Steamed broccoli sprouts alleviate gut inflammation and retain gut microbiota against DSS-induced symptoms.

Johanna M. Holman[1], Louisa Colucci[2], Dorien Baudewyns[3], Joe Balkan[4], Timothy Hunt[5], Benjamin Hunt[5], Lola Holcomb[6], Grace Chen[7], Peter L. Moses[8,9], Gary M. Mawe[8], Tao Zhang[10], Yanyan Li[1*], Suzanne L. Ishaq[1*]

[1] School of Food and Agriculture, University of Maine, Orono, Maine, USA 04469
[2] Department of Biology, Husson University, Bangor, Maine, USA 04401
[3] Department of Psychology, University of Maine, Orono, USA 04469
[4] Department of Chemical and Biological Engineering, Tufts University, Medford, Massachusetts, USA 02155
[5] Department of Biology, University of Maine, Orono, Maine, USA 04469
[6] Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences and Engineering, University of Maine, Orono, Maine, USA 04469
[7] Department of Internal Medicine, University of Michigan Medical School, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA 48109
[8] Departments of Neurological Sciences and of Medicine, Larner College of Medicine, University of Vermont, Burlington, Vermont, USA 05401
[9] Finch Therapeutics, Somerville, Massachusetts, USA 02143
[10] School of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences, SUNY Binghamton University, Johnson City, New York, USA 13790

*Corresponding authors:
Suzanne L. Ishaq, University of Maine, School of Food and Agriculture, Orono, ME 04469, sue.ishaq@maine.edu, 1-207-581-2770
Yanyan Li, University of Maine, School of Food and Agriculture, Orono, ME 04469, yanyan.li@maine.edu, 1-207-581-3134

Keywords: inflammatory bowel disease, ulcerative colitis, broccoli, broccoli sprouts, sulforaphane, glucoraphanin, gut microbiota, dietary bioactives.

Abstract: Inflammatory Bowel Diseases (IBD) are devastating conditions of the gastrointestinal tract with limited treatments, and dietary intervention may be effective, affordable, and safe for managing symptoms. Ongoing research has identified inactive compounds in broccoli sprouts, like glucoraphanin, and that mammalian gut microbiota play a role in metabolizing it to the anti-inflammatory sulforaphane. The biogeographic location of participating microbiota and how that affects anti-inflammatory benefits to the host is unknown. We fed specific pathogen free C57BL/6 mice either a control diet or a 10% steamed broccoli sprout diet, and gave a three-cycle regimen of 2.5% dextran sodium sulfate (DSS) in drinking water over a 34-day experiment to simulate chronic, relapsing ulcerative colitis. We monitored body weight, fecal characteristics, fecal lipocalin, and bacterial communities from the contents and mucosa in the jejunum, cecum, and colon. Mice fed the broccoli sprout diet while receiving DSS performed better than mice fed the control diet while receiving DSS for all disease parameters, including significantly more weight gain, lower Disease Activity Index scores, and higher bacterial richness in all gut locations. Bacterial communities were assorted by gut location except in the mice receiving the control diet and DSS treatment. Importantly, our results suggested that broccoli sprout feeding effectively abrogated the effects of DSS on gut microbiota, as bacterial communities were similar between mice receiving broccoli sprouts with and without DSS. Collectively, these results strongly support the protective effect of steamed broccoli sprouts against gut microbiota dysbiosis and development of colitis induced by DSS.

Importance: Spatially resolved microbial communities provide greater insight than fecal microbial communities when investigating host-microbe interactions. Here, we show that a 10% broccoli sprout diet protects mice from the negative effects of dextran sodium sulfate induced colitis, that colitis erases biogeographical patterns of bacterial communities in the gut, and that the

cecum is not likely to be a significant contributor to colonic bacteria of interest in the DSS mouse model of ulcerative colitis. Mice fed the broccoli sprout diet while receiving DSS performed better than mice fed the control diet while receiving DSS for all disease parameters, including significantly more weight gain, lower Disease Activity Index scores. Broccoli sprouts represent an affordable, accessible, and safe method of resolving DSS-induced inflammation and colitis. Information on how the gut microbiome mediates health benefits is critical to making effective medical recommendations.

Introduction

Inflammatory Bowel Diseases (IBD) are globally prevalent, chronic inflammatory diseases of multifactorial origin with an annual healthcare cost of billions of dollars in the United States alone (1, 2). IBD occurs in the gastrointestinal tract, and can be accompanied by autoimmune dysfunction, leading to microbial community changes in the gut. In addition to being chronic and debilitating, a longer duration of IBD is associated with an increased risk of developing gastrointestinal cancers such as colorectal cancer (3–6). Treatments are currently limited to alleviating inflammatory symptoms, and returning patients to as close to homeostasis as possible. Diet can play an important role in the management of IBD as a source of anti-inflammatory metabolites, and as a tool for influencing the robustness of gut microbiomes. However, many guidelines for IBD patients recommend avoiding sulfur-rich foods which produce metabolites that might exacerbate symptoms in the gut (7), even as other sulfurous components reduce symptoms (8). Diet can be beneficial or detrimental to gut inflammation (9), but IBD patients choose to avoid all fiber based on a lost nuance that only some fiber sources and situations may induce negative

side effects, e.g., (10). Thus, a better understanding of the interaction of diet, microorganisms, and disease is needed before dietary recommendations can be made.

Diets which are high in cruciferous vegetables such as broccoli have been associated with reduced inflammation and cancer risk (11–15) due to a group of specific plant secondary compounds, glucosinolates, which are used for defense against insect herbivory. Glucosinolates are very high in broccoli and can be converted into bioactive metabolites (16–18) such as sulfur-containing isothiocyanates, by the action of myrosinase, an enzyme present in these vegetables. In humans, isothiocyanates have been identified as bioactive candidates for reducing gut inflammation (19–21). Specifically, sulforaphane (SFN), a well-studied isothiocyanate (22), has been shown to inhibit the action of Nuclear Factor - κB (NF-κB) and Signal Transducer and Activator Of Transcription 3 (STAT3) which are responsible for upregulation of inflammatory cytokines interleukins-6, -8, -12, -21, and -23 (19, 23–26). However, raw broccoli and broccoli sprouts contain small amounts of this anti-inflammatory, as broccoli-sourced enzymes preferentially metabolize the precursor of sulforaphane, glucoraphanin (GLR), to an inactive byproduct instead (22). Cooking the sprouts can alter the enzymatic activity of broccoli enzymes in order to prevent the creation of an inactive byproduct, leaving glucosinolates intact.

Mammals do not produce the enzymes for converting glucosinolates to isothiocyanates; however, gut bacteria with β-thioglucosidase activity can convert GLR to bioactive SFN in the mammalian GI tract (27–29). In human participants who were pretreated with oral antibiotics and bowel cleansing, urinary isothiocyanates excretion after consumption of cooked broccoli decreased significantly (17), supporting a role for gut microbiota. Several microorganisms isolated from the mammalian gut appear to have myrosinase-like enzymes that cleave the glycoside moiety from glucosinolates precursors, and there is evidence for GLR hydrolysis to SFN by colonic and

cecal bacteria, *ex vivo* and *in vivo* in mono-colonized animal models (27, 30, 31). The bacterial populations that are capable of metabolizing glucosinolates precursors are not fully known, but *Lactobacillus*, *Bifidobacteria*, and *Bacteroides* strains have been implicated (20, 31, 32).

The taxonomic and functional structure of microbial communities along the gastrointestinal tract are highly dependent on the diet, health status, age, and microbial encounters of the host (33–35). Specific organs and sites within organs foster different environmental conditions that can create spatial niches for microbial taxa, an ecological pattern known as biogeography (36–38). For example, pH changes dramatically along the gastrointestinal tract and is linked to microbial diversity and density. IBD patients often have a less acidic gastrointestinal tract (39) due to altered diet or treatments, and this may result in alterations to gut biogeography (40), especially in mucosal-associated bacterial fractions (41–43). Mouse studies suggest biotransformation of GLR to SFN occurs in the colon (31, 44, 45), and we confirmed the majority of SFN accumulation to occur in the colon with greater resolution using multiple locations along the GI tract in our recently published study (18). Altering the structure and function of bacterial communities could alter if and where sulforaphane is created, which would improve or lessen the impact of having a locally produced anti-inflammatory on the destructive effects of colitis.

The dextran sodium sulfate (DSS) mouse model is widely used to reflect human Ulcerative Colitis (UC) in many ways (46, 47), and has been used for studying diet-sourced anti-inflammatories for the prevention of IBD in animals (46, 48). Administration of DSS in drinking water modifies the expression of tight junction proteins in intestinal epithelial cells, leading to a leaky epithelial barrier (49). This is followed by goblet cell depletion, erosion, ulceration, and infiltration of neutrophils into the lamina propria and submucosa (50), triggering the innate immune response (51, 52).

There are significant knowledge gaps regarding the biogeography of the participating microbiota and how this may impact glucosinolate metabolism and health benefits to the host; and meanwhile, how the populations of microbiota are impacted by these bioactives remains unclear. To address these, we assessed the impact of steamed broccoli sprouts on the biogeographic pattern of gut microbiota and disease outcome in a mouse model of chronic, relapsing colitis. We fed specific pathogen free (SPF) C57BL/6 mice either a control diet or a 10% steamed broccoli sprout diet, starting at 6 weeks of age and continuing for another 40 days. The fresh broccoli sprouts were steamed to inactivate plant enzymes, and thus the metabolism of glucosinolates to isothiocyanates would rely on the gut microbiota. A three-cycle regimen of DSS in drinking water was given to stimulate chronic colitis in the mice, which is a well-established method (48, 53). We analyzed the bacterial communities from the luminal-associated (i.e., digesta contents) and mucosal-associated (i.e., scrapings) fractions in the jejunum, cecum, and colon using 16S rRNA gene sequencing, and compared this to metrics of disease activity including weight gain/stagnation, fecal characteristics, and lipocalin as a surrogate marker for inflammation in IBD (Figure 1).

Results

Broccoli sprouts alleviated disease characteristics of DSS-induced colitis

Figure 1. Experimental design schematic for a chronic model of colitis induced by dextran sodium sulfate (DSS) in 40 6-week-old male mice (C57BL/6).

Mice were divided into four groups: control diet (Control), control diet with 2.5% DSS in drinking water (Control+DSS), 10% (w/w) steamed broccoli sprout diet (Broccoli, with the control diet as the base), and 10% broccoli sprout diet with 2.5% DSS in drinking water (Broccoli+DSS) (Figure 1). The DSS regimen was designed to induce chronic, relapsing colitis (54). The 6 week old mice were acclimatized for 1 week. Treatment began in two phases: broccoli mice began their treatment at 7 weeks old, and the first DSS cycle began at 8 weeks old.

All mice gained weight over the study, as they are in a growth phase at this age (55). Mice receiving the steamed broccoli sprout diet and DSS (Broccoli+DSS) gained significantly more body weight over the trial than mice given the control diet and DSS (Control+DSS) (Figure 2a; ANOVA, p < 0.03). There was no statistical difference observed in body weight among the three groups, Broccoli+DSS, Broccoli, and Control (ANOVA, p > 0.05). Control and Broccoli mice occasionally exhibited slight weight loss as compared to body weight two days prior (Figure 2a), but these appeared to be random incidents which may be attributable to mice behavior and social dynamics. At the end of the first round of DSS treatment, Broccoli+DSS mice exhibited some weight loss and Control+DSS mice exhibited substantial weight loss (Figure 2a), but the second and third round of DSS only caused weight stagnation.

Mice in the Control+DSS group demonstrated elevated Disease Activity Index (DAI) scores as calculated by weight loss intensity score, fecal blood, and fecal consistency. Elevated DAI scores began at day 8 and lasted until day 34 (Figure 2b), and were significant on specific dates as compared to the mice in the Control group (Figure 2b, ANOVA, p < 0.05, comparisons by day, adjusted with TukeyHSD for multiple comparisons), as well as integrated over time (generalized additive model (GAM), F = 308.32, p < 2e-16).

Mice in the Broccoli+DSS group exhibited slightly elevated DAI scores during periods of DSS treatment, which returned to nearly 0 during rest periods, and which were lower as compared to Control+DSS mice (Figure 2b). Broccoli+DSS DAI scores were significantly elevated on specific dates (Figure 2b, ANOVA, p < 0.05, comparisons by treatment, adjusted with TukeyHSD for multiple comparisons), as well as integrated over time (GAM, F = 17.47, p < 2e-16). After the first round of DSS treatment, Broccoli+DSS and Control+DSS mice had similar elevated DAI scores (Figure 2b, ANOVA, p > 0.05). The diet control groups which were not treated with DSS,

Control and Broccoli, did not have significantly different DAI scores from each other at any specific date (ANOVA, p > 0.05) or over time (GAM, p > 0.05).

Figure 2. Metrics of disease status in a DSS-Induced model of chronic colitis, including (A) mouse body weights and (B) Disease Activity Index, by day of experiment. DAI scores are calculated by weight loss intensity score, fecal blood, and fecal consistency. Significance is designated as 0 - 0.001 '***'; 0.001 - 0.01 '**'; 0.01 - 0.003 '*'. Four treatment groups were used

in a 34-day chronic, relapsing model of colitis: control diet, control diet with DSS added to drinking water, control diet adjusted with 10% by weight steamed broccoli sprouts, and 10% broccoli sprout diet with DSS added to drinking water.

Of the mice which had an elevated DAI score, some mice were positive for fecal blood, which can select for certain bacteria in the gut. In a subset of samples of mice which had an elevated DAI and were positive for fecal occult blood, there were different gut bacteria which were identified as important community features depending on whether they received the Control+DSS or the Broccoli+DSS diets (Figure 3, $p < 0.05$)). In Broccoli+DSS mice, these included a bacterial sequence variant (SV) identified in the Muribaculaceae family, and one in the *Clostridium* sensu stricto clade (Figure 3). For Control+DSS mice, this included different SVs identified in the *Clostridium* sensu stricto clade, *Cutibacterium*, and others (Figure 3).

Figure 3. Bacterial taxa which were associated with mice having a positive fecal occult blood score on the last day of the experiment, by treatment. Important features (SVs) were identified through permutational random forest analysis, and only the features important to this group (>50 reads) are listed out of 143 significant (p < 0.05) features across all treatments. Model accuracy was 89.7%. Bacterial sequence variants (SV) are identified as the lowest level of taxonomic identity possible, with "NA" indicating which could not be identified to species, and the number indicating which specific SV it was. Four treatment groups were used in a 34-day chronic, relapsing model of colitis, those included here are a control diet with DSS added to drinking water, and 10% broccoli sprout diet with DSS added to drinking water.

Broccoli sprouts increased bacterial richness even during colitis

Across all treatments and all mouse gastrointestinal samples, bacteria were primarily identified as belonging to the phyla Bacillota (formerly Firmicutes), Bacteroidota (formerly Bacteroidetes), Pseudomonadota (formerly Proteobacteria), Actinomycetota (formerly Actinobacteria) which was higher in Control+DSS mice, and Verrucomicrobiota (formerly Verrucomicrobia) which was higher in mice consuming broccoli sprouts (Figure S1).

Compared to the Control mice (receiving the control diet without DSS treatment), all other treatment groups had more bacterial taxa present (measured as observed richness) in all locations examined, with the exception of a loss of richness in the digesta/contents of the cecum and colon in Control+DSS mice (Figure 4, Table 1, linear regression). Broccoli and Broccoli+DSS mice had the highest bacterial richness in all gut locations, particularly in the colon contents and scraping, but even the Control+DSS mice maintained high bacterial richness.

Figure 4. Observed bacterial richness along the intestinal of mice on control diets with or without broccoli sprouts, and with or without DSS-induced chronic repeating colitis. Richness is calculated as the number of different bacterial sequence variants (SVs). Statistical comparison is provided in Table 1. Four treatment groups were used in a 34-day chronic, relapsing model of colitis: control diet, control diet with DSS added to drinking water, control diet adjusted with 10% by weight steamed broccoli sprouts, and 10% broccoli sprout diet with DSS added to drinking water.

Table 1. Statistical comparison of observed richness along the intestinal of mice on control diets with or without broccoli sprouts, and with or without DSS-induced chronic repeating colitis. Comparisons were made using linear regression models comparing treatment, in subsets of the data by anatomical location. Bacterial richness is visualized in Figure 4.

Treatment compared to control diet only group	Change in bacterial richness (SVs) ± Standard Error (rounded)	T-value	P-value

Jejunum contents			
Control + 2.5% DSS	40 ± 11	3.790	0.000608 ***
10% Broccoli sprouts	23 ± 10	2.766	0.009207 **
10% Broccoli sprouts + 2.5% DSS	64 ± 10	6.141	5.05e-07 ***
Jejunum scraping			
Control + 2.5% DSS	52 ± 17	3.`04	0.00434 **
10% Broccoli sprouts	98 ± 16	6.230	1.16e-06 ***
10% Broccoli sprouts + 2.5% DSS	100 ± 15	6.708	3.35e-07 ***
Cecum contents			
Control + 2.5% DSS	-13 ± 15	-0.821	0.418
10% Broccoli sprouts	68 ± 13	5.120	1.54e-05 ***
10% Broccoli sprouts + 2.5% DSS	70 ± 13	5.225	1.54e-05 ***
Colon contents			
Control + 2.5% DSS	-12 ± 9	-1.316	0.197
10% Broccoli sprouts	43 ± 9	4.525	6.67e-05 ***
10% Broccoli sprouts + 2.5% DSS	90 ± 10	9.172	7.74e-11 ***
Colon scraping			
Control + 2.5% DSS	14 ± 12	1.225	0.129
10% Broccoli sprouts	60 ± 12	5.220	1.78e-05 ***

10% Broccoli sprouts + 2.5% DSS	89 ± 12	7.749	2.81e-08 ***

Broccoli sprouts protected against DSS-induced changes to bacterial communities

Treatment was the most significant driver of bacterial community similarity in the gastrointestinal tract of mice (Figure 5a,b), when considering which bacterial taxa were present/absent (unweighted Jaccard Similarity, permANOVA: $F = 16.90$, $p < 0.001$) or when considering presence as well as abundance (weighted Bray-Curtis Similarity, permANOVA: $F = 29.97$ $p < 0.001$). Mice fed a control diet clustered away from other treatments, as did mice on a control diet with DSS-induced colitis, while mice on a broccoli sprout diet overlapped with mice eating sprouts along with DSS-induced colitis (Figure 5a,b).

Using both metrics for calculating similarity, we evaluated the distance from centroids to samples by treatment group (beta dispersion), as an indication of the strength of each treatment in selecting bacterial communities. The Broccoli and Broccoli+DSS samples had the same amount of dispersal between samples and the centroid for that treatment (beta dispersion, $p > 0.05$ for both comparisons, adjusted with Tukey's Honestly Significant Difference correction for multiple comparisons), while Control and Control+DSS groups had very different amounts of dispersal between the samples and the centroids respective to those treatments (beta dispersion, $p < 0.05$ for both comparisons, adjusted with Tukey's HSD).

Figure 5. Principal coordinate analysis of bacterial community similarity along the intestines of mice on control diets with or without broccoli sprouts, and with or without DSS-induced chronic repeating colitis. Panel A was calculated with unweighted Jaccard Similarity to visualize differences in the taxonomic structure, and panel B with weighted Bray-Curtis to visualize structure and abundance. Four treatment groups were used in a 34-day chronic, relapsing model of colitis: control diet, control diet with DSS added to drinking water, control diet adjusted with 10% by weight steamed broccoli sprouts, and 10% broccoli sprout diet with DSS added to drinking water.

Within the clusters of bacterial communities for each treatment, there was a secondary clustering effect of anatomical location, i.e., biogeography (Figure 6; permANOVA, uJS: F = 3.42, p < 0.001; wBC: F = 4.36, p < 0.001). This is easily visualized in Control, Broccoli, and Broccoli+DSS groups (Figure 5a,b), but was not observed in Control+DSS when compared with the other samples. When comparing all diet treatments, the variation between the Control+DSS group and the other treatments is so large that it obscures trends within the group (Figure 6a). Thus, we subset the Control+DSS samples (Figure 6b), and observed that the DSS alone diminishes the effect of biogeography such that there is no statistical difference (permANOVA, p > 0.05), except marginally between jejunum scraping vs. cecum (p = 0.04), between anatomical locations when considering the bacterial community structure (unweighted Jaccard Similarity; Figure 6b) or structure and abundance (weighted Bray-Curtis, data not shown).

Figure 6. Principal coordinate analysis of bacterial communities from locations along the intestines of mice, across all treatments (A) and in DSS-induced colitis alone (B). Bacterial communities in the jejunum, cecum, and colon were statistically different (permANOVA, $p < 0.05$) from each other in the treatment groups (A) Control, Broccoli, and Broccoli+DSS, were not statistically different from each other after multiple bouts of colitis in the (B) Control+DSS group.

When identifying significant taxa which defined the samples in different treatments, there were 188 significant ($p < 0.05$) features across all treatments with a permutational random forest model accuracy of 98%. The Control mice contained a high abundance of mouse commensals, such as *Dubosiella* spp. and strains from the Muribaculaceae and Lachnospiraceae families (Figure S2). The Control+DSS mice contained much lower abundance of those known mouse commensal taxa and higher abundance of the *Clostridium sensu stricto* clade (Figure S3). The Broccoli sprout group was high in *Dubosiella* spp., *Parasutterella excrementihominis*, and *Bacteroides* spp. (Figure S4). The Broccoli+DSS group had many of the same important taxa as the group consuming Broccoli sprouts without colitis (Figure S5), implying a strong selective pressure of the broccoli sprouts on the bacterial community structure such that many SVs were found in high abundance across at least 70% of the samples in those mice (Figure S6). There were no bacterial SVs which were shared across at least 70% of samples in the Broccoli+DSS and the Control+DSS group, indicating there was not a specific community which was enriched or associated with the DSS.

Genera with putative GLR metabolism capacity present with broccoli sprout diet even during colitis

There are several bacterial taxa which are known or reputed to express myrosinase-like enzymatic activity and transform glucoraphanin into sulforaphane, and we selected taxa from previous literature to examine in greater detail (31, 56, 57). There were 309 bacterial SVs identified to the genera *Bifidobacterium, Bacteroides, Enterococcus, Lactobacillus, Lactococcus, Pseudomonas, Staphylococcus,* and *Streptomyces.* We found no *Faecalibacterium*, *Bacillus, Listeria, Pediococcus, Aerobacter, Citrobacter, Enterobacter, Escherichia, Salmonella,*

Paracolobactrum, or *Proteus* in our samples (Figure 7). Only a few of these were found in Control mice, with some of these genera found in the jejunum of Control+DSS mice (Figure 7), although without species-level resolution it cannot be determined if these are beneficial strains.

Importantly, the majority of these reads belonged to *Bacteroides* (1,394,934 frequency across all samples compared to a total frequency of 6,791 for all other sequences) and were found along the gastrointestinal tract of mice consuming the broccoli sprout diet, with or without DSS (Figure 7), suggesting improved GLR metabolism capacity upon the exposure to broccoli sprout diet. However, for the broccoli and DSS treatment, only the cecum contents and colon scrapings retained a significant proportion of *Bacteroides*. The *Bacteroides* content of the colon contents appears to have been adversely affected by the addition of DSS to the broccoli diet.

Figure 7. Bacterial sequence variants (SVs) belonging to the genera which have putative capacity to convert glucoraphanin to sulforaphane. Strains of bacteria in these genera have been demonstrated to perform myrosinase-like activity in the digestive tract, as reviewed in (56). Four treatment groups were used in a 34-day chronic, relapsing model of colitis: control diet, control diet with DSS added to drinking water, control diet adjusted with 10% by weight steamed broccoli sprouts, and 10% broccoli sprout diet with DSS added to drinking water.

Several *Bacteroides* species were identified (*acidifaciens*, *massiliensis*, *sartorii*), but the dominant sequence variant was not identified to species-level by the Silva Database taxonomy assignment (Fig. 8). This unidentified *Bacteroides* dominant SV (*B*.-dominant) may be associated with multiple species using the NCBI Database (BLASTN): *Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron (B. theta)*, *Bacteroides faecis* and *Bacteroides zhangwenhongiim* (all with 100% Query Cover and Percent Identity). *B. theta* has been linked to myrosinase-like enzyme activity and a broccoli diet, but *Bacteroides faecis* and *Bacteroides zhangwenhongii* have not.

Figure 8. Bacteroides species by diet treatment and anatomical location in the gastrointestinal tract of mice. The Silva Database identified *Bacteroides* species *acidifaciens*, *massiliensis*, and *sartorii*. BLASTN identified *Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron* as the only broccoli-linked species for *B*.-dominant.

Discussion

Broccoli sprouts protected mice against DSS-induced colitis

The anti-inflammatory effects of broccoli and broccoli sprout bioactives, in particular sulforaphane (SFN), have been well-established in various cell, animal, and human trials of IBD (22). However, studies have not elucidated the role of the gut microbiota and the effect of biogeography in metabolizing the glucoraphanin (GLR) precursor to SFN, and the subsequent prevention and alleviation of inflammation in the GI. The present study sought to address these investigatory gaps by using a DSS-induced mouse model of chronic, relapsing colitis and comparing treatment group performance across multiple measures, including bacterial richness and abundance across treatment groups and anatomical locations, body weight, disease activity, and lipocalin analysis. We fed a 10% steamed broccoli sprout diet to SPF C57BL/6 mice beginning at 6 weeks old and throughout a three-cycle regimen of DSS. Here, we showed that a diet featuring 10% steamed broccoli sprouts reduced the Disease Activity Index and prevented DSS-induced shift in bacterial community structures along the intestines. This included preventing a shift in the microbial community caused by fecal blood, which can increase the abundance of pathobionts and reduce commensals (58, 59).

We began broccoli sprout feeding prior to introducing DSS, in part to acclimate mice to the diet, but primarily to investigate the use of broccoli sprouts as a prevention strategy before the initiation of colitis, as its usefulness in alleviating symptoms during active disease states has been previously demonstrated (18). We chose 10% to demonstrate proof of concept, and as male mice are known to suffer more histopathological damage from DSS-induced colitis (60–62). We anticipated that our colitis model would require large amounts of anti-inflammatories to combat disease. Regular access to fiber is critical to recruiting and retaining beneficial microbiota in the

gut (63), and regular exposure to glucosinolates is needed to stimulate gut microbial conversion (30). In people sporadically consuming broccoli or broccoli sprouts, or consuming over short periods of time, cooking preparation and the activity/inactivity of plant myrosinase was often the determining factor in how much SFN was produced, if any, as reviewed in (30). However, providing SFN directly in the diet is not viable because it is not a stable molecule (64), and also would preclude the other benefits, and enjoyability, of consuming a phenol and fiber rich food.

We acknowledge that a major limitation in this study was only using male mice, as it is known that hormones mediate both the gut microbiome and the type and intensity of DSS-induced colitis or IBD more broadly. Thus, any future research on the application of this diet in people will require diversity in the gender of participants.

Bacterial communities are highly responsive to DSS and broccoli sprouts

Mice fed broccoli sprout diets showed increased bacterial richness with and without DSS compared to mice fed a control diet. We previously showed concentration of SFN to be highest in the colon after feeding C57BL/6 mice steamed broccoli sprout diets with reduced myrosinase concentrations, suggesting that primary hydrolysis of SFN to GLR by microbial communities occurs in the colon [32]. The current study found effects on microbial richness from the broccoli sprout diet manifested most strongly in the colon, supporting our previous findings. Given the location-specific dynamics of gut anatomy and physiology, digestion and the availability of different nutrients, and microbial communities, a smaller change in jejunum tissues could indicate that the biochemical effects of the diet are not available to the host until the colon.

When identifying significant taxa which defined the samples in different treatments, the Control mice contained a high abundance of known mouse commensal taxa, including *Dubosiella*

spp. and strains from the Muribaculaceae and Lachnospiraceae families. The Control+DSS mice contained much lower abundance of known mouse commensal taxa and higher abundance of the *Clostridium sensu stricto* clade (65), which contains known pathogens such as *Clostridium perfringens* (66), and well-known butyrate-producing symbionents, such as *Clostridium butyricum* (67).

The Broccoli sprout group was also high in commensal taxa such as *Dubosiella* spp., and resulted in enrichment of *Bacteroides* spp., a genus known to contain glucosinolate-metabolizing bacteria and to be modified by cruciferous vegetable consumption (68). The broccoli sprout diet enriched for *Parasutterella excrementihominis*, which is commonly found in human murine gut communities and is associated with carbohydrate intake (69). It is possible that other breakdown products of glucosinolates, such as aromatic carbohydrate compounds in broccoli sprouts (70), caused this enrichment. *P. excrementihominis* has also been associated with inflammation in the gut in observation-based studies, however in metabolic pathway-based studies appears to be an important contributor to nitrate reduction in the gut and in reducing stress-related inflammation (71). Nitrates are low in raw broccoli and other cruciferous vegetables, but this can be increased by freezing (72). Thus, our diet preparation – which included freezing and freeze-drying steps – may have selected for nitrate-reducers.

Importantly, the Broccoli+DSS group had many of the same important taxa as the group consuming Broccoli sprouts without colitis, implying that the selective pressure of the broccoli sprouts on the bacterial community structure may be stronger than that of the DSS. The two treatments were so similar that many SVs were found in high abundance across at least 70% of the samples in those mice. There were no bacterial SVs shared across at least 70% of the

Broccoli+DSS and the Control+DSS group, indicating there was not a specific community which was enriched or associated with the DSS.

The inclusion of DSS in drinking water demonstrably affects mice and gut bacterial communities, but it does not necessarily reduce bacterial richness. In part, this is because certain bacteria, like *Proteus vulgaris,* can make use of DSS (73), while other novel commensals appear to offer protective effects (74). We did not identify any bacterial SVs belonging to *Proteus*, although we found significantly higher amounts of *Bacteroides* sp. in broccoli sprout-fed mice. *Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron* has been demonstrated to metabolize glucoraphanin to sulforaphane and offer protection against colitis in mice (31). In this experiment, for the broccoli and niche microbiomes, *B.*-dominant flourished in the cecum and colon. *B.*-dominant was present under broccoli treatment (no DSS) in the jejunum contents and scrapings, but was abundant in the cecum contents and the colon contents and scrapings. However, for the broccoli and DSS treatment, DSS presence in the colon contents did not provide as favorable an environment for *B.*-dominant.

Figure 9: *B.*-dominant broccoli biogeography. *B.*-dominant was present in the jejunum contents and scrapings and abundant in the cecum contents, colon contents, and colon scrapings. DSS reduced *B.*-dominant colon content density.

Across multiple mouse lineages, individualized bacterial communities responded differently to the inclusion of DSS, and the presence of *Duncaniella muricolitica* and *Alistipes okayasuensis* were implicated in better mouse health outcomes (75). Modeling of the gut microbiome indicates that certain taxa may act as keystone species – critical to building the rest of the community and particularly in circumstances in which the microbial community has been destabilized (76). However, no singular important bacteria has been identified in meta analyses of IBD in humans (77, 78), and some studies have pointed to the switch of bacterial commensals to becoming pathobiont as important (79). Thus, perhaps there are keystone taxa in mice, but in humans the keystone host-microbe relationships may need to be restored.

There is considerable evidence that microbes provide metabolism of biologically inert glucosinolates to biologically active isothiocyanates, and several cultured bacterial strains from fermented foods and the digestive tract have been shown to perform this conversion (31, 56, 57). Additionally, a single bacterial equivalent of the plant myrosinase enzyme has not been identified, and there is the possibility that several enzymes and bacteria may work in concert to achieve metabolism of GSLs. Further, research is lacking to differentiate if the effect on the gut microbiome is metabolite (isothiocyanates)-mediated or precursor (glucosinolates)-mediated. The scope of research in this field should be expanded by investigating the concepts of biogeographic specificity of both bioactive production and absorption and microbial community dynamics.

Biogeography reveals location-specific trends in the bacterial community

It has been well-demonstrated that distinct bacterial communities exist in different locations along the gastrointestinal tract in mammals, related to the local anatomical, environmental, nutritional, and host immunological conditions in different organs (36, 80, 81).

Further, the effect of foods on the gut microbiome can be specific to an individual's communities (34). Given the complexity of microbial community function, as well as the spatially explicit biochemical digestion activities of the host, it follows that the location of glucoraphanin metabolism may influence how well the host can absorb SFN, whether it may be distributed systematically, and where it will be effective at preventing or treating symptoms. It has previously demonstrated in mouse trials using 2,4,6 trinitrobenzene sulfonic acid to chemically induce colitis that the mucosal-associated bacterial population is more affected by colitis than the lumen-associated (digesta) community (43).

Here, we showed that DSS-induced colitis effectively erased the biogeographical specificity of communities in the mouse gastrointestinal tract (Figure 4, Table 1), with the exception of communities in jejunum scrapings remaining distinct from those in cecal contents. Given the highly specific function of the cecum in separating fibers by size and furthering microbial fermentation, it is not surprising that it would be distinct. The DSS may be a stronger selective force than anatomical location in driving bacterial communities in the gut (74). For example, its destruction to epithelial cell surface may change the microarchitecture and alter microbial attachment in the gut (82), and DSS increases the hydrophobicity of bile acids (83) which may affect microbial survival and ability to attach to host epithelial cells, thus increasing microbial washout through the gut. Any of these could possibly explain our findings that DSS eliminated biogeographical specificity of communities in the mouse gastrointestinal tract. However, more research would be needed to determine causation.

Mouse studies suggest biotransformation of glucoraphanin to SFN occurs in the colon (31, 44, 45), and we previously confirmed the majority of SFN accumulation takes place in the colon, with greater resolution using multiple locations along the GI tract (18). Critically, the cecum had

low SFN, indicating that it is not responsible for hosting bacterial biotransformation of these bioactives (18). Here, we also showed that only a few bacterial taxa were estimated to be sourced in the cecum and creating sink populations in the colon (Figure S7), and of these, none were identified to be putatively responsible for metabolism of glucoraphanin. Collectively, this confirms that this is a valid model for generalization to the human gut.

Considerations for the application of this work

Access to fresh or frozen broccoli and broccoli sprouts, the cooking preparation, and the ability to regularly consume these vegetables will have implications for the feasibility and success of a dietary intervention for preventing or reducing inflammation in the gut. For example, sprouts from various plants have been implicated in food-borne illness because of their proximity to soil, and people may be wary of or discouraged from consuming raw or slightly cooked sprouts. However, more recent research has shown that sprouts can be consumed safely, especially with improvements in hygiene and agricultural regulations, as well as in food processing (84). Further, broccoli sprouts can be grown at home in windowsill seed-bed germinators without requiring soil, gardening tools, or specialized gardening knowledge, which could ease the financial burden of purchasing healthy foods (85). This may prove to be particularly important in areas without access to healthcare or affordable prescriptions, or in areas without close proximity to fresh, healthy fruits and vegetables (7, 86, 87) as this can preclude being able to make the dietary recommendations set forth by medical professionals (88). Including broccoli sprouts as 10% of the diet could be potentially too high for IBD patients to comply with, and future studies on the application of this diet will require a deeper understanding of the biological, microbiological, immunological, as well as social and logistical factors involved in dietary interventions in people.

Materials and Methods

Diet preparation

Jonathan's Sprouts™ (Rochester, Massachusetts, USA) broccoli sprouts were purchased from a grocery store (Bangor, Maine, USA) and steamed in a double boiler for 10 minutes and immediately cooled down. They were stored in a -80°C freezer until freeze-drying (University of Maine Pilot Plant, Orono, Maine, USA). The freeze-dried broccoli sprouts were ground into a fine powder and mixed with purified AIN93G rodent base diet to a concentration of 10% by weight. Our previous work assessed the effects of different diet preparations and the percentage of broccoli sprouts, and found that 5-10% broccoli sprouts by weight reliably produces consistent anti-inflammatory results in mice (18). For this study, we chose to use 10% steamed broccoli sprouts both to assess the microbial conversion of GLR to SFN, and to ensure that the intervention would have a strong effect. Diet pellets were formed using a silicone mold to ensure consistent sizing, and allowed to dry at room temperature for up to 48 hours in a chemical safety hood to facilitate moisture evaporation, and after drying were stored in sealable plastic bags in a -20°C freezer.

DSS colitis model

Forty male, 6 week old, specific pathogen free (SPF) C57BL/6 mice (*Mus musculus*) were purchased from the Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, Maine, U.S.) and transferred to the animal facility at the University of Maine (Orono, Maine, U.S.). The animal protocol (IACUC protocol A2020-01-04) was approved by the University Committee on the Use and Care of Animals, and all biosafety work was approved by the Institutional Biosafety Committee (protocol SI-092220).

The mice were acclimated for 7 days (day -7 to 0), during which they received *ad libitum* autoclaved tap water and the AIN-93G purified rodent diet (control diet). After acclimation, the mice were randomly assigned to one of 4 experimental groups: control diet without DSS treatment (Control), 10% steamed broccoli sprout diet without DSS treatment (Broccoli), control diet with DSS treatment (Control+DSS), and 10% steamed broccoli sprout diet with DSS treatment (Broccoli+DSS). All experimental groups were on 7 days of their respective diets (control or 10% steamed broccoli sprout), after which DSS (Alfa Aesar, molecular weight ~40 kD (53)) was added to the drinking water of the DSS treatment groups to a final concentration of 2.5%. Mice were given DSS for 5 days, followed by a recovery period for 5 - 7 days. This was repeated for a total of 3 cycles to induce chronic colitis (48, 89). Mice were sacrificed and tissue collected after the third round of DSS, on day 34.

Bodyweight, fecal blood, and fecal consistency were used to calculate Disease Activity Index (DAI) scores (52). Samples were taken every 2 - 3 days throughout the trial, and daily during the DSS cycles. Body weights and DAI were analyzed using 2-way ANOVA generated with R to compare differences between treatments for each day. A generalized additive model (GAM) was used in R to compare DAI differences (R-sq.(adj) = 0.861, Deviance explained = 86.4%, GCV = 0.036031) by treatment across the entire study, using Mouse ID to account for repeated measures. Lipocalin-2 concentration in the samples were determined by a mouse Lipocalin-2/NGAL DuoSet ELISA kit (R & D Biosystems, USA) following the manufacturer's instructions. Lipocalin is a neutrophil protein that binds bacterial siderophores and serves as a surrogate marker for intestinal inflammation in IBD (90). The readings at wavelengths of 540 nm and 450 nm were measured by a Thermo Scientific Varioskan LUX Multimode Microplate Reader. After euthanasia, lumen-associated (digesta contents) and mucosal-associated (epithelial scrapings) microbial community

samples were collected from the jejunum, cecum (contents only), and colon for DNA extraction and community sequencing as described below.

Bacterial community sequencing and analysis

From the DSS mice, digesta (lumen contents) and epithelial-associated (tissue scrapings) microbial community samples were collected from the jejunum, cecum (contents only), and colon. All tissues containing their resident gut microbiota were gently homogenized with vortexing, then treated with propidium monoazide (PMA; BioTium) following kit protocols at a final concentration of 25 μm. PMA covalently binds to relic/free DNA and DNA inside compromised/dead cell membranes, and prevents amplification in downstream protocols to preclude dead DNA from the sequence data (91).

Following PMA treatment, bulk DNA was extracted from tissue-associated bacterial communities (n = 200 samples), or no-template (water) control samples (n = 10, one for each extraction batch) using commercially available kits optimized for water and tissue-based microbial communities (Quick-DNA Fecal/Soil Kit, Zymo Research). DNA extract was roughly quantified and purity-checked with a Thermo Scientific™ NanoDrop™ OneC Microvolume UV-Vis Spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, U.S.). Samples underwent DNA amplicon sequencing of the 16S rRNA gene V3-V4 region, using primers 341F [174] and 806R [175] and protocols consistent with The Earth Microbiome Project [176], and sequenced on an Illumina MiSeq platform using the 2 x 300-nt V3 kit (Molecular Research Labs, Clearwater, TX, U.S.). Raw sequence data (fastq files and metadata) is available from NCBI through BioProject Accession number PRJNA911821.

Amplicon sequence data was processed using previously curated workflows in the Ishaq Lab (R code supplied as Supplemental Material), which used the DADA2 pipeline ver. 1.26 (DADA2 Pipeline Tutorial (1.4), 2016.) in the R software environment ver. 4.1.1 [177]. The dataset started with 46,581,832 paired raw reads, and based on initial quality assessment only the forward reads were processed. Trimming parameters were designated based on visual assessment of the aggregated quality scores at each base from all samples (plotQualityProfile in DADA2): the first 10 bases were trimmed, sequences were trimmed to 225 bases in length, and were discarded if they had ambiguous bases, more than two errors, or matched the PhiX version 3 positive control (Illumina; FC-110-3001). After filtering, 34,009,802 non-unique forward/read 1 sequences remained.

The DADA algorithm was used to estimate the error rates for the sequencing run, dereplicate the reads, pick sequence variants (SVs) which represent 'microbial individuals', and remove chimeric artifacts from the sequence table. Taxonomy was assigned using the Silva taxonomic training data version 138.1 [178] down to species where possible, and reads matching chloroplasts and mitochondria taxa were removed using the dplyr package [179]. No-template control samples were used to remove contaminating sequences from the samples by extraction batch [180]. The sequence table, taxonomy, and metadata were combined for each experiment using the phyloseq package [181], which was also used for basic visualization and statistical analysis in combination with other packages. Samples from one mouse (4L, in the Control+DSS group) were dropped from further analysis as they were outliers on all visualizations and may have been contaminated during DNA extraction.

Normality was checked using a Shapiro-Wilkes test on alpha diversity metrics generated from rarefied data, including observed richness, evenness, and Shannon diversity. Linear models

were run for comparisons of alpha diversity metrics to compare by sample type, (lme4 package [182]), in which anatomical location and diet treatment were used as fixed effects, and mouse ID used to control for repeated sampling as needed. Generalized additive models were used to assess trends in alpha diversity using time as a smoother (92). Jaccard unweighted similarity was used to calculate sample similarity based on community membership (species presence/absence), visualized with non-parametric multidimensional scaling, and tested with permutational analysis of variance (permANOVA) by using the vegan package (93). Random forest feature prediction with permutation was used to identify differentially abundant SVs based on factorial conditions (94). Plots were made using the ggplot2 (95), ggpubr (96), and phyloseq packages.

Source Tracker algorithms which had been modified for the R platform (97, 98) were used to identify source:sink effects based on anatomical location. This was used to determine if the cecum could be the source for population sinks in the colon, as a proxy for the model's applicability to the human gut anatomical features and microbial communities. A total of 142 SVs were identified as possibly sourced from the cecum, and 95 SVs were estimated to make up >1% of the proportion of sources (Figure S7). The putative GLR converting bacteria in the Broccoli and Broccoli+DSS mouse gut samples were not among those taxa identified as sourced in the cecum.

Acknowledgements

All authors have read and approved the final manuscript. The authors thank Jess Majors, University of Maine, for her kind and detailed care of the mice during the trial, and for Ellie Pelletier for her informal review of the manuscript. This project was supported by the USDA National Institute of Food and Agriculture through the Maine Agricultural & Forest Experiment

Station: Hatch Project Numbers ME022102 and ME022329 (Ishaq) and ME022303 (Li); the USDA-NIFA-AFRI Foundational Program [Li and Chen; USDA/NIFA 2018-67017-27520/2018-67017-36797]; and the National Institute of Health [Li and Ishaq; NIH/NIDDK 1R15DK133826-01]. Johanna Holman was supported by ME0-22303 (Li), and Lola Holcomb was supported by US National Science Foundation One Health and the Environment (OG&E): Convergence of Social and Biological Sciences NRT program grant DGE-1922560, and through the UMaine Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences and Engineering.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization, S.L.I., Y.L., T.Z., G.C., G.M; Methodology, S.L.I., Y.L., T.Z., G.M., P.M., J.H.; Software, S.L.I.; Formal Analysis, J.H., S.L.I., T.H., B.H.; Investigation, J.H., L.C., J.B.; G.C., D.B.; Resources, S.L.I., Y.L., T.Z.; Data Curation, S.L.I., J.H.; Writing - Original Draft, J.H., L.C., S.L.I., Y.L.; Writing - Review and Editing; S.L.I., Y.L., T.Z., G.M., P.M., J.H., L.C., J.B., G.C., D.B., T.H., B.H., L.H.; Visualization, J.H., S.L.I., T.H., B.H.; Supervision, J.H., S.L.I., Y.L., T.Z.; Project Administration, S.L.I., Y.L., T.Z; Funding Acquisition, S.L.I., Y.L., T.Z., G.C.

References

1. Peery AF, Crockett SD, Murphy CC, Lund JL, Dellon ES, Williams JL, Jensen ET, Shaheen NJ, Barritt AS, Lieber SR, Kochar B, Barnes EL, Fan YC, Pate V, Galanko J, Baron TH, Sandler RS. 2019. Burden and Cost of Gastrointestinal, Liver, and Pancreatic Diseases in the United States: Update 2018. Gastroenterology 156:254–272.e11.

2. Singh S, Qian AS, Nguyen NH, Ho SKM, Luo J, Jairath V, Sandborn WJ, Ma C. 2022. Trends in U.S. Health Care Spending on Inflammatory Bowel Diseases, 1996-2016. Inflamm Bowel Dis 28:364–372.

3. Klampfer L. 2013. Cytokines, inflammation and colon cancer. Current Cancer Drug Targets

11:451–464.

4. Zhang Y-Z. 2014. Inflammatory bowel disease: Pathogenesis. World J Gastroenterol 20:91.

5. Matricon J, Barnich N, Ardid D. 2010. Immunopathogenesis of inflammatory bowel disease. Self Nonself 1:299–309.

6. Rubin DC, Shaker A, Levin MS. 2012. Chronic intestinal inflammation: Inflammatory bowel disease and colitis-associated colon cancer. Frontiers in Immunology 3:00107.

7. Walker RE, Keane CR, Burke JG. 2010. Disparities and access to healthy food in the United States: A review of food deserts literature. Health Place 16:876–884.

8. Banerjee S, Ghosh S, Sinha K, Chowdhury S, Sil PC. 2019. Sulphur dioxide ameliorates colitis related pathophysiology and inflammation. Toxicology 412:63–78.

9. Lewis JD, Abreu MT. 2017. Diet as a Trigger or Therapy for Inflammatory Bowel Diseases. Gastroenterology 152:398–414.e6.

10. Armstrong HK, Bording-Jorgensen M, Santer DM, Zhang Z, Valcheva R, Rieger AM, Sung-Ho Kim J, Dijk SI, Mahmood R, Ogungbola O, Jovel J, Moreau F, Gorman H, Dickner R, Jerasi J, Mander IK, Lafleur D, Cheng C, Petrova A, Jeanson T-L, Mason A, Sergi CM, Levine A, Chadee K, Armstrong D, Rauscher S, Bernstein CN, Carroll MW, Huynh HQ, Walter J, Madsen KL, Dieleman LA, Wine E. 2022. Unfermented β-fructan Fibers Fuel Inflammation in Select Inflammatory Bowel Disease Patients. Gastroenterology https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2022.09.034.

11. Tse G, Eslick GD. 2014. Cruciferous vegetables and risk of colorectal neoplasms: a

systematic review and meta-analysis. Nutr Cancer 66:128–139.

12. Huang L, Li B-L, He C-X, Zhao Y-J, Yang X-L, Pang B, Zhang X-H, Shan Y-J. 2018. Sulforaphane inhibits human bladder cancer cell invasion by reversing epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition via directly targeting microRNA-200c/ZEB1 axis. J Funct Foods 41:118–126.

13. Li Y, Wicha MS, Schwartz SJ, Sun D. 2011. Implications of cancer stem cell theory for cancer chemoprevention by natural dietary compounds. J Nutr Biochem 22:799–806.

14. Li Y, Zhang T, Schwartz SJ, Sun D. 2011. Sulforaphane Potentiates the Efficacy of 17-Allylamino 17-Demethoxygeldanamycin Against Pancreatic Cancer Through Enhanced Abrogation of Hsp90 Chaperone Function. Nutrition and Cancer https://doi.org/10.1080/01635581.2011.596645.

15. Li Y, Zhang T, Korkaya H, Liu S, Lee H-F, Newman B, Yu Y, Clouthier SG, Schwartz SJ, Wicha MS, Sun D. 2010. Sulforaphane, a dietary component of broccoli/broccoli sprouts, inhibits breast cancer stem cells. Clin Cancer Res 16:2580–2590.

16. Angelino D, Jeffery E. 2014. Glucosinolate hydrolysis and bioavailability of resulting isothiocyanates: Focus on glucoraphanin. J Funct Foods 7:67–76.

17. Shapiro TA, Fahey JW, Wade KL, Stephenson KK, Talalay P. 1998. Human metabolism and excretion of cancer chemoprotective glucosinolates and isothiocyanates of cruciferous vegetables. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 7:1091–1100.

18. Zhang T, Holman J, McKinstry D, Trindade BC, Eaton KA, Mendoza-Castrejon J, Ho S,

Wells E, Yuan H, Wen B, Sun D, Chen GY, Li Y. 2022. A steamed broccoli sprout diet preparation that reduces colitis via the gut microbiota. J Nutr Biochem 112:109215.

19. Fahey JW, Stephenson KK, Wade KL, Talalay P. 2013. Urease from *Helicobacter pylori* is inactivated by sulforaphane and other isothiocyanates. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 435:1–7.

20. Fahey JW, Wehage SL, Holtzclaw WD, Kensler TW, Egner PA, Shapiro TA, Talalay P. 2012. Protection of humans by plant glucosinolates: efficiency of conversion of glucosinolates to isothiocyanates by the gastrointestinal microflora. Cancer Prev Res (Phila) 5:603–611.

21. Wei L-Y, Zhang J-K, Zheng L, Chen Y. 2022. The functional role of sulforaphane in intestinal inflammation: a review. Food Funct 13:514–529.

22. Holman J, Hurd M, Moses P, Mawe G, Zhang T, Ishaq SL, Li Y. 2023. Interplay of Broccoli/Broccoli Sprout Bioactives with Gut Microbiota in Reducing Inflammation in Inflammatory Bowel Diseases. J Nutr Biochem 113:109238.

23. Sun C-C, Li S-J, Yang C-L, Xue R-L, Xi Y-Y, Wang L, Zhao Q-L, Li D-J. 2015. Sulforaphane attenuates muscle inflammation in dystrophin-deficient mdx mice via NF-E2-related factor 2 (Nrf2)-mediated inhibition of NF-κB signaling pathway. J Biol Chem 290:17784–17795.

24. Petkovic M, Leal EC, Alves I, Bose C, Palade PT, Singh P, Awasthi S, Børsheim E, Dalgaard LT, Singh SP, Carvalho E. 2021. Dietary supplementation with sulforaphane ameliorates skin aging through activation of the Keap1-Nrf2 pathway. J Nutr Biochem

98:108817.

25. Mazarakis N, Snibson K, Licciardi PV, Karagiannis TC. 2020. The potential use of l-sulforaphane for the treatment of chronic inflammatory diseases: A review of the clinical evidence. Clin Nutr 39:664–675.

26. Zhang Y, Tan L, Li C, Wu H, Ran D, Zhang Z. 2020. Sulforaphane alter the microbiota and mitigate colitis severity on mice ulcerative colitis induced by DSS. AMB Express 10:119.

27. Liu X, Wang Y, Hoeflinger JL, Neme BP, Jeffery EH, Miller MJ. 2017. Dietary Broccoli Alters Rat Cecal Microbiota to Improve Glucoraphanin Hydrolysis to Bioactive Isothiocyanates. Nutrients 9.

28. Manichanh C, Rigottier-Gois L, Bonnaud E, Gloux K, Pelletier E, Frangeul L, Nalin R, Jarrin C, Chardon P, Marteau P, Roca J, Dore J. 2006. Reduced diversity of faecal microbiota in Crohn's disease revealed by a metagenomic approach. Gut 55:205–211.

29. Palop ML, LLanos Palop M, Smiths JP, ten Brink B. 1995. Degradation of sinigrin by *Lactobacillus agilis* strain R16. International Journal of Food Microbiology https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-1605(95)00123-2.

30. Angelino D, Dosz EB, Sun J, Hoeflinger JL, Van Tassell ML, Chen P, Harnly JM, Miller MJ, Jeffery EH. 2015. Myrosinase-dependent and -independent formation and control of isothiocyanate products of glucosinolate hydrolysis. Front Plant Sci 6:831.

31. Liou CS, Sirk SJ, Diaz CAC, Klein AP, Fischer CR, Higginbottom SK, Erez A, Donia MS, Sonnenburg JL, Sattely ES. 2020. A Metabolic Pathway for Activation of Dietary

Glucosinolates by a Human Gut Symbiont. Cell 180:717–728.e19.

32. Elfoul L, Rabot S, Khelifa N, Quinsac A, Duguay A, Rimbault A. 2001. Formation of allyl isothiocyanate from sinigrin in the digestive tract of rats monoassociated with a human colonic strain of *Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron*. FEMS Microbiol Lett 197:99–103.

33. Singh RK, Chang H-W, Yan D, Lee KM, Ucmak D, Wong K, Abrouk M, Farahnik B, Nakamura M, Zhu TH, Bhutani T, Liao W. 2017. Influence of diet on the gut microbiome and implications for human health. J Transl Med 15:73.

34. Johnson AJ, Vangay P, Al-Ghalith GA, Hillmann BM, Ward TL, Shields-Cutler RR, Kim AD, Shmagel AK, Syed AN, Personalized Microbiome Class Students, Walter J, Menon R, Koecher K, Knights D. 2019. Daily Sampling Reveals Personalized Diet-Microbiome Associations in Humans. Cell Host Microbe 25:789–802.e5.

35. Amato KR, Arrieta M-C, Azad MB, Bailey MT, Broussard JL, Bruggeling CE, Claud EC, Costello EK, Davenport ER, Dutilh BE, Swain Ewald HA, Ewald P, Hanlon EC, Julion W, Keshavarzian A, Maurice CF, Miller GE, Preidis GA, Segurel L, Singer B, Subramanian S, Zhao L, Kuzawa CW. 2021. The human gut microbiome and health inequities. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 118:e2017947118.

36. Tropini C, Earle KA, Huang KC, Sonnenburg JL. 2017. The Gut Microbiome: Connecting Spatial Organization to Function. Cell Host Microbe 21:433–442.

37. Hillman ET, Lu H, Yao T, Nakatsu CH. 2017. Microbial Ecology along the Gastrointestinal Tract. Microbes Environ 32:300–313.

38. Lynch SV, Pedersen O. 2016. The Human Intestinal Microbiome in Health and Disease. N Engl J Med 375:2369–2379.

39. Press AG, Hauptmann IA, Hauptmann L, Fuchs B, Fuchs M, Ewe K, Ramadori G. 1998. Gastrointestinal pH profiles in patients with inflammatory bowel disease. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 12:673–678.

40. Compare D, Pica L, Rocco A, De Giorgi F, Cuomo R, Sarnelli G, Romano M, Nardone G. 2011. Effects of long-term PPI treatment on producing bowel symptoms and SIBO. European Journal of Clinical Investigation https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2362.2010.02419.x.

41. Swidsinski A, Ladhoff A, Pernthaler A, Swidsinski S, Loening-Baucke V, Ortner M, Weber J, Hoffmann U, Schreiber S, Dietel M, Lochs H. 2002. Mucosal flora in inflammatory bowel disease. Gastroenterology 122:44–54.

42. Gevers D, Kugathasan S, Denson LA, Vázquez-Baeza Y, Van Treuren W, Ren B, Schwager E, Knights D, Song SJ, Yassour M, Morgan XC, Kostic AD, Luo C, González A, McDonald D, Haberman Y, Walters T, Baker S, Rosh J, Stephens M, Heyman M, Markowitz J, Baldassano R, Griffiths A, Sylvester F, Mack D, Kim S, Crandall W, Hyams J, Huttenhower C, Knight R, Xavier RJ. 2014. The treatment-naive microbiome in new-onset Crohn's disease. Cell Host Microbe 15:382–392.

43. Kozik AJ, Nakatsu CH, Chun H, Jones-Hall YL. 2019. Comparison of the fecal, cecal, and mucus microbiome in male and female mice after TNBS-induced colitis. PLoS One 14:e0225079.

44. Lai R-H, Miller MJ, Jeffery E. 2010. Glucoraphanin hydrolysis by microbiota in the rat cecum results in sulforaphane absorption. Food Funct 1:161–166.

45. Li F, Hullar MAJ, Beresford SAA, Lampe JW. 2011. Variation of glucoraphanin metabolism in vivo and ex vivo by human gut bacteria. Br J Nutr 106:408–416.

46. Eichele DD, Kharbanda KK. 2017. Dextran sodium sulfate colitis murine model: An indispensable tool for advancing our understanding of inflammatory bowel diseases pathogenesis. World J Gastroenterol 23:6016–6029.

47. Okayasu I, Hatakeyama S, Yamada M, Ohkusa T, Inagaki Y, Nakaya R. 1990. A novel method in the induction of reliable experimental acute and chronic ulcerative colitis in mice. Gastroenterology 98:694–702.

48. Wirtz S, Popp V, Kindermann M, Gerlach K, Weigmann B, Fichtner-Feigl S, Neurath MF. 2017. Chemically induced mouse models of acute and chronic intestinal inflammation. Nat Protoc 12:1295–1309.

49. Poritz LS, Garver KI, Green C, Fitzpatrick L, Ruggiero F, Koltun WA. 2007. Loss of the tight junction protein ZO-1 in dextran sulfate sodium induced colitis. J Surg Res 140:12–19.

50. Chassaing B, Aitken JD, Malleshappa M, Vijay-Kumar M. 2014. Dextran sulfate sodium (DSS)-induced colitis in mice. Curr Protoc Immunol 104:15.25.1–15.25.14.

51. Araki A, Kanai T, Ishikura T, Makita S, Uraushihara K, Iiyama R, Totsuka T, Takeda K, Akira S, Watanabe M. 2005. MyD88-deficient mice develop severe intestinal inflammation in dextran sodium sulfate colitis. J Gastroenterol 40:16–23.

52. Dieleman LA, Ridwan BU, Tennyson GS, Beagley KW, Bucy RP, Elson CO. 1994. Dextran sulfate sodium-induced colitis occurs in severe combined immunodeficient mice. Gastroenterology 107:1643–1652.

53. da Costa Goncalves F, Schneider N, Mello HF, Passos EP, da Rosa Paz AH. 2013. Characterization of Acute Murine Dextran Sodium Sulfate (DSS) Colitis: Severity of Inflammation is Dependent on the DSS Molecular Weight and Concentration. Acta Scientiae Veterinari 41:1–9.

54. Breynaert C, Dresselaers T, Cremer J, Van Steen K, Perrier C, Vermeire S, Rutgeerts P, Ceuppens J, Himmelreich U, Van Assche G. 2012. P024 Repeated cycles of DSS inducing a chronically relapsing inflammation: A novel model to study fibrosis using in vivo MRI T2 relaxometry. J Crohns Colitis 6:S20.

55. Delage C, Taib T, Mamma C, Lerouet D, Besson VC. 2021. Traumatic Brain Injury: An Age-Dependent View of Post-Traumatic Neuroinflammation and Its Treatment. Pharmaceutics 13:1624.

56. Sikorska-Zimny K, Beneduce L. 2021. The Metabolism of Glucosinolates by Gut Microbiota. Nutrients 13:2750.

57. Luang-In V, Narbad A, Cebeci F, Bennett M, Rossiter JT. 2015. Identification of Proteins Possibly Involved in Glucosinolate Metabolism in *L. agilis* R16 and *E. coli* VL8. Protein J 34:135–146.

58. Chénard T, Malick M, Dubé J, Massé E. 2020. The influence of blood on the human gut microbiome. BMC Microbiol 20:44.

59. Cafiero C, Re A, Pisconti S, Trombetti M, Perri M, Colosimo M, D'Amato G, Gallelli L, Cannataro R, Molinario C, Fazio A, Caroleo MC, Cione E. 2020. Dysbiosis in intestinal microbiome linked to fecal blood determined by direct hybridization. 3 Biotech 10:358.

60. Mähler M, Bristol IJ, Leiter EH, Workman AE, Birkenmeier EH, Elson CO, Sundberg JP. 1998. Differential susceptibility of inbred mouse strains to dextran sulfate sodium-induced colitis. Am J Physiol 274:G544–51.

61. Bábíčková J, Tóthová Ľ, Lengyelová E, Bartoňová A, Hodosy J, Gardlík R, Celec P. 2015. Sex Differences in Experimentally Induced Colitis in Mice: a Role for Estrogens. Inflammation 38:1996–2006.

62. Goodman WA, Havran HL, Quereshy HA, Kuang S, De Salvo C, Pizarro TT. 2018. Estrogen Receptor α Loss-of-Function Protects Female Mice From DSS-Induced Experimental Colitis. Cell Mol Gastroenterol Hepatol 5:630–633.e1.

63. Letourneau J, Holmes ZC, Dallow EP, Durand HK, Jiang S, Carrion VM, Gupta SK, Mincey AC, Muehlbauer MJ, Bain JR, David LA. 2022. Ecological memory of prior nutrient exposure in the human gut microbiome. ISME J 16:2479–2490.

64. Yagishita Y, Fahey JW, Dinkova-Kostova AT, Kensler TW. 2019. Broccoli or Sulforaphane: Is It the Source or Dose That Matters? Molecules 24:3593.

65. Stackebrandt E, Kramer I, Swiderski J, Hippe H. 1999. Phylogenetic basis for a taxonomic dissection of the genus *Clostridium*. FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol 24:253–258.

66. Uzal FA, McClane BA, Cheung JK, Theoret J, Garcia JP, Moore RJ, Rood JI. 2015. Animal

models to study the pathogenesis of human and animal *Clostridium perfringens* infections. Vet Microbiol 179:23–33.

67. Chen H, Ma X, Liu Y, Ma L, Chen Z, Lin X, Si L, Ma X, Chen X. 2019. Gut Microbiota Interventions With *Clostridium butyricum* and Norfloxacin Modulate Immune Response in Experimental Autoimmune Encephalomyelitis Mice. Front Immunol 10:1662.

68. Shahinozzaman M, Raychaudhuri S, Fan S, Obanda DN. 2021. Kale Attenuates Inflammation and Modulates Gut Microbial Composition and Function in C57BL/6J Mice with Diet-Induced Obesity. Microorganisms 9:238.

69. Ju T, Kong JY, Stothard P, Willing BP. 2019. Defining the role of *Parasutterella*, a previously uncharacterized member of the core gut microbiota. ISME J 13:1520–1534.

70. Hubbard TD, Murray IA, Nichols RG, Cassel K, Podolsky M, Kuzu G, Tian Y, Smith P, Kennett MJ, Patterson AD, Perdew GH. 2017. Dietary Broccoli Impacts Microbial Community Structure and Attenuates Chemically Induced Colitis in Mice in an Ah receptor dependent manner. J Funct Foods 37:685–698.

71. Antonson AM, Evans MV, Galley JD, Chen HJ, Rajasekera TA, Lammers SM, Hale VL, Bailey MT, Gur TL. 2020. Unique maternal immune and functional microbial profiles during prenatal stress. Sci Rep 10:20288.

72. Huarte-Mendicoa JC, Astiasarán I, Bello J. 1997. Nitrate and nitrite levels in fresh and frozen broccoli. Effect of freezing and cooking. Food Chem 58:39–42.

73. Araki Y, Mukaisho K, Sugihara H, Fujiyama Y, Hattori T. 2010. *Proteus mirabilis* sp.

intestinal microflora grow in a dextran sulfate sodium-rich environment. Int J Mol Med 25:203–208.

74. Chang C-S, Liao Y-C, Huang C-T, Lin C-M, Cheung CHY, Ruan J-W, Yu W-H, Tsai Y-T, Lin I-J, Huang C-H, Liou J-S, Chou Y-H, Chien H-J, Chuang H-L, Juan H-F, Huang H-C, Chan H-L, Liao Y-C, Tang S-C, Su Y-W, Tan T-H, Bäumler AJ, Kao C-Y. 2021. Identification of a gut microbiota member that ameliorates DSS-induced colitis in intestinal barrier enhanced Dusp6-deficient mice. Cell Rep 37:110016.

75. Khan I, Bai Y, Ullah N, Liu G, Rajoka MSR, Zhang C. 2022. Differential Susceptibility of the Gut Microbiota to DSS Treatment Interferes in the Conserved Microbiome Association in Mouse Models of Colitis and Is Related to the Initial Gut Microbiota Difference. Advanced Gut & Microbiome Research 2022:7813278.

76. Gibbons SM. 2020. Keystone taxa indispensable for microbiome recovery. Nature Microbiology 5:1067–1068.

77. Duvallet C, Gibbons SM, Gurry T, Irizarry RA, Alm EJ. 2017. Meta-analysis of gut microbiome studies identifies disease-specific and shared responses. Nat Commun 8:1784.

78. Walters WA, Xu Z, Knight R. 2014. Meta-analyses of human gut microbes associated with obesity and IBD. FEBS Lett 588:4223–4233.

79. Han YW. 2015. *Fusobacterium nucleatum*: a commensal-turned pathogen. Curr Opin Microbiol 23:141–147.

80. Gu M, Samuelson DR, de la Rua NM, Charles TP, Taylor CM, Luo M, Siggins RW,

Shellito JE, Welsh DA. 2022. Host innate and adaptive immunity shapes the gut microbiota biogeography. Microbiol Immunol 66:330–341.

81. Suzuki TA, Nachman MW. 2016. Spatial Heterogeneity of Gut Microbial Composition along the Gastrointestinal Tract in Natural Populations of House Mice. PLoS One 11:e0163720.

82. Wu S, Zhang B, Liu Y, Suo X, Li H. 2018. Influence of surface topography on bacterial adhesion: A review (Review). Biointerphases 13:060801.

83. Stenman LK, Holma R, Forsgård R, Gylling H, Korpela R. 2013. Higher fecal bile acid hydrophobicity is associated with exacerbation of dextran sodium sulfate colitis in mice. J Nutr 143:1691–1697.

84. Fahey JW, Smilovitz Burak J, Evans D. 2022. Sprout microbial safety: A reappraisal after a quarter-century. Food Frontiers 1–7.

85. Rao M, Afshin A, Singh G, Mozaffarian D. 2013. Do healthier foods and diet patterns cost more than less healthy options? A systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ Open 3:e004277.

86. Economic Research Service. Food Access Research Atlas. US Department of Agriculture. https://www.ers.usda.gov/data/fooddesert. Retrieved 22 April 2022.

87. Wedick NM, Ma Y, Olendzki BC, Procter-Gray E, Cheng J, Kane KJ, Ockene IS, Pagoto SL, Land TG, Li W. 2015. Access to healthy food stores modifies effect of a dietary intervention. Am J Prev Med 48:309–317.

88. Baker EA, Schootman M, Barnidge E, Kelly C. 2006. The role of race and poverty in access to foods that enable individuals to adhere to dietary guidelines. Prev Chronic Dis 3:A76.

89. Taghipour N, Molaei M, Mosaffa N, Rostami-Nejad M, Asadzadeh Aghdaei H, Anissian A, Azimzadeh P, Zali MR. 2016. An experimental model of colitis induced by dextran sulfate sodium from acute progresses to chronicity in C57BL/6: correlation between conditions of mice and the environment. Gastroenterol Hepatol Bed Bench 9:45–52.

90. Chassaing B, Srinivasan G, Delgado MA, Young AN, Gewirtz AT, Vijay-Kumar M. 2012. Fecal Lipocalin 2, a Sensitive and Broadly Dynamic Non-Invasive Biomarker for Intestinal Inflammation. PLoS One 7:e44328.

91. Nocker A, Sossa-Fernandez P, Burr MD, Camper AK. 2007. Use of propidium monoazide for live/dead distinction in microbial ecology. Appl Environ Microbiol 73:5111–5117.

92. Pedersen EJ, Miller DL, Simpson GL, Ross N. 2018. Hierarchical generalized additive models: an introduction with mgcv. PeerJ Preprint e27320v1.

93. Oksanen J, Guillaume Blanchet F, Friendly M, Kindt R, Legendre P, McGlinn D, Minchin PR, O'Hara RB, Simpson GL, Solymos P, Stevens MHH, Szoecs E, Wagner H. 2020. Vegan: Community Ecology Package (2.5-7). https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/vegan/vignettes/diversity-vegan.pdf.

94. Archer E. 2022. rfpermute: Estimate Permutation p-Values for Random Forest Importance Metrics (2.5.1). https://github.com/EricArcher/rfPermute.

95. Wickham H. 2016. ggplot2: Elegant graphics for Data Analysis. Springer Publishing

Company, New York. https://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=1795559.

96. Kassambara A. 2022. ggpubr:"ggplot2" based publication ready plots (0.1.7). https://rpkgs.datanovia.com/ggpubr/.

97. Knights D, Kuczynski J, Charlson ES, Zaneveld J, Mozer MC, Collman RG, Bushman FD, Knight R, Kelley ST. 2011. Bayesian community-wide culture-independent microbial source tracking. Nat Methods 8:761–763.

98. Galey M. 2021. Mi-Seq Analysis. GitHub. https://mgaley-004.github.io/MiSeq-Analysis/Tutorials/SourceSink.html, https://github.com/mgaley-004/MiSeq-Analysis. Retrieved 7 December 2022.