# Comprehensive mutagenesis maps the effect of all single codon mutations in the AAV2 *rep* gene on AAV production

Nina K. Jain[1,2], Pierce J. Ogden*[1,2,3], George M. Church*[1,2]

*Corresponding author

Affiliations
1. Wyss Institute for Biologically Inspired Engineering, Boston, MA
2. Dept. of Genetics, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA
3. Present address: Manifold Biotechnologies, Boston, MA

Email addresses:
Nina: ninajain@g.harvard.edu
Pierce: pierce.ogden@gmail.com
George: gchurch@genetics.med.harvard.edu

## Abstract

Recombinant adeno-associated viruses (rAAVs) are the predominant gene therapy vector. Several rAAV vectored therapies have achieved regulatory approval, but production of sufficient rAAV quantities remains difficult. The AAV Rep proteins, which are essential for genome replication and packaging, represent a promising engineering target for improvement of rAAV production but remain underexplored. To gain a comprehensive understanding of the Rep proteins and their mutational landscape, we assayed the effects of all 39,297 possible single codon mutations to the AAV2 *rep* gene on AAV2 production. Most beneficial variants are not observed in nature, indicating that improved production may require synthetic mutations. Additionally, the effects of AAV2 *rep* mutations were largely consistent across capsid serotypes, suggesting that production benefits are capsid independent. Our results provide a detailed sequence-to-function map that enhances our understanding of Rep protein function and lays the groundwork for Rep engineering and enhancement of large scale gene therapy production.

## Introduction

rAAVs are a popular tool for the delivery of gene therapies as wild-type AAV is not pathogenic. Wild-type AAV consists of a 4.7 kb single-stranded DNA genome, which is packaged into an approximately 26 nm icosahedral capsid (Srivastava et al., 1983; Xie et al., 2002). The AAV genome is flanked on either end by 145 nucleotide inverted terminal repeats (ITRs), which serve as the origins of viral replication and are the only sequences required *in cis* for packaging of DNA into the capsid (Xiao et al., 1997). As such, the remainder of the AAV genome can be replaced with a gene of interest to generate rAAV vectors (Samulski & Muzyczka, 2014). The wild-type AAV genome consists of two genes, *rep* and *cap* (Srivastava et al., 1983). During rAAV production, these genes are supplied *in trans* to the ITR plasmid. The *cap* gene encodes three structural proteins, VP1, VP2, and VP3, which assemble in an approximately 1:1:10 ratio to form the 60-mer capsid (Cassinotti et al., 1988; Wörner et al., 2021). Engineering of the *cap* gene has enabled targeting of AAV vectors to specific tissues and cell populations. The *rep* gene encodes four proteins, Rep78, Rep68, Rep52, and Rep40, which are generated through the use of two promoters, p5 and p19, and alternative splicing (Davis et al., 2000).

The larger Rep proteins, Rep78 and Rep68, are required for genome replication while the smaller Rep proteins, Rep52 and Rep40, facilitate genome packaging. Notably, Rep78 and Rep68 alone are each sufficient for the production of rAAV vectors (Hölscher et al., 1995). However, the presence of Rep52/40 enhances genome packaging and therefore rAAV titer (Chejanovsky & Carter, 1989; King et al., 2001). The *rep* gene encodes three protein domains, an origin-binding domain (OBD), a helicase domain (HD), and a zinc-finger domain (ZFD, Figure 1A) (Di Pasquale & Stacey, 1998; Im & Muzyczka, 1990; Smith & Kotin, 1998). All four Rep proteins contain the HD as well as a nuclear localization signal (NLS) (Cassell & Weitzman, 2004). Only Rep78 and Rep68 contain the OBD and only Rep78 and Rep52 contain the ZFD. Additionally, residues in the linker domain (LD) between the OBD and HD cooperate with residues at the N-terminus of the HD to facilitate oligomerization of the larger Rep proteins, which is required for AAV production (Zarate-Perez et al., 2012).

The OBD contains three separate DNA binding motifs that are important for genome replication (Hickman et al., 2002, 2004). Firstly, the OBD recognizes the Rep binding site (RBS), which consists of GCTC repeats present in the double stranded region of the ITR (Musayev, Zarate-Perez, Bishop, et al., 2015). Unwinding of this double stranded DNA by the HD enables the OBD to interact with single stranded DNA at its second motif, the active site pocket. The OBD nicks the single stranded DNA at the terminal resolution site (*trs*), an essential step in viral genome replication (Im & Muzyczka, 1990; Snyder et al., 1990). Finally, the OBD contains a single stranded DNA hairpin binding site, which interacts with one of the ITR hairpins. However, DNA binding at this site is not required for *trs* nicking (Wu et al., 1999). The OBD can also recognize GCTC repeats present in the p5 promoter; in the presence of a helper virus, such as adenovirus, the Rep proteins activate transcription from the endogenous promoters and in the absence of helper virus the Rep proteins repress transcription (Labow et al., 1986; Murphy et al., 2007, p. 2).

The HD plays a definitive role in all steps of AAV production while the ZFD is likely dispensable
for production. The HD unwinds the double stranded ITR during genome replication and its
activity has been shown to facilitate genome packaging (Brister & Muzyczka, 1999; King et al.,
2001). There is also evidence that residues within the HD mediate capsid interactions.
Mutations to the HD of Rep52/40, as well as mutations near the five-fold axis of symmetry in the
capsid, reduced the interaction between the Rep proteins and capsid and resulted in lower rAAV
titers (Bleker et al., 2006; King et al., 2001). The third Rep domain, the ZFD, has not been
shown to bind DNA but is involved in binding to various host cell proteins (Di Pasquale &
Stacey, 1998). Previous work indicated that premature stop codons introduced into the ZFD-
encoding region of *rep* do not affect rAAV titers, suggesting that the ZFD is not required for
rAAV production (Mietzsch et al., 2021).
93
As more rAAV vectored therapies are approved, manufacturing sufficient rAAV quantities to
meet patient need is an increasingly important issue. Engineering the Rep proteins is a
promising avenue for improving AAV production. The Rep proteins are involved in all steps of
viral production, including regulation of VP expression, genome replication, and genome
packaging. However, the Rep proteins are not a structural component of the final vector. As
such, the Rep proteins can be designed to optimize viral production without affecting
downstream processes, such as cell targeting, which are driven by the capsid. The sequence of
the *rep* genes in naturally occurring serotypes is relatively well conserved (average of 78%
amino acid sequence identity between AAV2 *rep* and AAV1-13 *rep*). While previous mutational
studies of the Rep proteins have been conducted, these studies have focused primarily on
identifying non-functional Rep variants to elucidate the location of key motifs (Davis et al., 2000;
Gavin et al., 1999; Hörer et al., 1995; Yang et al., 1992). As such, Rep engineering efforts may
benefit from the exploration of additional sequence diversity.
107
108   Towards this end, we generated a library of all possible single codon mutations of the AAV2 *rep*
109   gene, the *rep* gene most commonly used for AAV production. We assayed the effect of these
110   mutations on AAV2 production and generated a detailed sequence-to-function map of the AAV2
111   *rep* gene.
112

# Results

**Comprehensive mutagenesis assays the effect of all single codon mutations in the AAV2**
**rep gene on AAV2 production**

To better understand how mutations to *rep* affect AAV production, we generated two *rep*
libraries. The first library, referred to as pCMV-Rep78/68, consisted of a cytomegalovirus (CMV)
promoter followed by the *rep* open reading frame (ORF) with a M225G mutation introduced to
prevent expression of the smaller Rep proteins. This library allowed us to assay the effect of
mutating only Rep78 and Rep68. The second library, referred to as WT AAV2, was analogous
to the sequence of the wild-type virus and contained the p5 promoter and *rep* and *cap* ORFs.
Use of the endogenous promoters in the WT AAV2 library allowed us to capture the effect of *rep*
mutations on Rep and VP expression. For each library, we generated all 39,297 possible single
codon substitution and deletion variants spanning from the Rep78/68 start codon to the
Rep78/52 stop codon (Figure 1A). All variants contained unique 20 bp barcodes at the 3' end of

the *rep* gene (pCMV-Rep78/68 library) or *cap* gene (WT AAV2 library). To assess the diversity
of the plasmid libraries, we amplified and sequenced the barcodes from each of the plasmid
pools (Figure 1B, Figure S1A, and Table S1). We observed 100 and 99.9% of the expected
amino acid variants in the pCMV-Rep78/68 and WT AAV2 libraries, respectively.
130

131
**Figure 1.** Comprehensive mutagenesis library design and production assay. (A) Organization of
the AAV2 genome and Rep protein domains. Top: single-stranded DNA genome, middle: RNA
transcripts, bottom: Rep proteins. Dotted lines indicate mutated regions. (B) Density plot of
barcode counts in the pCMV-Rep78/68 plasmid library. (C) Overview of production assay for the
pCMV-Rep78/68 library. (D) Amino acid level selection values from replicate transfections of the
pCMV-Rep78/68 library. Pearson R correlation coefficient calculated after log transformation.
(E) Density plot of wild-type (black) and premature stop codon (blue) controls for the pCMV-
Rep78/68 library. *$p < 10^{-20}$ (Mann Whitney U test)
140
Next we transfected the plasmid libraries into HEK293T cells to assay the effect of all single
codon mutations on production of genome-containing viral particles (Figure 1C). Selection
values for each variant were calculated and normalized to internal wild-type controls as shown
in Figure 1C. For each library, we performed transfections in duplicate and compared the
selection values calculated from each replicate (Figure 1D and Figure S1B). For both libraries,
selection values were well-correlated across replicates, indicating that the genotype-phenotype
linkage was maintained during viral production. After examining the correlation between
biological replicates, we next looked at the distribution of selection values for the wild-type and

premature stop codon controls (Figure 1E and Figure S1C). As expected, premature stop
codons had a deleterious effect on AAV2 production and selection values for replicate wild-type
controls clustered together.
152
**Annotation of the AAV2 *rep* sequence-to-function map**
To better understand the sequence-to-function map of the AAV2 Rep proteins, we visualized the
data from our production assay in multiple ways. First, we generated heatmaps containing the
selection values for all single amino acid substitutions and deletions (Figure 2 and Figure S2).
Additionally, we calculated the "mutability" of each residue by averaging the selection values at
each position across all substitutions. We mapped the mutability of each residue onto structures
of the OBD in complex with the RBS (PDB: 4ZQ9, Figures 3A-B), the OBD in complex with
single-stranded DNA from the ITR hairpin (PDB: 6XB8, Figure 3C), the OBD alone (PDB: 5D6X,
Figure S4A), and the HD (PDB: 1S9H, Figure S4B) (James et al., 2003; Musayev, Zarate-
Perez, Bardelli, et al., 2015; Musayev, Zarate-Perez, Bishop, et al., 2015; Santosh et al., 2020).
We observed that the OBD and ZFD were more tolerant of mutation than the HD. Interestingly,
a stark boundary between amino acids D212 and A213 was observed; at residue A213 the
larger Rep proteins become much less tolerant of mutation. This boundary is adjacent to LD
residues P214-Y224, which were previously reported to be important for Rep78/68
oligomerization (Musayev, Zarate-Perez, Bardelli, et al., 2015; Zarate-Perez et al., 2012).
168

**Figure 2.** Effects of all single amino acid substitutions and deletions in the Rep78/68 proteins on AAV2 production. Amino acid level selection values from the pCMV-Rep78/68 production assay were calculated as in Figure 1C by summing barcode counts for synonymous mutations. Colored bars indicate protein domains. Black: OBD, light blue: HD, gray: NLS, and navy blue: ZFD. Black dots indicate wild type amino acid identity.

When looking across all library members, the selection values determined for the pCMV-Rep78/68 and WT AAV2 libraries were well-correlated (Figure S3A). However, as expected, introduction of a methionine upstream of the Rep52/40 start codon was deleterious in the WT AAV2 format but not in the pCMV-Rep78/68 format, where Rep52/40 were expressed *in trans*.

The majority of beneficial substitutions clustered in the OBD. In particular substitutions between
residues V11 and D62 of the OBD enhanced AAV2 production relative to wild-type. These
residues are involved in recognition of the ITR hairpin (Figure 3C) (Musayev, Zarate-Perez,
Bardelli, et al., 2015). OBD-ITR hairpin interactions have been shown to enhance *trs* nicking,
but are not required for nicking to occur (Wu et al., 1999). The majority of RBS interacting
residues, however, are intolerant of mutation (Figure 3B). The OBD-RBS interaction is important
in mediating Rep-genome interactions during both genome replication and promoter regulation
(Labow et al., 1986; Murphy et al., 2007; Musayev, Zarate-Perez, Bishop, et al., 2015).
Interestingly, there are a handful of RBS interacting residues that are somewhat mutable,
including S110 and N139, which form contacts with the phosphate backbone, and A141, which
forms contacts with bases in the RBS. Mutation of S110, N139, and A141, as well as G144 and
V147, to positively charged residues had a beneficial effect on AAV2 production. Residues
N139, A141, G144, and V147 are part of the loop that connects β-strand 4 to α-helix E
(Musayev, Zarate-Perez, Bishop, et al., 2015). The sequence of this loop is highly variable
across serotypes (Musayev, Zarate-Perez, Bardelli, et al., 2015). Notably, the asparagine at
position 139 is positively charged in AAV5 (K139). However, no serotypes contain positively
charged amino acids at positions 141, 144, or 147. Our data indicate that substitution of DNA-
interacting residues in the OBD can enhance AAV production and identifies beneficial
substitutions not observed in nature.

200
**Figure 3.** Beneficial substitutions cluster in ITR-hairpin-interacting residues. (A) Average
selection values for all substitutions at each position mapped onto the structure of the OBD in
complex with the AAVS1 RBS (PDB 4ZQ9). (B) Close up view of OBD-RBS interactions.
Residues where substitutions to positively charged residues are enriched are shown as sticks.
(C) Average selection values mapped onto the structure of the OBD in complex with the single
stranded ITR hairpin (PDB 6XB8). DNA interacting residues are shown as sticks.
207
A clear pattern in the location of mutable residues in the OBD active site can also be observed
(Figure S4A). This active site is responsible for cleaving single stranded DNA and is formed by
the OBD beta sheet and Y156, the active site nucleophile (Hickman et al., 2004). Residues with

side chains directed towards the active site are less mutable than adjacent residues with their
side chains directed away from the active site. It is more difficult to discern positional trends in
mutability within the HD as it is less tolerant of mutation than the OBD (Figure S4B).
214
**Generation of all single codon variants enables interrogation of nucleotide-level effects**
As our libraries contain all possible single codon mutations, we were able to search for variants
with nucleotide-level effects on production by comparing differences in selection values between
synonymous codon variants (Figures S5-6). In general there was good agreement in the
selection values for synonymous variants. Comparison of selection values between
synonymous codons that do and do not introduce premature stop codons into alternate reading
frames allowed us to search for possible frameshifted ORFs. However, no evidence of
frameshifted ORFs was observed (Figures S7A-B).
223
We did observe an interesting pattern at amino acid Y283 (*rep* nucleotides 847-849). Variants
with a c.849C>G mutation had lower selection values than synonymous variants without a
c.849C>G ($p < 10^{-8}$, Mann-Whitney U-test). The deleterious effect of this mutation can also be
observed by plotting the average selection value at each nucleotide position for each of the four
bases (Figures S7C-D). The negative effect of a c.849C>G mutation was consistent across the
pCMV-Rep78/68 and WT AAV2 library formats and was also observed in experiments with
alternate *cap* genes. In each library, there were fifteen codon variants with a c.849C>G
mutation, thirteen of which had synonymous codons without a c.849C>G mutation for
comparison. Our results indicate that the nucleotide sequence of the *rep* gene is highly
optimized.
234
**Comprehensive mutagenesis identifies beneficial variants not observed in nature**
We observed that mutations to amino acids found in other naturally occurring serotypes were
better tolerated than mutations to amino acids that are not found in nature (Figure 4A).
However, a majority of the variants with selection values greater than that of wild-type are not
observed in nature (Figure 4B). Only 245/2351 (6.17%) of variants with s' > 1 and only 4/115
(3.48%) of variants with s' > 1.5 are observed in AAV serotypes 1-13. These data emphasize
the power of our comprehensive approach to identify novel functional sequence diversity.
242
243
244

245
246 **Figure 4.** Comparison of comprehensive mutagenesis measurements to variation in nature. (A)
247 Distribution of selection values for conserved variants (blue) and variants found only in the
248 library (gray). (B) Total number of variants and number of conserved variants with s' greater
249 than wild-type (s' > 1). *p < $10^{-20}$ (Mann Whitney U test)
250
**Validation of multiplexed production assay results**
To validate the results of our multiplexed production assay we selected fourteen variants,
cloned them individually into the pCMV-Rep78/68 format, and determined their effect on
production by measuring DNase-resistant particle (DRP) titers (Figure 5A). We included H92A
and K340H, mutations to the OBD and HD active sites, respectively, as controls (Musayev,
Zarate-Perez, Bardelli, et al., 2015; Smith & Kotin, 1998). Twelve variants with mutations in the
OBD, LD, and HD and selection values greater than wild-type were also assayed. Finally, we
included two deleterious variants identified in our multiplexed assay, N139G and A213V. The
DRP titers determined from individual transfections are well-correlated with the selection values
determined from the multiplexed production assay (Figure 5B). We performed similar validation
for a panel of WT AAV2 format variants (Figure S3B). Several single codon variants, including
N139R, A222R, and Q439T, showed 50-100% improvements in titer over wild-type.
263
To enable multiplexed analysis of *rep* variants in our library assay, the mutant *rep* genes
themselves are packaged into the AAV capsids. Relatively small amounts of *rep* library
plasmids are also used in transfection to reduce the frequency at which multiple *rep* variant
plasmids enter the same cell. These procedures maintain a genotype-phenotype linkage and
allow us to identify the *rep* sequences that enable production of genome-containing particles.
We sought to confirm that the effects observed in the library production assay would be
conserved when the *rep* variants were supplied *in trans* to the ITR genome, as in the traditional
triple plasmid transfection method used for rAAV production. To this end, we selected a small
subset of *rep* variants and cloned them into an AAV2 pRepCap plasmid without ITRs. Here, we
assayed variants with single codon mutations in each of the Rep domains.

274
275
**Figure 5.** Validation AAV2 library production assay results. (A) DRP titers for fourteen single
amino acid pCMV-Rep78/68-ITR variants produced individually. Titers for previously
characterized variants are plotted to the left of the dotted line. (B) Relationship between
normalized library selection values and pCMV-Rep78/68-ITR DRP titers from individual
transfections. (C) DRP titers for two rAAV genomes produced with the indicated Rep variants.
Titers for previously characterized variants are plotted to the left of the dotted line. (D)
Relationship between rAAV DRP titers and normalized selection values from library
experiments. (E) Expression of Rep and VP proteins from variant pRepCap plasmids by
Western blot.
285

We used these mutant pRepCap plasmids to produce rAAV vectors containing two different ITR
genomes. The DRP titers for these pRepCap variants were relatively consistent across different
ITR genomes (Figure 5C). Importantly, the DRP titers determined when the *rep* variants were
supplied *in trans* correlated well with the selection values determined in our production assay
(Figure 5D). Western blot analysis indicated that the majority of these variants had little to no
effect on Rep protein or VP expression (Figure 5E). Interestingly, in the case of the deleterious
variants, A213V and K340H, Rep52/40 protein levels were increased. Codon GCG213 is
located just upstream of the Rep52/40 start codon. As such, mutations to this position likely
affect the strength of the p19 promoter and therefore Rep52/40 expression levels. Notably, the
effects of this mutation on the p19 promoter are separate from the deleterious effects of the
A213V amino acid change; the negative effects of the A213V change are observed even when
Rep52/40 are expressed *in trans* (Figure 5A).
298
**A222R and Q439T variants increase the viral genome: physical particle ratio**
We assessed the viral genome: physical particle ratio for a panel of variants, including R113Q,
A222R, and Q439T. K340H, a previously characterized variant that lacks helicase activity, was
used as a negative control (Chiorini et al., 1994). We set up individual transfections with these
*rep* variants and affinity purified the resulting rAAV2 vectors. Viral genome and physical particle
titers were determined by qPCR and capsid ELISA, respectively (Figure S8 and Table S2). The
K340H variant resulted in a very low viral genome: physical particle titer ratio, as expected.
rAAV vectors produced with the R113Q *rep* variant also had a reduced ratio relative to a wild-
type control, although the difference was much smaller. The A222R and Q439T variants,
however, resulted in a slightly higher ratio of viral genome: physical particle titers compared to
wild-type.
310
**Mutations in the AAV2 *rep* gene have similar effects on production of AAV2, AAV5, and**
**AAV9 capsids**
Many different AAV capsid serotypes are of clinical interest for their unique tissue targeting
properties. Given the physical interaction between Rep proteins and the capsid, we
hypothesized that some *rep* mutations would have unique effects on the production of different
capsid serotypes. To identify *rep* variants with serotype-specific effects on production, we
repeated our pCMV-Rep78/68 library production assay using AAV5 and AAV9 *cap* genes in
place of AAV2 (Figures S9-12). The AAV5 capsid was chosen as it has low overall sequence
identity with the AAV2 capsid (57.7% amino acid identity). The AAV9 capsid is more similar to
the AAV2 capsid (81.7% amino acid identity) but is of particular clinical interest and differs from
AAV2 at amino acid positions 329 and 330, which were previously identified as important for
Rep-capsid interactions (AAV2: T329/T330, AAV9: V331/K332) (Bleker et al., 2006).
Surprisingly, the correlation in selection values across capsid serotypes was comparable to the
correlation between biological replicates, indicating that the effect of AAV2 *rep* variants on
production is largely consistent across capsid serotypes (Figures 6A-B). We individually
produced rAAV5 and rAAV9 vectors using a subset of our *rep* variants and confirmed that the
titers with each *rep* variant are well correlated across capsid serotype (Figure S13).

**Figure 6.** Mutations to AAV2 *rep* have similar effects on AAV2, AAV5, and AAV9 capsid production. Selection values from the library production assay with (A) AAV5 and AAV2 capsids and (B) AAV9 and AAV2 capsids. Pearson R correlation coefficient calculated after log transformation.

# Discussion

We have generated a comprehensive mutagenesis library of the AAV2 *rep* gene, containing all possible single codon substitutions and deletion variants. Multiplexed assay of this library allowed us to generate a sequence-to-function map, linking all variants to their effect on AAV production.

Many of the previous AAV mutagenesis studies have focused on the AAV *cap* gene. Previous work in our lab generated a library containing all possible single codon substitutions, deletions, and insertions of the AAV2 *cap* gene and assayed their effect on AAV2 production (Ogden et al., 2019). Notably, we observed a smaller range of selection values in our production assay with the *rep* library than with the previously reported *cap* library. This observation aligns with our knowledge of Rep and capsid biology. The Rep proteins' primary function is to facilitate AAV production, while the capsid has evolved to not only enable assembly and genome packaging, but also to facilitate cell targeting, entry, and nuclear trafficking. Additionally, the Rep proteins possess multiple enzymatic activities that require the proteins to adopt specific and dynamic conformations. The capsid, in contrast, is not known to possess enzymatic activity outside of the phospholipase domain located at the N-terminus of VP1 (Stahnke et al., 2011). It follows that there are greater mutational constraints on *rep* than on *cap* in the context of AAV2 production.

We observed that substitutions in the OBD and ZFD were better tolerated than substitutions in the LD and HD. A sharp drop in mutability was observed between residues D212 and A213. Previous work has identified residues V215 through Y224 as the minimal linker sequence required to facilitate Rep78/68 oligomerization (Zarate-Perez et al., 2012). In a separate study, it was reported that mutation of P214 reduced the ability of Rep to oligomerize (Musayev, Zarate-Perez, Bardelli, et al., 2015). Our data indicate that residue A213 is intolerant of mutation. A213

likely plays an important role in AAV production and, given its position, may be involved in
Rep78/68 oligomerization. Additional work is needed to confirm that mutations to A213 affect
AAV production by interfering with Rep78/68 oligomerization. In both library formats, the ZFD
was relatively tolerant of mutation. At some positions, even introduction of a premature stop
codon was not deleterious. It was recently reported that premature stop codons introduced at
positions 522 and 553 of Rep did not affect AAV2 production when supplied in a pRepCap
plasmid (Mietzsch et al., 2021). Our results provide further evidence that the ZFD is dispensable
for AAV production and identify A213 as a potential LD residue.
367
The majority of beneficial substitutions clustered in the OBD. In a recent investigation of *rep*
hybrids, Mietzsch et al. reported that replacement of the entire AAV2 OBD with that of AAV1 or
AAV8 improved the proportion of full capsids (Mietzsch et al., 2021). Our data supports the
importance of the OBD for AAV production and identifies specific OBD regions where beneficial
mutations cluster. Most substitutions of ITR-hairpin interacting residues and substitutions of
residues in the RBS-interacting loop to positively charged amino acids were beneficial. Previous
studies have demonstrated that Rep binding to the ITR-hairpin improves the efficiency of *trs*
nicking but is not required for the nicking reaction to occur (Wu et al., 1999). The RBS-
interacting loop, on the other hand, is important for recognition of double-stranded DNA during
genome replication and promoter binding. Taken together, our results indicate that
enhancement of Rep-DNA interactions may be a fruitful avenue for further improvement of AAV
production.
380
Inclusion of all single codon variants in our libraries allowed us to investigate the effect of
synonymous mutations on AAV production. Interestingly, we observed that introduction of a
c.849C>G mutation resulted in lower selection values compared to synonymous variants.
Interestingly, this position is located downstream of the p5 and p19 promoters. Additionally, this
mutation had a negative effect on production even when the *cap* gene was supplied *in trans,*
making the activity of the p40 promoter irrelevant for AAV production. The c.849C>G mutation
also does not fall near any known AAV2 splice sites (Stutika et al., 2016). While the mechanism
by which this mutation affects production remains unclear, our results emphasize that the *rep*
gene is optimized for AAV production at both the amino acid and nucleotide levels.
390
In an attempt to identify Rep substitutions with a beneficial effect on the production of specific
capsid serotypes, we repeated the pCMV-Rep78/68 library assay using AAV5 and AAV9 *cap*
genes in place of AAV2 *cap*. Surprisingly, the correlation in selection values across experiments
was similar to the correlation between biological replicates, suggesting that the majority of AAV2
*rep* mutations have a similar effect on the production of AAV2, AAV5, and AAV9 capsids. These
results suggest that either: *rep* mutations have a similar effect on Rep-capsid interaction across
serotypes, that the effect of perturbing Rep-capsid interactions is obscured by the deleterious
effects of the same mutations on other Rep activities, or that Rep-capsid interactions are not a
limiting factor in AAV production.
400
While the multiplexed nature of our assay enabled us to investigate the phenotypes of all single
codon *rep* substitutions and deletions, it also imposed some limitations on the types of assays

we could perform. Notably, we were not able to measure the proportion of empty capsids
generated by each *rep* variant in multiplex. We did, however, assess the ratio of viral genomes:
physical particles for a panel of variants, including R113Q, A222R, and Q439T. Two variants
that had beneficial effects in our library production assay, A222R and Q439T, also appeared to
increase this ratio relative to a wild-type control. Further characterization will help us to
understand how variants identified in our library production assay affect the production of
genome-containing particles. An additional limitation of our study relates to the effects of *rep*
mutations on AAV5 and AAV9 VP expression. As we supplied the *cap* genes *in trans* to our
pCMV-Rep78/68 library and under the control of a CMV promoter we were not able to
investigate the effects of mutating AAV2 *rep* on expression of AAV5 or AAV9 VPs. Cloning and
assay of additional WT format libraries could provide insight into the effect of AAV2 *rep*
mutations on AAV5 and AAV9 VP expression *in cis*.
415
416 We have generated a comprehensive sequence-to-function map of the effect of all single codon
417 AAV2 *rep* mutations on AAV2, AAV5, and AAV9 production. Our experiments also identified
418 thousands of functional Rep variants, laying the groundwork for further engineering of these
419 proteins and enhancement of large scale gene therapy production.
420

# Materials and Methods

**Library cloning**
We generated *rep* variant libraries through pooled oligonucleotide (oligo) synthesis (Twist
Biosciences) and subsequent Golden Gate Assembly (GGA) using methods previously
developed in our lab(Ogden et al., 2019). To begin, we generated two wild-type backbone
plasmids, containing either the Rep78/68 ORF or the *rep* and *cap* ORFs, and removed all BsaI,
BbsI, EcoRV, SphI, and XbaI sites. Within the *rep* ORF we introduced a synonymous mutation
at G339 (c.1017G>C). Within the VP ORF we introduced a synonymous mutation at V118
(c.354C>G) and a coding mutation F370Y (c.1109T>A). The *rep* gene was divided into eleven
tiles and cloning for each tile was carried out separately. We designed 300-mer oligos to include
207 nucleotides of *rep*-coding sequence immediately followed by a BbsI site, an EcoRV site,
another BbsI site, and a unique 20 nucleotide barcode sequence. All of these elements were
flanked by BsaI and primer binding sites on either end of the synthesized sequence. Unique
primer binding sites were used for each tile. To enable cloning of both the Rep78/68 and WT
AAV2 format libraries, oligos containing the Rep52/40 start codon (M225) were synthesized with
and without the M225G mutation. All possible single codon substitutions and deletions, including
synonymous variants, were included in the library. All positions from the Rep78/68 start codon
to the Rep78/52 stop codon (622 codons) were mutated. We did not include the eight codons at
the end of the Rep68/40 ORF in our mutagenesis as these positions overlap with the VP1 ORF.
Each codon variant was represented by at least two unique barcodes. For each tile, a minimum
of ten uniquely barcoded wild-type controls were included. The Rep78/68 and WT AAV2
libraries each had a total of 81,116 uniquely barcoded variants.
443
Following synthesis, we amplified the oligos for each tile (Q5 Hot Start High-Fidelity 2X Master
Mix, NEB). In parallel, we amplified the backbone vector using primers that introduced BsaI
sites. Vector PCR products were digested with BsaI-HF v2, DpnI, and recombinant shrimp

alkaline phosphatase (rSAP, NEB) overnight and PCR purified (Qiagen QIAQuick PCR
Purification Kit) the following day. We then performed GGA with the amplified oligos and vector
PCR digest products (NEBridge Golden Gate Assembly Kit, BsaI-HF v2). GGA reactions were
cycled 100X (16°C for 5 minutes, 37°C for minutes) and then heat inactivated. GGA products
were PCR purified and eluted into 25 uL of Buffer EB (Qiagen). Eluates were drop-dialyzed
against 30 mL of water for 1 hour and transformed (Lucigen E. cloni 10G ELITE
electrocompetent cells). Transformed cells were recovered in 1 mL Lucigen recovery media at
37°C for 1 hour and the entire volume of outgrowth was used to inoculate 50 mL LB +
Kanamycin cultures, which we grew at 30°C overnight. The following day, we midi-prepped
these cultures *via* alkaline lysis (Qiagen Plasmid Plus Midi-Prep Kit). This first cloning step
enabled generation of intermediate products, which contained any wild-type *rep* sequence
upstream of the mutated oligo followed by the mutant oligo. In this step, all wild-type sequences
downstream of the mutant oligo were removed.
460
To re-introduce these missing wild-type sequences, we used a second round of GGA and the
internal BbsI sites present in each oligo. Before performing GGA, we ran rolling circle
amplification (RCA) on our intermediate cloning products. 10 ng of the intermediate plasmid
products were incubated with 10 uM random hexamer primers and 1X phi29 DNA polymerase
buffer (NEB) at 95°C for 3 minutes and then cooled to room temperature. We then mixed these
samples with RCA amplification solution (10 uM random hexamers, 1X phi29 DNA polymerase
buffer, 5 U phi29 DNA polymerase, 1 mM dNTPs, 2 mg/mL recombinant albumin, 0.02 U
inorganic pyrophosphatase) at a 1:1 ratio and incubated them at 30°C overnight. The resulting
RCA products were directly digested with BbsI-HF, DpnI, and recombinant shrimp alkaline
phosphatase (all NEB) at 37°C overnight. The following day, we ran the digest products on 1%
Tris-acetate EDTA gels and extracted and purified the correctly sized products (Qiagen
QIAQuick Gel Extraction Kit). In parallel, we PCR amplified the missing downstream wild-type
sequences for each tile from the backbone vectors; the primers used here also added BbsI
sites. We ran GGA with the RCA digest products and vector PCR products, BbsI-HF (NEB), and
T4 DNA Ligase (NEB), cycling as described above. GGA products were transformed and midi-
prepped as above. This second cloning step resulted in plasmids containing a full-length *rep*
gene with a single codon mutation followed by a twenty nucleotide barcode. WT AAV2 library
plasmids also contained a wild-type copy of the AAV2 *cap* gene between the variant *rep* genes
and barcodes.
480
To enable packaging of variant *rep* sequences into AAV capsids, the step two cloning products
were moved into ITR-containing vectors. Step two cloning products were subject to RCA as
above and digested with XbaI, SphI-HF, and EcoRV-HF. The *rep* and/or *cap* ORFs in the
backbone vectors were flanked by XbaI and SphI sites. EcoRV sites were part of the
synthesized oligos and should only be present in step one cloning products. Digest products
were gel extracted and ligated into ITR-containing vectors. In the case of the WT AAV2 format
library, the ITR vector contained the p5 promoter and endogenous AAV2 polyA sequence. In the
case of the Rep78/68 and Rep52/40 libraries, the ITR vector contained a CMV promoter,
WPRE, and bGH polyA sequence. The integrity of the ITR destination plasmids was confirmed

by SmaI digest and complete plasmid sequencing (MGH DNA Core). The integrity of the final
ITR plasmid libraries was confirmed by SmaI digest.
492
**Viral library production assay**
HEK293T cells were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS and seeded in five-layer
cell culture multi-flasks (Corning 353144) at 8 x 10$^7$ cells/flask two days prior to transfection. We
transfected HEK293T cells using polyethylenimine. For each library, replicate transfections were
performed in separate cell stacks. For the pCMV-Rep78/68 library, transfections were
performed with 1 ug of pCMV-Rep78/68 library plasmids, 50 ug of pHelper, 25 ug of pCMV-
AAV2*cap*, and 1.5 ug of p15-Rep52/40 per cell stack. For the WT AAV2 library, transfections
were performed with 1 ug of WT AAV2 library plasmids and 50 ug of pHelper. Additional
plasmid ratios were tested for each library; conditions that resulted in the strongest correlation in
selection values between replicate transfections were used; these are the conditions listed
above. AAV5 and AAV9 capsid production assays were performed using the pCMV-Rep78/68
library as described above.
505
Three days post-transfection NaCl was added to a final concentration of 0.5 M and samples
were incubated at 37°C for 3 hours to detach and lyse cells. Samples were transferred to fresh
500 mL bottles and incubated at 4°C overnight. The following day any precipitate was removed
by pipetting and the remaining volume was passed through a sterile 0.22 um PES filter. PEG-
8000 was added to a final concentration of 8% and samples were again incubated at 4°C
overnight. The following day, we centrifuged samples at 3000 x g for 20 minutes to pellet the
PEG-precipitated virus. Supernatants were discarded and pellets resuspended in 8 mL of
DPBS. We then digested samples with a 1:10,000 dilution benzonase (Millipore Sigma
1.101695.0001) at 37°C for 45 minutes and subjected them to iodixanol gradient
ultracentrifugation as previously described(Ogden et al., 2019; Zolotukhin et al., 1999). Briefly,
benzonase-treated samples were underlaid with a iodixanol gradient (Millipore Sigma D1556) in
polypropylene tubes (Beckman Coulter, 362183) and centrifuged at 242,000 x *g* for 1 hour at
16°C in a VTi50 rotor. Following ultracentrifugation, 500 uL fractions were collected from the
40% iodixanol layer and buffer-exchanged into DPBS using 100 kDa molecular weight cutoff
centrifugal filter units (Millipore Sigma UFC910024).
521
*Rep* sequences in the purified pool represent variants capable of producing genome-containing
viral particles. Barcodes from this viral pool, along with barcodes from the plasmid libraries,
were amplified using flanking primer sequences. Illumina sequencing adapters and indices were
added in a subsequent PCR. The resulting PCR amplicons were pooled and sequenced using
the Illumina NextSeq platform (Biopolymers Facility, HMS). Barcode sequences were extracted
from the resulting sequencing reads and barcode counts ($c_v$) from replicate transfections were
summed. We calculated the frequency of each variant ($f_v$) in the viral library as $f_v = c/\Sigma c_v$ and
then determined the selection value for each variant as $s = f_{viral}/f_{plasmid}$. Finally, selection
values were normalized to that of the wild-type controls: $s = s/s_{WT}$.
531
**Determination of DNase-resistant particle titers by qPCR**

To validate the results of our multiplexed library production assay, fourteen single codon *rep*
variants were selected and individually transfected into HEK293T cells. Transfections were
performed in triplicate. We seeded cells at 4 x 10$^5$ cells/well in 6-well plates 24 hours prior to
transfection. Small amounts of pCMV-Rep78/68 format variants, 50 pg per transfection, were
used to recapitulate the low plasmid levels used in the library production assay. pCMV-
Rep78/68 variants were transfected *via* PEI together with pHelper (2 ug), pCMV-AAV2*cap* (1
ug), and p15-Rep52/40 (2 ng) plasmids as in the library assay. Three days post-transfection, we
lysed cells by 3X freeze-thaw. Samples were then clarified by centrifugation at 15,000 x *g* for 5
minutes. 5 uL of supernatant were subjected to DNase digest (ThermoFisher, EN0521) at 37°C
for 30 minutes followed by heat inactivation at 65°C for 10 minutes. We then incubated samples
at 98°C for 10 minutes to denature the capsids and measured DRP titer with qPCR (IDT
PrimeTime Gene Expression Master Mix). Primers and a probe binding to the 5' region of the
*rep* gene were used. The sequence of the forward primer was: 5'-
GAGCATCTGCCCGGCATTTC-3', the sequence of the reverse primer was: 5'-
ATCTGGCGGCAACTCCCATT-3', and the sequence of the probe was 5'-HEX-ACAGCTTTG-
ZEN-TGAACTGGGTGGCCGA-3IABkFQ-3' (IDT).
549
550    Transfections with *rep* variants cloned into pRepCap plasmids were performed as described
551    above, using the following amounts of plasmid for each transfection: 1 ug of pRepCap, 1 ug of
552    ITR plasmid, and 2 ug of pHelper.
553

**Western blot analysis of VP and Rep protein levels**
Following transfection, media was aspirated from cell culture plates and cells were washed with
DPBS. We then lysed cells with 50 uL of lysis buffer per well (6-well plates). The lysis buffer
contained:10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8), 150 mM NaCl, 1% Triton X-100, and cOmplete mini protease
inhibitor (1 tablet/10 mL lysis buffer). Lysates were transferred to fresh 1.5 mL tubes and
centrifuged at 15,000 x *g* for 5 minutes to clarify. Total protein concentrations were determined
by Bradford assay (Thermo Scientific Pierce Coomassie Bradford Protein Assay Kit). We loaded
75 ug of total protein from each sample on 4-12% Bis-Tris gels (ThermoFisher) and ran the gels
for 45 minutes at 140V. We then transferred the proteins to PVDF membranes (ThermoFisher).
Membranes were blocked with 5% milk in PBST for 1 hour at 4°C. Blots were then incubated
with a 1:250 dilution of B1 anti-VP antibody or a 1:100 dilution of anti-Rep 303.9 antibody (both
American Research Products) in blocking buffer overnight. Both primary antibody mixtures also
included a 1:20,000 dilution of anti-β-actin antibody (Abcam, ab8227). The following day, blots
were washed 3X with PBST and incubated with secondary antibodies for 1 hour in blocking
buffer with 0.01% SDS. Goat anti-mouse IRDye 800CW (LiCor 925-32210) and donkey anti-
rabbit IRDye 680RD (LiCor 925-68073) secondary antibodies were used. Blots were washed 3X
with PBST and 1X with PBS, and the near-infrared fluorescence of the secondary antibodies
was visualized using the Sapphire imager.
572

# Acknowledgements

574    The authors would like to thank Siddharth Iyer, Anna Maurer, Kaia Mattioli, Aleksandra
575    Prochera, Takeyuki Miyawaki, and Erik Aznauryan for their feedback on this manuscript. We
576    would also like to acknowledge members of the Biopolymers Facility at HMS, including Taylor

Fennelly, Ashley Ciulla Hurst, and Baldwin Dilone, for their assistance with library sequencing. This work was funded by the Synthetic Biology Platform at the Wyss Institute and the Wyss Institute faculty core allocation fund. Schematics were generated with BioRender.

## Authors contributions

NKJ, PJO, and GMC conceived the study and designed experiments. NKJ performed experiments. NKJ performed data analysis with input from PJO. NKJ wrote the paper with input from all authors. GMC supervised the project and secured funding.

## Competing interests

A full list of GMC's tech transfer, advisory roles, and funding sources can be found on the lab's website: http://arep.med.harvard.edu/gmc/tech.html. Harvard University has filed a patent application for inventions related to this work.

## Code and data availability

Code and data for this paper can be accessed here: https://github.com/churchlab/aav_rep_scan.git. Illumina sequencing reads were uploaded to NCBI GEO (series accession number GSE226265).

# Supplemental Materials

# Materials and Methods

**Analysis of stop codons in alternate reading frames**

At each codon position, the average selection value for all variants that introduced a premature stop codon into the +1 reading frame was determined. The average selection value for all variants that did not introduce a stop codon into the +1 frame but were synonymous in the Rep frame to those that did was also calculated. Ten amino acid rolling averages for the +1 stops and +1 non-stops were calculated. These average selection values were plotted and compared. The same procedure was used to determine the effect of premature stop codons in the +2 reading frame.

**Affinity purification of AAV2 capsids**

We purified rAAV2 vectors produced with variant pRepCap plasmids with AVB Sepharose (Cytiva) using a previously reported protocol, which we modified for use with gravity columns (Mietzsch et al., 2020). A 5.0 kb ITR genome (pHef1a-EGFP-IRES-Luc) was used. HEK293T cells were harvested three days post-transfection and lysed by 3X freeze-thaw. We then subject samples to benzonase digest as described above and centrifuged samples at 6,000 x $g$ for 30 minutes to pellet cell debris. Samples were then passed through 0.2 um PES filters, diluted 1:1 with TD Buffer (DPBS, 1 mM $MgCl_2$, 2.5 mL KCl), and incubated with 4 mL of AVB Sepharose slurry at 4°C overnight with shaking. The following day, we loaded the samples onto gravity columns, washed with 40 mL of 1X TD Buffer, and eluted samples with 5 mL 0.1 M glycine-HCl (pH 2.6). 1 mL fractions were collected and immediately neutralized with 100 uL of 1 M Tris-HCl (pH 10). Elution fractions containing VPs were pooled and buffer-exchanged as above. rAAV viral genome titers were determined *via* qPCR using primers and a probe that bind to the EGFP ORF. Forward primer: 5'-GAACCGCATCGAGCTGAA-3', reverse primer: 5'-TGCTTGTCGGCCATGATATAG-3', and probe 5'-FAM-ATCGACTTC-ZEN-AAGGAGGACGGCAAC-3IABkFQ-3' (IDT). Total particle titers were determined *via* capsid ELISA (Progen) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Transfections, affinity purifications, and titer determinations were performed in duplicate.

## Supplemental Figures

**Figure S1.** Comprehensive mutagenesis library design and production assay results for WT AAV2 format library. (A) Density plot of barcode counts in the WT AAV2 plasmid libraries. (B) Amino acid level selection values from replicate transfections for the WT AAV2 library. Pearson R correlation coefficient calculated after log transformation. (C) Density plot of wild-type (black) and premature stop codon (gray) controls for the WT AAV2 library. *p < 10^{-20} (Mann Whitney U test)

**Table S1. Percent of expected variants sequenced in plasmid and viral particle libraries.**

|  | pCMV-Rep78/68 | | WT AAV2 | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
|  | **Plasmid** | **Viral Particle** | **Plasmid** | **Viral Particle** |
| **Barcodes** | 99.8% | 98.0% | 98.3% | 97.6% |
| **Codon Variants** | 100% | 99.9% | 99.7% | 99.7% |
| **Amino Acid Variants** | 100% | 100% | 99.9% | 99.9% |

**Figure S2.** Effects of all single amino acid substitutions and deletions in Rep78, Rep68, Rep52, and Rep40 on AAV2 production. Amino-acid level selection values from the WT AAV2 library production assay were calculated as in Figure 1C by summing barcode counts for synonymous variants. Colored bars indicate protein domains. Black: OBD, light blue: HD, gray: NLS, and navy blue: ZFD. Black dots indicate wild type amino acid identity.

675
**Figure S3.** Mutations to AAV2 *rep* have similar effects on AAV2 production in pCMV-Rep78/68
and WT AAV2 format libraries. (A) Selection values for library production assay with pCMV-
Rep78/68 and WT AAV2 format libraries, Pearson R correlation coefficient calculated after log
transformation, (B) DRP titers for AAV2 capsids produced with pCMV-Rep78/68-ITR or p5-
RepCap-ITR plasmids containing the indicated Rep substitutions. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01 (Welch's
t test)

682
683

684
685
686
687 **Figure S4**. Average selection values from pCMV-Rep78/68 library mapped onto (A) the
688 structure of the OBD active site (PDB 5DCX) and (B) the structure of the HD (PDB 1S9H). H90,
689 H92, and the active site nucleophile, Y156, are shown as sticks in (A).

690
691 **Figure S5.** Codon level selection values for the pCMV-Rep78/68 format library.

692
693 **Figure S6.** Codon level selection values for the WT AAV2 format library.

694
**Figure S7**. Inclusion of synonymous codon variants enables interrogation of nucleotide-level
effects. Analysis of pCMV-Rep78/68 variants with premature stop codons in +1 (A) and +2 (B)
reading frames does not identify any frameshifted ORFs. Pink dots: average selection values for
mutations that introduce stop codons into +1 or +2 frame, black dots: average selection values
for mutations synonymous to +1 or +2 stop codon mutations in Rep ORF, lines indicate 10 bp
rolling averages. (C) Average selection values at each nucleotide position for variants that
introduce the indicated nucleotide change in the pCMV-Rep78/68 library, orange: T, blue, G,
green: C, red: A. (D) Average selection values for variants that introduce the indicated
nucleotide change in the WT AAV2 library.

704
705 **Figure S8.** Effect of single amino acid Rep substitutions on the viral genome and physical
706 particle titers of affinity purified rAAV. Capsid (gray) and viral genome (black) titers for pHef1a-
707 EGFP-IRES-Luc2 rAAV2 produced with the indicated Rep variant and affinity purified with AVB
708 Sepharose. For each variant samples A and B represent replicate transfections and affinity
709 purifications.
710
711 **Table S2.** Viral genome and physical particle titers for rAAV2 produced with Rep variants

| Rep variant | Replicate | qPCR titer (vg/mL) | qPCR std. dev. (vg/mL) | ELISA titer (capsids/mL) | ELISA titer std. dev. (capsids/mL) | Viral genome: capsid titer ratio |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| WT | A | 5.37E+11 | 1.25E+10 | 3.09E+12 | 5.81E+10 | 0.17 |
| | B | 3.46E+11 | 9.42E+09 | 1.49E+12 | 7.86E+10 | 0.23 |
| K340H | A | 1420000 | 805000 | 3.35E+12 | 1.23E+11 | 0.00 |
| | B | 2150000 | 714000 | 3.46E+12 | 3.57E+10 | 0.00 |
| R113Q | A | 1.58E+11 | 4.25E+09 | 1.40E+12 | 3.52E+10 | 0.11 |
| | B | 1.92E+11 | 9.56E+09 | 1.68E+12 | 4.93E+10 | 0.11 |
| A222R | A | 4.78E+11 | 1.28E+10 | 1.89E+12 | 2.56E+10 | 0.25 |
| | B | 8.32E+11 | 1.85E+10 | 2.75E+12 | 7.79E+10 | 0.30 |
| Q439T | A | 5.72E+11 | 2.68E+10 | 1.84E+12 | 7.77E+10 | 0.31 |
| | B | 9.86E+11 | 1.25E+10 | 3.37E+12 | 1.83E+11 | 0.29 |

**Figure S9**. Effects of all single amino acid substitutions and deletions in the Rep78/68 proteins on AAV5 production. Amino acid level selection values from the pCMV-Rep78/68 production assay were calculated as in Figure 1C by summing barcode counts for synonymous mutations. Colored bars indicate protein domains. Black: OBD, light blue: HD, gray: NLS, and navy blue: ZFD. Black dots indicate wild type amino acid identity.

718
719 **Figure S10**. Effects of all single amino acid substitutions and deletions in the Rep78/68 proteins
720 on AAV9 production. Amino acid level selection values from the pCMV-Rep78/68 production
721 assay were calculated as in Figure 1C by summing barcode counts for synonymous mutations.
722 Colored bars indicate protein domains. Black: OBD, light blue: HD, gray: NLS, and navy blue:
723 ZFD. Black dots indicate wild type amino acid identity.

724
725 **Figure S11**. Codon level selection values for the AAV5 capsid production assay.

726
727 **Figure S12**. Codon level selection values for the AAV9 capsid production assay.

728
729 **Figure S13.** DRP titers for AAV2, AAV5, and AAV9 capsids produced individually with the
730 indicated Rep variants.

# References

Bleker, S., Pawlita, M., & Kleinschmidt, J. A. (2006). Impact of capsid conformation and Rep-capsid interactions on adeno-associated virus type 2 genome packaging. *Journal of Virology*, *80*(2), 810–820. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.80.2.810-820.2006

Brister, J. R., & Muzyczka, N. (1999). Rep-Mediated Nicking of the Adeno-Associated Virus Origin Requires Two Biochemical Activities, DNA Helicase Activity and Transesterification. *Journal of Virology*, *73*(11), 9325–9336. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.73.11.9325-9336.1999

Cassell, G. D., & Weitzman, M. D. (2004). Characterization of a nuclear localization signal in the C-terminus of the adeno-associated virus Rep68/78 proteins. *Virology*, *327*(2), 206–214. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virol.2004.06.034

Cassinotti, P., Weitzand, M., & Tratschin, J.-D. (1988). Organization of the adeno-associated virus (AAV) capsid gene: Mapping of a minor spliced mRNA coding for virus capsid protein. *Virology*, *167*(1), 176–184. https://doi.org/10.1016/0042-6822(88)90067-0

Chejanovsky, N., & Carter, B. J. (1989). Mutagenesis of an AUG codon in the adeno-associated virus rep gene: Effects on viral DNA replication. *Virology*, *173*(1), 120–128. https://doi.org/10.1016/0042-6822(89)90227-4

Chiorini, J. A., Weitzman, M. D., Owens, R. A., Urcelay, E., Safer, B., & Kotin, R. M. (1994). Biologically active Rep proteins of adeno-associated virus type 2 produced as fusion proteins in Escherichia coli. *Journal of Virology*, *68*(2), 797–804. https://doi.org/10.1128/jvi.68.2.797-804.1994

Davis, M. D., Wu, J., & Owens, R. A. (2000). Mutational Analysis of Adeno-Associated Virus Type 2 Rep68 Protein Endonuclease Activity on Partially Single-Stranded Substrates. *Journal of Virology*, *74*(6), 2936–2942.

Di Pasquale, G., & Stacey, S. N. (1998). Adeno-Associated Virus Rep78 Protein Interacts with Protein Kinase A and Its Homolog PRKX and Inhibits CREB-Dependent Transcriptional Activation. *Journal of Virology*, *72*(10), 7916–7925. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.72.10.7916-7925.1998

Gavin, D. K., Young, S. M., Xiao, W., Temple, B., Abernathy, C. R., Pereira, D. J., Muzyczka, N., & Samulski, R. J. (1999). Charge-to-Alanine Mutagenesis of the Adeno-Associated Virus Type 2 Rep78/68 Proteins Yields Temperature-Sensitive and Magnesium-Dependent Variants. *Journal of Virology*, *73*(11), 9433–9445. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.73.11.9433-9445.1999

Hickman, A. B., Ronning, D. R., Kotin, R. M., & Dyda, F. (2002). Structural Unity among Viral Origin Binding Proteins: Crystal Structure of the Nuclease Domain of Adeno-Associated Virus Rep. *Molecular Cell*, *10*(2), 327–337. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1097-2765(02)00592-0

Hickman, A. B., Ronning, D. R., Perez, Z. N., Kotin, R. M., & Dyda, F. (2004). The Nuclease Domain of Adeno-Associated Virus Rep Coordinates Replication Initiation Using Two Distinct DNA Recognition Interfaces. *Molecular Cell*, *13*(3), 403–414. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1097-2765(04)00023-1

Hölscher, C., Kleinschmidt, J. A., & Bürkle, A. (1995). High-level expression of adeno-associated virus (AAV) Rep78 or Rep68 protein is sufficient for infectious-particle formation by a rep-negative AAV mutant. *Journal of Virology*, *69*(11), 6880–6885. https://doi.org/10.1128/jvi.69.11.6880-6885.1995

Hörer, M., Weger, S., Butz, K., Hoppe-Seyler, F., Geisen, C., & Kleinschmidt, J. A. (1995). Mutational analysis of adeno-associated virus Rep protein-mediated inhibition of heterologous and homologous promoters. *Journal of Virology*, *69*(9), 5485–5496. https://doi.org/10.1128/jvi.69.9.5485-5496.1995

Im, D.-S., & Muzyczka, N. (1990). The AAV origin binding protein Rep68 is an ATP-dependent

site-specific endonuclease with DNA helicase activity. *Cell*, *61*(3), 447–457.
https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(90)90526-K

James, J. A., Escalante, C. R., Yoon-Robarts, M., Edwards, T. A., Linden, R. M., & Aggarwal, A.
K. (2003). Crystal Structure of the SF3 Helicase from Adeno-Associated Virus Type 2.
*Structure*, *11*(8), 1025–1035. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0969-2126(03)00152-7

King, J. A., Dubielzig, R., Grimm, D., & Kleinschmidt, J. A. (2001). DNA helicase-mediated
packaging of adeno-associated virus type 2 genomes into preformed capsids. *The*
*EMBO Journal*, *20*(12), 3282–3291. https://doi.org/10.1093/emboj/20.12.3282

Labow, M. A., Hermonat, P. L., & Berns, K. I. (1986). Positive and negative autoregulation of the
adeno-associated virus type 2 genome. *Journal of Virology*, *60*(1), 251–258.
https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.60.1.251-258.1986

Mietzsch, M., Eddington, C., Jose, A., Hsi, J., Chipman, P., Henley, T., Choudhry, M., McKenna,
R., & Agbandje-McKenna, M. (2021). Improved Genome Packaging Efficiency of Adeno-
associated Virus Vectors Using Rep Hybrids. *Journal of Virology*, *95*(19), e00773-21.
https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00773-21

Mietzsch, M., Smith, J. K., Yu, J. C., Banala, V., Emmanuel, S. N., Jose, A., Chipman, P.,
Bhattacharya, N., McKenna, R., & Agbandje-McKenna, M. (2020). Characterization of
AAV-Specific Affinity Ligands: Consequences for Vector Purification and Development
Strategies. *Molecular Therapy - Methods & Clinical Development*, *19*, 362–373.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.omtm.2020.10.001

Murphy, M., Gomos-Klein, J., Stankic, M., & Falck-Pedersen, E. (2007). Adeno-Associated
Virus Type 2 p5 Promoter: A Rep-Regulated DNA Switch Element Functioning in
Transcription, Replication, and Site-Specific Integration. *Journal of Virology*, *81*(8),
3721–3730. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.02693-06

Musayev, F. N., Zarate-Perez, F., Bardelli, M., Bishop, C., Saniev, E. F., Linden, R. M.,
Henckaerts, E., & Escalante, C. R. (2015). Structural Studies of AAV2 Rep68 Reveal a
Partially Structured Linker and Compact Domain Conformation. *Biochemistry*, *54*(38),
5907–5919. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.biochem.5b00610

Musayev, F. N., Zarate-Perez, F., Bishop, C., Burgner, J. W., & Escalante, C. R. (2015).
Structural Insights into the Assembly of the Adeno-associated Virus Type 2 Rep68
Protein on the Integration Site AAVS1*. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, *290*(46),
27487–27499. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M115.669960

Ogden, P. J., Kelsic, E. D., Sinai, S., & Church, G. M. (2019). Comprehensive AAV capsid
fitness landscape reveals a viral gene and enables machine-guided design. *Science*,
*366*(6469), 1139–1143. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaw2900

Samulski, R. J., & Muzyczka, N. (2014). AAV-Mediated Gene Therapy for Research and
Therapeutic Purposes. *Annual Review of Virology*, *1*(1), 427–451.
https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-virology-031413-085355

Santosh, V., Musayev, F. N., Jaiswal, R., Zárate-Pérez, F., Vandewinkel, B., Dierckx, C.,
Endicott, M., Sharifi, K., Dryden, K., Henckaerts, E., & Escalante, C. R. (2020). The
Cryo-EM structure of AAV2 Rep68 in complex with ssDNA reveals a malleable AAA+
machine that can switch between oligomeric states. *Nucleic Acids Research*, *48*(22),
12983–12999. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkaa1133

Smith, R. H., & Kotin, R. M. (1998). The Rep52 Gene Product of Adeno-Associated Virus Is a
DNA Helicase with 3′-to-5′ Polarity. *Journal of Virology*, *72*(6), 4874–4881.
https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.72.6.4874-4881.1998

Snyder, R. O., Im, D. S., & Muzyczka, N. (1990). Evidence for covalent attachment of the
adeno-associated virus (AAV) rep protein to the ends of the AAV genome. *Journal of*
*Virology*, *64*(12), 6204–6213. https://doi.org/10.1128/jvi.64.12.6204-6213.1990

Srivastava, A., Lusby, E. W., & Berns, K. I. (1983). Nucleotide sequence and organization of the
adeno-associated virus 2 genome. *Journal of Virology*, *45*(2), 555–564.

https://doi.org/10.1128/jvi.45.2.555-564.1983

Stahnke, S., Lux, K., Uhrig, S., Kreppel, F., Hösel, M., Coutelle, O., Ogris, M., Hallek, M., &
Büning, H. (2011). Intrinsic phospholipase A2 activity of adeno-associated virus is
involved in endosomal escape of incoming particles. *Virology*, *409*(1), 77–83.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virol.2010.09.025

Stutika, C., Gogol-Döring, A., Botschen, L., Mietzsch, M., Weger, S., Feldkamp, M., Chen, W., &
Heilbronn, R. (2016). A Comprehensive RNA Sequencing Analysis of the Adeno-
Associated Virus (AAV) Type 2 Transcriptome Reveals Novel AAV Transcripts, Splice
Variants, and Derived Proteins. *Journal of Virology*, *90*(3), 1278–1289.
https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.02750-15

Wörner, T. P., Bennett, A., Habka, S., Snijder, J., Friese, O., Powers, T., Agbandje-McKenna,
M., & Heck, A. J. R. (2021). Adeno-associated virus capsid assembly is divergent and
stochastic. *Nature Communications*, *12*(1), Article 1. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-
21935-5

Wu, J., Davis, M. D., & Owens, R. A. (1999). Factors Affecting the Terminal Resolution Site
Endonuclease, Helicase, and ATPase Activities of Adeno-Associated Virus Type 2 Rep
Proteins. *Journal of Virology*, *73*(10), 8235–8244.
https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.73.10.8235-8244.1999

Xiao, X., Xiao, W., Li, J., & Samulski, R. J. (1997). A novel 165-base-pair terminal repeat
sequence is the sole cis requirement for the adeno-associated virus life cycle. *Journal of
Virology*, *71*(2), 941–948. https://doi.org/10.1128/jvi.71.2.941-948.1997

Xie, Q., Bu, W., Bhatia, S., Hare, J., Somasundaram, T., Azzi, A., & Chapman, M. S. (2002).
The atomic structure of adeno-associated virus (AAV-2), a vector for human gene
therapy. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *99*(16), 10405–10410.
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.162250899

Yang, Q., Kadam, A., & Trempe, J. P. (1992). Mutational analysis of the adeno-associated virus
rep gene. *Journal of Virology*, *66*(10), 6058–6069. https://doi.org/10.1128/jvi.66.10.6058-
6069.1992

Zarate-Perez, F., Bardelli, M., Burgner, J. W., Villamil-Jarauta, M., Das, K., Kekilli, D., Mansilla-
Soto, J., Linden, R. M., & Escalante, C. R. (2012). The interdomain linker of AAV-2
Rep68 is an integral part of its oligomerization domain: Role of a conserved SF3
helicase residue in oligomerization. *PLoS Pathogens*, *8*(6), e1002764.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1002764

Zolotukhin, S., Byrne, B. J., Mason, E., Zolotukhin, I., Potter, M., Chesnut, K., Summerford, C.,
Samulski, R. J., & Muzyczka, N. (1999). Recombinant adeno-associated virus
purification using novel methods improves infectious titer and yield. *Gene Therapy*, *6*(6),
Article 6. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.gt.3300938