Genome-wide screens identify SEL1L as an intracellular rheostat controlling collagen turnover

Michael J. Podolsky[1,*], Benjamin Kheyfets[1], Afaq H. Beigh[1], Christopher D. Yang[2], Carlos

Lizama Valenzuela[2], Ritwik Datta[2], Paul J. Wolters[3], Michael T. McManus[4], Ling Qi[5,6], Kamran

Atabai[2,3,7,*]

*Co-corresponding author

1- Department of Medicine, Weill Cornell Medical College, New York, NY, USA.

2- Cardiovascular Research Institute, University of California, San Francisco, CA, USA.

3- Department of Medicine, University of California, San Francisco, CA, USA.

4- Department of Microbiology and Immunology and UCSF Diabetes Center, University of

California, San Francisco, CA, USA.

5- Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Metabolism, Endocrinology and Diabetes,

University of Michigan Medical School, Ann Arbor, MI, USA.

6- Department of Molecular and Integrative Physiology, University of Michigan Medical School,

Ann Arbor, MI, USA.

7- Lung Biology Center, University of California, San Francisco, CA, USA.

The authors have declared that no conflict of interest exists.

Abstract

Accumulating evidence has implicated impaired extracellular matrix (ECM) clearance as a key

factor in fibrotic disease. Despite decades of research elucidating the effectors of ECM

clearance, relatively little is understood regarding the upstream regulation of this process.

Collagen is the most abundant constituent of normal and fibrotic ECM in mammalian tissues. Its

catabolism occurs through extracellular proteolysis and cell-mediated uptake of collagen

fragments for intracellular degradation. Given the paucity of information regarding the regulation

of this latter process, we executed unbiased genome-wide screens to understand the molecular

underpinnings of cell-mediated collagen clearance. Using this approach, we discovered a

previously unappreciated mechanism through which collagen biosynthesis is sensed by cells

internally and directly regulates clearance of extracellular collagen. The sensing mechanism is

dependent on endoplasmic reticulum-resident protein SEL1L and occurs via a noncanonical

function of SEL1L. This pathway functions as a homeostatic negative feedback loop that limits

collagen accumulation in tissues. In human fibrotic lung disease, the induction of this collagen

clearance pathway by collagen synthesis is impaired, thereby contributing to the pathological

accumulation of collagen in lung tissue. Thus cell-autonomous, rheostatic collagen clearance is

a previously unidentified pathway of tissue homeostasis.

Main Text

Fibrosis can be seen as the excess accumulation of extracellular matrix that interferes

with tissue and organ function. Collagen is the most abundant constituent of fibrotic extracellular

matrix, yet we still lack a complete understanding of the regulatory mechanisms governing

collagen homeostasis. Whereas decades of research have elucidated pathways of collagen

deposition in normal tissue and in fibrosis, relatively less is understood about collagen

clearance. Clearance of extracellular collagen from tissue occurs via two pathways that can act

in parallel and sequentially[1]: an extracellular proteolytic process and a process of intracellular

uptake and degradation. This latter process is vitally important in controlling normal tissue

homeostasis and mitigating fibrosis as demonstrated in several recent publications that show

the effects of interfering with this process in animal models of fibrosis[2,3]. Although an impaired

collagen-degradative environment is a feature of fibrotic diseases such as Idiopathic Pulmonary

Fibrosis (IPF), Scleroderma, and cirrhosis[4–8], very little is known about the role of cell-based

collagen degradation in human disease.

A key mediator of cell-based collagen clearance is MRC2, a canonical collagen

endocytic receptor that binds to and internalizes collagen via a Fibronectin-2 domain, with

subsequent lysosomal degradation[9,10]. Earlier studies of this receptor showed that it mitigates

lung fibrosis[3] as well as liver and kidney models of fibrosis[11,12]. Our recent work added to this

literature by showing that MRC2-mediated collagen clearance is essential for resolution of

fibrosis (rather than development of fibrosis)[13]; deletion of MRC2 is sufficient to impair resolution

of fibrosis in the bleomycin mouse model of lung fibrosis.

We and others have been studying the process of cellular uptake and degradation of

collagen fragments because of the accumulating evidence that this is an important homeostatic

mechanism *in vivo* and controls fibrosis resolution[1–3,11–14]. Previous attempts at uncovering novel

mediators of this process have met with some success[15,16]. However, experimental approaches

have either been targeted based on known genes and pathways or have been limited to a

subset of potential genes because of limited technical ability to use genome wide discovery

approaches. The advent of high throughput CRISPR-based screens that are done in a pooled

format and leverage high throughput sequencing[17] has now permitted the unbiased evaluation

of all potential genetic regulatory pathways that could be contributing to this process. In this

work, we started with complementary CRISPRi and CRISPRa screens to address this

knowledge gap. Unexpectedly we have identified a rheostatic mechanism controlling collagen

clearance that was previously unidentified: collagen biosynthesis positively regulates cell-based

collagen clearance. In so doing, we found a novel role for SEL1L, an endoplasmic reticulum-

resident protein, which behaves as an internal cellular sensor of collagen biosynthesis and

controls cell-based collagen clearance.

Results

We performed genome wide unbiased screens using CRISPR interference (CRISPRi)

and CRISPR activation (CRISPRa) to determine genes that regulate cellular uptake of

exogenous collagen (Fig. 1). In independent pooled screens, we used CRISPRi to silence every

gene in the genome, or CRISPRa to activate every gene in the genome along with use of

10,000 non-targeting guides. We then performed a phenotypic screen for cellular uptake of

fluorescent collagen fragments. The screens were carried out independently with entirely

separate guide libraries for CRISPRi and CRISPRa. Coverage was at least 350x throughout the

screening process for each screen. The screens were performed in U937 cells, a human

monocytic leukemia cell line that readily takes up collagen[18] and grows in suspension, which

facilitates the use of a large-scale screen platform requiring culturing of hundreds of millions of

cells.

We determined multiple genetic regulators of collagen uptake (Fig. 1B-C; Supp. Table

1). Some of these were previously known to have a role in this process, most importantly

MRC2, a canonical collagen endocytic receptor. Most of the other candidates were not

previously known to play a role in collagen turnover. Importantly, we found a negative

correlation when comparing phenotype scores for individual genes that were hits in both the

CRISPRi and CRISPRa screens (Fig. 1D). This suggests that at least some of these genes we

identified are both necessary and sufficient for cellular collagen clearance and that quantitative

control of collagen clearance by these genes might be tunable based on their expression levels.

To validate our findings, we re-screened the high and low uptake bins from the original CRISPR

screens individually and compared hits in these re-screens to the corresponding original

CRISPRi or CRISPRa screen (Supp. Fig. 1A-D). We found a marked correlation between the

original and repeat screens (Supp. Fig. 1C-D). To validate the performance of our pooled guide

library, we used arrayed delivery of CRISPRi reagents for 3 sgRNAs for multiple genes

identified as hits in either positive or negative directions in the original CRISPRi screens,

followed by collagen uptake assays. Phenotypic effects of arrayed delivery of these CRISPRi

sgRNAs were 77% concordant with original screen data (Supp. Fig. 1E).

We next performed bioinformatic analyses of hits from our CRISPR screens. We noticed

that multiple gene ontology (GO) groups related to collagen metabolism were top scoring GO

terms in our analysis using GSEA (Fig. 2A). This was true in all four screens, i.e. the original

CRISRPi and CRISPRa screens as well as the re-screens (Supp. Fig. 2). Intriguingly, this did

not only include collagen catabolism GO terms, but also collagen biosynthesis or collagen

production-related GO terms (Fig. 2A). This informatic analysis suggested that collagen

biosynthetic activity positively regulates cellular uptake of extracellular collagen fragments. We

compared the enrichment of these GO terms in our collagen uptake screens with data from

previously published CRISPR screens of phagocytosis of other cargo[19] (Fig. 2B). Only our

screens for collagen uptake exhibited uniform enrichment of collagen biosynthetic GO terms

(i.e. positive regulation of collagen uptake by collagen biosynthesis), compared with uptake of

other cargo (Fig. 2B). These data indicate that there is a biological basis for positive regulation

collagen uptake by collagen biosynthesis genes, and this is specific to uptake of collagen.

To validate whether collagen biosynthesis positively regulates collagen uptake, we

decided to evaluate individual collagen biosynthesis genes. A heat map of gene-level phenotype

scores from our original screens among top-enriched genes from the Collagen Biosynthesis GO

group is shown in Figure 3A. We also note that *TRAM2*, a gene previously described to be

critically important collagen biosynthesis[20] was a top hit in the CRISPRi screen as well (Fig. 1).

We used an orthogonal technique (shRNA) to silence TRAM2 in U937 cells which resulted in

decreased collagen uptake (Fig. 3B-C) and decreased MRC2 expression at the protein and

mRNA levels (Fig. 3D-E). To verify whether TRAM2-silencing indeed affects collagen synthesis,

we used MRC5 fibroblasts which express high levels of collagen. Silencing of TRAM2 in

fibroblasts led to decreased endogenous levels of collagen (Fig. 3F-G) and decreased collagen

uptake in fibroblasts as well (Fig. 3H). We next silenced individual collagen genes that were

seen in the original screen including type I collagens and type V collagens and determined that

silencing of these genes led to decreased collagen uptake and a decrease in *MRC2* message in

U937 cells. By contrast, stimulating collagen expression by pharmacologic means with TGFβ1

increased collagen uptake in U937 cells (Fig. 3L). Although it is controversial whether

leukocytes or myeloid cells produce significant quantities of collagen[21–23], they do generate

collagen mRNA and recently were found to contribute to collagen content *in vivo* under certain

conditions[24]; a Western blot verified that U937 cells do indeed make collagen, albeit in very low

quantities (Fig. 3L; note 60 μg of protein needed to be loaded to visualize collagen from U937

cells, compared with 20 μg loaded in most other Western blots in this manuscript; additionally, a

more sensitive total type I collagen antibody was used in this subpanel rather than a procollagen

antibody that is less sensitive but is specific for newly synthesized collagen, which we used in

the other subpanels of this figure). To corroborate these findings, we additionally stimulated

collagen synthesis in MRC5 fibroblasts and measured collagen uptake and *MRC2* expression.

Stimulation with TGFβ1 led to increased collagen uptake and increased *MRC2* expression (Fig.

3M-N). Using orthogonal pharmacologic stimulators of collagen synthesis, ascorbic acid and

LPA1 respectively, led to increasing *MRC2* message as well (Fig. 3O-P). These data together

suggest a conserved pathway in which collagen biosynthesis directly regulates *MRC2*

expression and collagen uptake.

Because the screens were based on flow cytometric sorting of individual cells and each

cell had a single individual genetic perturbation, phenotypes determined by our screens are

expected to be fundamentally cell-autonomous. Therefore we presumed that collagen synthesis

in cells must be sensed by some internal cell-autonomous mechanism. In examining hits in the

screen to determine whether there could be a cell-autonomous sensor of collagen synthesis, we

were intrigued to see that *SEL1L* was a top hit in our screens (Fig. 1). The gene product of

SEL1L is a single-pass, endoplasmic reticulum-resident transmembrane protein with its luminal

face containing a Fibronectin-2 (FN2) domain (Fig. 4A). FN2 domains are generally known to

bind collagen[25–27]. However the function of the FN2 domain in SEL1L has not previously been

characterized.

To validate the effect of *SEL1L* on collagen uptake, we used an inducible knockout

mouse embryonic fibroblast cell line[28]. We determined that when *Sel1L* is deleted, there is

reduced collagen uptake (Fig. 4B), reduced MRC2 protein expression (Fig. 4C), reduced *Mrc2*

mRNA (Fig. 4D), and reduced MRC2 cell surface and total protein expression (Fig. 4E-F). By

contrast overexpressing SEL1L in fibroblasts leads to increased *MRC2* message and protein

(Fig. 4G-H). Importantly although SEL1L has chiefly been described as a member of the

endoplasmic reticulum-associated protein degradation (ERAD) quality control pathway[28–30],

other members of the ERAD pathway were not hits in our CRISPR screens of collagen uptake

(Fig. 1; Supp. Table 1). This included HRD1, which acts with SEL1L. Furthermore, to validate

SEL1L-mediated regulation of collagen uptake is not ERAD-dependent, we used cells null for

SEL1L or HRD1, and determined that only SEL1L positively regulates collagen uptake, MRC2

expression (Fig. 5A-B), and *MRC2* message production (Fig. 5C). Furthermore, MRC2 can be

forcibly overexpressed in wildtype (WT), SEL1L-knockout and HRD1-knockout cells equally with

resulting MRC2 that is functional and can take up exogenous fluorescent collagen fragments

(Fig. 5D-F). This indicates that the ERAD pathway is not necessary for MRC2 protein

expression or maturation and argues against ERAD itself being instrumental in regulating MRC2

expression. Furthermore, our data indicate that *MRC2* is being regulated by SEL1L at the

message level based on the data in Figures 4 and 5, rather than protein level as may be

expected if the process were ERAD-dependent.

To determine whether SEL1L could be a collagen sensor, we wanted to investigate

whether it binds collagen and whether its FN2 domain is important for its function of regulating

MRC2 levels. In fibroblasts, we determined that SEL1L and collagen co-localize, and this

colocalization increases when collagen synthesis is induced by TGFβ1 (Fig. 6A). Furthermore,

there is a biochemical association between SEL1L and type I collagen, as determined by co-

immunoprecipitation followed by Western blotting (Fig. 6B). We next made expression

constructs of WT SEL1L vs. SEL1L with an in-frame deletion of its FN2 domain (AA118-166;

referred to as ΔFN2) to examine the function of this domain. In fact, the SEL1LΔFN2 mutant

exhibited markedly diminished binding to procollagen compared to WT SEL1L (Fig. 6C-D).

Importantly in both fibroblasts and HEK293T cells, WT SEL1L drives MRC2 expression but

SEL1LΔFN2 does not, even though in these experiments these expression constructs are

expressed at similar levels (Fig. 6E-G). Taken together these data strongly suggest that SEL1L

is an internal sensor of collagen biosynthesis, and SEL1L is necessary for the effect of collagen

biosynthesis on *MRC2* message levels and hence the downstream phenotype of collagen

uptake. Consistent with our data in Figure 5 showing this phenomenon is ERAD-independent, a

comparison of protein domain structures of SEL1L across diverse species demonstrates that

although SEL1L is evolutionarily ancient and conserved down to yeast[31], the FN2 domain is only

present in vertebrates and higher organisms, showing the collagen-sensing function arose later

and is likely to be independent of its original ERAD function (Fig. 6H).

To determine whether this pathway is relevant in human physiology and disease, we re-

analyzed single cell data from multiple published experiments including normal and fibrotic lung.

We found that in cells that express both *MRC2* and type I collagen, there is a positive

correlation between expression levels of these two mRNA species (Fig. 7A-D). However, this

positive correlation is diminished IPF lung cells (Fig. 7D). In fact, data from multiple independent

diverse datasets examining gene expression in different compartments (whole lung,

bronchoalveolar lavage, peripheral blood mononuclear cells) from control versus IPF patients

demonstrate that in all cases there is a positive correlation between collagen and *MRC2*

expression, but the relationship is impaired in IPF (Fig. 7E-G). These findings corroborate our *in*

vitro data showing collagen biosynthesis is positively associated with *MRC2* and collagen

uptake by cells. This diminished recruitment of *MRC2* could be a potential mechanism by which

fibrotic collagenous extracellular matrix fails to be cleared in diseases of persistent and

progressive fibrosis like IPF. By contrast in a spontaneously resolving model of fibrosis (the

bleomycin lung fibrosis model in C57Bl\6 mice) there is a positive correlation between collagen

and *Mrc2* expression in mouse lung and the relationship is not impaired under conditions of

fibrosis (Fig. 7H). Given that we have previously shown that MRC2 is necessary for resolution of

fibrosis[13], these data indicate that the impairment of biosynthesis-induced collagen clearance

via MRC2 could be an important pathway driving non-resolving fibrosis and IPF.

Finally, we examined SEL1L levels in IPF lung versus control lung. We found that in

some, but not all, IPF lung specimens there is markedly diminished full-length SEL1L (Fig. 8A-

C). Interestingly, this appears to be primarily at the protein level and not at the mRNA level (Fig.

8D). Future work will investigate how this process is taking place. Whether this is the only

mechanism or one of the mechanisms by which collagen biosynthesis-induced collagen

clearance is impaired in IPF is also an area of study in our laboratory.

Discussion

In this work we have shown that collagen synthesis directly upregulates collagen

clearance by MRC2. Our screens identified uniquely important biological pathways controlling

collagen clearance that do not regulate phagocytic uptake of other cargo. This led us to identify

SEL1L as a critical regulator of collagen synthesis-induced collagen turnover. SEL1L is an

internal sensor of collagen biosynthesis and its collagen sensing function is necessary for the

homeostatic effect of collagen biosynthesis on upregulation of MRC2. SEL1L is known to be a

key member of the ERAD pathway, but our data indicate that the collagen-sensing function of

SEL1L is independent of its ERAD function, defining a novel non-canonical function for this

protein. Consistent with this concept is our observation that although SEL1L and the ERAD

pathways are both evolutionarily ancient with conservation to yeast[31], the collagen-sensing FN2

domain of SEL1L arose more recently with vertebrates after the evolutionary origin of collagen

proteins, which appeared concurrently with metazoa[32].

From a teleological perspective, priming the same cells that contribute to scar formation

to upregulate machinery integral for repair and clearance of that scar would be an efficient

means of reaching a goal of tissue homeostasis and regeneration of normal tissue. It is striking

that in IPF, a disease of persistent non-resolving fibrosis, the relationship between collagen

synthesis and clearance is uncoupled, in contrast to the preserved relationship of these two

processes in the spontaneously resolving model of bleomycin-induced lung fibrosis in C57Bl\6

mice[13,33,34]. These findings suggest this pathway could be ripe for therapeutic intervention in

IPF. Until now, it has not been apparent that this rheostatic collagen turnover pathway was

inadequately engaged because previous studies have shown a modest increase in MRC2

expression in IPF lung compared with normal lung[35–39]. However, this belies a dramatically

inappropriate recruitment of the homeostatic turnover mechanism necessary to resolve fibrosis

which would be expected commensurate with high levels of collagen synthesis in IPF.

The mechanism by which SEL1L-mediated collagen sensing transcriptionally regulates

MRC2 is not completely determined by this work. The luciferase activity data we have produced

suggest that MRC2 transcript production may be a downstream effect of SEL1L expression

levels. The precise transcription factors or regulators that are responsible for this are the subject

of ongoing study in our laboratory. We also do not yet understand how SEL1L is downregulated

in IPF. Our data suggest this is one potential mechanism by which there is incomplete

recruitment of the homeostatic collagen clearance mechanisms in IPF. How SEL1L itself is

downregulated in IPF issue is also the subject of ongoing study in our laboratory. Nevertheless,

we demonstrate here that unbiased genome-wide approaches based on phenotypic screening

can yield new insights into cell and tissue-level biology.

Methods

CRISPR Screens

Single cell clones of U937 cells expressing dCas9-KRAB-BFP (CRISPRi) or dCas9-VP64-BFP

(CRISPRa) were made as previously described[40]. Subsequently, independent guide libraries for

CRISPRi and CRISPRa were used as previously described[41]. Briefly, lentivirus particles

containing these guides were transduced into U937 clones above at low MOI (0.3), followed by

subsequent selection with puromycin (1 µg/ml). Puromycin-selected cells were amplified and the

underwent fluorescent collagen uptake assay (as below) in bulk (i.e. pooled format), with sorting

into high and low bins (top or bottom 10% of collagen uptake based on mean fluorescence

intensity), simultaneously on 4x FACSAria II devices, then re-cultured and harvested for

analysis or for repeat screening. Isolation of cell DNA, followed by amplification of the guide-

region of individual cells and high-throughput amplicon sequencing on an Illumina platform were

all done as previously described[41,42].

Bioinformatics, Including Analysis of Screen Data

Guide counts were extracted using Python v3 scripts previously published[17]. Analysis of screen

data was done via MAGeCK[43] using the lfc-mean method. Bioinformatic analysis was performed

using GSEA[44,45] on phenotype scores (defined as Log-fold change multiplied by the -$\log_{10}$(p-

value) as determined by the robust rank-aggregation method in MAGeCK). For comparison

across knockdown screens for different cargo, data from Haney MS et al. were used[19] and then

re-analyzed via GSEA along with our own collagen screens. Visualization of screen data was

done with ggplot2 package in R (version 4.1.1). Visualization of domain architecture of SEL1L

protein across species was performed using the OMA Orthology Browser[46]. For re-analysis of

single cell RNAseq data from multiple experiments (GSE136831, GSE135893, GSE121611,

GSE128033, GSE132771) were integrated and re-normalized via the Seurat R package version

4; cells with non-zero expression of *COL1A1* and *MRC2* were used for analysis in Fig. 7D.

Microarray data was re-analyzed as indicated using GEO2R.

Quantitative real-time PCR

Total RNA from tissue or sorted cells was isolated via Trizol (Invitrogen) or RNeasy kit (Qiagen).

Total RNA from each sample was reverse transcribed with a first-strand cDNA synthesis kit

(Quantabio or Thermo Fisher) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Quantitative real-

time PCR reactions were performed with different sets of primers and Sensifast SYBR (Bioline,

Taunton, MA) on a CFX96 or CFX384 Real-Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad) or Applied

Biosystems QuantStudio 5 using 20 ng cDNA/reaction. Each quantitative real-time PCR

reaction was performed at least twice and representative results are shown relative to a control

by the standard $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method, where Ct represents the number of cycles required to reach

threshold for the target gene subtracted from the number of cycles required to reach threshold

for a control housekeeping gene (*GAPDH* or *ACTB*). All data are shown relative to the first

control condition in the given figure panel. Primer sequences are in Supplemental Table 2.

Immunoblotting

Cells or tissues were homogenized with cold lysis buffer (RIPA buffer: 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4,

150 mM NaCl, 0.1% SDS, 0.5% DOC, and 1% Nonidet P-40; coIP buffer: 20mM Tris-HCl pH 8,

137mM NaCl, 2mM EDTA, 1% Nonidet P-40) supplemented with protease and phosphatase

inhibitor cocktail (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Lysates (20 μg except where otherwise noted) were

clarified by centrifugation at 14,000g for 15 minutes at 4°C, electrophoresed under reducing

conditions on 7.5% or 4-20% gradient precast gels (Bio-Rad), and transferred to PVDF

membranes (Bio-Rad and GVS Filter Technology). Membranes were blocked for 30 minutes in

5% Bovine Serum Albumin and incubated with primary antibodies against MRC2 (1:1,200: anti-

mouse: AF4789, 1μg/mL: anti-human: AF5770; R&D Systems, Bio-Techne), SEL1L (1:2,000;

Novus Biologicals: NBP2-93746; ABCAM: ab78298), collagen I (1:2,000; Southern Biotech:

1310-01), human procollagen I alpha 1 (1:1,500; Novus Biologicals: AF6220), MYC (1:5,000;

Cell Signaling Technology, 9B11) GAPDH (1:4,000; Cell Signaling Technology, 14C10), or beta-

Actin (1:10,000; Cell Signaling Technology, 13E5) overnight at 4°C. Membranes were then

incubated with horseradish peroxidase–conjugated secondary antibodies (1:4000: Cell

Signaling Technology; 1:2,000: R&D Systems, Bio-Techne; Santa Cruz Biotechnology) for 1

hour at room temperature. Blots were developed using enhanced chemiluminescence (Cytiva)

by exposure onto film or ChemiDoc XRS+ (Bio-Rad). Where indicated densitometry was

performed using ImageJ (NIH), normalizing the protein of interest to a loading control (GAPDH

or beta-Actin), and comparisons were made to the baseline condition. For immunoblots of FN2-

deleted SEL1L, the Abcam antibody was used because the immunogen is distal to the FN2

domain, whereas the immunogen for the Novus antibody is the FN2 domain itself.

Co-immunoprecipitation

Proteins were isolated in non-denaturing coIP lysis buffer (see above). Proteins were incubated

with fast-flow protein G- or protein A- Dynabeads (Invitrogen) for 2 hours and centrifuged to

eliminate nonspecifically bound proteins. The concentrations of the precleared proteins were

estimated by Bradford's assay. Primary antibodies against Procollagen (Novus) or SEL1L

(Novus) or a non-specific IgG control antibody were incubated with protein G- or protein A-

Dynabeads for 4 hours. Then, 200-400 µg pre-cleared protein were incubated overnight with the

antibody-bound beads. After washing, attached proteins were then eluted from the beads in 1%

SDS buffer by heating at 60˚C and vortexing followed by centrifugation to collect the

supernatants as the eluates. Equal volumes of the eluates were used for immunoblotting.

Immunoprecipitation with protein isolation buffer without lysates was run as a negative control

for the beads. Proteins immunoprecipitated using non-specific IgG (NS IgG) were negative

control for specific antibodies. Immunoblotting with total pre-cleared protein lysates were used

as input samples.

Flow cytometry and flow cytometric-based collagen uptake assay

Flow cytometric analysis was done using a FACSVerse, FACSAriaII, or Accuri c6 (BD

Biosciences) and sorting was done using FACSAriaII devices (BD Biosciences) at the UCSF

Parnassus Flow Cytometry Core. For the collagen uptake assay, cells were incubated in fresh

media with Oregon Green 488-conjugated gelatin (10 µg/ml final concentration; Life

Technologies) for 1 hour at 37°C. Cells were then harvested (for suspension cells) or trypsinized

and harvested for analysis. To assess only internalized fluorescent collagen (i.e. to ignore

bound but not internalized collagen), cells were either washed before flow cytometry or Trypan

blue was added to quench bound but not internalized fluorochromes as we have done

previously[15,40]. For cell-surface staining, cells were stained using a standard FACS staining

protocol. Antibodies included: anti-MRC2 (as above) as a primary antibody with a secondary of

Donkey anti-sheep conjugated to a fluorophore (Invitrogen). Cells were then analyzed and/or

sorted on one of the above devices, with sorting and analysis gates based on fluorescence-

minus-one controls after compensation was performed with single-stain controls. For the

collagen uptake assay. Data were analyzed with FlowJo, version 7.6.1 (Tree Star, Ashland,

OR).

Immunofluorescence Imaging

Cells plated on coverslips were fixed with 4% PFA in PBS. Coverslips were blocked in

Phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) with 0.5% Bovine serum albumin, 0.1% Triton X-100 and 5%

donkey serum. Antibodies against Type I collagen (Southern Biotech, as above) or MRC2

(R&D, as above) or SEL1L (Novus, as above for Fig. 6A; for Fig. 6D, anti-SEL1L was provided

as a gift by Dr. Qi[28,47–49] and was used since it can recognize SEL1L without its FN2 domain)

was applied at 1:100 dilution followed by secondary antibody conjugated to Alexa Fluor 488 or

594 (Invitrogen) at 1:100. Coverslips were mounted in Vectashield (Vector Laboratories).

Human lung fragments were fixed in 4% PFA in PBS and then dehydrated in sucrose and

embedded in OCT and frozen in chilled isopropanol on dry ice. Frozen sections (30 μm) were

prepared. Sections were blocked in Phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) with 0.5% Bovine serum

albumin, 0.1% Triton X-100 and 5% donkey serum. Antibody against SEL1L (Novus, as above)

was applied at 1:100 dilution followed by secondary antibody conjugated to Alexa Fluor 488 or

594 (Invitrogen) at 1:100. Sections were mounted in Vectashield (Vector Laboratories).

Confocal images were captured using a Leica TCS SPE confocal microscope with an ACS APO

40x or 63x Oil CS objective lens at room temperature with Leica Type F Immersion Liquid

(11513859) or with a Leica Stellaris 8 confocal microscope with a 40x Oil objective. Wide-field

epifluorescence images were captured by an Olympus DP70 CCD using a standard Olympus

BX51 upright microscope with an Olympus UplanFL 40x objective at room temperature or with a

Nikon TE2000-U microscope and Ds-Qi2 camera. Images were processed with ImageJ

(National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland, USA). All imaging was done on the same

platform within any given experiment. Images were processed with ImageJ, in which any

brightness/contrast was changed uniformly across all conditions and pseudocoloring was

applied. Single optical slices at Airy 1 are shown for confocal images.

Cell culture and molecular biology experiments

U937 and MRC5 cells were obtained from ATCC. HEK293T cells that were WT, or null for

SEL1L or HRD1 were obtained from Dr. Qi and were described previously[28,47–49]. Cells were

grown in DMEM or RPMI (Corning) with 10% FBS (Gemini Bio-Products). ORFs containing full

length murine SEL1L or GAPDH were obtained from Origene and were maintained in pCMV6

expression vectors. FN2 deletion was accomplished using Q5 Site-Directed Mutagenesis kit

(New England Biolabs) according to the manufacturer's directions and in-frame deletion was

verified with Sanger sequencing. ORFs were also subcloned into pHIV lentiviral transfer

plasmids using standard techniques and lentiviral particles were packaged in HEK293T cells

with pMD2G and psPAX2. For MRC2 overexpression, pCI-Mrc2 (murine Mrc2 cloned into the

pCI-Neo expression vector, from Promega) was used as we previously described[13]. All

transfections were done using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's

instructions. For shRNA experiments, lentiviral particles harboring shRNA machinery within

pSicoR-puromycin vectors were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (scramble control: SHC002V;

shRNA sequences can be found in Supplemental Table 2). Lentiviral infection was done in

these experiments at 10 multiplicity of infection (MOI) in cells overnight in complete medium

after addition of polybrene, and medium was replaced with fresh complete medium the next day.

The infected cells were allowed to grow and then selected by resistance to puromycin (1 µg/mll

Sigma-Aldrich). Puromycin-selected cells were collected for experiments as indicated. Cells

were infected at passages <10, and cells were collected for experiments between passages 5

and 16. For the luciferase assay, a 625bp fragment around the MRC2 transcriptional start site in

a Firefly luciferase vector with a minimal promoter element (Promega) was used as previously

described[13]. The Stop&Glo® (Promega) system was used to read luciferase activity in cells on a

luminometer (Synergy H1). All firefly luciferase values were normalized to luciferase levels of a

constitutive Renilla for a transfection control.

Human lung tissue and primary human lung fibroblast isolation

Normal human lung tissue from deceased donors of different ages was obtained from lungs not

used by the Northern California Transplant Donor Network according to an IRB-exempted

protocol led by Dr. Wolters; IPF lung specimens were obtained from explanted lungs removed

during lung transplantation, in an IRB-approved protocol led by Dr. Wolters. After harvest, lung

tissue was directly snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and protein was extracted as above.

Statistics

Data were evaluated with Graphpad Prism software (San Diego, CA): for two sample

comparisons, by 2-tailed Student's t-test or Mann-Whitney U test if data did not pass a test of

normality; for multiple comparisons, by ANOVA with post-hoc Bonferroni testing if the ANOVA

was significant; or by Pearson correlation as indicated. A p-value of 0.05 or less was considered

statistically significant with *=p<0.05, **=p<0.01, ***=p<0.001, ****=p<0.0001, NS=Not

Significant. Data are presented as mean ± SEM.

Study approval

All experiments using human tissue were approved by the Weill Cornell Medicine or UCSF

Committee on Human Research. Informed consent was obtained for study participants or

waived for human tissue from deceased donors in accordance with the UCSF Committee on

Human Research.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by US National Institutes of Health grants K08 HL145015 (MJP)

and R01 HL136377 (KA), as well as a Sponsored Research Agreement with Celgene (KA). We

acknowledge the UCSF Parnassus Flow CoLab (RRID:SCR_018206) for assistant generating

flow cytometry data, supported in part by Grant NIH P30 DK063720 and by the NIH S10

Instrumentation Grant S10 1S10OD021822-01. We would like to thank S. Layer for ongoing

inspiration.

Figure Legends

Figure 1. CRISPR screens identify mediators of cellular collagen uptake. (A) Schematic of

experimental approach for flow cytometry-based screening for uptake of collagen fragments. (B)

Volcano plot of gene-level statistics of CRISPRi (inhibition) screen. Most significant gene hits

are labeled and colored in red. RRA = Robust Rank Aggregation score; LFC = Log-fold change.

(C) Volcano plot of gene-level statistics of CRISPRa (activation) screen. (D) Comparison of

overall phenotype score between CRISPRi and CRISPRa screens.

Figure 2. Collagen-biosynthetic pathways are regulators of collagen uptake uniquely compared

to screens for uptake of other cargoes. (A) Ranked plot of Normalized Enrichment Scores

derived from Gene-Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) of CRISPRi phenotype scores, using

10,561 Gene Ontology gene sets. Collagen-related gene sets are labeled and displayed in red.

(B) Comparison of GSEA statistics for labeled collagen-related gene sets across screens for

uptake of various cargoes[19]; screen method is indicated in parentheses. KD = Knock-down.

Figure 3. Collagen biosynthesis positively regulates cell-based collagen clearance and *MRC2*

expression. (A) Heatmap of overall phenotype scores from original screens as indicated for top

genes from 'Collagen Biosynthetic Process' GO gene-set. (B) Flow-cytometry based collagen-

uptake assay in U937 cells after shRNA treatment as indicated vs. Scramble control. MFI =

Mean Fluorescence Intensity. N=4 per group. (C) Q-RT-PCR in U937 cells after shRNA

treatment as indicated vs. Scramble control. N=4 per group. (D) Western blot of U937 cells after

shRNA treatment as indicated vs. Scramble control, representative of N=4 independent

experiments. (E) Q-RT-PCR in U937 cells after shRNA treatment as indicated vs. Scramble

control. N=4 per group. (F) Western blot of MRC5 fibroblasts after shRNA treatment as

indicated vs. Scramble control, representative of N=3 independent experiments. (G)

Representative confocal immunofluorescence images of MRC5 fibroblasts after shRNA

treatment as indicated vs. Scramble control. (H) Flow-cytometry based collagen-uptake assay in

MRC5 cells after shRNA treatment as indicated vs. Scramble control. N=4 per group. (I) Flow-

cytometry based collagen-uptake assay in U937 cells after shRNA treatment as indicated vs.

Scramble control. N=4-6 per group. (J-K) Q-RT-PCR in U937 cells after shRNA treatment as

indicated vs. Scramble control. N=4-5 per group. (L-M) Flow-cytometry based collagen-uptake

assay in U937 (L) or MRC5 (M) cells after drug treatment as indicated vs. vehicle control. N=4

per group. Western blot of corresponding cells and conditions shown below, each

representative of N=3-4 independent experiments. (N-P) Q-RT-PCR in MRC5 cells after drug

treatment as indicated vs. vehicle control. N=3-5 per group. Western blot of corresponding cells

and conditions shown below, each representative of N=3 independent experiments. Statistics:

(B-C, E, H-K) ANOVA with post-hoc Bonferroni testing; (L-P) Student's *t*-test. * p < 0.05, ** p <

0.01, **** p < 0.0001.

Figure 4. *Sel1L* positively regulates collagen uptake and *Mrc2* expression. (A) Schematic of

domain structure of SEL1L protein. FN2 = Fibronectin-2. (B) Flow-cytometry based collagen-

uptake assay in MEF cells (mouse embryonic fibroblasts) after treatment with 4-

hydroxytamoxifen (OHT) as indicated vs. vehicle control. WT = $Sel1L^{f/f}$;ERcre+, iKO =

$Sel1L^{f/f}$;ERcre-. N=3-4 per group. (C) Western blot of MEFs after OHT treatment as indicated vs.

control, representative of N=4 independent experiments. (D) Q-RT-PCR in MEFs after OHT

treatment for genes as indicated. N=3 per group. (E) Flow-cytometry based measurement of

MRC2 surface expression in MEFs after OHT treatment. N=4 per group. (F) Representative

wide-field immunofluorescence images of MEFs after OHT treatment. (G) Q-RT-PCR of MRC5

cells transfected with overexpression vectors containing genes as indicated vs. vehicle control

(lipofectamine only). N=4 per group. (H) Western blot of MRC5 cells transfected with

overexpression vectors containing genes as indicated vs. vehicle control (lipofectamine only).

N=2. Statistics: (B, G) ANOVA with post-hoc Bonferroni testing; (D-E) Student's t-test. ** p <

0.01, *** p < 0.001, **** p < 0.0001, ns = not significant.

Figure 5. SEL1L-mediated regulation of MRC2 is ERAD-independent. (A) Flow-cytometry based

collagen-uptake assay in 293T of different genotypes (compared with baseline control without

fluorescent collagen). N=4-5 per group. (B) Western blot of 293T cells of different genotypes,

representative of N=4 independent experiments. (C) Firefly luciferase assay of $MRC2$ promoter

activity, normalized to constitutive Renilla luciferase in 293T cells of different genotypes. N=3

per group. (D) Western blot of HEK293T cells of different genotypes transfected with MRC2

overexpression vector vs. vehicle control, representative of N=2 independent experiments. (E)

Representative wide-field immunofluorescence images of 293T cells of different genotypes

transfected with MRC2 overexpression vector vs. vehicle control. (F) Flow-cytometry based

collagen-uptake assay in HEK293T of different genotypes transfected with MRC2

overexpression vector (compared with baseline control without fluorescent collagen; note

different scale compared with panel A). N=3-4 per group. Statistics: (A, C, F) ANOVA with post-

hoc Bonferroni testing. * p < 0.05 ** p < 0.01, ns = not significant.

Figure 6. SEL1L binds collagen as it is being synthesized via its FN2 domain and this interaction

is necessary for regulation of *MRC2* expression. (A) Representative confocal

immunofluorescence images of MRC5 fibroblasts treated as indicated or vehicle control. (B)

Western blot of MRC5 fibroblasts after immunoprecipitation with anti-SEL1L antibody (vs.

controls as indicated), representative of N=2 independent experiments. (C) Western blot after

immunoprecipitation with anti-procollagen type I antibody (with input controls as indicated) of

HEK293T cells transfected with collagen and WT or mutant SEL1L constructs as indicated,

representative of N=3 independent experiments. (D) Representative confocal

immunofluorescence images of HEK293T cells transfected with collagen and WT or mutant

SEL1L constructs as indicated. (E) Western blot of MEFs after treatment with WT or mutant

SEL1L constructs as indicated, representative of N=3 independent experiments. (F) Flow-

cytometry based measurement of MRC2 surface expression on MEFs after treatment with WT

or mutant SEL1L constructs as indicated, vs. Empty Vector (EV). N=6 per group. (G) Q-RT-PCR

of SEL1L-KO HEK293T cells after transfection with WT or mutant SEL1L as indicated vs. empty

vehicle control. N=3 per group. (H) Comparison of protein domain structures of Sel1L orthologs

across phylogenetically diverse organisms; FN2 = Fibronectin-2 domain, tpr = Tetratricopeptide

repeat domain. Statistics: (F-G) ANOVA with post-hoc Bonferroni testing. * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$,

ns = not significant.

Figure 7. Collagen biosynthesis and *MRC2* expression are positively correlated in lung tissue,

but this relationship is impaired in human fibrotic lung disease. (A) UMAP plot with cell type

annotation of scRNAseq data from multiple available datasets of human lung control and IPF

tissue (GSE136831, GSE135893, GSE121611, GSE128033, GSE132771). N=184,672 single

cells. (B-C) Gene expression of individual genes as indicated in UMAP as generated in panel

(A). (D) Comparison of gene expression in all cells from data as in panel (A) that had non-zero

expression of *COL1A1* or *MRC2*. (E) Comparison of gene expression in whole human lung

microarray data from GSE110147 analyzed via GEO2R in pulmonary fibrosis lungs vs. control

specimens. N=11 vs. 37 per group. (F) Comparison of microarray gene expression in human

lung bronchoalveolar lavage specimens from GSE70867 analyzed via GEO2R from Idiopathic

Pulmonary Fibrosis (IPF) lungs vs. control specimens. N=20 vs. 112. (G) Comparison of

microarray gene expression in peripheral blood mononuclear cell (PBMC) specimens from

GSE37858 analyzed via GEO2R from Idiopathic Pulmonary Fibrosis (IPF) lungs vs. control

specimens. N=35 vs. 70. (H) Comparison of gene expression in whole mouse lung microarray

data from GSE40151 analyzed via GEO2R from Bleomycin-treated mice vs. Saline control

mice. N=55 vs. 56. Statistics: ANCOVA, p-values indicated in figures. Pearson correlations are

displayed in panel (D).

Figure 8. SEL1L protein levels are reduced in the lungs of patients with Idiopathic Pulmonary

Fibrosis. (A-B) Western blot of whole human lung lysates from IPF lungs vs. control (donor

lungs deemed not suitable for transplant), with corresponding densitometry. N=7 per group. (C)

Representative low-power wide-field immunofluorescence images of human lungs (IPF vs.

control). (D) Q-RT-PCR of whole human lungs (IPF vs. control; same specimens as used in

panels A and B). Statistics: (B, D) Mann-Whitney *U* test. * p < 0.05, ns = not significant.

Supplemental Figures

Supplemental Figure 1. Re-screens are correlated to original CRISPR screen results. (A)

Volcano plot of CRISPRi re-screen (Screen 2). (B) Volcano plot of CRISPRa re-screen (Screen

2). (C) Comparison of gene-level overall phenotype scores between CRISPRi screens 1 and 2.

(D) Comparison of gene-level overall phenotype scores between CRISPRa screens 1 and 2. (E)

Comparison of arrayed log-fold change in arrayed validation vs. original pooled screen log-fold

change; each point represents unique guide sequence. 65/84 guides demonstrated

concordance with original pooled screen data (77%). Pearson correlation coefficient is shown.

Supplemental Figure 2. Collagen-related gene ontology terms are enriched as regulators of

collagen uptake in CRISPRi and CRISPRa screens. Top twenty positive and negatively

performing gene sets are indicated with associated statistics for each screen as indicated,

among all 10,561 Gene Ontology gene sets. Collagen-related gene sets are highlighted with red

boxes. NES = Normalized Enrichment Score.

Supplemental Tables

Supplemental Table 1. Gene-level data from CRISPRi and CRISPRa screens of collagen

uptake as analyzed via MAGeCK.

Supplemental Table 2. Primer and shRNA sequences used in this manuscript.

References

1.   McKleroy, W., Lee, T.-H. & Atabai, K. Always cleave up your mess: targeting collagen

degradation to treat tissue fibrosis. *AJP: Lung Cellular and Molecular Physiology* **304**,

L709–L721 (2013).

2.   Atabai, K. *et al.* Mfge8 diminishes the severity of tissue fibrosis in mice by binding and

targeting collagen for uptake by macrophages. *Journal of Clinical Investigation* (2009)

doi:10.1172/JCI40053.

3.   Bundesmann, M. M. *et al.* Role of urokinase plasminogen activator receptor-associated

protein in mouse lung. *Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol* **46**, 233–9 (2012).

4.   Montaño, M. *et al.* Lung collagenase inhibitors and spontaneous and latent collagenase

activity in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis and hypersensitivity pneumonitis. *Chest* **96**, 1115–

9 (1989).

5.   Selman, M. *et al.* TIMP-1, -2, -3, and -4 in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. A prevailing

nondegradative lung microenvironment? *Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol* **279**, L562-

74 (2000).

6.   Montfort, I. & Pérez-Tamayo, R. Collagenase in experimental carbon tetrachloride

cirrhosis of the liver. *Am J Pathol* **92**, 411–20 (1978).

7.   Okazaki, I. & Maruyama, K. Collagenase activity in experimental hepatic fibrosis. *Nature*

252, 49–50 (1974).

8.   Brady, A. H. Collagenase in scleroderma. *J Clin Invest* **56**, 1175–80 (1975).

9.   Engelholm, L. H. *et al.* uPARAP/Endo180 is essential for cellular uptake of collagen and

promotes fibroblast collagen adhesion. *J Cell Biol* **160**, 1009–15 (2003).

10. Yuan, C. *et al.* Crystal structures of the ligand-binding region of uPARAP: effect of

calcium ion binding. *Biochem J* **473**, 2359–68 (2016).

11. Madsen, D. H. *et al.* Endocytic collagen degradation: A novel mechanism involved in

protection against liver fibrosis. *Journal of Pathology* **227**, 94–105 (2012).

12. López-Guisa, J. M. *et al.* Mannose receptor 2 attenuates renal fibrosis. *J Am Soc Nephrol*

23, 236–51 (2012).

13. Podolsky, M. J. *et al.* Age-dependent regulation of cell-mediated collagen turnover. *JCI*

Insight **5**, (2020).

14.   Melander, M. C., Jürgensen, H. J., Madsen, D. H., Engelholm, L. H. & Behrendt, N. The

616   collagen receptor uPARAP/Endo180 in tissue degradation and cancer (Review).

617   *International Journal of Oncology* vol. 47 1177–1188 Preprint at

618   https://doi.org/10.3892/ijo.2015.3120 (2015).

619   15.   Lee, T.-H. *et al.* Functional genomic screen identifies novel mediators of collagen uptake.

620   **25**, (2014).

621   16.   Messaritou, G., East, L., Roghi, C., Isacke, C. M. & Yarwood, H. Membrane type-1 matrix

622   metalloproteinase activity is regulated by the endocytic collagen receptor Endo180. *J Cell*

623   *Sci* **122**, 4042–4048 (2009).

624   17.   Gilbert, L. A. *et al.* Genome-Scale CRISPR-Mediated Control of Gene Repression and

625   Activation. *Cell* **159**, 647–661 (2014).

626   18.   Madsen, D. H. *et al.* The non-phagocytic route of collagen uptake: A distinct degradation

627   pathway. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* (2011) doi:10.1074/jbc.M110.208033.

628   19.   Haney, M. S. *et al.* Identification of phagocytosis regulators using magnetic genome-wide

629   CRISPR screens. *Nat Genet* **50**, 1716–1727 (2018).

630   20.   Stefanovic, B., Stefanovic, L., Schnabl, B., Bataller, R. & Brenner, D. A. TRAM2 protein

631   interacts with endoplasmic reticulum Ca2+ pump Serca2b and is necessary for collagen

632   type I synthesis. *Mol Cell Biol* **24**, 1758–68 (2004).

633   21.   Bucala, R., Spiegel, L. A., Chesney, J., Hogan, M. & Cerami, A. Circulating fibrocytes

634   define a new leukocyte subpopulation that mediates tissue repair. *Mol Med* **1**, 71–81

635   (1994).

636   22.   Phillips, R. J. *et al.* Circulating fibrocytes traffic to the lungs in response to CXCL12 and

637   mediate fibrosis. *J Clin Invest* **114**, 438–46 (2004).

638   23.   Kleaveland, K. R. *et al.* Fibrocytes are not an essential source of type I collagen during

639   lung fibrosis. *J Immunol* **193**, 5229–39 (2014).

24.   Simões, F. C. *et al.* Macrophages directly contribute collagen to scar formation during

zebrafish heart regeneration and mouse heart repair. *Nat Commun* **11**, 600 (2020).

25.   Ozhogina, O. A., Trexler, M., Bányai, L., Llinás, M. & Patthy, L. Origin of fibronectin type

II (FN2) modules: structural analyses of distantly-related members of the kringle family

idey the kringle domain of neurotrypsin as a potential link between FN2 domains and

kringles. *Protein Sci* **10**, 2114–22 (2001).

26.   Bányai, L. & Patthy, L. Evidence for the involvement of type II domains in collagen

binding by 72 kDa type IV procollagenase. *FEBS Lett* **282**, 23–5 (1991).

27.   Pickford, A. R., Potts, J. R., Bright, J. R., Phan, I. & Campbell, I. D. Solution structure of a

type 2 module from fibronectin: implications for the structure and function of the gelatin-

binding domain. *Structure* **5**, 359–370 (1997).

28.   Sun, S. *et al.* Sel1L is indispensable for mammalian endoplasmic reticulum-associated

degradation, endoplasmic reticulum homeostasis, and survival. *Proceedings of the*

National Academy of Sciences **111**, (2014).

29.   Christianson, J. C. *et al.* Defining human ERAD networks through an integrative mapping

strategy. *Nat Cell Biol* **14**, 93–105 (2012).

30.   Mueller, B., Lilley, B. N. & Ploegh, H. L. SEL1L, the homologue of yeast Hrd3p, is

involved in protein dislocation from the mammalian ER. *J Cell Biol* **175**, 261–70 (2006).

31.   Biunno, I. *et al.* Cross-species conservation of SEL1L, a human pancreas-specific

expressing gene. *OMICS* **6**, 187–98 (2002).

32.   Fidler, A. L. *et al.* A unique covalent bond in basement membrane is a primordial

innovation for tissue evolution. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **111**, 331–6 (2014).

33.   Hecker, L. *et al.* Reversal of persistent fibrosis in aging by targeting Nox4-Nrf2 redox

imbalance. *Sci Transl Med* **6**, (2014).

34.   Peng, R. *et al.* Bleomycin induces molecular changes directly relevant to idiopathic

pulmonary fibrosis: a model for 'active' disease. *PLoS One* **8**, e59348 (2013).

35.   Morse, C. *et al.* Proliferating SPP1/MERTK-expressing macrophages in idiopathic
667   pulmonary fibrosis. *Eur Respir J* **54**, (2019).

668   36.   Reyfman, P. A. *et al.* Single-Cell Transcriptomic Analysis of Human Lung Provides
669   Insights into the Pathobiology of Pulmonary Fibrosis. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* **199**,
670   1517–1536 (2019).

671   37.   Habermann, A. C. *et al.* Single-cell RNA sequencing reveals profibrotic roles of distinct
672   epithelial and mesenchymal lineages in pulmonary fibrosis. *Sci Adv* **6**, eaba1972 (2020).

673   38.   Adams, T. S. *et al.* Single-cell RNA-seq reveals ectopic and aberrant lung-resident cell
674   populations in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. *Sci Adv* **6**, eaba1983 (2020).

675   39.   Tsukui, T. *et al.* Collagen-producing lung cell atlas identifies multiple subsets with distinct
676   localization and relevance to fibrosis. *Nat Commun* **11**, 1920 (2020).

677   40.   Podolsky, M. J. *et al.* Cell division cycle 7 kinase is a negative regulator of cell-mediated
678   collagen degradation. *Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol* **315**, L360–L370 (2018).

679   41.   Boettcher, M. *et al.* Dual gene activation and knockout screen reveals directional
680   dependencies in genetic networks. *Nat Biotechnol* **36**, 170–178 (2018).

681   42.   Boettcher, M. *et al.* Tracing cellular heterogeneity in pooled genetic screens via multi-
682   level barcoding. *BMC Genomics* **20**, 107 (2019).

683   43.   Li, W. *et al.* MAGeCK enables robust identification of essential genes from genome-scale
684   CRISPR/Cas9 knockout screens. *Genome Biol* **15**, 554 (2014).

685   44.   Subramanian, A. *et al.* Gene set enrichment analysis: a knowledge-based approach for
686   interpreting genome-wide expression profiles. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **102**, 15545–50
687   (2005).

688   45.   Mootha, V. K. *et al.* PGC-1alpha-responsive genes involved in oxidative phosphorylation
689   are coordinately downregulated in human diabetes. *Nat Genet* **34**, 267–73 (2003).

690   46.   Altenhoff, A. M. *et al.* OMA orthology in 2021: website overhaul, conserved isoforms,
691   ancestral gene order and more. *Nucleic Acids Res* **49**, D373–D379 (2021).

47. Shrestha, N. *et al.* Sel1L-Hrd1 ER-associated degradation maintains β cell identity via

TGF-β signaling. *J Clin Invest* **130**, 3499–3510 (2020).

48. Zhou, Z. *et al.* Endoplasmic reticulum–associated degradation regulates mitochondrial

dynamics in brown adipocytes. *Science (1979)* **368**, 54–60 (2020).

49. Bhattacharya, A. *et al.* Hepatic Sel1L-Hrd1 ER-associated degradation (ERAD) manages

FGF21 levels and systemic metabolism via CREBH. *EMBO J* **37**, (2018).

Figure 1. CRISPR screens identify mediators of cellular collagen uptake. (A) Schematic of experimental approach for flow cytometry-based screening for uptake of collagen fragments. (B) Volcano plot of gene-level statistics of CRISPRi (inhibition) screen. Most significant gene hits are labeled and colored in red. RRA = Robust Rank Aggregation score; LFC = Log-fold change. (C) Volcano plot of gene-level statistics of CRISPRa (activation) screen. (D) Comparison of overall phenotype score between CRISPRi and CRISPRa screens.

Supplemental Figure 1. Re-screens are correlated to original CRISPR screen results. (A) Volcano plot of CRISPRi re-screen (Screen 2). (B) Volcano plot of CRISPRa re-screen (Screen 2). (C) Comparison of gene-level overall phenotype scores between CRISPRi screens 1 and 2. (D) Comparison of gene-level overall phenotype scores between CRISPRa screens 1 and 2. (E) Comparison of arrayed log-fold change in arrayed validation vs. original pooled screen log-fold change; each point represents unique guide sequence. 65/84 guides demonstrated concordance with original pooled screen data (77%). Pearson correlation coefficient is shown.

Figure 2. Collagen-biosynthetic pathways are regulators of collagen uptake uniquely compared to screens for uptake of other cargoes. (A) Ranked plot of Normalized Enrichment Scores derived from Gene-Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) of CRISPRi phenotype scores, using 10,561 Gene Ontology gene sets. Collagen-related gene sets are labeled and displayed in red. (B) Comparison of GSEA statistics for labeled collagen-related gene sets across screens for uptake of various cargoes[19]; screen method is indicated in parentheses. KD = Knock-down.

Supplemental Figure 2. Collagen-related gene ontology terms are enriched as regulators of collagen uptake in CRISPRi and CRISPRa screens. Top twenty positive and negatively performing gene sets are indicated with associated statistics for each screen as indicated, among all 10,561 Gene Ontology gene sets. Collagen-related gene sets are highlighted with red boxes. NES = Normalized Enrichment Score.

Figure 3. Collagen biosynthesis positively regulates cell-based collagen clearance and *MRC2* expression. (A) Heatmap of overall phenotype scores from original screens as indicated for top genes from 'Collagen Biosynthetic Process' GO gene-set. (B) Flow-cytometry based collagen-uptake assay in U937 cells after shRNA treatment as indicated vs. Scramble control. MFI = Mean Fluorescence Intensity. N=4 per group. (C) Q-RT-PCR in U937 cells after shRNA treatment as indicated vs. Scramble control. N=4 per group. (D) Western blot of U937 cells after shRNA treatment as indicated vs. Scramble control, representative of N=4 independent experiments. (E) Q-RT-PCR in U937 cells after shRNA treatment as indicated vs. Scramble control. N=4 per group. (F) Western blot of MRC5 fibroblasts after shRNA treatment as indicated vs. Scramble control, representative of N=3 independent experiments. (G) Representative confocal immunofluorescence images of MRC5 fibroblasts after shRNA treatment as indicated vs. Scramble control. (H) Flow-cytometry based collagen-uptake assay in MRC5 cells after shRNA treatment as indicated vs. Scramble control. N=4 per group. (I) Flow-cytometry based collagen-uptake assay in U937 cells after shRNA treatment as indicated vs. Scramble control. N=4-6 per group. (J-K) Q-RT-PCR in U937 cells after shRNA treatment as indicated vs. Scramble control. N=4-5 per group. (L-M) Flow-cytometry based collagen-uptake assay in U937 (L) or MRC5 (M) cells after drug treatment as indicated vs. vehicle control. N=4 per group. Western blot of corresponding cells and conditions shown below, each representative of N=3-4 independent experiments. (N-P) Q-RT-PCR in MRC5 cells after drug treatment as indicated vs. vehicle control. N=3-5 per group. Western blot of corresponding cells and conditions shown below, each representative of N=3 independent experiments. Statistics: (B-C, E, H-K) ANOVA with post-hoc Bonferroni testing; (L-P) Student's *t*-test. * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, **** $p < 0.0001$.

Figure 4. *Sel1L* positively regulates collagen uptake and *Mrc2* expression. (A) Schematic of domain structure of SEL1L protein. FN2 = Fibronectin-2. (B) Flow-cytometry based collagen-uptake assay in MEF cells (mouse embryonic fibroblasts) after treatment with 4-hydroxytamoxifen (OHT) as indicated vs. vehicle control. WT = *Sel1L^{f/f};ERcre+*, iKO = *Sel1L^{f/f};ERcre-*. N=3-4 per group. (C) Western blot of MEFs after OHT treatment as indicated vs. control, representative of N=4 independent experiments. (D) Q-RT-PCR in MEFs after OHT treatment for genes as indicated. N=3 per group. (E) Flow-cytometry based measurement of MRC2 surface expression in MEFs after OHT treatment. N=4 per group. (F) Representative wide-field immunofluorescence images of MEFs after OHT treatment. (G) Q-RT-PCR of MRC5 cells transfected with overexpression vectors containing genes as indicated vs. vehicle control (lipofectamine only). N=4 per group. (H) Western blot of MRC5 cells transfected with overexpression vectors containing genes as indicated vs. vehicle control (lipofectamine only). N=2. Statistics: (B, G) ANOVA with post-hoc Bonferroni testing; (D-E) Student's *t*-test. ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$, **** $p < 0.0001$, ns = not significant.

Figure 5. SEL1L-mediated regulation of MRC2 is ERAD-independent. (A) Flow-cytometry based collagen-uptake assay in 293T of different genotypes (compared with baseline control without fluorescent collagen). N=4-5 per group. (B) Western blot of 293T cells of different genotypes, representative of N=4 independent experiments. (C) Firefly luciferase assay of *MRC2* promoter activity, normalized to constitutive Renilla luciferase in 293T cells of different genotypes. N=3 per group. (D) Western blot of HEK293T cells of different genotypes transfected with MRC2 overexpression vector vs. vehicle control, representative of N=2 independent experiments. (E) Representative wide-field immunofluorescence images of 293T cells of different genotypes transfected with MRC2 overexpression vector vs. vehicle control. (F) Flow-cytometry based collagen-uptake assay in HEK293T of different genotypes transfected with MRC2 overexpression vector (compared with baseline control without fluorescent collagen; note different scale compared with panel A). N=3-4 per group. Statistics: (A, C, F) ANOVA with post-hoc Bonferroni testing. * p < 0.05 ** p < 0.01, ns = not significant.

Figure 6. SEL1L binds collagen as it is being synthesized via its FN2 domain and this interaction is necessary for regulation of *MRC2* expression. (A) Representative confocal immunofluorescence images of MRC5 fibroblasts treated as indicated or vehicle control. (B) Western blot of MRC5 fibroblasts after immunoprecipitation with anti-SEL1L antibody (vs. controls as indicated), representative of N=2 independent experiments. (C) Western blot after immunoprecipitation with anti-procollagen type I antibody (with input controls as indicated) of HEK293T cells transfected with collagen and WT or mutant SEL1L constructs as indicated, representative of N=3 independent experiments. (D) Representative confocal immunofluorescence images of HEK293T cells transfected with collagen and WT or mutant SEL1L constructs as indicated. (E) Western blot of MEFs after treatment with WT or mutant SEL1L constructs as indicated, representative of N=3 independent experiments. (F) Flow-cytometry based measurement of MRC2 surface expression on MEFs after treatment with WT or mutant SEL1L constructs as indicated, vs. Empty Vector (EV). N=6 per group. (G) Q-RT-PCR of SEL1L-KO HEK293T cells after transfection with WT or mutant SEL1L as indicated vs. empty vehicle control. (H) Comparison of protein domain structures of Sel1L orthologs across phylogenetically diverse organisms; FN2 = Fibronectin-2 domain, tpr = Tetratricopeptide repeat domain. N=3 per group. Statistics: (F-G) ANOVA with post-hoc Bonferroni testing. * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, ns = not significant.

Figure 7. Collagen biosynthesis and *MRC2* expression are positively correlated in lung tissue, but this relationship is impaired in human fibrotic lung disease. (A) UMAP plot with cell type annotation of scRNAseq data from multiple available datasets of human lung control and IPF tissue (GSE136831, GSE135893, GSE121611, GSE128033, GSE132771). N=184,672 single cells. (B-C) Gene expression of individual genes as indicated in UMAP as generated in panel (A). (D) Comparison of gene expression in all cells from data as in panel (A) that had non-zero expression of *COL1A1* or *MRC2*. (E) Comparison of gene expression in whole human lung microarray data from GSE110147 analyzed via GEO2R in pulmonary fibrosis lungs vs. control specimens. N=11 vs. 37 per group. (F) Comparison of microarray gene expression in human lung bronchoalveolar lavage specimens from GSE70867 analyzed via GEO2R from Idiopathic Pulmonary Fibrosis (IPF) lungs vs. control specimens. N=20 vs. 112. (G) Comparison of microarray gene expression in peripheral blood mononuclear cell (PBMC) specimens from GSE37858 analyzed via GEO2R from Idiopathic Pulmonary Fibrosis (IPF) lungs vs. control specimens. N=35 vs. 70. (H) Comparison of gene expression in whole mouse lung microarray data from GSE40151 analyzed via GEO2R from Bleomycin-treated mice vs. Saline control mice. N=55 vs. 56. Statistics: ANCOVA, p-values indicated in figures. Pearson correlations are displayed in panel (D).

Figure 8. SEL1L protein levels are reduced in the lungs of patients with Idiopathic Pulmonary Fibrosis. (A-B) Western blot of whole human lung lysates from IPF lungs vs. control (donor lungs deemed not suitable for transplant), with corresponding densitometry. N=7 per group. (C) Representative low-power wide-field immunofluorescence images of human lungs (IPF vs. control). (D) Q-RT-PCR of whole human lungs (IPF vs. control; same specimens as used in panels A and B). Statistics: (B, D) Mann-Whitney U test. * $p < 0.05$, ns = not significant.