1   **Streamlined regulation of chloroplast development in the liverwort *Marchantia***
2   ***polymorpha***
3
4

5   Nataliya E. Yelina[1,2,*], Eftychios Frangedakis[1,*,$], Zhemin Wang[3], Tina B. Schreier[1,4], Jenna
6   Rever[1], Marta Tomaselli[1], Edith Forestier[1], Kumari Billakurthi[1], Sibo Ren[3], Yahui Bai[3], Julia
7   Stewart-Wood[1], Jim Haseloff[1], Silin Zhong[3] and Julian M. Hibberd[1,$]
8
9

[1]Department of Plant Sciences, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, CB3 EA, UK
[2]Present address: Crop Science Centre, University of Cambridge, 93 Lawrence Weaver
Road, Cambridge CB3 0LE, UK
[3]The State Key Laboratory of Agrobiotechnology, School of Life Sciences, The Chinese
University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China
[4]Present address: Department of Biology, University of Oxford, South Parks Road, Oxford
OX1 3RB, UK
17
18
19
20   * equal contributions
21   $ correspondence:
22   Julian M. Hibberd jmh65@cam.ac.uk
23   Eftychios Frangedakis ef391@cam.ac.uk

37   **Keywords:** Chloroplast biogenesis, chlorophyll, Marchantia, transcription factors

Summary

Photosynthesis in eukaryotic cells takes place in chloroplasts that develop from undifferentiated plastids in response to light. In angiosperms, after perception of light de-repression allows Elongated Hypocotyl 5 (HY5) transcription factor to initiate photomorphogenesis, and two families of transcription factors known as Golden2-like (GLK) and GATA are considered master regulators of chloroplast development. The MIR171-targeted SCARECROW-LIKE (SCL) GRAS transcription factors also impact on chlorophyll biosynthesis. The extent to which these proteins carry out conserved roles in non-seed plants is not known. Here we report in the model liverwort *Marchantia polymorpha* that GLK controls chloroplast biogenesis and HY5 shows a small conditional effect on chlorophyll content. In contrast, GATA and SCL have no detectable roles in this fundamental process. ChIP-SEQ and RNA-SEQ revealed that MpGLK regulates many photosynthetic and chloroplast development-related genes, but also has a broader set of targets than previously reported in angiosperms. This implies GLK carries out a conserved role relating to chloroplast biogenesis in land plants but also supports extensive divergence between its targets in *M. polymorpha* and flowering plants. The data support the hypothesis that regulation of chloroplast biogenesis in *M. polymorpha* is streamlined compared with angiosperms and allows us to present a core regulatory network for chloroplast biogenesis in land plants.

Introduction

Photosynthesis sustains the majority of life on Earth and in eukaryotes is performed in organelles known as chloroplasts thought to have originated from an endosymbiotic event between a photosynthetic prokaryote and eukaryotic cell[1,2]. Chloroplasts develop in response to light from undifferentiated proplastids[3]. Key processes during chloroplast biogenesis include synthesis of chlorophyll, assembly of the thylakoid membranes and the photosynthetic apparatus and accumulation of enzymes of the Calvin Benson Bassham cycle in the chloroplast stroma[4]. Since the endosymbiotic event, the majority of genes controlling chloroplast biogenesis have transferred from plastid to nucleus and therefore proteins need to be post-translationally imported into the chloroplast[2,5]. Chloroplast biogenesis needs to be responsive to the external environment as well as the cell and so nuclear-encoded photosynthesis genes are regulated by light and hormones. Key intermediaries that allow the integration of these responses are transcription factors. Our understanding of transcription factors acting on photosynthesis and chloroplast biogenesis is based primarily on analysis of the model flowering plant *Arabidopsis thaliana* (**Figure 1A**). For example, Elongated Hypocotyl 5 (HY5), a bZIP transcription factor, acts antagonistically with Phytochrome Interacting Factors (PIFs) to activate light-regulated genes in the presence of light[6] allowing de-etiolation and chloroplast development[7–9]. Transcription factors belonging to the GARP and GATA families also play key roles in chloroplast biogenesis[3] and members of the SCARECROW-LIKE (SCL) GRAS family impact on chlorophyll accumulation[10]. Within the GARP superfamily, Golden2-like (GLK) are positive regulators of nuclear-encoded chloroplast and photosynthesis related genes[11–14]. In the GATA family, GATA Nitrate-inducible Carbon metabolism-involved (GNC) and Cytokinin-Responsive GATA Factor 1 (CGA1) induce genes involved in chlorophyll biosynthesis and suppress phytochrome interacting factors as well as brassinosteroid (BR) related genes to promote chloroplast biogenesis[15–18]. Moreover, GATA2 promotes photomorphogenesis by directly binding to light-responsive promoters[19] and in the absence of light the BR-activated transcription factor BRASSINAZOLE RESISTANT1 (BZR1) represses *GATA2* expression to inhibit photomorphogenesis. Notably, a recent study showed that in *A. thaliana GLK* and *GATAs* are direct targets of HY5[20]. Lastly, three *A. thaliana* miR171 targeted SCL transcription factors redundantly regulate chlorophyll biosynthesis by repressing expression of *PROTOCHLOROPHYLLIDE OXIDOREDUCTASE C[10]*.

Land plants evolved from aquatic green algae and approximately 500 million years ago (MYA) diverged into two major monophyletic clades comprising vascular plants (angiosperms, gymnosperms, ferns and lycophytes) and bryophytes (hornworts, liverworts and mosses) (**Figure 1B**)[21–23]. Bryophytes are of particular importance to infer more accurately the ancestral state of a trait. Whilst earlier evolutionary hypotheses proposed they

represent a collection of paraphyletic lineages[24] it is now thought that liverworts and mosses
form a monophyletic group that split from hornworts approximately 400-500 MYA[21,23,25]. This
revised phylogeny supports the notion that traits found in the common ancestor of land
plants could have diversified not only within the vascular plant clade but also in the three
deeply divergent bryophytes groups. Thus, studying representative species from more than
one bryophyte clade provides insight into the likely ancestral state. Despite our detailed
knowledge of chloroplast biogenesis in angiosperms, with the exception of GLK function in
the moss *Physcomitrium patens*[26] our understanding of how chloroplast biogenesis is
controlled and evolved remains unclear. *M. polymorpha* has a small and well-annotated
genome, key steps in its development are easily accessible for observation, and an
extensive set of genetic manipulation tools are available[27,28]. We therefore selected the
model liverwort *Marchantia polymorpha* to investigate processes underpinning the evolution
of chloroplast biogenesis.
We first performed phylogenetic analysis to identify the *M. polymorpha* homologs of HY5,
GLK, GATAs and the SCL-MIR171, and generated knock-out mutants to test whether each
component impacts on chloroplast biogenesis in *M. polymorpha*. We found that only HY5
and GLK are important for this process in *M. polymorpha,* but Mp*GATA* and Mp*SCL* are not.
When *GLK* was over-expressed in *M. polymorpha* the abundance of transcripts derived from
genes associated with chlorophyll biogenesis and Photosystems I and II were impacted and
ChIP-SEQ confirmed that GLK can bind promoters of those genes. Intriguingly, many
MpGLK targets are distinct from those documented in flowering plants. We conclude GLK
function is conserved between *M. polymorpha* and angiosperms but that other regulators
such as *GNC/CGA1, GATA2* and *SCL* have no detectable impact on chloroplast
development. Thus, in comparison to angiosperms, the pathway controlling chloroplast
biogenesis in *M. polymorpha* is more streamlined.

Results

***Identification of M. polymorpha* homologs of HY5, GLK, *GATA* and *SCL* from angiosperms**

While a HY5 homolog has previously been identified in *M. polymorpha*[29], there is currently no systematic analysis of GATA, GLK and SCL in this context. We therefore used phylogenetic analysis to search for *GATA* (*GNC, CGA1* and *GATA2*), *SCL* and *GLK* orthologs in *M. polymorpha*. To do so we examined twenty-one representative species from the seven main groups of land plants as well as four green algae for which high quality genome assemblies are available (**Figure 1B, Figure S1A**).

GNC, *CGA1* and *GATA2* belong to the GATA superfamily of transcription factors that comprise a family of zinc finger proteins present in all eukaryotes[30,31] (**Figure 2A and Figure S1B&C**). *M. polymorpha* has a total of six *GATA* genes, among which we identified *Mp7g03490* (annotated as Mp*GATA4*) and *Mp1g03950* (annotated as Mp*GATA2*) as single orthologs of *GNC/CGA1* and *GATA2* respectively (**Figure 1B, Figure S1B, S1C, S2 S3A, and Dataset S1**). *SCL* is a member of the GRAS family of transcription factors which have a conserved C-terminal domain (**Figure 1B and Figure 2A**). *A. thaliana* At*SCL6, AtSCL22* and *AtSCL27* redundantly control chlorophyll biosynthesis and are regulated by MIR171. The *M. polymorpha* genome encodes eleven GRAS transcription factors. *Mp8g03980* has been annotated as Mp*GRAS10* and is located in a sister clade to *A. thaliana* At*SCL6*, At*SCL22* and At*SCL27*[32]. Based on conservation of its miR171-mediated regulation we refer to *Mp8g03980* as Mp*SCL*. Lastly, *GLK* genes belong to the GARP (GOLDEN2-LIKE, ARR-B, Psr1) family of transcription factors[33] (**Figure 1B, 2A, Figure S1D and S3B**)[13]. Our phylogenetic analysis identified *Mp7g*09740 (annotated as Mp*GARP8*) as a single ortholog of *GLK* due to presence of the characteristic C-terminal GOLDEN2 C-terminal (GCT) box[13] (**Figure S1D,E and Dataset S1**). In summary, our analysis indicates that *M. polymorpha* contains single orthologs of *GNC/CGA1*, *GATA2*, *SCL* and *GLK* that we hereafter refer to as Mp*GATA4*, Mp*GATA2*, Mp*SCL* and Mp*GLK* respectively.

Knock-out mutant analysis of *HY5, GLK, GATA* and *SCL*

To test whether Mp*GATA4*, Mp*GATA2*, the Mp*SCL-MIR171* module, Mp*HY5* and Mp*GLK* orthologs control chloroplast biogenesis in *M. polymorpha* we used CRISPR/Cas9 editing to generate knock-out mutant alleles for each (**Figure 2A**). Plants transformed with the same CRISPR/Cas9 containing vector but without a guide RNA (gRNA) sequence were used as 'no guide RNA' controls. Each mutant line was clonally propagated through one gemmae generation to obtain isogenic plants, and for each targeted gene three independent lines were selected for analysis. In all protein coding genes, mutations led to premature stop

codons (**Figure S4**) and Mp*mir171* mutants had a 139 bp deletion and 1 bp insertion
resulting in a deletion of the entire Mp*MIR171* gene (**Figure S4D**).

In Mp*gata4* mutants, gametophyte morphology was perturbed with narrower thallus lobes
(**Figure 2B, C**). Compared with controls, chlorophyll content was ~10% higher in one of the
three lines examined (**Figure 2J**), a response opposite to the expected reduction if *GATA4*
plays a conserved role. We also analysed chloroplast morphology via confocal laser
scanning microscopy (**Figure 2I**). In Mp*gata4* mutants, chloroplast size in cells of the central
part of gemmae (excluding the rhizoid precursors) was similar to that of controls (**Figure 2C,**
R). Mp*gata2* mutants did not show any morphological or developmental phenotypes and had
similar chlorophyll levels compared with 'no guide RNA' controls (**Figure 2B, D,**
K). Chloroplast size in Mp*gata2* mutants were also not perturbed (**Figure 2D, R**). Mp*scl*
mutants showed altered morphology with thalli being 'stunted' with inward curling edges
(**Figure 2B, E**). Despite chlorophyll levels being not statistically different from controls
(**Figure 2L**) in two of the three Mp*scl* mutant lines we observed an increase in the size of the
chloroplasts, which was statistically significant in some cases (**Figure 2E, R**). In contrast,
Mp*mir171* mutants were indistinguishable from controls (**Figure 2B, F, M, R**). Mp*hy5*
gametophyte morphology was also perturbed with thallus lobes being more erect (**Figure**
2G). Compared with controls, at 22 ^{o}C, chloroplast size was ~15% smaller in two of the three
Mp*hy5* lines (**Figure 2G, R**). In *A. thaliana hy5* mutants show a conditional phenotype with
greater impact at lower temperature[34]. To test whether HY5 also has a conditional
phenotype in *M. polymorpha* we grew plants at 22 ^{o}C, 17 ^{o}C or 12 ^{o}C. At 22 ^{o}C and 17 ^{o}C
mutants and controls had similar chlorophyll content (**Figure 2G, N, O**), but at 12 ^{o}C a small
but statistically significant reduction in chlorophyll was evident (**Figure 2G, P**). Several
sesquiterpenes were more abundant in Mp*hy5* compared with controls (**Dataset S2**). We
conclude that *hy5* mutants from *M. polymorpha* and *A. thaliana* have similar temperature
dependent phenotype relating to chloroplast biogenesis*,* but in *M. polymorpha* the protein
also appears to impact on terpenoid accumulation, a role distinct from that previously
reported for flavonoid biosynthesis[29].

Mp*glk* mutants had an obvious pale green phenotype (**Figure 2B, H**). Mutations that
either caused a deletion of the GARP DNA-binding domain or introduced a premature stop
codon immediately upstream of it, resulted in a more severe phenotype (we refer to these as
'strong' alleles) than mutations in the 5´ end of the Mp*GLK* gene ('weak' alleles) (**Figure**
S5A-F). In the 'strong' Mp*glk* mutant alleles chlorophyll content was reduced by ~90%
compared with controls and chloroplasts were smaller (**Figure 2H, Q, R**). Mp*glk* mutants
also showed morphological changes with narrower thallus lobes and upward curling lobe
edges (**Figure 2H**). However, they were still able to grow and produce gemmae and
reproductive organs (**Figure S5G**) without supplemental carbon. Consistent with confocal

laser scanning microscopy, electron microscopy confirmed Mp*glk* mutants had smaller

chloroplasts compared with controls and ultrastructure was perturbed (**Figure 3A, B**).

Specifically, mutant chloroplasts had fewer thylakoid membranes with reduced granal

stacking (**Figure 3A, B and Figure S5H**). Treatment with di-chlorophenyl di-methyl urea

(DCMU) which inhibits the photosynthetic electron transport chain[35] caused a substantial

reduction in the chlorophyll fluorescence parameter F_v/F_m in mutants indicating that their

photosynthetic apparatus was functional (**Figure 3C, D**). This is consistent with the ability of

'strong' Mp*glk* alleles to grow under standard conditions without a carbon supplement.

In summary, the absence of functional Mp*GATA4* and Mp*GATA2* genes did not appear to

affect chlorophyll biosynthesis or chloroplast biogenesis in *M. polymorpha*. As *GNC/CGA1*

mutants of *A. thaliana* contain reduced chlorophyll[36] our data argue against functional

conservation between *A. thaliana* GNC/CGA1 and Mp*GATA4* in regulating chloroplast

biogenesis. Similarly, we found a lack of conservation between the function of At*GATA2* and

Mp*GATA2*. Moreover, despite conservation of a MIR171 target site in the Mp*SCL* mRNA,

MpSCL does not appear to control chlorophyll content. Again, this is in contrast with *A.*

thaliana where triple At*scl6,scl22,scl27* mutants showed increased chlorophyll, and

At*MIR171* mimic lines, which are functional equivalents of At*mir171* knock-outs, had reduced

chlorophyll[10,37]. Although chloroplast sizes were unaltered in Mp*gata2*, Mp*gata4* or Mp*mir171,*

we found that two of the three Mp*scl* lines assessed had larger chloroplasts. In contrast, as

in *A. thaliana*, Mp*hy5* mutants showed a conditional effect with lower chlorophyll at low

temperature, and Mp*glk* mutants accumulated less chlorophyll and had smaller chloroplasts

than wild type. Collectively our results imply a strongly conserved function for GLK in

chloroplast biogenesis in *M. polymorpha* and suggest that the conditional role of HY5 may

be also conserved.

Limited interplay between GATA4, GATA2, SCL, HY5 and GLK in *M. polymorpha*

It is possible that redundancy or compensatory responses mask effects on chloroplast

biogenesis in loss of function alleles for MpGATA4, MpGATA2, MpSCL and MpHY5. To test

this, we generated Mp*glk,gata4*, Mp*glk,gata2,* Mp*glk,scl* and Mp*glk,hy5* double mutants

(**Figure 4A-E and Figure S5I**). None were noticeably paler than the single Mp*glk* mutant

(**Figure 4A-E**) and chlorophyll content was similar (**Figure 4F**). In Mp*glk,gata4,* Mp*glk,scl*

and Mp*glk,hy5* double mutants, thallus morphology was perturbed in a similar manner to that

seen in single Mp*gata4,* Mp*scl* and Mp*hy5* mutants. Chloroplast size in double Mp*glk,gata4*

mutants was also comparable to single Mp*glk* mutants (**Figure 4G**). Moreover, over-

expression of MpGATA4 in Mp*glk* did not rescue the pale Mp*glk* phenotype (**Figure 4H and**

S5J). In *A. thaliana*, HY5 regulates *GLK* and *GNC/CGA1* [38] and so we asked if this was also

the case for the homologs in *M. polymorpha*. We therefore subjected 6-day-old Mp*hy5* and

control gemmalings to 48 hours of darkness, followed by exposure to 1.5, 3 and 6 hours of
light. However, Mp*GLK* and Mp*GATA4* expression was comparable in Mp*hy5* and controls,
providing no evidence for Mp*GLK* and Mp*GATA4* being targeted by MpHY5 (**Figure 4I**). In
summary, the data provide no evidence for functional redundancy between Mp*GATA4*,
Mp*GATA2,* Mp*SCL*, Mp*HY5* and Mp*GLK.*
234
Mp*GLK* is sufficient to activate chloroplast biogenesis
To determine whether homologs of Mp*GATA4,* Mp*GATA2,* Mp*SCL* and Mp*GLK* are
sufficient to activate chloroplast biogenesis we generated over-expression lines driven by the
strong constitutive ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme E2 gene promoter (Mp*UBE2*). To facilitate
analysis GFP was used to mark the plasma membrane (**Figure 5A**). No differences in
chlorophyll or thallus morphology between plants with and without the plasma membrane
marker were evident (**Figure S5K**). qPCR confirmed that each transgene was over-
expressed (**Figure S5L**). No differences in chlorophyll content or chloroplast size were
detected between controls and over-expressing lines of Mp*GATA4,* Mp*GATA2* or Mp*SCL*
(**Figure 5B-F, I, J**). Since in *A. thaliana, GATA2* over-expression led to constitutive
photomorphogenesis [19] we placed gemmae over-expressing Mp*GATA2* in the dark
alongside controls and examined if there were any differences in growth after a week. Over-
expressors and controls were indistinguishable (gemmae did not germinate) (**Figure S6A**).
However, plants in which Mp*GLK* was over-expressed were darker green and displayed
stunted growth (**Figure 5G**). In fact, lines over-expressing Mp*GLK* contained up to ~4 times
higher chlorophyll content, and chloroplast size was significantly increased (**Figure 5I, J**).
Interestingly, we observed an increase in chloroplast size in normally non-photosynthetic
rhizoid precursor and oil body cells compared with controls (**Figure 5H**). Moreover, the
3'UTR of Mp*GLK* reduced greening that was potentiated by its over-expression (**Figure
S6B-H**). We conclude that only Mp*GLK* is sufficient to activate chloroplast biogenesis.
255
GLK in *M. polymorpha* regulates thylakoid associated photosynthetic components
and chlorophyll biosynthesis
Although there were limited effects of knocking out *HY5*, *GATA4* and *SCL* on chloroplast
biogenesis in *M. polymorpha* we undertook RNA sequencing (RNA-SEQ) on these lines
along with those we generated for Mp*GLK*. Knocking out Mp*SCL*, Mp*GATA4* and Mp*HY5*
had a moderate effect with 243, 332 and 160 genes being downregulated respectively (*padj*-
value =<0.01, LFC ≥ 1-fold) (**Figure S7A-C, Dataset S3**). In loss of function mutants for
Mp*GLK,* 1065 genes showed a reduction in transcript abundance compared with controls
(*padj*-value ≤0.01, LFC ≥ 1-fold) (**Figure S6D, Dataset S3**). Changes to transcript
abundance that were common between genotypes were limited with the largest overlap (85

genes) being detected for Mp*glk* and Mp*gata4* mutants (**Figure 6A**). In all three genotypes
Gene Ontology[39] analysis showed that oxidative stress as well as hydrogen peroxide
catabolic processes were most impacted (**Figure 6B**). However, in Mp*glk* photosynthesis
and chlorophyll biosynthesis process were also affected (**Figure 6B**) and analysis of the
effects of loss of Mp*SCL,* Mp*GATA4,* Mp*HY5* and Mp*GLK* function on chlorophyll
biosynthesis and canonical photosynthesis genes confirmed the greatest effect in Mp*glk*. For
example, in Mp*scl* only one chlorophyll biosynthesis gene, *CAO*, was upregulated
(Log2FC~0.58). In Mp*hy5* and Mp*gata4* mutants, there was a moderate reduction in
transcript abundance (Log2FC~-0.3-0.7) of thirteen and five chlorophyll biosynthesis genes
respectively (**Figure 6C**). In contrast, Mp*GLK* mis-expression affected seventeen of the
nineteen chlorophyll biosynthesis genes.

We assessed the effects of Mp*scl*, Mp*gata4,* Mp*hy5* and Mp*glk* on the 68 annotated
genes belonging to the light harvesting complexes A and B (LHCA and LHCB), components
of photosystem I and II (PSI and PSII) and the cytochrome b_6f complex. Mp*SCL* loss led to
the upregulation of only two genes (Log2FC~-0.37-0.55). Mp*HY5* or Mp*GATA4* loss resulted
in a moderate reduction in transcript levels (Log2FC~-0.4-0.7) of six and thirty genes
respectively. The only exceptions were three and four *LHCB* genes where greater reductions
(Log2FC>-1) were apparent for the Mp*gata4* and Mp*hy5* mutants respectively (**Figure 6D**
and Figure S7F). Consistent with the effects on chlorophyll described above, the Mp*glk*
mutant had the greatest effect on transcript abundance (**Figure 6D,E and Figure S7G**) with
thirty-three of the sixty-eight genes being downregulated (Log2FC>=-1). HY5 is known to
regulate cell elongation and proliferation, pigment accumulation as well as nutrient uptake[6].
When we examined whether homologs of genes known to control such processes in *A.*
thaliana are mis-regulated in Mp*hy5* mutants, we only observed upregulation of a sulphate
transporter (**Figure S7F**), a response opposite to that observed in *A. thaliana*. In conclusion,
our RNA-SEQ analysis indicated that compared with MpGLK, MpSCL, MpHY5 and
MpGATA4 have limited ability to control expression of photosynthesis genes, and their
impact does not appear sufficiently extensive to impact on chloroplast biogenesis.

Distinct and divergent binding patterns of MpGLK compared with flowering plants
To identify direct targets of MpGLK we performed ChIP-SEQ using transgenic *M.*
polymorpha expressing MpGLK fused to a hemagglutinin (HA) tag (**Figure 7A**). Applying the
data analysis pipeline utilised previously for five flowering plants (*A. thaliana*, tomato, rice,
maize and tobacco)[40] we detected a total of 2,654 reproducible peaks representing binding
by MpGLK (IDR <0.01). Motif enrichment analysis of the summit regions of ChIP-SEQ peaks
indicated MpGLK binds a RGATTYY sequence motif, analogous to its orthologs in flowering
plants (**Figure 7B**). We next associated these peaks with 1.5 kb upstream regions of

annotated genes and found 1,326 MpGLK targets (**Dataset S4**). We also performed assay

for transposase-accessible chromatin with sequencing (ATAC-SEQ) to identify accessible

chromatin regions and carried out ChIP-SEQ for H3K4me3, a histone mark often associated

with active genes near their transcription start site. MpGLK ChIP signals overlapped with that

from ATAC-SEQ (**Figure 7A, D**) suggesting that MpGLK binds to open chromatin. Binding

sites were also found upstream of the +1 nucleosome often marked by H3K4me3, a pattern

consistent with the observation for *A. thaliana* GLK (**Figure 7A, C**).

Comparing ChIP-SEQ data with our gene expression data for the Mp*glk* mutants we

found that 40.4% (536/1326) of GLK target genes were down-regulated in the Mp*glk* mutant

(**Figure 7E**), and 35% (471/1326) were up-regulated in Mp*GLK* over-expression lines. Of

those affected in Mp*glk* mutants and Mp*GLK* over-expression lines, 269 genes overlapped.

As has been reported for *A. thaliana* and tomato, the average binding strength of MpGLK

inferred from the ChIP-SEQ signal fold-change at the peak summit was higher in

differentially expressed genes (DEGs) compared with non-DEGs (**Figure 7F**). Strikingly,

more MpGLK target genes showed differential expression in Mp*glk* mutants compared with

their counterparts in flowering plants. For example, only 21% (202/960) of AtGLK1/2 targets

were differentially expressed in the At*glk1,glk2* double mutant. This is consistent with a more

pronounced chlorophyll loss in Mp*glk* mutants described here compared with that for

At*glk1,glk2* mutant alleles[11]. In summary, our findings suggest a conserved role for GLK

throughout the evolution of land plants. It is possible of course that transcriptional networks

in flowering plants have accumulated changes resulting in increased redundancy and

robustness.

The dynamic nature of *cis*-regulatory elements in plant genomes can give rise to

divergence in transcription factor binding and thus evolution of gene regulatory networks. For

example, when GLK binding across flowering plants was compared only 10-20% of target

genes were conserved between *A. thaliana,* tomato, tobacco, rice and maize. To investigate

this for MpGLK, we classified protein-coding genes in *M. polymorpha* and the

aforementioned five species into ortholog groups[41]. Only 53 GLK target genes in 48 ortholog

groups were found to be conserved and they were mainly involved in photosynthesis

(**Dataset S4**). For example, 23 genes encoding CHLOROPHYLL A/B BINDING PROTEIN

were bound by MpGLK. Additionally, GLK bound CONSTANS and B-BOX transcription

factor genes in all six species (**Figure 7G**) suggesting that they play an ancient regulatory

role in circadian rhythm and photomorphogenesis. However, it is important to highlight that

most MpGLK targets were not conserved. For instance, *1-deoxy-D-xylulose-5-phosphate*

reductoisomerase and *Pyruvate,orthophosphate (Pi) dikinase* were bound by GLK in *M.*

polymorpha, but their orthologs in *A. thaliana* were not bound by AtGLK1/2 (**Figure 7A**

and C). Notably, even some MpGLK targets encoding chloroplast-localised proteins did not

exhibit conservation between these species (**Figure 7H**). Our findings therefore provide
strong support for the hypothesis that whilst GLK has maintained an important role in
chloroplast biogenesis in land plants, the transcriptional network in which it operates has
diverged significantly during the ~400-500 MYA since the split between bryophyte and
flowering plants.

Discussion

Loss of MpGATAs, MpHY5 or MpSCL has a minimal impact on chloroplast biogenesis

The monophyletic bryophyte group diverged from vascular plants approximately 400-500 MYA[21,23,25]. Since then, vascular plants and particularly angiosperms have undergone major morphological and physiological changes including elaborations in chloroplast biogenesis and photosynthesis. Very little is known about the evolution of the underlying genetic networks. We used *M. polymorpha* to test the extent to which regulators of chloroplast biogenesis defined in angiosperms are functionally conserved, and to shed light on the likely ancestral state.

Our analysis indicated that chlorophyll accumulation was not detectably perturbed in mutant alleles of Mp*gata4 or* Mp*gata2*. This contrasts with a ~30-40% decrease in chlorophyll in *A. thaliana gnc/cga1* mutants[36], and compromised photomorphogenesis when At*GATA2* expression was suppressed[19]. In *A. thaliana GNC* over-expression increased chlorophyll tenfold in seedlings and ~30% in the leaf[15], and *GATA2* over-expression led to constitutive photomorphogenesis[19]. The simplest and most parsimonious explanation for the lack of impact of loss of Mp*GATA4* or Mp*GATA2* function or over-expression on chlorophyll content in bryophytes such as *M. polymorpha* is that in the ancestral state these proteins do not play a role in chloroplast biogenesis. It is also possible that other proteins compensate and so redundancy in the gene regulatory networks masks loss of function. However, Mp*glk,gata4* and Mp*glk,gata2* double mutants had similar chlorophyll levels as Mp*glk* single mutants, implying lack of redundancy. It is also possible that GATA transcription factors regulate chloroplast biogenesis in some but not all bryophytes. This is perhaps supported by analysis of *P. patens* where two over-expression lines for Pp*GATA1*, one of the two *GNC/CGA1* orthologs in this species, showed a ~10-20% increase in chlorophyll content[42]. A similar effect was reported when Pp*GATA1* was mis-expressed in *A. thaliana*[42]. However, these increases in chlorophyll content induced by Pp*GATA1* are modest compared with those reported in *A. thaliana* when *GNC* over-expression increased chlorophyll tenfold in seedlings and ~30% in the leaf[15]. Moreover, while *GNC/CGA1* over-expression in *A. thaliana* led to an increase in chloroplast number per cell, this was not reported in *P. patens*[42]. The role of *PpGATA* orthologs (including both Pp*GATA1* and Pp*GATA2*), therefore needs additional analysis to confirm or refute their role in chloroplast biogenesis. A recent study in *M. polymorpha* proposed a role in response to high-light stress[43] for MpGATA4 with mutants showing mis-regulation of genes encoding EARLY LIGHT INDUCED PROTEINs (ELIPs). Our transcriptome analysis of Mp*gata4* mutants revealed that a single *ELIP* (Mp*ELIP-11*) was moderately downregulated (Log2FC=-0.678) alongside a small number of genes encoding components of the light harvesting complexes and photosystems. We also noted

that editing Mp*GATA4* affected gametophyte morphology such that thalli of Mp*gata4* mutants

had narrower lobes. This contrasts with *A. thaliana* where to our knowledge there have been

no reports of perturbations to leaf morphology in *gnc/cga1* mutants. It is therefore possible

that the role of this protein has been repurposed from one in affecting development of

photosynthetic tissue and response to high light in *M. polymorpha*, to one in regulating

chloroplast biogenesis in *A. thaliana*.

Similarly, neither Mp*scl* or Mp*mir171* mutants exhibited any detectable alterations in

chlorophyll accumulation as would be expected if their functions were conserved between

bryophytes and *A. thaliana*. For example, At*scl6* At*scl22* At*scl27* triple mutants and *MIR171*

over-expressors lead to increased chlorophyll accumulation in *A. thaliana*[44]. As no other

members of the *SCL* gene family in *M. polymorpha* contain a MIR171 recognition site, this

argues against Mp*MIR171* playing a role in the regulation of chlorophyll accumulation. It is

possible that the *M. polymorpha* homolog of At*SCL* does regulate chlorophyll biosynthesis

but without the additional control derived from MIR171 found in *A. thaliana*. SCL6, SCL22

and SCL27 also regulate proliferation of meristematic cells[45–47]. However, if this were the

case over-expression Mp*SCL* should decrease chlorophyll content as seen in *A. thaliana*[10].

However, our Mp*SCL* over-expression lines appeared similar to controls. A similar role in *M.*

polymorpha could explain the perturbed thallus morphology with inward curling edges of

Mp*scl* mutants. Thus, in addition to GATA2 and GATA4 discussed above, it is also possible

that an ancestral role of SCL relates to development of photosynthetic tissue rather than

chloroplast biogenesis *per se*. For SCL6, SCL22 and SCL27 this function seems to have

been retained in *A. thaliana*, and a role in repressing chlorophyll synthesis acquired.

HY5 in *M. polymorpha* appears to play a conditional role in chloroplast biogenesis as

has been reported in *A. thaliana*. Chlorophyll levels were comparable to controls when plants

were grown at 22 or 17 $^{\circ}$C. However, at 12 $^{\circ}$C a small but statistically significant reduction in

chlorophyll content was apparent in Mp*hy5*.

A major and conserved role for GLK in chloroplast biogenesis in *M. polymorpha*

Unlike *GATAs* and the *MIR171-SCL* module, three lines of evidence indicate that

GLK function is conserved in *M. polymorpha*. Firstly, Mp*glk* mutants have reduced

chlorophyll accumulation and smaller chloroplasts with underdeveloped thylakoids. These

perturbations to phenotype have been observed in other land plants[12–14,26,48]. Secondly,

constitutive over-expression of Mp*GLK* resulted in increased chlorophyll accumulation,

increased chloroplast size and ectopic chloroplast development similar to reports in

angiosperms[36,49–52]. Thirdly, our transcriptome analysis of Mp*GLK* over-expression and

mutant lines revealed an overlap between *GLK* dependent genes in *M. polymorpha* and *A.*

thaliana but also rice, tobacco, tomato and maize[11,40]. ChIP-SEQ confirmed that most are

photosynthesis-related genes directly bound by GLK such as the *LHCA*, *LHCB*, *PsbQ* and
genes encoding chlorophyll biosynthesis enzymes. However, we also uncovered major
divergence in GLK binding patterns associated with land plant evolution, as less than 5% of
MpGLK target genes are conserved in flowering plants. Finally, and in contrast to previous
reports, our phylogenetic analysis identified *GLK* orthologs in two Zygnematophyceae green
algae for which genome assemblies became recently available[53]. Taken together our results
suggest that the GLK function is ancestral to all land plants and appears to have evolved
before the transition of plants to terrestrial ecosystems.

In summary, our results suggest that the regulation of photosynthesis gene
expression is more streamlined in *M. polymorpha* **(Figure 7I)**. It is possible that the low
levels of genetic redundancy in regulators of photosynthesis in this species are associated
with low rates of photosynthesis and limited specialisation within the thallus. Angiosperms on
the other hand have a more complex development and morphology allowing colonisation of
a broad range of environments. For example, leaves with specialised tissues allow high
rates of photosynthesis. As a result, greater control over photosynthesis may have become
necessary compared with bryophytes and could have been mediated by elaborations and
specialisations to pre-existing pathways present in the common ancestor of bryophytes and
vascular plants. The simplified genetic network in *M. polymorpha* either represents the
ancestral state, or a simplified version due to secondary loss. Such secondary loss of traits
has been reported for *M. polymorpha* stomata and the genetic network underpinning their
development[54,55]. To elucidate the ancestral function of GLK further detailed genetic studies
in other non-seed plants will be necessary. Furthermore, our finding of GLK homologs in the
green algal lineage Zygnematophyceae, provides an exciting opportunity to test whether its
role in photosynthesis predates the colonisation of land.

Materials & Methods

Phylogenetic analysis

To identify GATA B-Class and A-Class genes, three different approaches were combined: Firstly, the GATA protein sequences for 21 plant genomes were mined from the iTAK[56] and PlantTFDB databases[57], Phytozome, Fernbase, Phycozome and PhytoPlaza. Sequences for each individual species were aligned with the AtGNC and AtCGA1 amino acid sequences using MAFFT[58]. Results were filtered manually to identify GNC/CGA1 (B-Class) orthologs distinguished from other GATA family genes by the presence of conserved serine (S) residue, a conserved IRX(R/K)K motif (I: Isoleucine, R: Arginine, X: any amino acid and K: Lysine), and the presence or absence of conserved LLM- (leucine– leucine– methionine) domain at their C-terminus[31]. GATA2 (A-Class) orthologs, were distinguished by the presence of a conserved glutamine (Q) and a threonine (T) within the zinc finger motif. Secondly, we performed BLASTP searches against plant genomes on Phytozome v13, fern genomes (fernbase.org)[59], hornwort genome (www.hornworts.uzh.ch)[21], green algae genomes on PhycoCosm (phycocosm.jgi.doe.gov), and 1KP using the AtGNC/CGA1 amino acid sequence as a query. Results were filtered manually as described above. Finally, the combined results from the above to approaches were checked against Orthofinder searches[60]. The identified GATA protein sequences were aligned using MAFFT. Alignments were then trimmed using TrimAl[61]. A maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree was inferred using iQTree[62], ModelFinder[63] and ultrafast approximation for phylogenetic bootstrap[64] and SH-aLRT test[65]. The tree was visualised using iTOL[66].

To identify *GLK* genes, three different approaches were combined: Firstly, the G2-GARP protein sequences for 21 plant genomes were mined from the iTAK and PlantTFDB databases, Phytozome, Fernbase, Phycozome and PhytoPlaza. Sequences for each individual species were aligned with the AtGLK1/2 amino acid sequences using MAFFT. Results were filtered manually to identify GLK orthologs distinguished from other G2-GARP family genes by three characteristic motifs: AREAEAA motif (consensus motif) in the DNA-binding domain, VWG(Y/H)P and the PLGL(R/K)(P/S)P in the GCT-box domain. Secondly, we performed BLASTP searches against plant genomes on Phytozome v13, fern genomes (fernbase.org), hornworts genome (hwww.hornworts.uzh.ch), green algae genomes on PhycoCosm (/phycocosm.jgi.doe.gov), and 1KP[25] using the AtGLK1/2 amino acid sequence as a query. Results were filtered manually as described above. Finally, the combined results from the above two approaches were checked against Orthofinder searches. The identified GLK protein sequences were aligned using MAFFT. Alignments were then trimmed using TrimAl. A maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree was inferred using iQTree, ModelFinder and ultrafast approximation for phylogenetic bootstrap and SH-aLRT test . The tree was visualised using iTOL .

Plant growth, transformation, **CRISPR/Cas9 gene editing and over-expression construct generation**

   Marchantia polymorpha accessions Cam-1 (male) and Cam-2 (female) were used in this study[67] with the exception of ChIP-SEQ and ATAC- SEQ for which *Marchantia polymorpha* accessions Tak-1 (male) and Tak-2 (female) accessions were used. Plants were grown on half strength Gamborg B5 medium plus vitamins #G0210, Duchefa Biochemie) and 1.2% (w/v) agar (#A20021, Melford), under continuous light at 22 °C with light intensity of 100 µmol m^{-2} s^{-1}. Transgenic *M. polymorpha* plants were obtained following an established protocol[28].

   For CRISPR/Cas9 gene editing, guide RNAs were *in silico* predicted using CasFinder tool (https://marchantia.info/tools/casfinder/). Several gRNAs per target gene were *in vitro* tested as described[68] using oligonucleotides in **Dataset S5**. gRNA sequences that were selected to generate Mp*glk*, Mp*gata2*, Mp*gata4*, Mp*scl* and Mp*mir171* mutants are listed in **Dataset S5**. Single gRNA7 to mutate Mp*GLK* was cloned using OpenPlant toolkit[28]. Multiple gRNAs to mutate Mp*GATA4*, Mp*SCL* and Mp*MIR171* and a single gRNA to mutate Mp*GATA2* were cloned as described in[68], using oligonucleotides listed in **Dataset S5** and the destination vector pMpGE013[69]. For the over-expression constructs, Mp*GLK and* Mp*GLKrw* CDS were synthesised (Integrated DNA Technologies), Mp*GLK* 3´UTR was amplified from *M. polymorpha* genomic DNA and cloned into the pUAP4 vector[28]. Constructs were generated using the OpenPlant toolkit[28]. OpenPlant parts used: OP-023 CDS12-eGFP, OP-020 CDS_hph, OP-037 CTAG_Lti6b, OP-054 3TER, _Nos-35S, OP-049 PROM_35S, OP-47 PROM_MpUBE2, OP-48 5UTR_MpUBE2, OP-063, L1_HyR-Ck1, OP073 L1_Cas9-Ck4, OP-076 L2_lacZgRNA-Cas9-CsA and L1_35S_s::eGFP-Lti6b[70]. Nucleotide sequence of Mp*GLKrw* CDS and oligonucleotide sequences used for cloning are listed in **Dataset S5**.

Chlorophyll determination, fluorescence measurements and imaging analysis

   For chlorophyll measurements, ~30-50mg of 10-14 days old gemmalings were used, with five biological replicates per genotype. The tissue was blotted on tissue paper before weighing to remove excess water and then was transferred into a 1.5mL Eppendorf tube containing 1 mL of di-methyl sulfoxide (DMSO) (#D8418, Sigma Aldrich) and incubated in the dark at 65C with 300 rpm shaking for 45 minutes. Samples were let to cool down to room temperature for approximately one hour. Chlorophyll content was measured using a NanoDrop™One/One C Microvolume UV-Vis Spectrophotometer (ThermoFisher) following the manufacturer's protocol. Chlorophyll fluorescence measurements were carried out using a CF imager (Technologica Ltd, UK) and the image processing software provided by the manufacturer, as described previously[71]. *M. polymorpha* plants were placed in the dark for

20 min for dark adaptation to evaluate the dark-adapted minimum fluorescence (Fo), dark-
adapted maximum fluorescence (*Fm*), variable fluorescence *Fv* (*Fv*□=□*Fm–Fo*). All
chlorophyll fluorescence images within each experiment were acquired at the same time in a
single image, measuring a total of three plants per genotype and treatment. For the DCMU
treatment, 20 µM DCMU (#45463, Sigma Aldrich) was added to the half-strength MS media
before it was poured into the individual petri dishes. Thalli were placed for 24 h onto the
DCMU-containing media before chlorophyll fluorescence measurements.

For imaging a gene frame (#AB0576, ThermoFisher) was positioned on a glass slide.
Five to seven gemma were placed within the medium-filled gene frame together with 30 µL
of milliQ water. The frame was then sealed with a cover slip. Plants were imaged
immediately using a Leica SP8X spectral fluorescent confocal microscope. Imaging was
conducted using either a 10× air objective (HC PL APO 10×/0.40 CS2) or a 20× air objective
(HC PL APO 20×/0.75 CS2). Excitation laser wavelength and captured emitted fluorescence
wavelength window were as follows: for eGFP (488 nm, 498–516 nm) and for chlorophyll
autofluorescence (488 or 515nm, 670–700 nm). Chloroplast area was measured using
ImageJ and the Macro in **Supplemental Information**.

For electron microscopy sections (~2 mm^2) of 5-6 individual 3-week-old *M. polymorpha*
thallus per genotype were harvested; and then fixed, embedded and imaged using scanning
electron microscopy as previously described[71].

Extraction of Terpenes and analysis on gas chromatograph - mass spectrometer
Approximately 200 mg of frozen *M. polymorpha* plant material was extracted with 1 mL of
cold methanol containing 5 mM of NaCl to quench enzymatic activities[72] and 900 ng of
dodecane as internal standard (#297879, Sigma Aldrich). Mechanical disruption was
achieved using a TissueLyser II (Qiagen), and the mixture was agitated on a Vibrax shaker
at 2000 rpm for two hours. The samples were centrifuged to isolate the methanolic extracts
devoid of plant debris, then extracted twice with hexane to capture non-polar and medium
polar terpenes in the upper layer. Analysis of 200 µL hexane extracts was performed on a
gas chromatograph (GC) Trace 1300 (ThermoFisher) coupled with a mass spectrometer
(MS) ISQ 700 (ThermoFisher) and a CD-5MS column (30 m x 0.25 mm x 0.25 µm)
(ThermoFisher).

Sample injection (1 µL) was conducted in splitless mode, following GC-MS oven
parameters modified from[72]: initial temperature of 70°C for 3 min; first ramp of 20°C/min to
90°C; second ramp of 3°C/min to 180°C; third ramp of 5°C/min to 240°C; and final ramp of
20°C/min to 300°C, with a 6 min hold at this temperature. The MS initiated compound
analysis after a 5.5-minute delay, with the transfer line and ion source temperatures set at

270°C and 230°C, respectively. Compounds were detected using the scan mode (scan time
0.17 s) within a mass detection range of 40 to 600 atomic mass units.

Chromatograms were processed using Chromeleon™ software (ThermoFisher), and
quantification of main terpenes relied on comparison with the internal standard dodecane.
Tentative identification of the major sesquiterpenes was achieved through comparison of
mass spectra with literature, supplemented by a single sample run with a mix of C9-C40
alkanes standard to calculate the retention indices[73].

RNA extraction, **cDNA preparation, qPCR** and **RNA sequencing**
RNA was extracted from 3-4 two-week old gemmae, using the RNeasy Plant kit (#74903,
Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's protocol (RLT buffer supplemented with beta-
merchaptoethanol was used) and residual genomic DNA was removed using the Turbo
DNA-free kit (#AM1907, Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

500 ng of DNase-treated RNA was used as a template for cDNA preparation using the
SuperScript™ IV First-Strand Synthesis System (#18091050, Invitrogen) according to
manufacturer's instructions (with only modifying reverse transcriptase reaction time to 40
minutes and using oligo (dT)18 primers). qPCR was performed using the SYBR Green
JumpStart *Taq* Ready Mix (#S4438, Sigma Aldrich) and a CFX384 RT System (Bio-Rad)
thermal cycler. cDNA was diluted 6 times, oligonucleotides listed in **Dataset S5** were used at
a final concentration of 0.5 µM and reaction conditions were as follows: initial denaturation
step of 94°C for 2 minutes followed by 40 cycles of 94°C for 15 seconds (denaturation) and
60°C for 1 minute (annealing, extension, and fluorescence reading).

Library preparation and RNA sequencing was performed by Novogene (Cambridge, UK).
Briefly, messenger RNA was purified from total RNA using poly-T oligo-attached magnetic
beads. After fragmentation, the first strand cDNA was synthesised using random hexamer
primers followed by the second strand cDNA synthesis. cDNA end repair, A-tailing, adapter
ligation, size selection, amplification, and purification were performed next. Library
concentration was measured on a Qubit instrument following the manufacturer's procedure
(ThermoFisher Scientific) followed by real-time qPCR quantification. Library size distribution
was analysed on a bioanalyzer (Agilent) following the manufacturer's protocol. Quantified
libraries were pooled and sequenced on a NovaSeq PE150 Illumina platform and 6 G raw
data per sample were obtained. Adapter sequences were: 5' Adapter: 5'-
AGATCGGAAGAGCGTCGTGTAGGGAAAGAGTGTAGATCTCGGTGGTCGCCGTATCATT
-3'.   3'   Adapter: 5'-
GATCGGAAGAGCACACGTCTGAACTCCAGTCACGGATGACTATCTCGTATGCCGTCTT
CTGCTTG-3'

FastQC was used to assess read quality and TrimGalore
(https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5127899) to trim low-quality reads and remaining
sequencing adapters. Reads were pseudo-aligned using Kallisto[74] to the *M. polymorpha*
Genome version 5 (primary transcripts only, obtained from MarpolBase)[75]. Kallisto estimates
the abundance of each transcript in units of transcripts per million (TPM). Mapping statistics
for each library are provided in **Dataset S3**. DGE analysis was performed with DESeq2[76],
with padj-values < 0.01, **Dataset S3**. Plots were generated using R. All raw data has been
deposited in NCBI under the accession number PRJNA397637.
597
ChIP-SEQ, ATAC-SEQ and data analysis
ChIP-SEQ was performed using two Mp*GLK* over-expression lines transformed with a
construct of proMp*EF1a*::Mp*GLK*-HA. Regenerating thalli from T1 transformants were
cultured for 4 weeks and harvested at zeitgeber time 1h. Approximately 5g fresh thalli were
crosslinked with 1% (w/v) formaldehyde. Nuclei were isolated as previously described[40].
Chromatin was then sonicated using Bioruptor (4x 5 min cycles of 30 sec ON/OFF).
Sonicated chromatin was incubated with 2µg Anti-HA Tag Antibody (#26183, Invitrogen) for
6h at 4°C and an additional 2h at 4°C with 20µl Dynabeads Protein G (#10003D,
ThermoFisher) blocked with 0.1% BSA. Beads with immunoprecipitated DNA were washed
twice with low salt buffer (10mM Tris-HCl pH8.0, 0.15M NaCl, 1mM EDTA, 1% Triton X-100),
twice with high salt buffer (10mM Tris-HCl pH8.0, 0.25M NaCl, 1mM EDTA, 1% Triton X-100)
and TET (10mM Tris-HCl pH8.0, 1mM EDTA, 0.1% Tween-20). TS-Tn5 was purified and
assembled with Tn5MEA/B adapter as previously described[77]. Tagmentation was performed
on-bead with assembled TS-Tn5 for 30 min at 37°C. After tagmentation, beads were washed
with low salt, high salt buffer and TET. Reverse crosslinking were performed at 55°C for 1h
and 65°C overnight with 0.3M NaCl, 0.4% SDS, 10mM Tris-HCl pH8.0, 1mM EDTA,
Proteinase K. DNA was purified with Qiagen MinElute (#28004, Qiagen) and PCR amplified
with primers matching the Illumina N50x and N70x sequences. Libraries were sequenced
with Hiseq X using the 150 bp paired-end mode. Histone ChIP-SEQ and ATAC-SEQ were
performed as previously described[77]. All raw data has been deposited in NCBI under the
accession number PRJNA1043823. The processed data can be viewed at
http://www.epigenome.cuhk.edu.hk/.
ChIP-SEQ reads and ATAC-SEQ reads of *A. thaliana* and *M. polymorpha* were
separately mapped to the reference genomes (*A. thaliana* TAIR10, *M. polymorpha* Tak v6)
with bowtie2 with option -3 100 (version 2.3.5.1). The unmapped and low quality reads were
filtered with SAMtools view (version 1.10) -F 4 and -q 20, and duplicated reads were
removed using SAMTools rmdup. MACS2[78] was used for peak calling and peak summit
positions were retrieved by using the "–call-summits" function in MACS2. The peaks are

resized to 150 bp regions (±75 bp from the summit position) for IDR to calculate overlap.

The summit's signal fold-change values from two replicates are supplied to IDR to identify

reproducible peaks. The overlap regions passed the IDR 0.01 and with average summit

signal fold-change >5 in two replicates were kept and resized back to 150 bp. They were

then associated with gene promoters based on summit distance to the TSS (-1.5kb and +

0.5kb).

De novo motif discovery was performed with HOMER. GLK motifs in the GLK ChIP-SEQ

summit region were extracted using findMotifsGenome.pl in HOMER, with the parameter -

len 8. Orthofinder (version 2.2.7) was used to identify orthologs using the peptide sequence

of all protein coding genes in *M. polymorpha*, *A. thaliana, Nicotiana benthamiana, Solanum*

lycopersicum, Oryza sativa, and *Zea mays.* The transcription factor prediction was

performed with iTAK. TargetP 2.0 (https://services.healthtech.dtu.dk/services/TargetP-2.0/)

was used to predict chloroplast localization of the protein encoded by GLK target genes in

Figure 7H. For example, the number of ortholog groups with GLK targets in 6 and 5 species

were labelled as X6 and X5 respectively.

Author contributions

N.Y.E., E.F., T.S., M.T., K. B., Ed.F., J.R., Z.M., S.R., Y.B., J.S-W., J.H. and S.Z. carried out

the work. N.Y.E., E.F. and J.M.H. designed the work. N.Y.E, E.F. and J.M.H. wrote the

manuscript with input from all authors.

Acknowledgements

This work was funded as part of the BBSRC/EPSRC OpenPlant Synthetic Biology Research

Centre Grant BB/ L014130/1 to J.H., BBSRC BB/F011458/1 for confocal microscopy to J.H,

BBP0031171 to J.M.H. and Hong Kong GRF 1411918/14109420 to S.Z. T.B.S. was

supported by the SNSF Postdoc Mobility Fellowship (P500PB_203128) and the EMBO

Long-Term Fellowship (ALTF 531-2019). W.Z. was supported by the Zhujiang postdoc

fellowship. J.S-W. was supported by the BBSRC DTP [BBSRC BB/X010899/1]. For the

purpose of open access, the authors have applied a Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY)

licence to any Author Accepted Manuscript version arising from this submission. We thank

Karin H. Müller and Filomena Gallo from the Cambridge Advanced Imaging Centre for the

electron microscopy sample preparation as well as the support during the image acquisition.

REFERENCES

1. Archibald, J.M. (2009). The Puzzle of Plastid Evolution. Current Biology *19*, R81–R88.
10.1016/j.cub.2008.11.067.

2. Gould, S.B., Waller, R.F., and McFadden, G.I. (2008). Plastid evolution. Annu. Rev. Plant
Biol. *59*, 491–517.

3. Cackett, L., Luginbuehl, L.H., Schreier, T.B., Lopez-Juez, E., and Hibberd, J.M. (2022).
Chloroplast development in green plant tissues: the interplay between light, hormone, and
transcriptional regulation. New Phytol. *233*, 2000–2016.

4. Jarvis, P., and López-Juez, E. (2013). Biogenesis and homeostasis of chloroplasts and
other plastids. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. *14*, 787–802.

5. de Vries, J., and Gould, S.B. (2018). The monoplastidic bottleneck in algae and plant
evolution. J. Cell Sci. *131*. 10.1242/jcs.203414.

6. Xiao, Y., Chu, L., Zhang, Y., Bian, Y., Xiao, J., and Xu, D. (2021). HY5: A Pivotal
Regulator of Light-Dependent Development in Higher Plants. Front. Plant Sci. *12*, 800989.

7. Lee, J., He, K., Stolc, V., Lee, H., Figueroa, P., Gao, Y., Tongprasit, W., Zhao, H., Lee, I.,
and Deng, X.W. (2007). Analysis of transcription factor HY5 genomic binding sites
revealed its hierarchical role in light regulation of development. Plant Cell *19*, 731–749.

8. Burko, Y., Gaillochet, C., Seluzicki, A., Chory, J., and Busch, W. (2020). Local HY5
Activity Mediates Hypocotyl Growth and Shoot-to-Root Communication. Plant Commun *1*.
10.1016/j.xplc.2020.100078.

9. Oyama, T., Shimura, Y., and Okada, K. (1997). The Arabidopsis HY5 gene encodes a
bZIP protein that regulates stimulus-induced development of root and hypocotyl. Genes
Dev. *11*, 2983–2995.

10.   Ma, Z., Hu, X., Cai, W., Huang, W., Zhou, X., Luo, Q., Yang, H., Wang, J., and
Huang, J. (2014). Arabidopsis miR171-Targeted Scarecrow-Like Proteins Bind to GT cis-
Elements and Mediate Gibberellin-Regulated Chlorophyll Biosynthesis under Light
Conditions. PLoS Genetics *10*, e1004519. 10.1371/journal.pgen.1004519.

11.   Waters, M.T., Wang, P., Korkaric, M., Capper, R.G., Saunders, N.J., and Langdale,
J.A. (2009). GLK transcription factors coordinate expression of the photosynthetic
apparatus in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell *21*, 1109–1128.

688 12. Waters, M.T., Moylan, E.C., and Langdale, J.A. (2008). GLK transcription factors
689   regulate chloroplast development in a cell-autonomous manner. Plant J. *56*, 432–444.

690 13. Fitter, D.W., Martin, D.J., Copley, M.J., Scotland, R.W., and Langdale, J.A. (2002).
691   *GLK*gene pairs regulate chloroplast development in diverse plant species. The Plant
692   Journal *31*, 713–727. 10.1046/j.1365-313x.2002.01390.x.

693 14. Bravo-Garcia, A., Yasumura, Y., and Langdale, J.A. (2009). Specialization of the
694   Golden2-like regulatory pathway during land plant evolution. New Phytol. *183*, 133–141.

695 15. Chiang, Y.-H., Zubo, Y.O., Tapken, W., Kim, H.J., Lavanway, A.M., Howard, L., Pilon,
696   M., Kieber, J.J., and Schaller, G.E. (2012). Functional characterization of the GATA
697   transcription factors GNC and CGA1 reveals their key role in chloroplast development,
698   growth, and division in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol. *160*, 332–348.

699 16. Hudson, D., Guevara, D., Yaish, M.W., Hannam, C., Long, N., Clarke, J.D., Bi, Y.-M.,
700   and Rothstein, S.J. (2011). GNC and CGA1 modulate chlorophyll biosynthesis and
701   glutamate synthase (GLU1/Fd-GOGAT) expression in Arabidopsis. PLoS One *6*, e26765.

702 17. Naito, T., Kiba, T., Koizumi, N., Yamashino, T., and Mizuno, T. (2007).
703   Characterization of a unique GATA family gene that responds to both light and cytokinin
704   in Arabidopsis thaliana. Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem. *71*, 1557–1560.

705 18. Bi, Y.-M., Zhang, Y., Signorelli, T., Zhao, R., Zhu, T., and Rothstein, S. (2005).
706   Genetic analysis of Arabidopsis GATA transcription factor gene family reveals a nitrate-
707   inducible member important for chlorophyll synthesis and glucose sensitivity. The Plant
708   Journal *44*, 680–692. 10.1111/j.1365-313x.2005.02568.x.

709 19. Luo, X.-M., Lin, W.-H., Zhu, S., Zhu, J.-Y., Sun, Y., Fan, X.-Y., Cheng, M., Hao, Y.,
710   Oh, E., Tian, M., et al. (2010). Integration of light- and brassinosteroid-signaling pathways
711   by a GATA transcription factor in Arabidopsis. Dev. Cell *19*, 872–883.

712 20. Zhang, T., Zhang, R., Zeng, X.-Y., Lee, S., Ye, L.-H., Tian, S.-L., Zhang, Y.-J., Busch,
713   W., Zhou, W.-B., Zhu, X.-G., et al. (2023). HY5 regulates GLK transcription factors to
714   orchestrate photomorphogenesis in Arabidopsis thaliana. bioRxiv, 2022.09.30.510343.
715   10.1101/2022.09.30.510343.

716 21. Li, F.-W., Nishiyama, T., Waller, M., Frangedakis, E., Keller, J., Li, Z., Fernandez-
717   Pozo, N., Barker, M.S., Bennett, T., Blázquez, M.A., et al. (2020). Anthoceros genomes

illuminate the origin of land plants and the unique biology of hornworts. Nat Plants *6*,

259–272.

22.   de Vries, J., and Archibald, J.M. (2018). Plant evolution: landmarks on the path to

terrestrial life. New Phytol. *217*, 1428–1434.

23.   Harris, B.J., Clark, J.W., Schrempf, D., Szöllősi, G.J., Donoghue, P.C.J.,

Hetherington, A.M., and Williams, T.A. (2022). Divergent evolutionary trajectories of

bryophytes and tracheophytes from a complex common ancestor of land plants. Nat Ecol

Evol *6*, 1634–1643.

24.   Qiu, Y.-L., Li, L., Wang, B., Chen, Z., Knoop, V., Groth-Malonek, M., Dombrovska, O.,

Lee, J., Kent, L., Rest, J., et al. (2006). The deepest divergences in land plants inferred

from phylogenomic evidence. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. *103*, 15511–15516.

25.   One Thousand Plant Transcriptomes Initiative (2019). One thousand plant

transcriptomes and the phylogenomics of green plants. Nature *574*, 679–685.

26.   Yasumura, Y., Moylan, E.C., and Langdale, J.A. (2005). A conserved transcription

factor mediates nuclear control of organelle biogenesis in anciently diverged land plants.

Plant Cell *17*, 1894–1907.

27.   Bowman, J.L., Arteaga-Vazquez, M., Berger, F., Briginshaw, L.N., Carella, P.,

Aguilar-Cruz, A., Davies, K.M., Dierschke, T., Dolan, L., Dorantes-Acosta, A.E., et al.

(2022). The renaissance and enlightenment of Marchantia as a model system. Plant Cell

34, 3512–3542.

28.   Sauret-Güeto, S., Frangedakis, E., Silvestri, L., Rebmann, M., Tomaselli, M., Markel,

K., Delmans, M., West, A., Patron, N.J., and Haseloff, J. (2020). Systematic Tools for

Reprogramming Plant Gene Expression in a Simple Model,. ACS Synth. Biol. *9*, 864–882.

29.   Clayton, W.A., Albert, N.W., Thrimawithana, A.H., McGhie, T.K., Deroles, S.C.,

Schwinn, K.E., Warren, B.A., McLachlan, A.R.G., Bowman, J.L., Jordan, B.R., et al.

(2018). UVR8-mediated induction of flavonoid biosynthesis for UVB tolerance is

conserved between the liverwort Marchantia polymorpha and flowering plants. Plant J. *96*,

503–517.

30.   Reyes, J.C., Muro-Pastor, M.I., and Florencio, F.J. (2004). The GATA family of

transcription factors in Arabidopsis and rice. Plant Physiol. *134*, 1718–1732.

31.   Behringer, C., and Schwechheimer, C. (2015). B-GATA transcription factors –

insights into their structure, regulation, and role in plant development. Frontiers in Plant

Science *6*. 10.3389/fpls.2015.00090.

32.   Lin, S.-S., and Bowman, J.L. (2018). MicroRNAs in Marchantia polymorpha. New

Phytol. *220*, 409–416.

33.   Safi, A., Medici, A., Szponarski, W., Ruffel, S., Lacombe, B., and Krouk, G. (2017).

The world according to GARP transcription factors. Curr. Opin. Plant Biol. *39*, 159–167.

34.   Toledo-Ortiz, G., Johansson, H., Lee, K.P., Bou-Torrent, J., Stewart, K., Steel, G.,

Rodríguez-Concepción, M., and Halliday, K.J. (2014). The HY5-PIF regulatory module

coordinates light and temperature control of photosynthetic gene transcription. PLoS

Genet. *10*, e1004416.

35.   Trebst, A. (2007). Inhibitors in the functional dissection of the photosynthetic electron

transport system. Photosynth. Res. *92*, 217–224.

36.   Zubo, Y.O., Blakley, I.C., Franco-Zorrilla, J.M., Yamburenko, M.V., Solano, R., Kieber,

J.J., Loraine, A.E., and Schaller, G.E. (2018). Coordination of Chloroplast Development

through the Action of the GNC and GLK Transcription Factor Families. Plant Physiol. *178*,

130–147.

37.   Todesco, M., Rubio-Somoza, I., Paz-Ares, J., and Weigel, D. (2010). A collection of

target mimics for comprehensive analysis of microRNA function in Arabidopsis thaliana.

PLoS Genet. *6*, e1001031.

38.   Zhang, T., Zhang, R., Zeng, X.-Y., Lee, S., Ye, L.-H., Tian, S.-L., Zhang, Y.-J., Busch,

W., Zhou, W.-B., Zhu, X.-G., et al. (2024). GLK transcription factors accompany

ELONGATED HYPOCOTYL5 to orchestrate light-induced seedling development in

Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol. 10.1093/plphys/kiae002.

39.   Kawamura, S., Romani, F., Yagura, M., Mochizuki, T., Sakamoto, M., Yamaoka, S.,

Nishihama, R., Nakamura, Y., Yamato, K.T., Bowman, J.L., et al. (2022). MarpolBase

Expression: A Web-Based, Comprehensive Platform for Visualization and Analysis of

Transcriptomes in the Liverwort Marchantia polymorpha. Plant Cell Physiol. *63*, 1745–

1755.

40. Tu, X., Ren, S., Shen, W., Li, J., Li, Y., Li, C., Li, Y., Zong, Z., Xie, W., Grierson, D.,
et al. (2022). Limited conservation in cross-species comparison of GLK transcription
factor binding suggested wide-spread cistrome divergence. Nat. Commun. *13*, 7632.

41. Emms, D.M., and Kelly, S. (2022). SHOOT: phylogenetic gene search and ortholog
inference. Genome Biol. *23*, 85.

42. Luan, J., Ju, J., Li, X., Wang, X., Tan, Y., and Xia, G. (2023). Functional identification
of moss PpGATA1 provides insights into the evolution of LLM-domain B-GATA
transcription factors in plants. Gene *855*, 147103.

43. Schröder, P., Hsu, B.-Y., Gutsche, N., Winkler, J.B., Hedtke, B., Grimm, B., and
Schwechheimer, C. (2023). B-GATA factors are required to repress high-light stress
responses in Marchantia polymorpha and Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant Cell Environ. *46*,
2376–2390.

44. Wang, L., Mai, Y.-X., Zhang, Y.-C., Luo, Q., and Yang, H.-Q. (2010). MicroRNA171c-
targeted SCL6-II, SCL6-III, and SCL6-IV genes regulate shoot branching in Arabidopsis.
Mol. Plant *3*, 794–806.

45. Bolle, C. (2004). The role of GRAS proteins in plant signal transduction and
development. Planta *218*, 683–692.

46. Llave, C., Xie, Z., Kasschau, K.D., and Carrington, J.C. (2002). Cleavage of
Scarecrow-like mRNA targets directed by a class of Arabidopsis miRNA. Science *297*,
2053–2056.

47. Rhoades, M.W., Reinhart, B.J., Lim, L.P., Burge, C.B., Bartel, B., and Bartel, D.P.
(2002). Prediction of plant microRNA targets. Cell *110*, 513–520.

48. Rossini, L., Cribb, L., Martin, D.J., and Langdale, J.A. (2001). The maize golden2
gene defines a novel class of transcriptional regulators in plants. Plant Cell *13*, 1231–
1244.

49. Kobayashi, K., Sasaki, D., Noguchi, K., Fujinuma, D., Komatsu, H., Kobayashi, M.,
Sato, M., Toyooka, K., Sugimoto, K., Niyogi, K.K., et al. (2013). Photosynthesis of root
chloroplasts developed in Arabidopsis lines overexpressing GOLDEN2-LIKE transcription
factors. Plant Cell Physiol. *54*, 1365–1377.

50. Nakamura, H., Muramatsu, M., Hakata, M., Ueno, O., Nagamura, Y., Hirochika, H.,
Takano, M., and Ichikawa, H. (2009). Ectopic overexpression of the transcription factor

OsGLK1 induces chloroplast development in non-green rice cells. Plant Cell Physiol. *50*,
1933–1949.

51.   Wang, P., Khoshravesh, R., Karki, S., Tapia, R., Balahadia, C.P., Bandyopadhyay, A.,
Quick, W.P., Furbank, R., Sage, T.L., and Langdale, J.A. (2017). Re-creation of a Key
Step in the Evolutionary Switch from C to C Leaf Anatomy. Curr. Biol. *27*, 3278–3287.e6.

52.   Powell, A.L.T., Nguyen, C.V., Hill, T., Cheng, K.L., Figueroa-Balderas, R., Aktas, H.,
Ashrafi, H., Pons, C., Fernández-Muñoz, R., Vicente, A., et al. (2012). Uniform ripening
encodes a Golden 2-like transcription factor regulating tomato fruit chloroplast
development. Science *336*, 1711–1715.

53.   Cheng, S., Xian, W., Fu, Y., Marin, B., Keller, J., Wu, T., Sun, W., Li, X., Xu, Y.,
Zhang, Y., et al. (2019). Genomes of Subaerial Zygnematophyceae Provide Insights into
Land Plant Evolution. Cell *179*, 1057–1067.e14.

54.   Harris, B.J., Harrison, C.J., Hetherington, A.M., and Williams, T.A. (2020).
Phylogenomic Evidence for the Monophyly of Bryophytes and the Reductive Evolution of
Stomata. Curr. Biol. *30*, 2001–2012.e2.

55.   Rich, M.K., and Delaux, P.-M. (2020). Plant Evolution: When Arabidopsis Is More
Ancestral Than Marchantia. Curr. Biol. *30*, R642–R644.

56.   Zheng, Y., Jiao, C., Sun, H., Rosli, H.G., Pombo, M.A., Zhang, P., Banf, M., Dai, X.,
Martin, G.B., Giovannoni, J.J., et al. (2016). iTAK: A Program for Genome-wide Prediction
and Classification of Plant Transcription Factors, Transcriptional Regulators, and Protein
Kinases. Mol. Plant *9*, 1667–1670.

57.   Jin, J., Tian, F., Yang, D.-C., Meng, Y.-Q., Kong, L., Luo, J., and Gao, G. (2017).
PlantTFDB 4.0: toward a central hub for transcription factors and regulatory interactions
in plants. Nucleic Acids Res. *45*, D1040–D1045.

58.   Katoh, K., Rozewicki, J., and Yamada, K.D. (2019). MAFFT online service: multiple
sequence alignment, interactive sequence choice and visualization. Brief. Bioinform. *20*,
1160–1166.

59.   Li, F.-W., Brouwer, P., Carretero-Paulet, L., Cheng, S., de Vries, J., Delaux, P.-M.,
Eily, A., Koppers, N., Kuo, L.-Y., Li, Z., et al. (2018). Fern genomes elucidate land plant
evolution and cyanobacterial symbioses. Nat Plants *4*, 460–472.

60.   Emms, D.M., and Kelly, S. (2019). OrthoFinder: phylogenetic orthology inference for
comparative genomics. Genome Biol. *20*, 238.

61.   Capella-Gutiérrez, S., Silla-Martínez, J.M., and Gabaldón, T. (2009). trimAl: a tool for
automated alignment trimming in large-scale phylogenetic analyses. Bioinformatics *25*,
1972–1973.

62.   Nguyen, L.-T., Schmidt, H.A., von Haeseler, A., and Minh, B.Q. (2015). IQ-TREE: a
fast and effective stochastic algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood phylogenies.
Mol. Biol. Evol. *32*, 268–274.

63.   Kalyaanamoorthy, S., Minh, B.Q., Wong, T.K.F., von Haeseler, A., and Jermiin, L.S.
(2017). ModelFinder: fast model selection for accurate phylogenetic estimates. Nat.
Methods *14*, 587–589.

64.   Hoang, D.T., Chernomor, O., von Haeseler, A., Minh, B.Q., and Vinh, L.S. (2018).
UFBoot2: Improving the Ultrafast Bootstrap Approximation. Mol. Biol. Evol. *35*, 518–522.

65.   Guindon, S., Dufayard, J.-F., Lefort, V., Anisimova, M., Hordijk, W., and Gascuel, O.
(2010). New algorithms and methods to estimate maximum-likelihood phylogenies:
assessing the performance of PhyML 3.0. Syst. Biol. *59*, 307–321.

66.   Letunic, I., and Bork, P. (2021). Interactive Tree Of Life (iTOL) v5: an online tool for
phylogenetic tree display and annotation. Nucleic Acids Res. *49*, W293–W296.

67.   Delmans, M., Pollak, B., and Haseloff, J. (2017). MarpoDB: An Open Registry for
Marchantia Polymorpha Genetic Parts. Plant Cell Physiol. *58*, e5.

68.   Yelina, N.E., Holland, D., Gonzalez-Jorge, S., Hirsz, D., Yang, Z., and Henderson,
I.R. (2022). Coexpression of MEIOTIC-TOPOISOMERASE VIB-dCas9 with guide RNAs
specific to a recombination hotspot is insufficient to increase crossover frequency in
Arabidopsis. G3 *12*. 10.1093/g3journal/jkac105.

69.   Sugano, S.S., Nishihama, R., Shirakawa, M., Takagi, J., Matsuda, Y., Ishida, S.,
Shimada, T., Hara-Nishimura, I., Osakabe, K., and Kohchi, T. (2018). Efficient
CRISPR/Cas9-based genome editing and its application to conditional genetic analysis in
Marchantia polymorpha. PLoS One *13*, e0205117.

70.   Waller, M., Frangedakis, E., Marron, A.O., Sauret-Güeto, S., Rever, J., Sabbagh,
C.R.R., Hibberd, J.M., Haseloff, J., Renzaglia, K.S., and Szövényi, P. (2023). An

optimized transformation protocol for Anthoceros agrestis and three more hornwort
species. Plant J. *114*, 699–718.

71.   Schreier, T.B., Müller, K.H., Eicke, S., Faulkner, C., Zeeman, S.C., and Hibberd, J.M.
(2024). Plasmodesmal connectivity in C Gynandropsis gynandra is induced by light and
dependent on photosynthesis. New Phytol. *241*, 298–313.

72.   Kumar, S., Kempinski, C., Zhuang, X., Norris, A., Mafu, S., Zi, J., Bell, S.A., Nybo,
S.E., Kinison, S.E., Jiang, Z., et al. (2016). Molecular Diversity of Terpene Synthases in
the Liverwort Marchantia polymorpha. Plant Cell *28*, 2632–2650.

73.   Adams, R.P. (2007). Identification of Essential Oil Components by Gas
Chromatography/mass Spectrometry.

74.   Bray, N.L., Pimentel, H., Melsted, P., and Pachter, L. (2016). Near-optimal
probabilistic RNA-seq quantification. Nat. Biotechnol. *34*, 525–527.

75.   Bowman, J.L., Kohchi, T., Yamato, K.T., Jenkins, J., Shu, S., Ishizaki, K., Yamaoka,
S., Nishihama, R., Nakamura, Y., Berger, F., et al. (2017). Insights into Land Plant
Evolution Garnered from the Marchantia polymorpha Genome. Cell *171*, 287–304.e15.

76.   Love, M.I., Huber, W., and Anders, S. (2014). Moderated estimation of fold change
and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol. *15*, 550.

77.   Tu, X., Mejía-Guerra, M.K., Valdes Franco, J.A., Tzeng, D., Chu, P.-Y., Shen, W.,
Wei, Y., Dai, X., Li, P., Buckler, E.S., et al. (2020). Reconstructing the maize leaf
regulatory network using ChIP-seq data of 104 transcription factors. Nat. Commun. *11*,
5089.

78.   Zhang, Y., Liu, T., Meyer, C.A., Eeckhoute, J., Johnson, D.S., Bernstein, B.E.,
Nusbaum, C., Myers, R.M., Brown, M., Li, W., et al. (2008). Model-based analysis of
ChIP-Seq (MACS). Genome Biol. *9*, R137.

894
895
Figure 1. Transcription factors known to regulate chloroplast development in
angiosperms. A) Simplified network illustrating families of transcription factors and key
interacting components upstream of chloroplast biogenesis. GATA transcription factors
include GATA2, GATA NITRATE-INDUCIBLE CARBON METABOLISM-INVOLVED (GNC),
CYTOKININ-RESPONSIVE GATA FACTOR 1 (CGA1). GATA2 promotes
photomorphogenesis in the presence of light by directly binding to light-responsive
promoters. GNC and CGA1 suppress Phytochrome Interacting Factors (PIFs) and
Brassinosteroid (BR) related genes, as well as promoting chloroplast biogenesis and division.
In the absence of light, the BR-activated transcription factor BRASSINAZOLE RESISTANT1
(BZR1) represses *GATA2* expression. GOLDEN2-LIKE (GLK) transcription factors are
positive regulators of nuclear-encoded photosynthesis related genes. SCARECROW-
LIKE□(SCL) GRAS transcription factors are negatively regulated by miR171 and GA-DELLA
signalling to control chlorophyll biosynthesis. Elongated Hypocotyl 5 (HY5), a bZIP
transcription factor that plays a primary role in de-etiolation and chloroplast development. **B)**
Phylogenetic relationships for major lineages of land plants and green algae (Li et al. 2020).
Gene numbers shown for transcription factor families and subfamilies in (A).
912
913
914

Figure 2. Knockout mutants for *HY5, GLK, GATA* and *SCL*. A) Schematic representation
of Mp*GLK,* Mp*GATA4,* Mp*GATA2,* Mp*MIR171,* Mp*SCL* and Mp*HY5* gene structure showing
exons as black rectangles. Canonical domains for each family are shown as coloured boxes.
Genomic locus of Mp*MIR171* represented as a black rectangle, miR171* and miR171 shown
as blue rectangles, miR171* indicated with a white star. Guide RNA positions for
CRISPR/Cas9 gene editing shown as red arrows. **B-G)** Top: Representative images of wild
type, Mp*gata4*, Mp*gata2*, Mp*scl*, Mp*mir171,* Mp*hy5* and Mp*glk* mutants. Bottom:
Representative confocal microscopy images of wild type, Mp*gata4*, Mp*gata2*, Mp*scl*,
Mp*mir171* and Mp*glk* mutants. Chlorophyll autofluorescence is shown in magenta and cell
boundaries marked with dashed lines. Scale bars: 1 cm. **I)** Schematic of a gemmae with dark
blue square indicating imaged area, **J-Q)** Barplots of chlorophyll content for the Mp*gata4*,
Mp*gata2*, Mp*scl*, Mp*mir17*1, Mp*hy5* and Mp*glk* mutant plants. Individual values are shown
with dots. Error bars represent the standard deviation of the mean n = 5. Asterisks indicate
statistically significant difference using a two-tailed t-test $P \leq 0.0001$ (***). **R)** Boxplot
showing chloroplast size range in control versus Mp*gata4*, Mp*gata2*, Mp*scl*, Mp*mir171,*
Mp*hy5* and Mp*glk* mutants. Box and whiskers represent the 25 to 75 percentile and
minimum-maximum distributions of the data. Letters show statistical ranking using a *post*
hoc Tukey test (with different letters indicating statistically significant differences at P<0.01).
Values indicated by the same letter are not statistically different. n = 150.

Figure 3. Mp*glk* controls chloroplast size and ultrastructure. A-B) Scanning electron
micrographs of chloroplasts from control (A) and Mp*glk* mutants (B). Dashed boxes highlight
single chloroplasts that are shown in the insets at higher magnification (bottom panels).
Scale bars: 2 µm. **C)** Chlorophyll fluorescence images of maximum quantum efficiency of
Photosystem II (*Fv/Fm*) of untreated (top) and 24 h DCMU-treated (bottom) control and
Mp*glk* mutants. **D)** *Fv/Fm* measured in DCMU-treated and untreated control and Mp*glk*
mutants. Bars represent mean ± standard error from *n* = 3 plants per genotype.
965

967
968
Figure 4. *GATA4, GATA2, SCL* and *HY5* are not epistatic with *GLK* for chloroplast
biogenesis in *M. polymorpha*. A-E) Representative images of Mp*glk,* Mp*glk,gata4,*
Mp*glk,gata2,* Mp*glk,scl* and Mp*glk,hy5* double mutants. **F)** Chlorophyll content in Mp*glk,*
Mp*glk,gata4,* Mp*glk,gata2,* Mp*glk,scl* and Mp*glk,hy5* double mutants. Letters show statistical
ranking using a *post hoc* Tukey test, different letters indicating statistically significant
differences at P<0.01. Values indicated by the same letter are not statistically different, n=5.
G) Chloroplast area in Mp*glk,* and Mp*glk,gata4.* Letters show statistical ranking using a *post*
hoc Tukey test, different letters indicating statistically significant differences at P<0.01.
Values indicated by the same letter are not statistically different, n=150. **H)** Top: Schematic
representation of Mp*GATA4* overexpression construct. Bottom: Representative images of
Mp*glk* mutants and Mp*glk* mutant overexpressing Mp*GATA4*. Scale bar represents 5 mm.
Bottom: Chlorophyll content in Mp*glk* mutant and Mp*glk* mutant overexpressing Mp*GATA4*.
Letters show statistical ranking using a *post hoc* Tukey test, different letters indicating
statistically significant differences at P<0.01. Values indicated by the same letter are not
statistically different, n=5. **I)** Top: Schematic of experimental design to test the effect of lack
of functional MpHY5 on Mp*GLK* and Mp*GATA4* expression in response to light. Bottom:
quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) for analysis of Mp*GLK* and Mp*GATA4*
expression in Mp*hy5* mutants in response to light. *ADENINE PHOSPHORIBOSYL*
TRANSFERASE 3 (*APT*) and *CULLIN 1* (*CUL*) were used as housekeeping gene controls
(Saint-Marcoux et al., 2015).
989

Figure 5. Mp*GLK* overexpression increases chlorophyll content and chloroplast size.
A) Top: Schematic representation of constructs used to overexpress Mp*GATA4,* Mp*GATA2,*
Mp*SCL* and Mp*GLK.* Bottom: Representative images of controls and plants overexpressing
Mp*GATA4,* Mp*GATA2,* Mp*SCL* and Mp*GLK.* Scale bar represents 5 mm. **B)** Schematic of a
gemmae; a dark blue square indicates imaged area, black lines indicate approximate
positions of rhizoid precursor and oil body cells. **C-G)** Representative confocal microscopy
images of gemmae from controls and plants overexpressing Mp*GATA4,* Mp*GATA2,* Mp*SCL*
and Mp*GLK.* Chlorophyll autofluorescence shown in magenta and plasma membrane
marked with eGFP in green. Rhizoids precursors highlighted with dashed squares. Scale
bars, represent 25 µm. **H)** Representative confocal microscopy images of oil body cells in

control and Mp*GLK-CDS* overexpressing lines. **I)** Chlorophyll content in controls and
overexpressing lines for Mp*GATA4,* Mp*GATA2,* Mp*SCL* and Mp*GLK.* Letters show statistical
ranking using a *post hoc* Tukey test (different letters indicating statistically significant
differences at P<0.01). Values indicated by the same letter are not statistically different, n=5.
J) Chloroplast area in controls and lines overexpressing Mp*GATA4,* Mp*GATA2,* Mp*SCL* and
Mp*GLK.* Letters show statistical ranking using a *post hoc* Tukey test (different letters
indicating statistically significant differences at P<0.01). Values indicated by the same letter
are not statistically different, n=150.
1010

Figure 6. Mp*GLK* controls expression of photosynthesis associated genes. A) UpSet diagrams showing sets of downregulated genes in Mp*scl,* Mp*gata4,* Mp*hy5* and Mp*glk* mutants. **B)** Enriched Gene Ontology terms for Mp*scl,* Mp*gata4* and Mp*glk* mutants. **C)** Heatmap illustrating extent of down-regulation (Log2FoldChange) of transcripts encoding enzymes of chlorophyll biosynthesis in Mp*scl,* Mp*gata4,* Mp*hy5* and Mp*glk* mutant alleles. **D)** Heatmap indicating lower transcript abundance (Log2FoldChange) of genes encoding components of Photosystem II (PSII), Photosystem I (PSI), Light Harvest Complex A (LHCA), Light Harvest Complex B (LHCB) and cytochrome b_6f complex in Mp*scl,* Mp*gata4,* Mp*hy5* and Mp*glk* mutants. **E)** Schematic representation of Photosystem I and II. Subunits showing an increase (>1) in corresponding transcript levels are highlighted in pink. Genes that are differentially expressed are highlighted in red. Figure modified from Water et al. 2009 and Tu et al. 2022.

1030
1031
1032
Figure 7. MpGLK ChIP-SEQ reveals divergence in GLK binding between *M.*
***polymorpha* and flowering plants. A)** Genome browser tracks showing GLK ChIP-SEQ
peaks, open chromatin regions (ATAC-SEQ), and H3K4me3 peaks in conserved and *M.*
polymorpha specific GLK target genes. *LHCB3: Light Harvest Complex 3; GPP: Galactose*
1-phosphate phosphatase; DXR1; 1-deoxy-D-xylulose-5-phosphate reductoisomerase;
PPDK: Pyruvate,orthophosphate (Pi) dikinase. **B)** Motif enriched in the MpGLK and *A.*
thaliana GLKs ChIP-SEQ peak regions. **C)** Genome browser tracks showing GLK1/2 binding,
open chromatin and H3K4me3 in *A. thaliana* orthologs of *M. polymorpha* genes in panel A. **D)**
Heatmap and average signal plot showing the chromatin features near the MpGLK binding
sites. Clustering was performed using the GLK1 ChIP-SEQ rep1 signal. **E)** Partial overlap of
MpGLK targets genes up-regulated in *GLK* over-expression (OE) lines and down-regulated

in *GLK* knockout lines. **F)** Stronger ChIP-SEQ signals were found in differentially expressed
GLK targets. **G)** Percentage of CONSTANS-Like (CO-like) and B-BOX (DBB) TF genes in
each genome targeted by GLK. Note that all three CO-Like TFs in *M. polymorpha* are GLK
targets. **H)** Number of predicted chloroplast localised GLK targets conserved between *M. polymorpha* and five flowering plants. **I)** Model summarising transcriptional regulation of
polymorpha and five flowering plants. **I)** Model summarising transcriptional regulation of
chloroplast biogenesis in *M. polymorpha*.