1   **A HicA toxin-based counter-selection marker for allelic exchange mutations in**

2   **Fusobacterium nucleatum**

4   **Bibek GC, Peng Zhou and Chenggang Wu[†]**

5   *Department of Microbiology & Molecular Genetics, the University of Texas Health Science*
6   *Center, Houston, TX, USA*
7

9   **[†]** To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel. (+1) 713 500 5437; E-mail:
10 chenggang.wu@uth.tmc.edu

11
12

Running Title: *hicA*-based counterselection in *Fusobacterium nucleatum*

Keywords: HicA, toxin-antitoxin, counterselection marker, *Fusobacterium nucleatum*

ABSTRACT

The study of fusobacterial virulence factors has dramatically benefited from the creation of

various genetic tools for DNA manipulation, including the *galK*-based counterselection for in-

frame deletion mutagenesis in *Fusobacterium nucleatum* that was recently developed.

However, this method requires a host lacking the *galK* gene, which is an inherent limitation. To

circumvent this limitation, we explored the possibility of using the *hicA* gene that encodes a toxin

consisting of a HicAB toxin-antitoxin module in *Fusobacterium periodonticum* as a new counter-

selective marker. Interestingly, the full-length *hicA* gene is not toxic in *F. nucleatum*, but a

truncated *hicA* gene version lacking the first six amino acids is functional as a toxin. The toxin

expression is driven by an *rpsJ* promoter and is controlled at its translational level using a

theophylline-responsive riboswitch unit. As a proof of concept, we created markerless in-frame

deletions in the fusobacterial adhesin RadD gene within the *F. nucleatum rad* operon and the

tnaA gene that encodes the tryptophanase for indole production. After vector integration,

plasmid excision after counterselection appeared to have occurred in 100% of colonies grown

on theophylline-added plates and resulted in in-frame deletions in 50% of the screened isolates.

This *hicA*-based counterselection system provides a robust and reliable counterselection in wild-

type background *F. nucleatum* and should also be adapted for use in other bacteria.

IMPORTANCE

Fusobacterium nucleatum is an indole-producing human oral anaerobe associated with

periodontal diseases, preterm birth, and several cancers. Little is known about the mechanisms

of fusobacterial pathogenesis and associated factors mainly due to the lack of robust genetic

tools for this organism. Here we showed that a mutated *hicA* gene from *Fuosbacterium*

periodonticum expresses an active toxin and was used as a counterselection marker. This *hicA*-

based in-frame deletion system efficiently creates in-frame deletion mutations in the wild-type

background of *F. nucleatum*. This is the first report to use the *hicA* gene as a counterselection

marker in a bacterial genetic study.

INTRODUCTION

Fusobacterium nucleatum is a Gram-negative, indole-producing microorganism naturally

isolated from human oral cavity and is especially abundant within the subgingival plaque biofilm

composed of more than 400 bacterial species (1, 2). Using three major surface adhesin

proteins, FadA (3, 4), RadD (5, 6), and Fap2 (7, 8), *F. nucleatum* physically interacts with the

host and many other early or late colonizers in oral biofilm, making its presence tightly

associated with the onset and development of periodontal disease (2, 9, 10). In addition,

evidence has shown that *F. nucleatum* plays an essential role in extra-oral diseases like preterm

birth (11, 12) and colorectal cancer (13-16). To better understand the role of this bacterium in

various disorders, it is necessary to have comprehensive knowledge of *F. nucleatum's* biology

and pathogenesis. Such knowledge has been dramatically expanded in the past 15 years due

to many genetic tools being adapted for use in studies of this organism. There are ways to

perform genetic studies of *F. nucleatum*. One way is to use the Tn5-based mutagenesis to

produce many random gene mutants in one single assay (7, 17). Another example is to use the

defined genetic mutation that makes a single mutant each time. The defined mutations are

usually engineered using three methods: 1) plasmid insertion duplication mutagenesis (5, 18),

2) allelic exchange mutagenesis with antibiotic cassette (3), and 3) markerless in-frame deletion

(17, 19). The first two methods are easy to carry out and were primarily employed in early

studies of *F. nucleatum.* But both have an obvious drawback, frequently creating undesirable

polar effects on downstream genes. In addition, the limited number of available selectable

markers limits the number of mutations in a strain. However, these issues could be overcome by

developing a markerless in-frame deletion system.

The in-frame deletion procedure is a two-step process. First, two similarly sized fragments

that are homologous to sequences flanking the target locus are PCR amplified. The two

fragments are ligated adjacent to each other in a suicide vector. This construct is subsequently

integrated onto the chromosome via homologous recombination and selected using a positive

selection pressure, often with antibiotic resistance. Then, the selected simple recombinants are

grown without any selective pressure to allow second recombination events and plasmid loss.

Next, plasmid-excision/loss recombinants are screened for a second recombination event that

occurs between the duplicated homologous chromosomal region which is created after the initial

plasmid recombination event. Since the second recombination event is rare, screening these

recombinants that have undergone the double crossover is time-consuming. Counterselection

strategies are often introduced to facilitate this process to create markerless in-frame deletions

(20).

For this purpose, we introduced *galK* as a counterselection marker in *F. nucleatum* (17). The

galK gene encodes galactokinase, a key enzyme in galactose metabolism. This enzyme

phosphorylates D-galactose to generate galactose-1-phosphate and can also convert 2-deoxy-

D-galactose (2-DG) into 2-deoxygalctose-1-phosphate, which is not further metabolized and is

toxic to the cell. *F. nucleatum* carries a copy of the *galK* gene. To use *galK* for counterselection,

a *galK*-minus recipient strain was constructed. An in-frame deletion vector was generated in

which the *galK* gene from *F. nucleatum* or *Clostridium acetobutylicum* was cloned, and a strong

and constitutive promoter controlled its expression. If the recipient strain lacks galactose kinase

(*galK*) and phosphate uridylyltransferase (*galT*), galactose can be used instead of the more

expensive 2-deoxy-D-galactose molecule for selection (19). The *galK*-based counterselection

system consists of a *galK* minus or *galKT* minus recipient strain and an in-frame deletion vector,

which results in a strong negative selection in *F. nucleatum*. This shows that all viable strains

when selected with 2-deoxy-D-galactose undergo the second recombination to excise the

plasmid. This system successfully generated many fusobacterial in-frame deletions in our lab

and other groups (6, 17, 19, 21). However, the apparent limitation of this system is the modified

mutant recipient strain requirement. The intermediate product UDP-galactose from galactose

utilization is vital in cell envelope maintenance in bacteria (22, 23). The use of galactose

present in a culture medium or animal hosts by *F. nucleatum* could change its physiology, as it

would potentially module the function of Fap2, an important adhesin that binds galactose and is

responsible for cell-cell coaggregation and cell adhesion (7, 8). Consistently, we identified

several cases in which the recipient background impacted the phenotypes of our markerless in-

frame deletion strains in our studies (unpublished data). Thus, a new counterselection marker is

urgently needed to replace *galK* for genetic studies in *F. nucleatum*.

The HicAB is a type II toxin-antitoxin (TA) module that is ubiquitously present in bacteria (24)

and is involved in bacterial persistence and chronic infections (25). HicA is a type of

ribonuclease that can cause cell growth to stop when expressed without cognate antitoxin HicB.

There has only been one study on TA system in the genus *Fusobacterium*, and it is related to a

plasmid addition system (Txf-Axf) (26). We tried to explore the possibility of using one of the

hicA genes from fusobacterial species as a counterselection marker.

In this study, we report the development of a HicA toxin gene-based in-frame deletion

system in *F. nucleatum*. We tested this system to construct two markerless in-frame deletions in

the fusobacterial adhesin gene *radD* and indole-producing gene *tnaA*.   Following

counterselection, plasmid loss occurred in every colony tested, while half of the screened

isolates grown on plates with theophylline were in-frame deletion mutants. To our knowledge,

this is the first report of using a *hicA* as a counterselection in bacteria.

RESULTS

A putative mutated HicA toxin from *F. periodonticum* is functional in *F. nucleatum*

In previous studies, we used the *galK* gene as a counterselection marker to efficiently create

in-frame deletion mutations in *F. nucleatum* (17). However, the *galK*-based allelic exchange

system has an obvious limitation: the host cell must be *galK* minus. Without this gene, bacteria

were unable to utilize galactose which is crucial for survival and virulence in certain conditions.

This can affect the characteristics of the resulting mutant derivatives. To address this issue, we

in this study sought to find a new counterselection marker to replace the *galK* gene for genetic

manipulations in *F. nucleatum*.

When beginning our search for a likely candidate, we considered the toxin-antitoxin

modules. The toxin-antitoxin systems are widespread in bacteria and typically consist of two

components: a toxin that inhibits cell growth and an antitoxin that counteracts the cognate toxin

(27). The toxin gene *mazF* in the toxin-antitoxin MazEF modules from *E. coli* has been used for

counterselection in various bacteria when *mazF* expression was in highly adjustable controls,

often controlled by an inducible promoter (28-33). We first attempted to use a *mazF* as a

counterselection marker in *F. nucleatum* but were unsuccessful. Then we switched our attention

to the HicA toxin gene in the HicAB toxin-antitoxin module. The HicAB system has been well

studied in *E. coli* (34), *Burkholderia pseudomallei* (35) and *Streptococcus mutans* (36) . HicA

toxins are typically smaller than mazF toxins. MazF in *E. coli* has 111 amino acids and HicA 58.

HicA degrades both mRNA and transfer-messenger RNA, halting protein translation and cell

growth. MzaF only cleaves mRNA. Co-expression of HicB was able to counteract HicA-induced

bacterial growth arrest (36, 37). Since our lab is interested in the biological roles of toxin-

antitoxin systems in fusobacterial species, we plan to use an endogenous HicA homolog from

the genus *Fusobacterium* and test if it could be employed as a counterselection marker. This

way, the codon-bias issue can be efficiently avoided during the ectopic expression of the

candidate marker gene in *F. nucleatum*, a low G+C bacterium. Protein expression in a host

bacterium is heavily influenced by GC content.

Firstly, we identified *hicA* gene homologs by performing BLAST searches with the *hicA* gene

in *B. pesudomallei* (*BphicA*) as a query against the genome sequence of *Fusobacterium*

periodonticum, another oral bacterium. A *hicA* homolog was found in *F. periodonticum* and was

named *FpHicA* (see Figure 1A). It encodes a putative 59 amino-acid polypeptide by the gene of

FperA3_010100004605 (https://img.jgi.doe.gov) with 61% identity and 80% similarity to BpHicA.

The downstream gene of *FphicA* is the *hicB*, which encodes a putative antitoxin protein for

neutralization of HicA toxicity (Figure 1B). Notably, the genome of *F. nucleatum* subsp.

nucleatum ATCC 23726, our genetic working strain, contains a putative 63 amino-acid peptide

HicA homolog (FnHicA) encoded by gene HMPREF0397_0209 (https://img.jgi.doe.gov).

However, FnHicA shares only 39% identity and 54% similarity with FpHicA . To use the *FphicA*

gene as a counterselection marker in our in-frame-deletion plasmids, the low sequence

homology of the *FphicA* gene to the *FnhicA* gene locus would not affect the initial integration of

our in-frame deletion constructs.

Next, we wanted to express the *hicA* gene in *F. nucleatum* ectopically and test the toxicity

of the FpHicA protein. To do so, the Gibson assembly cloning was performed to construct a

pCWU6-based (17) plasmid that expresses the *hicA* gene. The *rpsJ* gene promoter was proven

as a strong, constitutive promoter in *F. nucleatum* (6). Based on this finding, we hypothesized

that the promoter of the *rpsJ* gene in *F. periodonticum* (FperA3_010100002588,

https://img.jgi.doe.gov/) would be similar. As a result, we used the $rpsJ_{Fp}$ promoter to drive *hicA*

expression. To control the putative HicA expression level, a well-characterized synthetic

theophylline-responsive riboswitch E (38) (Figure 1C) was inserted in between the $rpsJ_{fp}$

promoter and the *hicA* start codon (Figure 1E). This riboswitch E unit is functional in Gram-

positive and Gram-negative bacteria (38, 39). It is comprised of an aptamer and a synthetic

ribosome binding site (RBS). Theoretically, transcription of the riboswitch under the control of

the $rpsJ_{Fp}$ promoter occurs constitutively during cell growth. However, the synthetic RBS located

downstream of the aptamer is sequestered via pairing with the stem of this riboswitch, resulting

in a translational stop. The binding of ligand theophylline exposes the RBS to the translation

machine by altering the downstream base pairing. Consequently, the *hicA* gene translation

begins when the ribosome binds to the RBS (Figure 1D). We wanted to obtain a plasmid that

ectopically expresses the *hicA* gene under the control of the riboswitch E element. Four positive

E. coli clones generated by the Gibson assembly procedure were randomly chosen to culture

for plasmid isolation. Before the four plasmids were verified by DNA sequencing, we

transformed them individually into *F. nucleatum* ATCC 23726 strain by electroporation. Cells

were then plated on agar plate with thiamphenicol. The colonies formed after three days of

growth in an anaerobic chamber. One colony from each plasmid transformation plate was

picked up to grow in the TSPC medium. The growth of these transformants was similar to the

wild-type strain when *hicA* gene expression was not induced with theophylline (data not shown).

Interestingly, only one transformant did not grow when 2 mM theophylline was provided—the

other three grew the same with or without the theophylline (Figure 2C and data not shown).

Subsequently, DNA sequencing revealed that the three plasmids are identical and each

contains a complete *hicA* gene. Surprisingly, the plasmid conferring cell growth arrest had a

point mutation in a "4A" sequence (Figure 2A). There were no errors in the promoter region,

riboswitch E unit, or other parts of the *hicA* gene in the four sequenced plasmids. An adenine

base missed in the "4A" sequence (Figure 2B), subsequently refered to as *hicA(3A),* which

caused a frameshift and introduced a premature stop codon at codon 6. It presumably produced

a five-amino acid peptide (MSSKT) starting its translation from the first ATG codon when the

inducer was added. By closely examining the mutated *hicA* sequence, we found that the

introduced stop codon TAA had one nucleotide overlapping with a second ATG codon: codon 7

of the wild-type *hicA* gene (Figure 2A). This ATG at codon seven is postulated to allow *hicA(3A)*

mRNA to restart its translation, creating a shorter HicA protein lacking the first six amino acids

(Figure 2B). In other words, it is possible that the production of truncated HicA protein is

functional in *F. nucleatum* and able to cease cell growth, but not its full-length version. As the

produced MSSKT peptide is a small peptide, it may act as anantimicrobial peptide (AMP) that

kills or prevents bacterial growth (40). To exclude the possibility of the MSSKT peptide acting

as an AMP, the gene region only synthesized with the five-amino peptide was amplified from the

mutated plasmid pBCG02 and re-cloned in pCWU6. Its expression was under the control *rpsJ$_{FP}$*

promoter and the riboswitch E unit (pBCG04), the same as those in pBCG01 and pBCG02.

Expectedly, in the presence of inducers, the expression of the short peptide did not affect

bacterial growth (Figure 2C, pBCG04). The *hicB* gene could counteract the toxicity of mutated

hicA(3A). As the *hicB* was co-expressed with mutated *hicA*, fusobacterial growth arrest was

reversed (Figure 2C, pBCG03) to normal levels when grown on agar plates with 2 mM

theophylline (Figure 2C). We were interested in knowing if the mutated *hicA* gene was functional

in its native host, *F. periodonticum* as well. For that purpose, the pBCG02 was transformed into

F. periodonticum by electroporation, and one transformant was further cultured and streaked on

the plates. In the presence of 2 mM inducer theophylline, cell growth was stopped entirely. In

contrast, the cells of *F. periodonticum* with pBCG01 containing the wild-type *hicA* gene showed

no signs of a growth defect when *hicA* gene expression was induced (Figure 2D). In addition,

we showed that induction of the mutated *hicA* gene prevented cell growth of *E. coli* in the

presence of 2 mM theophylline (Figure 2E). Together, these data suggested that the putative

mutated HicA with 53 amino acids functions as a toxin in our tested bacteria.

Use of mutated *hicA* as a counterselection marker for in-frame deletion in *F. nucleatum*

The ectopic expression of the putative HicA(3A) inhibited fusobacterial cell growth,

indicating that *hicA (3A)* gene might be suitable for counter-selection in *F. nucleatum*. To test

this possibility, an allelic exchange vector named pBCG02-Δ*radD* (Figure 3A) was constructed

to delete the gene region coding for the amino acids in positions between 44 and 1240 of the

RadD protein. Our data indicated that the RadD (44-1240) region contains a motif critical for

RadD activity (unpublished data). The RadD protein is a large surface adhesin that mediates *F.*

nucleatum's physical interactions with some oral bacteria, mostly Gram-positive, early

colonizers in the human mouth (5). These aggregated interactions are critical for dental plaque

formation. An inverse PCR linearized the pBCG02 with primer pair pCWU6-F/R to remove *repA*

and *ori*$_{FN}$ (Figure 3A). The RepA and *ori*$_{FN}$ elements are required for pCWU6-based plasmid

replication in *F. nucleatum* (18). Without them, the shuttle plasmid pBCG02 becomes a suicide

vector with a positive selection marker (*catP*) and a negative selection marker (*hicA(3A)*). The

same length of upstream and downstream DNA sequences flanking the region -responsible for

the synthesis of the RadD (44-1240)- was amplified. An overlapping PCR reaction then fused

the upstream and downstream fragments. Gibson assembly was used to clone the fused

fragment into the linearized pBCG02 that lacks *repA* and *ori*$_{FN}$. The resulting in-frame deletion

construct was transformed into *E. coli* C2987. Positive colonies were confirmed by colony PCR

using the primer pair Δ*radD*-F/R. Positive colonies showed a 1.34 kb insert and were further

selected for plasmid preparation and sequencing. The transfer of the allelic exchange plasmid

pBCG02-Δ*radD* into *F. nucleatum* ATCC 23726 was traditionally performed via the

electroporation procedure that our lab used previously (41). The selection of recombinant

vectors to integrate into the bacterial chromosome was performed by thiamphenicol, a

chloramphenicol derivative. One randomly selected thiamphenicol-resistant integration colony

was chosen for theophylline-negative selection based on putative HicA(3A) toxicity. This strain

was grown in the absence of antibiotics overnight and then diluted by 1 to 1000 the following

day before plating cells on the TSPC agar plates with 2 mM theophylline. To estimate the

frequency of plasmid excision, a plate without an inducer was used to grow the un-counter-

selected cells as a control. As shown in Figure 3B, the theophylline-negative selection only

allowed a small fraction of the cells to survive. Based on the ratio of theophylline-resistant cells

to total cells (cells grown on plates without selection, see Figure 3B, the left plate without an

inducer), we estimated that approximately 1 in 2000 cells underwent a second recombination to

lose the integrated plasmid. Eight randomly selected theophylline-resistant colonies were

confirmed for antibiotic sensitivity to screen for possible in-frame deletion mutants. Next, colony

PCR was used to detect their genotypes using the primer pair Δ*radD*-F/R illustrated the Figure

3A. As shown in Figure 3C, Four of the eight colonies generated amplicons of approximately 1.4

kb, indicating an in-frame deletion. The remaining four colonies produced the expected wild-type

amplicons of approximately 5.0 kb. The coaggregation assay was also used to confirm the four

generated *radD* mutant strains and four wild-type revertant strains. We expected coaggregation

defects in these mutant strains because this region of RadD (44-1240) is located in the

passenger domain, which is responsible for *F. nucleatum* coaggregation with oral bacterium

Actinomyces oris (6). This was indeed the case (Figure 3D). Clones 1, 2, 4, and 8 showed

coaggregation defects with *A. oris*, whereas all wild-type reverants (clones 3, 5, 6 and 7)

exhibited aggregation similar to the wild-type strain. Finally, two of the in-frame deletion mutant

clones (clone 1 and 4) were also sequenced and found to exhibit the expected in-frame deletion

that the *radD* gene only lacks in the desired deletion region by amplicon sequencing.

To further test this *hicA(3A)*-based counterselection system, we used it to make an in-frame

deletion of the *tnaA* gene (HMPREF0397_1802, https://img.jgi.doe.gov/) in *F. nucleatum*. It has

been reported that the *tnaA* gene encodes the enzyme tryptophanase that produces indole from

tryptophan in *E. coli* (42). As a signaling molecule, indole plays an essential role in bacterial

physiology, ecological balance, and human health (43). However, its biological function in *F.*

nucleatum has yet to be explored. An allelic exchange cassette carrying the flanking regions of

tnaA was generated by an overlapping PCR. The allelic exchange cassette was cloned into the

linearized pBCG02, in which *repA* and *ori$_{Fn}$* were omitted. The generated suicide plasmid

pBCG02-Δ*tnaA* (Figure 4A) was then introduced into an *F. nucleatum* wild-type background

strain by electroporation. The insertion obtained by homologous recombination of the plasmid

into the chromosome was selected in the presence of thiamphenicol. A thiamphenicol-resistant

colony was grown in TSPC without antibiotics overnight. The following day, aliquots of 1000-fold

diluted cultures were plated on TSPC agar plates containing 2 mM theophylline. After three

days, ten theophylline-resistant colonies were randomly chosen to check thiamphenicol

sensitivity (100% efficiency). The colony PCR was then used to analyze the genotypes of the

ten colonies with primer pair TnaAupF and TnaAdnR (see Table 2) indicated in Figure 4A. The

results revealed that half of the colonies were the expected deletion mutant strains, and half

were the wild-type revertant strain (Figure 4B). Lastly, the *tnaA* deletion mutant was confirmed

using the indole assay, which revealed that the wild-type cell and wild-type revertant cell (clones

4, 6,7, 8, and 10) developed red color, whereas the *tnaA* mutant (clones 1, 2, 3, 5, and 9) did

not (Figure 4C). This suggests that the *tnaA* homolog in *F. nucleatum* is responsible for indole

production.

DISCUSSION

The HicAB toxin-antitoxin system has been widely studied in bacteria. However, no HicA

toxin has been used for counterselection to create markerless in-frame deletion mutations. HicA

is a ribonuclease, and its expression prevents cell growth in many bacteria (24, 34). In this

study, we used a *hicA* gene from *F. periodonticum* and established a facile in-frame deletion

system in *F. nucleatum*. The success of establishing this putative HicA toxin for counterselection

in *F. nucleatum* has benefited from one unexpected finding. The expression of a full-length *hicA*

gene, encoded for a putatvie 59 amino-acid HicA toxin, did not induce cell death; however,

when inducing expression of a short form of putative HicA protein that lacks the first six amino

acids, the cells died (Figure 2C). In other words, the putative truncated version of HicA

possesses a toxin activity. The finding of this active form of putative HicA was accidental. An

unexpected point mutation in *hicA* occurred during plasmid constructions, allowing *hicA* mRNA

translation to start from the second ATG, codon 7, in its original version of this gene (Figure 2B).

The presence of N-terminal six amino acids in the putative HicA inhibits its activity. Some

proteins, like bacteriocins, must remove part of their N-terminus before being active (44, 45).

For toxin proteins from all studied toxin-antitoxin systems, there is no such report that the

removal of partial N-terminal sequences is needed for their activities. *F. periodonticum* may

produce a nonfunctional premature HicA protein, which then requires an unknown mechanism

to cleave its N-terminal partial sequence in order to be in an active state. Consistently with this

possibility, the *hicA* expression in the *hicB* deletion mutants does not exhibit growth defects in *E.*

coli (46), *Haemophilus ducreyi* (47)*, and *Streptococcus mutans* (36). Another possibility is that

the translation of this gene in *F. periodonticum* may start from the second ATG codon -the

codon 7 of the gene annotated in the genome database (https://img.jgi.doe.gov)- but not the first

ATG as its starting codon. All of these possibilities require further studies.

This work aimed to find a new gene to replace *galK* as a counterselection marker to

generate markerless gene deletions in *F. nucleatum*. We showed that cell growth would be

arrested entirely when the mutated *hicA* was induced in the wild-type background of *F.*

nucleatum (Figure 2C). So, we attempted to use the truncated form of *hicA* gene as a

counterselection maker in allelic exchange constructs for creating in-frame-deletion mutations in

F. nucleatum. The *rpsJ* promoter is a constitutive and robust promoter in many bacteria (6, 48).

We chose to use the *rpsJ* promoter from *F. periodonticum* to drive the expression of the

putatively active HicA toxin. Choosing the promoter from *F. periodonticum*, but not *F.*

nucleatum, was to avoid the potential interference to the initial integration of our constructs due

to the homology of this promoter fragment to the native *rpsJ* locus. A well-studied theophylline-

responsive synthetic riboswitch was employed to control the active *hicA* gene expression. Six

synthetic theophylline-responsible riboswitches were widely used in various bacteria (38, 39,

49). The riboswitch E was chosen to control *hicA* mRNA translation because only this

riboswitch works and does not have a leaking issue when used in *F. nucleatum* (unpublished

data). This theophylline-sensing riboswitch tightly controls the expression of the active HicA

protein. Since 2 mM of theophylline could induce enough of the toxic protein to prevent cell

growth, no step was carried out to optimize theophylline's concentration further. With this

system, we successfully created the in-frame deletion for the fusobacterial adhesin protein

RadD gene and the *tnaA* gene responsible for indole production in *F. nucleatum*.

The *hicA(3A)*-based system showed a reliable and robust counterselection exactly like

galK-utilized counterselection. All colonies were thiamphenicol-sensitive after counterselection,

which indicated that 100% of colonies underwent loss of the integration plasmids once the

putatively active HicA toxin protein was induced by 2 mM theophylline. Compared with the *galK*

system, the *hicA(3A)*-dependent counterselection has an immediate advantage: it can work in

the wild-type background of *F. nucleatum*. MazF has been used as a counterselection marker

in other Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria (20). As we prepared this manuscript, a

MazF-mediated counterselection strategy was reported in *F. nucleatum* (50). They use a

tetracycline-inducible system to control the MazF expression in *F. nucleatum*. The expression of

MazF is lethal. That allowed the counterselection in *F. nucleatum* due to MazF toxicity, and only

cells lacking the integrated plasmid could grow. Undoubtedly, this system is excellent and is

easy to use in fusobacterial genetic study. But, it has one potential problem: many strains of *F.*

nucleatum are tetracycline sensitive. We have found that even 20ng/ml tetracycline or 80ng/ml

anhydrotetracycline discourages the growth of *F. nucleatum* ATCC 23726, and some are even

more sensitive. That may limit the use of the tetracycline-induced MazF system for

counterselection in some strains of *F. nucleatum*. In this aspect, the theophylline-sensed

riboswitch is better than the tetracycline-inducible system since *F. nucleatum*'s growth is not

affected by the presence of theophylline, even at high concertation (data not shown).

The results presented in this study support the use of HicA toxin activity for negative

selection in *F. nucleatum*. The active HicA may work in many bacteria, as we already

demonstrated that the induction of this putatively active HicA causes cell death in *F.*

periodonticum and *E. coli* (Figure 2D, 2E). As far as we know, this is the first time the *hicA* gene

as a counterselection marker for bacterial genetic studies. This same strategy is also

theoretically feasible in other bacteria that do not already possess an efficient negative selection

system. Currently, we are working on this system in *F. periodonticum* to test if it can be widely

applied in other fusobacterial species.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Bacterial strains, plasmids, and growth conditions

Bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study are listed in Table 1. *F. nucleatum* and *F.*
periodonticum strains were grown in tryptic soy broth (TSB) supplemented with 1% Bacto[TM]
peptone plus 0.25% freshly made cysteine (TSPC) or on TSPC agar plates in an anaerobic
chamber filled with 80% N_2, 10% H_2 and 10% CO_2. Hemin (5 µg/ml) and vitamin K3 (1 µg/ml)
were added for *F. periodonticum* growth on TSPC agar plates. *A. oris* were grown in Heart
Infusion Broth (HIB). *E. coli* strains were grown in Luria-Bertani (LB) broth. Fusobacterial
transformants with pBCG01, pBCG02, pBCG03, or pBCG04 were grown overnight in TSPC
medium with 5 µg/ml thiamphenicol. Overnight cultures were directly streaked on TSPC agar
with antibiotic selection, with or without 2 mM theophylline, after 72 h of incubation at 37°C in an
anaerobic chamber. 40 mM theophylline stock was prepared in a TSP medium. pREcas2 was
purchased from Synthetic Biology Research Center at University of Nottingham, UK. Antibiotics
used as needed were: chloramphenicol (15 µg ml^{-1}) and thiamphenicol (5 µg ml^{-1}). Reagents
were purchased from Sigma unless indicated otherwise.

Plasmid construction

All plasmids used in this study were constructed via Gibson assembly cloning, essentially
performed according to the manufacturer's instructions with NEBuilder® HIFI DNA Assembly
Master Mix. This method requires adjacent segments with at least 18-bp long, identical
sequences on the ends. These identical sequences were generated via PCR with primers that
contain a 5' end identical to an adjacent segment and a 3' end that anneals to the gene-of-
interest sequence using a 2x hot-start PCR master mix (Takara, #R405A). The primers for PCR
reactions were listed in Table 2.

pBCG01 and pBCG02– To create these plasmids, pREcas2 (39) was used as a DNA
template to amplify the J23119 promoter region and the riboswitch E element with primers
J23119-F/R. The primer pair hicA-F/R (Table 2) was used to PCR-amplify out the *hicA* gene
(see gene identification no. FperA3_010100004605 in the *F. periodonticum* database at

https://img.jgi.doe.gov/) with genome DNA of *F. periodonticum* ATCC 33693 as a template. The

two amplicons were fused via an overlapping PCR reaction, and the resulting PCR product was

designated as *J23119-E-hicA*. Then the fragment encompassing the riboswitch E and *hicA* (E-

hicA) was amplified with primers hicA(rpsJ$_{FP}$)-F and hicA-R. The primer pair PrpsJ$_{FP}$-F/R was

used to amplify the promoter region of the *rpsJ* gene (FperA3_010100002588,

https://img.jgi.doe.gov/) without its ribosome binding site (PrpsJ$_{FP}$) with the genome DNA of *F.*

periodonticum ATCC 33693. The *E-hicA* and *PrpsJ$_{FP}$* amplicons were cloned into SacI and

HindIII-digested pCWU6 (17) by Gibson assembly and transformed into *E. coli* C2987 cells, as

described previously (51). Four positive clones were chosen to culture for plasmid isolation, and

the four plasmids were sent for sequencing. Three had the wild-type *hicA* DNA sequence, but

one had a point mutation at the "4A" sequence (see Figure 2A, 2B). The plasmid that contains

this point mutation was named pBCG02.

pBCG03 –To test if the putative antitoxin HicB prevents the putative HicA (3A) toxicity in *F.*

nucleatum, the *hicB* gene (FperA3_010100004610, https://img.jgi.doe.gov/) was placed at

immediate downstream of *hicA,* and the expression of the two genes was under the control of

rpsJ$_{FP}$ promoter and riboswitch E unit. The fragment, including *PrpsJ$_{FP}$-E-hicA*, was amplified

with the primers PrpsJ$_{FP}$-F and hicA-R2 from pBCG02. Secondly, the primer pairs hicB-F/R

were used to PCR amplify the *hicB* gene. The two amplicons of *PrpsJ$_{FP}$-E-hicA* and *hicB* were

mixed with SacI/HindIII-cut pCWU6 in the Gibson assembly master mix solution to generate

pBCG03.

pBCG04 – With plasmid pBCG02 as a DNA template, the primer pairs PrpsJ$_{FP}$-F and

MSSKT-R were used to amplify the fragment encompassing PrpsJ$_{FP}$-E and *hicA* (3A) region

only, including the first ATG to the premature stop codon due to missing one "A" base in "4A"

sequence. The short *hicA* region codes a five amino-acid peptide (MSSKT, see Figure 2B).

This amplicon was cloned into pCWU6 precut by SacI/HindIII via Gibson assembly to generate

pBCG04.

All produced plasmids were confirmed by sequencing and then transformed into *F. nucleatum*

ATCC 23726 or *F. periodonticum* ATCC 33693 with the electroporation procedure we previously

published (41). In brief, cells of either *F. nucleatum* or *F. periodonticum* were harvested by

centrifugation from a 100 ml stationary phase culture. The cells were washed twice with sterile

water and once with 10% glycerol, then resuspended in 3 ml of 10% glycerol and divided into

0.2 ml aliquots. Next, 1.0 mg purified plasmid DNA was added to 0.2 ml aliquot of the above-

prepared fusobacterial cells in a pre-cooled corvette (0.1 cm electrode gap, Bio-Rad) and left on

ice for 10 minutes. The reactions were electroporated using a Bio-Rad Gene Pulser II set at 25

kV/cm, 25 F, and 200 Ω. Immediately following electroporation, the cells were diluted in 1 ml of

pre-reduced and pre-warmed TSPC. The culture was plated on TSPC agar plates with 5 g/ml

thiamphenicol after being incubated anaerobically for 5 hours without agitation.

Gene deletion based on *hicA (3A)*-counterselecitonin *F. nucleatum*

To create deletion constructs for *radD* that eliminate the amino acids in positions

between 44 and 1240, 1.6-kb fragments upstream and downstream of the *radD* interested

region were amplified by PCR using primer pairs RadDupF/R and RadDdnF/R (Table 2). For the

tnaA deletion plasmid, 1.6-kb fragments upstream and downstream of *tnaA* were PCR amplified

using primer pairs tnaAupF/R and tnaAdnF/R. An overlapping PCR reaction fused the

upstream and downstream fragments. Each fused segment was then mixed in 10 µl Gibson

assembly master mix solution with an equal amount of the pBCG02 vector backbone in which

the *repA* and ori_{Fn} element were removed by inverse PCR with primer pair pCWU6-F/R (see

Figure 3A). A 2x hot-start PCR master mix from Takara (cat#: R405A) was used for high-fidelity

PCR amplification of the pBCG02 backbone. The resulting plasmids pBCG02-Δ*radD* and

pBCG02-Δ*tnaA* were further confirmed by DNA sanger sequencing and transformed into *F.*

nucleatum ATCC 23726 by electroporation (52), respectively.

Plasmid insertion into the bacterial chromosome by homologous recombination was

selected by growth with TSPC liquid media in the presence of 5 μg/ml thiamphenicol at 37°C. A

5 μg/ml thiamphenicol-resistant colony was inoculated overnight in TSPC without antibiotics.

The following day, a 100 μl aliquot of 1,000-fold diluted cultures was plated on TSPC agar

plates containing 2 mM inducer theophylline to select for cells in which the plasmid had been

excised and lost. Growing 8~10 colonies restreaked on TSPC plates, checked for thiamphenicol

sensitivity (100% efficiency), and screened by PCR amplification for the presence of the

expected deletion in *radD* or *tnaA*.

Bacterial co-aggregation

Co-aggregation assays were performed with wild-type, Δ*radD* and 8 colonies from *hicA(3A)-*

based counterselection of *F. nucleatum* and *A. oris* MG-1 as previously described (17). Briefly,

stationary-phase cultures of bacterial strains were grown in TSPC or heart infusion broth (for

MG-1) harvested by centrifugation, washed in coaggregation buffer (53), and suspended to an

equal cell density of approximately 2×10^9 ml^{-1} based upon OD$_{600}$ values. For co-aggregation,

0.20 ml aliquots of *Actinomyces* and fusobacterial cell suspensions were mixed in a 24-well

plate for a few minutes on a rotator shaker, and images were taken.

Indole assay

Fusobacterial wild-type and ten clones, obtained through *hicA(3A)*-counterselection targeted

towards in-frame deletion of the *tnaA* gene, were individually inoculated into a test tube

containing 8 ml of TSPC medium. The tubes were incubated at 37°C for 24 hours, and a 200 μl

aliquot was taken from each culture and added to a 96-well plate. Following this, 100 μl of

Kovacs's reagent was added to each tube without shaking, and resulting color change was

observed. A positive indole test is indicted by the formation of a pink to red color in the reagent

layer on top of the medium within a few seconds of adding the reagent, while a negative result

appears yellow. The photograph was taken 10 minutes after the reagent was added.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

C.W. conceived and designed all experiments. B.G. and P.Z. performed all experiments. B.G.,

P.Z., and C. W. analyzed data. C.W. wrote the manuscript with contribution and approval from

all authors.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Materials are available upon reasonable request with a material transfer agreement with

UTHealth for bacterial strains or plasmids. pBCG01 plasmid sequence and map can be

accessed on a Benchling link at https://benchling.com/s/seq-VuTCpImziZSBrxT6otvO?m=slm-

jUtGU7tIsA1ZnPAgUH1f

ACKNWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by a National Institute of Dental and Craniofacial Research (NIDCR)

grant (DE030895) to C.W.

REFERENCES

1.　Paster BJ, Boches SK, Galvin JL, Ericson RE, Lau CN, Levanos VA, Sahasrabudhe A,
Dewhirst FE. 2001. Bacterial diversity in human subgingival plaque. Journal of
bacteriology 183:3770-3783.
2.　Bolstad A, Jensen HB, Bakken V. 1996. Taxonomy, biology, and periodontal aspects of
Fusobacterium nucleatum. Clinical microbiology reviews 9:55-71.
3.　Han YW, Ikegami A, Rajanna C, Kawsar HI, Zhou Y, Li M, Sojar HT, Genco RJ,
Kuramitsu HK, Deng CX. 2005. Identification and characterization of a novel adhesin
unique to oral fusobacteria. Journal of bacteriology 187:5330-5340.

4.   Fardini Y, Wang X, Témoin S, Nithianantham S, Lee D, Shoham M, Han YW. 2011.
Fusobacterium nucleatum adhesin FadA binds vascular endothelial cadherin and alters
endothelial integrity. Molecular microbiology 82:1468-1480.
5.   Kaplan CW, Lux R, Haake SK, Shi W. 2009. The Fusobacterium nucleatum outer
membrane protein RadD is an arginine-inhibitable adhesin required for inter-species
adherence and the structured architecture of multispecies biofilm. Molecular
microbiology 71:35-47.
6.   Wu C, Chen YW, Scheible M, Chang C, Wittchen M, Lee JH, Luong TT, Tiner BL, Tauch
A, Das A, Ton-That H. 2021. Genetic and molecular determinants of polymicrobial
interactions in Fusobacterium nucleatum. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 118.
7.   Abed J, Emgård JE, Zamir G, Faroja M, Almogy G, Grenov A, Sol A, Naor R, Pikarsky E,
Atlan KA. 2016. Fap2 mediates Fusobacterium nucleatum colorectal adenocarcinoma
enrichment by binding to tumor-expressed Gal-GalNAc. Cell host & microbe 20:215-225.
8.   Parhi L, Abed J, Shhadeh A, Alon-Maimon T, Udi S, Ben-Arye SL, Tam J, Parnas O,
Padler-Karavani V, Goldman-Wohl D, Yagel S, Mandelboim O, Bachrach G. 2022.
Placental colonization by Fusobacterium nucleatum is mediated by binding of the Fap2
lectin to placentally displayed Gal-GalNAc. Cell Rep 38:110537.
9.   Polak D, Shapira L, Weiss EI, Houri-Haddad Y. 2012. The role of coaggregation
between Porphyromonas gingivalis and Fusobacterium nucleatum on the host response
to mixed infection. Journal of clinical periodontology 39:617-625.
10.   Zhang Y, Shi W, Song Y, Wang J. 2019. Metatranscriptomic analysis of an in vitro
biofilm model reveals strain-specific interactions among multiple bacterial species. J Oral
Microbiol 11:1599670.
11.   Vander Haar EL, So J, Gyamfi-Bannerman C, Han YW. 2018. Fusobacterium nucleatum
and adverse pregnancy outcomes: epidemiological and mechanistic evidence. Anaerobe
50:55-59.
12.   Gauthier S, Tétu A, Himaya E, Morand M, Chandad F, Rallu F, Bujold E. 2011. The
origin of Fusobacterium nucleatum involved in intra-amniotic infection and preterm birth.
The journal of Maternal-fetal & Neonatal Medicine 24:1329-1332.
13.   Yu T, Guo F, Yu Y, Sun T, Ma D, Han J, Qian Y, Kryczek I, Sun D, Nagarsheth N. 2017.
Fusobacterium nucleatum promotes chemoresistance to colorectal cancer by modulating
autophagy. Cell 170:548-563. e16.
14.   Flanagan L, Schmid J, Ebert M, Soucek P, Kunicka T, Liska V, Bruha J, Neary P,
Dezeeuw N, Tommasino M. 2014. Fusobacterium nucleatum associates with stages of
colorectal neoplasia development, colorectal cancer and disease outcome. European
journal of clinical microbiology & infectious diseases 33:1381-1390.
15.   Rubinstein MR, Wang X, Liu W, Hao Y, Cai G, Han YW. 2013. Fusobacterium
nucleatum promotes colorectal carcinogenesis by modulating E-cadherin/β-catenin
signaling via its FadA adhesin. Cell host & microbe 14:195-206.
16.   Brennan CA, Garrett WS. 2019. Fusobacterium nucleatum—symbiont, opportunist and
oncobacterium. Nature Reviews Microbiology 17:156-166.
17.   Wu C, Al Mamun AAM, Luong TT, Hu B, Gu J, Lee JH, D'Amore M, Das A, Ton-That H.
2018. Forward Genetic Dissection of Biofilm Development by Fusobacterium nucleatum:
Novel Functions of Cell Division Proteins FtsX and EnvC. mBio 9.
18.   Haake SK, Yoder S, Gerardo SH. 2006. Efficient gene transfer and targeted
mutagenesis in Fusobacterium nucleatum. Plasmid 55:27-38.
19.   Casasanta MA, Yoo CC, Udayasuryan B, Sanders BE, Umaña A, Zhang Y, Peng H,
Duncan AJ, Wang Y, Li L. 2020. Fusobacterium nucleatum host-cell binding and
invasion induces IL-8 and CXCL1 secretion that drives colorectal cancer cell migration.
Science signaling 13:eaba9157.

20.   Reyrat J-M, Pelicic V, Gicquel B, Rappuoli R. 1998. Counterselectable markers:
untapped tools for bacterial genetics and pathogenesis. Infection and immunity 66:4011-
4017.
21.   Umaña A, Nguyen TT, Sanders BE, Williams KJ, Wozniak B, Slade DJ. 2022. Enhanced
Fusobacterium nucleatum Genetics Using Host DNA Methyltransferases To Bypass
Restriction-Modification Systems. Journal of Bacteriology 204:e00279-22.
22.   Vaillancourt K, Bédard N, Bart C, Tessier M, Robitaille G, Turgeon N, Frenette M,
Moineau S, Vadeboncoeur C. 2008. Role of galK and galM in galactose metabolism by
Streptococcus thermophilus. Applied and environmental microbiology 74:1264-1267.
23.   Neves AR, Pool WA, Solopova A, Kok J, Santos H, Kuipers OP. 2010. Towards
enhanced galactose utilization by Lactococcus lactis. Applied and environmental
microbiology 76:7048-7060.
24.   Makarova KS, Grishin NV, Koonin EV. 2006. The HicAB cassette, a putative novel,
RNA-targeting toxin-antitoxin system in archaea and bacteria. Bioinformatics 22:2581-
2584.
25.   Singh G, Yadav M, Ghosh C, Rathore JS. 2021. Bacterial toxin-antitoxin modules:
classification, functions, and association with persistence. Current Research in Microbial
Sciences 2:100047.
26.   Bachrach G, Haake SK, Glick A, Hazan R, Naor R, Andersen RN, Kolenbrander PE.
2004. Characterization of the novel Fusobacterium nucleatum plasmid pKH9 and
evidence of an addiction system. Applied and environmental microbiology 70:6957-6962.
27.   Jurėnas D, Fraikin N, Goormaghtigh F, Van Melderen L. 2022. Biology and evolution of
bacterial toxin–antitoxin systems. Nature Reviews Microbiology 20:335-350.
28.   Shi Y, Dong H, Zhang D. 2014. Application Progress of mazF Gene in Genetic
Modification System. Biotechnology Bulletin:48.
29.   Morimoto T, Ara K, Ozaki K, Ogasawara N. 2009. A new simple method to introduce
marker-free deletions in the Bacillus subtilis genome. Genes & genetic systems 84:315-
318.
30.   Cheah YE, Albers SC, Peebles CA. 2013. A novel counter-selection method for
markerless genetic modification in Synechocystis sp. PCC 6803. Biotechnology progress
29:23-30.
31.   Van Zyl WF, Dicks LM, Deane SM. 2019. Development of a novel selection/counter-
selection system for chromosomal gene integrations and deletions in lactic acid bacteria.
BMC molecular biology 20:1-16.
32.   Chen L, Liu H, Wang L, Tan X, Yang S. 2021. Synthetic counter-selection markers and
their application in genetic modification of Synechococcus elongatus UTEX2973. Applied
Microbiology and Biotechnology 105:5077-5086.
33.   Lin Z, Deng B, Jiao Z, Wu B, Xu X, Yu D, Li W. 2013. A versatile mini-mazF-cassette for
marker-free targeted genetic modification in Bacillus subtilis. Journal of microbiological
methods 95:207-214.
34.   Jørgensen MG, Pandey DP, Jaskolska M, Gerdes K. 2009. HicA of Escherichia coli
defines a novel family of translation-independent mRNA interferases in bacteria and
archaea. Journal of bacteriology 191:1191-1199.
35.   Butt A, Higman VA, Williams C, Crump MP, Hemsley CM, Harmer N, Titball RW. 2014.
The HicA toxin from Burkholderia pseudomallei has a role in persister cell formation.
Biochemical Journal 459:333-344.
36.   Xie Z, Qi F, Merritt J. 2013. Development of a tunable wide-range gene induction system
useful for the study of streptococcal toxin-antitoxin systems. Applied and environmental
microbiology 79:6375-6384.
37.   Butt AT. 2013. Identification and characterisation of toxin-antitoxin systems (TA) in
Burkholderia pseudomallei.

38.   Topp S, Reynoso CM, Seeliger JC, Goldlust IS, Desai SK, Murat D, Shen A, Puri AW,
576   Komeili A, Bertozzi CR. 2010. Synthetic riboswitches that induce gene expression in
577   diverse bacterial species. Applied and environmental microbiology 76:7881-7884.
578   39.   Canadas IC, Groothuis D, Zygouropoulou M, Rodrigues R, Minton NP. 2019. RiboCas: A
579   Universal CRISPR-Based Editing Tool for Clostridium. ACS Synth Biol 8:1379-1390.
580   40.   Huan Y, Kong Q, Mou H, Yi H. 2020. Antimicrobial peptides: classification, design,
581   application and research progress in multiple fields. Frontiers in microbiology:2559.
582   41.   Peluso EA, Scheible M, Ton-That H, Wu C. 2020. Genetic Manipulation and Virulence
583   Assessment of Fusobacterium nucleatum. Curr Protoc Microbiol 57:e104.
584   42.   Li G, Young KD. 2013. Indole production by the tryptophanase TnaA in Escherichia coli
585   is determined by the amount of exogenous tryptophan. Microbiology 159:402-410.
586   43.   Lee J-H, Lee J. 2010. Indole as an intercellular signal in microbial communities. FEMS
587   microbiology reviews 34:426-444.
588   44.   Zimina M, Babich O, Prosekov A, Sukhikh S, Ivanova S, Shevchenko M, Noskova S.
589   2020. Overview of global trends in classification, methods of preparation and application
590   of bacteriocins. Antibiotics 9:553.
591   45.   Darbandi A, Asadi A, Mahdizade Ari M, Ohadi E, Talebi M, Halaj Zadeh M, Darb
592   Emamie A, Ghanavati R, Kakanj M. 2022. Bacteriocins: Properties and potential use as
593   antimicrobials. Journal of Clinical Laboratory Analysis 36:e24093.
594   46.   Button JE, Silhavy TJ, Ruiz N. 2007. A suppressor of cell death caused by the loss of σE
595   downregulates extracytoplasmic stress responses and outer membrane vesicle
596   production in Escherichia coli. Journal of bacteriology 189:1523-1530.
597   47.   Yeung A, Cameron DW, Desjardins M, Lee BC. 2011. Use of signature-tagged
598   mutagenesis to identify virulence determinants in Haemophilus ducreyi responsible for
599   ulcer formation. Journal of microbiological methods 84:290-298.
600   48.   Wu C, Ton-That H. 2010. Allelic exchange in Actinomyces oris with mCherry
601   fluorescence counterselection. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 76:5987-5989.
602   49.   Wu C, Huang IH, Chang C, Reardon-Robinson ME, Das A, Ton-That H. 2014. Lethality
603   of sortase depletion in A ctinomyces oris caused by excessive membrane accumulation
604   of a surface glycoprotein. Molecular microbiology 94:1227-1241.
605   50.   Ponath F, Zhu Y, Cosi V, Vogel J. 2022. Expanding the genetic toolkit helps dissect a
606   global stress response in the early-branching species Fusobacterium nucleatum.
607   Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 119:e2201460119.
608   51.   Gibson DG, Young L, Chuang R-Y, Venter JC, Hutchison CA, Smith HO. 2009.
609   Enzymatic assembly of DNA molecules up to several hundred kilobases. Nature
610   methods 6:343-345.
611   52.   Peluso EA, Scheible M, Ton-That H, Wu C. 2020. Genetic manipulation and virulence
612   assessment of Fusobacterium nucleatum. Current protocols in microbiology 57:e104.
613   53.   Cisar JO, Kolenbrander P, McIntire F. 1979. Specificity of coaggregation reactions
614   between human oral streptococci and strains of Actinomyces viscosus or Actinomyces
615   naeslundii. Infection and immunity 24:742-752.
616

617   **Table 1:** Bacterial strains and plasmids used

Strain & Plasmid	Description	Reference
Strains		
F. periodonticum 33693	Parental strain	This study
F. nucleatum 23726	Parental strain	(5)
F. nucleatum BG01	$\Delta radD$; an isogenic derivative of 23726	This study
F. nucleatum ZP01	$\Delta tnaA$; an isogenic derivative of 23726	This study
A. oris MG1	Wild Type strain	(48)
E. coli C2987	Cloning host	From NEB
Plasmids		
pCWU6	*E. coli*/*Fusobacterium* shuttle vector, chloramphenicol /thiamphenicol resistance; cm^R/$thia^R$	(18)
pRECas2	The J23119 promoter incorporating the riboswitch element E controls Cas9 expression	(39)
pBCG01	pCWU6 expressing wild-type *hicA* gene [*hicA*(4A)] from *F. periodonticum* 33693 under the control of the $rpsJ_{FP}$ promoter and its ribosome binding site was replaced by a riboswitch element E from pRECas2	This study
pBCG02	Derivative of pBCG01 expressing *hicA* with a point mutation [*hicA*(3A)]. The *hicA*(3A) mRNA is translated from the initiation site met-7, giving a shorter protein lacking the first six amino acids.	This study

pBCG03	Derivative of pBCG02 co-expressing *hicA*(3A) and *hicB,* the cognate *hicA* anti-toxin gene	This study
pBCG04	Derivative of pBCG02 expressing the five amino acids peptide (MSSKT) alone	This study
pBCG02-Δ*radD*	Derivative of pBCG02 lacking *repA* and *ori*$_{Fn}$, deletion vector of *radD Δ41-1240*	This study
pBCG02-Δ*tnaA*	Derivative of pBCG02 lacking *repA* and *ori*$_{Fn}$, deletion vector of *tnaA*	This study

618
619
620
621 **Table 2:** Primers used in this study

Primer	Sequence[(a)]	Used for
J23119-F	GAAACAGCTATGACATGATTACGAATTCGAGCT CTGAGCTAGCTGTCAAACTGGCCGTCG	pBCG01
J23119-R	AGTAATTTCATTAGGTCTTTTGAGCTCATCTTGTTGTTAC CTCCTTAGCAGG	pBCG01
hicA-F	GCTAAGGAGGTAACAACAAGATGAGCTCAA AAGACCTAAT GAAATTACT	pBCG01
hicA-R	GTATAAATGTTAGTGATGATTATAAAA ATTAAAAGTATGAGGAGAACAAGCAAG	pBCG01
PrpsJ$_{FP}$-F	GAAACAGCTATGACATGATTACGAATTCGAGCT AGCACCAGGT TTAGGGGCTG CTATAG	pBCG01
PrpsJ$_{FP}$-R	GGCATCAAGACGATGCTGGTATCACCGGTTTAAATTAA CTACATAAGATAATTCTTATG	pBCG01
hicA(rpsJ$_{FP}$)-F	GAATTATCTTATGTAGTTAATTTAAA CCGGTGATACCAGCATCGTCTTGATGCCCTTG	pBCG01
hicA-R2	AAGTATGAGGAGAACAAGCAAGGCATTAAAGCCCTGCT TGCCTG	pBCG01
hicB-F	CAGGCAAGCAGGGCTTTAATGCCTTGCTT GTTCTCCTCA TACTT	pBCG03
hicB-R	GTATAAATGTTAGTGATGATTATAAAA TTATTTTTCAATTCCTAATTCTTTT	pBCG03
MSSKT-R	GTATAAATGTTAGTGATGATTATAAAA TTAGGTCTTTGAGCTCATCTTGTTG	pBCG04
pCWU6-F	GTCCTAGAACTAGTGAAATTTCCG	Remove *repA* and *ori*$_{Fn}$ from pBCG02
pCWU6-R	GTAGCTTCCATACATATCACACTC	Remove *repA*
TnaAupF	GAGTGTGATATGTATGGAAGCTACAGTAATATTG TTTGATGAAATTGAAAAGG	and *ori*$_{Fn}$ from pBCG02 pBCG02-Δ*tnaA*
TnaAupR	TCTGCTTGTTGTTCAGGAGTTTCATCACCTAGGAACATA GCTGACCATTG	pBCG02-Δ*tnaA*
TnaAdnF	GCTATGTTCCTAGGTGATGAAACTCCTGAACAACAAGC AGAAGTTGCAAATG	pBCG02-Δ*tnaA*

TnaAdnR	<u>CGGAAATTTCACTAGTTCTAGGAC</u>AGCAGCAA GCAATGCTCC AAGTGGACAA ATATATATAG	pBCG02-*ΔtnaA*
RadDupF	<u>GAGTGTGATATGTATGGAAGCTAC</u>ATAAATTTAATTTAA CTTATTAATTATAAGG	pBCG02-*ΔradD*
RadDupR	GCATCCTTACCTGACATTTTTACTGCTTCATCTGCATAA GAAAAACCACCATTAAT	pBCG02-*ΔradD*
RadDdnF	GATGAAGCAGTAAAAATGTCAGGTAAGGATGC TATTGGTATTT	pBCG02-*ΔradD*
RadDdnR	<u>CGGAAATTTCACTAGTTCTAGGAC</u>TCCTGATTCATTTAT TGCTTCACCATCTACT	pBCG02-*ΔradD*
Δ*radD*-F	GAGTTTCAGATGAAATGAATGCCCTACAAG	Confirm *radD* deletion
Δ*radD*-R	CTGCAGTAGTTGCTCCACCTTTTACAGCTG	Confirm *radD* deletion

622
623 (a)Underlined are primer sequence specific to the ends of the corresponding assembly
624
625

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1: Construction of *E. coli*/*Fusobacterium* shuttle plasmid pBCG01 expressing

putative HicA toxin under the control of riboswitch E-based inducible system. (A) Protein

alignment of toxin HicA from *Burkholderia pseudomallei* (BpHicA) with HicA encoded by

FperA3_010100004605 (https://img.jgi.doe.gov) in *Fusobacterium periodonticum* ATCC 33693

(FpHicA) using ClustalW program. **(B)** Genetic organization of the *hicA*-*hicB* toxin-antitoxin pair

in *F. periodonticum* and the whole DNA sequence of the *hicA* gene. **(C)** The mRNA sequence of

the riboswitch E is shown with an aptamer sequence emboldened, ribosome binding site (RBS)

sequence highlighted, and start codon red colored. **(D)** Schematic diagrams of a functional

model of the theophylline responsive riboswitch. Without theophylline, the riboswitch forms a

stem-loop structure that conceals the RBS in the mRNA transcript. The riboswitch alters its

confirmation when theophylline binds to the aptamer, resulting in the release of the RBS and the

initiation of translation of *hicA*. **(E)** *E. coli*/*Fusobacterium* shuttle plasmid pBCG01 containing

hicA gene under the control of *rpsJ* promoter from *F. periodonticum*. The RBS in the *rpsJ*

promoter region was replaced by the riboswitch E element. ColE1 RNA II origin (ori_{Ec}), the pFN1

ori (ori_{Fn}), *repA* gene, and *catP* gene are indicated. The ori_{Ec} allows replication of the shuttle

plasmid in *E. coli*, and the plasmid replicates in *F. nucleatum,* requiring the ori_{Fn} and *repA*.

Figure 2: A putative shorter HicA protein that lacks the first six amino acids is toxic in

***Fusobacterium nucleatum* and other bacteria (A)** The plasmid pBCG01 contains an intact

hicA gene, indicating the presence of a four consecutive adenine base sequence (4A) after the

start codon (red colored). The wild-type *hicA* putatively encodes a 59-amino acid protein. **(B)** An

adenine base was missing from the 4A sequence resulting in the *hicA* gene (3A) during

construction of pBCG01. We later named that mutated construct as pBCG02. This point

mutation causes a frameshift resulting in a premature stop codon (TAA, underlined) at codon six

and generates five amino acids peptide (MSSKT). The *hicA(3A)* mRNA then restarts its

translation from the new initiation site (ATG, emboldened), giving a putative shorter HicA protein

lacking the first six amino acids. **(C)** Growth of *F. nucleatum* strain 23726 harboring the plasmid

construct pGCG01, pBCG02, pBCG03, or pBCG04 on TSPC agar plates supplemented with

thiamphenicol plus 0 (non-induced) or 2mM (induced) theophylline and incubated at 37°C in an

anaerobic chamber for two days. Schematic representations of the constructs are shown in the

left diagram. **(D)** Growth of *F. periodonticum* containing the plasmid pBCG01 or pBCG02 on

TSPC agar plates under the uninduced or induced condition with theophylline after two days of

growth in an anaerobic chamber. **(E)** Overnight E*. coli* containing pBCG02 was diluted by

1:1000 and aliquoted into two tubes with 6 ml LB broth plus an indicated concertation of

theophylline after 18 hours of growth with shaking (200 rpm) at 37°C. These experiments were

performed more than three times, and a representative result was presented.

Figure 3: Applying *hicA(3A)* as a counter-selectable marker for gene deletion in *F.*

***nucleatum*. (A)** Graphic representation of producing the non-replicative vector backbone

pBCG02-Δ used to generate unmarked, in-frame gene deletions in *F. nucleatum* via a two-step

strategy. The primer pairs (pCWU6-F/R, indicated by short arrows) were used to perform

reverse PCR to remove the region, including the ori_{Fn} and *repA*. The *radABCD* operon is shown.

Approximately 1.6 kb fragments homologous to the upstream (blue) and downstream (green)

regions of the designed deletion region in *radD* were amplified by PCR. They have been ligated

adjacently on the vector backbone pBCG02-Δ via the Gibson assembly procedure. The

resulting plasmid pBCG02-Δ*radD* was used to generate an unmarked *radD* deletion (the gene

deletion region coding for the amino acids in positions between 44 and 1240 of the RadD

protein of 3461 amino acids) mutant in the wild-type background by a two-step allelic exchange.

(B) TSPC agar plate representative of the counterselection effect by putative HicA(3A) toxin

expression in *F. nucleatum.* A 100 μl aliquot of 1,000-fold diluted overnight culture for pBCG02-

pBCG02-Δ*radD* integrated strain was plated on TSPC agar plates containing 0- or 2-mM

inducer theophylline to select for cells in which the plasmid had been excised and lost. **(C)** The

screen of *radD* deletion mutants by colony PCR with the primer pair Δ*radD*-F/R (indicated in **A**).

Lane 1-8, 10 μl of PCR products of eight colonies randomly selected from the TSPC agar plate.

Four of the eight chosen random colonies were deletion mutants, while four reverted to wild-

type during the second recombination event. Bands of approximately 1.4 kb indicate *radD*

deletion, whereas bands of about 5.0 kb indicate a wild-type (WT) genotype. **(D)** *F. nucleatum*

wild-type, *radD* mutant strain and 8 counterselection isolates from (C) were examined for

coaggregation with *A. oris* MG-1. A representative result was presented after these experiments

were carried out three times.

Figure 4: Deletion of indole-producing gene *tnaA* in *F. nucleatum* as *hicA(3A)* as a

counter-selectable marker. (A) Schematic drawing of pBCG02-Δ*tnaA* for the deletion of

tryptophanase TnaA gene. Approximately 1.6-kb fragments homologous to the upstream (blue)

and downstream (green) regions of the *tnaA* gene were ligated adjacently on the vector

backbone pBCG02-Δ via Gibson assembly procedure to create the vector pBCG02-Δ*tnaA*. The

primer pair- for colony PCR to screen Δ*tnaA* mutant strains were indicated by small arrows. **(B)**

The genotype of *tnaA* mutant strains following *hicA(3A)* counterselection. Bands of

approximately 3.2 kb indicate a *tnaA* deletion, whereas bands of about 5.0 kb indicate a wild-

type genotype. "WT" represents the *F. nucleatum* wild-type. **(C)** *F. nucleatum* wild-type and 10

isolated colonies after counterselection from (B) were examined for indole production. Indole

production was demonstrated by adding Kovac's reagent (100 µl) into a 200 µl of individual

culture in a 96-well plate, which reacts with the indole giving a red color in wild-type genotype

fusobacterial cultures. Three repeats of the studies were conducted, and one typical outcome is

shown.

A

```
BpHicA    MNSSKLIRMLEEDGWRLVRVTGSAHHFKHPKKPGLVTVPHPKKDLPIGTVKSIQKSAGL
          |.|..|:::|..||| | ||.||  ||||..|.||||||||:||||: ||.|| : |||
FpHicA    MSSKDLMKLLKKDGWYLDRVNGSHYHFKHKSKKGLVTVPHPRKDLPLKTVESIFRQAGL
```

B

ATGAGCTCAAAAGACCTAATGAAATTACTCAAAAAAGATGGTTGGTATCT
TGATAGAGTAAATGGAAGTCATTATCATTTTAAGCATAAAAGCAAGAAAG
GTTTAGTGACGGTTCCACATCCTAGAAAAGATTTACCTTTGAAAACTGTT
GAGAGTATTTTCAGGCAAGCAGGGCTTTAA

C Riboswitch E sequence

CC**GGUGAUACCAGCAUCGUCUUGAUGCCCUUGGCAGCACCCUGCUAAGCAAGGAGGUAACAACAAG**AUG

                                      RBS

D

Aptamer

RBS

Ribosome

AUG

hicA

Theophylline

RBS   AUG   *hicA*

E

P*rspJFp*

Riboswitch E

ATG

hicA

oriEc

pBCG01
7290bp

catP

repA

oriFn

Figure 1: Bibek et al.

A The wild-type *hicA (4A)* gene in pBCG01

```
ATGAGCTCAAAAGACCTAATGAAATTA······CTTTAA
 M   S   S   K   D   L   M   K   L  ······ L59
```

B The mutated *hicA (3A)* gene in pBCG02

```
ATGAGCTCAAAGACCTAATGAAATTA ······CTTTAA
 M   S   S   K   T       M   K   L  ······ L53
```
a 5aa peptide

C

non-induced   Induced

D

non-induced   Induced

pBCG01

pBCG02

F. periodonticum

E

theophylline
0mM   2mM

E. coli pBCG02

Figure 2: Bibek et al.

A

B

0 mM theophylline   2 mM theophylline

F. nucleatum pBCG02-Δ*radD*

C

M 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 WT

◄ wild-type

◄ deletion

D

WT   Δ*radD*   clone 1   2   3

4   5   6   7   8

Figure 3: Bibek et al.

A

oriEc

rpsJ_Fp-E

hicA (3A)

catP

pBCG02-ΔTnaA

up   down

TnaAupF

TnaA

TnaAdnR

B

M WT 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

5Kb —
3Kb —

◄ wild-type
◄ deletion

C

WT 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

Figure 4: Bibek et al.