1 **A HicA toxin-based counter-selection marker for allelic exchange mutations in**

2 **Fusobacterium nucleatum**

4 **Bibek GC, Peng Zhou and Chenggang Wu[†]**

5 *Department of Microbiology & Molecular Genetics, the University of Texas Health Science*
6 *Center, Houston, TX, USA*
7

9 **[†]** To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel. (+1) 713 500 5437; E-mail:
10 chenggang.wu@uth.tmc.edu

11
12

Running Title: HicA toxin as a counter-selection marker in *Fusobacterium nucleatum*

Keywords: HicA, toxin-antitoxin, counterselection marker, *Fusobacterium nucleatum*

ABSTRACT

The study of fusobacterial virulence factors has dramatically benefited from the creation of

various genetic tools for DNA manipulation, including the *galK*-based counterselection for in-

frame deletion mutagenesis in *Fusobacterium nucleatum* that we recently developed. However,

this method requires a host lacking the GalK gene, which is an inherent limitation. To

circumvent this limitation, we explored the possibility of using the HicA gene that encodes a

toxin consisting of a HicAB toxin-antitoxin module in *Fusobacterium periodonticum* as a new

counter-selective marker. Interestingly, the full-length HicA is not toxic in *F. nucleatum*, but a

truncated HicA version lacking the first six amino acids is functional as a toxin. The toxin

expression is driven by an *rpsJ* promoter and is controlled by its translational level using a

theophylline-responsive riboswitch unit. As a proof of concept, we created markerless in-frame

deletions in the fusobacterial adhesin RadD gene within *F. nucleatum rad* operon and the TnaA

gene that encodes the tryptophanase for indole production. After vector integration, plasmid

excision after counterselection appeared to have occurred in 100% of colonies grown on

theophylline-added plates and resulted in in-frame deletions in 50% of the screened isolates.

This HicA-based counterselection system provides a robust and reliable counterselection in

wild-type background *F. nucleatum* and should also be adapted for use in other bacteria.

IMPORTANCE

Fusobacterium nucleatum is an indole-producing oral anaerobe associated with periodontal

diseases, preterm birth, and several cancers. Little is known about the mechanisms of

fusobacterial pathogenesis and associated factors mainly due to the lack of robust genetic tools

for this organism. Here we showed that a mutated HicA gene from *Fuosbacerium periodonticum*

expresses an active toxin and was used as a counterselection marker. This *hicA*-based in-frame

deletion system efficiently creates in-frame deletion mutations in the wild-type background of *F.*

nucleatum. This is the first report to use the *hicA* gene as a counterselection marker in a

bacterial genetic study.

INTRODUCTION

F. nucleatum is a Gram-negative, indole-producing microorganism naturally isolated from

the oral cavity and is especially abundant within the subgingival plaque biofilm composed of

more than 400 bacterial species (1, 2). Using three major surface adhesin proteins, FadA (3, 4),

RadD (5, 6), and Fap2 (7, 8), *F. nucleatum* physically interacts with the host and many other

early or late colonizers in oral biofilm, making its presence tightly associated with the onset and

development of periodontal disease (2, 9, 10). In addition, evidence has shown that *F.*

nucleatum plays an essential role in extra-oral diseases like preterm birth (11, 12) and colorectal

cancer (13-16). To better understand the role of this bacterium in various disorders, it is

necessary to have comprehensive knowledge of *F. nucleatum's* biology and pathogenesis.

Such knowledge has been dramatically expanded in the past 15 years due to many genetic

tools being adapted for use in studies of this organism. There are two ways to perform genetic

studies of *F. nucleatum*. One way is to use the Tn5-based mutagenesis to produce many

random gene mutants in one single assay (7, 17); the other is to use the defined genetic

mutation that makes a single mutant each time. The defined mutations are usually engineered

using three methods: 1) plasmid insertion duplication mutagenesis (5, 18), 2) allelic exchange

mutagenesis with antibiotic cassette (3), and 3) markerless in-frame deletion (17, 19). The first

two methods are easy to carry out and were primarily employed in early studies of *F. nucleatum.*

But both have an obvious drawback, frequently creating undesirable polar effects on

downstream genes. One example is the early made *fadA* mutant strain (3). FadA is a major

adhesion/invasion factor that plays a significant role in the pathogenesis of *F. nucleatum* (4, 20).

This *fadA* mutation was generated by allelic exchange mutagenesis with erythromycin

resistance cassette *ermF-ermAM*. The generated mutant strain had a change in cell

morphology, which could not be restored with a *fadA* complementation (21). Interestingly, the

immediate downstream gene of *fadA* is *ftsX*, which encodes a cell division protein. Our previous

data (17) showed that FtsX is related to fusobacterial cytokinesis. So, it is possible that the

morphological alternation in this mutant strain was due to the erythromycin resistance cassette's

polar effect on *ftsX*. In addition, the number of available selectable markers limits the number of

mutations in a strain. However, these issues could be overcome by developing a markerless in-

frame deletion system.

The in-frame deletion procedure is a two-step process. First, two similarly sized fragments

that are homologous to sequences flanking the target locus are PCR amplified. The two

fragments are ligated adjacent to each other in a suicide vector. This construct is subsequently

integrated onto the chromosome via homologous recombination and selected using a positive

selection pressure, often with antibiotic resistance. Next, plasmid-excision/loss recombinants

are screened for a second recombination that occurs between the homologous regions, which is

created due to insertion duplication. Since the second recombination event is rare, screening

these recombinants that have undergone the double crossover is time-consuming.

Counterselection strategies are often introduced to facilitate this process to create markerless

in-frame deletions (22).

For this purpose, we introduced *galK* as a counterselection marker in *F. nucleatum* (17). The

galK gene encodes galactokinase, a key enzyme in galactose metabolism. This enzyme

phosphorylates D-galactose to generate galactose-1-phosphate and can also convert 2-deoxy-

D-galactose (2-DG) into 2-deoxygalctose-1-phosphate, which is not further metabolized and is

toxic to the cell. *F. nucleatum* carries a copy of the *galK* gene. To use *galK* for counterselection,

a *galK*-minus recipient strain must be constructed. An in-frame deletion vector was generated in

which the *galK* gene from *F. nucleatum* or *Clostridium acetobutylicum* was cloned, and a strong

and constitutive promoter controlled its expression. If the recipient strain lacks galactose kinase

(*galK*) and phosphate uridylyltransferase (*galT*), galactose could can be used instead of the

more expensive 2-deoxy-D-galactose molecule for selection (19). The *galK*-based

counterselection system consists of a *galK* minus or *galKT* recipient strain and an in-frame

deletion vector, which results in a strong negative selection in *F. nucleatum*. This shows that all

viable strains when selected with 2-deoxy-D-galactose undergo the second recombination to

excise the plasmid. This system successfully generated many fusobacterial in-frame deletions in

our lab and other groups (6, 17, 19, 23). However, the apparent limitation of this system is the

modified mutant recipient strain requirement. The intermediate product UDP-galactose from

galactose utilization is vital in cell envelope maintenance in bacteria (24, 25). The use of

galactose present in a culture medium or animal hosts by *F. nucleatum* could change its

physiology, as it would potentially module the function of Fap2, an important adhesin that binds

galactose and is responsible for cell-cell coaggregation and cell adhesion (7, 8). Consistently,

we identified several cases in which the recipient background impacted the phenotypes of our

markerless in-frame deletion strains in our studies (unpublished data). Thus, a new

counterselection marker is urgently needed to replace *galK* for genetic studies in *F. nucleatum*.

The HicAB is a type II toxin-antitoxin (TA) module (26) that is ubiquitously present in bacteria

and is involved in bacterial persistence and chronic infections (27). HicA is a type of

ribonuclease that can cause cell growth to stop when expressed without cognate antitoxin HicB.

So far, there is no study regarding TA systems in the genus *Fusobacterium*. We tried to explore

the possibility of using one of the HicA genes from fusobacterial species as a counterselection

marker.

In this study, we report the development of a HicA toxin gene-based in-frame deletion

system in *F. nucleatum*. We tested this system to construct two markerless in-frame deletions in

the fusobacterial adhesin gene *radD* and indole-producing gene *tnaA*.   Following

counterselection, plasmid loss occurred in every colony tested, while half of the screened

isolates grown on plates with theophylline were in-frame deletion mutants. To our knowledge,

this is the first report of using a HicA as a counterselection in bacteria.

RESULTS

A mutated HicA toxin from *F. periodonticum* is functional in *F. nucleatum*

In previous studies, we used the galK gene as a counterselection marker to efficiently create

in-frame deletion mutations in *Fusobacterium nucleatum* (17). However, the *galK*-based allelic

exchange system has an obvious limitation: the host cell must be *galK* minus. Without this gene,

bacteria were unable to utilize galactose which is crucial for survival and virulence in certain

conditions. This can affect the characteristics of the resulting mutant derivatives. To address this

issue, we in this study sought to find a new counterselection marker to replace the *galK* gene for

genetic manipulations in *F. nucleatum*.

When beginning our search for a likely candidate, we considered the toxin-antitoxin

modules. The toxin-antitoxin systems are widespread in bacteria and typically consist of two

components: a toxin that inhibits cell growth and an antitoxin that counteracts the cognate toxin

(28). The toxin gene *mazF* in the toxin-antitoxin MazEF modules from *E. coli* has been used for

counterselection in various bacteria when *mazF* expression was in highly adjustable controls,

most often controlled by an inducible promoter (29-34). We first attempted to use a *mazF* as a

counterselection marker in *F. nucleatum* but were unsuccessful. Then we switched our attention

to the HicA toxin gene in the HicAB toxin-antitoxin module. The HicAB system has been well

studied in *E. coli* (35) and *Burkholderia pseudomallei* (36). In general, the HicA toxin proteins

are smaller than the MazF toxin. For example, MazF in *E. coli* consists of 111 amino acids,

while HicA consists of 58 amino acids. MazF only works on mRNA cleavage, but HicA can

degrade both mRNA and transfer-messenger RNA, thereby preventing protein translations and

eventually leading to a growth halt. Co-expression of HicB was able to counteract HicA-induced

bacterial growth arrest (37, 38). Since our lab is interested in the biological roles of toxin-

antitoxin systems in fusobacterial species, we plan to use an endogenous HicA homolog from

the genus *Fusobacterium* and test if it could be employed as a counterselection marker. This

way, the codon-bias issue can be efficiently avoided during the ectopic expression of the

candidate marker gene in *F. nucleatum*, a low G+C bacterium.

Firstly, we identified HicA gene homologs by performing BLAST searches with the HicA

gene in *B. pesudomallei* (BpHicA) as a query against the genome sequence of *F.*

periodonticum, another oral bacterium. A HicA homolog was found in *F. periodonticum* and was

named FpHicA (see Figure 1A). It encodes a 59 amino-acid peptide by the gene of

FperA3_010100004605 (https://img.jgi.doe.gov) with 61% identity and 80% similarity to BpHicA.

The downstream gene of *FphicA* is the *hicB*, which encodes a putative antitoxin protein for

neutralization of HicA toxicity (Figure 1B).   Notably, the genome of *F. nucleatum* subsp.

nucleatum ATCC 23726, our genetic working strain, contains a 63 amino-acid peptide HicA

homolog (FnHicA) encoded by gene HMPREF0397_0209 (https://img.jgi.doe.gov). But FnHicA

shares a low homology with FpHicA (39% identity and 54% similarity). Suppose we use the

FpHicA gene as a counterselection marker in our in-frame-deletion plasmids. In that case, the

low sequence homology of the FpHicA gene to the FnHicA gene locus would not affect the initial

integration of our in-frame deletion constructs.

Next, we wanted to express the HicA gene in *F. nucleatum* ectopically and test the toxicity

of the FpHicA protein. To do so, the Gibson assembly cloning was performed to construct a

pCWU6-based (17) plasmid that expresses the HicA gene. The *rpsJ* gene promoter was proven

as a strong, constitutive promoter in *F. nucleatum* (6). We reasoned that the promoter of the

rpsJ gene in *F. periodonticum* (FperA3_010100002588, https://img.jgi.doe.gov/) is similar. So,

we used the $rpsJ_{Fp}$ promoter to drive *hicA* expression. To control the HicA expression level, a

well-characterized synthetic theophylline-responsive riboswitch E (39) (Figure 1C) was inserted

in between the $rpsJ_{fp}$ promoter and the *hicA* start codon (Figure 1E). This riboswitch E unit is

functional in Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria (39, 40). It is comprised of an aptamer

and a synthetic ribosome binding site (RBS). Theoretically, transcription of the riboswitch under

the control of the $rpsJ_{Fp}$ promoter occurs constitutively during cell growth. However, the

synthetic RBS located downstream of the aptamer is sequestered via pairing with the stem of

the riboswitch, resulting in a translational stop. The binding of ligand theophylline exposes the

RBS to the translation machine by altering the downstream base pairing. Consequently, the

hicA gene translation begins when the ribosome binds to the RBS (Figure 4D). We aimed to

obtain such plasmid with a feature of the ectopic expression of *hicA* under the control of the

riboswitch E element. Four positive *E. coli* clones generating by the Gibson assembly procedure

were randomly chosen to culture for plasmid isolation. Before the four plasmids were verified by

DNA sequencing, we transformed them individually into *F. nucleatum* ATCC 23726 strain by

electroporation. Cells were then plated out on the TSPC agar plate with thiamphenicol. The

colonies formed after three days of growth in an anaerobic chamber. One colony from each

plasmid transformation plate was picked up to grow in the TSPC medium. The growth of these

transformants was similar to the wild-type strain when HicA expression was not induced (data

not shown). Interestingly, only one transformant did not grow when 2 mM theophylline was

provided—the other three grew the same with or without the theophylline (Figure 2C and data

not shown). Later, DNA sequencing results revealed that the three plasmids are identical and all

contain an intact *hicA* gene. Surprisingly, the plasmid conferring cell growth arrest had a point

mutation in a "4A" sequence (Figure 2A). There were no errors in the promoter region,

riboswitch E unit, and other parts of the *hicA* gene in the four sequenced plasmids. An adenine

base missed in the "4A" sequence (Figure 2B), which caused a frameshift and introduced a

premature stop codon at codon 6. It presumably produced a five-amino acid peptide (MSSKT)

starting its translation from the first ATG codon when the inducer was added. By closely

examining the mutated *hicA* sequence, we found that the introduced stop codon TAA had one

nucleotide overlapping with a second ATG codon: codon 7 of the wild-type *hicA* gene (Figure

2A). This ATG at codon seven is postulated to allow *hicA(3A)* mRNA to restart its translation,

creating a shorter HicA protein lacking the first six amino acids (Figure 3B). In other words, the

production of short HicA protein is functional in *F. nucleatum* and ceases cell growth, but not its

full-length version. As the produced MSSKT peptide is a small peptide, it may act as a small-

peptide bacteriocin that kills or prevents bacterial growth. To exclude the possibility of the

MSSKT peptide acting as a bacteriocin, the gene region only synthesized with the five-amino

peptide was amplified from the mutated plasmid pBCG02 and re-cloned in pCWU6. Its

expression was under the control $rpsJ_{FP}$ promoter and the riboswitch E unit (pBCG04), the

same as those in pBCG01 and pBCG02. However, in the presence of inducers, only the

expression of the short peptide did not show any bacterial growth defect (Figure 2C, pBCG04).

The HicB protein could counteract the toxicity of mutated HicA. As the *hicB* was co-expressed

with mutated *hicA*, fusobacterial growth arrest was reversed (Figure 2C, pBCG03) to normal

level when grown on TSPA with 2 mM theophylline. We were interested in knowing if the

mutated HicA was functional in its native host, *F. periodonticum* as well. For that purpose, the

pBCG02 was transformed into *F. periodonticum* by electroporation, and one transformant was

further cultured and streaked on the plates. In the presence of 2 mM inducer theophylline, cell

growth was stopped entirely. In contrast, the cells of *F. periodonticum* with pBCG01 containing

the wild-type *hicA* gene showed no signs of a growth defect when HicA expression was induced

(Figure 2D). The real-time PCR was taken to measure the *hicA* mRNA expression level in *F.*

periodonticum cells with pBCG01 or pBCG02. The results showed that both strains expressed

almost the same abundance of *hicA* transcript (data not shown). In addition, we showed that

induction of the mutated HicA prevented cell growth of *E. coli* in an inducer dose-dependent

manner. 2 mM theophylline could completely abolish the growth of *E. coli* containing pBCG02

(Figure 2E). Together, these data suggested that the mutated HicA with 53 amino acids

functions as a toxin in our tested bacteria.

Use of mutated HicA as a counterselection marker for in-frame deletion in *F. nucleatum*

The ectopic expression of HicA (3A) inhibited fusobacterial cell growth, indicating that HicA

(3A) might be suitable for counter-selection in *F. nucleatum*. To test this possibility, an allelic

exchange vector named pBCG02-Δ*radD* (Figure 3A) was constructed to delete the gene region

coded for the amino acids in positions between 44 and 1240 of the RadD protein. Our data

indicated that the RadD (44-1240) region contains a motif critical for RadD activity (unpublished

data). The RadD protein is a large surface adhesin that mediates *F. nucleatum's* physical

interactions with some oral bacteria, mostly Gram-positive, early colonizers in the human mouth

(5). These aggregated interactions are critical for dental plaque formation. An inverse PCR

linearized the pBCG02 with primer pair pCWU6-F/R to remove *repA* and *ori*$_{FN}$ (Figure 3A). The

RepA and *ori*$_{FN}$ elements are required for pCWU6-based plasmid replication in *F. nucleatum*

(18). Without them, the shuttle plasmid pBCG02 becomes a suicide vector with a positive

selection marker (*catP*) and a negative selection marker (*hicA*(3A)). We then took advantage of

the beauty of the Gibson assembly, which does not require restriction enzyme digestion or

compatible restriction sites. Instead, user-defined overlapping ends are incorporated into the

PCR fragments to join adjacent fragments seamlessly. The same length of upstream and

downstream DNA sequences flanking the region -responsible for the synthesis of the RadD (44-

1240)- was amplified. An overlapping PCR reaction then fused the upstream and downstream

fragments. Gibson assembly was used to clone the fused fragment into the linearized pBCG02

that lacks *repA* and *ori*$_{FN}$. The resulting in-frame deletion construct was transformed into *E. coli*

C2987. Positive colonies were confirmed by colony PCR using the primer pair Δ*radD*-F/R.

Positive colonies showed a 1.34 kb insert and were further selected for plasmid preparation and

sequencing. The transfer of the allelic exchange plasmid pBCG02-Δ*radD* into *F. nucleatum*

ATCC 23726 was traditionally performed via the electroporation procedure that our lab used

previously (41). The selection of recombinant vectors to integrate into the bacterial chromosome

was performed by thiamphenicol, a chloramphenicol derivative. One randomly selected

thiamphenicol-resistant integration strain was chosen for theophylline-negative selection based

on HicA(3A) toxicity. This strain was grown in the absence of antibiotics overnight and then

diluted by 1 to 1000 the following day before plating cells on the TSPC agar plates with 2 mM

theophylline. To estimate the frequency of plasmid excision, a plate without an inducer was

used to grow the un-counter-selected cells as a control. As shown in Figure 3B, the

theophylline-negative selection only allowed a small fraction of the cells to survive. Based on the

ratio of theophylline-resistant cells to total cells (cells grown on plates without selection, see

Figure 3B, the left plate without an inducer), we estimated that approximately 1 in 2000 cells

underwent a second recombination to lose the integrated plasmid. Nine randomly selected

theophylline-resistant colonies were confirmed for antibiotic sensitivity to screen for possible in-

frame deletion mutants. Next, colony PCR was used to detect their genotypes using the primer

pair Δ*radD*-F/R illustrated the Figure 3A. As shown in Figure 3C, five of the nine colonies

generated amplicons of approximately 1.4 kb, indicating an in-frame deletion. The remaining

four colonies generated the expected wild-type amplicons of approximately 5.0 kb. In addition,

the coaggregation assay was used to confirm further the three generated *radD* mutant strains.

As this region of RadD (44-1240) is responsible for *F. nucleatum* coaggregation with oral

bacterium *Actinomyces oris* (6), we expected these mutant strains to show coaggregation

defects. Indeed, this was the case (Figure 4D). Finally, two of the mutant strains were also

sequenced and found to exhibit the expected in-frame deletion that the *radD* gene only lacks in

the desired deletion region.

To further test this HicA(3A)-based counterselection system, we used it to make an in-

frame deletion of the TnaA gene (HMPREF0397_1802, https://img.jgi.doe.gov/) in *F.*

nucleatum. It has been reported that the TnaA gene encodes the enzyme tryptophanase that

produces indole from tryptophan in *E. coli* (42). As a signaling molecule, indole plays an

essential role in bacterial physiology, ecological balance, and human health (43). However, its

biological function in *F. nucleatum* has yet to be explored. An allelic exchange cassette carrying

the flanking regions of *tnaA* was generated by an overlapping PCR. The allelic exchange

cassette was cloned into the linearized pBCG02, in which *repA* and ori_{Fn} were omitted. The

generated suicide plasmid pBCG02-Δ*tnaA* (Figure 4A) was then introduced into an *F.*

nucleatum wild-type background strain by electroporation. The insertion obtained by

homologous recombination of the plasmid into the chromosome was selected in the presence of

thiamphenicol. A thiamphenicol-resistant colony was grown in TSPC without antibiotics

overnight. The following day, aliquots of 1000-fold diluted cultures were plated on TSPC agar

plates containing 2 mM theophylline. After three days, ten theophylline-resistant colonies were

randomly chosen to check thiamphenicol sensitivity (100% efficiency). The colony PCR was

then used to analyze the genotypes of the ten colonies with primer pair TnaAupF and TnaAdnR

(see Table 2) indicated in Figure 4A. The results revealed that half of the colonies were the

expected deletion mutant strains, and half were the wild-type reverent strain (Figure 4B). Lastly,

the *tnaA* deletion mutant was further validated by the indole assay, as a red coloration shown in

an oily layer at the top of the wild-type cell broth disappeared in *tnaA* mutant (Figure 4C),

indicating that this TnaA homolog in *F. nucleatum* is responsible for indole production.

DISCUSSION

The HicAB toxin-antitoxin system has been widely studied in bacteria. However, no HicA

toxin has been used for counterselection to create markerless in-frame deletion mutations. HicA

is a ribonuclease, and its expression prevents cell growth in many bacteria (26, 35). In this

study, we used a HicA gene from *F. periodonticum* and established a facile in-frame deletion

system in *F. nucleatum*. The success of establishing this HicA toxin for counterselection in *F.*

nucleatum has benefited from one unexpected finding. The expression of a full-length HicA

gene, encoded for the 59 amino-acid HicA toxin, did not induce cell death; however, when

inducing expression of a short form of HicA protein that lacks the first six amino acids, the cells

died (Figure 2C). In other words, the short version of HicA possesses a toxin activity. The

finding of this active form of HicA was accidental. An unexpected point mutation in *hicA*

occurred during plasmid constructions, allowing HicA translation to start from the second ATG,

codon 7, in its original version of this gene (Figure 2B). The presence of N-terminal six amino

acids in HicA inhibits its activity. Some proteins, like bacteriocins, must remove part of their N-

terminus before being active (44, 45). For toxin proteins from all studied toxin-antitoxin systems,

there is no such report that the removal of partial N-terminal sequences is needed for their

activities. *F. periodonticum* may produce a nonfunctional premature HicA protein, which then

requires an unknown mechanism to cleave its N-terminal partial sequence in order to be in an

active state. Consistently with this possibility, the *hicA* expression in the *hicB* deletion mutants

does not exhibit growth defects in *E. coli* (46), *Haemophilus ducreyi* (47)*, and *Streptococcus*

mutans (38). Another possibility is that the translation of this gene in *F. periodonticum* may start

from the second ATG codon -the codon 7 of the gene annotated in the genome database

(https://img.jgi.doe.gov)- but not the first ATG as its starting codon. All of these possibilities

required further studies.

This work aimed to find a new gene to replace *galK* as a counterselection marker to

generate markerless gene deletions in *F. nucleatum*. We showed that cell growth would be

arrested entirely when the mutated HicA was induced in the wild-type background of *F.*

nucleatum (Figure 2C)*. So, we attempted to use the short form of HicA as a counterselection

maker in allelic exchange constructs for creating in-frame-deletion mutations in *F. nucleatum*.

The *rpsJ* promoter is a constitutive and robust promoter in many bacteria (6, 48). We chose to

use the *rpsJ* promoter from *F. periodonticum* to drive the expression of the active HicA toxin.

Choosing the promoter from *F. periodonticum*, but not *F. nucleatum*, was to avoid the potential

interference to the initial integration of our constructs due to the homology of this promoter

fragment to the native *rpsJ* locus. A well-studied theophylline-responsive synthetic riboswitch

was employed to control the active HicA expression. Six synthetic theophylline-responsible

riboswitches were widely used in various bacteria (39, 40, 49). The riboswitch E was chosen to

control HicA translation because only this riboswitch works and does not have a leaking issue

when used in *F. nucleatum* (not published data). This theophylline-sensing riboswitch tightly

controls the expression of the active HicA protein. Since 2 mM of theophylline could induce

enough of the toxic protein to prevent cell growth, no step was carried out to optimize

theophylline's concentration further. With this system, we successfully created the in-frame

deletion for the fusobacterial adhesin protein RadD gene and the TnaA gene responsible for

indole production in *F. nucleatum*.

The HicA(3A)-based system showed a reliable and robust counterselection exactly like

galK-utilized counterselection. All colonies were thiamphenicol-sensitive after counterselection,

which indicated that 100% of colonies underwent loss of the integration plasmids once the

active HicA toxin protein was induced by 2 mM theophylline. Compared with the *galK* system,

the HicA(3A)-dependent counterselection has an immediate advantage: it can work in the wild-

type background of *F. nucleatum*. MazF has been used as a counterselection marker in other

Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria (22). As we prepared this manuscript, a MazF-

mediated counterselection strategy was reported in *F. nucleatum* (50). They used a tetracycline-

inducible system to control the MazF expression in *F. nucleatum*. The expression of MazF is

lethal. That allowed the counterselection in *F. nucleatum* due to MazF toxicity, and only cells

lacking the integrated plasmid could grow. Undoubtedly, this system is excellent and is easy to

use in fusobacterial genetic study.   But, it has one potential problem: many strains of *F.*

nucleatum are tetracycline sensitive. We have found that even 20ng/ml tetracycline or 80ng/ml

anhydrotetracycline discourages the growth of *F. nucleatum* ATCC 23726, and some are even

more sensitive. That may limit the use of the tetracycline-induced MazF system for

counterselection in some strains of *F. nucleatum*. In this aspect, the theophylline-sensed

riboswitch is better than the tetracycline-inducible system since *F. nucleatum*'s growth is not

affected by the presence of theophylline, even at high concertation (data not shown).

The results presented in this study support the use of HicA toxin activity for negative

selection in *F. nucleatum*. The active HicA may work in many bacteria, as we already

demonstrated that the induction of this active HicA causes cell death in *F. periodonticum* and *E.*

coli (Figure 2D, 2E). As far as we know, this is the first time the HicA gene as a counterselection

marker for bacterial genetic studies. This same strategy is also theoretically feasible in other

bacteria that do not already possess an efficient negative selection system. First, it needs to

demonstrate HicA toxicity in the interested bacteria. This is easily done by constructing an

expression vector in which the combination of a strong and constitutive promoter and a

riboswitch unit controls the active form of HicA expression. This expression vector is then

transformed into the target bacterium. If the cells cease growth when the inducer is present, it

will suggest that HicA could be used for counterselection in this bacterium. Next, the expression

plasmid can be converted to a suicide vector by an inverse PCR to remove the genes required

for replication. This lineated vector can then serve as the cloning vector backbone for the

construction of an allelic exchange cassette with a Gibson assembly procedure. Finally, the

same two-step integration/excision strategy is employed with theophylline-based

counterselection. Currently, we are working on this system in *F. periodonticum* to test if it can

be widely applied in other fusobacterial species.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial strains, plasmids, and growth conditions

Bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study are listed in Table 1. *F. nucleatum* and *F.*

periodonticum strains were grown in tryptic soy broth (TSB) supplemented with 1% Bacto^{TM}

peptone plus 0.25% freshly made cysteine (TSPC) or on TSPC agar plates in an anaerobic

chamber filled with 80% N_2, 10% H_2 and 10% CO_2. Hemin (5 µg/ml) and vitamin K3 (1 µg/ml)

were added for *F. periodonticum* growth on TSPC agar plates. *A. oris* were grown in Heart

Infusion Broth (HIB). *Escherichia coli* strains were grown in Luria-Bertani (LB) broth.

Fusobacterial transformants with pBCG01, pBCG02, pBCG03, or pBCG04 were grown

overnight with 5 µg/ml thiamphenicol. Overnight cultures were directly streaked on TSPC agar

with antibiotic selection, with or without 2 mM theophylline, after 72 h of incubation at 37°C in an

anaerobic chamber. 40 mM theophylline stock was prepared in a TSP medium. pREcas2 was

purchased from Synthetic Biology Research Center at University of Nottingham, UK. Antibiotics

used as needed were: chloramphenicol (15 µg ml^{-1}) and thiamphenicol (5 µg ml^{-1}). Reagents

were purchased from Sigma unless indicated otherwise.

Plasmid construction

All plasmids used in this study were constructed via Gibson assembly cloning, essentially

performed according to the manufacturer's instructions with NEBuilder® HIFI DNA Assembly

Master Mix. This method requires adjacent segments with at least 18-bp long, identical

sequences on the ends. These identical sequences generate via PCR with primers that contain

a 5' end identical to an adjacent segment and a 3' end that anneals to the gene-of-interest

sequence. The primers for PCR reactions were listed in Table 2.

pBCG01 and pBCG02– To create these plasmids, pREcas2 (40) was used as a DNA

template to amplify the J23119 promoter region and the riboswitch E element with primers

J23119-F/R. The primer pairs hicA-F/R (Table 2) were used to PCR-amplified out the *hicA* gene

(see gene identification no. FperA3_010100004605 in the *Fusobacterium periodonticum*

database at https://img.jgi.doe.gov/) with genome DNA of *Fusobacterium periodonticum* ATCC

33693 as a template. The two amplicons were fused via an overlapping PCR reaction, and the

resulting PCR product was designed as *J23119-E-hicA*. Then the fragment encompassing the

riboswitch E and *hicA* (E-hicA) was amplified with primers hicA(rpsJ$_{FP}$)-F and hicA-R. The

primer pairs PrpsJ$_{FP}$-F/R were used to amplify the promoter region of the *rpsJ* gene

(FperA3_010100002588, https://img.jgi.doe.gov/) without its ribosome binding site (PrpsJ$_{FP}$)

with the genome DNA of *Fusobacterium periodonticum* ATCC 33693. The E-hicA and PrpsJ$_{FP}$

amplicons were cloned into SacI and HindIII-digested pCWU6 (17) by Gibson assembly and

transformed into *E. coli* C2987 cells, as described previously (51). Four positive clones were

chosen to culture for plasmid isolation, and the four plasmids were sent for sequencing. Three

had the wild-type *hicA* DNA sequence, but one had a point mutation at the "4A" sequence (see

Figure 2A, 2B). Missing an adenine base in the "4A" sequence causes a frameshift and

introduces a premature stop codon (PSC) at codon 6, producing a five-amino acid peptide

(MSSKT) starting translation from the first ATG codon. Interestingly, the stop codon TAA that

was introduced has one nucleotide that overlaps with the second start codon ATG (see Figure

2B). This second ATG codon is believed to allow the hicA(3A) mRNA to restart its translation,

resulting in a shorter HicA protein that is missing the first six amino acids. The plasmid that

contains this point mutation was named pBCG02.

pBCG03 –To test if the putative antitoxin HicB prevents the HicA (3A) toxicity in *F.*

nucleatum, the *hicB* gene (FperA3_010100004610, https://img.jgi.doe.gov/) was placed at

immediate downstream of *hicA,* and the expression of the two genes was under the control of

rpsJ$_{FP}$ promoter and riboswitch E unit. The fragment, including PrpsJ$_{FP}$-E-hicA, was amplified

with the primers PrpsJ$_{FP}$-F and hicA-R2 from pBCG02. Secondly, the primer pairs hicB-F/R

were used to PCR amplify the *hicB* gene. The two amplicons of PrpsJ$_{FP}$-E-hicA and hicB were

mixed with SacI/HindIII-cut pCWU6 in the Gibson assembly master mix solution to generate

pBCG03.

pBCG04 – With plasmid pBCG02 as a DNA template, the primer pairs PrpsJ$_{FP}$-F and

MSSKT-R were used to amplify the fragment encompassing PrpsJ$_{FP}$-E and *hicA* (3A) region

only, including the first ATG to the premature stop codon due to missing one "A" base in "4A"

sequence. The short *hicA* region codes a five amino-acid peptide (MSSKT, see Figure 2B).

This amplicon was cloned into pCWU6 precut by SacI/HindIII via Gibson assembly to generate

pBCG04. All produced plasmids were confirmed by sequencing and then transformed into *F.*

nucleatum ATCC 23726 or *F. periodonticum* ATCC 33693 with the electroporation procedure

we previously published (41).

Development of a facile gene deletion method based on HicA (3A) as a counterselection

marker in *F. nucleatum*

To create deletion constructs of *radD* to remove the amino acids in positions between 44

and 1240, 1.6-kb fragments upstream and downstream of the *radD* interested region was

amplified by PCR using appropriate primers (Table 2). For the *tnaA* deletion plasmid, 1.6-kb

fragments upstream and downstream of *tnaA* were PCR amplified using tnaAupF/R and

tnaAdnF/R. An overlapping PCR reaction fused the upstream and downstream fragments.

Each fused segment was then mixed in 10 µl Gibson assembly master mix solution with an

equal amount of the pBCG02 vector backbone in which the *repA* and ori_{Fn} element were

removed by inverse PCR with primer pairs pCWU6-F/R (see Figure 3A). A 2x hot-start PCR

master mix from Takara was used for high-fidelity PCR amplification of the pBCG02 backbone.

The resulting plasmids pBCG02-Δ*radD* and pBCG02-Δ*tnaA* were further confirmed by DNA

sequencing and transformed into *F. nucleatum* ATCC 23726 by electroporation, respectively.

Plasmid insertion into the bacterial chromosome by homologous recombination was

selected by growth in the presence of 5 µg/ml thiamphenicol at 37°C. A 5 µg/ml thiamphenicol-

resistant colony was inoculated overnight in TSPC without antibiotics. The following day, a 100

µl aliquot of 1,000-fold diluted cultures was plated on TSPC agar plates containing two mM

inducer theophylline to select for cells in which the plasmid had been excised and lost. In the

presence of the inducer, cells in which the plasmid is still present produce HicA(3A) toxin at

toxic levels and do not form colonies. Growing 9~10 colonies were restreaked on TSPC plates,

checked for thiamphenicol sensitivity (100% efficiency), and screened by PCR amplification for

the presence of the expected deletion in *radD* or *tnaA*.

Bacterial co-aggregation

Co-aggregation assays were performed with wild-type and Δ*radD* strains of *Fusobacterium*

nucleatum and *Actinomyces oris* MG-1 as previously described (17). Briefly, stationary-phase

cultures of bacterial strains were grown in TSPC or heart infusion broth (for MG-1) harvested by

centrifugation, washed in coaggregation buffer (52), and suspended to an equal cell density of

approximately 2 x 10^9 ml^{-1} based upon OD_{600} values. For co-aggregation, 0.20 ml aliquots of

Actinomyces and fusobacterial cell suspensions were mixed in a 24-well plate for a few minutes

on a rotator shaker, and images were taken.

Indole assay

Fusobacterial wild-type and Δ*tnaA* deletion strains were individually inoculated into a test

tube with 5ml TSPC medium. After incubation at 37°C for 48 hours, a 200 µl of Kovacs's

reagent was added to each tube without shaking. Observe for the presence or absence of a

cherry-red ring. A positive indole test is indicated by the formation of a pink to red color ("cherry-

red ring") in the reagent layer on top of the medium within seconds of adding the reagent. A

negative result appears yellow. The photo was taken in 10 minutes.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

C.W. conceived and designed all experiments. B.G. and P.Z. performed all experiments. B.G.,

P.Z., and C. W. analyzed data. C.W. wrote the manuscript with contribution and approval from

all authors.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Materials are available upon reasonable request with a material transfer agreement with

UTHealth for bacterial strains or plasmids. pBCG01 plasmid sequence and map can be

accessed on a Benchling link at https://benchling.com/s/seq-VuTCpImziZSBrxT6otvO?m=slm-

jUtGU7tIsA1ZnPAgUH1f

ACKNWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by a National Institute of Dental and Craniofacial Research (NIDCR)

grant (DE030895) to C.W.

REFERENCES

1. B. J. Paster *et al.*, Bacterial diversity in human subgingival plaque. *Journal of bacteriology* **183**, 3770-3783 (2001).

2. A. Bolstad, H. B. Jensen, V. Bakken, Taxonomy, biology, and periodontal aspects of Fusobacterium nucleatum. *Clinical microbiology reviews* **9**, 55-71 (1996).

3. Y. W. Han *et al.*, Identification and characterization of a novel adhesin unique to oral fusobacteria. *Journal of bacteriology* **187**, 5330-5340 (2005).

4. Y. Fardini *et al.*, Fusobacterium nucleatum adhesin FadA binds vascular endothelial cadherin and alters endothelial integrity. *Molecular microbiology* **82**, 1468-1480 (2011).

5. C. W. Kaplan, R. Lux, S. K. Haake, W. Shi, The Fusobacterium nucleatum outer membrane protein RadD is an arginine-inhibitable adhesin required for inter-species adherence and the structured architecture of multispecies biofilm. *Molecular microbiology* **71**, 35-47 (2009).

6. C. Wu *et al.*, Genetic and molecular determinants of polymicrobial interactions in Fusobacterium nucleatum. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **118** (2021).

7. J. Abed *et al.*, Fap2 mediates Fusobacterium nucleatum colorectal adenocarcinoma enrichment by binding to tumor-expressed Gal-GalNAc. *Cell host & microbe* **20**, 215-225 (2016).

8. L. Parhi *et al.*, Placental colonization by Fusobacterium nucleatum is mediated by binding of the Fap2 lectin to placentally displayed Gal-GalNAc. *Cell Rep* **38**, 110537 (2022).

9. D. Polak, L. Shapira, E. I. Weiss, Y. Houri-Haddad, The role of coaggregation between Porphyromonas gingivalis and Fusobacterium nucleatum on the host response to mixed infection. *Journal of clinical periodontology* **39**, 617-625 (2012).

10.   Y. Zhang, W. Shi, Y. Song, J. Wang, Metatranscriptomic analysis of an in vitro biofilm

model reveals strain-specific interactions among multiple bacterial species. *J Oral*

Microbiol **11**, 1599670 (2019).

11.   E. L. Vander Haar, J. So, C. Gyamfi-Bannerman, Y. W. Han, Fusobacterium nucleatum

and adverse pregnancy outcomes: epidemiological and mechanistic evidence. *Anaerobe*

50, 55-59 (2018).

12.   S. Gauthier *et al.*, The origin of Fusobacterium nucleatum involved in intra-amniotic

infection and preterm birth. *The journal of Maternal-fetal & Neonatal Medicine* **24**, 1329-

1332 (2011).

13.   T. Yu *et al.*, Fusobacterium nucleatum promotes chemoresistance to colorectal cancer

by modulating autophagy. *Cell* **170**, 548-563. e516 (2017).

14.   L. Flanagan *et al.*, Fusobacterium nucleatum associates with stages of colorectal

neoplasia development, colorectal cancer and disease outcome. *European journal of*

clinical microbiology & infectious diseases **33**, 1381-1390 (2014).

15.   M. R. Rubinstein *et al.*, Fusobacterium nucleatum promotes colorectal carcinogenesis by

modulating E-cadherin/β-catenin signaling via its FadA adhesin. *Cell host & microbe* **14**,

195-206 (2013).

16.   C. A. Brennan, W. S. Garrett, Fusobacterium nucleatum—symbiont, opportunist and

oncobacterium. *Nature Reviews Microbiology* **17**, 156-166 (2019).

17.   C. Wu *et al.*, Forward Genetic Dissection of Biofilm Development by Fusobacterium

nucleatum: Novel Functions of Cell Division Proteins FtsX and EnvC. *mBio* **9** (2018).

18.   S. K. Haake, S. Yoder, S. H. Gerardo, Efficient gene transfer and targeted mutagenesis

in Fusobacterium nucleatum. *Plasmid* **55**, 27-38 (2006).

19.   M. A. Casasanta *et al.*, Fusobacterium nucleatum host-cell binding and invasion induces

IL-8 and CXCL1 secretion that drives colorectal cancer cell migration. *Science signaling*

13, eaba9157 (2020).

20.   Q. Meng *et al.*, Fusobacterium nucleatum secretes amyloid-like FadA to enhance

526   pathogenicity. *EMBO reports* **22**, e52891 (2021).

527   21.   A. Ikegami, P. Chung, Y. W. Han, Complementation of the fadA mutation in

528   Fusobacterium nucleatum demonstrates that the surface-exposed adhesin promotes

529   cellular invasion and placental colonization. *Infect Immun* **77**, 3075-3079 (2009).

530   22.   J.-M. Reyrat, V. Pelicic, B. Gicquel, R. Rappuoli, Counterselectable markers: untapped

531   tools for bacterial genetics and pathogenesis. *Infection and immunity* **66**, 4011-4017

532   (1998).

533   23.   A. Umaña *et al.*, Enhanced Fusobacterium nucleatum Genetics Using Host DNA

534   Methyltransferases To Bypass Restriction-Modification Systems. *Journal of Bacteriology*

535   **204**, e00279-00222 (2022).

536   24.   K. Vaillancourt *et al.*, Role of galK and galM in galactose metabolism by Streptococcus

537   thermophilus. *Applied and environmental microbiology* **74**, 1264-1267 (2008).

538   25.   A. R. Neves *et al.*, Towards enhanced galactose utilization by Lactococcus lactis.

539   *Applied and environmental microbiology* **76**, 7048-7060 (2010).

540   26.   K. S. Makarova, N. V. Grishin, E. V. Koonin, The HicAB cassette, a putative novel, RNA-

541   targeting toxin-antitoxin system in archaea and bacteria. *Bioinformatics* **22**, 2581-2584

542   (2006).

543   27.   G. Singh, M. Yadav, C. Ghosh, J. S. Rathore, Bacterial toxin-antitoxin modules:

544   classification, functions, and association with persistence. *Current Research in Microbial*

545   *Sciences* **2**, 100047 (2021).

546   28.   D. Jurėnas, N. Fraikin, F. Goormaghtigh, L. Van Melderen, Biology and evolution of

547   bacterial toxin–antitoxin systems. *Nature Reviews Microbiology* **20**, 335-350 (2022).

548   29.   Y. Shi, H. Dong, D. Zhang, Application Progress of mazF Gene in Genetic Modification

549   System. *Biotechnology Bulletin*, 48 (2014).

30. T. Morimoto, K. Ara, K. Ozaki, N. Ogasawara, A new simple method to introduce

marker-free deletions in the Bacillus subtilis genome. *Genes & genetic systems* **84**, 315-

318 (2009).

31. Y. E. Cheah, S. C. Albers, C. A. Peebles, A novel counter-selection method for

markerless genetic modification in Synechocystis sp. PCC 6803. *Biotechnology progress*

29, 23-30 (2013).

32. W. F. Van Zyl, L. M. Dicks, S. M. Deane, Development of a novel selection/counter-

selection system for chromosomal gene integrations and deletions in lactic acid bacteria.

BMC molecular biology **20**, 1-16 (2019).

33. L. Chen, H. Liu, L. Wang, X. Tan, S. Yang, Synthetic counter-selection markers and their

application in genetic modification of Synechococcus elongatus UTEX2973. *Applied*

Microbiology and Biotechnology **105**, 5077-5086 (2021).

34. Z. Lin *et al.*, A versatile mini-mazF-cassette for marker-free targeted genetic modification

in Bacillus subtilis. *Journal of microbiological methods* **95**, 207-214 (2013).

35. M. G. Jørgensen, D. P. Pandey, M. Jaskolska, K. Gerdes, HicA of Escherichia coli

defines a novel family of translation-independent mRNA interferases in bacteria and

archaea. *Journal of bacteriology* **191**, 1191-1199 (2009).

36. A. Butt *et al.*, The HicA toxin from Burkholderia pseudomallei has a role in persister cell

formation. *Biochemical Journal* **459**, 333-344 (2014).

37. A. T. Butt, Identification and characterisation of toxin-antitoxin systems (TA) in

Burkholderia pseudomallei. (2013).

38. Z. Xie, F. Qi, J. Merritt, Development of a tunable wide-range gene induction system

useful for the study of streptococcal toxin-antitoxin systems. *Applied and environmental*

microbiology **79**, 6375-6384 (2013).

39. S. Topp *et al.*, Synthetic riboswitches that induce gene expression in diverse bacterial

species. *Applied and environmental microbiology* **76**, 7881-7884 (2010).

40. I. C. Canadas, D. Groothuis, M. Zygouropoulou, R. Rodrigues, N. P. Minton, RiboCas: A

Universal CRISPR-Based Editing Tool for Clostridium. *ACS Synth Biol* **8**, 1379-1390

(2019).

41. E. A. Peluso, M. Scheible, H. Ton-That, C. Wu, Genetic Manipulation and Virulence

Assessment of Fusobacterium nucleatum. *Curr Protoc Microbiol* **57**, e104 (2020).

42. G. Li, K. D. Young, Indole production by the tryptophanase TnaA in Escherichia coli is

determined by the amount of exogenous tryptophan. *Microbiology* **159**, 402-410 (2013).

43. J.-H. Lee, J. Lee, Indole as an intercellular signal in microbial communities. *FEMS*

microbiology reviews **34**, 426-444 (2010).

44. M. Zimina *et al.*, Overview of global trends in classification, methods of preparation and

application of bacteriocins. *Antibiotics* **9**, 553 (2020).

45. A. Darbandi *et al.*, Bacteriocins: Properties and potential use as antimicrobials. *Journal*

of Clinical Laboratory Analysis **36**, e24093 (2022).

46. J. E. Button, T. J. Silhavy, N. Ruiz, A suppressor of cell death caused by the loss of σE

downregulates extracytoplasmic stress responses and outer membrane vesicle

production in Escherichia coli. *Journal of bacteriology* **189**, 1523-1530 (2007).

47. A. Yeung, D. W. Cameron, M. Desjardins, B. C. Lee, Use of signature-tagged

mutagenesis to identify virulence determinants in Haemophilus ducreyi responsible for

ulcer formation. *Journal of microbiological methods* **84**, 290-298 (2011).

48. C. Wu, H. Ton-That, Allelic exchange in Actinomyces oris with mCherry fluorescence

counterselection. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **76**, 5987-5989 (2010).

49. C. Wu *et al.*, Lethality of sortase depletion in A ctinomyces oris caused by excessive

membrane accumulation of a surface glycoprotein. *Molecular microbiology* **94**, 1227-

1241 (2014).

50. F. Ponath, Y. Zhu, V. Cosi, J. Vogel, Expanding the genetic toolkit helps dissect a global

stress response in the early-branching species Fusobacterium nucleatum. *Proceedings*

of the National Academy of Sciences **119**, e2201460119 (2022).

51. D. G. Gibson *et al.*, Enzymatic assembly of DNA molecules up to several hundred

kilobases. *Nature methods* **6**, 343-345 (2009).

52. J. O. Cisar, P. Kolenbrander, F. McIntire, Specificity of coaggregation reactions between

human oral streptococci and strains of Actinomyces viscosus or Actinomyces naeslundii.

Infection and immunity **24**, 742-752 (1979).

Table 1: Bacterial strains and plasmids used

Strain & Plasmid	Description	Reference
Strains		
F. periodonticum 33693	Parental strain	This study
F. nucleatum 23726	Parental strain	(5)
F. nucleatum BG01	Δ *radD*; an isogenic derivative of 23726	This study
F. nucleatum ZP01	Δ*tnaA*; an isogenic derivative of 23726	This study
A. oris MG1	Wild Type strain	(48)
E. coli C2987	Cloning host	From NEB
Plasmids		
pCWU6	*E. coli*/*Fusobacterium* shuttle vector, chloramphenicol /thiamphenicol resistance; cm^R/thia^R	(18)
pRECas2	The J23119 promoter incorporating the riboswitch element E controls Cas9 expression	(40)
pBCG01	pCWU6 expressing wild-type *hicA* gene [*hicA*(4A)] from *F. periodonticum* 33693 under the control of the $rpsJ_{FP}$ promoter and its ribosome binding site was replaced by a riboswitch element E from pRECas2	This study
pBCG02	Derivative of pBCG01 expressing *hicA* with a point mutation [*hicA*(3A)]. The *hicA*(3A) mRNA is translated from the initiation site met-7, giving a shorter protein lacking the first six amino acids.	This study

pBCG03	Derivative of pBCG02 co-expressing *hicA*(3A) and *hicB,* the cognate hicA anti-toxin gene	This study
pBCG04	Derivative of pBCG02 expressing the five amino acids peptide (MSSKT) alone	This study
pBCG02-Δ*radD*	Derivative of pBCG02 lacking *repA* and *ori*$_{Fn}$, deletion vector of *radD Δ41-1240*	This study
pBCG02-Δ*tnaA*	Derivative of pBCG02 lacking *repA* and *ori*$_{Fn}$, deletion vector of *tnaA*	This study

610
611
612
613 **Table 2:** Primers used in this study

Primer	Sequence[a]	Used for
J23119-F	GAAACAGCTATGACATGATTACGAATTCGAGCTCTGAGCTAGCTGTCAAACTGGCCGTCG	pBCG01
J23119-R	AGTAATTTCATTAGGTCTTTTGAGCTCATCTTGTTGTTACCTCCTTAGCAGG	pBCG01
hicA-F	GCTAAGGAGGTAACAACAAGATGAGCTCAAAAGACCTAAT GAAATTACT	pBCG01
hiA-R	GTATAAATGTTAGTGATGATTATAAAAATTAAAAGTATGAGGAGAACAAGCAAG	pBCG01
PrpsJ$_{FP}$-F	GAAACAGCTATGACATGATTACGAATTCGAGCTAGCACCAGGT TTAGGGGCTG CTATAG	pBCG01
PrpsJ$_{FP}$-R	GGCATCAAGACGATGCTGGTATCACCGGTTTAAATTAACTACATAAGATAATTCTTATG	pBCG01
hicA(rpsJ$_{FP}$)-F	GAATTATCTTATGTAGTTAATTTAAACCGGTGATACCAGCATCGTCTTGATGCCCTTG	pBCG01
hicA-R2	AAGTATGAGGAGAACAAGCAAGGCATTAAAGCCCTGCTTGCCTG	pBCG01
hicB-F	CAGGCAAGCAGGGCTTTAATGCCTTGCTT GTTCTCCTCATACTT	pBCG03
hicB-R	GTATAAATGTTAGTGATGATTATAAAATTATTTTTCAATTCCTAATTCTTTT	pBCG03
MSSKT-R	GTATAAATGTTAGTGATGATTATAAAATTAGGTCTTTGAGCTCATCTTGTTG	pBCG04
pCWU6-F	GTCCTAGAACTAGTGAAATTTCCG	Remove *repA* and *ori*$_{Fn}$ from pBCG02
pCWU6-R	GTAGCTTCCATACATATCACACTC	Remove *repA* and *ori*$_{Fn}$ from pBCG02
TnaAupF	GAGTGTGATATGTATGGAAGCTACAGTAATATTG TTTGATGAAATTGAAAAGG	pBCG02-Δ*tnaA*
TnaAupR	TCTGCTTGTTGTTCAGGAGTTTCATCACCTAGGAACATAGCTGACCATTG	pBCG02-Δ*tnaA*
TnaAdnF	GCTATGTTCCTAGGTGATGAAACTCCTGAACAACAAGCAGAAGTTGCAAATG	pBCG02-Δ*tnaA*

TnaAdnR	<u>CGGAAATTTCACTAGTTCTAGGAC</u>AGCAGCAA GCAATGCTCC AAGTGGACAA ATATATATAG	pBCG02-Δ*tnaA*
RadDupF	<u>GAGTGTGATATGTATGGAAGCTAC</u>ATAAATTTAATTTAA CTTATTAATTATAAGG	pBCG02-Δ*radD*
RadDupR	GCATCCTTACCTGACATTTTTACTGCTTCATCTGCATAA GAAAAACCACCATTAAT	pBCG02-Δ*radD*
RadDdnF	GATGAAGCAGTAAAAATGTCAGGTAAGGATGC TATTGGTATTT	pBCG02-Δ*radD*
RadDdnR	<u>CGGAAATTTCACTAGTTCTAGGAC</u>TCCTGATTCATTTAT TGCTTCACCATCTACT	pBCG02-Δ*radD*
Δ*radD*-F	GAGTTTCAGATGAAATGAATGCCCTACAAG	Confirm *radD* deletion
Δ*radD*-R	CTGCAGTAGTTGCTCCACCTTTTACAGCTG	Confirm *radD* deletion

614
615 (a)Underlined are primer sequence specific to the ends of the corresponding assembly
616
617

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1: Construction of *E. coli*/*Fusobacterium* shuttle plasmid pBCG01 expressing

putative HicA toxin under the control of riboswitch E-based inducible system. (A) Protein

alignment of toxin HicA from *Burkholderia pseudomallei* (BpHicA) with HicA encoded by

FperA3_010100004605 (https://img.jgi.doe.gov) in *Fusobacterium periodonticum* ATCC 33693

(FpHicA) using ClustalW program. **(B)** Genetic organization of the *hicA-hicB* toxin-antitoxin pair

in *F. periodonticum* and the whole DNA sequence of the HicA gene. **(C)** The mRNA sequence

of the riboswitch E is shown with an aptamer sequence emboldened, ribosome binding site

(RBS) sequence highlighted, and start codon red colored. **(D)** Schematic diagrams of a

functional model of the theophylline responsive riboswitch. Without theophylline, the riboswitch

forms a stem-loop structure that conceals the RBS in the mRNA transcript. The riboswitch alters

its confirmation when theophylline binds to the aptamer, resulting in the release of the RBS and

the initiation of translation of *hicA*. **(E)** *E. coli*/*Fusobacterium* shuttle plasmid pBCG01 containing

hicA gene under the control of *rpsJ* promoter from *F. periodonticum*. The RBS in the *rpsJ*

promoter region was replaced by the riboswitch E element. ColE1 RNA II origin (ori_{Ec}), the pFN1

ori (ori_{Fn}), *repA* gene, and *catP* gene were indicated. The ori_{Ec} allows replication of the shuttle

plasmid in *E. coli*, and the plasmid replicates in *F. nucleatum,* requiring the ori_{Fn} and *repA*.

Figure 2: A shorter HicA protein that lacks the first six amino acids is functional in

***Fusobacterium nucleatum* and other bacteria (A)** The plasmid pBCG01 contains an intact

hicA gene, indicating the presence of a four consecutive adenine base sequence (4A) after the

start codon (red colored). The wild-type *hicA* encodes a 59-amino acid protein. **(B)** An adenine

base was missing from the 4A sequence resulting in the *hicA* gene (3A) during construction of

pBCG01. We later named that mutated construct as pBCG02. This point mutation causes a

frameshift resulting in a premature stop codon (TAA, underlined) at codon six and generates

five amino acids peptide (MSSKT). The *hicA* (3A) mRNA then restarts its translation from the

new initiation site (ATG, emboldened), giving a shorter HicA protein lacking the first six amino

acids. **(C)** Growth of *F. nucleatum* strain 23726 harboring the plasmid construct pGCG01,

pBCG02, pBCG03, or pBCG04 on TSPC agar plates supplemented with thiamphenicol plus 0

(non-induced) or 2mM (induced) theophylline and incubated at 37°C in an anaerobic chamber

for two days. Schematic representations of the constructs are shown in the left diagram. **(D)**

Growth of *F. periodonticum* containing the plasmid pBCG01 or pBCG02 on TSPC agar plates

under the uninduced or induced condition with theophylline after two days of growth in an

anaerobic chamber. **(E)** Overnight E*. coli* containing pBCG02 was diluted by 1:1000 and

aliquoted into three tubes with 6 ml LB broth plus an indicated concertation of theophylline after

18 hours of growth with shaking (200 rpm) at 37°C. These experiments were performed more

than three times, and a representative result was presented.

Figure 3: Applying HicA (3A) as a counter-selectable marker for gene deletion in *F.*

nucleatum. **(A)** Graphic representation of producing the non-replicative vector backbone

pBCG02-Δ used to generate unmarked, in-frame gene deletions in *F. nucleatum*. The primer

pairs (pCWU6-F/R, indicated by short arrows) were used to perform reverse PCR to remove the

region, including the *ori_Fn* and *repA*. The *radABCD* operon is shown. Approximately 1.6 kb

fragments homologous to the upstream (blue) and downstream (green) regions of the designed

deletion region in *radD* were amplified by PCR. They have ligated adjacently on the vector

backbone pBCG02-Δ via the Gibson assembly procedure (see details in context). The resulting

plasmid pBCG02-Δ*radD* was used to generate an unmarked *radD* deletion mutant in the wild-

type background. **(B)** TSPC agar plate representative of the counterselection effect by

HicA(3A) toxin expression in *F. nucleatum*. A 100 μl aliquot of 1,000-fold diluted overnight

culture for pBCG02- pBCG02-Δ*radD* integrated strain was plated on TSPC agar plates

containing 0 or 2 mM inducer theophylline to select for cells in which the plasmid had been

excised and lost. **(C)** The screen of *radD* deletion mutants by colony PCR with the primer pairs

Δ*radD*-F/R. Lane 1-9, 10 μl of PCR products of nine colonies randomly selected from the TSPC

plate. Five of the nine chosen random colonies were deletion mutants, while four reverted to

wild-type during the second recombination event. Bands of approximately 1.4 kb indicate *radD*

deletion, whereas bands of about 5.0 kb indicate a wild-type (WT) genotype. **(D)** *F. nucleatum*

wild-type and three *radD* mutant strains were examined for coaggregation with *Actinomyces oris*

MG-1.

Figure 4:   Deletion of indole-producing gene *tnaA* in F. nucleatum as HicA (3A) as a

counter-selectable marker. (A) Schematic drawing of pBCG02-Δ*tnaA* for deletion

tryptophanase TnaA gene. Approximately 1.6-kb fragments homologous to the upstream (blue)

and downstream (green) regions of the TnaA gene were ligated adjacently on the vector

backbone pBCG02-Δ via Gibson assembly procedure to create the vector pBCG02-Δ*tnaA*. The

primer pairs for colony PCR to screen Δ*tnaA* mutant strains were indicated by small arrows. **(B)**

The genotype of *tnaA* mutant strains following HicA (3A) counterselection. Bands of

approximately 3.2 kb indicate a *tnaA* deletion, whereas bands of about 5.0 kb indicate a wild-

type genotype. "WT" represents the parent wild-type, ATCC23726. **(C)** *F. nucleatum* wild-type

and a *TnaA* mutant strain were examined for indole production. Indole production was

demonstrated by adding Kovac's reagent (200 µl), which acts with the indole giving a red color

in 5ml fusobacterial cultures.

A

```
BpHicA    MNSSKLIRMLEEDGWRLVRVTGSAHHFKHPKKPGLVTVPHPKKDLPIGTVKSIQKSAGL
          |.|..|:::|..||| | ||.||  ||||..|.||||||||:||||: ||.|| : |||
FpHicA    MSSKDLMKLLKKDGWYLDRVNGSHYHFKHKSKKGLVTVPHPRKDLPLKTVESIFRQAGL
```

B

hicA   *hicB*

ATGAGCTCAAAAGACCTAATGAAATTACTCAAAAAAGATGGTTGGTATCT
TGATAGAGTAAATGGAAGTCATTATCATTTTAAGCATAAAAGCAAGAAAG
GTTTAGTGACGGTTCCACATCCTAGAAAAGATTTACCTTTGAAAACTGTT
GAGAGTATTTTCAGGCAAGCAGGGCTTTAA

C Riboswitch E sequence

CC**GGUGAUACCAGCAUCGUCUUGAUGCCCUUGGCAGCACCCUGCUAAGCAAGGAGGUAACAACAAG**AUG

RBS

D

Aptamer

RBS

Ribosome

ATG

hicA

Theophylline

RBS   ATG   *hicA*

E

P *rspJFp*

Riboswitch E
ATG

hicA

oriEc

pBCG01
7290bp

catP

repA

oriFn

Figure 1: Bibek et al.

A The wild-type *hicA (4A)* gene in pBCG01

ATGAGCTCAAAAGACCTAATGAAATTA•••••CTTTAA
M   S   S   K   D   L   M   K   L ••••• L₅₉

B The mutated *hicA (3A)* gene in pBCG02

ATGAGCTCAAAGACCTAATGAAATTA •••••CTTTAA
M   S   S   K   T M   K   L ••••• L₅₃
a 5aa peptide

C

non-induced   Induced

pBCG01 pBCG02
PrpsJ_Fp-E
4A   3A

5aa   3A hicB
pBCG04 pBCG03

D

non-induced   Induced

pBCG01

pBCG02

F. periodonticum

E

theophylline
0mM   1mM   2mM

E. coli pBCG02

Figure 2: Bibek et al.

A

B

0 mM theophylline   2 mM theophylline

F. nucleatum pBCG02-Δ*radD*

C

3.0kb
1.5kb

◄ wild-type
◄ deletion

D

Δ*radD*

WT   clone 1   clone 5   clone 9

Figure 3: Bibek et al.

A

B

```
     M  WT  1   2   3     4   5    6   7   8   9    10
5Kb —                                                    ◄ wild-type
3Kb —                                                    ◄ deletion
```

C

WT   ΔTnaA

Figure 4: Bibek et al.