N-glycosylation engineering in chimeric antigen receptor T cells enhances anti-
tumor activity

Elien De Bousser[1,2,4*] & Nele Festjens[1,2,4*°], Evelyn Plets[1,2], Leander Meuris[1,2,4], Laura Vuylsteke[1,2],

Daria Fijalkowska[1,2], Annelies Van Hecke[1,2], Elise Wyseure[1,2], Marie Goossens[1,2,4], Laure De

Pryck[1,2,4], Sam Van den Bosch[1,2], Stijn De Munter[3,4], Bart Vandekerckhove[3,4], Nico Callewaert[1,2,4°]

[*]These authors contributed equally to this work

[°]Correspondence should be addressed to NF (Nele.Festjens@vib-ugent.be; https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3429-5463)

and NC (Nico.Callewaert@vib-ugent.be; https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2069-6917).

[1]VIB Center for Medical Biotechnology, VIB, Ghent, Belgium

[2]Department for Biochemistry and Microbiology, Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

Technologiepark-Zwijnaarde 75, 9052 Ghent, Belgium

[3]Department of Diagnostic Sciences, Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

[4]Cancer Research Institute Ghent (CRIG), Ghent, Belgium

Author contributions:

Conceptualization: EDB, NF, NC

Methodology: EDB, NF, SDM, NC

Software: LM, DF

Validation: EDB, NF, LM, DF, NC

Formal analysis: EDB, NF, LM, DF, NC

Investigation: EDB, NF, EPL, LV, AVH, EW, MG, LDP, SVdB

Resources: EDB, NF, LM, DF, SDM, BV, NC

Data curation: EDB, NF, LM, DF, NC

Writing – original draft: EDB, NF, LM, DF, NC

Writing – review & editing: EDB, NF, NC

Visualization: EDB, NF

Supervision: EDB, NF, NC

Project administration: EDB, NF

Funding acquisition: EDB, NF, NC

Abstract

Chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) T cell therapy has had limited success in solid tumors, requiring novel enhancement strategies. Modifying the glycocalyx of CAR T cells is unexplored; we report on genome-editing of the *MGAT5* gene to abolish human CAR T N-glycan poly-LacNAc modifications. This boosted tumor control in carcinoma and lymphoma models, for donors of whom the non-engineered CAR T cells largely failed in tumor control. More blood-circulating MGAT5 KO CD70 nanoCAR T cells were found, exhibiting potent tumor cell-killing activity *ex vivo*, while non-glycoengineered CAR T cells faltered. MGAT5 KO CD70 nanoCAR T cells also mediated durable anti-tumor immunity, improving control of secondary carcinoma challenge months later. Single-cell transcriptomics revealed increased mitotic activity and type I interferon signaling, indicating sustained intratumoral activation. The glyco-engineered cells had unaltered antigen sensitivity and dependence on T cell growth factors, preserving key safety features. *MGAT5* KO is readily compatible with clinical manufacturing, representing a promising approach to enhance CAR T cell therapy.

Introduction

Immunotherapy with T cells that are genetically modified to express chimeric antigen receptors (CARs) has shown impressive efficacy in many, but by no means all patients suffering from a range of hematological malignancies[1–3]. The translation of this clinical success to a larger proportion of patients, and especially to the treatment of solid tumors, requires to overcome multiple obstacles[4,5]. In general, robust and stable populations of CAR T cells are required that are able to infiltrate the tumor and escape the immunosuppressive effect of the tumor micro-environment (TME), and which install long-term functional anti-tumor memory as to control also tumor relapses.

Whereas many aspects of T cell biology are being engineered to explore efficacy enhancement, the complex glycocalyx at the CAR T cell surface that mediates initial contacts of cells with their environment remains unexploited. However, basic research has revealed that surface glycosylation regulates important aspects of T cell functionality. For example, the expression of immune checkpoint inhibitors such as PD-1 and CTLA-4 is tuned by glycosylation[6,7]. Selective removal of NXS/T N-glycosylation sites from the TCR also enhances receptor diffusion, multimerization and functional avidity[8]. Furthermore, there is *in vitro* evidence that β-galactoside binding lectins (incl. mammalian galectins) can have a strong impact on the functionality of T cells. *Ex vivo* treatment of tumor-infiltrating T cells with an anti-galectin-3 antibody or a galectin competitive binder such as N-acetyllactosamine (LacNAc) resulted in the detachment of surface galectin-3, leading to increased cytotoxicity and ability to secrete cytokines such as IFN-γ[13,19]. Similarly, galectin-9 binding to TIM-3 was associated with the promotion of CD8^{+} T cell apoptosis[12,13]. On the other hand, galectin-1 was observed to promote expansion of regulatory T cells (Tregs) and induces apoptosis of specific thymocyte subsets and activated T cells, a process mediated at least in part through interaction with glycans on CD45[14,15].

Inactivation experiments of the enzymes involved in the synthesis of poly-LacNAc structures corroborated their importance in T cell regulation. β1,6-N-acetylglucosaminyltransferase-V (MGAT5) is the enzyme responsible for the initiation of the GlcNAc-β-(1,6)-branch on N-glycans, which can be further elongated to carry poly-LacNAc chains (**Figure 1.**A). Absence of MGAT5*,* and thus a decrease in cell surface LacNAc density, lowers murine T cell activation thresholds by enhancing TCR clustering due to reduced galectin-glycoprotein lattice formation, which could be phenocopied *in vitro* by lactose addition to T cells[16]. Similarly, murine T cells mutated in β1,3-*N*-acetylglucosaminyltransferase (β3GnT2), which extends β1,6-branched *N*-glycans with poly-*N*-acetyllactosamine, show a lower threshold for TCR activation[17] and proliferated more strongly than their wild type counterparts. More recently, the *MGAT5* gene was found as a key functionally validated hit in a CRISPR screen for enhanced intratumoral CD8^{+} T cell numbers in a murine model of glioblastoma[18]. With cessation of T cell responses, surface levels of the adhesion receptor

cytotoxic T-lymphocyte antigen (CTLA-4) increase, a process dependent on increases in both CTLA-4 gene

expression and MGAT5-mediated N-glycan branching[7]. Higher surface levels of CTLA-4 oppose CD28-

dependent adhesion to CD86/CD80 on antigen-presenting cells, functioning as an immune checkpoint[19].

Furthermore, negative regulation of TCR signaling by β1,6-GlcNAc-containing N-glycans promotes

development of Th2 over Th1 responses, enhancing Th2 polarization[20]. Moreover, *MGAT5* expression can

be induced by the cytokine IL-10, decreasing antigen sensitivity of CD8$^+$ T cells[21]. Many of these processes

are involved in the immunosuppressive micro-environment of tumors. Accordingly, systemic inhibition in

mice of the biosynthesis of LacNAc glycans via administration of a cell-membrane permeable enzyme

inhibitor increased the number of infiltrating tumor-specific T cells[22], as did administration of a

polysaccharide with galectin-displacing properties[22,23].

Taken together, these fundamental immune-glycobiological study data gathered over two decades from

multiple labs on poly-LacNAc modifications on T cells, indicated that poly-LacNAc modification in the T cell

glycocalyx may function as a multipronged checkpoint mechanism that limits antitumor T cell immunity.

Glycobiology can be notoriously difficult to translate from murine studies to humans, as qualitative and

quantitative aspects of the outer branch modifications of the glycocalyx are amongst the most rapidly

evolving mammalian cellular structures, likely under differential pathogen pressure[24]. Whether sufficient

aspects of the mainly murine T cell based glycobiology described above would be applicable to human CAR

T cells, with their independence from TCR-antigen recognition and the intricacies of TCR-coreceptor

clustering, was unexplored. Hence, we set out to test the hypothesis whether genetic inactivation of poly-

LacNAc N-glycan biosynthesis in human CAR T cells by *MGAT5* gene inactivation would lead to more

efficacious treatment of human lymphoma and carcinoma tumor models. These studies are the first to

report on targeting of the glycocalyx of CAR T cells for therapy enhancement.

Results

Generating MGAT5 knockout (KO) CD70 nanoCAR T cells

Staying close to the currently used methods for human CAR T cell therapy manufacturing, we optimized a

workflow for the combined CRISPR-Cas9 mediated *MGAT5* mutagenesis and retroviral CAR delivery to

purified, activated human CD3$^+$ T cells (**Figure 1**.B and **Supplementary Figure 2**). The presence of both CD4$^+$

and CD8$^+$ T cell subsets in the final CAR T cell product is indispensable for efficient anti-tumor immunity[25].

The mean editing efficiency as percentage insertions and deletions (% indel) for the *MGAT5* locus over

multiple (n=26) experiments was consistently high (exceeding 80% indel) as is depicted in **Figure 1**.C. Flow

cytometry was used to measure both CD70 nanoCAR expression and GFP expression as readouts of the

retroviral transduction efficiency[26]. CD70 nanoCAR transduction efficiencies of more than 70% were

consistently obtained over multiple (n=26) experiments, irrespective of whether the *MGAT5* gene was

engineered or not (**Figure 1**.D).

MGAT5 KO CD70 nanoCAR T cells have strongly reduced N-glycan poly-LacNAc cell surface density

In order to assess the extent of the intended reduction in poly-LacNAc cell surface abundance, we used flow cytometry to measure binding of the lectin from *Datura stramonium* (DSL) (**Figure 1**.E). This lectin binds to all glycans containing (poly-)LacNAc glycotopes regardless of whether they are linked to N-glycans, O-glycans or glycosphingolipids. MGAT5 KO CD70 nanoCAR T cells had about 50% reduced staining with DSL. Furthermore, using the lectin from *Phaseolus vulgaris* (PHA-L), which specifically binds to N-glycans carrying the MGAT5-synthesized β-1,6 branch, we observed near-complete loss of binding (**Figure 1**.E). Together, these results show that the extent of *MGAT5* genome editing efficiency that we achieved successfully eliminates N-glycan β1,6-branching. The loss of β-1,6 branch formation on the N-glycans was corroborated by direct structural profiling of the N-glycans shaved off the cell surface using PNGase F enzyme, followed by capillary gel electrophoresis with laser induced fluorescence (CGE-LIF) (**Figure 1**.F), a method previously developed at our lab[27,28]. The desialylated N-glycan profile of MGAT5 KO CD70 nanoCAR T cells demonstrates a loss of the main tetra-antennary N-glycan species (synthesis of which requires MGAT5), whereas the tri-antennary precursor N-glycans that MGAT5 takes as its substrates are increased in relative abundance, as expected.

MGAT5 KO CD70 nanoCAR T cells are fully functional *in vitro* and expand more upon repeated tumor cell challenge

MGAT5 KO CD70 nanoCAR T cells have equivalent viability rates as non-glycoengineered control cells *in vitro* (**Figure 1**.G). After CAR construct transduction and subsequent cultivation, most of the CAR T cells in the total CD3$^+$ T cell pool are CD4$^+$, and this was even more pronounced when CD70 nanoCAR T cells underwent CRISPR-Cas9 engineering (both for mock gRNA and *MGAT5* KO gRNA), generating a CAR T cell preparation with about 80% CD4$^+$ and 20% CD8$^+$ (**Figure 1**.H). Using flow cytometrical methods, we quantified production proficiency for the TNF-α, IFN-γ and IL-2 cytokines of the glyco-engineered CD70 nanoCAR T cells, after challenging them with the THP-1 and SKOV-3 target tumor cell lines (**Figure 1**.I-K). At least as high a proportion of the MGAT5 KO CD70 nanoCAR T cells are able to produce cytokines upon antigen stimulation as are mock-nucleofected CD70 nanoCAR T cells. This cytokine expression is dependent on CD70 nanoCAR expression, given that the fraction of cytokine-expressing non-transduced T cells (NTC) is close to zero or very low in the presence of CD70 positive THP-1 cells (but high after polyclonal Immunocult stimulation). In order to evaluate the combined proliferative and cytotoxic potential of MGAT5 KO CD70 nanoCAR T cells, very low proportions of CAR T cells were co-cultured with THP-1 target cells. The number of THP-1 cells left surviving in culture was determined by flow cytometry. At day 7, a second challenge with target THP-1 cells was performed. **Figure 1**.L and N show the results corresponding to an effector/target (E/T) ratio of 0.15 and 0.015. At these ratios, all target cells get killed by day 4, in all of the mock-nucleofected and MGAT5 KO CD70 nanoCAR T cell conditions. Interestingly, upon second challenge

149   with tumor cells, at both E/T ratios, the number of CD70 nanoCAR T cells is significantly higher for those

150   that are KO in *MGAT5*, indicating a stronger and more sustained antigen recognition-induced expansion of

151   these glyco-engineered CAR T cells (**Figure 1**.M and O).

Treatment of carcinoma-bearing mice with MGAT5 KO CD70 nanoCAR T cells yields improved tumor control

A key challenge with translationally relevant CAR T cell studies using human donor cells in preclinical mouse

models is the substantial observed biological variability from donor to donor in the derived baseline CAR T

cell potency[29–31], varying from complete tumor control to barely any tumor control, which is also observed

in the clinic. Hence, also our studies aimed at realistically preclinically evaluating human CAR T MGAT5

engineering in human tumor xenograft mouse models. This required a significant experimental effort to

obtain a representative picture of the spectrum of effect sizes of the *MGAT5* KO engineering, observed

over 3 or 4 donors each time.

As CAR T cell therapy clinically so far mostly fails in carcinomas and improvements there would open

exciting translational opportunities, we chose to first test experimental therapeutic efficacy of the MGAT5

KO CD70 nanoCAR T cells in the NSG[32] mouse xenografted with CD70-expressing SKOV-3 ovarium

carcinoma cells, the latter engineered to produce luciferase, for longitudinal tumor burden measurement.

These tumors establish a micro-environment in which most of the known T cell immunosuppressive

mechanisms are at play, thus forming a truly challenging target for CAR T therapy[33–36]. The experimental

layout is illustrated in **Figure 2**.A and runs for 120 days, including primary tumor challenge and relapse-

mimicking secondary tumor challenge in surviving mice, to assess long-term functional persistence of CAR

T cells. Full time-course results were obtained for three independent experiments, performed with T cells

from different donors (Donor A, B and C). The outcome of treatment for the primary tumor was categorized

as: (1) full control, i.e. the tumor becomes undetectable and no relapse follows; (2) full control but

occurrence of a relapse later on; (3) partial control, i.e. a halt in tumor growth but the tumor remains

detectable; (4) no control of tumor growth throughout the duration of the experiment.

As is clear from **Figure 2**.B and the bar graphs in **Figure 2**.C, in this model the primary tumor is never

controlled by the mice that did not receive CAR T cells; they were all sacrificed at the humane endpoint. A

considerable number of mice (n=19) in the non-glycoengineered CAR T cell treated groups across the 3

donors show only partial or even no control at all of the primary tumor, while this was the case for only 9

mice in the MGAT5 KO groups.

Donor A represents the case in which potent CAR T cells can be obtained without any further engineering:

even the non-glycoengineered CAR T cells were fully efficacious at controlling the primary tumor and we

did not observe any relapse at the primary tumor site over time (**Figure 2**.B and **Supplementary Figure 4**.A-

B). Donors B and C represent the clinically more frequently observed picture where CAR T cells have

variable and generally low capacity of clearing carcinoma. For both donors, MGAT5 KO CD70 nanoCAR T

treatment led to significantly improved primary tumor control compared to treatment with non-

engineered CD70 nanoCAR T cells (**Supplementary Figure 5**.A-B and **Supplementary Figure 6**.A-B). However,

for these donors the primary tumor regrew even when it was initially cleared (**Figure 2**.B). Using the data

for these two donors where relapses were observed, a Kaplan-Meier survival analysis detected that a

longer relapse-free survival was obtained in the MGAT5 KO CD70 nanoCAR T treated groups

(**Supplementary Figure 3**.A-B).

To test for long-term functional persistence of the CAR T cells and capability of mounting a functional anti-

tumor response, we mimicked a relapse tumor after a period of approximately 90 days, by rechallenge

with a secondary tumor in the opposite (left) flank of the CAR T treated mice that survived the primary

tumor. For this secondary tumor, we defined four types of tumor control: (1) no tumor, meaning the

secondary tumor never develops; (2) full control, i.e. the secondary tumor becomes undetectable after an

initial growth phase; (3) partial control, i.e. the secondary tumor stops growing but remains detectable; (4)

no control of secondary tumor growth throughout the duration of the experiment. In order to have a

control group (untreated) with comparable age and history as the remaining mice from the treatment

arms, we tumor-challenged a group of mice that were kept in husbandry from the very start of the

experiment but that did not receive a primary tumor challenge. As shown in **Figure 2**.B and the bar graph

in **Figure 2**.D, MGAT5 KO CD70 nanoCAR T cell treatment also leads to better rechallenge tumor control. In

the experiment with CAR T cells from donor A, the average tumor volume at the end of the analysis is an

estimated 6.4 times smaller (p=0.011) in mice that were treated with MGAT5 KO CD70 nanoCAR T cells

compared to non-glycoengineered CAR T treated mice (**Supplementary Figure 4**.C-D). For those mice

treated with CAR T cells from donor B that still had a remnant of the primary tumor at the timepoint of

rechallenge, we found that at the end of the experiment, these tumors had a smaller average size in the

MGAT5 KO group than in the non-glycoengineered CAR T cell treated group, although the difference was

not statistically significant (**Figure 2**.B, **Supplementary Figure 5**.C-D).

Hence, we concluded that in all analyses where non-engineered donor CAR T cells did not or partially

control the tumors, their MGAT5 KO-engineered counterparts substantially rescued this.

When MGAT5 KO enhances primary tumor control, higher numbers of MGAT5 KO CD70 nanoCAR T cells are

present in blood as compared to non-glycoengineered CD70 nanoCAR T cells

We quantified the number of circulating human CD3$^+$ T cells and the CAR-engineered subpopulation

thereof in blood. We first sampled blood on day 34, which is 21 days after CAR T cell administration. At this

timepoint, the spectrum of donor-dependent variance in therapeutic response is first clearly detected,

(**Figure 2**.B, left panels), making it a suitable timing to measure the strength of the CAR T proliferation.

Interestingly, for the two donors (B and C) whose non-glycoengineered CAR T cells did not completely control the tumor and where MGAT5 KO CD70 nanoCAR T cells yielded substantial tumor control enhancement, the number of MGAT5 KO CD70 nanoCAR T cells in blood (**Figure 2.**E, left panels) is significantly increased as compared to the counterpart non-glycoengineered CD70 nanoCAR T cells. In contrast, for donor A, whose CAR T cells completely eradicated the tumor already without glyco-engineering, even higher numbers of CAR T cells were present already for non-engineered CAR T cells and this number was not further boosted by *MGAT5* KO engineering. It is also striking that in donor C, where CAR T therapy was the least successful, also the lowest average number of CAR T cells amongst the 3 donors are found. *MGAT5* KO boosts this significantly leading to strongly enhanced survival of the challenged mice (at day 84 just prior to second tumor challenge: 13 out of 14 surviving for MGAT5 KO CD70 nanoCAR T vs. 7 out of 13 for non-glycoengineered CAR T), with 10 also surviving secondary tumor challenge, whereas only 3 mice were still alive in the non-glycoengineered CAR T treated group at the end of the experiment. Donor B is intermediate between A and C and indeed also had intermediate levels of circulating non-engineered CAR T cells. The *MGAT5* KO boost of this donor's CAR T cells was here sufficient to get all primary tumors cleared initially, with full survival (9 out of 9 mice) till day 90 (when we performed tumor rechallenge), whereas by this timepoint we lost 1/3rd of the mice treated with non-engineered CAR T cells (12 out of 18 surviving). Hence, this exploration revealed that there is a striking correspondence between the increased blood concentration of CAR T cells and the tumor control success.

Blood sampling was repeated around day 80 (i.e. shortly prior to tumor rechallenge) and at the end of the experiments on days 118-123 (which is about 35 days after the tumor rechallenge), at which time also the spleen CAR T cell counts of all mice surviving up to that point were measured. At the late timepoint prior to tumor rechallenge (day 80), a more than 10-fold contraction of the concentration of CAR T cells was observed for donor A (**Figure 2.**E, compare right and middle panels) where no tumor had been present for about 2 months, as one would expect in the absence of CAR antigen. In donor B, such contraction was only observed for the MGAT5 KO group and to a lesser extent than in donor A; this is in line with the observation that this group had cleared the tumor initially, but very small tumors slowly regrew, providing some antigenic stimulation. In contrast, the CAR T numbers in the group treated with non-engineered donor B CAR T cells did not contract, in accordance with most surviving mice never having cleared their tumor over this time course, providing continuous antigenic stimulation. The same was seen in donor C, here both for the non-glycoengineered CAR T group and for the MGAT5 KO CD70 nanoCAR T group, in line with continuous tumor exposure in the mice.

Upon tumor rechallenge (**Figure 2.**E, compare middle and right panels) no major differences occurred in donor B, whereas in donor A, MGAT5 KO CD70 nanoCAR T blood cell concentration appeared to somewhat re-expand by this renewed antigen stimulation vs. a further mild contraction observed for non-glyco-

engineered cells, with the MGAT5 KO treated group indeed achieving better secondary tumor control

(**Figure 2**.B, right panels). It must be noted that all of these median levels for donor A and donor B are still

around or above 1000 CAR T cells per ml of blood, which also at the day 34 timepoint appears to be close

to the threshold needed to achieve SKOV-3 tumor control. Remarkably, for donor C, rechallenge with the

tumor also leads to further expansion of the MGAT5 KO CD70 nanoCAR T cells, to the levels seen to robustly

eliminate tumor cells in the other donors. However, no differences could be observed in terms of tumor

killing (**Supplementary Figure 6**.C-D).

For the experiment with donor A in which the primary tumor was completely cleared, also with non-

glycoengineered CAR T cells, we sacrificed half of the mice at day 34 in order to investigate spleen CAR T

counts at that early timepoint as well. Remarkably, the number of MGAT5 KO CD70 nanoCAR T cells in the

spleen was higher in these mice vs. the non-glycoengineered CAR T cells (**Figure 2**.F), and the numbers

were extremely high (median above 100,000 CAR T cells per spleen for the MGAT5 KO group). Investigating

the spleens at the end of the experiments, there were no longer differences in CAR T cell numbers between

MGAT5 KO and non-glycoengineered groups, for all donors, and the numbers were much lower, close to

background for donor A, intermediate for donor B and highest for donor C (medians not exceeding 10,000

cells per spleen).

MGAT5 KO CD70 nanoCAR T cells enhance control of a highly aggressive metastatic B cell lymphoma model

To investigate the scope of applicability of the MGAT5 KO engineering module, we have expanded our

findings to a very different tumor type, i.e. the Non-Hodgkin B cell lymphoma setting in which CAR T therapy

is already part of the standard of care treatment paradigm, but where efficacy enhancement is still also

sorely needed. In contrast to the localized subcutaneous SKOV-3 tumor, in this case NSG mice were

intravenously injected with luciferase-expressing Raji cells, resulting in a metastatic model that establishes

a very rapidly growing multi-systemic human lymphoma burden, incl. in the brain[37]. This forms a stringent

test for CAR T cell therapy, as therapeutic cells need to exert tumor control very rapidly, which leads to

terminal tumor burden in all mice within 1 week after the treatment timepoint when left untreated. This

is translationally reminiscent of the clinical setting of treating patients with relapsing lymphoma. Raji

lymphoma also expresses the CD70 antigen (**Supplementary Figure 1**), which is an emerging new target

antigen in both B and T cell lymphomas also clinically[38,39], so we could use the same nanoCAR against CD70

that we used for the above SKOV-3 carcinoma work, allowing for comparison of the results. Seven days

after tumor cell inoculation, mice were treated with either mock Cas9-engineered or MGAT5 KO CD70

nanoCAR T cells. As control groups, mice were treated with non-transduced T cells to evaluate tumor

development. Throughout the experiment, tumor burden was measured by bioluminescence imaging (BLI).

A schematic representation of the experimental timeline is depicted in **Figure 3**.A. The experiment has been

repeated on four different donors (donor D, E, F, G), in order to be able to take into consideration the

variability in the efficacy of CAR T cells derived from different donors. For two out of four donors (F and G)

we found that *MGAT5* KO leads to a >50% enhanced long-term survival rate (**Figure 3**.B), with monitoring

in all experiments for at least 90 days post-tumor challenge. As with the SKOV-3 carcinoma model, again

we observed that in these two donors where MGAT5 KO resulted in the biggest enhancement vs. mock-

engineered CAR T cells, a significantly higher number of MGAT5 KO CD70 nanoCAR T cells were found in

the blood at the early timepoint 2 weeks after CAR T treatment (**Figure 3**.C). In most of these long-term

surviving mice, the tumor became undetectable (**Figure 3**.B-C, right panels), a truly remarkable result given

the extreme aggressivity of this tumor model. For donor E, the non-engineered CAR T cells already yielded

survival of 3 out of 4 mice, and MGAT5 KO turned this into complete protection (4/4). Here, we also found

a trend to higher numbers of MGAT5 KO CD70 nanoCAR T cells in the blood. In donor D, neither non-

engineered nor MGAT5 KO CD70 nanoCAR T cells yielded any long-term survival. This donor's cells were

an outlier, with massive CAR T cell proliferation, yielding cell numbers at least 10-fold higher than in any of

our other experiments. It appears that these donor's CAR T cells are extraordinarily stimulated to

proliferate, yet defective in tumor killing capacity.

In conclusion, MGAT5 KO substantially enhanced the protective capacity of CD70 nanoCAR T cells in the

metastatic Raji lymphoma model, concomitant with enhanced concentration of CAR T cells in the blood,

therewith corroborating the results obtained in the SKOV-3 model as described above.

The higher number of circulating MGAT5 KO nanoCAR T cells results in higher *ex vivo* tumor cell killing activity

over prolonged tumor cell challenge.

From these findings, the simplest conceivable mechanism of action of the MGAT5 KO-mediated CAR T cell

enhancement was a higher and/or more prolonged circulating therapeutic cell level available to combat

tumor growth, resulting from stronger proliferation and/or from stronger tumor cell killing potency of the

CAR T cells. For this, it was necessary to investigate whether this enhanced concentration of circulating

MGAT5 KO CD70 nanoCAR T cells in blood is indeed competent by itself at more effectively killing tumor

cells *ex vivo* (**Figure 4**). Hereto, we prepared blood from SKOV-3 tumor-bearing mice 21 days after

treatment with either non-glycoengineered CD70 nanoCAR T cells versus MGAT5 KO CD70 nanoCAR T cells

from 2 extra independent donors (donor H/I) (**Figure 4**.A). For donor H, SKOV-3 tumor stasis was achieved

in the mice in the MGAT5 KO CD70 nanoCAR T treated group, while this was not the case for the mock

CD70 nanoCAR T treated group (**Figure 4**.B), similar to the case of donor C described above (**Figure 2**). For

donor I, the MGAT5 KO and mock engineered CD70 nanoCART cells both completely cleared the tumor

(**Figure 4**.F), similar to donor A above (**Figure 2**). In both cases, higher numbers of MGAT5 KO CD70 nanoCAR

T cells were again detected (**Figure 4**.C and G). To investigate whether this resulted in higher tumor cell

killing activity per volume of blood, cells prepared from equivalent volumes of blood were co-cultured with

THP-1 tumor cells (which are CD70 expressing suspension cells and thus more amenable to this co-culture

analysis than SKOV-3) at different ratios, and THP-1 cell counts as well as CAR T counts were followed over time. **Figure 4**.D shows that circulating MGAT5 KO CD70 nanoCAR T cells from donor H efficiently and almost completely wiped out the THP-1 tumor cells, even upon a second THP-1 tumor cell challenge at day 7, whereas blood cells from the non-glyco-engineered CAR T treated mice were ineffective at controlling the THP-1 cells even in the first round of challenge. Circulating non-glyco-engineered CAR T cells from donor I showed delayed control of THP-1 cells compared to MGAT5 KO CD70 nanoCAR T cells (**Figure 4**.H), with viable THP-1 cells still observed at day 4 of co-culture, whereas at day 7 both blood-derived CAR T preparations had cleared the tumor cells. There was no control of THP-1 target cells when using blood cells from mice treated with non-engineered T cells from the same donors. Moreover, MGAT5 KO CD70 nanoCAR T cells from both donors proliferated much stronger than non-engineered counterparts in these *ex vivo* co-cultures, and in both cases sustained this also after the second THP-1 cell addition (**Figure 4**.E and I). Hence, we conclude that MGAT5 KO results in a higher level of tumor cell killing-competent CAR T cells surveilling the body of the CAR T treated mice, with these cells remaining cytotoxic and proliferating also after prolonged tumor cell encounter, providing a simple mechanism for the enhanced observed *in vivo* tumor control.

MGAT5 KO CD70 nanoCAR T cells require equal antigen density to degranulate and remain fully dependent on cytokine growth factors for their proliferation.

Beyond elevated concentrations of circulating CAR T cells with persistent tumor cell killing competence, another conceivable mechanism of action for MGAT5 KO in enhancing antitumoral potency could be a lowered threshold of antigen density required for CAR T cell activation. To investigate this, we have analyzed degranulation levels (using the CD107a/LAMP1 exposure on the cell membrane as a proxy) in function of incubation of CAR T cells on varying concentrations of coated recombinant human CD70 antigen. We find similar activation thresholds for non-glycoengineered versus MGAT5 KO CD70 nanoCAR T cells, indicating that increased tumor cell control of MGAT5 KO CD70 nanoCAR T cells is not due to a decreased activation threshold, which otherwise may increase the risk for overstimulation or off-target activation (**Figure 5**.A).

Furthermore, in the absence of antigen, neither mock nor MGAT5 KO CD70 nanoCAR T cells grow without IL-7/IL-15 (growth/survival-associated cytokines) (**Figure 5**.B), demonstrating that these nanoCAR T cells are still signal-3 dependent and not transformed, a key safety requirement. Together, the data indicate that MGAT5 KO CD70 nanoCAR T cells retain an inherent capacity for more vigorous and prolonged antigen- and cytokine-dependent proliferation without making the cells hypersensitive to antigen.

Furthermore, we did not observe any signs of graft-versus-host-disease (GvHD) in any of the SKOV-3 *in vivo* experiments with 5 human donors. In the Raji model, in which CAR T cells proliferate to much higher

350   numbers than in the SKOV-3 model, we observed signs of GvHD in 1 out of 22 mice in the non-

351   glycoengineered CAR T treatment groups across the 4 donor experiments, and in 3 out of 19 mice in the

352   MGAT5 KO nanoCAR T treatment groups.

MGAT5 KO enhances type I IFN signaling and other hallmarks of enhanced persistent activation and proliferation in tumor-infiltrating CAR T cells

What remained was to explore which alterations were present in the state of MGAT5 KO tumor-infiltrating

nanoCAR T cells. To this effect, 10 days after SKOV-3 tumor inoculation, we treated groups of 6 mice with

CD70 nanoCAR T cells and after 7 days we collected peripheral blood and resected the tumors. CAR T cells

were sorted from these samples and we performed single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNAseq) (**Figure 6**.A). As

can be seen in **Figure 6**.B, this timepoint 17 days post-tumor inoculation is a few days before we start

measuring tumor size divergence between non-glycoengineered and MGAT5 KO CD70 nanoCAR T cell

treated mice, and was chosen as it should allow for the most unbiased sampling of initial tumor attack.

Uniform manifold approximation and projection (UMAP) analysis of the single cell transcriptomes revealed

that tumor-infiltrating CAR T cells were clearly distinguished from those circulating in blood (**Figure 6**.B).

CAR T cells separated further into CD4^{+} and CD8^{+} populations (**Figure 6**.C). In blood, cell cycle stage

inference from RNA expression profiles revealed a large stationary population and a small proliferating

population of CAR T cells (**Figure 6**.D). Compared to circulating cells, CAR T cells from tumor were generally

in a more activated, proliferative state, with a gradient-like separation of predicted cell cycle phases (**Figure

6**.D).

Based on CD4/CD8 state, blood/intratumoral location and inferred cell cycle phases, we defined 7 clusters

(Figure 6.E) for further exploration of transcriptional differences associated with MGAT5 KO. Subsequently,

differential expression (DE) analysis was performed within the same cluster, revealing a total of 193

significant DE genes between MGAT5 KO and non-glycoengineered in at least one of the clusters (Wilcoxon

Rank Sum test for genes expressed in at least 25% of cells of one of the compared populations and minimal

fold change threshold of 0.1, Bonferroni-corrected p-value of at least 0.05; Supplementary Table 1 We also

performed gene set enrichment analysis of Reactome pathways to perform the differential analysis at

functional pathway/module level (**Supplementary Table 2**), visualized according to statistical significance

level, fraction of pathway genes differentially regulated and mean log2 fold change of gene expression of

pathway genes in **Figure 6.**F[40]. The Reactome pathways related to active cell cycle progression were more

prominently upregulated in MGAT5 KO cells, whereas for the CD4^{+} subfraction, we observed more

significant upregulation in MGAT5 KO cells of ribosomal biogenesis and translation, a known hallmark of

CD4^{+} cell activation[41] (**Figure 6.**F). A somewhat higher proportion of active cell cycling (in G2M and S phase)

was observed in both the intratumoral CD4^{+} and CD8^{+} subfraction (**Supplementary Figure 7**.A).

Furthermore, across both CD4^{+} and CD8^{+} intratumoral fractions, strikingly, pathways related to IFN type I

signaling were significantly more activated upon MGAT5 KO in both CD4$^+$ and CD8$^+$ CAR T cells (**Figure 6**.F

and G), a feature of persistently activated T cells which we discuss further below as it is a topic of significant

current interest in CAR T cell enhancement-engineering. In blood, transcriptional differences were minor

between MGAT5 KO and non-glycoengineered cells, barely reaching statistical significance (**Supplementary**

Figure 7.B). The overall picture that emerges is that of MGAT5 KO CD70 nanoCAR T cells more vigorously

mounting a sustained proliferative cytotoxic antitumoral response through both a CD4$^+$ and a CD8$^+$ T cell

contribution, so it was interesting to compare our data with the transcriptional signature previously

reported for human CAR T cell exhaustion by prolonged antigen exposure[42]. Remarkably, MGAT5 KO

indeed significantly suppressed the gene signature associated with CAR T cell exhaustion by long antigen

exposure (**Figure 6**.H and I).

Discussion

The engineering of glycosylation in order to enhance biopharmaceutical functionality has met with

previous successes. The most notable example in the area of cancer immunotherapy is the discovery that

antibody dependent cellular toxicity potency of hIgG monoclonal antibodies could be boosted by

engineering of the production cell lines in various ways to reduce or abolish core-α-1,6-fucosylation of the

conserved CH2-domain linked N-glycan[43–45]. The strongly enhanced affinity to FcγRIIIa achieved in this way

is now at the basis of multiple enhanced anti-tumor antibody therapies[46–49]. The mammalian cell glycocalyx

constitutes a complex array of precisely regulated carbohydrate structures on transmembrane and

secreted proteins as well as on plasma membrane glycolipids. These glycans are biosynthesized in the cell's

secretory system by an array of glycosyltransferases and glycosidases. Cellular differentiation pathways as

well as cellular physiology alterations often result in cell-type and cell-state-specific glycocalyx composition

differences. This modulates altered interactions with the cellular environment, resulting in more or less

cell motility, adhesion, shaping of growth factor gradients, signaling receptor clustering at the cell surface

etc. In principle, this should offer novel biopharmaceutical opportunities for altering complex behavior of

therapeutic cells. This was the rationale for us to systematically start exploring the 'deconstruction' of the

CAR T cell glycocalyx through genome editing of glycosyltransferases that are at key positions in the glycan

biosynthetic pathways, and we here report on the first therapeutically relevant results from these ongoing

studies.

Poly-N-acetyllactosamine repeat structures occur on both N-and O-glycans of glycoproteins and on certain

glycosphingolipids. In human T cells, a large fraction is present on N-glycans, as staining with a lectin for

poly-LacNAc was reduced by >50% in our MGAT5 KO cells, which is why we prioritized studying N-glycan

poly-LacNAcylation for our studies. As reviewed in the introduction, basic immunology studies over the

past few decades, mainly in the mouse, also provided incentive to explore whether MGAT5 inactivation

would result in enhanced CAR T cell efficacy. However, promising basic immunology research findings on

T cell function in murine model cells do not necessarily translate to technologically useful interventions in

CAR T therapy. Hence, to ensure translational relevance of our results, we decided to stick as close as

possible to current human CAR T cell manufacturing methods for our studies. We coped with the well-

known large variance in quality of CAR T cells produced from different individuals by replicating the

laborious tumor control studies in mice with up to 5 human donors per tumor model. We used a highly

immunosuppressive human xenograft carcinoma model (SKOV-3) and a very aggressive model of

metastatic B cell lymphoma (Raji) to truly put our engineered CAR T cells to challenging tests that reflect

the 2nd or 3rd line tumor treatment setting in which CAR T cells are mostly used.

In summary, our data demonstrate that MGAT5 KO has the potential to enhance control of both carcinoma

and lymphoma tumors, through sustained elevated tumor cell-killing functionality and proliferation.

Especially when CAR T cells of human donors showed poor tumor control, MGAT5 KO boosted this

substantially and consistently. This was hallmarked by higher levels of active tumor cell-killing competent

CAR T cells in the systemic circulation, persistently constituting effective antitumor 'immune memory' that

could control secondary tumor challenges. These easy to monitor enhanced blood counts of MGAT5 KO

CD70 nanoCAR T cells, especially ~21 days post CAR T treatment, could constitute a convenient surrogate

biomarker for use in translation of our findings to clinical studies. MGAT5 KO does not enhance CAR T cell

antigen sensitivity (which may be in contrast to MGAT5 KO effect on TCR-mediated antigen recognition),

which we consider as favorable from a safety perspective, as many tumor-associated antigens are also

expressed at lower levels on healthy cells[16,20]. Also supporting the safety profile of the MGAT5 KO

intervention, the enhanced antigen recognition-triggered proliferation of MGAT5 KO cells is not because

of independence from cytokine growth factors, as the cells do not grow at all when these growth factors

are withdrawn.

The mild but comprehensive upregulation of type I interferon stimulated genes in MGAT5 KO CD70

nanoCAR T cells infiltrating the tumor suggests enhanced immune surveillance activity, a more

inflammatory or cytotoxic phenotype and could potentially be linked to persistent functional activation[50–

53]. Comparison of our single cell dataset of intratumoral CAR T cells to published data from a study

evaluating the response of T cells to continuous antigen exposure-triggered exhaustion, shows that the

gene expression signature linked to exhaustion is indeed suppressed in MGAT5 KO CD70 nanoCAR T cells[42].

Whereas type I interferon signaling is critical in activating and polarizing acute immune responses (most

studied in antiviral immunity), strong prolonged signaling dampens T cell activity upon prolonged antigen

stimulation such as in chronic viral infections[54,55]. Given this duality, how to intervene optimally in type I

interferon signaling to enhance CAR T therapy is not unequivocal in the literature[56–59]. Most studies that

completely inactivate type I interferon signaling have observed a level of enhanced CAR T potency, but a

very recent preprint publication reveals that strength of the type I interferon signal matters: with low but

not high concentrations of interferon-alpha addition during CAR T cell expansion, a more efficacious tumor

control was achieved[60]. We propose that our findings on mild type I interferon activation in intratumoral

MGAT5 KO CD70 nanoCAR T cells are consistent with this study, usefully achieving this phenotype after the

CAR T cells arrive in the tumor, when they are required to persistently activate in order to successfully

control the tumor.

Ensuring the technical feasibility of translating our findings to clinical CAR T production, we have

implemented MGAT5 KO by adding a CRISPR-Cas9 ribonucleoprotein nucleofection step to the standard

workflow that is already used for CAR T manufacturing in the clinic[61–63], and other preferred technologies

could likely be used for this as well[64–67]. It should hence be straightforward to plug in the MGAT5 KO module

onto most of the production workflows for almost any CAR T product.

Overcoming the poor proliferation and persistence of CAR T cells during solid tumor therapy, which results

in therapeutic failure, is a key and very active focus of research at the present time. Other promising

recently reported approaches involve installing autocrine cytokine production in the cells or ablating

immune signaling-dampening factors, in particular CD5[68–70]. We propose that CAR T cell surface poly-

LacNAc density reduction through inactivation of MGAT5 glycosyltransferase offers a novel highly

promising engineering module that targets the glycocalyx of the cells, a very different aspect of T cell

biology that remained unexplored as a CAR T engineering target until now.

469 Materials and methods

470 Ethical approval

Research work with anonymized buffy coats has been approved by the Red Cross Flanders (RKOV_19015,

G20240913A). All experiments were approved and performed according to the guidelines of the ethical

committee on Medical Ethics of Ghent University, Belgium (EC UZG 2019/1083). We make use of a biobank

(Unca-hT cell biobank) which was registered at FAGG (reference number at Bioresource center Ghent is

BR-109). The breeding of NSG mice is covered by file E-726 and animal experiments are covered by files

EC2020-009, EC2021-063 and EC2022-006.

477 Cell lines

We selected three tumor cell lines to study the anti-tumor functionality of the MGAT5 KO CD70 nanoCAR

T cells. THP-1 cells are a M4 subtype acute myeloid leukemia (AML) cell line[71], SKOV-3 cells are a highly

immunosuppressive human serous adenocarcinoma cell line[33,34,36,72] and Raji cells are a human B

lymphoblastoid cell line derived from a patient with Burkitt's lymphoma. We confirmed the cell surface

CD70 expression on these cells by flow cytometry (**Supplementary Figure 1**.A-B). Further, we confirmed

absence of CD70 expression on non-transduced (NTC) and CD70 nanoCAR transduced CD3$^+$ T cells. THP-1

cells were cultured in RPMI medium (Gibco) supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (FCS), 0.03% L-Gln,

0.4 mM sodium pyruvate and 50 µM β-mercaptoethanol. SKOV-3 cells expressing luciferase were kindly

provided by Dr. Olivier De Wever[73] (Ghent University, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences) and were

cultured in DMEM medium (Gibco) supplemented with 10% FCS and 1% penicillin/streptomycin

(Pen/Strep). Jurkat cells were obtained from ATCC and were cultured in RPMI medium (Gibco)

supplemented with 10% FCS, 2mM L-Gln and 0.4 mM sodium pyruvate. Raji cells expressing luciferase were

generated at our labs and were cultured in RPMI medium (Gibco) supplemented with 10% FCS, 2mM L-

Gln, 0.4 mM sodium pyruvate, 50 µM β-mercaptoethanol and 1% Pen/Strep. All cell lines were maintained

in a 37°C, 5% CO$_2$, fully humidified incubator and passaged twice weekly. SKOV-3 cells are detached with

trypsin/EDTA and always seeded at 1 x 10^6 cells in a T175 falcon. Suspension cells were subcultured to

3.10^5 cells/mL (THP-1/Jurkat) or 5.10^5 cells/mL (Raji).

Human CD3$^+$ T cell isolation and culturing

Leukocyte-enriched buffy coat samples were obtained from healthy donors attending the Red Cross center

after informed consent and ethical committee approval. Peripheral blood lymphocytes were prepared by

Ficoll-Paque density centrifugation as described in the instruction manual for Leucosep^{TM} (Greiner bio-

one). CD3$^+$ T cells were isolated by negative selection with antibodies against CD14, CD15, CD16, CD19,

CD36, CD56, CD123 and CD235 (MojoSort^{TM} Human CD3 T cell selection kit, Biolegend) according to the

manufacturer's protocol. Cells were cultured in IMDM + Glutamax medium (Gibco-BRL) supplemented with

10% heat-inactivated FCS and stimulated with Immunocult^{TM} Human CD3/CD28 T cell Activator (Stemcell

Technologies) (25 µL/ 10^6 cells) for 3 days at 37°C in the presence of 10 ng/ mL IL-12 (Biolegend).

Prior to cell seeding, cells were washed twice with PBS before putting them in culture with recombinant

human IL-7 at 10ng/mL (Miltenyi) and recombinant human IL-15 at 10 ng/mL (Miltenyi). Cytokines and

medium were replaced every 2-3 days. Cell densities were maintained between 1 x 10^6 and 3 x10^6 cells/

mL. In experiment with donors A, B, D, E, F, G, H, I, J and K, CD3$^+$ isolation was started from freshly prepared

PBMC cells while in the experiment with donor C, CD3$^+$ T cell isolation was started from a frozen batch of

PBMCs (Supplementary Table 3).

Generating *MGAT5* KO nanoCAR T cells

We first selected a CRISPR-Cas9 guide RNA (gRNA) to delete *MGAT5* in CAR T cells. We designed four gRNAs

using the Synthego design tool (https://www.synthego.com/products/bioinformatics/crispr-design-tool).

Guides were ordered as chemically modified synthetic sgRNAs (with 2'O-Methyl at three first and three

last bases and 3' phosphorothioate bonds between first three and last two bases) and reconstituted at 100

µM in TE buffer. After initial screening, we selected the most efficient sgRNA (**Supplementary Figure 2**) on

the basis of Sanger sequencing and evaluation of lectin staining as described below. We used this sgRNA

to knockout *MGAT5* in primary T cells using an optimized CAR T manufacturing process in which CD3$^+$ T

cells were stimulated with Immunocult for three days, after which activated T cells were first subjected to

Cas9 RNP nucleofection, followed by a retroviral transduction on the same day. Engineering efficiencies

were assessed on day 10. The experimental timeline is depicted in **Figure 1**.B.

Recombinant Cas9-GFP protein was purchased from the VIB protein core (https://vib.be/labs/vib-protein-

core). Cas9 RNP was made by incubating Cas9 protein with sgRNA at a molar ratio of 1:2 at 37°C for 15 min

immediately prior to electroporation in T cells. Electroporation was performed using the Lonza Amaxa 4D

Nucleofector X unit (Program EH-115) and the P3 primary cell kit with the following conditions: 1 x 10^6

cells/20 µL P3 buffer per cuvette (16-well strips) with 20 µM Cas9-RNP. Following nucleofection, 80 µL pre-

warmed medium was added per well and cells were allowed to rest for 30 mins at 37°C, 5% CO$_2$, before

they were collected in prewarmed medium, centrifuged for 5 min at 300 x g, counted and seeded on

retronectin-coated plates for transduction.

0.1 x 10^6 cells were collected and lysed in QuickExtract^{TM} (Lucigen Epicentre) according to the supplier's

instructions. The target site was amplified by PCR (**Supplementary Figure 2**) and Sanger Sequenced.

Sequencing data was analyzed by variant deconvolution modelling with the ICE tool (Inference of CRISPR

Edits, Synthego) to infer the percentage of insertions and deletions (INDEL score) and the percentage of

insertions and deletions that are out of frame (KO score)[74]. Cutting and error-prone repair usually results

in mixed sequencing bases after the cut. %INDEL: % insertions/deletions, %KO: proportion of indels that

indicate a frameshift or are 21+ bp in length (assumes all edits are in a coding region), R2: model fit (how

well the proposed indel distribution fits the Sanger sequence data of the edited sample).

Production of retroviral vectors

In our work, we made use of a nanoCAR targeting CD70, an emerging target across several carcinomas and

B cell lymphoma, allowing to use the same nanoCAR design in xenograft models of both very different

tumor types. The nanobody used in this nanoCAR construct was identified previously at our center (Dr. Jan

Tavernier, VIB-UGent Center for Medical Biotechnology) and was built into standard 2nd-generation CAR

signaling domain architecture as described previously[26]. The retroviral construct encoding the nanoCAR

sequence was previously cloned in the LZRS-IRES-eGFP vector[26]. Viral particles were produced using

standard Ca$_3$(PO$_4$)$_2$ transfection of the Phoenix ampho packaging cell line[75]. Retroviral supernatant was

collected at day 14 after transfection and puromycin selection and kept at - 80°C until use.

Generation of CD70 nanoCAR Expressing Human T cells

Immunocult-stimulated human CD3$^+$ T cells were retrovirally transduced on Retronectin-coated plates

(TaKaRa). 500 µL of cells per well at 0.5 x 10^6 cells/mL were supplemented with 0.5 mL retroviral

supernatant and centrifuged for 90 minutes at 890 g at 32°C. Transduced cells were detected by eGFP

fluorescence or by staining with an anti-VHH antibody (iFluor555) directed against the nanobody

constituting the extracellular domain of the CAR and analyzed by flow cytometry. A minimum of 50 000

events was recorded.

Lectin-based flow cytometry

For the evaluation of the poly-LacNAc density on the cell surface, we used the lectin from *Datura*

stramonium and *Phaseolus Vulgaris* Leucoagglutinin (PHA-L), both at a staining concentration of 10 µg/ mL

(Vector laboratories, Biotinylated DSL: B-1185, Biotinylated PHA-L: B-1115). 2×10^5 cells per condition were

collected and rinsed three times with PBS. Cells were incubated with fixable viability dye eFl780

(eBioscience, 1000x dilution) and incubated for 30 minutes at 4°C in the dark. After washing the cells with

PBS, the cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA), for 20 minutes at room temperature in the dark.

After rinsing with lectin binding buffer (LBB; PBS with 0.1 mM $CaCl_2$), cells were stained with biotinylated

lectin in LBB for 1 hour at 4°C. After this lectin staining, cells were washed in LBB before adding the PE-

coupled neutravidin (Invitrogen, 5 µg/mL) for 30 minutes at 4°C. After washing the cells with PBS, samples

were resuspended in LBB and analyzed by flow cytometry. A minimum of 50 000 events was recorded.

PNGaseF digest and N-glycan analysis using CGE-LIF profiling

In order to prepare cell surface N-glycans for CE-LIF profiling, 1×10^6 cells were collected per condition and

washed three times with PBS to reduce the presence of medium-derived glycans. Cell culture medium was

collected for N-glycan labeling. PNGaseF digest (0.125 IU/ 1×10^6 cells, in-house production) was performed

in 25 µL final volume in PBS for 2 hours at 37°C. Cells were removed by centrifugation (5 min at 300 x g)

and the supernatant was subjected to another centrifugation step (15 min at 15 000 rpm) to remove cell

debris. The remaining liquid portion of the sample was stored at -20°C until 8-aminopyrene-1,3,6-

trisulfonic acid (APTS) labeling and CE-LIF analysis.

The remaining N-glycan samples were labelled by adding an equal volume (20 µL) of labeling mix consisting

of a 1/1 v/v mix of 1M borane-morpholine complex in 20% DMSO, 20% SDS and 4M Urea mixed with 350

mM APTS in 2.4M citric acid and 14% SDS immediately prior to labeling. The labeling reaction was incubated

at 70°C for 1 hour and allowed to cool down at 4°C before purification. Size exclusion chromatography

(Sephadex G-10 resin with an exclusion limit of 700 Da prepared in a 96-well setup in Multiscreen-Durapore

plates) was performed twice to desalt the samples and to remove free unreacted APTS[76]. The labelled

glycans were then dried in a speedvac.

Purified labelled and dried N-glycans were resuspended in 10 µL of ultrapure water and analyzed with

capillary gel electrophoresis on an eight-capillary DNA sequencer (Applied Biosystems 3500 Genetic

analyzer). An internal standard (GlyXera) was added to the samples to be able to align profiles from

different samples. Samples were injected on a 50 cm capillary at 15 kV for 10 seconds, using POP7 polymer

and 100 mM TAPS, pH 8.0, containing 1 mM EDTA as the running buffer. N-glycan profiles were analyzed

through the Genemapper 6 software.

Flow cytometry analysis

Flow cytometry analysis was performed on 0.2×10^6 cells per sample collected in a 96-well U bottom plate. Cells were rinsed with FACS buffer (PBS containing 0.5% BSA and 2mM EDTA) for 5 min at 300 x g and incubated with Fc Receptor Blocking solution (Human TruStain FcX™, Biolegend) for 10 minutes prior to cell surface staining with fluorescently labelled antibodies in Brilliant Stain buffer (BD Biosciences) for 30 minutes at 4°C.

For human CD3^{+} T cell phenotyping, cells were labelled with fluorescent antibodies against human CD8, CD62L and CD45RA (BD Biosciences) and CD3, CD4, CD25, CD69, and CD279 (PD-1) (Biolegend). A Fixable dye eFluor™ 780 (eBioscience) was used to evaluate live/dead cells.

Flow cytometer calibration was performed using CS&T beads (BD Biosciences). The gating strategy was set based on fluorescence minus one (FMO) controls and retained for all samples. Jurkat, THP-1, SKOV-3 and Raji cell lines and primary human T cells were labelled with fluorescent antibody against human CD70 (PerCP-Cy5.5 labelled) or isotype control (Biolegend) to verify antigen expression as described before[77]. In all analyses, following doublet exclusion, live cells were identified using a fixable viability dye (Molecular Probes, Life Technologies). Data were acquired on a BD Symphony A5 equipped with five lasers (355, 405, 488, 561, 640nm) (BD Biosciences) and analyzed using FlowJo software (Tree Star, Ashland, OR). Ultra-rainbow fluorescent particles (Spherotech, Cat N° URFP-38-5A) are used for alignment in all channels between different experiments. CountBright™ Absolute counting beads (Molecular Probes, Cat N° 11570066) were used to normalize cell counts. An overview of all flow cytometry antibodies used in this study with details on fluorochrome, dilution, company and catalogue number are given in **Supplementary Table 4**.

In vitro analysis of cytokine production

Glyco-engineered CD70 nanoCAR T cells were stimulated *in vitro* by co-incubation with THP-1 or SKOV-3 tumor cell lines expressing CD70 in a 96-well plate in duplicate. After 1 hour of co-incubation, BD GolgiPlug (Fisher Scientific, 1:250) was added and after an additional 15 hours of stimulation, the cells were harvested, labelled with fluorescent antibodies against CD3, CD4 and CD8, fixed and permeabilized (Fixation/Permeabilization concentrate − diluent, eBioscience, Cat N° 00-513-43 and 00-5223-56) and labelled for intracellular cytokine levels with fluorescent antibodies against TNF-α (BD Biosciences), IFN-γ and IL-2 (Biolegend). Samples were analyzed by flow cytometry as described above.

In vitro analysis of tumor cell killing

Glyco-engineered CD70 nanoCAR T cells were incubated with 2 x 10^4 THP-1 cells at different effector/target

ratios (0; 0.0015; 0.015 and 0.15) in IMDM medium with Glutamax (Gibco) containing 10% FCS and 1%

Pen/Strep. Multiple runs of the same conditions were setup, allowing for flow cytometrical analysis at days

0, 3, 7, 10 and 14. In the wells remaining for analysis at day 10 and 14, 2 x 10^4 THP-1 cells were added at

day 7. Cells were labelled with fluorescent antibodies against CD3, CD4 and CD8 for the analysis of T cells

and CD33 for the detection of THP-1 cells.

Statistical analysis of *in vitro* experiments

To analyze the data of the fractions of cytokine-producing cells upon *MGAT5* glycoengineering (**Figure 1**.I-

J), we considered the control setup in which cells were not stimulated to represent a background signal.

We subtracted the mean percentage cytokine positive cells measured in the two technical repeats of non-

stimulated cells from the corresponding percentage of cytokine positive cells in the stimulated setups

(corresponding means of the setup with the same CAR engineering and donor). For each cytokine, we built

an ANOVA model in R[78], with Stimulation, Donor and Group as main effects (all coded as categorical) and

allowing for all two-way interactions. Model terms were tested via F tests. The effect of *MGAT5*

engineering, averaged over donors and stimulation strategies, was obtained from each final model using

the multcomp package[79] with sandwich estimators[80,81].

To analyze the number of mock Cas9 and MGAT5 KO CD70 nanoCAR cells in the THP-1 co-culture

experiments (**Figure 1**.L, M, N, O), we started from flow cytometry-based count data. Since the counts had

been normalized using counting beads, they were not necessarily integers so we rounded all to the closest

integer. We considered each setup with the same donor, E/T Ratio and type of CAR T cells (i.e. mock Cas9

CD70 nanoCAR or CD70 nanoCAR *MGAT5* KO) as a cluster. Since we had two measurements (technical

repeats) at each day and the measurements were performed at day 0, 4, 7, 11 and 14, this means we had

10 measurements in each cluster. Furthermore, we observed a slight rise in the counts of the NTC cells

over time in the control setups. We corrected the CAR T cell counts for this background (per cluster and at

each timepoint) by subtracting the mean background count from the measurements.

We analyzed the background-corrected counts with a generalized linear mixed model (GLMM) to allow for

modeling of the within-cluster correlation over time. Since the data showed considerable overdispersion,

the most appropriate choice was to use a negative binomial model (with a log link function). The

GLMMadaptive package[82] allows to fit such a model in R[78] using adaptive Gaussian quadrature (AGQ). We

did not have enough data to fit a random slope model, so we settled for a random intercept model of the

following model formula:

Cell Count ~ Day*(Group + Donor + ETRatio) + Group*Donor + (1|cluster)

In this model, all predictors (Day, Group, Donor ETRatio) are coded as a categorical variable. We chose to

also model the time variable as categorical since the log-transformed counts do not evolve linearly with

time. Contrasts were estimated using the multcomp package[79].

***In vivo* analysis of glyco-engineered CD70 nanoCAR T cell tumor control efficacy in the SKOV-3 model**

NSG mice (breeder pairs obtained from The Jackson Laboratory, breeding in house) between 8-12 weeks

of age were subcutaneously (in the right flank) injected with 2×10^6 luciferase-expressing SKOV-3 cells in

50 µL PBS. Ten days after tumor cell inoculation, after establishment of a solid, palpable tumor, mice were

treated with 3×10^6 of either mock Cas9-engineered or MGAT5 KO CD70 nanoCAR (in 200 µL total volume

in PBS). As control groups, mice were treated with PBS to evaluate tumor development, or with non-

transduced T cells (NTC) to evaluate graft-versus-host disease (GvHD) and non-specific anti-tumor effects.

Body weight and tumor progression was followed up by caliper and BLI. A dose of 150 mg/kg D-luciferin

potassium salt (Perkin Elmer) was injected intraperitoneally 10 minutes before BLI. Imaging data were

analyzed using Living Image Software and reported as photons/second. Surviving mice were followed up

in time and challenged between day 87 and day 90 with a second tumor injection in the left flank (opposite

flank of first tumor injection) to mimic a tumor relapse, thus evaluating long-term anti-tumor control

efficacy. Again, tumor burden was evaluated over time and the mice were sacrificed between day 118 and

day 123 for endpoint analyses (see experimental timeline for details). For statistical analysis, the

'Untreated' group contains the data from all the mice that did not receive any CD70 nanoCAR T cells, and

thus includes untreated mice and mice treated with PBS or NTC (which all behaved similar with respect to

tumor control).

In the experiment with donor A, we started with 10 mice in the PBS and 6 mice in the NTC group and 12

mice in the CD70 nanoCAR mock Cas9/*MGAT5* KO groups. At day 34, 6 mice from the PBS group, 5 mice

from the NTC group, 5 mice from the CD70 nanoCAR mock Cas9 and 6 mice of the CD70 nanoCAR *MGAT5*

KO group were euthanized and used for analysis of CAR T cell numbers in the spleen and blood. The other

5 mice from the CD70 nanoCAR mock Cas9/*MGAT5* KO groups were kept for tumor rechallenge. In the

experiment with donor B, we started with 6 mice in the PBS and NTC group and 9 mice in the CD70 nanoCAR

mock Cas9/*MGAT5* KO groups. We also included 9 mice in a CD70 nanoCAR group (i.e. with cells that did

not receive mock nucleofection). All mice were kept for rechallenge, unless the pre-defined humane

endpoint was reached (which only occurred in control mice that did not receive CAR T treatment). Humane

endpoint is reached when mice lost > 20% of their body weight. In the experiment with donor C, we started

with 7 mice in the NTC group, 13 mice in the CD70 nanoCAR mock Cas9 group and 14 mice in the CD70

nanoCAR *MGAT5* KO group. All mice were kept for rechallenge, unless humane endpoint was reached

(occurring in non-CAR T treated control mice). At the start of each experiment, we also kept a group of 8

(donor A) or 10 (donor B/C) mice to be used as age-matched control (=PBS) group in the tumor rechallenge

phase of the experiment.

In vivo analysis of glyco-engineered nanoCAR T cell tumor control efficacies in the Raji model

Female NSG mice (breeder pairs obtained from The Jackson Laboratory, breeding in house) between 8-15

weeks of age were intravenously injected with 0.5×10^6 Raji cells in 50 µL of PBS. 4×10^6 CD70 nanoCAR T

cells were intravenously injected 7 days post-Raji injection (in 200 µL total volume in PBS). In preliminary

titration experiments using non-engineered CAR T cells from a different donor, we had determined that a

dose of 4×10^6 CD70 nanoCAR T cells per mouse yielded a delayed tumor growth in the intravenous Raji

model, while not being sufficient for survival, such that improvements in efficacy could be detectable by

increased survival rates. Body weight was followed up as was tumor progression by BLI. A dose of 150

mg/kg D-luciferin potassium salt (Perkin Elmer) was injected intraperitoneally 10 minutes before BLI.

Imaging data were analyzed using Living Image Software and reported as photons/second. In contrast to

the subcutaneous localized SKOV-3 carcinoma model, this Raji lymphoma model is one of hematogenous

aggressive metastasis, with tumors rapidly establishing in multiple organs, including the brain.

In the experiment with donor D, we started with 5 mice in all groups. In the experiment with donor E, we

started with 4 mice in all groups. In the experiment with donor F, we started with 5 mice in the NTC group,

5 mice in the mock Cas9 nanoCAR group and 4 mice in the MGAT5 KO CD70 nanoCAR groups. In the

experiment with donor G, we started with 7 mice in the NTC group, 8 mice in the mock Cas9 CD70 nanoCAR

group and 6 mice in the MGAT5 KO CD70 nanoCAR group.

Endpoint and intermediate analysis on spleen and blood

The presence and phenotype of CAR T cells was evaluated in the blood and spleen. Peripheral blood was

collected via the tail vein and transferred to EDTA coated Microvettes (Sarstedt) for intermediate analysis

at day 34 (donors A,B,C) and at days 80 (donor A), day 88 (donor B) and day 85 (donor C) in the SKOV-3

model and at day 27 (donor D, E and G), day 31 (donor F), day 95 (donor E), day 94 (donor F) and day 90

(donor G) in the Raji model. At the end of the experiments (see time lines for exact days on **Figure 2** and

Figure 3), blood was also collected after euthanasia and puncture of the right atrium of the heart. The

volume of blood was determined and red blood cells were removed using ammonium-chloride-potassium

(ACK) lysis buffer (added in a 1:20 blood:ACK volume ratio; Lonza) followed by neutralization in FACS buffer

and centrifugation at 300 x g for 5 min. Cells were counted prior to antibody staining for flow cytometry

analysis.

In the SKOV-3 model, spleens were dissected from all of the surviving mice at the end of the experiments,

(see time lines for exact days on **Figure 2**). For donor A, where all of the CAR T treated mice in both non-

engineered and MGAT5 KO groups had already cleared the tumor at day 34, half of the mice in the

experiment were euthanized at that timepoint for spleen investigation. Spleens were collected and

processed to a cell suspension through a 70 μM cell strainer. Cells were counted prior to antibody staining

for flow cytometry analysis.

Statistical analyses *in vivo* experiments

FACS-based cell count data from blood and spleen (SKOV-3 model) with donors A, B and C and from blood

(Raji model) with donors E, F, G and H

To compare the FACS-based cell-count data between the *MGAT5* KO and WT CAR T groups, we ran a

separate statistical model for each setting. For the SKOV-3 model, the settings are comprised of all unique

combinations of donor (A, B or C), timepoint (Early, Mid, Late/Endpoint), and tissue source (spleen).

Excluding settings for which data was lacking, this resulted in 13 statistical tests (see below) corresponding

to the panels in **Figure 2**.E and F. For the Raji model, the settings are comprised of all unique combinations

of donor (E, F, G, H), timepoint (timepoint 1, timepoint 2) and target (CD70). Excluding settings for which

data was lacking, this resulted in 13 statistical models (see below), corresponding to the panels in **Figure**

3.D. The lack of data for some settings of the blood count analysis should be read in the context of survival

curves presented in the same figure; in these groups, mice had already been euthanized or died at the time

of blood cell count analysis.

We ran quasi Poisson models in R[78], using the glm function with Cell Counts per mL of blood or number of

cells per spleen as the outcome variable and Group (*MGAT5* KO vs WT) as the predictor variable. The

presented p-values were then either directly extracted from the glm (Raji model), or calculated from the

glm using the multcomp package[79] (SKOV-3 model).

Treatment of tumor-bearing mice with MGAT5 KO CD70 nanoCAR T cells leads to a better control of tumor

growth rate

To evaluate differences in tumor growth or resolution between the treated mice, we fitted a generalized

linear mixed models (GLMMs). A separate model was fitted for data from each donor and the primary and

secondary tumor (**Supplementary Figure 4**, **Supplementary Figure 5**, **Supplementary Figure 6**). The main

reason for this is that the model would become unnecessarily complex because the timescales (design) of

both experiments differ slightly as do the times at which the mice start to respond to the CAR T cell therapy.

The latter is possibly due to inherent differences between the CAR T cell batches (i.e. a donor effect).

Longitudinal analyses

Tumor volumes were measured by measuring the length and width of a tumor and using the length*

width*width/2 (this is a half cube or cuboid) approximation of the volume of a sphere. We cross-checked

with BLI data for the small tumors, since this gives a better indication on whether there actually is a

tumor present or whether the lesion is remnant scar tissue. Whenever a small tumor was measured or a

"zero volume" was registered (i.e. no morphological lesion remaining), BLI was used to verify whether

tumor cells were actually present or not and the caliper measurements were adapted accordingly: when

no tumor was found on BLI, we left the caliper measurements to zero, and when a tumor was found on BLI

but not measurable by caliper, we set the tumor volume equivalent to 0.0625 mm^3 (corresponding to 0.5

mm width/length).

We then analyzed the tumor volume data (in mm^3) of each experiment (donor A, B and C) and each phase

(primary tumor before and after rechallenge and secondary tumor) separately by fitting a GLMM to each

using the glmmTMB package[83] in R[78]. The models used a log link and a Tweedie error structure to

accommodate exact zeros and the mean-variance relationship in the data. Further, we used natural splines

(with df = 3 to 5) to model the (continuous) time variable in an interaction with the (categorical) group

variable. This allows for flexible modeling of the tumor volume in function of time in each treatment group.

Random effects included a per-mouse random intercept and, if necessary, a random slope for the time

variable to model within-mouse correlation over time. The models were fitted using REML. Contrasts were

calculated using the multcomp package[84] to obtain multiple-testing adjusted p-values and 95% confidence

intervals.

Survival analysis (time to relapse)

To analyze the time to relapse, we first defined the start of follow up as the moment the primary tumor

was controlled or partially controlled. We define control as the first day the tumor became completely

undetectable on BLI and by caliper measurement. We define partial control as the first day a tumor (that

never fully disappears) stopped increasing in size according to caliper measurements and no increase in

tumor volume was seen in at least 4 consecutive measurement days. Next, we define a relapse event as

the moment a tumor starts growing again. As the onset of relapse, we take the first day that the tumor

volume reaches again > 4 mm^3 and only when tumor growth is also seen in 4 consecutive measurement

days following that day. The time to event is then the time between start of follow up and a relapse event

and the follow up time is the time between start of follow up and either an event or the end of follow up

in case of no relapse. We used R[78] with the survival[85,86] and survminer[87] packages to generate Kaplan-Meier

plots with estimates of the median survival times and a corresponding risk and events table. Since relapses

were only observed in experiment with donor B, we ran a straightforward analysis with group as the only

predictor (groups: CD70 nanoCAR or CD70 nanoCAR - MGAT5 KO). We tested for the difference in survival

probability in these groups with a logrank test as implemented in the survival package.

Ex vivo **analysis of tumor cell killing**

SKOV-3 tumors in NSG mice were established, treated with CAR T cells and monitored as described above

(in this experiment with donor H and I). The number of mice treated were: non-transduced control (NTC)

(n=13), CD70 nanoCAR T (n=13), *MGAT5* KO CD70 nanoCAR T (n=9). Peripheral blood was collected via the

tail vein and transferred to EDTA coated Microvettes (Sarstedt). The volume of blood was determined and

red blood cells were removed using ACK lysis buffer (Lonza) prior to antibody staining for flow cytometry

analysis (determination of # circulating CAR T cells in the blood). Each sample was measured separately to

determine the amount of circulating CAR T cells. Afterwards, cells were pooled per condition. These blood

cells, containing differentially engineered CD70 nanoCAR T cells, were incubated with 2×10^4 THP-1 cells

at different effector/target ratios (i.e. cells isolated from 50μL of blood and from 40μL of blood) in IMDM

medium with Glutamax (Gibco) containing 10% FCS and 1% Pen/Strep. Cells were labelled with fluorescent

antibodies against CD3, CD4 and CD8 for the analysis of T cells and CD33 for the detection of THP-1 cells,

at the start of the co-culture (day 0) and at day 4, 8, 11 and 14. At day 8 of co-culture, 2×10^4 THP-1 cells

were added to the remaining wells for analysis at day 11 and 14. Cell numbers were determined by flow

cytometry.

CD107a degranulation assay

Membrane expression of CD107a represents a surrogate marker of cytotoxicity of activated and

degranulating immune cells. Recombinant Human CD27 Ligand (R&D systems) was coated overnight at

different concentrations (0.01 – 10 μg/mL) on the surface of a 96-well plate (Falcon™ clear flat bottom TC,

Corning, France) at 4°C. Before the addition of the cells/anti-CD107a antibody, the recombinant human

CD27 ligand was removed from the plates and the wells were washed 1x with PBS. CAR T cells (from donor

K) were put at 1×10^6 cells/mL (corrected based on %GFP-positivity) and mixed with anti-CD107a-BUV395

antibody at a 10:1 ratio. 50μL of this mix was added per well of the coated 96-well plate. In the control

condition, 1,25μL of Immunocult™ Human CD3/CD28 T cell Activator was added (25 μL/ 10^6 cells). Plates

were incubated for 1 hour at 37°C C and 5% CO_2, and for an additional 4 h in the presence of the secretion

inhibitor Brefeldin A (Cayman Chemical).

We analyzed the results for CD107a staining on CAR T cells with anti-CD107a-BUV395 (BD Biosciences) and

anti-CD3-BV510 (Miltenyi Biotec) antibodies, in combination with eFluor780 viability dye (Ebioscience) on

GFP-positive cells using flow cytometry (BD Symphony A5).

Cytokine Independent growth assay

Human CD3+ T cells were isolated and engineered as described (from donor G). Ten days after engineering

and cultivation with IL-7/IL-15, engineered CAR T cells were cultured in IMDM + Glutamax medium (Gibco-

BRL) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated FCS with or without supplemental cytokines. All cells were

maintained at a cell density of $<1.0 \times 10^6$ cells/mL over the course of the experiment. Total cell counts of

cells cultured in IMDM with or without supplemental cytokines was monitored for 14 days. Cells cultured

in IMDM with supplemental cytokines were given 10 ng/mL of IL-7 and IL-15 on day 0 and subsequently

maintained with IMDM containing IL-7 and IL-15 until day 14.

Single cell RNA sequencing (scRNAseq)

Preparation cells from tumor

SKOV-3 tumor inoculation and CD70 nanoCAR T treatment was performed as described above. Cells from donor J were used. Tumors were collected in PBS and transferred into a gentleMACS C tube (Miltenyi) containing digestion buffer (MEMα (Capricorn), 1% Pen/strep (Sigma), 50 µM β-mercaptoethanol (Gibco), 0,1 mg/mL DNAse I (Roche), 0.125 mg/mL Collagenase D (Roche), 0,1 mg/mL dispase II (Sigma)), GentleMACS C tubes are loaded in the MACS dissociator without heaters and progam h_cord1 was run. The tubes were subsequently incubated at 37°C for 40 min, and vortexed every 10 minutes. After that, the tubes were put on ice and 10mL of ice cold FACS buffer is added to the tube, before passing the suspension through a 70µm cell strainer. The strainer is washed with 10mL FACS buffer and cells are pelleted at 300 x g, 5 min at 4°C. Cells are resuspended in FACS buffer before proceeding with staining.

Single cell library preparation and sequencing

Mice were sacrificed seven days post nanoCAR T cell injection (from donor J). Peripheral blood was taken following severing of the right atrium of the heart and transferred to EDTA coated Microvettes (Sarstedt). The volume of blood was determined and red blood cells were removed using ACK lysis buffer (Lonza) prior to antibody staining. Tumors were isolated and processed to obtain a single cell suspension as described above. Single cell suspensions from 6 mice/condition were pooled and labelled with anti-human CD45-PerCP-Cy5.5 antibody (BD Biosciences) and a unique human TotalSeq-C hashing antibody (Biolegend) diluted 1:250. To enable multiplexing, we applied a cellular hashing strategy using TotalSeq-C anti-human hashtag antibodies specific against human CD298 and B2M (BioLegend). Each sample received a distinct TotalSeq-C barcode, allowing downstream demultiplexing and assignment of single-cell transcriptomic data to individual samples/conditions. FACS-sorted CD45$^+$ single-cell suspensions were resuspended at an estimated final concentration of 1000 cells/µL, and loaded on a Chromium GemCode Single Cell Instrument (10× Genomics) to generate single-cell Gel beads-in-EMulsion (NextGEM). The cDNA libraries were prepared using the GemCode Single Cell 5′ v3 Gel Bead and Library kit, version 5.2 according to the manufacturer's instructions (10x Genomics). Size selection with SPRIselect Reagent Kit (Beckman Coulter, B23318) was used to separate amplified cDNA molecules for 5′ gene expression and cell surface protein construction libraries. The cDNA content of pre-fragmentation and post-sample index PCR samples was analyzed using the Fragment Analyzer (Agilent). Sequencing libraries were loaded on an Illumina NovaSeq flow cell at VIB Nucleomics core on the Illumina NovaSeq 6000 platform with a read configuration of 28 cycles for Read 1 (which reads the 16bp 10x GEM-cell barcode followed by the 10bp UMI), 10 cycles for i7 index (which reads one of the sample barcodes), 10 cycles for i5 index (which is used for dual indexing), and 90 cycles for Read 2 (which reads the cDNA and de feature barcode (TotalSeq/antibody tags). 1% PhiX

DNA was incorporated as a sequencing control, pooled in a 90:10 ratio for the combined 5' gene expression

and cell surface protein samples, respectively.

Processing of scRNA-seq and hashtag data

The Cell Ranger pipeline (10× Genomics, version 7.2.0) was used to perform sample demultiplexing and to

generate FASTQ files for read 1, read 2, and the i5, i7 sample index for the gene expression protein libraries.

Read 2 of the gene expression libraries was mapped to the reference genome GRCh38. Subsequent

barcode processing, unique molecular identifiers, filtering, and gene counting was performed using the

Cell Ranger suite (10× Genomics). Downstream analyses were performed with Seurat[88] (version 5.0.0). In

order to maintain explicit control over all gene and cell quality control filters, we used the raw feature-

barcode matrix generated by CellRanger. Raw counts of the samples were normalized using global-scaling

normalization and log-transform method using the Seurat NormalizeData function with default

parameters. Feature counts for each cell are divided by the total counts for that cell and multiplied by the

scale.factor = 10,000 followed by natural-log transformation using log1p. Initial dimensionality reduction

and clustering was performed using the Seurat functions FindVariableFeatures, RunPCA, FindNeighbors

and FindClusters, with default parameters. Hashtag demultiplexing was performed using Seurat's

HTODemux and MultiSeq and we required agreement of both algorithms for the assignment of cells to

their respective biological samples. Cells with inconsistent demultiplexing calls, as well as cells identified as

negative and double were removed. Next, we excluded all cells with detection of transcripts of less than

200 genes, as well as genes expressed in less than 3 cells. Subsequently, clusters of mouse cells were

identified and removed based on overrepresentation of reads mapping to the mouse genome. After

excluding incorrectly demultiplexed cells and mouse cells, we obtained data on 12039 cells across four

samples under analysis (mock engineered versus MGAT5 KO CD70 nanoCAR T cells, circulating in blood vs

tumor-infiltrating). We repeated dimensionality reduction and clustering on the Seurat functions

FindVariableFeatures, RunPCA, FindNeighbors and FindClusters, using the 2000 most variable genes, 12

first principal components and cluster resolution of 0.5. Unsupervised Uniform Manifold Approximation

and Projection (UMAP) analyses implemented in the Seurat pipeline were further used to identify the major

cell populations. Tumor-infiltrating CAR T cells clustered separately from circulating CAR T cells. For

improved discrimination of CD4 and CD8 cells, clustering was further refined using the FindSubCluster

function using resolution up to 0.2 and manual curation. CellCycleScoring function was used to distinguish

cells in different cell cycle phases. Finally, cell clusters were labelled based on their association with

biological samples, CD4 and CD8 expression and proliferation state. Differential gene expression analysis

between conditions within the same cell cluster was performed using FindMarkers function with Wilcoxon

Rank Sum test for genes expressed in at least 25% cells of one of the compared populations and minimal

fold change threshold of 0.1, using the Bonferroni-corrected p-value of at least 0.05. Gene identifier

conversions were performed using biomaRt version 2.58.2 and human Ensembl database version 113 (Oct

2024). Comparison to custom gene sets of differentially expressed proteins from other studies was

performed using the gene set enrichment function of the clusterProfiler package[89] (version 4.10.1).

Enrichment analyses of pathways from KEGG, WikiPathways and Reactome databases were performed

using packages clusterProfiler (version 4.10.1), ReactomePA[90] (version 1.46.0) and enrichR[91] (version 3.2)

with Benjamini-Hochberg adjusted p-value cutoff of 0.1. Reactome pathways were further narrowed down

based on the presence of significant DE genes and average gene log2FC. Shared genes were additionally

used to remove redundancy between pathways. Full results are available is **Supplementary Table 2**

Amnis ImagestreamX Mark II data acquisition

Samples were analyzed on a Cytek® Amnis® ImagestreamX MkII imaging flow cytometer using Cytek Amnis

INSPIRE software version 6.2 (Merck Millipore, Nottingham UK). Prior to experimental analysis, following

the manufacturer's instructions for appropriate ImageStream X Mark II® set up and quality control

procedures, system calibrations were performed using ImageStream X Mark II® SpeedBead calibration

reagents. 100 µL aliquots of cell suspensions (SKOV-3, THP-1, Raji) at a concentration of~2×10^7 cells/mL in

PBS were prepared in 1.5 mL Eppendorfs. Prior to data collection, laser intensities were balanced to limit

saturation events and fluorescence channel overspill. For data acquisition an INSPIRE® template was set

up for in-focus and single cellular event gating, using Aspect Ratio and Root Mean Square (RMS) features,

ensuring collected cells were sufficiently circular and in focus. Data were acquired at a low velocity of 66.0

mm/s, with single cell events being collected at a magnification of×40. Acquisition of the images for CD70-

PerCP-Cy5-5 and Live/Dead eFl780 fluorescence assessment occurred in Channel 5 and 12; bright field:

channel 1 and 9; Side scatter: Channel 6. Data analysis was performed using IDEAS® software version 6.0.

For SKOV-3 cells 6519 single cells were analyzed, for THP-1 cells: 6208 single cells; for Raji cells: 4830 single

cells. Area (μm^2) and antigen staining intensity for each single event was determined and averages per cell

were calculated. Intensity/μm^2 was plotted.

Data availability

The single cell RNA sequencing data that support the findings of this study are openly available in the Gene

Expression Omnibus (GEO) (GSE301470). Code for the statistical analysis and visualization is made available

at GitHub (https://github.com/CallewaertLab/MGAT5KO_CART_manuscript).

Acknowledgements

Research of EDB was funded through a predoctoral fellowship at FWO, a doctor-assistant mandate at

UGent and an IOF Advanced project – F2024/IOF – Advanced/031. MG and LDP were funded through a

predoctoral fellowship of FWO. SDM is a junior post-doctoral fellow at FWO supported by the personal

grant 12AP724N. This work was further supported by grants G050420N and G028220N of FWO
Vlaanderen, a Young Investigator Proof of Concept (YIPOC) grant of the Cancer Research Institute Ghent
(CRIG), a Stichting tegen Kanker grant (F/2022/2010) and by the European Union (ERC Advanced Grant,
GlycoCAR, Horizon 101098331) as well as by institutional core funding of VIB. We thank Prof. Dr. Y. Chen
(Parker Institute for Cancer Immunotherapy Center at UCLA, Los Angeles, CA, USA) for intensive
experimental training in the CAR T field and Prof. J. Tavernier for providing the anti-CD70 nanobody used
in our CAR designs. We thank the VIB Bioimaging core Ghent (https://vib.be/labs/vib-bioimaging-core-
ghent), the VIB Flow Core Ghent (https://vib.be/labs/vib-flow-core-ghent), the VIB Nucleomics Core
(https://nucleomicscore.sites.vib.be/en) and the VIB Single Cell core (https://singlecellcore.sites.vib.be/en)
for technical support and infrastructure access. We thank Prof. O. De Wever (Ghent University, Faculty of
Medicine and Health Sciences) for providing the SKOV-3 cells expressing luciferase. N.C. dedicates this
study to the memory of his father Eric Callewaert, who succumbed in the course of our work to one of the
tumor types studied here.

926 Declaration of interest statement

927 EDB, NF and NC are co-inventors on an International Patent application (**WO/2023/111322**) by VIB and
928 Ghent University, which incorporates inventions described here. None of the other authors declares a
929 conflict of interest.

930 References

1. De Bousser E, Callewaert N, Festjens N. T Cell Engaging Immunotherapies, Highlighting Chimeric
Antigen Receptor (CAR) T Cell Therapy. Cancers 2021; 13:6067.

2. Vairy S, Garcia JL, Teira P, Bittencourt H. CTL019 (tisagenlecleucel): CAR-T therapy for relapsed and
refractory B-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Drug Des Devel Ther 2018; 12:3885–98.

3. Reagan PM, Friedberg JW. Axicabtagene ciloleucel and brexucabtagene autoleucel in relapsed and
refractory diffuse large B-cell and mantle cell lymphomas. Future Oncol Lond Engl 2021; 17:1269–83.

4. Liu L, Qu Y, Cheng L, Yoon CW, He P, Monther A, Guo T, Chittle S, Wang Y. Engineering chimeric antigen
receptor T cells for solid tumour therapy. Clin Transl Med 2022; 12:e1141.

5. Uslu U, June CH. Beyond the blood: expanding CAR T cell therapy to solid tumors. Nat Biotechnol 2024;
:1–10.

6. Okada M, Chikuma S, Kondo T, Hibino S, Machiyama H, Yokosuka T, Nakano M, Yoshimura A. Blockage
of Core Fucosylation Reduces Cell-Surface Expression of PD-1 and Promotes Anti-tumor Immune
Responses of T Cells. Cell Rep 2017; 20:1017–28.

7. Lau KS, Partridge EA, Grigorian A, Silvescu CI, Reinhold VN, Demetriou M, Dennis JW. Complex N-Glycan
Number and Degree of Branching Cooperate to Regulate Cell Proliferation and Differentiation. Cell
2007; 129:123–34.

8. Kuball J, Hauptrock B, Malina V, Antunes E, Voss R-H, Wolfl M, Strong R, Theobald M, Greenberg PD.
Increasing functional avidity of TCR-redirected T cells by removing defined N-glycosylation sites in the
TCR constant domain. J Exp Med 2009; 206:463–75.

9. Demotte N, Wieërs G, Van Der Smissen P, Moser M, Schmidt C, Thielemans K, Squifflet J-L, Weynand
B, Carrasco J, Lurquin C, et al. A galectin-3 ligand corrects the impaired function of human CD4 and
CD8 tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes and favors tumor rejection in mice. Cancer Res 2010; 70:7476–88.

10. Demotte N, Stroobant V, Courtoy PJ, Van Der Smissen P, Colau D, Luescher IF, Hivroz C, Nicaise J,
Squifflet JL, Mourad M, et al. Restoring the Association of the T Cell Receptor with CD8 Reverses Anergy
in Human Tumor-Infiltrating Lymphocytes. Immunity 2008; 28:414–24.

11. Laderach DJ, Compagno D. Inhibition of galectins in cancer: Biological challenges for their clinical
application. Front Immunol 2023, 13:1104625.

12. Wang H, Kaur G, Sankin AI, Chen F, Guan F, Zang X. Immune checkpoint blockade and CAR-T cell
therapy in hematologic malignancies. J Hematol OncolJ Hematol Oncol 2019; 12:59.

13. Lv Y, Ma X, Ma Y, Du Y, Feng J. A new emerging target in cancer immunotherapy: Galectin-9 (LGALS9).
Genes Dis 2023; 10:2366–82.

14. Cabral J, Hanley SA, Gerlach JQ, O'Leary N, Cunningham S, Ritter T, Ceredig R, Joshi L, Griffin MD.
Distinctive Surface Glycosylation Patterns Associated With Mouse and Human CD4^{+} Regulatory T Cells
and Their Suppressive Function. Front Immunol 2017; 8:987.

15. Earl LA, Bi S, Baum LG. N- and O-Glycans Modulate Galectin-1 Binding, CD45 Signaling, and T Cell Death.
J Biol Chem 2010; 285:2232–44.

16. Demetriou M, Granovsky M, Quaggin S, Dennis JW. Negative regulation of T-cell activation and
autoimmunity by Mgat5 N -glycosylation. Nature 2001; 409:733.

17. Togayachi A, Kozono Y, Ishida H, Abe S, Suzuki N, Tsunoda Y, Hagiwara K, Kuno A, Ohkura T, Sato N, et
al. Polylactosamine on glycoproteins influences basal levels of lymphocyte and macrophage activation.
Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2007; 104:15829–34.

18. Ye L, Park JJ, Dong MB, Yang Q, Chow RD, Peng L, Du Y, Guo J, Dai X, Wang G, et al. In vivo CRISPR
screening in CD8 T cells with AAV– Sleeping Beauty hybrid vectors identifies membrane targets for
improving immunotherapy for glioblastoma. Nat Biotechnol 2019; 37:1302–13.

19. Alegre ML, Frauwirth KA, Thompson CB. T-cell regulation by CD28 and CTLA-4. Nat Rev Immunol 2001;
1:220–8.

20. Morgan R, Gao G, Pawling J, Dennis JW, Demetriou M, Li B. N-acetylglucosaminyltransferase V (Mgat5)-
mediated N-glycosylation negatively regulates Th1 cytokine production by T cells. J Immunol Baltim
Md 1950 2004; 173:7200–8.

21. Smith LK, Boukhaled GM, Condotta SA, Mazouz S, Guthmiller JJ, Vijay R, Butler NS, Bruneau J, Shoukry
NH, Krawczyk CM, et al. Interleukin-10 Directly Inhibits CD8+ T Cell Function by Enhancing N-Glycan
Branching to Decrease Antigen Sensitivity. Immunity 2018; 48:299-312.e5.

22. Cedeno-Laurent F, Opperman M, Barthel SR, Hays D, Schatton T, Zhan Q, He X, Matta KL, Supko JG,
Frank MH, et al. Metabolic inhibition of galectin-1-binding carbohydrates accentuates anti-tumor
immunity. J Invest Dermatol 2012; 132:410–20.

23. Demotte N, Stroobant V, Courtoy PJ, Van Der Smissen P, Colau D, Luescher IF, Hivroz C, Nicaise J,
Squifflet J-L, Mourad M, et al. Restoring the Association of the T Cell Receptor with CD8 Reverses
Anergy in Human Tumor-Infiltrating Lymphocytes. Immunity 2008; 28:414–24.

24. Gagneux P, Panin V, Hennet T, Aebi M, Varki A. Evolution of Glycan Diversity [Internet]. In: Varki A,
Cummings RD, Esko JD, Stanley P, Hart GW, Aebi M, Mohnen D, Kinoshita T, Packer NH, Prestegard JH,
et al., editors. Essentials of Glycobiology. Cold Spring Harbor (NY): Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press;
2022; glycobiology.4e.20.

25. Ayala Ceja M, Khericha M, Harris CM, Puig-Saus C, Chen YY. CAR-T cell manufacturing: Major process
parameters and next-generation strategies. J Exp Med 2024; 221:e20230903.

26. De Munter S, Buhl JL, De Cock L, Van Parys A, Daneels W, Pascal E, Deseins L, Ingels J, Goetgeluk G,
Jansen H, et al. Knocking Out CD70 Rescues CD70-Specific NanoCAR T Cells from Antigen-Induced
Exhaustion. Cancer Immunol Res 2024; 12:1236–51.

27. Laroy W, Contreras R. Glycoprofiling by DNA Sequencer-Aided Fluorophore-Assisted Carbohydrate
Electrophoresis. In: Appasani K, Southern EM, editors. Bioarrays: From Basics to Diagnostics. Totowa,
NJ: Humana Press; 2007; page 191–200.

28. Vanderschaeghe D, Szekrényes Á, Wenz C, Gassmann M, Naik N, Bynum M, Yin H, Delanghe J, Guttman
A, Callewaert N. High-Throughput Profiling of the Serum N-Glycome on Capillary Electrophoresis
Microfluidics Systems: Toward Clinical Implementation of GlycoHepatoTest. Anal Chem 2010;
82:7408–15.

29. Meehl M, Ibanez J, Ward MB, Krenciute G. 295 Investigating the biological drivers of effective CAR T
cell donors. J Immunother Cancer 2024;12(Suppl 2):A1–A1683.

30. Lee W, Rose D, Keck JG, Yang J. Abstract 3850: Modeling individual variability in CD19 CAR-T therapy:
An in vivo study with PBMC-humanized mice. Cancer Res 2024; 84:3850.

31. Ayala Ceja M, Khericha M, Harris CM, Puig-Saus C, Chen YY. CAR-T cell manufacturing: Major process
parameters and next-generation strategies. J Exp Med 2024; 221:e20230903.

32. Maletzki C, Bock S, Fruh P, Macius K, Witt A, Prall F, Linnebacher M. NSG mice as hosts for oncological
precision medicine. Lab Invest 2019; :1–11.

33. Liu C, Zhang L, Chang X, Cheng Y, Cheng H, Ye X, Fu T, Chen J, Cui H. Overexpression and
Immunosuppressive Functions of Transforming Growth Factor 1, Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor
and Interleukin-10 in Epithelial Ovarian Cancer. Chin J Cancer Res 2012; 24:130–7.

34. Li X, Ye F, Chen H, Lu W, Wan X, Xie X. Human ovarian carcinoma cells generate CD4+CD25+ regulatory
T cells from peripheral CD4+CD25− T cells through secreting TGF-β. Cancer Lett 2007; 253:144–53.

35. Shang W, Xu R, Xu T, Wu M, Xu J, Wang F. Ovarian Cancer Cells Promote Glycolysis Metabolism and
TLR8-Mediated Metabolic Control of Human CD4+ T Cells. Front Oncol 2020; 10:570899.

36. Liu H, Zhang G, Huang J, Ma S, Mi K, Cheng J, Zhu Y, Zha X, Huang W. Atractylenolide I modulates
ovarian cancer cell-mediated immunosuppression by blocking MD-2/TLR4 complex-mediated
MyD88/NF-κB signaling in vitro. J Transl Med 2016; 14:104.

37. Chao MP, Alizadeh AA, Tang C, Myklebust JH, Varghese B, Gill S, Jan M, Cha AC, Chan CK, Tan BT, et al.
Anti-CD47 antibody synergizes with rituximab to promote phagocytosis and eradicate non-Hodgkin
lymphoma. Cell 2010; 142:699–713.

38. Deng W, Chen P, Lei W, Xu Y, Xu N, Pu JJ, Liang A, Qian W. CD70-targeting CAR-T cells have potential
activity against CD19-negative B-cell Lymphoma. Cancer Commun 2021; 41:925–9.

39. Iyer SP, Sica RA, Ho PJ, Prica A, Zain J, Foss FM, Hu B, Beitinjaneh A, Weng W-K, Kim YH, et al. Safety
and activity of CTX130, a CD70-targeted allogeneic CRISPR-Cas9-engineered CAR T-cell therapy, in
patients with relapsed or refractory T-cell malignancies (COBALT-LYM): a single-arm, open-label, phase
1, dose-escalation study. Lancet Oncol 2025; 26:110–22.

40. Milacic M, Beavers D, Conley P, Gong C, Gillespie M, Griss J, Haw R, Jassal B, Matthews L, May B, et al.
The Reactome Pathway Knowledgebase 2024. Nucleic Acids Res 2024; 52:D672–8.

41. Ricciardi S, Manfrini N, Alfieri R, Calamita P, Crosti MC, Gallo S, Müller R, Pagani M, Abrignani S, Biffo
S. The Translational Machinery of Human CD4+ T Cells Is Poised for Activation and Controls the Switch
from Quiescence to Metabolic Remodeling. Cell Metab 2018; 28:895-906.e5.

42. Good CR, Aznar MA, Kuramitsu S, Samareh P, Agarwal S, Donahue G, Ishiyama K, Wellhausen N,
Rennels AK, Ma Y, et al. An NK-like CAR T cell transition in CAR T cell dysfunction. Cell 2021; 184:6081-
6100.e26.

43. Umaña P, Jean-Mairet J, Moudry R, Amstutz H, Bailey JE. Engineered glycoforms of an
antineuroblastoma IgG1 with optimized antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxic activity. Nat Biotechnol
1999; 17:176–80.

44. Kanda Y, Yamada T, Mori K, Okazaki A, Inoue M, Kitajima-Miyama K, Kuni-Kamochi R, Nakano R, Yano
K, Kakita S, et al. Comparison of biological activity among nonfucosylated therapeutic IgG1 antibodies
with three different N-linked Fc oligosaccharides: the high-mannose, hybrid, and complex types.
Glycobiology 2007; 17:104–18.

45. Yamane-Ohnuki N, Kinoshita S, Inoue-Urakubo M, Kusunoki M, Iida S, Nakano R, Wakitani M, Niwa R,
Sakurada M, Uchida K, et al. Establishment of FUT8 knockout Chinese hamster ovary cells: An ideal
host cell line for producing completely defucosylated antibodies with enhanced antibody-dependent
cellular cytotoxicity. Biotechnol Bioeng 2004; 87:614–22.

46. Mizushima T, Yagi H, Takemoto E, Shibata-Koyama M, Isoda Y, Iida S, Masuda K, Satoh M, Kato K.
Structural basis for improved efficacy of therapeutic antibodies on defucosylation of their Fc glycans.
Genes Cells Devoted Mol Cell Mech 2011; 16:1071–80.

47. Ferrara C, Brünker P, Suter T, Moser S, Püntener U, Umaña P. Modulation of therapeutic antibody
effector functions by glycosylation engineering: influence of Golgi enzyme localization domain and co-
expression of heterologous beta1, 4-N-acetylglucosaminyltransferase III and Golgi alpha-mannosidase
II. Biotechnol Bioeng 2006; 93:851–61.

48. Dalle S, Reslan L, Besseyre de Horts T, Herveau S, Herting F, Plesa A, Friess T, Umana P, Klein C,
Dumontet C. Preclinical studies on the mechanism of action and the anti-lymphoma activity of the
novel anti-CD20 antibody GA101. Mol Cancer Ther 2011; 10:178–85.

49. Beck A, Reichert JM. Marketing approval of mogamulizumab. mAbs 2012; 4:419–25.

50. Lee AJ, Ashkar AA. The Dual Nature of Type I and Type II Interferons. Front Immunol 2018; 9:2061.

51. Badr HA, AlSadek DMM, El-Houseini ME, Saeui CT, Mathew MP, Yarema KJ, Ahmed H. Harnessing
cancer cell metabolism for theranostic applications using metabolic glycoengineering of sialic acid in
breast cancer as a pioneering example. Biomaterials 2017; 11:044.

52. Wang H, Engesser J, Khatri R, Schaub DP, Paust H-J, Sultana Z, Jauch-Speer S-L, Peters A, Kaffke A, Bonn
S, et al. Type I interferon drives T cell cytotoxicity by upregulation of interferon regulatory factor 7 in
autoimmune kidney diseases in mice. Nat Commun 2025; 16:4686.

53. Sumida TS, Dulberg S, Schupp JC, Lincoln MR, Stillwell HA, Axisa P-P, Comi M, Unterman A, Kaminski N,
Madi A, et al. Type I interferon transcriptional network regulates expression of coinhibitory receptors
in human T cells. Nat Immunol 2022; 23:632–42.

54. Mihaescu G, Chifiriuc MC, Filip R, Bleotu C, Ditu LM, Constantin M, Cristian R-E, Grigore R, Bertesteanu
SV, Bertesteanu G, et al. Role of interferons in the antiviral battle: from virus-host crosstalk to
prophylactic and therapeutic potential in SARS-CoV-2 infection. Front Immunol 2024; 14:1273604.

55. Qin Z, Xu T. Deciphering the deterministic role of TCR signaling in T cell fate determination. Front
Immunol 2025; 16.

56. Cao X, Liang Y, Hu Z, Li H, Yang J, Hsu EJ, Zhu J, Zhou J, Fu Y-X. Next generation of tumor-activating type
I IFN enhances anti-tumor immune responses to overcome therapy resistance. Nat Commun 2021;
12:5866.

57. Harrer DC, Schenkel C, Bezler V, Kaljanac M, Hartley J, Barden M, Pan H, Holzinger A, Herr W, Abken
H. CAR Triggered Release of Type-1 Interferon Limits CAR T-Cell Activities by an Artificial Negative
Autocrine Loop. Cells 2022; 11:3839.

58. Jung I-Y, Bartoszek RL, Rech AJ, Collins SM, Ooi S-K, Williams EF, Hopkins CR, Narayan V, Haas NB, Frey
NV, et al. Type I Interferon Signaling via the EGR2 Transcriptional Regulator Potentiates CAR T Cell-
Intrinsic Dysfunction. Cancer Discov 2023; 13:1636–55.

59. Huang M, Zhao L, Cheng Y, Huang L, Zhou J, Li D, Wang J, Cao Y. Type I Interferon Impairs the Function
of CAR-T Cells By Disrupting Immune Synapse Assembly. Blood 2024; 144:3407.

60. Tang E, Hu Y, Cao G, Asby NW, Nguyen D-T, Aboelella NS, Ruiz H, Zhao Y, Xie L, Chen X, et al. Low-
Strength Type I Interferon Signaling Promotes CAR T-Cell Treatment Efficacy. 2025; Available from:
https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2025.05.13.653878v1

61. Moradi V, Khodabandehloo E, Alidadi M, Omidkhoda A, Ahmadbeigi N. Progress and pitfalls of gene
editing technology in CAR-T cell therapy: a state-of-the-art review. Front Oncol 2024; 14:1388475.

62. Kath J, Du W, Pruene A, Braun T, Thommandru B, Turk R, Sturgeon ML, Kurgan GL, Amini L, Stein M, et
al. Pharmacological interventions enhance virus-free generation of *TRAC*-replaced CAR T cells. Mol
Ther - Methods Clin Dev 2022; 25:311–30.

63. Wagner DL, Koehl U, Chmielewski M, Scheid C, Stripecke R. Review: Sustainable Clinical Development
of CAR-T Cells – Switching From Viral Transduction Towards CRISPR-Cas Gene Editing. Front Immunol
2022; 13:865424.

64. Glaser V, Flugel C, Kath J, Du W, Drosdek V, Franke C, Stein M, Pruß A, Schmueck-Henneresse M, Volk
H-D, et al. Combining different CRISPR nucleases for simultaneous knock-in and base editing prevents
translocations in multiplex-edited CAR T cells. Genome Biol 2023; 24:89.

65. Engel NW, Steinfeld I, Ryan D, Anupindi K, Kim S, Wellhausen N, Chen L, Wilkins K, Baker DJ, Rommel
PC, et al. Quadruple adenine base–edited allogeneic CAR T cells outperform CRISPR/Cas9 nuclease–
engineered T cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci 2025; 122:e2427216122.

66. Rossi M, Steklov M, Huberty F, Nguyen T, Marijsse J, Jacques-Hespel C, Najm P, Lonez C, Breman E.
Efficient shRNA-based knockdown of multiple target genes for cell therapy using a chimeric miRNA
cluster platform. Mol Ther - Nucleic Acids 2023; 34.

67. Hamilton JR, Chen E, Perez BS, Sandoval Espinoza CR, Kang MH, Trinidad M, Ngo W, Doudna JA. In vivo
human T cell engineering with enveloped delivery vehicles. Nat Biotechnol 2024; 42:1684–92.

68. Zhao Y, Chen J, Andreatta M, Feng B, Xie Y-Q, Wenes M, Wang Y, Gao M, Hu X, Romero P, et al. IL-10-
expressing CAR T cells resist dysfunction and mediate durable clearance of solid tumors and
metastases. Nat Biotechnol 2024; 42:1693–704.

69. Jaspers JE, Khan JF, Godfrey WD, Lopez AV, Ciampricotti M, Rudin CM, Brentjens RJ. IL-18–secreting
CAR T cells targeting DLL3 are highly effective in small cell lung cancer models. J Clin Invest 2023; 133.

70. Patel RP, Ghilardi G, Zhang Y, Chiang Y-H, Xie W, Guruprasad P, Kim KH, Chun I, Angelos MG, Pajarillo
R, et al. CD5 deletion enhances the antitumor activity of adoptive T cell therapies. Sci Immunol 2024;
9:eadn6509.

71. Skopek R, Palusińska M, Kaczor-Keller K, Pingwara R, Papierniak-Wyglądała A, Schenk T, Lewicki S,
Zelent A, Szymański Ł. Choosing the Right Cell Line for Acute Myeloid Leukemia (AML) Research. Int J
Mol Sci 2023; 24:5377.

72. Liu R, Wei H, Gao P, Yu H, Wang K, Fu Z, Ju B, Zhao M, Dong S, Li Z, et al. CD47 promotes ovarian cancer
progression by inhibiting macrophage phagocytosis. Oncotarget 2017; 8:39021–32.

73. De Vlieghere E, Carlier C, Ceelen W, Bracke M, De Wever O. Data on in vivo selection of SK-OV-3 Luc
ovarian cancer cells and intraperitoneal tumor formation with low inoculation numbers. Data Brief
2016; 6:542–9.

74. Hsiau T, Conant D, Rossi N, Maures T, Waite K, Yang J, Joshi S, Kelso R, Holden K, Enzmann BL, et al.
Inference of CRISPR Edits from Sanger Trace Data. 2019.

75. Rvk- CN. Phoenix ™ Retrovirus Expression System. Phoenix Usa 4436:1–13.

76. Laroy W, Contreras R, Callewaert N. Glycome mapping on DNA sequencing equipment. Nat Protoc
2006; 1:397–405.

77. Yang M, Tang X, Zhang Z, Gu L, Wei H, Zhao S, Zhong K, Mu M, Huang C, Jiang C, et al. Tandem CAR-T
cells targeting CD70 and B7-H3 exhibit potent preclinical activity against multiple solid tumors.
Theranostics 2020; 10:7622–34.

78. R Core Team. A Language and environment for statistical computing. 2024; Available from:
https://www.R-project.org/

79. Hothorn T, Bretz F, Westfall P. Simultaneous Inference in General Parametric Models. Biom J 2008;
50:346–63.

80. Zeileis A. Econometric Computing with HC and HAC Covariance Matrix Estimators. J Stat Softw 2004;
11:1–17.

81. Zeileis A, Köll S, Graham N. Various Versatile Variances: An Object-Oriented Implementation of
Clustered Covariances in R. J Stat Softw 2020; 95:1–36.

82. Rizopoulos D. Generalized Linear Mixed Models using Adaptive Gaussian Quadrature. 2022; Available
from: https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=GLMMadaptive

83. Brooks ME, Kristensen K, Benthem KJ van, Magnusson A, Berg CW, Nielsen A, Skaug HJ, Mächler M,
Bolker BM. glmmTMB Balances Speed and Flexibility Among Packages for Zero-inflated Generalized
Linear Mixed Modeling. R J 2017; 9:378–400.

84. Hothorn T, Bretz F, Westfall P. Simultaneous Inference in General Parametric Models.

85. Therneau T. A Package for Survival Analysis in R. 2022; Available from: https://CRAN.R-
project.org/package=survival

86. Therneau T, Grambsch P. Modeling Survival Data: Extending the Cox Model. 2000;

87. Kassambra A, Kosinski M, Biecek P. Survminer: Drawing Survival Cuvres using "ggplot2". 2021;
Available from: https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=survminer

88. Stuart T, Butler A, Hoffman P, Hafemeister C, Papalexi E, Mauck WM, Hao Y, Stoeckius M, Smibert P,
Satija R. Comprehensive integration of single-cell data. Cell 2019; 177:1888-1902.e21.

89. Xu S, Hu E, Cai Y, Xie Z, Luo X, Zhan L, Tang W, Wang Q, Liu B, Wang R, et al. Using clusterProfiler to
characterize multiomics data. Nat Protoc 2024; 19:3292–320.

90. Yu G, He Q-Y. ReactomePA: an R/Bioconductor package for reactome pathway analysis and
visualization. Mol Biosyst 2016; 12:477–9.

91. Kuleshov MV, Jones MR, Rouillard AD, Fernandez NF, Duan Q, Wang Z, Koplev S, Jenkins SL, Jagodnik
KM, Lachmann A, et al. Enrichr: a comprehensive gene set enrichment analysis web server 2016
update. Nucleic Acids Res 2016; 44:W90–7.

Figures

1163

1164

1165

1166

1167

1168

1169

Figure 1 | *MGAT5* glyco-gene editing and CD70 nanoCAR engineering and the impact of glyco-engineering in *MGAT5* on *in vitro* CD70 nanoCAR efficacy. **A.** Pathway of N-glycan branching. N-glycan branching is achieved through a series of mannosidase (M) and mannosylglycoprotein-N-acetylglucosaminyltransferase (MGAT)-mediated reactions. MGAT5, the enzyme of interest to our project is marked in orange. This branching primarily occurs in the medial-Golgi compartment. In later compartments, branched glycans are further modified by other enzymes including Gal-, GlcNAc-, sialyl- and fucosyltransferases to result in complex glycans. **B.** Experimental timeline. **C.** Mean editing efficiency as % insertions/deletions (indels) or proportion of indels that result in a frameshift or are 21+ bp in length (assumes all edits are in a coding region) (KO) obtained for the *MGAT5* locus. n=26, SEM: standard error of the mean. **D.** Mean transduction efficiency as % GFP expressing cells for the different engineering conditions. n=26, **E and F.** Profiling of alterations in cell surface glycosylation upon *MGAT5* KO in CD70 nanoCAR T cells. **E.** Lectin staining. 2×10^5 wild type, mock-engineered (green) or *MGAT5* KO (orange) CAR T cells were collected, stained with fixable viability dye eFl780 and biotinylated lectin from *Datura stramonium* (DSL) or phytohemagglutinin-L lectin (PHA-L) followed by secondary staining with PE-coupled neutravidin. Analysis was done by flow cytometry and graphs show the mean reduction in lectin binding signal intensities as compared to wild type CAR T cells after gating on viable cells. Results are shown for engineered T cells from 7 (DSL)/6 (PHA-L) independent blood donors. **F.** CGE-LIF profiling. Schematic representation of the sample preparation and CGE-LIF profiles of the cell-surface glycome of mock-engineered and MGAT5 KO CD70 nanoCAR T cells. Sialidase digest was performed on the samples prior to the analysis. The major pairs of peaks in the profile are annotated P1-P6, based on the profile of total human plasma glycoprotein N-glycans which was run as a reference. The N-glycan profile of MGAT5 KO CD70 nanoCAR T cells is clearly different from that of mock-engineered CAR T cells. The peaks in P6 disappear while the peaks in P4 show a higher intensity relative to P2 and P3. This shift in electrophoretic mobility is consistent with the removal of one LacNAc unit (two monosaccharide units) or a shift from a tetra-antennary to a tri-antennary N-glycan. **G.** and **H.** Effect of *MGAT5* KO on CD70 nanoCAR viability and subset distribution at day 13 of *in vitro* culturing. At day 13, the immunophenotype of the cells was evaluated prior to the initiation of the functional assays. Mean data is shown from experiments performed with three independent donors. Error bars represent the SEM. **I-K.** The impact of glyco-engineering of *MGAT5* on *in vitro* CD70 nanoCAR cytokine production. Cytokine production of glyco-engineered CD70 nanoCAR T cells was evaluated by intracellular staining after co-incubation with THP-1 and SKOV-3 target cell lines for 16 hours. Unstimulated cells were included as negative control (-) while Immunocult stimulation was included as positive control (+). Technical duplicates were analyzed. The bars show the mean fractions of TNF-α, IFN-γ and IL-2 positive CD3$^+$ obtained from three independent donors. Error bars represent the standard deviation. **L-O.** The impact of glyco-engineering in *MGAT5* on *in vitro* CD70 nanoCAR cytotoxic potential. Glyco-engineered CD70 nanoCAR T cells cultured in the presence of IL-7 and IL-15 were incubated at different effector to target THP-1 cell ratios (E/T) in technical duplicates and cell numbers were analyzed over a time period of 14 days. A second challenge with THP-1 cells was added at day 7. Error bars represent the SEM on cell number from data obtained with 3 different T cell donors. **L** and **M.** Results for E/T ratio of 0.15 corresponding to the co-culture of 20 000 THP-1 cells with 3 000 glyco-engineered CD70 nanoCAR T cells. **N** and **O.** Results for E/T ratio of 0.015 corresponding to the co-culture of 20 000 THP-1 cells with 300 CD70 nanoCAR T cells. To account for the correlation over time, the data from panels **M** and **O** was modeled using a mixed negative binomial model with a random intercept for each cluster (a cluster being defined as the set of measurements sharing the same donor, E/T Ratio and type of CAR T cells). We found that, on day 11, the number of *MGAT5* KO nanoCAR T cells is about 1.74 times higher (95% CI: 1.36 to 2.21) than the number of nanoCAR T cells starting from the same conditions. On day 14, the number of *MGAT5* KO nanoCAR T

1215   similarly is about 1.70 times higher (95% CI: 1.33 to 2.18). All these estimates are averaged over the two

1216   E/T ratios and the three independent donors; *** $p < 0.001$, ** $0.001 < p < 0.01$, * $0.01 < p < 0.05$, ns p

1217   >0.05

1218

1219

Figure 2 | The impact of glyco-engineering on *in vivo* **CD70 nanoCAR functionality in the SKOV-3 solid tumor**

model. **A.** Schematic representation of the experimental timeline for the study of the *in vivo* efficacy of

MGAT5 KO CD70 nanoCAR T cells. **B.** Mean tumor burden measured by caliper. Tumor volume is calculated

as (tumor length x tumor width^2)/2. Group means are indicated with error bars representing the SEM.

Original values of tumor volume are shown, Y-axis is cube root transformed. Number of mice surviving in

each group are indicated above the datapoints and adapted at timepoints whenever a mouse was

sacrificed at humane endpoint. Timepoint of rechallenge is indicated with at dashed red line. **C.** Overview

of the response to primary tumor challenge in the different treatment groups for all three experiments

combined. **D.** Overview of the response to secondary tumor challenge in the different treatment groups

for all three experiments combined. **E and F.** Number of CAR T cells identified in the different treatment

conditions in peripheral blood (E) and spleen (F) at day 34/34/34 (Early), day 80/88/85 (Mid) and day

118/123/123 (Endpoint) in three independent experiments with cells derived from donors A/B/C. Data is

represented as concentration of CD3$^+$GFP$^+$ cells per ml of blood or per spleen. The number of CAR T cells

in the spleen is counted based on a gating strategy that includes both CD4$^+$ and CD8$^+$ T cells. Each data

point represents a single animal. The difference between groups was tested using a quasi-Poisson GLM at

each timepoint and for each donor, p-values are indicated within the respective plots.

1236

1237

Figure 3 | The impact of *MGAT 5* KO on *in vivo* CD70 nanoCAR functionality in the Raji lymphoma model. A. Schematic representation of the experimental timeline for the study of the *in vivo* efficacy of MGAT5 KO CD70 nanoCAR T cells. B Kaplan-Meier survival curves for CD70 nanoCAR treated mice. The number of surviving mice at the end of the experiment vs. the number of mice per group at the start of the experiment are indicated. Data from 4 independent donors (D, E, F, G) are shown. C. Bioluminescence imaging of tumor burden in all mice individually. IVIS counts are total whole-body counts measured as photons/sec. D. Flow cytometry-based analysis of CD70 nanoCAR T cells in blood. Number of CAR T cells identified in the different treatment conditions in peripheral blood at day 27/27/31/27 (Timepoint 1) and day 98/95/94/90 (Timepoint 2), in four independent experiments with cells derived from donors D/E/F/G. CAR T cells were detected as human CD3$^+$GFP$^+$ cells. Each data point represents a single animal. The number of CAR T cells present in the blood is indicated as cells/mL blood. The difference between groups was tested using a quasi-Poisson GLM at each timepoint and for each donor, p-values are indicated within the respective plots.

1251

Figure 4| The impact of glyco-engineering in *MGAT5* on *ex vivo* CD70 nanoCAR efficacy. **A.** Time line of the experiment. Data obtained for cells engineered from donor H (panel B-E) and donor I (panel F-I). **B and F.** SKOV-3 tumor burden measured by caliper. Tumor volume is calculated as (tumor length x tumor width2)/2. Group means are indicated with error bars representing the SEM. **C and G.** The number of CD70 nanoCAR T cells (represented as number of CD3$^+$GFP$^+$ cells) present in the blood is indicated as cells/mL blood. Each data point represents a single animal. Error bars represent the SEM. **D-E** and **H-I.** The impact of glyco-engineering in *MGAT5* on *ex vivo* CD70 nanoCAR cytotoxic potential. Blood was prepared from SKOV-3 tumor bearing mice 21 days after treatment with either WT or MGAT5 KO CD70 nanoCAR T cells. Cells prepared from 50µL blood (donor H) or 40µL blood (donor I) were put in co-culture with 20 000 THP-1 cells and THP-1 and CAR T cell counts were followed over a time period of 14 days. A second challenge with THP-1 cells was added at day 7.

1263

Figure 5| MGAT5 KO CD70 nanoCAR T cells require equal antigen density to degranulate and fully depend

on cytokine growth factors for their proliferation. A. Flow cytometry analysis of CD107a degranulation assay,

on cells from donor K. Untransduced T cells (NTC) and CD70 nanoCAR T cells with and without *MGAT5*

engineering were co-cultured in technical duplicate with different concentrations (3.125 ng/ mL up to 1.6

µg/ mL) of coated rhCD70 protein for 5 hours. CD107a⁺ cell staining was analyzed by flow cytometry gating

on CD3⁺ cells. Data shown is representative of three experiments performed with T cells obtained from

two independent donors. **B.** Cytokine independent growth assay performed on cells engineered and

cultured in the presence of IL-7 and IL-15 as described in the engineering protocol (from donor G). Left,

total T cell count of engineered T cells cultured in the presence IL-7 and IL-15 for an additional 14 days.

Right, total T cell count of engineered T cells cultured in the absence of supplemental cytokines.

Figure 6| Single cell RNA sequencing of tumor-infiltrating and circulating CAR T cells. A. Experiment outline,

performed on donor J. Dimensionality reduction and clustering of cells was driven by key biological

differences. **B.** Sample origin, **C.** lymphocyte type and **D.** cell cycle state, leading to the distinction of 7 cell

populations as depicted in panel **E. F.** Gene set enrichment analysis of Reactome pathways and **(G)**

significantly upregulated interferon alpha/beta signaling, compared to control CAR T cells. Genes not

included in differential expression analysis (expressed in fewer than 25% of cells or showing log2 fold

change < 0.1) were marked in grey **H-I.** Gene set enrichment analysis showed significant overlap between

our results (CD4 tumor, panel H; CD8 tumor, panel I) and previously published genes implicated in CAR T

cell exhaustion[42]. The running enrichment score is calculated by traversing our ranked list of genes,

increasing a running sum when a gene from the tested set is encountered and decreasing when a gene

outside the set is encountered. The enrichment score (dashed red line) is the maximum deviation of this

running sum from zero.

Supplementary information

1290

Supplementary Figure 1 | Characterization of the SKOV-3, THP-1 and Raji cell lines used as target cells. A.

CD70 antigen expression on the THP-1 and SKOV-3 target cell lines was evaluated by flow cytometry. Jurkat

cells were included as negative control. Non-transduced control (NTC) and CD70 nanoCAR expressing

human T cells were included to check for auto-antigen expression. **B.** CD70 antigen density on SKOV-3,

THP-1 and Raji target cell lines was evaluated by multispectral imaging flow cytometry. Antigen density is

represented as mean fluorescence intensity/ μm^2. Error bars represent the 95% confidence interval.

1297

1298

1299 **Supplementary Figure 2| A.** Genome localization of the gRNA target site (green) with indication of the PAM

1300 (blue) and the predicted Cas9 cut site (orange) **B.** Overview of the gRNA used in this study to generate a

1301 knockout in *MGAT5* **C.** PCR primers to amplify the CRISPR target site. The forward primers were used for

1302 Sanger sequencing. **D.** Sequencing data of NTC, Mock Cas9 and MGAT5 KO CD70 nanoCAR T cells. *MGAT5-*

1303 targeting sgRNA sequence with its PAM and Cut site are indicated in the sequence.

1304

1305

Supplementary Figure 3 | Time (in days) to relapse of the primary tumor (Experiment with donor B/C, not

for donor A, as no primary tumor relapse occurred in CAR T treated mice, see **Figure 2**.B). Time zero was

set as the time that the primary tumor was undetectable or partially controlled. Relapse event was defined

at the time at which the tumor starts growing again. As the onset of relapse, we take the first day that the

tumor volume reaches again > 4 mm^3 and only when tumor growth is also seen in 4 consecutive

measurement days following that day. **A.** Kaplan-Meier curve. This plot shows the probability of relapse-

free survival in the two groups. **B.** Risk and event table corresponding to the Kaplan-Meier plot. The table

shows the number of mice at risk and, between brackets, the cumulative number of relapses in each group

and at each time.

Primary Tumor (Donor A)

A

Parameter	estimate	std.error	statistic	p.value
(Intercept)	3.808	0.166	22.942	1.8E-116
ns(Day, df = 5)1	0.834	0.156	5.360	8.32E-08
ns(Day, df = 5)2	0.910	0.150	6.064	1.33E-09
ns(Day, df = 5)3	1.350	0.126	10.735	6.98E-27
ns(Day, df = 5)4	2.148	0.291	7.374	1.66E-13
ns(Day, df = 5)5	1.471	0.099	14.894	3.61E-50
GroupCD70 nanoCAR	0.159	0.252	0.631	0.52791
GroupCD70 nanoCAR - MGAT5 KO	-0.079	0.259	-0.305	0.760337
ns(Day, df = 5)1:GroupCD70 nanoCAR	-0.106	0.249	-0.426	0.670121
ns(Day, df = 5)2:GroupCD70 nanoCAR	-1.019	0.324	-3.147	0.001648
ns(Day, df = 5)3:GroupCD70 nanoCAR	-4.533	0.682	-6.643	3.08E-11
ns(Day, df = 5)4:GroupCD70 nanoCAR	-8.097	1.623	-4.990	6.04E-07
ns(Day, df = 5)5:GroupCD70 nanoCAR	-10.073	2.784	-3.619	0.000296
ns(Day, df = 5)1:GroupCD70 nanoCAR - MGAT5 KO	-0.006	0.264	-0.023	0.981813
ns(Day, df = 5)2:GroupCD70 nanoCAR - MGAT5 KO	-0.313	0.340	-0.922	0.356772
ns(Day, df = 5)3:GroupCD70 nanoCAR - MGAT5 KO	-6.696	0.805	-8.319	8.86E-17
ns(Day, df = 5)4:GroupCD70 nanoCAR - MGAT5 KO	-7.682	1.292	-5.944	2.78E-09
ns(Day, df = 5)5:GroupCD70 nanoCAR - MGAT5 KO	-8.299	2.116	-3.923	8.76E-05
Random intercept S.D. (ID:mouse)	0.483			

B

Contrast	Estimate	95% CI, lower	95% CI, upper	Adj. p-value
Ratio of CD70 nanoCAR over MGAT5 KO CD70 nanoCAR tumor sizes at day 0	1.534	0.363	6.482	0.756
Ratio of CD70 nanoCAR over MGAT5 KO CD70 nanoCAR tumor sizes at day 30	0.433	0.001	191.034	0.942

Secondary Tumor (Donor A)

C

Parameter	estimate	std.error	statistic	p.value
(Intercept)	3.084	0.427	7.230	4.82703E-13
ns(Day, df = 5)1	1.012	0.329	3.081	0.002061141
ns(Day, df = 5)2	1.654	0.358	4.623	3.77679E-06
ns(Day, df = 5)3	1.867	0.239	7.799	6.22111E-15
ns(Day, df = 5)4	3.319	0.582	5.701	1.19362E-08
ns(Day, df = 5)5	2.159	0.165	13.071	4.83752E-39
GroupCD70 nanoCAR	0.083	0.680	0.122	0.902898573
GroupCD70 nanoCAR - MGAT5 KO	-0.325	0.689	-0.472	0.636833056
ns(Day, df = 5)1:GroupCD70 nanoCAR	-0.145	0.525	-0.276	0.782746661
ns(Day, df = 5)2:GroupCD70 nanoCAR	-0.303	0.575	-0.528	0.597663013
ns(Day, df = 5)3:GroupCD70 nanoCAR	-0.245	0.387	-0.634	0.526288616
ns(Day, df = 5)4:GroupCD70 nanoCAR	-0.387	0.916	-0.423	0.672444085
ns(Day, df = 5)5:GroupCD70 nanoCAR	-0.333	0.267	-1.247	0.212296446
ns(Day, df = 5)1:GroupCD70 nanoCAR - MGAT5 KO	-1.764	0.668	-2.638	0.008336857
ns(Day, df = 5)2:GroupCD70 nanoCAR - MGAT5 KO	-1.736	0.729	-2.383	0.017191083
ns(Day, df = 5)3:GroupCD70 nanoCAR - MGAT5 KO	-1.702	0.524	-3.252	0.00114661
ns(Day, df = 5)4:GroupCD70 nanoCAR - MGAT5 KO	-2.296	0.978	-2.347	0.018904292
ns(Day, df = 5)5:GroupCD70 nanoCAR - MGAT5 KO	-1.516	0.351	-4.325	1.52666E-05
Random intercept S.D. (ID:mouse)	0.968			

D

Contrast	Estimate	95% CI, lower	95% CI, upper	Adj. p-value
Ratio of CD70 nanoCAR over MGAT5 KO CD70 nanoCAR tumor sizes at day 89	1.505	0.292	7.765	0.773
Ratio of CD70 nanoCAR over MGAT5 KO CD70 nanoCAR tumor sizes at day 115	6.382	1.473	27.656	0.011

1315

1316

Supplementary Figure 4| Longitudinal analysis of donor A. Using the longitudinal data of the primary and

secondary tumors in experiment with donor A, a Tweedie GLMM (generalized linear mixed model) with log

link was used to model the tumor volume of the primary tumor (A) and secondary tumor (C). Summary of

the model output, listing all parameter estimates for the model TumorVol ~ ns(Day, df = 5)*Group + (1 |

ID), ns = natural spline. The table gives parameters and standard errors on the natural log scale together

with test statistics and multiple testing adjusted p-values (null hypothesis: parameter equal to zero). **B and**

D. Inference for different research questions. In this table, the estimates and confidence intervals are

transformed back to the original scale so we can interpret them as the ratio of the mean tumor volume in

the WT over the MGAT5 KO group. In this context, the adjusted p-values also relate to a transformed null

hypothesis (i.e. estimate equals one). S.E.: Standard Error. CI: Confidence Interval.

Primary Tumor (Donor B)

A

Parameter	estimate	std.error	statistic	p.value
(Intercept)	2.378	0.331	7.175	7.25672E-13
ns(Day, df = 5)1	2.794	0.434	6.440	1.19106E-10
ns(Day, df = 5)2	4.278	0.629	6.805	1.00707E-11
ns(Day, df = 5)3	3.948	0.938	4.209	2.56362E-05
ns(Day, df = 5)4	9.983	2.165	4.611	4.01235E-06
ns(Day, df = 5)5	9.271	3.188	2.908	0.003633128
GroupCD70 nanoCAR	0.481	0.413	1.166	0.243768941
GroupCD70 nanoCAR - MGAT5 KO	0.489	0.492	0.994	0.320287544
ns(Day, df = 5)1:GroupCD70 nanoCAR	-0.876	0.553	-1.584	0.1132357
ns(Day, df = 5)2:GroupCD70 nanoCAR	-4.057	0.810	-5.012	5.39496E-07
ns(Day, df = 5)3:GroupCD70 nanoCAR	-5.450	1.191	-4.577	4.72805E-06
ns(Day, df = 5)4:GroupCD70 nanoCAR	-9.127	2.472	-3.692	0.000222535
ns(Day, df = 5)5:GroupCD70 nanoCAR	-11.206	3.385	-3.311	0.000929654
ns(Day, df = 5)1:GroupCD70 nanoCAR - MGAT5 KO	-0.734	0.699	-1.050	0.293717277
ns(Day, df = 5)2:GroupCD70 nanoCAR - MGAT5 KO	-7.225	1.669	-4.329	1.50113E-05
ns(Day, df = 5)3:GroupCD70 nanoCAR - MGAT5 KO	-66.313	28.873	-2.297	0.021632878
ns(Day, df = 5)4:GroupCD70 nanoCAR - MGAT5 KO	-37.410	12.369	-3.024	0.002491709
ns(Day, df = 5)5:GroupCD70 nanoCAR - MGAT5 KO	-15.796	3.720	-4.246	2.17593E-05
Random intercept S.D. (ID=mouse)	0.908			
Random slope S.D. (ID=mouse)	0.058			
Correlation random intercept and slope	-0.894			

B

Contrast	Estimate	95% CI, lower	95% CI, upper	Adj. p-value
Ratio of CD70 nanoCAR over MGAT5 KO CD70 nanoCAR tumor sizes at day 0	1.008	0.276	3.677	1
Ratio of CD70 nanoCAR over MGAT5 KO CD70 nanoCAR tumor sizes at day 86	621.771	3.74	103364.619	0.01

Secondary Tumor (Donor B)

C

Parameter	estimate	std.error	statistic	p.value
(Intercept)	2.426	1.027	2.361	0.018227304
ns(Day, df = 5)1	1.936	0.572	3.388	0.000705151
ns(Day, df = 5)2	3.324	0.606	5.489	4.05082E-08
ns(Day, df = 5)3	3.070	0.393	7.814	5.52988E-15
ns(Day, df = 5)4	5.330	1.118	4.766	1.88006E-06
ns(Day, df = 5)5	3.396	0.321	10.570	4.12013E-26
GroupCD70 nanoCAR	-2.890	1.514	-1.908	0.056357932
GroupCD70 nanoCAR - MGAT5 KO	-3.146	1.762	-1.786	0.074173484
ns(Day, df = 5)1:GroupCD70 nanoCAR	0.801	0.958	0.836	0.403415871
ns(Day, df = 5)2:GroupCD70 nanoCAR	-0.996	0.984	-1.012	0.311431398
ns(Day, df = 5)3:GroupCD70 nanoCAR	0.085	0.670	0.127	0.898969154
ns(Day, df = 5)4:GroupCD70 nanoCAR	-2.541	1.800	-1.411	0.158160596
ns(Day, df = 5)5:GroupCD70 nanoCAR	-0.130	0.608	-0.213	0.831247718
ns(Day, df = 5)1:GroupCD70 nanoCAR - MGAT5 KO	0.435	1.221	0.356	0.721512015
ns(Day, df = 5)2:GroupCD70 nanoCAR - MGAT5 KO	-1.562	1.428	-1.094	0.273864409
ns(Day, df = 5)3:GroupCD70 nanoCAR - MGAT5 KO	-1.837	0.995	-1.846	0.064885039
ns(Day, df = 5)4:GroupCD70 nanoCAR - MGAT5 KO	-2.148	2.393	-0.897	0.36948293
ns(Day, df = 5)5:GroupCD70 nanoCAR - MGAT5 KO	-2.509	0.790	-3.175	0.001500741
Random intercept S.D. (ID=mouse)	2.868			

D

Contrast	Estimate	95% CI, lower	95% CI, upper	Adj. p-value
Ratio of CD70 nanoCAR over MGAT5 KO CD70 nanoCAR tumor sizes at day 92	1.292	0.028	60.081	0.98
Ratio of CD70 nanoCAR over MGAT5 KO CD70 nanoCAR tumor sizes at day 119	4.412	0.171	113.781	0.46

1327

Supplementary Figure 5 | Longitudinal analysis of Donor B. Longitudinal analysis of the primary (A-B) and

secondary tumor (C-D). **A and C.** A Tweedie GLMM (generalized linear mixed model) with log link was used

to model the tumor volume. A. Summary of the model output, listing all parameter estimates for the model

TumorVol ~ ns(Day, df = 5)*Group + (Day | ID), ns = natural spline. The table gives parameters and standard

errors on the natural log scale together with test statistics and multiple testing adjusted p-values (null

hypothesis: parameter equal to zero). **B and D.** Inference for different research questions. In this table, the

estimates and confidence intervals are transformed back to the original scale so we can interpret them in

a straightforward way. Note: the estimate of 621.771 in panel B should be interpreted with caution, since

within this contrast we are comparing to a tumor volume reaching zero. S.E.: Standard Error. CI: Confidence

Interval.

Primary Tumor (Donor C)

A

Parameter	estimate	std.error	statistic	p.value
(Intercept)	3.605	0.381	9.452	3.32E-21
ns(Day, df = 5)1	1.296	0.368	3.521	0.00043
ns(Day, df = 5)2	2.396	0.391	6.127	8.95E-10
ns(Day, df = 5)3	3.008	0.290	10.379	3.1E-25
ns(Day, df = 5)4	5.081	0.674	7.540	4.71E-14
ns(Day, df = 5)5	4.198	0.243	17.288	5.78E-67
GroupCD70 nanoCAR	0.140	0.469	0.298	0.765351
GroupCD70 nanoCAR - MGAT5 KO	0.146	0.463	0.314	0.753514
ns(Day, df = 5)1:GroupCD70 nanoCAR	-0.294	0.455	-0.647	0.517655
ns(Day, df = 5)2:GroupCD70 nanoCAR	-0.849	0.487	-1.743	0.08127
ns(Day, df = 5)3:GroupCD70 nanoCAR	-1.237	0.362	-3.415	0.000637
ns(Day, df = 5)4:GroupCD70 nanoCAR	-0.855	0.820	-1.042	0.297185
ns(Day, df = 5)5:GroupCD70 nanoCAR	-1.233	0.286	-4.318	1.58E-05
ns(Day, df = 5)1:GroupCD70 nanoCAR - MGAT5 KO	-0.038	0.455	-0.084	0.932877
ns(Day, df = 5)2:GroupCD70 nanoCAR - MGAT5 KO	-2.329	0.504	-4.619	3.86E-06
ns(Day, df = 5)3:GroupCD70 nanoCAR - MGAT5 KO	-2.697	0.390	-6.909	4.89E-12
ns(Day, df = 5)4:GroupCD70 nanoCAR - MGAT5 KO	-2.689	0.817	-3.289	0.001005
ns(Day, df = 5)5:GroupCD70 nanoCAR - MGAT5 KO	-3.019	0.304	-9.940	2.8E-23
Random intercept S.D. (ID=mouse)	0.675			

B

Contrast	Estimate	95% CI, lower	95% CI, upper	Adj. p-value
Ratio of CD70 nanoCAR over MGAT5 KO CD70 nanoCAR tumor sizes at day7	0.994	0.432	2.291	1
Ratio of CD70 nanoCAR over MGAT5 KO CD70 nanoCAR tumor sizes at day 77	6.366	3.152	12.857	<0.001

Secondary Tumor (Donor C)

C

Parameter	estimate	std.error	statistic	p.value
(Intercept)	2.653	0.944	2.809	0.004965
ns(Day, df = 3)1	0.931	0.281	3.309	0.000936
ns(Day, df = 3)2	1.652	0.570	2.895	0.003791
ns(Day, df = 3)3	1.507	0.198	7.623	2.47E-14
GroupCD70 nanoCAR	-1.036	2.005	-0.517	0.60516
GroupCD70 nanoCAR - MGAT5 KO	-0.309	1.327	-0.233	0.81609
ns(Day, df = 3)1:GroupCD70 nanoCAR	-0.310	0.704	-0.440	0.659863
ns(Day, df = 3)2:GroupCD70 nanoCAR	0.062	1.359	0.045	0.963834
ns(Day, df = 3)3:GroupCD70 nanoCAR	-0.344	0.489	-0.704	0.481702
ns(Day, df = 3)1:GroupCD70 nanoCAR - MGAT5 KO	-0.141	0.427	-0.331	0.740974
ns(Day, df = 3)2:GroupCD70 nanoCAR - MGAT5 KO	-0.564	0.832	-0.677	0.49826
ns(Day, df = 3)3:GroupCD70 nanoCAR - MGAT5 KO	-0.404	0.305	-1.325	0.185164
Random intercept S.D. (ID=mouse)	2.787			

D

Contrast	Estimate	95% CI, lower	95% CI, upper	Adj. p-value
Ratio of CD70 nanoCAR vs MGAT5 KO CD70 nanoCAR tumor sizes at day 98	0.483	0.007	31.857	0.824
Ratio of CD70 nanoCAR vs MGAT5 KO CD70 nanoCAR tumor sizes at day 119	0.675	0.011	40.483	0.936

1338

1339

1340

1341

1342

Supplementary Figure 6 | Longitudinal analysis of Donor C. Longitudinal analysis of the primary (A-B) and

secondary tumor (C-D). A Tweedie GLMM (generalized linear mixed model) with log link was used to model

the tumor volume. **A and C**. Summary of the model output, listing all parameter estimates for the model

TumorVol ~ ns(Day, df = 5)*Group + (Day | ID), ns = natural spline. The table gives parameters and standard

errors on the natural log scale together with test statistics and multiple testing adjusted p-values (null

hypothesis: parameter equal to zero). **B and D**. Inference for different research questions. In this table, the

estimates and confidence intervals are transformed back to the original scale so we can interpret them in

a straightforward way. S.E.: Standard Error. CI: Confidence Interval.

1351

1352

Supplementary Figure 7| Single cell RNA sequencing of tumor-infiltrating and circulating CAR T cells. A. Both

CD4+ and CD8+ subpopulations of MGAT5 KO CD70 nanoCAR T cells infiltrating the tumor showed a higher

proportion of proliferating cells (G2M and S phase). **B.** Gene set enrichment analysis of Reactome pathways

for CD4+ and CD8+ MGAT5 KO CD70 nanoCAR T cells circulating in blood.

Supplementary Tables

Supplementary Table 1– List of differentially expressed genes in nanoCAR T cells from the 7 different

clusters

Attached as separate file

1361

1362 **Supplementary Table 2-** Output of the Gene set enrichment analysis of Reactome pathways from the 7

1363 different clusters

1364 Attached as separate file

1365

1366   **Supplementary Table 3** – Overview of the different donors used in this study

Donor	Experiment type in which T cells were used	
A	*in vivo* analysis tumor control efficacy SKOV-3 model	
B	*in vivo* analysis tumor control efficacy SKOV-3 model	
C	*in vivo* analysis tumor control efficacy SKOV-3 model	
D	*in vivo* analysis tumor control efficacy Raji model	
E	*in vivo* analysis tumor control efficacy Raji model	
F	*in vivo* analysis tumor control efficacy Raji model	
G	*in vivo* analysis tumor control efficacy Raji model	Cytokine-independent growth assay
H	analysis *ex vivo* tumor cell killing activity	
I	analysis *ex vivo* tumor cell killing activity	
J	scRNA seq experiment	
K	degranulation assay	

1367

1368   **Supplementary Table 4** – Overview of antibodies used in this study

Channel ID	Target	Clone	Fluorochrome	Dilution (x)	Company	Cat N°
B530	GFP					
B710	CD45	HI30	PerCP-Cy5.5	20	BD Biosciences	564105
V525	CD3	UCHT1	BV510	40	Biolegend	300447
V605	CD25	BC96	BV605	80	Biolegend	302631
R730	CD4	RPA-T4	AF700	40	BD Pharmingen	561030
R780	Viability		eFL780	1000	eBioscience	65-0865-18
Y586	Nanobody	96A3F5	iFluor555	200	Genscript	A01863
Y610	CD279 (PD-1)	EH12.2H7	PE/Dazzle594	80	Biolegend	329939
Y780	CD69	FN50	PE-Cy7	80	Biolegend	310911
UV379	CD62L	SK11	BUV395	10	BD Biosciences	565219
UV735	CD45RA	HI100	BUV737	80	BD Biosciences	612846
UV810	CD8	SK1	BUV805	40	BD Biosciences	612889
Y586	CD70	113-16	PE	10	Biolegend	355104
B710	CD70	113-16	PerCp-Cy5.5	80	Biolegend	355107
V710	IFNg	4S.B3	BV711	20	Biolegend	502539
Y586	IL-2	MQ1-17H12	PE	20	Biolegend	500306
UV379	TNFa	MAb11	BUV395	20	BD horizon	563996
UV379	CD107	H4A3	BUV395	20	BD Biosciences	565113

1369