The Shot CH1 domain recognises a distinct form of F-actin during *Drosophila* oocyte determination

D. Nashchekin[1*], I. Squires[1†], A. Prokop[2] and D. St Johnston[1*]

Affiliations:

[1]The Gurdon Institute and the Department of Genetics, University of Cambridge; Tennis Court Road, Cambridge, United Kingdom.

[2]The University of Manchester, Manchester Academic Health Science Centre, Faculty of Biology, Medicine and Health, School of Biology, Manchester, United Kingdom.

*Corresponding author. Email: d.nashchekin@gurdon.cam.ac.uk d.stjohnston@gurdon.cam.ac.uk,

†Present address: Biosciences Institute, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, United Kingdom.

Abstract

As in mammals, only one cell in a *Drosophila* multicellular female germline cyst is specified as an oocyte. The symmetry-breaking cue for oocyte selection is provided by the fusome, a tubular structure connecting all cells in the cyst. The *Drosophila* spectraplakin Shot localises to the fusome and translates its asymmetry into a polarised microtubule network that is essential for oocyte specification, but how Shot recognises the fusome is unclear. Here we demonstrate that Shot's actin-binding domain (ABD) is necessary and sufficient to localise Shot to the fusome and mediates Shot function in oocyte specification together with the microtubule-binding domains. The calponin homology domain 1 (CH1) of Shot's ABD recognises fusomal F-actin and requires CH2 to distinguish it from other forms of F-actin in the cyst. By contrast, the ABDs of Utrophin, Fimbrin, Filamin, Lifeact and F-tractin do not recognise fusomal F-actin. We therefore propose that Shot propagates fusome asymmetry by recognising a specific conformational state of F-actin on the fusome.

Introduction

Both male and female gametes differentiate inside cysts of interconnected germ cells. Whereas all male cells in the cyst become sperm, only one or few of the female germ cells are specified to become oocytes in most animals (Lu et al., 2017, Pepling and Spradling, 1998, Lei and Spradling, 2013). Since cells in the female germline cyst share cytoplasm through intercellular bridges, there must be specific mechanisms to select the future oocyte. In *Drosophila*, a polarised microtubule (MT) network extends throughout the cyst and directs the dynein-dependent transport of oocyte fate determinants into one cell (Theurkauf et al., 1993; Li et al., 1994). A similar mechanism could also be involved in oocyte specification in the mouse (Lei and Spradling, 2016; Niu and Spradling, 2022).

In *Drosophila*, cyst formation and oocyte specification occur in the germarium at the anterior of the fly ovary (Fig. 1A). Oocyte determination starts when a germline stem cell divides asymmetrically to produce a cyst progenitor, a cystoblast, that goes through 4 rounds of incomplete division to produce a cyst of 16 cells connected by intercellular bridges called ring canals (de Cuevas et al., 1997). The cystoblast contains a spherical structure inherited from the stem cell called the spectrosome, which contains endoplasmic reticulum, spectrins and actin-binding proteins (Lighthouse et al., 2008). At each subsequent division, new spectrosomal material forms in the ring canal connecting the two daughter cells and this fuses with the pre-existing spectrosome to form the fusome, which becomes a branched structure extending into all 16 cells of the cyst (Lin et al., 1994; De Cuevas and Spradling, 1998). Because one cell inherits the original spectrosome/fusome from the cystoblast, this cell contains more fusomal material than the others and this ultimately specifies it as the pro-oocyte (Lin and Spradling, 1995; De Cuevas and Spradling, 1998).

The first step in the translation of fusome asymmetry into oocyte specification is the recruitment of the *Drosophila* spectraplakin, Shot (Roper and Brown, 2004; Nashchekin et al., 2021). Shot in turn recruits the MT minus end-binding protein Patronin (CAMSAP in mammals) to the fusome, where Patronin stabilises microtubule minus ends (Goodwin and Vale, 2010; Jiang et al., 2014; Nashchekin et al., 2021). The slight excess of Patronin in the future oocyte is then amplified by the

dynein-dependent transport of Patronin and microtubule minus ends along the
stabilised microtubules into this cell, leading to the formation of non-centrosomal
microtubule-organising centres (ncMTOCs) in the future oocyte. Finally, these
ncMTOCs nucleate a polarised MT network that directs the transport of oocyte
determinants into this cell (Grieder et al., 2000; Bolívar et al., 2001; Nashchekin et
al., 2021) (Fig. 1B).
77
The spectraplakin Shot belongs to a conserved family of actin-microtubule
crosslinkers that includes human Dystonin and MACF1/ACF7, which play important
roles in cytoskeletal organisation during neurogenesis and in epithelia (Lee and
Kolodziej, 2002; Voelzmann et al., 2017; Dogterom and Koenderink, 2018).
Spectraplakins are characterised by an N-terminal actin-binding domain (ABD), a
central long rod domain consisting of plakin and spectrin repeats and a C-terminal
MT-binding module (Fig. 1C). The ABD of spektraplakins consists of tandem
calponin homology domains, CH1 and CH2 and the MT-binding module is composed
of the MT lattice-binding GAS2 domain and an unstructured C-terminal domain
containing two SxIP motifs that interact with the MT plus end-binding protein EB1
(Sun et al., 2001; Honnappa et al., 2009; Applewhite et al., 2010; Alves-Silva et al.,
2012). Although Shot transmits fusome asymmetry to Patronin localisation and the
formation of the polarised MT network that specifies the oocyte, how Shot
recognises the fusome is not known. Previous work suggested that the Shot ABD is
not involved (Roper and Brown, 2004). Here we show, however, that both the actin
and MT-binding domains are required for oocyte specification, consistent with Shot's
role in organising the polarised microtubule network. The Shot ABD is necessary and
sufficient for localisation to the fusome and recognises a form of F-actin that differs
from other F-actin networks in the cyst.
97
Results and Discussion
The Shot actin-binding domain localises to the fusome
To determine which Shot domain(s) direct its localisation to the fusome, we
expressed a mini-version of Shot lacking the central rod domain, Shot-NC (Figure
1C). It has been previously shown that the NC version of ACF7 (a mammalian Shot
homologue) partially substitutes for ACF7 function in cells (Wu et al., 2008). Like
endogenous Shot and the full-length Shot transgene (Fig. 1D and 1E, respectively),

Shot-NC localised to the fusome, which is marked by a-spectrin (Fig. 1F and S1A).

Thus, the fusome-binding activity of Shot resides in either its N- or C-terminal

domains and the rod domain is dispensable for fusome localisation. Expression of

the N- or C-terminal domains alone showed that Shot-N binds to the fusome in wild

type and *shot* mutant cysts (Fig. 1G and Fig. 2C, respectively), whereas Shot-C

forms cytoplasmic foci and accumulates in one cell of the cyst in wild-type (Fig. S1A

and S1B) but not in *shot* mutant cysts (Fig. S1D), a pattern previously described for

EB1 (Nashchekin et al., 2021). Live imaging of Shot-C-YFP in the germarium

revealed that it forms EB1-like comets (Video1) suggesting that the C-terminal

domain of Shot associates with MT plus ends, and that the MT lattice-binding GAS2

domain is in an inhibited conformation, as shown for the mammalian Shot

homologue, Dystonin, in Cos-7 cells (Kapur et al., 2012). Nevertheless, we decided

to test whether the GAS2 domain has the potential to bind the fusome by expressing

a portion of the Shot C-terminal domain containing the EF hand and the GAS2

domain that has strong MT binding activity (Maybeck and Roper, 2009). EF-GAS2-

GFP localised to the fusome in the presence of endogenous Shot (Fig. S1A and

S1B) but failed to do so in *shot* mutant cysts (Fig. S1C and S1D). Fusome-

associated MTs are largely lost in the absence of Shot, indicating that EF-GAS2-

GFP localises to the fusome by binding to Shot-dependent MT (Roper and Brown,

2004). The GAS2 domain therefore cannot be responsible for the initial recruitment

of Shot to the fusome.

From our results so far, we speculated that Shot recruitment to the fusome requires

the Shot N-terminal region containing the two Calponin homology domains that

constitute the ABD. We therefore expressed a construct containing just the ABD of

Shot fused to GFP and confirmed its localisation to the fusome (Fig. 1H and S1A). In

contrast, full-length Shot lacking the ABD (Shot$^{\Delta ABD}$) showed only a residual fusome

localisation (Fig. 1I and S1A) that disappeared in the absence of endogenous Shot

(Fig. S1D) like Shot C and Shot EF-GAS. Thus, the ABD of Shot is both necessary

and sufficient for fusome localisation, presumably by interacting with fusome-

associated F-actin.

The actin- and MT-binding domains of Shot are required for the oocyte

specification

The oocyte is not specified in the absence of Shot, leading to the formation of a 16

nurse cell follicle, but which domains of Shot are required for oocyte specification is

not known (Roper and Brown, 2004). The cytoplasmic polyadenylation element

binding factor oo18 RNA-binding protein (Orb) is an early marker for oocyte

specification that becomes concentrated in the oocyte in regions 2b and 3 in wild-

type germaria but it is uniformly distributed in *shot* null mutant cysts (Lantz et al.,

1994; Roper and Brown, 2004) (Fig. 2A and 2B). To determine whether the presence

of the Shot actin- or MT-binding domains are sufficient for oocyte specification, we

expressed Shot-N, Shot-C, Shot-NC and Shot-EF-GAS2 in *shot* null mutant cysts

(Fig. 2C-D and S1D). Although Shot-N bound to the fusome in the absence of

endogenous Shot, it did not rescue Orb localisation and oocyte determination (Fig.

2C). Neither Shot-C or Shot-EF-GAS2 localised to the fusome or rescued oocyte

specification in *shot* mutants (Fig. Fig. S1C-D). However, Shot-NC expression

restored oocyte specification in the absence of full length Shot (Fig. 2D). Thus, both

the ABD and MT-binding modules are necessary for Shot function during oocyte

determination, suggesting that Shot acts as an actin-microtubule cross-linker in this

context.

It has been previously reported that Shot's ABD is not required for oocyte

specification, since the oocyte is specified normally in cysts mutant for *shot^{kakp1}*, a P-

element insertion that is predicted to prevent the expression of CH1 domain-

containing Shot isoforms (Roper and Brown 2004). As shown above, however,

Shot's ABD is essential for the Shot localisation to the fusome and oocyte

determination. To resolve this contradiction, we tested the requirement for Shot's

CH1 and CH2 domains in oocyte specification by expressing Shot$^{\Delta ABD}$, Shot$^{\Delta ABD}$-

LifeAct, Shot$^{\Delta CH1}$ and Shot$^{\Delta CH2}$ in *shot* mutant cysts (Fig. 2E-F and S1D). Shot

truncations lacking the CH1 domain did not rescue oocyte specification, nor did

substituting the Shot ABD with the actin-binding activity of LiveAct (Fig. 2E and

S1D). Only *shot* mutant cysts expressing Shot$^{\Delta CH2}$ maintained Orb localisation and

specified the oocyte (Fig. 2F). Thus, the CH1 domain of Shot's ABD is essential for

the oocyte specification. Since the *shot^{kakp1}* mutant does not affect oocyte

determination, we assume that this P-element insertion does not disrupt the

expression of CH1-containing Shot isoforms in the germ line, although it does so in

somatic tissues (Roper and Brown 2004).

Previously, it has been proposed that Shot binds the fusome with an unidentified

domain and uses its GAS2 domain to bind and stabilise MT (Roper and Brown,

2004). Based on our results we propose an alternative model for Shot function in

oocyte determination where it works as a classical actin-MT cytolinker by recognising

fusomal F-actin with its ABD and using its C-terminal domain to attach MT to the

fusome. Which part of the MT module is involved in this process is an open question.

On the one hand, Shot-EF-GAS2 can recognise fusomal MT. On the other hand,

expression of the whole Shot C-terminal domain showed that the GAS2 domain is

not exposed and Shot C interacts only with MT plus ends through its EB1-binding

motifs. Thus, Shot may guide the growth of MT plus ends along the fusome in a

similar manner to that described for ACF7 in migrating cells (Kodama et al., 2003;

Wu et al., 2008). The role of Shot in stabilising MT on the fusome could also be

indirect, since we recently showed that Shot is required for the fusome localisation of

the MT minus-end stabilising protein Patronin/CAMSAP (Nashchekin et al., 2021).

Moreover, fusome-associated MT are unstable in *patronin* mutant cysts even though

Shot is still present. How Shot's C-terminus recruits microtubules to the fusome will

therefore require further study.

Shot recognises a distinctive form of F-actin on the fusome

Several actin-binding proteins have been identified as components of the fusome,

including b-spectrin, Hts/Adducin, Tropomodulin and Shot (De Cuevas et al., 1996;

Lin et al., 1994; Lighthouse et al., 2008; Roper and Brown, 2004). However, actin

has never been detected in the fusome and Phalloidin does not label the fusome,

staining only the ring canals and the cell cortex (Warn et al., 1985 and Fig. 1D-I). In

contrast, Actin-GFP weakly localises to the fusome, raising the possibility that the

absence of Phalloidin staining might be misleading (Fig. S2A). We therefore tested

for the presence of endogenous actin in the fusome by performing antibody stainings

for actin using various fixation methods. Only a combination of heat fixation followed

by post-fixation with formaldehyde produced convincing fusomal actin staining (Fig.

3A). As a control we used *hts* mutant cysts (Fig. S2B), which lack the fusome (Lin et
al., 1994), and confirmed that fusome-like actin staining was absent. We conclude
that the fusome does contain F-actin, but not in a form that can be detected by
Phalloidin. Since the Phalloidin-actin interaction is sensitive to the structure of F-actin
filaments (McGough et al., 1997), fusomal F-actin may exist in a distinct
conformation that can be bound by the Shot ABD but not by Phalloidin.
210
Both b-Spectrin and Shot are members of a large family of actin-binding proteins
with ABDs formed by tandem CH domains (Korenbaum and Rivero, 2002; Yin et al.,
2020) (Fig. S2C). To test whether other CH domain proteins recognise fusomal F-
actin, we analysed the distribution of over-expressed Utrophin ABD and
endogenously-tagged Filamin and Fimbrin. None of these CH domain proteins
recognised fusomal F-actin and they mainly concentrated at the ring canals in fixed
and live samples (Fig. 3B-D and S2D, respectively). F-tractin, LifeAct and SiR-actin
are other commonly used F-actin markers (Melak et al., 2017), recognising a variety
of F-actin structures (Riedl et al., 2008; Schell et al., 2001; Belin et al., 2014;
Spracklen et al., 2014; D'Este et al., 2015). Neither F-tractin or LifeAct localised to
the fusome, mainly localising to the cell cortex and ring canals (Fig. 3E-F and S2D).
Moreover, substituting Shot's ABD with the LifeAct sequence (Shot$^{\Delta ABD}$-LifeAct) did
not restore fusome recognition to full-length Shot (Fig. 3G and S1A). On the other
hand, SiR-actin weakly localised to the fusome (Fig. 3H). Thus, fusomal F-actin has
a distinctive conformation that is only recognised by a subset of actin-binding
proteins/reagents. The Shot ABD must therefore have structural features that allow it
to bind preferentially to fusomal F-actin and to distinguish it from other F-actin
structures in the cyst. This does not preclude the Shot ABD binding to other forms of
F-actin, since Shot re-localises to the cell cortex and ring canals in *hts* and *a-*
spectrin mutant cysts that lack the fusome (Lin and Spradling, 1995; De Cuevas et
al., 1996) (Fig. S3). Shot also binds to actin filaments at the ring canals at the later
stages of oogenesis when the fusome is disassembled (Lu et al., 2021).
233
The spatial arrangement of tandem CH1 and CH2 domains can regulate their actin
binding activity, as the CH2 domain can sterically block some of the actin binding
surfaces on the CH1 domain (Bañuelos et al., 1998; Galkin et al., 2010; Iwamoto et

al., 2018). It has been proposed that phosphorylation of a conserved Tyr located at
the end of the CH2 domain facilitates the formation of an "open" conformation of the
ACF7 ABD and enhances F-actin binding (Yue et al., 2016, Fig. 1C). To test whether
Shot binding to the fusome is regulated by Tyr phosphorylation, we expressed Shot
ABDs containing phosphomimetic and non-phosphorylatable versions of Tyr364
(ABD Y364D and ABD Y364F, respectively). Whereas ABD Y364F only bound to
fusomal F-actin (Fig. 4B), ABD Y364D bound to the fusome and ring canals in region
2a of the germarium and mostly relocalised to ring canals in region 2b (Fig. 4A). This
suggests that shifting the equilibrium towards an open state of the CH domains alters
the specificity of Shot's ABD for different forms of F-actin, rather than working as a
simple on/off switch.
248
To examine the role of CH2 in regulating the actin-binding properties of CH1, we
compared the localisation of CH1 alone with that of the full ABD containing CH1 and
CH2. Whereas CH1-CH2 exclusively localised to the fusome (Fig. 1H and 4C), CH1
alone recognised F-actin on the fusome and ring canals (Fig. 4D). Thus, removing
the CH2 domain has the same effect as the Y364D mutation in making CH1's
binding to F-actin more promiscuous. According to recent structural studies, CH1-
CH2 binds to F-actin filaments in an open conformation, with CH1 sitting in the
groove between subdomains 1 and 2 of an actin monomer and CH2 oriented away
from the filament (Galkin et al., 2010; Iwamoto et al., 2018; Kumari et al., 2020). This
implies that binding of CH1 to F-actin breaks multiple interactions between the CH1
and the CH2 (Galkin et al., 2010; Yue at al., 2016; Iwamoto et al., 2018; Harris et al.,
2019; Kumari et al., 2020; Harris et al., 2020). We can envisage two models for how
CH2 controls the specificity of CH1's actin binding. CH2 in the closed conformation
of the ABD may itself bind weakly to the specific form of actin on the fusome and
thereby increase the avidity of the initial interaction of CH1-CH2 with fusomal actin.
This increased avidity would then ensure that the Shot ABD binds preferentially to
fusomal F-actin, which therefore outcompetes other F-actin in the cyst for Shot
binding. Alternatively, CH2 may mask some actin binding surfaces of CH1 in the
"closed" conformation of the ABD, allowing the latter to bind fusomal actin with
higher affinity than other forms of actin. In another words, the presence of CH2 may
increase the affinity of the ABD for fusomal actin or decrease its affinity to other
forms of actin.

Since isolated ABDs could behave differently from the full-length protein, we

introduced the Tyr364 mutations into Shot-NC and full-length Shot. Whereas the

Shot-NC Tyr364 mutants behave like the corresponding ABD mutants, the

localisation of the full-length Shot was not affected by the Y364D mutation (Fig. 4E,

4F and S2E). Thus, sequences in the rod domain somehow restore the specificity of

the Y364D ABD for fusomal actin. We also tested the role each CH domain in the

context of the full-length protein by analysing the localisation of full-length Shot

lacking CH1 (Shot$^{\Delta CH1}$) or CH2 (Shot$^{\Delta CH2}$). Shot^{DCH2} localised to the fusome normally

(Fig. 4H and S1A), whereas deletion of CH1 abolished fusome binding, leading to

cytoplasmic localisation (Fig. 4G and S1A). The same pattern was observed in the

absence of the endogenous Shot (Fig. 2F and 2E, respectively). These results

indicate that the CH1 domain mediates Shot binding to the fusome and that it can

recognise a specific F-actin conformation that is invisible to several other CH-domain

proteins and actin-binding molecules. This result also implies that specific binding to

fusomal F-actin by Shot, which depends on CH2 in the isolated ABD, does not

require CH2 in the context of the full-length protein.

Structural studies on the interaction of CH domains with F-actin have revealed that

CH1 interacts with two adjacent actin monomers and is sensitive to the

torque/helicity of F-actin filaments (Hanein et al., 1998; Iwamoto et al., 2018; Kumari

et al., 2020; Harris et al., 2020). Changes in the helical twist of F-actin filaments can

be caused by mechanical tension, by interactions with actin-binding proteins (Harris

et al., 2018; Jégou and Romet-Lemonne, 2020; Harris et al., 2020), or by the

bending of F-actin filaments promoted by differences in the nucleotide states of actin

monomers (Reynolds et al., 2022; Oosterheert et al., 2022). It is therefore possible

that CH1 domains of different actin-binding proteins are predisposed to bind F-actin

filaments with specific helical twists, which could explain their distinct, but partly

overlapping localisation patterns (Washington and Knecht, 2008; Harris et al., 2020;

Jégou and Romet-Lemonne, 2021).

Although the Y367D mutation and the removal of CH2 lead to promiscuous binding

of the Shot ABD to both the fusome and other F-actin in the cyst, they have no effect

on the specific localisation of full-length Shot to the fusome. This indicates that some

region of the full-length protein can substitute for CH2 in the "closed" conformation of

the ABD. This is most likely part of the rod domain since the Y367D mutation still

causes promiscuous actin binding in the context of ShotNC (Fig. 4E). As proposed

for CH2 in the context of the ABD alone, this rescue by the rod domain could be due

to an interaction between the rod and CH1 that masks some actin binding surfaces

to reduce its affinity for nonfusomal actin. Since the rod is very long, it is also

possible that this effect is indirect and acts by allowing the intramolecular interaction

between the C-terminal EF hands and CH1 to achieve the same effect (Applewhite

et al., 2013). Alternatively, the rod domain could increase the avidity of Shot's

interaction with the fusome by binding to some other fusomal component. Indeed,

Shot has been proposed to bind to a-Spectrin, which is enriched on the fusome

(Khanal et al., 2016; Lin et al., 1994; De Cuevas and Spradling, 1998). Since full-

length Shot^{DABD} does not localise to the fusome, the rod domain is unlikely function

as a strong fusome-binding domain itself, but may contribute to avidity in a similar

way to that proposed above for CH2.

Our evidence suggests that fusome asymmetry is propagated to MT organisation in

the *Drosophila* female germline cyst by the formation of a distinct type of F-actin on

the fusome, which then recruits the spectraplakin Shot. How fusomal F-actin is

formed and the structural basis for its recognition by actin-binding proteins remain to

be determined. Considering that Shot is a conserved actin binding protein, it is

possible that a similar mechanism is used in other contexts where F-actin filaments

in a specific mechanical state are recognised by only a subset of actin-binding

proteins.

Material and methods

Drosophila stocks. The following previously described *Drosophila melanogaster* mutant alleles and transgenic lines were used: FRTG13 *shot³* (Roper and Brown, 2004), *hts¹* and *hts⁰¹¹⁰³* (Yue and Spradling, 1992), *a-spectrin^{e2-26}* (Hülsmeier et al., 2007), UAS-GFP-Actin42A (Roper et al., 2005), UAS-GFP-Shot EF-GAS2 (Maybeck and Roper, 2009); UAS-Shot^{ΔABD}-GFP, UAS-Shot^{ΔCH1}-GFP, UAS-Shot^{ΔCH2}-GFP and UAS-Shot^{ΔABD}-LifeAct-GFP (Qu et al., 2022), Shot-YFP (Nashchekin et al., 2016), UAS-F-tractin-tdTomato (Spracklen et al., 2014),Filamin-GFP trap line (gift from K. Röper, MRC-LMB, UK), Fimbrin-YFP (Cambridge Protein Trap Insertion line 100066, (Lowe et al., 2014), sqh>Utrophin ABD-GFP (Rauzi et al., 2010). UAS-Shot-GFP, UAS-ShotY364F-GFP, UAS-ShotY364D-GFP, UAS-Shot-NC-YFP, UAS-Shot-NCY364D-YFP, UAS-Shot-NCY364F-YFP, UAS-Shot-N-YFP, UAS-Shot-C-YFP, UAS-GFP-Shot ABD, UAS-GFP-Shot ABDY364F, UAS-GFP-Shot ABDY364D, UAS-LifeAct-RFP were generated for this study.

Drosophila genetics. Germline clones of *shot³ and a-spectrin^{e2-26}* were induced by incubating larvae at 37° for two hours per day over a period of three days. Clones were generated with FRT G13 nlsRFP and FRT 2A nlsRFP, (Bloomington Stock Center) using the heat shock Flp/FRT system (Chou and Perrimon, 1992). Germline expression of UAS transgenes was induced by nanos>Gal4. All transgenes were expressed in a wild-type background unless otherwise specified.

Molecular Biology. To generate pUASP Shot-GFP, three fragments of the *shot* RE cDNA were amplified from pUAST Shot-GFP (Lee and Kolodziej, 2002) and cloned together with EGFP into the pUASPattb vector. pUASPattb-Shot-NC-YFP was generated by PCR amplifying fragments from pUAST Shot-GFP corresponding to the first 520 aa (Shot-N) and last 462 aa (Shot-C) of Shot PE, cloning them together into pUASP-YFP-Cterm and then re-cloning into pUASPattb. pUASPattb-Shot-N-YFP and pUASPattb-Shot-C-YFP were generated by amplifying Shot-N or Shot-C fragments from pUASPattb-Shot-NC-YFP and cloning them together with YFP into pUASPattb. Shot ABD cDNA (corresponding to 146-368 aa of Shot PE) was amplified from pUASPattb-Shot-NC-YFP and cloned together with EGFP into pUASP-attb to generate pUASP-attb GFP-Shot ABD. The Q5 Site-Directed

Mutagenesis Kit (New England BioLabs) was used to generate pUASPattb-GFP-

Shot ABDY364F, pUASPattb-GFP-Shot ABDY364D, pUASPattb-Shot-NCY364D-

YFP and pUASPattb-Shot-NCY364F-YFP. Shot-N with the Y364F or Y364D

mutations was amplified from pUASPattb-Shot-NCY364F or pUASPattb-Shot-

NCY364D, respectively, and cloned together with two fragments covering the rest of

Shot RE cDNA and EGFP into pUASP-attb to generate pUASPattb-ShotY364F-GFP

and pUASPattb-ShotY364D-GFP. pUASP-LifeAct-tagRFP was generated according

to Riedl et al (2008). NEBuilder HiFi DNA Assembly (New England BioLabs) was

used for most of the cloning. Primer sequences are available on request.

Immunohistochemistry. Ovaries were fixed for 20 min at room temperature in 4%

paraformaldehyde and 0.2% Tween in PBS. Ovaries were then blocked with 1%

BSA in PBS with 0.2% Tween for 1 hr at room temperature. Ovaries were incubated

with the primary antibody for 16 hr with 0.1% BSA in PBS with 0.2% Tween at 4C°

and for 4 hr with the secondary antibody at room temperature. For detection of

fusomal F-actin, we used the heat fixation protocol described in Chen et al (2018)

with some modifications. Ovaries were dissected in PBS, fixed for 10 sec in hot

(95C°) 1xTSS (0.03% Triton X-100, 4 g/L NaCl), post-fixed in 8% paraformaldehyde

and 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS for 10 min at room temperature and then treated as

above. We used the following primary antibodies: guinea pig anti-Shot at 1:1000

(Nashchekin et al., 2016), mouse anti-Orb at 1:10 (DSHB Hybridoma Products 4H8

and 6H4. Deposited to the DSHB by Schedl, P), mouse anti-a-Spectrin at 1:200

(DSHB Hybridoma Product 3A9. Deposited to the DSHB by Branton, D. / Dubreuil,

R.), mouse anti-Actin at 1:200 (clone AC-40, Merck), rabbit anti-b-spectrin at 1:200

(Byers et al., 1989), SiR-actin (1:100, Spirochrome). Secondary antibodies

conjugated with Alexa fluor dyes (Thermo Fisher Scientific) were used at 1:1000.

Imaging. Fixed preparations were imaged using a Leica SP8 (63x/1.4 HC PL Apo

CS Oil) confocal microscope. Germaria were imaged by collecting 10–15 z sections

spaced 0.5 µm apart. For live imaging, ovaries were dissected and imaged in

Voltalef oil 10S (VWR International) on a Leica SP5 confocal microscope (63x/1.4

HCX PL Apo CS Oil) or on an Olympus IX81 inverted microscope with a Yokogawa

CSU22 spinning disk confocal imaging system (100x/ 1.3 NA Oil UPlanSApo).

Images were collected with Leica LAS AF software or MetaMorph and processed

using ImageJ. The images are projections of several z sections.

Reproducibility of experiments and statistical analyses. Images are
representative examples from at least three independent repeats for each
experiment. The number of $shot^3$ mutant cysts (region 2b to 3) with restored Orb
localisation after the expression of a rescue transgene were as follows: Figure 2A
wild type cysts (50/50), Figure 2B $shot^3$ mutant cysts without a transgene (0/30),
Figure 2C Shot N (0/21), Figure S1D Shot-C (0/28), Figure S1D Shot EFGAS (0/18),
Figure 2D Shot NC (25/26), Figure S1D Shot$^{\Delta ABD}$ (0/14), Figure S1D Shot-LifeAct
(0/19), Figure 2E Shot$^{\Delta CH1}$ (0/16), Figure 2F Shot$^{\Delta CH2}$ (21/21). The number of cysts
analysed to determine the localisation of various Shot transgenes and actin-binding
reagents is summarised in Table S1. The chi-square test was used to test whether
values were significantly different between wild type and $shot^3$ mutant cysts. The
Mann-Whitney t-test was used to determine significance when measuring the co-
localisation of Shot transgenes and fusome. A level of $p < 0.01$ was considered to be
statistically significant. No statistical methods were used to predetermine sample
size, the experiments were not randomized, and the investigators were not blinded to
allocation during experiments and outcome assessment.

Supplemental material
Figures S1-S3, Video 1 and Table S1.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Jean-René Huynh, Christian Klämbt, Thomas Lecuit, Katja Röper
and the Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center (NIH P40OD018537) for fly stocks,
the Gurdon Institute Imaging Facility for assistance with microscopy, John Overton
for technical assistance in making transgenic flies. We thank Jia Chen for help with
heat fixation.

Funding: Wellcome Principal Research Fellowship 080007 and 207496 (DStJ),
Wellcome core support 092096 and 203144 (DStJ), Cancer Research UK core
support A14492 and A24823 (DStJ), BBSRC grant BB/R001618/1 (DStJ, DN, IS).

References

Alves-Silva, J., N. Sanchez-Soriano, R. Beaven, M. Klein, J. Parkin, T.H. Millard, H.J. Bellen, K.J.T. Venken, C. Ballestrem, R.A. Kammerer, and A. Prokop. 2012. Spectraplakins Promote Microtubule-Mediated Axonal Growth by Functioning As Structural Microtubule-Associated Proteins and EB1-Dependent +TIPs (Tip Interacting Proteins). *Journal of Neuroscience*. 32:9143–9158. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0416-12.2012.

Applewhite, D.A., K.D. Grode, D. Keller, A.D. Zadeh, K.C. Slep, and S.L. Rogers. 2010. The Spectraplakin Short Stop Is an Actin-Microtubule Cross-Linker That Contributes to Organization of the Microtubule Network. *Mol Biol Cell*. 21:1714–1724. doi:10.1091/mbc.E10-01-0011.

Applewhite, D.A., K.D. Grode, M.C. Duncan, and S.L. Rogers. 2013. The actin-microtubule cross-linking activity of Drosophila Short stop is regulated by intramolecular inhibition. *Mol Biol Cell*. 24:2885–2893. doi:10.1091/mbc.E12-11-0798.

Bañuelos, S., M. Saraste, and K.D. Carugo. 1998. Structural comparisons of calponin homology domains: Implications for actin binding. *Structure*. 6:1419–1431. doi:10.1016/S0969-2126(98)00141-5.

Belin, B.J., L.M. Goins, and R.D. Mullins. 2014. Comparative analysis of tools for live cell imaging of actin network architecture. *Bioarchitecture*. 4:189–202. doi:10.1080/19490992.2014.1047714.

Bolívar, J., J.R. Huynh, H. López-Schier, C. González, D. St Johnston, and A. González-Reyes. 2001. Centrosome migration into the Drosophila oocyte is independent of BicD and egl, and of the organisation of the microtubule cytoskeleton. *Development*. 128:1889–1897.

Bolte S, Cordelieres FP. 2006. A guided tour into subcellular colocalization analysis in light microscopy. J Microsc. 224:213-32.

Byers, T.J., A. Husain-Chishti, R.R. Dubreuil, D. Branton, and L.S. Goldstein. 1989. Sequence similarity of the amino-terminal domain of Drosophila beta spectrin to alpha actinin and dystrophin. *Journal of Cell Biology*. 109:1633–1641. doi:10.1083/jcb.109.4.1633.

Chou, T.B., and N. Perrimon. 1992. Use of a yeast site-specific recombinase to produce female germline chimeras in Drosophila. *Genetics*. 131:643–653.

J. Chen, A.C. Sayadian, N. Lowe, H.E. Lovegrove, D. St Johnston, An alternative mode of epithelial polarity in the *Drosophila* midgut, Plos Biol. 16 (2018), e3000041.

De Cuevas, M., and A.C. Spradling. 1998. Morphogenesis of the Drosophila fusome and its implications for oocyte specification. *Development*. 125:2781–2789.

De Cuevas, M., J.K. Lee, and A.C. Spradling. 1996. α-spectrin is required for
germline cell division and differentiation in the Drosophila ovary. *Development*.
122:3959–3968.

de Cuevas, M., M.A. Lilly, and A.C. Spradling. 1997. Germline cyst formation in
Drosophila. *Annual Review of Genetics*. 31:405–428.
doi:10.1146/annurev.genet.31.1.405.

D'Este, E., Kamin, D., Gö ttfert, F., El-Hady, A. and Hell, S. W. (2015). STED
nanoscopy reveals the ubiquity of subcortical cytoskeleton periodicity in living
neurons. Cell Rep. 10, 1246-1251.

Dogterom, M., and G.H. Koenderink. 2018. Actin–microtubule crosstalk in cell
biology. *Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology 2018 19:5*. 13:1.
doi:10.1038/s41580-018-0067-1.

Galkin, V.E., A. Orlova, A. Salmazo, K. Djinovic-Carugo, and E.H. Egelman. 2010.
Opening of tandem calponin homology domains regulates their affinity for F-
actin. *Nat Struct Mol Biol*. 17:614–616. doi:10.1038/nsmb.1789.

Goodwin, S.S., and R.D. Vale. 2010. Patronin Regulates the Microtubule Network by
Protecting Microtubule Minus Ends. *Cell*. 143:263–274.
doi:10.1016/j.cell.2010.09.022.

Grieder, N.C., M. de Cuevas, and A.C. Spradling. 2000. The fusome organizes the
microtubule network during oocyte differentiation in Drosophila. *Development*.
127:4253–4264.

Hanein, D., N. Volkmann, S. Goldsmith, A.M. Michon, W. Lehman, R. Craig, D.
DeRosier, S. Almo, and P. Matsudaira. 1998. An atomic model of fimbrin binding
to F-actin and its implications for filament crosslinking and regulation. *Nat Struct*
Biol. 5:787–792. doi:10.1038/1828.

Harris, A.R., P. Jreij, and D.A. Fletcher. 2018. Mechanotransduction by the Actin
Cytoskeleton: Converting Mechanical Stimuli into Biochemical Signals. *Annual*
Review of Biophysics. 47:617–631. doi:10.1146/annurev-biophys-070816-
033547

Harris, A.R., P. Jreij, B. Belardi, A.M. Joffe, A.R. Bausch, and D.A. Fletcher. 2020.
Biased localization of actin binding proteins by actin filament conformation.
Nature Communications. 11:1–13. doi:10.1038/s41467-020-19768-9.

Honnappa, S., S.M. Gouveia, A. Weisbrich, F.F. Damberger, N.S. Bhavesh, H.
Jawhari, I. Grigoriev, F.J.A. van Rijssel, R.M. Buey, A. Lawera, I. Jelesarov, F.K.
Winkler, K. Wüthrich, A. Akhmanova, and M.O. Steinmetz. 2009. An EB1-binding
motif acts as a microtubule tip localization signal. *Cell*. 138:366–376.
doi:10.1016/j.cell.2009.04.065.

Hülsmeier, J., J. Pielage, C. Rickert, G.M. Technau, C. Klämbt, and T. Stork. 2007.
Distinct functions of alpha-Spectrin and beta-Spectrin during axonal pathfinding.
Development. 134:713–722. doi:10.1242/dev.02758.

Iwamoto, D.V., A. Huehn, B. Simon, C. Huet-Calderwood, M. Baldassarre, C.V.
Sindelar, and D.A. Calderwood. 2018. Structural basis of the filamin A actin-
binding domain interaction with F-actin. *Nat Struct Mol Biol*. 1–15.
doi:10.1038/s41594-018-0128-3.

Jégou, A., and G. Romet-Lemonne. 2020. The many implications of actin filament
helicity. *Seminars in Cell and Developmental Biology*. 102:65–72.
doi:10.1016/j.semcdb.2019.10.018.

Jégou, A., and G. Romet-Lemonne. 2021. Mechanically tuning actin filaments to
modulate the action of actin-binding proteins. *Current Opinion in Cell Biology*.
68:72–80. doi:10.1016/j.ceb.2020.09.002.

Jiang, K., S. Hua, R. Mohan, I. Grigoriev, K.W. Yau, Q. Liu, E.A. Katrukha, A.F.M.
Altelaar, A.J.R. Heck, C.C. Hoogenraad, and A. Akhmanova. 2014. Microtubule
minus-end stabilization by polymerization-driven CAMSAP deposition. *Dev Cell*.
28:295–309. doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2014.01.001.

Kapur, M., W. Wang, M.T. Maloney, I. Millan, V.F. Lundin, T.A. Tran, and Y. Yang.
2012. Calcium tips the balance: A microtubule plus end to lattice binding switch
operates in the carboxyl terminus of BPAG1n4. *EMBO Rep*. 13:1021–1029.
doi:10.1038/embor.2012.140.

Khanal, I., A. Elbediwy, M.D.C. Diaz de la Loza, G.C. Fletcher, and B.J. Thompson.
2016. Shot and Patronin polarise microtubules to direct membrane traffic and
biogenesis of microvilli in epithelia. *Journal of Cell Science*. 129:2651–2659.
doi:10.1242/jcs.189076.

Kodama, A., I. Karakesisoglou, E. Wong, A. Vaezi, and E. Fuchs. 2003. ACF7: An
essential integrator of microtubule dynamics. *Cell*. 115:343–354.
doi:10.1016/S0092-8674(03)00813-4.

Korenbaum, E., and F. Rivero. 2002. Calponin homology domains at a glance.
Journal of Cell Science. 115:3543–3545. doi:10.1242/jcs.00003.

Kumari, A., S. Kesarwani, M.G. Javoor, K.R. Vinothkumar, and M. Sirajuddin. 2020.
Structural insights into actin filament recognition by commonly used cellular actin
markers. *EMBO J*. 39:14869. doi:10.15252/embj.2019104006.

Lantz, V., J.S. Chang, J.I. Horabin, D. Bopp, and P. Schedl. 1994. The Drosophila
orb RNA-binding protein is required for the formation of the egg chamber and
establishment of polarity. *Genes Dev*. 8:598–613. doi:10.1101/gad.8.5.598.

Lee, S., and P.A. Kolodziej. 2002. Short Stop provides an essential link between F-
actin and microtubules during axon extension. *Development*. 129:1195–1204.

Lei, L., and A.C. Spradling. 2013. Mouse primordial germ cells produce cysts that
partially fragment prior to meiosis. *Development*. 140:2075–2081.
doi:10.1242/dev.093864.

Lei, L., and A.C. Spradling. 2016. Mouse oocytes differentiate through organelle
enrichment from sister cyst germ cells. *Science*. 352:95–99.
doi:10.1126/science.aad2156.

Li, M., M. McGrail, M. Serr, and T.S. Hays. 1994. Drosophila cytoplasmic dynein, a
microtubule motor that is asymmetrically localized in the oocyte. *The Journal of*
Cell Biology. 126:1475–1494. doi:10.1083/jcb.126.6.1475.

Lighthouse, D.V., M. Buszczak, and A.C. Spradling. 2008. New components of the
Drosophila fusome suggest it plays novel roles in signaling and transport.
317:59–71. doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2008.02.009.

Lin, H., and A.C. Spradling. 1995. Fusome asymmetry and oocyte determination in
Drosophila. *Developmental Genetics*. 16:6–12. doi:10.1002/dvg.1020160104.

Lin, H., L. Yue, and A.C. Spradling. 1994. The Drosophila fusome, a germline-
specific organelle, contains membrane skeletal proteins and functions in cyst
formation. *Development*. 120:947–956.

Lowe, N., J.S. Rees, J. Roote, E. Ryder, I.M. Armean, G. Johnson, E. Drummond, H.
Spriggs, J. Drummond, J.P. Magbanua, H. Naylor, B. Sanson, R. Bastock, S.
Huelsmann, V. Trovisco, M. Landgraf, S. Knowles-Barley, J.D. Armstrong, H.
White-Cooper, C. Hansen, R.G. Phillips, The UK Drosophila Protein Trap
Screening Consortium, K.S. Lilley, S. Russell, and D. St Johnston. 2014.
Analysis of the expression patterns, subcellular localisations and interaction
partners of Drosophila proteins using a pigP protein trap library. *Development*.
141:3994–4005. doi:10.1242/dev.111054.

Lu, K., L. Jensen, L. Lei, and Y.M. Yamashita. 2017. Stay Connected: A Germ Cell
Strategy. *Trends Genet*. 33:971–978. doi:10.1016/j.tig.2017.09.001.

Lu, W., M. Lakonishok, and V.I. Gelfand. 2021. Gatekeeper function for Short stop at
the ring canals of the Drosophila ovary. *Current Biology*. 31:3207–3220.e4.
doi:10.1016/j.cub.2021.05.010.

Maybeck, V., and K. Roper. 2009. A targeted gain-of-function screen identifies genes
affecting salivary gland morphogenesis/tubulogenesis in Drosophila. *Genetics*.
181:543–565. doi:10.1534/genetics.108.094052.

McGough, A., B. Pope, W. Chiu, and A. Weeds. 1997. Cofilin changes the twist of F-
actin: implications for actin filament dynamics and cellular function. *The Journal*
of Cell Biology. 138:771–781. doi:10.1083/jcb.138.4.771.

Melak, M., M. Plessner, and R. Grosse. 2017. Actin visualization at a glance. *Journal*
of Cell Science. 130:525–530. doi:10.1242/jcs.189068.

Nashchekin, D., A.R. Fernandes, and D. St Johnston. 2016. Patronin/Shot Cortical
Foci Assemble the Noncentrosomal Microtubule Array that Specifies the
Drosophila Anterior-Posterior Axis. *Dev Cell*. 38:61–72.
doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2016.06.010.

Nashchekin, D., L. Busby, M. Jakobs, I. Squires, and D. St Johnston. 2021. Symmetry breaking in the female germline cyst. *Science*. 374:874–879. doi:10.1126/science.abj3125.

Niu, W., and A.C. Spradling. 2022. Mouse oocytes develop in cysts with the help of nurse cells. *Cell*. 1–28. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2022.05.001.

Oosterheert, W., B.U. Klink, A. Belyy, S. Pospich, and S. Raunser. 2022. Structural basis of actin filament assembly and aging. *Nature*. 1–6. doi:10.1038/s41586-022-05241-8.

Pepling, M.E., and A.C. Spradling. 1998. Female mouse germ cells form synchronously dividing cysts. *Development*. 125:3323–3328.

Qu, Y., J. Alves-Silva, K. Gupta, I. Hahn, J. Parkin, N. Sanchez-Soriano, and A. Prokop. 2022. Re-evaluating the actin-dependence of spectraplakin functions during axon growth and maintenance. *Dev Neurobiol*. 82:288–307. doi:10.1002/dneu.22873.

Rauzi, M., P.-F. Lenne, and T. Lecuit. 2010. Planar polarized actomyosin contractile flows control epithelial junction remodelling. *Nature*. 1–6. doi:10.1038/nature09566.

Reynolds, M.J., C. Hachicho, A.G. Carl, R. Gong, and G.M. Alushin. 2022. Bending forces and nucleotide state jointly regulate F-actin structure. *Nature*. 1–7. doi:10.1038/s41586-022-05366-w.

Riedl, J., A.H. Crevenna, K. Kessenbrock, J.H. Yu, D. Neukirchen, M. Bista, F. Bradke, D. Jenne, T.A. Holak, Z. Werb, M. Sixt, and R. Wedlich-Soldner. 2008. Lifeact: A versatile marker to visualize F-actin. *Nature Methods*. 5:605–607. doi:10.1038/nmeth.1220.

Roper, K., and N.H. Brown. 2004. A spectraplakin is enriched on the fusome and organizes microtubules during oocyte specification in Drosophila. *Curr Biol*. 14:99–110.

Roper, K., Y. Mao, and N.H. Brown. 2005. Contribution of sequence variation in Drosophila actins to their incorporation into actin-based structures in vivo. *J Cell Sci*. 118:3937–3948. doi:10.1242/jcs.02517.

Schell, M.J., C. Erneux, and R.F. Irvine. 2001. Inositol 1,4,5-Trisphosphate 3-Kinase A Associates with F-actin and Dendritic Spines via Its N Terminus. *J Biol Chem*. 276:37537–37546. doi:10.1074/jbc.M104101200.

Spracklen, A.J., T.N. Fagan, K.E. Lovander, and T.L. Tootle. 2014. The pros and cons of common actin labeling tools for visualizing actin dynamics during Drosophila oogenesis. *Developmental Biology*. 393:209–226. doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2014.06.022.

Sun, D., C.L. Leung, and R.K. Liem. 2001. Characterization of the microtubule binding domain of microtubule actin crosslinking factor (MACF): identification of a

novel group of microtubule associated proteins. *J Cell Sci*. 114:161–172.
doi:10.1242/jcs.114.1.161.

Theurkauf, W.E., B.M. Alberts, Y.-N. Jan, and T.A. Jongens. 1993. A central role for
microtubules in the differentiation of Drosophila oocytes. *Development*.
118:1169–1180.

Voelzmann, A., Y.-T. Liew, Y. Qu, I. Hahn, C. Melero, N. S nchez-Soriano, and A.
Prokop. 2017. Drosophila Short stop as a paradigm for the role and regulation of
spectraplakins. *Seminars in Cell and Developmental Biology*.
doi:10.1016/j.semcdb.2017.05.019.

Warn, R.M., H.O. Gutzeit, L. Smith, and A. Warn. 1985. F-actin rings are associated
with the ring canals of the Drosophila egg chamber. *Exp Cell Res*. 157:355–363.
doi:10.1016/0014-4827(85)90120-x.

Washington, R.W., and D.A. Knecht. 2008. Actin binding domains direct actin-
binding proteins to different cytoskeletal locations. *BMC Cell Biol*. 9:1–16.
doi:10.1186/1471-2121-9-10.

Wu, X., A. Kodama, and E. Fuchs. 2008. ACF7 regulates cytoskeletal-focal adhesion
dynamics and migration and has ATPase activity. *Cell*. 135:137–148.
doi:10.1016/j.cell.2008.07.045.

Yin, L.-M., M. Schnoor, and C.-D. Jun. 2020. Structural Characteristics, Binding
Partners and Related Diseases of the Calponin Homology (CH) Domain.
Frontiers in Cell and Developmental Biology. 8:342.
doi:10.3389/fcell.2020.00342.

Yue, J., Y. Zhang, W.G. Liang, X. Gou, P. Lee, H. Liu, W. Lyu, W.-J. Tang, S.-Y.
Chen, F. Yang, H. Liang, and X. Wu. 2016. In vivo epidermal migration requires
focal adhesion targeting of ACF7. *Nature Communications*. 7:11692.
doi:10.1038/ncomms11692.

Yue, L., and A.C. Spradling. 1992. hu-li tai shao, a gene required for ring canal
formation during Drosophila oogenesis, encodes a homolog of adducin. *Genes*
Dev. 6:2443–2454.

 Figure legends

 Figure 1. Shot is recruited to the fusome by its actin-binding domain. (A)

 Schematic diagram of the *Drosophila* germarium showing germline cyst formation

 and oocyte specification. **(B)** Diagram of a germline cyst showing how Shot and

 Patronin translate fusome asymmetry into the polarised MT network that directs

 dynein transport of oocyte fate determinates into the prospective oocyte (asterisk).

 Adapted from Nashchekin et al., 2021. **(C)** The domain structure of full-length Shot

 and Shot truncations. CH, calponin homology domain. ABD, actin-binding domain.

 (D-I) Endogenous Shot **(D)** and ectopically expressed full-length Shot-GFP **(E),** Shot-

 NC-YFP **(F),** Shot-N-YFP **(G),** Shot ABD-GFP **(H)** localise to the fusome, whereas

 Shot$^{\Delta ABD}$ **(I)** does not. An enlargement of the fusome is shown on the right. a-

 Spectrin marks fusome. Phalloidin marks ring canals and the cell cortex. Scale bars,

 10µm.

 Figure 2. The Shot CH1 and MT-binding domains are required for the oocyte

 determination. (A-B) The distribution of Orb in wild type (WT) (**A**) and *shot³* (**B**)

 germline clone mutant cysts marked by the loss of nlsRFP (blue). **(C)** Shot-N-YFP

 does not rescue oocyte determination in *shot³* germline clones. **(D)** Expression of

 Shot NC-YFP in *shot³* mutant cysts restores oocyte specification. **(E-F)** Expression

 of Shot$^{\Delta CH2}$-GFP **(F)** but not Shot$^{\Delta CH1}$-GFP **(E)** rescues oocyte specification in *shot³*

 germline clones. Arrows point to the future oocyte; cysts are marked by dashed

 lines; mutant cysts are labelled by the absence of nuclear RFP (nlsRFP, blue). Scale

 bars, 10µm.

 Figure 3. Standard F-actin labelling reagents and CH domain proteins fail to

 recognise fusomal F-actin. (A) Detection of F-actin on the fusome**.** A confocal

 image of a germarium stained with anti-actin after heat fixation and post-fixation with

 fomladehyde (green) and anti-Shot antibody (red). **(B-D)** Localisation of CH domain

 proteins (green) in cysts stained for a-spectrin (red) to label the fusome and cell

 cortices and Phalloidin (blue), which labels the ring canals. Utrophin ABD-GFP **(B)**,

 Filamin-GFP **(C)** and Fimbrin-YFP **(D)** localise to ring canals and the cell cortex but

 not the fusome. **(E)** A confocal image of a living germarium expressing F-tractin-

tdTomato (green) and Shot-YFP (red). Shot localises to the fusome, whereas F-

tractin labels the ring canals and cell cortex. **(F-G**) LifeAct does not recognise the

fusome. Confocal images of germaria expressing LifeAct-RFP (green) **(F)** and

Shot$^{\Delta\Delta ABD}$-LifeAct-GFP stained for a-spectrin (red) and Phalloidin (blue) **(G)**. **(H)** A

confocal image of a living germarium expressing Shot-YFP (red) and incubated for

30 minutes with SiR-actin (green). A weak SiR-actin signal is present on the fusome.

The righthand panels show enlargements of the fusome region. Scale bars, 10µm.

Figure 4. The Shot CH1 domain recognises the fusome.

(A-B) The effects of phosphomimetic Y364D and non-phosphorylatable Y364F

mutations. Y364D interferes with Shot ABD localisation to the fusome. Shot-GFP-

ABDY364D **(A)** mostly localises to ring canals in region 2b of the germarium. Shot

GFP-ABDY364F **(B)** localises like wild-type Shot. **(C-D)** Shot CH1-CH2 localises to

the fusome whereas Shot CH1 localises to the fusome and ring canals. Germaria

expressing Shot GFP-CH1-CH2 **(C)** and Shot GFP-CH1 **(D)**. **(E)** Shot-NCY364D-

YFP mostly localises to ring canals in region 2b of the germarium. **(F)** A germarium

expressing ShotY364D-GFP. Mutation of Y364 in full length Shot does not affect

Shot localisation. **(G-H)** Deletion of the Shot CH1 domain abolishes binding to the

fusome, but CH2 is not required. Germaria expressing Shot$^{\Delta CH1}$-GFP **(G)** and

Shot$^{\Delta CH2}$-GFP **(H)**. The righthand panels show enlargements of the fusome region.

a-Spectrin (red in the lefthand panels) marks the fusome. Phalloidin (blue) marks the

ring canals and cell cortex. Regions of the germarium are indicated on the top. Scale

bars, 10µm.

Figure S1. (A) Quantification of fusome and Shot co-localisation in germaria

expressing various Shot transgenes and stained with anti-a-Spectrin to label the

fusome. Manders' co-localisation coefficient is measured using the JACoP plug-in for

Fiji (Bolte and Cordelieres, 2006). Data are means with SD. ****, $P < 0.0001$. **(B)**

Ectopically expressed Shot-EF-GAS2-GFP localises to the fusome, whereas Shot C-

YFP does not. An enlargement of the fusome is shown on the right. a-Spectrin

marks the fusome. Phalloidin marks ring canals and the cell cortex**. (C)** Shot-EF-

GAS2-GFP does not localise to the fusome in the absence of endogenous Shot. A

germarium expressing Shot-EF-GAS2-GFP (green) in wild type and *shot³* mutant

cysts. An enlarged view of the fusome is shown on the right. a-Spectrin (red in left

panel) marks the fusome. **(D)** Shot-C-YFP, Shot-EF-GAS2-GFP**,** Shot$^{\Delta ABD}$-GFP and

Shot$^{\Delta ABD}$-LifeAct-GFP do not rescue oocyte determination in *shot³* germline clones.

Cysts are marked by dashed lines; mutant cysts are labelled by the absence of

nuclear RFP (nlsRFP; blue). Scale bars, 10µm.

Figure S2. (A) Actin-42A-GFP localises to the fusome. A germarium expressing

Actin-42A-GFP (green) stained with anti-a-Spectrin antibody (red) to mark the

fusome. The righthand panel shows an enlarged view of the fusome. **(B)** Fusomal

actin is not detected in *hts* mutant cysts. A germarium from a *hts* mutant female

stained with anti-Shot (red) and anti-Actin (green) antibodies after heat fixation

followed by post-fixation in 8% formaldehyde. **(C)** Diagrams showing the domain

structure of actin-binding proteins with calponin homology (CH) domains. ABD, actin-

binding domain. **(D)** Live germaria expressing Untrophin ABD-GFP, Filamin-GFP,

Fimbrin-YFP and LifeAct-RFP. **(E)** The non-phosphorylatable mutation in Shot

Tyr364 does not affect Shot NC and full length Shot localisation. Germaria

expressing Shot-NC Y364F-YFP (top) and Shot Y364F-GFP (bottom). The righthand

panels show enlargements of the fusome region. a-Spectrin (red in the lefthand

panels) marks the fusome. Phalloidin (blue) marks the ring canals and cell cortex.

Scale bars, 10µm.

Figure S3. Shot localisation in *a-spectrin* and *hts* mutant cysts. Shot localises to

the cell cortex and ring canals in *a-spectrin* **(A)** and *hts* **(B)** mutant cysts, which lack

the fusome. **(A)** A germarium with *a-spectrin* germline clones stained with anti-Shot

(red), Phalloidin (blue) and anti-b-Spectrin (white) to label the fusome. Mutant cysts

are labelled by the absence of nuclear RFP (nlsRFP; blue). *a-spectrin* mutant cysts

are marked by dashed lines. Arrows point to the fusome in a wild type cyst. **(B)** A

germarium from a *hts* mutant female stained with anti-Shot (red), Phalloidin (blue)

and anti-a-Spectrin (red) to label the fusome. Scale bars, 10µm.

746
747 **Video legends**
748
749 **Video 1.** A time-lapse video of Shot-C-YFP in a wild-type germarium. Related to

750 Figure S1B. Images were collected every 1 second on a spinning disc confocal

751   microscope. The video is shown at 15 frames/sec.

Figure 1. Shot is recruited to the fusome by its actin-binding domain.

Figure 2. The Shot CH1 and MT-binding domains are required for the oocyte determination

Figure 3. Standard F-actin labelling reagents and some CH domain proteins fail to recognise fusomal F-actin

Figure 4. The Shot CH1 domain recognises the fusome

Figure S1

A

Actin-GFP

Actin α-Spec.

Actinα-SpectrinPhalloidin   Actin42A-GFP   α-Spectrin

B

hts mutant

ActinShot   Actin   Shot

C

ABD
CH1 CH2   Spectrin repeats   PH domain   2291   **β-Spectrin**

ABD
CH1 CH2   Spectrin repeats   cys-rich domain   3433   **Utrophin**

ABD
CH1 CH2   Filamin-type immunoglobulin domains   2210   **Filamin/Cheerio**

ABD1   ABD2
CH1 CH2 CH3 CH4   641   **Fimbrin**
EF hand

D

Utrophin   Filamin   Fimbrin   LifeAct

E

Shot α-Spec. Phall.

NC Y364F

Full length Y364F

Figure S2

Figure S3. Shot localisation in *α-spectrin* and *hts* mutant cysts

Table S1. Localisation to the fusome and ring canals

Name of the protein	Localisation to the fusome / number of cysts analysed	Localisation to ring canals / number of cysts analysed	Number of germaria analysed
Shot FL	63/63	0/63	11
Shot NC	71/71	0/71	10
Shot N	66/66	0/66	10
Shot C	0/42	0/42	10
Shot EF-GAS2	32/32	0/32	8
Shot ABD	58/58	0/58	10
Shot$^{\Delta ABD}$	0/34	0/34	16
Shot$^{\Delta ABD}$-LifeAct*	0/41	5 /41	12
Shot$^{\Delta CH1}$	0/31	0/31	10
Shot$^{\Delta CH2}$	47/47	0/47	10
Shot Y364D	74/74	0/40 (region 2a) 0/34 (region 2b)	10
Shot Y364F	45/45	0/25 (region 2a) 0/20 (region 2b)	10
Shot NC Y364D	49/49	10/27(region 2a) 22/22(region 2b)	10
Shot NC Y364F	45/45	0/24 (region 2a) 0/21(region2b)	10
Shot ABD Y364D	55/55	14/31(region 2a) 24/24(region2b)	10
Shot ABD Y364F	53/53	0/28 (region 2a) 0/25 (region 2b)	10
Shot CH1	53/53	13/29 (region 2a) 24/24 (region 2b)	10
Utrophin ABD	0/50	50/50	10
Filamin	0/51	51/51	10
Fimbrin	0/42	42/42	10
F-tractin	0/49	49/49	12
LifeAct	0/42	42/42	8
SiR-actin	41/41	41/41	8