Title: The scheduling of adolescence with Netrin-1 and UNC5C

Authors

Daniel Hoops[1,2], Robert F. Kyne[3], Samer Salameh[2,4], Del MacGowan[2,4], Radu G. Avramescu[1,2],

Elise Ewing[2,4], Alina T. He[2,4], Taylor Orsini[2,4], Anais Durand[2,4], Christina Popescu[2,4], Janet M.

Zhao[2,4], Kelcie C. Schatz[5], LiPing Li[5], Quinn E. Carroll[5], Guofa Liu[6], Matthew J. Paul[3,5 #], Cecilia

Flores[1,2,7,8,# *]

Affiliations

1 Department of Psychiatry, McGill University, Montréal, Quebec, Canada

2 Douglas Mental Health University Institute, Montréal, Quebec, Canada

3 Neuroscience Program, University at Buffalo, SUNY, New York, USA

4 Integrated Program in Neuroscience, McGill University, Montréal, QC, Canada

5 Department of Psychology, University at Buffalo, SUNY, New York, USA

6 Department of Biological Sciences, University of Toledo, Ohio, USA

7 Department of Neurology and Neurosurgery, McGill University, Montréal, Quebec, Canada

8 Ludmer Centre for Neuroinformatics & Mental Health, McGill University, Montréal, Quebec,
Canada

Co-senior authors

* Corresponding author C. Flores: cecilia.flores@mcgill.ca

Keywords

dopamine, prefrontal cortex, inhibitory control, axon guidance, puberty, sex differences

Abstract

Dopamine axons are the only axons known to grow during adolescence. Here, using rodent

models, we examined how two proteins, Netrin-1 and its receptor, UNC5C, guide dopamine

axons towards the prefrontal cortex and shape behaviour. We demonstrate in mice (*Mus*

musculus) that dopamine axons reach the cortex through a transient gradient of Netrin-1

expressing cells – disrupting this gradient reroutes axons away from their target. Using a

seasonal model (Siberian hamsters; *Phodopus sungorus*) we find that mesocortical dopamine

development can be regulated by a natural environmental cue (daylength) in a sexually

dimorphic manner – delayed in males, but advanced in females. The timings of dopamine axon

growth and UNC5C expression are always phase-locked. Adolescence is an ill-defined,

transitional period; we pinpoint neurodevelopmental markers underlying this period.

Introduction

Adolescence is a critical developmental period involving dramatic changes in behaviour and

brain anatomy. The prefrontal cortex, the brain region responsible for our most complex

cognitive functions, is still establishing connections during this time (Gogtay et al., 2004;

Petanjek et al., 2011; Sowell et al., 2004). The trajectory of prefrontal cortex development in

adolescence determines the vulnerability or resilience of individuals to adolescent-onset

psychiatric diseases (Fuhrmann et al., 2015; Keshavan et al., 2014; Kessler et al., 2007, 2005;

Lee et al., 2014). The age at which this adolescent development occurs therefore represents a

critical window during which the brain is particularly susceptible to environmental influences.

Traditionally, the onset of adolescence is thought to coincide with puberty (Hollenstein and

Lougheed, 2013). In humans, the age of pubertal onset has been advancing throughout the

19th, 20th and 21st centuries, and environmental influences, such as nutrition, can pathologically

alter the age of puberty (Wolf and Long, 2016). However, it remains entirely unknown whether

the neural and cognitive maturational processes of adolescence can also be plastic. Here we

examine how the timing of certain adolescent developmental processes are programmed, and

whether this timing can be plastic in response to a natural environmental cue, in parallel with

pubertal plasticity.

Dopamine innervation to the prefrontal cortex increases substantially across adolescence, and

psychopathologies of adolescent origin prominently feature dopamine dysfunction. Evidence

continues to emerge that protracted dopamine innervation is a key neural process underlying

the cognitive and behavioural changes that characterize adolescence (Larsen and Luna, 2018).

The mesocorticolimbic dopamine system – which includes the prefrontal cortex – is unique

because not only are connections being formed and lost during adolescence, but there is also

long-distance displacement of dopamine axons between brain regions. At the onset of

adolescence, both mesolimbic and mesocortical dopamine axons innervate the nucleus

accumbens in rodents, but the mesocortical axons leave the accumbens and grow towards the

prefrontal cortex during adolescence and early adulthood (Hoops et al., 2018; Reynolds et al.,

2018a). This is the only known case of axons growing from one brain region to another so late

during development (Hoops and Flores, 2017).

The prolonged growth trajectory renders mesocortical dopamine axons particularly vulnerable

to disruption. Environmental insults during adolescence (e.g. drug abuse) alter the extent and

organization of dopamine innervation in the prefrontal cortex, leading to behavioural and

cognitive changes in mice throughout adulthood (Drzewiecki and Juraska, 2020; Hoops and

Flores, 2017; Reynolds and Flores, 2021). These changes often involve cognitive control, a

prefrontal function that develops in parallel with dopamine innervation to the cortex in

adolescence (Luna et al., 2015). Disruption of dopamine innervation frequently seems to result

in "immature" cognitive control persisting through adulthood (Larsen and Luna, 2018).

Here, we examine the guidance of growing dopamine axons to the prefrontal cortex, and its

timing. The guidance cue molecule Netrin-1, upon interacting with its receptor DCC, determines

which dopamine axons establish connections in the nucleus accumbens and which ones leave

this region to grow to the prefrontal cortex (Hoops and Flores, 2017; Reynolds and Flores,

2021). We hypothesized that the answers to *how* and *when* this extraordinary developmental

feat is achieved may also lie in the Netrin-1 signalling system.

Part 1: Netrin-1 "paves the way" for dopamine axons in adolescence

To identify the route by which dopamine axons grow from the nucleus accumbens to the

medial prefrontal cortex, we visualized dopamine axons in the adult mouse forebrain. We

observed that dopamine axons medial to the nucleus accumbens occupy a distinct area and are

oriented dorsally towards the cortex (Figure 1A,B). Individual fibres can be seen crossing the

boundary of the nucleus accumbens shell and joining these dorsally-oriented axons (Figure 1C).

We hypothesized that these are the fibres that grow to the prefrontal cortex during

adolescence. If this is correct, the number of dopamine axons oriented dorsally towards the

medial prefrontal cortex should continue to increase until adulthood. To test this, we used a

modified unbiased stereological approach (Kim et al., 2011) where axons are counted only if

they crossed the upper and lower bounds of a counting probe. We also measured the average

width of the area these axons occupy. We found, in both male and female mice, that the

density of dopamine axons does not change between adolescence (21 days old) and adulthood

(75 days old; Figure 1D). However, the width of the area that dopamine axons occupy does

change, increasing between adolescence and adulthood (Figure 1E). These results indicate that

the total number of dopamine axons passing through this area increases over adolescence and

that dopamine axons grow to the medial prefrontal cortex via this route.

Figure 1. A "pathway" of Netrin-1 expressing cells "paves the way" for dopamine axons growing from the nucleus

accumbens to the medial prefrontal cortex during adolescence. **A,** The brain regions containing the of dopamine

fibres passing to the medial prefrontal cortex are highlighted in a line drawing of a coronal mouse brain section

derived from Paxinos and Franklin (Paxinos and Franklin, 2013). **B,** An image of a coronal section through the

forebrain of an adult mouse at low magnification (4x). Green fluorescence indicates immunostaining for tyrosine

hydroxylase (TH), used here as a marker for dopamine. The smaller and larger white squares indicate the regions

enlarged in panel C and panels F & G, respectively. Scale bar = 500 µm. **C,** The nucleus accumbens (left of the

dotted line) is densely packed with TH+ axons (in green). Some of these TH+ axons can be observed extending from

the nucleus accumbens medially towards TH+ fibres oriented dorsally towards the medial prefrontal cortex (white

arrows). Scale bar = 10 µm. **D,** Modified stereological quantification revealed no significant difference in TH+ axon

density between adolescence (21 days old) and adulthood (75 days old). Mixed-effects ANOVA, effect of age:

F=1.53, p=0.22; region by age interaction: F=1.44, p=0.49. **E,** The average width of the area that dopamine axons

occupy increased significantly from adolescence to adulthood, revealing that there is an increase in the total

number of fibres passing to the medial prefrontal cortex during this period. Mixed-effects ANOVA, effect of age:

F=9.45, p=0.0021; region by age interaction: F=5.74, p=0.057. **F,** In order to quantify the Netrin-1 positive cells

along the TH+ fibre pathway, the pathway was contoured in each region, and a contour of equal area was placed

medial to the dopamine pathway as a negative control. Scale bar = 200 µm. **G,** Using quantitative stereology,

Netrin-1 positive cell density was determined along and adjacent to the pathway for each region. Red fluorescence

indicates immunostaining for Netrin-1. **H,** In adolescent mice there are more Netrin-1 positive cells along the fibres

expressing TH ("TH+") than medial to them ("TH-"). This is what we refer to as the "Netrin-1 pathway". Along the

pathway, there is a significant increase in Netrin-1 positive cell density in regions closer to the medial prefrontal

cortex, the innervation target. Mixed-effects ANOVA, effect of TH: F=105, p<0.0001. Effect of region: F=9.74,

p=0.021. A post-hoc Tukey Test revealed a difference (p = 0.029) between the densities of the lateral septum and

infralimbic cortex, but only within the dopamine pathway. **I,** In adult mice the Netrin-1 pathway is maintained,

however there is no longer an increasing density of Netrin-1 positive cells towards the medial prefrontal cortex.

Mixed-effects ANOVA, effect of TH: F=54.56, p<0.0001. Effect of region: F=1.22, p=0.75. **J,** The virus injection

location within the mouse brain. A Netrin-1 knockdown virus, or a control virus, was injected into the dopamine

pathway at the level of the dorsal peduncular cortex. **K,** Our experimental timeline: at the onset of adolescence a

Netrin-1 knockdown virus, or a control virus, was injected in wild-type mice. In adulthood the mice were sacrificed

and stereological measurements taken. **L,** TH+ varicosity density was quantified in the region below the injection

site, the lateral septum, and in the region above the injection site, the infralimbic cortex. There was a significant

decrease in TH+ varicosity density only in the infralimbic cortex. Mixed-effects ANOVA, virus by region interaction:

F=16.41, p<0.0001. **M,** The experimental set-up of the final (test) stage of the Go/No-Go experiment. A mouse that

has previously learned to nose-poke for a reward in response to a visual cue (illuminated nose-poke hole) must

now inhibit this behaviour when the visual cue is paired with an auditory cue (acoustic tone). **N,** Mice injected with

the Netrin-1 knockdown virus show improved action impulsivity compared to controls; they incur significantly

fewer commission errors across the Go/No-Go task. Mixed-effects ANOVA, effect of day: F=68.32, p<0.0001. Day

by virus interaction: F=9.00, p=0.0027. A sigmoidal curve is fit to each group of mice to determine how the two

groups differ. Points indicate group means and error bars show standard error means. **O,** During the first days of

Go/No-Go testing, both groups incur commission errors with high frequency, but the Netrin-1 knockdown group

has fewer errors than the control group (T-test, t=5.18, p<0.0001). **P,** The ED50 – the inflection point in each

sigmoidal curve – does not differ between groups, indicating that all mice improve their ability to inhibit their

behavior at around the same time (T-test, t=0.97, p=0.35). **Q,** Mice microinfused with the Netrin-1 knockdown

virus incur substantially fewer commission errors in the last days of the Go/No-Go task compared to mice injected

with the control virus (T-test, t=12.38, p<0.0001). For all barplots, bars indicate group means and error bars show

standard error means.

Next, we focussed on Netrin-1, a secreted protein that acts as a guidance cue to growing axons

and is important for dopamine axon targeting in the nucleus accumbens in adolescence (Cuesta

et al., 2020). Using unbiased stereology, we quantified the number of Netrin-1 expressing cell

bodies along the dopamine axon route, and in an adjacent medial region as a control (Figure 1

F, G). We found that in adolescence there are more Netrin-1 positive neurons within the

dopamine axon route than adjacent to it. Furthermore, along the axon route the density of

Netrin-1 positive cells increases towards the medial prefrontal cortex, forming a dorsoventral

gradient (Figure 1H). In adulthood, there remains a higher density of Netrin-1 positive cells

along the dopamine route compared to the adjacent region, however the dorsoventral gradient

is no longer present (Figure 1I).

To determine if Netrin-1 along the dopamine axon route is necessary for axon navigation, we

silenced Netrin-1 expression in the dorsal peduncular cortex, the transition region between the

septum and the medial prefrontal cortex, at the onset of adolescence (Figure 1J,K). In

adulthood, we quantified the number of dopamine axon terminals in the regions below and

above the injection site. Silencing Netrin-1 did not alter dopamine terminal density below the

injection, in the lateral septum (Figure 1L). In the infralimbic cortex, which is the first prefrontal

cortical region the axons reach after the injection site, terminal density was reduced in the

Netrin-1 knock-down group compared to controls (Figure 1L). The knock-down appears to erase

the Netrin-1 path to the prefrontal cortex, resulting in dopamine axons failing to reach their

correct innervation target.

It remains unknown exactly what types of cells are expressing Netrin-1 along the dopamine

axon route, and how this expression is regulated to produce the Netrin-1 gradients that guide

the dopamine axons. It also remains unclear where the misrouted axons end up in adulthood.

Future experiments aimed at addressing these questions will provide further valuable insight

into the nature of the "Netrin-1 pathway". Nonetheless, our results allow us to conclude that

Netrin-1 expressing cells "pave the way" for dopamine axons growing to the medial prefrontal

cortex.

We next examined how the Netrin-1 pathway may be important for behaviour. Dopamine input

to the prefrontal cortex is a key factor in the transition from juvenile to adult behaviours that

occurs in adolescence. We hypothesized that cognitive processes involving mesocortical

dopamine function would be altered when these axons are misrouted in adolescence. To test

our hypothesis, we used the Go/No-Go behavioural paradigm. This test quantifies inhibitory

control, which matures in parallel with the innervation of dopamine axons to the prefrontal

cortex in adolescence (Casey et al., 2008; Klune et al., 2021; Luna et al., 2015; Paus, 2005;

Reynolds and Flores, 2021; Spear, 2000), and it is impaired in adolescent-onset disorders like

depression and schizophrenia (Catts et al., 2013; Clementz et al., 2016; McTeague et al., 2016;

Millan et al., 2012).

At the onset of adolescence, we injected the Netrin-1 silencing, or a scrambled control virus,

bilaterally into the dorsal peduncular cortex; in adulthood we tested the mice in the Go/No-Go

task. This paradigm first involves discrimination learning and reaction time training

(Supplementary Figure 1a), followed by a Go/No-Go test consisting of "Go" trials where mice

respond to a cue as previously trained and "No-Go" trials where mice must abstain from

responding to the cue (Figure 1M). Correct responses to both trial types are reinforced with a

food reward. We quantified the percent of "No-Go" trials where the mice incorrectly responded

to the cue ("Commission Errors") and the percent of "Go" trials where the mice correctly

responded ("Rewards" or "Hits"; Supplementary Figure 1b). The ability of mice to respond

correctly overall to both trial types is quantified as the Correct Response Rate (Supplementary

Figure 1c) (Cuesta et al., 2019; Reynolds et al., 2018a, 2018b; Vassilev et al., 2021).

Mice injected with the Netrin-1 silencing virus differed from controls in their performance

during "No-Go" trials. As the mice learn to withhold their responses over the course of the test,

the number of commission errors they made in No-Go trials decreased in a sigmoidal fashion

(Figure 1N). The upper and lower asymptotes of the sigmoidal curve quantify the number of

commission errors committed during early and late test days, respectively, while the inflection

point (ED50) indicates when mice start improving their ability to inhibit their behavior. At the

start of the Go/No part of the task, the Netrin-1 silencing group make slightly fewer commission

errors (Figure 1O) than control groups, although both groups begin to improve in the No-Go

task at around the same time. However, the Netrin-1 silencing group achieved a substantially

higher level of behavioural inhibition, quantified as a lower percentage of commission errors in

the last test days (Figure 1Q), indicating an improved ability to withhold their behaviour on cue.

These behavioural results demonstrate that the maturation of action impulsivity is sensitive to

the organization of the ventro-dorsal Netrin-1 path that guides mesocortical dopamine axon

growth. Deviations in this route associate with striking changes in the cognitive development

that is characteristic of adolescence. In this case, the deviation leads to improved action

impulsivity, suggesting that these dopamine axons may end up ectopically innervating a

forebrain region other than the medial prefrontal cortex, enhancing cognitive control.

Part 2: UNC5C expression coincides with the onset of adolescence

When axons leave the nucleus accumbens during adolescence, they follow a Netrin-1 "path"

through intermediate brain regions to reach their intended innervation target. However, only a

small subset of the dopamine axons that have reached the nucleus accumbens by early

adolescence leave; the vast majority stay and form connections in the accumbens throughout

life (Reynolds et al., 2018a). The "decision making" process of whether to "stay" (in the

accumbens) or "go" (to the cortex via the Netrin-1 path) happens during a narrow

developmental window at the onset of adolescence (Reynolds et al., 2018b). It remains

unknown how the timing of this process is determined.

Figure 2 The age of onset of UNC5C expression by dopamine axons in the nucleus accumbens of mice is sexually

dimorphic. Images are representative of observed immunofluorescence patterns in the nucleus accumbens

(approx. location highlighted as a white square in the coronal mouse brain section Plate 19, modified from Paxinos

& Franklin, 2013). No qualitative differences were noted between the shell and core of the nucleus accumbens. For

each row, six individuals were sampled. In males (**A-B**), UNC5C expression on dopamine fibres (here identified by

immunofluorescent staining for tyrosine hydroxylase, TH) in the nucleus accumbens appears during adolescence.

A, At the onset of adolescence (21 days old) dopamine fibres do not express UNC5C. Scale bar = 10 μm. **B,** By

adulthood (90 days old), dopamine fibres express UNC5C. In females (**C-E**), UNC5C expression on dopamine fibres

in the nucleus accumbens appears prior to adolescence. **C,** In juvenile (15 day old) mice, there is no UNC5C

expression on dopamine fibres. **D,** By adolescence, dopamine fibres express UNC5C. **E,** In adulthood, dopamine

fibres continue to express UNC5C.

In adolescence, dopamine neurons begin to express the repulsive Netrin-1 receptor UNC5C,

particularly when mesolimbic and mesocortical dopamine projections segregate in the nucleus

accumbens (Manitt et al., 2010; Reynolds et al., 2018a). In contrast, dopamine axons in the

prefrontal cortex do not express UNC5c, except in very rare cases (Supplementary Figure 2a). In

adult male mice with *Unc5c* haploinsufficiency, there appears to be ectopic growth of

mesolimbic dopamine axons to the prefrontal cortex (Auger et al., 2013). This miswiring is

associated with alterations in prefrontal cortex-dependent behaviours (Auger et al., 2013).

Using immunohistochemistry, we assessed the expression of UNC5C on nucleus accumbens

dopamine axons across development. In male mice, we found little expression of UNC5C on

dopamine axons at the onset of adolescence (Figure 2A), while we did find UNC5C expression

on dopamine axons in adults (Figure 2B). Remarkably, when we assessed this in females, we

found dopamine axons already expressing UNC5C in the nucleus accumbens at the onset of

adolescence (Figure 2D), similar to adult females (figure 2E), indicating that the onset of UNC5C

expression on dopamine axons in the nucleus accumbens is sexually dimorphic, with an earlier

emergence in females. We examined the nucleus accumbens in pre-adolescent female mice

and indeed found little UNC5C expression on dopamine axons (Figure 2C). The onset of UNC5C

expression in mesocorticolimbic dopamine axons is therefore peri-adolescent but occurs earlier

in females than in males, consistent with the earlier emergence of adolescence in female

rodents and the earlier onset of adolescence and puberty in humans (Wolf and Long, 2016).

Differences in the precise timing of dopamine innervation to the PFC in adolescence have been

suggested by findings reported in male and female rats (Willing et al., 2017)".

Part 3: Environmental control of the timing of adolescence

We hypothesize that at the emergence of adolescence, UNC5C expression by dopamine axons

in the nucleus accumbens signals the initiation of the growth of dopamine axons to the

prefrontal cortex. We therefore examined whether the developmental timings of UNC5C

expression and dopamine innervation of the prefrontal cortex are similarly affected by an

environmental cue known to delay pubertal development in seasonal species.

Siberian hamsters (*Phodopus sungorus*) regulate many aspects of their behavior and physiology

to meet the changing environmental demands of seasonality (Paul et al., 2008; Stevenson et al.,

2017). In winter, they increase the thickness of their fur, exchange their brown summer coats

for white winter ones, and undergo a daily torpor to conserve energy (Scherbarth and

Steinlechner, 2010). In addition, adults suppress reproduction and juveniles delay puberty

(Pevet, 1988; Yellon and Goldman, 1984), including developmental changes in gonadotropin

releasing hormone neurons in the hypothalamus (Buchanan and Yellon, 1991; Heywood and

Yellon, 1997). Reproductive organ development is delayed as part of pubertal postponement

(Darrow et al., 1980; Ebling, 1994; Timonin et al., 2006). This seasonal plasticity is regulated by

long or short periods of daylight (Heldmaier and Steinlechner, 1981; Hoffmann, 1978) and

raises the possibility that aspects of adolescent development are sensitive to these

environmental cues. To our knowledge, adaptive variation in the timing of adolescent neural

development has never been recorded in any animal.

Here, we tested whether day length regulates *when* dopamine axons grow to the cortex, and

whether the timing of UNC5C expression in the nucleus accumbens and adolescent changes in

behavior are similarly affected.

3.i The seasonality of adolescence

Male hamsters were examined at three ages: 15 days old (±1), 80 days old (±10), and 215 days

old (±20). We compared the density of the dopamine innervation to the medial prefrontal

cortex in male hamsters housed under lighting conditions that replicate summer daylengths

(long days, short nights) or winter daylengths (short days, long nights) (Figure 3A,B). We will

refer to these two groups as "summer hamsters" and "winter hamsters" to emphasize the

natural stimulus we are replicating in the laboratory environment. We confirmed that puberty

is delayed in male winter hamsters compared to summer hamsters in the present experiment

by measuring their gonadal weights across ages (Supplementary Figure 3a).

In male summer hamsters, dopamine input density to the prefrontal cortex increases during

adolescence, after 15 days old and before 80 days old (Figure 3C), consistent with dopamine

axon growth in mice (Manitt et al., 2013, 2011; Reynolds et al., 2018a). Prefrontal cortex

dopamine innervation in summer hamsters continues to increase after 80 days old (Figure 3C).

In male winter hamsters, dopamine innervation to the prefrontal cortex is delayed until after 80

days, which coincides with their delayed pubertal onset (Figure 3D, Supplementary Figure 3a).

This demonstrates that an environmental cue can determine the timing of adolescent brain

development.

Figure 3 Plasticity of adolescent development in male Siberian hamsters according to seasonal phenotype. All

results illustrated in this figure refer to results in male hamsters. **A,** Dopamine innervation was quantified in three

subregions of the medial prefrontal cortex, highlighted in blue. UNC5C expression was examined in the nucleus

accumbens, highlighted in red. Line drawing of a coronal section of the mouse brain was derived from Plate 19 of

Paxinos and Franklin (Paxinos and Franklin, 2013). **B,** Hamsters were housed under either summer-mimicking long

days and short nights ("summer hamsters") or winter-mimicking short days and long nights ("winter hamsters"). **C,**

In male hamsters housed under a summer-mimicking daylength there is an increase in dopamine varicosity density

in the medial prefrontal cortex between 15 and 80 days old. Mixed-effects ANOVA, effect of age: F=9.6,

p=0.000255. Tukey Test, 15-80 days old (do): p=0.026; 80-215do: p<0.0001; 15-215do: p<0.0001. **D,** In male

hamsters housed under a winter-mimicking daylength there is no increase in dopamine varicosity density until

hamsters have reached 215 days of age. Mixed-effects ANOVA, effect of age: F=4.17, p=0.0205. Tukey Test, 15-

80do: p=0.54; 80-215do: p=0.0006; 15-215do: p=0.0085. **E,** At 15 days old, dopamine axons (here identified by

immunofluorescent staining for tyrosine hydroxylase, TH) in the nucleus accumbens of male summer-daylength

hamsters largely do not express UNC5C. Scale bar = 20um (bottom right). **F-G,** At 80 (**F**) and 215 (**G**) days old,

dopamine axons in the nucleus accumbens express UNC5C. **H-I,** At 15 (**H**) and 80 (**I**) days old, dopamine axons in

the nucleus accumbens of male winter hamsters largely do not express UNC5C. **J,** By 215 days old there is UNC5C

expression in dopamine axons in the nucleus accumbens of male winter hamsters. **E-J**, Representative images of

the nucleus accumbens shell, 6 individuals were examined per group. **K,** Male hamsters house under a summer-

mimicking daylength show an adolescent peak in risk taking in the light/dark box apparatus. Those raised under a

winter-mimicking photoperiod show a steady increase in risk taking over the same age range. Arrows indicate the

ages at which risk taking peaks in summer (orange) and winter (blue) hamsters. Polynomial regression, effect of

season: F=3.551, p=0.00056. **L,** In male hamsters, at 215 days of age, there is no difference in risk taking between

hamsters raised under summer and winter photoperiods. T-test, effect of season: t=0.975, p=0.341. For all

barplots, bars indicate group means and error bars show standard error means.

We then examined UNC5C expression by dopamine axons in the nucleus accumbens in male

summer and winter hamsters across age classes. UNC5C expression was apparent only after the

onset of adolescence in summer hamsters (Figure 3E,F,G), as observed in male mice. However,

UNC5C expression was delayed in male winter hamsters – this group did not show UNC5C

expression in dopamine axons in the nucleus accumbens until after 80 days old (Figure 3H,I,J).

This aligns with the delayed timing of mesocortical dopamine axon growth and pubertal onset

in male winter hamsters and demonstrates that the emergence of UNC5C is a marker of

adolescent onset in male mice.

A behavioural characteristic of adolescence is increased willingness to enter a novel

environment, a behaviour that assumes an increased amount of risk (Arrant et al., 2013; Lynn

and Brown, 2009). To measure this, we used the light/dark test (Bourin and Hascoët, 2003).

Time spent in the light compartment is dopamine-dependent (Bahi and Dreyer, 2019; Gao and

Cutler, 1993) and peaks in adolescence (Arrant et al., 2013). We will refer to this behaviour as

"risk taking". We assessed the developmental profile of risk taking in the light/dark box test in

summer and winter hamsters across adolescence. In male summer hamsters, the risk taking

increases across adolescence, peaks around 50 days, then subsequently declines (Figure 3K).

However, the adolescent increase in risk taking is protracted in winter hamsters: across the age

range examined we observe a gradual, consistent increase in risk taking rather than a peak and

decline.

We next assessed a cohort of 215-day old hamsters, for which both summer and winter male

hamsters have undergone puberty and exhibit high levels of dopamine innervation of the

prefrontal cortex (Figure 3C,D,G,J, Supplementary Figure 3a). In these hamsters, we find no

difference in risk taking between the male summer and winter groups (Figure 3L),

demonstrating that, after 80 days, risk taking begins to decline in male winter hamsters and

that by 215 days it has declined to the same level as in summer hamsters. Male hamsters raised

under summer-mimicking long days and winter-mimicking short days both ultimately make the

transition to the adult behavioral phenotype.

3.ii An extraordinary case of decoupling puberty and adolescence

In parallel with males, we conducted equivalent experiments in female hamsters (Figure 4A,B).

Under a summer-mimicking daylength, dopamine innervation to the medial prefrontal cortex

increases between 15 and 80 days old, similar to male summer hamsters (Figure 4C). There is

no further increase in innervation density after 80 days old, consistent with earlier adolescent

development in females observed in other rodent species (Juraska and Willing, 2017; Kopec et

al., 2018; Reynolds and Flores, 2021; Spear, 2000; Westbrook et al., 2018). We confirmed that

puberty is delayed in female winter hamsters compared to summer hamsters by measuring

their uterine weights (Supplementary Figure 4a) and vaginal opening (Supplementary Figure 4b)

across ages.

Figure 4 Plasticity of adolescent development in female Siberian hamsters according to seasonal phenotype. All

results illustrated in this figure refer to results in female hamsters. **A,** Dopamine innervation was quantified in

three subregions of the medial prefrontal cortex, highlighted here in blue. UNC5C expression was examined in the

nucleus accumbens, highlighted in red. Line drawing of a coronal section of the mouse brain was derived from

Paxinos and Franklin (Paxinos and Franklin, 2013). **B,** Hamsters were housed under either a summer-mimicking or

winter-mimicking daylength. **C,** In female hamsters housed under a summer daylength dopamine varicosity density

in the medial prefrontal cortex increases between 15 and 80 days of age. Mixed-effects ANOVA, effect of age:

F=16.72, p<0.0001 **D,** In female hamsters housed under a winter daylength there is no increase in dopamine

varicosity density post-adolescence. Instead, there is a steep decline in density between 80 and 215 days of age.

Mixed-effects ANOVA, effect of age: F=12.33, p=0.000043. **E,** As our results in panel D were unexpected, we

replicated them with a second cohort of hamsters and found qualitatively identical results. Mixed-effects ANOVA,

effect of age: F=34.871, p<0.0001. **F,** To try and determine when dopamine varicosities innervate the medial

prefrontal cortex, we examined a cohort of 10- and 15-day-old hamsters. We found that varicosity density

increases in the medial prefrontal cortex during this time, indicating that dopamine innervation to the medial

prefrontal cortex is accelerated in female winter hamsters. Mixed-effects ANOVA, effect of age: F=5.05, p=0.03. **G-**

H, In 10- and 15-day-old female summer hamsters there is little UNC5C expression in nucleus accumbens

dopamine axons (here identified by immunofluorescent staining for tyrosine hydroxylase, TH). Sample size: 4

(panel G) or 6 (panel H). **I-J,** By 80 days old (panel I), and continuing at 215 days old (panel J), dopamine axons in

the nucleus accumbens express UNC5C in female summer hamsters. Sample sizes: 6. Scale bar = 20um (panel G

bottom right). **K-N,** At all ages which winter female hamsters were examined, dopamine axons in the nucleus

accumbens express UNC5C in winter female hamsters. Sample sizes: 4 (panel K) or 6 (panels L-N). **O,** In female

hamsters, those raised under summer and winter daylengths both show an increase in risk taking over time. The

winter hamsters peak later compared to the summer daylength hamsters. Arrows indicate the ages at which risk

taking peaks in summer (orange) and winter (blue) hamsters. Polynomial regression, effect of season: F=3.305,

p=0.00126. **P,** In female hamsters, at 215 days of age, there is no difference in risk taking between hamsters raised

under summer and winter photoperiods. T-test, effect of season: t=0.309, p=0.76. For all barplots, bars indicate

group means and error bars show standard error means.

When housed under a winter-mimicking daylength, dopamine input density in the prefrontal

cortex of female hamsters is *not* delayed as in males, but rather reaches adult levels prior to 15

days old (Figure 4D). We replicated this unexpected finding in a separate, independent cohort

of female winter hamsters (Figure 4E). This surprising result shows an intervention that

accelerates adolescent cortical development.

We then measured dopamine axon density in female winter hamsters at two earlier ages: 10

and 15 days old. Dopamine innervation increases during this period (figure 4F), well before

normal adolescence and long before pubertal development. This is an extraordinary

phenomenon: a key marker of adolescent neurodevelopment is accelerated and dissociated

from puberty in female hamsters raised under winter-mimicking short days (Supplementary

Figure 4a,4b).

The early increase in prefrontal cortex dopamine terminals in winter females is followed by a

dramatic reduction between 80 and 215 days old (Figure 4D,E). This overlaps with the delayed

timing of puberty in these females (Butler et al., 2007; Supplementary Figure 4a,4b). Synaptic

pruning in the cortex is a well-known component of adolescent neural development across

species (Huttenlocher, 1984; Koss et al., 2013; Petanjek et al., 2011). Under normal conditions,

the effect of pruning on dopamine synapses is likely masked by the growth of new dopamine

axons to the prefrontal cortex (Manitt et al., 2013, 2011; Reynolds et al., 2018a). In the case of

female winter hamsters, we hypothesize that the growth of dopamine axons to the prefrontal

cortex occurs early while synaptic pruning, including of dopamine synapses, appears to occur

later. This leads to a remarkable dissociation between two cortical developmental processes

that are normally simultaneous, the behavioural implications of which are unclear.

If the developmental onset of UNC5C expression determines the timing of dopamine

innervation of the prefrontal cortex, then onset of UNC5C expression should also be advanced

in female winter hamsters. Hence, we examined UNC5C expression at the same ages as we

examined dopamine axon growth in female hamsters. At 10 and 15 days old, UNC5C

expression is present *only* in the winter hamsters (Figure 4G,H,K,L), but at 80 and 215 days old,

UNC5C expression is apparent in both summer and winter hamsters (Figure 4I,J,M,N).

We used the light/dark box test to examine potential risk taking implications of the

extraordinary developmental trajectory we observed in the prefrontal cortex of female

hamsters. In female summer and winter hamsters, the adolescent increase and peak in risk

taking occurs between the ages of 15 and 80 days, as it does in summer daylength males

(Figure 4O). However, contrary to what we would expect, the peak in winter females is delayed

compared to summer females. When we assessed an independent cohort of 215-day-old

female hamsters, we found no difference in risk taking between groups (Figure 4R), indicating

that, like males, female summer and winter hamsters both eventually reach the same adult

level of risk taking.

In both sexes, hamsters housed under a summer-mimicking daylength showed an adolescent

peak in risk taking at an age that we would predict based on results from other rodents (Arrant

et al., 2013; Pietropaolo et al., 2004; Tanaka, 2015). When raised under a winter-mimicking

daylength, hamsters of either sex show a protracted peak in risk taking. In males, it is delayed

beyond 80 days old, but the delay is substantially less in females. This is a counterintuitive

finding considering that dopamine development in winter females appears to be accelerated.

Our interpretation of this finding is that the timing of the risk taking peak in females may reflect

a balance between different adolescent developmental processes. The fact that dopamine axon

growth is accelerated does not imply that all adolescent maturational processes are

accelerated. Some may be delayed, for example those that induce axon pruning in the cortex.

The timing of the risk taking peak in winter female hamsters may therefore reflect the

amalgamation of developmental processes that are advanced with those that are delayed –

producing a behavioural effect that is timed somewhere in the middle. Disentangling the effects

of different developmental processes on behaviour will require further experiments in

hamsters, including the direct manipulation of dopamine activity in the nucleus accumbens and

prefrontal cortex.

Conclusion

Here we describe how the gradual growth of mesocortical dopamine axons marks adolescent

development, and how this process uses guidance cues and is sensitive to sex and environment.

Netrin-1 signalling provides the "stay-or-go" "decision making" conducted by dopamine axons

that innervate the nucleus accumbens at the onset of adolescence (Cuesta et al., 2020). UNC5C

expression by these dopamine axons marks the timing at which this decision is made. In mice,

UNC5C expression coincides with sex differences in both adolescent and pubertal development.

Females, which develop earlier, show earlier UNC5C expression in dopamine axons compared

to males.

In hamsters, behavioural and developmental shifts in response to environmental cues occur in

parallel with alterations in the timing of dopamine axon growth. As we show here, male

hamsters raised under a winter-mimicking daylength delay not only puberty, but also

adolescent dopamine and behavioural maturation. In contrast, female hamsters under identical

conditions delay puberty but accelerate dopamine axon growth, a key marker of adolescent

brain development. Behavioural shifts during adolescence appear to be delayed in these

females, but less substantially than in male hamsters. Notably, under all conditions, the

developmental timing of UNC5C expression corresponds to the timing of dopamine innervation

of the prefrontal cortex.

In both mice and hamsters, the emergence of UNC5C expression coincides with the onset of

dopamine axon growth to the prefrontal cortex, a key characteristic of the adolescent transition

period. While previously we have shown that the Netrin-1 signalling in the nucleus accumbens

is responsible for coordinating *whether* dopamine axons grow in adolescence (Reynolds and

Flores, 2021), here we propose that Netrin-1 signalling is also key to determining *how* and *when*

this marker of adolescence occurs.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful for the technical assistance of the Pathology Core, Centre for Phenogenomics,

Toronto, Canada, and in particular Milan Ganguly and Gregory Ossetchkine, for their excellent

histological assistance. Furthermore, we are grateful to Helen Cooper and Philip Vassilev for

their critical and insightful readings of our manuscript.

Author contributions (Brand et al., 2015):
Conceptualization: DH, MJP, CF
Data Curation: DH
Formal Analysis: DH
Investigation: DH, RFK, SS, EE, AH, TO, AD, CP, JZ, KCS, LPL, QEC
Investigation (post-review experiments): DM, RGA
Methodology: DH, MJP, CF
Resources: GL, MJP, CF
Supervision: DH, MJP, CF
Validation: DH
Visualization: DH
Visualization (post-review experiments): DH, DM, RGA
Writing—original draft: DH

Writing—review & editing: DH, MJP, CF

Funding:

National Institute on Drug Abuse grant R01DA037911 (CF)

Canadian Institutes of Health Research FRN: 156272 (CF)

Canadian Institutes of Health Research FRN: 170130 (CF)

National Science and Engineering Research Council of Canada RGPIN-2020-04703 (CF)

National Science Foundation IOS-1754878 (MJP)

National Science and Engineering Research Council of Canada PGSD3-415253-2012 (DH)

Quebec Nature and Technology Research Fund 208332 (DH)

National Science and Engineering Research Council of Canada PDF5171462018 (DH)

McGill-Douglas Max Planck Institute of Psychiatry International Collaborative Initiative in
Adversity and Mental Health, an international partnership funded by the Healthy Brains
for Healthy Lives initiative (RGA)

Competing interests: Authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Data and code availability: All data and code use in these analyses are available through the

Open Science Framework (DIO 10.17605/OSF.IO/DU3H4).

References

496
497

Arrant AE, Schramm-Sapyta NL, Kuhn CM. 2013. Use of the light/dark test for anxiety in adult
and adolescent male rats. *Behav Brain Res* **256**:119–127. doi:10.1016/j.bbr.2013.05.035

Auger ML, Schmidt ERE, Manitt C, Dal-Bo G, Pasterkamp RJ, Flores C. 2013. unc5c
haploinsufficient phenotype: striking similarities with the dcc haploinsufficiency model.
European Journal of Neuroscience **38**:2853–2863. doi:10.1111/ejn.12270

Bahi A, Dreyer J-L. 2019. Dopamine transporter (DAT) knockdown in the nucleus accumbens
improves anxiety- and depression-related behaviors in adult mice. *Behav Brain Res* **359**:104–
115. doi:10.1016/j.bbr.2018.10.028

Bourin M, Hascoët M. 2003. The mouse light/dark box test. *Eur J Pharmacol* **463**:55–65.
doi:10.1016/s0014-2999(03)01274-3

Brand A, Allen L, Altman M, Hlava M, Scott J. 2015. Beyond authorship: attribution,
contribution, collaboration, and credit. *Learn Publ* **28**:151–155. doi:10.1087/20150211

Buchanan KL, Yellon SM. 1991. Delayed Puberty in the Male Djungarian Hamster: Effect of Short
Photoperiod or Melatonin Treatment on the GnRH Neuronal System. *Neuroendocrinology*
54:96–102. doi:10.1159/000125857

Casey BJ, Jones RM, Hare TA. 2008. The Adolescent Brain. *Annals of the New York Academy of*
Sciences **1124**:111–126. doi:10.1196/annals.1440.010

Catts VS, Fung SJ, Long LE, Joshi D, Vercammen A, Allen KM, Fillman SG, Rothmond DA, Sinclair
D, Tiwari Y, Tsai S-Y, Weickert TW, Weickert CS. 2013. Rethinking schizophrenia in the
context of normal neurodevelopment. *Front Cell Neurosci* **7**:60.
doi:10.3389/fncel.2013.00060

Clementz BA, Sweeney JA, Hamm JP, Ivleva EI, Ethridge LE, Pearlson GD, Keshavan MS,
Tamminga CA. 2016. Identification of Distinct Psychosis Biotypes Using Brain-Based
Biomarkers. *Am J Psychiat* **173**:373–384. doi:10.1176/appi.ajp.2015.14091200

Cuesta S, Nouel D, Reynolds LM, Morgunova A, Torres-Berrío A, White A, Hernandez G, Cooper
HM, Flores C. 2020. Dopamine Axon Targeting in the Nucleus Accumbens in Adolescence
Requires Netrin-1. *Frontiers Cell Dev Biology* **8**:487. doi:10.3389/fcell.2020.00487

Cuesta S, Restrepo-Lozano J-M, Popescu C, He S, Reynolds LM, Israel S, Hernandez G, Rais R,
Slusher BS, Flores C. 2019. DCC-related developmental effects of abused- versus therapeutic-
like amphetamine doses in adolescence. *Addiction Biology* **24**:e12791-11.
doi:10.1111/adb.12791

Darrow JM, Davis FC, Elliott JA, Stetson MH, Turek FW, Menaker M. 1980. Influence of
Photoperiod on Reproductive Development in the Golden Hamster. *Biol Reprod* **22**:443–450.
doi:10.1095/biolreprod22.3.443

Drzewiecki CM, Juraska JM. 2020. The structural reorganization of the prefrontal cortex during
adolescence as a framework for vulnerability to the environment. *Pharmacol Biochem Be*
199:173044. doi:10.1016/j.pbb.2020.173044

Ebling FJP. 1994. Photoperiodic Differences during Development in the Dwarf Hamsters
Phodopus sungorus and Phodopus campbelli. *Gen Comp Endocrinol* **95**:475–482.
doi:10.1006/gcen.1994.1147

Fuhrmann D, Knoll LJ, Blakemore S-J. 2015. Adolescence as a Sensitive Period of Brain
Development. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences* **19**:558–566. doi:10.1016/j.tics.2015.07.008

Gao B, Cutler MG. 1993. Effects of quinpirole on the behaviour shown by mice in the light-dark
box and during social interactions. *Neuropharmacology* **32**:93–100. doi:10.1016/0028-
3908(93)90134-o

Gogtay N, Giedd JN, Lusk L, Hayashi KM, Greenstein D, Vaituzis AC, Nugent TF, Herman DH,
Clasen LS, Toga AW, Rapoport JL, Thompson PM. 2004. Dynamic mapping of human cortical
development during childhood through early adulthood. *Proceedings of the National*
Academy of Sciences **101**:8174–8179. doi:10.1073/pnas.0402680101

Heldmaier G, Steinlechner S. 1981. Seasonal pattern and energetics of short daily torpor in the
Djungarian hamster, Phodopus sungorus. *Oecologia* **48**:265–270. doi:10.1007/bf00347975

Heywood SG, Yellon SM. 1997. Gonadotropin-Releasing Hormone Neural Projections to the
Systemic Vasculature during Sexual Maturation and Delayed Puberty in the Male Djungarian
Hamster. *Biol Reprod* **57**:873–878. doi:10.1095/biolreprod57.4.873

Hoffmann K. 1978. Effects of short photoperiods on puberty, growth and moult in the
Djungarian hamster (Phodopus sungorus). *Journal of Reproduction and Fertility* **54**:29–35.
doi:10.1530/jrf.0.0540029

Hollenstein T, Lougheed JP. 2013. Beyond Storm and Stress. *Am Psychol* **68**:444–454.
doi:10.1037/a0033586

Hoops D, Flores C. 2017. Making Dopamine Connections in Adolescence. *Trends in*
Neurosciences 1–11. doi:10.1016/j.tins.2017.09.004

Hoops D, Reynolds LM, Restrepo-Lozano J-M, Flores C. 2018. Dopamine Development in the
Mouse Orbital Prefrontal Cortex Is Protracted and Sensitive to Amphetamine in
Adolescence. *eNeuro* **5**:ENEURO.0372-17.2017-9. doi:10.1523/eneuro.0372-17.2017

Huttenlocher PR. 1984. Synapse elimination and plasticity in developing human cerebral cortex.
American journal of mental deficiency **88**:488–496.

Juraska JM, Willing J. 2017. Pubertal onset as a critical transition for neural development and
cognition. *Brain Research* **1654**:87–94. doi:10.1016/j.brainres.2016.04.012

Keshavan MS, Giedd J, Lau JYF, Lewis DA, Paus T. 2014. Changes in the adolescent brain and the
pathophysiology of psychotic disorders. *Lancet Psychiatry* **1**:549–558. doi:10.1016/s2215-
0366(14)00081-9

Kessler RC, Amminger GP, Aguilar-Gaxiola S, Alonso J, Lee S, Üstün TB. 2007. Age of onset of
mental disorders: a review of recent literature. *Curr Opin Psychiatr* **20**:359–364.
doi:10.1097/yco.0b013e32816ebc8c

Kessler RC, Berglund P, Demler O, Jin R, Merikangas KR, Walters EE. 2005. Lifetime Prevalence
and Age-of-Onset Distributions of DSM-IV Disorders in the National Comorbidity Survey
Replication. *Arch Gen Psychiat* **62**:593–602. doi:10.1001/archpsyc.62.6.593

Kim SR, Chen X, Oo TF, Kareva T, Yarygina O, Wang C, During M, Kholodilov N, Burke RE. 2011.
Dopaminergic pathway reconstruction by Akt/Rheb-induced axon regeneration. *Annals of
Neurology* **70**:110–120. doi:10.1002/ana.22383

Klune CB, Jin B, DeNardo LA. 2021. Linking mPFC circuit maturation to the developmental
regulation of emotional memory and cognitive flexibility. *Elife* **10**:e64567.
doi:10.7554/elife.64567

Kopec AM, Smith CJ, Ayre NR, Sweat SC, Bilbo SD. 2018. Microglial dopamine receptor
elimination defines sex-specific nucleus accumbens development and social behavior in
adolescent rats. *Nat Commun* **9**:3769. doi:10.1038/s41467-018-06118-z

Koss WA, Belden CE, Hristov AD, Juraska JM. 2013. Dendritic remodeling in the adolescent
medial prefrontal cortex and the basolateral amygdala of male and female rats. *Synapse
***68**:61–72. doi:10.1002/syn.21716

Larsen B, Luna B. 2018. Adolescence as a neurobiological critical period for the development of
higher-order cognition. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* **94**:179–195.
doi:10.1016/j.neubiorev.2018.09.005

Lee FS, Heimer H, Giedd JN, Lein ES, Sestan N, Weinberger DR, Casey BJ. 2014. Mental health.
Adolescent mental health-opportunity and obligation. *Science* **346**:547–549.
doi:10.1126/science.1260497

Luna B, Marek S, Larsen B, Tervo-Clemmens B, Chahal R. 2015. An integrative model of the
maturation of cognitive control. *Annual review of neuroscience* **38**:151–170.
doi:10.1146/annurev-neuro-071714-034054

Lynn DA, Brown GR. 2009. The ontogeny of exploratory behavior in male and female adolescent
rats (Rattus norvegicus). *Dev Psychobiol* **51**:513–520. doi:10.1002/dev.20386

Manitt C, Eng C, Pokinko M, Ryan RT, Torres-Berrío A, Lopez JP, Yogendran SV, Daubaras MJJ,
Grant A, Schmidt ERE, Tronche F, Krimpenfort P, Cooper HM, Pasterkamp RJ, Kolb B, Turecki
G, Wong TP, Nestler EJ, Giros B, Flores C. 2013. dcc orchestrates the development of the
prefrontal cortex during adolescence and is altered in psychiatric patients. *Translational*
Psychiatry **3**:e338-13. doi:10.1038/tp.2013.105

Manitt C, Labelle-Dumais C, Eng C, Grant A, Mimee A, Stroh T, Flores C. 2010. Peri-Pubertal
Emergence of UNC-5 Homologue Expression by Dopamine Neurons in Rodents. *PLoS ONE*
5:e11463-14. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0011463

Manitt C, Mimee A, Eng C, Pokinko M, Stroh T, Cooper HM, Kolb B, Flores C. 2011. The Netrin
Receptor DCC Is Required in the Pubertal Organization of Mesocortical Dopamine Circuitry. *J*
Neurosci **31**:8381–8394. doi:10.1523/jneurosci.0606-11.2011

McTeague LM, Goodkind MS, Etkin A. 2016. Transdiagnostic impairment of cognitive control in
mental illness. *J Psychiatr Res* **83**:37–46. doi:10.1016/j.jpsychires.2016.08.001

Millan MJ, Agid Y, Brüne M, Bullmore ET, Carter CS, Clayton NS, Connor R, Davis S, Deakin B,
DeRubeis RJ, Dubois B, Geyer MA, Goodwin GM, Gorwood P, Jay TM, Joëls M, Mansuy IM,
Meyer-Lindenberg A, Murphy D, Rolls E, Saletu B, Spedding M, Sweeney J, Whittington M,
Young LJ. 2012. Cognitive dysfunction in psychiatric disorders: characteristics, causes and the
quest for improved therapy. *Nat Rev Drug Discov* **11**:141–168. doi:10.1038/nrd3628

Paus T. 2005. Mapping brain maturation and cognitive development during adolescence. *Trends*
in Cognitive Sciences **9**:60–68. doi:10.1016/j.tics.2004.12.008

Paxinos G, Franklin KBJ. 2013. The Mouse Brain in Stereotaxic Coordinates, 4th ed, Academic
Press. Academic Press.

Petanjek Z, Judas M, Simic G, Rasin MR, Uylings HBM, Rakic P, Kostovic I. 2011. Extraordinary
neoteny of synaptic spines in the human prefrontal cortex. *Proceedings of the National*
Academy of Sciences **108**:13281–13286. doi:10.1073/pnas.1105108108

Pevet P. 1988. The role of the pineal gland in the photoperiodic control of reproduction in
different hamster species. *Reproduction Nutrition Développement* **28**:443–458.
doi:10.1051/rnd:19880310

Pietropaolo S, Branchi I, Cirulli F, Chiarotti F, Aloe L, Alleva E. 2004. Long-term effects of the
periadolescent environment on exploratory activity and aggressive behaviour in mice: social
versus physical enrichment. *Physiol Behav* **81**:443–453. doi:10.1016/j.physbeh.2004.02.022

Reynolds LM, Flores C. 2021. Mesocorticolimbic Dopamine Pathways Across Adolescence:
Diversity in Development. *Front Neural Circuit* **15**:735625. doi:10.3389/fncir.2021.735625

Reynolds LM, Pokinko M, Torres-Berrío A, Cuesta S, Lambert LC, Pellitero EDC, Wodzinski M,
Manitt C, Krimpenfort P, Kolb B, Flores C. 2018a. DCC Receptors Drive Prefrontal Cortex
Maturation by Determining Dopamine Axon Targeting in Adolescence. *Biological psychiatry*
83:181–192. doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2017.06.009

Reynolds LM, Yetnikoff L, Pokinko M, Wodzinski M, Epelbaum JG, Lambert LC, Cossette M-P,
Arvanitogiannis A, Flores C. 2018b. Early Adolescence is a Critical Period for the Maturation
of Inhibitory Behavior. *Cerebral cortex* **29**:3676–3686. doi:10.1093/cercor/bhy247

Scherbarth F, Steinlechner S. 2010. Endocrine mechanisms of seasonal adaptation in small
mammals: from early results to present understanding. *J Comp Physiology B* **180**:935–952.
doi:10.1007/s00360-010-0498-2

Sowell ER, Thompson PM, Toga AW. 2004. Mapping Changes in the Human Cortex throughout
the Span of Life. *Neurosci* **10**:372–392. doi:10.1177/1073858404263960

Spear LP. 2000. The adolescent brain and age-related behavioral manifestations. *Neurosci*
Biobehav Rev **24**:417–463.

Tanaka T. 2015. Sex differences in exploratory behaviour of laboratory CD-1 mice (Mus
musculus). *Scandinavian Journal of Laboratory Animal Science* **41**:1–9.

Timonin ME, Place NJ, Wanderi E, Wynne-Edwards KE. 2006. Phodopus campbelli detect
reduced photoperiod during development but, unlike Phodopus sungorus, retain functional
reproductive physiology. *Reproduction* **132**:661–670. doi:10.1530/rep.1.00019

Vassilev P, Pantoja-Urban AH, Giroux M, Nouel D, Hernandez G, Orsini T, Flores C. 2021. Unique
effects of social defeat stress in adolescent male mice on the Netrin-1/DCC pathway,
prefrontal cortex dopamine and cognition (Social stress in adolescent vs. adult male mice).
Eneuro ENEURO.0045-21.2021. doi:10.1523/eneuro.0045-21.2021

Westbrook SR, Hankosky ER, Dwyer MR, Gulley JM. 2018. Age and Sex Differences in Behavioral
Flexibility, Sensitivity to Reward Value, and Risky Decision-Making. *Behav Neurosci* **132**:75–
87. doi:10.1037/bne0000235

Willing, J., Cortes, L. R., Brodsky, J. M., Kim, T., & Juraska, J. M. (2017). Innervation of the medial
prefrontal cortex by tyrosine hydroxylase immunoreactive fibers during adolescence in male
and female rats. *Developmental Psychobiology*, *59*(5), 583–589.
https://doi.org/10.1002/dev.21525

Wolf RM, Long D. 2016. Pubertal Development. *Pediatr Rev* **37**:292–300. doi:10.1542/pir.2015-
0065

Yellon SM, Goldman BD. 1984. Photoperiod Control of Reproductive Development in the Male
Djungarian Hamster (Phodopus sungorus)* | Endocrinology | Oxford Academic.
academic.oup.com.

666