BnIR: a multi-omics database with various tools for *Brassica napus* research and breeding

Zhiquan Yang[1,2,3,†], Shengbo Wang[1,2,†], Lulu Wei[1,2], Yiming Huang[1,2], Dongxu Liu[1,2], Yupeng Jia[1,2], Chengfang Luo[1,2], Yuchen Lin[1,2], Congyuan Liang[1,2], Yue Hu[1,2], Cheng Dai[1], Liang Guo[1], Yongming Zhou[1], Qing-Yong Yang[1,2,*]

[1]National Key Laboratory of Crop Genetic Improvement, Hubei Hongshan Laboratory, Huazhong Agricultural University, Wuhan, 430070, China

[2]Hubei Key Laboratory of Agricultural Bioinformatics, College of Informatics, Huazhong Agricultural University, Wuhan, 430070, China

[3]Innovative Center of Molecular Genetics and Evolution, School of Life Sciences, Guangzhou University, Guangzhou, 510405, China

[†]These authors contributed equally: Zhiquan Yang, Shengbo Wang.

[*]Correspondence: Qing-Yong Yang (email: yqy@mail.hzau.edu.cn)

Short Summary

In this study, we developed BnIR (http://yanglab.hzau.edu.cn/BnIR), a multi-omics database for rapeseed that integrates six omics datasets and "variation-gene expression-phenotype" associations. The database is equipped with a range of multi-omics tools for streamlined browsing and analysis. Through multiple case studies, we demonstrated BnIR's potential to identify candidate genes associated with specific traits and investigate their regulatory mechanisms.

Abstract

In the post-GWAS era, multi-omics techniques have shown great power and potential for candidate gene mining and functional genomics research. However, due to the lack of effective data integration and multi-omics analysis platforms, such techniques have not still been applied widely in rapeseed, an important oil crop worldwide. Here, we constructed a rapeseed multi-omics database (BnIR; http://yanglab.hzau.edu.cn/BnIR), which provides datasets of six omics including genomics, transcriptomics, variomics, epigenetics, phenomics and metabolomics, as well as numerous "variation-gene expression-phenotype" associations by using multiple statistical methods. In addition, a series of multi-omics search and analysis tools are integrated to facilitate the browsing and application of these datasets. BnIR is the most comprehensive multi-omics database for rapeseed so far, and two case studies demonstrated its power to mine candidate genes associated with specific traits and analyze their potential regulatory mechanisms.

Key words: *Brassica napus*, multi-omics, database, candidate gene mining, functional genomics

Introduction

For comprehensive understanding of the mechanism underlying crop trait formation, it is important to interpret the molecular intricacy and variation at multiple levels such as the genome, epigenome, transcriptome, proteome, metabolome and phenome (Edwards and Batley, 2004; Li et al., 2016; Fernie and Gutierrez-Marcos, 2019; Tuggle et al., 2022). In recent years, the rapid advancement in multi-omics techniques has provided researchers with more multidimensional information to dissect complex biological systems (Ichihashi et al., 2020; Wu et al., 2021; Yang et al., 2021). Based on massive multi-omics datasets, numerous research methods have been developed to mine genetic loci and candidate genes regulating phenotypes, such as genome-wide association study (GWAS) (Yu et al., 2006), expression quantitative trait locus (eQTL) mapping(Goring et al., 2007), transcriptome-wide association study (TWAS) (Gusev et al., 2016) and summary data-based Mendelian randomization analysis (SMR) (Zhu et al., 2016). These methods have high efficiencies in mining genetic loci and candidate genes associated with certain traits and have been widely applied in crop breeding (Yu *et al.*, 2006; Wang et al., 2018; Lu et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2020; Tang et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2021; He et al., 2022; Zhang et al., 2022a; Zhang et al., 2022b). Multi-omics techniques are becoming increasingly important in assisting crop breeding (Yang *et al.*, 2021). For more efficient utilization of multi-omics data, multi-omics databases have been developed in some important crops, such as wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) (Ma et al., 2021), soybean (*Glycine max*) (Grant et al., 2010), tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*) (Kudo et al., 2017), barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) (Li et al., 2022), maize (*Zea mays* L.) (Gui et al., 2020), millet (*Setaria italica* L.) (Yang et al., 2020), cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) (Yang et al., 2022b) and rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) (Sakai et al., 2013).

Rapeseed is the second most important oilseed crop widely planted in the world. The traits including yield, seed oil content (SOC), oil quality, disease resistance and stress resistance largely determine its production and economic value (Friedt et al., 2018). Due to its special origin and evolutionary history, rapeseed has a polyploid genome and

therefore a more complex sequence composition and regulatory mechanism than

diploid crops, which increases the difficulty in fine mapping of the candidate loci and

genes (Chalhoub et al., 2014; Friedt et al., 2018). Recently, a large number of genetic

loci and candidate genes associated with important traits have been efficiently identified

using powerful multi-omics techniques and analysis tools (Lu *et al.*, 2019; Tang *et al.*,

2021; He *et al.*, 2022; Hu et al., 2022; Zhang *et al.*, 2022b). However, the identification

is still rather time-consuming, and the regulatory mechanisms of most identified

candidate loci or genes on phenotypes remain elusive. In our recent work, we have

constructed and released several databases, including BnPIR (Song et al., 2021)

(http://cbi.hzau.edu.cn/bnapus/),   BnTIR   (Liu   et   al.,   2021a)

(http://yanglab.hzau.edu.cn/BnTIR/)   and   BnVIR   (Yang   et   al.,   2022a)

(http://yanglab.hzau.edu.cn/BnVIR/), by collecting multi-omics data including genome,

transcriptome and population genetic variations and developing related omics tools.

These databases have been widely applied to facilitate related research work. However,

there is still a lack of platforms that integrate multi-omics data to help genetic breeding

and functional genomics analysis in rapeseed.

In this study, we constructed BnIR (http://yanglab.hzau.edu.cn/BnIR/), the first

Brassica napus multi-omics information resource database. BnIR integrates the most

comprehensive multi-omics datasets involving various dimensions. In the database,

multiple association analysis tools can be applied to mine the genetic loci, candidate

genes and genetic variations, providing important resources, tools and references for

rapeseed breeding. In addition, BnIR provides numerous common online multi-omics

data analysis tools, which can support all published rapeseed genomes and offers a

convenient and efficient analysis platform. We believe that BnIR will be a valuable

database for future rapeseed breeding and functional genomics research.

Results

Construction and overview of BnIR

For a comprehensive understanding of rapeseed genomics, we first acquired and integrated rapeseed multi-omics data from recent research and databases. In total, datasets from six omics were obtained, including 29 genome assemblies (Supplementary Table S1), genetic variations of 2,311 accessions, transcriptome data from 2,791 libraries (Supplementary Table S2), 118 phenotypes (Supplementary Table S3), the contents of 266 metabolites and epigenome signals involving DNA methylation, histone modification, chromatin accessibility and chromatin interaction (Supplementary Table S4). Next, the related information was mined by processing and analyzing of these datasets. In addition, multiple multi-omics analysis methods were employed to mine the genetic loci, candidate genes and genetic variations, including GWAS, eQTL, TWAS, SMR and co-localization analysis. Finally, a comprehensive rapeseed multi-omics information resource database (BnIR; http://yanglab.hzau.edu.cn/BnIR/) was constructed (Figure 1).

BnIR comprises 12 portals, including Population, Genomics, Transcriptomics, Variation, Phenotype, Epigenetics, Metabolome, Multi-omics and Network, Tools, Download and Help. Abundant and convenient visual tools are provided in these portals for browsing and comparing the germplasm resource information, genome sequences, gene structures, epigenetic signals, metabolite contents and phenotypes, so as to manage germplasm resource and assist the exploring of mechanism underlying gene regulation and evolution.

New features in omics portals

Genomics. Based on our previous BnPIR database, we added Darmor (v.10), Ningyou7 and Express617 genome assemblies as well as their genome sequences and annotations, which can be downloaded from the Genome data module (Supplementary Table S1). Genome synteny and gene index have been updated, which can be browsed in the Gbrowse synteny and Gene index modules. According to the phylogenetic trees of

homologous genes, the Gene search module was developed for users to browse and

compare the gene structures to determine whether the homologous genes have

undergone functional differentiation at the sequence level. In addition, the gene families

and transcription factors (TF) of 12 *B. napus* genome assemblies are annotated, which

can be browsed in the corresponding modules of the Genomics portal.

Transcriptomics. As for the Transcriptomics portal, the previous core function

modules in BnTIR, including gene expression pattern query and eFP browser, have

been integrated into eFP(single gene module) and eFP(multiple gene module) of BnIR.

In two eFP modules, the webpage has been redesigned to greatly improve the response

speed by more than 150 times (from 9.348 s to 0.0611 s). In addition, the published

RNA datasets from 537 RNA-seq libraries involving seven hormone treatments and six

abiotic stress treatments have been added into the eFP modules (Supplementary Table

S2). Users can browse and compare the gene expression pattern between the treatment

and control groups.

In order to acquire gene expression data from more accessions, the RNA-seq data of

2,791 published tissue samples were collected, processed and summarized

(Supplementary Table S2). Finally, the gene expression data of these samples were

integrated into the Expression profile (meta library) module of the Transcriptomics

portal, in which users can browse the expression of interested genes in all samples. As

for the breeding of *B. napus*, ecotype and seed quality improvement are two key periods.

In addition, heterosis is an important phenomenon and widely used for genetic

improvement. To facilitate studies of the genes involved in these improvement

processes, we collected the gene expression datasets from eight representative cultivars

of three ecotypes, double-high (high erucic acid and high glucosinolate) and double-

low (low erucic acid and low glucosinolate) cultivars (Zhongyou821 and

Zhongshuang11), and eight RNA-seq datasets of accessions with heterosis. Users can

browse and compare the expression levels of interested genes between different

accessions in the Expression profile (meta library) module to determine whether the

gene is related to the breeding improvement process. In addition, we collected and

processed the population-level gene expression datasets in leaves and seeds at 20 and

40 days after flowering (DAF). Users can browse the population-level expression

patterns of the interested genes in the Population expression module. In summary, new

transcriptomic information and tools will help researchers to understand the function

and expression features of the interested genes from a broader perspective.

Variation and phenotype. The previous BnVIR database integrated 10,090,561

genetic variations and 21 phenotypes of 2,311 core *B. napus* accessions (Yang et al.,

2022a). On this basis, we newly collected 216 published phenotype datasets from 13

studies (Bus et al., 2011; Sun et al., 2016b; Sun et al., 2016a; Chen et al., 2018; Kittipol

et al., 2019; Wu et al., 2019; Song et al., 2020; Xuan et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2021c; Liu

et al., 2021b; Wang et al., 2021; Zhang *et al.*, 2021; Zhang *et al.*, 2022a) (Supplementary

Table S3). These datasets cover a variety of phenotypic traits, such as agronomic traits

under abiotic stress conditions and seed quality traits, and have been integrated into the

Phenotype and Variation portals. Users can browse the phenotypic values and compare

them between the accessions of different ecotypes in the Phenotype portal to check

whether the phenotype is related to ecotype improvement. In the Variation portal, users

can compare the phenotypic value between accessions with different alleles or

haplotypes to explore the effect of genetic variation on these phenotypes (Korber et al.,

2015; Korber et al., 2016)

Epigenome. For epigenetic data, we collected the ChIP-seq and WGBS datasets for

five tissues of six accessions including six histone modifications, ATAC-seq datasets

for seeds of four accessions and Hi-C datasets of three accessions (Supplementary Table

S4). After filtering and processing, a total of 5,041,596 ChIP-seq peaks and 386,313

ATAC-seq peaks were obtained, which were integrated into the Histone modification

and Chromatin accessibility modules, respectively. Based on the WGBS datasets, the

CG, CHG and CHH methylation levels of genes were obtained and integrated into the

DNA methylation module. Based on the Hi-C datasets, chromatin interaction frequency

and compartments were calculated and integrated into the Chromatin interaction

module. In addition, users can browse the tracks of all epigenetic data of the gene

segment of interest using the JBrowser or query these epigenetic datasets in the

corresponding module of the Epigenetic portal. These datasets integrated the epigenetic

information involving histone modification, epigenomic state, chromatin accessibility

and interaction, providing the first systematic epigenetic data resource in *B. napus* to

help identify regulatory elements in *B. napus* genome and understand their regulatory

mechanism.

Metabolome. In the Metabolome portal, the data about the contents of 266 metabolites

of two accessions from two studies were collected and integrated. Users can query the

related information of these metabolites, such as structure, molecular formula and

content in these accessions in the corresponding module, which provides a way to

quickly obtain the information of metabolites.

Integration and analysis of multi-omics data

Based on the collected population-level multi-omics data, we performed GWAS, eQTL

and TWAS to mine candidate genes and genetic variations associated with phenotypes.

A total of 379 loci were identified to be significantly associated with 55 phenotypes by

GWAS, involving 2,119 candidate genes (Supplementary Table S5). By using the

population-level gene expression datasets from seeds at 20 and 40 DAF of 309

accessions, 1,118,218 eQTLs involving 968,375 lead SNPs (eSNPs) and 33,507 genes

(eGenes) were identified, including 356,112 *cis*-eQTLs and 762,106 *trans*-eQTLs. In

total, 3,724 genes were identified to be significantly associated with 124 phenotypes by

TWAS (Supplementary Table S7). Next, to more precisely identify the candidate genes

associated with phenotypes by combining the three omics datasets, co-localization and

SMR analyses were performed based on the GWAS and eQTL results (Supplementary

Tables S8 and S9). As a result, co-localization analysis identified 130 genes

significantly associated with 24 phenotypic datasets; SMR identified 298 genes

significantly associated with 45 phenotypic datasets. By combining TWAS, co-

localization analysis and SMR results, a total of 3,991 genes were found to be

significantly associated with 131 phenotypes (Figure 2A). Known associations reported

in previous studies were also identified in our analysis, such as *BnaC09.MYB28* for

seed glucosinolate content (SGC) (Case study 1), and *BnaA05.PMT6* for SOC,

suggesting that the analysis results are reproducible (Supplementary Table S8). In

addition, some new candidate genes were found to be related to important traits, such

as *BnaC07.SRK2D* for SOC (Case study 2), suggesting that the analysis can be used to

mine new candidate genes.

Based on the above results, GWAS, eQTL, TWAS, COLOC, and SMR modules in

the Multi-omics portal were designed for convenient browsing and use of these datasets.

For example, users can browse information about the global GWAS signals and

significant variations for the phenotypes of interest in the GWAS module. Taking the

erucic acid content as an example, when users select 'Erucic acid' in the Trait box and

submit it (Figure 2B), the page will display the Manhattan plot of global GWAS results,

showing that the locus on chromosome A08 is the most significant locus (Figure 2C).

Then, the user can scroll the mouse to magnify this locus and select the region of

"A08:18500000–19000000" to browse for the related information of significant

variations (Figure 2D). Combined with the gene annotations, two non-synonymous

mutations in the reported candidate gene (*BnaA08.FAE1*) for erucic acid content in

seeds were both identified in our GWAS results (Figure 2E). In the eQTL module, users

can browse the genome-wide information about *cis*-eSNPs and *trans*-eSNPs regulating

gene expression levels. For example, the expression level of *BnaA09.ARF18* was

reported to be associated with the silique length and thousand-seed weight (Liu et al.,

2015). When users enter 'BnaA09G0559300ZS' (*BnaA09.ARF18*) and submit it

(Figure 2F), the page will display a list and a circus figure of 12 eQTLs regulating its

expression level (Figure 2G), including four *cis*-eSNPs near *BnaA09.ARF18* and eight

trans-eSNPs from four chromosomes (Figure 2H). Additionally, users can browse the

information of candidate genes associated with phenotypes identified by three methods

respectively in the TWAS, SMR, and COLOC modules.

Development and application of multi-omics tools

To provide a more convenient and user-friendly platform for researchers, we developed

and integrated 18 multi-omics data analysis tools in BnIR, including some common

bioinformatics analysis tools, such as GO and KEGG enrichment analysis, BLAST,

multi-sequence alignment (MSA), sequence extraction, variation annotation, primer

design, electronic PCR and four graph visualization tools, which were all integrated

into the Tools portal (Figure 3). Notably, most of analysis tools support 28 published

Brassica genomes, and users can conduct these analyses without switching between

different platforms. For population-level multi-omics data analysis, OnlineGWAS

module can help users to perform GWAS by uploading phenotypic datasets. And we

newly developed COLOC and SMR online analysis and visualization tools based on

the rapeseed eQTL results of our database, which allow users to identify candidate

genes and causal variants by uploading GWAS results. In addition, to facilitate the

identification of germplasm resources, the SNPmatch tool based on the genetic

variation dataset of 2,311 accessions was integrated into the Tool portal (Pisupati et al.,

2017). In the SNPmatch module, the user can identify the accession with the highest

similarity to their accession by uploading the genotype of the sample.

In summary, BnIR provides abundant and comprehensive multi-omics data resources

and convenient analysis tools. As a high-efficiency data analysis platform, BnIR allows

direct utilization of these data and tools to mine genetic loci, genes and variations

associated with specific traits. Furthermore, BnIR can also facilitate understanding of

the mechanisms for gene expression regulation and phenotypic formation in the *B.*

napus genome from different omics dimensions.

Case study 1: Mining of genes associated with seed glucosinolate

content.

SGC is an important trait related to the oil quality of rapeseed (Gupta and Pratap, 2007;

Wang et al., 2018; Lu et al., 2019). In order to mine the genes related to SGC, GWAS

was first performed. By using the GWAS module of the Multi-omics portal, the

'Glucosinolate content' phenotype was selected for browsing significant GWAS signals

(Figure 4A). As a result, a total of 20 genetic loci distributed in 10 chromosomes were

identified to be associated with SGC (Figure 4B and Supplementary Table S5). Among

them, *qGLS.C09.8* containing *BnaC09.MYB28a* and *BnaC09.MYB28b* was the most

significant locus with the strongest effect (Figure 4C). *BnaC09.MYB28a* and

BnaC09.MYB28b are members of the large family of R2R3-MYB transcription factors

and have been identified as regulators of glucosinolate biosynthetic genes in *B. napus*

(Harper et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2018). Notably, the most significant variations were

distributed around *BnaC09.MYB28a* and *BnaC09.MYB28b* and showed strong linkage

disequilibrium (LD) (Figure 4D). To further determine whether these two

BnaC09.MYB28s are related to SGC, TWAS and co-localization analysis were

performed. By using the TWAS module to browse the results, *BnaC09.MYB28a* and

BnaC09.MYB28b were both found to be significantly associated with SGC

(Supplementary Table S7), suggesting that their expression levels can potentially

influence SGC. Then, the COLOC module was used to further test whether the GWAS

locus and the *cis*-eQTLs of *BnaC09.MYB28a* and *BnaC09.MYB28b* are co-localized

(Figure 4E). The two *BnaC09.MYB28s* and the 'Glucosinolate content' phenotype were

selected to query their co-localization analysis results (Figure 4E). As a result, they

shared the same causal variant ($PPH_4 = 0.99$), suggesting that *BnaC09.MYB28a* and

BnaC09.MYB28b are candidate genes associated with SGC (Figure 4F and G). In

addition, frameshift mutation (GCTA/-) may be the causal variation, which has been

analyzed in our previous research (Wang *et al.*, 2018; Yang *et al.*, 2022a) (Figure 4F

and G).

Case study 2: Identification of new genes associated with seed oil

content

SOC is one of the most important traits of rapeseed (Wang *et al.*, 2018; Tang *et al.*,

2021). Here, the published SOC data of 286 *B. napus* accessions were used to validate

the power of BnIR in identifying new candidate genes associated with traits (Wang *et*

al., 2021). First, five significant loci were identified using GWAS, among which

qOC.C07.1 was the most significant locus. Then, the GWAS module in BnIR was used

to browse the GWAS results in this locus (Supplementary Figure 1A). Significant

variations were mainly distributed near *BnaC07.SRK2D* (BnaC07G0388200ZS) with

strong LD (Supplementary Figure 1B). *BnaC07.SRK2D* encodes a member of abscisic

acid (ABA)-activated SNF1-related protein kinases (*SnRK2*), which play important

roles in various seed developmental processes such as de-greening, accumulation of

seed storage products, seed maturation, desiccation tolerance and germination

(Nakashima and Yamaguchi-Shinozaki, 2013; Lin et al., 2021; Ali et al., 2022; Kozaki

and Aoyanagi, 2022). Next, we attempted to check whether the expression of

BnaC07.SRK2D is related to SOC through co-localization analysis. When users enter

the COLOC module of the Multi-omics portal and then select the eQTL of

BnaC07.SRK2D and the QTL of SOC in GWAS (Figure 5A), the co-localization

analysis results would be showed on the page (Figure 5B-D), which indicated that they

shared the same causal variation, suggesting that *BnaC07.SRK2D* is a candidate gene

for SOC.

Then, we used the Variation portal to further explore how genetic variation in this

locus affects gene expression and ultimately influences the phenotype of the population.

When entering 'BnaC07G0388200ZS' in gene box of the Single-locus module of the

Variation portal and choosing the 1 kb of flanking region (Figure 5E), we obtained 13

variations in this gene region, including ten variations in the upstream or downstream

of the gene and three in the genic region (Figure 5F). Next, we performed a haplotype

analysis to examine their effects on the gene expression and phenotype. When selecting

these variations in the Multi-locus module (Figure 5G), we obtained two haplotypes

with a frequency higher than 0.05 (Figure 5H and I). We found that the accessions with

Hap2 (the same as Zhongshuang 11, a representative accession with high SOC; mean

SOC, 48.51%) had significantly higher gene expression and SOC than those with Hap1

(mean SOC, 43.22%) (Figure 5H and I). These results indicated that these significant

variations contribute to the divergence in the expression of *BnaC07.SRK2D* and

phenotype. In other words, the accessions with Hap2 had significantly higher

expression levels of *BnaC07.SRK2D*, which eventually contribute to higher SOC. Such

findings will provide a valuable reference for increasing SOC in future breeding.

Discussion

In this study, we first collected and processed rapeseed multi-omics data from recent

research and databases, and then constructed BnIR, the first rapeseed multi-omics

database. BnIR provides comprehensive multi-omics data resources, including genome

sequences, annotations and expression levels of genes, epigenetic signals, metabolite

contents, traits and association signals. In addition, multiple online analysis and

visualization tools were developed and integrated to help researchers to mine genetic

loci/genes and understand the regulatory mechanisms of gene expression and

phenotypic formation. Two case studies including mining of candidate genes associated

with SGC and SOC fully exhibited the power of BnIR in assisting genetic breeding.

Compared with the published rapeseed databases, BnIR has the following

characteristics. 1) It integrates and optimizes the data sources and functions of BnPIR,

BnTIR and BnVIR, and significantly improves the scale of the data source and response

speed of the webpage (Figure 6A). 2) To the best of our knowledge, BnIR comprises

the most comprehensive multi-omics datasets, including 13 published genome

assemblies, genetic variations of 2,311 accessions, transcriptome data from 2,791

libraries, 118 phenotypes, contents of 266 metabolites, and multiple epigenome data

involving histone modification, chromatin accessibility and chromatin interaction

(Figure 6B). 3) GWAS, eQTL, TWAS, SMR and co-localization analysis are applied to

mine the genetic loci, candidate genes and genetic variations, providing important

resources, tools and references for rapeseed breeding (Figure 6B). 4) BnIR provides

numerous common online multi-omics data analysis tools, which support all published

rapeseed genomes and provide a convenient and efficient analysis platform.

In summary, we provide a valuable database for future rapeseed breeding and

functional genomics research. In the future, with the release of more multi-omics

datasets, we will update the database annually. In addition, more newly developed high-

efficiency multi-omics methods will be applied to mine more candidate loci and genes

associated with traits. These efforts will make BnIR a more useful platform in rapeseed

research.

Materials and Methods

Data sources

To obtain comprehensive rapeseed multi-omics datasets, we mined and integrated the data from genomics, transcriptomics, genetic variations, phenotypic data, epigenetics and metabolomics (Figure 1; Supplementary Tables S1, S2, S3, S4). In total, 29 published *Brassica* genome assemblies (including 14 *B. napus* genome assemblies) and 2,290,519 genes in them were collected (Supplementary Table S1). As for the Transcriptome portal, 2,791 RNA-seq libraries of 272 individuals for 23 tissues were collected from published studies and databases (including 91 libraries from BnTIR) (Liu *et al.*, 2021a), which are listed in Supplementary Table S2. As for the phenotypic data, the data of 118 phenotypes of 2,512 accessions were collected from 13 published studies (Figure 2; Supplementary Table S3). The epigenetics data are summarized in Supplementary Table S4. Metabolome datasets were retrieved from two previous studies (Geng et al., 2017; Clement et al., 2018). Genetic variation datasets of 2,311 accessions from BnVIR were also integrated into BnIR (Yang *et al.*, 2022a).

Reanalysis of omics datasets

For the omics datasets from different studies, considering the differences in data processing and analysis processes, unified pipelines and parameters were used for reanalysis of datasets during integration.

Genome annotation. For three newly collected *B. napus* genome assemblies, the repeat sequences were predicted as previously described and then integrated into the GBrowse of Genome synteny module (McKay et al., 2010; Song *et al.*, 2020). The gene families, transcription factors, GO terms and KEGG pathways of all genome assemblies were predicted according to their homology to the *A. thaliana* genome and the annotations of *A. thaliana* downloaded from TAIR (https://www.arabidopsis.org/).

Comparative genome analysis. Genome sequences were compared between ZS11 reference genome and other 11 *B. napus* and two diploid (Z1 and HDEM) genome assemblies using the NUCmer program (v.4.0.0beta2) with parameters "nucmer --mum

–noextend" in MUMmer4 (Marcais et al., 2018). After filtering of the one-to-one

alignments with a minimum alignment length of 50 bp using the show-diff program

from MUMmer4, the remaining alignment blocks were used for genome browser

visualization. For genome browser visualization, the Dotplot module in JBrowse2 and

Genome synteny module in GBrowser were embedded in BnIR (McKay *et al.*, 2010;

Hofmeister and Schmitz, 2018).

A total of 1,185,955 genes from 12 *B. napus* genome assemblies were used to

construct the gene clusters. First, protein sequences of every pair from 12 genome

assemblies   were   aligned   using   DIAMOND   (v.0.9.14.115,

http://github.com/bbuchfink/diamond). Then, gene synteny was detected by McScan

(python version). The genes with synteny were grouped into one cluster. Finally, these

genes were grouped into 231,106 gene clusters.

Transcriptome analysis. After clipping adaptor sequences and removing low-quality

reads by fastp (v. 0.23.0), the clean RNA-seq data from accessions were mapped to the

ZS11 reference genome using Hisat2 (v.2.1.2) with default parameters (Kim et al.,

2015). Gene expression levels were normalized using the number of transcripts per

kilobase million reads (TPM) by the StringTie software (v.1.3.5) with default

settings(Pertea et al., 2015). The co-expression network was obtained by calculating

the Pearson correlation coefficient of pairwise gene expression levels, and the gene

modules including the gene pairs with a Pearson correlation coefficient higher than 0.8

were retained as a co-expression network.

Epigenome analysis. The methods used to remove adaptor sequences and filter low-

quality reads were the same as those in RNA-seq data analysis. As for ChIP-seq and

ATAC-seq, the clean data from accessions were mapped to the ZS11 reference genome

using bowtie2 (v.2.3.2) with default parameters (Langmead and Salzberg, 2012). PCR

duplicate   reads   were   removed   using   Picard   (v.2.19,

http://broadinstitute.github.io/picard/). Peaks were called using the callpeak module of

MACS2 software (v.2.1.2) with the parameters " --broad -f BAM -g 1000000000 -B -p

0.00001 --nomodel --extsize 147 " (Feng et al., 2012).

As for Hi-C, the clean reads of each accession were mapped to the ZS11 reference

genome using BWA-MEM with default parameters (Li and Durbin, 2009; Song *et al.*,

2020). Then, the Hi-C interaction matrix was created using the Juicer pipeline (Durand

et al., 2016). The KR-normalized matrix was extracted from Hi-C format files at the

resolutions of 10 kb, 50 kb and 100 kb using the Juicer_tools (v.1.7.6) for JBrowser

(Rao et al., 2014; Buels et al., 2016), and then compartments were called using

Juicer_tools at the resolution of 100 kb.

As for the BS-seq data, clean data of each accession were mapped to the ZS11

reference genome using Bismark (v.0.13.0) with the parameter settings "-N 1, -L 30"

(Krueger and Andrews, 2011). BigWig files of all epigenome data analysis can be

visited by JBrowser in the Tools portal (Buels *et al.*, 2016).

GWAS. As for the phenotypic datasets from different studies, GWAS was performed

using the common pipeline. First, the SNPs and InDels with a minor allele frequency

(MAF) lower than 0.05 were filtered. Then, GWAS was performed using GEMMA

(v.0.98.1) (Zhou and Stephens, 2012). The population structure was controlled by

including the first three principal components as covariates, as well as an IBS kinship

matrix derived from all variants (SNPs and InDels) calculated by GEMMA. The cutoff

for determining significant associations was set as $-\log_{10}(1/n)$, where n represents the

total number of variations. Finally, genetic loci and lead variation were identified with

the following steps. 1) Two significant variations were regarded as the same locus if the

distance between them was less than 0.5 Mb and; 2) As for a genetic locus, the most

significant variation associated with the phenotype was identified as the lead SNP.

eQTL mapping. Gene expression values were taken as the values of the phenotype for

eQTL mapping. Only those genes expressed in more than 95% of the accessions were

defined as expressed genes for eQTL mapping. Variations with MAF > 5% were used

to perform GWAS for each gene by using GEMMA to detect the associations for

variations and genes (Zhou and Stephens, 2012). The cutoff for determining significant

associations was set as -log$_{10}$(1/n), where n represents the total number of variations.

Based on the distance between eQTLs and targeted-genes, we subdivided all eQTLs

into cis-eQTLs if the variation was found within 1 Mb of the transcription start site or

transcription end site of the target gene, otherwise as trans-eQTLs. In BnIR, the

regulatory pairs of eQTLs and eGenes are visualized using BioCircos.js (Cui et al.,

2016).

TWAS. TWAS was used to integrate GWAS and gene expression datasets to identify

gene-trait associations (Gusev *et al.*, 2016). TWAS was conducted by the EMMAX

module using the gene expression data of seeds at 20 DAF and 40 DAF with the data

of the phenotypes from the same accessions. Models were considered as

'transcriptome-wide significant' if they passed the Bonferroni correction for all genes.

SMR analysis. SMR analysis integrated the summary-level data from GWAS with

eQTL data to identify genes associated with a complex trait considering their pleiotropy.

The *cis*-eQTL signals of expressed genes and GWAS signals of the phenotype were

used to perform SMR analysis and HEIDI test by SMR software (v.1.03) (Gusev *et al.*,

2016). Then, the gene was defined as a candidate gene of the phenotype when the -

log$_{10}$(P-value) of SMR was lower than 1/n (n is the number of all expressed protein-

coding genes) and P-value of HEIDI test was higher than 1.57×10^{-3}.

Co-localization analysis. GWAS loci associated with phenotypes and candidate genes

in these loci were used for co-localization analysis performed using the "COLOC" R

package with default parameters (Hormozdiari et al., 2016). The variants in *cis*-eQTLs

of genes and QTLs of phenotypes were defined as co-localized when the posterior

probability of a co-localized signal (PPH$_4$) value was higher than 0.5 and there was at

least one shared significant variation.

GO and KEGG enrichment analysis. The GO and KEGG enrichment analysis was

as follows: Firstly, we used blastp to establish homologous gene pairs of each rapeseed

genome and Arabidopsis genome(Camacho et al., 2009; Boratyn et al., 2013), and then

assigned the genes in the rapeseed genome according to the GO and KEGG annotation

results of the Arabidopsis genome. Next, GO and KEGG libraries were established for

each rapeseed genome using the 'clusterProfiler' R package(Yu et al., 2012). Finally,

based on the GO and KEGG libraries, the 'clusterProfiler' R package was used for GO

and KEGG enrichment analysis according to the gene list input by users.

Implementation

BnIR (http://yanglab.hzau.edu.cn/BnIR) was constructed based on the ThinkPHP

(v.5.0.24) framework with jQuery (v.3.6.0) as the JavaScript library, and runs on the

Apache 2 web server (v.2.4.53) with MySQL (v.8.0.29) as its database engine. The

database is available online without registration and optimized for Chrome

(recommended), Opera, Firefox, Windows Edge and macOS Safari.

DATA AVAILABILITY

Sources of all datasets are described in supplemental materials and methods. All

datasets are made available at http://yanglab.hzau.edu.cn/BnIR/download.

FUNDING

This research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China

(32070559), the National Key Research and Development Plan of China

(2021YFF1000100), China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (2022M710875), Hubei

Hongshan Laboratory (2021HSZD004) and Developing Bioinformatics Platform in

Hainan Yazhou Bay Seed Lab (No. JBGS-B21HJ0001).

Conflict of interest statement. None declared.

Author contributions

Q.-Y.Y. designed the project. Q.-Y.Y. and Z.Y. managed and coordinated the project.

Z.Y., S.W., Y.M.H., C.L., Y.J., Y.L. and Y.H. collected datasets and performed the

bioinformatics analysis. Q.-Y.Y., Z.Y. and D.L designed the database; S.W., Y.M.H.,

L.W. and D.L. constructed the database. Q.-Y.Y., Z.Y., S.W. and Y.M.H wrote the

manuscript. Q.-Y.Y., Y.Z., L.G. and C.D. directed the project.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Prof. Kede Liu from Huazhong Agricultural University of China, Prof. Kun Lu from Southwest University of China, Dr. Wujian from Yangzhou University of China, and other researchers for many very good and constructive feedback and suggestions in improving BnIR. We thank bioinformatics computing platform of the National Key Laboratory of Crop Genetic Improvement, Huazhong Agricultural University, managed by Hao Liu.

Reference

Ali, F., Qanmber, G., Li, F., and Wang, Z. (2022). Updated role of ABA in seed
maturation, dormancy, and germination. J. Adv. Res. **35**:199-214.
Boratyn, G.M., Camacho, C., Cooper, P.S., Coulouris, G., Fong, A., Ma, N.,
Madden, T.L., Matten, W.T., McGinnis, S.D., Merezhuk, Y., et al. (2013).
BLAST: a more efficient report with usability improvements. Nucleic Acids Res.
41:W29-33.
Buels, R., Yao, E., Diesh, C.M., Hayes, R.D., Munoz-Torres, M., Helt, G.,
Goodstein, D.M., Elsik, C.G., Lewis, S.E., Stein, L., et al. (2016). JBrowse: a
dynamic web platform for genome visualization and analysis. Genome Biol. **17**:66.
Bus, A., Korber, N., Snowdon, R.J., and Stich, B. (2011). Patterns of molecular
variation in a species-wide germplasm set of *Brassica napus*. TAG. Theoretical
and applied genetics. Theoretische und angewandte Genetik **123**:1413-1423.
Camacho, C., Coulouris, G., Avagyan, V., Ma, N., Papadopoulos, J., Bealer, K., and
Madden, T.L. (2009). BLAST+: architecture and applications. BMC
Bioinformatics **10**:421.
Chalhoub, B., Denoeud, F., Liu, S., Parkin, I.A., Tang, H., Wang, X., Chiquet, J.,
Belcram, H., Tong, C., Samans, B., et al. (2014). Plant genetics. Early
allopolyploid evolution in the post-Neolithic *Brassica napus* oilseed genome.
Science **345**:950-953.
Chen, L., Wan, H., Qian, J., Guo, J., Sun, C., Wen, J., Yi, B., Ma, C., Tu, J., Song,
L., et al. (2018). Genome-wide association study of cadmium accumulation at the
seedling stage in rapeseed (*Brassica napus* L.). Front. Plant Sci. **9**:375.
Clement, G., Moison, M., Soulay, F., Reisdorf-Cren, M., and Masclaux-Daubresse,
C. (2018). Metabolomics of laminae and midvein during leaf senescence and
source-sink metabolite management in *Brassica napus* L. leaves. J. Exp. Bot.
69:891-903.
Cui, Y., Chen, X., Luo, H., Fan, Z., Luo, J., He, S., Yue, H., Zhang, P., and Chen,
R. (2016). BioCircos.js: an interactive Circos JavaScript library for biological data
visualization on web applications. Bioinformatics **32**:1740-1742.
Durand, N.C., Shamim, M.S., Machol, I., Rao, S.S., Huntley, M.H., Lander, E.S.,
and Aiden, E.L. (2016). Juicer provides a one-click system for analyzing loop-
resolution Hi-C experiments. Cell Syst. **3**:95-98.
Edwards, D., and Batley, J. (2004). Plant bioinformatics: from genome to phenome.
Trends Biotechnol. **22**:232-237.
Feng, J., Liu, T., Qin, B., Zhang, Y., and Liu, X.S. (2012). Identifying ChIP-seq
enrichment using MACS. Nat. Protoc. **7**:1728-1740.
Fernie, A.R., and Gutierrez-Marcos, J. (2019). From genome to phenome: genome-
wide association studies and other approaches that bridge the genotype to
phenotype gap. Plant J. **97**:5-7.
Friedt, W., Tu, J., and Fu, T. (2018). Academic and economic importance of *Brassica*

napus rapeseed. In The Brassica napus Genome, S. Liu and R. Snowdon and B.
Chalhoub, eds. (Springer International Publishing: Cham), pp. 1-20.

Geng, S., Yu, B., Zhu, N., Dufresne, C., and Chen, S. (2017). Metabolomics and
proteomics of *Brassica napus* guard cells in response to Low CO_2. Front. Mol.
Biosci. **4**:51.

Goring, H.H., Curran, J.E., Johnson, M.P., Dyer, T.D., Charlesworth, J., Cole, S.A.,
Jowett, J.B., Abraham, L.J., Rainwater, D.L., Comuzzie, A.G., et al. (2007).
Discovery of expression QTLs using large-scale transcriptional profiling in human
lymphocytes. Nat. Genet. **39**:1208-1216.

Grant, D., Nelson, R.T., Cannon, S.B., and Shoemaker, R.C. (2010). SoyBase, the
USDA-ARS soybean genetics and genomics database. Nucleic Acids Res.
38:D843-846.

Gui, S., Yang, L., Li, J., Luo, J., Xu, X., Yuan, J., Chen, L., Li, W., Yang, X., Wu,
S., et al. (2020). ZEAMAP, a Comprehensive Database Adapted to the Maize
Multi-Omics Era. iScience **23**:101241.

Gupta, S.K., and Pratap, A. (2007). History, Origin, and Evolution. In Advances in
Botanical Research, (Academic Press: pp. 1-20.

Gusev, A., Ko, A., Shi, H., Bhatia, G., Chung, W., Penninx, B.W.J.H., Jansen, R.,
de Geus, E.J.C., Boomsma, D.I., Wright, F.A., et al. (2016). Integrative
approaches for large-scale transcriptome-wide association studies. Nat. Genet.
48:245-252.

Harper, A.L., Trick, M., Higgins, J., Fraser, F., Clissold, L., Wells, R., Hattori, C.,
Werner, P., and Bancroft, I. (2012). Associative transcriptomics of traits in the
polyploid crop species *Brassica napus*. Nat. Biotechnol. **30**:798-802.

He, Y., Yang, Z., Tang, M., Yang, Q.Y., Zhang, Y., and Liu, S. (2022). Enhancing
canola breeding by editing a glucosinolate transporter gene lacking natural
variation. Plant Physiol. **188**:1848-1851.

Hofmeister, B.T., and Schmitz, R.J. (2018). Enhanced JBrowse plugins for
epigenomics data visualization. BMC Bioinformatics **19**:159.

Hormozdiari, F., van de Bunt, M., Segre, A.V., Li, X., Joo, J.W.J., Bilow, M., Sul,
J.H., Sankararaman, S., Pasaniuc, B., and Eskin, E. (2016). Colocalization of
GWAS and eQTL signals detects target genes. Am. J. Hum. Genet. **99**:1245-1260.

Hu, J., Chen, B., Zhao, J., Zhang, F., Xie, T., Xu, K., Gao, G., Yan, G., Li, H., Li,
L., et al. (2022). Genomic selection and genetic architecture of agronomic traits
during modern rapeseed breeding. Nat. Genet. **54**:694-704.

Ichihashi, Y., Date, Y., Shino, A., Shimizu, T., Shibata, A., Kumaishi, K., Funahashi,
F., Wakayama, K., Yamazaki, K., Umezawa, A., et al. (2020). Multi-omics
analysis on an agroecosystem reveals the significant role of organic nitrogen to
increase agricultural crop yield. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **117**:14552-14560.

Kim, D., Langmead, B., and Salzberg, S.L. (2015). HISAT: a fast spliced aligner with
low memory requirements. Nat. Methods **12**:357-360.

Kittipol, V., He, Z., Wang, L., Doheny-Adams, T., Langer, S., and Bancroft, I.

(2019). Data in support of genetic architecture of glucosinolate variations in
Brassica napus. Data Brief **25**:104402.

Korber, N., Bus, A., Li, J., Parkin, I.A., Wittkop, B., Snowdon, R.J., and Stich, B.
(2016). Agronomic and deed quality traits dissected by genome-wide association
mapping in *Brassica napus*. Front. Plant Sci. **7**:386.

Korber, N., Bus, A., Li, J., Higgins, J., Bancroft, I., Higgins, E.E., Parkin, I.A.,
Salazar-Colqui, B., Snowdon, R.J., and Stich, B. (2015). Seedling development
traits in *Brassica napus* examined by gene expression analysis and association
mapping. BMC Plant Biol. **15**:136.

Kozaki, A., and Aoyanagi, T. (2022). Molecular aspects of seed development
controlled by gibberellins and abscisic acids. Int. J. Mol. Sci. **23**:1876.

Krueger, F., and Andrews, S.R. (2011). Bismark: a flexible aligner and methylation
caller for Bisulfite-Seq applications. Bioinformatics **27**:1571-1572.

Kudo, T., Kobayashi, M., Terashima, S., Katayama, M., Ozaki, S., Kanno, M.,
Saito, M., Yokoyama, K., Ohyanagi, H., Aoki, K., et al. (2017). TOMATOMICS:
A Web Database for Integrated Omics Information in Tomato. Plant & cell
physiology **58**:e8.

Langmead, B., and Salzberg, S.L. (2012). Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2.
Nat. Methods **9**:357-359.

Li, D., Huang, Z., Song, S., Xin, Y., Mao, D., Lv, Q., Zhou, M., Tian, D., Tang, M.,
Wu, Q., et al. (2016). Integrated analysis of phenome, genome, and transcriptome
of hybrid rice uncovered multiple heterosis-related loci for yield increase. Proc.
Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **113**:E6026-E6035.

Li, H., and Durbin, R. (2009). Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-
Wheeler transform. Bioinformatics **25**:1754-1760.

Li, T., Bian, J., Tang, M., Shangguan, H., Zeng, Y., Luo, R., Sun, H., Ke, Q., Nie,
X., Li, Y., et al. (2022). BGFD: an integrated multi-omics database of barley gene
families. BMC Plant Biol. **22**:454.

Lin, Z., Li, Y., Wang, Y., Liu, X., Ma, L., Zhang, Z., Mu, C., Zhang, Y., Peng, L.,
Xie, S., et al. (2021). Initiation and amplification of SnRK2 activation in abscisic
acid signaling. Nat. Commun. **12**:2456.

Liu, D., Yu, L., Wei, L., Yu, P., Wang, J., Zhao, H., Zhang, Y., Zhang, S., Yang, Z.,
Chen, G., et al. (2021a). BnTIR: an online transcriptome platform for exploring
RNA-seq libraries for oil crop Brassica napus. Plant Biotechnol. J. **19**:1895-1897.

Liu, H., Wang, J., Zhang, B., Yang, X., Hammond, J.P., Ding, G., Wang, S., Cai,
H., Wang, C., Xu, F., et al. (2021b). Genome-wide association study dissects the
genetic control of plant height and branch number in response to low-phosphorus
stress in *Brassica napus*. Ann. Bot. **128**:919-930.

Liu, H., Li, X., Zhang, Q., Yuan, P., Liu, L., King, G.J., Ding, G., Wang, S., Cai, H.,
Wang, C., et al. (2021c). Integrating a genome-wide association study with
transcriptomic data to predict candidate genes and favourable haplotypes
influencing *Brassica napus* seed phytate. DNA Res. **28**:dsab011.

Liu, J., Hua, W., Hu, Z., Yang, H., Zhang, L., Li, R., Deng, L., Sun, X., Wang, X.,
and Wang, H. (2015). Natural variation in *ARF18* gene simultaneously affects
seed weight and silique length in polyploid rapeseed. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S.
A. **112**:E5123-5132.

Liu, S., Huang, H., Yi, X., Zhang, Y., Yang, Q., Zhang, C., Fan, C., and Zhou, Y.
(2020). Dissection of genetic architecture for glucosinolate accumulations in
leaves and seeds of *Brassica napus* by genome-wide association study. Plant
Biotechnol. J. **18**:1472-1484.

Lu, K., Wei, L., Li, X., Wang, Y., Wu, J., Liu, M., Zhang, C., Chen, Z., Xiao, Z.,
Jian, H., et al. (2019). Whole-genome resequencing reveals *Brassica napus* origin
and genetic loci involved in its improvement. Nat. Commun. **10**:1154.

Ma, S., Wang, M., Wu, J., Guo, W., Chen, Y., Li, G., Wang, Y., Shi, W., Xia, G., Fu,
D., et al. (2021). WheatOmics: A platform combining multiple omics data to
accelerate functional genomics studies in wheat. Mol. Plant **14**:1965-1968.

Marcais, G., Delcher, A.L., Phillippy, A.M., Coston, R., Salzberg, S.L., and Zimin,
A. (2018). MUMmer4: A fast and versatile genome alignment system. PLoS
Comput. Biol. **14**:e1005944.

McKay, S.J., Vergara, I.A., and Stajich, J.E. (2010). Using the generic synteny
browser (GBrowse_syn). Curr. Protoc. Bioinformatics **Chapter 9**:Unit-9.12.

Nakashima, K., and Yamaguchi-Shinozaki, K. (2013). ABA signaling in stress-
response and seed development. Plant Cell Rep. **32**:959-970.

Pertea, M., Pertea, G.M., Antonescu, C.M., Chang, T.C., Mendell, J.T., and
Salzberg, S.L. (2015). StringTie enables improved reconstruction of a
transcriptome from RNA-seq reads. Nat. Biotechnol. **33**:290-295.

Pisupati, R., Reichardt, I., Seren, U., Korte, P., Nizhynska, V., Kerdaffrec, E.,
Uzunova, K., Rabanal, F.A., Filiault, D.L., and Nordborg, M. (2017).
Verification of Arabidopsis stock collections using SNPmatch, a tool for
genotyping high-plexed samples. Sci. Data **4**:170184.

Rao, S.S., Huntley, M.H., Durand, N.C., Stamenova, E.K., Bochkov, I.D., Robinson,
J.T., Sanborn, A.L., Machol, I., Omer, A.D., Lander, E.S., et al. (2014). A 3D
map of the human genome at kilobase resolution reveals principles of chromatin
looping. Cell **159**:1665-1680.

Sakai, H., Lee, S.S., Tanaka, T., Numa, H., Kim, J., Kawahara, Y., Wakimoto, H.,
Yang, C.C., Iwamoto, M., Abe, T., et al. (2013). Rice Annotation Project
Database (RAP-DB): an integrative and interactive database for rice genomics.
Plant & cell physiology **54**:e6.

Song, J.M., Liu, D.X., Xie, W.Z., Yang, Z., Guo, L., Liu, K., Yang, Q.Y., and Chen,
L.L. (2021). BnPIR: *Brassica napus* pan-genome information resource for 1689
accessions. Plant Biotechnol. J. **19**:412-414.

Song, J.M., Guan, Z., Hu, J., Guo, C., Yang, Z., Wang, S., Liu, D., Wang, B., Lu,
S., Zhou, R., et al. (2020). Eight high-quality genomes reveal pan-genome
architecture and ecotype differentiation of *Brassica napus*. Nat. Plants **6**:34-45.

Sun, C., Wang, B., Yan, L., Hu, K., Liu, S., Zhou, Y., Guan, C., Zhang, Z., Li, J.,
Zhang, J., et al. (2016a). Genome-wide association study provides insight into
the genetic control of plant height in rapeseed (*Brassica napus* L.). Front. Plant
Sci. **7**:1102.
Sun, C., Wang, B., Wang, X., Hu, K., Li, K., Li, Z., Li, S., Yan, L., Guan, C., Zhang,
J., et al. (2016b). Genome-wide association study dissecting the genetic
architecture underlying the branch angle trait in rapeseed (*Brassica napus* L.). Sci.
Rep. **6**:33673.
Tang, S., Zhao, H., Lu, S., Yu, L., Zhang, G., Zhang, Y., Yang, Q.Y., Zhou, Y., Wang,
X., Ma, W., et al. (2021). Genome- and transcriptome-wide association studies
provide insights into the genetic basis of natural variation of seed oil content in
Brassica napus. Mol. Plant **14**:470-487.
Tuggle, C.K., Clarke, J., Dekkers, J.C.M., Ertl, D., Lawrence-Dill, C.J., Lyons, E.,
Murdoch, B.M., Scott, N.M., and Schnable, P.S. (2022). The Agricultural
Genome to Phenome Initiative (AG2PI): creating a shared vision across crop and
livestock research communities. Genome Biol. **23**:3.
Wang, B., Wu, Z., Li, Z., Zhang, Q., Hu, J., Xiao, Y., Cai, D., Wu, J., King, G.J.,
Li, H., et al. (2018). Dissection of the genetic architecture of three seed-quality
traits and consequences for breeding in *Brassica napus*. Plant Biotechnol. J.
16:1336-1348.
Wang, H., Wang, Q., Pak, H., Yan, T., Chen, M., Chen, X., Wu, D., and Jiang, L.
(2021). Genome-wide association study reveals a patatin-like lipase relating to the
reduction of seed oil content in *Brassica napus*. BMC Plant Biol. **21**:6.
Wu, D., Liang, Z., Yan, T., Xu, Y., Xuan, L., Tang, J., Zhou, G., Lohwasser, U., Hua,
S., Wang, H., et al. (2019). Whole-genome resequencing of a worldwide
collection of rapeseed accessions reveals the genetic basis of ecotype divergence.
Mol. Plant **12**:30-43.
Wu, L., Han, L., Li, Q., Wang, G., Zhang, H., and Li, L. (2021). Using interactome
big data to crack genetic mysteries and enhance future crop breeding. Mol. Plant
14:77-94.
Xuan, L., Yan, T., Lu, L., Zhao, X., Wu, D., Hua, S., and Jiang, L. (2020). Genome-
wide association study reveals new genes involved in leaf trichome formation in
polyploid oilseed rape (*Brassica napus* L.). Plant Cell Environ. **43**:675-691.
Yang, Y., Saand, M.A., Huang, L., Abdelaal, W.B., Zhang, J., Wu, Y., Li, J., Sirohi,
M.H., and Wang, F. (2021). Applications of multi-omics technologies for crop
improvement. Front. Plant Sci. **12**:563953.
Yang, Z., Liang, C., Wei, L., Wang, S., Yin, F., Liu, D., Guo, L., Zhou, Y., and Yang,
Q.Y. (2022a). BnVIR: bridging the genotype-phenotype gap to accelerate mining
of candidate variations underlying agronomic traits in Brassica napus. Mol. Plant
15:779-782.
Yang, Z., Zhang, H., Li, X., Shen, H., Gao, J., Hou, S., Zhang, B., Mayes, S.,
Bennett, M., Ma, J., et al. (2020). A mini foxtail millet with an Arabidopsis-like

life cycle as a C4 model system. Nat. Plants **6**:1167-1178.

Yang, Z., Wang, J., Huang, Y., Wang, S., Wei, L., Liu, D., Weng, Y., Xiang, J., Zhu,
Q., Yang, Z., et al. (2022b). CottonMD: a multi-omics database for cotton
biological study. Nucleic Acids Res. **51**:D1446-D1456.

Yu, G., Wang, L.G., Han, Y., and He, Q.Y. (2012). clusterProfiler: an R package for
comparing biological themes among gene clusters. OMICS **16**:284-287.

Yu, J., Pressoir, G., Briggs, W.H., Vroh Bi, I., Yamasaki, M., Doebley, J.F.,
McMullen, M.D., Gaut, B.S., Nielsen, D.M., Holland, J.B., et al. (2006). A
unified mixed-model method for association mapping that accounts for multiple
levels of relatedness. Nat. Genet. **38**:203-208.

Zhang, G., Zhou, J., Peng, Y., Tan, Z., Li, L., Yu, L., Jin, C., Fang, S., Lu, S., Guo,
L., et al. (2021). Genome-wide association studies of salt tolerance at seed
germination and seedling stages in *Brassica napus*. Front. Plant Sci. **12**:772708.

Zhang, G., Peng, Y., Zhou, J., Tan, Z., Jin, C., Fang, S., Zhong, S., Jin, C., Wang,
R., Wen, X., et al. (2022a). Genome-wide association studies of salt-alkali
tolerance at seedling and mature stages in *Brassica napus*. Front. Plant Sci.
13:857149.

Zhang, Y., Zhang, H., Zhao, H., Xia, Y., Zheng, X., Fan, R., Tan, Z., Duan, C., Fu,
Y., Li, L., et al. (2022b). Multi-omics analysis dissects the genetic architecture of
seed coat content in *Brassica napus*. Genome Biol. **23**:86.

Zhou, X., and Stephens, M. (2012). Genome-wide efficient mixed-model analysis for
association studies. Nat. Genet. **44**:821-824.

Zhu, Z., Zhang, F., Hu, H., Bakshi, A., Robinson, M.R., Powell, J.E., Montgomery,
G.W., Goddard, M.E., Wray, N.R., Visscher, P.M., et al. (2016). Integration of
summary data from GWAS and eQTL studies predicts complex trait gene targets.
Nat. Genet. **48**:481-487.

Supplemental information

Supplementary Figures

Supplementary Figure 1: Mining the genes associated with SOC using GWAS

module.

Supplementary Tables

Supplementary Table 1: Data source of 29 genome assemblies in the Genome

portal.

Supplementary Table S2: Summary of transcriptome datasets in BnIR.

Supplementary Table S3: Summary of phenotypic datasets in BnIR.

Supplementary Table S4: Summary of epigenetics datasets in BnIR.

Supplementary Table S5: Significant GWAS loci associated with traits.

Supplementary Table S6: Summary of eQTL mapping.

Supplementary Table S7: Significant genes associated with traits by TWAS.

Supplementary Table S8: Significant genes associated with traits by SMR.

Supplementary Table S9: Significant genes associated with traits by co-

localization analysis.

Figure legends

Figure 1 Construction pipelines and overview of BnIR.

Figure 2 Integration of multi-omics data. (A) Pipeline of integrating population-level multi-omics datasets. **(B-E)** Usage of the GWAS module. **(B)** Pipeline of searching the GWAS signals of seed erucic acid content. **(C)** Global GWAS signals of seed erucic acid content. **(D)** List of significant variations in A08:18500000–19000000. **(E)** Local Manhattan plot of A08:18500000-19000000. Purple diamond represents the lead variation. Green to red dots indicate weak to strong linkage disequilibrium coefficients with the lead variation. **(F-H)** Usage of eQTL module. **(F)** Pipeline of searching the eQTL signals of *BnaA09.ARF18*. **(G)** List of eSNPs of *BnaA09.ARF18*. **(H)** Circos plot of the global eQTLs of *BnaA09.ARF18*. Purple dot in the outer circle represents the genomic position of *BnaA09.ARF18*. Purple dots in the median circle represent the P-values of eSNPs. Yellow lines represent the associations between eSNPs and *BnaA09.ARF18*.

Figure 3 Multi-omics tools in the Tools portal of BnIR. A total of 18 tools were integrated into the Tools portal, including Jbrowse **(A)**, BLAST **(B)**, MSA **(C)**, GO enrichment analysis **(D)**, KEGG enrichment analysis **(E)**, co-localization analysis (COLOC) **(F)**, SMR **(G)**, germplasm identification tool SNPmatch **(H)**, primer design tool Primer3 **(I)** and electronic PCR tool e-PCR **(J)**.

Figure 4 Case study of mining the genes associated with SGC. (A) Pipeline of searching SGC in GWAS module. **(B)** Genome-wide Manhattan plot of SGC in the GWAS module. **(C)** List of 402 significant variations associated with SGC identified by GWAS. **(D)** Local Manhattan plot of SGC at C09: 4.0–4.5 Mb. Two purple texts represent *BnaC09.MYB28a* and *BnaC09.MYB28b*. **(E)** Pipeline of searching the co-localization analysis results of *BnaC09.MYB28*s in BnIR. **(F-G)** Co-localization analysis of *cis*-eQTLs of two *BnaC09.MYB28*s and GWAS loci. *Cis*-eQTL signals of *BnaC09.MYB28a* **(F)** and *BnaC09.MYB28b* **(G)** co-localized with the *qGLS.C09.8* locus in GWAS. In **(D)**, **(F)** and **(G)**, purple diamonds represent the lead variations (one

4-bp frameshift InDel of GCTA/-), and green to red dots indicate weak to strong linkage

disequilibrium coefficients with the lead variation.

Figure 5 Case study of identifying new genes associated with SOC. (A) Pipeline of

searching the co-localization analysis results of *BnaC07.SnRK2D* in BnIR. **(B-D)** Co-

localization analysis of *cis*-eQTL of *BnaC07.SnRK2D* and GWAS loci. **(B-C)** Local

Manhattan plots of SOC in GWAS **(B)** and *BnaC07.SnRK2D* in eQTL mapping **(C)**.

(D) *Cis*-eQTL signals of *BnaC07.SnRK2D* co-localized with *qOC.C07.1* locus in

GWAS. Purple diamond represents the lead variation. Green to red dots indicate weak

to strong linkage disequilibrium coefficients with the lead variation. **(E)** Pipeline of

searching variations in *BnaC07.SnRK2D* using the Single-locus module. **(F)** Variations

in *BnaC07.SnRK2D* and its upstream and downstream variation within 1 kb. Red,

orange, blue and black inverted triangles represent variations with high, moderate, low

and modifier effects. Pink dots represent significant variations with SOC in GWAS. **(G)**

Pipeline of haplotype analysis using the Multi-locus module. **(H-I)** Violin plots of SOC

(H) and expression levels of *BnaC07.SnRK2D* **(I)** in accessions with Hap1 (orange

boxes) and Hap2 (blue boxes).

Figure 6 New features of BnIR. (A) Upgrade of BnIR relative to BnPIR, BnTIR and

BnVIR. Red bold texts represent new datasets in BnIR. **(B)** Comparison of BnIR with

other *B. napus* omics databases. Green to yellow cells represent fewer to more omics

datasets.

Genomics

29 genome assemblies
2,290,519 genes

↓

Genome alignment
Gene synteny

↓

Dotplot
GBrowse | 5,956 TFs | 88,345 gene familes

Variaition

2,311 accessions

↓

8,709,516 SNPs
1,287,483 InDels
93,560 SVs

↓

Population structure
(Q1, Q2 and Q3)

↓

Selective signals
(π, Tajima'D and F_{ST})

Epigenomics

13 accessions
6 tissues

Chromatin accessibility

ATAC-seq from seeds of 12 accessions

↓

386,313 peaks

Histone modification

ChIP-seq from 5 tissues of 2 accessions

↓

5,041,596 peaks

Chromatin interaction

Hi-C data from 2 tissues of 3 accessions

↓

Call compartments

DNA methylation

WGBS-seq from seeds of 6 accessions

↓

Methylation levels of CG, CHG and CHH

Transcriptomics

RNA datasets collected from 2,791 libraries

↓

Gene expression profile

↓

Co-expression network

RNA-seq datasets of 978 accessions at 3 tissues/stages

↓

Gene expression in population

Metabolomics

266 metabolites from 2 accessions

Phenomics

118 phenotypes of 2,512 accessions

BnIR

Multi-omics

eQTL

GWAS

Joint analysis
TWAS, SMR, Colocalization analysis, ...

Network

Coexpression network

TF regulation network

Metabolome regulation network

Tools

JBrowser

Blast | Seq fetch

GO enrichment

KEGG enrichment

Variat annotation

Multi Alignment Viewer

LDheatmap   ...

A Association analysis of multi-omics datasets

Expression
(309 accessions;
100,997 expressed genes)

Genotype
(2,311 accessions;
9,996,999 variations)

Phenotype
(2,311 accessions;
68 phenotypes)

eQTL
1,118,218 eQTLs
involving 968,375 lead
eSNPs and 33,507 eGenes

GWAS
379 loci associated
with 55 phenotypes

TWAS + SMR + Colocalization analysis
3991 candidate genes were significantly
associated with 131 phenotypes

B GWAS of erucic acid content

BnIR
e.g. FLC or AT1G02970
Multi-omics
GWAS

Select a trait in the table
e.g. Erucic acid (C22:1)

submit

C

Click here

6.62
Mb

D

SNP	-lg(p)	r²	Chr	Pos	allele1/allele0	Variation type	Function type
BnaA08018618888SNV	71.82	1	A08	18618888	G/A	missense_variant	MODERATE
BnaA08018569719SNV	67.72	0.876681	A08	18569719	A/G	upstream_gene_variant	MODIFIER
...							

Showing 1 to 10 of 462 entries

Previous 1 2 3 4 5 … 47 Next

E

missense_variant G/A
(c.845T>C|p.Phe282Ser)

r²
● 0-0.2
● 0.2-0.4
● 0.4-0.6
● 0.6-0.8
● 0.8-1
◆ Lead SNP

G

ZS11 Gene ID	Gene pos	SNP	SNP pos	Beta	P-value
BnaA09G0559300ZS	A09:57331806..57334821	BnaA07022382458SNV	22382458	2.00	1.29e-7
BnaA09G0559300ZS	A09:57331806..57334821	BnaA09006426352SNV	6426352	2.06	7.28e-8
...					

Showing 1 to 10 of 12 entries

Previous 1 2 Next

F

eQTL of *BnaA09.ARF18*

BnIR
e.g. FLC or AT1G02970
Multi-omics
GWAS
eQTL

Gene ID   Enter gene name or gene ID
e.g. BnaA09G0559300ZS

Development   ⊙ Seeds at   ○ Seeds at   submit
stage   20 DAF   40 DAF

H

Tools

| JBrowse | BLAST | MSA | GO | KEGG | COLOC | SMR | SNPmatch | Primer3 | e-PCR |

Seq fetch , Heatmap, LDheatmap, Data2geomap, Data2heatmap, Domain search, ...

A JBrowse

B BLAST

C MSA

D GO enrichment

E KEGG enrichment

F COLOC

G SMR

H SNPmatch

I Primer3

J e-PCR

A GWAS of glucosinolate content in seeds(SGC)

BnIR
e.g. FLC or AT1G02970
Search

... Multi-omics ...

GWAS

...

Select a trait in the table
e.g. SGC

submit

B -Log₁₀(p value)

Click here
(C09:4000000..4500000)

6.62
Mb
scaffolds

Gene ID/Genomic region: C09:4094503..4498471   submit

C

SNP	-lg(p)	r2	Chr	Pos	allele1/allele0	Variation type	Function type
BnaC09004311092SNV	51.67	1	C09	4311092	G/GTAGC	frameshift_variant	HIGH
BnaC09004305014SNV	38.32	0.616767	C09	4305014	G/T	missense_variant	MODERATE
BnaC09004305024SNV	38.24	0.614234	C09	4305024	A/G	missense_variant	MODERATE
BnaC09004306233SNV	38.06	0.639582	C09	4306233	G/A	upstream_gene_variant	MODIFIER
BnaC09004289586SNV	37.24	0.662987	C09	4289586	A/C	synonymous_variant	LOW
BnaC09004289565SNV	36.56	0.667282	C09	4289565	G/T	synonymous_variant	LOW
BnaC09004311583SNV	36.55	0.593363	C09	4311583	G/T	splice_region_variant&intron_variant	LOW
BnaC09004296343SNV	34.86	0.608899	C09	4296343	T/TGCC	disruptive_inframe_deletion	MODERATE
BnaC09004290003SNV	34.83	0.600593	C09	4290003	A/G	synonymous_variant	LOW
BnaC09004303886SNV	34.74	0.60105	C09	4303886	A/T	synonymous_variant	LOW

Showing 1 to 10 of 462 entries

Previous 1 2 3 4 5 … 47 Next

D -lg(P)

GCTA/-

r²
0-0.2
0.2-0.4
0.4-0.6
0.6-0.8
0.8-1
Lead SNP

Mb

BnaC09.MYB28a
BnaC09.MYB28b

E Colocalization analysis

BnIR
e.g. FLC or AT1G02970

... Multi-omics ...

...

Colocalization analysis

...

Search for gene ID in the table
e.g. BnaC09G0066440ZS

submit

› COLOC results

F GWAS -lg(P)

52.11

GCTA/-

PPH₄=0.99

r²
0.8-1
0.6-0.8
0.4-0.6
0.2-0.4
0-0.2
Lead SNP

eQTL -lg(P)

G GWAS -lg(P)

52.11

GCTA/-

PPH₄=0.99

r²
0.8-1
0.6-0.8
0.4-0.6
0.2-0.4
0-0.2
Lead SNP

eQTL -lg(P)

A Colocalization analysis

BnIR
Search
e.g. FLC or AT1G02970
··· Multi-omics ···
···
Colocalization analysis
···

Search for gene ID in the table
e.g. BnaC07G0388200ZS

submit

‣ COLOC results

B GWAS -lg(P)

9.96

BnaC07G0388200ZS

r²
0.8-1
0.6-0.8
0.4-0.6
0.2-0.4
0-0.2
Lead SNP

50.621   50.7   50.8   50.9   51   51.092   Mb

C eQTL -lg(P)

9.29

BnaC07G0388200ZS

r²
0.8-1
0.6-0.8
0.4-0.6
0.2-0.4
0-0.2
Lead SNP

50.621   50.7   50.8   50.9   51   51.092   Mb

D GWAS -lg(P)

9.82

PPH₄=0.51

r²
0.8-1
0.6-0.8
0.4-0.6
0.2-0.4
0-0.2
Lead SNP

0   2   4   6   8   9.186   eQTL -lg(P)

E Effects of genetic variation on gene expression and phenotype

Variation
Single-locus model
Multi-locus model
···

Gene ID   Enter gene name or gene ID
e.g. BnaC07G0388200ZS   submit

Mode ◉ SNP ○ Haplotype ○ SV ○ Merged

‣ Gene structure and SNP distribution

F ■ Exon ■ UTR — Intron ▼ High ▼ Moderate ▼ Low ▼ Modifier →←Strand ● Significant variations with traits in GWAS

G Variation
Single-locus model
Multi-locus model
···

Enter the location of the SNPs
e.g.
C07:50870794..50870794
C07:50870920..50870920
C07:50870950..50870950 ...

submit

‣ Phenotype violin plot

‣ Expression violin plot

H p-value: 7.542e-11

Seed oil content (%) in JH

Hap1   Hap2
(TTATGTACT)(CCA- -AGTA)

■ TTATGTACT (7)
■ CCA- -AGTA (226)

I p-value: 2.636e-09

Expression of BnaC07G0388200 in seed (20 DAF)

TPM

Hap1   Hap2
(TTATGTACT)(CCA- -AGTA)

■ TTATGTACT (24)
■ CCA- -AGTA (254)

A

Upgrade and integration of BnPIR, BnTIR and BnVIR

Data source

BnPIR
9 genome assemblies

BnTIR
91 RNA-seq libraries

BnVIR
2,311 accessions
10,090,561 variations
21 phenotypes

BnIR		
Portal	**New dataset**	**Improved function**
Genome	+**20** genome assemblies. Totally, **2,290,519** genes; **88,423** gene index; **21,508** gene clusters; **179** gene families; **58** TF families	Optimized user experience and response speed. New funciton: Gene search, Gene family, Gene cluster, Transcription factor.
Transcriptome	+**2,700** RNA-seq libraries, including **192** from ZS11 at 7 hormone treatments, **345** from ZS11 at 6 abiotic stress treatments and **978** from population-level assessions of 3 tissues and **1,185** from other studies	Greatly impoved response speed of eFP (**9.348 s** to **0.0611 s**). New funciton: Meta-library, Population expression, eFP (multi-gene module).
Population, Variation, Phenotype, Multiomics	+**97** phenotypes of **2,512** accessions	New funciton: Phenotype search, eQTL, TWAS, SMR, Colocalization analysis.

New Portal	New dataset	Function description
Epigenome	**99** ChIP-seq libraries; **8** ATAC-seq libraries; **12** WGBS-seq libraries; **3** Hi-C libraries	Searching the peaks of ChIP-seq and ATAC-seq, the CG, CHG and CHH methylation levels, Hi-C contact frequencies and features; Browse epigenetic signals in Jbrowser
Metabolome	**266** metabolites of **2** accessions	Searching meyabolite contents
Multiomics	GWAS, eQTL, TWAS, SMR and colocalization analysis results using **55** phenotypes of **2,311** accessions	Browse the signals of GWAS, eQTL, TWAS, SMR and colocalization analysis results

B

Comparison of BnIR with the published *B. napus* database

Database	Major function
BnIR	Genome information, gene expression, epigenetic signal, metabolite content, traits, multi-omics association information, analysis and visualization tools.
BrassicaEDB	Comprehensive gene expression profile information and a user-friendly visualization interface.
BnaSNPDB	An interactive web-based platform and set of analytic tools for effcient retrieve and analysis of SNPs among 1,007 accessions.
BnaGVD	A large number of variations and search tools for accelerating studies on the functional genomics and the screening of molecular markers.

Database	Genome	Transcriptome (meta-library)	Transcriptome (population)	Epigenome	Variation	Phenotype	Metabolome
Unit	genome assemblies	libraries	libraries	libraries	accessions	phenotypes	metabolites
BnIR	29	2,791	978	128	2,311	118	544
BrassicaEDB	1	837	-	-	-	-	-
BnaSNPDB	-	-	-	-	1,007	-	-
BnaGVD	3	-	-	-	1,007	10	-

Database	Variation-Phenotype	Variation-Expression	Network analysis	Association analysis
BnIR	√	√	√	GWAS, eQTL, TWAS, SMR, colocalization analysis
BrassicaEDB	-	-	-	-
BnaSNPDB	-	-	-	-
BnaGVD	-	-	-	GWAS

Supplemental information

BnIR: a multi-omics database with various tools for *Brassica napus* research and breeding

Zhiquan Yang[1,2,3,†], Shengbo Wang[1,2,†], Lulu Wei[1,2], Yiming Huang[1,2], Dongxu Liu[1,2], Yupeng Jia[1,2], Chengfang Luo[1,2], Yuchen Lin[1,2], Congyuan Liang[1,2], Yue Hu[1,2], Cheng Dai[1], Liang Guo[1], Yongming Zhou[1], Qing-Yong Yang[1,2,*]

[1]National Key Laboratory of Crop Genetic Improvement, Hubei Hongshan Laboratory, Huazhong Agricultural University, Wuhan, 430070, China

[2]Hubei Key Laboratory of Agricultural Bioinformatics, College of Informatics, Huazhong Agricultural University, Wuhan, 430070, China

[3]Innovative Center of Molecular Genetics and Evolution, School of Life Sciences, Guangzhou University, Guangzhou, 510405, China

[†]These authors contributed equally: Zhiquan Yang, Shengbo Wang.

[*]Correspondence: Qing-Yong Yang (email: yqy@mail.hzau.edu.cn)

Supplementary Figure 1: Mining the genes associated with SOC using GWAS module. (A) Pipeline of searching SOC in GWAS module. **(B)** Genome-wide Manhattan plot of SOC in the GWAS module. **(C)** List of 10 significant variations associated with SOC identified by GWAS. **(D)** Local Manhattan plot of SOC at C07: 50.5–51.0 Mb. The purple text represents *BnaC07.SRK2D*.