Phylogenomics reveals patterns of ancient hybridization and differential diversification

contributing to phylogenetic conflict in *Populus* L. and *Salix* L.

Brian J. Sanderson*[1,8,11], Diksha Ghambir[1], Guanqiao Feng[1], Nan Hu[1], Quentin C. Cronk[2], Diana

M. Percy[2], Francisco Molina Freaner[3], Matthew G. Johnson[1], Lawrence B. Smart[4], Ken

Keefover-Ring[5], Tongming Yin[6], Tao Ma[7], Stephen P. DiFazio[8], Jianquan Liu[7,9], Matthew S.

Olson*[1,10]

[1]Department of Biological Sciences, Texas Tech University, Lubbock, TX 79409-3131 USA

[2]Department of Botany, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, V6T 1Z4 Canada

[3]Universidad Nacional Automoa de Mexico, Hermosilla, Mexico

[4]Horticulture Section, School of Integrative Plant Science, Cornell University, Cornell AgriTech,

Geneva, New York 14456 USA

[5]Departments of Botany and Geography, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, WI 53706,

USA

[6]Key Laboratory of Tree Genetics and Biotechnology of Jiangsu Province and Education

Department of China, Nanjing Forestry University, Nanjing, China

[7]Key Laboratory of Bio-Resource and Eco-Environment of Ministry of Education & College of

Life Sciences, Sichuan University, Chengdu 610065, China

[8]Department of Biology, West Virginia University, Morgantown, WV, 26506 USA

[9]State Key Laboratory of Grassland Agro-Ecosystem, Institute of Innovation Ecology & College

of Life Sciences, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, China

[10]Author for correspondence: matt.olson@ttu.edu

*These authors contributed equally to this work.

[11]Current address: The Jackson Laboratory for Genomic Medicine, 10 Discovery Drive,

Farmington, CT 06032 USA

Abstract

Despite the economic, ecological, and scientific importance of the genera *Populus* L.

(poplars, cottonwoods, and aspens) and *Salix* L. Salicaceae (willows), we know little about the

sources of differences in species diversity between the genera and of the phylogenetic conflict

that often confounds estimating phylogenetic trees. *Salix* subgenera and sections, in particular,

have been difficult to classify, with one recent attempt termed a 'spectacular failure' due to a

speculated radiation of the subgenera *Vetrix* and *Chamaetia*. Here we use targeted sequence

capture to understand the evolutionary history of this portion of the Salicaceae plant family. Our

phylogenetic hypothesis was based on 787 gene regions and identified extensive phylogenetic

conflict among genes. Our analysis supported some previously described subgeneric

relationships and confirmed polyphyly of others. Using an f_{branch} analysis we identified several

cases of hybridization in deep branches of the phylogeny, which likely contributed to

discordance among gene trees. In addition, we identified a rapid increase in diversification rate

near the origination of the *Vetrix-Chamaetia* clade in *Salix*. This region of the tree coincided with

several nodes that lacked strong statistical support, indicating a possible increase in incomplete

lineage sorting due to rapid diversification. The extraordinary level of both recent and ancient

hybridization in both *Populus* and *Salix* have played important roles in the diversification and

diversity in these two genera.

Keywords: Salicaceae**,** hybridization*,* sequence capture, ASTRAL species tree, concatenated

tree

This study is dedicated to the memory of George W. Argus (1929-2022) whose lifelong pursuit of

understanding diversity in Salix laid the foundation for future salicologists.

Introduction

As methods to assess the congruence among the genealogical histories of genes across

species have matured (Degnan et al. 2009; Young et al. 2020), the curious association between

phylogenetic conflict and rapid diversification has suggested a link between population genetic

and macroevolutionary processes (Parins-Fukuchi et al. 2021). Although most genomic regions

are expected to reflect the speciation and diversification history of a taxonomic group (species

tree), two primary factors contribute to conflict between gene genealogies and species history.

Incomplete lineage sorting (ILS) results from the persistence of polymorphism across multiple

diversification events and the subsequent random fixation of polymorphism among different

lineages (Wu 1991). The influence of ILS is particularly strong during periods of rapid

speciation, when effective population sizes are large and when long-term balancing selection

results in persistence of polymorphisms (Edwards 2009; Pease et al. 2013; Wang et al. 2020).

Second, interspecific gene flow due to hybridization has the potential to generate discordance

among high proportions of gene trees (McVay et al. 2017; Morales-Briones et al. 2020). Unlike

ILS, however, the patterns of gene discordance are biased with over-representation of one hybrid

topology (Green et al. 2010; Durand et al. 2011; Patterson et al. 2012). When taxonomic groups

have hybridized throughout diversification, the effects of hybridization on gene tree conflict can

span multiple species within a clade (Malinsky et al. 2018). The impacts of hybridization may be

particularly common in plants, where fertility of interspecific crosses may be maintained well

after speciation (Grant 1981).

Contemporary populations of poplars (*Populus*) and willows (*Salix*) are widely known to

hybridize, with important evolutionary and ecological consequences along hybrid zones

(Brunsfeld et al. 1992; Hardig et al. 2000; Schweitzer et al. 2004; Lexer et al. 2010; Chhatre et

al. 2018; Wang et al. 2020). The impacts of hybridization on the evolution and diversification are

evident in both genera, where multiple independent chloroplast capture events have occurred

early in their diversification (Smith et al. 1990; Brunsfeld et al. 1992; Liu et al. 2017; Wang et al.

2020; Gulyaev et al. 2022). This history of hybridization creates challenges for the

reconstruction of phylogenetic histories of poplars and willows (Percy et al. 2014). Recent

progress using genome-wide data sets, however, have constructed well-supported taxonomic

relationships within both genera (Wagner et al. 2020; Wang et al. 2020; Wagner et al. 2021a;

Gulyaev et al. 2022; Wang et al. 2022), but the sources of conflict among gene trees have not

been fully investigated, especially in *Salix*.

Poplars and willows are integral components of temperate, boreal, and arctic ecosystems

throughout the northern hemisphere and many species have significant cultural, medical, and

economic importance (Stettler et al. 1996; Argus 1997). Phytochemical diversity in these genera

spans an impressive array of secondary metabolites, including the aspirin and its derivatives

(Desborough et al. 2017) and defensive chemicals such as phenolic glycosides, condensed

tannins and hydroxycinnamate derivatives (Tsai et al. 2006; Philippe et al. 2007; Boeckler et al.

2011; Keefover-Ring et al. 2022). Morphological variation in both genera ranges from dwarf

creeping *Salix* in alpine and arctic zones that were once categorized as a separate genus (Stettler

et al. 1996; Argus 1997) to large *Populus* trees in subtropical zones. Many more species are

recognized in *Salix* (approx. 450-520 species; Argus 2010) than in *Populus* (approx. 100 species;

Shu 1999), suggesting that either *Salix* began to diversify much earlier than *Populus* or

speciation rates have increased in *Salix*.

Populus and *Salix* are the two largest genera in the Salicaceae and all but one species

across both genera are dioecious (Rohwer et al. 1984). The Salicaceae whole genome duplication

unites all genera except *Azara*, which lacks the duplication (Cronk et al. 2015). Within the

Salicaceae, *Populus* and *Salix* are united by a striking synapomorphy of flowers organized into

aments or catkins (Meeuse 1975; Argus 2010; Eckenwalder 2010; Cronk et al. 2015) with seed

dispersal via wind. This differs from their closest relative *Idesia*, which produces fleshy animal-

dispersed fruits. *Populus* and *Salix* differ in that pollen is dispersed by wind in *Populus* and by

insects or by both insects and wind in *Salix* (Sacchi et al. 1988; Tamura et al. 2000; Karrenberg

et al. 2002), suggesting that factors underlying pollination mode may drive differences in the

diversification rate between the two genera (Friedman et al. 2009; Wessinger 2021). The reduced

floral structures in both genera exhibit relatively low variability and are used to discriminate

among species only at the broadest taxonomic levels (Eckenwalder 1996; Argus 1997). Thus,

plant stature and growth form, leaf morphology, and bud characteristics have been important

characters for species identification (Dorn 1976; Argus 2010; Eckenwalder 2010) despite the

high intraspecific variability and propensity for plasticity of these traits (Wu et al. 2015).

Here we seek to understand the sources of phylogenetic conflict in both *Populus* and

Salix by comparing their historical patterns of hybridization and relative rates of diversification,

both factors that can contribute to conflict with species trees. Our ASTRAL phylogeny was

based on genome-wide sequence capture loci from a large set of mostly North American and

Asian diploid *Salix* and *Populus* species. The phylogeny of a subset of these *Salix* samples was

previously investigated using DNA barcode markers, but the resulting tree lacked resolution

(Percy et al. 2014). Also, a phylogeny of the majority of the *Populus* samples were previously

analyzed by Wang et al. (Wang et al. 2020) using a different set of whole genome loci, providing

a "positive control" to confirm that our targeted sequence capture array designed for Salicaceae

(Sanderson et al. 2020) successfully reconstructs the species tree. We use this tree to compare the

impact of hybridization on gene tree discordance and the chronology and rates of diversification

across these two genera. The specific goals of our study are to: 1) provide an integrated

phylogenetic hypothesis of the sister genera *Populus* and *Salix*, 2) to estimate the timing and

rates of diversifications of major clades within each genus, and 3) to assess the association

between hybridization history and regions of the phylogeny that exhibit conflict among gene

trees. Finally, we discuss the implications of our results in the context of other well-known

hybridizing groups of species (syngameons) species such as oaks (Cannon et al. 2020) and pines

(Buck et al. 2022).

Methods

The 166 samples included in this study were drawn from new collections, older dried

herbarium samples, and previously sequenced genomes, and represented all five *Populus*

sections, all five *Salix* subgenera, and 25 *Salix* sections (Table S1). All species were considered

diploids based on chromosome counts reported in www.tropicos.org except *S. discolor*, which is

likely a polyploid, and *S. richardsonii*, for which there was no information concerning

chromosome counts. *Salix* species were primarily native to North America, but also from Europe

and Asia. Fourteen *Populus* samples (7 species) and 83 *Salix* samples (45 species) were

genotyped using a custom sequence target capture kit designed for the Salicaceae (Supplemental

Methods; Sanderson et al. 2020). An additional 6 outgroup species (*Azara dentata*, *A.*

integrifolia, *A. lanceolata*, *A. microphylla*, *Carrierea calycina*, *Idesia polycarpa*, and

Poliothyrsis sinensis), 54 poplar samples (26 species), and 8 *Salix* samples (7 species) were

genotyped using whole genome sequencing. All sequences were assembled into putatively

homologous gene sequences using the HybPiper pipeline (Johnson et al. 2016); for the whole

genome sequences, this removed all loci except those included in the target capture array. After

filtering, removal of alignments with paralogs, and removal of genes with excessively long

branches in the gene tree, 787 alignments remained and were used for all downstream

phylogenetic analyses (See Supplemental Methods for details; Table S2).

Two approaches were used for phylogeny estimation: 1) A two-step approach using

ASTRAL that first estimated trees for each gene and then identified the best tree based on

minimizing quartet distances among gene trees (Mirarab et al. 2014), and 2) identifying the

maximum likelihood tree based on concatenating all genes in our sample. Full details of these

analyses are provided in the Supplemental Methods. In brief for the two-step approach, gene

trees were estimated using IQTREE 2.0.3 (Nguyen et al. 2015) and an ASTRAL tree of all

individuals and the species tree (Rabiee et al. 2019) were inferred using ASTRAL-MP (v 5.12.2

(Yin et al. 2019). In our ASTRAL tree of all individuals, four species were represented by

intraspecific samples that did not cluster together (Fig. S1): *Salix bebbiana*, *S. eriocephala*, *S.*

pseudomonticola, and *Populus ningshanica*; these are represented by a postscript 1 & 2 in the

figures and Table S1. For this reason, we used two individuals to represent each of these four

species in the ASTRAL species tree. Both local posterior probability and 100 multilocus

bootstraps were calculated for each ASTRAL tree. For the concatenation approach, a single

alignment was constructed by concatenating all 787 genes (1,058,955 sites). IQTREE was used

to estimate the most likely concatenated tree using the GTR+F+R10 substitution model. 1000

mulitlocus bootstraps and SH-alRT tests (Guindon et al. 2010) also were computed for this tree.

A dated species tree was calculated using *BEAST2 (Heled et al. 2010). Because of the

long computation times required for sampling, five genes were chosen for dated tree estimation

(9,264 sites: SapurV1A.0003s0350, SapurV1A.0045s0240, SapurV1A.0050s0650,

SapurV1A.0139s0330, SapurV1A.0260s0050). These genes were first selected for high

consistency with species tree topologies, minimized root-to-tip variance, and maximal tree length

(Smith et al. 2018) and then screened for consistency of basal nodes with the ASTRAL species

tree. Because these five genes represented <1% of the genes used for generating our species tree,

we selected an additional five genes using the same criteria to assess the consistency of the

results across different gene sets (8,568 sites: SapurV1A.0211s0160, SapurV1A.0789s0070,

SapurV1A.0857s0020, SapurV1A.0900s0040, SapurV1A.1178s0060). See the Supplemental

methods for additional information on gene selection and for *BEAST2 parameter settings. The

calibration date for the root of the tree (divergence between *Azara* and all others) was drawn

from a normal distribution with a mean of 65.0 Ma and standard deviation of 1.0 (following

Wang et al. 2020), and the calibration of the crown clade of *Populus + Salix* was drawn from a

normal distribution with a mean of 49 Ma and a standard deviation of 1.0 following Percy et al.

(2014) and based on the *Pseudosalix handleyi* fossil (Boucher et al. 2003) from the Eocene

Green River formation that has been dated at ca. 49 Ma (Smith et al. 2010). Using a wider

standard deviation of 3.0 for the distributions resulted in much larger variance in the estimates of

node ages, but only slight changes in the estimates for divergence times (Fig. S4).

Patterns of current and historical hybridization within the *Populus* and *Salix* clades were

estimated using ABBA-BABA, f_4, and f_{branch} analyses (Patterson et al. 2012; Malinsky et al.

2018) calculated using Dsuite (Malinsky et al. 2021). The f_{branch} analysis is heuristic and is

designed to account for phylogenetic correlation among f_4-ratio results calculated with

phylogenetically correlated samples. The f_{branch} metrics assign significance to internal branches

in the phylogeny when excess sharing of alleles that is consistent with hybridization is found

across a clade (Malinsky et al. 2018; Malinsky et al. 2021). We generated separate VCF files for

Populus and *Salix* to calculate f_4-ratio and f_{branch} statistics using Dsuite using GATK v4.2.6.1

(McKenna et al. 2010) as detailed in the Supplemental Methods.

Two methods were used to address diversification rates across the Salicaceae. First,

Bayesian Analysis of Macroevolutionary Mixtures (BAMM; Rabosky 2014) was used to identify

credible shifts in the diversification rate across lineages with the *expectedNumberOfShifts* prior

set to 1.0. Because our species-level sampling was not uniform across genera, we adjusted for

non-random incomplete taxon sampling (Table S3). For each dated tree, two independent

MCMC chains using different seeds were run for 10 million generations each resulting in

ESS>400, and the 95% credible set of shift configurations was calculated after removing 10%

for burn-in. Second, branch-specific diversification rates were estimated using the ClaDS model

(Maliet et al. 2019) and calculated using data augmentation (Maliet et al. 2022). Finally, we used

the "STructured Rate Permutations on Phylogenies" (STRAPP) test (Rabosky et al. 2015) to

assess the association between categorical traits associated with wind versus insect+wind

pollination, wind versus animal seed dispersal, and tree versus shrub-dwarf shrub growth form.

208 Results

Of the 1216 loci that were

targeted, 787 passed filtering

criteria with an average of

162 individuals per gene

(2.5% & 97.5% quantiles:

144 & 165), 1236 sites per

sequence (2.5% & 97.5%

quantiles: 673 & 2629),

305.0 parsimony-informative

sites (2.5% & 97.5%

quantiles: 134.7 & 673.7),

132.3 singletons (2.5% &

97.5% quantiles: 45 &

333.7), and 908.2 constant

sites (2.5% & 97.5%

quantiles: 452.0 & 1715.6)

per gene. Our overall

findings support monophyly

for both *Salix* and *Populus*,

Figure 1. ASTRAL species tree constructed using 787 gene trees. Values above branches indicate posterior probability support and values below branches indicate bootstrap support. Although the most strongly supported relationship among clades is presented, clades with <90% posterior probability or <75% bootstrap support should be viewed with caution. Colors indicate taxon associations with historically identified subgenera.

and classification of the basal portions of each genus were consistent with prior morphological

and molecular analyses (Figs. 1, S1; Eckenwalder 1996; Wagner et al. 2018; Wang et al. 2020;

Gulyaev et al. 2022). Our phylogeny also supports recent discoveries of the polyphyly of the

Tacamahaca and *Aigeiros* subgenera in *Populus* (Wang et al. 2020) and the polyphyly of the

Vetrix and *Chamaetia* subgenera in *Salix*. Notably in *Salix*, our study and that of Wager et al.

(2018) each identified at least 4 independent evolutionary events leading to dwarf willows

(subgenus *Chamaetia*), which are prominent components of northern hemisphere arctic and

alpine ecosystems. However, because these studies had little taxonomic overlap, it is difficult to

discern whether we identified the same or different events.

Most of our samples identified as the same species clustered together in both the

ASTRAL tree of individuals and the concatenated tree (Fig. S1). Both the ASTRAL tree and the

concatenated tree exhibited high support values for many nodes (especially the concatenated

tree). In general, the ASTRAL tree supports the taxonomy of clades that diversified near the root

of each genus and provides less support for recently differentiated clades (Fig. S2). The

concatenated tree and ASTRAL tree differed in key topological components (Fig. S1) including

the placement of *Populus* subgenus *Turanga*. Morphological analyses (Eckenwalder 1996) and a

larger set of genes previously analyzed from many of the same *Populus* individuals using only

first and second codon positions (Wang et al. 2020), however, generally support a similar

topology as our ASTRAL tree (see Discussion). Within *Salix*, the concatenated and ASTRAL

trees concurred for the relative placement of subgenera *Protitea*, *Salix,* and *Longifoliae*, but

differed in the placement of groups within *Vetrix* and *Chamaetia*. Within subgenus *Salix* the

concatenated and species trees differed in the relative placement of *S. lasiandra*, *S. triandra*, and

S. arbutifolia. Finally, there was generally consistent support between the concatenated and

species tree for the monophyly of clades within the *Salix+Chamaetia* group with the exceptions

of the placement of *S. scouleriana*, *S. lasiolepis, S. richardsonii, S. geyeriana,* and *S. sitchensis*.

Importantly, the ASTRAL tree generally exhibited less bootstrap and SH-alRT support for nodes

than the concatenated tree, perhaps indicating that the confidence placed on relationships in the

concatenated tree is overestimated. Clades in *Populus* were generally more strongly supported by

consistency among gene trees than clades in *Salix* (Figs. 1, S3); this lack of support was

especially apparent in the backbone of the *Chamaetia+Vertix* clade**. Because conflict among

gene trees likely results from either ancient or ongoing gene flow among taxonomic groups

(hybridization) or incomplete lineage sorting (ILS), we tested for patterns of historical gene flow

and rapid diversification in our tree.

Levels of biased intraspecific gene flow indicative of hybridization were approximately

the same in *Populus* and *Salix* (52% of D_{tree} and 60% of D_{min} were significant after Benjamini-

Hochberg adjustment in *Salix* vs. 64% of D_{tree} and 58% of D_{min} in *Populus* (Tables S4, S5, S6,

S7). Because D and f_4 statistics across a clade are phylogenetically correlated, we used the

heuristic f_{branch} analysis based on the ASTRAL species tree to assess the history and timing of

hybridization during the diversification of *Populus* and *Salix* (Fig. 2, Table S8, S9). The number

of f_{branch} statistics that indicated >5% gene flow across species due to hybridization were similar

in *Salix* (6.6% of f_{branch} values above 5%) and *Populus* (7.0% of f_{branch} values above 5%) but were

more commonly associated with deep internal branches of *Salix* than *Populus* (Tables S8, S9).

Up to three ancient hybridization events appear to have influenced interspecific gene flow in

Salix. First, the f_{branch} metrics indicated evidence for gene flow between the ancestors of both *S.*

triandra and *S. arbutifolia* and ancestors of the lineage leading to subgenera *Longifoliae, Vetrix*

and *Chamaetia* ($f_{branch} = 0.088$ & 0.113; arrow 1 in Fig. 2; Table S8). Second, ancient geneflow

Figure 2. Heuristic f-branch analysis of ongoing and ancient hybridization in Salix and Populus. Arrows indicate gene flow events that are discussed in the text. Color scale in boxes represents the estimated fbranch value, which is indicative of the proportion of the genome that is affected by interspecific gene flow due to hybridization.

($f_{branch} > 0.05\%$) occurred between the ancestors of species in clade 1 with ancestors of clade 2-7

($f_{branch} = 0.126$ to 0.164; arrow 2 in Fig. 2; Table S8). Finally, the f_{branch} analysis indicates ancient

hybridization among ancestors of clades 6 and 7 ($f_{branch} = 0.078$ to 0.186; arrow 3 in Fig. 2; Table

S8), as well as significant hybridization between ancestors of clades 6+7 and clades 1-5 ($f_{branch} =$

0.077 to 0.218; arrow 3 in Fig. 2; Table S8). The lack of evidence of hybridization in *S. geyriana*

and strong evidence for hybridization between *S. sitchensis* and an ancestor of clades1-7 suggests

that either *S. sitchensis* or *S. geyriana* may be misplaced on the phylogeny and the multiple

signals of hybridization result from a single ancient event. In *Populus* the f_{branch} analysis based on

the ASTRAL species tree indicated widespread hybridization among ancestors or extant

members of the two major clades comprising the *Populus* subgenus ($f_{branch} = 0.120$ to 0.259;

arrows labelled 4 in Fig. 2; Table S9) as well as signals of ancient or ongoing hybridization

within the *Tacamahaca/Aigeiros* clade and the *Leucoides* grade (arrows labelled 5 in Fig. 2;

Table S9).

The two data sets used to estimate the *BEAST2 dated species trees were both large

(>8500 sites) and drawn from genes in the top 7% of our objective criteria. Dating of many

nodes was within the overlap of 95% highest posterior density for the two estimates (HPD; Fig.

3; Tables S10, S11). For instance, the divergence between *Populus* and *Salix* was ~35Mya in

both data sets (node 'a' in Fig. 3), the divergence of *P. mexicana* from the remainder of *Populus*

was ~15Mya in both data sets (node 'd'), and *Salix* subgenera *Vetrix* and *Chamaetia* began to

differentiate ~5 mya in both data sets (node 'c'). Nonetheless, a few estimates of divergence

times differed substantially between the data sets with no overlap in 95% HPD. In *Populus* these

included the timing of divergence of subgenera *Turanga* (node 'e') and *Populus* (node 'f') from

the remainders of the genus, and in *Salix* these included the timing of divergence of subgenera

Protitea (node 'b') from

the remainder of the

genus. This inconsistency

reflects variance among

the gene histories within

Populus and *Salix* and

identify regions of the

tree where interpreting

the ages of divergence

require elevated caution .

We also note here that our

HPD estimates were

constrained by our

selection of the standard

deviation of the a priori

distributions of

calibration dates to σ=1.

When σ was set to 3, the

uncertainty for node dates

increased dramatically

(Fig. S4; Tables S10,

S12).

Figure 3. Comparison of dated trees estimated from two different sets of 5 genes using by *BEAST2. A) first set of 5 genes, which was the best set according to criteria described in the methods. B) second set of 5 genes, which was the second-best set. Stars indicate calibration nodes. Node bars represent 95% highest posterior density in node height. Subgenera are colored as in Fig. 1. Letters at nodes are discussed in the text.

Despite slightly different estimates of node ages in our two estimates, both the BAMM

and ClaDS analyses indicated strong support for similar patterns of shifts in the diversification

rates within both of

our dated trees

(Fig. 4; Table S13).

In both dated trees

diversification rate

increased near the

Populus-Salix split

and a second

increase occurred

near the origination

of the *Vetrix-*

Chamaetia clade in

Salix (Fig. 4). For

the first dated tree,

the BAMM

analysis identified

two branches with

Figure 4. Diversification rate changes across the Salicaceae. Panels A and B show the best estimates of the rate shift set estimated using BAMM for the first and second dated trees, respectively. 60% and 61% (replicate 1 & 2) of samples in the posterior were assigned the the shift configuration shown in A, whereas 40% and 42% (replicate 1 & 2) of samples in the posterior were assigned the the shift config- uration shown in B. The 95% credible shift sets for both trees and replicates are presented in Figures S5 and S6. Colors in A and B represent averaged lineage diversification rates according to the scales associated with each panel. Numbers at nodes represent the marginal odds ratios for a shift along branches associated with rate shifts; estimates from the two replicates are presented separated by a comma. Panels C and D show the ClaDS branch-specific rate estimates for the first and second dated trees, respectively. The color scale represents estimate branch specific speciation rates.

high marginal shift probabilities (Fig. 4A), and these shifts were largely supported in the ClaDS

analysis (Fig. 4C). The BAMM analysis also identified substantial support for an increase in

diversification rate near the *Populus-Salix* split for the second dated tree, with the exception that

the model with most support indicated that *Populus* subgenus *Abaso* (with only *P. mexicana*)

retained the same diversification rate at the outgroups (Fig. 4B). It is notable that the marginal

odds ratio supporting the shift in diversification at the branch that included all of *Populus* was

nearly as high (0.33-0.34) as the branch that did not include *P. mexicana* (0.48-0.50), indicating

that the shift was likely near the base of the *Populus + Salix* clade, but may not have included

Populus subgenus *Abaso*. The ClaDS analysis also supported a rate shift in this general region of

the phylogeny. The BAMM analysis also identified in the second dated tree substantial support

for an increase in diversification rate in clade that includes the subgenus *Longifoliae* along with

the *Vetrix-Chamaetia* clade in *Salix* (Fig. 4B). Again, this pattern was supported in the ClaDS

analysis (Fig. 4D).

We noted that these transitions in diversification rates were associated with shifts in

pollination mode (insect in outgroups and *Salix*; wind in *Populus*), seed dispersal mode (animals

in outgroups, wind in *Populus* and *Salix*), and growth form (trees in outgroup, *Populus*, and *Salix*

subgenus *Protitea*; shrubs and dwarf shrubs in remaining *Salix* subgenera), and a shift from XY

to ZW sex determination systems in *Salix* (Sanderson et al. 2021; Gulyaev et al. 2022; Hu et al.

2022). However, there are too few phylogenetically independent trait transitions on our

Salicaceae phylogeny to provide a statistically robust test of the associations between traits and

diversification rates. STRAPP analyses resulted in no statistically significant associations

(P>0.30 for all tests).

Discussion

The scale of hybridization on *Populus* and *Salix* is remarkable in its taxonomic, genomic,

and chronological extent. Signals of ancient hybridization and introgression across diverged

lineages have persisted in descendant lineages, are particularly evident in *Salix* and may better

account for gene tree discordance than contemporary hybridization. Our f_{branch} analysis estimated

that approximately 10-20% of the *Salix* genomes in the *Vetrix-Chamaetia* clade were affected by

the persistence of genes from ancient hybridization events, which based on our dated trees, may

have begun as early as 5 Mya. This pattern is consistent with the low levels of chloroplast

genomic diversity across the *Vetrix-Chamaetia* subgenera which was hypothesized to have been

partially influenced by high levels of hybridization and introgression (Wagner et al. 2021b).

Earlier hybridization events affecting genomic variation in subgenera *Salix* and *Longifolae* likely

occurred even earlier, perhaps nearly 10Mya. These hybridization events have likely contributed

to the difficulties in reconstructing relationships within *Salix* in the present and previous studies

(Leskinen et al. 1999; Barkalov et al. 2014; Percy et al. 2014; Lauron-Moreau et al. 2015; Wu et

al. 2015; Liu et al. 2016). In *Populus*, signals of hybridization between subgenus *Turanga* and

several members of *Aigieros-Tacamahaca* likely contributed to the low support for the position

of subgenus *Turanga* clade and may underlie incongruence between the ASTRAL and

concatenated trees (Fig. S1). Nonetheless, caution is required when interpreting the f_{branch}

analysis because it is dependent on the correct topology of the framework phylogeny. An

example of this impact is evident in the high level of hybridization indicated between *S.*

sitchensis and most other species in the *Vetrix-Chamaetia* clade, yet the lack of hybridization

between the putative close relative *S. geyeriana* and these same taxa. This pattern was unlikely to

have resulted from recent hybridization between *S. sitchensis* and each one of these species but

more likely resulted from the misplacement of *S. sitchensis* and/or *S. geyeriana* in the phylogeny.

Previous studies have reported signals of ancient hybridization in both genera. Two

ancient chloroplast capture events have been previously identified in *Populus*, one in which an

ancestor of *P. heterophylla* captured the chloroplast of *P. mexicana* ancestors (Liu et al. 2017;

Wang et al. 2020) and a second in which an ancestor of *P. nigra* captured the chloroplast of *P.*

alba ancestors (Smith et al. 1990). Our data, however, found no evidence of ancient

hybridization among these lineages in the nuclear genomes. This lack of evidence may result

from the limited numbers of genes that we sampled, or these ancient hybridization events may

have only influenced the chloroplast genomes (Tsitrone et al. 2003). Chloroplast capture

reflecting hybridization of ancient lineages also has been reported in *Salix* (Brunsfeld et al. 1992;

Hardig et al. 2000; Gulyaev et al. 2022). An ancestral member of subgenus *Longifoliae* captured

a chloroplast of a member of subgenus *Protitea* (Gulyaev et al. 2022) and five cases of arguably

more recent chloroplast capture within *Salix* section *Longifoliae* were reported by Brunsfeld et

al. (1992). Notably, crossing studies indicate that extant members of subgenera *Longifoliae* and

Protitea are reproductively isolated (Mosseler 1990), so the hybridization generating the former

chloroplast capture event likely occurred before reproductive isolation evolved. The deep history

of hybridization in *Salix* also is reflected in the evidence for gene flow among ancestral lineages

in our study and indicates a long history of concomitant hybridization and speciation in the

genus. As others have argued for *Populus* (Cronk et al. 2018), this pattern of ongoing speciation

with hybridization may be best represented as a syngameon (Lotsy 1925) and may exhibit

emergent properties such as the ability to draw on elevated levels of standing variation for

adaptive evolution (Cannon et al. 2020; Cannon 2021). Envisioning *Salix* as a syngameon

redefines evolutionary units as larger combinations of hybridizing species and our data and

previous results (Hardig et al. 2000; Murphy et al. 2022) suggest that the *Salix* syngameon

exhibits a complex web of ongoing hybridization and partial reproductive isolation that has

persisted for millions of years.

Although our recovered topology for *Populus* was similar to Wang et al. (2020), it was

not identical. A notable difference between our tree and that of Wang et al. (2020) is that our tree

placed *P. angustifolia* as sister to the *P. trichocarpa-P. balsamifera* clade, whereas the Wang et

al. (2020) placed *P. angustifolia* at the base of a larger clade including multiple North American

and Asian species. Importantly, the placement of Wang et al. (2020) indicates that either *P.*

szechuanica, *P. laurifolia*, and *P. koreana* or *P. angustifolia* may have speciated due to

vicariance or long-distance dispersal from North America to Asia and the divergence of *P.*

angustifolia predates this event. The difference in the placement of *P. angustifolia* is particularly

interesting and worthy of further study because it commonly hybridizes with both *P. trichocarpa*

and *P. balsamifera* (Brayshaw 1965; Chhatre et al. 2018), which may influence patterns of gene

tree coalescence, and the perceived relationships among species. Interestingly, some foliar

pathogens of *P. angustifolia* are related to foliar pathogens of Asian members of *Tacamahaca*

and absent in North American members (Busby et al. 2012), lending support to the hypothesis of

a recent trans-Beringian migration of *P. angustifolia*.

The estimates for divergence times among subgenera within *Populus* and *Salix* presented

here must be considered tentative because we did not calibrate internal nodes in each genus.

Many fossils of *Populus* and *Salix* from both Asia and North America have been identified

(Collinson, M.E. 1992), but it has been difficult to accurately assign them to extant taxonomic

groups without robust phylogenies. We chose to rely primarily on the molecular clock to

estimate diversification dates within these genera instead of including internal calibrations

following Percy et al. (2014) and Zhang et al. (2018). We note that the estimates of

diversification dates by Wu et al. (2015) were much earlier than we report here and included an

internal *Salix* late-Oligocene (ca. 26Ma) leaf fossil to calibrate of the origination of subgenus

Vetrix (Wolfe pers. comm. 1991 in Collinson 1992). Also, *Populus* fossils hypothesized to

belong to subgenus *Tacamahaca* are described from the Late Oligocene Creede flora of

Colorado (Wolfe et al. 1990; Collinson 1992), a date much earlier than our estimate of the

diversification of this subgenus. However, our and other recent phyogenies have identified both

subgenus *Tacamacaha* and *Vetrix* as polyphyletic (Wagner et al. 2018; Wang et al. 2020),

suggesting that the characters used to categorize the fossils should be reexamined. The last

thorough review of Salicaceous fossils was published in 1992 (Collinson 1992), and updating of

this group in relation to the most up-to-date phylogenies would aid greatly in developing a more

accurate estimate of diversification times in the Salicaceae.

In *Salix* we identified a burst of diversification near the origin of the *Vetrix-Chamaetia*

clade that likely increased the level of incomplete lineage sorting (ILS) and contributed to the

lack of support for inferred relationships within this clade (Roch et al. 2015). We also identified

a second increase in the diversification rate near the divergence of *Populus* from *Salix*. The

mechanisms driving these increases in diversification rate remain speculative. Although these

shifts are accompanied with changes in seed dispersal, pollination vectors, and growth form

(Argus 2010; Eckenwalder 2010), and even a shift from an XY to a ZW sex determination

system in *Salix* (Gulyaev et al. 2022; Hu et al. 2022), each of these shifts occurs only once or

twice on the tree, so too few phylogenetically independent events have occurred for powerful

statistical tests of association. Nonetheless, these patterns may be useful for meta-analyses

including a larger set of taxonomic groups, or future studies may find more detailed patterns

associated with genetic or morphological changes that can shed light on the drivers of

diversification in these two important genera.

The continued development of analytical methods for quantifying ancient interspecific

gene flow will permit investigations into the prevalence of ancient hybridization, its impacts on

adaptation, and its biological and phylogenetic correlates. Ancient hybridization inferred from

chloroplast capture has been identified in large numbers of plants groups (Rieseberg et al. 1991).

However, chloroplast capture may result from unique properties of the hybridizing species

(Tsitrone et al. 2003) and may not be present in all taxonomic groups affected by ancient

hybridization. Interspecific gene flow is usually not categorized as either contemporary or

ancient, but the relative influences of ancient versus recent introgression events may provide

insight into the sources of different classes of genetic variants under selection (e.g. Menon et al.

2021). Recent studies in *Quercus*, *Pinus,* and *Populus* have documented adaptive introgression

among hybridizing groups of multiple species (Chhatre et al. 2018; Leroy et al. 2020; Buck et al.

2022). Along with *Populus* and *Salix*, ancient gene flow has also been identified in *Quercus*,

where phylogenetic conflict in chloroplast genomes suggests hybridization during early

diversification (Yang et al. 2021) indicating at least two plant families with a combination of

both contemporary and ancient interspecific gene flow. Plant families differ in their propensity to

hybridize, and there is a strong phylogenetic signal for hybridization (Whitney et al. 2010). Thus,

it is likely that the ancestors of contemporary groups with strong propensities for hybridization

also hybridized. Understanding patterns of ancient interspecific gene flow in relation to

contemporary hybridization may provide further insight into biological factors correlated with

hybridization (Mitchell et al. 2019) and factors associated with the development of syngameons.

Conclusion

Examples of the extended effects of contemporary hybridization in *Salix* and *Populus* are

well-known (Hardig et al. 2000; Evans et al. 2008; Lexer et al. 2010). The cumulative effects of

persistent hybridization over eons as diversification unfolded, however, may result in different

qualities of adaptation and diversification than isolated cases of contemporary hybridization. In

Salix and *Populus* this history has resulted in a tangle of gene histories within each genus, with

some clades having developed monophyly and others that may never be resolved. Hybridization

also may have contributed changes in the diversification rate of species in the *Vetrix-Chamaetia*

clade of *Salix*. Understanding the characteristics associated with and generated from long-term

and persistent interspecific gene flow will elucidate whether these properties confer

fundamentally different pattens of adaptation and speciation (Cannon 2021).

Data Accessibility

All alignments and gene trees used in this research are available at:
https://datadryad.org/stash/share/48HL7Z3TAgH1hOQLwWM1EzundQOFxN0fwoRxXMdXi_s
All data not already available at NCBI will be uploaded to the NCBI short read archive prior to
publication – we are currently finalizing the metadata.
495

Acknowledgements

We thank Peter Zhelev and Goucho Slavov for *S. triandra* collections, Andrew Hamstetter for
insightful discussions of diversification analyses, and Pascal Title for computational assistance
with BAMM. This research was supported by grants from the US National Science Foundation
(1542509, 1542599, 1542479, 1542486) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China
(31561123001).
502

Literature Cited

Argus GW. 1997. Infrageneric classification of Salix (Salicaceae) in the new world. Systematics
Botany Monographs, 52:1-121.

Argus GW. 2010. *Salix* L. In: Committee FoNAE editor. Flora of North America North of
Mexico [Online]. . New York and Oxford.
Barkalov VY, Kozyrenko MM. 2014. Phylogenetic relationships of Salix L. subg. Salix species
(Salicaceae) according to sequencing data of intergenic spacers of the chloroplast genome
and ITS rDNA. Russian Journal of Genetics, 50:828-837.
Boeckler GA, Gershenzon J, Unsicker SB. 2011. Phenolic glycosides of the Salicaceae and their
role as anti-herbivore defenses. Phytochemistry, 72:1497-1509.
Boucher LD, Manchester SR, Judd WS. 2003. An extinct genus of Salicaceae based on twigs
with attached flowers fruits, and foliage from the Eocene Green River Formation of Utah and
Colorado, USA. Am J Bot, 90:1389-1399.
Brayshaw TC. 1965. Native poplars of southern Alberta and their hybrids. In: Forestry CDo
editor. Ottowa, CA, Roger Duhamel , FRSC, Queen's Printer and Controller of Stationary.
Brunsfeld SJ, Soltis DE, Soltis PS. 1992. Evolutionary patterns and processes in Salix sect
Longifoliae - evidence from chloroplast DNA. Systematic Botany, 17:239-256.
Buck R, Ortega-Del Vecchyo D, Gehring C, Michelson R, Flores-Renteria D, Klein B, Whipple
AV, Flores-Renteria L. 2022. Sequential hybridization may have facilitated ecological
transitions in the Southwestern pinyon pine syngameon. New Phytol.
Busby PE, Aime MC, Newcombe G. 2012. Foliar pathogens of Populus angustifolia are
consistent with a hypothesis of Beringian migration into North America. Fungal Biology,
116:792-801.
Cannon CH. 2021. Is speciation an unrelenting march to reproductive isolation? Molecular
Ecology, 30:4349-4352.
Cannon CH, Petit RJ. 2020. The oak syngameon: more than the sum of its parts. New Phytol,
226:978-983.
Chhatre VE, Evans LM, DiFazio SP, Keller SR. 2018. Adaptive introgression and maintenance
of a trispecies hybrid complex in range-edge populations of Populus. Molecular Ecology,
27:4820-4838.
Collinson ME. 1992. The early fossil history of Salicaceae - A brief review. Proceedings of the
Royal Society of Edinburgh Section B-Biological Sciences, 98:155-167.
Cronk QC, Needham I, Rudall PJ. 2015. Evolution of Catkins: Inflorescence Morphology of
Selected Salicaceae in an Evolutionary and Developmental Context. Front Plant Sci, 6:1030.
Cronk QC, Suarez-Gonzalez A. 2018. The role of interspecific hybridization in adaptive
potential at range margins. Molecular Ecology, 27:4653-4656.
Degnan JH, Rosenberg NA. 2009. Gene tree discordance, phylogenetic inference and the
multispecies coalescent. Trends Ecol Evol, 24:332-340.
Desborough MJR, Keeling DM. 2017. The aspirin story - from willow to wonder drug. British
Journal of Haematology, 177:674-683.
Dorn RD. 1976. A synopsis of American *Salix*. Canadian Journal of Botany, 54:2769-2789.
Durand EY, Patterson N, Reich D, Slatkin M. 2011. Testing for Ancient Admixture between
Closely Related Populations. Mol Biol Evol, 28:2239-2252.
Eckenwalder JE. 1996. Systematics and Evolution of *Populus* In: Stettler RF, Bradshaw HD,
Heilman PE, T.M.Hinckley editors. Biology of *Populus* and its Implications for Management
and Conservation. Ottawa, Canada, NRC Research Press, p. 7-56.
Eckenwalder JE. 2010. *Populus* L. In: Committee FoNAE editor. Flora of North America North
of Mexico [Online], New York and Oxford.

Edwards SV. 2009. Is a new and general theory of molecular systematics emerging? Evolution, 63:1-19.

Evans LM, Allan GJ, Shuster SM, Woolbright SA, Whitham TG. 2008. Tree hybridization and genotypic variation drive cryptic speciation of a specialist mite herbivore. Evolution, 62:3027-3040.

Friedman J, Barrett SCH. 2009. Wind of change: new insights on the ecology and evolution of pollination and mating in wind-pollinated plants. Annals of Botany, 103:1515-1527.

Grant V. 1981. Plant Speciation. New York, Columbia University Press.

Green RE, Krause J, Briggs AW, Maricic T, Stenzel U, Kircher M, Patterson N, Li H, Zhai WW, Fritz MHY, *et al.* 2010. A Draft Sequence of the Neandertal Genome. Science, 328:710-722.

Guindon S, Dufayard J-F, Lefort V, Anisimova M, Hordijk W, Gascuel O. 2010. New Algorithms and Methods to Estimate Maximum-Likelihood Phylogenies: Assessing the Performance of PhyML 3.0. Systematic Biology, 59:307-321.

Gulyaev S, Cai XJ, Guo FY, Kikuchi S, Applequist WL, Zhang ZX, Horandl E, He L. 2022. The phylogeny of Salix revealed by whole genome re-sequencing suggests different sex-determination systems in major groups of the genus. Annals of Botany, 129:485-498.

Hardig TM, Brunsfeld SJ, Fritz RS, Morgan M, Orians CM. 2000. Morphological and molecular evidence for hybridization and introgression in a willow (Salix) hybrid zone. Molecular Ecology, 9:9-24.

Heled J, Drummond AJ. 2010. Bayesian Inference of Species Trees from Multilocus Data. Mol Biol Evol, 27:570-580.

Hu N, Sanderson BJ, Guo M, Feng G, Gambhir D, Hale H, Wang D, Hyden B, Liu J, Ma T, *et al.* 2022. An unusual origin of a ZW sex chromosome system. PREPRINT (Version 1) available at Research Square.

Johnson MG, Gardner EM, Liu Y, Medina R, Goffinet B, Shaw AJ, Zerega NJC, Wickett NJ. 2016. HybPiper: Extracting coding sequence and introns for phylogenetics from high-throughput sequencing reads using target enrichment. Applications in Plant Sciences, 4.

Karrenberg S, Kollmann J, Edwards PJ. 2002. Pollen vectors and inflorescence morphology in four species of Salix. Plant Systematics and Evolution, 235:181-188.

Keefover-Ring K, Carlson CH, Hyden B, Azeem M, Smart LB. 2022. Genetic mapping of sexually dimorphic volatile and non-volatile floral secondary chemistry of a dioecious willow. Journal of Experimental Botany, 73:6352-6366.

Lauron-Moreau A, Pitre FE, Argus GW, Labrecque M, Brouillet L. 2015. Phylogenetic Relationships of American Willows (Salix L., Salicaceae). Plos One, 10.

Leroy T, Louvet J-M, Lalanne C, Le Provost G, Labadie K, Aury J-M, Delzon S, Plomion C, Kremer A. 2020. Adaptive introgression as a driver of local adaptation to climate in European white oaks. New Phytol, 226:1171-1182.

Leskinen E, Alstrom-Rapaport C. 1999. Molecular phylogeny of Salicaceae and closely related Flacourtiaceae: evidence from 5.8 S, ITS 1 and ITS 2 of the rDNA. Plant Systematics And Evolution, 215:209-227.

Lexer C, Joseph JA, van Loo M, Barbará T, Heinze B, Bartha D, Castiglione S, Fay MF, Buerkle CA. 2010. Genomic Admixture Analysis in European Populus spp. Reveals Unexpected Patterns of Reproductive Isolation and Mating. Genetics, 186:699-712.

Liu X, Wang ZS, Shao WH, Ye ZY, Zhang JG. 2017. Phylogenetic and Taxonomic Status Analyses of the Abaso Section from Multiple Nuclear Genes and Plastid Fragments Reveal

New Insights into the North America Origin of Populus (Salicaceae). Frontiers in Plant
Science, 7.
Liu X, Wang ZS, Wang DS, Zhang JG. 2016. Phylogeny of Populus-Salix (Salicaceae) and their
relative genera using molecular datasets. Biochemical Systematics and Ecology, 68:210-215.
Lotsy JP. 1925. Species of linneon. Genetica, 7:487-506.
Maliet O, Hartig F, Morlon H. 2019. A model with many small shifts for estimating species-
specific diversification rates. Nature Ecology & Evolution, 3:1086-1092.
Maliet O, Morlon H. 2022. Fast and Accurate Estimation of Species-Specific Diversification
Rates Using Data Augmentation. Systematic Biology, 71:353-366.
Malinsky M, Matschiner M, Svardal H. 2021. Dsuite - Fast D-statistics and related admixture
evidence from VCF files. Molecular Ecology Resources, 21:584-595.
Malinsky M, Svardal H, Tyers AM, Miska EA, Genner MJ, Turner GF, Durbin R. 2018. Whole-
genome sequences of Malawi cichlids reveal multiple radiations interconnected by gene
flow. Nature Ecology & Evolution, 2:1940-1955.
McKenna A, Hanna M, Banks E, Sivachenko A, Cibulskis K, Kernytsky A, Garimella K,
Altshuler D, Gabriel S, Daly M, *et al.* 2010. The Genome Analysis Toolkit: A MapReduce
framework for analyzing next-generation DNA sequencing data. Genome Research, 20:1297-
1303.
McVay JD, Hipp AL, Manos PS. 2017. A genetic legacy of introgression confounds phylogeny
and biogeography in oaks. P Roy Soc B-Biol Sci, 284.
Meeuse ADJ. 1975. Taxonomic relationships of Salicaceae and Flacourtiaceae: their bearing on
interpretative floral morphology and dilleniid phylogeny. Acta Botanica Neerlandica,
24:437-457.
Menon M, Bagley JC, Page GFM, Whipple AV, Schoettle AW, Still CJ, Wehenkel C, Waring
KM, Flores-Renteria L, Cushman SA, *et al.* 2021. Adaptive evolution in a conifer hybrid
zone is driven by a mosaic of recently introgressed and background genetic variants.
Communications Biology, 4:160.
Mirarab S, Reaz R, Bayzid MS, Zimmermann T, Swenson MS, Warnow T. 2014. ASTRAL:
genome-scale coalescent-based species tree estimation. Bioinformatics, 30:I541-I548.
Mitchell N, Campbell LG, Ahern JR, Paine KC, Giroldo AB, Whitney KD. 2019. Correlates of
hybridization in plants. Evol Lett, 3:570-585.
Morales-Briones DF, Kadereit G, Tefarikis DT, Moore MJ, Smith SA, Brockington SF,
Timoneda A, Yim WC, Cushman JC, Yang Y. 2020. Disentangling Sources of Gene Tree
Discordance in Phylogenomic Data Sets: Testing Ancient Hybridizations in Amaranthaceae
s.l. Systematic Biology, 70:219-235.
Mosseler A. 1990. Hybrid performance and species crossability relationships in willows (Salix).
Canadian Journal of Botany-Revue Canadienne De Botanique, 68:2329-2338.
Murphy EK, Cappa EP, Soolanayakanahally RY, El-Kassaby YA, Parkin IAP, Schroeder WR,
Mansfield SD. 2022. Unweaving the population structure and genetic diversity of Canadian
shrub willow. Sci Rep-Uk, 12.
Nguyen LT, Schmidt HA, von Haeseler A, Minh BQ. 2015. IQ-TREE: A Fast and Effective
Stochastic Algorithm for Estimating Maximum-Likelihood Phylogenies. Mol Biol Evol,
32:268-274.
Parins-Fukuchi C, Stull GW, Smith SA. 2021. Phylogenomic conflict coincides with rapid
morphological innovation. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United
States of America, 118.

Patterson N, Moorjani P, Luo YT, Mallick S, Rohland N, Zhan YP, Genschoreck T, Webster T,
Reich D. 2012. Ancient Admixture in Human History. Genetics, 192:1065-+.
Pease JB, Hahn MW. 2013. More accurate phylogenies inferred from low-recombination regions
in the presence of incomplete lineage sorting. Evolution, 67:2376-2384.
Percy DM, Argus GW, Cronk QC, Fazekas AJ, Kesanakurti PR, Burgess KS, Husband BC,
Newmaster SG, Barrett SC, Graham SW. 2014. Understanding the spectacular failure of
DNA barcoding in willows (Salix): does this result from a trans-specific selective sweep?
Mol Ecol, 23:4737-4756.
Philippe RN, Bohlmann J. 2007. Poplar defense against insect herbivores. Canadian Journal of
Botany-Revue Canadienne De Botanique, 85:1111-1126.
Rabiee M, Sayyari E, Mirarab S. 2019. Multi-allele species reconstruction using ASTRAL.
Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution, 130:286-296.
Rabosky DL. 2014. Automatic Detection of Key Innovations, Rate Shifts, and Diversity-
Dependence on Phylogenetic Trees. Plos One, 9.
Rabosky DL, Huang H. 2015. A Robust Semi-Parametric Test for Detecting Trait-Dependent
Diversification. Systematic Biology, 65:181-193.
Rieseberg LH, Soltis DE. 1991. Phylogenetic consequences of cytoplasmic gene flow in plants.
Evolutionary Trends in Plants, 5:65-84.
Roch S, Steel M. 2015. Likelihood-based tree reconstruction on a concatenation of aligned
sequence data sets can be statistically inconsistent. Theoretical Population Biology, 100:56-
62.
Rohwer J, Kubitzki K. 1984. Salix martiana, a regularly hermaphrodite willow. Plant
Systematics and Evolution, 144:99-101.
Sacchi CF, Price PW. 1988. Pollination of the arroyo willow, Salix-lasiolepis - role of insects
and wind. Am J Bot, 75:1387-1393.
Sanderson BJ, DiFazio SP, Cronk QCB, Ma T, Olson MS. 2020. A targeted sequence capture
array for phylogenetics and population genomics in the Salicaceae. Applications in Plant
Sciences, 8.
Sanderson BJ, Feng G, Hu N, Carlson CH, Smart LB, Keefover-Ring K, Yin T, Ma T, Liu J,
DiFazio SP, *et al.* 2021. Sex determination through X–Y heterogamety in Salix nigra.
Heredity.
Schweitzer JA, Bailey JK, Rehill BJ, Martinsen GD, Hart SC, Lindroth RL, Keim P, Whitham
TG. 2004. Genetically based trait in a dominant tree affects ecosystem processes. Ecology
Letters, 7:127-134.
Shu Y. 1999. Populus Linnaeus. . In: Song H editor. Flora of China @ eFloras. Missouri
Botanical Garden, St. Louis, MO, USA and Harvard UNiversity Herbaria, Cambridge, MA,
USA, p. 139-162.
Smith ME, Chamberlain KR, Singer BS, Carroll AR. 2010. Eocene clocks agree: Coeval Ar-
40/Ar-39, U-Pb, and astronomical ages from the Green River Formation. Geology, 38:527-
530.
Smith RL, Sytsma KJ. 1990. Evolution of Populus-aigra (sect Aigeiros) - introgressive
hybridization and the chloroplast contribution of Populus alba (sect Populus). Am J Bot,
77:1176-1187.
Smith SA, Brown JW, Walker JF. 2018. So many genes, so little time: A practical approach to
divergence-time estimation in the genomic era. Plos One, 13.

Stettler RF, Bradshaw HD, Heilman PE, Hinckley TM. 1996. Biology of Populus and its
Implications for Management and Conservation. Ottawa, Canada, NRC Research Press.
Tamura S, Kudo G. 2000. Wind pollination and insect pollination of two temperate willow
species, Salix miyabeana and Salix sachalinensis. Plant Ecology, 147:185-192.
Tsai CJ, Harding SA, Tschaplinski TJ, Lindroth RL, Yuan YN. 2006. Genome-wide analysis of
the structural genes regulating defense phenylpropanoid metabolism in Populus. New Phytol,
172:47-62.
Tsitrone A, Kirkpatrick M, Levin DA. 2003. A model for chloroplast capture. Evolution,
57:1776-1782.
Wagner ND, Gramlich S, Horandl E. 2018. RAD sequencing resolved phylogenetic relationships
in European shrub willows (Salix L. subg. Chamaetia and subg. Vetrix) and revealed
multiple evolution of dwarf shrubs. Ecology and Evolution, 8:8243-8255.
Wagner ND, He L, Horandl E. 2020. Phylogenomic Relationships and Evolution of Polyploid
Salix Species Revealed by RAD Sequencing Data. Frontiers in Plant Science, 11.
Wagner ND, He L, Horandl E. 2021a. The Evolutionary History, Diversity, and Ecology of
Willows (Salix L.) in the European Alps. Diversity-Basel, 13.
Wagner ND, Volf M, Hoerandl E. 2021b. Highly Diverse Shrub Willows (Salix L.) Share Highly
Similar Plastomes. Frontiers in Plant Science, 12.
Wang MC, Zhang L, Zhang ZY, Li MM, Wang DY, Zhang X, Xi ZX, Keefover-Ring K, Smart
LB, DiFazio SP, *et al.* 2020. Phylogenomics of the genus Populus reveals extensive
interspecific gene flow and balancing selection. New Phytol, 225:1370-1382.
Wang YC, Huang J, Li EZ, Xu SJ, Zhan ZF, Zhang XJ, Yang ZQ, Guo FY, Liu KJ, Liu D, *et al.*
2022. Phylogenomics and Biogeography of Populus Based on Comprehensive Sampling
Reveal Deep-Level Relationships and Multiple Intercontinental Dispersals. Frontiers in Plant
Science, 13.
Wessinger CA. 2021. From pollen dispersal to plant diversification: genetic consequences of
pollination mode. New Phytol, 229.
Whitney KD, Ahern JR, Campbell LG, Albert LP, King MS. 2010. Patterns of hybridization in
plants. Perspectives in Plant Ecology Evolution and Systematics, 12:175-182.
Wolfe JA, Schorn HE. 1990. Taxonomic revision of the Spermatopsida of the Oligocene Creede
Flora, Southern Colorado., United States Geological Bulletin, p. 1-40.
Wu CI. 1991. Inferences of species phylogeny in relation to segregation of ancient
polymorphisms. Genetics, 127:429-435.
Wu J, Nyman T, Wang D-C, Argus GW, Yang Y-P, Chen J-H. 2015. Phylogeny of Salix
subgenus Salix s.l. (Salicaceae): delimitation, biogeography, and reticulate evolution. BMC
evolutionary biology, 15:311-311.
Yang YY, Qu XJ, Zhang R, Stull GW, Yi TS. 2021. Plastid phylogenomic analyses of Fagales
reveal signatures of conflict and ancient chloroplast capture. Molecular Phylogenetics and
Evolution, 163.
Yin J, Zhang C, Mirarab S. 2019. ASTRAL-MP: scaling ASTRAL to very large datasets using
randomization and parallelization. Bioinformatics, 35:3961-3969.
Young AD, Gillung JP. 2020. Phylogenomics — principles, opportunities and pitfalls of big-data
phylogenetics. Systematic Entomology, 45:225-247.
Zhang L, Xi ZX, Wang MC, Guo XY, Ma T. 2018. Plastome phylogeny and lineage
diversification of Salicaceae with focus on poplars and willows. Ecology and Evolution,
8:7817-7823.