Regulation of Ebola GP conformation and membrane binding by the chemical environment of the late endosome

Aastha Jain[1], Ramesh Govindan[1,2], Alexander Berkman[1], Jeremy Luban[3,4], Natasha D. Durham[1], James B. Munro[1,4*]

[1]Department of Microbiology and Physiological Systems, UMass Chan Medical School, Worcester, MA, USA

[2]Medical Scientist Training Program, Tufts University School of Medicine, Boston, MA

[3]Program in Molecular Medicine, UMass Chan Medical School, Worcester, MA

[4]Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biotechnology, UMass Chan Medical School, Worcester, MA

*Correspondence: james.munro@umassmed.edu

Abstract

Interaction between the Ebola virus envelope glycoprotein (GP) and the endosomal membrane is an essential step during virus entry into the cell. Acidic pH, Ca^{2+}, and removal of the glycan cap from GP have been implicated in mediating the GP-membrane interaction. However, the molecular mechanism by which these factors regulate the conformational changes that enable engagement of GP with the target membrane is unknown. Here, we apply fluorescence correlation spectroscopy (FCS) and single-molecule Förster resonance energy transfer (smFRET) to elucidate how the chemical environment of the late endosome promotes GP-membrane interaction, thereby facilitating virus entry. We first investigate the role of anionic phospholipids, phosphatidylserine (PS) and bis(monoacylglycero)phosphate (BMP), which are found in the membrane of the late endosome. We find that these lipids enable robust binding of GP to membranes in a pH- and Ca^{2+}-dependent manner. We then identify residues in GP that sense pH and trigger conformational changes that make the fusion loop available for insertion into the membrane. Molecular dynamics (MD) simulations suggest the structural basis for pH-trigger conformational changes. We similarly confirm residues in the fusion loop that mediate GP's interaction with Ca^{2+}, which likely promotes local conformational changes in the fusion loop and mediates electrostatic interactions with the anionic phospholipids. Collectively, our results provide a mechanistic understanding of how the environment of the late endosome regulates the timing and efficiency of virus entry.

Introduction

Ebola (EBOV) is an enveloped, negative-stranded RNA virus, which causes disease in humans with an average case fatality rate of 50% (Salata et al., 2019). Since its discovery, there have been several outbreaks of EBOV disease in sub-Saharan Africa with the most recent being in September 2022 ("Ebola returns: back to square one", 2022). Incidences of repetitive outbreaks, changes in virulence, or emergence of resistance could reduce the effectiveness of currently approved vaccination and treatment regimes. Therefore, a better understanding of the EBOV entry mechanism, an under-utilized drug target, would aid in the development of effective prophylactic and treatment interventions.

The EBOV fusion and entry process is not fully decoded. It is well known that the EBOV envelope glycoprotein (GP), present on surface of the virion, mediates virus entry in host cells. GP is a timer of heterodimers with each protomer consisting of two subunits, GP1 and GP2, which are linked by disulfide bonds (J. E. Lee et al., 2008). The virions attach to the host cell surface via GP1 interaction with C-type lectins and phosphatidylserine receptors, and are internalized by macropinocytosis (Mulherkar et al., 2011; Nanbo et al., 2010). Once inside the endosomes, cathepsins B and L proteolytically remove the mucin-like domain and glycan cap from GP1, enabling its binding to the endosomal receptor, the Niemann-Pick C1 (NPC1) cholesterol transporter (Carette et al., 2011; Chandran et al., 2005; Côté et al., 2011; Miller et al., 2012). Additional factors yet to be identified are likely required to trigger the conformational changes in GP that are necessary to promote fusion of the viral and endosomal membranes (Fénéant et al., 2019).

While in the endocytic pathway, acidification of the endosomal lumen and Ca^{2+} play critical, but poorly defined roles in promoting EBOV entry (Brecher et al., 2012; Das et al., 2020; Nathan et al., 2020; Saeed et al., 2010; Sakurai et al., 2015). In a study conducted on the isolated GP fusion loop (residues 507-560), conserved residues were mutated to identify pH sensors that trigger membrane binding (J. Lee et al., 2016). Mutation of H516 reduced lipid mixing promoted by the fusion loop by 80% in an *in vitro* assay. However, no difference in virus-like particle entry into mammalian cells could be seen with respect to wild type. This study suggested that instead of a single amino acid residue acting as a pH sensor, the effect of changes in pH is spread over multiple residues that collectively influence the conformational changes of GP. Another study investigated the interaction of Ca^{2+} ions with anionic residues flanking the fusion loop (Nathan et al., 2020). Residues D522 and E540 were especially crucial for interaction of the isolated fusion loop with Ca^{2+}, which enhances membrane binding. Our previous single-molecule Förster resonance energy transfer (smFRET) experiments on trimeric GP on the surface of pseudoviral particles demonstrated that low pH and Ca^{2+} play a critical role in promoting

conformational changes in GP that correlate with lipid mixing (Das et al., 2020). While prior studies
had suggested residues in the fusion loop that are involved in sensing pH and Ca^{2+}, the role of these
residues in mediating conformational changes of trimeric GP was not evaluated. Nor has it been
determined how additional residues outside of the fusion loop might allosterically regulate
conformational dynamics of GP and fusion loop-mediated membrane binding.

Lipids in host cell membranes can facilitate virus attachment and regulate fusion. Lipid content of
endosomes is important in sorting of enveloped viruses into specific compartments and avoiding
premature fusion (Mazzon & Mercer, 2014). The late endosomal membrane is rich in anionic lipids,
phosphatidylserine (PS) and bis(monoacylglycero)phosphate (BMP) (Hullin-Matsuda et al., 2014;
Urade et al., 1988). BMP is essential for fusion of viruses such as Dengue, Lassa, Uukuniemei and
vesicular stomatitis virus that enter cells through the endocytic route (Bitto et al., 2016; Markosyan et
al., 2021; Matos et al., 2013; Nour et al., 2013; Zaitseva et al., 2010). However, a role for BMP in EBOV
GP entry could not be verified using a cell-cell fusion assay (Fénéant et al., 2019). This may indicate
that the plasma membrane lacks other lipid or protein components, which are specific to the
endosome and essential for EBOV GP-mediated fusion. Therefore, whether endosomal lipids play a
role in EBOV entry remains an open question.

In the present study, we sought to elucidate the mechanistic basis for how the chemical features of
the late endosome enable GP to engage the target membrane prior to fusion. To probe the ability of
trimeric GP to bind membranes of distinct composition, we developed a fluorescence correlation
spectroscopy (FCS) assay. FCS provides quantitative information on the diffusion of molecules in
solution. In comparison to conventional pull-down or membrane flotation methods, FCS has high
spatio-temporal resolution, requires low sample quantity, and provides rapid experimental
throughput (Betaneli et al., 2019). Our results indicate that anionic lipids PS and BMP, enhanced the
extent of membrane binding of GP at acidic pH and in presence of Ca^{2+}. smFRET imaging indicated that
acidic pH and Ca^{2+} destabilized the pre-fusion conformation, allowing the fusion loop to move away
from its hydrophobic cleft to a position where it can engage the membrane. The presence of the target
membrane captured the fusion loop in a position distal to the base of the GP trimer. The results of
mutagenesis support a model in which pH- and Ca^{2+}-sensing residues tune the responsiveness of GP
to the chemical environment of the late endosome, ensuring proper timing of conformational changes
necessary for fusion.

Results

Anionic lipids and Ca^{2+} mediate GP-membrane binding at acidic pH

We first sought to test the hypothesis that coordination of Ca^{2+} in the fusion loop of GP might aid in

engagement of GP with anionic phospholipids found in the late endosome. To this end, we developed

an FCS assay to monitor GP-membrane interactions. We prepared liposomes to mimic the endosomal

membrane using phosphatidylcholine (PC), PS, BMP, and cholesterol (Ch). The trimeric ectodomain of

GP (GPΔTM) from the Mayinga strain of EBOV, lacking the transmembrane domain and the mucin-like

domain was expressed and purified in Expi293 cells. A foldon trimerization domain was introduced at

the C-terminus to preserve the trimeric form of GPΔTM. GP1 and GP2 in GPΔTM were site-specifically

labelled with Cy5 using an enzymatic labelling approach (Materials and Methods) (Durham et al.,

2020). We noted that thermolysin, which is commonly used to remove the glycan cap from GP1 in

place of the endosomal cathepsin proteases, led to removal of the fluorophore. To alleviate this off-

target effect of thermolysin, the thermolysin cleavage site was replaced with the HRV3C protease

recognition sequence. This enabled equivalent removal of the glycan cap from GP1 (forming GP^{CL}) and

left the Cy5 labelling intact (**Figure S1A**). In a pseudovirion infectivity assay, introduction of the HRV3C

sequence left GP 85% functional as compared to wild-type GP (**Figure S1B**).

As measured by FCS, the labelled GP^{CL} diffused as a single homogeneous species with a diffusion time

of 0.308 ± 0.007 ms (**Figure 1A, B**). In contrast, 100-nm diameter liposomes diffused more slowly with

a diffusion time of 2.6 ± 0.2 ms, reflecting their larger size (**Figure 1C**). To allow labelled GP^{CL} to bind

liposomes under conditions that approximate the late endosome, GP^{CL} was incubated with liposomes

at 37°C for 20 mins at pH 5.5 across a range of Ca^{2+} concentrations. Evaluation with FCS indicated a

mixture of two species with diffusion times consistent with unbound GP^{CL}, and GP^{CL} bound to

liposomes. When liposomes were composed only of PC and cholesterol (PC:Ch 95:5) only 4.8 ± 0.1%

of GP^{CL} bound to liposomes in the absence of Ca^{2+} (**Figure 2**). With the addition of 1 μM Ca^{2+}, GP^{CL}

binding increased to 7.9 ± 0.2%. Increasing Ca^{2+} concentration as high as 1 mM did not further promote

GP^{CL} binding to the liposomes.

In contrast, when we introduced negatively charged PS into the liposomes (PC:PS:Ch 55:40:5), GP^{CL}

binding increased to 10.9 ± 0.2% in the absence of Ca^{2+}. Addition of Ca^{2+} at concentrations 1 μM and

10 μM further increased GP^{CL} binding to 17.9 ± 0.3% and 27.5 ± 0.2%, respectively. However, further

increases in Ca^{2+} concentration to 0.1 mM and 1 mM, reduced GP^{CL} binding by more than 1.3 and 4-

fold, respectively. Next, we evaluated the effect of BMP, a negatively charged lipid unique to late

endosomal membranes, on binding of GP^{CL} to liposomes (Bissig & Gruenberg, 2013; Hullin-Matsuda

et al., 2014). Liposomes designed to mimic the late endosome (PC:PS:BMP:Ch 40:40:15:5) (Bissig &

Gruenberg, 2013) bound 26.7 ± 0.2% of GP^{CL} in the absence of Ca^{2+}. Gradually increasing Ca^{2+}

promoted GP^{CL} binding to the liposomes, reaching a peak of 52.0 ± 0.3 % bound GP^{CL} at 1 mM Ca^{2+}.

These data demonstrate that anionic lipids, especially BMP, promote GP^{CL}-membrane interaction in a

Ca^{2+}-dependent manner.

Previous reports have shown that removal of the glycan cap and acidic pH are critical for trimeric GP

to bind target membranes and promote lipid mixing in the absence of BMP (Brecher et al., 2012; Das

et al., 2020). We therefore tested whether the presence of BMP affected the importance of glycan

cap removal or pH during membrane binding. At neutral pH, 8 ± 1.7% GPΔTM bound to BMP-

containing liposomes, with minimal increase in the presence of 1 mM Ca^{2+} (**Figure 3A**). At pH 5.5,

binding increased slightly to 18.3 ± 2%, with 1 mM Ca^{2+} promoting binding further. GP^{CL} bound

liposomes at neutral pH to a similarly modest extent as GPΔTM, irrespective of Ca^{2+}. Binding was

increased by acidic pH and Ca^{2+} to a greater extent than that seen for GPΔmuc, reasserting the

significance of glycan cap cleavage in membrane binding of GP (**Figure 3B**). Taken together, these

results demonstrate that neither anionic lipids nor Ca^{2+} are sufficient to promote GP-membrane

interactions at neutral pH. At acidic pH the combination of anionic lipids and Ca^{2+} facilitates robust

GP^{CL} engagement with the membrane.

Mutation of histidine residues in GP^{CL} have differential effects on membrane binding

We next tested the pH sensing potential of histidine residues in GP1 and GP2. Residues H139, H154,

H197, H516 and H549 were selected. Residue H139 was selected since it is present in the NPC1-binding

site of GP1. Similarly, H154 is proximal to the NPC1-binding site and interacts with hydrophobic

residues in the fusion loop, potentially stabilizing the pre-fusion conformation (J. E. Lee et al., 2008).

H197 resides adjacent to the glycan cap cleavage site near the C-terminus of GP1. Finally, H516 and

H549 flank the fusion loop and have a functional role during lipid mixing *in vitro* (J. Lee et al., 2016).

H39 was not included in our analysis as its location near the N terminus of GP1 and the lack of

intramolecular interactions outside of its immediate proximity make it less likely to contribute to

mediating the global conformation of GP. The following pKa values for the selected histidine residues

were predicted by PropKa: 5.2 (H139), 5.2 (H154), 6.9 (H516) and 7.2 (H549), suggesting that the

protonation state of these residues may change under physiological conditions, which encouraged us

to experimentally test these residues as putative pH sensors (Olsson et al., 2011; Søndergaard et al.,

2011). The predicted pKa for H39 was 8.3, further deemphasizing this residue as a potential sensor of

physiological pH change. H197 is not present in the available GP structures and thus a predicted pKa

was not calculated. We next introduced alanine substitutions at positions 139, 154, 197, 516, and 549

in GP1 and GP2. The expression and structure of the mutant proteins was verified by western blot and

ELISA using KZ52, an antibody specific to the native tertiary structure of GP (**Figure S2**) (J. E. Lee et al.,

2008; Maruyama et al., 1999). GP-membrane interaction was evaluated using our FCS assay with

PC:PS:BMP:Ch liposomes since they yielded maximal binding of GP under the conditions tested. Of the

five His mutants evaluated, H139A, H154A and H516A bound liposomes at approximately 10% at

neutral pH, similarly to wild-type GP^{CL} (**Figure 3C-G**). However, these mutants did not show increased

membrane binding at acidic pH or in the presence of Ca^{2+}. These data suggest that H139, H154, and

H516 are critical to the pH-induced enhancement of GP-membrane interaction. In contrast, the H197A

mutant bound liposomes similarly to wild-type across all conditions tested, indicating that H197 does

not contribute to pH-induced membrane binding. H549A binding to liposomes remained similar to

wild-type at neutral pH, and at acidic pH in the absence of Ca^{2+}. However, the addition of Ca^{2+} induced

no additional membrane binding. This indicates that either Ca^{2+} does not bind to the H549A mutant,

or Ca^{2+} binding does not induce the effect on GP structure that promotes interaction with the

membrane. Furthermore, these data show that protonation of residues H197 and H549 is not

necessary for pH-enhanced membrane binding.

The putative Ca^{2+}-binding site is essential for interaction with the target membrane

A previous study demonstrated that Ca^{2+} ions interact with conserved acidic residues (D522, E523,

E540 and E545) flanking the fusion loop, suggesting an important role for these residues in EBOV

fusion (Nathan et al., 2020). Here, we investigated whether these residues are similarly critical to

membrane binding in the context of trimeric GP^{CL}. Alanine substitutions were introduced at the

putative site of Ca^{2+} binding in the fusion loop. Protein expression and native conformation were

evaluated as above. The D522A and E540A mutants were well expressed and maintained native

antigenicity (**Figure S2**); E523A and E545A aggregated in solution and hence were not considered

further. The D522A mutant bound to PC:PS:BMP:Ch liposomes to a comparable extent as wild-type

GP^{CL} at neutral pH with or without Ca^{2+} (**Figure 3H**). Under acidic conditions binding was reduced (15

± 2%) and addition of Ca^{2+} had no effect. In contrast, the membrane binding activity of E540A was

similar to wild-type at acidic pH in the absence of Ca^{2+} (30 ± 3%, **Figure 3I**). However, a reduction in

membrane binding (13 ± 3%) was observed in the presence of Ca^{2+}. These findings lend further support

for the idea that acidic residues flanking the fusion loop play a critical role in Ca^{2+}-mediated binding of

GP to the target membrane.

Conformational dynamics of wild-type GP^{CL} during membrane binding

We first sought to better characterize the conformational equilibrium of GP^{CL} through smFRET imaging

at neutral pH in the absence of Ca^{2+}. We modified a previously established smFRET imaging assay that

reports on conformational changes in GP2 (**Figure 4A, B**). We used pseudoparticles with GP containing

the HRV3C cleavage site and a non-natural amino acid, *trans*-cyclooct-2-ene-L-lysine (TCO*) at

positions 501 and 610, which enabled labelling with Cy3- and Cy5-tetrazine. Advancements in single-

molecule detection allowed us to resolve additional FRET states beyond what had previously been reported (Materials and Methods). Hidden Markov modelling (HMM) of the individual smFRET traces indicated the existence of 4 states (0.24 ± 0.08, 0.49 ± 0.08, 0.72 ± 0.08, and 0.92 ± 0.08) (**Figure 4C, S4A**). The 0.92-FRET state (high FRET), which is consistent with the pre-fusion conformation reflected in structures of GP (J. E. Lee et al., 2008; Zhao et al., 2016), predominated with $41 \pm 1\%$ occupancy at pH 7.5 in the absence of Ca^{2+} (**Figure 4D**). HMM analysis indicated spontaneous transitions among the other three FRET states and enabled construction of transition density plots (TDPs), which display the relative frequency of transitions between each FRET state (**Figure 4E**). The TDP indicates that most transitions occur in and out of the 0.92-FRET state, and between the 0.72- and 0.42-FRET states. Transitions to and from the 0.24-FRET state were comparatively rare. Acidification to pH 5.5 reduced the occupancy of the pre-fusion conformation to $21 \pm 2\%$ and resulted in more frequent transitions in and out of the 0.24-FRET state (**Figure 4F**). Addition of 1 mM Ca^{2+} led to a further 2-fold reduction in pre-fusion occupancy to $13\pm2\%$, and further increase in transitions to and from the 0.24-FRET state (**Figure 4G**). Structures of GP in the pre-fusion conformation depict the fusion loop in a hydrophobic cleft in the neighbouring protomer within the trimer (J. E. Lee et al., 2008; Zhao et al., 2016). Given the sites of fluorophore attachment, we hypothesized that the low-FRET states (0.24 and 0.49 FRET) might reflect conformations in which the fusion loop was released from the hydrophobic cleft to positions where it can engage the target membrane. We therefore incubated the labelled pseudovirions with PC:PS:BMP:Ch liposomes at pH 5.5 in the presence of 1 mM Ca^{2+}, exactly as in our FCS experiments that indicated robust membrane binding. Interaction with liposomes further decreased occupancy in the pre-fusion conformation to $5 \pm 2\%$ and increased the 0.24-FRET state occupancy to $62 \pm 1\%$. Overall dynamics decreased drastically with remaining transitions occurring in and out of the 0.24-FRET state (**Figure 4H**). Taken together, these data identify 0.24 FRET as indicating a GP[CL] conformation that is enriched at pH 5.5 and Ca^{2+}, and further stabilized by interaction with a target membrane.

Conserved histidines mediate GP[CL] conformation at acidic pH

Having identified histidine residues that are critical to GP-membrane interaction, we next sought to determine the role of these residues in mediating GP conformational changes using smFRET. Similar to wild-type GP[CL], the pre-fusion conformation (0.92 FRET) was predominant for H139A at pH 7.5 with an occupancy of $37 \pm 1\%$. However, unlike wild-type GP[CL] the pre-fusion conformation occupancy of H139A was reduced only by about 30% at acidic pH (compared to 50% for wild-type) indicating a reduced sensitivity to pH (**Figure 5A**). Nonetheless, transitions to intermediate- and low-FRET states increased at acidic pH to a greater extent than wild-type, which correlates with the higher infectivity (**Figure S1C**). This indicates a maintained ability of H139A to undergo conformational changes (**Figure**

4F, 5B) but perhaps a greater dependence on NPC1 binding, which follows exposure to acidic pH in

the endosome, for stabilization of functional conformations. The H154A mutant showed a more

pronounced phenotype where its conformational equilibrium was insensitive to acidification, with a

modest increase in transitions to low FRET (**Figure 5A, C**). While occupancy of the pre-fusion

conformation remained at approximately 25% at both pH values tested, predominant occupancy was

seen in the 0.49-FRET state (**Figure S4C**). Thus, while the H154A mutation destabilizes the pre-fusion

conformation, the lack of sensitivity to acidic pH prevented access to a conformation competent for

membrane binding. Taken together with the loss of infectivity of the H154A mutant (**Figure S1C**)

(Manicassamy et al., 2005), these data support the identification of H154 as a critical sensor of acidic

pH and mediator of GP conformation. Finally, consistent with H197 residing in an unstructured loop,

not clearly engaged in intramolecular interactions, the H197A mutation had minimal impact on GP

conformation or function as compared to wild-type at the pH tested (**Figure 5D, S1C, S4D**).

Two histidine residues in GP2, H516 and H549, had distinct effects on GP conformation. The H516A

mutation induced a loss of sensitivity to changes in pH (**Figure 5A, E**), consistent with the observed

dysfunction in membrane binding and lack of infectivity (**Figure S1C**). Similar to the H154A mutant, a

slight increase in dynamics was seen and increased occupancy in the 0.49-FRET state (**Figure S4E**),

further indicating that this conformation is not competent for membrane binding. These data support

H516 also being a critical pH sensor and mediator of GP conformation. In contrast, the H549A mutant

underwent significant destabilisation of the pre-fusion conformation upon exposure to acidic pH, as

well as an increase in dynamics (**Figure 5A, F, S4F**). This observation correlates with the maintenance

of membrane binding under acidic conditions (in the absence of Ca^{2+}) and the modest decrease in

infectivity (**Figure S1C**). Thus, these data suggest that, while H549 may sense changes in pH, it is not a

determinant of global GP conformation.

Modulation of GP conformation by Ca^{2+}-coordinating residues

We next asked whether the coordination of Ca^{2+} impacts GP conformation as part of its role in enabling

membrane binding. The D522A mutant, which is defective in Ca^{2+} coordination, membrane binding,

and infectivity, demonstrated a conformational equilibrium similar to wild-type GP at neutral pH in

the absence of Ca^{2+} (**Figure 6A**) (Nathan et al., 2020). A slight increase in dynamics was observed as

compared to wild-type GP, suggestive of the mutation increasing mobility of the N terminus and fusion

loop (**Figure 6B**). Acidification destabilized the pre-fusion conformation, consistent with the D522A

mutation not affecting sensitivity to pH (**Figure S4G**). As expected, the addition of Ca^{2+} had no effect

on the stability of the pre-fusion conformation (**Figure 6A**). In contrast, the E540A mutation, which

maintains functionality in membrane binding in the absence of Ca^{2+}, showed a destabilized pre-fusion

conformation as compared to wild-type even at neutral pH, again suggestive of increased N terminus

and fusion loop mobility. Acidification had minimal effect on the conformational equilibrium, although

dynamics increased slightly. In particular, transitions in and out of the low-FRET state increased as

seen for wild-type GP (**Figure 6C**). The addition of Ca^{2+} led to stabilization of the 0.72-FRET state,

suggesting that adopting this conformation is not sufficient for membrane binding (**Figure S4H**). Taken

together, these data on the D522A and E540A mutants clarify that coordination of Ca^{2+} is not critical

for destabilizing the pre-fusion conformation. Rather, the importance of Ca^{2+} coordination likely

comes at a later stage during interaction with the target membrane.

Structural basis for pH-induced conformational changes

Finally, we investigated the structural basis for pH-induced conformational changes in GP^{CL} using

molecular dynamics (MD) simulation. We focused our attention on H154 and H516 as our

experimental data implicated these residues in sensing changes in pH. We developed atomistic models

of GP^{CL} using available coordinates (Materials and Methods) (Bornholdt et al., 2016). In one model,

histidine side chains were deprotonated to probe electrostatic interactions that would predominate

at neutral pH. In an alternative model, histidine side chains were fully protonated to approximate the

conditions of the acidic late endosome (pH 5-5.5). In both cases, the models were solvated in explicit

water and charge-neutralized with ions. Following energy minimization and equilibration, we analysed

the local dynamics in the proximity of H154 and H516 in a 225-ns simulation. The H154 side chain is

engaged in electrostatic interactions with the side chain of E178, which contacts the receptor-binding

site via interaction with R85. At the same time, the backbone carbonyl of H154 interacts

electrostatically with the backbone amide N of Y534 in the fusion loop of the neighbouring protomer

(**Figure 7A**). H154 thus provides a linkage between the receptor-binding site and the fusion loop,

potentially stabilizing both regions in the pre-fusion conformation. According to our simulation,

protonation of H154 strengthens the interaction with E178, which pulls H154 away from Y534. This

movement destabilizes the H154-Y534 interaction, leading to greater relative movement of these

residues (**Figure 7B**). The simulation, therefore, suggests that protonation of H154 contributes to

release of the fusion loop from the hydrophobic cleft, facilitating its interaction with the target

membrane. Mutation of H154 would break the linkage with E178, enabling stable contact with Y534

across a range of pHs. The simulation thus provides a rationale for our experimental observation that

the GP2 conformation of the H154A mutant showed decreased sensitivity to acidic pH.

H516 flanks the fusion loop and is engaged in Pi-Pi stacking interaction with the side chain of W104 in

GP1. Here again, this interaction likely mediates the stability of the fusion loop in the pre-fusion

conformation. Our MD simulation suggests that this interaction is labile when H516 is deprotonated,

with H516 sampling multiple conformations (**Figure 7C, D**). These dynamics can be parameterized by

the distance between H516 and W104, and the χ_2 dihedral angle of the H516 side chain. Protonation

of H516 stabilized the stacking interaction with W104, reducing the dynamics of H516 and selecting a

single pre-existing conformation (**Figure 7E**). These data imply that GP1-GP2 interaction may be critical

to GP2 conformational changes that remove the fusion loop from the hydrophobic cleft. The H516-

W104 interaction may aid in ensuring proper positioning of the fusion loop for initial interaction with

the membrane. This would likely require that GP1 is repositioned in response to acidic pH. Mutation

of H516 may serve to decouple fusion loop release from putative GP1 movement.

Discussion

Previous studies indicate critical roles for acidic pH, Ca^{2+}, removal of the glycan cap, and NPC1 binding

during EBOV entry and GP-induced membrane fusion (Brecher et al., 2012; Carette et al., 2011; Côté

et al., 2011; Das et al., 2020; Gregory et al., 2011; Miller et al., 2012; Nathan et al., 2020; Sakurai et

al., 2015). However, live-cell imaging and cell-cell fusion experiments have demonstrated that

additional factors are necessary for completion of membrane fusion (Fénéant et al., 2019; Simmons

et al., 2016; Spence et al., 2016). In the present study, using a new developed FCS assay to quantify

the interactions between GP and liposomes, we show that endosomal anionic lipids, including PS and

BMP, promote Ca^{2+}-dependent membrane binding of GP^{CL} at acidic pH. Under the conditions tested,

membrane binding was optimal in the presence of both PS and BMP. It has been shown that Ca^{2+} binds

to PS in phospholipid bilayers and reduces its overall surface charge, which could explain the reduction

in binding of GP^{CL} with PC:PS:Ch liposomes at Ca^{2+} concentration higher than 10 µM in our

experimental setup (Martín-Molina et al., 2012; Melcrová et al., 2016). The additional presence of

BMP in liposomes drastically increased GP^{CL}-membrane binding. Additionally, unlike PC:PS:Ch

liposomes, increasing Ca^{2+} concentration did not limit interaction of GP^{CL} with PC:PS:BMP:Ch

liposomes. Rather, an increase in GP^{CL} binding was observed with increasing Ca^{2+} concentration with

maximal binding at 1 mM Ca^{2+}. Previous observations indicate that the unique structure of BMP alters

the negative spontaneous curvature of membranes (Matsuo et al., 2004). Additionally, BMP increases

the net surface charge of liposomes, enhancing their interaction with Ca^{2+} (Kobayashi et al., 2002).

Both features of BMP may promote efficient interaction between GP^{CL} and the target membrane. In

the latter case, GP^{CL} could preferentially interact with BMP via Ca^{2+} coordination within the fusion

loop. Since BMP is exclusively present in the late endosome (Kobayashi et al., 2002), it could also

regulate the timing of fusion of EBOV by avoiding pre-mature engagement of the fusion loop with a

membrane prior to arrival in the late endosome. A similar dependence on BMP has been reported for

other enveloped viruses such as Dengue virus, Lassa virus, vesicular stomatitis virus, and influenza

virus (Mannsverk et al., 2022; Markosyan et al., 2021; Matos et al., 2013; Zaitseva et al., 2010).

Whether downstream events during EBOV fusion are also accelerated by BMP, as reported for Lassa

virus, will be the topic of future studies (Markosyan et al., 2021).

The conformational changes in GP^{CL} under conditions favourable for membrane binding were

elucidated by a previously validated smFRET imaging assay developed in our lab, which reports on the

movement of the N-terminus of GP2 (Das et al., 2020). In the present work, we show that acidification

of pH followed by addition of Ca^{2+} leads to a sequential destabilization of the pre-fusion conformation

of GP^{CL}. An increase in low FRET under the same conditions suggests movement of the N terminus.

This change in FRET efficiency (ΔFRET = 0.68) equates to an increase in the distance between the

fluorophores of more than 30 Å. A movement of this magnitude likely also incorporates displacement

of the fusion loop away from the hydrophobic cleft to a position that is competent for membrane

binding. This interpretation is supported by our smFRET data acquired following incubation of GP^{CL}

with liposomes at acidic pH in the presence of Ca^{2+}, which led to further destabilization of the high-

FRET pre-fusion conformation, stabilization of the low-FRET conformation, and reduction of overall

kinetics (**Figure 4B, C**). A structural description of the low-FRET conformation will require additional

FRET pairs, which report more explicitly and with more sensitivity on the position of the fusion loop.

The hypothesized extended pre-hairpin intermediate in which the heptad repeat helix 1 (HR1) has

linearized may require repositioning or dissociation of GP1. Here again, additional FRET pairs that

report on inter-domain dynamics will be beneficial. Whatever the precise structural description of the

low-FRET state, our FCS and smFRET imaging results indicate that the extent of GP-membrane binding

is inversely correlated (Spearmen correlation r_S = -0.6813, p = 0.0127) with the occupancy of GP in the

pre-fusion conformation (**Figure 8**).

Protonation of amino acid side chains is the driving force for inducing conformational changes in viral

fusion proteins in response to acidification of pH. In particular, the role of histidine residues is well

established in triggering membrane binding and fusion of influenza virus, Semiliki Forest virus, and

human metapneumoviruses (Caffrey & Lavie, 2021; Harrison et al., 2013). Here, we report that

residues H139, H154, H516 and H549 tune the responsiveness of EBOV GP to acidic pH through both

stabilizing and destabilizing mechanisms. The membrane binding ability of H139A was reduced at

acidic pH accompanied by poor destabilization of the pre-fusion conformation relative to wild type,

indicating reduced ability to undergo pH-induced conformational changes in the absence of NPC1 *in

vitro*. Nonetheless, H139A maintained greater infectivity than wild-type. This may be due to greater

stability in the acidic endosome and given the proximity of H139 to the receptor-binding site, perhaps

higher affinity for NPC1 inside cells. Mutants H154A and H516A emerged as the most important pH

sensors. The membrane binding ability of both mutants was diminished at acidic pH and no difference

in their FRET occupancies at neutral or acidic pH was observed. This indicates that the mutants are

insensitive to changes in pH and thus, functionally inactive **(Figure 5C, E).** Histidine residues can
interact electrostatically with cationic amino acids such as lysine or arginine, forming His-Cat pairs,
which are known to stabilize the pre-fusion conformations of several viral envelope glycoproteins
(Harrison et al., 2013). These interactions are destabilized by protonation of histidines upon
acidification, leading to repulsion between histidines and cationic amino acid, which contribute to
triggering conformational changes necessary for viral fusion. In contrast, our MD simulation suggests
that H154 and H516 are engaged in interactions not described by the His-Cat paradigm. These data
indicate that protonation of H154 contributes to release of the fusion loop from its hydrophobic cleft
through a stabilized interaction with E178. According to our analysis, the strengthened H154-E178
interaction destabilizes backbone electrostatics between H154 and Y534 in the fusion loop of the
neighbouring protomer. Similarly, protonation of H516 stabilizes Pi-Pi stacking interaction with W104
in GP1. Thus, an inter-subunit interaction is strengthened under conditions that promote membrane
binding. This interaction may mediate positioning and stability of the fusion loop and may indicate
that GP1 remains associated with GP2 until after engagement with the target membrane. NPC1
binding to GP1 may partially serve to localize EBOV on the endosomal membrane to increase the
efficiency with which the fusion loop inserts into the membrane. At this point, an additional
endosomal factor may be required to enable transition to the post-fusion conformation, which
putatively requires dissociation of GP1.

Mutagenesis of H549 did not affect membrane binding or the extent of destabilization of the pre-
fusion conformation of GP at acidic pH, indicating that it is less critical for mediating changes in the
global conformation of GP. Additionally, membrane binding of H549A was insensitive to the presence
of Ca^{2+}. This could be due to H549A attaining a local conformation that is unresponsive to Ca^{2+}. These
results suggest that H549 more likely regulates the local conformation of the fusion loop, rather than
the release from the hydrophobic cleft. Taken together, our data indicate that acidic pH destabilizes
the interactions within GP1, and between GP1 and GP2 by direct side-chain protonation of key
histidine residues, similar to influenza HA1 and HA2 (Caffrey & Lavie, 2021; Harrison et al., 2013).

Ca^{2+} has been implicated in the fusion processes of Rubella virus, MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-1 and -2, and
EBOV (Dubé et al., 2014; Lai et al., 2017; Nathan et al., 2020; Singh et al., 2022; Straus et al., 2020).
Ca^{2+} can assist in membrane fusion through coordination by anionic residues in the fusion loop, as first
seen for the Rubella virus E1 glycoprotein. This may stabilize a fusion loop conformation that is optimal
for insertion into the membrane. In addition, Ca^{2+} coordination in the fusion loop can affect the
physical properties of the target membrane in a manner that promotes fusion (Lai et al., 2017).
Previous studies have shown that compounds that lead to accumulation of Ca^{2+} in endosomes inhibit
EBOV entry, which indicates that EBOV fusion is highly sensitive to endosomal Ca^{2+} concentration (Das

et al., 2020; Johansen et al., 2013). *In vitro* studies have demonstrated that Ca^{2+} concentrations greater

than 500 μM are antagonistic to lipid mixing and fusion activity of Rubella virus, SARS-CoV-2, and EBOV

(Das et al., 2020; Dubé et al., 2014; Singh et al., 2022). A previous study of the isolated fusion loop of

EBOV GP highlighted the role of anionic residues, D522 and E540, in interaction with Ca^{2+} during

membrane binding and lipid mixing (Nathan et al., 2020). Our findings support these conclusions and

demonstrate that sensitivity of the native GP trimer to Ca^{2+}, and its ability to engage the target

membrane in the presence of Ca^{2+}, is mediated by residues D522 and E540. The mutant D522A

displayed destabilization of the pre-fusion conformation comparable to wild-type at acidic pH. Given

the loss of membrane binding by the D522A mutant, these data indicate that acidification of pH is the

major driver of global GP conformation, whereas Ca^{2+} binding may influence the local conformation

of the fusion loop, enabling optimal insertion into the membrane. On the contrary, there was no

significant change in the global conformation of E540A after acidification of pH. However, efficient

membrane binding of E540A at acidic pH, coupled with an increase in the frequency of transitions,

points toward a role for the target membrane in selecting conformations in E540A. Addition of Ca^{2+}

enriched the 0.72 FRET state, reduced both conformational transitions and membrane binding of

E540A. These data suggest that Ca^{2+} still binds the fusion loop of the E540A trimer in such a manner

that restricts the movement necessary for membrane binding. The NMR structure of the fusion loop

in DPC micelles at acidic pH shows a 90° bend, which reduces the distance between residues D522 and

H549, and between E540 and E545, bringing them within ~5 Å (Gregory et al., 2011). This could reflect

a conformation that becomes accessible to the fusion loop after transitioning out of the hydrophobic

cleft. The insensitivity of H549A to Ca^{2+} and its proximity to D522 at acidic pH in the NMR-derived

structure might suggest that this conformation is stabilized by Ca^{2+}, perhaps through coordination by

these pairs of residues. Structural studies of the intact trimer, performed under conditions that

promote membrane fusion, are needed to elucidate the global GP conformation and the local fusion

loop configuration that enables engagement with the target membrane. Biophysical interrogations

such as those presented here should guide these future studies by identifying conditions that promote

functional conformations and the residues that mediate their stability.

Material and Methods

Cell lines

Expi293F cells (Gibco, ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) were cultured in Expi293 expression

medium in an orbital shaking incubator at 37°C, 8% CO_2, 125rpm. HEK293T FirB cells, which have high

furin expression, were a kind gift from Dr. Theodore C. Pierson (Emerging Respiratory Virus section,

Laboratory of Infectious Diseases, NIH, Bethesda, MD) (Mukherjee et al., 2014). These cells were

cultured in DMEM (Gibco, ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) with 10% cosmic calf serum

(Hyclone, Cytiva Life Sciences, Marlborough, MA) and 1% penicillin-streptomycin (Gibco,

ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) at 37°C, 5% CO_2.

Plasmids

pHLsec-GPΔTM and pMAM51-GPΔmuc plasmids were obtained from Dr. Kartik Chandran's lab

(Einstein College of Medicine, NY). pHLsec-GPΔTM encodes EBOV (Mayinga) GP sequence (UniProt

Q05320) with deleted mucin-like and transmembrane domains. A T4 fibritin foldon trimerization

domain and 6X-histidine tag for Ni-NTA purification has been inserted into the C terminus. The A1

(*GDSLDMLEWSLM*) and A4 (*DSLSMLEW*) peptides were introduced at positions 32 and 501 in GP1 and

GP2, respectively, for site-specific labelling of GPΔTM, as previously described (Durham et al., 2020).

pMAM51-GPΔmuc encodes full-length GP with the mucin-like domain deleted and was used for all

pseudovirion experiments. In both GPΔTM and GPΔmuc the thermolysin cleavage site, *VNAT* at

position 203, was replaced with an HRV3C protease recognition site (*LEVLFQGP*) by site directed

mutagenesis (Q5 site directed mutagenesis kit, New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA). All amino acid

substitutions were also introduced in GPΔTM and GPΔmuc via site-directed mutagenesis.

pNL4.3.Luc.R-E- used in infectivity assays was obtained through the NIH AIDS Reagent program

(contributed by Dr. Nathaniel Landau, New York University School of Medicine) (He et al., 1995). An

amber stop codon (TAG) in the *tat* gene was modified by site-directed mutagenesis to --TAA to prevent

readthrough during incorporation of TCO* for labelling of GPΔmuc. Plasmids PyIRS[AF] and eRF1 were

provided by Dr. Edward Lemke (Johannes Gutenberg-University of Mainz, Germany).

Protein expression and purification

For production of GPΔTM proteins (wild-type and mutants), Expi293F cells were transfected with

pHLsec-GPΔTM using polyethyleneimine (PEI MAX, Polysciences, Warrington, PA) at a mass ratio of

1:3 DNA:PEI MAX. As previously described, a 2:1 ratio of pHLsec-GPΔTM to tagged pHLsec-GPΔTM was

transfected to ensure that GPΔTM trimers contained on average a single tagged protomer. The

supernatants containing soluble GPΔTM proteins were harvested 5 days post-transfection. The

proteins were purified using Ni-NTA agarose beads (Pierce, ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA).

The protein was bound to the column in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) containing 10mM imidazole,

followed by washing with 20mM imidazole in PBS and elution in 200 mM imidazole containing PBS.

Following purification, proteins were exchanged to labelling buffer (20 mM HEPES, 50 mM NaCl, pH

7.5) using VivaSpin 6 concentrator (Sartorius AG, Gottingen, Germany).

Labelling of GPΔTM

Wild-type and mutant GPΔTM proteins were labelled with 5 µM LD650 conjugated to coenzyme A

(LD650-CoA; Lumidyne Technologies, New York, NY). The fluorophore was attached to the A1 and A4

peptides in the tagged GPΔTM through incubation with 5 µM acyl carrier protein synthase (AcpS) in

the labelling buffer with 10 mM Mg(CH$_3$COO)$_2$ overnight at room temperature (Durham et al., 2020).

The labelled proteins were subjected to overnight cleavage by exogenous furin (New England Biolabs,

Ipswich, MA) at 37°C to fully convert GP0 to GP1 and GP2. The processed proteins were purified by

size-exclusion chromatography on a Superdex 200 Increase 10/300 GL column (GE Healthcare,

Chicago, IL). Purified, labelled proteins were concentrated using Amicon Ultra 30K filters

(MilliporeSigma, Burlington, MA), aliquoted and stored at -80°C until further use. The concentration

of proteins was determined using Bradford reagent.

Indirect ELISA

Labelled proteins were diluted to a final concentration of 5 µg/ml in PBS and coated onto the wells of

a 96-well polystyrene plate (Pierce™, ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) by incubating overnight

at 4°C. The plate was washed three times with PBST (PBS with 0.1% Tween-20) followed by blocking

with 5% skim milk in PBST for 3h at room temperature. The blocking solution was removed, and the

plate was again washed twice with PBST. Proteins were probed with KZ52 antibody (Durham et al.,

2020; Maruyama et al., 1999) at a dilution of 1:1000 overnight at 4°C. The plate was then washed and

incubated with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated anti-human IgG (Invitrogen™, ThermoFisher

Scientific, Waltham, MA) at a dilution of 1:2000 for 2h at room temperature. After washing the plate

four times with PBST, TMB solution (3,3',5,5'-tetramethylbenzidine; ThermoFisher Scientific,

Waltham, MA) was added to each well, incubated for 15 min, followed by addition of an equal volume

of 2M sulphuric acid. The optical density was immediately read at 450 nm in a Synergy H1 microplate

reader (BioTek, Winooski, VT).

Liposome preparation

The following lipids were used for liposome preparation: POPC (1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-glycero-3-

phosphocholine), POPS (1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phospho-L-serine (sodium salt)), BMP

(bis(monooleoylglycero)phosphate (S,R Isomer)) and cholesterol (all from Avanti Polar lipids,

Alabaster, AL). Stock solution of lipids (10 mg/ml) were diluted at desired ratios in chloroform to obtain

a final total lipid concentration of 1 mM. A lipid film was formed in a glass vial by evaporating the

chloroform under a steady stream of Argon gas. Residual chloroform was removed by incubating the

lipid film overnight under vacuum. Lipids were rehydrated with 5 mM HEPES, 10 mM MES and 150

mM NaCl, pH 7.5, for 1 hour at room temperature. The lipid suspension was vortexed 5-7 times in 10

sec pulses followed by 10 freeze-thaw cycles with liquid nitrogen. Liposomes were formed by

extruding the lipid solution 37 times through a 100 nm polycarbonate membrane (Whatman®

Nucleopore™ track-etched membrane) in a mini-extruder (Avanti Polar Lipids, Alabaster, AL). The size

of liposomes was verified using dynamic light scattering (Zetasizer Nano, Malvern Panalytical,

Malvern, UK). The liposomes were stored at 4°C and used within a week.

HRV3C cleavage

501   The glycan cap was removed from GPΔTM and pseudovirions with GPΔmuc through incubation with

502   HRV3C protease (Pierce™, ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) at 10°C for 16 hr. Cleavage of

503   LD650-labelled GPΔTM was verified by in-gel fluorescence imaging of uncleaved and cleaved protein

504   on a 4-20% polyacrylamide using a ChemiDoc™ MP imaging system (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) followed

505   by Coomassie staining.

Fluorescence correlation spectroscopy

For membrane binding studies, liposomes (500 μM total lipid) were incubated with 5 nM labelled

GPΔTM in 5 mM HEPES, 10 mM MES, 150 mM NaCl (pH 7.5 or 5.5). For experiments performed in the

absence of Ca^{2+}, 1mM EDTA was included to chelate any Ca^{2+} already bound to GPΔTM. Otherwise,

the indicated concentration of $CaCl_2$ (0-1mM) was included in the incubation. The liposome-GPΔTM

mixture was incubated at 37°C for 20 min to allow binding. For experiments performed at pH 5.5, the

liposome mixture was acidified with 1 M HCl prior to addition of GPΔTM. FCS experiments were

performed by dropping 50 μL of the liposome-GPΔTM mixture onto a coverslip (No. 1.5 Thorlabs,

Newton, NJ). To prevent sticking of the protein-membrane complex to the glass surface, coverslips

were plasma-cleaned followed by coating with 10% polyethylene glycol (PEG-8000, Promega,

Madison, WI). 100 autocorrelation curves, 5 sec each in length, were recorded at room temperature

using a 638 nm laser in a CorTector SX100 (LightEdge Technologies Ltd., Zhongshan City, China). The

curves were fitted to the following model for two species diffusing in three dimensions with triplet

blinking (Betaneli et al., 2019; Ducas & Rhoades, 2012; Rhoades et al., 2006):

$G(\tau) = G_{triplet}(\tau) \cdot G_{complex}(\tau)$, where

$G_{triplet}(\tau) = 1 - T + T \cdot e^{\frac{\tau}{\tau_{triplet}}}$, and

$G_{complex}(\tau) = \dfrac{1}{N}\left(f \cdot \left[1 + \dfrac{\tau}{\tau_{protein}}\right]^{-1} \left[1 + \dfrac{\tau}{s^2 \tau_{protein}}\right]^{-1/2} + \alpha \cdot (1 - f) \right.$

$\left. \cdot \left[1 + \dfrac{\tau}{\tau_{liposome}}\right]^{-1} \left[1 + \dfrac{\tau}{s^2 \tau_{liposome}}\right]^{-1/2} \right)$

where, N is the number of molecules in the confocal volume, f is the fraction of free protein, α is the

average brightness of the protein-liposome complex, $\tau_{protein}$ is the diffusion time of free protein,

$\tau_{liposome}$ is the diffusion time of the liposome, and s is the structural parameter, which reflects the

dimensions of the confocal volume. The diffusion times, $\tau_{protein}$ and $\tau_{liposome}$ were calculated by

fitting the autocorrelation curves of GPΔTM and liposomes (labelled with DiD) separately to single

species diffusion models. The values of s and $\tau_{protein}$ were kept constant to calculate the fraction of

free and bound protein for all samples. Due to polydispersity of liposomes and fast photophysical

dynamics of triplet blinking, $\tau_{liposome}$ and $\tau_{triplet}$ were allowed to vary during fitting. The analysis was

carried out in MATLAB (MathWorks, Natick, MA) using a non-linear least-square curve fitting

algorithm. All values were averaged over three independent experiments.

Western blotting

All proteins and pseudovirions were run on 4-20% denaturing polyacrylamide gels (Bio-Rad, Hercules,

CA) and transferred to nitrocellulose membrane using Trans-blot Turbo (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA).

Membranes were rinsed with PBST, blocked with 5% skim milk in PBST for 1 h followed by probing of

GP and p24 with monoclonal antibody (mAb) H3C8 at a dilution of 1:1000, (Ou et al., 2010) and mouse

mAB B1217M at a dilution of 1:2000 (Genetex, Irvine, CA), respectively. The mAb H3C8 was humanized

by cloning its variable heavy and light chain fragments in human IgG expression vectors obtained from

Dr. Michel Nussenzwieg (The Rockefeller University). Membranes were washed three times with PBST,

incubated with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated anti-human IgG (Invitrogen™, ThermoFisher,

Waltham, MA) and anti-mouse IgG (ThermoFisher, Waltham, MA) for 1 h at room temperature and

developed with SuperSignal™ West Pico PLUS chemiluminescent substrate (ThermoFisher Scientific,

Waltham, MA).

Infectivity assay

Infectivity of VSV pseudovirions containing wild-type GPΔmuc was compared to pseudovirions

containing GPΔmuc[HRV3C] via flow cytometry (Whitt, 2010). HEK293T cells were transfected with

GPΔmuc and GPΔmuc[HRV3C] plasmid using polyethyleneimine (PEI MAX, Polysciences, Warrington, PA)

at a mass ratio of 1:3 PEI MAX:DNA. Cells were transduced with VSVΔG-GFP-VSVG pseudovirions 24 h

after transfection. Supernatants containing VSVΔG-GFP-GPΔmuc and VSVΔG-GFP-GPΔmuc[HRV3C] were

collected 24 h post-transduction and filtered through 0.45 μm filter. As a negative control, bald

particles were generated by transducing cells not expressing GPΔmuc. Vero cells were infected with

VSV pseudovirions through incubation at 37°C for 1 h with gentle agitation every 15min to allow even

spread of pseudovirions. Fresh media was added, and infection was allowed to proceed for five more

hours. Cells were trypsinized and assayed for expression of GFP using a flow cytometer (MACSQuant

Analyzer 1.0). The results were averaged across technical replicates and standard error was calculated

from biological replicates.

To assess infectivity of GPΔmuc^{HRV3C} mutants, pseudovirions with luciferase-expressing HIV-1 core

were produced. Plasmids pMAM51-GPΔmuc^{HRV3C} and pNL4.3.Luc.R-E- were co-transfected at a ratio

of 1:5 in HEK 293T FirB cells. Pseudovirions were harvested 24 h post-transfection by collecting the

supernatant, passing through a 0.45 µm filter, and layering on 10% sucrose in PBS solution followed

by ultracentrifugation at 25000 rpm for 2 h at 4°C. After resuspension of the pellet in PBS, particles

were analyzed by western blot. The virions were cleaved with HRV3C to remove the glycan cap as

above, incubated with Vero cells for 5 h at 37°C, followed by replacement of growth media. After 48

h, cells were lysed with Glo Lysis Buffer (Promega, Madison, WI) for 5 min at room temperature.

Luciferase activity was recorded by mixing equal volumes of cell lysate and Steady Glo Reagent

(Promega, Madison, WI) and reading in a Synergy H1 plate reader (Biotek, Winooski, VT). The

luminescence was normalized to expression of GP for each sample. Bald pseudovirions containing only

pNL4.3.Luc.R-E- were used as a negative control.

Pseudovirion production and labelling for smFRET imaging

To facilitate attachment of fluorophores to GP on the surface of pseudovirions for smFRET imaging,

the non-natural amino acid TCO* (SiChem GmbH, Bremen, Germany) was introduced at positions 501

and 610 through amber stop codon suppression (GP*) (**Figure 4B**) (Nikić et al., 2016). Pseudovirions

were produced by transfecting HEK293T FirB cells with pMAM51-GPΔmuc^{HRV3C} plasmids with and

without amber stop codons at a 1:1 ratio, which equated to an excess of GP protein over GP*. This

ratio was optimized to ensure that the pseudovirions rarely contained more than a single GP*

protomer per particle. A plasmid encoding HIV-1 GagPol was also transfected to provide the

pseudovirion core. To increase the efficiency of amber codon readthrough, plasmids eRF1 and PyIRS^{AF}

were also included in transfection (Das et al., 2020; Nikić et al., 2016). The supernatant containing

pseudovirions was harvested 48 h post-transfection, filtered through a 0.45 µm mixed cellulose ester

membrane and layered onto 10% sucrose (in PBS) solution. The pseudovirions were pelleted by

ultracentrifugation at 25000 rpm for 2 h at 4°C. Pseudovirions were resuspended in 500 µL PBS and

incubated with 500 nM Cy3- and Cy5-tetrazine (Jena Biosciences, Jena, Germany) for 30 min at room

temperature. 60 µM DSPE-PEG2000 biotin (Avanti Polar Lipids, Alabaster, AL) was added to the

labelling reaction and incubated for another 30 min at room temperature with gentle mixing. The

labelling reaction was layered on a 6-30% OptiPrep (Sigma-Aldrich, MilliporeSigma, Burlington, MA)

density gradient and ultracentrifuged at 35000 rpm for 1 h at 4°C. Labelled pseudovirions were

collected, aliquoted, and analyzed by western blot.

smFRET imaging assay and data analysis

All smFRET experiments were performed following removal of the glycan cap from GP. Labelled and

glycan cap-cleaved pseudovirions were immobilized on streptavidin-coated quartz slides and imaged

on a wide-field prism-based TIRF microscope (Blakemore et al., 2021). Imaging was performed in the

same buffer used for the membrane binding assay (5 mM HEPES, 10 mM MES, 150 mM NaCl [pH 7.5

or 5.5]). To study the effect of Ca^{2+}, the buffer was supplemented with 1 mM $CaCl_2$. smFRET data was

acquired at room temperature at 25 frames/sec using the MicroManager microscope control software

(micromanager.org). Analysis of smFRET data was performed using the SPARTAN software package

(https://www.scottcblanchardlab.com/software) (Juette et al., 2016) in MATLAB (MathWorks, Natick,

MA). smFRET trajectories were selected according to the following criteria: acceptor fluorescence

intensity greater than 35; FRET was detectable for at least 15 frames prior to photobleaching;

correlation coefficient between donor and acceptor fluorescence traces was less than -0.4; signal-to-

noise ratio was greater than 10; and background fluorescence was less than 50. Trajectories that met

these criteria were further verified manually and fitted to a 5-state linear hidden Markov model

(including a zero-FRET state) using maximum point likelihood (MPL) algorithm implemented in

SPARTAN (Qin et al., 2000). The 5-state linear model was chosen based on the Akaike Information

Criterium (AIC) and the Bayesian Inference Criterium (BIC) (**Figure S3**) (Akaike, 1974; Schwarz, 1978).

Several models were initially considered with varying numbers of model parameters and topology.

The 5-state linear model minimized both the AIC and BIC criteria relative to the models considered

and was thus chosen for analysis. The idealizations from total number of traces for each sample were

used to calculate the occupancies in different FRET states and construct the FRET histograms and

transition density plots (TDPs).

MD simulation

A model of trimeric GP^{CL} was generated from atomic coordinates determined through x-ray

crystallography (PDB accession: 5JQ3) (Zhao et al., 2016). Models included residues 32-188 of GP1 and

502-598 of GP2. Missing atoms, including hydrogens were added using pdb4amber. The protein

components of the models were parameterized with the Amber forcefield (ff14SB). The systems were

charge neutralized and solvated with the TIP3P explicit solvent model in LEaP. The solvated proteins

were energy minimized for 0.1 ns, followed by equilibration using a stepwise protocol (Shi et al., 2008).

Briefly, the protein backbone was harmonically constrained, with the constraints being released

stepwise over 4 0.3-ns intervals. The simulations were then run for 225 ns in the NPT ensemble.

Temperature and pressure were maintained using the Langevin thermostat and the Nose-Hoover

Langevin barostat, respectively. Electrostatics were calculated using the Particle Mesh Ewald

algorithm. All simulation steps were run using NAMD version 2.14 on the c3ddb cluster at the

Massachusetts Green High Performance Computing Center.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Dr. Marco Díaz-Salinas (UMass Chan Medical School, Worcester, MA) for creating substitution mutations in *tat* in pNL4.3.Luc.R-E- plasmid used in the present study. We would also like to thank Dr. Gang Han (UMass Chan Medical School, Worcester, MA) for providing the facility of dynamic light scattering. This work was supported by NIH grants R01AI174645 and R01GM143773 to J.B.M, and R01AI148784 to J.L.

References

Akaike, H. (1974). A new look at the statistical model identification. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, *19*(6), 716–723. https://doi.org/10.1109/tac.1974.1100705

Betaneli, V., Mücksch, J. & Schwille, P. (2019). Lipid-Protein Interactions, Methods and Protocols. *Methods in Molecular Biology*, *2003*, 415–447. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-9512-7_18

Bissig, C. & Gruenberg, J. (2013). Lipid Sorting and Multivesicular Endosome Biogenesis. *Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Biology*, *5*(10), a016816. https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a016816

Bitto, D., Halldorsson, S., Caputo, A. & Huiskonen, J. T. (2016). Low pH and Anionic Lipid-dependent Fusion of Uukuniemi Phlebovirus to Liposomes*. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, *291*(12), 6412–6422. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.m115.691113

Blakemore, R. J., Burnett, C., Swanson, C., Kharytonchyk, S., Telesnitsky, A. & Munro, J. B. (2021). Stability and conformation of the dimeric HIV-1 genomic RNA 5'UTR. *Biophysical Journal*, *120*(21), 4874–4890. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpj.2021.09.017

Bornholdt, Z. A., Ndungo, E., Fusco, M. L., Bale, S., Flyak, A. I., Crowe, J. E., Chandran, K. & Saphire, E. O. (2016). Host-Primed Ebola Virus GP Exposes a Hydrophobic NPC1 Receptor-Binding Pocket, Revealing a Target for Broadly Neutralizing Antibodies. *MBio*, *7*(1), e02154-15. https://doi.org/10.1128/mbio.02154-15

Brecher, M., Schornberg, K. L., Delos, S. E., Fusco, M. L., Saphire, E. O. & White, J. M. (2012). Cathepsin Cleavage Potentiates the Ebola Virus Glycoprotein To Undergo a Subsequent Fusion-Relevant Conformational Change. *Journal of Virology*, *86*(1), 364–372. https://doi.org/10.1128/jvi.05708-11

Caffrey, M. & Lavie, A. (2021). pH-Dependent Mechanisms of Influenza Infection Mediated by Hemagglutinin. *Frontiers in Molecular Biosciences*, *8*, 777095. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmolb.2021.777095

Carette, J. E., Raaben, M., Wong, A. C., Herbert, A. S., Obernosterer, G., Mulherkar, N., Kuehne, A. I., Kranzusch, P. J., Griffin, A. M., Ruthel, G., Cin, P. D., Dye, J. M., Whelan, S. P., Chandran, K. & Brummelkamp, T. R. (2011). Ebola virus entry requires the cholesterol transporter Niemann-Pick C1. *Nature*, *477*(7364), 340–343. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10348

Chandran, K., Sullivan, N. J., Felbor, U., Whelan, S. P. & Cunningham, J. M. (2005). Endosomal Proteolysis of the Ebola Virus Glycoprotein Is Necessary for Infection. *Science*, *308*(5728), 1643–1645. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1110656

663 Côté, M., Misasi, J., Ren, T., Bruchez, A., Lee, K., Filone, C. M., Hensley, L., Li, Q., Ory, D., Chandran, K.
664  & Cunningham, J. (2011). Small molecule inhibitors reveal Niemann–Pick C1 is essential for Ebola
665  virus infection. *Nature*, *477*(7364), 344–348. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10380

666 Das, D. K., Bulow, U., Diehl, W. E., Durham, N. D., Senjobe, F., Chandran, K., Luban, J. & Munro, J. B.
667  (2020). Conformational changes in the Ebola virus membrane fusion machine induced by pH,
668  Ca2+, and receptor binding. *PLoS Biology*, *18*(2), e3000626.
669  https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.3000626

670 Diseases, T. L. I. (2022). Ebola returns: back to square one. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases*.
671  https://doi.org/10.1016/s1473-3099(22)00678-8

672 Dubé, M., Rey, F. A. & Kielian, M. (2014). Rubella Virus: First Calcium-Requiring Viral Fusion Protein.
673  *PLoS Pathogens*, *10*(12), e1004530. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1004530

674 Ducas, V. C. & Rhoades, E. (2012). Quantifying Interactions of β-Synuclein and γ-Synuclein with
675  Model Membranes. *Journal of Molecular Biology*, *423*(4), 528–539.
676  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2012.08.008

677 Durham, N. D., Howard, A. R., Govindan, R., Senjobe, F., Fels, J. M., Diehl, W. E., Luban, J., Chandran,
678  K. & Munro, J. B. (2020). Real-Time Analysis of Individual Ebola Virus Glycoproteins Reveals Pre-
679  Fusion, Entry-Relevant Conformational Dynamics. *Viruses*, *12*(1), 103.
680  https://doi.org/10.3390/v12010103

681 Fénéant, L., Wijs, K. M. S., Nelson, E. A. & White, J. M. (2019). An exploration of conditions proposed
682  to trigger the Ebola virus glycoprotein for fusion. *PLoS ONE*, *14*(7), e0219312.
683  https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0219312

684 Gregory, S. M., Harada, E., Liang, B., Delos, S. E., White, J. M. & Tamm, L. K. (2011). Structure and
685  function of the complete internal fusion loop from Ebolavirus glycoprotein 2. *Proceedings of the*
686  *National Academy of Sciences*, *108*(27), 11211–11216. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1104760108

687 Harrison, J. S., Higgins, C. D., O'Meara, M. J., Koellhoffer, J. F., Kuhlman, B. A. & Lai, J. R. (2013). Role
688  of Electrostatic Repulsion in Controlling pH-Dependent Conformational Changes of Viral Fusion
689  Proteins. *Structure*, *21*(7), 1085–1096. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.str.2013.05.009

690 He, J., Choe, S., Walker, R., Marzio, P. D., Morgan, D. O. & Landau, N. R. (1995). Human
691  immunodeficiency virus type 1 viral protein R (Vpr) arrests cells in the G2 phase of the cell cycle
692  by inhibiting p34cdc2 activity. *Journal of Virology*, *69*(11), 6705–6711.
693  https://doi.org/10.1128/jvi.69.11.6705-6711.1995

694 Hullin-Matsuda, F., Taguchi, T., Greimel, P. & Kobayashi, T. (2014). Lipid compartmentalization in the
695  endosome system. *Seminars in Cell & Developmental Biology*, *31*, 48–56.
696  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcdb.2014.04.010

697 Johansen, L. M., Brannan, J. M., Delos, S. E., Shoemaker, C. J., Stossel, A., Lear, C., Hoffstrom, B. G.,
698  DeWald, L. E., Schornberg, K. L., Scully, C., Lehár, J., Hensley, L. E., White, J. M. & Olinger, G. G.
699  (2013). FDA-Approved Selective Estrogen Receptor Modulators Inhibit Ebola Virus Infection.
700  *Science Translational Medicine*, *5*(190), 190ra79. https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.3005471

Juette, M. F., Terry, D. S., Wasserman, M. R., Altman, R. B., Zhou, Z., Zhao, H. & Blanchard, S. C.
(2016). Single-molecule imaging of non-equilibrium molecular ensembles on the millisecond
timescale. *Nature Methods*, *13*(4), 341–344. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.3769

Kobayashi, T., Beuchat, M.-H., Chevallier, J., Makino, A., Mayran, N., Escola, J.-M., Lebrand, C.,
Cosson, P., Kobayashi, T. & Gruenberg, J. (2002). Separation and Characterization of Late
Endosomal Membrane Domains*. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, *277*(35), 32157–32164.
https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.m202838200

Lai, A. L., Millet, J. K., Daniel, S., Freed, J. H. & Whittaker, G. R. (2017). The SARS-CoV Fusion Peptide
Forms an Extended Bipartite Fusion Platform that Perturbs Membrane Order in a Calcium-
Dependent Manner. *Journal of Molecular Biology*, *429*(24), 3875–3892.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2017.10.017

Lee, J. E., Fusco, M. L., Hessell, A. J., Oswald, W. B., Burton, D. R. & Saphire, E. O. (2008). Structure of
the Ebola virus glycoprotein bound to an antibody from a human survivor. *Nature*, *454*(7201),
177–182. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature07082

Lee, J., Gregory, S. M., Nelson, E. A., White, J. M. & Tamm, L. K. (2016). The Roles of Histidines and
Charged Residues as Potential Triggers of a Conformational Change in the Fusion Loop of Ebola
Virus Glycoprotein. *PLoS ONE*, *11*(3), e0152527. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0152527

Manicassamy, B., Wang, J., Jiang, H. & Rong, L. (2005). Comprehensive Analysis of Ebola Virus GP1 in
Viral Entry. *Journal of Virology*, *79*(8), 4793–4805. https://doi.org/10.1128/jvi.79.8.4793-
4805.2005

Mannsverk, S., Giraldo, A. M. V. & Kasson, P. M. (2022). Influenza Virus Membrane Fusion Is
Promoted by the Endosome-Resident Phospholipid Bis(monoacylglycero)phosphate. *The Journal
of Physical Chemistry. B*, *126*(49), 10445–10451. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcb.2c06642

Markosyan, R. M., Marin, M., Zhang, Y., Cohen, F. S. & Melikyan, G. B. (2021). The late endosome-
resident lipid bis(monoacylglycero)phosphate is a cofactor for Lassa virus fusion. *PLoS Pathogens*,
17(9), e1009488. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1009488

Martín-Molina, A., Rodríguez-Beas, C. & Faraudo, J. (2012). Effect of calcium and magnesium on
phosphatidylserine membranes: experiments and all-atomic simulations. *Biophysical Journal*,
102(9), 2095–2103. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpj.2012.03.009

Maruyama, T., Rodriguez, L. L., Jahrling, P. B., Sanchez, A., Khan, A. S., Nichol, S. T., Peters, C. J.,
Parren, P. W. H. I. & Burton, D. R. (1999). Ebola Virus Can Be Effectively Neutralized by Antibody
Produced in Natural Human Infection. *Journal of Virology*, *73*(7), 6024–6030.
https://doi.org/10.1128/jvi.73.7.6024-6030.1999

Matos, P. M., Marin, M., Ahn, B., Lam, W., Santos, N. C. & Melikyan, G. B. (2013). Anionic lipids are
required for vesicular stomatitis virus G protein-mediated single particle fusion with supported
lipid bilayers. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, *288*(18), 12416–12425.
https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.m113.462028

Matsuo, H., Chevallier, J., Mayran, N., Blanc, I. L., Ferguson, C., Fauré, J., Blanc, N. S., Matile, S.,
Dubochet, J., Sadoul, R., Parton, R. G., Vilbois, F. & Gruenberg, J. (2004). Role of LBPA and Alix in

Multivesicular Liposome Formation and Endosome Organization. *Science*, *303*(5657), 531–534. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1092425

Mazzon, M. & Mercer, J. (2014). Lipid interactions during virus entry and infection. *Cellular Microbiology*, *16*(10), 1493–1502. https://doi.org/10.1111/cmi.12340

Melcrová, A., Pokorna, S., Pullanchery, S., Kohagen, M., Jurkiewicz, P., Hof, M., Jungwirth, P., Cremer, P. S. & Cwiklik, L. (2016). The complex nature of calcium cation interactions with phospholipid bilayers. *Scientific Reports*, *6*(1), 38035. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep38035

Miller, E. H., Obernosterer, G., Raaben, M., Herbert, A. S., Deffieu, M. S., Krishnan, A., Ndungo, E., Sandesara, R. G., Carette, J. E., Kuehne, A. I., Ruthel, G., Pfeffer, S. R., Dye, J. M., Whelan, S. P., Brummelkamp, T. R. & Chandran, K. (2012). Ebola virus entry requires the host-programmed recognition of an intracellular receptor. *The EMBO Journal*, *31*(8), 1947–1960. https://doi.org/10.1038/emboj.2012.53

Mukherjee, S., Dowd, K. A., Manhart, C. J., Ledgerwood, J. E., Durbin, A. P., Whitehead, S. S. & Pierson, T. C. (2014). Mechanism and significance of cell type-dependent neutralization of flaviviruses. *Journal of Virology*, *88*(13), 7210–7220. https://doi.org/10.1128/jvi.03690-13

Mulherkar, N., Raaben, M., Torre, J. C. de la, Whelan, S. P. & Chandran, K. (2011). The Ebola virus glycoprotein mediates entry via a non-classical dynamin-dependent macropinocytic pathway. *Virology*, *419*(2), 72–83. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virol.2011.08.009

Nanbo, A., Imai, M., Watanabe, S., Noda, T., Takahashi, K., Neumann, G., Halfmann, P. & Kawaoka, Y. (2010). Ebolavirus Is Internalized into Host Cells via Macropinocytosis in a Viral Glycoprotein-Dependent Manner. *PLoS Pathogens*, *6*(9), e1001121. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1001121

Nathan, L., Lai, A. L., Millet, J. K., Straus, M. R., Freed, J. H., Whittaker, G. R. & Daniel, S. (2020). Calcium Ions Directly Interact with the Ebola Virus Fusion Peptide To Promote Structure–Function Changes That Enhance Infection. *ACS Infectious Diseases*, *6*(2), 250–260. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsinfecdis.9b00296

Nikić, I., Girona, G. E., Kang, J. H., Paci, G., Mikhaleva, S., Koehler, C., Shymanska, N. V., Santos, C. V., Spitz, D. & Lemke, E. A. (2016). Debugging Eukaryotic Genetic Code Expansion for Site-Specific Click-PAINT Super-Resolution Microscopy. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, *55*(52), 16172–16176. https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201608284

Nour, A. M., Li, Y., Wolenski, J. & Modis, Y. (2013). Viral Membrane Fusion and Nucleocapsid Delivery into the Cytoplasm are Distinct Events in Some Flaviviruses. *PLoS Pathogens*, *9*(9), e1003585. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1003585

Olsson, M. H. M., Søndergaard, C. R., Rostkowski, M. & Jensen, J. H. (2011). PROPKA3: Consistent Treatment of Internal and Surface Residues in Empirical pKa Predictions. *Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation*, *7*(2), 525–537. https://doi.org/10.1021/ct100578z

Ou, W., Delisle, J., Konduru, K., Bradfute, S., Radoshitzky, S. R., Retterer, C., Kota, K., Bavari, S., Kuhn, J. H., Jahrling, P. B., Kaplan, G. & Wilson, C. A. (2010). Development and characterization of rabbit and mouse antibodies against ebolavirus envelope glycoproteins. *Journal of Virological Methods*, *174*(1–2), 99–109. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jviromet.2011.04.003

Qin, F., Auerbach, A. & Sachs, F. (2000). A Direct Optimization Approach to Hidden Markov Modeling
for Single Channel Kinetics. *Biophysical Journal*, *79*(4), 1915–1927.
https://doi.org/10.1016/s0006-3495(00)76441-1

Rhoades, E., Ramlall, T. F., Webb, W. W. & Eliezer, D. (2006). Quantification of α-Synuclein Binding to
Lipid Vesicles Using Fluorescence Correlation Spectroscopy. *Biophysical Journal*, *90*(12), 4692–
4700. https://doi.org/10.1529/biophysj.105.079251

Saeed, M. F., Kolokoltsov, A. A., Albrecht, T. & Davey, R. A. (2010). Cellular Entry of Ebola Virus
Involves Uptake by a Macropinocytosis-Like Mechanism and Subsequent Trafficking through Early
and Late Endosomes. *PLoS Pathogens*, *6*(9), e1001110.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1001110

Sakurai, Y., Kolokoltsov, A. A., Chen, C.-C., Tidwell, M. W., Bauta, W. E., Klugbauer, N., Grimm, C.,
Wahl-Schott, C., Biel, M. & Davey, R. A. (2015). Two-pore channels control Ebola virus host cell
entry and are drug targets for disease treatment. *Science*, *347*(6225), 995–998.
https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1258758

Salata, C., Calistri, A., Alvisi, G., Celestino, M., Parolin, C. & Palù, G. (2019). Ebola Virus Entry: From
Molecular Characterization to Drug Discovery. *Viruses*, *11*(3), 274.
https://doi.org/10.3390/v11030274

Schwarz, G. (1978). Estimating the Dimension of a Model. *The Annals of Statistics*, *6*(2).
https://doi.org/10.1214/aos/1176344136

Shi, L., Quick, M., Zhao, Y., Weinstein, H. & Javitch, J. A. (2008). The Mechanism of a
Neurotransmitter:Sodium Symporter—Inward Release of Na+ and Substrate Is Triggered by
Substrate in a Second Binding Site. *Molecular Cell*, *30*(6), 667–677.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2008.05.008

Simmons, J. A., D'Souza, R. S., Ruas, M., Galione, A., Casanova, J. E. & White, J. M. (2016). Ebolavirus
Glycoprotein Directs Fusion through NPC1 + Endolysosomes. *Journal of Virology*, *90*(1), 605–610.
https://doi.org/10.1128/jvi.01828-15

Singh, P., Mukherji, S., Basak, S., Hoffmann, M. & Das, D. K. (2022). Dynamic Ca2+ sensitivity
stimulates the evolved SARS-CoV-2 spike strain-mediated membrane fusion for enhanced entry.
Cell Reports, *39*(3), 110694. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2022.110694

Søndergaard, C. R., Olsson, M. H. M., Rostkowski, M. & Jensen, J. H. (2011). Improved Treatment of
Ligands and Coupling Effects in Empirical Calculation and Rationalization of pKa Values. *Journal of*
Chemical Theory and Computation, *7*(7), 2284–2295. https://doi.org/10.1021/ct200133y

Spence, J. S., Krause, T. B., Mittler, E., Jangra, R. K. & Chandran, K. (2016). Direct Visualization of
Ebola Virus Fusion Triggering in the Endocytic Pathway. *MBio*, *7*(1), e01857-15.
https://doi.org/10.1128/mbio.01857-15

Straus, M. R., Tang, T., Lai, A. L., Flegel, A., Bidon, M., Freed, J. H., Daniel, S. & Whittaker, G. R.
(2020). Ca 2+ Ions Promote Fusion of Middle East Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus with Host
Cells and Increase Infectivity. *Journal of Virology*, *94*(13). https://doi.org/10.1128/jvi.00426-20

Urade, R., Hayashi, Y. & Kito, M. (1988). Endosomes differ from plasma membranes in the
phospholipid molecular species composition. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) -*
Biomembranes, *946*(1), 151–163. https://doi.org/10.1016/0005-2736(88)90468-3

Whitt, M. A. (2010). Generation of VSV pseudotypes using recombinant ΔG-VSV for studies on virus
entry, identification of entry inhibitors, and immune responses to vaccines. *Journal of Virological*
Methods, *169*(2), 365–374. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jviromet.2010.08.006

Zaitseva, E., Yang, S.-T., Melikov, K., Pourmal, S. & Chernomordik, L. V. (2010). Dengue Virus Ensures
Its Fusion in Late Endosomes Using Compartment-Specific Lipids. *PLoS Pathogens*, *6*(10),
e1001131. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1001131

Zhao, Y., Ren, J., Harlos, K., Jones, D. M., Zeltina, A., Bowden, T. A., Padilla-Parra, S., Fry, E. E. &
Stuart, D. I. (2016). Toremifene interacts with and destabilizes the Ebola virus glycoprotein.
Nature, *535*(7610), 169–172. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature18615

Figure 1

Figure 1: FCS reports on GP-membrane interaction. (**A**) Experimental setup of FCS assay for quantifying GP-membrane interactions. A confocal spot is positioned in a dilute solution of Cy5-labelled GP^{CL} with or without liposomes. Fluorescent particles are detected upon diffusion through the confocal volume. (**B**) Autocorrelation data obtained from unbound Cy5-labelled GP^{CL}. The autocorrelation of individual 5-sec fluorescence intensity traces was calculated (grey curves). The average of 100 autocorrelation curves was calculated (blue) and fitted to a model for a single species diffusing in 3 dimensions with a photophysical dynamics component (red). Accordingly, GP^{CL} has a diffusion time of τ_D = 0.308 ± 0.007 ms. Photophysical dynamics were observed below 10^{-2} ms. (**C**) Autocorrelation curves comparing diffusion times of GP^{CL} (blue) and liposomes (yellow). The diffusion time of liposomes is 2.6 ± 0.2 ms, which is 8-fold longer than GP^{CL}, allowing for identification of membrane-bound and unbound GP^{CL} in solution.

Figure 2

Figure 2: Anionic phospholipids and Ca^{2+} promote GP^{CL}-membrane interaction. The percentage of GP^{CL} bound to liposomes was determined using the FCS assay depicted in **Figure 1A**. Autocorrelation data were obtained from a solution of Cy5-labelled GP^{CL} and unlabelled liposomes and fit to a 3-dimensional diffusion for two species, membrane-bound and unbound GP^{CL} with diffusion times of 0.3 ms and 2.6 ms, respectively (Materials and Methods). Membrane binding to liposomes of varying lipid compositions was measured at pH 5.5 as a function of Ca^{2+} concentration: PC:Ch (black circles), PC:PS:Ch (grey circles) and PC:PS:BMP:Ch (orange circles). Data points reflect the mean ± standard error determined from three sets of measurements.

Figure 3

Figure 3: Conserved histidines and acidic residues mediate GP-membrane interaction. Membrane

binding of (**A**) GPΔmuc, (**B**) GP^{CL} to PC:PS:BMP:Ch liposomes at neutral and acidic pH in the presence

or absence of 1 mM Ca^{2+} is compared with the following mutants: (**C**) H139A, (**D**) H154A, (**E**) H197A,

(**F**) H516A, (**G**) H549A, and (**H**) D522A, and (**I**) E540A, respectively. To ensure sensitivity to changes in

the percentage of membrane binding of mutant GP^{CL}, a total lipid concentration of 500 µM was used

such that a maximum of 50% wild-type GP^{CL} bound to liposomes at low pH in the presence of Ca^{2+}. The

mean and standard error were calculated from three independent experiments.

Figure 4

Figure 4: Acidic pH, Ca²⁺ and target membrane regulate GP conformational dynamics. (**A**) Experimental setup for smFRET imaging of pseudovirions labelled with the Cy3 and Cy5 FRET pair. Labelled pseudovirions were immobilized on a PEG-coated quartz microscope slide via a biotin-streptavidin covalent linkage and imaged using prism-based TIRF microscopy. (**B**) Fluorophore attachment positions (red and green circles; residues 501 and 610 in GP2) indicated on a GP protomer (PDB: 5JQ3). The indicated distance of 30 Å was previously determined through MD simulation of the fluorophore-labelled trimer (Das et al., 2020). (**C**) Representative FRET trajectories (blue) of GP^{CL} overlaid with idealization (red) determined through HMM analysis. The trajectory acquired at pH 7.5 (top) shows transitions between the pre-fusion conformation (0.92 FRET), two intermediate-FRET states (0.72 and 0.49), and a low-FRET state (0.24 FRET). In contrast, the FRET trajectory acquired in the presence of liposomes at pH 5.5 and 1 mM Ca²⁺ shows predominantly low FRET indicating a conformation stabilized by the presence of a target membrane. (**D**) Pre-fusion high-FRET state (0.92 FRET) occupancy of GP^{CL} determined under the indicated conditions through HMM analysis. The mean and standard error were calculated from three independent experiments. TDPs displaying the relative frequency of transitions of GP^{CL} at (**E**) pH 7.5, (**F**) pH 5.5, (**G**) pH 5.5 with 1 mM Ca²⁺, and (**H**) after incubation with PC:PS:BMP:Ch liposomes for 20 min at 37°C, at pH 5.5 and 1 mM Ca²⁺. Transitions into and out of high- and low-FRET states are depicted in (E) and (F), respectively.

Figure 5

Figure 5: Conformational dynamics of GP histidine mutants. (**A**) Pre-fusion high-FRET state occupancy of GP mutants, determined at pH 7.5 (grey bars) and pH 5.5 (orange bars). The mean and standard error were calculated from three independent experiments. TDPs indicating the relative frequency of conformational transitions at pH 7.5 and pH 5.5, as indicated, for (**B**) H139A, (**C**) H154A, (**D**) H197A, (**E**) H516A, and (**F**) H549A.

Figure 6

Figure 6: Conformational dynamics of GP with mutations in putative Ca^{2+}-coordinating residues. (A) Pre-fusion high-FRET state occupancy of GP mutants, determined at pH 7.5 (grey bars), pH 5.5 (orange bars), and pH 5.5 with 1 mM Ca^{2+} (orange striped bars). The mean and standard error were calculated from three independent experiments. TDPs indicating the relative frequency of conformational transitions determined under the indicated conditions for (**B**) D522A and (**C**) E540A.

Figure 7

Figure 7: MD simulation provides molecular insights into pH-induced destabilisation of pre-fusion GP. (**A**) The simulation predicted electrostatic interactions between the side chains of H154 and E178, as well as between the backbones of H154 and Y534 in the fusion loop of the neighbouring protomer (red dotted lines). (**B**) The distances between the backbone N of H154 and the backbone carbonyl O of Y534 for each of the three protomers in the GP trimer (three shades of blue) determined from the simulation with (top) deprotonated histidines and (bottom) protonated histidines. Protonation of H154 increases the relative motion of H154 and Y534 as a result of stabilized H154-E178 interaction. (**C**) The simulation also predicts Pi-Pi stacking interaction between H516 in GP2 and W104 in GP1 with both (blue residues) deprotonated and (grey residues) protonated H516. (**D**) Distance trajectories indicating reduced H516-W104 distance under (top) deprotonated and (bottom) protonated conditions for the three protomers in the trimer (three shades of blue). Protonation of H516 (grey residues in (C)) stabilizes the interaction, drawing the two side chains into closer proximity. (**E**) Bivariate histogram of H516-W104 distances and H516 side-chain dihedral angles under (left) deprotonated and (right) protonated conditions. Fewer H516 conformations are available following protonation.

Figure 8

Figure 8: GP occupancy in the pre-fusion high-FRET conformation is inversely correlated with the **extent of membrane binding.** High-FRET state occupancy was determined through HMM analysis of smFRET trajectories. Membrane binding was determined by FCS. The non-parametric Spearman's correlation coefficient (r_S) and associated p-value are indicated. Data for D522A and E540A (grey points) does not correlate with membrane binding and were excluded from the analysis.