Tobramycin adaptation alters the antibiotic susceptibility of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* quorum

sensing-null mutants

Authors: Rhea G. Abisado-Duque[a, b, §], Kade A. Townsend[a, §], Brielle M. Mckee[a, §], Kathryn

Woods[a], Pratik Koirala[a], Alexandra J. Holder[a], Vaughn D. Craddock[a], Matthew Cabeen[c], and

Josephine R. Chandler[a, #]

[§]Contributed equally

9
10   [a]Department of Molecular Biosciences, University of Kansas, Lawrence, KS 66045

11   [b]Present address: Rhea G. Abisado-Duque, Department of Biology, Ateneo de Manila

12   University, Quezon City, Philippines

13
14   [c]Department of Microbiology and Molecular Genetics, Oklahoma State University, Stillwater,

15   OK, USA

16
17
18   [#]Address correspondence to Josephine R. Chandler, jrchandler@ku.edu.
19

20
21   Running Title: Tobramycin adaptation of *P. aeruginosa lasR* mutants

22
23

ABSTRACT (250 words)

The opportunistic bacterium *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* uses the LasR-I quorum sensing system

to increase resistance to the aminoglycoside antibiotic tobramycin. Paradoxically, *lasR*-null

mutants are commonly isolated from chronic human infections treated with tobramycin,

suggesting there may be a mechanism allowing the *lasR*-null mutants to persist under tobramycin

selection. We hypothesized that the effects of inactivating *lasR* on tobramycin resistance might

be dependent on the presence or absence of other gene mutations in that strain, a phenomenon

known as epistasis. To test this hypothesis, we inactivated *lasR* in several highly tobramycin-

resistant isolates from long-term evolution experiments. We show that the effects of Δ*lasR* on

tobramycin resistance are strain dependent, which is due to a single mutation in the *fusA1* gene

encoding the translation elongation factor EF-G1A (G61A nucleotide substitution). The *fusA1*

G61A mutation confers a strong selective advantage to Δ*lasR* mutants under tobramycin

treatment. The effects of *fusA1* G61A on Δ*lasR*-dependent tobramycin resistance are dependent

on the MexXY efflux pump and the MexXY regulator ArmZ. The *fusA1* mutation also

modulates Δ*lasR* mutant resistance to two other antibiotics, ciprofloxacin and ceftazidime. Our

results provide a possible explanation for the emergence of *lasR*-null mutants in clinical isolates

and illustrate the importance of epistatic gene interactions in the evolution of quorum sensing.

IMPORTANCE

Pseudomonas aeruginosa causes chronic infections in the airways of patients with the genetic disease cystic fibrosis. In these clinical isolates the quorum-sensing regulator LasR frequently becomes mutated. The emergence of LasR-null mutants can have important consequences to clinical outcomes. We performed studies of tobramycin-resistant isolates from laboratory evolution experiments to understand the factors that contribute to the emergence of LasR mutants. Although mutating *lasR* was deleterious in our laboratory strain, we observed that this same mutation was beneficial in some of the isolates we tested. This difference was due to a single mutation in the *fusA1* gene encoding the translation elongation factor EF-G1A (nucleotide G61A). We show that *fusA1* G61A confers an advantage to *lasR* mutants under tobramycin selection. Thus in populations undergoing adaptation to new environments, some mutations could arise that permit the emergence of *lasR*-null mutations and ultimately alter the course of infection.

INTRODUCTION

Pseudomonas aeruginosa is an opportunistic multidrug resistant pathogen that regulates about 10% of its genes using quorum sensing, a type of bacterial communication that is activated in a population density-dependent manner (1-4). One type of quorum sensing involves a LuxR-family signal receptor and a LuxI-family signal synthase (2, 5, 6). In *P. aeruginosa,* there are two complete LuxI-R-type quorum-sensing systems; the LasI-R system, which produces and responds to the signal *N*-3-oxododecanoyl-homoserine lactone (3OC12-HSL) (2-4, 7) and the RhlI-R system, which produces and responds to the signal *N*-butanoyl-L-homoserine lactone (C4-HSL) (8). In laboratory strains, the LasR-I system regulates expression of the *rhlR* and *rhlI* genes, resulting in a hierarchy in quorum sensing, wherein LasR is the master regulator (5, 7, 9). The LasI-R system is essential for pathogenesis in several acute infection animal models (10-12).

We, and others, have shown that the LasR-I system increases *P. aeruginosa* resistance to tobramycin, a clinically relevant antibiotic that is commonly used to treat acute and chronic *P. aeruginosa* infections (13-17). Quorum-sensing systems in other bacteria can also increase antibiotic resistance (18), suggesting that the control of antibiotic resistance by quorum sensing might provide some advantages that are conserved across several bacterial species and environments. The *P. aeruginosa* LasR-I system increases tobramycin resistance in planktonic cultures (17) and also in biofilm conditions (13-16). Tobramycin can also suppress the proliferation of *lasR* mutants that emerge de novo during propagation of populations in certain

conditions in the laboratory (17). These results suggest tobramycin treatment could have a strong

selective pressure on quorum sensing in a clinical setting.

Clinical isolates of *P. aeruginosa* are very genetically diverse in part due to adaptations

to the cystic fibrosis lung environment (19-21). One of the most commonly observed adaptations

in clinical infections is *lasR* mutation, resulting in the loss of quorum-sensing function (21-25).

Although LasR contributes to pathogenesis in acute infection models with laboratory strains (26-

28), the emergence of LasR mutants in a clinical setting correlates with worse outcomes in

infection (22, 29-32). It is counterintuitive that *lasR*-null mutations emerge in infections of

patients treated with tobramycin when these mutations increase sensitivity to tobramycin in

laboratory strains. There are several potential explanations for this puzzling finding. Certain

nutritional conditions can select for *lasR* mutants (23, 33-35), which could possibly be stronger

selection than tobramycin. Alternatively, certain conditions might alter the physiology of *lasR*

mutants to make them more tobramycin resistant (36, 37). Whether the conditions of the

infection environment contribute to the selection of *lasR* mutations in infections of tobramycin-

treated patients remains unknown.

Here, we sought to explore another possible explanation for *lasR* mutant emergence in

infections of tobramycin-treated patients; that the effects of tobramycin on *lasR*-null mutants

might be dependent on genetic background. In particular, we hypothesized that tobramycin

susceptibility of $\Delta lasR$ mutants might be modified by epistatic gene interactions (38). We

identify one such mutation; a point mutation in the gene encoding the translation accessory factor

EF-G1A (*fusA1*). We show that the *fusA1* G61A (FusA1^{A21T}) mutation facilitates the emergence

of $\Delta lasR$ mutations in populations under tobramycin selection. Our results show that antibiotic

susceptibility of *lasR* mutants can be genotype dependent and support the idea that epistatic

interactions could contribute to the emergence of LasR-null mutations in a clinical setting.

RESULTS

Δ*lasR* has strain-dependent effects on tobramycin resistance

We previously characterized six tobramycin-resistant genetic isolates of *P. aeruginosa* PA14 with mutations in unique genes (e.g. *fusA1* and *ptsP*, see Table S1)(17). We used these isolates to test the hypothesis that antibiotic adaptations could alter the effect of Δ*lasR* mutations on antibiotic resistance. To test this hypothesis, we used allelic exchange to delete *lasR* from each of the six isolates from our prior study (termed T1-T6). We compared the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of the Δ*lasR* isolates with their parent (Fig. 1A). Similar to our prior results (17), deleting *lasR* caused a small decrease in tobramycin resistance of PA14, although in this study the difference was not significant due to comparisons with a wider range of MICs in our statistical analyses. Deleting *lasR* in the T1 isolate increased tobramycin resistance ~2-fold (Fig. 1A). Deleting *lasR* in T3 had a smaller, but similar effect (Fig. 1A). In pairwise comparisons with the PA14 wild-type strain, the effects of Δ*lasR* on tobramycin MIC were significantly different in T1 and T3 ($p<0.05$, Fig. 1A). We did not observe significantly different effects of deleting *lasR* on the MIC for any of the other 4 isolates (Fig. S1).

To further confirm the effects of the LasR-I system on tobramycin resistance in the T1 isolate, we deleted the *lasI* signal synthase gene (Fig. 1B). Because LasI synthesizes the LasR signal, we anticipated that deleting *lasI* would cause changes in tobramycin MIC similar to that of a *lasR* deletion. Consistent with this expectation, deleting *lasI* significantly increased tobramycin resistance in T1, and adding synthetic 3OC12-HSL to the Δ*lasI* mutant culture restored resistance levels to that of T1 (Fig. 1B). These results offer further support that the effects of disrupting the LasR-I circuit on tobramycin resistance are strain dependent.

142   ***fusA1* (G61A) modulates the effects of Δ*lasR* on tobramycin resistance**

143   We hypothesized that one or more genetic mutations in T1 and T3 altered the

144   susceptibility of Δ*lasR* mutants to tobramycin. We previously described that the T1 and T3

145   isolates have only two common mutations, *fusA1* G61A (FusA1^{A21T}) and *ptsP* 1547T (a

146   frameshift mutation that is predicted to result in an inactivated protein) (Table S1)(17). We

147   individually introduced each of these mutations to PA14 and PA14 Δ*lasR* using allelic exchange

148   and compared the MIC of the mutated strains to that of the PA14 parent strains (Fig. 2A). We

149   found that deleting *lasR* in PA14 *fusA1* G61A increased the MIC of the *fusA1* G61A mutant ~2-

150   fold, which was a significantly different effect than deleting *lasR* in PA14 (p < 0.0001). In

151   contrast, deleting *lasR* in the *ptsP* 1547T mutant was not significantly different from that of

152   PA14 (p=0.9865). These results suggested that the *fusA1* G61A mutation somehow increases

153   tobramycin resistance of Δ*lasR* mutants. We next asked if ectopic expression of the wildtype

154   *fusA1* would restore the MIC to that of wild type. To do so, we fused the wild-type *fusA1* gene to

155   a rhamnose-inducible promoter (P*rha*) and introduced this cassette to the neutral *attB* site in the

156   genome of T1 and the PA14 *fusA1* G61A mutant (Fig. 2B and Fig. S2). In wild-type *fusA1*

157   expressing strains, the effects of deleting *lasR* on the tobramycin MIC were indistinguishable

158   from that of the parent (T1 or PA14 *fusA1* G61A carrying P*rha*-*fusA1* compared with Δ*lasR* of

159   each strain). Thus, the effects of the *fusA1* G61A mutation on *lasR*-dependent tobramycin

160   resistance can be restored by complementation with wild type *fusA1*.

161

162   **Tobramycin has strain-dependent effects on the proliferation of Δ*lasR* mutants in**

163   **cocultures**

We sought to assess the selective effects of tobramycin on ΔlasR mutants in different

strain backgrounds using coculture competition experiments. When PA14 is co-inoculated with

PA14 ΔlasR, the ΔlasR mutant rapidly proliferates to higher frequency because it has a fitness

advantage over PA14; however, ΔlasR mutants are suppressed in identical cocultures grown with

subinhibitory tobramycin (17). We hypothesized that tobramycin would enhance rather than

suppress the proliferation of ΔlasR mutants in strains carrying the *fusA1* G61A mutation. To test

this hypothesis, we inoculated cocultures with either PA14 or PA14 *fusA1* G61A and a 1% initial

population of each respective ΔlasR mutant and grew the cocultures with or without tobramycin.

Cocultures were transferred daily to fresh medium and the proportion of ΔlasR in the final

population was assessed after 2 daily transfers. The results (Fig. 3) showed that tobramycin had

significantly different effects on ΔlasR mutant proliferation in each of the two strain

backgrounds (p<0.0001). At the end of the PA14 experiment the ΔlasR mutants were ~30% of

the population in cocultures grown with no tobramycin but reached only ~0.5% of the

tobramycin-treated population (Fig. 3, left), consistent with prior results (17). In cocultures with

strains carrying *fusA1* G61A, the ΔlasR mutants similarly reached ~30% of the population in the

absence of tobramycin but further increased to ~60% of the population in the presence of

tobramycin (Fig. 3, right). These results support the idea that under tobramycin selection, the

fusA1 G61A mutation causes ΔlasR mutations to become beneficial, rather than deleterious, to

bacterial fitness.

Effects of other *fusA1* mutations on tobramycin MIC of ΔlasR mutants
185

The *fusA1* gene encodes elongation factor G1A (EF-G1A), a GTPase protein that

hydrolyzes GTP to drive the elongation and recycling steps of protein synthesis (39, 40). EF-

G1A is an essential component in translation, and *fusA1*-null mutations are not viable (39-41).

Nevertheless, *fusA1* has been reported to be among the most frequently mutated genes in clinical

isolates (42-45), and in at least some cases *fusA1* mutations increase tobramycin resistance (41,

42, 46). EF-G1A has 5 domains (labeled I-V in Fig. 4A). The FusA1 A21T substitution is within

a motif in domain 1 called the Walker-A P-loop, which is responsible for binding phosphoryl

groups and catalyzing phosphoryl transfer of NTPs (47). FusA1[A21T] has not been reported in the

literature to our knowledge, however, a survey of 4,312 sequenced strains identified 4 strains

with this mutation (Table S1), indicating *fusA1* G61A mutations do occur naturally but are

relatively rare.

Several other mutations in *fusA1* have been characterized (Fig. 4A)(41). To test whether

these mutations enhance or alter tobramycin resistance of Δ*lasR* mutants, we introduced five

fusA1 mutations to the genome of PA14 or PA14 Δ*lasR* by allelic exchange and determined the

tobramycin MIC of each strain (Fig. 4B). In all but one (A1366G) of the *fusA1*-mutated strains,

the effect of LasR on antibiotic resistance was not significantly altered compared with that of

PA14. In *fusA1* A1366G, the Δ*lasR* mutation decreased tobramycin resistance compared with the

LasR[+] strain, which is the opposite of the effect observed with the G61A mutation. These results

show that not all *fusA1* mutations modulate tobramycin resistance of Δ*lasR* mutants and that

different mutations have independent effects.

***fusA1* G61A modulates Δ*lasR* resistance to other antibiotics**

We next asked whether the *fusA1* G61A mutation can also alter the susceptibility of Δ*lasR*

mutants to antibiotics other than tobramycin. We tested the antibiotics ciprofloxacin (DNA

gyrase inhibitor), ceftazidime (cell wall biosynthesis inhibitor) piperacillin (cell wall

biosynthesis inhibitor), and tetracycline (ribosome inhibitor) against PA14 and our *fusA1* and

lasR single and double mutant strains (Table 1). We observed significant strain-dependent effects

of the Δ*lasR* mutation on the MIC values for two of these antibiotics: ciprofloxacin (p < 0.01)

and ceftazidime (p < 0.0001). With ciprofloxacin, Δ*lasR* increased resistance of the *fusA1* G61A

mutant but this mutation caused no changes in the PA14 MIC. With ceftazidime we observed

different effects: the Δ*lasR* mutation decreased resistance in PA14 but not in the *fusA1* G61A

mutant. These results show that *fusA1* G61A mutations modulate susceptibility of Δ*lasR* mutants

to several different classes of antibiotics.

***fusA1* G61A and Δ*lasR* increase tobramycin resistance by activating the MexXY efflux**

pump

Some other *P. aeruginosa fusA1* mutations can enhance aminoglycoside resistance

through the multidrug efflux pump MexXY (41, 48). Thus, we hypothesized that MexXY is

important for increasing the tobramycin resistance of *fusA1* G61A and Δ*lasR* double mutants. As

an initial test of this hypothesis, we measured expression of the *mexX* gene, which is

immediately upstream of and in the same operon as *mexY* (Fig. 5A). We quantified *mexX*

transcripts in logarithmically growing cells of PA14 *fusA1* G61A and Δ*lasR* single and double

mutants. Consistent with our hypothesis, *mexX* transcripts were the highest in *fusA1* G61A and

Δ*lasR* double mutants. We also deleted the *mexY* gene that is essential for pump activity. Our

attempts to delete *mexY* in *fusA1* G61A were unsuccessful; however, we were able to delete this

gene in T1 and T1 Δ*lasR*, and also PA14 and PA14 Δ*lasR*. Deleting Δ*mexY* in T1 abolished the

LasR-dependent changes in MIC observed in this strain (Fig. 5B), supporting that MexY is

important for *fusA1* G61A to increase tobramycin resistance of Δ*lasR* mutants.

One mechanism of MexXY induction is through the ArmZ regulator (49, 50), which is

activated by a mechanism of transcription attenuation in response to perturbations that cause the

ribosome to stall (50-52). ArmZ de-represses the MexXY regulator MexZ; thus activation of

ArmZ ultimately leads to increased MexXY activity (49, 50, 53). To test the hypothesis that

ArmZ is required for MexXY activation in *fusA1* G61A and Δ*lasR* double mutants, we deleted

armZ in T1 and the T1 Δ*lasR* mutants and determined the MIC of these strains. Similar to the

result of deleting *mexY,* we found that deleting *armZ* abolished LasR-dependent changes in MIC

observed in T1 (Fig. 5C). Together, the results support that MexXY and the ArmZ regulator are

both required for *fusA1* G61A to increase the tobramycin resistance of Δ*lasR* mutants.

The ArmZ regulator is activated by ribosome stalling, and *fusA1* mutations are associated

with slower translation rates (41, 48, 54). Thus, we hypothesized that the *fusA1* G61A mutation

might cause ribosome stalling effects that are enhanced in combination with Δ*lasR*. To test this

hypothesis, we measured the doubling times of our *fusA1* G61A and Δ*lasR* mutant strains during

logarithmic growth in minimal media, as growth rates can be an indirect indication of translation

rate. We found that *fusA1* G61A alone had no significant effect on growth, however, in

combination with Δ*lasR*, we observed slowed growth (Table 2). These results support the idea

that MexXY activation in Δ*lasR* and *fusA1* G61A double mutants might be caused by increased

ribosome stalling.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we demonstrate that *lasR*-null mutations have strain-dependent effects on resistance to certain antibiotics, which are caused by specific mutations in the translation accessory *fusA1* gene (G61A). These results add to the growing body of work supporting that adaptive mutations can cause unexpected effects on quorum-sensing phenotypes. For example, in a prior study we showed that *ptsP* mutations can influence LasR-dependent cooperation and cheating dynamics (17). In addition, inactivation of the efflux pump regulator MexT enables activation of the RhlR-I system as well as the non-AHL Pseudomonas quinolone signal (PQS) in *lasR*-null mutants (55-57). These are all examples of epistatic gene interactions, where the effect of one gene mutation is modified by mutations in other genes (38). Epistatic interactions have consequences for evolutionary outcomes because they can place constraints on adaptation; for example, some mutations will become favorable only after other mutations have accumulated (58). These studies highlight how epistatic gene interactions can contribute to the evolution of quorum sensing during adaptation to changing environments.

Our finding that *fusA1* G61A mutations modulate the effects of Δ*lasR* on tobramycin resistance are consistent with a type of epistasis called sign epistasis. Sign epistasis is a phenomenon where a trait is beneficial in certain genetic backgrounds and harmful in others (58-60). Under tobramycin selection, Δ*lasR* mutations were deleterious in the laboratory strain PA14 but beneficial in *fusA1* G61A mutant strains (Fig. 3). Sign epistasis has particularly dramatic effects on evolution because it can reverse the effects of selection (61, 62). Sign epistasis has been demonstrated in *P. aeruginosa* in the context of rifampicin resistance (63), where combinations of mutations causing rifampicin resistance were shown to have epistatic effects on fitness in the absence of any antibiotic. About half of the interactions studied showed epistatic

effects on fitness, and a surprisingly high number of these (84%) were sign epistatic. Sign

epistasis has also been demonstrated in the development of cefotaxime resistance in *Escherichia*

coli (64) and the evolution of antibiotic resistance in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (65). Such

studies illustrate that sign epistatic interactions are strikingly common across a variety of gene

mutations and bacterial species. Our findings provide a proof-of-principle that sign epistasis can

cause dramatic effects on the evolution of quorum sensing by reversing the effects of antibiotic

selection of *lasR* mutants. It will be interesting to determine whether mutations other than those

characterized in our study can have similar effects.

The *fusA1* G61A mutation had relatively mild effects in a wild type strain, but more

severe growth defects in combination with the *lasR* mutation (Table 2). These results suggest

that the deleterious effects of the *fusA1* G61A mutation may be compensated by some unknown

function of LasR. In the case of other *fusA1* mutations, it is thought that the mutations in the

encoded EF-G1A protein cause the ribosome to remain "stuck" on the mRNA, which might

cause stalling when other ribosomes get backed up behind it (66). We posit that LasR activates

some function that somehow protects from ribosome stalling. Consistent with FusA1^{A21T} having

a defect that is exacerbated by loss of LasR, expression of wild-type, fully functional *fusA1*

abrogated the effects of *lasR* deletion on tobramycin resistance in a *fusA1* G61A background

(Fig. 2B). Future studies are aimed at understanding how LasR might compensate for the

deleterious effects of *fusA1* G61A mutations.

We found it interesting that one other *fusA1* mutation (A1366G) had the opposite effect

as the G61A mutation. The *fusA1* A1366G mutation decreased tobramycin resistance of Δ*lasR*

mutants (Fig. 4B), whereas the *fusA1* G61A mutation increased resistance. From these results,

we posit that LasR may enhance the deleterious effects of the A1366G *fusA1* mutation rather

than providing protection as we propose for G61A *fusA1*. Most other *fusA1* mutations did not

have interactive effects with *lasR*. These results show that LasR and *fusA1* gene interactions can

be neutral, beneficial or deleterious depending on the type of *fusA1* mutation. Our results support

the idea that different *fusA1* mutations increase antibiotic resistance through different pathways.

Our result that some mutations increase antibiotic sensitivity of Δ*lasR* mutants opens the door to

the intriguing possibility of combination therapies that rely on epistatic gene interactions. For

example, combining a LasR inhibitor with a therapeutic that enhances antibiotic sensitivity of a

lasR mutant could be an effective novel approach to therapeutic design.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Culture conditions and reagents

Routine growth was in Luria-Bertani broth (LB) for *Escherichia coli* or in LB buffered to

pH 7 with 50 mM 3-(morpholino)-propanesulfonic acid (LB-MOPS) for *Pseudomonas*

aeruginosa or on LB agar (LBA; 1.5% wt/vol Bacto Agar). Liquid growth media for specific

experiments were M9-caseinate (casein broth); [6 g liter^{-1} Na$_2$HPO$_4$, 3 g liter^{-1} KH$_2$PO$_4$, 0.5 g

liter^{-1} NaCl, 1 g liter^{-1}NH$_4$Cl, pH 7.4, 1% sodium caseinate)][17], or a MOPS minimal medium

[25 mM d-glucose, freshly prepared 5 μM FeSO$_4$, 15 mM NH$_4$Cl, and 2 mM K$_2$HPO$_4$ added to a

1× MOPS base buffer consisting of 50 mM MOPS, 4 mM Tricine, 50 mM NaCl, 1 mM K$_2$SO$_4$,

50 μM MgCl$_2$, 10 μM CaCl$_2$, 0.3 μM (NH$_4$)$_6$Mo$_7$O$_{24}$, 40 μM H$_3$BO$_3$, 3 μM cobalt(II) acetate,

1 μM CuSO$_4$, 8 μM MnSO$_4$, and 1 μM ZnSO$_4$] [17, 67, 68]. 4% skim milk agar (SMA) was used

for identifying Δ*lasR* mutants in competition experiments. All growth was at 37°C and liquid

cultures were grown with shaking at 250 rpm in 18 mm culture tubes (2-ml cultures), 125 ml

baffled flasks (10-ml cultures) or 250-ml baffled flasks (60-ml cultures). For strain construction,

we used 100 µg ml^{-1} carbenicillin, 15 µg ml^{-1} gentamicin, and 2.5–10 µg ml^{-1} tetracycline for *E.*

coli and 150–300 µg ml^{-1} carbenicillin, 50–200 µg ml^{-1} gentamicin, and 15–100 µg ml^{-1}

tetracycline for *P. aeruginosa.* 3OC12-HSL was purchased from Cayman Chemicals (MI, USA),

dissolved in acidified ethyl acetate with glacial acetic acid (0.1 ml l^{-1}) and added to an empty

sterile conical tube and dried by evaporation prior to adding liquid media.

Genomic or plasmid DNA was extracted using Qiagen Puregene Core A kit (Hilden,

Germany) or IBI Scientific plasmid purification mini-prep kit (IA, USA) while PCR products

were purified using IBI Scientific PCR clean-up/gel extraction kits, according to the

manufacturer's protocol. Antibiotics were purchased from GoldBio (MO, USA) except for

tetracycline, which is from Fisher Scientific (PA, USA).

Bacterial strains and strain construction

All bacterial strains, plasmids, and primers used in this study are provided in the

Supplementary Material. *P. aeruginosa* strain UCBPP-PA14 ('PA14') and PA14 derivatives

were used for these studies. Markerless deletions in specific loci of *P. aeruginosa* PA14 were

generated using allelic exchange as described previously (69). To generate plasmids for allelic

exchange, DNA fragments with the mutated or deleted gene allele plus 500 bp flanking DNA

were synthesized (Genscript, New Jersey USA) or generated by PCR using primer-incorporated

restriction enzyme sites. The synthesized or PCR product were moved to plasmid pEXG2 (70)

using restriction enzyme digestion and ligation or isothermal assembly. The subsequent plasmids

were transformed into the appropriate *P. aeruginosa* strain using described methods (71). The

plasmids for Δ*lasR* (68), Δ*mexY* (72), and Δ*armZ* (53) were described elsewhere. Merodiploids

were selected on Pseudomonas Isolation Agar (PIA)-carbenicillin (150–300 µg ml^{-1}) for Δ*lasR*;

PIA-gentamicin (50–200 µg ml^{-1}) for *fusA1* G61A, Δ*lasI*, and *ptsP* 1547T; and PIA-tetracycline

(15–100 µg ml^{-1}) for Δ*mexY* and Δ*armZ*. Deletion mutants were counterselected using NaCl-free

15% sucrose. Putative mutants were verified through antibiotic sensitivity tests and gene-targeted

Sanger sequencing. To make the P*rha-fusA1* expression cassette, we PCR-amplified the wild-

type *fusA1* gene from PA14 using primer-encoded restriction enzyme sites. The PCR product

was digested and ligated to pJM253 (miniCTX1-rhaSR-PrhaBAD)(73). This plasmid was moved

into *P. aeruginosa* by conjugation as described previously (73). Transformants were selected on

LBA with 200 µg ml^{-1} tetracycline and the insertion of the cassette in the *attB* site was verified

by PCR.

Antimicrobial susceptibility assays

Antibiotic susceptibility was determined by MIC using a modified method from the 2022

guidelines of the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CSLI) (74), similar to that

previously described (17). Briefly, two antibiotic dilution series were made from staggered

starting antibiotic concentrations to cover a broader range of concentrations in MOPS minimal

medium, and successively diluted 2-fold in a 200 µl volume in 2 ml tubes. The starter cultures

were prepared by growing *P. aeruginosa* in LB-MOPS to an optical density at 600 nm (OD$_{600}$)

of 4. The starter cultures were subsequently diluted 1:40 into each tube containing tobramycin to

start the MIC experiment. After 20 h of incubation with shaking, turbidity was measured using a

Biotek Synergy 2 plate reader. The MIC was defined as the lowest concentration of tobramycin

(μg ml^{-1}) in which bacterial growth was not measurable.

Coculture assays

Overnight (18 h) pure cultures were grown in LB-MOPS, diluted to an OD$_{600}$ of 0.025 for

LasR$^-$ or 0.05–0.15 for LasR$^+$ into LB-MOPS, and grown to an OD$_{600}$ of ~3.5 before combining

at a 99:1 (LasR$^+$: LasR$^-$) ratio and used to start the coculture by diluting 1:40 into casein broth in

18 mm test tubes. In some cases as indicated, tobramycin was added to the casein broth

coculture. At 24 h, cocultures were diluted 1:40 into fresh casein broth in a new test tube and the

experiment was ended at 48 h. The initial and final total population counts (CFU ml^{-1}) were

determined by dilution plating and viable plate counts. The % $\Delta lasR$ mutant was determined by

patching 200 colonies on SMA where $\Delta lasR$ mutants form distinct colony phenotypes (17, 22,

23, 75).

Droplet digital PCR

Overnight (18 h) pure cultures were grown in LB-MOPS, subcultured in LB-MOPS, and

grown to an OD$_{600}$ of ~4. Stationary-phase *P. aeruginosa* (OD$_{600}$ of 4) was diluted to OD$_{600}$ 0.1

in MOPS minimal medium and grown 2.5-3 hours (OD$_{600}$ ~0.20-0.45). RNA was harvested using

the RNeasy minikit (Qiagen), following the manufacturer's instructions. Droplet digital PCR

(ddPCR) was performed on a Bio-Rad QX200 ddPCR system using Eva Green supermix. Each

reaction mixture contained 1.8 ng μl^{-1} cDNA template, 0.8 μM of each primer, and 10 μl Eva

Green supermix in a 20-μl final volume. After generating 40 μl of oil droplets, 40 rounds of PCR

were conducted using the following cycling conditions: 95°C for 30s, 62°C for 30s, and 68°C for

30s. Absolute transcript levels were determined using Bio-Rad QuantaSoft software. In all cases,

a no-template control was run with no detectable transcripts. The reference gene used was

proline biosynthetic gene (*proC*), and the results are reported as the calculated transcript amount

of a given gene per calculated *proC* transcript.

Growth Curve

Overnight (18 h) pure cultures were grown in LB-MOPS, subcultured in LB-MOPS, and

grown to an OD_{600} of ~4. Stationary-phase *P. aeruginosa* (OD_{600} of 4) was diluted to OD_{600} 0.1

in MOPS minimal medium, and OD_{600} was measured in a Jenway spectrophotometer every hour

for 8 h. An exponential-fit trendline was fit to the data used to calculate the doubling time.

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were carried out using GraphPad Prism version 9.4.0 (GraphPad Software,

San Diego, CA). Unless otherwise noted, antibiotic MICs, cocultures, *mexX* transcripts and growth

rates were analyzed using 2-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Significance of LasR- or

antibiotic-dependent effects among strains was determined by finding the interaction term with

alpha = 0.05 in pair-wise comparisons of each strain/isolate with PA14.   Significance of

differences between $LasR^+$ and $LasR^-$ within each strain was determined using Sidak's multiple

comparisons test in a posthoc analysis. Statistically significant differences are defined in the figure

legends.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by the NIH through grant R35GM133572, CMADP COBRE (P20 GM103638), and K-INBRE (P20 GM103418) and by Inez Jay Fund to J.R.C. V.D.C. was supported by an Undergraduate Research Award from the KU Center for Undergraduate Research and a K-INBRE fellowship (P20 GM103418). K.A.T. was supported by KU Center for Undergraduate research Emerging Scholars program, U.S. Department of Education McNair Scholars Program, and Maximizing Access to Research Careers (MARC) (T34GM136453-01). R.G.A. was supported by the Fulbright Foreign Student Program (15160174). A.H. was supported by the NIH Bridges to Baccalaureate Program (R25 GM060182). The authors would also like to acknowledge Dr. Keith Poole (Queen's University) and Dr. Katy Jeannot ((Université de Franche-Comté) for providing plasmids; Nicole E. Smalley and Dr. Ajai A. Dandekar (University of Washington), and Dr. Robert Unckless (University of Kansas) for the insightful suggestions; and Rishita Yadali, Isabelle Parisi, Emma Norris, and Benjamin Smith for their technical support. Molecular graphics and analyses performed with UCSF ChimeraX, developed by the Resource for Biocomputing, Visualization, and Informatics at the University of California, San Francisco, with support from National Institutes of Health R01-GM129325 and the Office of Cyber Infrastructure and Computational Biology, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases.

REFERENCES

1.	Diggle SP, Whiteley M. 2020. Microbe Profile: *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: opportunistic pathogen and lab rat. Microbiology 166:30-33.

2.	Fuqua WC, Winans SC, Greenberg EP. 1994. Quorum sensing in bacteria: the LuxR-LuxI family of cell density-responsive transcriptional regulators. J Bacteriol 176:269-275.

3.　　Papenfort K, Bassler BL. 2016. Quorum sensing signal-response systems in Gram-negative
bacteria. Nat Rev Microbiol 14:576-588.

4.　　Schuster M, Sexton DJ, Diggle SP, Greenberg EP. 2013. Acyl-homoserine lactone quorum
sensing: From evolution to application. Annu Rev Microbiol 67:43-63.

5.　　Fuqua C, Greenberg EP. 2002. Listening in on bacteria: acyl-homoserine lactone
signalling. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 3:685-695.

6.　　Fuqua C, Winans SC, Greenberg EP. 1996. Census and consensus in bacterial ecosystems:
The LuxR-LuxI family of quorum-sensing transcriptional regulators. Annu Rev Microbiol 50:727-
751.

7.　　Pesci EC, Pearson JP, Seed PC, Iglewski BH. 1997. Regulation of *las* and *rhl* quorum
sensing in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. J Bacteriol 179:3127-3132.

8.　　Pearson JP, Passador L, Iglewski BH, Greenberg EP. 1995. A second N-acylhomoserine
lactone signal produced by Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 92:1490-4.

9.　　Lee J, Zhang L. 2015. The hierarchy quorum sensing network in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*.
Protein Cell 6:26-41.

10.　　Pearson JP, Feldman M, Iglewski BH, Prince A. 2000. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* cell-to-
cell signaling is required for virulence in a model of acute pulmonary infection. Infect Immun
68:4331-4334.

11.　　Azimi S, Klementiev AD, Whiteley M, Diggle SP. 2020. Bacterial Quorum Sensing During
Infection. Annu Rev Microbiol 74:201-219.

12.　　Tang HB, DiMango E, Bryan R, Gambello M, Iglewski BH, Goldberg JB, Prince A. 1996.
Contribution of specific Pseudomonas aeruginosa virulence factors to pathogenesis of pneumonia
in a neonatal mouse model of infection. Infect Immun 64:37-43.

13.　　Bjarnsholt T, Jensen PO, Burmolle M, Hentzer M, Haagensen JA, Hougen HP, Calum H,
Madsen KG, Moser C, Molin S, Hoiby N, Givskov M. 2005. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* tolerance
to tobramycin, hydrogen peroxide and polymorphonuclear leukocytes is quorum-sensing
dependent. Microbiology 151:373-83.

14.   Popat R, Crusz SA, Messina M, Williams P, West SA, Diggle SP. 2012. Quorum-sensing
and cheating in bacterial biofilms. Proc Biol Sci 279:4765-71.

15.   Rasmussen TB, Skindersoe ME, Bjarnsholt T, Phipps RK, Christensen KB, Jensen PO,
Andersen JB, Koch B, Larsen TO, Hentzer M, Eberl L, Hoiby N, Givskov M. 2005. Identity and
effects of quorum-sensing inhibitors produced by *Penicillium* species. Microbiology 151:1325-40.

16.   Shih PC, Huang CT. 2002. Effects of quorum-sensing deficiency on Pseudomonas
aeruginosa biofilm formation and antibiotic resistance. J Antimicrob Chemother 49:309-14.

17.   Abisado RG, Kimbrough JH, McKee BM, Craddock VD, Smalley NE, Dandekar AA,
Chandler JR. 2021. Tobramycin adaptation enhances policing of social cheaters in *Pseudomonas*
aeruginosa. Appl Environ Microbiol 87:e0002921.

18.   Evans KC, Benomar S, Camuy-Velez LA, Nasseri EB, Wang X, Neuenswander B,
Chandler JR. 2018. Quorum-sensing control of antibiotic resistance stabilizes cooperation in
Chromobacterium violaceum. ISME J 12:1263-1272.

19.   Gabrielaite M, Johansen HK, Molin S, Nielsen FC, Marvig RL. 2020. Gene loss and
acquisition in lineages of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* evolving in cystic fibrosis patient airways.
mBio 11.

20.   Rossi E, La Rosa R, Bartell JA, Marvig RL, Haagensen JAJ, Sommer LM, Molin S,
Johansen HK. 2021. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* adaptation and evolution in patients with cystic
fibrosis. Nat Rev Microbiol 19:331-342.

21.   Feltner JB, Wolter DJ, Pope CE, Groleau MC, Smalley NE, Greenberg EP, Mayer-
Hamblett N, Burns J, Déziel E, Hoffman LR, Dandekar AA. 2016. LasR variant cystic fibrosis
isolates reveal an adaptable quorum-sensing hierarchy in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. MBio 7.

22.   Hoffman LR, Kulasekara HD, Emerson J, Houston LS, Burns JL, Ramsey BW, Miller SI.
2009. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa lasR* mutants are associated with cystic fibrosis lung disease
progression. *J Cyst Fibros* 8:66-70.

23.   D'Argenio DA, Wu M, Hoffman LR, Kulasekara HD, Déziel E, Smith EE, Nguyen H,
Ernst RK, Larson Freeman TJ, Spencer DH, Brittnacher M, Hayden HS, Selgrade S, Klausen M,
Goodlett DR, Burns JL, Ramsey BW, Miller SI. 2007. Growth phenotypes of *Pseudomonas*
aeruginosa lasR mutants adapted to the airways of cystic fibrosis patients. *Mol Microbiol* 64:512-
33.

24.   Smith EE, Buckley DG, Wu Z, Saenphimmachak C, Hoffman LR, D'Argenio DA, Miller
SI, Ramsey BW, Speert DP, Moskowitz SM, Burns JL, Kaul R, Olson MV. 2006. Genetic
adaptation by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* to the airways of cystic fibrosis patients. Proc Natl Acad
Sci U S A 103:8487-92.

25.   Wang Y, Gao L, Rao X, Wang J, Yu H, Jiang J, Zhou W, Wang J, Xiao Y, Li M, Zhang
Y, Zhang K, Shen L, Hua Z. 2018. Characterization of lasR-deficient clinical isolates of
Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Sci Rep 8:13344.

26.   Lore NI, Cigana C, De Fino I, Riva C, Juhas M, Schwager S, Eberl L, Bragonzi A. 2012.
Cystic fibrosis-niche adaptation of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* reduces virulence in multiple
infection hosts. PLoS One 7:e35648.

27.   Lelong E, Marchetti A, Simon M, Burns JL, van Delden C, Kohler T, Cosson P. 2011.
Evolution of Pseudomonas aeruginosa virulence in infected patients revealed in a Dictyostelium
discoideum host model. Clin Microbiol Infect 17:1415-20.

28.   Lesprit P, Faurisson F, Join-Lambert O, Roudot-Thoraval F, Foglino M, Vissuzaine C,
Carbon C. 2003. Role of the quorum-sensing system in experimental pneumonia due to
Pseudomonas aeruginosa in rats. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 167:1478-82.

29.   Hennemann LC, LaFayette SL, Malet JK, Bortolotti P, Yang T, McKay GA, Houle D,
Radzioch D, Rousseau S, Nguyen D. 2021. LasR-deficient *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* variants
increase airway epithelial mICAM-1 expression and enhance neutrophilic lung inflammation.
PLoS Pathog 17:e1009375.

30.   LaFayette SL, Houle D, Beaudoin T, Wojewodka G, Radzioch D, Hoffman LR, Burns JL,
Dandekar AA, Smalley NE, Chandler JR, Zlosnik JE, Speert DP, Bernier J, Matouk E, Brochiero
E, Rousseau S, Nguyen D. 2015. Cystic fibrosis-adapted quorum sensing mutants cause
hyperinflammatory responses. Sci Adv 1.

31.   Mateu-Borras M, Gonzalez-Alsina A, Domenech-Sanchez A, Querol-Garcia J, Fernandez
FJ, Vega MC, Alberti S. 2022. Pseudomonas aeruginosa adaptation in cystic fibrosis patients
increases C5a levels and promotes neutrophil recruitment. Virulence 13:215-224.

32.   Hammond JH, Hebert WP, Naimie A, Ray K, Van Gelder RD, DiGiandomenico A, Lalitha
P, Srinivasan M, Acharya NR, Lietman T, Hogan DA, Zegans ME. 2016. Environmentally
Endemic Pseudomonas aeruginosa Strains with Mutations in lasR Are Associated with Increased
Disease Severity in Corneal Ulcers. mSphere 1.

33.   Scribner MR, Stephens AC, Huong JL, Richardson AR, Cooper VS. 2022. The Nutritional
Environment Is Sufficient To Select Coexisting Biofilm and Quorum Sensing Mutants of
Pseudomonas aeruginosa. J Bacteriol 204:e0044421.

34.   Mould DL, Stevanovic M, Ashare A, Schultz D, Hogan DA. 2022. Metabolic basis for the
evolution of a common pathogenic *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* variant. Elife 11.

35.   Clay ME, Hammond JH, Zhong F, Chen X, Kowalski CH, Lee AJ, Porter MS, Hampton
TH, Greene CS, Pletneva EV, Hogan DA. 2020. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa lasR* mutant fitness in
microoxia is supported by an Anr-regulated oxygen-binding hemerythrin. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S
A 117:3167-3173.

36.   Jean-Pierre F, Hampton TH, Schultz D, Hogan DA, Groleau M-C, Déziel E, O'Toole GA.
2022. Community composition shapes microbial-specific phenotypes in a cystic fibrosis
polymicrobial model system. bioRxiv doi:10.1101/2022.06.23.497319:2022.06.23.497319.

37.   Hoffman LR, Richardson AR, Houston LS, Kulasekara HD, Martens-Habbena W, Klausen
M, Burns JL, Stahl DA, Hassett DJ, Fang FC, Miller SI. 2010. Nutrient availability as a mechanism
for selection of antibiotic tolerant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* within the CF airway. PLoS Pathog
6:e1000712.

38.   Gros P-A, Le Nagard H, Tenaillon O. 2009. The evolution of epistasis and its links with
genetic robustness, complexity and drift in a phenotypic model of adaptation. Genetics 182:277-
293.

39.   Rodnina MV, Savelsbergh A, Katunin VI, Wintermeyer W. 1997. Hydrolysis of GTP by
elongation factor G drives tRNA movement on the ribosome. Nature 385:37-41.

40.   Zhang D, Yan K, Zhang Y, Liu G, Cao X, Song G, Xie Q, Gao N, Qin Y. 2015. New
insights into the enzymatic role of EF-G in ribosome recycling. Nucleic Acids Res 43:10525-33.

41.   Bolard A, Plesiat P, Jeannot K. 2018. Mutations in gene *fusA1* as a novel mechanism of
aminoglycoside resistance in clinical strains of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Antimicrob Agents*
Chemother 62.

42.   Chung JC, Becq J, Fraser L, Schulz-Trieglaff O, Bond NJ, Foweraker J, Bruce KD, Smith
GP, Welch M. 2012. Genomic variation among contemporary *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolates
from chronically infected cystic fibrosis patients. J Bacteriol 194:4857-66.

550 43. Feliziani S, Marvig RL, Lujan AM, Moyano AJ, Di Rienzo JA, Krogh Johansen H, Molin
551 S, Smania AM. 2014. Coexistence and within-host evolution of diversified lineages of
552 hypermutable *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in long-term cystic fibrosis infections. PLoS Genet
553 10:e1004651.

554 44. Lopez-Causape C, Rubio R, Cabot G, Oliver A. 2018. Evolution of the *Pseudomonas
555 aeruginosa* aminoglycoside mutational resistome *in vitro* and in the cystic fibrosis setting.
556 Antimicrob Agents Chemother 62.

557 45. Lopez-Causape C, Sommer LM, Cabot G, Rubio R, Ocampo-Sosa AA, Johansen HK,
558 Figuerola J, Canton R, Kidd TJ, Molin S, Oliver A. 2017. Evolution of the Pseudomonas
559 aeruginosa mutational resistome in an international Cystic Fibrosis clone. Sci Rep 7:5555.

560 46. Scribner MR, Santos-Lopez A, Marshall CW, Deitrick C, Cooper VS. 2020. Parallel
561 evolution of tobramycin resistance across species and environments. *mBio* 11.

562 47. Romero Romero ML, Yang F, Lin YR, Toth-Petroczy A, Berezovsky IN, Goncearenco A,
563 Yang W, Wellner A, Kumar-Deshmukh F, Sharon M, Baker D, Varani G, Tawfik DS. 2018.
564 Simple yet functional phosphate-loop proteins. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 115:E11943-E11950.

565 48. Maunders EA, Triniman RC, Western J, Rahman T, Welch M. 2020. Global
566 reprogramming of virulence and antibiotic resistance in Pseudomonas aeruginosa by a single
567 nucleotide polymorphism in elongation factor, fusA1. J Biol Chem 295:16411-16426.

568 49. Matsuo Y, Eda S, Gotoh N, Yoshihara E, Nakae T. 2004. MexZ-mediated regulation of
569 *mexXY* multidrug efflux pump expression in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* by binding on the *mexZ-
570 mexX* intergenic DNA. FEMS Microbiol Lett 238:23-8.

571 50. Morita Y, Gilmour C, Metcalf D, Poole K. 2009. Translational control of the antibiotic
572 inducibility of the PA5471 gene required for mexXY multidrug efflux gene expression in
573 Pseudomonas aeruginosa. J Bacteriol 191:4966-75.

574 51. Jones AK, Woods AL, Takeoka KT, Shen X, Wei JR, Caughlan RE, Dean CR. 2017.
575 Determinants of antibacterial spectrum and resistance potential of the elongation factor G inhibitor
576 argyrin B in key Gram-negative pathogens. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 61.

577 52. Guenard S, Muller C, Monlezun L, Benas P, Broutin I, Jeannot K, Plesiat P. 2014. Multiple
578 mutations lead to MexXY-OprM-dependent aminoglycoside resistance in clinical strains of
579 *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 58:221-8.

53.   Morita Y, Sobel ML, Poole K. 2006. Antibiotic inducibility of the MexXY multidrug efflux
system of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: involvement of the antibiotic-inducible PA5471 gene
product. J Bacteriol 188:1847-55.

54.   Feng Y, Likos JJ, Zhu L, Woodward H, Munie G, McDonald JJ, Stevens AM, Howard CP,
De Crescenzo GA, Welsch D, Shieh HS, Stallings WC. 2002. Solution structure and backbone
dynamics of the catalytic domain of matrix metalloproteinase-2 complexed with a hydroxamic
acid inhibitor. Biochim Biophys Acta 1598:10-23.

55.   Kostylev M, Kim DY, Smalley NE, Salukhe I, Greenberg EP, Dandekar AA. 2019.
Evolution of the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* quorum-sensing hierarchy. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A
116:7027-7032.

56.   Chen R, Déziel E, Groleau M-C, Schaefer AL, Greenberg EP. 2019. Social cheating in a
Pseudomonas aeruginosa quorum-sensing variant. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 116:7021.

57.   Oshri RD, Zrihen KS, Shner I, Omer Bendori S, Eldar A. 2018. Selection for increased
quorum-sensing cooperation in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* through the shut-down of a drug
resistance pump. *ISME J* 12:2458-2469.

58.   Weinreich DM, Watson RA, Chao L. 2005. Perspective: Sign epistasis and genetic
constraint on evolutionary trajectories. Evolution 59:1165-74.

59.   Jerison ER, Desai MM. 2015. Genomic investigations of evolutionary dynamics and
epistasis in microbial evolution experiments. Curr Opin Genet Dev 35:33-9.

60.   Wong A. 2017. Epistasis and the Evolution of Antimicrobial Resistance. Front Microbiol
8:246.

61.   Phillips PC. 2008. Epistasis--the essential role of gene interactions in the structure and
evolution of genetic systems. Nat Rev Genet 9:855-67.

62.   Poelwijk FJ, Kiviet DJ, Weinreich DM, Tans SJ. 2007. Empirical fitness landscapes reveal
accessible evolutionary paths. Nature 445:383-6.

63.   Hall AR, MacLean RC. 2011. Epistasis buffers the fitness effects of rifampicin- resistance
mutations in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Evolution 65:2370-9.

64.   Weinreich D, Delaney NF, DePristo MA, Hartl D. 2006. Darwinian evolution can follow
only very few mutational paths to fitter proteins. Science 312:111 - 114.

65.   Borrell S, Teo Y, Giardina F, Streicher EM, Klopper M, Feldmann J, Müller B, Victor TC,
Gagneux S. 2013. Epistasis between antibiotic resistance mutations drives the evolution of
extensively drug-resistant tuberculosis. Evol Med Public Health 2013:65-74.

66.   Ferrin MA, Subramaniam AR. 2017. Kinetic modeling predicts a stimulatory role for
ribosome collisions at elongation stall sites in bacteria. Elife 6.

67.   Welsh MA, Blackwell HE. 2016. Chemical Genetics Reveals Environment-Specific Roles
for Quorum Sensing Circuits in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Cell Chem Biol 23:361-9.

68.   Abisado RG, Kimbrough JH, McKee BM, Craddock VD, Smalley NE, Dandekar AA,
Chandler JR. 2021. Tobramycin adaptation enhances policing of social cheaters in *Pseudomonas*
aeruginosa. Appl Environ Microbiol doi:10.1128/aem.00029-21:AEM.00029-21.

69.   Hmelo LR, Borlee BR, Almblad H, Love ME, Randall TE, Tseng BS, Lin C, Irie Y, Storek
KM, Yang JJ, Siehnel RJ, Howell PL, Singh PK, Tolker-Nielsen T, Parsek MR, Schweizer HP,
Harrison JJ. 2015. Precision-engineering the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* genome with two-step
allelic exchange. Nat Protoc 10:1820-1841.

70.   Rietsch A, Vallet-Gely I, Dove SL, Mekalanos JJ. 2005. ExsE, a secreted regulator of type
III secretion genes in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 102:8006-8011.

71.   Choi KH, Kumar A, Schweizer HP. 2006. A 10-min method for preparation of highly
electrocompetent *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* cells: application for DNA fragment transfer between
chromosomes and plasmid transformation. *J Microbiol Methods* 64:391-7.

72.   De Kievit TR, Parkins MD, Gillis RJ, Srikumar R, Ceri H, Poole K, Iglewski BH, Storey
DG. 2001. Multidrug efflux pumps: expression patterns and contribution to antibiotic resistance
in Pseudomonas aeruginosa biofilms. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 45:1761-70.

73.   Meisner J, Goldberg JB. 2016. The Escherichia coli rhaSR-PrhaBAD Inducible Promoter
System Allows Tightly Controlled Gene Expression over a Wide Range in Pseudomonas
aeruginosa. Appl Environ Microbiol 82:6715-6727.

74.   CLSI. 2022. Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing. 32nd edition
CLSI supplement M100.,

75.   Sandoz KM, Mitzimberg SM, Schuster M. 2007. Social cheating in *Pseudomonas*
aeruginosa quorum sensing. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 104:15876-81.

76.   Pettersen EF, Goddard TD, Huang CC, Meng EC, Couch GS, Croll TI, Morris JH, Ferrin
TE. 2021. UCSF ChimeraX: Structure visualization for researchers, educators, and developers.
Protein Sci 30:70-82.

TABLES

Table 1. Antibiotic susceptibility of *lasR* and *fusA1* G61A mutant strains.

Strain	Antibiotic susceptibility[a, b]				
	Cipro	Imi	Tet	Pip	Ceft
PA14	0.09 (0.01)	0.7 (0.1).	5 (2)	50	49 (2)
PA14 Δ*lasR*	0.08 (0.01)	0.8 (0.1).	5 (2)	50	11 (5)[****]
fusA1 G61A	0.06	0.8 (0.1)	6 (2)	50	13
fusA1 G61A Δ*lasR*	0.12 (0.03)[**, c]	0.8 (0.2)	4 (2)	50	10 (2)

[a]Antibiotic susceptibility was determined by MIC as described in the Materials and Methods. Cipro, ciprofloxacin; imi, imipenem; tet, tetracycline; pip, piperacillin, ceft, ceftazidime. The values represent the average of three independent MIC experiments with the standard deviation in parentheses. Standard deviation was zero where not indicated.

[b] The significance of the effects of *fusA1* (wild type *fusA1* in PA14 or G61A) and *lasR* allele (intact *lasR* or Δ*lasR*) and the interaction of the two on MIC was determined by 2-way ANOVA. The interaction was significant for ciprofloxacin ($p<0.01$ and $F(1,8) = 13.98$) and for ceftazidime ($p<0.0001$ and $F(1,8) = 91.16$). There was no significance of interaction ($p>0.05$) for imipenem, tetracycline and piperacillin.

[c]Superscripted asterisks indicate significance of comparing LasR$^+$ and LasR$^-$ of each strain (PA14 or *fusA1* G61A) using Sidak's posthoc analysis with p-values adjusted for multiple comparisons of all strains. No significance ($p>0.05$) unless indicated. **, $p<0.01$, **** $p<0.0001$.

668 **Table 2.** Growth rates in MOPS minimal medium[a].

Strain/Isolate	Doubling time (min)[a, b]	strain	*lasR*	interaction
PA14	62.5 (2.0)			
PA14 Δ*lasR*	61.4 (2.9)			
fusA1 G61A	66.3 (2.9)	p<0.0005	p<0.01	p<0.005
fusA1 G61A Δ*lasR*	78.2 (2.2)[***, c]	F = 49.91	F = 13.81	F = 19.73
T1	67.7 (4.0)	p<0.0005	p<0.02	p<0.005
T1 Δ*lasR*	79.7 (2.5)[***]	F = 47.61	F = 10.41	F = 14.81
T3	74.9 (3.4)	p<0.0001	ns	p<0.04
T3 Δ*lasR*	83.0 (3.5)[*]	F = 96.27	F = 4.084	F = 6.930

[a]The values represent the average doubling time during logarithmic growth determined from

three independent experiments, with the standard deviation indicated in parantheses. Standard

deviation was zero where not indicated.

[b] The significance of the effects of strain (PA14, *fusA1* G61A, T1 or T3) and *lasR* allele (intact

lasR or Δ*lasR*) and the interaction of the two on MIC was determined by 2-way ANOVA by

performing pairwise comparisons of each LasR^{+/-} strain pair with that of PA14. The interaction

was significant in all cases. For *fusA1* G61A p<0.005 and F = 19.73; for T1 p<0.005 and F =

14.81; for T3 p<0.04 and F = 6.930.

[c] Superscripted asterisks indicate significance of comparing LasR$^+$ and LasR$^-$ of each strain using

Sidak's posthoc analysis with p-values adjusted for multiple comparisons of all strains. No

significance ($p > 0.05$) unless indicated. * $p < 0.05$, *** $p < 0.001$.

Fig. 1. Tobramycin resistance of Δ*lasR* mutants is strain dependent. A. The minimum

inhibitory concentration (MIC) of tobramycin was determined for each strain carrying intact *lasR*

(LasR⁺, black bars) or Δ*lasR* (LasR⁻, white bars). The significance of the effect of strain (wild

type, T1 or T3) and *lasR* allele (LasR⁺ or LasR⁻) and the interaction of the two on MIC was

determined by 2-way ANOVA by performing pair-wise comparisons of each LasR^{+/-} strain pair

with that of PA14. The interaction was significant for T1 ($F_{1,8}$ = 156.8 and p<0.0001) and T3

($F_{1,8}$ = 10.67 and p < 0.05). Pairwise comparisons of LasR⁺ and LasR⁻ of each strain were

determined using Sidak's post-hoc test with p-values adjusted for multiple comparisons; *,

p<0.05; ****, p < 0.0001; ns = not significant. **B.** Tobramycin MIC of T1 and T1-mutated

strains. Where indicated, AHL (3OC12-HSL) was added prior to inoculating culture tubes at 10

µM final concentration. Statistical analysis was by one-way ANOVA and Dunett's multiple

comparisons with T1; **, $p<0.01$. For both panels, results are the average of three independent

experiments and the vertical bars indicate standard deviation.

Fig. 2. Effects of *fusA1* G61A and Δ*lasR* on tobramycin resistance. The minimum inhibitory

concentration (MIC) of tobramycin was determined for each strain carrying intact *lasR* (LasR$^+$,

black bars) or Δ*lasR* (LasR$^-$, white bars). The significance of the effect of strain (indicated along

the X axis) and *lasR* allele (LasR$^+$ or LasR$^-$) and the interaction of the two on MIC was determined

by 2-way ANOVA by performing pair-wise comparisons of each LasR$^{+/-}$ strain pair with that of

PA14 (A) or PA14 P*rha* (B). **A.** There was a statistically significant interaction between the effects

of strain and *lasR* for *fusA1* G61A ($F_{1,8}$ = 156.8, p < 0.0001) but not for *ptsP* 1547T ($F_{1,8}$ = 0.3642,

p = 0.5629). **B.** Strains had either the empty CTX-1 P*rha* or the CTX-1 P*rha-fusA1* cassette

inserted into the neutral *attB* site in the genome. Rhamnose was added to all cultures at 0.4% final

concentration. There was a statistically significant interaction between the effects of strain and

723   *lasR* for *fusA1* G61A P*rha* ($F_{1,8}$ = 17.41, p < 0.005) but not for *fusA1* G61A + P*rha-fusA1* ($F_{1,8}$ =

724    $1.7x10^{-7}$, p = 0.997). For both panels, results are the average of three independent experiments and

725   the vertical bars indicate standard deviation. Pair-wise comparisons of $LasR^+$ and $LasR^-$ of each

726   strain were determined using Sidak's post-hoc test with p-values adjusted for multiple

727   comparisons; ***, p<0.001; ns = not significant.

Fig. 3. Tobramycin effects on Δ*lasR* proliferation in cocultures. Cocultures of wild-type

PA14 and PA14 Δ*lasR* (left panel)*, or of *fusA1* G61A and *fusA1* G61A Δ*lasR* (right panel) were

grown with no tobramycin (No tob, closed circles) or with tobramycin (Tob, open circles) at the

highest concentration that permits growth (0.3 μg/ml for PA14 or 2 μg/ml for *fusA1* G61A). In

both cases the Δ*lasR* mutant was started at 1% of the total coculture population. After

combining, cocultures were inoculated into casein medium and subsequently transferred to fresh

medium daily for two days. Final population densities ranged from 1-5 x 10^9 cells per ml. Each

data point represents a single experiment and vertical lines represent standard deviation.

Statistical analysis by 2-way ANOVA was used to determine the significance of the effect of the

tobramycin and the *lasR* allele and interaction between the two on the relative fitness of the

Δ*lasR* mutant and the interaction was significant (p < 0.0001 and $F_{1,8}$ = 41.26). Pair-wise

comparisons of LasR$^+$ and LasR$^-$ for each condition (+/- tob) were performed using a Sidak's

post-hoc analysis with p-values adjusted for multiple comparisons; ****, p < 0.0001.

Fig. 4. Other *fusA1* mutations and their effects on tobramycin resistance of Δ*lasR* mutants.

A. Ribbon diagram of the *fusA1*-encoded protein Elongation Factor-G (EF-G) (PDB ID: 4FN5). The protein was crystallized bound to argyrin, which is shown in red. Each of the mutations assessed in panel B are indicated with green coloration in the ribbon diagram and a red circle and were identified using the UCSF ChimeraX (76) **B.** The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of tobramycin was determined for each strain carrying the wild type *fusA1* allele (PA14) or PA14 with the indicated *fusA1* nucleotide mutation. Each strain had either an intact *lasR* (LasR+, black bars) or Δ*lasR* (LasR-, white bars). Results are the average of three independent experiments and the vertical bars indicate standard deviation. The significance of the effect of the *fusA1* allele and the *lasR* allele and the interaction of the two on MIC was determined by 2-way ANOVA by performing pair-wise comparisons of each LasR+/- strain pair with that of PA14. Only A1366G

757   showed a significant interaction ($p<0.05$, $F_{1,8} = 10.25$). Pairwise comparisons of LasR$^+$ and LasR$^-$

758   of each strain was determined using Sidak's post-hoc test with p-values adjusted for multiple

759   comparisons. *, $p<0.05$.

Fig. 5. Role of MexXY on tobramycin resistance of Δ*lasR* and *fusA1* G61A mutants. A. *mexX*

transcript levels were determined by droplet digital PCR and normalized to the housekeeping

control gene *proC*. Strains were wild type PA14 or PA14 with the *fusA1* G61A substitution. Each

strain had either an intact *lasR* (LasR⁺, filled circles) or Δ*lasR* (LasR⁻, open circles). Each point

represents in independent experiment; horizontal lines represent the geometric mean and the

vertical lines represent the geometric standard deviation. Statistical analysis by 2-way ANOVA

showed a significant interaction between the effects of strain and *lasR* allele on *mexX* transcripts

(p<0.005, $F_{(1, 8)}$ = 15.67). **B and C.** The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of tobramycin

was determined for each strain carrying intact *lasR* (LasR$^+$, black bars) or $\Delta lasR$ (LasR$^-$, white

bars). Results are the average of three independent experiments and the vertical bars show standard

deviation. In pair-wise comparisons with the LasR$^{+/-}$ PA14 strains there was a significant

interaction between the effects of strain and *lasR* allele for T1 (p<0.01 and $F_{(1,8)}$ = 29.01 for panel

B and p<0.005 and F(1,8) = 17.99 for panel C) but not for the other strains. In all three panels,

pairwise comparisons of LasR$^+$ and LasR$^-$ of each strain was determined using Sidak's post-hoc

test with p-values adjusted for multiple comparisons. *, p<0.05; **, p<0.01, ***, p < 0.001****,

p < 0.0001, ns = not significant.