Indel driven rapid evolution of core nuclear pore protein gene promoters

DAVID W. J. MCQUARRIE[1,2]*, ADAM M. READ[1]*, FRANNIE H. S. STEPHENS[1],

ALBERTO CIVETTA[3,4] AND MATTHIAS SOLLER[1,2,4]

[1]School of Biosciences, College of Life and Environmental Sciences, University of

Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham, B15 2TT, United Kingdom

[2]Birmingham Centre for Genome Biology, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston,

Birmingham, B15 2TT, United Kingdom

[3]Department of Biology, University of Winnipeg, Winnipeg, Canada MB R3B 2E9

[4]Corresponding authors: m.soller@bham.ac.uk and a.civetta@uwinnipeg.ca

*equal contributing authors

Running title: Evolution of core nucleoporin gene promoters

Key Words: Nuclear pore complex (NPC), NPC ring, rapid evolution, promoter evolution,

speciation, piRNA expression, neuronal wiring, transposon silencing

Abstract

Nuclear pore proteins (Nups) prominently are among the few genes linked to speciation from hybrid incompatibility in *Drosophila*. It was previously found that neuronal wiring underlying the female post-mating response induced by male-derived sex-peptide requires channel Nup54 functionality. A hot spot for rapid evolution in the promoter of *Nup54* suggests a critical role for regulatory elements at the onset of speciation. Systematic analysis of Nup coding and promoter regions using *Drosophila* phylogenomics reveals that polymorphism differences between closely related *Drosophila* species in Nup coding regions do not generally evolve rapidly. Consistent with findings for *Nup54*, additional channel Nups 58 and 62 promotors are also hotpots for rapid accumulation of insertions/deletions (indels). Examination of Nup upstream regions reveals that core nuclear pore complex gene promoters accumulate indels rapidly. Since changes in promoters can have dominant effects (effects which directly impact gene expression of associated genes), these results indicate an evolutionary mechanism driven by indel accumulation in core Nup promoters. Compensation of such deleterious changes could lead to altered neuronal wiring, rapid fixation of adaptive traits and subsequently the rise of new species. Hence, the nuclear pore complex may act as a nexus for species-specific changes *via* nucleo-cytoplasmic transport regulated gene expression.

Introduction

Male derived substances transferred during mating change female physiology and behaviour to guarantee reproductive success in many insects [1-4]. Rapid divergence in male versus female interactive molecules can hamper reproductive success, potentially leading to the establishment of new species for example by compromising fertility or viability among hybrids [5-13]. Such hybrid incompatibility among closely related *Drosophila* species has been used to identify responsible genes. Among the few genes identified were nuclear pore proteins

Nup98 and Nup160 [14-17]. In addition, a screen for sex-peptide insensitive mutants identified

Nup54 functionality to be important for neuronal wiring of circuits involved in regulating post-

mating behaviours [10]. In particular, a deletion in the promoter of the *Nup54* gene has been

associated with altered nucleo-cytoplasmic shuttling in eight *pickpocket* (*ppk)* expressing

neurons in the central brain leading to wiring defects and a compromised female post-mating

response directed by male-derived sex-peptide transferred during mating [10]. Moreover, this

Nup54 promoter deletion allele maps to a hot spot for rapid evolution in the *Nup54* promotor

suggesting sexual conflict driving female escape from male manipulation by sex-peptide under

unfavourable conditions [10]. Furthermore, channel Nups have also been attributed a role in

transposon silencing in the germline by facilitating processing of short piRNAs from long pre-

curser RNAs of the *flamenco* locus, which functions as a 'master off switch' for transposons

[18], indicating that the disruption to NPC regulation likely has pleiotropic effects.

The nuclear pore protein complex (NPC) provides a physical barrier between nucleus

and cytoplasm requiring active transport for cargos above about 40 kDa [19]. The NPC consists

of 30 evolutionary highly conserved proteins in *Drosophila* (Fig. 1), which are grouped into

subcomplexes termed outer ring (OR), inner ring (IR), cytoplasmic filaments (CF), nuclear

basket (NB) and pore membrane proteins (POMS). The transport channel is made up of three

phenylalanine-glycine-rich (FG) repeat domains of Nup54, Nup58 and Nup62. The NPC can

contribute to differential expression of other genes as e.g. actively transcribed genes can be in

the proximity of the NPC [20-23].

Given the rapid evolution of *Nup54* gene expression control and the links of Nups to

speciation, we systematically examined, whether the NPC is under increased evolutionary

constraint as the molecular mechanisms of how the NPC is involved in speciation remains

unexplained. Our systematic analysis of Nup coding and promoter regions using *Drosophila*

phylogenomics reveals promoters of Nup core genes as hot spots for insertion/deletion (indel)

driven rapid evolution and the nuclear pore complex as a nexus for species-specific changes

via nucleo-cytoplasmic transport regulated gene expression.

Results

Rapid evolution of core nuclear pore protein genes is not generally driven through

adaptation in coding sequences

To assess whether the protein coding region (Open Reading Frame, ORF) of Nups were

under selection, we performed McDonald-Kreitman tests (MKTs) to compare the number of

polymorphisms in the *D. melanogaster* ancestral Congo population, and between *D.*

melanogaster and its closest relative *D. simulans*. This analysis showed that most Nup ORFs

do not deviate from neutral evolution (Fig. 1b), but that 30% (3 out of 10) of the Nups

constituting the IR showed significant evidence of selection.

Promoter regions of channel Nups 58 and 62 have diverged in closely related species

Since we previously identified the promoter of the channel Nup54 as a hot spot for rapid

evolution [10], we examined the promoters of the other two channel Nups 58 and 62 among

closely related species of *Drosophila* (*D. melanogaster*, *D. simulans*, *D. sechellia*, *D. yakuba*

and *D. erecta*) (Fig. 2). This analysis revealed that also the promoters of the other two channel

Nups are hot spots for rapid evolution (Fig. 2). Moreover, these changes contain a number of

indels (Fig. 2c and d) that can fundamentally impact on transcription factor binding commonly

found in the proximal region before the TATA box [24-28].

Inner and outer ring nuclear pore protein gene subcomplexes undergo rapid evolution

through indel accumulation in promoters

Next, we examined the promoter region of all remaining Nups in the closely related

Drosophila species to see whether accumulation of mutations is a general feature of the

promoters of this class of genes (Fig. 3, Supplementary Fig. S1). We analysed conservation of

in 27 insect species through the PhyloP27way data [29,30]. To determine whether the NPC evolves

rapidly compared to the genome, we used PhyloP scores as a measure of conservation upstream

of the TSS (Fig. 3a). We observed a significant decrease in NPC sequence conservation in the

promoter region upstream of the predicted TATA box site (-30 to -380) (Fig. 3b and c). To

focus more specifically on *Drosophila*, we analysed promoter evolution between species in the

melanogaster subgroup [31]. As a control group we used the m^6A mRNA methylation machinery

(consisting of Mettl3, Mettl14, fl(2)d, virilizer, flacc, nito and Hakai and the two readers

YTHDC1 and YTHDF), because high evolutionary conservation is required to maintain

complex stoichiometry to guarantee functionality, making this group optimal for use as a

control group to monitor promoter evolution [32-35]. In contrast to the genes from the m^6A mRNA

methylation machinery, promoters of ribosomal genes are different in their transcription start

site (TSS) properties [36,37].

As has been observed for *Drosophila* and human promoters [28,36,38], the region

immediately before the TATA box constitutes transcription factor binding sites (-30 to -380),

and showed a slightly increased rate of sequence changes in m^6A mRNA methylation writer

complex and readers consistent with the general trend (Fig. 3d and e, Supplementary Fig. 1).

We then analysed the promoters of the different Nup sub-complexes IR, OR, CF and NF. This

analysis revealed that the core nuclear complex consisting of the IR and OR genes showed a

significantly enhanced rate of indel driven evolution compared to the control group or the CF

and NB group of genes (Fig. 3 and Supplementary Fig. S1c-f). We next analysed all sequence

differences and performed a more detailed analysis split into deletions, insertions and base

changes, relative to *D. melanogaster*. Analysis of all sequence differences reflected our indel

analysis, with a significant increase in the number of differences in IR and OR Nups

(Supplementary Fig. S1a and b). Our more detailed analysis revealed a significant increase in

the number of deletions in IR, OR and to a lesser extend in the cytoplasmic filaments (CF)

compared to the control (Supplementary Fig. S1c and d), while no significant changes were

detected for insertions (Supplementary Fig. S1e and f). In contrast base changes are increased

for IR and reduced for OR and CF (Supplementary Fig. S1g and h).

Discussion

Here, through comparison of polymorphism rates between closely related Drosophila

species we show that rapid evolution of Nups is not generally driven through changes in ORFs.

Focussing on upstream and downstream Nup gene regions, we reveal a bias for inclusion of

nucleotide changes in the core members of the NPC. Consistent with channel *Nup54* [10], further

analysis of upstream regions of the other channel Nups 58 and 62 reveals their promotor regions

to be hotspots for rapid indel accumulation. Through examination of promoter regions of Nup

subcomplex members, we identify the core IR and OR Nups as hot spots for rapid accumulation

of indels. Here, the core NPC members likely act as drivers of species-specific variation

through dominant indel driven changes in promoters, subsequently modifying regulation of

nucleo-cytoplasmic transport regulated gene expression.

Although the megadalton nuclear pore protein complex (NPC) has mainly been

associated with providing a physical barrier between nucleus and cytoplasm, recent discovery

of pleiotropic functions of channel Nup54 provide new insights how the NPC could drive

speciation. Nup54 is required for neuronal wiring underlying the female post-mating response

induced by male-derived sex-peptide. Since its promoter is a hot spot for rapid evolution, a

critical role for regulatory elements at the onset of speciation is indicated as a result of sexual

conflict [5,9,10,13,39].

Given the role of channel Nups in piRNA meditated transposon silencing of the

flamenco locus in the germline and their requirement for sexual differentiation [10,18], changes at

one trait could cascade into the second leading to rapid phenotypic evolution and speciation.

Intriguingly, mutations in *cis*-regulatory sequences of genes are often dominant whereas the

majority of coding mutations are recessive [40-42] leading to different efficacy of selection [43-45].

Maternally inherited piRNAs are essential to transposon silencing and an imbalance

can lead to a phenomenon called hybrid dysgenesis, imposing reduced fecundity in the female

offspring when the male genome contributes novel transposable elements to be silenced [46]. Key

to silencing are epigenetically inherited piRNAs from the female to prime the "ping-pong"

cycle for amplification to increase the silencing capacity by heterochromatinization for

preventing transposon mobilisation [46-49]. Compromised transposon silencing leading to

deleterious reductions of fecundity could be escaped by altered NPC function. Such changes

are likely to trigger pleiotropic effects including altered neuronal wiring and change of

behaviour, leading to accelerated evolution and speciation. In essence, if escape from lower

fecundity as a result of hybrid dysgenesis [46-49], leading to reduced transposon silencing is

coupled to changes in the morphology or behaviour, new species could be established very

quickly. In particular, if changes in Nup functionality result in rewiring of neuronal circuits as

indicated for Nup54, behavioural preferences could lead to rapid isolation. In fact, mate

preference has changed in *D. simulans* through rewiring of sensory neuron projections to

fruitless P1 neurons that control courtship [50].

Conclusion

Changes in gene expression have profound effects during species divergence and

phenotypic adaptation, and such changes can lead to hybrids' gene mis-expression and

dysfunction [51-55]. The molecular mechanism how new species arise through differential

regulation of gene expression remains uncertain. The newly discovered role of channel Nups

in piRNA processing in the germline to maintain transposon silencing provokes the claim that

any compensation of the negative impact on fecundity from hybrid dysgenesis would be

favoured. However, due to the pleiotropic effect of Nups on neuronal wiring and behaviour,

the escape from hybrid incompatibility could produce changes leading to behavioural isolation

[10,18]. Since mutations in promoters can exert a dominant effect [40-42], such changes could be

rapidly fixed in contrast to recessive changes in coding regions, which would remain hidden in

heterozygosity. Our systematic analysis of the evolution of all Nups coding and promoter

regions suggests a less studied mode of evolution through changes of sequences upstream of

the transcription start site (TSS), particularly in the promoters of core Nups, and supports the

possibility that compensation of deleterious changes in the germline can lead to altered

neuronal wiring and rapid fixation of adaptive traits.

Materials and Methods

Open reading frame analysis

To determine whether the ORFs of nucleoporins are under selection, the PopFly online

database (imkt.uab.cat) developed from the Drosophila Genome Nexus project assembling

sequence data of around 1100 *D. melanogaster* genomes were used to perform MKTs to

analyse polymorphism data from the ancestral Congo population because it is a sub-Saharan

population with higher ancestral stability than other populations [56-58]. Synonymous and non-

synonymous polymorphisms within *D. melanogaster* or between *D. melanogaster* and *D.*

simulans were obtained and significance determined by Fisher's Exact Test, with significance

defined as $p \leq 0.05$ with Bonferroni correction.

Sequence/data retrieval and alignment

Nucleoporin gene and promoter sequences were retrieved from UCSC genome browser

(genome.ucsc.edu) using the Table Browser tool [29,30]. Alignments were carried out with

clustalW within the MEGA11 program [59]. PhyloP27way data were sourced from UCSC

genome browser through the Table Browser tool [29,30]. Data points were collected for a region

of 1000 nucleotides upstream and 300 nucleotides downstream.

Accumulation of substitutions along extended gene regions

To test for nonrandom accumulation of substitutions along the Nup extended gene

regions we determined significant deviations from a uniform distribution of substitutions using

an empirical cumulative distribution function. The function (G) detects monotonic increases in

substitutions (n) measured as the difference between the relative occurrence of a nucleotide

change and its relative position in the alignment [60]. Whether differences between the values of

the G function (ΔG) between substitutional events deviates from a random accumulation of

changes is tested using Monte Carlo simulations to produce 100,000 samples of n events by

sampling sites without replacement along the alignment [60].

Comparison of substitution rates

To analyse the promoters of Nups and the control group of the m^6A writer complex and

readers a region of 1000 bp upstream and downstream of the transcriptional start site (TSS)

was used with TSS as an anchor point. Regions of differential alignment between the

Drosophila species were averaged across the NPC subcomplexes, where alignments were

translated into events [61]. Per [61], 0 signified no sequence difference between all analysed species

and 1 signified comparative sequence divergence for $\geq$1 species. A sliding window of five

nucleotides upstream and downstream of each position was summed and processed to define

sliding event (*Se*) scores which were used to generate heatmaps. To calculate sequence change

McQuarrie et al.

221   accumulation (percentage of events greater than the average control promoter sliding window

222   score (d)), a 350-nucleotide region upstream of the approximated TATA box region was taken.

223   The total number of Se scores greater than the control Se (Se^C) was divided by the total number

224   of events in the region (N).

225
$$d = \frac{\text{Total number of } Se \text{ events where } Se > Se^C}{N} \times 100$$

Significance was defined by non-parametric chi-squared tests vs the control score. P values

≤0.05 were deemed statistically significant.

To compare the conservation rate of the NPC compared to the *D. melanogaster* genome,

PhyloP27way scores for a region of 1000 nucleotides upstream and 300 nucleotides

downstream of the TSS for all *Drosophila* genes was performed. Genes without data points for

the full 1300 nucleotide region were omitted. Genome-wide and NPC mean PhyloP scores

were calculated using R version 4.4.2 [62]. The average 350-nucleotide region upstream of the

approximated TATA box region was compared between the two and significance was

calculated using an unpaired student t-test. P values ≤0.05 were deemed statistically significant.

For the same region of the NPC and genome averages d was calculated and significance

determined as described.

Data availability

All data generated or analysed during this study are included in Supplementary Dataset S1.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Medical Research Council Integrated Midlands Partnership

for Biomedical Training Program by D.W.J.M., the Biotechnology and Biological Science

Research Council to M.S. and the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of

Canada to A.C. We thank R. Arnold for help with bioinformatics.

Authors contributions

M.S. conceived and directed the project. All authors analysed data. M.S., D.W.J.M. and A.C.

wrote the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary information includes Fig. S1 and Supplementary Dataset 1.

References

258   1   Avila, F. W., Sirot, L. K., LaFlamme, B. A., Rubinstein, C. D. & Wolfner, M. F.

259   Insect seminal fluid proteins: identification and function. *Annu Rev Entomol* **56**, 21-

260   40, (2011).

261   2   Gillott, C. Male accessory glandd secretions: Modulators of Female Reproductive

262   Physiology and Behavior. *Annu. Rev. Entomol.* **48**, 163-184 (2003).

263   3   Kubli, E. Sex-peptides: seminal peptides of the Drosophila male. *Cell Mol Life Sci* **60**,

264   1689-1704 (2003).

265   4   Soller, M., Bownes, M. & Kubli, E. Control of oocyte maturation in sexually mature

266   Drosophila females. *Dev Biol* **208**, 337-351 (1999).

267   5   Chapman, T. Sexual conflict: mechanisms and emerging themes in resistance biology.

268   *The American Naturalist* **192**, 217-229 (2018).

6   Coyne, J. A. & Orr, H. A. *Speciation*. (Sinauer Associates, 2004).

7   Grayson, P. Izumo1 and Juno: the evolutionary origins and coevolution of essential

sperm-egg binding partners. *Royal Society Open Science* **2**, 150296, (2015).

8   Hollis, B. *et al.* Sexual conflict drives male manipulation of female postmating

responses in Drosophila melanogaster. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **116**, 8437-8444,

(2019).

9   Hopkins, B. R. & Perry, J. C. The evolution of sex peptide: sexual conflict,

cooperation, and coevolution. *Biological Reviews*, (2022).

10 Nallasivan, M. P., Haussmann, I. U., Civetta, A. & Soller, M. Channel nuclear pore

protein 54 directs sexual differentiation and neuronal wiring of female reproductive

behaviors in Drosophila. *BMC biology* **19**, 1-14 (2021).

11 Patlar, B., Jayaswal, V., Ranz, J. M. & Civetta, A. Nonadaptive molecular evolution

of seminal fluid proteins in Drosophila. *Evolution; International Journal of Organic*

Evolution **75**, 2102 - 2113 (2021).

12 Rowe, M. *et al.* Molecular Diversification of the Seminal Fluid Proteome in a

Recently Diverged Passerine Species Pair. *Mol Biol Evol* **37**, 488-506, (2020).

13 Sirot, L. K., Wong, A., Chapman, T. & Wolfner, M. F. Sexual conflict and seminal

fluid proteins: a dynamic landscape of sexual interactions. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect*

Biol **7**, a017533, (2014).

14 Presgraves, D. C. The molecular evolutionary basis of species formation. *Nature*

Reviews Genetics **11**, 175-180 (2010).

15 Presgraves, D. C., Balagopalan, L., Abmayr, S. M. & Orr, H. A. Adaptive evolution

drives divergence of a hybrid inviability gene between two species of Drosophila.

Nature **423**, 715-719 (2003).

16 Tang, S. & Presgraves, D. C. Evolution of the Drosophila nuclear pore complex

results in multiple hybrid incompatibilities. *Science* **323**, 779-782 (2009).

17 Tang, S. & Presgraves, D. C. Lineage-specific evolution of the complex Nup160

hybrid incompatibility between Drosophila melanogaster and its sister species.

Genetics **200**, 1245-1254 (2015).

18 Munafo, M. *et al.* Channel nuclear pore complex subunits are required for transposon

silencing in Drosophila. *Elife* **10**, e66321, (2021).

19 Lin, D. H. & Hoelz, A. The Structure of the Nuclear Pore Complex (An Update).

Annu Rev Biochem **88**, 18.11-18.59, (2019).

20 Cabal, G. G. *et al.* SAGA interacting factors confine sub-diffusion of transcribed

genes to the nuclear envelope. *Nature* **441**, 770-773 (2006).

21 Pascual-Garcia, P. & Capelson, M. Nuclear pores as versatile platforms for gene

regulation. *Current opinion in genetics & development* **25**, 110-117 (2014).

22 Taddei, A. *et al.* Nuclear pore association confers optimal expression levels for an

inducible yeast gene. *Nature* **441**, 774-778 (2006).

23 Breuer, M. & Ohkura, H. A negative loop within the nuclear pore complex controls

global chromatin organization. *Genes & Development* **29**, 1789-1794, (2015).

24 Hoogendoorn, B. *et al.* Functional analysis of human promoter polymorphisms.

Human Molecular Genetics **12**, 2249-2254, (2003).

25 Smale, S. T. & Kadonaga, J. T. The RNA polymerase II core promoter. *Annu Rev*

Biochem **72**, 449-479, (2003).

26 Vo Ngoc, L., Kassavetis, G. A. & Kadonaga, J. T. The RNA Polymerase II Core

Promoter in Drosophila. *Genetics* **212**, 13-24, (2019).

27 Hill, M. S., Vande Zande, P. & Wittkopp, P. J. Molecular and evolutionary processes

generating variation in gene expression. *Nature Reviews Genetics* **22**, 203-215,

(2021).

McQuarrie et al.

28   Hoskins, R. A. *et al.* Genome-wide analysis of promoter architecture in Drosophila

melanogaster. *Genome Research* **21**, 182-192, (2011).

29   Karolchik, D. The UCSC Table Browser data retrieval tool. *Nucleic Acids Research*

32, 493D-496, (2004).

30   Kent, W. J. *et al.* The Human Genome Browser at UCSC. *Genome Research* **12**, 996-

1006, (2002).

31   Consortium, D. G. Evolution of genes and genomes on the Drosophila phylogeny.

Nature **450**, 203-218, (2007).

32   Balacco, D. L. & Soller, M. The m(6)A Writer: Rise of a Machine for Growing Tasks.

Biochemistry **58**, 363-378, (2019).

33   Bawankar, P. *et al.* Hakai is required for stabilization of core components of the

m(6)A mRNA methylation machinery. *Nat Commun* **12**, 3778, (2021).

34   Dezi, V., Ivanov, C., Haussmann, I. U. & Soller, M. Nucleotide modifications in

messenger RNA and their role in development and disease. *Biochem Soc Trans* **44**,

1385-1393, (2016).

35   Knuckles, P. *et al.* Zc3h13/Flacc is required for adenosine methylation by bridging

the mRNA-binding factor Rbm15/Spenito to the m(6)A machinery component

Wtap/Fl(2)d. *Genes Dev* **32**, 415-429, (2018).

36   Main, B. J., Smith, A. D., Jang, H. & Nuzhdin, S. V. Transcription Start Site

Evolution in Drosophila. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **30**, 1966-1974, (2013).

37   Anreiter, I., Tian, Y. W. & Soller, M. The cap epitranscriptome: Early directions to a

complex life as mRNA. *BioEssays*, 2200198, (2022).

38   Taylor, M. S. *et al.* Heterotachy in mammalian promoter evolution. *PLoS genetics* **2**,

e30 (2006).

39 Hollis, B. *et al.* Sexual conflict drives male manipulation of female postmating

responses in Drosophila melanogaster. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*

Sciences **116**, 8437-8444 (2019).

40 Wittkopp, P. J., Haerum, B. K. & Clark, A. G. Evolutionary changes in cis and trans

gene regulation. *Nature* **430**, 85-88 (2004).

41 Pastinen, T. *et al.* A survey of genetic and epigenetic variation affecting human gene

expression. *Physiological genomics* (2004).

42 Ronald, J., Brem, R. B., Whittle, J. & Kruglyak, L. Local regulatory variation in

Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *PLoS genetics* **1**, e25 (2005).

43 Wilkins, A. S. *The evolution of developmental pathways*. (Sinauer Associates, 2002).

44 Stern, D. L. Perspective: evolutionary developmental biology and the problem of

variation. *Evolution* **54**, 1079-1091 (2000).

45 Wray, G. A. *et al.* The evolution of transcriptional regulation in eukaryotes. *Mol Biol*

Evol **20**, 1377-1419, (2003).

46 Czech, B. *et al.* piRNA-Guided Genome Defense: From Biogenesis to Silencing.

Annu Rev Genet **52**, 131-157, (2018).

47 Blumenstiel, J. P. & Hartl, D. L. Evidence for maternally transmitted small interfering

RNA in the repression of transposition in Drosophila virilis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*

102, 15965-15970, (2005).

48 Malone, C. D. & Hannon, G. J. Molecular evolution of piRNA and transposon control

pathways in Drosophila. *Cold Spring Harb Symp Quant Biol* **74**, 225-234, (2009).

49 Brennecke, J. *et al.* An epigenetic role for maternally inherited piRNAs in transposon

silencing. *Science* **322**, 1387-1392, (2008).

50 Seeholzer, L. F., Seppo, M., Stern, D. L. & Ruta, V. Evolution of a central neural

circuit underlies Drosophila mate preferences. *Nature* **559**, 564-569, (2018).

368 51 Cutter, A. D. & Bundus, J. D. Speciation and the developmental alarm clock. *Elife* **9**,

369   (2020).

370 52 Hagen, J. F. D. *et al.* tartan underlies the evolution of Drosophila male genital

371   morphology. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of*

372   *America* **116**, 19025-19030, (2019).

373 53 Mack, K. L. & Nachman, M. W. Gene Regulation and Speciation. *Trends Genet* **33**,

374   68-80, (2017).

375 54 Civetta, A. Misregulation of Gene Expression and Sterility in Interspecies Hybrids:

376   Causal Links and Alternative Hypotheses. *J Mol Evol* **82**, 176-182, (2016).

377 55 King, M. C. & Wilson, A. C. Evolution at two levels in humans and chimpanzees.

378   *Science* **188**, 107-116, (1975).

379 56 Lack, J. B. *et al.* The Drosophila genome nexus: a population genomic resource of

380   623 Drosophila melanogaster genomes, including 197 from a single ancestral range

381   population. *Genetics* **199**, 1229-1241, (2015).

382 57 Lack, J. B., Lange, J. D., Tang, A. D., Corbett-Detig, R. B. & Pool, J. E. A Thousand

383   Fly Genomes: An Expanded Drosophila Genome Nexus. *Mol Biol Evol* **33**, 3308-

384   3313, (2016).

385 58 Mackay, T. F. *et al.* The Drosophila melanogaster Genetic Reference Panel. *Nature*

386   **482**, 173-178, (2012).

387 59 Kumar, S., Stecher, G., Li, M., Knyaz, C. & Tamura, K. MEGA X: Molecular

388   Evolutionary Genetics Analysis across Computing Platforms. *Mol Biol Evol* **35**, 1547-

389   1549, (2018).

390 60 Civetta, A., Ostapchuk, D. C. & Nwali, B. Genome Hotspots for Nucleotide

391   Substitutions and the Evolution of Influenza A (H1N1) Human Strains. *Genome Biol*

392   *Evol* **8**, 986-993, (2016).

61   Tang, H. & Lewontin, R. C. Locating Regions of Differential Variability in DNA and

Protein Sequences. *Genetics* **153**, 485-495, (1999).

62   R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical

Computing, Vienna, Austria. (2022).

Figure legends

Figure 1: Core nuclear pore protein genes do not generally evolve through adaptation in

coding sequences (ORFs).

a) Graphic depiction of the nuclear pore complex with differentially coloured subcomplexes

inner ring (IR, pink), outer ring (OR, blue), cytoplasmic filament (CF, orange), nuclear basket

(yellow) and pore membrane proteins (POMS, red) embedded in the nuclear envelope lipid-

bilayer (green).

b) Significance of synonymous to non-synonymous changes in open reading frames (ORFs)

indicated by an asterisk and p-values.

Figure 2: Promoter regions of channel Nups 58 and 62 have diverged in closely related

species.

a, b) Sequence alignment of the Nup58 and Nup62 promoter regions from closely related

species. Nucleic acids changes from *D. melanogaster* are indicated in black. Transcribed parts

of the *Vha13* and *CG7997* 3′UTR and the *Nup58* and *Nup62* 5′UTR are indicated by a line.

c, d) Plot of cumulative differences along the sequence (G) between the relative occurrences

of indels and their position from the alignment of the gene region around *Nup58* and *Nup62*

between *D. melanogaster*, *D. simulans*, *D. sechellia*, *D. yakuba* and *D. erecta*. Positions in the

alignment with significant stretches of substitutions are identified by black line(s).

Figure 3: Core nuclear pore protein genes rapidly evolve through indel accumulation in

promoters.

a) Evolutionary conservation of nucleotide positions around the TSS depicted by PhyloP27way

conservation score averages for the *D. melanogaster* genome (black) and the NPC (grey).

b) Evolutionary conservation measured by PhyloP27way conservation score averages for the

350-nucleotide promoter regions compared between the NPC and the genome. Statistically

significant differences from unpaired student t-tests are indicated by asterisks (* $p \leq 0.05$, **

$p \leq 0.001$, *** $p \leq 0.0001$).

c) Evolutionary conservation measured by PhyloP27way conservation promoter *d* scores for

the 350-nucleotide for the promoters of the NPC and the genome averages. Statistically

significant differences from non-parametric chi-squared tests are indicated by asterisks (*

$p \leq 0.05$, ** $p \leq 0.001$, *** $p \leq 0.0001$).

d) Heatmaps indicating divergence for indels in yellow among closely related *D. melanogaster*,

D. simulans, *D. sechellia*, *D. yakuba* and *D. erecta* for the control group of genes (m^6A writer

complex and readers) and the inner ring (IR), outer ring (OR), cytoplasmic filament (CF) and

nuclear basket (NB) below the gene model with the transcription start site (TSS) indicated by

an arrow. The red line indicates the promoter region used for quantification of the substitution

rate.

e) Quantification of the change rate of indels in the TATA box distal region (from -30 to -380).

Statistically significant differences from non-parametric chi-squared tests are indicated by

asterisks (* $p \leq 0.05$, ** $p \leq 0.001$, *** $p \leq 0.0001$).

Supplementary Figure S1: Inner and outer ring nuclear pore protein gene subcomplexes

undergo rapid evolution through indel accumulation in promoters.

McQuarrie et al.

a) Gene model depicting the intergenic region followed by the transcription start site (TSS)

and the genespan with the 350-nucleotide region analysed for promotor activity upstream of

the TATA box location.

a-h) Heatmaps indicating divergence in yellow among closely related Drosophila species for

the control group of genes (m6A writer complex and readers) and the inner ring (IR), outer ring

(OR), cytoplasmic filament (CF) and nuclear basket (NB). Calculated sequence difference

index, deletion index, insertion index and base change index events are reported as bar charts

for the NPC subgroups compared to the control group. Index events were defined by values

above the control intergenic region average. Statistically significant differences between the

NPC subgroups and the control group were calculated from chi-squared tests and indicated by

asterisks (* $p \leq 0.05$, ** $p \leq 0.001$, *** $p \leq 0.0001$).

Figure 1

a

Inner ring
Cytoplasmic filament
Outer ring
Nuclear basket
POMs

b

	Gene ID	ORF change significance			Gene ID	ORF change significance
Inner Ring	Nup205	* 9×10^{-14}		**Outer Ring**	Nup160	0.002
	Nup188	* 3×10^{-08}			Nup75	0.016
	Nup93-1	0.002			Nup44a	0.184
	Nup93-2	0.753			Nup96	0.033
	Nup154	* 5×10^{-05}			Sec13	0.638
	Nup35	0.010			Nup107	1.000
	Nup54	0.685			Nup133	0.209
	Nup58	1.000			Nup43	0.077
	Nup62	0.402			Nup37	1.000
	Nup98	0.033			ELYS	0.164
Cytoplasmic filament	Rae1	0.003		**Nuclear basket**	Nup153	0.051
	CG18787	1.000			Nup50	0.599
	Mbo	1.000			Mtor	0.007
	Nup214	0.326				
	Gle1	0.703		**POM**	NDC1	0.592
	Nup358	0.235			POM210	0.172

a

b

c

d

Supplementary Figure S1