Indel driven rapid evolution of core nuclear pore protein gene promoters

ADAM M. READ[1]*, DAVID W. J. MCQUARRIE[1,2]*, ALBERTO CIVETTA[3,4] AND

MATTHIAS SOLLER[1,2,4]

[1]School of Biosciences, College of Life and Environmental Sciences, University of

Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham, B15 2TT, United Kingdom

[2]Birmingham Centre for Genome Biology, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston,

Birmingham, B15 2TT, United Kingdom

[3]Department of Biology, University of Winnipeg, Winnipeg, Canada MB R3B 2E9

[4]Corresponding authors: m.soller@bham.ac.uk and a.civetta@uwinnipeg.ca

*equal contributing authors

Running title: Evolution of core nucleoporin gene promoters

Key Words: Nuclear pore complex (NPC), NPC ring, rapid evolution, promoter evolution,

speciation, piRNA expression, neuronal wiring, transposon silencing

Read et al.

Abstract

Nuclear pore proteins (Nups) prominently are among the few genes linked to speciation from hybrid incompatibility in *Drosophila*. Moreover, neuronal wiring underlying the female post-mating response induced by male-derived sex-peptide requires channel Nup54 functionality. A hot spot for rapid evolution in the promoter of *Nup54* suggests a critical role for regulatory elements at the onset of speciation. Systematic analysis of Nup coding and promoter regions using *Drosophila* phylogenomics reveals that polymorphisms between closely related *Drosophila* species in Nup open reading frames do not generally drive rapid evolution. Analysis of Nup gene upstream regions indicated a bias for changes compared to those downstream. Consistent with findings for *Nup54*, the other channel Nups 58 and 62 promotor regions are also hotpots for rapid accumulation of insertions/deletions (indels). Further examination of upstream regions of all Nup genes reveals that core nuclear pore complex gene promoters accumulate indels at a faster rate. Since changes in promoters have dominant effects, these results indicate a novel mechanism of evolution driven through accumulation of indels in core Nup gene promoters. Compensation of such deleterious changes could lead to altered neuronal wiring, rapid fixation of adaptive traits and subsequently the rise of new species. Hence, the nuclear pore complex may act as a nexus for species-specific changes *via* nucleo-cytoplasmic transport regulated gene expression.

Significance

Nuclear pore proteins (Nups) have been implicated as drivers of speciation in *Drosophila*, though the mechanism driving this effect is unclear. Here, we reveal indel accumulation in promoter regions as a potential mode of rapid evolution shared between the channel Nups, and Nups comprising the nuclear pore complex inner and outer rings. Since changes in promoters have dominant effects, these results highlight a less explored mode of

rapid evolution through indel accumulation in Nup inner and outer ring promoters which could

provide a mechanism for Nup-driven speciation.

Introduction

Male derived substances transferred during mating change female physiology and

behaviour to guarantee reproductive success in many insects (Avila, et al. 2011; Gillott 2003;

Kubli 2003; Soller, et al. 1999). Rapid divergence in male versus female interactive molecules

can hamper reproductive success, potentially leading to the establishment of new species for

example by compromising fertility or viability among hybrids (Chapman 2018; Coyne and Orr

2004; Grayson 2015; Hollis, et al. 2019a; Hopkins and Perry 2022; Nallasivan, et al. 2021;

Patlar, et al. 2021; Rowe, et al. 2020; Sirot, et al. 2014). Such hybrid incompatibility among

closely related *Drosophila* species has been used to identify responsible genes. Among the few

genes identified were unexpectedly nuclear pore proteins Nup98 and Nup160 (Presgraves

2010; Presgraves, et al. 2003; Tang and Presgraves 2009, 2015). In addition, a screen for sex-

peptide insensitive mutants identified Nup54 functionality to be important for neuronal wiring

of circuits involved in regulating post-mating behaviours (Nallasivan, et al. 2021).

Additionally, channel Nups have also been attributed a role in transposon silencing in the

germline by facilitating processing of short piRNAs from long pre-curser RNAs of the

flamenco locus (Munafo, et al. 2021), indicating that the disruption to NPC regulation likely

has pleiotropic effects.

The nuclear pore protein complex (NPC) provides a physical barrier between nucleus

and cytoplasm requiring active transport for cargos above about 40 kDa (Lin and Hoelz 2019).

The NPC consists of 30 evolutionary highly conserved proteins in *Drosophila* (fig. 1), which

are grouped into subcomplexes termed outer ring (OR), inner ring (IR), cytoplasmic filaments

(CF), nuclear basket (NB) and pore membrane proteins (POMS). The transport channel is made

up of three phenylalanine-glycine-rich (FG) repeat domains of Nup54, Nup58 and Nup62. The

NPC can contribute to differential expression of other genes as e.g. actively transcribed genes

can be in the proximity of the NPC (Cabal, et al. 2006; Pascual-Garcia and Capelson 2014;

Taddei, et al. 2006).

However, whether the NPC is under evolutionary constraint has not been systematically

examined and the molecular mechanism of how the NPC is involved in speciation remains

unexplained. Our systematic analysis of Nup coding and promoter regions using *Drosophila*

phylogenomics reveals promoters of Nup core genes as hot spots for insertion/deletion (indel)

driven rapid evolution and the nuclear pore complex as a nexus for species-specific changes

via nucleo-cytoplasmic transport regulated gene expression.

Results

Rapid Evolution of Core Nuclear Pore Protein Genes is Not Generally Driven Through

Adaptation in Coding Sequences

To assess whether the protein coding region (Open Reading Frame, ORF) of Nups were

under selection, we compared the number of polymorphisms between individual *D.*

melanogaster lines and between *D. melanogaster* and its closest relative *D. simulans*. This

analysis showed that most Nup ORFs do not deviate from neutral evolution (fig. 1B), but that

60% (6 out of 10) of the Nups constituting the IR showed significant evidence of selection. In

addition to the previously identified OR Nups 96 and 160, and also Nup75 showed evidence

of evolving under selection, but this represents only 30 % (3 out of 10) of the OR.

Promoter Regions of Channel Nups 58 and 62 Have Diverged in Closely Related Species

Since we previously identified the promoter of the channel Nup54 as a hot spot for rapid

evolution (Nallasivan, et al. 2021), we examined the promoters of the other two channel Nups

58 and 62 among closely related species of Drosophila (*D. melanogaster*, *D. simulans*, *D.*

sechellia, *D. yakuba* and *D. erecta*) (fig. 2). This analysis revealed that also the promoters of

the other two channel Nups are hot spots for rapid evolution (fig. 2). Moreover, these changes

contain a number of indels (Figure 2C and D) that can fundamentally impact on transcription

factor binding commonly found in the proximal region before the TATA box (Hill, et al. 2021;

Hoogendoorn, et al. 2003; Smale and Kadonaga 2003; Vo Ngoc, et al. 2019).

Inner and Outer Ring Nups Have Significantly Increased Sequence Changes in Gene

Upstream Regions

We next assessed the accumulation of nucleotide changes and indels among closely

related species of Drosophila (*D. melanogaster*, *D. simulans*, *D. sechellia*, *D. yakuba* and *D.*

erecta) by comparing the proportion of indel events and nucleotide distance. This analysis

showed that on average, the sequence upstream of the transcription start site (TSS) of Nups,

where putative regulatory elements are located, have evolved faster than the downstream

regions corresponding to the gene transcripts. This is particularly the case for Nups of the IR

and OR and particularly for indels (Supplementary fig. S1).

Inner and Outer Ring Nuclear Pore Protein Gene Subcomplexes Undergo Rapid

Evolution Through Indel Accumulation in Promoters

Next, we examined the promoter region of all remaining Nups in the closely related

Drosophila species to see whether accumulation of mutations is a general feature of the

promoters of this class of genes (fig. 3, Supplementary fig. S2). As a control group we used the

highly conserved m6A mRNA methylation complex consisting of Mettl3, Mettl14, fl(2)d,

virilizer, flacc, nito and hakai and the two readers YTHDC1 and YTHDF (Balacco and Soller

2019; Bawankar, et al. 2021; Dezi, et al. 2016; Knuckles, et al. 2018). As has been observed

for human promoters (Taylor, et al. 2006), the region immediately before the TATA box

constituting transcription factor binding sites (-30 to -380) shows a slightly increased rate of

sequence changes in m6A mRNA methylation writer complex and readers (fig. 3B). We then

analysed the promoters of the different Nup sub-complexes IR, OR, CF and NF. This analysis

revealed that the core nuclear complex consisting of the IR and OR genes showed a

significantly enhanced rate of indel driven evolution compared to the control group or the CF

and NB group of genes (fig. 3 and Supplementary fig. S2C-F). We next analysed all sequence

differences and performed a more detailed analysis split into deletions, insertions and base

changes, relative to *D. melanogaster*. Analysis of all sequence differences reflected our indel

analysis, with a significant increase in the number of differences in IR and OR Nups

(Supplementary fig. S2A and B). Our more detailed analysis revealed a significant increase in

the number of deletions in IR, OR and to a lesser extend in the cytoplasmic filaments (CF)

compared to the control (Supplementary fig. S2C and D), while no significant changes were

detected for insertions (Supplementary fig. S2E and F). In contrast base changes are increased

for IR and reduced for OR and CF (Supplementary fig. S2G and H).

Discussion

Here, through comparison of polymorphism rates between closely related Drosophila

species we show that rapid evolution of Nups is not generally driven through changes in ORFs.

Focussing on upstream and downstream Nup gene regions, we reveal a bias for inclusion of

nucleotide changes in the core members of the NPC. Consistent with channel *Nup54*, further

analysis of upstream regions of the other channel Nups 58 and 62 reveals their promotor regions

to be hotspots for rapid indel accumulation. Through examination of promoter regions of Nup

subcomplex members, we identify the core IR and OR Nups as hot spots for rapid accumulation

of indels. Here, the core NPC members likely act as drivers of species-specific variation

through dominant indel driven changes in promoters, subsequently modifying regulation of

nucleo-cytoplasmic transport regulated gene expression.

Although the megadalton nuclear pore protein complex (NPC) has mainly been

associated with providing a physical barrier between nucleus and cytoplasm, recent discovery

of pleiotropic functions of channel Nup54 provide new insights how the NPC could drive

speciation. Nup54 is required for neuronal wiring underlying the female post-mating response

induced by male-derived sex-peptide. Since its promoter is a hot spot for rapid evolution, a

critical role for regulatory elements at the onset of speciation is indicated as a result of sexual

conflict (Chapman 2018; Hollis, et al. 2019b; Hopkins and Perry 2022; Nallasivan, et al. 2021;

Sirot, et al. 2014).

Given the role of channel Nups in piRNA meditated transposon silencing of the

flamenco locus in the germline and their requirement for sexual differentiation (Munafo, et al.

2021; Nallasivan, et al. 2021), changes at one trait could cascade into the second leading to

rapid phenotypic evolution and speciation. Intriguingly, mutations in *cis*-regulatory sequences

of genes are often dominant whereas the majority of coding mutations are recessive (Pastinen,

et al. 2004; Ronald, et al. 2005; Wittkopp, et al. 2004) leading to different efficacy of selection

(Stern 2000; Wilkins 2002; Wray, et al. 2003).

Maternally inherited piRNAs are essential to transposon silencing and an imbalance

can lead to a phenomenon called hybrid dysgenesis, imposing reduced fecundity in the female

offspring when the male genome contributes novel transposable elements to be silenced

(Czech, et al. 2018). Key to silencing are epigenetically inherited piRNAs from the female to

prime the "ping-pong" cycle for amplification to increase the silencing capacity by

heterochromatinization for preventing transposon mobilisation (Blumenstiel and Hartl 2005;

Brennecke, et al. 2008; Czech, et al. 2018; Malone and Hannon 2009). Compromised

transposon silencing leading to deleterious reductions of fecundity could be escaped by altered

NPC function. Such changes are likely to trigger pleiotropic effects including altered neuronal

wiring and change of behaviour, leading to accelerated evolution and speciation. In essence, if

escape from lower fecundity as a result of hybrid dysgenesis (Blumenstiel and Hartl 2005;

Brennecke, et al. 2008; Czech, et al. 2018; Malone and Hannon 2009), leading to reduced

transposon silencing is coupled to changes in the morphology or behaviour, new species could

be established very quickly. In particular, if changes in Nup functionality result in rewiring of

neuronal circuits as indicated for Nup54, behavioural preferences could lead to rapid isolation.

In fact, mate preference has changed in *D. simulans* through rewiring of sensory neuron

projections to fruitless P1 neurons that control courtship (Seeholzer, et al. 2018).

Conclusion

Changes in gene expression have profound effects during species divergence and

phenotypic adaptation, and such changes can lead to hybrids' gene mis-expression and

dysfunction (Civetta 2016; Cutter and Bundus 2020; Hagen, et al. 2019; King and Wilson 1975;

Mack and Nachman 2017). The molecular mechanism how new species arise through

differential regulation of gene expression remains uncertain. The newly discovered role of

channel Nups in piRNA processing in the germline to maintain transposon silencing provokes

the claim that any compensation of the negative impact on fecundity from hybrid dysgenesis

would be favoured. However, due to the pleiotropic effect of Nups on neuronal wiring and

behaviour, the escape from hybrid incompatibility could produce changes leading to

behavioural isolation (Munafo, et al. 2021; Nallasivan, et al. 2021). Since mutations in

promoters can exert a dominant effect (Pastinen, et al. 2004; Ronald, et al. 2005; Wittkopp, et

al. 2004), such changes could be rapidly fixed in contrast to recessive changes in coding

regions, which would remain hidden in heterozygosity. Our systematic analysis of the

evolution of all Nups coding and promoter regions suggests a less studied mode of evolution

through changes of sequences upstream of the transcription start site (TSS), particularly in the

promoters of core Nups, and supports the possibility that compensation of deleterious changes

in the germline can lead to altered neuronal wiring and rapid fixation of adaptive traits.

Materials and Methods

Open Reading Frame Analysis

To determine whether the ORF of nucleoporins is under selection, the PopFly online

database (imkt.uab.cat) developed from the Drosophila Genome Nexus project assembling

sequence data of around 1100 *Drosophila* genomes were used to analyse polymorphism data

from the ancestral Congo population because it is a sub-Saharan population with higher

ancestral stability than other populations (Lack, et al. 2015; Lack, et al. 2016; Mackay, et al.

2012). Nonsynonymous to synonymous polymorphisms within *D. melanogaster* or between *D.*

melanogaster and *D. simulans* were obtained and significance determined by Fisher's Exact

Test.

Sequence Retrieval and Alignment

Nucleoporin gene and promoter sequences were retrieved from UCSC genome browser

(genome.ucsc.edu) by entering the species and gene name, and then define the gene region

under tools/table browser. Alignments were done with clustalW within the MEGA program

(Kumar, et al. 2018). We also used MEGA to calculate mean overall nucleotide distance and

DnaSP (Rozas, et al. 2017) for the proportion of indels events in the alignments.

Accumulation of Substitutions Along Extended Gene Regions

To test for nonrandom accumulation of substitutions along the Nup extended gene

regions we determined significant deviations from a uniform distribution of substitutions using

an empirical cumulative distribution function. The function (G) detects monotonic increases in

substitutions (n) measured as the difference between the relative occurrence of a nucleotide

change and its relative position in the alignment (Civetta, et al. 2016). Whether differences

between the values of the G function (ΔG) between substitutional events deviates from a

random accumulation of changes is tested using Monte Carlo simulations to produce 100,000

samples of n events by sampling sites without replacement along the alignment (Civetta, et al.

2016).

Comparison of Substitution Rates

To analyse the promoters of Nups and the control group of the m6A writer complex

and readers a region of 1000 bp upstream and downstream of the transcriptional start site (TSS)

was used with TSS as an anchor point. Regions of differential alignment between the

Drosophila species were averaged across the NPC subcomplexes. A sliding window of five

bps upstream and downstream of each position was summed and processed in Excel to generate

heatmaps for highlighting hot spots of sequence divergence.

Supplementary Material

Supplementary Material is available at Genome Biology and Evolution online.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Medical Research Council by D.W.J.M., the Biotechnology

and Biological Science Research Council to M.S. and the Natural Sciences and Engineering

Research Council of Canada to A.C. We thank R. Arnold for help with bioinformatics.

Authors Contributions

M.S. conceived and directed the project. All authors analysed data. M.S., A.C. and D.W.J.M.

wrote the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Data Availability

All data generated or analysed during this study are included in Supplementary Dataset S1.

Literature Cited

Avila FW, Sirot LK, LaFlamme BA, Rubinstein CD, Wolfner MF 2011. Insect seminal fluid

proteins: identification and function. Annu Rev Entomol 56: 21-40. doi: 10.1146/annurev-

ento-120709-144823

Balacco DL, Soller M 2019. The m(6)A Writer: Rise of a Machine for Growing Tasks.

Biochemistry 58: 363-378. doi: 10.1021/acs.biochem.8b01166

Bawankar P, et al. 2021. Hakai is required for stabilization of core components of the m(6)A

mRNA methylation machinery. Nat Commun 12: 3778. doi: 10.1038/s41467-021-23892-5

Blumenstiel JP, Hartl DL 2005. Evidence for maternally transmitted small interfering RNA in

the repression of transposition in Drosophila virilis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 102: 15965-

15970. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0508192102

Brennecke J, et al. 2008. An epigenetic role for maternally inherited piRNAs in transposon

silencing. Science 322: 1387-1392. doi: 10.1126/science.1165171

Cabal GG, et al. 2006. SAGA interacting factors confine sub-diffusion of transcribed genes to

the nuclear envelope. Nature 441: 770-773.

Chapman T 2018. Sexual conflict: mechanisms and emerging themes in resistance biology.

The American Naturalist 192: 217-229.

Civetta A 2016. Misregulation of Gene Expression and Sterility in Interspecies Hybrids:

Causal Links and Alternative Hypotheses. J Mol Evol 82: 176-182. doi: 10.1007/s00239-016-

9734-z

Civetta A, Ostapchuk DC, Nwali B 2016. Genome Hotspots for Nucleotide Substitutions and

the Evolution of Influenza A (H1N1) Human Strains. Genome Biol Evol 8: 986-993. doi:

10.1093/gbe/evw061

Coyne JA, Orr HA. 2004. Speciation. Sunderland, MA: Sinauer Associates.

Cutter AD, Bundus JD 2020. Speciation and the developmental alarm clock. Elife 9. doi:

10.7554/eLife.56276

Czech B, et al. 2018. piRNA-Guided Genome Defense: From Biogenesis to Silencing. Annu

Rev Genet 52: 131-157. doi: 10.1146/annurev-genet-120417-031441

Dezi V, Ivanov C, Haussmann IU, Soller M 2016. Nucleotide modifications in messenger

RNA and their role in development and disease. Biochem Soc Trans 44: 1385-1393. doi:

10.1042/bst20160110

Gillott C 2003. Male accessory glandd secretions: Modulators of Female Reproductive

Physiology and Behavior. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 48: 163-184.

Grayson P 2015. Izumo1 and Juno: the evolutionary origins and coevolution of essential

sperm-egg binding partners. Royal Society Open Science 2: 150296. doi:

doi:10.1098/rsos.150296

Hagen JFD, et al. 2019. tartan underlies the evolution of Drosophila male genital

morphology. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of

America 116: 19025-19030. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1909829116

Hill MS, Vande Zande P, Wittkopp PJ 2021. Molecular and evolutionary processes

generating variation in gene expression. Nature Reviews Genetics 22: 203-215. doi:

10.1038/s41576-020-00304-w

Hollis B, et al. 2019a. Sexual conflict drives male manipulation of female postmating

responses in Drosophila melanogaster. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 116: 8437-8444. doi:

10.1073/pnas.1821386116

Hollis B, et al. 2019b. Sexual conflict drives male manipulation of female postmating

responses in Drosophila melanogaster. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences

116: 8437-8444.

Hoogendoorn B, et al. 2003. Functional analysis of human promoter polymorphisms. Human

Molecular Genetics 12: 2249-2254. doi: 10.1093/hmg/ddg246

Hopkins BR, Perry JC 2022. The evolution of sex peptide: sexual conflict, cooperation, and

coevolution. Biological Reviews. doi: 10.1111/brv.12849

King MC, Wilson AC 1975. Evolution at two levels in humans and chimpanzees. Science

188: 107-116. doi: 10.1126/science.1090005

Knuckles P, et al. 2018. Zc3h13/Flacc is required for adenosine methylation by bridging the

mRNA-binding factor Rbm15/Spenito to the m(6)A machinery component Wtap/Fl(2)d.

Genes Dev 32: 415-429. doi: 10.1101/gad.309146.117

Kubli E 2003. Sex-peptides: seminal peptides of the Drosophila male. Cell Mol Life Sci 60:

1689-1704.

Kumar S, Stecher G, Li M, Knyaz C, Tamura K 2018. MEGA X: Molecular Evolutionary

Genetics Analysis across Computing Platforms. Mol Biol Evol 35: 1547-1549. doi:

10.1093/molbev/msy096

Lack JB, et al. 2015. The Drosophila genome nexus: a population genomic resource of 623

Drosophila melanogaster genomes, including 197 from a single ancestral range population.

Genetics 199: 1229-1241. doi: 10.1534/genetics.115.174664

Lack JB, Lange JD, Tang AD, Corbett-Detig RB, Pool JE 2016. A Thousand Fly Genomes:

An Expanded Drosophila Genome Nexus. Mol Biol Evol 33: 3308-3313. doi:

10.1093/molbev/msw195

Lin DH, Hoelz A 2019. The Structure of the Nuclear Pore Complex (An Update). Annu Rev

Biochem 88: 18.11-18.59. doi: 10.1146/annurev-biochem-062917-011901

Mack KL, Nachman MW 2017. Gene Regulation and Speciation. Trends Genet 33: 68-80.

doi: 10.1016/j.tig.2016.11.003

Mackay TF, et al. 2012. The Drosophila melanogaster Genetic Reference Panel. Nature 482:

173-178. doi: 10.1038/nature10811

Malone CD, Hannon GJ 2009. Molecular evolution of piRNA and transposon control

pathways in Drosophila. Cold Spring Harb Symp Quant Biol 74: 225-234. doi:

10.1101/sqb.2009.74.052

Munafo M, et al. 2021. Channel nuclear pore complex subunits are required for transposon

silencing in Drosophila. Elife 10: e66321. doi: 10.7554/eLife.66321

Nallasivan MP, Haussmann IU, Civetta A, Soller M 2021. Channel nuclear pore protein 54

directs sexual differentiation and neuronal wiring of female reproductive behaviors in

Drosophila. BMC biology 19: 1-14.

Pascual-Garcia P, Capelson M 2014. Nuclear pores as versatile platforms for gene regulation.

Current opinion in genetics & development 25: 110-117.

Pastinen T, et al. 2004. A survey of genetic and epigenetic variation affecting human gene

expression. Physiological genomics.

Patlar B, Jayaswal V, Ranz JM, Civetta A 2021. Nonadaptive molecular evolution of seminal

fluid proteins in Drosophila. Evolution; International Journal of Organic Evolution 75: 2102 -

2113.

Presgraves DC 2010. The molecular evolutionary basis of species formation. Nature Reviews

Genetics 11: 175-180.

Presgraves DC, Balagopalan L, Abmayr SM, Orr HA 2003. Adaptive evolution drives

divergence of a hybrid inviability gene between two species of Drosophila. Nature 423: 715-

719.

Ronald J, Brem RB, Whittle J, Kruglyak L 2005. Local regulatory variation in

Saccharomyces cerevisiae. PLoS genetics 1: e25.

Rowe M, et al. 2020. Molecular Diversification of the Seminal Fluid Proteome in a Recently

Diverged Passerine Species Pair. Mol Biol Evol 37: 488-506. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msz235

Rozas J, et al. 2017. DnaSP 6: DNA Sequence Polymorphism Analysis of Large Data Sets.

Mol Biol Evol 34: 3299-3302. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msx248

Seeholzer LF, Seppo M, Stern DL, Ruta V 2018. Evolution of a central neural circuit

underlies Drosophila mate preferences. Nature 559: 564-569. doi: 10.1038/s41586-018-0322-

9

Sirot LK, Wong A, Chapman T, Wolfner MF 2014. Sexual conflict and seminal fluid

proteins: a dynamic landscape of sexual interactions. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol 7:

a017533. doi: 10.1101/cshperspect.a017533

Smale ST, Kadonaga JT 2003. The RNA polymerase II core promoter. Annu Rev Biochem

72: 449-479. doi: 10.1146/annurev.biochem.72.121801.161520

Soller M, Bownes M, Kubli E 1999. Control of oocyte maturation in sexually mature

Drosophila females. Dev Biol 208: 337-351.

Stern DL 2000. Perspective: evolutionary developmental biology and the problem of

variation. Evolution 54: 1079-1091.

Taddei A, et al. 2006. Nuclear pore association confers optimal expression levels for an

inducible yeast gene. Nature 441: 774-778.

Tang S, Presgraves DC 2009. Evolution of the Drosophila nuclear pore complex results in

multiple hybrid incompatibilities. Science 323: 779-782.

Tang S, Presgraves DC 2015. Lineage-specific evolution of the complex Nup160 hybrid

incompatibility between Drosophila melanogaster and its sister species. Genetics 200: 1245-

1254.

Taylor MS, et al. 2006. Heterotachy in mammalian promoter evolution. PLoS genetics 2: e30.

Vo Ngoc L, Kassavetis GA, Kadonaga JT 2019. The RNA Polymerase II Core Promoter in

Drosophila. Genetics 212: 13-24. doi: 10.1534/genetics.119.302021

Wilkins AS. 2002. The evolution of developmental pathways: Sinauer Associates.

Wittkopp PJ, Haerum BK, Clark AG 2004. Evolutionary changes in cis and trans gene

regulation. Nature 430: 85-88.

Wray GA, et al. 2003. The evolution of transcriptional regulation in eukaryotes. Mol Biol

Evol 20: 1377-1419. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msg140

Figure Legends

Fig. 1: Core nuclear pore protein genes do not generally evolve through adaptation in

coding sequences (ORFs).

A) Graphic depiction of the nuclear pore complex with differentially coloured subcomplexes

inner ring (IR, pink), outer ring (OR, blue), cytoplasmic filament (CF, orange), nuclear basket

(yellow) and pore membrane proteins (POMS, red) embedded in the nuclear envelope lipid-

bilayer (green).

B) Significance of synonymous to non-synonymous changes in open reading frames (ORFs)

indicated by an asterisk and p-values.

Read et al.

Fig. 2: Promoter regions of channel Nups 58 and 62 have diverged in closely related

species.

A, B) Sequence alignment of the Nup58 and Nup62 promoter regions from closely related

species. Nucleic acids changes from *D. melanogaster* are indicated in black. Transcribed parts

of the *Vha13* and *CG7997* 3′UTR and the *Nup58* and *Nup62* 5′UTR are indicated by a line.

C, D) Plot of cumulative differences along the sequence (G) between the relative occurrences

of indels and their position from the alignment of the gene region around *Nup58* and *Nup62*

between *D. melanogaster*, *D. simulans*, *D. sechellia*, *D. yakuba* and *D. erecta*. Positions in the

alignment with significant stretches of substitutions are identified by black line(s).

Fig. 3: Core nuclear pore protein genes rapidly evolve through indel accumulation in

promoters.

A) Heatmaps indicating divergence for indels in yellow among closely related *D.*

melanogaster, *D. simulans*, *D. sechellia*, *D. yakuba* and *D. erecta* for the control group of genes

(m6A writer complex and readers) and the inner ring (IR), outer ring (OR), cytoplasmic

filament (CF) and nuclear basket (NB) below the gene model with the transcription start site

(TSS) indicated by an arrow. The red line indicates the promoter region used for quantification

of the substitution rate in D.

B) Quantification of the change rate of indels in the TATA box distal region (from -30 to -

380). Statistically significant differences from non-parametric chi-squared tests are indicated

by asterisks (* $p \leq 0.05$, ** $p \leq 0.001$, *** $p \leq 0.0001$).

Supplementary fig. S1: Comparison of sequence changes in gene upstream and

downstream regions.

Read et al.

A) Gene model depicting the intergenic region followed by the transcription start site (TSS)

and the genespan with upstream (US) and downstream (DS) regions analysed separately.

B) Analysis of nucleotide changes and indels within closely related species of Drosophila (*D.*

melanogaster, D. simulans, D. sechellia, D. yakuba and D. erecta) through comparison of the

proportion of indel events and nucleotide distance. Statistically significant differences between

the upstream and downstream regions were calculated from unpaired t-tests and indicated by

asterisks (* $p \leq 0.05$, ** $p \leq 0.001$, *** $p \leq 0.0001$).

Supplementary fig. S2: Inner and outer ring nuclear pore protein gene subcomplexes

undergo rapid evolution through indel accumulation in promoters.

A) Gene model depicting the intergenic region followed by the transcription start site (TSS)

and the genespan with the 350 bp region analysed for promotor activity upstream of the TATA

box location.

A-H) Heatmaps indicating divergence in yellow among closely related Drosophila species for

the control group of genes (m6A writer complex and readers) and the inner ring (IR), outer ring

(OR), cytoplasmic filament (CF) and nuclear basket (NB). Calculated sequence difference

index, deletion index, insertion index and base change index events are reported as bar charts

for the NPC subgroups compared to the control group. Index events were defined by values

above the control intergenic region average. Statistically significant differences between the

NPC subgroups and the control group were calculated from chi-squared tests and indicated by

asterisks (* $p \leq 0.05$, ** $p \leq 0.001$, *** $p \leq 0.0001$).

Fig. 1

A

Inner ring
Cytoplasmic filament
Outer ring
Nuclear basket
POMs

B

	Gene ID	ORF change significance		Gene ID	ORF change significance
Inner Ring	*Nup205*	* 9×10^{-14}	**Outer Ring**	*Nup160*	* 0.002
	Nup188	* 3×10^{-08}		*Nup75*	* 0.016
	Nup93-1	* 0.002		*Nup44a*	0.184
	Nup93-2	0.753		*Nup96*	* 0.033
	Nup154	* 5×10^{-05}		*Sec13*	0.638
	Nup35	* 0.010		*Nup107*	1.000
	Nup54	0.685		*Nup133*	0.209
	Nup58	1.000		*Nup43*	0.077
	Nup62	0.402		*Nup37*	1.000
	Nup98	* 0.033		*ELYS*	0.164
Cytoplasmic filament	*Rae1*	* 0.003	**Nuclear basket**	*Nup153*	0.051
	CG18787	1.000		*Nup50*	0.599
	Mbo	1.000		*Mtor*	* 0.007
	Nup214	0.326	**POM**	*NDC1*	0.592
	Gle1	0.703		*POM210*	0.172
	Nup358	0.235			

Fig. 2

Fig. 3

A

B

Supplementary fig. S1

A

B

Supplementary fig. S2