1

Novel reassortments of variation underlie repeated adaptation to whole genome duplication in two outcrossing Arabidopsis species

Short title: Novel allelic mosaics in polyploids

Authors:

Magdalena Bohutínská[123*], Eliška Petříková[1], Tom R. Booker[4], Cristina Vives Cobo[1],

Jakub Vlček[15], Gabriela Šrámková[1], Alžběta Poštulková[1], Jakub Hojka[12], Karol Marhold[1],

Levi Yant[16#], Filip Kolář[12#], Roswitha Schmickl[12#*]

    # Equal contribution

    * Correspondence:

    Magdalena Bohutínská: magdalena.bohutinska@natur.cuni.cz

    Roswitha Schmickl: roswitha.schmickl@natur.cuni.cz

Affiliations:

    1 Department of Botany, Faculty of Science, Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic

    2 Institute of Botany, Czech Academy of Sciences, Průhonice, Czech Republic

    3 Institute of Ecology and Evolution, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland

    4 Department of Forest and Conservation Sciences, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada

    5 Biology Centre, Czech Academy of Sciences, České Budějovice, Czech Republic

    6 Department of Life Sciences, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK.

Keywords: adaptation, polyploidy, *Arabidopsis*, repeated evolution, polymorphism

Abstract

Polyploidy, the result of whole genome duplication (WGD), is widespread across the tree of life and is often associated with speciation or adaptability. It is thought that adaptation in autopolyploids (within-species polyploids) may be facilitated by increased access to genetic variation. This variation may be sourced from gene flow with sister diploids and new access to other tetraploid lineages, as well as from increased mutational targets provided by doubled DNA content. Here we deconstruct the origins of haplotype blocks displaying the strongest selection signals in established, successful autopolyploids, *Arabidopsis lyrata* and *Arabidopsis arenosa*. We see strong signatures of selection in 17 genes implied in meiosis, cell cycle, and transcription across all four autotetraploid lineages present in our expanded sampling of 983 sequenced genomes. Most prominent in our results is the finding that the tetraploid-characteristic haplotype blocks with the most robust signals of selection were completely absent in diploid sisters. In contrast, the fine-scaled variant mosaics in the tetraploids originated from highly diverse evolutionary sources. These include novel reassortments of trans-specific polymorphism from diploids, new mutations, and tetraploid-specific inter-species hybridization. We speculate that this broad-scale allele acquisition and re-shuffling enabled the autotetraploids to rapidly adapt to the challenges inherent to WGD, and may further promote their adaptation to environmental challenges.

Lay summary

Polyploidy, the result of whole genome duplication, is associated with speciation and adaptation. To fuel their often remarkable adaptations, polyploids may access and maintain adaptive alleles more readily than diploids. Here we identify repeated signals of selection on genes that are thought to mediate adaptation to whole genome duplication in two *Arabidopsis* species. We found that the tetraploid-characteristic haplotype blocks, found in genes exhibiting the most robust signals of selection, were never present in their diploid relatives. Instead, these blocks were made of mosaics forged from multiple allelic sources. We hypothesize that this increased variation helped polyploids to adapt to the process that caused this increase – genome duplication – and may also help them adapt to novel environments.

Introduction

Whole genome duplication (WGD) is widespread across eukaryotes, especially in plants. It comes with significant costs, such as meiotic instability and cell cycle changes, both of which require adaptation (Bomblies, 2020; Doyle & Coate, 2019). Over the last decade, a body of work has accrued describing means by which within-species WGD lineages (autopolyploids) overcome these challenges. The most obvious of these appears to be a stabilization of cell division via selection acting at meiotic and mitotic genes (Bohutínská, Alston, et al., 2021; Bohutínská, Handrick, et al., 2021; Bray et al., 2023; Yant et al., 2013). Additionally, cyclin genes have been observed to mediate tolerance to tetraploidization in polyploid tumours and in proliferating *Arabidopsis* tissues (Crockford et al., 2017; Imai et al., 2006; Potapova et al., 2016; Sterken et al., 2012). And while certain genes involved in adaptation to WGD have been identified and their functions verified (Morgan et al., 2022; Morgan et al., 2020), a broader evolutionary context remains elusive. Questions persist regarding the origins of adaptive genetic variation and the mechanisms by which these variants assemble into positively selected haplotype blocks in the nascent polyploids.

From a genetic point of view, polyploids may benefit from enhancement of particular traits that facilitate adaptation. In diploids, various sources contribute to the pool of adaptive alleles, including gene flow/introgression, de novo mutations, and ancestral standing variation (Fig. 1A). Recent work indicates the potential for all these sources to become greater following WGD (Fig. 1B). First, polyploid lineages can benefit from the removal of hybridization barriers (Lafon-Placette et al., 2017; Marhold & Lihová, 2006; Schmickl & Yant, 2021), leading to increased allelic exchange through introgression (Arnold et al., 2016; Marburger et al., 2019). Polyploids can also gain additional diversity via introgression from sister diploids, whereas introgression in the opposite direction is much less likely due to the nature of the diploid-tetraploid barrier (Baduel et al., 2018; Morgan et al., 2021; Te Beest et al., 2012). Second, polyploids experience elevated mutational input due to the doubled chromosome numbers, leading to a higher number of novel mutations per generation (Selmecki et al., 2015). Finally, polyploids maintain genetic diversity more efficiently due to increased masking of recessive alleles (Monnahan et al., 2019; Ronfort, 1999), thus keeping a larger pool of standing variation (Hämälä et al., 2023). Consequently, autopolyploids generate and maintain a greater degree of genetic variability than diploids (Fig. 1).

Beneficial alleles are commonly envisioned as originating from a single source (as shown in Fig. 1), either from introgression, standing genetic variation, or de novo mutations (Lee & Coop, 2019, Bohutínská, Vlček, et al., 2021; Konečná et al., 2021; Oziolor et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2021). However, recent evidence suggests that alleles can also gradually accrue to form finely tuned haplotypes (Archambeault et al., 2020; Roberts Kingman et al., 2021; Seear et al., 2020). This implies that adaptive haplotypes may accumulate from multiple sources, rather than just one. Here we ask if polyploids use their expanded allelic sources to construct novel fine-scaled mosaic haplotype blocks of diverse origins, and what those origins are.

The naturally ploidy-variable (diploid and autotetraploid) species *Arabidopsis arenosa* and *Arabidopsis lyrata* have emerged as powerful models to understand adaptation to WGD (Yant & Bomblies, 2017). Recent research in these species identified shared candidate alleles mediating adaptation to WGD (Bohutínská, Handrick, et al., 2021; Marburger et al., 2019; Seear et al., 2020; Yant et al., 2013). However there has been no comprehensive investigation of the evolutionary origin of such tetraploidy-related alleles across broad sampling of the species, lineages, and loci involved. There have been indications that candidate adaptive alleles might have originated from specific source populations, or that

recombination may be involved in the construction of adaptive alleles (Marburger et al.,
2019, Seear et al., 2020). However, these studies were limited by small sample sizes that
covered only a fraction of each species range. Additionally, they did not consider trans-
specific polymorphism (here represented by ancestral alleles shared among different
Arabidopsis species). We thus do not know to which extent the different allelic sources
contributed to the formation of potentially adaptive tetraploid haplotype blocks.

Here we take advantage of an exhaustive dataset of 983 sequenced individuals
encompassing all known lineages of European *Arabidopsis* autotetraploids, backed by
genome-wide diversity of all diploid outcrossing *Arabidopsis* species. Apart from the
tetraploid *A. lyrata* lineage from the eastern Austrian Forealps ('Austria' hereafter;
(Marburger et al., 2019; Schmickl & Koch, 2011)), we newly analysed two additional *A.*
lyrata tetraploid lineages from Central Europe: south-eastern Czechia ('Czechia' hereafter)
and Harz in Germany ('Germany' hereafter). We performed a joint analysis of these three *A.*
lyrata tetraploid lineages and tetraploid *A. arenosa*, which derived from a single WGD event
(Arnold et al., 2015, Monnahan et al., 2019). We used this sampling of four tetraploid
lineages, established which genes and processes are robustly under selection in each
lineage, and elucidated the sources of candidate adaptive alleles. Using this knowledge, we
asked to which extent tetraploid-specific haplotype blocks were formed and what were their
sources.

Fig. 1: *Hypotheses about allelic sources in a diploid-autotetraploid system. A: As compared to diploids,*
autotetraploid lineages may acquire alleles via increased introgression potential, increased population-
scaled mutational input, and higher level of retainment of ancestral standing variation. Shown are two
diploid species (red), each of which gave rise to an autotetraploid (blue) lineage (right). B: Higher variability
in the possible sources of potentially beneficial alleles suggests that positively selected haplotype blocks in
tetraploids might be more likely to form from a mosaic of sources (right), in contrast to the typically
assumed homogeneous (single-source) scenario (left).

Methods

Sampling

Arabidopsis, a well-studied plant model genus, is primarily diploid, but autotetraploids have been discovered among the diverse outcrossing species *A. arenosa* and *A. lyrata* in Central Europe. While *A. arenosa* diploids and tetraploids are widespread, *A. lyrata* primarily occupies a narrow ecological niche in Central Europe. Previous research suggested that introgression between *A. arenosa* and *A. lyrata* tetraploids facilitated the genetic differentiation of *A. lyrata* tetraploids from their diploid ancestors, allowing them to expand their niche (Marburger et al., 2019; Schmickl & Koch, 2011).

Here we sampled and sequenced genomes from both diploid and neighboring tetraploid populations across all known diploid-tetraploid lineages in Central European *Arabidopsis*. Our dataset includes genomes from total 73 newly sequenced diploid and tetraploid individuals of both species. Additionally, we incorporated data from 910 previously published whole genome sequences (Bohutínská, Vlček, et al., 2021; Guggisberg et al., 2018; Hämälä et al., 2017; Hämälä et al., 2018; Konečná et al., 2021; Marburger et al., 2019; Mattila, et al., 2017; Monnahan et al., 2019; Novikova et al., 2016, 2017; Preite et al., 2019), encompassing a total of 983 individuals and 129 populations (Dataset S1, Dataset S7). The ploidy level of each individual in our new sampling was confirmed using flow cytometry following (Kolář, Lučanová, et al., 2016).

Population genetic structure

Samples were whole genome re-sequenced (as detailed in Dataset S1), and single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) were called using a ploidy-aware approach following (Bohutínská, Vlček, et al., 2021; Monnahan et al., 2019). *Arabidopsis arenosa* and *A. lyrata* autotetraploids show random segregation of chromosomes (Arnold et al., 2015; Seear et al., 2020), resulting in allele frequency estimation comparable to diploids (Meirmans et al., 2018). This allowed to use a set of methods designed for diploids, which are also applicable to mixed-ploidy data; for a discussion see (Bohutínská et al., 2023)). Specifically, we inferred relationships between populations using allele frequency covariance graphs implemented in TreeMix v1.13 (Pickrell & Pritchard, 2012). *Arabidopsis arenosa* was rooted with the diploid Pannonian population BDO and *A. lyrata* with the diploid Scandinavian population LOM. To obtain confidence in the reconstructed topology of *A. arenosa* and *A. lyrata* (Fig. 1B, C), we performed a bootstrap analysis with 1000 bp blocks (matching the selection scan window size below) and 100 replicates. Further, we used Bayesian clustering in FastStructure (Raj et al., 2014). We randomly sampled two alleles per tetraploid individual using a custom script. This approach does not appear to bias clustering in autotetraploid samples based on (Stift et al., 2019). Finally, we displayed genetic relatedness among individuals using principal component analysis (PCA) as implemented in adegenet (Jombart, 2008). We calculated genome-wide four-fold degenerate (4d) within-population metrics (nucleotide diversity (π) and Tajima's D (Tajima, 1989)) using the python3 ScanTools_ProtEvol pipeline (Bohutínská, Vlček, et al., 2021).

To test for introgression, we used the ABBA-BABA test as described in (Martin et al., 2013). We assessed introgression from tetraploid *A. arenosa* to each *A. lyrata* tetraploid lineage. For the non-admixed population (P1), we used the early diverging diploid Pannonian lineage of *A. arenosa* (Kolář, Fuxová, et al., 2016), population BDO. Allele frequencies were polarised using diploid *A. halleri*, population GUN from Austria.

Genome-wide scans for positive selection

To find candidate positively selected genes (PSGs) which likely contributed to adaptation to whole genome duplication in tetraploids, we employed two selection scan methods suitable for both diploids and autotetraploids (Bohutínská et al., 2023; Booker et al., 2023).

First, between each of the tetraploid populations and the most proximal diploid population, we calculated Fst (Hudson, Slatkin, & Maddison, 1992) in 1 kb windows. We then used PicMin to test whether there was evidence of repeated genetic differentiation among the tetraploid/diploid population pairs. PicMin uses order statistics to test whether population genetic summary statistics (in this case Fst) for orthologous genomic regions in different lineages exhibit a common shift towards extreme values in multiple species, indicative of repeated action of positive selection. PicMin was applied on windows that had data for at least three lineages (86,249 windows in total). A genome-wide false discovery rate correction was then performed with a significance threshold of $q < 0.01$. In cases of outlier signal spanning adjacent windows, the window with the lowest q-value and highest Fst was retained.

We also employed a 'candidate SNP' approach by calculating SNP-based Fst between tetraploid and their most proximal diploid populations within each lineage. A 1% outlier threshold was used to identify highly differentiated SNPs ('candidate SNPs' hereafter) across the genome. We determined the density of these candidate SNPs per gene using *A. lyrata* gene models (Rawat et al., 2015). Candidate genes were identified as the upper quartile with the highest density of outlier SNPs. Fisher's exact test ('SuperExactTest' package (Wang et al., 2015) in R) was then applied to identify repeatedly identified candidates. Notably, all PicMin-identified genes were confirmed by this candidate SNP approach.

Functional annotation

To infer functions significantly enriched in our list of tetraploid PSGs, we performed gene ontology (GO) and UniProt Keywords enrichment analyses using the STRING database (https://string-db.org/, last accessed 02/03/2023, (Szklarczyk et al., 2015)). We used *Arabidopsis thaliana* orthologs of *A. lyrata* genes. Only categories with FDR < 0.05 were considered. We also manually searched for functional descriptions of each gene using the TAIR database and associated literature (Berardini et al., 2015). To identify protein-protein interactions, we used the STRING database, including all available information sources, and focused on 1st shell interactions (Szklarczyk et al., 2015).

Allele frequencies of haplotype blocks

We reconstructed haplotype blocks for the 12 PSGs (a subset of 17 PSGs supported by at least five 'candidate SNPs') within *A. lyrata* and *A. arenosa* populations based on linked marker SNPs (232 'candidate SNPs' in total; Dataset S4), following a procedure by (Bohutínská, Handrick, et al., 2021). Haplotype block frequencies were calculated separately for diploids (218 *A. arenosa* and 121 *A. lyrata* individuals) and tetraploids (479 individuals). For each of the 12 PSGs with n candidate SNPs each (for n see Fig. 3B), we determined the allele frequency (*AF*) of major allele across all individuals. With 1916 tetraploid haplotypes and 436 (*A. arenosa*) / 242 (*A. lyrata*) diploid haplotypes, the major *AF* corresponds to the tetraploid allele. We defined the tetraploid haplotype block frequency as the minimum among n *AF*s (HAFt = min {major *AF*}), and the *AF* of diploid haplotype blocks as HAFd = 1 − max {major *AF*}. The *AF* of all other haplotype blocks resulting from recombination or new mutations was defined as HAFr = 1 − HAFt − HAFd. Calculations were performed using an in-house R script.

To infer allele frequencies of haplotype blocks, we used a SNP frequency-based
approach due to unreliable standard phasing algorithms in short read tetraploid samples
(Kyriakidou et al. 2018). We thus validated our haplotype block analysis by estimating the
correspondence between our allele frequency-based inferred haplotypes and (1) sequences
from PacBio HiFi-based long read assemblies of the 12 PSGs in five diploid and five
tetraploid samples of *A. arenosa*, and (2) sequences from long reads themselves in two
PSGs, two diploid and two tetraploid samples. For (1), we used BLASTn v2.10.0 to extract
sequences from the 12 PSGs in newly produced diploid and tetraploid assemblies (Dataset
S5). These sequences were then aligned with MUSCLE and visualized with IGV v2.11.9
(Dataset S4). For (2), we aligned the long reads spanning the region of PSGs CYCA2;3 and
PDS5b to the assemblies, visualized with IGV, and manually exported the information about
physical linkage of candidate SNPs into (Dataset S6).
243
Allelic sources of tetraploid haplotype blocks
To assess if tetraploid haplotype blocks in our study originated from a single source or as
a mosaic of allelic sources, we employed two methods: tracing the evolutionary origins of
candidate SNPs across the ancestral diploid lineages (Novikova et al., 2016), and
reconstructing networks of genetic distances at the PSG regions (Jombart, 2008).
To determine the allelic sources contributing to tetraploid haplotype blocks, we
investigated the occurrence of variants defining the tetraploid haplotype blocks (the 232
'candidate SNPs') within diploid individuals. We used a comprehensive genomic dataset
encompassing diploid *A. lyrata* and *A. arenosa* (339 diploid individuals) alongside their
outcrossing relatives *A. halleri*, *A. croatica*, *A. cebennensis*, and *A. pedemontana* ('diploid
congeners', totaling 165 individuals; see Dataset S1). A rarefaction analysis in (Bohutínská,
Handrick, et al., 2021) indicated that sampling as few as 40 diploid individuals across the *A.
arenosa* species range captures the majority of diploid diversity. Therefore, our dataset of
504 diploid individuals should suffice to cover the full natural diversity of diploids.
We excluded singletons (variants occurring only once in a species) to reduce the impact
of sequencing errors (results were robust with or without singletons; Fig. S6). Then, using
species' phylogenetic relationships (Fig. 5A, (Novikova et al., 2018)), we determined likely
allelic sources for the 232 candidate SNPs among 504 diploid individuals. We categorized
each SNP according to one of the following seven scenarios (Fig. 5A): 1) trans-specific
polymorphism in both *A. lyrata* and *A. arenosa*, 2) trans-specific polymorphism in *A. arenosa*
only (tetraploid SNP occurs in *A. arenosa* but not *A. lyrata* diploids and in one to all diploid
congeners), 3) trans-specific polymorphism in *A. lyrata* only (tetraploid SNP occurs in *A.
lyrata* but not *A. arenosa* diploids and in one to all diploid congeners), 4) trans-specific
polymorphism in the congeners only, 5) *A. arenosa*-specific polymorphism, 6) *A. lyrata*-
specific polymorphism, 7) tetraploid-private polymorphism. Here we differentiate between
trans-specific and species-specific variability at the diploid level, reflecting ancestral allele
sharing rather than recent introgression. It is crucial to note that any tetraploid SNP identified
here ultimately represents trans-specific polymorphism since it is shared between tetraploids
of both *A. arenosa* and *A. lyrata*.
As additional evidence to estimate variability in allelic sources of tetraploid haplotype
blocks, we constructed genetic distance networks using Nei's distance (Nei, 1972) in the
'adegenet' package in R (Jombart, 2008). We visualized these networks using SplitsTree
(Huson & Bryant, 2001).
277
278

Results and discussion

Hybridization among tetraploid lineages of A. lyrata and A. arenosa

To reconstruct allelic sources of candidate WGD-adaptation haplotype blocks, we compiled a collection of genomes from 983 individuals: 818 individuals from 46 diploid and 61 tetraploid populations of *A. lyrata* and *A. arenosa*, and 165 individuals from four congeners. Our new sampling focused on Central European *A. lyrata* to cover all regions possibly harbouring the tetraploid cytotype (Ansell et al., 2010; Marburger et al., 2019; Schmickl & Koch, 2011; Seear et al., 2020) and a representative sampling of all European diploid outcrossing *Arabidopsis* species.

We observed that *A. lyrata* autotetraploids ('tetraploids' hereafter) occur at geographically distinct locations throughout Central Europe (Fig. 2, Dataset 1). To obtain comparable and representative samples of *A. lyrata* and *A. arenosa* populations for diploid-tetraploid selection scans, we first analyzed 154 individuals from 17 proximal diploid and tetraploid populations (Fig. 2). We assessed population structure and potential admixture (Fig. 2) using bootstrapped allele covariance trees (TreeMix; Fig. 2B, C), neighbor-joining networks, and Bayesian clustering (FastStructure; Fig. S1). In *A. arenosa*, we identified a single Central European tetraploid lineage, consistent with previous studies (Arnold et al., 2015; Monnahan et al., 2019). Notably, *A. lyrata* tetraploids consisted of three differentiated lineages in Austria, Czechia, and Germany. This suggests either independent formation and establishment of tetraploid populations in each region, or a single origin of autotetraploid *A. lyrata* followed by allopatry and, potentially, local introgression from parapatric diploid *A. lyrata* lineages. Both species and cytotypes maintained high genetic diversity (*A. arenosa*: mean nucleotide diversity over four-fold degenerate sites (4d-π) = 0.026/0.024 for diploids/tetraploids; *A. lyrata*: mean 4d-π = 0.012/0.016 for diploids/tetraploids, respectively; Table S1). Although Central European *A. lyrata* has a more scattered distribution and lower nucleotide diversity than *A. arenosa*, it showed neutral Tajima's D (mean Tajima's D in *A. arenosa* = 0.01/0.20 for diploids/tetraploids; mean Tajima's D in *A. lyrata* = 0.27/0.23 for diploids/tetraploids; Table S1). Altogether, our analyses identified three tetraploid lineages in Central European *A. lyrata*, in addition to the single well-described tetraploid lineage in *A. arenosa*.

Next, we examined introgression between the two species using D statistics (ABBA-BABA, (Martin et al., 2013)). While insignificant among diploids, we identified evidence of introgression (D between 0.106 to 0.108; Fig. 2D) between tetraploids of *A. arenosa* and each of the three tetraploid *A. lyrata* lineages. These results are in line with previous experimental studies: while diploids of both species exhibit strong postzygotic barriers, polyploidy-mediated hybrid seed rescue enables hybridization between tetraploid *A. arenosa* and *A. lyrata* (Lafon-Placette et al., 2017).

In summary, we identified four tetraploid lineages in Central European *Arabidopsis*, consistent with two to four independent WGD events (one in each species and possibly up to two additional WGD events in *A. lyrata*). These WGD events reduced between-species hybridization barriers (Lafon-Placette et al., 2017), leading to interspecific gene flow between tetraploids (Marburger et al., 2019; Schmickl & Koch, 2011). This makes hybridization among also our novel polyploid lineages a plausible source of putatively beneficial alleles in this tetraploid system.

Fig. 2: *Evolutionary relationships and genomic signatures of selection in tetraploid populations of A. lyrata and A. arenosa. A: Locations of our focal 17 populations (AL Austria, AL Czechia, and AL Germany) and A. arenosa (AA CEur) in Central Europe. Red and blue dashed lines show the ranges of diploid and tetraploid A. lyrata, respectively; tetraploids of A. arenosa occur throughout the entire area. B, C: Phylogenetic relationships among the focal populations of A. arenosa (B) and A. lyrata (C) inferred by TreeMix analysis. Asterisks show bootstrap support = 100%. D: Introgression among tetraploid but not diploid populations of both Arabidopsis species. ABBA-BABA analysis demonstrating excess allele sharing between tetraploids (bottom tree), but not diploids (top tree) of A. arenosa and each of the three A. lyrata tetraploid lineages. P1 is BDO, the earliest diverging and spatially isolated diploid population of A. arenosa (Kolář, Fuxová, et al., 2016), and outgroup is A. halleri from Austria. E: A set of 14 unlinked genes showing significant evidence (p < 0.01) of positive selection (positively selected genes, PSGs) in the four tetraploid lineages identified using PicMin. Additional three genes, SDS, HEI10, and SYN1, were identified using a screen for candidate SNPs. F: Functional characterization of the 17 PSGs by STRING analysis. The network shows predicted protein-protein interactions among the PSGs. The width of each line corresponds to the confidence of the interaction prediction. PSGs were annotated into four processes, each represented by a bubble of different colour. The 12 PSGs with names written in bold had enough candidate SNPs for the reconstruction of tetraploid haplotype blocks (see the main text).*

Genomic signatures of repeated differentiation associated with WGD

To infer candidate genes associated with adaptation to WGD, we compared each of the four tetraploid *A. lyrata/A. arenosa* lineages to their geographically most proximal diploids (Fig. 2B, C). We identified candidate genes using PicMin, a novel method that identifies repeated signatures of selection (Booker, 2023). We identified 54 significantly differentiated windows (PicMin FDR-corrected *q*-value < 0.01; Dataset S2), overlapping 14 unlinked candidate genes (Fig. 2E, Table S2). PicMin measures across windows; to capture narrower peaks of differentiation, we also conducted a search for genes featuring highly diploid/tetraploid differentiated SNPs present in multiple lineages (see Methods). Such a 'candidate SNP' approach can identify genes undergoing positive selection in tetraploids on a subset of SNPs while the evolution of the rest of the gene sequence is constrained. Such analysis, with 1% outlier Fst cutoff, identified all 14 PicMin candidate genes (Table S2) and

three additional candidates (HEI10, SYN1, and SDS), each with multiple outlier SNPs
differentiated across all four tetraploid lineages (Dataset S3). In total, we recognized 17
candidate positively selected genes (PSGs) showing robust, repeated selection signals in
tetraploid lineages. Notably, these loci do not cluster in regions characterized by extreme
recombination rates, indicating no bias associated with the recombination landscape (Fig. S2
(Burri, 2017)).
To understand in which molecular processes the 17 WGD-associated PSGs are
involved, we predicted protein-protein interactions among them using STRING analysis
(Szklarczyk et al., 2015). We retrieved two interconnected clusters: regulation of cell cycle
and endoreduplication by cyclins, and chromosome pairing and segregation during meiosis
(p < 0.001, Fisher's exact test; Fig. 2F). To investigate the phenotypic impact of PSGs
associated with the cell cycle trait endoreduplication on tetraploids, we employed flow
cytometry. Our findings revealed a substantial decrease in endoreduplication within
established tetraploids compared to newly synthesized tetraploids, suggesting compensatory
evolution leading to reduced DNA content through decreased endoreduplication
(Supplementary Text 2, Fig. S4, S5). This is consistent with results of cytological studies
reporting stable meiotic chromosome segregation in established tetraploids of *A. arenosa*
and *A. lyrata*, compared to newly synthesized tetraploids of *A. arenosa*, which suggests a
compensatory shift in the meiotic stability phenotype (Marburger et al., 2019; Morgan et al.,
2022, 2020; Seear et al., 2020; Yant et al., 2013). Thus, the interacting meiosis and cell
cycle regulation PSGs likely mediate beneficial phenotypic shifts resulting in the
establishment of tetraploid lineages.
Despite the high overall functional connectivity, six of the 17 PSGs were not connected in
this interaction network. Interestingly, four of these six genes were found to be related to
mRNA transcription via RNA polymerase II (Fig. 2F) (TAIR database (Berardini et al., 2015),
last accessed 02/03/2023). The fifth tetraploid PSG (*AT4G18490*) encodes an unknown
protein which is strongly expressed in young *A. thaliana* flower buds and involved in cell
division (Berardini et al., 2015). The sixth gene, AGC1.5, was not connected to any of the
above-mentioned processes, but regulates pollen tube growth (Zhang et al., 2009). We
provide further functional interpretations in Supplementary Text 1 and Fig. S3.
To summarise, the 17 tetraploid PSGs mediate processes of homologous chromosome
pairing during prophase I of meiosis, cell cycle timing and regulation of endoreduplication via
different classes of cyclins, and mRNA transcription via RNA polymerase II.
386
Novel tetraploid haplotype blocks are assembled from diverse allelic sources
Both theoretical and empirical evidence indicates that polyploids may have increased
access to allelic variation than diploids (Arnold et al., 2016; Monnahan et al., 2019; Ronfort,
1999; Selmecki et al., 2015). Here we inquired if these positively selected regions accreate
as novel mosaics from different allelic sources (Fig. 1B). To do this, we first deconstructed
haplotype blocks in our PSGs and identified the specific allelic sources for every candidate
tetraploid SNP. Removing five PSGs with insufficient variation (less than five candidate
SNPs), we analysed 12 genes and 232 candidate SNPs (Dataset S4). For each gene, we
reconstructed diploid- and tetraploid-characteristic candidate haplotype blocks using these
candidate SNPs as markers (following (Bohutínská, Handrick, et al., 2021)). These
haplotype blocks corresponded to real haplotypes observed in PacBio HiFi reads from five
diploid and five tetraploid individuals of *A. arenosa* (71% correspondence across 132
checked reads, 95% correspondence if heterozygous sites were excluded; Dataset S4-S6).

We identified a single major tetraploid-characteristic haplotype block for each of the 12
candidate genes that was shared across both *A. lyrata* and *A. arenosa* tetraploids (Fig. 3B;
present in 92 and 98% of populations, respectively; mean frequency = 0.62 across 61
tetraploid populations; Table S4). Based on estimates of the age of the tetraploids, which
range from approximately 20,000 to 230,000 generations (Arnold et al., 2015; Marburger et
al., 2019), we speculate that the major tetraploid haplotype block likely rapidly spread across
Europe. We further identified two major diploid haplotype blocks for each gene, one *A.*
lyrata-characteristic and one *A. arenosa*-characteristic (Fig. 3B). Other haplotype blocks
were of minor frequency (Fig. 3B). Importantly, none of the tetraploid haplotype blocks
associated with the 12 PSGs were found in any diploid individuals, even after a sampling
that included the potential source lineages for the tetraploids. This indicates that they likely
assembled upon the establishment of tetraploids rather than pre-existing as standing
variation in diploids.

Fig. 3: *Distribution of candidate haplotype blocks. A: Populations in this analysis (504 diploids and*
479 tetraploids). Arabidopsis congeners are A. halleri, A. croatica, A. cebennensis, and A.
pedemontana. B: Total absence of tetraploid haplotype blocks in diploids. Frequency of shared
tetraploid (blue), A. arenosa diploid (light red), and A. lyrata diploid (red) haplotypes in each of the 12
top candidate genes. All other haplotypes present (including possible recombinants of the above) are
shown in grey.

Despite the absence of each of the 12 complete tetraploid haplotype blocks in diploids,
certain tetraploid SNPs within these blocks were detected across diploid populations,
present in one or even across multiple species (Fig. 4). We therefore investigated the
possibility that the tetraploid haplotype blocks might be assembled from multiple sources. To
do this, we inferred the most likely source for each candidate SNP marking these tetraploid
haplotype blocks. Considering the extent of trans-specific polymorphism in *Arabidopsis*
(Novikova et al., 2016), we worked with the full dataset of *A. arenosa, A. lyrata*, and all other
diploid *Arabidopsis* outcrossers (*A. halleri*, *A. croatica*, *A. cebennensis*, and *A.*
pedemontana) (Dataset S1). Using the phylogenetic relationships among these species

(Novikova et al., 2018) and the SNP presence/absence data from all 504 diploid individuals,
we identified the most probable source for each of the 232 SNPs defining haplotype blocks
in the 12 PSGs (Fig. 4, Dataset S7). Surprisingly, 65.5% of the SNPs forming tetraploid
haplotype blocks were most parsimoniously inferred as trans-specific polymorphism,
segregating in diploids of multiple *Arabidopsis* species (Fig. 4A, scenarios 1-4). Note that
this number is likely an underestimate as some alleles may have remained unsampled or
have gone extinct in any diploid lineage. This supports the growing recognition of the role of
trans-specific standing polymorphism in adaptation (Guggisberg et al., 2018; Marques et al.,
2019)). Only 6.9% of the candidate SNPs were inferred as arising from standing variation
from a single diploid progenitor (*A. arenosa* or *A. lyrata*; Fig. 4A, scenarios 5, 6), and the
remaining 27.6% of SNPs possibly accumulated de novo in tetraploids or remained
unsampled in our dataset (absent in any of the 504 diploid individuals; Fig. 4A, scenario 7).
We further quantified that 35.8% of the candidate SNPs marking the tetraploid haplotype
blocks were likely contributed from diploid *A. arenosa* (present in this species and possibly in
diploids of other species, but not *A. lyrata*; Fig. 4A, scenarios 2, 5), while 3.4% likely came
from diploid *A. lyrata* (Fig. 4A, scenarios 3, 6). Finally, in the process of tetraploid haplotype
block formation through these identified source scenarios, 71.1% of the candidate SNPs
were indicative of likely introgression across all four tetraploid lineages. This was inferred
from their presence in tetraploids and in at most one of the diploid progenitors (either diploid
A. arenosa or *A. lyrata;* note that this scenario is nested within others; Fig. 4A, scenarios 2-
7), aligning with the observed signatures of hybridization among tetraploids of both species
(Marburger et al., 2019).

The different allelic sources forming tetraploid haplotype blocks varied genome-wide
(when analysing all 12 PSGs together; Fig. 4A), but also within individual tetraploid
haplotypes (3-6 scenarios per haplotype, median = 4, out of 7; Fig. 4B, C, Fig. S6). This
suggests that tetraploid haplotype blocks represent a combination of reuse of species-
specific as well as trans-specific SNPs, with additional input of de novo mutations after WGD
(Fig. 4B, C). Such composite 'mosaic' haplotype blocks were likely spread among tetraploid
lineages by introgression. The rearrangement of diverse allelic sources into mosaic
tetraploid haplotypes may be facilitated by high recombination rates reported for these
Arabidopsis species (Dukić & Bomblies, 2022; Hämälä & Savolainen, 2019) and the
observation of enhanced recombination rates in neo-tetraploid *A. arenosa* and *A. thaliana*
(Morgan et al., 2020; Pecinka et al., 2011). The mosaic nature of allelic sources was further
supported by the reticulation observed in the neighbor-joining networks of the 12 PSGs (Fig.
S7). In these networks, tetraploids form a single lineage that connects through multiple splits
to two or more diploid *Arabidopsis* lineages. We provide hypotheses about the spatio-
temporal context of the origin of these mosaic haplotypes in Supplementary Text 2.

In summary, our analysis of variants forming tetraploid haplotype blocks indicates that
polyploids acquire alleles from diverse source pools, combine them during post-WGD
adaptation, and further redistribute this variation by gene flow among polyploid lineages. Our
results also highlight the role of interspecific introgression in generating potentially beneficial
diversity within polyploid species complexes, complementary to earlier studies (reviewed in
(Leal et al., 2023, Marhold & Lihová, 2006; Schmickl & Yant, 2021)).

Fig. 4: Mosaic of allelic sources of tetraploid haplotype blocks. A: The 232 candidate SNPs marking the 12 tetraploid haplotype blocks were categorized into one of the seven source scenarios based on their allele distribution in the 983 samples. The most parsimonious origin of each pattern is provided in italics. Four (outlined by orange frame) involve trans-specific standing variation shared among diploids of at least two species. Six (outlined by blue frame) require introgression between tetraploid lineages. Boxes 'min 1' show that the tetraploid standing variation is present in at least one congener. Phylogenetic relationships according to (Novikova et al., 2018). B: Variable source scenarios for each of the 12 tetraploid positively selected genes (PSGs). Barplots show the proportion of candidate SNPs representing each of the seven source scenarios, numbers above bars indicate the number of candidate SNPs per each PSG. Bold highlights two example PSGs shown at panel C. C: Illustration of diploid and tetraploid haplotype blocks for two PSGs. Bold capital letters represent haplotype-marking alleles, and two letters at a position indicate the presence of an alternative minor allele. Coding sequences highlighted as boxes (only regions overlapping with candidate SNPs are shown). The bottom line displays SNP assignment to its source scenario as defined in panel A. Possible recombination breakpoints required to construct the haplotype from various allelic sources are marked with asterisks. Top: example from the CYCA2;3 endoreduplication gene, displaying 19 candidate SNPs spanning 5371 bp. Bottom: example from the PDS5b meiosis gene, depicting candidate SNPs spanning 8904 bp. Description is consistent with the top panel.

491
Concluding remarks
Here we investigated how candidate WGD-adaptive haplotypes may form in
autopolyploids. Leveraging our very deep sampling of all extant outcrossing *Arabidopsis*
species in Europe, we identified a set of genes involved in cell cycle, meiosis, and
transcription that consistently exhibit the strongest signals of selection. All tetraploids reused
identical haplotype blocks across the diagnostic markers, but these blocks were entirely
absent from diploids. Importantly, each haplotype block comprised SNPs of broadly varying
ancestry, including trans-specific and species-specific polymorphisms from closer and more
distant relatives, along with likely de novo mutations in the tetraploids. These 'evolutionary
mosaic' haplotype blocks were then likely shared via introgression among tetraploids and
spread across Europe.
Altogether, we demonstrated that extensive re-shuffling of trans-specific, species-
specific, and novel variation occurred in response to the challenges of WGD. Our study
demonstrates that polyploid lineages leverage their enhanced capacity to accumulate
genetic variation from various sources to generate new, potentially advantageous
haplotypes. Importantly, this process is not unique to polyploids, as diploids have also been
reported to accumulate multiple adaptive changes into finely tuned haplotypes
(Archambeault et al., 2020; McGregor et al., 2007; Roberts Kingman et al., 2021). Recent
research in various plant and animal species has revealed substantial variation in the
contributions of introgressed, standing, and de novo alleles to adaptation at the entire gene
level (Bohutínská, Vlček, et al., 2021; Konečná et al., 2021; Moran et al., 2022; Wang et al.,
2021). The diversity of allelic sources in individual haplotype blocks in tetraploid *Arabidopsis*
implies that pathways to adaptation may be even more diverse when considering the
assembly of individual variants into haplotypes.
516

Acknowledgements:

We greatly appreciate the constructive feedback from members of the Ecolgen team in Prague, Katie Peichel, Reto Burri, Alison Scott, Polina Novikova, Andrew MacColl, Tuomas Hämälä, John Brookfield, Emma Curran, Laura Dean, Sian Bray, and Ana da Silvia. We further appreciate inspiration provided by the ForBio Polyploid course in Drøbak, Norway. We thank Martin Čertner and Dorka Čertnerová for sharing their polyploid synthesis protocol, Bodo Schwarzberg (Untere Naturschutzbehörde Nordhausen) for sharing seeds of the STD population, and Bertram Preuschhof (Untere Naturschutzbehörde Göttingen) for a collecting permit for the SCT population.

Funding:

This work was supported by the Czech Science Foundation (project 20-22783S to F.K., project 19-06632S to K.M.), Leverhulme Trust award (no. RPG-2020-367 to L.Y.), the PRIMUS Research Programme of Charles University (PRIMUS/17/SCI/23 to R.S.), the European Union's research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie (project 101062703 to M.B.), the European Research Council (project 850852 DOUBLEADAPT to F.K.), and long-term research development project no. RVO 67985939 of the Czech Academy of Sciences. Sequencing was performed by the Norwegian Sequencing Centre, University of Oslo. Computational resources were provided by the CESNET LM2015042 and the CERIT Scientific Cloud LM2015085, under the program Projects of Large Research, Development, and Innovations Infrastructures.

Conflict of interest statement: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Data and code availability:

Sequence data that support the findings of this study are deposited in the NCBI (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/) under BioProjects PRJNA284572, PRJNA309929, PRJNA357693, PRJNA357372, PRJNA459481, PRJNA493227, PRJEB34247 (ENA), PRJNA506705, PRJNA484107, PRJNA592307, PRJNA667586, PRJNA929698. See Dataset S1 for individual codes.

ScanTools_ProtEvol pipeline: github.com/mbohutinska/ScanTools_ProtEvol

ABBA-BABA pipeline: github.com/simonhmartin/tutorials/tree/master/ABBA_BABA_whole_genome)

PicMin: github.com/TBooker/PicMin

Allele frequencies of haplotype blocks: github.com/mbohutinska/repeatedWGD, section 'Haplotype AF'

References

Ansell, S. W., Stenøien, H. K., Grundmann, M., Schneider, H., Hemp, A., Bauer, N., … Vogel, J. C. (2010). Population structure and historical biogeography of European Arabidopsis lyrata. *Heredity 2010 105:6*, 105(6), 543–553.

Archambeault, S. L., Bärtschi, L. R., Merminod, A. D., & Peichel, C. L. (2020). Adaptation via pleiotropy and linkage: Association mapping reveals a complex genetic architecture within the stickleback *Eda* locus. *Evolution Letters*, 4(4), 282–301.

Arnold, B. J., Lahner, B., DaCosta, J. M., Weisman, C. M., Hollister, J. D., Salt, D. E., … Yant, L. (2016). Borrowed alleles and convergence in serpentine adaptation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 113(29), 8320–8325.

Arnold, B., Kim, S.-T., & Bomblies, K. (2015). Single Geographic Origin of a Widespread Autotetraploid Arabidopsis arenosa Lineage Followed by Interploidy Admixture. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 32(6), 1382–1395.

Baduel, P., Bray, S., Vallejo-Marin, M., Kolář, F., & Yant, L. (2018, August 20). The 'Polyploid Hop': Shifting challenges and opportunities over the evolutionary lifespan of genome duplications. *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution*. Frontiers Media S.A.

Berardini, T. Z., Reiser, L., Li, D., Mezheritsky, Y., Muller, R., Strait, E., & Huala, E. (2015). The Arabidopsis Information Resource: Making and Mining the 'Gold Standard' Annotated Reference Plant Genome. *Genesis*, 53, 474–485.

Bohutínská, M., Alston, M., Monnahan, P., Mandáková, T., Bray, S., Paajanen, P., … Yant, L. (2021). Novelty and convergence in adaptation to whole genome duplication. *Molecular Biology and Evolution, 38 (9), 3910-3924*.

Bohutínská, M., Handrick, V., Yant, L., Schmickl, R., Kolář, F., Bomblies, K., & Paajanen, P. (2021). *De-novo* mutation and rapid protein (co-)evolution during meiotic adaptation in *Arabidopsis arenosa*. *Molecular Biology and Evolution, 38(5), 1980-1994*.

Bohutínská, M., Vlček, J., Monnahan, P., & Kolář, F. (2023). Population Genomic Analysis of Diploid-Autopolyploid Species. *Methods in Molecular Biology (Clifton, N.J.)*, 2545, 297–324.

Bohutínská, M., Vlček, J., Yair, S., Laenen, B., Konečná, V., Fracassetti, M., … Kolár, F. (2021). Genomic basis of parallel adaptation varies with divergence in Arabidopsis and its relatives. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 118(21), e2022713118.

Bomblies, K. (2020). When everything changes at once: finding a new normal after genome duplication. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 287(1939), 20202154.

Booker, T. R., Yeaman, S., & Whitlock, M. C. (2023). Using genome scans to identify genes used repeatedly for adaptation. Evolution, 77(3), 801–811.

Bray, S. M., Wolf, E. M., Zhou, M., Busoms, S., Bohutinska, M., Paajanen, P., … Yant, L.
(2020). Convergence and novelty in adaptation to whole genome duplication in three
independent polyploids. *BioRxiv.*

Burri, R. (2017). Interpreting differentiation landscapes in the light of long-term linked
selection. Evolution Letters, 1(3), 118-131.

Crockford, A., Zalmas, L. P., Grönroos, E., Dewhurst, S. M., McGranahan, N., Cuomo, M. E.,
… Swanton, C. (2017). Cyclin D mediates tolerance of genome-doubling in cancers
with functional p53. *Annals of Oncology*, 28(1), 149–156.

Doyle, J. J., & Coate, J. E. (2019). Polyploidy, the nucleotype, and novelty: The impact of
genome doubling on the biology of the cell. *International Journal of Plant Sciences*,
180(1), 1–52.

Dukić, M., & Bomblies, K. (2022). Male and female recombination landscapes of diploid
Arabidopsis arenosa. *Genetics*, 220(3).

Guggisberg, A., Liu, X., Suter, L., Mansion, G., Fischer, M. C., Fior, S., … Widmer, A.
(2018). The genomic basis of adaptation to calcareous and siliceous soils in
Arabidopsis lyrata. *Molecular Ecology*, 27(24), 5088–5103.

Hämälä, T., Mattila, T. M., Leinonen, P. H., Kuittinen, H., & Savolainen, O. (2017). Role of
seed germination in adaptation and reproductive isolation in Arabidopsis lyrata.
Molecular Ecology, 26(13), 3484–3496.

Hämälä, T., Mattila, T. M., & Savolainen, O. (2018). Local adaptation and ecological
differentiation under selection, migration, and drift in *Arabidopsis lyrata*. *Evolution*,
72(7), 1373–1386.

Hämälä, T., & Savolainen, O. (2019). Genomic Patterns of Local Adaptation under Gene
Flow in Arabidopsis lyrata. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 32(11), 2557–2571.

Hämälä, T., Moore, C., Cowan, L., Carlile, M., Gopaulchan, D., Brandrud, M. K., … Koch, M.
A. (2023). Impact of whole-genome duplications on structural variant evolution in the
plant genus Cochlearia. *BioRxiv*.

Hudson, R. R., Slatkin, M., & Maddison, W. P. (1992). Estimation of levels of gene flow from
DNA sequence data. *Genetics*, 132(2), 583–589.

Huson, D. H., & Bryant, D. (2006). Application of Phylogenetic Networks in Evolutionary
Studies. *Molecular Biology and Evolution,* 23(2), 254-267.

Imai, K. K., Ohashi, Y., Tsuge, T., Yoshizumi, T., Matsui, M., Oka, A., & Aoyama, T. (2006).
The A-Type Cyclin CYCA2;3 Is a Key Regulator of Ploidy Levels in Arabidopsis
Endoreduplication. *The Plant Cell*, 18, 382–396.

Jombart, T. (2008). Adegenet: A R package for the multivariate analysis of genetic markers.
Bioinformatics, 24(11), 1403–1405.

Kolář, F., Fuxová, G., Záveská, E., Nagano, A. J., Hyklová, L., Lučanová, M., … Marhold, K.
(2016). Northern glacial refugia and altitudinal niche divergence shape genome-wide

differentiation in the emerging plant model *Arabidopsis arenosa*. *Molecular Ecology*, 25(16), 3929–3949.

Kolář, F., Lučanová, M., Záveská, E., Fuxová, G., Mandáková, T., Španiel, S., … Marhold, K. (2016). Ecological segregation does not drive the intricate parapatric distribution of diploid and tetraploid cytotypes of the *Arabidopsis arenosa* group (Brassicaceae). *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, 119(3), 673–688.

Konečná, V., Bray, S., Vlček, J., Bohutínská, M., Požárová, D., Roy Choudhury, R., … Kolář, F. (2021). Parallel adaptation in autopolyploid Arabidopsis arenosa is dominated by repeated recruitment of shared alleles. *Nature Communications*, 12(4979), 1–13.

Kyriakidou, M., Tai, H. H., Anglin, N. L., Ellis, D., & Strömvik, M. V. (2018). Current strategies of polyploid plant genome sequence assembly. *Frontiers in Plant Science*. Frontiers Media S.A.

Lafon-Placette, C., Johannessen, I. M., Hornslien, K. S., Ali, M. F., Bjerkan, K. N., Bramsiepe, J., … Köhler, C. (2017). Endosperm-based hybridization barriers explain the pattern of gene flow between Arabidopsis lyrata and Arabidopsis arenosa in Central Europe. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 114(6), E1027–E1035.

Lee, K. M., & Coop, G. (2019). Opinion piece Population genomics perspectives on convergent adaptation. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B*, (374), 20180236.

Marburger, S., Monnahan, P., Seear, P. J., Martin, S. H., Koch, J., Paajanen, P., … Yant, L. (2019). Interspecific introgression mediates adaptation to whole genome duplication. *Nature Communications*, 10(1), 5218.

Marhold, K., & Lihová, J. (2006). Polyploidy, hybridization and reticulate evolution: lessons from the Brassicaceae. *Plant Systematics and Evolution*, (259), 143–174.

Marques, D. A., Meier, J. I., & Seehausen, O. (2019). A Combinatorial View on Speciation and Adaptive Radiation. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 34(6), 531–544.

Martin, S. H., Dasmahapatra, K. K., Nadeau, N. J., Salazar, C., Walters, J. R., Simpson, F., … Jiggins, C. D. (2013). Genome-wide evidence for speciation with gene flow in Heliconius butterflies. *Genome Research*, 23(11), 1817–1828.

Mattila, T. M., Tyrmi, J., Pyhäjärvi, T., & Savolainen, O. (2017). Genome-Wide Analysis of Colonization History and Concomitant Selection in Arabidopsis lyrata. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 34(10), 2665–2677.

McGregor, A. P., Orgogozo, V., Delon, I., Zanet, J., Srinivasan, D. G., Payre, F., & Stern, D. L. (2007). Morphological evolution through multiple cis-regulatory mutations at a single gene. *Nature 2007 448:7153*, 448(7153), 587–590.

Meirmans, P. G., Liu, S., & van Tienderen, P. H. (2018). The Analysis of Polyploid Genetic Data. *Journal of Heredity*, 109(3), 283–296.

Monnahan, P., Kolář, F., Baduel, P., Sailer, C., Koch, J., Horvath, R., … Yant, L. (2019). Pervasive population genomic consequences of genome duplication in Arabidopsis arenosa. *Nature Ecology & Evolution*, 3(3), 457–468.

Moran, R. L., Richards, E. J., Ornelas-García, C. P., Gross, J. B., Donny, A., Wiese, J., … Mcgaugh, S. E. (2022). Selection-driven trait loss in independently evolved cavefish populations. *BioRxiv*.

Morgan, C., Knight, E., & Bomblies, K. (2022). The meiotic cohesin subunit REC8 contributes to multigenic adaptive evolution of autopolyploid meiosis in Arabidopsis arenosa. *PLOS Genetics*, 18(7), e1010304.

Morgan, C., Zhang, H., Henry, C. E., Franklin, F. C. H., & Bomblies, K. (2020). Derived alleles of two axis proteins affect meiotic traits in autotetraploid *Arabidopsis arenosa*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 201919459.

Morgan, E. J., Čertner, M., Lučanová, M., Deniz, U., Kubíková, K., Venon, A., … Kolář, F. (2021). Disentangling the components of triploid block and its fitness consequences in natural diploid-tetraploid contact zones of Arabidopsis arenosa. *The New Phytologist*, 232(3), 1449–1462.

Nei, M. (1972). Genetic Distance between Populations. *The American Naturalist*, 106(949), 283–292.

Novikova, P. Y., Hohmann, N., Nizhynska, V., Tsuchimatsu, T., Ali, J., Muir, G., … Nordborg, M. (2016). Sequencing of the genus Arabidopsis identifies a complex history of nonbifurcating speciation and abundant trans-specific polymorphism. *Nature Genetics*, 48(9), 1077–1082.

Novikova, P. Y., Hohmann, N., & Van de Peer, Y. (2018). Polyploid Arabidopsis species originated around recent glaciation maxima. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology*, 42, 8–15.

Novikova, P. Y., Tsuchimatsu, T., Simon, S., Nizhynska, V., Voronin, V., Burns, R., … Irwin, D. (2017). Genome Sequencing Reveals the Origin of the Allotetraploid Arabidopsis suecica. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 34(4), 957–968.

Oziolor, E. M., Reid, N. M., Yair, S., Lee, K. M., Guberman VerPloeg, S., Bruns, P. C., … Matson, C. W. (2019). Adaptive introgression enables evolutionary rescue from extreme environmental pollution. *Science (New York, N.Y.)*, 364(6439), 455–457.

Pecinka, A., Fang, W., Rehmsmeier, M., Levy, A. A., & Mittelsten Scheid, O. (2011). Polyploidization increases meiotic recombination frequency in Arabidopsis. *BMC Biology*, 9(1), 1–7.

Pickrell, J. K., & Pritchard, J. K. (2012). Inference of Population Splits and Mixtures from Genome-Wide Allele Frequency Data. *PLoS Genetics*, 8(11).

Potapova, T. A., Seidel, C. W., Box, A. C., Rancati, G., & Li, R. (2016). Transcriptome analysis of tetraploid cells identifies cyclin D2 as a facilitator of adaptation to genome doubling in the presence of p53. *Molecular Biology of the Cell*, 27(20), 3065–3084.

Preite, V., Sailer, C., Syllwasschy, L., Bray, S., Ahmadi, H., Krämer, U., & Yant, L. (2019). Convergent evolution in Arabidopsis halleri and Arabidopsis arenosa on calamine metalliferous soils. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 374(1777).

Raj, A., Stephens, M., & Pritchard, J. K. (2014). FastSTRUCTURE: Variational inference of
population structure in large SNP data sets. *Genetics*, 197(2), 573–589.

Rawat, V., Abdelsamad, A., Pietzenuk, B., Seymour, D. K., Koenig, D., Weigel, D., …
Schneeberger, K. (2015). Improving the Annotation of Arabidopsis lyrata Using RNA-
Seq Data. *PLOS ONE*, 10(9), e0137391.

Roberts Kingman, G. A., Vyas, D. N., Jones, F. C., Brady, S. D., Chen, H. I., Reid, K., …
Veeramah, K. R. (2021). Predicting future from past: The genomic basis of recurrent
and rapid stickleback evolution. *Science Advances*, 7(25), 5285–5303.

Ronfort, J. (1999). The mutation load under tetrasomic inheritance and its consequences for
the evolution of the selfing rate in autotetraploid species. *Genet. Res., Camb*, 74, 31–
42.

Schmickl, R., & Koch, M. A. (2011). Arabidopsis hybrid speciation processes. *Proceedings
of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 108(34), 14192–
14197.

Schmickl, R., & Yant, L. (2021). Adaptive introgression: how polyploidy reshapes gene flow
landscapes. *New Phytologist*, 230(2), 457–461.

Seear, P. J., France, M. G., Gregory, C. L., Heavens, D., Schmickl, R., Yant, L., & Higgins,
J. D. (2020). A novel allele of ASY3 is associated with greater meiotic stability in
autotetraploid Arabidopsis lyrata. *PLOS Genetics*, 16(7), e1008900.

Selmecki, A. M., Maruvka, Y. E., Richmond, P. A., Guillet, M., Shoresh, N., Sorenson, A. L.,
… Pellman, D. (2015). Polyploidy can drive rapid adaptation in yeast. *Nature 2015
519:7543*, 519(7543), 349–352.

Sterken, R., Kiekens, R., Boruc, J., Zhang, F., Vercauteren, A., Vercauteren, I., …
Vuylsteke, M. (2012). Combined linkage and association mapping reveals CYCD5;1 as
a quantitative trait gene for endoreduplication in Arabidopsis. *Proceedings of the
National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 109(12), 4678–4683.

Stift, M., Kolář, F., & Meirmans, P. G. (2019). STRUCTURE is more robust than other
clustering methods in simulated mixed-ploidy populations. *Heredity*, 123(4), 429–441.

Szklarczyk, D., Franceschini, A., Wyder, S., Forslund, K., Heller, D., Huerta-Cepas, J., …
Von Mering, C. (2015). STRING v10: Protein-protein interaction networks, integrated
over the tree of life. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 43(D1), D447–D452.

Tajima, F. (1989). Statistical Method for Testing the Neutral Mutation Hypothesis by DNA
Polymorphism. *Genetics*, 123, 585–595.

Te Beest, M., Le Roux, J. J., Richardson, D. M., Brysting, A. K., Suda, J., Kubešová, M., &
Pyšek, P. (2012). The more the better? The role of polyploidy in facilitating plant
invasions. *Annals of Botany*, 109(1), 19–45.

Wang, L., Josephs, E. B., Lee, K. M., Roberts, L. M., Rellán-Álvarez, R., Ross-Ibarra, J., &
Hufford, M. B. (2021). Molecular Parallelism Underlies Convergent Highland Adaptation
of Maize Landraces. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 38(9), 3567–3580.

Wang, M., Zhao, Y., & Zhang, B. (2015). Efficient Test and Visualization of Multi-Set
Intersections. *Scientific Reports*, 5.

Yant, L., & Bomblies, K. (2017). Genomic studies of adaptive evolution in outcrossing
Arabidopsis species. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology*, 36, 9–14.

Yant, L., Hollister, J. D., Wright, K. M., Arnold, B. J., Higgins, J. D., Franklin, F. C. H., &
Bomblies, K. (2013). *Meiotic Adaptation to Genome Duplication in Arabidopsis arenosa*.
Current Biology (Vol. 23).

Zhang, Y., He, J., & McCormick, S. (2009). Two Arabidopsis AGC kinases are critical for the
polarized growth of pollen tubes. *The Plant Journal*, 58(3), 474–484.