGF-β. Annu Rev

Immunol. 1998;16(1): 137-161. doi: 10.1146/annurev.immunol.16.1.137.

36. Ashcroft GS. Bidirectional regulation of macrophage function by TGF-β. Microbes

Infect. 1999;1(15): 1275-1282. doi: 10.1016/S1286-4579(99)00257-9.

37. Läubli H, Borsig L. Selectins promote tumor metastasis. Semin Cancer Biol.

2010;20(3): 169-177. doi: 10.1016/j.semcancer.2010.04.005.

38. Fabricius HÅ, Starzonek S, Lange T. The role of platelet cell surface P-Selectin for

the direct platelet-tumor cell contact during metastasis formation in human

tumors. Front Oncol. 2021;11: 642761. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2021.642761.

39. Anderson KM, Seed T, Vos M, Mulshine J, Meng J, Alrefai W, Ou D, Harris JE. 5-

Lipoxygenase inhibitors reduce PC-3 cell proliferation and initiate nonnecrotic cell

death. Prostate. 1998;37(3): 161-173. doi: 10.1002/(sici)1097-

0045(19981101)37:3<161::aid-pros5>3.0.co;2-d.

40. Bishayee K, Khuda-Bukhsh AR. 5-Lipoxygenase antagonist therapy: a new

approach towards targeted cancer chemotherapy. Acta Biochim Biophys Sin.

2013;45(9): 709-719. doi: 10.1093/abbs/gmt064.

41. Lee JH, Lee GT, Woo SH, Ha YS, Kwon SJ, Kim WJ, Kim IY. BMP-6 in renal cell

carcinoma promotes tumor proliferation through IL-10-dependent M2

polarization of tumor-associated macrophages. Cancer Res. 2013;73(12): 3604-

3614. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-12-4563.

42. Redondo-García S, Peris-Torres C, Caracuel-Peramos R, Rodríguez-Manzaneque

JC. ADAMTS proteases and the tumor immune microenvironment: Lessons from

substrates and pathologies. Matrix Biol Plus. 2020;9: 100054. doi:

10.1016/j.mbplus.2020.100054.

43. Rucci N, Sanità P, Angelucci A. Roles of metalloproteases in metastatic niche. Curr

Mol Med. 2011;11: 609-622. doi: 10.2174/156652411797536705.

44. Huang T, Sun L, Yuan X, Qiu H. Thrombospondin-1 is a multifaceted player in

tumor progression. Oncotarget. 2017;8(48): 84546-84558. doi:

10.18632/oncotarget.19165.

45. Bach DH, Park HJ, Lee SK. The dual role of bone morphogenetic proteins in cancer.

Mol Ther Oncolytics. 2017;8: 1-13. doi: 10.1016/j.omto.2017.10.002.

46. Yee KO, Connolly CM, Duquette M, Kazerounian S, Washington R, Lawler J. The

effect of thrombospondin-1 on breast cancer metastasis. Breast Cancer Res Treat.

2009;114(1): 85-96. doi: 10.1007/s10549-008-9992-6.

47. Nucera C, Porrello A, Antonello ZA, Mekel M, Nehs MA, Giordano TJ, et al. B-

Raf(V600E) and thrombospondin-1 promote thyroid cancer progression. Proc

Natl Acad Sci USA. 2010;107(23): 10649-10654. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1004934107.

48. Borsotti P, Ghilardi C, Ostano P, Silini A, Dossi R, Pinessi D., et al. Thrombospondin-

1 is part of a Slug-independent motility and metastatic program in cutaneous

melanoma, in association with VEGFR-1 and FGF-2. Pigment Cell Melanoma Res.

2015;28: 73-81. doi: 10.1111/pcmr.12319.

49. Huang T, Wang L, Liu D, Li P, Xiong H, Zhuang L, Sun L, Yuan X, Qiu H. FGF7/FGFR2

signal promotes invasion and migration in human gastric cancer through

upregulation of thrombospondin-1. Int J Oncol. 2017;50(5): 1501-1512. doi:

10.3892/ijo.2017.3927.

50. Iwamura T, Caffrey TC, Kitamura N, Yamanari H, Setoguchi T, Hollingsworth MA.

P-selectin expression in a metastatic pancreatic tumor cell line (SUIT-2). Cancer

Res. 1997;57(6): 1206-1212.

51. Ding XZ, Kuszynski CA, El-Metwally TH, Adrian TE. Lipoxygenase inhibition induced

apoptosis, morphological changes, and carbonic anhydrase expression in human

pancreatic cancer cells. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 1999;266(2): 392-399.

doi: 10.1006/bbrc.1999.1824.

52. Gupta S, Srivastava M, Ahmad N, Sakamoto K, Bostwick DG, Mukhtar H.

Lipoxygenase-5 is overexpressed in prostate adenocarcinoma. Cancer.

2001;91(4): 737-743. doi: 10.1002/1097-0142(20010215)91:4<737::aid-

cncr1059>3.0.co;2-f.

53. Ohd JF, Nielsen CK, Campbell J, Landberg G, Löfberg H, Sjölander A. Expression of

the leukotriene D4 receptor CysLT1, COX-2, and other cell survival factors in

colorectal adenocarcinomas. Gastroenterology. 2003;124(1): 57-70. doi:

10.1053/gast.2003.50011.

54. Skovrlj B, Koehler SM, Anderson PA, Qureshi SA, Hecht AC, Iatridis JC, Cho SK.

Association Between BMP-2 and Carcinogenicity. Spine (Phila Pa 1976).

2015;40(23): 1862-1871. doi: 10.1097/BRS.0000000000001126.

55. Pfeiffer V, Lingner J. Replication of telomeres and the regulation of telomerase.

Cold Spring Harbor Perspect Biol. 2013;5(5): a010405. doi:

10.1101/cshperspect.a010405.

56. Bell RJ, Rube HT, Xavier-Magalhães A, Costa BM, Mancini A, Song JS, Costello JF.

Understanding TERT Promoter Mutations: A Common Path to Immortality. Mol

Cancer Res. 2016;14(4): 315-323. doi: 10.1158/1541-7786.MCR-16-0003.

57. Mills GB, Schmandt R, McGill M, Amendola A, Hill M, Jacobs K, et al. Expression

of TTK, a novel human protein kinase, is associated with cell proliferation. J Biol

Chem. 1992;267(22): 16000-16006. doi: 10.1016/S0021-9258(19)49633-6.

58. Tucker L, Zhang Q, Sheppard G, Lou P, Jiang F, McKeegan E, et al. Ectopic

expression of methionine aminopeptidase-2 causes cell transformation and

stimulates   proliferation.   Oncogene.   2008;27:   3967-3976.   doi:
10.1038/onc.2008.14.

59. Nguyen JT, Ray C, Fox AL, Mendonça DB, Kim JK, Krebsbach PH. Mammalian EAK-
7 activates alternative mTOR signaling to regulate cell proliferation and migration.
Sci Adv. 2018;4(5):eaao5838. doi: 10.1126/sciadv.aao5838.

60. Sancak Y, Bar-Peled L, Zoncu R, Markhard AL, Nada S, Sabatini DM. Ragulator-Rag
complex targets mTORC1 to the lysosomal surface and is necessary for its
activation   by   amino   acids.   Cell.   2010;141(2):   290-303.   doi:
10.1016/j.cell.2010.02.024.

61. Pastuszak-Lewandoska D, Czarnecka KH, Migdalska-Sęk M, Nawrot E, Domańska
D, Kiszałkiewicz J, et al. Decreased FAM107A expression in patients with non-
small   cell   lung   cancer.   Adv   Exp   Med   Biol.   2015;852:   39-48.   doi:
10.1007/5584_2014_109.

62. Saharinen J, Hyytiäinen M, Taipale J, Keski-Oja J. Latent transforming growth
factor-beta binding proteins (LTBPs)-structural extracellular matrix proteins for
targeting TGF-beta action. Cytokine Growth Factor Rev. 1999;10(2): 99-117. doi:
10.1016/s1359-6101(99)00010-6.

63. Wu BX, Zeidan YH, Hannun YA. Downregulation of neutral ceramidase by
gemcitabine: Implications for cell cycle regulation. Biochim Biophys Acta.
2009;1791(8): 730-739. doi: 10.1016/j.bbalip.2009.03.012.

64. Loveday C, Tatton-Brown K, Clarke M, Westwood I, Renwick A, Ramsay E, et al.
Mutations in the PP2A regulatory subunit B family genes PPP2R5B, PPP2R5C and

PPP2R5D cause human overgrowth. Hum Mol Genet. 2015;24(17): 4775-4779.

doi: 10.1093/hmg/ddv182.

65. Fu M, Wang C, Li Z, Sakamaki T, Pestell RG. Cyclin D1: normal and abnormal

functions. Endocrinology. 2004;145(12): 5439-5447. doi: 10.1210/en.2004-0959.

66. Shimobayashi M, Hall M. Multiple amino acid sensing inputs to mTORC1. Cell Res.

2016;26: 7-20. doi: 10.1038/cr.2015.146.

67. Cargnello M, Tcherkezian J, Roux PP. The expanding role of mTOR in cancer cell

growth and proliferation. Mutagenesis. 2015;30(2):169-76. doi:

10.1093/mutage/geu045.

68. Ricoult SJ, Yecies JL, Ben-Sahra I, Manning BD. Oncogenic PI3K and K-Ras stimulate

de novo lipid synthesis through mTORC1 and SREBP. Oncogene.

2016;35(10):1250-60. doi: 10.1038/onc.2015.179.

69. Valvezan AJ, Turner M, Belaid A, Lam HC, Miller SK, McNamara MC, Baglini C,

Housden BE, Perrimon N, Kwiatkowski DJ, Asara JM, Henske EP, Manning BD.

mTORC1 Couples Nucleotide Synthesis to Nucleotide Demand Resulting in a

Targetable Metabolic Vulnerability. Cancer Cell. 2017;32(5):624-638.e5. doi:

10.1016/j.ccell.2017.09.013.

70. Ben-Sahra I, Hoxhaj G, Ricoult SJH, Asara JM, Manning BD. mTORC1 induces

purine synthesis through control of the mitochondrial tetrahydrofolate cycle.

Science. 2016;351(6274):728-733. doi: 10.1126/science.aad0489.

71. Martínez-Reyes I, Chandel NS. Mitochondrial TCA cycle metabolites control

physiology and disease. Nat Commun. 2020;11: 102. doi: 10.1038/s41467-019-

13668-3.

72. DeBerardinis RJ, Lum JJ, Hatzivassiliou G, Thompson CB. The biology of cancer:
metabolic reprogramming fuels cell growth and proliferation. Cell Metab.
2008;7(1):11-20. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2007.10.002.

73. Nishimura K, Ishiai M, Horikawa K, Fukagawa T, Takata M, Takisawa H, Kanemaki
MT. Mcm8 and Mcm9 form a complex that functions in homologous
recombination repair induced by DNA interstrand crosslinks. Mol Cell. 2012;47(4):
511-522. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2012.05.047.

74. Hanada K, Budzowska M, Modesti M, Maas A, Wyman C, Essers J, Kanaar R. The
structure-specific endonuclease Mus81-Eme1 promotes conversion of
interstrand DNA crosslinks into double-strands breaks. EMBO J. 2006;25(20):
4921-49. doi: 10.1038/sj.emboj.7601344.

75. Chatterjee N, Walker GC. Mechanisms of DNA damage, repair, and mutagenesis.
Environ Mol Mutagen. 2017;58(5): 235-263. doi: 10.1002/em.22087.

76. Di Micco R, Fumagalli M, Cicalese A, Piccinin S, Gasparini P, Luise C, et al.
Oncogene-induced senescence is a DNA damage response triggered by DNA
hyper-replication. Nature. 2006;444(7119): 638-642. doi: 10.1038/nature05327.

77. Haber JE. Partners and pathways repairing a double-strand break. Trends Genet.
2000;16: 259-264. doi: 10.1016/s0168-9525(00)02022-9.

78. Kozakiewicz CP, Fraik AK, Patton AH, Ruiz-Aravena M, Hamilton DG, Hamede R, et
al. Spatial variation in gene expression of Tasmanian devil facial tumors despite
minimal host transcriptomic response to infection. BMC Genomics. 2021;22(1):
698. doi: 10.1186/s12864-021-07994-4.

79. Mizejewski GJ. Role of integrins in cancer: survey of expression patterns. Proc Soc

Exp Biol Med. 1999; 222(2):124-38. doi: 10.1046/j.1525-1373.1999.d01-122.x.

80. Jilkine A, Gutenkunst RN. Effect of dedifferentiation on time to mutation

acquisition in stem cell-driven cancers. PLoS Comput Biol. 2014;10(3):e1003481.

doi: 10.1371/journal.pcbi.1003481.

81. Bocker T, Barusevicius A, Snowden T, Rasio D, Guerrette S, Robbins D, et al.

hMSH5: A human MutS homologue that forms a novel heterodimer with hMSH4

and is expressed during spermatogenesis. Cancer res. 1999;59(4): 816-822.

82. Syrjänen JL, Pellegrini L, Davies OR. A molecular model for the role of SYCP3 in

meiotic   chromosome   organisation.   eLife.   2014;3:   e02963.   doi:

10.7554/eLife.02963.

83. Adelman CA, Petrini JH. ZIP4H (TEX11) deficiency in the mouse impairs meiotic

double strand break repair and the regulation of crossing over. PLoS Genet.

2008;4(3):e1000042. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1000042.

84. McFarlane RJ, Wakeman JA. Meiosis-like Functions in Oncogenesis: A New View

of Cancer. Cancer Res. 2017;77(21):5712-5716. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-17-

1535.

85. Simpson AJ, Caballero OL, Jungbluth A, Chen YT, Old LJ. Cancer/testis antigens,

gametogenesis   and   cancer.   Nat   Rev   Cancer.   2005;5(8):615-

625.doi:10.1038/nrc1669.

86. Hubert F, Noel T, Roch P. A member of the arthropod defensing family from edible

Mediterranean mussels (*Mytilus galloprovincialis*). Eur J Biochem. 1996;240(1):

302-306. doi: 10.1111/j.1432-1033.1996.0302h.x.

87. Mitta G, Hubert F, Noël T, Roch P. Myticin, a novel cysteine-rich antimicrobial

peptide isolated from haemocytes and plasma of the mussel *Mytilus*

galloprovincialis. Eur J Biochem. 1999;265(1): 71-78. doi: 10.1046/j.1432-

1327.1999.00654.x.

88. Krasity BC, Troll JV, Weiss JP, McFall-Ngai MJ. LBP/BPI proteins and their relatives:

conservation over evolution and roles in mutualism. Biochem Soc T. 2011;39(4):

1039-1044. doi: 10.1042/BST0391039.

89. Zhang L, Li L, Zhang G. A *Crassostrea gigas* Toll-like receptor and comparative

analysis of TLR pathway in invertebrates. Fish Shellfish Immunol. 2011;30(2): 653-

660. doi: 10.1016/j.fsi.2010.12.023.

90. Garlatti V, Belloy N, Martin L, Lacroix M, Matsushita M, Endo Y, et al. Structural

insights into the innate immune recognition specificities of L- and H-ficolins.

EMBO j. 2007;26(2): 623-633. doi: 10.1038/sj.emboj.7601500.

91. Schlosser A, Thomsen T, Moeller JB, Nielsen O, Tornøe I, Mollenhauer J, Moestrup

SK, Holmskov U. Characterization of FIBCD1 as an acetyl group-binding receptor

that binds chitin. J Immunol. 2009 ;183(6): 3800-3809. doi:

10.4049/jimmunol.0901526.

92. Dheilly NM, Duval D, Mouahid G, Emans R, Allienne JF, Galinier R, et al. A family

of variable immunoglobulin and lectin domain containing molecules in the snail

Biomphalaria glabrata. Dev Comp Immunol. 2015;48(1): 234-243. doi:

10.1016/j.dci.2014.10.009.

93. Trino S, Laurenzana I, Lamorte D, Calice G, De Stradis A, Santodirocco M,

Sgambato A, Caivano A, De Luca L. Acute myeloid leukemia cells functionally

compromise hematopoietic stem/progenitor cells inhibiting normal

hematopoiesis through the release of extracellular vesicles. Front Oncol. 2022;12:

824562. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2022.824562.

94. Kent ML, Elston RA, Wilkinson MT, Drum AS. Impaired defense mechanisms in bay

mussels, *Mytilus edulis*, with hemic neoplasia. J Invertebr Pathol. 1989;53(3): 378-

386. doi: 10.1016/0022-2011(89)90103-1.

95. Assenov Y, Ramírez F, Schelhorn SE, Lengauer T, Albrecht M. Computing

topological parameters of biological networks. Bioinformatics. 2008;24(2): 282-

284. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btm554.

96. Pankov R, Yamada KM. Fibronectin at a glance. J Cell Sci. 2002;115(20): 3861-

3863. doi: 10.1242/jcs.00059.

97. Cotteret S, Chernoff J. The evolutionary history of effectors downstream of Cdc42

and Rac. Genome Biol. 2002;3(2):REVIEWS0002. doi: 10.1186/gb-2002-3-2-

reviews0002

98. Porter AG, Jänicke RU. Emerging roles of caspase-3 in apoptosis. Cell Death Differ.

1999;6(2): 99-104. doi: 10.1038/sj.cdd.4400476.

99. Yu FX, Guan KL. The Hippo pathway: regulators and regulations. Genes Dev.

2013;27(4): 355-371. doi: 10.1101/gad.210773.112.

100. Carracedo A, Pandolfi P. The PTEN–PI3K pathway: of feedbacks and cross-talks.

Oncogene. 2008;27: 5527-5541. doi: 10.1038/onc.2008.247.

101. Cohen P, Frame S. The renaissance of GSK3. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2001;2: 769-

776. doi: 10.1038/35096075.

102. Amati B, Land H. Myc-Max-Mad: a transcription factor network controlling cell

cycle progression, differentiation and death. Curr Opin Genet Dev. 1994;4(1):

102-108. doi: 10.1016/0959-437x(94)90098-1.

103. Dikovskaya D, Khoudoli G, Newton IP, Chadha GS, Klotz D, Visvanathan A, et al.

The Adenomatous Polyposis Coli protein contributes to normal compaction of

mitotic   chromatin.   PLOS   One.   2012;7(6):   e38102.   doi:

10.1371/journal.pone.0038102.

104. Lecarpentier Y, Schussler O, Hébert JL, Vallée A. Multiple targets of the

canonical WNT/β-Catenin signaling in cancers. Front Oncol. 2019;9: 1248. doi:

10.3389/fonc.2019.01248.

105. Iso T, Kedes L, Hamamori Y. HES and HERP families: multiple effectors of the

Notch   signaling   pathway.   J   Cell   Physiol.   2003;194(3):   237-255.   doi:

10.1002/jcp.10208.

106. Robinson JT, Thorvaldsdóttir H, Wenger AM, Zehir A, Mesirov JP. Variant review

with the Integrative Genomics Viewer (IGV). Cancer Res. 2017;77(21): 31-34. doi:

10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-17-0337.

107. Kelley ML, Winge P, Heaney JD, Stephens RE, Farell JH, Beneden RJV, et al.

Expression of homologues for p53 and p73 in the softshell clam (*Mya arenaria*),

a naturally-occuring model for human cancer. Oncogene. 2001;20: 748-758. doi:

10.1038/sj.onc.1204144.

108. Vassilenko EI, Muttray AF, Schulte PM, Baldwin SA. Variations in p53-like cDNA

sequence are correlated with mussel haemic neoplasia: A potential molecular-

level tool for biomonitoring. Mutat Res. 2010;701(2): 145-152. doi:

10.1016/j.mrgentox.2010.06.001.

109. Muttray AF, Cox RL, Reinisch CL, Baldwin SA. Identification of DeltaN isoform

and polyadenylation site choice variants in molluscan p63/p73-like homologues.

Mar Biotechnol (NY). 2007;9(2): 217-230. doi: 10.1007/s10126-006-6045-1.

110. Aktipis CA, Boddy AM, Jansen G, et al. Cancer across the tree of life:

cooperation and cheating in multicellularity. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci.

2015;370(1673): 20140219. doi:10.1098/rstb.2014.0219.

111. Lawrence MS, Stojanov P, Polak P, Kryukov GV, Cibulskis K, Sivachenko A, et al.

Mutational heterogeneity in cancer and the search for new cancer-associated

genes. Nature. 2013;499(7457): 214-218. doi: 10.1038/nature12213.

112. Hoxhaj G, Manning BD. The PI3K-AKT network at the interface of oncogenic

signalling and cancer metabolism. Nat Rev Cancer. 2020;20(2):74-88. doi:

10.1038/s41568-019-0216-7.

113. Magaway C, Kim E, Jacinto E. Targeting mTOR and Metabolism in Cancer:

Lessons and Innovations. Cells. 2019;8(12):1584. doi: 10.3390/cells8121584.

114. Zou Z, Tao T, Li H, Zhu X. mTOR signaling pathway and mTOR inhibitors in

cancer: progress and challenges. Cell Biosci. 2020;10:31. doi: 10.1186/s13578-

020-00396-1.

115. Hamidi H, Ivaska J. Every step of the way: integrins in cancer progression and

metastasis. Nat Rev Cancer. 2018;18(9):533-548. doi: 10.1038/s41568-018-0038-

z.

116. Hanahan D. Hallmarks of Cancer: New Dimensions. Cancer Discov. 2022;12(1):

31-46. doi: 10.1158/2159-8290.

117. Suquet M, de Kermoysan G, Gonzalez Araya R, Queau I, Lebrun L, Le Souchu

P, Mingant C. Anesthesia in Pacific oyster *Crassostrea gigas*. Aquat Living Resour.

2009;22: 29-34. doi: 10.1051/alr/2009006.

118. Song L, Florea L. Rcorrector: efficient and accurate error correction for Illumina

RNA-seq reads. GigaScience. 2015;4(1): 48. doi: 10.1186/s13742-015-0089-y.

119. Langmead B, Salzberg SL. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nat

Methods. 2012;9(4): 357-359. doi:10.1038/nmeth.1923.

120. Fraïsse C, Belkhir K, Welch JJ, Bierne N. Local interspecies introgression is the

main cause of extreme levels of intraspecific differentiation in mussels. Mol Ecol.

2016;25(1): 269-286. doi: 10.1111/mec.13299.

121. Popovic I, Matias AMA, Bierne N, Riginos C. Twin introductions by independent

invader mussel lineages are both associated with recent admixture with a native

congener in Australia. Evol Appl. 2020;13(3): 515-532. doi: 10.1111/eva.12857.

122. Simon A, Arbiol C, Nielsen EE, Couteau J, Sussarellu R, Burgeot T, et al.

Replicated anthropogenic hybridisations reveal parallel patterns of admixture in

marine mussels. Evol Appl. 2020;13(3): 575-599. doi: 10.1111/eva.12879.

123. Grabherr MG, Haas BJ, Yassour M, Levin JZ, Thompson DA, Amit I, et al. Full-

length transcriptome assembly from RNA-Seq data without a reference genome.

Nat Biotechnol. 2011;29(7): 644-652. doi: 10.1038/nbt.1883.

124. Simão FA, Waterhouse RM, Ioannidis P, Kriventseva EV, Zdobnov EM. BUSCO:

assessing genome assembly and annotation completeness with single-copy

orthologs.   Bioinformatics.   2015;31:   3210-3212.   doi:
10.1093/bioinformatics/btv351.

125. Bairoch A, Apweiler R. The SWISS-PROT protein sequence database and its
supplement TrEMBL in 2000. Nucleic Acids Res. 2000;28: 45-48. doi:
10.1093/nar/28.1.45.

126. Nguyen VH, Lavenier D. PLAST: parallel local alignment search tool for database
comparison. BMC Bioinf. 2009;10: 329. doi: 10.1186/1471-2105-10-329.

127. Blum M, Chang H, Chuguransky S, Grego T, Kandasaamy S, Mitchell A, et al. The
InterPro protein families and domains database: 20 years on. Nucleic Acids Res.
2021;49(D1): 344-354. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkaa977.. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkaa977.

128. Huerta-Cepas J, Szklarczyk D, Heller D, Hernández-Plaza A, Forslund SK, Cook H,
et al. eggNOG 5.0: a hierarchical, functionally and phylogenetically annotated
orthology resource based on 5090 organisms and 2502 viruses. Nucleic Acids Res.
2019; 47(D1): 309-314. doi: 10.1093/nar/gky1085.

129. Shannon P, Markiel A, Ozier O, Baliga NS, Wang JT, Ramage D, et al. Cytoscape:
a software environment for integrated models of biomolecular interaction
networks. Genome Res. 2003;13(11): 2498-2504. doi: 10.1101/gr.1239303.

130. Szklarczyk D, Gable AL, Nastou KC, Lyon D, Kirsch R, Pyysalo S, et al. The STRING
database in 2021: customizable protein–protein networks, and functional
characterization of user-uploaded gene/measurement sets. Nucleic Acids Res.
2021;49(D1): 605-612. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkaa1074.

131. Assenov Y, Ramírez F, Schelhorn SE, Lengauer T, Albrecht M. Computing

1056   topological parameters of biological networks. Bioinformatics. 2008;24(2):282-4.

1057   doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btm554.

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge the Animal Health-Clinical Biology unit of the LABÉO laboratory (Caen,

France), especially Maryline Houssin and Ludovic Petit, for their help during cytological

screening and Professor Petr Strelkov from the Saint Petersburg State University for the

sampling of *M. trossulus* individuals. We thank the "Réseau d'Histologie Expérimentale de

Montpellier" - RHEM facility - supported by SIRIC Montpellier Cancer (Grant

INCa_Inserm_DGOS_12553), the European regional development foundation and the

Occitanian region (FEDER-FSE 2014-2020 Languedoc Roussillon), for processing our animal

tissues, histology technics and expertise. This study is set within the framework of the

'Laboratoires d'Excellence (LABEX)' Tulip (ANR- 10-LABX-41). **Funding:** this work was

supported by the French National Agency for Research, TRANCAN project (ANR-18-CE35-

0009) and the Montpellier Université d'Excellence (MUSE), BLUECANCER project. FT is

supported by the MAVA Foundation. **Author contributions:** Conceptualization: EAVB, DDG,

GM, GMC, EV, JVD; Investigation, Formal analysis: EAVB, MH; Methodology: EAVB, EV, JVD;

Funding Acquisition: DDG, GMC, NB, FT; Original Draft preparation: EAVB; Reviewing&Editing:

DDG, GMC, MH, EV, JVD, NB, FT, GM. **Competing interests:** The authors declare that they have

no competing interests. **Data and materials availability:** Raw read sequences were deposited

with links to BioProject accession number PRJNA749800 in the NCBI BioProject database

(https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/).

1077