**1**   **Single cell RNA sequencing unravels the transcriptional network underlying**

**2**   **zebrafish retina regeneration**

Laura Celotto[1], Fabian Rost[2], Anja Machate[1], Juliane Bläsche[2], Andreas Dahl[2], Anke

Weber[1], Stefan Hans[1], and Michael Brand[*1]

[1] Technische Universität Dresden, CRTD - Center for Regenerative Therapies

Dresden, Center for Molecular and Cellular Bioengineering (CMCB), Fetscherstraße

105, 01307 Dresden, Germany

[2] Technische Universität Dresden, DRESDEN-Concept Genome Center, Center for

Molecular and Cellular Bioengineering (CMCB), Fetscherstraße 105, 01307 Dresden,

Germany

*Corresponding author

ORCID: Laura Celotto: 0000-0002-9191-4056; Fabian Rost: 0000-0001-6466-2589;

Andreas Dahl: 0000-0002-2668-8371; Anke Weber: 0000-0003-2220-658X; Stefan

Hans: 0000-0003-0283-0211; Michael Brand: 0000-0001-5711-6512

Keywords: Retina, regeneration, zebrafish, Müller glia, progenitors, light lesion,

scRNAseq

**SUMMARY**

In the lesioned zebrafish retina, Müller glia produce multipotent retinal progenitors that generate all retinal neurons, replacing lost cell types. To study the molecular mechanisms linking Müller glia reactivity to progenitor production and neuronal differentiation, we used single cell RNA sequencing of Müller glia, progenitors and regenerated progeny from uninjured and light-lesioned retinae. We discover an injury-induced Müller glia differentiation trajectory that leads into a cell population with a hybrid identity expressing marker genes of Müller glia and progenitors. A glial self-renewal and a neurogenic trajectory depart from the hybrid cell population. We further observe that neurogenic progenitors progressively differentiate to generate retinal ganglion cells first and bipolar cells last, similar to the events observed during retinal development. Our work provides a comprehensive description of Müller glia and progenitor transcriptional changes and fate decisions in the regenerating retina, which are key to tailor cell differentiation and replacement therapies for retinal dystrophies in humans.

**INTRODUCTION**

The vertebrate retina is the neural tissue in the back of the eyes that detects and processes visual stimuli prior to transmission to the brain (Dowling, 1987). The retina has a layered structure, with outer, inner and ganglion cell nuclear layers alternating with the outer and inner plexiform layers (Amini et al., 2018; Hoon et al., 2014; Stenkamp, 2015). The nuclear layers comprise the nuclei of retinal neurons, whereas the plexiform layers contain neuronal synapses. There are six distinct classes of retinal neurons and one main type of glia: retinal ganglion, cone photoreceptor, horizontal, amacrine, rod photoreceptor and bipolar cells, as well as Müller glia. This heterogeneity

arises during development in the indicated order from a common pool of multipotent

retinal progenitor cells (RPCs), which progressively restrict their competence to

generate early and mid-late born cell fates (Agathocleous and Harris, 2009; Alexiades

and Cepko, 1997; Amini et al., 2018; Cepko, 2014; Cepko et al., 1996; Livesey and

Cepko, 2001; Stenkamp, 2015, 2007; Turner and Cepko, 1987; Weber et al., 2014;

Young, 1985). Retinal development, structure and function are highly conserved

among vertebrates, including the teleost zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) with only slight

variations. For instance, humans and zebrafish possess a cone-dominated retina

adapted for diurnal visual tasks, whereas nocturnal animals, like mice and rats, have

rod-dominated retinae adapted for a dim light lifestyle (Fleisch et al., 2011; Saade et

al., 2013; Stenkamp, 2007). Intriguingly, and in contrast to warm-blooded vertebrates

like birds and mammals, adult zebrafish can fully regenerate their retinae upon lesions

affecting different neuronal cell types, depending on the injury paradigm. For instance,

intense light ablates preferentially light-sensing rod and cone photoreceptors in the

central and dorsal retina, whereas application of neurotoxins, like ouabain or NMDA,

affects mainly retinal ganglion and amacrine cells (Bernardos et al., 2007; Fimbel et

al., 2007; Powell et al., 2016; Sherpa et al., 2008; Vihtelic et al., 2006; Vihtelic and

Hyde, 2000; Weber et al., 2013). Independently of the lesion type, Müller glia (MG),

acting as resident stem cells, are the primary cellular origin of regenerated cells.

Specifically, zebrafish MG react to the lesion by partial dedifferentiation and cell cycle

re-entry, followed by an asymmetric division producing renewed MG and multipotent

RPCs (Gorsuch and Hyde, 2014; Lahne et al., 2020b; Lenkowski and Raymond, 2014;

Wan and Goldman, 2016). Dedifferentiation of MG to a progenitor-like state is not

complete, as MG upregulate progenitor-associated genes like *pax6* or *vsx2*, but retain

their glial morphology. In this context, glial markers like Glial Fibrillary Acidic Protein

(*gfap*) as well as glutamine synthase (*glula*) have been reported to be either retained

or downregulated, depending on the lesion paradigm, the regeneration time point, the

antibody and the transgenic line used to label MG (Fimbel et al., 2007; Lenkowski and

Raymond, 2014; Nagashima et al., 2013; Thummel et al., 2008). However, in all

reported cases, MG-derived RPCs do not express *gfap* (Lenkowski and Raymond,

2014; Thummel et al., 2008). Asymmetric cell division of MG occurs between 31 hours

and 2 days post-lesion, followed by further proliferation of MG-derived RPCs that peaks

at  4-5 days post lesion, depending on the injury paradigm (Bernardos et al., 2007;

Fausett and Goldman, 2006; Fimbel et al., 2007; Nagashima et al., 2013). Studies on

zebrafish retina regeneration have so far mainly focused on very early phases of MG

dedifferentiation and RPC production (Fausett et al., 2008; Nelson et al., 2013;

Ramachandran et al., 2011, 2010; Thummel et al., 2010, 2008; Wan et al., 2012; Zhao

et al., 2014). Recent work showed that MG initially assume a reactive state,

characterized by the expression of *hmga1a* and *yap1*, prior to giving rise to

proliferative, neurogenic RPCs (Hoang et al., 2020). Consequently, impaired Yap1 or

Hmga1a function impedes MG reprogramming and neurogenesis in the regenerating

retina (Hoang et al., 2020). In contrast, the molecular and cellular identities of self-

renewed MG and MG-derived RPCs remain elusive. RPCs have fusiform nuclei that

closely associate with GFAP-positive glial fibers across the inner nuclear layer. They

also express markers of multipotency, like Pax6 and Sox2, as well as markers of

neurogenesis, like Atoh7 (Fausett and Goldman, 2006; Gorsuch et al., 2017;

Nagashima et al., 2013; Raymond et al., 2006; Thummel et al., 2010). Previous studies

have investigated RPCs molecular identity mainly at the population level (Cameron et

al., 2005; Hoang et al., 2020; Kassen et al., 2007; Kramer et al., 2021; Lahne et al.,

2020a; Morris et al., 2011; Ng Chi Kei et al., 2017; Powell et al., 2016; Qin et al., 2009;

Ramachandran et al., 2012; Thummel et al., 2008). However, a detailed

characterization of the transcriptional network underlying RPC production and RPC

differentiation, as well as the lineage relationships between MG, RPCs and differentiating progeny is currently missing. Here, we profiled the transcriptome of MG and MG-derived RPCs in response to an intense light lesion, and followed their differentiation trajectories towards regenerated retinal neurons at the single cell level. In particular, we addressed the transcriptional state of reactive MG prior to and after their asymmetric cell division. Moreover, we addressed whether MG-derived RPCs are heterogeneous and to what extent they express molecular markers that are observed during embryonic retinogenesis (Xu et al., 2020). To this aim, we employed a short-term lineage tracing strategy that allowed the isolation of individual MG and MG-derived cells of the regenerating zebrafish retina, which were subsequently subjected to droplet-based, 10x Genomics single cell RNA sequencing (scRNAseq). We find that MG can be non-reactive in uninjured and lesioned conditions, as well as reactive upon lesion. Reactive MG give rise to a hybrid cell population, which replenishes the pool of MG as well as feeds into the pool of neurogenic RPCs. Moreover, MG-derived RPCs are highly heterogeneous and display a progressive restriction in their competence during subsequent differentiation. They upregulate developmental markers of retinogenesis and produce regenerated neurons partially according to the developmental birth order, with retinal ganglion cells born first and bipolar cells born last.

**RESULTS**

**Isolation of individual MG, RPCs and regenerated progeny using fluorescent reporters**

Previous work on the regenerating zebrafish retina described the early phases of MG

de-differentiation and RPC production (Cameron et al., 2005; Fausett et al., 2008;

Fausett and Goldman, 2006; Lahne et al., 2015; Nagashima et al., 2013; Nelson et al.,

2013; Thummel et al., 2010). However, knowledge on the molecular identity and cell

fate choices of RPCs is scarce and based on analyses at a population level (Cameron

et al., 2005; Hoang et al., 2020; Kramer et al., 2021; Lahne et al., 2020a; Ng Chi Kei

et al., 2017; Powell et al., 2016; Qin et al., 2009; Ramachandran et al., 2012; Thummel

et al., 2008). To study MG activation, RPC identity and their relationship with MG in

more detail, we profiled the transcriptome of MG and MG-derived RPCs and followed

their differentiation trajectories towards regenerated retinal neurons at the single cell

level. To do so, we devised a strategy to isolate individual MG, RPCs and regenerated

progeny, using retinae of uninjured and light-lesioned fish carrying the two fluorescent

reporters *pcna:EGFP* and *gfap:mCherry*. The former drives the expression of EGFP

under the regulatory elements of *proliferating cell nuclear antigen* (*pcna*), a known

marker of proliferating cells, and thereby labels actively dividing cells, and, due to the

persistence of EGFP, their short-term progeny. The other reporter drives expression

of mCherry under the regulatory elements of *glial fibrillary acidic protein* (*gfap*), a

known marker of zebrafish MG. Both transgenes recapitulate the endogenous

expression in the adult zebrafish retina faithfully (Figure1-figure supplement 1).

Immunohistochemistry against the proliferation marker PCNA co-labeled *pcna:EGFP*-

positive cells mainly in the ciliary marginal zone, one of the stem cells niches in the

adult zebrafish retina (Bernardos et al., 2007; Raymond et al., 2006). Occasionally,

*pcna:EGFP*/PCNA-double positive cells were observed in the central retina as well

(Figure1-figure supplement 1A). The MG-specific antibody Zrf1 labeled virtually all

*gfap:mCherry*-positive cells in the central retina as well as in the ciliary marginal zone

(Bernardos et al., 2007) (Figure1-figure supplement 1B). We also addressed the

expression of the two fluorescent reporter lines following light lesion, at three different

time points upon injury. First, 44 hours post light lesion (hpl), when MG are about to

undergo or have already completed their asymmetric cell division giving rise to the first

RPCs and self-renewed MG (Lahne et al., 2015; Nagashima et al., 2013). Second, 4

days post light lesion (dpl), representing the peak of RPC proliferation (Bernardos et

al., 2007; Fausett and Goldman, 2006) and third, 6 dpl, when the first differentiating,

regenerated progeny arise (Bernardos et al., 2007). Immunohistochemistry against

EGFP and mCherry in double transgenic *Tg(pcna:EGFP);Tg(gfap:mCherry)* animals

corroborated the expected expression pattern of the transgenes in the central retina at

the chosen time points (Figure1-figure supplement 2). Uninjured, central retinae

displayed no EGFP, but strong mCherry signal consistent with non-proliferating MG

(white arrowheads). In contrast, numerous EGFP/mCherry double-positive cells were

present at 44 hpl, indicating potential cell-cycle re-entry of MG (yellow arrowheads). At

4 dpl, overall mCherry expression was reduced, consistent with the previously

described downregulation of the *gfap* promoter by MG-derived RPCs. In contrast,

EGFP was strongly found throughout all retinal layers, matching the reported peak in

proliferation of MG-derived RPCs (red arrowheads) (Bernardos et al., 2007; Fausett

and Goldman, 2006; Fimbel et al., 2007; Lenkowski and Raymond, 2014; Thummel et

al., 2008). Finally, in comparison to 4 dpl, an increase in mCherry accompanies a

decline in EGFP signal at 6 dpl (yellow arrowhead). In order to obtain single cells of

MG, RPCs and regenerated progeny, we utilized the persistence of EGFP and

mCherry fluorescence, providing a short-term label. Initially, only MG express mCherry

in uninjured, central retinae, but activate EGFP in preparation of the asymmetric cell

division upon light lesion at 44 hpl (Figure 1A). Firstborn RPCs inherit high levels of

persisting fluorescent mCherry protein from dividing MG and slowly degrade/dilute the

protein during subsequent rounds of cell division. Hence, MG-derived, but further

dividing, RPCs are EGFP-positive, but low mCherry-positive at 4 dpl. At 6 dpl, RPCs

have further diluted the mCherry reporter, thus displaying only a faint mCherry signal,

but remain EGFP-positive. Similarly, RPC-derived, regenerated progeny is

characterized by even lower levels of intrinsic mCherry fluorescence, and low EGFP

signal during subsequent cell differentiation at 6 dpl. Following this rationale, we

dissected retinae of uninjured and light-lesioned samples, dissociated them into single

cell suspensions that were subjected to flow cytometry followed by droplet-based, 10x

Genomics scRNAseq (Figure 1B). Flow cytometry plots confirmed the rationale of the

above-mentioned strategy (Figure 1C and Figure1-figure supplement 3). In all

samples, we detected non-fluorescent and EGFP-positive only cells, consistent with

non-proliferating and proliferating cells in the adult zebrafish retina. We also detected

cell populations expressing only mCherry at all sampled time points, most likely

representing non-reactive MG. In addition to cells expressing either EGFP or mCherry,

we find EGFP/mCherry-double positive cells in all samples consistent with proliferating

MG originating from the ciliary marginal zone and the central retina (see Figure1-figure

supplement 1 and 2). The EGFP/mCherry-double positive population increased at 44

hpl, presumably due to an increase in the cell number of reactive, proliferating MG. At

4 dpl, the expanded EGFP/mCherry-double positive cell population was still present,

but displayed a lower mCherry, yet an increased EGFP signal, in line with MG-derived

RPCs, peaking in proliferation (Bernardos et al., 2007; Fausett and Goldman, 2006).

At 6 dpl, a further decreased mCherry intensity was observed for the EGFP/mCherry-

double positive cell population. In order to profile the transcriptome of individual MG,

RPCs and progeny of the uninjured and light lesioned retinae, we dissected retinae of

the before-mentioned time points (uninjured, 44 hpl, 4 dpl, 6 dpl) and eventually sorted

15.000 cells per time point. We applied a sorting gate that included both mCherry-

positive only and EGFP/mCherry-double positive cells at the sampled time points

(Figure 1C and Figure 1-figure supplement 3). Non-fluorescent as well as EGFP- only

positive cells were not included. Taken together, we devised a short-term lineage

tracing strategy that allows the isolation of individual MG and MG-derived cells of the

regenerating zebrafish retina, which can be subjected to droplet-based,10x Genomics.

**207 scRNAseq identifies 15 distinct cell populations in the light-lesioned retina**

Sorted cells underwent 10x Genomics scRNAseq and 13.139 cells passed the

sequencing quality control. We detected a median of 1.407 genes and 4.061 mean

read counts per cell. Initially, we identified three clusters for the full dataset (Figure 2-

figure supplement 1A): a retinal core dataset and two additional clusters identified as

microglia and low-quality cells expressing many ribosomal transcripts (Figure 2-figure

supplement 1B). Both populations were excluded from the subsequent analysis. Re-

clustering of the core dataset, which eventually included 11.690 cells, revealed 15

potential, different cell populations (Figure 2A). From left to right on the UMAP, which

visualizes the cell lineage trajectory, we observed the progressive emergence of MG,

RPC and progeny clusters. We assigned the cellular identity by inspecting the top 100,

upregulated marker genes per cluster (supplementary file 1). In detail, we identified

four MG clusters (clusters 1 to 4) that locate to the left and middle portion of the map,

followed by five RPC clusters (clusters 5 to 9) locating to the right side of the map.

Regenerated progeny branch from the RPCs and include retinal ganglion (cluster 10),

amacrine (cluster 13) and bipolar cells (cluster 15) at the bottom-right, as well as red

and blue cones (clusters 11 and 12) at the top-right of the map. Additionally, there is a

second cluster of amacrine cells (cluster 14) that separates from the first amacrine cell

cluster.

To investigate how the composition of cells changed during regeneration, we

computed embedding densities for each time point (Figure 2B). Similar to the

progression of cellular identities, cells progressed over time from left to right, from the

uninjured, to the 44 hpl, the 4 dpl, as well as the 6 dpl enriched samples. As expected,

cells belonging to non-reactive MG were found at all sampled time points. In particular,

the vast majority of cells of the uninjured sample belonged to cluster 1 as well as cluster

2. However, we also observed a small proportion of cells (Figure 2B) aligning in a

trajectory from non-reactive MG (left side of the map) through reactive MG (middle

part) to RPCs and differentiating progeny (right side) in the uninjured sample. At 44

hpl, cells mapping to non-reactive MG were still abundantly present, although mostly

shifted right towards a cluster representing reactive MG (cluster 3). Cells belonging to

this cluster of reactive MG were also highly enriched in this sample. In contrast, cells

mapping to the second cluster of reactive MG (cluster 4) as well as to RPCs (clusters

5-9) were overrepresented at 4 dpl, in line with the reported peak in proliferation at this

time point (Bernardos et al., 2007; Fausett and Goldman, 2006). Cells mapping to

RPCs were still frequently present at 6 dpl. Moreover, cells belonging to regenerated

progeny (clusters 10-15), which first appear at 4 dpl, became predominantly enriched

at 6 dpl. In addition to the overall progression from left to right, we noted that cells

mapping to reactive MG of the second cluster (cluster 4) and the abutting portion of

non-reactive MG (cluster 1) were also enriched at this time point, indicating a reversed

direction.

Analysis of the cell cycle state further supported our cluster annotation. Specifically,

known cell cycle marker genes were used to calculate the predicted cell cycle state

(Macosko et al., 2015; Tirosh et al., 2016; Whitfield et al., 2002), and the cell cycle

phase (G1, S, G2/M) was projected onto the UMAP of the single cell transcriptome

(Figure 2C). Differentiating or differentiated cells were considered as cells in G1 (Wu

et al., 2021). Consistently, we observed that most cells of the left side and lower middle

part as well as cells branching from the right side of the map were in G1, which

comprised most non-reactive MG (clusters 1 and 2), one cluster of reactive MG (cluster

4), one RPC population (cluster 7) and the regenerated progeny (clusters 10-15). Cells

in S phase were also present on the left side of the map, which represent non-reactive

MG (clusters 1 and 2), but were in particular enriched in two domains of the middle

part corresponding to reactive MG (cluster 3) and RPCs (clusters 5 and 7). In addition,

two domains on the right side of the map showed an accumulation of cells in S phase,

which include photoreceptor and horizontal cell precursors (clusters 8 and 9). The

pattern of cells in G2/M phase complemented the pattern of cells in G1 and S phase.

Cell proliferation pattern was further confirmed by *pcna* expression (Figure 2D). When

plotting *pcna* expression onto the main UMAP of the single cell transcriptome, we

observed high counts in one population of reactive MG (cluster 3) and in RPCs

(clusters 5, 6, 8 and 9). In summary, scRNAseq analysis enabled the establishment of

a transcriptome map, which reveals the progressive emergence of non-reactive MG,

reactive MG and MG-derived progenitors and regenerated progeny.

**scRNAseq identifies two populations of non-reactive and two of reactive MG in**

**the light-lesioned retina**

MG in the homeostatic zebrafish retina are characterized by the expression of GFAP,

as well as other canonical glial markers, like *apoeb*, *glula*, *cahz*, *rlbp1a* (Bernardos and

Raymond, 2006; Peterson et al., 2001; Raymond et al., 2006; Thummel et al., 2008;

Yurco and Cameron, 2005). In our scRNAseq dataset, we found clusters 1 and 2

expressing *apoeb*, *glula*, *cahz*, *rlbp1a* as marker genes (Figure 2-figure supplement 2

and supplementary file 1). When plotting *apoeb* expression onto the UMAP, we

observed high counts in clusters 1 and 2, as well as in clusters 3 and 4 (Figure 3A).

The glial marker *gfap*, found among the top 100 upregulated marker genes for cluster

4 (supplementary file 1), showed a similar pattern, with high counts in clusters 1 to 4,

but displayed high counts in cluster 5 as well (Figure 3A). Given the expression pattern

of *apoeb* and *gfap*, we identified clusters 1 to 4 as MG populations. Further analysis

indicated clusters 1 and 2 as non-reactive MG and clusters 3 and 4 as reactive MG

(Figure 3B, C). Non-reactive MG, which were mostly in G1 and did not express any

injury-specific markers (Figure 2-figure supplement 2) showed counts for *mstnb*

(Figure 3B). In addition, members of the retinoic acid signalling pathway, like *rdh10a*,

*aldh1a3*, *dhrs3a* (D'Aniello et al., 2015; Feng et al., 2010; Holmes and Hempel, 2011)

were enriched in these clusters (Figure 3-figure supplement 1A, supplementary file 2).

We next looked into differentially expressed genes (DEG) between the non-reactive

MG clusters 1 and 2. For instance, the UMAP plot of *inhbaa*, an evolutionarily

conserved vertebrate glia marker (Hoang et al., 2020) showed strong expression only

in clusters 1, 3 and 4, but not in cluster 2 (Figure 3B, Figure 3-figure supplement 1A,

and supplementary file 2). Reactive MG on the other hand downregulated some glial

markers, like *glula* and *rlbp1a*, and upregulated inflammatory cytokines, like *crlf1a*,

matrix metalloproteases, like *mmp9*, and growth factors like *hbegfa* in the regenerating

retina (Figure 2-figure supplement 2, Figure 3-figure supplement 1B, and

supplementary file 1). Consistent with that, plotting of *crlf1a* expression onto the UMAP

showed high read counts in clusters 3 and 4, as well as in cluster 5 (Figure 3C). Again,

DEG analysis showed that reactive MG can be subdivided further, because only cluster

3, but not cluster 4 expressed Hairy-related downstream targets of active Notch

signalling including *her15.1-1*, *her9* and *her4.1* (Figure 2-figure supplement 2, Figure

3-figure supplement 1B, and supplementary file 3). Indeed, the UMAP plot of *her4.1*

showed strong expression in cluster 3 as well as in cluster 5 (Figure 3C). To validate

the expression of *her4.1* in the regenerating retina we used *in situ* hybridization. In

agreement with our scRNAseq dataset, *her4.1* signal was not present in the uninjured

retina, but appeared at 44 hpl in the inner nuclear layer of the central retina (Figure

3D). At 4 and 6 dpl, *her4.1* transcripts remained in the inner nuclear layer and appeared

in cells of the outer nuclear layer too (Figure 3D). We further analysed the expression

of *crlf1a*, a marker of reactive MG, with fluorescent *in situ* hybridization combined with

immunohistochemistry against GFAP (located mainly to MG fibers) and the

proliferation marker PCNA (Figure 4). Consistent with our scRNAseq dataset, *crlf1a*

was not detectable in the uninjured, central retina, but was upregulated in Zrf1/PCNA-

double positive cells at 44 hpl (Figure 4A, B). At 4 dpl, *crlf1a* signal was still present in

Zrf1/PCNA-double positive cells and returned back to undetectable levels at 6 dpl

(Figure 4A, B). Taken together, we observed cellular heterogeneity in MG during

regeneration, and identified two non-reactive and two reactive MG populations that

express distinct marker genes.

**scRNAseq identifies a transient cell population with hybrid characteristics of**
**reactive MG and early RPCs in the light-lesioned retina**

As mentioned above, several MG-related genes, like *gfap* or *crlf1a*, were also

expressed in cluster 5, which locates next to the reactive MG (clusters 3 and 4), is

highly proliferative and only becomes eminent at 4 dpl. Further analysis of this cluster

showed expression of several members of the High Mobility Group (Hmg) protein

family among the top 100 upregulated marker genes (supplementary file 1). Consistent

with that, the UMAP expression profile of *hmgb2b* showed presence of high counts

already in cluster 3 (reactive MG), as well as in other, further downstream RPC clusters

and regenerating progeny (Figure 5A). This indicated a transition from MG to RPC fate

in the course of regeneration and that cells of cluster 5 might have hybrid

characteristics of reactive MG and downstream RPCs. Hence, we conducted a DEG

analysis of cluster 5 in comparison to reactive MG (clusters 3 and 4), as well as to the

neighbouring, downstream RPCs (cluster 6). When compared to reactive MG, cells of

cluster 5 showed expression of several genes, including *stmn1a*, *nr2e1* and *sox9b*

(Figure 3-figure supplement 1C, supplementary files 4 and 5). Consistently, UMAP

expression profile of *stmn1a* showed counts prevalently in cluster 5 and neighbouring,

downstream RPCs on the right side of the map, but not in the reactive or non-reactive

MG on the left side (Figure 5A). The UMAP profiles of *sox9b* and *nr2e1* showed strong

expression almost exclusively restricted to cluster 5. DEG analysis between cluster 5

and the neighbouring downstream cluster 6 revealed also expression of *nr2e1* and

*sox9b*, but additionally transcripts like *id1* (Figure 3-figure supplement 1D and

supplementary file 6). Consistently, high *id1* counts were found in cluster 5 and in other

reactive or non-reactive MG clusters, but not in downstream RPCs on the right side of

the map (Figure 5A). In order to validate the RNAseq data, we analysed the expression

of *hmgb2b* and *id1* transcripts in the regenerating retina using *in situ* hybridization and

fluorescent *in situ* hybridization combined with immunohistochemistry, respectively.

Consistent with our scRNAseq data, *hmgb2b* signal was not detectable in the

uninjured, central retina, but was initiated in individual cells residing in the inner nuclear

layer at 44 hpl (Figure 5B). Subsequently, *hmgb2b* transcripts could be detected in

clusters of cells in the inner and outer nuclear layers at 4 and 6 dpl (Figure 5B). The

expression of *id1* in the regenerating tissue matched the scRNAseq data. Expression

of *id1* was already detectable in Zrf1-positive but PCNA-negative cells in the inner

nuclear layer of the uninjured, central retina (Figure 6A, B). At 44 hpl, *id1* was strongly

upregulated and co-localized with Zrf1/PCNA-double positive cells in the inner nuclear

layer. At 4 and 6 dpl, *id1* expression was still associated with Zrf1/PCNA-double

positive cells and, occasionally, with PCNA-only positive cells (Figure 6A, B). In

summary, marker analysis reveals that cells in cluster 5 display a transcriptional

signature of reactive MG, as well as RPCs, which is why we assigned it a hybrid

identity.

**scRNAseq identifies two neurogenic progenitor populations in the light-lesioned**

**retina**

The before-mentioned DEG-analysis between cluster 5 (hybrid cells) and cluster 6

(neighbouring, downstream RPCs) also revealed that the latter upregulated *insm1a*

(Figure 3-figure supplement 1D and supplementary file 6), which has been described

to promote cell cycle exit of RPCs in the injured fish retina at 4 dpl (Ramachandran et

al., 2012). In addition, our DEG analysis showed upregulation of *atoh7* and *onecut2* in

cluster 6 (Figure 3-figure supplement 1D and supplementary file 6). We found that the

highly redundant gene *onecut1* was also expressed in cluster 6, albeit this was not

found in the DEG analysis. As expected, the UMAP expression profile of *insm1a*

confirmed high read counts in cluster 6 but also in clusters 7, 8 and 10 (Figure 7A).

The UMAP expression profile of *atoh7* also confirmed expression in cluster 6, as well

as in clusters 7 and 10 (Figure 7A). Moreover, the UMAP expression profile of *onecut1*

and *onecut2* confirmed that both genes were expressed in clusters 6 and 7 and in

addition in cluster 9 (Figure 7A). Cluster 7 expressed additional neurogenic marker

genes like *tubb5* and *sox11b* (Baraban et al., 2013; Lange et al., 2020; Mu et al., 2016)

(Figure 3-figure supplement 1D and supplementary file 1). Finally, the cell cycle status

and the UMAP expression of *mki67,* a mitotic marker, showed read counts in cluster

6, but not in cluster 7 (Figure 7A). Hence, we concluded that cluster 6 and cluster 7

represented neurogenic progenitors, and were named progenitors 2 and progenitors

3, respectively. To validate *atoh7*, *onecut1* and *onecut2* expression in the regenerating

retina, we employed again *in situ* hybridization. In agreement with our scRNAseq data,

*atoh7* signal was absent in the uninjured and 44 hpl retina, but became detectable in

the inner and outer nuclear layers at 4 and 6 dpl (Figure 7B). Similarly, *onecut1* and

*onecut2* were prominently expressed in the inner and outer nuclear layer at 4 and 6

dpl, in addition to their homeostatic expression in the presumptive horizontal cell layer

in the uninjured and 44 hpl retina (Figure 7B, black arrowheads). In summary,

scRNAseq showed heterogeneity of neurogenic progenitors, which upregulate known

markers of retinogenesis in the regenerating retina.

**scRNAseq identifies fate-restricted progenitors in the light-lesioned retina**

Gene expression analysis of cluster 8, which locates next to the neurogenic progenitors

(cluster 6 and 7), showed significant upregulation of several well-known photoreceptor

precursor markers, including *crx*, and *thrb* (supplementary file 1). Consistently, UMAP

plots for *crx* and *thrb* revealed high counts in cluster 8 (Figure 8A). Moreover, the

former was also highly expressed in clusters 11 and 12, whereas the latter was

restricted to the additional cluster 1. Subsequent further gene expression analysis

identified clusters 11 and 12 as differentiating cone photoreceptors arising from cluster

8. Precisely, clusters 11 and 12 represent differentiating photoreceptors giving rise to

blue and red cones as shown by the UMAP plots of *opn1sw2* and *opn1lw2*, respectively

(Figure 8B). Cluster 9, which abuts the neurogenic progenitor 3 (cluster 7), expressed

known developmental markers of horizontal cell precursors like *prox1a* and *rem1*

(supplementary file 1). Consistently, the UMAP plot for *rem1* showed high expression

almost restricted to this cluster specifically. Gene expression analysis also revealed

*ptf1a* expression in cluster 9, which has been shown to be required for lineage

specification of horizontal and amacrine cells in the developing retina (Jusuf et al.,

2011; Jusuf and Harris, 2009). In line with these findings, the UMAP expression profile

of *ptf1a* confirms high counts in the left part of cluster 9, but is even more pronounced

in the upstream part of cluster 7 feeding into cluster 9 (Figure 8C). Gene expression

analysis of the remaining clusters 10, 13, 14 and 15 identified them as differentiating

progeny giving rise to retinal ganglion cells (cluster 10), amacrine cells (clusters 13 and

14), as well as bipolar cells (cluster 15), respectively (supplementary file 1). In this

context, UMAP plots of *gap43* and the more mature retinal ganglion cell marker *isl2b*

showed a strong upregulation in cluster 10 (Figure 8D). UMAP plots of *stx1b* and

*pvalb6*, markers of differentiating and mature amacrine cells, revealed strong

expression in clusters 13 and 14, whereas UMAP plots of *vsx1* and *samsn1a*, known

and novel markers of bipolar cells, respectively, showed high counts in cluster 15

(Figure 8E, F).

With this respect, it was recently shown that the regenerating adult zebrafish retina

recapitulates developmental fate specification programs (Lahne et al., 2020a). Hence,

we plotted the fraction of cells that differentiate into a specific cell fate during the time

course of regeneration (Figure 8-figure supplement 1). We found that the earliest

committed progeny emerged at 4 dpl giving rise to retinal ganglion cells or to

photoreceptors, in particular red cones. At 6 dpl, the number of retinal ganglion cells

and red cones continued to increase. In addition, we detected a significant number of

blue cones and amacrine cells, as well as a small number of bipolar cells at this time

point. We did not detect any differentiating progeny giving rise to horizontal cells or

rods at this stage. To address this issue, we further investigated the expression of more

mature neuronal markers in our dataset (Figure 8-figure supplement 2). Expression of

*rho* and *gnat1*, two markers of rod photoreceptors, was very low and sparse, and did

not define any specific rod cluster (Figure 8-figure supplement 2A). Expression of

*gad1b*, which encodes for glutamate decarboxylase synthetizing the neurotransmitter

GABA, was mostly restricted to amacrine cells (clusters 13 and 14) (Figure 8-figure

supplement 2B). To address the expression of more mature markers of amacrine and

horizontal cells, we investigated *chata* (*choline O-acetyltransferase a*, an amacrine

cell-specific marker), as well as *calb2a* and *calb2b* (*calbindin 2a* and *calbindin 2b*,

markers of amacrine and horizontal cells) (Figure 8-figure supplement 2B, C). As

expected, high counts of *chata* were specifically found in amacrine cells (clusters 13

and 14). In particular, *chata* expression was detected only in cells located at the tip of

cluster 13 and in all cells of cluster 14. *calb2a* was expressed in some reactive MG

(cluster 3) and quite strongly in some amacrine cells (cluster 13). Importantly, we did

not detect any *calb2a* and *calb2b* in horizontal cell precursors (cluster 9).

We also checked the expression of transcripts for variants of protein kinase C using

*prkcab* and *prkcbb* (*protein kinase alpha b*, *protein kinase beta b*) to identify mature

bipolar cells (Figure 8-figure supplement 2D). Counts for *prkcab* were sparsely found

in some non-reactive and reactive MG (clusters 1-4). *prkcbb*-positive cells were found

more frequently in some non-reactive and reactive MG (clusters 1-4), progenitor 1

(cluster 5), as well as in amacrine cells (clusters 13, 14). Importantly, we did not detect

*prkcab* or *prkcbb* in our bipolar cells. When plotting the expression of general synaptic

markers like *snap25a* and *snap25b*, we observed mainly transcripts in the

differentiating progeny (Figure 8-figure supplement 2E). Specifically, high counts of

*snap25a* were found in retinal ganglion and amacrine cells (clusters 10, 13 and 14).

High counts of *snap25b* were observed in the same clusters as well as in red and blue

cones (clusters 11 and 12) and the right part of the horizontal cell precursors (cluster

9). Taken together, our scRNAseq data reveal the presence of fate-restricted

progenitors and the sequential appearance of differentiating progeny in the light-

lesioned, adult zebrafish retina. Moreover, the analysis of more mature markers shows

that the differentiation of retinal ganglion cells and red cones is preceding the

appearance of blue cones, amacrine and bipolar cells.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we employed a short-term lineage tracing strategy, which allowed the

selective enrichment of MG and their respective progeny for up to six days post light

lesion. Subsequent scRNAseq resolved the transcriptome of individual MG, RPCs as

well as regenerated progeny, and allowed to reconstruct their lineage relationship in

the homeostatic and regenerating zebrafish retina (Figure 1). A previous study

combined bulk RNA sequencing of sorted MG with scRNAseq of whole retinae from

zebrafish upon NMDA as well as light lesions (Hoang et al., 2020). This work focussed

specifically on the early reprogramming of MG and progenitor production, covering up

to 36 hours post light lesion. The authors report that MG go through an activated, non-

proliferative state characterized by upregulation of gliosis markers. However, neither

did they find any evidence of MG heterogeneity in uninjured conditions nor did they

provide any thorough characterization of MG-derived RPCs (Hoang et al., 2020).

Additional RNA sequencing studies of the regenerating zebrafish retina have used

microarray as well as RT-PCR and qRT-PCR to evaluate transcriptional changes at a

population level, without providing any MG/RPC single cell resolution  (Cameron et al.,

2005; Craig et al., 2008; Kassen et al., 2007; Kramer et al., 2021; Morris et al., 2011;

Ng Chi Kei et al., 2017; Qin et al., 2009). Previous immunohistochemistry and *in situ*

hybridization experiments provided a broad description of MG-derived RPCs. Indeed,

progenitors are reported as fusiform cells that proliferate and express markers of

multipotency like Pax6 and Sox2, as well as some neurogenic markers like Atoh7,

Ptf1a, Vsx1 and others  (Bernardos et al., 2007; Fausett and Goldman, 2006; Fimbel

et al., 2007; Gorsuch et al., 2017; Lahne et al., 2020a; Ramachandran et al., 2012). In

contrast to these previous results, our study is the first providing a comprehensive

overview of MG, MG-derived cells and their lineage relationship up to 6 days post

lesion in the zebrafish retina. Due to our selective enrichment of MG and their

respective progeny, we gain an adequate single cell resolution to observe substantial

heterogeneity in the molecular identity of MG in homeostatic as well as regenerating

conditions. We also provide an in depth description of MG as well as RPC molecular

identity, cell fate decisions and production of regenerated progeny that cover all key

aspects during zebrafish retina regeneration. Our strategy allowed us to define two

main trajectories in the regenerating zebrafish retina (Figure 9). The first trajectory

(solid and dashed red lines) comprises clusters 1 to 5 and constitutes a closed cycle

that both maintains the MG pool and generates the first-born neurogenic RPCs. The

second trajectory (solid black lines) involves clusters 6 to 15 and gives rise to all retinal

neurons via the progressive restriction in cell fate competence of neurogenic RPCs.

We discuss the two trajectories separately.

**497    Müller glia self-renew and give rise to early, multipotent progenitors**

The glial trajectory starts with non-reactive MG (clusters 1 and 2), which express

canonical glial markers like *gfap*, *apoeb* and additional genes like *glula*, *rlbp1a* and

*cahz* that ensure the housekeeping function of MG during homeostasis (Figure 3A,

Figure 2-figure supplement 2, supplementary file 1). Most cells in the non-reactive MG

clusters are quiescent based on the analysis of the cell cycle, and are frequently found

in the uninjured, but also in the lesioned retina at all sampled time points (Figure 2).

However, some non-reactive MG are in S or G2/M phases of the cell cycle, and

express *pcna*, albeit at a lower level as compared to the expression in injury-induced,

proliferating cell clusters (Figure 2C, D). This is consistent with the proposed model

that zebrafish MG proliferate occasionally also in the uninjured, central retina and

generate rod progenitors that feed the rod precursor pool at the base of the outer

nuclear layer (Bernardos et al., 2007; Stenkamp, 2007). Accordingly, we detect PCNA-

positive cells in the uninjured, central retina (Figure 1-figure supplement 1) as well as

a small fraction of cells that align in a trajectory from non-reactive MG on the left

through reactive MG in the middle, to photoreceptor precursors on the right side of the

UMAP in the uninjured retina (Figure 2B). However, some cells end up in the amacrine

as well as retinal ganglion cell clusters. This suggests that MG might have the potential

to generate not only rods, but also all other retinal neurons in the homeostatic adult

retina. Indeed, MG in the uninjured retina express, albeit at a low level, multipotency

markers like *pax6*, and share many marker genes with RPCs (Bernardos et al., 2007;

Blackshaw et al., 2004; Raymond et al., 2006). Still, MG and injury-induced RPCs are

considered distinct cell populations. Upon injury, MG divide only once between 31 and

48 hpl, whereas MG-derived RPCs continue to divide, reaching a peak of proliferation

at 4-5 dpl (discussed in Fausett and Goldman, 2006; Lahne et al., 2020a; Lenkowski

and Raymond, 2014; Thummel et al., 2008). We isolated cells at 44 hpl, a time point

when MG are about to undergo, or already underwent, their asymmetric cell division

(Bernardos et al., 2007; Lahne et al., 2015; Lenkowski and Raymond, 2014;

Nagashima et al., 2013) and identify cells in cluster 3 as early reactive MG. Consistent

with that, we find that cells in cluster 3 do not only express *gfap* and *apoeb*, but also

*pcna* (Figure 2D, 3A). Moreover, the predicted cell cycle status supports this notion.

Whereas cells in the left part of cluster 3 are mostly in G1, cells in G2/M phase are

found in the right part, with cells in S phase overlapping with both domains (Figure 2C).

Cells in cluster 3 also upregulate inflammation-related markers like *crlf1a*, as well as

*mmp9* and *hbegfa* (Figure 3C, 4, Figure 3-figure supplement 1B and supplementary

file 1). The upregulation of inflammatory genes makes MG similar to immune cells (Silva et al., 2020; Wan et al., 2012; Zhao et al., 2014). In this context, our population of early reactive MG (cluster 3), identified mostly at 44 hpl, resembles the reactive MG pool found in the regenerating zebrafish retina at 36 hpl (Hoang et al., 2020). However, our MG cluster is proliferative, whereas the one discussed by the mentioned study is not. The presence of a distinct pool of non-proliferative, reactive MG as early as 36 hpl in the earlier study might be explained by the different time points of sample collection, and/or by the lower number of cells sequenced in our experiment (11.690) in comparison to the previous one (45.153) (Hoang et al., 2020). In summary, MG in the homeostatic zebrafish retina behave like multipotent RPCs. Upon injury, reactive MG express genes associated with inflammation, like *crlf1a*, and undergo asymmetric cell division. Daughter cells derived from this cell division most likely map to cluster 5, which is discussed below.

**A crossroad in the glial trajectory: hybrid cells with MG and RPC characteristics**

The close similarity between zebrafish MG and MG-derived RPCs at the level of expressed markers as well as cellular and subcellular morphology has been described extensively in the literature (Fausett and Goldman, 2006; Lahne et al., 2020b; Lenkowski and Raymond, 2014). Thus, we suggest that cells in cluster 5, which displays a transcriptional hybrid identity between MG and RPCs, are the product of the asymmetric cell division from the early reactive MG (cluster 3). Cells in cluster 5 are still *gfap* positive, and share marker expression with early reactive MG, like *her4.1* and *crlf1a* (Figure 3A, C). However, cluster 5 is highly proliferative and becomes only prominent at 4 dpl, a time point when predominantly RPCs are proliferating (Bernardos et al., 2007; Fausett and Goldman, 2006; Lenkowski and Raymond, 2014; Nagashima

et al., 2013). Consistently, *pcna* is highly expressed in cluster 5 and the predicted cell

cycle status indicates that most cells are in S or G2/M phase (Figure 2C, D). Moreover,

previous studies have found *her4.1* and *crlf1a* in MG-derived RPCs in the light- as well

as stab-lesioned retina, where they control RPC proliferation at 4 dpl (Wan et al., 2012;

Wilson et al., 2016; Zhao et al., 2014). We found that *her4.1* at 4 and 6 dpl locates to

the inner nuclear layer as well as to the outer nuclear layer, where presumptive RPCs

reside at this time point (Figure 3D) (Campbell et al., 2022; Wilson et al., 2016). In

addition, cells in cluster 5 upregulate *sox9b* and *nr2e1*, which have been found in early,

multipotent RPCs of the developing fish, avian and mammalian retinae, as well as in

late retinogenesis, where they regulate MG genesis (Buenaventura et al., 2018; Corso-

Díaz and Simpson, 2015; Kitambi and Hauptmann, 2007; Muto et al., 2009; Poché et

al., 2008). Hence, we propose that cells in cluster 5 represent a crossroad of the glial

and neurogenic trajectory, containing self-renewed MG as well as first-born, MG-

derived RPCs as a direct result of the earlier (44 hpl) asymmetric MG cell division. We

further propose that self-renewed MG close the cycle via late reactive MG (cluster 4)

and return into non-reactive MG (clusters 1 and 2, red, dashed line in Figure 9). In

contrast, MG-derived RPCs embark into the neurogenic pathway (cluster 6, solid, black

line). In an alternative model, cells in cluster 5 might represent only MG cells that have

upregulated early RPC markers like *sox9b* and *nr2e1* and are dividing a second time

at 4 dpl to generate renewed MG (cluster 4) and neurogenic RPCs (cluster 6).

However, MG have been described to divide only once between 31 and 42 hpl and

additional and/or asynchronous MG divisions later than 2 dpl have not been

investigated (Lahne et al., 2015; Lenkowski and Raymond, 2014; Nagashima et al.,

2013). BrdU/EdU lineage tracing experiments will be required to address this issue,

with the caveat that MG and RPCs are hardly distinguishable from each other in the 4

dpl retina, especially when using the Zrf1 antibody to label MG (Fausett and Goldman,

2006; Raymond et al., 2006). Live imaging of the regenerating retina would be the best

tool to follow MG and MG-derived progenitors *in vivo*, but the technique is not optimized

yet for long recordings from the intact tissue in the living animal (Lahne et al., 2015).

Either way, our proposed model of self-renewing MG is further supported by the

change of cell density over time (Figure 2B). Following the cells across the four time

points on the UMAP, we observe that initially most cells of the uninjured sample map

to non-reactive MG (clusters 1 and 2) located at the far left. At 44 hpl, the cell density

shifts towards the upper right portion of cluster 1 and towards the early reactive MG

(cluster 3) in the upper, middle of the map. At 4 dpl, sampled cells mostly map to RPCs

(clusters 5-9) on the right, as well as to late reactive MG (cluster 4) in the lower, middle

part. Additionally, cells mapping to the lower right part of non-reactive MG (clusters 1

and 2) are enriched in this sample, indicating a reverse direction from right to left. This

population is significantly further increased at 6 dpl, when the number of RPCs is less

prominent and the differentiating neural types at the top and bottom right are

predominantly present in the sample. According to this model, sample collection at

even later time points, e.g. 10 or 14 dpl, might show that the initial status has been

restored and that non-reactive MG are mostly enriched. However, it is unlikely that this

experiment could be performed with our short-term labelling strategy.

**The neurogenic trajectory produces regenerated progeny that partially follow**

**the developmental order of neurogenesis**

Neurogenic RPCs map to the right of cluster 5, and include proliferating, neurogenic

RPCs (cluster 6) and the downstream, non-proliferating, neurogenic RPCs (cluster 7).

Cells in these clusters express *insm1a*, *atoh7* and additional neurogenic genes that

are required for the generation of several neuronal lineages (Figure 7, Figure 3-figure

supplement 1D and supplementary files 1 and 6). Indeed, *atoh7* expression has been

described to be initiated in RPCs on their way to the last cell division giving rise to a

retinal ganglion cell and another cell that can be either an amacrine cell, or a precursor

of a horizontal or of a cone cell in the developing zebrafish retina (Amini et al., 2018;

Nerli et al., 2022; Poggi et al., 2005). Cluster 6 and 7 appear also highly similar to the

transient, neurogenic RPC state that has been described recently in the developing

mouse retina (Wu et al., 2021). We propose that cluster 6 and 7 are the roots of the

neurogenic trajectory from which fate-restricted RPCs and regenerated progeny arise

at 4 and 6 dpl (solid, black line in Figure 9). Cells in cluster 6 are *mki67*-positive, but

additionally express *insm1a*, which promotes RPC cell cycle exit at 4 dpl in the injured

retina (Ramachandran et al., 2012). Cells in cluster 6 might undergo their last cell

division, as suggested by the concomitant expression of *atoh7*. Consistently, in our

scRNAseq high counts of *atoh7* are found in cells of cluster 6, to cluster 7 and

eventually cluster 10. Cluster 10 represents differentiating retinal ganglion cells that

are the first regenerated progeny, evident as early as 4 dpl (Figure 7, 9 and Figure 8-

figure supplement 1).

While amacrine cells arise directly from the core of neurogenic RPCs, fate restricted

precursors for regenerated cones and for horizontal cells are identified (Figure 8 and

9), as it occurs during development (Godinho et al., 2007; Weber et al., 2014).

Consistently with the events during development, red cones (cluster 11) branch from

*thrb*-positive cells of the photoreceptor RPC cluster (cluster 8). Interestingly, *thrb*

transcripts are found in neurogenic RPCs of cluster 7 as well as at the beginning of

cluster 9, which contains horizontal cell precursors becoming evident at 4 dpl (Figure

8B). This is in agreement with observations in the developing zebrafish retina, where

horizontal and cone cells share a common Thrb-positive progenitor (Suzuki et al.,

2013). Mature markers of horizontal cells, like calbindins, are not found in cluster 9

(Figure 8B, Figure 8-figure supplement 2C and supplementary file 1), in contrast to

cone photoreceptor mature markers, like opsins, at 4 and 6 dpl. While it might be that

mature horizontal cells arise later than 6 dpl, their absence from our dataset could also

be due to our experimental set-up. As explained before, we isolated mCherry-only

positive and EGFP/mCherry-double positive cells to obtain MG and MG-derived cells.

Whereas mCherry, driven by a *gfap* promoter fragment, is actively transcribed in MG,

the label of MG-derived cells is completely dependent on the half-life of the fluorophore.

Protein turnover and further cell divisions would result in a constant depletion of

mCherry in MG-derived cells over time. In this context, we find a strong expression of

*pcna* in cluster 9, indicating that additional cell divisions might have diluted the mCherry

label to undetectable levels (Figure 2D). Inclusion of EGFP-positive only cells in our

sample collection may reveal the pool of mature horizontal cells, which inherit the

fluorophore from their *pcna:EGFP*-positive precursors. Accordingly, we find the

presence of horizontal cell precursor markers in our dataset. For instance, cells in

cluster 9 express *onecut1* and *onecut2*, which encode transcription factors and are

upregulated in horizontal cell RPCs in the developing mouse retina, and which regulate

horizontal cell maintenance in adult animals (Emerson et al., 2013; Klimova et al.,

2015; Sapkota et al., 2014). Counts for *onecut1* and *onecut2* are found also in earlier

neurogenic RPCs of clusters 6 and 7, where they most likely contribute to the transient

state of RPCs together with additional neurogenic markers, like *atoh7* (Wu et al., 2021).

This is again consistent with observations in the developing mouse retina, where

Onecut1 and Onecut2 regulate the development of  retinal ganglion cells, as well as

the development of cones, horizontal cells, and of a subset of amacrine cells, while

inhibiting rod specification genes (Emerson et al., 2013; Sapkota et al., 2014).

In addition to mature horizontal cells, we were also unable to detect any rod-specific

cluster expressing mature rod markers like *rho* and *gnat1* (Figure 8-figure supplement

2A). We assume that mature rods might arise as a third branch from the photoreceptor RPC cluster (Figure 9), which indeed expresses rod specification markers like *nr2e3* (supplementary file 1). We speculate that rods either become evident only later than 6 dpl, or that they do not survive the isolation procedure, since rods possess a complex morphology with long outer segments. A third possibility might be that rod photoreceptors are replenished by their dedicated rod precursors faster than the triggering of the MG-dependent injury response. In this context, rod precursors form a pool of cells at the base of the outer nuclear layer and proliferate as early as 16 hours post-light lesion (Morris et al., 2005; Stenkamp, 2011; Thummel et al., 2008). Since the already available pool of rod precursors would be *gfap*:mCherry-negative, we might have missed the derived rod progeny, given our sorting strategy. In summary, we show that in the light-lesioned retina, zebrafish MG go through an inflammatory-like state before generating hybrid MG/RPCs that eventually cycle-back to non-reactive MG as well generate neurogenic RPCs. The neurogenic RPCs progressively restrict their competence to produce neurons that include retinal ganglion cells first and bipolar cell last up to 6 dpl. Our study is the first comprehensive description of the molecular network underlying MG self-renewal and RPC differentiation to regenerating neurons which will inform future regenerative therapies to treat retinal dystrophies in humans.(Javed and Cayouette, 2017).

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Animals**

Zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) were raised as previously described (Brand et al., 2002). Adult fish (6-12 months old) of either sex were used for all experiments. WT animals were in the AB genetic background.

**Transgenic lines**

To generate the *Tg(pcna:EGFP)* (tud119), the BAC CH73-140L11, containing more than 100 kb of the genomic *pcna* locus, was obtained from the BACPAC resource centre. A cassette containing EGFP and a polyadenylation signal was recombined at the starting ATG of exon 1. First, FRTgb- neo-FRT and EGFP cassettes where amplified by PCR. Then, the cassettes where amplified and merged by fusion PCR with primers carrying 50 nucleotides of homology of the targeting sequence. Recombineering of the BAC was performed as previously described (Zhang et al., 1998). BAC DNA was purified and about 50 pg of BAC DNA were injected into fertilized eggs at the one cell stage. F0 were raised and incrossed. F1 were identified by visual screening for GFP expression and raised. The *Tg(gfap:nls-mCherry)* line was generated  as previously described (Lange et al., 2020). In addition, to the line described in Lange et al. (tud117tg), a second independent insertion was isolated (tud120). This insertion displays a stronger expression in MG and was hence used for this study.

**Light injury**

WT AB and *Tg(pcna:EGFP);Tg(gfap:nls-mCherry)* fish were dark adapted for 3 days. Afterwards, diffuse light lesion was performed as previously described (Weber et al., 2013). Briefly, up to 4 fish were placed in a beaker filled with system water (300 ml), positioned 3 cm away from the light bulb of a metal halide lamp (EXFO X-Cite 120W, EXFO Photonic Solutions, Mississauga, Ontario, Canada). Fish were exposed for 30 min to a bright light (≥ 100.000 lux), and then put back to system tanks in normal light

709 conditions. Uninjured fish controls were dark adapted and processed in parallel to light

710 injured animals.

**Dissociation of retinae to a single cell suspension**

Uninjured and light-lesioned *Tg(pcna:EGFP);Tg(gfap:nls-mCherry)* fish were exposed

to an overdose (0.2%) of MS-222 (Sigma) (Brand et al., 2002) until cessation of the

operculum movement. Subsequently, each cornea was poked with a needle, and

tweezers were gently pressured on each side of the eye to remove the lens. Then,

tweezers were used to carefully isolate the retinae, which were put in a dissociation

solution of papain (16 U/ml), dispase (0.2 U/ml) and DNAse (168 U/ml) dissolved in

Earle's Balanced Salt Solution (EBSS, Worthington Biochemical Corporation). Up to 6

retinae were put in 1.7 ml of dissociation solution. Retinae were digested for 30 minutes

at 28°C, and then calcein blue (final concentration: 1μM, Invitrogen) was added for 10

minutes to the digestion solution at 28°C to stain for living cells. At the end of the

enzymatic digestion, mechanical trituration was applied using fire-polished glass

pipettes of decreasing tip diameter to help tissue dissociation. Single cells were

centrifuged (300 g) at 4°C, for 6 minutes. The cell pellet was re-suspended in EBSS

supplemented with DNAse (100 U/ml) to eliminate residual, ambient DNA, and albumin

ovomucoid inhibitor (1:10 of stock solution, Worthington Biochemical Corporation) to

stop papain action. The single cell solution was eventually filtered (20 μm filters) to

remove clumps and debris, and put on ice, ready for Fluorescent Activated Cell Sorting

(FACS). Each single cell suspension was obtained by pooling 8 retinae (from 4 fish, 2

males and 2 females) of uninjured and light-lesioned

*Tg(pcna:EGFP);Tg(gfap:mCherry)* animals. Uninjured WT AB, *Tg(gfap:mCherry)* and

*Tg(pcna:EGFP)* fish were used to prepare single cell suspensions of dissected retinae

for setting the single fluorophore gates in flow cytometry. Additionally, a calcein only

stained single cell suspension was prepared from uninjured WT AB fish retinae for the

setting of the calcein gate in the flow cytometry.

**Flow cytometry and FACS**

A BD FACSAria™ III cell sorter was used for flow cytometry and FACS. mCherry

fluorophore was detected using a 561 nm excitation laser and a 610/20 nm band pass

filter, EGFP using a 488 nm laser and a 530/30 nm band pass filter and calcein blue

using a 405 nm laser and a 450/40 nm band pass filter. The gating strategy is shown

in Figure 1-supplemental figure 3 for uninjured samples and was the same for all the

light-lesioned samples. Forward and side scatters were used to gate cells among all

the events in the single cell suspension and to gate singlets among those cells (Figure

1-figure supplement 3A). mCherry-positive only as well as EGFP-positive only gates

were set in comparison to the unstained, WT control (Figure1-figure supplement 3B).

Calcein blue positive, single cells were eventually gated as living cells (60.000 living

cells from uninjured, 44 hpl and 4 dpl samples, 41.389 living cells from 6 dpl sample).

15.000 cells were sorted from each samples, put on ice and sent to the CMCB Deep

Sequencing Facility for single cell RNA sequencing (scRNAseq).

**(Droplet based) single cell transcriptomics**

Single cell transcriptome sequencing was performed based on the 10x Genomics

Single cell transcriptome workflow (Zheng et al., 2017). Specifically, 15.000 FACS-

sorted cells were recovered in BSA-coated tubes containing 5 ul PBS with 0.04% BSA.

Cell samples were carefully mixed with Reverse Transcription Reagent (Chromium

Single Cell 3' Library Kit v3) and loaded onto a Chromium Single Cell B Chip to reach

a recovery of 10.000 cells per sample. The samples were processed further following

the guidelines of the 10x Genomics user manual for single cell 3' RNA-seq v3. In short,

the droplets were directly subjected to reverse transcription, the emulsion was broken

and cDNA was purified using silane beads (Chromium Single Cell 3' Gel Bead Kit v2).

After amplification of cDNA with 11 cycles, samples were purified with 0.6x volume of

SPRI select beads to deplete DNA fragments smaller than 400 base pairs and cDNA

quality was monitored using the Agilent FragmentAnalyzer 5200 (NGS Fragment Kit).

10 ul of the resulting cDNA were used to prepare single cell RNA seq libraries -

involving fragmentation, dA-Tailing, adapter ligation and 11 cycles of indexing PCR

following manufacturer's guidelines. After quantification, both libraries were sequenced

on an Illumina NextSeq500 in 75 base pair paired-end mode, generating 45-109 million

fragments per transcriptome library. The raw sequencing data was processed with the

'count' command of the Cell Ranger software (v2.1.0) provided by 10X Genomics. To

build the reference, the zebrafish genome (GRCz11) as well as gene annotation

(Ensembl 90) were downloaded from Ensembl and the annotation was filtered with the

'mkgtf' command of Cell Ranger (options: '––e attribute=gene_biotype:protein_coding

--attribute=gene_biotype:lincRNA –attribute=gene_biotype:antisense'). Genome

sequence and filtered annotation were then used as input to the 'mkref' command of

Cell Ranger to build the appropriate Cell Ranger.

**Bioinformatic analysis**

The complete software stack for the analysis is available as a Singularity container

(https://gitlab.hrz.tu-chemnitz.de/dcgc-bfx/singularity/singularity-single-cell, tag

1f5da0b). The count matrices generated with Cell Ranger were further analysed using

scanpy 1.8.2 (https://genomebiology.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s13059-017-1382-0). For quality control, we removed cells with less than 2.000 counts, less than 500 detected genes, more than 15% mitochondrial reads or more than 60% of the counts in the top 50 highest expressed genes. The counts were normalised with the size factors computed with calculateSumFactors from scran 1.18.5 (min.mean=0.1)(Lun et al., 2016). The normalised counts were log-transformed using the numpy function log1p. The top 4000 highly variable genes were detected using the scanpy function scanpy.pp.highly_variable_genes (n_top_genes= 4000). Cell cycle scores were computed using scanpy.tl.score_genes_cell_cycle on each sample separately. As genes associated to S-phase, the genes *mcm5*, *pcna*, *tyms*, *fen1*, *mcm2*, *mcm4*, *rrm1*, *unga*, *gins2*, *mcm6*, *cdca7a*, *dtl*, *prim1*, *uhrf1*, *si:dkey-185e18.7*, *hells*, *rfc2*, *rpa2*, *nasp*, *rad51ap1*, *gmnn*, *wdr76*, *slbp*, *ccne2*, *ubr7*, *pold3*, *msh2*, *atad2*, *rad51*, *rrm2*, *cdc45*, *cdc6*, *exo1*, *tipin*, *dscc1*, *blm*, *casp8ap2*, *usp1*, *pola1*, *chaf1b*, *brip1* and *e2f8* were used. As genes associated to G2/M phase, the genes *hmgb2a*, *cdk1*, *nusap1*, *ube2c*, *birc5a*, *tpx2*, *top2a*, *ndc80*, *cks2*, *nuf2*, *cks1b*, *mki67*, *tmpoa*, *cenpf*, *tacc3*, *smc4*, *ccnb2*, *ckap2l*, *aurkb*, *bub1*, *kif11*, *anp32e*, *tubb4b*, *gtse1*, *kif20ba*, *si:ch211-69g19.2*, *jpt1a*, *cdc20*, *ttk*, *kif2c*, *rangap1a*, *ncapd2*, *dlgap5*, *si:ch211-244o22.2*, *cdca8*, *ect2*, *kif23*, *hmmr*, *aurka*, *anln*, *lbr*, *ckap5*, *cenpe*, *ctcf*, *nek2*, *g2e3*, *gas2l3*, *cbx5* and *selenoh* were used. A principal component analysis (PCA) was performed on the highly variable genes (function scanpy.pp.pca using svd_solver='arpack'). A k-nearest-neighbour (knn) graph was computed using scanpy.pp.neighbors and a UMAP was computed using scanpy.tl.umap. Clustering was performed with scnapy.tl.leiden (resolution= 0.01). For the three resulting clusters, marker genes were computed using scanpy.tl.rank_genes_groups. Two smaller clusters identified as microglia and low-quality cells were removed from the downstream analysis. Detection of highly variable genes PCA were performed as

above on the remaining cells. A new knn graph was computed (this time with

n_neighbors= 50) and a new UMAP was computed. Embedding densities were

computed using scanpy.tl.embedding_density. Clustering was performed in multiple

steps, always using scanpy.tl.leiden: First, the data were clustered with the resolution

parameter set to 0.45. Next, cluster 10 was subclustered with resolution 0.1 and cluster

0 was subclustered with resolution 0.2. Marker genes of the resulting 15 clusters were

computed with scanpy.tl.rank_genes_groups. Differential expression analysis of pairs

of clusters was performed by subsetting the data to cells from those two clusters and

computing differentially expressed genes with scanpy.tl.rank_genes_groups. The

source code for the analysis is available on GitHub

(https://github.com/fbnrst/celotto_2023).

**Cluster annotation**

Cluster annotation was performed in several steps. Initially, we identified clusters by

considering the top 100 upregulated genes per cluster, the time point at which they

appeared and their cell cycle profile. Genes were manually annotated by consulting

the ZFIN database (http://zfin.org/) and Pubmed (https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/)

indexed literature about retina development and regeneration. In particular, we took as

a reference the single cell RNA sequencing dataset of the regenerating zebrafish retina

from Hoang et al., 2020, for marker genes lists. Following the initial identification of cell

clusters, we proceeded with a finer characterization by considering differential gene

expression analysis for clusters that shared a similar identity. Occasionally (e.g., in the

case of horizontal cell precursor marker *ptf1a*), we plotted the UMAP profile of known

candidates from retina development or regeneration. Selected marker genes were then

checked for expression in the retina tissue via *in situ* hybridization, fluorescent *in situ*

hybridization and immunohistochemistry on cryosections.

## Tissue preparation and cutting

Fish heads were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M phosphate buffer (PB, pH:

7.4) overnight, at 4°C. Subsequently, fixed heads where decalcified and cryoprotected

in 20% EDTA and 20% sucrose in 0.1M PB (pH: 7.5) overnight, at 4°C. Heads were

eventually embedded in 7.5% gelatin, 20% sucrose in 0.1M PB and sectioned (12 or

14 µm) at the cryostat (CryoStar Nx70, Thermo Scientific). Cryosections were stored

at -20°C.

## *in situ* hybridization probe synthesis

For the synthesis of *in situ* hybridization RNA probes, 4 dpl retinae were dissected and

sonicated at 4°C to homogenate the tissue and to extract total RNA. We chose 4 dpl

samples, because transcripts of interest were enriched at 4 dpl. RNA was extracted

using the total RNA purification kit and following manufacturer's instructions (Norgen

Biotek Corporation). cDNA was then transcribed using the first strand cDNA synthesis

kit (Roche), followed by PCR to amplify the fragments of interest using primer pairs

listed in the key resource table. The amplicon was subsequently purified (gel and DNA

recovery kit, Zymo Research) and cloned in a TOPO TA® vector (Thermo Fisher), with

which competent *E coli* bacteria were transformed and cultured on Petri dishes coated

with agar and ampicillin, overnight at 37°C. The next day, colonies were picked up and

cultured in LB medium supplemented with ampicillin (1:2000) overnight at 37°C,

shaking, to enrich cultures for mini-prep (Gene Jet Plasmid Miniprep kit k0503, Thermo

Fisher). Purified plasmids were sent for sequencing (Eurofins) to verify the sequence.

Insert-positive colonies were further enriched in LB/ampicillin cultures for midi-prep

(Nucleo Bond® Xtra Midi, Macherey – Nagel) and purified plasmids were linearized to

transcribe anti-sense, digoxigenin labelled (DIG) RNA probes, which were stored in

hybridization buffer at -20°C. Probes for *her4.1*, *crlf1a*, *hmgb2b*, *onecut1*, *onecut2* were

transcribed from a TOPO TA® cloned insert. Instead, the *id1* probe was transcribed

directly from the PCR – amplified cDNA using SP6-conjugated forward and T7-

conjugated reverse primers (key resource table).

***in situ* hybridization**

*in situ* hybridization and fluorescent i*n situ* hybridization were performed on three

animals per injured time point and on three uninjured controls processed in parallel.

Cryosections were dried for 30 minutes at room temperature, then washed two times

for 10 minutes in phosphate buffer saline supplemented with 0.3% Triton X-100

(PBSTx) for permeabilization. *in situ* hybridization probes were denatured by warming

up at 70°C for 10 minutes and brief vortexing. Permeabilized sections were incubated

overnight in denatured probes at 62°C. The next day, sections were rinsed three times

(once for 15 minutes, followed by two washes of 30 minutes each) in washing buffer

(refer to key resource table) at 62°C. Then, in the case of chromogenic *in situ*

hybridization, sections were washed twice in MABT (key resource table) for 30 minutes

each and incubated with 2% digoxigenin blocking reagent (key resource table) in

MABT for 1 hour at room temperature. In the case of fluorescent *in situ* hybridization,

sections were washed in TNT buffer (key resource table), and then incubated with 2%

digoxigenin blocking reagent dissolved in TNT buffer. After, sections were incubated

with the AP-conjugated, anti-digoxigenin antibody (chromogenic *in situ* hybridization,

1:2000, key resource table) or with the POD-conjugated, anti-digoxigenin antibody

(fluorescent *in situ* hybridization, 1:2000, key resource table) overnight, at 4°C. The

next day, sections were washed four times for 20 minutes each in MABT (chromogenic

*in situ* hybridization) or three times for 10 minutes each in TNT buffer (fluorescent *in*

*situ* hybridization) at room temperature. Then, sections for chromogenic *in situ*

hybridization were washed for 5 minutes in staining buffer (key resource table) and

eventually incubated with 20 µl/ml NBT/BCIP stock solution in staining buffer, at room

temperature, to develop the colour reaction. Time of incubation varied between three

hours and overnight, depending on the target mRNA and probe concentration. In the

case of fluorescent *in situ* hybridization, after TNT washing steps, sections were

incubated for 30 minutes with 1:200 Cy3-Tyramide in TSA amplification buffer (Akoya

Biosciences®), at room temperature. Finally, sections from chromogenic *in situ*

hybridization were washed three times with PBS, for 10 minutes each and mounted in

70% glycerol in PBS, ready for bright field microscopy. Fluorescent *in situ* hybridization

sections were rinsed three times in PBS, for 10 minutes each, and then incubation with

primary antibody for immunohistochemistry was performed as described below.

**Immunohistochemistry**

Immunohistochemistry was conducted as previously described (Grandel et al., 2006;

Kroehne et al., 2011). Immunohistochemistry was performed on three light-lesioned

animals and three, parallel processed, uninjured control per condition. Sections were

dried at room temperature for 30 minutes and washed 3 times in PBSTx for 10 minutes

each. Then, primary antibodies diluted in PBSTx were applied overnight at 4°C (refer

to the key resource table for primary antibody host, antigen specificity and dilution).

For proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA) retrieval, sections were immersed in 10

mM sodium citrate (pH: 6) for 6 minutes at a temperature higher than 80°C, then

washed, and finally primary anti-PCNA antibody was applied. After primary antibody

incubation, sections were washed three times in PBSTx for 10 minutes each, then

Alexa® fluorophore conjugated, secondary antibodies diluted In PBSTx were applied

accordingly (refer to key resource table for secondary antibody host, primary antibody

specificity and dilution) for 2 hours at room temperature. Sections were eventually

washed three times in PBS, mounted in 70% glycerol in PBS, and stored at 4°C. Each

staining was performed on three fish per time point upon injury and on three uninjured

fish controls processed in parallel. When combined with fluorescent *in situ*

hybridization, immunohistochemistry followed fluorescent *in situ* hybridization

processed sections.

**Microscopy**

Bright field images of chromogenic *in situ* hybridizations and fluorescent images in

Fiure1-figure supplement 2 were acquired with the Widefield ApoTome microscope

(Zeiss Axio Imager.Z1) using the 20x/0.8 Plan-Apochromat, Air, DIC objective.

Fluorescent images in Figure1-figure supplement 1, and in Figure 4 and 6 were

acquired with the laser scanning confocal microscope 780 (Zeiss Axio Imager.Z1)

using the 40x/1.2 C-Apochromat, Water, DIC, Zeiss (Figure1-figure supplement 1 and

Figure 4) and the 20x/0.8 Plan-Apochromat, Air, DIC, Zeiss (Figure 6). Fluorescent

channels were acquired sequentially to minimize crosstalk in multicolour specimens.

**Image acquisition and processing**

Bright field microscope images were processed with the Zeiss ZEN blue, V 2012

software. The extended depth of focus function (contrast method) was applied to raw,

bright field images of *her4.1*, *hmgb2b*, *atoh7* and *onecut1 in situ* hybridizations to

project the chromogenic signal of the optical stack to a single plane. Fluorescent

microscope images were processed using Fiji (Schindelin et al., 2012) for image

contrast adjustments, crop and rotation. Adobe Illustrator CC 2015.3 was used to

assemble figures. Excel 2016 (Microsoft) was used to generate the plot in Figure 8-

figure supplement 1.

**STATISTICS**

Marker genes were ranked by their test statistic computed with a t-test for each gene,

comparing cells within the cluster to the cells outside the cluster, as implemented in

the scanpy function tl.rank_genes_groups. The same was done to identify differentially

expressed genes between pairs of clusters.

**ETHICAL STATEMENT**

Fish were kept according to FELASA guidelines (Aleström et al., 2020; Brand et al.,

2002). All animal experiments were conducted according to the guidelines and under

supervision of the Regierungspräsidium Dresden (permit: TVV 44/2017). All efforts

were made to minimize animal suffering and the number of animals used.

**DATA AVAILABILITY**

All sequencing data that support the findings of this study have been deposited at

https://singlecell.broadinstitute.org/single_cell/reviewer_access/5a29ec20-d572-

4461-bfd3-cb8973c05f33 and are accessible through BioProject ID SCP1973.

**Acknowledgements**

We thank Dr. Judith Konantz, M. Fischer, S. Kunadt and D. Mögel for excellent zebrafish care. We thank Katja Bernhardt for the excellent technical assistance in flow cytometry and cell sorting. We would like to thank also Susanne Reinhardt and Dr. Andreas Petzold from the DRESDEN-concept Genome Center for support with initial planning and bioinformatic processing of the scRNAseq experiment, respectively. We thank Prof. Marius Ader, Prof. Mike O. Karl, Dr. Volker Kroehne, Juliane Hammer and Elisa Nerli for critically reading the manuscript. In addition, we thank the members of the Brand laboratory for continued support and discussions as well as helpful comments on the manuscript. We thank also Dr. Christian Lange, Dr. Robert Münch and Dr. Steffen Rulands for excellent scientific discussion. This work was supported by grants to M.B. from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (BR 1746/11-1), the European Union (European Research Council AdG Zf-BrainReg) and the German Excellence Initiative (Wissenschaftsrat and Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft) (EXC 168). Work was supported by the DRESDEN-concept Genome Center, the Light Microscopy Facility and Flow Cytometry Facility, core facilities of the CMCB at the Technische Universität Dresden. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

**Author contributions**

Conceptualization: L.C, F.R., A.W., S.H., M.B.; Methodology: L.C., F.R., A.M., J.B., A.D.; Software: L.C., F.R., A.D., S.H., M.B.; Validation: L.C., F.R., A.M., J.B.; Formal analysis: L.C., F.R.; Investigation: L.C., F.R., A.M., J.B., A.D., S.H., and M.B; Resources: F.R., A.D., and M.B.; Data curation: L.C., F.R.; Writing - original draft

preparation: L.C., S.H., M.B.; Writing - review & editing: L.C., F.R., A.M., J.B., A.D.,

A.W., S.H., and M.B.; Project administration: M.B.; Funding acquisition: M.B.

**REFERENCES**

Agathocleous M, Harris WA. 2009. From progenitors to differentiated cells in the

vertebrate retina. *Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol* **25**:45–69.

doi:10.1146/annurev.cellbio.042308.113259

Aleström P, D'Angelo L, Midtlyng PJ, Schorderet DF, Schulte-Merker S, Sohm F,

Warner S. 2020. Zebrafish: Housing and husbandry recommendations. *Lab

Anim* **54**:213–224. doi:10.1177/0023677219869037

Alexiades MR, Cepko CL. 1997. Subsets of retinal progenitors display temporally

regulated and distinct biases in the fates of their progeny. *Development*

**124**:1119–1131. doi:10.1242/dev.124.6.1119

Amini R, Rocha-Martins M, Norden C. 2018. Neuronal Migration and Lamination in the

Vertebrate Retina. *Front Neurosci* **11**:742. doi:10.3389/fnins.2017.00742

Baraban M, Anselme I, Schneider-Maunoury S, Giudicelli F. 2013. Zebrafish

Embryonic Neurons Transport Messenger RNA to Axons and Growth Cones In

Vivo. *J Neurosci* **33**:15726–15734. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1510-13.2013

Bernardos RL, Barthel LK, Meyers JR, Raymond PA. 2007. Late-Stage Neuronal

Progenitors in the Retina Are Radial Muller Glia That Function as Retinal Stem

Cells. *Journal of Neuroscience* **27**:7028–7040. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1624-

07.2007

Bernardos RL, Raymond PA. 2006. GFAP transgenic zebrafish. *Gene Expr Patterns*

**6**:1007–1013. doi:10.1016/j.modgep.2006.04.006

Blackshaw S, Harpavat S, Trimarchi J, Cai L, Huang H, Kuo WP, Weber G, Lee K,

Fraioli RE, Cho S-H, Yung R, Asch E, Ohno-Machado L, Wong WH, Cepko CL.

2004. Genomic analysis of mouse retinal development. *PLoS Biol* **2**:E247. doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.0020247

Brand, M., Granato, M. and Nüsslein-Volhard, C. (2002). Keeping and raising zebrafish. In Zebrafish: A Practical Approach, (ed. C. Nüsslein-Volhard and R. Dahm). Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Buenaventura DF, Ghinia-Tegla MG, Emerson MM. 2018. Fate-restricted retinal progenitor cells adopt a molecular profile and spatial position distinct from multipotent progenitor cells. *Dev Biol* **443**:35–49. doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2018.06.023

Cameron DA, Gentile KL, Middleton FA, Yurco P. 2005. Gene expression profiles of intact and regenerating zebrafish retina. *Mol Vis* **11**:775–791.

Campbell LJ, Levendusky JL, Steines SA, Hyde DR. 2022. Retinal regeneration requires dynamic Notch signaling. *Neural Regen Res* **17**:1199–1209. doi:10.4103/1673-5374.327326

Cepko C. 2014. Intrinsically different retinal progenitor cells produce specific types of progeny. *Nat Rev Neurosci* **15**:615–627. doi:10.1038/nrn3767

Cepko CL, Austin CP, Yang X, Alexiades M, Ezzeddine D. 1996. Cell fate determination in the vertebrate retina. *PNAS* **93**:589–595. doi:10.1073/pnas.93.2.589

Corso-Díaz X, Simpson EM. 2015. Nr2e1 regulates retinal lamination and the development of Müller glia, S-cones, and glycineric amacrine cells during retinogenesis. *Molecular Brain* **8**:37. doi:10.1186/s13041-015-0126-x

Craig SEL, Calinescu A-A, Hitchcock PF. 2008. Identification of the molecular signatures integral to regenerating photoreceptors in the retina of the zebra fish. *j ocul biol dis inform* **1**:73–84. doi:10.1007/s12177-008-9011-5

D'Aniello E, Ravisankar P, Waxman JS. 2015. Rdh10a Provides a Conserved Critical

Step in the Synthesis of Retinoic Acid during Zebrafish Embryogenesis. *PLOS*

*ONE* **10**:e0138588. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0138588

Dowling JE. 1987. The Retina: An Approachable Part of the Brain. Harvard University

Press.

Emerson MM, Surzenko N, Goetz JJ, Trimarchi J, Cepko CL. 2013. Otx2 and Onecut1

promote the fates of cone photoreceptors and horizontal cells and repress rod

photoreceptors. *Dev Cell* **26**:59–72. doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2013.06.005

Fausett BV, Goldman D. 2006. A Role for 1 Tubulin-Expressing Muller Glia in

Regeneration of the Injured Zebrafish Retina. *Journal of Neuroscience*

**26**:6303–6313. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0332-06.2006

Fausett BV, Gumerson JD, Goldman D. 2008. The Proneural Basic Helix-Loop-Helix

Gene Ascl1a Is Required for Retina Regeneration. *Journal of Neuroscience*

**28**:1109–1117. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4853-07.2008

Feng L, Hernandez RE, Waxman JS, Yelon D, Moens CB. 2010. Dhrs3a regulates

retinoic acid biosynthesis through a feedback inhibition mechanism.

*Developmental Biology* **338**:1–14. doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2009.10.029

Fimbel SM, Montgomery JE, Burket CT, Hyde DR. 2007. Regeneration of Inner Retinal

Neurons after Intravitreal Injection of Ouabain in Zebrafish. *Journal of*

*Neuroscience* **27**:1712–1724. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5317-06.2007

Fleisch VC, Fraser B, Allison WT. 2011. Investigating regeneration and functional

integration of CNS neurons: Lessons from zebrafish genetics and other fish

species. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Molecular Basis of Disease*

**1812**:364–380. doi:10.1016/j.bbadis.2010.10.012

Godinho L, Williams PR, Claassen Y, Provost E, Leach SD, Kamermans M, Wong

ROL. 2007. Nonapical Symmetric Divisions Underlie Horizontal Cell Layer

Formation in the Developing Retina In Vivo. *Neuron* **56**:597–603. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2007.09.036

Gorsuch RA, Hyde DR. 2014. Regulation of Müller glial dependent neuronal regeneration in the damaged adult zebrafish retina. *Experimental Eye Research* **123**:131–140. doi:10.1016/j.exer.2013.07.012

Gorsuch RA, Lahne M, Yarka CE, Petravick ME, Li J, Hyde DR. 2017. Sox2 regulates Müller glia reprogramming and proliferation in the regenerating zebrafish retina via Lin28 and Ascl1a. *Exp Eye Res* **161**:174–192. doi:10.1016/j.exer.2017.05.012

Grandel H, Kaslin J, Ganz J, Wenzel I, Brand M. 2006. Neural stem cells and neurogenesis in the adult zebrafish brain: Origin, proliferation dynamics, migration and cell fate. *Developmental Biology* **295**:263–277. doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2006.03.040

Hoang T, Wang J, Boyd P, Wang F, Santiago C, Jiang L, Yoo S, Lahne M, Todd LJ, Jia M, Saez C, Keuthan C, Palazzo I, Squires N, Campbell WA, Rajaii F, Parayil T, Trinh V, Kim DW, Wang G, Campbell LJ, Ash J, Fischer AJ, Hyde DR, Qian J, Blackshaw S. 2020. Gene regulatory networks controlling vertebrate retinal regeneration. *Science* **370**:eabb8598. doi:10.1126/science.abb8598

Holmes RS, Hempel J. 2011. Comparative studies of vertebrate aldehyde dehydrogenase 3: Sequences, structures, phylogeny and evolution. Evidence for a mammalian origin for the ALDH3A1 gene. *Chemico-Biological Interactions*, Enzymology and Molecular Biology of Carbonyl Metabolism **191**:113–121. doi:10.1016/j.cbi.2011.01.014

Hoon M, Okawa H, Santina LD, Wong ROL. 2014. Functional Architecture of the Retina: Development and Disease. *Prog Retin Eye Res* **42**:44–84. doi:10.1016/j.preteyeres.2014.06.003

Javed A, Cayouette M. 2017. Temporal Progression of Retinal Progenitor Cell Identity:

Implications in Cell Replacement Therapies. *Frontiers in Neural Circuits* **11**.

Jusuf PR, Almeida AD, Randlett O, Joubin K, Poggi L, Harris WA. 2011. Origin and

Determination of Inhibitory Cell Lineages in the Vertebrate Retina. *J Neurosci*

**31**:2549–2562. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4713-10.2011

Jusuf PR, Harris WA. 2009. Ptf1a is expressed transiently in all types of amacrine cells

in the embryonic zebrafish retina. *Neural Dev* **4**:34. doi:10.1186/1749-8104-4-

34

Kassen SC, Ramanan V, Montgomery JE, T. Burket C, Liu C-G, Vihtelic TS, Hyde DR.

2007. Time course analysis of gene expression during light-induced

photoreceptor cell death and regeneration in *albino* zebrafish. *Devel Neurobio*

**67**:1009–1031. doi:10.1002/dneu.20362

Kitambi SS, Hauptmann G. 2007. The zebrafish orphan nuclear receptor genes nr2e1

and nr2e3 are expressed in developing eye and forebrain. *Gene Expression*

*Patterns* **7**:521–528. doi:10.1016/j.modgep.2006.10.006

Klimova L, Antosova B, Kuzelova A, Strnad H, Kozmik Z. 2015. Onecut1 and Onecut2

transcription factors operate downstream of Pax6 to regulate horizontal cell

development. *Developmental Biology* **402**:48–60.

doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2015.02.023

Kramer AC, Gurdziel K, Thummel R. 2021. A Comparative Analysis of Gene and

Protein Expression Throughout a Full 28-Day Retinal Regeneration Time-

Course in Adult Zebrafish. *Front Cell Dev Biol* **9**:741514.

doi:10.3389/fcell.2021.741514

Kroehne V, Freudenreich D, Hans S, Kaslin J, Brand M. 2011. Regeneration of the

adult zebrafish brain from neurogenic radial glia-type progenitors. *Development*

**138**:4831–4841. doi:10.1242/dev.072587

Lahne M, Brecker M, Jones SE, Hyde DR. 2020a. The Regenerating Adult Zebrafish

Retina Recapitulates Developmental Fate Specification Programs. *Front Cell*

*Dev Biol* **8**:617923. doi:10.3389/fcell.2020.617923

Lahne M, Li J, Marton RM, Hyde DR. 2015. Actin-Cytoskeleton- and Rock-Mediated

INM Are Required for Photoreceptor Regeneration in the Adult Zebrafish Retina.

*J Neurosci* **35**:15612–15634. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5005-14.2015

Lahne M, Nagashima M, Hyde DR, Hitchcock PF. 2020b. Reprogramming Müller Glia

to Regenerate Retinal Neurons. *Annu Rev Vis Sci* **6**:171–193.

doi:10.1146/annurev-vision-121219-081808

Lange C, Rost F, Machate A, Reinhardt S, Lesche M, Weber A, Kuscha V, Dahl A,

Rulands S, Brand M. 2020. Single cell sequencing of radial glia progeny reveals

diversity of newborn neurons in the adult zebrafish brain. *Development*

1855951. doi:10.1242/dev.185595

Lenkowski JR, Raymond PA. 2014. Müller glia: Stem cells for generation and

regeneration of retinal neurons in teleost fish. *Progress in Retinal and Eye*

*Research* **40**:94–123. doi:10.1016/j.preteyeres.2013.12.007

Livesey FJ, Cepko CL. 2001. Vertebrate neural cell-fate determination: lessons from

the retina. *Nat Rev Neurosci* **2**:109–118. doi:10.1038/35053522

Lun ATL, McCarthy DJ, Marioni JC. 2016. A step-by-step workflow for low-level

analysis of single-cell RNA-seq data with Bioconductor.

doi:10.12688/f1000research.9501.2

Macosko EZ, Basu A, Satija R, Nemesh J, Shekhar K, Goldman M, Tirosh I, Bialas

AR, Kamitaki N, Martersteck EM, Trombetta JJ, Weitz DA, Sanes JR, Shalek

AK, Regev A, McCarroll SA. 2015. Highly Parallel Genome-wide Expression

Profiling of Individual Cells Using Nanoliter Droplets. *Cell* **161**:1202–1214.

doi:10.1016/j.cell.2015.05.002

Morris AC, Forbes-Osborne MA, Pillai LS, Fadool JM. 2011. Microarray Analysis of XOPS-mCFP Zebrafish Retina Identifies Genes Associated with Rod Photoreceptor Degeneration and Regeneration. *Investigative Ophthalmology & Visual Science* **52**:2255–2266. doi:10.1167/iovs.10-6022

Morris AC, Schroeter EH, Bilotta J, Wong ROL, Fadool JM. 2005. Cone survival despite rod degeneration in XOPS-mCFP transgenic zebrafish. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* **46**:4762–4771. doi:10.1167/iovs.05-0797

Mu Z, Zhang S, He C, Hou H, Liu D, Hu N, Xu H. 2016. Expression of SoxC Transcription Factors during Zebrafish Retinal and Optic Nerve Regeneration. *Neurosci Bull* **33**:53–61. doi:10.1007/s12264-016-0073-2

Muto A, Iida A, Satoh S, Watanabe S. 2009. The group E Sox genes Sox8 and Sox9 are regulated by Notch signaling and are required for Müller glial cell development in mouse retina. *Exp Eye Res* **89**:549–558. doi:10.1016/j.exer.2009.05.006

Nagashima M, Barthel LK, Raymond PA. 2013. A self-renewing division of zebrafish Müller glial cells generates neuronal progenitors that require N-cadherin to regenerate retinal neurons. *Development* **140**:4510–4521. doi:10.1242/dev.090738

Nelson CM, Ackerman KM, O'Hayer P, Bailey TJ, Gorsuch RA, Hyde DR. 2013. Tumor Necrosis Factor-Alpha Is Produced by Dying Retinal Neurons and Is Required for Muller Glia Proliferation during Zebrafish Retinal Regeneration. *Journal of Neuroscience* **33**:6524–6539. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3838-12.2013

Nerli E, Kretzschmar J, Bianucci T, Rocha-Martins M, Zechner C, Norden C. 2022. Deterministic and probabilistic fate decisions co-exist in a single retinal lineage. doi:10.1101/2022.08.11.503564

Ng Chi Kei J, Currie PD, Jusuf PR. 2017. Fate bias during neural regeneration adjusts

dynamically without recapitulating developmental fate progression. *Neural Dev*

**12**:12. doi:10.1186/s13064-017-0089-y

Peterson RE, Fadool JM, Mcclintock J, Linser PJ. 2001. Müller cell differentiation in

the zebrafish neural retina: Evidence of distinct early and late stages in cell

maturation. *Journal of Comparative Neurology* **429**:530–540. doi:10.1002/1096-

9861(20010122)429:4<530::AID-CNE2>3.0.CO;2-C

Poché RA, Furuta Y, Chaboissier M-C, Schedl A, Behringer RR. 2008. Sox9 is

expressed in mouse multipotent retinal progenitor cells and functions in Müller

Glial cell development. *Journal of Comparative Neurology* **510**:237–250.

doi:10.1002/cne.21746

Poggi L, Vitorino M, Masai I, Harris WA. 2005. Influences on neural lineage and mode

of division in the zebrafish retina in vivo. *J Cell Biol* **171**:991–999.

doi:10.1083/jcb.200509098

Powell C, Cornblath E, Elsaeidi F, Wan J, Goldman D. 2016. Zebrafish Müller glia-

derived progenitors are multipotent, exhibit proliferative biases and regenerate

excess neurons. *Sci Rep* **6**:24851. doi:10.1038/srep24851

Qin Z, Barthel LK, Raymond PA. 2009. Genetic evidence for shared mechanisms of

epimorphic regeneration in zebrafish. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **106**:9310–9315.

doi:10.1073/pnas.0811186106

Ramachandran R, Reifler A, Parent JM, Goldman D. 2010. Conditional gene

expression and lineage tracing of tuba1a expressing cells during zebrafish

development and retina regeneration. *J Comp Neurol* **518**:4196–4212.

doi:10.1002/cne.22448

Ramachandran R, Zhao X-F, Goldman D. 2012. Insm1a-mediated gene repression is

essential for the formation and differentiation of Müller glia-derived progenitors

in the injured retina. *Nat Cell Biol* **14**:1013–1023. doi:10.1038/ncb2586

Ramachandran R, Zhao X-F, Goldman D. 2011. Ascl1a/Dkk/ -catenin signaling

pathway is necessary and glycogen synthase kinase-3 inhibition is sufficient for

zebrafish retina regeneration. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*

*Sciences* **108**:15858–15863. doi:10.1073/pnas.1107220108

Raymond PA, Barthel LK, Bernardos RL, Perkowski JJ. 2006. Molecular

characterization of retinal stem cells and their niches in adult zebrafish. *BMC*

*Dev Biol* **6**:36. doi:10.1186/1471-213X-6-36

Saade CJ, Alvarez-Delfin K, Fadool JM. 2013. Rod photoreceptors protect from cone

degeneration-induced retinal remodeling and restore visual responses in

zebrafish. *J Neurosci* **33**:1804–1814. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2910-12.2013

Sapkota D, Chintala H, Wu F, Fliesler SJ, Hu Z, Mu X. 2014. Onecut1 and Onecut2

redundantly regulate early retinal cell fates during development. *PNAS*

**111**:E4086–E4095. doi:10.1073/pnas.1405354111

Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, Kaynig V, Longair M, Pietzsch T, Preibisch

S, Rueden C, Saalfeld S, Schmid B, Tinevez J-Y, White DJ, Hartenstein V,

Eliceiri K, Tomancak P, Cardona A. 2012. Fiji: an open-source platform for

biological-image analysis. *Nat Methods* **9**:676–682. doi:10.1038/nmeth.2019

Sherpa T, Fimbel SM, Mallory DE, Maaswinkel H, Spritzer SD, Sand JA, Li L, Hyde

DR, Stenkamp DL. 2008. Ganglion cell regeneration following whole-retina

destruction in zebrafish. *Devel Neurobio* **68**:166–181. doi:10.1002/dneu.20568

Silva NJ, Nagashima M, Li J, Kakuk-Atkins L, Ashrafzadeh M, Hyde DR, Hitchcock PF.

2020. Inflammation and matrix metalloproteinase 9 (Mmp-9) regulate

photoreceptor regeneration in adult zebrafish. *Glia* **68**:1445–1465. doi:10.1002/glia.23792

Stenkamp DL. 2015. Development of the Vertebrate Eye and Retina. *Prog Mol Biol Transl Sci* **134**:397–414. doi:10.1016/bs.pmbts.2015.06.006

Stenkamp DL. 2011. The rod photoreceptor lineage of teleost fish. *Progress in Retinal and Eye Research* **30**:395–404. doi:10.1016/j.preteyeres.2011.06.004

Stenkamp DL. 2007. Neurogenesis in the fish retina. *Int Rev Cytol* **259**:173–224. doi:10.1016/S0074-7696(06)59005-9

Suzuki SC, Bleckert A, Williams PR, Takechi M, Kawamura S, Wong ROL. 2013. Cone photoreceptor types in zebrafish are generated by symmetric terminal divisions of dedicated precursors. *PNAS* **110**:15109–15114. doi:10.1073/pnas.1303551110

Thummel R, Enright JM, Kassen SC, Montgomery JE, Bailey TJ, Hyde DR. 2010. Pax6a and Pax6b are required at different points in neuronal progenitor cell proliferation during zebrafish photoreceptor regeneration. *Experimental Eye Research* **90**:572–582. doi:10.1016/j.exer.2010.02.001

Thummel R, Kassen SC, Enright JM, Nelson CM, Montgomery JE, Hyde DR. 2008. Characterization of Müller glia and neuronal progenitors during adult zebrafish retinal regeneration. *Experimental Eye Research* **87**:433–444. doi:10.1016/j.exer.2008.07.009

Tirosh I, Izar B, Prakadan SM, Wadsworth MH, Treacy D, Trombetta JJ, Rotem A, Rodman C, Lian C, Murphy G, Fallahi-Sichani M, Dutton-Regester K, Lin J-R, Cohen O, Shah P, Lu D, Genshaft AS, Hughes TK, Ziegler CGK, Kazer SW, Gaillard A, Kolb KE, Villani A-C, Johannessen CM, Andreev AY, Van Allen EM, Bertagnolli M, Sorger PK, Sullivan RJ, Flaherty KT, Frederick DT, Jané-Valbuena J, Yoon CH, Rozenblatt-Rosen O, Shalek AK, Regev A, Garraway

LA. 2016. Dissecting the multicellular ecosystem of metastatic melanoma by single-cell RNA-seq. *Science* **352**:189–196. doi:10.1126/science.aad0501

Turner DL, Cepko CL. 1987. A common progenitor for neurons and glia persists in rat retina late in development. *Nature* **328**:131–136. doi:10.1038/328131a0

Vihtelic TS, Hyde DR. 2000. Light-induced rod and cone cell death and regeneration in the adult albino zebrafish (Danio rerio) retina. *J Neurobiol* **44**:289–307. doi:10.1002/1097-4695(20000905)44:3<289::aid-neu1>3.0.co;2-h

Vihtelic TS, Soverly JE, Kassen SC, Hyde DR. 2006. Retinal regional differences in photoreceptor cell death and regeneration in light-lesioned albino zebrafish. *Experimental Eye Research* **82**:558–575. doi:10.1016/j.exer.2005.08.015

Wan J, Goldman D. 2016. Retina regeneration in zebrafish. *Curr Opin Genet Dev* **40**:41–47. doi:10.1016/j.gde.2016.05.009

Wan J, Ramachandran R, Goldman D. 2012. HB-EGF Is Necessary and Sufficient for Müller Glia Dedifferentiation and Retina Regeneration. *Developmental Cell* **22**:334–347. doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2011.11.020

Weber A, Hochmann S, Cimalla P, Gärtner M, Kuscha V, Hans S, Geffarth M, Kaslin J, Koch E, Brand M. 2013. Characterization of light lesion paradigms and optical coherence tomography as tools to study adult retina regeneration in zebrafish. *PLoS One* **8**:e80483. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0080483

Weber IP, Ramos AP, Strzyz PJ, Leung LC, Young S, Norden C. 2014. Mitotic Position and Morphology of Committed Precursor Cells in the Zebrafish Retina Adapt to Architectural Changes upon Tissue Maturation. *Cell Reports* **7**:386–397. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2014.03.014

Whitfield ML, Sherlock G, Saldanha AJ, Murray JI, Ball CA, Alexander KE, Matese JC, Perou CM, Hurt MM, Brown PO, Botstein D. 2002. Identification of genes

periodically expressed in the human cell cycle and their expression in tumors.

*Mol Biol Cell* **13**:1977–2000. doi:10.1091/mbc.02-02-0030

Wilson SG, Wen W, Pillai-Kastoori L, Morris AC. 2016. Tracking the fate of her4

expressing cells in the regenerating retina using her4:Kaede zebrafish. *Exp Eye*

*Res* **145**:75–87. doi:10.1016/j.exer.2015.11.002

Wu F, Bard JE, Kann J, Yergeau D, Sapkota D, Ge Y, Hu Z, Wang J, Liu T, Mu X.

2021. Single cell transcriptomics reveals lineage trajectory of retinal ganglion

cells in wild-type and Atoh7-null retinas. *Nat Commun* **12**:1465.

doi:10.1038/s41467-021-21704-4

Xu B, Tang X, Jin M, Zhang H, Du L, Yu S, He J. 2020. Unifying developmental

programs for embryonic and postembryonic neurogenesis in the zebrafish

retina. *Development* **147**:dev185660. doi:10.1242/dev.185660

Young RW. 1985. Cell differentiation in the retina of the mouse. *Anat Rec* **212**:199–

205. doi:10.1002/ar.1092120215

Yurco P, Cameron DA. 2005. Responses of Müller glia to retinal injury in adult

zebrafish. *Vision Research* **45**:991–1002. doi:10.1016/j.visres.2004.10.022

Zhang Y, Buchholz F, Muyrers JPP, Stewart AF. 1998. A new logic for DNA

engineering using recombination in Escherichia coli. *Nat Genet* **20**:123–128.

doi:10.1038/2417

Zhao X-F, Wan J, Powell C, Ramachandran R, Myers MG, Goldman D. 2014. Leptin

and IL-6 family cytokines synergize to stimulate Müller glia reprogramming and

retina regeneration. *Cell Rep* **9**:272–284. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2014.08.047

Zheng GXY, Terry JM, Belgrader P, Ryvkin P, Bent ZW, Wilson R, Ziraldo SB, Wheeler

TD, McDermott GP, Zhu J, Gregory MT, Shuga J, Montesclaros L, Underwood

JG, Masquelier DA, Nishimura SY, Schnall-Levin M, Wyatt PW, Hindson CM,

Bharadwaj R, Wong A, Ness KD, Beppu LW, Deeg HJ, McFarland C, Loeb KR,

Valente WJ, Ericson NG, Stevens EA, Radich JP, Mikkelsen TS, Hindson BJ,

Bielas JH. 2017. Massively parallel digital transcriptional profiling of single cells.

*Nat Commun* **8**:14049. doi:10.1038/ncomms14049

1288

1289

**SUPPLEMENTAL FIGURES**

**Figure 1-figure supplement 1:** characterization of the fluorescent reporter lines

*Tg(pcna:EGFP)* and *Tg(gfap:mCherry)* in double transgenic animals.

**Figure 1-figure supplement 2:** expression profile of

*Tg(pcna:EGFP);Tg(gfap:mCherry)* during retina regeneration.

**Figure 1-figure supplement 3:** fluorescence activated cell sorting (FACS) and gating

strategies.

**Figure 2-figure supplement 1:** original scRNAseq dataset

**Figure 2-figure supplement 2:** dot plot of top 5 marker genes per cluster.

**Figure 3-figure supplement 1:** expression of selected genes per cluster.

**Figure 8-figure supplement 1:** birthdate order of regenerated progeny.

**Figure 8-figure supplement 2:** expression of mature markers.

1302

**SUPPLEMENTARY FILES**

**Key_resource_table:** materials, antibodies and reagents

**Supplementary file 1:** Top 100 marker genes per cluster

**Supplementary file 2:** Top 100 differentially expressed genes between Non-reactive

Müller glia (1) and Non-reactive Müller glia (2)

**Supplementary file 3:** Top 100 differentially expressed genes between Reactive

Müller glia (1) and Reactive Müller glia (2)

**Supplementary file 4:** Top 100 differentially expressed genes between Reactive

Müller glia (1) and Müller glia/Progenitors 1

**Supplementary file 5:** Top 100 differentially expressed genes between Reactive

Müller glia (2) and Müller glia/Progenitors 1

**Supplementary file 6:** Top 100 differentially expressed genes between Müller

glia/Progenitors 1 and Progenitors 2

# Figure 1

**Figure 1: Isolation of individual MG, RPCs and regenerated progeny using fluorescent reporters. (A)** Schematic illustration of the rationale to isolate individual cells from regenerating retinae of double transgenic *Tg(pcna:EGFP);Tg(gfap:mCherry)* animals. In the uninjured retina, MG express strong levels of mCherry but no EGFP. Upon light lesion, which results in dying photoreceptors, MG turn on EGFP resulting in the presence of both fluorophores at 44 hours post lesion (hpl). At 4 days post lesion (dpl), MG remain to contain strong mCherry and EGFP levels. In contrast, further proliferating RPCs display weak mCherry but strong EGFP fluorescence. Finally, MG have lost the EGFP signal at 6 dpl, expressing mCherry only. RPCs, which start to migrate and differentiate, are still detectable but show diluted levels of mCherry and EGFP. **(B)** Schematic illustration of the workflow for the scRNAseq experiment. Uninjured control and light lesioned retinae (44 hpl, 4 dpl, 6 dpl) of double transgenic *Tg(pcna:EGFP);Tg(gfap:mCherry)* animals were dissociated to obtain a single cell suspension. Following fluorescent activated cell sorting of mCherry-positive only and EGFP/mCherry-double positive cells, individual cells were eventually subjected to droplet-based, 10x Genomics scRNAseq. **(C)** Flow cytometry plots depicting EGFP and mCherry fluorescence of dissociated retinal cells at the indicated time points. Cells in the sorting gate include mCherry-positive only as well as mCherry/EGFP-double positive cells. Number of cells sorted from the sorting gate per time point: 15.000. **Associated: supplemental figures 1, 2 and 3.**

# Figure 2

A

1
3
12   11
8
6
5         7        9
2
4              15
13   10
14

1. Non-reactive Müller glia 1
2. Non-reactive Müller glia 2
3. Reactive Müller glia 1
4. Reactive Müller glia 2
5. Müller glia/Progenitors 1
6. Progenitors 2
7. Progenitors 3
8. Photoreceptor precursors
9. Horizontal cell precursors
10. Retinal ganglion cells
11. Red cones
12. Blue cones
13. Amacrine cells 1
14. Amacrine cells 2
15. Bipolar cells

B   Uninjured        44 hpl        4 dpl        6 dpl

C   G1        S        G2/M        D   pcna

**Figure 2: scRNAseq identifies 15 different cell populations in the light-lesioned retina. (A)** 11.690 cells depicted on the uniform manifold approximation and projection (UMAP) map. From left to right, the map shows a progressive emergence of MG, RPCs and progeny clusters. See figure legend for the detailed information about cluster identity. **(B)** Normalized cell density on the UMAP for the 4 different samples (uninjured, 44 hpl, 4 and 6 dpl). Dark red shows strong cell enrichment and no color absence of cells. **(C)** Cells colored by cell cycle phases (G1, S, and G2/M phase in blue, green and orange, respectively). **(D)** Expression of *pcna*. Legend: logarithm of normalized counts per million. **Associated: supplemental figures 1 and 2.**

# Figure 3

## A

Müller glia

apoeb

gfap

## B

Non-reactive Müller glia

mstnb

inhbaa

## C

Reactive Müller glia

crlf1a

her4.1

## D

**Figure 3: scRNAseq reveals heterogeneity in Müller glia and identifies two populations of non-reactive and two of reactive Müller glia in the light-lesioned retina. (A)** Four Müller glia clusters (cluster 1-4) and the expression levels of *apoeb* and *gfap*. **(B)** The two non-reactive Müller glia clusters (cluster 1 and 2) and the expression levels of *mstnb* and *inhbaa*. Cells of both clusters express *mstnb*, but only cells in cluster 1 expresses *inhbaa*. **(C)** Visualization of the two reactive Müller glia clusters (cluster 3 and 4) and the relative expression levels of *crlf1a* and *her4.1* projected onto the main transcriptional UMAP. Cells of both clusters express *crlf1a*, but only cells in cluster 3  expresses *her4.1*. **(D)** Bright field microscopy images of chromogenic *in situ* hybridization detecting *her4.1* on retinal sections of uninjured control animals and at 44 hours post lesion (hpl), 4 days post lesion (dpl) and 6 dpl. N= 3 fish per time point and uninjured controls. The position of the outer nuclear layer (ONL), inner nuclear layer (INL) and ganglion cell layer (GCL) is indicated in the uninjured sample. Scale bar: 30 μm. **Associated: supplemental figure 1.**

Figure 4

A

|  | Uninjured | 44 hpl | 4 dpl | 6 dpl |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Zrf1 | ONL INL GCL | | | |
| *crlf1a* | ONL INL GCL | | | |
| PCNA | ONL INL GCL | | | |
| Merge | ONL INL GCL | 1 | 2 | |

B

| 1 | Zrf1 PCNA | Zrf1 PCNA *crlf1a* |
|---|---|---|
| 44 hpl | | |

| 2 | Zrf1 PCNA | Zrf1 PCNA *crlf1a* |
|---|---|---|
| 4 dpl | | |

**Figure 4: expression profile of *crlf1a* during retina regeneration. (A)** Confocal images of fluorescent *in situ* hybridization for *crlf1a* (grey) and immunohistochemistry detecting Zrf1-positive MG fibers (magenta) and the proliferation marker PCNA (green) on retinal sections of uninjured control animals and at 44 hours post lesion (hpl), 4 days post lesion (dpl) and 6 dpl. The position of the outer nuclear layer (ONL), inner nuclear laser (INL) and ganglion cell layer (GCL) is indicated in the uninjured sample. N= 3 fish per time point and uninjured controls. Scale bar: 30 µm. **(B)** Magnification of the areas indicated by the squares 1 and 2 in panel A. Scale bar: 10 µm.

# Figure 5

A

Müller glia/Progenitors 1

B

| Uninjured | 44 hpl | 4 dpl | 6 dpl |

ONL
INL
GCL

*hmgb2b*

**Figure 5: scRNAseq identifies a transient cell population with hybrid characteristics of reactive Müller glia and early progenitors in the light-lesioned retina. (A)** The progenitor 1 cluster (cluster 5) and the expression levels of *hmgb2b*, *stmn1a*, *nr2e1 sox9b* and *id1*. **(B)** Bright field microscopy images of chromogenic *in situ* hybridization detecting *hmgb2b* on retinal sections of uninjured control animals and at 44 hours post lesion (hpl), 4 days post lesion (dpl) and 6 dpl. N= 3 fish per time point and uninjured controls. The position of the outer nuclear layer (ONL), inner nuclear layer (INL) and ganglion cell layer (GCL) is indicated in the uninjured sample. Scale bar: 30 µm.

# Figure 6

## A

| | Uninjured | 44 hpl | 4 dpl | 6 dpl |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Zrf1** | ONL / INL / GCL | | | |
| *id1* | ONL / INL / GCL | | | |
| **PCNA** | ONL / INL / GCL | | | |
| **Merge** | ONL / INL / GCL | | | |

## B

| | Uninjured | 44 hpl | 4 dpl | 6 dpl |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Merge** | | | | |

**Figure 6: expression profile of *id1* during retina regeneration. (A)** Confocal images of fluorescent *in situ* hybridization for *id1* (grey) and immunohistochemistry detecting Zrf1-positive MG fibers (magenta) and the proliferation marker PCNA (green) on retinal sections of uninjured control animals and at 44 hours post lesion (hpl), 4 and 6 days post lesion (dpl). The position of the outer nuclear layer (ONL), inner nuclear layer (INL) and ganglion cell layer (GCL) is indicated in the uninjured sample. N= 3 fish per time point and uninjured controls. Scale bar: 30 µm. **(B)** Magnification of the areas indicated by the squares 1 and 2 in panel A. Scale bar: 10 µm.

A

Progenitors 2 - 3

*insm1a*

*atoh7*

*onecut1*

*onecut2*

*mki67*

B

| Uninjured | 44 hpl | 4 dpl | 6 dpl |

**Figure 7: scRNAseq identifies two neurogenic progenitor populations in the light-lesioned retina. (A)** The two progenitor clusters (cluster 6 and 7) and the relative expression levels of *insm1a*, *atoh7*, *onecut1*, *onecut2* and *mki67*. **(B)** Bright field microscopy images of chromogenic *in situ* hybridization detecting *atoh7*, *onecut1* or *onecut2* on retinal sections of uninjured control animals and at 44 hours post lesion (hpl), 4 days post lesion (dpl) and 6 dpl. Each ISH was carried on N= 3 fish per time point and uninjured controls.The position of the outer nuclear layer (ONL), inner nuclear layer (INL) and ganglion cell layer (GCL) is indicated in the uninjured sample. Scale bar: 30 μm.

# Figure 8

**Figure 8: scRNAseq identifies fate-restricted progenitors and differentiating progeny in the light-lesioned retina. (A)** The photoreceptor precursor cluster (cluster 8) and the expression levels of *crx* and *thrb*. **(B)** The red and blue cone cluster (cluster 11 and 12) and the expression levels of the blue cone marker *opn1sw2* and red cone marker *opn1lw2*. **(C)** The horizontal cell precursor cluster (cluster 9) and the expression levels of *ptf1a* and *rem1*. **(D)** The ganglion cell cluster (cluster 10) and the expression levels of *gap43* and *isl2b*. **(E)** The two amacrine cell clusters (cluster 14 and 15) and the expression levels of *stx1b* and *pvalb6*. **(D)** The bipolar cell cluster (cluster 15) and the expression levels of the known bipolar cell marker *vsx1* and the novel marker *samsn1a*. **Associated: supplemental figure 1 and 2.**

# Figure 9

Non-reactive Müller glia

Reactive Müller glia 1

Reactive Müller glia 2

Progenitor 1

Progenitor 2

Progenitor 3

Horizontal cell precursor

Photoreceptor precursor

Retinal ganglion cell

Red cone

Blue cone

Amacrine cell

Bipolar cell

Predicted rod

Predicted horizontal cell

Injury-induced MG trajectory

Injury-induced MG self-renewal trajectory

Injury-induced neurogenic trajectory

**Figure 9: model summarizing the cellular events underlying retina regeneration in zebrafish.** Upon lesion, non-reactive MG on the left side of the main transcriptional UMAP feed into a hybrid Müller glia/progenitor population via reactive Müller glia (solid red line). Based from this hybrid cell population, we find a Müller glia trajectory reverting to the initial starting point indicating Müller glia self-renewal (dashed red line). Moreover, the hybrid cell population represents the tip of the neurogenic trajectory, resulting in the formation of neuronal precursors and differentiating neurons (solid black lines). Differentiating rods and horizontal cells, which have not been found in the scRNAseq, are indicated with dashed lines and positioned in the predicted location on the main UMAP.

Figure 1-figure supplement 1

A

B

**Figure 1-figure supplement 1: characterization of the fluorescent reporter lines** *Tg(pcna:EGFP)* **and** *Tg(gfap:mCherry)* **in double transgenic animals. (A)** Confocal images of immunohistochemistry against the proliferation marker PCNA (grey) and EGFP (blue) on retinal sections of *Tg(pcna:EGFP);Tg(gfap:mCherry)* animals. Schemes in the thumbnails on the top-right and top-left of the PCNA panels indicate the region of interest, either the central retina or the ciliary marginal zone (CMZ). N= 3 fish. **(B)** Confocal images of immunohistochemistry detecting Zrf1-positive MG fibers (magenta) and mCherry on retinal sections of *Tg(pcna:EGFP);Tg(gfap:mCherry)* animals. N= 3 fish. Scale bar: 30 µm for main panels, 10 µm for inserts.

Figure 1-figure supplement 2

**Figure 1-figure supplement 2: expression profile of** *Tg(pcna:EGFP);Tg(gfap:mCherry)* **during retina regeneration.** Apotome images showing endogenous immunofluorescence of EGFP and mCherry driven by *Tg(pcna:EGFP)* and *Tg(gfap:mCherry)* on retinal sections in uninjured as well as lesioned conditions at different time points. White arrowheads indicate mCherry-positive, EGFP-negative MG. Yellow arrowheads indicate mCherry-/EGFP-double positive MG. Red arrowheads indicate low-mCherry-/EGFP-double positive, putative RPCs. N= 3 fish per time point and uninjured controls. Scale bar: 30 μm.

# Figure 1-figure supplement 3

**Figure 1-figure supplement 3: fluorescence activated    cell sorting (FACS) and gating strategies. (A)** Flow cytometry plots of dissociated, uninjured retinae from *Tg(pcna:EGFP);Tg(gfap:mCherry)* fish and gating strategy for sorting calcein-positive cells. Plot 1 displays all the events in a single cell suspension according to the forward (x axis) and side scatter (y axis) areas. Among cells gated in 1, singlets (P2) were chosen by considering the area (x axis) and the height (y axis) of the forward scatter in 2. Height (x axis) and width (y axis) of the side scatter were plotted in 3 to further gate singlets among P2. Finally, only calcein-positive (i.e. living) cells were gated among singlets in 4. The gating strategy was the same for the uninjured as well as all lesioned samples. Samples consisted of single cell suspensions derived from 8 retinae of 4 fish (2 males and 2 females) each. **(B)** Flow cytometry plots showing EGFP and mCherry fluorescence of cells from WT, *Tg(gfap:mCherry)* and *Tg(pcna:EGFP)* dissociated retinae.

Figure 2-figure supplement 1

A

● Core dataset
● Low quality cells
● Microglia

UMAP2
UMAP1

B

**Figure 2-figure supplement 1: original scRNAseq dataset.** **(A)** UMAP of the original scRNAseq dataset containing 13.139 cells and consisting of a core cluster (circled in red) and two clusters of microglia and low-quality cells (circled in grey). **(B)** Heat map depicting the expression of the top 10 marker genes per cluster of the original dataset.

# Figure 2-figure supplement 2

LEGEND

MG 1 = Non-reactive Müller glia 1
MG 2 = Non-reactive Müller glia 2
rMG 1 = Reactive Müller glia 1
rMG 2 = Reactive Müller glia 2
P1 = Müller glia/Progenitors 1
P2 = Progenitors 2
P3 = Progenitors 3
PHP = Photoreceptor precursors
HCP = Horizontal cell precursors
RGC = Retinal ganglion cells
Red C = Red cones
Blue C = Blue cones
AC 1 = Amacrine cells 1
AC 2 = Amacrine cells 2
BP = Bipolar cells

**Figure 2-figure supplement 2: dot plot of top 5 marker   genes per cluster.** Dot plot depicting the expression of top 5 marker genes per cluster (see Figure 2A). Dot size scales with a fraction of cells expressing the gene, and the dot colour indicates the scaled mean gene expression in the cluster.

Figure 3-figure supplement 1

LEGEND
● 1. MG 1 = Non-reactive Müller glia 1
● 2. MG 2 = Non-reactive Müller glia 2
● 3. rMG 1 = Reactive Müller glia 1
● 4. rMG 2 = Reactive Müller glia 2

● 5. P1 = Müller glia/Progenitors 1
● 6. P2 = Progenitors 2
● 7. P3 = Progenitors 3

**Figure 3-figure supplement 1: expression of selected genes   per cluster. (A)**
Heat map showing differentially expressed genes between non-reactive MG 1 and
non-reactive MG 2. **(B)** Heat map showing differentially expressed genes (*gfap, pcna,
her15.1-1, her9, her4.1*) as well as shared (*hbegfa*) genes between reactive MG 1 and
reactive MG 2. **(C)** Heat map showing differentially expressed genes in reactive MG1,
reactive MG2 and P1. **(D)** Heat map showing differentially expressed genes in P1, P2
and P3.

# Figure 8-figure supplement 1

A

**Figure 8-figure supplement 1: birthdate order of regenerated    progeny.** Graph plotting the fraction of cells (y-axis) embarking on a specific retinal cell fate (coloured curves) in relation to a given time point (x-axis). Total number of cells per condition: uninjured= 2243, 44 hpl= 1723, 4 dpl= 4899, 6 dpl= 2825.

Figure 8-figure supplement 2

A Rod markers

B Amacrine and horizontal cell markers

C Amacrine and horizontal cell markers

D Bipolar cell markers

E General synaptic markers

**Figure 8-figure supplement 2: expression of mature markers.** **(A)** Expression levels of the known rod markers *rho* and *gnat1*. **(B)** Expression levels of the known mature amacrine and horizontal cell markers *gad1b* and *chata*. **(C)** Expression levels of the known mature amacrine cell and horizontal cell markers *calb2a* and *calb2b*. **(D)** Expression levels of the known mature bipolar cell markers *prkcab* and *prkcbb*. **(E)** Expression levels of the general synaptic markers *snap25a* and *snap25b*.