Optimizing anesthesia and delivery approaches for dosing into lungs of mice

Yurim Seo,[1] Longhui Qiu,[1] Mélia Magnen,[1] Catharina Conrad,[1] S. Farshid Moussavi-Harami,[1] Mark R Looney,[1] Simon J Cleary*[1]

*Corresponding author:
Simon J Cleary, PhD,
simon.cleary@ucsf.edu
+1 415-476-9190

[1]Department of Medicine, UCSF
Health Sciences East 1355A
513 Parnassus Ave.
San Francisco, CA, 94143, USA

Abstract

Microbes, toxins, therapeutics and cells are often instilled into lungs of mice to model diseases and test experimental interventions. Consistent pulmonary delivery is critical for experimental power and reproducibility, but we observed variation in outcomes between handlers using different anesthetic approaches for intranasal dosing into mice. We therefore used a radiotracer to quantify lung delivery after intranasal dosing under inhalational (isoflurane) versus injectable (ketamine/xylazine) anesthesia in C57BL/6 mice. We found that ketamine/xylazine anesthesia resulted in delivery of a greater proportion (52±9%) of an intranasal dose to lungs relative to isoflurane anesthesia (30±15%). This difference in pulmonary dose delivery altered key outcomes in a model of viral pneumonia, with mice anesthetized with ketamine/xylazine for intranasal infection with influenza A virus developing worse lung pathology and more consistently losing body weight relative to control animals randomized to isoflurane anesthesia. Pulmonary dosing efficiency through oropharyngeal aspiration was not affected by anesthetic method and resulted in delivery of 63±8% of dose to lungs, and a non-surgical intratracheal dosing approach further increased lung delivery to 92±6% of dose. We conclude that anesthetic approach and dosing route can impact pulmonary dosing efficiency. These factors should be considered when planning and reporting studies involving delivery of fluids to lungs of mice.

Introduction

Studies investigating lung infections, lung injury, allergic airway inflammation, lung fibrosis, lung cancer, and lung stem cell biology often require delivery of experimental agents to lungs of mice. Administration routes for bolus dosing of fluids into lungs include intranasal (i.n.) dosing, intratracheal (i.t.) dosing and dosing through oropharyngeal aspiration (o.a.). Choice of dosing route is an important decision in study design as experimental outcomes can be altered by the quantity of dose delivered to lungs or to extrapulmonary tissues. Different dosing routes also vary in anesthetic requirements, invasiveness, and technical difficulty.

To guide experimental approach, a previous study assessed the effect of various factors including type of anesthetic on the distribution of i.n. doses into BALB/c mice. This study concluded that either injectable (Avertin) or inhaled (isoflurane, halothane) anesthetics resulted in similar delivery to lungs (1). Since this influential report, several factors have changed. Safety concerns have led to a decline in use of both Avertin and halothane (2, 3). Increased availability of knockouts and transgenics on the C57BL/6 (B6) background has led to B6 mice becoming the most widely used laboratory strain. Additionally, minimally invasive approaches for dosing via o.a. and i.t. routes have been developed which can more efficiently deliver fluids to lungs relative to i.n. dosing (4–6).

In our previous work we noticed that i.n. doses passed more readily into the nasal sinuses in studies where B6 background mice were anesthetized with ketamine/xylazine compared to experiments in which mice were anesthetized with isoflurane (7, 8), but we did not know whether pulmonary deposition of dose differed depending on anesthetic used during dosing. To guide future studies using these mice and anesthetics, we therefore measured the effect of anesthetic approach on i.n. delivery of fluid to lungs of B6 mice. As we have used and refined o.a. and i.t. methods, we also measured dose distribution using these administration routes.

We found striking effects of both anesthetic approach and dosing route on the efficiency of pulmonary delivery. Our results will be useful to guide design of experiments with improved reproducibility, with potential to reduce the number of mice needed to produce clear results from experiments involving dosing of fluids into lungs.

Methods

Animals

C57BL/6 background mice (Jax #000664) were housed at the UCSF Parnassus Laboratory

Animal Resource Center specific pathogen-free facility. Both male and female mice were used

at ages 6-14 weeks. Mice were kept on a 12-hour light-dark cycle. Protocols were approved by

the UCSF Institutional Animal Care and Usage Committee.

Anesthesia

Mice were anesthetized either by inhalation of isoflurane (4% in oxygen) or by intraperitoneal

(i.p.) injection with ketamine (70 mg/kg) and xylazine (15 mg/kg) in normal saline. For fluid

dosing to lungs, it is important not to overdose ketamine/xylazine as higher doses can cause

asphyxiation from aspirated fluid. For terminal anesthesia prior to collection of lung samples,

mice were euthanized with ketamine (100 mg/kg) and xylazine (40 mg/kg) prior to

exsanguination.

Intranasal dosing

Gel-loading pipette tips (Sorenson #13810) were used to introduce 50 µl of dose dropwise into

the posterior opening of one nare. Mice were held upright for 20 seconds after dosing to allow

aspiration of dose.

Tracking radiolabeled albumin doses

For quantitative and visual tracking of inoculum we used 50 µl of phosphate-buffered saline

containing ^{125}I-albumin (0.25 mg/ml, ~2.5 KBq/ml, Jeanatope, Iso-Tex Diagnostics, Inc.) and

Evans blue dye (1 mg/ml). Organ samples were collected 10 minutes after mice were dosed.

Dose distribution was measured using a gamma counter (Packard 5000 series) against three

standards containing 100% of injected dose.

Influenza A virus infection model

Mice were infected by the i.n. route with 50 plaque-forming units (p.f.u.) of influenza A virus

(A/PR/8/34 H1N1) propagated with Madin-Darby canine kidney (MDCK) cells. For propagation,

MDCK cells cultured in minimal essential medium (MEM) supplemented with 10% FBS and

penicillin/streptomycin in a humidified incubator at 37ºC and 5% CO_2 were infected and cultured

for 72h. The supernatant containing the virus was then collected and stored at -80ºC. Infectious

virus was quantified by culturing dilutions of the viral stock with MDCK cells in a 6-well plate for

1 hour, followed by addition of an overlay of 1.2% Avicel RC-581 in MEM, culture for 72 hours,

formalin fixation and staining with crystal violet for p.f.u. determination (9). Viral stocks were

diluted in sterile PBS at 4ºC prior to inoculation. Mice were dosed at zeitgeber time (ZT) 3-5 and

handled under biosafety level 2 conditions.

Bronchoalveolar lavage analysis

After terminal anesthesia and exsanguination, lungs were collapsed by opening the diaphragm

and tracheal insertion of 20G stub needles. A 1 ml syringe containing 1 ml of phosphate-

buffered saline was then washed in and out of the lungs three times to recover bronchoalveolar

lavage (BAL) fluid. BAL cells were counted using a LUNA-II automated cell counter (Logos

Biosystems) and BAL supernatant total protein was measured using a Pierce total protein assay

(Thermo Scientific, #23225).

Dosing by oropharyngeal aspiration

103 As previously described, anesthetized mice were placed on an intubation platform suspended

104 by their upper incisors with the tongue gently pulled out of the mouth (5, 10). The fluid dose was

105 then pipetted directly onto the distal oropharynx at 50 µl volume with both nares covered to

106 obligate breathing through the mouth. After ~30 seconds, mice were removed from the platform

107 and placed supine until sample collection.

Non-surgical intratracheal dosing

Mice were positioned as with o.a. dosing, with transillumination and adjustment of body position

used to visually identify the larynx (**Figure 4B**). Mice were orotracheally intubated with a 22G 1”

Safelet IV Catheter (Nipro, #CI+22225-2C) customized into an endotracheal tube and stylet with

addition of cushions (see **Figure 4A**). Tracheal positioning was confirmed by attaching a

manometer to the endotracheal tube and checking for oscillation of water column with breathing

movements (**Figure 4C**) (11). Dose at 50 µl volume was then injected through the endotracheal

tube using a customized 28G ½” insulin syringe (BD, #329461) with added PE-10 tubing and

cushion for placement within catheter (see **Figure 4A**), followed by 120 µl air.

Experimental design and statistical analysis

Mice were randomly assigned to groups with blocking by cage, and samples were collected and

quantified with investigators blinded to groups. For the influenza infection study, the handler

dosing mice was also blinded during dosing, with a second unblinded handler in control of

anesthesia. Group *n* was set prior to study initiation and analysis. Where necessary, data were

transformed prior to statistical testing according to distribution. Statistical analyses used

InVivoStat 4.4 (body weight and power analysis) or GraphPad Prism 9 (other comparisons). The

tests used for each analysis are stated in figure legends with P=0.05 as α threshold. Data are
reported as means ± standard deviation.

Results

In previous experiments we noticed that B6 mice anesthetized with ketamine/xylazine smoothly
aspirated i.n. doses, whereas i.n. doses sometimes bubbled back out of the nares of isoflurane-
anesthetized mice (7, 8). As previous studies assessing effects of anesthesia on i.n. delivery to
lungs used anesthetics or mouse strains not used in our protocols (1, 12, 13), we aimed to
determine whether use of isoflurane or ketamine/xylazine anesthesia during i.n. dosing affected
delivery of dose to lungs of B6 mice.

We found that relative to isoflurane anesthesia, use of ketamine/xylazine anesthesia during i.n.
dosing resulted in delivery of dose to more distal regions of lung (**Figure 1A**) and increased
pulmonary dosing efficiency (**Figure 1B**).

The i.n. dosing route is in widespread use in respiratory virus infection models. Current
protocols suggest that handlers can use either isoflurane or ketamine/xylazine anesthesia
during i.n. infection with influenza A virus (14). We therefore formally tested whether the effect of
anesthetic approach on intranasal dosing to lungs could be a factor altering outcomes and
reproducibility of studies of respiratory viral infection.

With one handler delivering anesthesia, and a second handler blinded to anesthetic approach
dosing and assessing mice, we gave B6 mice randomized to isoflurane or ketamine/xylazine
anesthesia prior to i.n. doses containing 50 p.f.u. of PR8 influenza A virus.

We observed bubbling of dose back out of nares and down the philtrum in mice in our
biodistribution study. During infection with PR8, the handler blinded to anesthesia approach
therefore recorded whether dose reflux was observed. We found that isoflurane-anesthetized
mice consistently refluxed some dose back out of their nares, whereas mice anesthetized with
ketamine/xylazine smoothly aspirated doses without visible reflux (**Figure 2A,B**).

We also monitored body weight daily as an index of general health status. All mice anesthetized
with ketamine/xylazine at time of infection had lost weight at day 9, but weight loss was
significantly lower in the isoflurane-anesthetized group from 5 to 9 days post infection, with
some mice in the isoflurane group gaining weight after inoculation (**Figure 2C**).

At 9 days post infection we collected bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) fluid from infected mice to
measure vascular leak and leukocyte recruitment into lung airspaces as indices of lung injury

and inflammation. Both supernatant protein concentration and leukocyte counts were higher in
BAL fluid from ketamine/xylazine-anesthetized mice compared to isoflurane-anesthetized mice
(**Figure 2D,E**).

Using the BAL protein data in **Figure 2D** we ran a power analysis to determine the group size
needed for future experiments aimed at detection of a 30% change in BAL fluid protein
concentration using unpaired two-tailed t-tests. We found that 9 mice per group would be
needed to run such an experiment with 95% power with ketamine/xylazine anesthesia (**Figure
2F**). In comparison, the isoflurane anesthesia approach would likely not be feasible for
experimental use as an experiment with 25 mice per group would still have less than 50%
power (**Figure 2F**).

Together, these results indicate that isoflurane anesthesia spares a glottic reflex involving
sensing of fluid in the upper airways and limitation of aspiration into lungs. In contrast,
ketamine/xylazine anesthesia blocks this reflex, facilitating aspiration of a greater proportion of
i.n. dose. This effect means that the two anesthesia approaches yield different efficiency and
distribution of i.n. dosing efficiency to the lungs, affecting key outcomes in a respiratory virus
infection model.

Compared with i.n. dosing, the o.a. route involving aspiration from the distal oropharynx can
result in less exposure of nasal sinuses to inoculum and increased dosing efficiency to the
lungs. Since anesthetic type affected i.n. dosing, we sought to also determine whether different
anesthesia approaches altered delivery of o.a. doses to the lungs.

Tracking dose delivery visually and quantitatively, we did not detect any effect of anesthesia
approach on o.a. dose delivery to the lungs (**Figure 3A,B**). Breath-holding responses were
observed in some isoflurane-anesthetized mice after the dose was dropped onto the
oropharynx, but doses were eventually aspirated with reflux prevented by retraction of the
tongue and covering the nares.

We conclude from this study that anesthetic approach is therefore unlikely to have a major
impact on dosing to the lungs via the o.a. route.

Non-surgical i.t. dosing approaches have potential for more precise lung dosing relative to i.n.
and o.a. dosing. Previous studies suggest that o.a. dosing can yield similar dosing efficiency
compared to i.t. dosing, but these reports have not directly measured lung delivery using the
latest non-surgical i.t. approaches (15–18). We have optimized an approach for i.t. dosing

involving direct visualization of the larynx, orotracheal intubation with customized catheter,
confirmation of airway placement using a manometer, and then injection using a customized
syringe (5, 6, 10, 11) (**Figure 4A-C**), We therefore sought to measure pulmonary dosing
efficiency using our non-surgical approach for i.t. dosing, comparing to a control group dosed
with the o.a. approach, using ketamine/xylazine anesthesia.

We found that i.t. dosing yielded increased pulmonary dose deposition relative to o.a. dosing
(**Figure 4D,E**). This result is indicative that although o.a. and i.t. routes deliver the majority of
injected dose to the lungs, i.t. dosing might be desirable in situations where precise dosing to
lungs is needed.

Discussion

In this study, we found that anesthetic approach and administration route can affect the
efficiency of fluid dosing to lungs of mice.

We conclude from our results that ketamine/xylazine anesthesia is preferable where consistent
i.n. dosing to lungs of B6 mice is needed. Our results in the influenza infection model
demonstrate that optimal sedation for i.n. dosing has potential to reduce the number of mice
needed in experiments, and that the anesthesia approach used during i.n. dosing should be
reported for experimental reproducibility. The effects we found also suggest that tracking
biodistribution of i.n.-dosed radiotracers might be useful for quantitative in vivo studies of the
interaction of anesthetics with airway-protective glottic reflexes.

A likely consequence of poor delivery to lungs using i.n. dosing under isoflurane anesthesia is
that inoculating doses containing greater quantities of virus will be used to produce infections
that consistently result in robust lung inflammation, exposing extrapulmonary tissues to higher
quantities of virus. This is not desirable as exposure of the nasal sinuses to high quantities of
viral particles could cause serious adverse effects, as recently demonstrated in a study showing
lethal SARS-CoV-2 neuroinvasion when K18-hACE2 mice were infected using intranasal dosing
but not when mice were infected using aerosolized virus (19).

Injectable ketamine/xylazine anesthesia may not always be preferable as recovery time can be
longer than with isoflurane, and use of needles is discouraged where possible due to safety
risks. In our study, we found that it was feasible to give mice i.p. ketamine/xylazine injections in
a biosafety cabinet separate from that used for handling virus to minimize infection risk to
handlers. Limiting dose reflux using ketamine/xylazine anesthesia might also reduce risk of

aerosolization and surface contamination by inoculum. In studies where severe viral pneumonia
is not of interest, the limited pneumonia and patchy pathology we observed using isoflurane
anesthesia for i.n. infection with PR8 may be useful for studying infected lung lesions with
minimal animal suffering.

Our study provides quantification of pulmonary dosing efficiency comparing i.n., o.a. and i.t.
methods using anesthetics and mouse strains in current widespread usage. The percentage
lung delivery values that we measured are largely consistent with those from previous studies
which used a range of mouse strains, anesthetic approaches and methods for measuring dose
distribution (1, 4, 12, 13). Our conclusion that anesthetic type can alter lung deposition of i.n.
doses differs from that of a previous study which found no effect of different anesthetics
(isoflurane, halothane, Avertin) during i.n. dosing on pulmonary dosing efficiency in BALB/c
mice, although ketamine/xylazine was not examined in this previous report (1). Curiously,
another study using BALB/c mice found increased bacterial content of lungs after intranasal
dosing with *Francisella tularensis* under isoflurane compared to ketamine/xylazine anesthesia
(20). Effects of anesthetics may therefore vary depending on mouse strain and pathogen
biology.

In summary, we recommend the use of ketamine/xylazine anesthesia over isoflurane anesthesia
for i.n. dosing into lungs of B6-background mice. Where needed, pulmonary dosing efficiency
can be increased using the o.a. route, and further still using i.t. dosing. Anesthetic approach and
administration method are factors that can alter outcomes of studies involving dosing to lungs,
affecting the number of mice required for experiments. To increase reproducibility and decrease
animal usage, these factors should therefore be considered during experimental design and
clearly reported in publications.

Grants
We acknowledge support from:
Mark Looney: NIH R35HL161241 and R01AI160167.
Catharina Conrad: International Anesthesia Research Society Mentored Research Award.
S. Farshid Moussavi-Harami: NIH T32 Research Training in Pediatric Critical Care Medicine
2T32HD049303-16.
Simon Cleary: American Society of Transplantation Research Network/CSL Behring Basic
Research Grant; Associated for the Advancement of Blood and Biotherapies Postdoctoral Grant;
Sandler Program for Breakthrough Biomedical Research Postdoctoral Independence Grant.

Disclosures

The authors report no conflicts of interest.

Author contributions

Data acquisition: Yurim Seo, Longhui Qiu, Simon Cleary.

Conceptualization: Simon Cleary.

Methodology: Simon Cleary, Mélia Magnen, Catharina Conrad, S. Farshid Moussavi-Harami.

Statistical analysis: Simon Cleary.

Resources: Mélia Magnen, Mark Looney, Simon Cleary.

Writing – original draft: Yurim Seo, Simon Cleary.

Writing – review and editing: Yurim Seo, Mélia Magnen, Mark Looney, Simon Cleary

Supervision: Simon Cleary, Mark Looney.

Funding acquisition: Mark Looney.

References

1. **Southam DS**, **Dolovich M**, **O'Byrne PM**, **Inman MD**. Distribution of intranasal instillations in mice: Effects of volume, time, body position, and anesthesia. *Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol* 282: 833–839, 2002. doi: https://doi.org/10.1152/ajplung.00173.2001.

2. **Meyer RE**, **Fish RE**. A review of tribromoethanol anesthesia for production of genetically engineered mice and rats. *Lab Anim (NY)* 34: 47–52, 2005. doi: 10.1038/laban1105-47.

3. **Gyorfi MJ**, **Kim PY**. Halothane Toxicity [Online]. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK545281/ [4 Aug. 2022].

4. **Foster WM**, **Walters DM**, **Longphre M**, **Macri K**, **Miller LM**. Methodology for the measurement of mucociliary function in the mouse by scintigraphy. *J Appl Physiol (1985)* 90: 1111–1118, 2001. doi: 10.1152/JAPPL.2001.90.3.1111.

5. **MacDonald KD**, **Chang HYS**, **Mitzner W**. An improved simple method of mouse lung intubation. *J Appl Physiol* 106: 984, 2009. doi: 10.1152/JAPPLPHYSIOL.91376.2008.

6. **Su X**, **Looney M**, **Robriquet L**, **Fang X**, **Matthay MA**. Direct visual instillation as a method for efficient delivery of fluid into the distal airspaces of anesthetized mice. [Online]. *Exp Lung Res* 30, 2004. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15524406.

7. **Magnen M**, **Gueugnon F**, **Petit-Courty A**, **Baranek T**, **Sizaret D**, **Brewah YA**, **Humbles AA**, **Si-Tahar M**, **Courty Y**. Tissue kallikrein regulates alveolar macrophage apoptosis early in influenza virus infection. *American Journal of Physiology-Lung Cellular and Molecular Physiology* 316: L1127–L1140, 2019. doi: 10.1152/ajplung.00379.2018.

8. **Cleary SJ**, **Hobbs C**, **Amison RT**, **Arnold S**, **O'Shaughnessy BG**, **Lefrançais E**, **Mallavia B**, **Looney MR**, **Page CP**, **Pitchford SC**. LPS-induced Lung Platelet

Recruitment Occurs Independently from Neutrophils, PSGL-1, and P-Selectin. *Am J*
Respir Cell Mol Biol 61: 232–243, 2019. doi: 10.1165/RCMB.2018-0182OC.

9.   **Matrosovich M**, **Matrosovich T**, **Garten W**, **Klenk HD**. New low-viscosity overlay
medium for viral plaque assays. *Virol J* 3: 1–7, 2006. doi: 10.1186/1743-422X-3-
63/FIGURES/7.

10.   **Ortiz-Muñoz G**, **Looney MR**. Non-invasive Intratracheal Instillation in Mice. *Bio Protoc* 5,
2015. doi: 10.21769/BIOPROTOC.1504.

11.   **Watanabe A**, **Hashimoto Y**, **Ochiai E**, **Sato A**, **Kamei K**. A simple method for confirming
correct endotracheal intubation in mice. *Lab Anim* 43: 399–401, 2009. doi:
10.1258/LA.2009.009008.

12.   **Su X**, **Looney M**, **Robriquet L**, **Fang X**, **Matthay MA**. Direct visual instillation as a
method for efficient delivery of fluid into the distal airspaces of anesthetized mice. *Exp*
Lung Res 30: 479–493, 2004. doi: https://doi.org/10.1080/01902140490476382.

13.   **Eyles JE**, **Spiers ID**, **Williamson ED**, **Alpar HO**, **Williamson ED**. Tissue distribution of
radioactivity following intranasal administration of radioactive microspheres. *Journal of*
Pharmacy and Pharmacology 53: 601–607, 2001. doi: 10.1211/0022357011775929.

14.   **Galani IE**, **Triantafyllia V**, **Eleminiadou EE**, **Andreakos E**. Protocol for influenza A virus
infection of mice and viral load determination. *STAR Protoc* 3: 101151, 2022. doi:
10.1016/J.XPRO.2022.101151.

15.   **D'Alessio FR**. Mouse Models of Acute Lung Injury and ARDS. *Methods Mol Biol* 1809:
341–350, 2018. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4939-8570-8_22.

16.   **Pelgrim CE**, **van Ark I**, **Leusink-Muis T**, **Brans MAD**, **Braber S**, **Garssen J**, **van**
Helvoort A, **Kraneveld AD**, **Folkerts G**. Intratracheal administration of solutions in mice;
development and validation of an optimized method with improved efficacy, reproducibility
and accuracy. *J Pharmacol Toxicol Methods* 114: 107156, 2022. doi:
10.1016/J.VASCN.2022.107156.

17.   **Kunda NK**, **Price DN**, **Muttil P**. Respiratory Tract Deposition and Distribution Pattern of
Microparticles in Mice Using Different Pulmonary Delivery Techniques. *Vaccines (Basel)*
6, 2018. doi: 10.3390/VACCINES6030041.

18.   **Barbayianni I**, **Ninou I**, **Tzouvelekis A**, **Aidinis V**. Bleomycin Revisited: A Direct
Comparison of the Intratracheal Micro-Spraying and the Oropharyngeal Aspiration Routes
of Bleomycin Administration in Mice. *Front Med (Lausanne)* 5, 2018. doi:
10.3389/FMED.2018.00269.

19.   **Fumagalli V**, **Rava M**, **Marotta D**, **di Lucia P**, **Laura C**, **Sala E**, **Grillo M**, **Bono E**,
Giustini L, **Perucchini C**, **Mainetti M**, **Sessa A**, **Garcia-Manteiga JM**, **Donnici L**,
Manganaro L, **Delbue S**, **Broccoli V**, **de Francesco R**, **D'Adamo P**, **Kuka M**, **Guidotti**
LG, **Iannacone M**. Administration of aerosolized SARS-CoV-2 to K18-hACE2 mice
uncouples respiratory infection from fatal neuroinvasion. *Sci Immunol* 7: 9929, 2022. doi:
https://doi.org/10.1126/sciimmunol.abl9929.

20.   **Miller MA**, **Stabenow JM**, **Parvathareddy J**, **Wodowski AJ**, **Fabrizio TP**, **Bina XR**,
324   **Zalduondo L**, **Bina JE**. Visualization of Murine Intranasal Dosing Efficiency Using
325   Luminescent Francisella tularensis: Effect of Instillation Volume and Form of Anesthesia.
326   *PLoS One* 7: e31359, 2012. doi: 10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0031359.

Figure 1. Increased pulmonary dosing efficiency with ketamine/xylazine versus isoflurane anesthesia during intranasal dosing.

A. B6 mice were given intranasal (i.n.) doses containing ^{125}I-albumin radiotracer and Evans blue dye under either isoflurane or ketamine/xylazine anesthesia. Photographs show lungs after euthanasia and thoracotomy, with delivery of dye to more distal regions of the lungs with ketamine/xylazine anesthesia (white arrowheads).

B. Effect of anesthetic type on dose distribution quantified using radiotracer.

Means ± standard deviation, n=10. *P*-values are from an ordinary two-way ANOVA with Holm-Šídák tests for effect of dosing route within each location.

Figure 2. Increased body weight loss and lung inflammation after intranasal infection with influenza A virus under ketamine/xylazine relative to isoflurane anesthesia.

A. Mice were randomized to receive either isoflurane or ketamine/xylazine anesthesia for intranasal dosing with 50 p.f.u. of H1N1 influenza A virus A/PR/8/1934 (PR8). Photographs of mice show presence of nasal reflux during intranasal dosing under isoflurane anesthesia (white arrow) but not ketamine/xylazine anesthesia.

B. Quantification of incidence of nasal reflux during intranasal dosing under isoflurane (iso) versus ketamine/xylazine (ket/xyl)

C. Body weight changes over 9 days post infection.

D. BAL supernatant protein concentration at day 9 post infection.

E. Leukocyte counts from BAL fluid at day 9 post infection.

F. Output of power analysis using total protein data in Figure 2D to estimate number of mice needed per group to detect a 30% change in BAL protein concentration using an unpaired two-tailed t-test.

Means ± standard deviation, n=14. *P*-values are from: B: Fisher's exact test, C: repeated measures mixed model approach with baseline values as covariates and adjusted (adj.) *P*-values from Holm's tests for effect of anesthesia within each time point; D,E: unpaired two-tailed t-tests.

Figure 3. Pulmonary dosing efficiency using oropharyngeal aspiration is not altered by anesthetic type.

A. B6 mice were given intranasal (i.n.) doses containing ^{125}I-albumin radiotracer and Evans blue dye under either isoflurane or ketamine/xylazine anesthesia and euthanized 10 minutes later. Assessment of lungs after thoracotomy showed bilateral delivery of dye to distal regions of lungs with both anesthetic types.

B. No effect of anesthesia approach was found on biodistribution of radiotracer.

Means ± standard deviation, n=10. *P*-values are from an ordinary two-way ANOVA with Holm-Šídák tests for effect of dosing route within each location.

Figure 4. Increased pulmonary dosing efficiency with non-surgical intratracheal dosing relative to oropharyngeal aspiration.

A. Customized catheters and syringes for orotracheal intubation and precise intratracheal injections through endotracheal tube.

B. Mouse on intubation platform showing direct visualization of laryngeal inlet through transillumination the trachea.

C. Manometer used for confirmation of correct airway placement after orotracheal intubation.

D. B6 mice were anesthetized with ketamine/xylazine and then given ^{125}I-albumin and Evans blue by either oropharyngeal aspiration (o.a.) or intratracheal (i.t.) routes. Photographs show representative dose distribution.

E. Effect of administration approach on dose distribution quantified using radiotracer.

Means ± standard deviation, n=10. P-values are from an ordinary two-way ANOVA with Holm-Šídák tests for effect of dosing route within each location.