Power Series Template Matching Model for Pitch Perception

Jun-ichi TAKAHASHI [a)]

8-3-5 Nagaura-ekimae Sodegaura, Chiba, 299-0246, Japan

Abstract

The problem of pitch perception has been the subject of a long debate between place theory and time theory. Here, we propose a Power Series Template (PoST) model to answer the problem of how and why pitch perception comes about. The sensitive measurement of acoustic signals requires efficient Sound Amplification (SA), which inevitably accompanies mode coupling due to the perturbative non-linearities. Under reinforcement learning for Sound Localization (SL) with the second- and third-order modes as default teacher signals, a chain of coincidence is generated. After learning in SL is completed, two power series templates of 2^n and 3^m are generated, and the $2f_1 - f_2$ coupling of the elements contained therein fills the blanks of matchable harmonics up to N=10 in the template, providing the well-known harmonic template. When complex tones containing multiple harmonics are input into the trained network, two power series are evoked from each harmonic, and from their intersection, the brain acquires the fundamental of the complex tone as the pitch. Based on this template model, consistent explanations are given for the problems of missing fundamental, pitch shift, pitch and chroma, and resolvability jump without the help of time theory.

---

[a] takajun@joy.ocn.ne.jp

I. INTRODUCTION

Theories of pitch perception have wavered between place theory and time theory (de Cheveigné, 2004, Oxenham 2013). The theory of pitch perception began with Ohm's resonance hypothesis and place theory (Helmholtz 1954) and was given anatomical evidence by Békésy (1949), who observed frequency-resolved auditory nerves in the cochlea. However, the fact that the fundamental in a complex tone was perceived as a pitch even when its intensity was reduced (Schouten 1940) or masked by noise (Licklider 1954) negated the simple place theory, and a theory focusing on the temporal structure was put forward. On the other hand, low-numbered, resolved harmonics were found to produce a much more robust and salient pitch than high-numbered, unresolved harmonics (Carlyon and Shackleton 1994). This produced another challenge for time theory, which typically did not predict a benefit for low-numbered harmonics over high-numbered harmonics. In the 70s, it was shown that altering the temporal structure of a sound by manipulating the phase of the harmonics that make up the complex tone had no effect on pitch perception (Wightman 1973). The idea of pitch perception as a direct physical measurement was rethought, and in the direction of considering pitch perception as pattern recognition, the pattern-transformation model was proposed by Wightman (1973), the optimum processor theory was proposed by Goldstein (1973), and a template model was proposed by Terhardt (1974). However, it was pointed out that it might be difficult to describe pitch perception by a single mechanism, because analysis by pattern matching also made it difficult to separate harmonics in higher-order complex tones, making template matching difficult (Houtsma and Smurzynski 1989). On the other hand, it was shown that fundamentals were perceived when different higher-order harmonics nf_0 and $(n-1)f_0$ were presented separately to the two ears, indicating that pitch perception is not the result of physical information processing in the auditory peripheral system, but rather in the central system after the

crossing of the left and right auditory nerves (Houtsma and Goldstein 1972). Revising the choice

between spectral or temporal, an approach emerged that uses a flexible learning process in the

neuronal system to calculate the fundamental for acoustic data that describes the two-dimensional

information of frequency and temporal information as spectrograms on an equal basis. Shamma and

Klein (2000) proposed a network model of pitch perception based on the coupling between

harmonics due to the non-linearity response in the cochlear filter. Recently, Saddler et al. (2021)

developed a deep neural network (DNN) model trained to estimate the fundamental frequency and

showed that many properties of pitch perception could be quantitatively reproduced by

incorporating cochlear filter properties into a DNN. However, Shamma and Klein (2000) noted the

shortcomings of the template model. "The lack of convincing biological evidence so far for the

existence of these templates or for how they might be generated." The DNN model has a serious

problem as it is a teleological procedure to optimize a given parameter. Sound pitch is a very limited

piece of acoustic information. How much information about the object can we get from the pitch?

Is the cost of creating a pitch perception system worth it? Why does the system choose the pitch as

the target parameter for learning and not the center of gravity of the spectrum? Or why does the

system perceive discrete harmonics without perceiving the continuous spectral envelope contrary to

the Gestalt principle? The main problem with the DNN approach is that today's DNNs are too

powerful. If we consider a DNN as a transformation from an input signal to an output signal, then

under the appropriate formulation of the problem and with the appropriate teacher data the DNN

can give a formally accurate solution to almost any problem. The main focus of research using

DNNs has shifted from how accurate transformations can be given to what internal mechanisms are

responsible for high accuracy, and how they are formed.

How have organisms handled acoustic signals under survival strategies? In this paper, we

consider a model of the neural network with a nonlinear input filter for pitch perception, going back

to the origin of hearing. The various constraints imposed on the system cause deficiencies in the

behavior in an ideal DNN and characterize the output of the DNN. We derive the system from

Sound Amplification (SA) for the detection of small auditory signals and Sound Localization (SL) of

Auditory Scene Analysis (ASA) (Bregman 1990). The production and operation of the system are

represented by chains of discrete processes, which behave like state transitions of a finite state

machine (FSM) in the mathematical modeling of computation (Hopcroft et al., 2001). We show that

even under weak non-linearity limited to second- and third-order, chains of correlation learning

enable the perception of higher harmonics, while at the same time multilayering of the network by

chains leads to the loss of octave perception, which results in octave equivalence, and that the third-

order nonlinearity, $2f_1 - f_2$ coupling fills the deficit in the chain, which improves the pitch

resolvability at lower orders and explains the resolvability jump at the 10th harmonic. We show that

the template model can derive the basic properties of pitch perception without the help of time

theory.

II.   Neural Network Model

Sound signals are characterized by their amplitude and frequency. Sounds with significantly lower

or higher frequencies have no invasive effect on the organism, as resonant interaction with the

organism is lost. Each animal has its own species-specific perceptible frequency range. Sound

incident on the ear is converted into vibrations in the lymphatic fluid and stimulates the firing of the

corresponding auditory nerves through the vibration of frequency-resolved inner hair cells. On the

other hand, signals with excessive amplitude cause irreversible damage to the sensory system, so

there is an upper limit to the sensitivity of hearing. Despite this, humans have a large dynamic range

of up to 120 dB. It is thought that amplification in the cochlea due to active and mechanical

movement in outer hair cells contributes to this (Davis 1983, Dallos 2008, Avan et al. 2012). In

general, non-linear distortions often accompany the amplification with a wide dynamic range. Kadia

and Wang (2003) measured the firing of neurons in open marmosets, and directly observed second-

and third-order nonlinear mode coupling in the sensory response of auditory neurons in the A1

auditory cortex, showing that the central nerve uses mode-transformed harmonics and that there is

strong nonlinear mode coupling that cannot be ignored (Wang 2013, Feng and Wang 2017). Aside

from the sensory input, it had been known since the end of the 70s that when sound waves are

incident on the ear, the ear radiates sound waves with frequencies that are two and three times the

frequency of the incident sound wave. This phenomenon is called Distortion Product Otoacoustic

Emission (DPOAE) (Kemp 1979, Bian and Chen 2008). The observation of second- and third-

order DPOAEs is also direct evidence of non-linear mode coupling in the auditory organ and shows

that the non-linear coupling of the response is sufficiently weak that it is valid to treat intermodal

coupling as a perturbation. Although the DPOAE is a mechanical response of the organ to an

externally emitted auditory signal and does not directly represent the sensory input, we consider it

sufficiently feasible to consider that the properties of the DPOAE are quantitatively correlated with

sound perception.

In response to stimulus input from the external world, animals localize objects (in which

direction and how distant), judge their attribution (whether to approach, escape from or ignore), and

determine their behavior. Behavior decisions are not mere reflex responses to stimuli, but are

considered to be the output of a simulation in a model of the external world (representation)

according to the location and attribution of the object in the brain through segregation and

integration to the input signal. The process of reconstructing the placement of an object in the brain

from acoustic signals is called Auditory Scene Analysis (ASA). The localization of objects by sound

signals is called Sound Localization(SL). As sounds of various frequencies arrive at the ear at

different times, SL is the process of performing ASA by segregating and integrating sounds

emanated by objects, using sound intensity, binaural time differences, and other factors as keys.

On the basis of these previous studies, we propose a model that the auditory network would be

created through the following processes. In the undifferentiated early stages of hearing, auditory

cells are randomly distributed. Signals from multiple auditory cells are sent to neurons to measure

their coincidence which is used to segregate and integrate sounds. Learning in neurons is considered

to follow the Hebbian rule. That is, when signal input is superimposed in the presence of teacher

signals, the neuron undergoes reinforcement learning. The second- and third-order overtones

described above always satisfy the coincidence with the fundamental, so they act as pre-prepared

teacher signals in learning. Furthermore, when a sound matching these overtones is superimposed

from the outside, the neuron undergoes reinforcement learning for the correlations between the

fundamental and these overtones. The reinforcement learning is bidirectional, so for each frequency

f, correlations are implemented not only with $2f$ and $3f$, but also with $\frac{1}{2}f$, $\frac{1}{3}f$ and their

combinations $2^n 3^m f$ over any integer order (-∞<n, m<∞). Since learning is performed in parallel

for all frequencies $\{f^{(k)}\}$ consisting of the input sound, where $f^{(k)} = k f_0$ with the fundamental

frequency f_0 and harmonic number k=1 to ∞, power series matching templates, $[\langle 2^n 3^m f \rangle]$ for

every frequency, f are formed on the distributed neurons. The neurons in the series fire

simultaneously for all individual inputs, so frequency discrimination within it is not possible. At the

same time, as described below, the third-order nonlinearity $2f_1 - f_2$ adds 5th and 7th matching

template harmonics. Finally, harmonic templates, $[\langle 2^n 3^m f \rangle, \langle 5f \rangle, \langle 7f \rangle]$ are produced. The first

column in Table 1 shows the harmonics linked by chains of second- and third-order nonlinearities.

Here n=5,7 are prime to 2,3 and each other, so they cannot be directly linked by second- and third-

order harmonics with the fundamental. On the other hand, under third-order nonlinearity, a third

wave $2f_1 - f_2$ is generated for two different waves f_1, f_2 inputs. Consider this three-wave pair:

when two sounds with f_1 and f_2 are input, a signal with a frequency of $2f_1 - f_2$ is prepared as a

prepared teacher signal. When a sound with $2f_1 - f_2$ are superimposed simultaneously, the neuron

undergoes reinforcement learning of the coincidence among the three waves. After learning, when

any two of f_1, f_2 and $2f_1 - f_2$ are input, regardless of the order of frequency, signals with the

remaining frequency is perceived simultaneously. The blank n=5, 7 in the column of TABLE I can

be learned to correlate with the harmonics in the second- and third-columns by 5=2*4-3, 7=2*8-9,

respectively. Similarly, n=10, 14, and 15 can be learned by the coincidences with the second- and

third-column harmonics by 10=2*9-8, 14=2*16-18, and 15=2*12-9, respectively. Although n=14, 15

can also be decomposed into 2*7, 3*5, the learning efficiency is lower because $2f_1 - f_2$ learning

requires two teacher signals whereas overtone learning requires only one externally provided teacher

signal, and the joint strength of the coincidences learned in $2f_1 - f_2$ learning is considered weaker

than in overtone learning. Therefore, for n=14 and 15, matching 14=2*16-18 and 15=2*12-9 are

considered to be preferred. Also, n=11, 13, 17, and 19 are prime to 2 and 3 each other and cannot

be factorized by 2 and 3. It seems that $2f_1 - f_2$ learning is possible using the second- and third-

column harmonics as these are decomposed, e.g., 11=2*6-1, 13=2*8-3, 17=2*9-1. However,

DPOAE shows a sharp decrease in coupling strength for $f_1/f_2 > 1.4$ (Bian and Chen 2008).

Considering the contribution of amplification by outer hair cells, learning at $f_1/f_2 > 1.4$ seems to be

difficult. Therefore, the harmonics learned by the system should be restricted to the numbers

without brackets in the first column of TABLE I. Once the learning is established, the system acts as

a coincidence detector between the fundamental and the harmonics. The discrete internal structure

acquired after the convergence of learning with statistical data will give the system robustness to

minor variations in details of the linear properties of input filters and fluctuations in network

parameters, etc. We name the harmonic template system derived from the chain of correlation

learning Power Series Template (PoST) system. Here, the PoST system includes the 5th and 7th

harmonics through the $2f_1 - f_2$ coupling. As mentioned above, learning the $2f_1 - f_2$ coupling is

less efficient than direct power series learning. If it is necessary to consider a PoST system without

5th and 7th harmonics, we call the system pre PoST. In the inference process of the PoST system,

the input acoustic signal undergoes a step-by-step transformation to a pitch, as will be shown in the

next chapter. The production and operation of the PoST system are depicted in Fig. 1. The step-by-

step transformation can be considered an operation in an FSM if it is regarded as a transition

between states. The system acts as a discrete signal processor and the FSM provides a simple

description of operations that is robust for small fluctuations in the parameters. In the following, the

operation of the PoST system and its characteristics are described in detail.

TABLE I. Harmonic template learned by the second- and third-order nonlinearities. First column:

order of harmonics, second and third columns: number of iterations of second-order and third-

order nonlinear coupling required to access the harmonics, fourth column: combinations of those

defined from the second and third columns to access the harmonics by the $2f_1 - f_2$ coupling. Here,

those of $f_1/f_2 > 1.4$ are not written (see text). The numbers in brackets are not accessible by the

second- and third-order nonlinearities.

N	2^n	3^m	$2f_1 - f_2$	N	2^n	3^m	$2f_1 - f_2$
1				14			2*16-18
2	1			15			2*12-9
3		1		16	4		
4	2			(17)			

5			2*4-3	18	1	2	
6	1	1		(19)			
7			2*8-9	20			2*18-16, 2*16-12
8	3			(21)			
9		2		(22)			
10			2*9-8, 2*8-6	(23)			
(11)				24	3	1	
12	2	1		…			
(13)							

Fig.1. Learning and inference of PoST system. $\{f^{(k)}\}$ are harmonic series of kf_0 with a fundamental frequency f_0 and k=1 to ∞. $\{f^{(k)}, 2f^{(k)}, 3f^{(k)}\}$ are combined series with second- and third-order harmonics. $[\langle 2^n 3^m f \rangle]$ are power series harmonic templates for each f.

$[\langle 2^n 3^m f \rangle, \langle 5f \rangle, \langle 7f \rangle]$ are the PoST template to which 5th and 7th matching harmonics are added.

In the learning process, nonlinear cochlear amplification provides second and third harmonics and

they are exploited by NN as teacher signals, producing PoST. In the inference process, PoST

outputs chains of the power series of second- and third-order couplings from each $f^{(k)}$. If they have

an overlap, they are integrated into a single series with the fundamental frequency f_0. If f_0 is

consistent with all of $f^{(k)}$, f_0 is output as the fundamental frequency of the input sound.

III.   Discussion

A. Missing fundamental

It has been a fundamental problem in pitch perception that fundamental missing harmonic

sequences induce pitch sensation (Seebeck 1841, Schouten 1940, Licklider 1954).

When a sound consisting of multiple harmonics $\{f^{(k)}\}$ is input to a trained neural system, 2^n and

3^m series are evoked separately for each harmonic and are cross-checked for coincidence (Fig.1

right). If there is an intersection between the two series, the frequency of the intersection is the

fundamental for the input harmonics (TABLE II upper). When there is no intersection between the

respective series, the $2f_1 - f_2$ coupling in the PoST is matched (TABLE II lower). When the input

sound has more than three harmonics, matching is performed in parallel in all pairs of auditory

neurons. However, it is not necessary to complete matching on every pair. If a harmonic template

generated by the fundamental determined from any two harmonic pairs is consistent with the rest of

the harmonic group, no further matching is required, and the SL can be terminated. The

determination of the pitch signal would give a cue to take the exit action while matching is not

completed in other neurons, which would act on the auditory system to interrupt attention to the

object and prevent it from wasting further resources for perception. It is noted that the fundamental

is not prepared a priori as a generator of the harmonic template (and is sometimes missing in the

auditory input), but rather it is more appropriate to think of it as being defined recursively from the

input harmonics. The system does not perceive the auditory signal as a whole spectrum, but

integrates it into a special single scalar quantity, the pitch of the sound, which allows the target signal

to be incorporated into the ASA with minimal resources in perception just as the edge detection in

vision. The parsimony would be critical for quick behavior decisions.

194
TABLE II. Pitch perception of two harmonics tones. (Upper): when 800 Hz and 900 Hz tones are

input simultaneously, each evokes 2^n and 3^m power series templates respectively. The intersection

100 Hz (bold) is uniquely determined from the former 2^n template and the latter 3^m template.

(Lower): when the 800 Hz and 1000 Hz tones are input simultaneously, there is no intersection in

the power templates evoked from each. However, they evoke 600 Hz tone by the $2f_1 - f_2$ coupling,

defining the intersection 200 Hz (bold) uniquely from the 2^n template of 800 Hz and the 3^m template

of 600 Hz.

	800				900		
2^n	400	200	**100**		450	225	112.5
3^m	266.7	88.9	29.6		300	**100**	33.3

	800			1000			600		
2^n	400	**200**	100	500	250	125	300	150	75
3^m	266.7	88.9	29.6	333	111	37.0	**200**	66.7	22.2

B. Pitch shift.

When all of the components in a harmonic complex tone are shifted in frequency by Δf, the

perceived pitch of the complex shifts roughly in proportion to Δf. The proportionality factor can be

approximated by the reciprocal of the harmonic order of the carrier frequency of the complex tone

regarding the pitch fundamental frequency (Schouten 1940, Schouten et al. 1962).

The learning of the power series template is carried out in parallel at all frequencies. When a

frequency is fixed, the tuning width of its harmonics learned by power harmonics cannot be

infinitesimally small and always has a finite width. Once the learning is complete, matching at the

higher harmonics with a detuning is possible. In listening to complex tones composed of multiple

harmonics of a common fundamental, when the harmonics are shifted by the same frequency, the

fundamentals determined by power series template matching for each harmonic will have different

values. However, if the modulation is within the tuning width, the brain will prefer to match them

with a common frequency. In a trained network, the firing probability of a neuron continuously

decreases with greater detuning. Approximating the likelihood for detuning by the simplest additive

quadratic function, for example, the likelihood in a three-tone complex is $\sum_{k=n-1}^{n+1}(k\omega_0 + \Delta f -$

$k(\omega_0 + \Delta p))^2$, and the best estimate is $\Delta p = \Delta f/(n + \frac{2}{3n}) \sim \Delta f/n$ (the first effect of pitch shift),

where $k\omega_0 + \Delta f$ is the kth harmonic including the frequency shift Δf used in the experiment, and

$\omega_0 + \Delta p$ is the virtual fundamental. It is known that the proportionality factor obtained

experimentally deviates systematically from $1/n$ (the second effect of pitch shift) (Schouten et al.

1962, Smoorenberg 1970). Its origin could be attributed to the characteristics of the likelihood

function.

C. Pitch and chroma

It is well known that sounds with twice the frequency are perceived as having the same pitch. (Octave circularity in pitch perception) (Deutsch 2010, Shepard 1982).

In our model, harmonics that differ by a factor of 2^n in frequency are integrated into a single series during learning and are no longer distinguishable. This is consistent with octave circularity. Similarly, harmonics with different 3^m-fold frequencies are integrated into a single series. This is known as the perfect 5th consonance if 2^n folding is ignored. The 3^m shift is a combination of the octave shift and perfect 5th, where the 2^n and 3^m power templates selected for the octave shift or perfect 5th shift do not change. Shepard has geometrically represented the periodicity including octave and perfect 5th in pitch with the double helix model (Shepard 1982). In general, the harmonics of the sounds that make up the object sound consist of harmonics of the natural number of orders of the fundamental harmonic. On the other hand, the power series templates contain harmonics with negative integer powers, and the perception of subharmonics would be learned simultaneously, whereas they do not contribute to the SL. The subharmonics can be folded back to a region above the fundamental frequency by multiplying by 2^n or 3^m. These are frequencies with a rational ratio to the fundamental and define a chroma. Which chroma is preferred or survives will depend on culture.

D. Resolvability

The fundamental discrimination threshold (F0DT) of the complex harmonics tone, which consists of several harmonics, shows a critical threshold around N=10 (Houtsma and Smurzynksi 1990, Bernstein and Oxemham 2003). Bernstein and Oxemham investigated it using amplitude-modulated tones consisting of three consecutive harmonics, showing high discrimination performance at $N \leqq 10$, with a sharp drop at N>10. However, there is no significant change in

discrimination performance at much higher orders. Because the components of a harmonic complex

are equally spaced on a linear frequency scale, but the absolute bandwidths of auditory filters

increase with increasing center frequency, the density of harmonics per auditory filter increases with

increasing harmonic number. As a result, low-order harmonics are resolved from one another, but

higher-order harmonics begin to interact within single auditory filters and eventually become

unresolved. Then, the pitch perception was attributed to matching with the harmonic template for

low-order harmonics and reading the period pattern of the acoustic waveform for higher-order

harmonics.

This discontinuous change has been one of the biggest problems in pitch perception theory. Let

us look at this problem from the standpoint of power series template matching. Consider a complex

tone consisting of several harmonics (TABLE III). If the tone contains two consecutive orders of

harmonics, the $2f_1 - f_2$ coupling produces the perception of two harmonics below and above the

orders at the same time. A power series template matching is performed among a total of four

harmonics. In each complex tone, the 2^n and 3^m power series template intersections are calculated

consistently by the two harmonics listed in the third column out of the four harmonics. The

matching calculation has a solution for all combinations up to $N \leqq 10$. In this case, it is not

necessarily the fundamental that is determined by the calculation, but the doubling for the pairs (5,6)

and (6,7) and the tripling for the pairs (10,11). The multiples do not match the original harmonic

pairs. They are folded again into the fundamental to complete the template matching.

Even if the complex tone contains more harmonics, if they match a harmonic template, they will

be integrated into the same power series templates, giving the same fundamentals. The matching

calculation using two harmonics except (16,17) and (17,18) does not have a solution for $N \geqq 11$, but

by using three consecutive harmonics, it is possible to have solutions up to (18,19,20). An increase in

the number of harmonics for matching calculation decreases the efficiency of the matching

calculation and therefore the fundamental discrimination thresholds for $N \geqq 11$ would have to be

larger than that for $N \leqq 10$. Our answer to the problem of resolved and unresolved harmonics for

pitch perception is the special structure of the matching template itself rather than the transition to

the perception of time-structure.

TABLE III. Calculation of fundamentals in complex tones with consecutive harmonics. Left: two

tone complexes ($N \leqq 11$), right: three tone complexes ($N \geqq 10$). First column: given harmonic

combinations. Second column: harmonics used for power series template matchings. Italics are

provided by $2f_1 - f_2$ coupling. The former is used for the 2^n template matching and the latter for

the 3^m template matching. Third column: harmonics determined as the intersection of power series

template matching. The determined harmonics are folded into fundamental under octave and

perfect 5th circularity to give a pitch perception so that the harmonic template is consistent with the

harmonic series in the first column. Up to (18,19,20), three harmonics are enough to determine the

fundamental and only two harmonics are enough up to (10,11).

Given	Used	Determined	Given	Used	Determined
(2,3)	2,3	1	(10,11,12)	*8,9 / 8,*12 / 12,*9*	1
(3,4)	4,3	1	(11,12,13)	12,*9*	3
(4,5)	4,*3*	1	(12,13,14)	*16*,12	4
(5,6)	*4*,6	2	(13,14,15)	16,12	4
(6,7)	*8*,6	2	(14,15,16)	*16*,12 / 16,*18*	2
(7,8)	8,*9*	1	(15,16,17)	16,*18*	2
(8,9)	8,9	1	(16,17,18)	16,18	2

(9,10)	8,9	1	(17,18,19)	16,18	2
(10,11)	12,9	3	(18,19,20)	16,18	2
(11,12)	$\times$	$\times$	(19,20,21)	$\times$	$\times$

… …

IV.   Conclusions

We have approached the problem of pitch perception with help of nonlinear dynamics of the

cochlear amplifier, evolution of hearing, auditory psychology based on ASA, signal processing

theory based on finite state machine and discrete mathematics in it. We started from perturbative

second- and third-order nonlinearity of cochlear amplification of small auditory signals, and

considered the chains of the reinforcement learning of neural network, which produced a power

series harmonic template. The third-order nonlinearity, $2f_1 - f_2$ coupling filled some blanks in the

raw-power series harmonic template, which gave the harmonic template system named PoST. The

chains enabled the perception of coincidence between the fundamental and higher harmonics at the

cost of losing the octave perception, resulting in the octave equivalence. The $2f_1 - f_2$ coupling

filled the 5th and 7th blanks of the raw-power series harmonic template, resulting in an

improvement of F0DT for complex tones below 10th. We attributed the characteristic features of

pitch perception to the constraints on the ideal DNN. Our model could give not only explanations

about the characteristic features of pitch perception without the help of time theory but also the

answers to fundamental problems of pitch perception. What is pitch perception? The success of

Sound Integration of emanated sound from a single object. Why was pitch perception born?

Reinforcement learning of SL using second- and third-order nonlinearity as teacher signals. Why is

the pitch classified into discrete chroma? Subharmonic perception from the bidirectional coupling in

harmonic folding.

REFERENCES

Avan, P., Büki, B., and Petit, C., (2013) "Auditory Distortions: Origins and Functions," Physiol.
Rev., 93, 1563–1619. doi:10.1152/physrev.00029.2012,

Békésy, G., (1949) "The vibration of the cochlear partition in anatomical preparations and in models
of the inner ear," J. Acoust. Soc. Am. 21, 233-245. doi.org/10.1121/1.1906502

Bernstein, J.G., and Oxenham, A. J., (2003) "Pitch discrimination of diotic and dichotic tone
complexes: Harmonic resolvability or harmonic number?" J. Acoust. Soc. Am. 113 (6), 3323-
3334. doi.org/10.1121/1.1572146

Bian, L., and Chen, S., (2008) "Comparing the optimal signal conditions for recording cubic and
quadratic distortion product otoacoustic emissions," J Acoust Soc Am., 124(6): 3739–3750.
doi: 10.1121/1.3001706

Bregman, A. S., (1990) "Auditory scene analysis: The Perceptual Organization of Sound.
Cambridge," MA: MIT Press. ISBN 9780262022972.

Carlyon, R. P., and Shackleton, T. M., (1994) "Comparing the fundamental frequencies of resolved
and unresolved harmonics: Evidence for two pitch mechanisms?" J. Acoust. Soc. Am., 95,
3541-3554. doi.org/10.1121/1.409971

de Cheveigné, A., (2004) "Pitch perception models-a historical review," CNRS-Ircam, Paris, France.

Dallos, P., (2008) "Cochlear amplification, outer hair cells and prestin," Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.,
18(4), 370–376. doi:10.1016/j.conb.2008.08.016,

Davis, H., (1983) "An active process in cochlear mechanics," Hearing Research, 9(1), 79-90.
doi.org/10.1016/0378-5955(83)90136-3

Deutsch, D., (2010) "The Paradox of Pitch Circularity," Acoustics Today, July, 8-15

Feng, L., and Wang, X., (2017) "Harmonic template neurons in primate auditory cortex underlying

complex sound processing," Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 114(5), E840-E848,

doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1607519114

Goldstein ,J. L., (1973) "An optimum processor theory for the central formation of the pitch of

complex tones," J. Acoust. Soc. Am., 54, 1496-1516. doi.org/10.1121/1.1914448

Helmholtz, H., (1954) "On the Sensations of Tone: As a Physiological Basis for the Theory of

Music," New York: Dover.

Hopcroft, J. E., Motwani, R., & Ullman, J. D. (2001). Introduction to automata theory, languages,

and computation. Acm Sigact News, 32(1), 60-65.

Houtsma, A. J. M., and Goldstein, J. L., (1972) "The Central Origin of the Pitch of Complex Tones:

Evidence from Musical Interval Recognition," J. Acoust. Soc. Am., 51, 520-529.

doi.org/10.1121/1.1912873

Houtsma, A. J. M. and Smurzynski, J., (1989) "Pitch of complex tones with many high‐order

harmonics," J. Acoust. Soc. Am., 85, S142. doi.org/10.1121/1.2026776

Houtsma , A. J. M. and Smurzynski , J., (1990) "Pitch identification and discrimination for complex

tones with many harmonics," J. Acoust. Soc. Am., 87, 304-310. doi.org/10.1121/1.399298

Kadia, S. C., and Wang, X., (2003) "Spectral Integration in A1 of Awake Primates: Neurons With

Singleand Multipeaked Tuning Characteristics," J. Neurophysiol. 89, 1603–1622. doi:

10.1152/jn.00271.2001

Kemp, D. T., (1979) "Evidence of mechanical nonlinearity and frequency selective wave

amplification in the cochlea," Arch. Otol. Rhino. Laryngol. 224, 37–45.

doi.org/10.1007/BF00455222

Licklider , J. C. R., (1954) "Periodicity pitch and place pitch," J. Acoust. Soc. Am., 26, 945.

doi.org/10.1121/1.1928005

Oxenham, J. A., (2013) "Revisiting place and temporal theories of pitch," Acoust. Sci. & Tech. 34, 6.

doi.org/10.1250/ast.34.388

Saddler, M. R., Gonzalez, R., and McDermott, J. H., (2021) "Deep neural network models reveal

interplay of peripheral coding and stimulus statistics in pitch perception," Nat Commun 12,

7278. doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-27366-6

Schouten, J. F., (1940) "The Perception of Pitch," Phillips Tech. Rev, 5, 286-294.

Schouten, J. F., Ritsma, R. J., and Cardozo, B. L.,(1962) "Pitch of the Residue," J. Acoust. Soc. Am.

34, 1418-1424. ; doi.org/10.1121/1.1918360

Seebeck, A. (1841) "Beobachtungen uber eineige bedingungen der entstehung von tonen," Ann.

Phys. Chem. 53, 417-436,

Shackleton, T. M., and Carlyon, R. P., (1994) "The role of resolved and unresolved harmonics in

pitch perception and frequency modulation discrimination," J. Acoust. Soc. Am. 95 (6),

3529-3540, doi.org/10.1121/1.409970

Shamma, S., and Klein, D., (2000) "The case of the missing pitch templates: How harmonic

templates emerge in the early auditory system," J. Acoust. Soc. Am., 107, 2631-2644.

doi.org/10.1121/1.428649,

Shepard, R. N., (1982) "Psychological Review, Geometrical Approximations to the Structure of

Musical Pitch," 89(4) 305-333. doi.org/10.1037/0033-295X.89.4.305

Smoorenburg, G. F., (1970) "Pitch Perception of Two‐Frequency Stimuli," J. Acoust. Soc. Am. 48,

924-942. ; doi.org/10.1121/1.1912232

Terhardt, E., (1974) "Pitch, consonance, and harmony," J, Acoust, Soc. Am. 55, 1061-1069.

doi.org/10.1121/1.1914648

Wang, X. (2013) "The harmonic organization of auditory cortex," Front. Syst. Neurosci. 7:114. doi:

10.3389/fnsys.2013.00114

Wightman, F. L., (1973) "The pattern-transformation model of pitch," J. Acoust. Soc. Am.54, 407-

416, doi.org/10.1121/1.1913592