mini-MEndR: A 96-well mixed species culture assay to co-evaluate human and mouse

Pax7[+] cell-mediated skeletal muscle repair

Nitya Gulati[1,2†], Sadegh Davoudi[2,3†], Bin Xu[2,3†], Saifedine T. Rjaibi[1-3‡], Erik Jacques[2,3‡], Justin

Pham[1,2], Amir Fard[1-3], Alison P. McGuigan[1,3*], Penney M. Gilbert[2-4*]

[1] Department of Chemical Engineering and Applied Chemistry, University of Toronto, Toronto,

ON, M5S3E4, Canada

[2] Donnelly Centre, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON M5S3E1, Canada

[3] Institute of Biomedical Engineering, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, M5S3G9, Canada

[4] Department of Cell and Systems Biology, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON M5S3G5, Canada

[*] Co-corresponding author e-mails: penney.gilbert@utoronto.ca (P.M.G.) and

alison.mcguigan@utoronto.ca (A.P.M.)

[†] These authors contributed equally to this work.

[‡] These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract

Functional evaluation of molecules that are predicted to promote stem cell mediated endogenous repair often requires *in vivo* transplant studies that are low throughput and hinder the rate of discovery. Here, we offer a strategy to rapidly test and prioritize molecules for functional validation studies. We miniaturized, simplified and expanded the functionality of a previously developed muscle endogenous repair (MEndR) *in vitro* assay that was shown to capture significant events of the first week of the *in vivo* muscle endogenous repair process. The new "mini-MEndR assay" consists of miniaturized cellulose scaffolds designed to fit in 96-well plates. The scaffold pores are infiltrated with myoblasts encapsulated in a fibrin-based hydrogel to form thin, engineered skeletal muscle tissues. By evaluating multiple commercially available human primary myoblast lines in 2D and 3D culture, we establish quality assurance metrics for cell line selection that standardize myotube template quality. Pre-adsorbing thrombin to the cellulose scaffolds facilitates *in situ* tissue polymerization, a critical modification that enables users proficient in myoblast culture to rapidly acquire myotube template fabrication expertise. Following the generation of the 3D myotube template, muscle stem cells (MuSCs), enriched from digested mouse skeletal muscle tissue using an improved magnetic-activated cell sorting protocol, are engrafted onto the engineered human muscle template. A regenerative milieu is then introduced by injuring the muscle tissue with a myotoxin. Addition of a known modulator of MuSC mediated repair recapitulates the *in vivo* outcomes (enhanced muscle production and Pax7$^+$ cell expansion), but only in the presence of both the stem cells and the regenerative milieu. By fluorescently labeling the mouse MuSCs, we demonstrate the feasibility of co-evaluating human and mouse Pax7$^+$ cell responses to drug treatment, thereby expanding the utility of the assay. Importantly, phenotypic data is collected with a high-content imaging system and is analyzed using CellProfiler-based image analysis pipelines. The miniaturized predictive assay offers a simple, scaled platform with which to co-investigate human and mouse skeletal muscle endogenous repair molecular modulators, and thus is a promising strategy to accelerate the muscle endogenous repair discovery pipeline.

Key Words: predictive assay, scale-up, manufacturing, skeletal muscle, stem cell, endogenous repair

Introduction

The regenerative capacity of healthy skeletal muscle is driven by the activity of tissue resident muscle stem cells (MuSCs). With age[1], or in disease, e.g. Duchenne muscular dystrophy (DMD)[2,3], MuSCs remain present, but have diminished repair capabilities. Therapeutic strategies to correct diminished skeletal muscle endogenous repair have a growing need. One exciting emerging strategy to improve skeletal muscle endogenous repair is the use of drugs to boost MuSC function (i.e. endogenous repair), for which pre-clinical studies in mice demonstrate the promise of this therapeutic approach[3–6].

A current gold standard assay to determine whether a molecular target restores MuSC function, and thus to make a go/no-go decision in the discovery process, is the intramuscular transplantation assay[7–9]. This assay involves transplanting treated cells into the pre-irradiated and pre-injured hindlimb of immune-compromised rodents and then assessing the capacity of the transplanted cell population to make muscle and repopulate the stem cell compartment. This gold-standard assay is expensive, low throughput and plagued by high variation, which in turn limits the number of candidates that can be tested. To overcome this pain point in the discovery process, we[10] and others[11,12], have invented in vitro functional assays to predict skeletal muscle endogenous repair outcomes "in a dish" as a lower cost complement to the intramuscular transplantation assay. Most of the assays evaluate engineered skeletal muscle microtissue repair after an induced injury. Since the primary myoblast cultures used to produce the microtissues contain Pax7^{+} cells, tissue repair is attributed to the activity of this sub-population. By contrast, the muscle endogenous repair (MEndR) assay tracks the activity of bonefide MuSCs engrafted into thin engineered muscle tissues that are suited to long-term timelapse microscopy[10].

In the MEndR culture assay, we first engineer thin sheets of mature skeletal muscle tissue by infiltrating a thin cellulose (paper) scaffold with primary patient-derived muscle progenitor cells encapsulated in an extracellular matrix hydrogel. MuSCs freshly isolated from mouse hindlimb skeletal muscle tissue are labelled and then incorporated into the 3D muscle sheets. Shortly thereafter, the muscle tissue sheet is injured by exposure to a myotoxin to induce a regenerative microenvironment, and MuSC-mediated muscle tissue template repair is assessed phenotypically by assessing the contribution of labelled MuSCs to new muscle tissue formation, and to niche repopulation, using fluorescent image analysis. To validate the predictability of our platform, we tested a number of drugs that were previously reported modulators of MuSC function

and compared results using MEndR with those we obtained in parallel using the *in vivo*

transplantation assay. MEndR accurately predicted *in vivo* transplantation assay outcomes. Side-

by-side comparisons accurately identified a range of repair phenotypes observed in the *in vivo*

transplantation assay results. Furthermore, since MEndR tissues are thin and flat, the user has

greater control over the conditions during drug testing than with the previously described

microtissue based assays[11,12] (e.g., MuSC content, state of the template, uniform exposure to media

components). With this prior study[10], we demonstrated for the first time, the ability to compress

the translational pipeline by offering a complement to the *in vivo* validation assay that is, in theory,

amenable to testing all 2D drug screen 'hits' without the need for biased pre-selection.

The MEndR functional assay is poised to serve as a secondary screen service to prioritize

'hits' arising from high-throughput screens designed to discover MuSC potency modulators.

However, MEndR assay scale-up from a 24-well footprint to a 96-well format is critical to realizing

this goal. Candidate assessment performed in a 96-well format has the potential to offer an order

of magnitude higher throughput than the intramuscular transplantation assay. Towards this goal,

we herein describe the scaling of the MEndR assay into a 96-well footprint and we validate that

the miniaturized platform captures all stem cell functional metrics shown in the original MEndR

platform. We also extend the utility of the assay by demonstrating the capacity to co-evaluate

responses of human (within myotube template) and mouse (engrafted MuSCs) Pax7$^+$ populations

to molecule treatments.

Materials and Methods

Human myoblast maintenance and 2D differentiation

Human primary myoblasts (pMB) were purchased from Cook MyoSite® (Table 1). For routine

culture, myoblasts were plated on collagen-coated tissue culture plates (Table 2) at a seeding

density of 5000 - 7500 cells/cm^2. Myoblasts were maintained in human pMB growth medium

(GM; Table 2) and passaged using trypsin upon reaching ~70% confluency. Culture media was

replaced every other day. Myoblasts were used at passage 6-7 for 2D experiments, and at passage

8 in all 3D tissue experiments. For 2D differentiation studies, myoblasts were plated at a seeding

density of ~37,000 cells/cm^2 on Geltrex^{TM} coated plates in human pMB GM. The cultures were

transitioned to 2D differentiation media (DM; Table 2) one-day post seeding to induce fusion. Half

of the DM was removed and replaced every other day. Cultures were fixed and analyzed on day 9

of differentiation.

MEndR and mini-MEndR myotube template fabrication and injury

24-well plate format myotube templates were generated as previously described by our group[10].

Briefly, rectangle patterns with 0.5 cm x 1 cm dimensions were printed onto the cellulose scaffold

product (MiniMinit® Products Ltd) with a LaserJet printer and then cut into individual rectangular

scaffolds with scissors. These scaffolds were then autoclaved in a dry cycle to maintain sterility.

To generate the myotube templates, sterile cellulose scaffolds were carefully placed on a custom

polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) block using a pair of sterile tweezers. The PDMS block was placed

in an ethanol-sterilized plastic container with moist paper on the bottom and a tight-fitting lid to

seal the top, to create a humidified chamber. Next, a fibrinogen solution (Table 2) was freshly

prepared and filter-sterilized with a 0.22 μm filter. pMBs were resuspended at a concentration of

(3.33E+07 cells/mL) in an extracellular matrix (ECM) master mix (Table 2). 100 U/mL of

thrombin (Sigma, #T6884) was added to the pMB/ECM mixture to achieve a final concentration

of 0.225 U/mg of fibrinogen. The mixture was maintained on ice throughout. Next, 12 μL of

pMB/ECM mixture (i.e., 4.0E+05 pMBs) was evenly distributed onto the cellulose scaffolds using

a custom spreading tool[13]. The humidified chamber was then sealed with the lid and incubated at

37 °C for 5 minutes to encourage conversion of fibrinogen to fibrin clots. Next, MEndR GM (Table

2) was gently pipetted onto each of the scaffolds to facilitate easy detachment from the PDMS

block. The scaffolds were then gently lifted and placed into individual wells of a 24-well plate

containing 1 mL of MEndR GM. Two days later, the GM was removed and replaced with MEndR

DM to facilitate myotube differentiation. Half of the MEndR DM was removed and replaced every

other day.

For 96-well plate format myotube templates (mini-myotube templates), cellulose paper

(MiniMinit® Products Ltd) was cut into circular discs using a 5 mm biopsy punch (Integra,

#MLT3335) and subsequently sterilized in an autoclave. 96-well plates (PhenoPlate 96-well

microplates, PerkinElmer) were prepared for tissue seeding and culture by treatment with 100 μl

of 5 % Pluronic F-127 (Sigma, Cat#P2443) solution in dH$_2$O (Table 2) at 4 °C overnight, or

minimum one hour, which served to create a non-adhesive surface to prevent cell attachment on

the plates and facilitate tissue self-organization. The pluronic acid was then aspirated, and the plate

was left to dry in the biosafety cabinet with the lid removed until the thin film of liquid could no

longer be visualized (~20 min). The autoclaved disks were then placed in the center of the

corresponding wells of the 96-well plate using a sterile anti-static tweezer. Next, a 0.8 U/mL

thrombin solution was prepared by diluting the 100 U/mL thrombin stock in PBS, and 4 µL of the

0.8 U/mL thrombin solution was dispensed onto the center of each paper disc. The discs were then

left to dry in the biosafety cabinet. Meanwhile, a 10 mg/mL fibrinogen solution (Table 2) was

freshly prepared by dissolving lyophilized fibrinogen powder in 0.9 % wt./vol of NaCl solution,

and subsequently sterilized by passing through a 0.22 µm filter. pMBs were trypsinized, counted

and suspended in ECM master mix (Table 2) at a concentration of 2.5E+07 cells/mL, which was

kept on ice. Next, 4 µL of pMB/ECM mixture (i.e., 1.0E+05 pMBs) was pipetted into the center

of paper discs with pre-adsorbed thrombin, with the mixture entering the cellulose scaffold pores

by capillary action. Cellulose scaffolds impregnated with pMB/ECM were incubated for 5 minutes

at 37 °C. 200 µL of MEndR GM (Table 2) was then gently pipetted into each well, ensuring that

the tissues did not flip over. The 96-well plate was then returned to the cell culture incubator (37

°C, 5 % CO_2). The next day, tissues that failed to detach from the bottom of the well (by visual

inspection), were released by gently triturating the culture media in the well with a p200 pipette.

Two days later, the MEndR GM was aspirated and replaced with MEndR DM (Table 2). Half of

the MEndR DM was removed and replaced with fresh medium every other day.

Immunohistochemistry

2D myotube cultures, and 3D muscle tissues, were harvested and fixed at the indicated culture

endpoints by removing the media, washing gently three times with phosphate buffered saline

(PBS), and then incubating in 200 µL of 4% paraformaldehyde for 15 min at room temperature.

The samples were washed three times with PBS every 5 min followed by incubation in blocking

solution (Table 3) overnight at 4 °C, or for 1 hr at room temperature. Following blocking, which

also contains permeabilization agent, samples were then incubated with 40 µL of primary

antibodies (Table 3) diluted in PBS and 0.1 % goat serum, overnight at 4 °C. Next, the samples

were washed three times with cold PBS every 10 min and then incubated for 45-60 min at room

temperature with 40 µL of a secondary antibody mix (Table 3), containing DRAQ5 nuclear counter

stain (Table 3), and diluted in PBS with 0.1% goat serum. The secondary antibody mix contained

phalloidin conjugates (Table 3) for the experiments where phalloidin coverage was assessed. The

samples were then washed three times with cold PBS. Tissue samples were stored in PBS at 4 °C

until image acquisition.

Image acquisition

Confocal z-stack images of immunostained samples were acquired using an Olympus FV-1000

confocal microscope and Olympus FluoView V4.2b imaging software. Images were acquired

using x4 (0.13 NA), x10 (0.3 NA) or x20 (0.45 NA) air objectives (Olympus) and the step size for

each z-stack image acquired was set at the optimal value for each objective by the imaging

software. Laser power, PMT HV and background offset were adjusted using the grey scale scan.

Laser power was set lower than 75 % during image acquisition to prevent photobleaching and

reduce cytotoxicity for all images. Next, laser power and HV were adjusted to avoid overexposure

and saturation as guided by the red pixels. Background offset was adjusted to preclude blue pixels

in each of the stacks. HV was set lower than 750 to reduce noise and Gain was set at 1 for all

images. For the niche repopulation experiments, tissues were imaged using an Opera Phenix High

Content Screening system outfitted with a x20 water immersion objective. Confocal stacks were

converted to the 2D space using a maximum Z-projection prior to image analysis. Nuclear fusion

index, Pax7$^+$ cell enumerations, as well as sarcomeric α-actinin (SAA), green or yellow fluorescent

protein (GFP/YFP) or phalloidin tissue coverage were quantified exactly as we previously

described[10].

Bead retention assay and analysis

Cellulose-based paper scaffolds (MiniMinit® Products Ltd) were cut using a 5 mm diameter

biopsy puncher and placed in a 96-well plate. Scaffolds were pre-adsorbed with thrombin at a

concentration of 0.8 U/ml (0.2 U/mg fibrinogen) and allowed to dry for one hour in the biological

safety cabinet with the 96-well plate lid removed. Next, a Master Mix was prepared by diluting 15

μm diameter fluorescent beads (Bangslab, #FCDG011) 10 times in ECM master mix (Table 2).

Then, 4 μL of the bead MasterMix was infiltrated into each of the dried scaffolds and the scaffolds

were subsequently incubated at 37 °C for 5-10 min to allow the Master Mix and thrombin to gel.

The scaffolds were imaged using confocal microscopy (Olympus FV-1000, x4 air

objective, laser power 20-40 %, HV < 700) to determine the initial number of beads. Scaffolds

were maintained in PBS while not being imaged to prevent them from drying. After the initial

images were captured, scaffolds were washed with PBS three times to mimic the turbulence experienced by scaffolds during 3D culture (e.g., media changes). The scaffolds were imaged once more, using the same settings, to determine the number of beads retained.

To quantify the number of beads in an image, confocal stacks were first converted to the 2D space using a maximum Z-projection. Thresholding was performed using FIJI's built-in Yen algorithm to binarize the image into fluorescent beads and background. Overlapping adjacent beads were segmented using the watershed tool. Finally, FIJI's *Analyze Particles* function was used to count the number of beads recorded in the image.

Mini-myotube template endogenous repair

To study myotube template endogenous repair potential, we optimized an injury protocol that achieved an ~50 % reduction in SAA coverage of the myotube template post injury. Specifically, the culture media was removed from the myotube template tissues and replaced with MEndR DM containing 0.5 µM cardiotoxin (CTX) (Latoxan #L8102 – diluted in water) on day 7 of differentiation. Tissues were incubated on an orbital shaker in a 37 °C incubator for 4 hours. The medium was gently pipetted at the 2-hour mark to encourage the movement of the medium in the well and the detachment of any broken fibers. After the 4-hour incubation, cardiotoxin was removed by gently washing the tissues twice with MEndR DM. Tissues were then cultured in MEndR DM supplemented with 5 ng/mL rh-FGF2 for 24 hr, to assess template injury.

For myotube template self-repair studies, tissues were first cultured for a period of 5 days post injury, during which time the tissues were treated with endogenous repair stimulating drugs as indicated in Table 4. The MEndR DM supplemented with FGF2 and drugs was completely replaced every other day. For the remaining duration of the assay, tissues were cultured in MEndR DM and half of the media was replaced every other day.

Mouse strains and ethics

All animal use protocols were reviewed and approved by the local Animal Care Committee (ACC) within the Division of Comparative Medicine (DCM) at the University of Toronto. All methods in this study were conducted as described in the approved animal use protocols (#20012838) and more broadly in accordance with the guidelines and regulations of the DCM ACC and the Canadian Council on Animal Care. 129-Tg(CAG-EYFP)/7AC5Nagy/J mice are maintained by

crossing homozygous males and females. C57BL/6-Tg (CAG-EGFP)1Osb/J (Actin-eGFP) mice

were purchased from the Jackson Laboratory (USA) and utilized in experiments after a 1-week

acclimatization period. Tg(Pax7-nGFP) mice[14] were a kind gift from Dr. Shahragim Tajbakhsh,

transferred from the laboratory of Dr. Michael Rudnicki and maintained at the University of

Toronto by backcrossing to C57Bl/10ScSn (Jackson Laboratory) and genotyping for the GFP gene.

For all experiments, 8-12 week old female mice were used.

Murine muscle stem cell (MuSC) isolation

MuSCs were prospectively isolated from the collective mouse hindlimb muscles using

Fluorescence Activated Cell Sorting (FACS) as previously described[15]. Briefly, mice were

humanely euthanized, and their hindlimb muscles were removed and placed in a GentleMACS

dissociation tube (Miltenyi Biotec, #130-096-334) containing Type 1A Collagenase (628 U/mL,

Sigma, #C9891) in DMEM. The tissue was then physically dissociated by running the "Skeletal

Muscle" program in the GentleMACS Dissociator (Miltenyi Biotec, #130-093-235). Next, the tube

was placed on a rotating mixer at 37 °C for 1 hr. Dispase II (0.04 U/mL, Life Technologies,

#17105041) was then added to the tube and the muscle was further dissociated by passing through

a 10 mL pipette 10 times. Afterwards, the tube was returned to the rotating mixer for 1 hr. Next,

the digested muscle was passed through a 21 G needle 10 times, after which 10 mL of FACS buffer

was added to the tube. The digested muscle was passed through a 70 µm cell strainer, followed by

a 40 µm cell strainer. The resulting cell slurry was centrifuged at 400 g for 15 min, after which the

pellet was resuspended in 1 mL of FACS buffer. Red blood cells were removed by resuspending

the cell pellet in red blood cell lysis buffer and incubating at room temperature for 7 min. The cells

were then washed with FACS buffer and centrifuged at 400 g for 15 min.

Next, the cells were resuspended in 1 mL FACS buffer and incubated with the following

antibodies for 1 hr on a rotating mixer at 4 °C: CD34-eFluor 660 (1:66), α7-integrin-PE (1:500),

biotinylated CD31 (1:200), CD11b (1:200), Ly6A/E (1:200), and CD45 (1:500). The cells were

then washed with FACS buffer, centrifuged at 400 g for 15 min, and resuspended in 1 mL FACS

buffer. Streptavidin-PE-Cy7 (1:200) was added to the cell suspension, which was then placed on

a rotating mixer at 4 °C for 30 min. The cells were then washed with FACS buffer a final time,

centrifuged for 15 min at 400 g, and resuspended in 1 mL FACS buffer. Finally, propidium iodide

(1:1000) was added to the cells, and MuSCs were enriched by FACS using the following gating

strategy: $CD34^+/ITGA7^+/CD45^-/CD31^-/CD11b^-/Ly6A^-/PI^-$.

The other method to isolate murine MuSCs from the collective mouse hindlimb muscles

was to use Magnetic-Activated Cell Sorting (MACS) based on the vendor protocols (Miltenyi)

with adaptations that we found to improve MuSC enrichment. Briefly, the mouse skeletal muscle

tissue was processed exactly as described for FACS isolation of MuSCs up to the red blood cell

lysis step. After red blood cell lysis, the remaining cells were centrifuged at 400 g for 15 min. The

cell pellet was resuspended in 80 µL of FACS buffer and 20 µL of the Satellite Cell Isolation Kit

(Miltenyi Biotec, #130-104-268). The cells were incubated for 15 min at 4 °C, after which they

were passed through a MACS LS column (Miltenyi Biotec, #130-042-401) mounted on a

QuadroMACS separator (Miltenyi Biotec, #130-091-051), and the flowthrough was collected. The

column was washed twice with 1 mL FACS buffer, and this wash eluate was combined with the

flowthrough of the cells, which was then centrifuged for 5 min at 400 g. The steps of labeling,

magnetic depletion and collection of the flowthrough were repeated one more time with a fresh

MACS LS column, to maximize the removal of non-MuSC cells. The flowthrough was centrifuged

at 400 g for 5 min and resuspended in 80 µL of FACS buffer. 20 µL of anti-integrin α-7 microbeads

(Miltenyi Biotec, #130-104-261) was added to the cell suspension and then incubated at 4 °C for

15 min, after which the mixture was passed through a fresh MACS LS column mounted on a

QuadroMACS separator, to bind MuSCs to the column. The column was washed 3 times with 1

mL FACS buffer, and these eluates were discarded. After the washes, the LS column was removed

from the magnetic separator and placed on top of a 15 mL collection tube. To collect MACS

isolated MuSCs, 5 mL of FACS buffer was then applied to the LS column, and the magnetically

labeled cells (i.e., α-7 integrin$^+$) were flushed from the column into the collection tube using the

plunger.

MACS- and FACS-based Pax7$^+$ cell enrichment purity assessment

To compare the purity of MuSCs isolated using FACS as compared to MACS, Pax7-nGFP mice

were humanely euthanized, and their muscles were processed up to the point of incubation in 1

mL of red blood cell lysis buffer. After lysis, 10 mL of FACS buffer was added to the cell

suspension, which was then evenly split into two 15 mL conical tubes. The tubes were each

centrifuged at 400 g for 15 min. One of the tubes was processed based on the MACS isolation

protocol, and the other was processed based on the FACS isolation protocol. The cells prepared

by each method were then analyzed, using a FACS-Aria machine, with a pressure of 20Psi (low

pressure) and 100 μm nozzle diameter, to determine the purity of the isolated cells, which was

reported as the % total GFP^+ (i.e., $Pax7^+$) cells contained in each sample. Supplementary Figure

3 experiments that were conducted to determine the % total GFP^+ cells enriched using a variety of

optimisations in the MACS protocol were analyzed using the BD Accuri™ C6 Plus Flow

Cytometer.

Mini-MEndR assay

To conduct mini-MEndR assays, mini-myotube templates were fabricated and then cultured in

MEndR DM for a period of 5 days, as described above. Towards the end of differentiation day 6,

prospectively isolated MuSCs were resuspended in MuSC GM (Table 2) at a concentration of

212,500 cells/mL. Mini-myotube templates were then carefully removed from the 96-well plate

using a sterile tweezer and gently placed, with their inverted side up, into the cavities of a sterile

custom polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) block. The PDMS block was placed within an ethanol-

sterilized plastic container with a moist paper towel on the bottom to create a humidified chamber.

4 μL of resuspended MuSC solution (i.e., 850 cells per tissue) was dispensed onto the tissue

surface, and evenly spread using a sterile cell spreader. The plastic container was then sealed with

a tight-fitting lid and the stem cell laden myotube templates (i.e., mini-MEndR tissues) were then

incubated for 1 hour at 37 °C, to allow the stem cells to incorporate into the mini-myotube

template. Following the incubation, tissues were carefully transferred back into their respective

96-well plate positions containing MEndR DM supplemented with 5 ng/mL rh-FGF2, and either

p38α/β MAPKi or DMSO carrier control (Table 4). The media, containing MEndR DM, FGF2

and drug treatments, was completely replaced every other day over the next 5 days. For the

remaining duration of the assay, tissues were cultured in MEndR DM, and half of the media was

replaced every other day.

Statistical Analysis

A minimum of three biological replicates (N) were conducted with multiple technical replicates

(n) for most experiments as detailed in Table 5. Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad

Prism 8.0 software. For comparison of two variables, statistical differences were determined by

unpaired Student's t-test or unpaired t-test with Welch's correction. For data with more than two

variables compared, a one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparison test was

utilized (Table 5). All values are expressed as mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM).

Significance was defined as $p \leq 0.05$.

Results

Selection of a commercially available cell source for myotube template fabrication

As a first step in establishing a workflow that removed bottlenecks in manufacturing observed in

the original MEndR protocol[10], we set out to select a commercially available primary human

myoblast cell line to remove logistical challenges associated with isolating cells from fresh patient

tissue samples. To do this, we differentiated three commercially available primary human

myoblast cell lines (Table 1) in 2D culture and compared them across a battery of metrics to select

lines for our study that were maximized for differentiation potential and we expected would be

minimized for Pax7^{+} "reserve cell"-mediated self-repair[10,16]. Specifically, we plated primary

myoblasts at equivalent passage number and cultured them for 1 day under growth media (GM)

conditions. We then transitioned the cultures to differentiation media (DM), and on the 9th day of

differentiation, we fixed the cultures for analysis (Figure 1A). All three cell lines formed

multinucleated structures that were immunoreactive for the contractile apparatus protein,

sarcomeric α-actinin (SAA; Figure 1B). The 18M cell line produced SAA[+] structures that covered

a greater proportion of the culture surface area as compared to the other two lines (Figure 1C). We

found that all three cell lines performed equivalently with regards to myotube nuclear accretion

upon evaluating the proportion of nuclei that contributed to SAA[+] structures (Figure 1D). These

data, coupled with visual inspection of the SAA staining (Figure 1B), in turn suggested that the

18M cell line likely produces myotubes of greater diameter than those produced by the other two

lines. Using immunocytochemistry to visualize Pax7^{+} cells, we found that both the 18F and 19F

cell lines had a greater proportion of mononucleated Pax7^{+} 'reserve cells'[16] present in myotube

cultures when compared to the 18M line (Figure 1E). Finally, we confirmed that the cell lines were

competent to produce myotubes when resuspended in a 3D fibrin-based hydrogel and cultured in

a cellulose scaffold using the original myotube template manufacturing protocol (Figure 1F-H). In

all cases, we observed the formation of myotubes structures and similar levels of SAA-coverage

within the scaffold (Figure 1G-H). Since our prior studies suggested that high reserve cell content

can mask the regenerative influence of muscle stem cells added to the assay[10], we elected to move

forward with the 18M and 19F lines and abandon the 18F line for this study.

Cellulose scaffolds pre-adsorbed with thrombin to allow scalable manufacturing

Having selected commercial cell lines, we set out to establish a workflow for production of

myotube templates in a manner that mitigated time-sensitive steps in the original fabrication

protocol that we have observed prove challenging for new users that want to adopt the MEndR

platform. In the original protocol, myogenic progenitors were resuspended within a fibrinogen /

thrombin / Geltrex[TM] based hydrogel precursor solution, which was subsequently manually

distributed over the cellulose scaffold to evenly spread the hydrogel suspensions and encourage

infiltration into the scaffold pores prior to hydrogel gelation[10]. A fibrin clot (i.e., hydrogel) forms

rapidly upon combining the fibrinogen with thrombin, allowing only a short window of time to

resuspend the myogenic progenitors within the solution, dispense the gel-cell solution onto the

scaffold, and infiltrate into the cellulose pores. When fabricating only a small (<24) number of

scaffolds, this time window is a minimal problem. However, we were concerned that when

fabricating 96-scaffolds, this short time window would present a significant challenge. To

decouple the hydrogel mixing and gelation steps, we assessed if we could adsorb thrombin (which

initiates fibrin gelation), to the cellulose scaffold (Figure 2A). We reasoned that using this

approach the myogenic progenitor / fibrinogen / Geltrex[TM] solution would polymerize in situ only

upon making contact with the thrombin-adsorbed cellulose scaffold. We first wanted to confirm

that pre-adsorbing fibrin on the scaffold resulted in hydrogel gelation upon infiltration into the

scaffold. To this end, we mixed fluorescent beads into the fibrinogen / thrombin / Geltrex[TM]

hydrogel precursor solution, infiltrated the solution into the pre-adsorbed scaffold and allowed

gelation, imaged the beads, washed vigorously to rinse out any unpolymerized hydrogel (and

beads), and then re-imaged the remaining beads to allow us to quantify bead loss due to the

washing step (Figure 2A-C). As expected, when no thrombin was present, bead loss occurred upon

washing the scaffolds. In conditions where thrombin was directly mixed into the pre-cursor

solution, or pre-adsorbed onto the cellulose scaffold, minimal bead loss was observed (Figure 2B-

C).

When we used this pre-adsorption protocol with myoblasts rather than beads, within one

week of culture, we observed thin sheets of multinucleated myotubes form across the two cell lines

tested (Figure 2D-E). Further, the proportion of 3D tissues covered by SAA$^+$ myotubes in myotube

templates formed using pre-adsorption of thrombin to the scaffold was similar to those formed

when thrombin was directly mixed in (Figure 2E-F). We therefore conclude that the pre-adsorption

of the thrombin in the cellulose scaffold enabled robust manufacturing of muscle templates that

differentiated as expected.

Fabrication of myotube templates within a 96-well footprint

We next set out to manufacture MEndR tissues compatible with a 96-well plate footprint. To

miniaturize myotube templates from the original 24-well rectangular format[10], we cut sheets of

cellulose teabag paper into circular discs using a 5 mm circular biopsy punch (Figure 3A). The

circular cellulose scaffolds were autoclaved and then placed into pluronic acid treated wells of a

96-well plate using anti-static tweezers. The pluronic acid treatment discouraged myoblast

migration or attachment beyond the boundary of the scaffold (Supplementary Figure 1). For both

of the myoblast cell lines, we observed formation of multinucleated myotubes by day 7 of

differentiation and similar levels of SAA tissue coverage at days 10 and 14 of culture, at which

point, we concluded the experiment (Figure 3B-D). SAA tissue coverage at day 7 in the

miniaturized format (Figure 3C-D) was similar to that observed in the large format at day 8 for

both cell lines (Figure 1H versus Figures 3C and D). Importantly, we found that, in general, three

rounds of practice seeding the 96-well format myotube templates using the optimized protocol was

sufficient for new users to master the method (Supplementary Figure 2). We therefore concluded

that myotube templates could be generated in this smaller dimension format and that new users

with myoblast cell culture experience can quickly become proficient with the method.

Miniaturized myotube templates possess limited self-repair capacity

Having miniaturized our myotube template platform into a 96-well format, we next set out to

demonstrate that our miniaturized platform supported the stem cell mediated repair workflow.

Specifically, we wanted to confirm that the miniaturized myotube templates were susceptible to

damage induced by cardiotoxin, a snake venom toxin commonly used to induce muscle damage in

mouse studies[17]. To do this, on day 7 of mini-myotube template culture, we introduced cardiotoxin

to the culture media (Figure 4A). Mini-myotube templates were fixed 24 hrs after the initial

cardiotoxin exposure and immunostained to visualize and quantify the resulting SAA$^+$ myotube

structures (Figure 4A-D). We observed a 21.31% +/- 4.37% and 24.12% +/- 5.24% decrease in

SAA immunoreactivity one day after cardiotoxin treatment in myotube templates generated from

the 18M and 19F myoblast lines, respectively (Figure 4C-D), consistent with prior results obtained

using the larger myotube template format[10].

We next analyzed the mini-myotube templates 10 days after cardiotoxin exposure, to

determine whether Pax7^{+} reserve cell activity alone regenerated the injured myotube templates

(Figure 5A). Similar to the larger myotube template format[10], we found that the SAA content failed

to return to levels observed in the uninjured control (Figures 5B-D, DMSO CTX(-) vs CTX (+)).

As expected, when templates were treated with an inhibitor of p38 α/β MAP kinase (p38i), a

treatment known to expand both muscle stem and progenitor cells[8], we observed that SAA content

was able to return to levels matching the uninjured control (Figure 5B-D). By contrast, treatment

with an epidermal growth factor receptor inhibitor (EGFRi) failed to stimulate reserve cell-

mediated myotube template repair, as measured by SAA coverage (Figure 5B-D). Together, these

results confirmed that the mini-myotube templates were receptive to injury, and had limited self-

repair capacity, allowing for enhancement by engrafting freshly isolated MuSCs.

A MACS-based MuSC enrichment strategy supports MEndR assay scale-up

We next set out to demonstrate that muscle stem cell mediated skeletal muscle tissue repair occurs

in the miniaturized myotube template format. We previously showed that freshly FACS-sorted

MuSCs introduced to injured myotube templates contribute to the process of tissue repair by

producing new myotubes[10]. For these studies, we prospectively isolated MuSCs from

enzymatically digested murine skeletal muscle tissue using a magnetic-activated cell sorting

(MACS) strategy (Supplementary Figure 3), which we first confirmed to produce similar results

as compared to MuSCs isolated using a traditional fluorescence activated cell sorting (FACS)

protocol (Supplementary Figure 4). For this comparison, we harvested hindlimb skeletal muscle

tissue from humanely euthanized Pax7-nGFP transgenic reporter mice (Supplementary Figure 3)

or those ubiquitously expressing yellow fluorescent protein (YFP; Supplementary Figure 4) and

subjected it to standard mechanical dissociation and enzymatic digestion protocols to produce a

single cell suspension. The cell suspension was split equally, processed, and sorted

(Supplementary Figure 3A) using either a FACS (Supplementary Figure 3B-C) or MACS-based

protocol (Supplementary Figure 3C-D). The cell populations harvested from Pax7-nGFP animals

were characterized immediately after enrichment using flow cytometry (Supplementary Figure

3C). We found that 97.87% +/- 2.24% of events from FACS-enriched events were GFP$^+$, whereas

91.70% +/- 0.97% of events were GFP$^+$ following a modified MACS enrichment strategy that

employed two-rounds of lineage depletion (Supplementary Figure 3C-D). We speculated that the

greater proportion of GFP-negative cells within the MACS-enriched cell population could be owed

to integrin α-7$^+$ contaminating cell types[18], or that it may be an artifact of magnetic-enrichment

protocols which are less effective than FACS at removing cellular debris. In prior studies, we

plated the FACS and MACS-enriched cell populations in culture and then 12 hrs later, enumerated

the viable GFP positive and negative cells in each well[19]. This uncovered a dramatic loss of the

GFP-negative population from the MACS-enriched cell population, resulting in an enrichment for

GFP-positive cells that matched values obtained using FACS-enrichment. As expected, increased

experience using the MACS method correlates with a consistently higher proportion of GFP$^+$ cells

in the populations enriched from Pax7-nGFP transgenic animals (data not shown). It is also worth

noting that in our experience MACS-based enrichment consistently offered higher cell yields when

compared to the FACS-based strategy (data not shown). Together this data suggested that MACS

was a feasible and convenient method for sorting MuSCs from mouse hindlimb skeletal muscle

tissue for our scaled MEndR assay.

Miniaturized format supports muscle endogenous repair (mini-MEndR) assays

We next wanted to confirm that our miniaturized myotube template system, seeded with MuSCs,

could replicate key results observed using the original MEndR assay which successfully identified

and recapitulated outcomes of known stimulators of murine muscle stem cell mediated muscle

tissue repair[10]. We generated myotube templates, and then on day 6 of differentiation we

introduced MACS enriched MuSCs from YFP-transgenic animals. 12 hr after MuSC engraftment,

co-cultures were then exposed to cardiotoxin to injure the myotube templates, after which we

introduced to the culture media a p38 α/β MAPK inhibitor (p38i), a known modulator of stem cell

skeletal mediated repair, or DMSO carrier control. At 7 or 10 days post-injury (DPI), the tissues

were fixed and immunostained to evaluate the donor-derived contributions to muscle tissue repair

(Figure 6A-B). Consistent with our results in the large MEndR format, we observed increased

donor derived myotube formation in tissues treated with p38i, suggesting enhanced stem cell

mediated repair that was apparent at 10 DPI (Figure 6C-D). This effect was observed when MuSCs

were introduced to myotube templates generated from both the 18M and 19F primary myoblasts

(Figure 6C-D). Further, MuSCs prospectively isolated using the MACS-enrichment method

performed in a functionally equivalent manner by this metric when compared against those sorted

using a classic FACS-based method (Supplementary Figure 4). The effect of p38i on donor

myotube production was statistically detectable by 7 DPI, and was not significantly different from

the results obtained at 10 DPI. This observation suggests that a shortened timeline to assess stem

cell-mediated muscle production using the mini-MEndR assay is feasible, benefiting future

screening applications.

We next assessed another key read-out of stem cell mediated skeletal muscle repair, niche

repopulation, which we have shown is captured in the original MEndR format[10]. For these studies,

we repeated the protocol described above, using instead MuSCs isolated from Pax7-nGFP

transgenic reporter animals (Figure 7A). On day 7 post-injury, when tissue repair was complete,

we observed a population of mononucleated Pax7$^+$ donor-derived (GFP; Figure 7B), which we

previously showed had reversibly exited cell cycle[10]. Further, and in line with results obtained in

the original MEndR platform, we observed a ~3-fold increase in these donor-derived Pax7$^+$ cells

in response to p38i treatment (Figure7C-D). The MEndR and mini-MEndR assays were designed

as a mixed species cell 'competition' assay in part to enable multiplexed analyses of human and

mouse Pax7$^+$ populations within the same assay. The mouse Pax7$^+$ cell population is isolated from

a transgenic animal and therefore easily distinguished from the unlabeled Pax7$^+$ 'reserve cells' that

exist within the primary human myoblast population, which is used to fabricate the myotube

template. Thus, enumerating the mononucleated Pax7 immunoreactive cells present in the

myotube template at the end-point of a mini-MEndR assay, while tracking whether they are GFP

labeled (mouse) or unlabeled (human) enables a cursory assessment of species specific Pax7$^+$ cell

responses. Consistently, when we enumerated the Pax7$^+$ reserve cell abundance at the assay end-

point, treatment with the p38i produced an increase in the incidence of mononucleated Pax7$^+$

reserve cells (Figure 7E-F).

Together this data suggested that the miniaturized muscle endogenous repair assay (mini-

MEndR) replicates all of the stem cell functional metrics previously reported in the original large

format MEndR assay.

Discussion

In this paper we set out to miniaturize and improve the manufacturing workflow of our

previously reported skeletal muscle stem cell mediated repair assay, known as MEndR[10], to

enable wider adoption of this powerful assay to identify novel molecular targets that promote

muscle regeneration. We addressed three critical bottlenecks in the workflow of the original

MEndR assay, that have up until now limited its capacity to assess molecular targets at a

practically useful scale. Firstly, we demonstrate use of primary human myoblasts from a

commercial source to generate the myotube template to reduce myotube template variation

associated with the use of different muscle biopsy donors. Second, we developed a method to

integrate the hydrogel crosslinker into the scaffold to trigger in situ gelation to counter the rapid

gelation properties of the fibrin hydrogel used to manufacture the myotube template, which had

limited the number of myotube templates that can be robustly manufactured using one batch of

myoblast-hydrogel suspension. Third, we validate the use of an alternative to FACS for sorting

skeletal muscle stem cells from digested skeletal muscle which overcomes limits on the number

of wells that can be assessed in parallel using freshly isolated adult stem cells. Further, we

miniatured the format of the assay ~6-fold to reduce both the number of template cells and added

stem cells required per well to perform the assay, and demonstrated the possibility of a shorter

assay endpoint.

The use of cross-linker adsorption to the paper scaffold to enable in situ hydrogel gelation

during tissue manufacturing was particularly critical to able scalable manufacturing of the

myotube template due to the rapid gelation of fibrin upon addition of the thrombin crosslinker to

the bulk hydrogel solution containing the myoblasts. We anticipate this innovation could enable

the manufacturing of myotube templates using robotic liquid handling in the future to support

tissue manufacturing for large scale screening efforts. Indeed this strategy could potentially be

utilized to generate tissues for other applications that use two component hydrogels in which gel

crosslinking is initiated by the addition of an external crosslinking component as opposed to

simply a temperature change alone. Interestingly, we observed that the use of thrombin pre-

adsorption dramatically reduced the number of attempts required to master the mini-MEndR

seeding protocol, which suggests that pre-mature hydrogel gelation could be a key source of

inter-well variation in other paper-based systems[13,20].

While this work successfully increased the throughput capacity of our MEndR assay to

enable potential screening of drug candidates at a 96-well plate scale, it is important to note some

remaining limitations of the mini-MEndR assay. We note that removal of the myotube template

from each well to integrate the muscle stem cells remains a rate limiting step in our work flow.

However, this step does not significantly impact usability at a 96-well plate throughput. A 96-

well throughput is appropriate for assessing 10s to 100s of targets. This manual removal step,

however would limit use of robots in fully automating our assay. If a larger scale screening

capacity is desirable in the future, the MEndR assay could be further integrated with the SPOT

platform[20], reported by our group, which we recently demonstrated is compatible with robotic

liquid handler to enable massive increases in scale[21].

Given that the myotube template contains a population of $Pax7^+$ reserve cells that have

the potential to contribute to myotube template repair, even in the absence of adding a MuSC

population, means that readouts of mini-MEndR SAA-coverage alone are not able to distinguish

between drugs that target this reserve cell population versus those that target the MuSC

population specifically. Thus, it is important for MuSCs that are added to mini-MEndR

experiments to be fluorescently labeled to disentangle MuSC and template reserve cell

regenerative activities. An advantage of conducting mixed species mini-MEndR experiments is

the ability to track the influence of treatments on both human $Pax7^+$ reserve cell and mouse

MuSC self-renewal and differentiation within the same experiment. The user has complete

control over MuSC content in each experiment, but limited control over the drift in $Pax7^+$ reserve

cell content. Further, there is ongoing debate with regards to drawing conclusions about human

MuSC biology based solely on human $Pax7^+$ reserve cell studies. For example, the EGFRi

treatment induced different effects on mouse MuSCs as compared to human $Pax7^+$ reserve cells

based upon our experiments, and whether this reflects an influence of species or is a MuSC vs

reserve cell difference has yet to be determined. Thus, downstream studies to evaluate the effects

of 'hits' on bonefide human MuSCs are to be expected, and adaptions of the assay to integrate

MuSC freshly enriched from human muscle biopsies are underway. The capacity to conduct

follow up experiments at a higher scale in combination with the compatibility of mini-MEndR

tissues to be imaged semi-automatically with high-content imaging systems will serve to

facilitate follow up dose response and mechanistic studies of molecular targets identified in the

initial screen.

Conclusions

Identification of drugs to boost the capacity of MuSCs to drive skeletal muscle endogenous repair is an exciting approach to address various conditions that lead to impaired skeletal muscle regeneration, such as aging or DMD. The MEndR assay provides a powerful functional assay "in a dish" with the potential to shortlist molecular targets that enhance human skeletal muscle endogenous repair. Here we adapted the original MEndR manufacturing workflow to enable the robust manufacturing of a 96-well plate "mini-MEndR" platform. Further we validated that this miniaturized platform recapitulates the known endogenous repair readouts captured in the original larger MEndR format. The mini-MEndR platform has the potential to enable the identification of novel molecular targets to modulate stem-cell mediated skeletal muscle repair and to facilitate an exploration of their cellular and molecular mechanism(s) of action.

Acknowledgements

N.G., S.D., and B.X. contributed equally to this work. S.R., and E.J. contributed equally to this work. BioRender was utilized to produce schemata elements. This project was funded by a Canada First Research Excellence Fund "Medicine by Design (MbD)" grant to P.M.G. and A.P.M. (MbDC2-2019-02), a Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC) Idea to Innovation grant awarded to A.P.M. and P.M.G. (I2IPJ 549768-20), a University of Toronto Connaught Fund awarded to A.P.M. and P.M.G., a Canada Research Chair in Endogenous Repair award to P.M.G. (#950-231201), Stem Cell Network grant to P.M.G. (#FY20/DRP-1), Ontario Institute for Regenerative Medicine grant to P.M.G. (#2018-0510), CGS-D Awards to B.X. and E.J., a CGS-M Award to S.R., an NSERC CREATE TOeP scholarship to S.D., Ontario Graduate Scholarships to B.X., S.R., and E.J. and a Norman F. Moody Award to B.X.

Author contributions

N.G., S.D., B.X., S.R., E.J., J.P., and A.F. designed and performed research, analyzed data, and prepared figures. A.P.M., P.M.G., and S.D. conceived of the project and supervised the research. All authors contributed to data interpretation. A.P.M., P.M.G., N.G., B.X., and S.R. wrote the manuscript. All authors reviewed and approved the manuscript.

Conflict of Interest

The authors have no competing interests, or other interests that might be perceived to influence the results and/or discussion reported in this paper.

Data and Materials Availability Statement

The datasets generated during and analyzed in this study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

References

(1) Brunet, A.; Goodell, M. A.; Rando, T. A. Ageing and Rejuvenation of Tissue Stem Cells and Their Niches. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **2023**, *24* (1), 45–62. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41580-022-00510-w.

(2) Dumont, N. A.; Wang, Y. X.; Von Maltzahn, J.; Pasut, A.; Bentzinger, C. F.; Brun, C. E.; Rudnicki, M. A. Dystrophin Expression in Muscle Stem Cells Regulates Their Polarity and Asymmetric Division. *Nat. Med.* **2015**, *21* (12), 1455–1463. https://doi.org/10.1038/nm.3990.

(3) Wang, Y. X.; Feige, P.; Brun, C. E.; Hekmatnejad, B.; Dumont, N. A.; Renaud, J. M.; Faulkes, S.; Guindon, D. E.; Rudnicki, M. A. EGFR-Aurka Signaling Rescues Polarity and Regeneration Defects in Dystrophin-Deficient Muscle Stem Cells by Increasing Asymmetric Divisions. *Cell Stem Cell* **2019**, *24* (3), 419—-432.e6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stem.2019.01.002.

(4) Rozo, M.; Li, L.; Fan, C. M. Targeting B1-Integrin Signaling Enhances Regeneration in Aged and Dystrophic Muscle in Mice. *Nat. Med.* **2016**, *22* (8), 889–896. https://doi.org/10.1038/nm.4116.

(5) Porpiglia, E.; Mai, T.; Kraft, P.; Holbrook, C. A.; de Morree, A.; Gonzalez, V. D.; Hilgendorf, K. I.; Frésard, L.; Trejo, A.; Bhimaraju, S.; Jackson, P. K.; Fantl, W. J.; Blau, H. M. Elevated CD47 Is a Hallmark of Dysfunctional Aged Muscle Stem Cells That Can Be Targeted to Augment Regeneration. *Cell Stem Cell* **2022**, *29* (12), 1653-1668.e8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stem.2022.10.009.

(6) Benjamin, D. I.; Both, P.; Benjamin, J. S.; Nutter, C. W.; Tan, J. H.; Kang, J.; Machado, L. A.; Klein, J. D. D.; de Morree, A.; Kim, S.; Liu, L.; Dulay, H.; Feraboli, L.; Louie, S. M.; Nomura, D. K.; Rando, T. A. Fasting Induces a Highly Resilient Deep Quiescent State in Muscle Stem Cells via Ketone Body Signaling. *Cell Metab.* **2022**, *34* (6), 902-918.e6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2022.04.012.

(7) Gilbert, P. M.; Havenstrite, K. L.; Magnusson, K. E. G.; Sacco, A.; Leonardi, N. A.; Kraft, P.; Nguyen, N. K.; Thrun, S.; Lutolf, M. P.; Blau, H. M. Substrate Elasticity Regulates Skeletal Muscle Stem Cell Self-Renewal in Culture. *Science* **2010**, *329* (5995), 1078–1081. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1191035.

(8) Cosgrove, B. D.; Gilbert, P. M.; Porpiglia, E.; Mourkioti, F.; Lee, S. P.; Corbel, S. Y.; Llewellyn, M. E.; Delp, S. L.; Blau, H. M. Rejuvenation of the Muscle Stem Cell Population Restores Strength to Injured Aged Muscles. *Nat. Med.* **2014**, *20* (3), 255–264. https://doi.org/10.1038/nm.3464.

(9) Sacco, A.; Doyonnas, R.; Kraft, P.; Vitorovic, S.; Blau, H. M. Self-Renewal and Expansion
of Single Transplanted Muscle Stem Cells. *Nature* **2008**, *456* (7221), 502–506.
https://doi.org/10.1038/nature07384.
(10) Davoudi, S.; Xu, B.; Jacques, E.; Cadavid, J. L.; McFee, M.; Chin, C.-Y.; Meysami, A.;
Ebrahimi, M.; Bakooshli, M. A.; Tung, K.; Ahn, H.; Ginsberg, H. J.; McGuigan, A. P.;
Gilbert, P. M. MEndR: An in Vitro Functional Assay to Predict in Vivo Muscle Stem Cell-
Mediated Repair. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2021**.
(11) Fleming, J. W.; Capel, A. J.; Rimington, R. P.; Wheeler, P.; Leonard, A. N.; Bishop, N. C.;
Davies, O. G.; Lewis, M. P. Bioengineered Human Skeletal Muscle Capable of Functional
Regeneration. *BMC Biol.* **2020**, *18* (1), 145. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12915-020-00884-3.
(12) Wang, J.; Broer, T.; Chavez, T.; Zhou, C. J.; Tran, S.; Xiang, Y.; Khodabukus, A.; Diao,
Y.; Bursac, N. Myoblast Deactivation within Engineered Human Skeletal Muscle Creates a
Transcriptionally Heterogeneous Population of Quiescent Satellite-like Cells. *Biomaterials*
2022, *284*, 121508. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2022.121508.
(13) Rodenhizer, D.; Dean, T.; Xu, B.; Cojocari, D.; McGuigan, A. P. A Three-Dimensional
Engineered Heterogeneous Tumor Model for Assessing Cellular Environment and
Response. *Nat. Protoc.* **2018**, *13* (9), 1917–1957. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41596-018-0022-
9.
(14) Sambasivan, R.; Gayraud-Morel, B.; Dumas, G.; Cimper, C.; Paisant, S.; Kelly, R. G.;
Tajbakhsh, S. Distinct Regulatory Cascades Govern Extraocular and Pharyngeal Arch
Muscle Progenitor Cell Fates. *Dev Cell* **2009**, *16* (6), 810–821.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2009.05.008 S1534-5807(09)00210-X [pii].
(15) Davoudi, S.; Chin, C.-Y.; Cooke, M. J.; Tam, R. Y.; Shoichet, M. S.; Gilbert, P. M. Muscle
Stem Cell Intramuscular Delivery within Hyaluronan Methylcellulose Improves
Engraftment Efficiency and Dispersion. *Biomaterials* **2018**, *173*, 34–46.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2018.04.048.
(16) Laumonier, T.; Bermont, F.; Hoffmeyer, P.; Kindler, V.; Menetrey, J. Human Myogenic
Reserve Cells Are Quiescent Stem Cells That Contribute to Muscle Regeneration after
Intramuscular Transplantation in Immunodeficient Mice. *Sci. Rep.* **2017**, *7* (1), 3462.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-03703-y.
(17) Hardy, D.; Besnard, A.; Latil, M.; Jouvion, G.; Briand, D.; Thépenier, C.; Pascal, Q.;
Guguin, A.; Gayraud-Morel, B.; Cavaillon, J. M.; Tajbakhsh, S.; Rocheteau, P.; Chrétien,
F. Comparative Study of Injury Models for Studying Muscle Regeneration in Mice. *PloS*
One **2016**, *11* (1), e0147198. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0147198.
(18) Giordani, L.; He, G. J.; Negroni, E.; Sakai, H.; Law, J. Y. C.; Siu, M. M.; Wan, R.;
Corneau, A.; Tajbakhsh, S.; Cheung, T. H.; Le Grand, F. High-Dimensional Single-Cell
Cartography Reveals Novel Skeletal Muscle-Resident Cell Populations. *Mol. Cell* **2019**, *74*
(3), 609-621.e6. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MOLCEL.2019.02.026.
(19) Jacques, E.; Kuang, Y.; Kann, A. P.; Le Grand, F.; Krauss, R. S.; Gilbert, P. M. The Mini-
IDLE 3D Biomimetic Culture Assay Enables Interrogation of Mechanisms Governing
Muscle Stem Cell Quiescence and Niche Repopulation. *eLife* **2022**, *11*, e81738.
https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.81738.
(20) Li, N. T.; Wu, N. C.; Cao, R.; Cadavid, J. L.; Latour, S.; Lu, X.; Zhu, Y.; Mijalkovic, M.;
Roozitalab, R.; Landon-Brace, N.; Notta, F.; McGuigan, A. P. An Off-the-Shelf Multi-Well
Scaffold-Supported Platform for Tumour Organoid-Based Tissues. *Biomaterials* **2022**, *291*,
121883. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2022.121883.

(21) Cao, R.; Li, N. T.; Cadavid, J. L.; Latour, S.; Tan, C. M.; McGuigan, A. P. *An Automation*
Workflow for High-Throughput Manufacturing and Analysis of Scaffold-Supported 3D
Tissue Arrays; preprint; Bioengineering, 2022. https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.08.20.504600.
707

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Characterization of commercially available human pMB lines. (A) Experimental
timeline for the 2D differentiation of the human primary myoblasts. **(B)** Representative 10X
confocal images of the three commercially available human myoblasts immunostained for
sarcomeric α-actinin (SAA; magenta) and counterstained for DRAQ5 (grey) on day 9 of
differentiation in 2D culture. Scale bar, 500 µm. **(C-E)** Bar graphs quantifying SAA coverage **(C)**,
average nuclear fusion index **(D)**, and ratio of Pax7$^+$ reserve cells amongst the mononuclear cells
(E) in 2D culture on day 9 differentiation comparing the three cell lines. Graphs display mean ±
s.e.m., one-way ANOVA with Tukey post-test. ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$, **** $p < 0.0001$, ns. -
no significance. n=3 wells from N=1 experiment per cell line. **(F)** Schematic of the 24-well
myotube template derivation workflow. **(G)** Representative confocal images of SAA (magenta)
immunostaining in myotube templates at day 8 of differentiation that were generated using the
18M, 18F and 19F cell lines. Scale bar, 500 µm. **(H)** Bar graph quantification of myotube template
SAA coverage at day 8 of differentiation. Graphs display mean ± s.e.m., one-way ANOVA with
Tukey post-test, ns. – no significance. n=2 tissues from N =1 experiment per cell line.

Figure 2. Preabsorbing thrombin in paper scaffolds to facilitate myotube template seeding.
(A) Schematic of the bead assay workflow. Cellulose scaffolds were pre-adsorbed with thrombin
and seeded with fluorescent beads diluted 10 times in ECM master mix. The beads were imaged
before and after washing to quantify bead retention. **(B)** Representative confocal images of bead
assay paper scaffolds before and after washing. Scale bar, 500 µm. **(C)** Quantification of the
number of beads before washing (black) and after washing (grey) for fluorescent beads seeded on
paper scaffolds without thrombin, with 0.8 U/ml thrombin mixed-in, or with 0.8 U/ml thrombin
pre-adsorption. Graphs display mean ± s.e.m., two-way ANOVA followed by Sidak's multiple
comparisons test *** $p < 0.001$, N=2, n=8. **(D)** Experimental workflow for comparing thrombin
mixed-in (left) to pre-adsorption (right) methods on myotube template formation. **(E)**
Representative confocal images of myotube template stained for phalloidin (magenta) after 7 days
of differentiation. Scale bar, 500 µm. **(F)** Quantification of phalloidin coverage for thrombin

mixed-in vs pre-adsorption methods. ns. = no significance. n=11-24 tissues from N=3-4

independent experiments.

Figure 3. **Mini-myotube template derivation. (A)** Schematic of the mini-myotube template

derivation workflow. Cellulose scaffolds were pre-adsorbed with thrombin and seeded with human

pMBs mixed in an ECM mixture containing DMEM, fibrinogen and Geltrex^{TM}. **(B)** Representative

confocal images of SAA (magenta) immunostaining for mini-myotube templates generated with

the 18M and 19F cell lines and analyzed on days 7, 10, and 14 of differentiation. Scale bar, 500

μm. **(C, D)** Quantification of SAA coverage in mini-myotube templates generated with the 18M

(C) and 19F **(D)** cell lines on days 7, 10, and 14 of differentiation. Graphs display mean ± s.e.m.

one-way ANOVA with Tukey post-test. * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$ ns. = no significance. n = 6-10

tissues from N = 3-4 independent experiments per cell line.

Figure 4. Myotoxin-induced mini-myotube templates injury. (A) Schematic of the template

injury workflow. Mini-myotube templates are incubated in MEndR differentiation media

containing 0.5 μm cardiotoxin (CTX) for 4 hr at 37 °C on an orbital shaker. **(B)** Representative

confocal images of uninjured (left; (-)) and injured (right; (+)) mini-myotube templates generated

with the 18M (top) or 19F (bottom) cell lines (SAA; magenta) at 1 DPI. Scale bar, 500 μm. **(C,**

D) Quantification of SAA tissue coverage in uninjured and injured mini-myotube templates

generated with 18M **(B)** or 19F **(C)** cell lines at 1 DPI. Graphs display mean ± s.e.m. Unpaired

student's t-test, **** $p < 0.0001$. n =11-20 tissues from N=5-6 independent experiments per cell

line.

Figure 5. p38α/β MAPKi, but not EGFRi, induces mini-myotube template self-repair. (A)

Experimental timeline to study mini-myotube template self-repair capacity. **(B)** Representative

confocal images of uninjured (CTX(-)) and injured (CTX(+)) myotube templates generated with

18M (top) or 19F (bottom) cell lines in response to treatment with p38α/β MAPK inhibitor (p38i),

epidermal growth factor inhibitor (EGFRi) or DMSO carrier control at 10 DPI. Scale bar, 500 μm.

(C, D) Quantification of SAA coverage in uninjured and injured myotube templates generated with

18M **(C)** or 19F **(D)**. Graphs display mean ± s.e.m. one-way ANOVA with Tukey post-test, *

p<0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001, **** p < 0.0001. n =10-15 tissues from N=4-5 independent

experiments per cell line.

Figure 6. p38α/β MAPKi treatment augments MuSC-mediated muscle production in mini-

MEndR assay. (A) Schematic of the mini-MEndR assay workflow. MuSCs from YFP transgenic

mice are isolated using the adapted magnetic assisted cell sorting (MACS) protocol and then

engrafted onto the mini-myotube templates on day 6 of differentiation, followed by drug

treatments and cardiotoxin-induced tissue injury. **(B)** Detailed experimental timeline for the mini-

MEndR assay workflow. **(C)** Representative confocal images of MuSC-mediated (YFP$^+$) myotube

production in the injured mini-myotube templates produced with 18M (top panel) 19F (bottom

panel) in response to treatment with DMSO or p38α/β MAPKi harvested at 7 DPI. Scale bar, 500

μm. **(D-E)** Quantification of the MuSC-mediated regeneration (%YFP coverage) in the injured

mini-myotube templates generated with 18M **(D)** or 19F **(E)** cell line harvested at 7 DPI or 10 DPI.

Graphs display mean± s.e.m; Unpaired two-tailed T-test with Welch's correction was conducted

for each condition. * p<0.05, ** p < 0.001, **** p, n=9-12 tissues from N=3-4 independent

experiments per cell line.

Figure 7. mini-MEndR assay captures response of treatment known to stimulate MuSC self-

renewal. (A) Detailed experimental timeline of donor-derived MuSC niche repopulation. **(B)**

Representative confocal images of Pax7$^+$GFP$^+$ donor derived MuSCs in mini-MEndR tissues

treated with p38α/β MAPKi at 7 DPI. Tissues are immunostained for Pax7 (grey), GFP (green),

and DAPI (cyan). Magenta arrowheads point to the Pax7$^+$ GFP$^+$ donor MuSCs and yellow

arrowheads point to the Pax7$^+$ GFP$^-$ reserve cells derived from the myotube template. Scale bar,

50 μm. **(C-F)** Quantification of Pax7$^+$ GFP$^+$ cells derived from donor MuSCs **(C-D)** or Pax7$^+$ GFP$^-$

reserve cells derived from the myotube template **(E-F)** in mini-MEndR tissues generated with 18M

(C, E) and 19F **(D, F)** cell lines, following treatment with DMSO or p38α/β MAPKi in the context

of injury and analyzed at 7 DPI. Graphs display mean ± s.e.m.; Unpaired two-tailed T-test with

Welch's correction. * p < 0.5, *** p < 0.001, **** p < 0.0001. n=6-9 tissues from N=3 independent

experiments per cell line.

Supplementary Figure Legends

Supplementary Figure 1. Pluronic acid coating allows for the generation of smooth mini-myotube template edges. Representative confocal images of mini-myotubes generated with (+) and without (-) pluronic acid coating. Tissues are immunostained for sacromeric α-actinin (SAA; magenta). Scale bar, 1 mm.

Supplementary Figure 2. Mini-MEndR platform manufacture can be quickly mastered by new users. (A) Representative confocal images of myotube templates generated by the same user at different levels of experience. Tissues were fixed after 7 days of differentiation and stained with phalloidin (magenta). Scale bar, 500 μm. **(B)** Quantification of Phalloidin (User 1) or SAA (User 2, 3) tissue coverage attained by three different users who trained from first-time user to expert level as indicated by plateauing coverage and homogeneous mini-myotube templates. Coverage was normalized to the average of each user's three most recent seeding attempts at expert level to account for cell line-to-cell line differences in absolute fiber coverage.

Supplementary Figure 3. Gating strategy used for MACS or FACS based MuSCs isolation. (A) Schematic showcasing the workflow of MACS vs FACS MuSC isolation protocols. **(B)** Flow cytometry plots demonstrating gating strategy to enrich MuSCs from Pax7-nGFP transgenic mice using FACS. **(C)** Representative plots of green fluorescent protein (GFP)[+] cells present in MuSC preparations derived from Pax7-nGFP tissue samples using FACS (left) as compared to MACS (right)**. (D)** Enumeration of % total GFP^{+} cells enriched from Pax7-nGFP tissue samples using a variety of optimisations that include the number of lineage depletion column rounds with or without an integin-α7 column enrichment round performed during the MACS protocol.

Supplementary Figure 4. MACS and FACS sorted MuSCs are functionally similar in mini-MEndR assays. (A) Representative confocal images and **(B)** quantification of yellow fluorescent protein (YFP; yellow) immunostaining coverage of 18M mini-MEndR tissues at 10 DPI that were generated with FACS or MACS sorted MuSCs and treated with DMSO. Scale bar, 500 μm. Graphs display mean ± s.e.m.; unpaired two-tailed T-test with Welch's correction, ns = no significance. n=9-10 tissues from N = 3 independent experiments per cell line.

Table 1. Human skeletal muscle myoblast donor information

Age	Sex	Ethnicity	Vendor	Catalog #	Tissue of Origin	Additional Information
18	M	Caucasian	Cook MyoSite	SK-1111-P01236-18M	Rectus Abdominus	Active
18	F	Caucasian	Cook MyoSite	SK-1111-P01431-18F	Vastus Lateralis	Healthy, No known medical conditions
19	F	Caucasian	Cook MyoSite	SK-1111-P01358-19F	Vastus Lateralis	N/A

Table 2. Culture medium and solutions

Media	Composition
Wash Medium	10 % FBS, 90 % DMEM
Human pMB Growth Medium	Ham's F-10 nutrient mix, 20 % Foetal bovine serum FBS, 5 ng/mL rh-FGF2, 1 % Penicillin-Streptomycin
2D Differentiation Media	DMEM, 2 % Horse serum, 10 g/mL insulin (Sigma, #I6634), 1 % Penicillin-Streptomycin
Rat Tail Collagen Solution	0.1 M Acetic Acid, Collagen I Rat Tail Stock solution (Gibco #A1048301), 0.1 M Acetic Acid, Collagen Stock Solution
MuSC Growth Medium	DMEM/F12, 1 % Pen-strep (P/S), 20 % Foetal bovine serum (FBS), 10 % Horse serum (HS), 1 % 2 mM Q (Glutamax), 1 % Insulin-Transferrin-Selenium (ITS), 1 % Non-Essential Amino acids, 1 % 1 mM Sodium Pyruvate, 50 uM (1/1,000) β-mercaptoethanol, 5 ng/ml (1/5,000) Gibco FGF2
MEndR Growth Medium	Ham's F-10 nutrient mix, 20 % FBS, 1.5 mg/mL 6-Aminocaproic Acid (ACA, Sigma, #A2504), 1 % Penicillin-Streptomycin
MEndR Differentiation Medium	DMEM, 2 % Horse serum, 2 mg/mL ACA, 10 g/mL insulin (Sigma, #I6634), 1 % Penicillin-Streptomycin
Fibrinogen Solution	10 mg/mL Fibrinogen (Sigma, #F8630) in NaCl solution (0.9 % wt/vol in ddH2O)
Extracellular Matrix (ECM) Master Mix	40 % DMEM, 40 % Fibrinogen, 20 % Geltrex™

Red Blood Cell Lysis Buffer	H_2O, 0.155 M NH_4Cl, 0.01 M $KHCO_3$, 0.1 mM EDTA
FACS Buffer	PBS, 2.5 % goat serum, 2 mM EDTA
Blocking Solution	PBS, 10 % goat serum, 0.3 % Triton X-100 (BioShop, #TRX777)

834 **Table 3. Antibodies and other reagents**

Antibody	Host species	Dilution	Manufacturer
Alexafluor® 647 Anti-Human CD56	Mouse	1:20 (FC)	BD, #557711
eFluor® 660 Anti-Mouse CD34	Rat	1:66 (FC)	eBioscience, #50034182
PE Anti-Mouse α7-Integrin	Rat	1:500 (FC)	AbLab, #530010-05
Biotin Anti-Mouse CD31	Rat	1:200 (FC)	BD, #553371
Biotin Anti-Mouse CD45	Rat	1:500 (FC)	BD, #553078
Biotin Anti-Mouse CD11b	Rat	1:200 (FC)	BD, #553309)
Biotin Anti-Mouse Ly-6A/E	Rat	1:200 (FC)	BD, #553334
Propidium Iodide	-	1:1000	Sigma, # P4864
Anti-Sarcomeric α-actinin	Mouse	1:500	Sigma, #A7811
Anti-GFP	Rabbit	1:500 (IF)	ThermoFisher, #A11122
Anti-Pax7	Mouse	1.5:1 (IF)	Iscove
Alexafluor® 488 Anti-Rabbit	Goat	1:500 (IF)	ThermoFisher, #A11008
Alexafluor® 546 Anti-Mouse	Goat	1:500 (IF)	ThermoFisher, #A11003
Alexafluor® 647 Anti-Rat	Goat	1:500 (IF)	ThermoFisher, #A21247
Phalloidin 568	-	1:500 (IF)	Life Technologies, #A12380

Hoechst	-	1:1000 (IF)	ThermoFisher, #H3570
DRAQ5	-	1:1000 (IF)	Cell Signaling Technology, #4084L
DAPI	-	1:1000 (IF)	Roche, #10236276001
Satellite Cell Isolation Kit	Mouse	1:5 (MACS)	Miltenyi Biotec, #130-104-268
Anti-Integrin-α7 Beads	Mouse	1:5 (MACS)	Miltenyi Biotec, #130-104-261
Click-iT^{TM} Plus EdU Cell Proliferation Kit		(EdU, 1 μM)	ThermoFisher, #C10638

837 **Table 4. Small molecule information**

Drug name	Target	Working concentration	Manufacturer
SB203580	p38α/β MAPK	10 μM	New England biolabs, #5633
Erlotinib Hydrochloride	Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor (EGF)	5 μM	Sigma Aldrich, #sc-202154
DMSO	-	-	Sigma Aldrich, #D2650

839 **Table 5. Statistical tests and analysis**

Figure	Biological experiments (N)	Total tissues/wells per condition (n)	Total images/wells per tissue	Statistical tests
1C	1 per cell line	3	5	Ordinary one-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparison's test
1D	1 per cell line	3	5	Ordinary one-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparison's test
1E	1 per cell line	3	5	Ordinary one-way ANOVA with

				Tukey's multiple comparison's test
1H	1 per cell line	2	3	Ordinary one-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparison's test
2C	2	8	1	Two-way ANOVA with Sidak's multiple comparisons test
2F	Mixed in: 3 Pre-adsorped: 4	Mixed in: 11 Pre-adsorped:24	1	Unpaired two-tailed t-test
3C	3	Day 7: 9 Day 10: 10 Day 14: 10	1	Ordinary one-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparison's test
3D	3	Day 7: 9 Day 10: 8 Day 14: 6	1	Ordinary one-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparison's test
4C	6	CTX (-): 18 CTX (+): 20	1	Unpaired t-test
4D	5	CTX (-): 15 CTX (+): 16	1	Unpaired t-test
5C	5	DMSO CTX (-):15 DMSO CTX (+):15 p38i CTX (+):15 EGFRi CTX (+):15	1	Ordinary one-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparison's test
5D	4	DMSO CTX (-):12 DMSO CTX (+):12 p38i CTX (+):12 EGFRi CTX (+):10	1	Ordinary one-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparison's test
6C	4	DPI7 DMSO: 11 DPI7 p38i:12 DPI10 DMSO:11 DPI10 p38i:10	1	Multiple t-tests with Welch's correction
6D	3	DPI7 DMSO: 9 DPI7 p38i:9	1	Multiple t-tests with Welch's correction

		DPI10 DMSO:8 DPI10 p38i:9		
7C	3	DMSO: 6 p38i: 8	4-7	Unpaired two-tailed T-test with Welch's correction
7D	3	DMSO: 7 P38i: 8	4-7	Unpaired two-tailed T-test with Welch's correction
7E	3	DMSO: 6 p38i: 8	4-7	Unpaired two-tailed T-test with Welch's correction
7F	3	DMSO: 7 P38i: 8	4-7	Unpaired two-tailed T-test
SI 4B	3	FACS: 9 MACS: 10	1	Unpaired two-tailed T-test with Welch's correction

840
841
842

Figure 1

Figure 2

A
1. Thrombin Pre-adsorption — 45 mins
2. Fluorescent Beads
3. Image Initial # of Beads
4. Washes — x3 PBS
5. Image Remaining # of Beads

B
No Thrombin (-) Mixed-In (+) Pre-Adsorption
Before Wash
After Wash
Beads

C
# of Beads in Image Recorded

No Thrombin   ****
Thrombin Mixed-in   ns
Thrombin pre-adsorption   ns

○ Before wash   ○ After wash

D
1. Myoblast Seeding + Thrombin Mixed-in
2. Differentiation to Myotube Template — 7 days

1. Thrombin Pre-adsorption — 45 mins
2. Myoblast Seeding
3. Differentiation to Myotube Template — 7 days

E
Thrombin Mixed-In   Thrombin Pre-Adsorption
Phalloidin

F
Phalloidin Coverage (%)
ns
Mixed-in (+)   Pre-adsorption

Figure 3

A

5 mm Circular Cellulose Scaffolds → Scaffolds Placed In Pluronic Coated Wells → Thrombin pre-adsorption → Primary myoblasts mixed in ECM → Mini-Myotube Template

B

SAA

C

18M

SAA Coverage (%) vs Time (days)

D

19F

SAA Coverage (%) vs Time (days)

Figure 4

Figure 5

A

GM   DM   DM + FGF2 + Drugs   DM

Day -2   Day 0   Day 7   Day 12   Day 17

CTX Injury   (5 DPI)   (10 DPI)

Analysis

B

CTX (-) DMSO   CTX (+) DMSO   CTX (+) p38i   CTX (+) EGFRi

18M

19F

SAA

C   **D**

18M   19F

Figure 6

Figure 7

Supplementary Figure 1

Pluronic Acid (+) Pluronic Acid (-)

SAA

Supplementary Figure 2

A

USER EXPERIENCE

Phalloidin

B

Supplementary Figure 3

Supplementary Figure 4

A

B

ns

YFP Coverage (%)

FACS   MACS