Isoginkgetin and Madrasin are poor splicing inhibitors

Michael Tellier[1,2*], Gilbert Ansa[1], and Shona Murphy[1*].

[1] Sir William Dunn School of Pathology, University of Oxford, Oxford, OX1 3RE, United Kingdom

[2] Current address: Department of Molecular and Cell Biology, University of Leicester, Leicester, LE1

7RH, United Kingdom

* To whom correspondence should be addressed. Michael Tellier: mt477@leicester.ac.uk; Shona

Murphy: shona.murphy@path.ox.ac.uk

Keywords: RNA polymerase II, transcription, RNA splicing, splicing inhibitors, Isoginkgetin, Madrasin.

Abstract

The production of eukaryotic mRNAs requires transcription by RNA polymerase (pol) II and co-transcriptional processing, including capping, splicing, and cleavage and polyadenylation. Pol II can positively affect co-transcriptional processing through interaction of factors with its carboxyl terminal domain (CTD), comprising 52 repeats of the heptapeptide Tyr1-Ser2-Pro3-Thr4-Ser5-Pro6-Ser7, and pol II elongation rate can regulate splicing. Splicing, in turn, can also affect transcriptional activity and transcription elongation defects are caused by some splicing inhibitors. Multiple small molecule inhibitors of splicing are now available, some of which specifically target SF3B1, a U2 snRNP component. SF3B1 inhibition results in a general downregulation of transcription elongation, including premature termination of transcription caused by increased use of intronic poly(A) sites. Here, we have investigated the effect of Madrasin and Isoginkgetin, two non-SF3B1 splicing inhibitors, on splicing and transcription. Surprisingly, we found that both Madrasin and Isoginkgetin affect transcription before any effect on splicing, indicating that their effect on pre-mRNA splicing is likely to be indirect. Both small molecules promote a general downregulation of transcription. Based on these and other published results, we conclude that these two small molecules should not be considered as primarily pre-mRNA splicing inhibitors.

Introduction

The production of a mature mRNA from an eukaryotic protein-coding gene requires both transcription by RNA polymerase (pol) II and co-transcriptional processes, which include RNA capping, splicing, and cleavage and polyadenylation (1). Recent research has highlighted how transcription and pre-mRNA splicing can influence each other (2-8). Coupling between transcription and pre-mRNA splicing is thought to occur, at least partially, via the carboxyl-terminal domain (CTD) of the large subunit of pol II, which is composed in humans of 52 repeats of the heptapeptide Tyr1-Ser2-Pro3-Thr4-Ser5-Pro6-Ser7. The pol II CTD can be modified by several post-translational modifications, including phosphorylation of Tyr1, Ser2, Thr4, Ser5, and Ser7 (9). While Ser2-P is associated with transcription elongation and Ser5P with transcription initiation and pol II pausing (10), Ser2-P and Ser5-P have also been found to specifically interact with splicing factors (11, 12), providing a physical link between the pol II complex and the spliceosome. A direct interaction between the pol II complex and the U1 snRNP was also observed by cryo-EM (13). In addition, the pol II elongation rate, which can be

modified by cellular stresses or by mutating pol II, can affect the inclusion of exons in or the exclusion

of exons from the mature mRNA in yeasts, mammals, and plants (2-4, 6, 14-18). In turn, inhibition of

pre-mRNA splicing by Spliceostatin A (SSA) or Pladienolide B (PlaB), two small molecule inhibitors of

the U2 snRNP protein SF3B1, affect pol II transcription (5, 7, 19, 20), pol II CTD phosphorylation (5),

and recruitment of the key transcription elongation kinase, P-TEFb (7, 21), which is composed of

cyclin-dependent kinase (CDK)9 and Cyclin T1.

Coupling between pre-mRNA splicing and cleavage and polyadenylation (CPA) is also required to

define the last exon of protein-coding genes and to promote mRNA CPA and transcription termination

(1). This coupling involves interactions between splicing factors, including U2AF65 and the SF3B

proteins, and CPA factors, including CPSF proteins and the poly(A) polymerase (22-25). A

consequence of this coupling is that failure to splice the terminal intron in pre-mRNA is associated

with a transcription termination defect, as the poly(A) site is no longer recognised by the mRNA CPA

complex (19, 26, 27).

To further investigate the effects of pre-mRNA splicing inhibition on transcription elongation and

transcription termination, we used two small molecules thought to be inhibitors of the early

spliceosome, Madrasin (28) and Isoginkgetin (29). We found that in contrast to the SF3B1 inhibitors,

PlaB and Herboxidiene (HB), Madrasin and Isoginkgetin are poor splicing inhibitors. However,

treatment with Madrasin and Isoginkgetin globally downregulates pol II transcription, which was

previously observed for Isoginkgetin (30). These two small molecules should therefore not be

considered to be primarily pre-mRNA splicing inhibitors.

Materials and Methods

Cell culture

HeLa cells were obtained from ATCC (ATCC® CCL-2™) and grown in DMEM medium supplemented

with 10% foetal bovine serum, 100 U/ml penicillin, 100 µg/ml streptomycin, 2 mM L-glutamine at 37°C

and 5% CO_2. HeLa cells were treated with 30-90 µM Madrasin (Sigma-Aldrich), 30 µM Isoginkgetin

(Merck), 1 µM Herboxidiene (Cayman Chemical), 1 µM Pladienolide B (Cambridge Bioscience), or

100 µM 5,6-dichlorobenzimidazone-1-β-D-ribofuranoside (DRB, Sigma) for the time indicated in the

figures. As a negative control, HeLa cells were treated with DMSO. Cells were routinely checked for

mycoplasma contamination using Plasmo Test Mycoplasma Detection Kit (InvivoGen, rep-pt1).

Protein extraction and western blotting

Western blot analysis was performed on chromatin extracts as previously described in the mNET-seq procedure (31). A ~ 80% confluent 15 cm dish was washed twice with ice-cold PBS and scrapped in 5 ml of ice-cold PBS. After pelleting the cells at 420 g for 5 min at 4°C and removing the supernatant, the cells were resuspended in 4 ml of ice-cold HLB + N buffer (10 mM Tris–HCl (pH 7.5), 10 mM NaCl, 2.5 mM MgCl2 and 0.5% (vol/vol) NP-40) and incubated on ice for 5 min. The cell pellets were then underlayed with 1 ml of ice-cold HLB + NS buffer (10 mM Tris–HCl (pH 7.5), 10 mM NaCl, 2.5 mM MgCl2, 0.5% (vol/vol) NP-40 and 10% (wt/vol) sucrose). Following centrifugation at 420 g for 5 min at 4°C, the nuclear pellets were resuspended by pipetting up and down in 125 µl of NUN1 buffer (20 mM Tris–HCl (pH 7.9), 75 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM EDTA and 50% (vol/vol) glycerol) and transferred into a new 1.5 ml ice-cold microcentrifuge tube. Following the addition of 1.2 ml of ice-cold NUN2 buffer (20 mM HEPES-KOH (pH 7.6), 300 mM NaCl, 0.2 mM EDTA, 7.5 mM MgCl2, 1% (vol/vol) NP-40 and 1 M urea), the tube was vortexed at maximum speed for 10 s and incubated on ice for 15 min with a vortexing step of 10 s every 3 min. The sample was centrifuged at 16,000 g for 10 min at 4°C and the supernatant discarded while the chromatin pellet was washed with 500 µl of ice-cold PBS and then with 100 µl of ice-cold water. The chromatin pellet was then digested in 100 µl of water supplemented with 1 µl of Benzonase (25–29 units, Merck Millipore) for 15 min at 37°C in a thermomixer at 1,400 rpm. For loading, 10 µg of proteins were boiled in 1× LDS plus 100 mM DTT.

For whole-cell extract, cells were washed in ice-cold PBS twice, collected in ice-cold PBS with an 800 g centrifugation for 5 min at 4°C. The pellets were resuspended in RIPA buffer supplemented with protease inhibitor cocktail and PhosSTOP, kept on ice for 30 min with a vortexing step every 10 min. After centrifugation at 14,000 g for 15 min at 4°C, the supernatants were kept and quantified with the Bradford method. For loading, 20 µg of proteins were boiled in 1× LDS plus 100 mM DTT.

Western blots were performed with NuPAGE Novex 4–12% Bis–Tris Protein Gels (Life Technologies) with the following primary antibodies: Rpb1 NTD (D8L4Y) Rabbit mAb (14958S, Cell Signaling Technology), Phospho-Rpb1 CTD (Ser2) (E1Z3G) Rabbit mAb (13499S, Cell Signaling Technology), Phospho-Rpb1 CTD (Ser5) (D9N5I) Rabbit mAb (13523S, Cell Signaling Technology), Proteintech SUMO1 Monoclonal antibody (67559-1-IG-20UL, Proteintech Europe), Proteintech SUMO2/3

Polyclonal antibody (11251-1-AP-20UL, Proteintech Europe), and Histone H3 (ab1791, Abcam).

Secondary antibodies were purchased from Merck Millipore (Goat Anti-Rabbit IgG Antibody, HRP-

conjugate, 12–348, and Goat Anti-Mouse IgG Antibody, HRP conjugate, 12–349), the

chemiluminescent substrate (SuperSignal West Pico PLUS) from Thermo Fisher, and the membranes

visualized on an iBright FL1000 Imaging System (Thermo Fisher). Ponceau S – Proteomics Grade

staining (VWR International) was performed according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Quantifications were performed with Image Studio Lite version 5.2.5. For each antibody, the

quantification has been calculated as: (IP treated signal / histone H3 treated signal) / (IP DMSO signal

/ histone H3 DMSO signal).

Chromatin immunoprecipitation followed by qPCR (ChIP-qPCR)

ChIP was performed as previously described (32). HeLa cells were grown in 100- or 150-mm dishes

until they reached ~80% confluence. The cells were fixed with 1% formaldehyde at room temperature

for 10 min with shaking. Quenching was performed with 125 mM Glycine for 5 min at room

temperature with shaking. Cells were washed twice with ice-cold PBS and then scrapped in ice-cold

PBS and pelleted for 10 min at 1,500 rpm for 10 min at 4°C. Lysis was performed on ice for 10 min

with ChIP lysis buffer (10 mM Tris–HCl ph8.0, 0.25% Triton X-100, 10 mM EDTA, and protease

inhibitor cocktail) before being centrifuged at 1,500 g for 5 min at 4°C. Pellets were washed once with

ChIP Wash buffer (10 mM Tris–HCl pH8.0, 200 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, and protease inhibitor

cocktail). Pellets were then resuspended in ChIP Sonication buffer (10 mM Tris–HCl pH 8.0, 100 mM

NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, protease inhibitor cocktail, and phosphatase inhibitor), incubated 10 min on ice,

and sonicated for 30 cycles, 30 seconds on/30 seconds off using a Bioruptor Pico (Diagenode). The

samples were centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 15 min at 4°C. Supernatants were transferred to new

Eppendorf tubes and pre-cleared with 10 µl of Protein G Dynabeads for 30 min on a rotating wheel at

4°C. For each IP, 60 µg of chromatin was incubated overnight on a rotating wheel at 4°C with the

following antibodies: normal rabbit IgG (2729S, Cell Signaling), RNA polymerase II antibody (NBP2-

32080, Novus Biologicals), Phospho-Rpb1 CTD (Ser2) (E1Z3G) Rabbit mAb (13499S, Cell Signaling

Technology), Phospho-Rpb1 CTD (Ser5) (D9N5I) Rabbit mAb (13523S, Cell Signaling Technology),

CDC73 (A300-170A, Bethyl Laboratories), SUPT5H antibody (A300-868A, Bethyl Laboratories), and

CPSF73 (A301-091A, Bethyl Laboratories). Protein G Dynabeads were saturated overnight with RIPA

buffer (10 mM Tris–HCl pH8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.1% SDS, 1% Triton X-100, 0.1%

sodium deoxycholate) supplemented with 10 mg/ml of bovine serum albumin on a rotating wheel at

4°C.

The chromatin-antibody mix was incubated for 1h on a rotating wheel at 4°C with pre-blocked Protein

G Dynabeads. IgG supernatant was kept as input. Beads were then washed three times with ice-cold

RIPA buffer, three times with ice-cold High Salt buffer (10 mM Tris–HCl pH8.0, 500 mM NaCl, 1 mM

EDTA, 0.1% SDS, 1% Triton X-100, 0.1% sodium deoxycholate), twice with LiCl Wash buffer (10 mM

Tris–HCl pH8.0, 250 mM LiCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1% NP-40, 1% sodium deoxycholate), and twice with TE

buffer (10 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.5, 1 mM EDTA). The samples were eluted twice with Elution buffer (100

mM NaHCO3, 1% SDS, 10 mM DTT) from the beads on a thermomixer for 15 min at 25°C at 1,400

rpm. Each input was diluted 1/10 in Elution buffer. The samples were treated with RNase A for 30 min

at 37°C before reversal of the crosslink with 200 mM NaCl for 5h at 65°C. DNA-protein mix was

precipitated with 2.5x volume of 100% ethanol overnight at -20°C. The ethanol was removed after

centrifugation for 15 min at 13,000 rpm 4°C. The pellets were resuspended in TE, 5x Proteinase K

buffer (50 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.5, 25 mM EDTA, 1.25% SDS) and Proteinase K (20 mg/ml), and

incubated for 2h at 45°C. DNA was purified with a QIAGEN PCR purification kit and kept at -20°C.

ChIP samples were analysed by real-time qPCR with a QuantiTect SYBR Green PCR kit (QIAGEN)

and a Rotor-Gene RG-3000 (Corbett Research). Each reaction was performed with: 1 µl of template,

1 µl of primer pair mix (10 µM), 3 µl of water and 5 µl of SYBR Green Mix (2×). The sequences of

primers used in this study can be found in Table 1. The thermo-cycling parameters were: 95°C for 15

min followed by 40 cycles of 94°C for 15 s, 57°C for 20 s and 72°C for 25 s. The Roto-Gene Q Series

Software was used to calculate the threshold cycle (Ct) value. Signals are presented as a percentage

of Input DNA after removal of the IgG background signal. Each ChIP sample was measured in

triplicate by qPCR. The number of biological replicates is indicated on each figure.

Name	Forward primer	Reverse primer
PCR		
DNAJB1 E2/3	GAACCAAAATCACTTTCCCCAAGGA AGG	AATGAGGTCCCCACGTTTCTCGGGT GT
BRD2 E4/5	CAAAATTATAAAACAGCCTATGGACA TG	TTTTCCAGCGTTTGTGCCATTAGGA

ChIP-qPCR		
KPNB1 TSS	TTACTTCCTCCCTCCAAATGGG	ACAGCCTCCCTTCCTTCTTTC
KPNB1 TSS+3.2	GCCCAGAGAACAAGAAATCG	GGAATGGACAAGCTGTGTTG
KPNB1 TSS+20.2	TGCAAGAGCCAGTGGGAACACTT	CCTCTACTCAGCAATGATACTTC
KPNB1 pA-4.8	CTGAGGAAACTGAAGAACCAAG	GAAGGCAGTGCTTGCCAGAAT
KPNB1 pA-2.9	GAGGAGTGTGCACGGATGCTGAA	CCAAGATGGCCGATGTTATGG
KPNB1 pA-0.4	TAGTTACCGTCTGCTTGGGAAGATG	CCTCTGACAGCAAGTCCAACATT
KPNB1 pA+1.4	GACTCATCACACCAAGGTCAC	GATAGTGCTGGGAAGGAAATGG
KPNB1 pA+2.6	GTACATCTCAGCTTTGGCATATG	GCCCAGAACATAGCAGGCATTGC
KPNB1 pA+4.1	GTTTCACCGTGTTAGCCAGGATGG	CCACAGCCATGTTCATTTCTGC
KPNB1 TD (pA +11.3)	AGGAGCATGGCTTTTCTCTG	TCATGCTGGAACTGGTTGAG
KPNB1 +12.8	TGGAAGTTCTGATGCTCCTG	TCAAGTTAATGCCCCTGCTC
JUN TSS	TTTAGGGGTTGACTGGTAGCAG	TGTCTGTCTGTCTGCCTGAC
JUN GB	TGACCGCGACTTTTCAAAGC	GCGCAGGGTTAATTAAGATGCC
JUN pA	ATCAAGTGGCATGTGCTGTG	TGCCACCAATTCCTGCTTTG
JUN TD	TCACCCCTTCATGTTTCTGC	GTGTCACTTACGCCAAGACTTG
H1-2 GB	ACTCTGGTGCAAACGAAAGG	TTAACCTTGGGCTTGGCTTC
H1-2 T1	TCCCTCTGTTTGACATCCATGG	TGAGCAGCTTATCTCCAGAAGC

H1-2 T2	TCACGGGTTCAAGCGATTCTC	AAAATTAGCCGGGCATGGTG
Neg	TGGTACAACCACAGCTCAGTG	AAGCTGGACATGGTTGTGTG
qRT-PCR		
ATF3	AACCACAGTCAGTGGAGAGATG	TTCTCACAGCTGCAAACACC
LDLR	TTTGACGGGACTTCAGGTTC	TCCCTTGTGACATCTTCACG
NR4A3	AAGCCACCAGCTGTTAATGG	GCAATGCTGTTAGAGGAGCAG
PHLDB2	GAAACGACTTCAGGCAAGTCTC	GCCATGTTTTAGGAAAGAGCAC
SLCO4A1	TCCTCTTCTTTGCCATAGCC	ACAAGTTTCCAGGCCATCTG
ATP5F1B	ACCCATTGAAGAAGCTGTGG	CAATCAAGGCTCTTGTGCAG
TARS1	CATGGAAAAGGAGGAACAGC	CTTTGCCAAACTCCTCCAAG
H1-2	ACTCTGGTGCAAACGAAAGG	TTAACCTTGGGCTTGGCTTC
TUBB	ATATGTTCCTCGTGCCATCC	TTTGGCCCAGTTGTTACCTG
GAPDH	CAACGACCACTTTGTCAAGC	TTCCTCTTGTGCTCTTGCTG
KPNB1 (poly(A)+)	GAGGAGTGTGCACGGATGCTGAA	CCAAGATGGCCGATGTTATGG
KPNB1 (Random primers)	CAGTCTGTGATGGCATTTAAG	TCTGACTTTCAAACCATTTCACCTCC
JUN	TGACCGCGACTTTTCAAAGC	GCGCAGGGGTTAATTAAGATGCC
7SK	CTGATCTGGCTGGCTAGGCGGG	GAAGACCGGTCCTCCTCTATCGG
Pre-rRNA	CCTGCTGTTCTCTCGCGCGTCCGAG	AACGCCTGACACGCACGGCACGGAG

Table 1: Sequences of primers used for PCR, ChIP-qPCR, and qRT-PCR.

RNA preparation and PCR/qPCR

Total RNA was extracted from ~70% confluent HeLa cells grown in 6-wells plate using a RNeasy Micro Kit (Qiagen) with a DNase step according to the manufacturer's instructions. Reverse-transcription (RT) was performed with 500 ng of RNA using random hexamers or oligo(dT) with the SuperScript III kit (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. PCR reactions were performed with the Phusion High-Fidelity DNA polymerase (NEB) according to the manufacturer's

instructions. The sequence of primers used for PCR is given in Table 1. Quantifications were

performed with Image Studio Lite version 5.2.5. The quantifications are shown as % of unspliced

reads and calculated as follow: (unspliced RNA signal) / (spliced RNA signal + unspliced RNA signal)

* 100.

cDNA was amplified by qPCR with a QuantiTect SYBR Green PCR kit (QIAGEN) and a Rotor-Gene

RG-3000 (Corbett Research). The sequence of primers used for qPCR is given in Table 1. Values

are normalized to the 7SK non-coding RNA (random primers), or the GAPDH mRNA (oligo d(T)), that

have been used as control. Experiments were replicated at least three times to ensure

reproducibility, and each RNA sample was measured in triplicate by qPCR.

5'EU

HeLa cells were seeded at 40-50% confluency on coverslips in a 6-well plate, then treated the

following day with Madrasin or Isoginkgetin for the time indicated on the figure before being incubated

with 1 mM 5-ethylnyl uridine (5-EU) for 1 h to label newly synthesized RNA. Cells were then fixed by

transferring coverslips to 3.7 % formaldehyde diluted in PBS for 15 min, washed with PBS, and then

permeabilised in 0.5 % Triton X-100 diluted in PBS for 15 min at room temperature. Global nascent

RNA transcription was detected using Click-iT® RNA Alexa Fluor 488 Imaging kit (ThermoFisher,

C10329) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Following Click-iT reaction, cellular DNA was

immunostained with Hoechst 33342 diluted 1:1000 in PBS for 15 min at room temperature, protected

from light. Coverslips were then washed twice with PBS, then mounted onto slides using

Fluoromount-G (SouthernBiotech, 0100-01) containing DAPI. Slides were visualised with an inverted

multi-channel fluorescence microscope (Evos M7000; ThermoFisher). Fluorescent intensity was

analysed and quantified with ImageJ (version 1.53q) by first using the DAPI channel (λEx/λEm (with

DNA) = 350/461 nm) image to define the nucleus, then GFP channel (λEx/λEm = 495/519 nm) to

measure the fluorescent intensity within the nucleus. Data were plotted by box-and-whisker plot with

GraphPad Prism 9.5 software with the following settings: boxes: 25-75 percentile range; whiskers:

min-max values; horizontal bars: median.

mNET-seq

mNET-seq was carried out as previously described (31). In brief, the chromatin fraction was isolated

from 4×10^7 HeLa cells treated with DMSO or 90 µM Madrasin for 30 min. Chromatin was digested in

100 µl of MNase (40 units/µl) reaction buffer for 2 min at 37°C in a thermomixer at 1,400 rpm. MNase

was inactivated with the addition of 10 µl EGTA (25 mM) and soluble digested chromatin was

collected after centrifugation at 13 000 rpm for 5 min at 4°C. The supernatant was diluted with 400 µl

of NET-2 buffer and antibody-conjugated beads were added. Antibodies used: Pol II (MABI0601, MBL

International) and Ser5P (MABI0603, MBL International). Immunoprecipitation was performed at 4°C

on a rotating wheel at 16 rpm for 1h. The beads were washed six times with 1 ml of ice-cold NET-2

buffer and once with 100 µl of 1x PNKT (1x PNK buffer and 0.05% Triton X-100) buffer. Washed

beads were incubated in 200 µl PNK reaction mix for 6 min in a Thermomixer at 1,400 rpm at 37°C.

The beads were then washed once with 1 ml of NET-2 buffer and RNA was extracted with Trizol

reagent. RNA was suspended in urea Dye and resolved on a 6% TBU gel (Invitrogen) at 200 V for 5

min. In order to size select 35–100 nt RNAs, a gel fragment was cut between BPB and XC dye

markers. For each sample, several small holes were made with a 25G needle at the bottom of a 0.5

ml tube, which is then placed in a 1.5 ml tube. Gel fragments were placed in the layered tube and

broken down by centrifugation at 12,000 rpm for 1 min. The small RNAs were eluted from the gel

using RNA elution buffer (1 M NaOAc and 1 mM EDTA) for 1h on a rotating wheel at 16 rpm at room

temperature. Eluted RNAs were purified with SpinX column (Coster) and two glass filters (Millipore)

and the resulting flow-through RNA was precipitated overnight with ethanol. RNA libraries were

prepared according to manual of Truseq small RNA library prep kit (Illumina). Deep sequencing

(Hiseq4000, Illumina) was conducted by the high throughput genomics team of the Wellcome Trust

Centre for Human Genetics (WTCHG), Oxford.

Bioinformatics analyses

Transcription units' annotation

Gencode V35 annotation, based on the GRCh38 version of the human genome, was used to obtain

the list of protein-coding genes as in (8). To obtain the list of expressed mRNA isoforms, Salmon

version 0.14.1 (33) was used on two HeLa nucleoplasm RNA-seq (GSE110028 (34)) and the most

expressed mRNA isoform of each gene was kept for further analysis. HeLa chromatin RNA-seq signal

(GSE110028 (34)) was then quantified with BEDtools version 2.29.2 (35) multicov to keep only the

most expressed mRNA isoform with more than 10 reads in two biological replicates.

For the intron-containing, intronless, and histone genes, the mRNAs isoforms were separated in 193

intronless genes, 40 histone genes, and 2,236 intron-containing genes with a maximal gene size

corresponding to the size of the longest intronless gene. The list of used exons was extracted from

Gencode V35 by using the annotation of each most expressed mRNA isoform. The first and final

exons of each mRNA isoform were then removed to keep only internal exons.

mNET-seq analysis

Adapters were trimmed with Cutadapt version 1.18 (36) in paired-end mode with the following

options: --minimum-length 10 -q 15,10 -j 16 – A GATCGTCGGACTGTAGAACTCTGAAC – a

AGATCGGAAGAGCACACGTCTGAACTCCAGTCAC. Trimmed reads were mapped to the human

GRCh38.p13 reference sequence with STAR version 2.7.3a (37) and the parameters: --runThreadN

16 --readFilesCommand gunzip -c -k --limitBAMsortRAM 20000000000 --outSAMtype BAM

SortedByCoordinate. SAMtools version 1.9 (38) was used to retain the properly paired and mapped

reads (-f 3). A custom python script (31) was used to obtain the 3⬜ nucleotide of the second read and

the strandedness of the first read. Strand-specific bam files were generated with SAMtools. FPKM-

normalized bigwig files were created with deepTools2 version 3.4.2 (39) bamCoverage tool with the

parameters -bs 1 -p max --normalizeUsing RPKM.

RNA-seq analysis

RNA-seq in HeLa cells treated for 12h with DMSO or 35 µM Isoginkgetin were taken from GSE72055

(40). Total RNA-seq in HeLa cells for 6h or 18h treatment with DMSO or 30 µM Isoginkgetin were

taken from GSE86857 (30). RNA-seq were analysed as in (41). Adapters were trimmed with Cutadapt

in paired-end mode with the following options: --minimum-length 10 -q 15,10 -j 16 -a

AGATCGGAAGAGCACACGTCTGAACTCCAGTCA   -A

AGATCGGAAGAGCGTCGTGTAGGGAAAGAGTGT. The remaining rRNA reads were removed by

mapping the trimmed reads to the rRNA genes defined in the Human ribosomal DNA complete

repeating unit (GenBank: U13369.1) with STAR and the parameters --runThreadN 16 --

readFilesCommand gunzip -c –k --outReadsUnmapped Fastx --limitBAMsortRAM 20000000000 --

outSAMtype BAM SortedByCoordinate. The unmapped reads were mapped to the human

GRCh38.p13 reference sequence with STAR and the and the ENCODE parameters: --runThreadN 16

--limitBAMsortRAM 20000000000 --outSAMtype BAM SortedByCoordinate --quantMode GeneCounts

--outFilterMultimapNmax 20 --outFilterType BySJout --alignSJoverhangMin 8 --

alignSJDBoverhangMin 1 --outFilterMismatchNmax 999 -- alignIntronMin 20 --alignIntronMax

1000000 --alignMatesGapMax 1000000. SAMtools was used to retain the properly paired and

mapped reads (-f 3) and to produce strand-specific bam files. HTSeq version 1.99.2 (42) count tool

and the Gencode v35 annotation has been used to obtain the number of counts per gene. DESeq2

version 1.30.1 (43) was then used to perform the differential expression analysis. Any gene with a

two-fold fold change and an adjusted p-value < 0.05 was considered as significant. FPKM-normalized

bigwig files were created with deepTools bamCoverage tool with the parameters -bs 10 -p max --

normalizeUsing RPKM.

Splicing efficiency

RNA-seq splicing efficiency was calculated as previously (8) by first parsing each bam file to obtain

the list of spliced and unspliced reads with the awk command (awk '/^@/|| $6 ~ /N/' for spliced reads

and awk '/^@/|| $6 !~ /N/' for unspliced reads). The splicing efficiency was then calculated as the

number of spliced reads over total reads for each expressed protein-coding gene with BEDtools

multicov –s –split.

Metagene profiles

Metagene profiles of genes scaled to the same length were generated with deepTools2

computeMatrix tool with a bin size of 10 bp and the plotting data obtained with plotProfile –

outFileNameData tool. Graphs representing the RNA-seq or the mNET-seq signal were then created

with GraphPad Prism 9.5. Metagene profiles are shown as the average of two biological replicates.

P values and significance tests

P-values were computed with unpaired two-tailed Student's t test, Wilcoxon rank sum test, or

Wilcoxon signed-rank test, as indicated in the legends. Statistical tests were performed in GraphPad

Prism 9.5.

Results

Isoginkgetin and Madrasin are poor splicing inhibitors

We first determined whether a short treatment with Madrasin or Isoginkgetin (Fig 1A) inhibits pre-

mRNA splicing in HeLa cells as observed for PlaB and HB (7, 21). As the effect of Madrasin is less

well characterised than Isoginkgetin, we first determined which concentration of Madrasin would be

optimal for short-term inhibition. We treated HeLa cells with 30 μM, 60 μM, or 90 μM Madrasin for 30

min followed by RT-PCR analysis (S1A Fig). We observed a concentration-dependent inhibition of

splicing of the intron located between exons 4 and 5 of *BRD2* and selected 90 μM as a working

concentration. To compare the efficiency of the different splicing inhibitors, we treated HeLa cells for

1h with DMSO, 1 µM PlaB, 1 µM HB, 30 µM Isoginkgetin, or 90 µM Madrasin and performed RT-PCR

for exons 2 and 3 of *DNAJB1* and exons 4 and 5 of *BRD2* (Fig 1B). While SF3B1 inhibition with PlaB

and HB shows, as expected, a clear increase in intron retention for both genes, Isoginkgetin and

Madrasin have little effect on pre-mRNA splicing of these two genes. As SF3B1 inhibition also affects

transcription (5, 7, 19, 21), we investigated nascent transcription activity via 5'EU incorporation in

HeLa cells treated for 1h with DMSO, 100 µM DRB, a CDK9 inhibitor, 1 µM PlaB, 1 µM HB, 30 µM

Isoginkgetin, or 90 µM Madrasin (Fig 1C and D). As we previously observed, CDK9 and SF3B1

inhibition results in a reduction in 5'EU incorporation (21) whereas Madrasin, but not Isoginkgetin,

decreases the 5'EU signal.

These results indicate that both Madrasin and Isoginkgetin are poor splicing inhibitors but that

Madrasin affects transcription.

Fig 1: Isoginkgetin and Madrasin are poor splicing inhibitors.

(A) Chemical structure of Madrasin and Isoginkgetin. **(B)** RT-PCR with primers amplifying the intron

located between exons 2 and 3 of *DNAJB1* or exons 4 and 5 of *BRD2*. HeLa cells were treated with

DMSO, 1 µM PlaB, 1 µM HB, 30 µM Isoginkgetin, or 90 µM Madrasin for 1h. The location of the

spliced and unspliced RNA is shown on the left of the panel. The percentages of unspliced RNA

compared to total (spliced + unspliced) are shown below. **(C)** Representative images of

immunofluorescence analysis or 5'EU incorporation in HeLa cells treated with DMSO, 100 µM DRB, a

CDK9 inhibitor, 1 µM PlaB, 1 µM HB, 30 µM Isoginkgetin, or 90 µM Madrasin for 1h. EU (green),

DAPI (blue), scale bars: 50 µm. **(D)** Quantification of 5'EU intensity per nucleus for DMSO, DRB,

PlaB, HB, Isoginkgetin, and Madrasin. Boxplot settings are: min to max values with the box showing

25-75 percentile range. > 10,000 nuclei were quantified per condition. Statistical test: Kruskal-Wallis

test. P-value: n.s. not significant, **** < 0.0001.

Madrasin decreases transcription of protein-coding genes

As Madrasin reduces 5'EU signal, we first investigated by qRT-PCR whether rDNA transcription is

decreased after 30 min or 60 min treatment with 90 µM Madrasin (S1B Fig). As the pre-rRNA level is

not affected by Madrasin, we investigated pol II nascent transcription by performing total pol II and

Ser5-P mNET-seq in HeLa cells following 30 min treatment with DMSO (Control) or 90 µM Madrasin

(Fig 2A and B and S1C Fig). Madrasin treatment causes a decrease in pol II pausing and in pol II levels across the gene body on protein-coding genes while the pol II level increases downstream of poly(A) sites, indicating a transcription termination defect. We confirmed the decrease in pol II transcription following Madrasin treatment in mNET-seq by qRT-PCR on eight protein-coding genes (Fig 2C) and by pol II ChIP-qPCR on our model protein-coding gene *KPNB1* (Fig 2D and E). To understand whether the effect of Madrasin on transcription could be mediated by a loss of transcription elongation and termination factors, we performed ChIP-qPCR on the *KPNB1* gene of the elongation factors SPT5 and CDC73, a subunit of the PAF1 complex, and of CPSF73, the pre-mRNA cleavage enzyme, in HeLa cells treated with DMSO or 90 μM Madrasin for 30 min (Fig 2E and F). When the results are not ratioed to pol II (Fig 2E), the effect of Madrasin on SPT5 and CDC73 mimics the effect on pol II, with a reduction across the whole gene body and an increase in the delayed transcription termination region and the CPSF73 signal is not affected by Madrasin treatment. When ratioed to pol II, there is a relative increase in SPT5, CDC73, and CPSF73 across the gene body of *KPNB1* but a reduced level of the three proteins on the delayed transcription termination region following Madrasin treatment (Fig 2F).

These results indicate that while Madrasin has a limited effect on pre-mRNA splicing, it downregulates pol II transcription of protein-coding genes and causes a transcription termination defect.

Fig 2: Madrasin decreases transcription of protein-coding genes

(A) Schematic of the mNET-seq technique. **(B)** Metagene profile of total pol II mNET-seq treated with DMSO (blue) or 90 μM Madrasin (red) for 30 min on scaled expressed protein-coding genes. **(C)** qRT-PCR with primers amplifying different protein-coding genes. HeLa cells were treated with DMSO or 90 μM Madrasin for 30 min (red) or 60 min (orange). cDNA was generated with random hexamers. Values are normalised to the 7SK snRNA and shown as relative to DMSO, mean ± SEM, n = 3 biological replicates. Statistical test: two-tailed unpaired t-test. P-value: * < 0.05, ** < 0.01, *** < 0.001. **(D)** Screenshot of the genome browser total pol II mNET-seq DMSO (blue) and Madrasin (red) tracks on the protein-coding gene *KPNB1*. The arrow indicates the sense of transcription. **(E)** Total pol II, SPT5, CDC73, and CPSF73 ChIP-qPCR across the protein-coding gene *KPNB1* in HeLa cells treated with DMSO (blue) or 90 μM Madrasin for 30 min (red). Mean ± SEM, n = 3 biological replicates. Statistical test: two-tailed unpaired t-test. P-value: * < 0.05, ** < 0.01, *** < 0.001. **(F)** Ratios of SPT5 /

total pol II, CDC73 / total pol II, or CPSF73 / total pol II from ChIP-qPCR on the intron-containing gene

KPNB1 in HeLa cells treated with DMSO (blue) or 90 µM Madrasin for 30 min (red). Mean □±□SEM,

n□=□3 biological replicates. Statistical test: two-tailed unpaired t-test. P-value: * < 0.05, ** < 0.01, ***

< 0.001, **** < 0.0001.

Transcription of intronless and histone genes is also affected by Madrasin

As Madrasin promotes a general transcriptional defect on protein-coding genes, which also include

intronless and histone genes, we have analysed the effect of this drug on intronless genes with

poly(A) sites and on intronless replication-activated histone genes. As intron-containing genes are

generally longer than intronless genes, we selected three groups of expressed genes in HeLa cells:

histone genes (n=40), intronless genes (n=193), and intron-containing protein-coding genes with a

gene size inferior or equal to the longest intronless genes (n=2,236) (S2A Fig). The RNA level from

the intron-containing and intronless genes is similar in chromatin RNA-seq, while histone genes

produce more RNA (S2B Fig). As expected, transcription of intron-containing genes is similarly

affected by Madrasin as all protein-coding genes (S2C Fig). Madrasin also decreases nascent

transcription of intronless genes and causes a higher pol II signal downstream of the poly(A) site (Fig

3A and S2D Fig). Interestingly, Madrasin activates the expression of some intronless genes, such as

JUN (Fig 3B). We confirmed the increase in pol II, SPT5, and CDC73, but not CPSF73, on *JUN* after

Madrasin treatment by ChIP-qPCR (Fig 3C and S2E Fig). Histone genes are also affected by

Madrasin, mostly promoting a higher pol II signal downstream of the histone genes without affecting

the level of nascent transcripts across the coding region (Fig 3D and E and S2F Fig). While pol II

ChIP-qPCR on the *H1-2* gene confirmed the mNET-seq profile, Madrasin treatment reduces SPT5

and CDC73, but not CPSF73, on this histone gene (Fig 2F and S2G Fig).

These results indicate that Madrasin globally affects pol II transcription on intron-containing and

intronless genes, and in the case of histone genes, mostly on transcription downstream of the normal

transcription termination region.

Fig 3: Transcription of intronless and histone genes is also affected by Madrasin

(A) Metagene profiles of total pol II mNET-seq performed in HeLa cells treated with DMSO (blue) or

90 µM Madrasin for 30 min (red) on scaled expressed intronless genes. **(B)** Screenshot of the

genome browser total pol II mNET-seq DMSO (blue) and Madrasin (red) tracks of the intronless gene

JUN. The arrow indicates the sense of transcription. **(C)** Total pol II, SPT5, CDC73, and CPSF73

ChIP-qPCR on the intronless gene *JUN* in HeLa cells treated with DMSO (blue) or 90 μM Madrasin

for 30 min (red). Mean □±□SEM, n□=□3 biological replicates. Statistical test: two-tailed unpaired t-

test. P-value: * < 0.05, ** < 0.01, *** < 0.001, **** < 0.0001. **(D)** Metagene profiles of total pol II mNET-

seq performed in HeLa cells treated with DMSO (blue) or 90 μM Madrasin for 30 min (red) on scaled

expressed histone genes. **(E)** Screenshot of the genome browser total pol II mNET-seq DMSO (blue)

and Madrasin (red) tracks of the histone gene *H1-2*. The arrow indicates the sense of transcription.

(F) Total pol II, SPT5, CDC73, and CPSF73 ChIP-qPCR on the histone gene *H1-2* in HeLa cells

treated with DMSO (blue) or 90 μM Madrasin for 30 min (red). Mean □±□SEM, n□=□3 biological

replicates. Statistical test: two-tailed unpaired t-test. P-value: * < 0.05.

Isoginkgetin treatment affects pol I and pol II transcription

While a 1h treatment with 30 μM Isoginkgetin did not affect pre-mRNA splicing and 5'EU incorporation

(Fig 1B-D), we investigated whether a longer treatment time would result in a change in splicing and

transcription as Isoginkgetin was already shown to affect transcription after 6h of treatment (30). We

treated HeLa cells with 30 μM Isoginkgetin from 1h to 6h and found virtually no effect on pre-mRNA

splicing as analysed by RT-PCR on *DNAJB1* and *BRD2* genes (Fig 4A). Re-analysis of previously-

published total RNA-seq datasets (30, 40) in HeLa cells following 6h, 12h, or 18h treatment with 30

μM Isoginkgetin confirms that pre-mRNA splicing is not affected by Isoginkgetin at 6h but is

significantly decreased at 12h and 18h (Fig 4B). Isoginkgetin is therefore unlikely to act on pre-mRNA

splicing as a primary effect, in agreement with (30). To test whether Isoginkgetin affects transcription

prior to 6h treatment, we first monitored 5'EU incorporation in HeLa cells following a time-course of 1h

to 6h treatment with 30 μM Isoginkgetin (Fig 4C and D). It takes approximately 5h of Isoginkgetin

treatment to see a marked decrease in 5'EU incorporation while shorter treatment time points show

rather an increase in 5'EU incorporation. To determine whether pre-rRNA transcription could be

responsible for the change in 5'EU incorporation, we investigated whether Isoginkgetin affects pol I

transcription by qRT-PCR (Fig 4E). Transcription of rDNA starts to decrease after 2h treatment with

Isoginkgetin but becomes significantly reduced only at 4h and 5h.

Fig 4: Isoginkgetin is a poor splicing inhibitor

(A) RT-PCR with primers amplifying the intron located between exons 2 and 3 of *DNAJB1* or the intron located between exons 4 and 5 of *BRD2*. HeLa cells were treated with DMSO, 30 µM Isoginkgetin for 1h to 6h, or 1 µM PlaB for 6h. The locations of the spliced and unspliced RNA are shown on the right of the panel. The percentages of unspliced RNA compared to total (spliced + unspliced) are shown below. **(B)** Percentage of spliced reads in total RNA-seq treated with DMSO (blue) or Isoginkgetin (red) for the time indicated on the figure. Each point represents a biological replicate. Statistical test: Wilcoxon rank sum test. P-value: n.s. not significant, * < 0.05, **** < 0.0001. **(C)** Representative images of immunofluorescence analysis or 5'EU incorporation in HeLa cells treated with DMSO or 30 µM Isoginkgetin for 1h to 6h. EU (green), DAPI (blue), scale bars: 50 µm. **(D)** Quantification of 5'EU intensity per nucleus for DMSO and Isoginkgetin. Boxplot settings are: min to max values with the box showing 25-75 percentile range. 8,466 nuclei were quantified per condition. Statistical test: Kruskal-Wallis test. P-value: **** < 0.0001. **(E)** qRT-PCR with primers amplifying a region from the pol I transcribed pre-rRNA. HeLa cells were treated with DMSO or 30 µM Isoginkgetin for 1h to 6h. cDNA was generated with random hexamers. Values are normalised to the *7SK* snRNA and shown as relative to DMSO, mean ± SEM, n = 3 biological replicates. Statistical test: two-tailed unpaired t-test. P-value: n* < 0.05.

We next investigated by oligo d(T) qRT-PCR the expression of 11 protein-coding genes in HeLa cells treated with DMSO or 30 µM Isoginkgetin from 1h to 6h (Fig 5A). We observed a detectable effect on the production of poly(A)+ mRNA from 3h onwards (*NR4A3, LDLR*, and *KPNB1*), with generally a downregulation of protein-coding gene expression, with the exception of the intronless gene *JUN*, following Isoginkgetin treatment. A general downregulation of expression of protein-coding genes by this drug is also apparent after re-analysis of previously published RNA-seq datasets (6h, 12h, and 18h Isoginkgetin treatment), which shows that protein-coding genes are generally downregulated while non-coding genes tend to be upregulated (S3A and B Fig). *ATF3* expression was previously shown to be upregulated in HeLa cells after a 24h treatment with Isoginkgetin (44), while we observed a decrease in ATF3 expression after 6h treatment (Fig 5A). We performed qRT-PCR following a 24h treatment with Isoginkgetin and confirmed that both *JUN* and *ATF3* are upregulated while four other protein-coding genes are downregulated (S3C Fig). As changes in the level of poly(A)+ mRNA take

more time to appear than changes in transcription, we performed pol II ChIP-qPCR following
Isoginkgetin treatment (Fig 5B-D and S3D Fig). We did not observe any change in total pol II across
KPNB1 after treating HeLa cells with Isoginkgetin for 30 min (S3D Fig). However, an Isoginkgetin
time-course from 1h to 6h shows a decrease in pol II signal from 2h onwards across *KPNB1*, *JUN*,
and *H1-2* (Fig 5B-D), which would explain the downregulation in poly(A)+ mRNA production apparent
from 3h treatment onwards.
To determine whether Isoginkgetin could affect pol II CTD phosphorylation before transcription, we
purified the chromatin fraction of HeLa cells treated with DMSO or 30 µM Isoginkgetin for 1h, 2h, or
4h and performed western blots for total pol II, Ser2-P, and Ser5-P (Fig 5E). We generally observed a
loss of total pol II and of Ser2 and Ser5 phosphorylation on chromatin over time, with the exception of
an increase in Ser5-P at the 1h timepoint. To confirm these observations, we performed pol II, Ser2-
P, and Ser5-P ChIP-qPCR across the *KPNB1* gene following Isoginkgetin treatment for 1h, 1h30, and
2h (Fig 5F). The changes in total pol II profile looks similar to what we previously observed after
Isoginkgetin treatment (Fig 5B) and the changes in Ser2-P profile match the changes in total pol II,
indicating that this mark is largely unaffected. However, the Ser5-P level increases at the promoter
region after 1h treatment but returns to control levels after 1h30, in agreement with the chromatin
western blot.
Isoginkgetin is a bioflavonoid, a group of small molecules that have been shown to regulate the
SUMO post-translational modification (45). The SUMO modification is present on mRNA splicing and
CPA factors and regulates their activities (45-47). To determine whether 1h, 2h, or 4h treatment of 30
µM Isoginkgetin modifies SUMO1 or SUMO2/3 levels, we performed western blots on HeLa whole cell
extract (S3E Fig). We only observed a slight increase in total SUMO2/3 level after 4h treatment.
These results indicate that Isoginkgetin affects pol I and pol II transcription and pol II CTD
phosphorylation rather than pre-mRNA splicing. The effect of Isoginkgetin on transcription is also not
likely mediated via a global change in SUMOylation.
480
Fig 5: Isoginkgetin treatment affects pol I and pol II transcription
(A) qRT-PCR with primers amplifying different protein-coding genes. HeLa cells were treated with
DMSO or 30 µM Isoginkgetin for 1h to 6h. cDNA was generated with oligo(dT). Values are normalised
to the protein-coding gene *GAPDH* and shown as relative to DMSO, mean ± SEM, n = 4

biological replicates. Statistical test: two-tailed unpaired t-test. P-value: * < 0.05, ** < 0.01, *** < 0.001,

**** < 0.0001. **(B)** Total pol II ChIP-qPCR on the intron-containing gene *KPNB1* in HeLa cells treated

with DMSO or 30 μM Isoginkgetin for 1h to 6h. Mean ± SEM, n = 3 biological replicates. Statistical

test: two-tailed unpaired t-test. P-value: * < 0.05, ** < 0.01. **(C)** Total pol II ChIP-qPCR on the

intronless gene *JUN* in HeLa cells treated with DMSO or 30 μM Isoginkgetin for 1h to 6h.

Mean ± SEM, n = 3 biological replicates. Statistical test: two-tailed unpaired t-test. P-value: * <

0.05. **(D)** Total pol II ChIP-qPCR of the histone gene *H1-2* in HeLa cells treated with DMSO or 30 μM

Isoginkgetin for 1h to 6h. Mean ± SEM, n = 3 biological replicates. Statistical test: two-tailed

unpaired t-test. P-value: * < 0.05. **(E)** Western blots of total pol II, Ser2-P, Ser5-P, and histone H3 on

the chromatin fraction of HeLa cells treated with DMSO or 30 μM Isoginkgetin for 1h, 2h, or 4h.

Quantifications of the western blots are shown below each panel. Each quantification has been

normalised to histone H3 signal and then to the DMSO condition. **(F)** ChIP-qPCR of total pol II, Ser2-

P, and Ser5-P across the intron-containing gene *KPNB1* in HeLa cells treated with DMSO or 30 μM

Isoginkgetin for 1h, 1h30, or 2h. Mean ± SEM, n = 3 biological replicates. Statistical test: two-

tailed unpaired t-test. P-value: * < 0.05, ** < 0.01, *** < 0.001, **** < 0.0001.

Discussion

We set out to test whether inhibition of pre-mRNA splicing affects transcription elongation and

transcription termination using two small molecules, Madrasin and Isoginkgetin, that were found to

inhibit pre-mRNA splicing following a 24h treatment (28, 29). To limit confounding effects associated

with long treatment times, we have carried out short time courses of treatment. However, we rather

found that both Madrasin and Isoginkgetin are poor splicing inhibitors and should therefore not

considered as primarily inhibitors of pre-mRNA splicing.

While Madrasin has a limited effect on pre-mRNA splicing following a 30 min treatment, this small

molecule promotes a general transcriptional downregulation and a delay in transcription termination,

which is observed on intron-containing, intronless, and histone genes. The transcriptional

dysregulation resulting from Madrasin could potentially explain the longer-term indirect effect on

splicing via changes in proteins interacting with pol II, as we observed for the elongation factors SPT5

and CDC73. Another non-mutually exclusive possibility is a higher pol II elongation rate following

Madrasin treatment, which could also explain the delayed transcription termination, as pol II

elongation rate is known to regulate RNA processing (2-4, 6, 16, 48). However, the cellular target(s)

of Madrasin is unknown.

In line with a previous report (30), our results clearly indicate that Isoginkgetin is not a potent pre-

mRNA splicing inhibitor. Splicing defects take more than 6h of Isoginkgetin treatment to appear,

strongly suggesting that this is a secondary effect. However, Isoginkgetin affects pol II transcription

and pol II CTD Ser2 and Ser5 phosphorylation in ~1h and pol I transcription in ~2h. Additionally,

Isoginkgetin can also affect post-transcriptional regulation, as exemplified by the *JUN* gene. While we

observed a clear increase in *JUN* poly(A)+ mRNA, transcription of *JUN* by pol II was decreased by

Isoginkgetin, indicating a stabilisation of the *JUN* mRNA. The re-analysis of Isoginkgetin RNA-seq

datasets also shows a clear enrichment of non-coding (nc)RNAs in upregulated genes, with ncRNAs

representing approximately 2/3 of these genes. This upregulation may be partially explained by the

transcriptional readthrough previously observed for Isoginkgetin (30, 40) (S4 Fig) from upstream

protein-coding genes into ncRNA genes, transforming them into expressed genes in the differential

expression analysis software. However, we could not observe a transcription termination defect nor

the increase in total, but not poly(A)+, RNA-seq signal in the first intron (30) following Isoginkgetin

treatment by total pol II ChIP-qPCR. As Isoginkgetin mimics RNA exosome inhibition (40), it is

therefore likely that the accumulation of poorly processed transcripts (increased signal in the first

intron, increased signal downstream of the poly(A) site, and increased non-polyadenylated ncRNAs)

are due to a loss of RNA exosome activity rather than a transcriptional defect. The cellular target(s) of

Isoginkgetin is also unknown. Isoginkgetin can partially inhibit SENP1 (45), a protease deconjugating

SUMO modification, *in vitro,* and in agreement with this, we start to see an increase in global

SUMO2/3 levels after 4h treatment. It remains to be determined whether the effect of Isoginkgetin on

pol I and pol II transcription is mediated by rapid changes (< 4h) in SUMO modifications on proteins

involved in transcription.

Understanding the coupling between transcription, pre-mRNA splicing, and mRNA CPA remains

technically challenging. Based on our and other groups' analyses, Madrasin and Isoginkgetin should

not be considered splicing inhibitors. It is also important to use short timepoints to screen for potential

splicing inhibitors rather than 24h treatment in order to limit the influence of secondary/indirect effects.

Acknowledgments

We thank the High-Throughput Genomics Group at the Wellcome Trust Centre for Human Genetics

for sequencing.

548   REFERENCES

1.   Tellier M, Maudlin I, Murphy S. Transcription and splicing: A two-way street. Wiley
Interdiscip Rev RNA. 2020;11(5):e1593.
2.   Howe KJ, Kane CM, Ares M, Jr. Perturbation of transcription elongation influences
the fidelity of internal exon inclusion in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. RNA. 2003;9(8):993-
1006.
3.   de la Mata M, Alonso CR, Kadener S, Fededa JP, Blaustein M, Pelisch F, et al. A
slow RNA polymerase II affects alternative splicing in vivo. Mol Cell. 2003;12(2):525-32.
4.   Dujardin G, Lafaille C, de la Mata M, Marasco LE, Munoz MJ, Le Jossic-Corcos C,
et al. How slow RNA polymerase II elongation favors alternative exon skipping. Mol Cell.
2014;54(4):683-90.
5.   Koga M, Hayashi M, Kaida D. Splicing inhibition decreases phosphorylation level of
Ser2 in Pol II CTD. Nucleic Acids Res. 2015;43(17):8258-67.
6.   Godoy Herz MA, Kubaczka MG, Brzyzek G, Servi L, Krzyszton M, Simpson C, et al.
Light Regulates Plant Alternative Splicing through the Control of Transcriptional Elongation.
Mol Cell. 2019;73(5):1066-74 e3.
7.   Caizzi L, Monteiro-Martins S, Schwalb B, Lysakovskaia K, Schmitzova J, Sawicka
A, et al. Efficient RNA polymerase II pause release requires U2 snRNP function. Mol Cell.
2021;81(9):1920-34 e9.
8.   Henfrey C, Murphy S, Tellier M. Regulation of mature mRNA levels by RNA
processing efficiency. NAR Genom Bioinform. 2023;5(2):lqad059.
9.   Zaborowska J, Egloff S, Murphy S. The pol II CTD: new twists in the tail. Nat Struct
Mol Biol. 2016;23(9):771-7.
10.   Komarnitsky P, Cho EJ, Buratowski S. Different phosphorylated forms of RNA
polymerase II and associated mRNA processing factors during transcription. Genes Dev.
2000;14(19):2452-60.
11.   Harlen KM, Trotta KL, Smith EE, Mosaheb MM, Fuchs SM, Churchman LS.
Comprehensive RNA Polymerase II Interactomes Reveal Distinct and Varied Roles for Each
Phospho-CTD Residue. Cell Rep. 2016;15(10):2147-58.
12.   Nojima T, Rebelo K, Gomes T, Grosso AR, Proudfoot NJ, Carmo-Fonseca M. RNA
Polymerase II Phosphorylated on CTD Serine 5 Interacts with the Spliceosome during Co-
transcriptional Splicing. Mol Cell. 2018;72(2):369-79 e4.
13.   Zhang S, Aibara S, Vos SM, Agafonov DE, Luhrmann R, Cramer P. Structure of a
transcribing RNA polymerase II-U1 snRNP complex. Science. 2021;371(6526):305-9.
14.   Eperon LP, Graham IR, Griffiths AD, Eperon IC. Effects of RNA secondary structure
on alternative splicing of pre-mRNA: is folding limited to a region behind the transcribing
RNA polymerase? Cell. 1988;54(3):393-401.
15.   Braberg H, Jin H, Moehle EA, Chan YA, Wang S, Shales M, et al. From structure to
systems: high-resolution, quantitative genetic analysis of RNA polymerase II. Cell.
2013;154(4):775-88.
16.   Fong N, Kim H, Zhou Y, Ji X, Qiu J, Saldi T, et al. Pre-mRNA splicing is facilitated
by an optimal RNA polymerase II elongation rate. Genes Dev. 2014;28(23):2663-76.
17.   Saldi T, Cortazar MA, Sheridan RM, Bentley DL. Coupling of RNA Polymerase II
Transcription Elongation with Pre-mRNA Splicing. J Mol Biol. 2016;428(12):2623-35.

18.   Aslanzadeh V, Huang Y, Sanguinetti G, Beggs JD. Transcription rate strongly affects
splicing fidelity and cotranscriptionality in budding yeast. Genome Res. 2018;28(2):203-13.
19.   Sousa-Luis R, Dujardin G, Zukher I, Kimura H, Weldon C, Carmo-Fonseca M, et al.
POINT technology illuminates the processing of polymerase-associated intact nascent
transcripts. Mol Cell. 2021;81(9):1935-50 e6.
20.   Yoshimoto R, Chhipi-Shrestha JK, Schneider-Poetsch T, Furuno M, Burroughs AM,
Noma S, et al. Spliceostatin A interaction with SF3B limits U1 snRNP availability and causes
premature cleavage and polyadenylation. Cell Chem Biol. 2021;28(9):1356-65 e4.
21.   Ansa G, Murphy S, Tellier M. Inhibition of SF3B1 affects recruitment of P-TEFb to
chromatin through multiple mechanisms. bioRxiv. 2024:2024.06.26.600844.
22.   Gunderson SI, Vagner S, Polycarpou-Schwarz M, Mattaj IW. Involvement of the
carboxyl terminus of vertebrate poly(A) polymerase in U1A autoregulation and in the
coupling of splicing and polyadenylation. Genes Dev. 1997;11(6):761-73.
23.   Vagner S, Vagner C, Mattaj IW. The carboxyl terminus of vertebrate poly(A)
polymerase interacts with U2AF 65 to couple 3'-end processing and splicing. Genes Dev.
2000;14(4):403-13.
24.   Kyburz A, Friedlein A, Langen H, Keller W. Direct interactions between subunits of
CPSF and the U2 snRNP contribute to the coupling of pre-mRNA 3' end processing and
splicing. Mol Cell. 2006;23(2):195-205.
25.   Tellier M, Zaborowska J, Neve J, Nojima T, Hester S, Fournier M, et al. CDK9 and
PP2A regulate RNA polymerase II transcription termination and coupled RNA maturation.
EMBO Rep. 2022;23(10):e54520.
26.   Zhao N, Sebastiano V, Moshkina N, Mena N, Hultquist J, Jimenez-Morales D, et al.
Influenza virus infection causes global RNAPII termination defects. Nat Struct Mol Biol.
2018;25(9):885-93.
27.   Reimer KA, Mimoso CA, Adelman K, Neugebauer KM. Co-transcriptional splicing
regulates 3' end cleavage during mammalian erythropoiesis. Mol Cell. 2021;81(5):998-1012
e7.
28.   Pawellek A, McElroy S, Samatov T, Mitchell L, Woodland A, Ryder U, et al.
Identification of small molecule inhibitors of pre-mRNA splicing. J Biol Chem.
2014;289(50):34683-98.
29.   O'Brien K, Matlin AJ, Lowell AM, Moore MJ. The biflavonoid isoginkgetin is a
general inhibitor of Pre-mRNA splicing. J Biol Chem. 2008;283(48):33147-54.
30.   Boswell SA, Snavely A, Landry HM, Churchman LS, Gray JM, Springer M. Total
RNA-seq to identify pharmacological effects on specific stages of mRNA synthesis. Nat
Chem Biol. 2017;13(5):501-7.
31.   Nojima T, Gomes T, Grosso ARF, Kimura H, Dye MJ, Dhir S, et al. Mammalian
NET-Seq Reveals Genome-wide Nascent Transcription Coupled to RNA Processing. Cell.
2015;161(3):526-40.
32.   Tellier M, Zaborowska J, Caizzi L, Mohammad E, Velychko T, Schwalb B, et al.
CDK12 globally stimulates RNA polymerase II transcription elongation and carboxyl-
terminal domain phosphorylation. Nucleic Acids Res. 2020;48(14):7712-27.
33.   Patro R, Duggal G, Love MI, Irizarry RA, Kingsford C. Salmon provides fast and
bias-aware quantification of transcript expression. Nat Methods. 2017;14(4):417-9.
34.   Nojima T, Tellier M, Foxwell J, Ribeiro de Almeida C, Tan-Wong SM, Dhir S, et al.
Deregulated Expression of Mammalian lncRNA through Loss of SPT6 Induces R-Loop
Formation, Replication Stress, and Cellular Senescence. Mol Cell. 2018;72(6):970-84 e7.
35.   Quinlan AR, Hall IM. BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic
features. Bioinformatics. 2010;26(6):841-2.

36.   Martin M. Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing
reads. 2011. 2011;17(1):3.
37.   Dobin A, Davis CA, Schlesinger F, Drenkow J, Zaleski C, Jha S, et al. STAR:
ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. Bioinformatics. 2013;29(1):15-21.
38.   Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, et al. The Sequence
Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. Bioinformatics. 2009;25(16):2078-9.
39.   Ramirez F, Ryan DP, Gruning B, Bhardwaj V, Kilpert F, Richter AS, et al.
deepTools2: a next generation web server for deep-sequencing data analysis. Nucleic Acids
Res. 2016;44(W1):W160-5.
40.   Tseng CK, Wang HF, Burns AM, Schroeder MR, Gaspari M, Baumann P. Human
Telomerase RNA Processing and Quality Control. Cell Rep. 2015;13(10):2232-43.
41.   Tellier M, Murphy S. Incomplete removal of ribosomal RNA can affect chromatin
RNA-seq data analysis. Transcription. 2020;11(5):230-5.
42.   Anders S, Pyl PT, Huber W. HTSeq--a Python framework to work with high-
throughput sequencing data. Bioinformatics. 2015;31(2):166-9.
43.   Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion
for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol. 2014;15(12):550.
44.   Vanzyl EJ, Sayed H, Blackmore AB, Rick KRC, Fernando P, McKay BC. The
spliceosome inhibitors isoginkgetin and pladienolide B induce ATF3-dependent cell death.
PLoS One. 2020;15(12):e0224953.
45.   Pawellek A, Ryder U, Tammsalu T, King LJ, Kreinin H, Ly T, et al. Characterisation
of the biflavonoid hinokiflavone as a pre-mRNA splicing modulator that inhibits SENP.
Elife. 2017;6.
46.   Vethantham V, Rao N, Manley JL. Sumoylation modulates the assembly and activity
of the pre-mRNA 3' processing complex. Mol Cell Biol. 2007;27(24):8848-58.
47.   Pozzi B, Bragado L, Will CL, Mammi P, Risso G, Urlaub H, et al. SUMO
conjugation to spliceosomal proteins is required for efficient pre-mRNA splicing. Nucleic
Acids Res. 2017;45(11):6729-45.
48.   Cortazar MA, Sheridan RM, Erickson B, Fong N, Glover-Cutter K, Brannan K, et al.
Control of RNA Pol II Speed by PNUTS-PP1 and Spt5 Dephosphorylation Facilitates
Termination by a "Sitting Duck Torpedo" Mechanism. Mol Cell. 2019;76(6):896-908 e4.
672

Supporting information

S1 Fig. Madrasin decreases transcription of protein-coding genes

(**A**) RT-PCR with primers amplifying the intron located between exons 4 and 5 of *BRD2*. HeLa cells

were treated with DMSO or 30 µM, 60 µM, or 90 µM Madrasin for 30 min. The location of the spliced

and unspliced RNA is shown on the left of the panel. The percentages of unspliced RNA compared to

total (spliced + unspliced) are shown below. **(B)** qRT-PCR with primers amplifying a region from the

pol I transcribed pre-rRNA. HeLa cells were treated with DMSO or 90 µM Madrasin for 30 min (red) or

60 min (orange). cDNA was generated with random hexamers. Values are normalised to the 7SK

snRNA and shown as relative to DMSO, mean □±□ SEM, n □= □3 biological replicates. Statistical test:

two-tailed unpaired t-test. P-value: n.s. not significant. **(C)** Metagene profile of pol II CTD Ser5-P

mNET-seq performed in HeLa cells treated with DMSO (blue) or 90 µM Madrasin for 30 min (red) on

scaled expressed protein-coding genes.

S2 Fig. Transcription of intronless and histone genes is also affected by Madrasin

(A) Violin plots, defined as min to max values, first and third quartiles (thin dotted lines), and mean

(thicker dotted line), showing the $\log_{10}$ of gene length distribution (in bp) for intron-containing (red),

intronless (blue), and histone (black) genes. Statistical test: Wilcoxon rank sum test. P-value: *** <

0.001, **** < 0.0001. **(B)** Violin plots, defined as min to max values, first and third quartiles (thin dotted

lines), and mean (thicker dotted line), showing the $\log_{10}$ of gene expression distribution, from two

biological HeLa chromatin RNA-seq experiments, for intron-containing (red), intronless (blue), and

histone (black) genes. Statistical test: Wilcoxon rank sum test. P-value: ns: not significant, **** <

0.0001. **(C)** Metagene profile of total pol II mNET-seq performed in HeLa cells treated with DMSO

(blue) or 90 µM Madrasin for 30 min (red) on scaled expressed intron-containing genes. **(D)**

Screenshots of the genome browser total pol II mNET-seq DMSO (blue) and Madrasin (red) tracks of

the intronless genes *SOX4* and *SF3B5*. The arrow indicates the sense of transcription. **(E)** Ratios of

SPT5 / total pol II, CDC73 / total pol II, or CPSF73 / total pol II from ChIP-qPCR on the intronless

gene *JUN* in HeLa cells treated with DMSO (blue) or 90 µM Madrasin for 30 min (red).

Mean □±□ SEM, n □=□ 3 biological replicates. Statistical test: two-tailed unpaired t-test. P-value: * <

0.05. **(F)** Screenshot of the genome browser total pol II mNET-seq DMSO (blue) and Madrasin (red)

tracks of the histone gene *H1-4*. The arrow indicates the sense of transcription. **(G)** Ratios of SPT5 /

total pol II, CDC73 / total pol II, or CPSF73 / total pol II from ChIP-qPCR on the histone gene *H1-2* in

HeLa cells treated with DMSO (blue) or 90 µM Madrasin for 30 min (red). Mean □±□ SEM, n □=□ 3

biological replicates. Statistical test: two-tailed unpaired t-test. P-value: * < 0.05, *** < 0.001.

S3 Fig. Isoginkgetin treatment affects pol I and pol II transcription

(A) MA plot of total RNA-seq in HeLa cells treated with DMSO or Isoginkgetin for 6h or 18h.

Significantly upregulated genes are shown in red while significantly downregulated genes are shown

in blue. Other genes are shown in grey. The numbers of differentially expressed genes are shown on

the figure. **(B)** MA plot of total RNA-seq in HeLa cells treated with DMSO or Isoginkgetin for 12h.

Significantly upregulated genes are shown in red while significantly downregulated genes are shown

in blue. Other genes are shown in grey. The numbers of differentially expressed genes are shown on

the figure. **(C)** qRT-PCR with primers amplifying different protein-coding genes. HeLa cells were

treated with DMSO or 30 μM Isoginkgetin for 24h. cDNA was generated with oligo(dT). Values are

normalised to the protein-coding gene *GAPDH* and shown as relative to DMSO, mean□±□SEM,

n□=□3 biological replicates. Statistical test: two-tailed unpaired t-test. P-value: * < 0.05, ** < 0.01, ****

< 0.0001. **(D)** Total pol II ChIP-qPCR of the intron-containing gene *KPNB1* in HeLa cells treated with

DMSO (black) or 30 μM Isoginkgetin (white) for 30 min. Mean□±□SEM, n□=□3 biological replicates.

Statistical test: two-tailed unpaired t-test. P-value: not significant. **(E)** Western blot on whole cell

extract of HeLa cells treated with DMSO or 30 μM Isoginkgetin for 1h, 2h, or 4h. The antibodies are

indicated at the bottom, with Ponceau S staining used as loading control.

S4 Fig. Isoginkgetin treatment affects transcription termination in RNA-seq

(A) Metagene profile of total RNA-seq performed in HeLa cells treated with DMSO (blue) or

Isoginkgetin (red) for 12h on scaled expressed intron-containing, intronless, and histone genes. The

metagene profiles are shown as the average of two biological replicates. **(B)** Screenshots of the

genome browser tracks for HeLa RNA-seq treated with DMSO (blue) or Isoginkgetin (red) for 12h on

the intron-containing gene *KPNB1*, intronless genes *SOX4*, *SF3B5*, and *JUN*, and histone genes *H1-*

2, *H1-4*, and *H2BC5*. The arrow indicates the sense of transcription.

Fig 1

Fig 2

Fig 3

Fig 4

Fig 5