The consequence of ATP synthase dimer angle on mitochondrial

morphology studied by cryo-electron tomography

Emma Buzzard[1,2], Mathew McLaren[1,2], Piotr Bragoszewski[3], Andrea Brancaccio[4,5], Holly Ford[5], Bertram

Daum[1,2], Patricia Kuwabara[5], Ian Collinson[5] & Vicki A.M. Gold[1,2,*].

[1] Living Systems Institute, University of Exeter, Exeter, UK.

[2] Faculty of Health and Life Sciences, University of Exeter, Exeter, UK.

[3] Nencki Institute of Experimental Biology, Polish Academy of Sciences, Warsaw, Poland.

[4] Institute of Chemical Sciences and Technologies "Giulio Natta", Department of Chemical Sciences and

Materials Technologies, National Research Council (CNR), Rome, Italy.

[5] School of Biochemistry, University of Bristol, BS8 1TD, UK.

*corresponding author: Vicki Gold; +44 (0)1392 727454; v.a.m.gold@exeter.ac.uk

Keywords: Mitochondria, ATP synthase, Cryo-electron tomography, Sub-tomogram averaging, AlphaFold

Abstract

Mitochondrial ATP synthases form rows of dimers, which induce membrane curvature to give cristae their characteristic lamellar or tubular morphology. The angle formed between the central stalks of ATP synthase dimers varies between species. Using cryo-electron tomography and sub-tomogram averaging, we determined the structure of the ATP synthase dimer from the nematode worm *C. elegans* and show that the dimer angle differs from previously determined structures. The consequences of this species-specific difference at the dimer interface were investigated by comparing *C. elegans* and *S. cerevisiae* mitochondrial morphology. We reveal that *C. elegans* has a larger ATP synthase dimer angle with more lamellar (flatter) cristae when compared to yeast. The underlying cause of this difference was investigated by generating an atomic model of the *C. elegans* ATP synthase dimer by homology modelling. A comparison of our *C. elegans* model to an existing *S. cerevisiae* structure reveals the presence of extensions and rearrangements in *C. elegans* subunits associated with maintaining the dimer interface. We speculate that increasing dimer angles could provide an advantage for species that inhabit variable-oxygen environments by forming flatter more energetically efficient cristae.

Main Text

Introduction

The F_1F_o ATP synthase is a molecular motor ubiquitous to all living organisms, required for the essential

conversion of an electrochemical gradient into the universal energy currency ATP (1). The ATP synthase

is composed of a catalytic F_1 head connected to a membrane-embedded F_o motor by a central stalk; the

entire assembly is visualised as a lollipop shape when examined by electron microscopy (2,3). The central

stalk transmits the torque generated by rotation of F_o to the F_1 head, and a peripheral stalk acts as an elastic

spring, ensuring malleable coupling between F_1 and F_o (4). Mitochondrial ATP synthases across species

share the same complement of core subunits with varying nomenclature (Table S1) (5,6). In metazoans

studied to date, the F_1 head is comprised of α and β subunits, the central stalk of γ, δ and ε subunits, the

peripheral stalk of b d, F_6 and oligomycin sensitivity conferral protein (OSCP) subunits, and the F_o motor

contains the c-ring and subunit a.

Mitochondrial ATP synthases can assemble into dimers (7), of which there are 4 types (8): Type I is present

in both multicellular (9–11) and unicellular organisms (12) and types II-IV are present in various unicellular

organisms (13–18), reviewed in (8). When compared to type II-IV dimers, previously studied type I dimers

contain an additional set of subunits at the dimer interface: e, f, g, i/j, k and 8 (Table S1) (8). Based on

biochemical and imaging experiments, subunits e and g were shown to be essential for dimer formation

(7,11,19–21). Dimers of ATP synthase assemble into oligomeric rows (or ribbons) along the curved ridges

of crista membranes, observed by cryo-electron tomography (cryoET) (9,11,22). This formation of dimer

rows is mediated by an ancestral motif in subunits e and g (20,21) with assistance from subunit k (5,23,24).

Formation of dimer rows is required for crista membrane curvature, and thus maintenance of lamellar or

tubular shaped cristae (11,12). Deformation of cristae into balloon-like structures was observed in *S.*

cerevisiae after knockdown of interface subunits e or g (11) and in ageing *P. anserina,* when dimers

disassociated into monomers (12). Moreover, molecular simulations indicated that ATP synthase dimers

have an innate propensity to induce membrane curvature (25). This was confirmed experimentally when

dimers reconstituted into liposomes spontaneously self-assembled into oligomeric rows to engender this

curvature, maintaining identical dimer angles to those observed in whole mitochondria (26).

In situ structures of type I ATP synthase dimers have been determined from native membranes (10,12,25–

27). Mammals and fungi both display an average angle between the dimer heads of ~86° (10). Interestingly,

higher-resolution single particle analysis of the purified bovine ATP synthase dimer reveals that dimer

angles likely vary around this average (between 76° and 95°), depending on catalytic state (28). Further

atomic-detail structures of purified mitochondrial type I ATP synthase dimers have also been determined

from mammals (*Bos taurus* (23)) and fungi (*S. cerevisiae* (29) and *Y. lipolytica* (22)). Whilst the structure

and organisation of ATP synthase dimers has been studied across a range of different species, our

knowledge of ATP synthases in invertebrates is lacking. The free-living nematode worm *C. elegans* is a

well-established model system for the study of invertebrate cell and developmental biology (30), including

the role of mitochondria in metabolism, health, disease and aging (31). To complement *in vivo* physiological

studies, intact mitochondria can be stably prepared (32,33) for biochemical and structural analyses (34).

Interestingly, studies have shown that nematodes lack the dimer-specific subunits i/j, k (35) and 8 (36)

found in mammals and fungi (Table S1). Subunit 8 is encoded by one of two overlapping ATP synthase

genes on the mitochondrial genome (37). Proteins encoded on the mitochondrial genome are translated

from essential genes (38,39); thus it follows that subunit 8 is likely to be essential for respiration in mammals

and fungi. The lack of dimer-specific subunits in *C. elegans* provides a unique opportunity to investigate

how certain subunits influence ATP synthase dimer angles and mitochondrial morphology.

In this study, we employ cryoET and sub-tomogram averaging to determine the structure and membrane

organisation of the *C. elegans* ATP synthase, revealing a novel average dimer angle of 105°. We also

discover extra mass at the dimer interface compared to an equivalent *S. cerevisiae* structure determined in

the membrane (11). We subsequently compare mitochondria from both *C. elegans* and *S. cerevisiae* to

investigate the relationship between ATP synthase dimer angle and crista morphology. Finally, we use

AlphaFold (40) and AlphaFold multimer (41) to predict how protein chains in the *C. elegans* ATP synthase

dimer may be arranged. This allows us to analyse subunit differences at the dimer interface and postulate

the cause of variations in angle. We speculate that an evolutionary divergence at the dimer interface and

corresponding widening of the dimer angle may be an adaptation to more variable oxygen environments.

Results

The architecture of the *C. elegans* ATP synthase dimer

To determine the arrangement and architecture of ATP synthase dimers in *C. elegans*, tomograms of whole

mitochondria (Fig. 1A) and of isolated crista membranes (Fig. 1B) were analysed. ATP synthases were

unambiguously identified by the characteristic lollipop shape of the 10 nm diameter F_1 heads positioned

~10 nm away from the membrane. We confirmed the presence of oligomeric ATP synthase dimer ribbons,

localised at the sharp curved ridges of crista membranes, in both samples (Fig. 1A, B). Due to the obscuring

presence of a dense matrix in whole mitochondria, many more dimers could be visualised in isolated crista

membrane samples. Therefore, 3,234 dimer pairs were extracted from the crista membrane data for sub-

tomogram averaging. After classification, a map of the *C. elegans* ATP synthase dimer was determined

from 1,755 dimer pairs (Fig. 1C, Fig. S1, S2). Both the central and peripheral stalks were resolved clearly.

Previous studies revealed a type I dimer angle of ~86° across a range of mammalian and fungal species

(10,12,25–27). The architecture of the membrane-bound *C. elegans* ATP synthase dimer is unlike any other

species studied so far, with an average angle of 105° between the dimer heads (Fig. 2A). A comparison to

the structure of the membrane-bound *S. cerevisiae* dimer (42), revealed that the wider dimer angle in *C.*

elegans corresponds with a sharper angle of membrane curvature (50° compared to 74°) (Fig. 2B).

Accordingly, a shorter distance is measured between the ATP synthase central stalks in *C. elegans*

compared to *S. cerevisiae* (16.5 nm compared to 20 nm), which would have the effect of bringing the crista

membranes closer together. Intriguingly, the dimer interface in the *C. elegans* map is also visually different

to its *S. cerevisiae* counterpart (Fig. 2B), and indeed all other type I dimers studied to date (10,12,25–27).

This difference is likely attributable to the different complement of dimer interface subunits present in *C.*

elegans compared to *S. cerevisiae* (Table S1, Fig. 2C). We also analysed the inter-dimer distance and

angle between dimer heads in consecutive dimers in the oligomeric rows. This revealed an inter-dimer

distance of 12.5 nm and angle between dimer heads of 20°. Despite differences in dimer angle, these

values are consistent with those reported previously for the type II dimer from green algae (*Polytomella* sp.)

(26) (Fig. S3), suggesting that dimer angle does not influence oligomerisation of ATP synthases into rows.

A wider dimer angle in *C. elegans* corresponds to flatter cristae

We hypothesised that the wider dimer angle associated with sharper membrane curvature in the *C. elegans*

ATP synthase dimer (Fig. 2B) would produce flatter cristae with a larger surface area to volume ratio. To

test this, tomographic data of whole mitochondria from *C. elegans* and *S. cerevisiae* were collected and

quantified. Qualitatively, *C. elegans* mitochondria have more lamellar shaped (or flatter) cristae, with sharp

curved ridges, compared to mitochondria from *S. cerevisiae* (Fig. 3A, 3B, Movie S1 & S2). The surface

area and volume of the crista membranes were quantified, to reveal that the surface area to volume ratio

of the average crista membrane was significantly higher (~1.5 fold, **** $p \leq 0.0001$) in *C. elegans* than in

S. cerevisiae (Fig. 3B). In accordance with this, the average crista width in *C. elegans* was less than that

observed in *S. cerevisiae* (Fig. 3C, D and E), suggesting that dimer angle exerts influence on mitochondrial

morphology at the level of membrane curvature.

Mitochondria are dynamic organelles, and crista morphology can be influenced by a wide range of factors

such as metabolic state (43–45). However, the average ATP synthase dimer angle remains consistent

when imaged in membranes or on purification in detergent (10,28). Nevertheless, we corroborated our

findings in whole mitochondria by measuring the width of isolated cristae containing either *C. elegans* or *S.*

cerevisiae ATP synthase dimers used for structural determination (Fig. 2B). Our results confirm the

narrower crista width in *C. elegans* compared to *S. cerevisiae.* This indicates that the dimer angle and

corresponding angle of membrane curvature is consistent, irrespective of the method employed for sample

preparation or analysis.

A unique arrangement of subunits at the *C. elegans* dimer interface

We observed extra mass at the *C. elegans* dimer interface (Fig. 2B) not previously observed in other type

I structures determined to date (10). Nematodes are missing subunit 8 (36) (Table S1, Fig. 2C), which plays

a key structural role in other species (22,23,29,46). Moreover, subunit 8 is considered essential for

respiration (38,39). Therefore, it is likely that other subunits undergo rearrangements at the dimer interface

to compensate for the lack of subunit 8 in nematodes, which could contribute to the observed change of

dimer angle. To explore this possibility, we performed multisequence alignments with *C. elegans, S.*

cerevisiae and *B. taurus* (47–49). This revealed significant extensions in 3 *C. elegans* subunits located at

the dimer interface (e, f and g), and in 3 of the 4 subunits in the peripheral stalk (b, d and F_6) (Table S1,

Fig. S4). Mass spectrometry was used to confirm that the extensions identified by sequence in the dimer

interface subunits are present in the mature proteins (Fig. S5).

To investigate if the changes in the dimer interface and peripheral stalk subunits could account for the extra

mass observed at the dimer interface (Fig. 2B), we built a homology model of the *C. elegans* ATP synthase.

The ATP synthase dimer is too large to predict the structure as a single multimer, therefore we used

AlphaFold (40) and AlphaFold multimer (41) to predict the structures of individual or small groups of

subunits (Table S2, Fig. S6). Taking into account the fact that protein-protein interactions are likely

important at the dimer interface, we predicted the dimer interface and peripheral stalk subunits both as

individual subunits and as multimers. The peripheral stalk subunits were predicted successfully as a

multimer, whereas the multimeric prediction for the dimer interface was poor. This could be explained by a

limitation of AlphaFold multimer, which does not take stepwise assembly of complexes into account, instead

assembling all proteins into a multimeric complex simultaneously (50). The result may also be attributable

to the unique dimer interface in *C. elegans* compared to previously determined structures. We therefore

used individual predictions to model the dimer interface (Fig. S6). The predicted *C. elegans* structures were

then fitted sequentially into a scaffold provided by the *B. taurus* ATP synthase dimer [PDB 7AJB] (Fig. S7,

Fig. 4A). The atomic model of *B. taurus* was chosen as a scaffold due to its closer relation to *C. elegans*

(both being metazoans) and possessing an equivalent number of subunits in the c-ring. The *C. elegans*

ATP synthase dimer model was then split into monomers and each was fitted sequentially into our sub-

tomogram average dimer map (Fig. S7, Fig. 4B), improving the fit considerably (Fig. S8). The *C. elegans*

homology model correlated well to the sub-tomogram averaging map (Fig. S9 and Table S3), providing us

with a useful working model to allow a comparison of *S. cerevisiae* and *C. elegans* ATP synthase dimers

(Fig. 4C).

Interestingly, the extra mass identified at the *C. elegans* dimer interface (Fig. 2B) appears to be filled by a

rearrangement of the subunits f and g in the model (Fig. 4C, D & E). This agrees with the observation that

these subunits show extensions relative to their yeast homologues (Fig. S10). In addition, extensions in the

C. elegans peripheral stalk subunits (subunits b, d and F_6) may also to contribute to the greater mass at

the dimer interface compared to that observed in *S. cerevisiae* (Fig. S11). We cannot exclude the possibility

that there are additional subunits as yet unidentified in *C. elegans* that may also contribute to the dimer

interface. Finally, we fitted the *C. elegans* ATP synthase dimer model into a row of oligomeric dimer pairs

along the curved edge of a crista (Fig. 4F, H). This reveals potential inter-dimer interactions mediated by

subunit e (Fig. 4G, I and Fig. S12), in agreement with recent work demonstrating the key role that this

subunit plays in oligomerisation and row formation (21).

Discussion

Owing to the essential and universal role of the ATP synthase across eukaryotic species, it is remarkable

that the dimeric interface can be so variable (10). Until now, the arrangement of ATP synthases in

invertebrates was unknown, as was the correlation between dimer angle and whole mitochondrial

morphology. In this work, a novel dimer angle for the ATP synthase from the nematode worm *C. elegans*

was discovered. By comparing worm and yeast mitochondria, we correlated a wider ATP synthase dimer

angle with flatter crista membrane morphology. Since dimer row formation is known to be instrumental in

the formation of curved ridges in crista membranes (11,12,26), it is consistent that dimer angle influences

the extent of membrane curvature.

The *C. elegans* ATP synthase dimer shows clear extra mass at the dimer interface when compared to other

determined structures, which can be attributed to changes in subunit composition. Using sequence

analysis, we detected extensions in 3 *C. elegans* dimer interface subunits (e, f and g), an extension in the

peripheral stalk component subunit b, and a range of more subtle gaps and insertions in subunits d and F_6.

To investigate whether these could bulk out the width of the dimer interface, we built a homology model

using AlphaFold (40) and AlphaFold multimer (41). A recently proposed alternative method employs the

prediction of subcomponent structures using AlphaFold multimer based on known assembly intermediates

(50). While conceptually advantageous for constructing a homology model of the ATP synthase dimer, only

50% of all high-resolution non-redundant complexes with 10-30 chains from the PDB were successfully

assembled (50). Moreover, the efficacy of this approach has yet to be evaluated on protein complexes

exceeding 30 chains. Our homology model of the *C. elegans* ATP synthase dimer thus allows us to

hypothesise how alterations in the organisation of subunits could influence dimer architecture. The ATP

synthase structure is relatively well conserved across species (51), but this conservation is weaker at the

dimer interface and peripheral stalk (52). The extensions in *C. elegans* subunits e, f and g appear to result

in the rearrangement of subunits at the dimer interface relative to *S. cerevisiae*. In addition, the extension

in peripheral stalk component subunit b, and the changes to subunits d and F_6, appear to bulk out the width

of the dimer interface. Some dimer interface subunits present in *S. cerevisiae* (j, k and 8) are absent in *C.*

elegans. Whilst it cannot be completely excluded that a yet unidentified subunit may substitute for subunit

8, we speculate that the absence of subunit 8 in worms (36) highlights an interesting evolutionary

divergence. Subunit 8 is usually encoded by the mitochondrial genome, indicating that it is essential (38,39).

Additionally, subunit 8 appears to have a key structural role in joining the dimer interface to the peripheral

stalk (22,23,29,46). It is therefore plausible that the space vacated by the absent subunit 8 is either resolved

by the re-arrangement of neighbouring subunits, or by substituting one of the extensions of the F_O subunits

close by (b, d, e, f or g).

Mitochondria have evolved their highly convoluted crista membranes to increase their surface area (53),

hence accommodating the maximum amount of respiratory chain complexes. This has made it possible for

eukaryotic organisms to deal with higher energy demands than prokaryotes (53). A flatter crista (in *C.*

elegans) compared to a wider one (in *S. cerevisiae*) could allow greater packing of respiratory chain

complexes along the flat membrane surfaces (10), increasing the relative amount of proton pumping. It has

been suggested that cristae serve as proton concentrators that facilitate a directed flow from the source

(respiratory chain) to sink (ATP synthase) (9,10); protons have been proposed to preferably migrate from

source to sink along membrane surfaces. If this were the case, then reducing the width of the crista space

would reduce the solvent volume within which protons dissipate, facilitating the efficiency of ATP synthesis.

Both these factors could allow *C. elegans* to maximise energy production in its soil-based habitat (54),

where conditions range from near hypoxia to atmospheric (55,56). In summary, we propose that a wider

ATP synthase dimer angle associated with flatter cristae may be paramount for capitalising on ATP

production when a higher level of oxygen becomes available, and that a range of angles has evolved to

meet the energetic needs of different organisms. Future studies geared towards investigating dimer subunit

composition, angle and corresponding crista morphology across a range of species inhabiting different

environments will be key in providing further support for this hypothesis. We demonstrate that the

divergence in ATP synthase dimer architecture relative to yeast and mammalian systems makes *C. elegans*

an ideal model system for further investigation of the role of dimer angle in mitochondrial physiology, health

and disease.

Materials and Methods

All standard reagents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Burlington, USA).

C. elegans and _S. cerevisiae_ culture

The _C. elegans_ N2 Bristol strain was maintained at 20°C on 60 mm Nematode Growth Medium (NGM) plates seeded with _E. coli_ OP50. For large scale preparations, a semi-synchronised population of _C. elegans_ (achieved by starving so that they entered the dauer stage) (57,58) were grown in a liquid suspension of _E. coli_ NA22 in S-basal complete medium (59) at 20°C, shaking at 200 rpm for 3 days to achieve adults. For further details see (33). _S. cerevisiae_ 'Bakers's yeast' S288C derivative strains YPH499 were cultured at 19 - 24°C in YPGal or YPG medium (1% w/v yeast extract, 2% w/v bactopeptone, 2% w/v galactose or 3% w/v glycerol) until OD 2-2.5 was reached. For further details see (60).

Mitochondrial isolation

C. elegans and _S. cerevisiae_ were both harvested from liquid cultures by low speed centrifugation. _C. elegans_ preparation required an additional sucrose flotation step to remove debris. To soften the _C. elegans_ cuticle, the pellets underwent collagenase treatment (1 U/ml collagenase, 100 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4 and 1 mM CaCl$_2$), whilst _S. cerevisiae_ pellets underwent dithiothreitol (10 mM DTT, 100 mM Tris-SO4 pH 9.4) and zymolyase treatment (4.5mg/g zymolyase, 1.2 M sorbitol, 20 mM potassium phosphate, pH 7.4) to disrupt the cell wall. Pellets from both species were re-suspended in homogenisation buffers. For _C. elegans_, this was STEG/M (220 mM mannitol, 70 mM sucrose, 5 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4 and 1 mM EGTA supplemented with 1 mM PMSF in methanol and 1% (w/v) fatty acid-free BSA). For _S. cerevisiae_ the homogenization buffer contained 0.6 M sorbitol, 10 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.4, 1 mM PMSF, 0.2% (w/v) BSA, 2 mM magnesium acetate. The re-suspended _C. elegans_ or _S. cerevisiae_ samples were homogenised in a glass-Teflon Potter homogenisor to break open cells. Both samples were subsequently spun at low speed (750 – 3000 x g for 5-15 minutes) to remove cell debris and nuclei, and then at higher speed (12,000 x g

for 15 minutes) to pellet mitochondria. Purified mitochondria were re-suspended in buffers that were

optimised to maintain intact mitochondria: 220 mM mannitol, 70 mM sucrose, 5 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4 and 1

mM EGTA for *C. elegans* or 250 mM sucrose, 2 mM magnesium acetate, 10 mM Mops, pH 7.2 for *S.*

cerevisiae.

Mitochondrial crista membrane isolation

Crista membranes used for the sub-tomogram averaging experiments were generated by successive

freeze-thaw cycles of mitochondria at -80°C. To purify mitochondrial membranes from other cellular

material, membrane extracts were incubated for 1h at 4°C with an anti-NDUFS3 primary antibody

(ab14711; abcam) against the matrix arm of complex I from *C. elegans*, followed by a 3h incubation with

an anti-mouse secondary conjugated to a quantum dot emitting at 625 nm (Q22085; Invitrogen). Crista

membranes were separated from unbound antibodies and other cellular material on an Optiprep gradient

with 10 layers (200 µl volume each) ranging from 0 to 27% v/v of iodixanol in STEG/M buffer, by

centrifugation at 80,000 x g for 30 min at 4°C using a TLS-55 rotor (Beckman Coulter Inc., Miami, FL, USA).

Crista membranes were identified and removed based on fluorescence under a UV lamp. Samples were

then diluted in STEG/M buffer to wash out the iodixanol, and spun at 20,000 x g for 15 min at 4 °C to pellet

the membranes. The enriched cristae were again re-suspended in STEG/M buffer.

Electron cryo-tomography

Whole mitochondria or crista membranes were mixed 1:1 with 10 nm gold fiducials (Aurion, Wageningen,

The Netherlands), applied to glow-discharged holey carbon EM grids (Quantifoil, Jena, Germany), and

blotted for 5-6 seconds, followed by plunge-freezing in liquid ethane using a Vitrobot Mark IV

(ThermoFisher, Massachusetts, USA) for *C. elegans*, or a home-made device for whole *S. cerevisiae*

mitochondria. Pre-screening of *C. elegans* grids was carried out using an FEI Tecnai Spirit 120kV

microscope (ThermoFisher), with a Oneview CCD Camera (Gatan, Pleasanton, USA). CryoET was

performed using the same microscope for whole mitochondria, or using a 200 kV Talos Arctica

(ThermoFisher) for crista membranes, equipped with a K2 direct electron detector camera and a GIF

Quantum LS energy filter (Gatan). CryoET of whole *S. cerevisiae* mitochondria was performed using a 300

kV Titan Krios (ThermoFisher), K2 direct electron detector camera and a GIF Quantum LS energy filter

(Gatan). Single tilt image series' (±60, step size 1.5° - 2°) were collected at -5 to -8 μm underfocus at

nominal magnification of 21,000 x for whole mitochondria and 39,000 x for crista membranes,

corresponding to 5.4 and 3.58 Å pixel sizes respectively for *C. elegans*, or 26,000 x for whole mitochondria

from *S. cerevisiae*, corresponding to a 4.51 Å pixel size. The total dose per tomogram was ~120 e⁻/Å² for

whole mitochondria, and ~80 e⁻/Å² for isolated cristae. Tomograms were aligned using the gold fiducials in

IMOD (University of Colorado, United States) (61) and volumes reconstructed via weighted back-projection.

Contrast was enhanced by nonlinear anisotropic diffusion (NAD) filtering (62), followed by manual

segmentation, also in IMOD. ImageJ (63) was used to generate movies of segmentations generated in

IMOD.

Subtomogram averaging

3,234 *C. elegans* ATP synthase dimers were picked manually in IMOD, using NAD-filtered tomograms.

Subvolumes containing the ATP synthase dimer were then extracted from tomograms that had not been

NAD filtered. These sub-volumes were CTF corrected and imported into Relion 3.1 (64) using the approach

and script described in (65). A reference-free initial model was generated using 3 x binned subvolumes and

2,481 dimers were selected by 2D classification for an unbinned refinement. Finally, 1,755 dimers were

selected from a 3D classification of this refined model to enter a final round of refinement and post-

processing, resulting in a 38.6 Å resolution map. Fig. S1 details the full workflow.

Homology model generation

AlphaFold was used to predict five structural models of each ATP synthase subunit in *C. elegans* based on

their mature protein sequence (40). Mature sequences were determined using MitoFates (66) or TargetP-

2.0 (67) to predict mitochondrial targeting sequences. All ATP synthase subunits known to be present in *C.*

elegans were included, excepting a putative homologue of subunit j, on account of its poor alignment with

other homologues, and absence of any corresponding peptides in mass spectrometry analysis of the *C.*

elegans dimer. The structures of peripheral stalk subunits b, d and F_6 were predicted using AlphaFold

multimer (41), as the individual predictions were unreliable. The models for each subunit with the highest

average pLDDT score were fitted sequentially to a scaffold provided by the atomic model of the *S.*

cerevisiae ATP synthase dimer [PDB 6BH8] in ChimeraX (68) using the Matchmaker tool. Where a subunit

had more than one isoform, the version with the highest pLDDT score was used. In the case of subunit b,

the isoform with the highest pLDDT score is also the only isoform expressed in somatic tissues (69). The

resulting structure was divided into monomers, and fitted sequentially into the sub-tomogram average of

the *C. elegans* ATP synthase dimer using the "fit in volume" tool in ChimeraX. The workflow is shown in

Fig. S7. The resulting homology model was converted into an MRC map using the molmap command in

ChimeraX (68). This map could then be fitted to the sub-tomogram average map of the *C. elegans* dimer

for comparison (Fig. S19). The yeast monomeric atomic model [PDB 6CP6] (70) was used for additional

analysis in Fig. S11.

Mass spectrometry

The ATP synthase was purified from *C. elegans* mitochondria using a method described previously (71,72),

and analysed by Nano-LC mass spectrometry. Briefly, isolated mitochondria were solubilised and mixed

with a His-tagged inhibitor protein IF_1. This suspension was applied to a Nickel column to capture inhibited

ATP synthase. The fraction most enriched in ATP synthase subunits was taken for mass spectrometry

analysis. Further details are given in Supporting Information.

References

1.   Mitchell P. Chemiosmotic coupling in oxidative and photosynthetic phosphorylation. Biol. Rev.

1966 Aug 1 [cited 2022 Jul 19];41(3):445–502. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-

185X.1966.tb01501.x

2.   Rubinstein JL. Structure of the mitochondrial ATP synthase by electron cryomicroscopy. *EMBO J.*

2003 Dec 1;22(23):6182–92.

3.   Lau WCY, Baker LA, Rubinstein JL. Cryo-EM Structure of the Yeast ATP Synthase. J Mol Biol.

2008   Oct   24   [cited   2021   Jan   29];382(5):1256–64.   Available   from:

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2008.08.014

4.   Sobti M, Smits C, Wong ASW, Ishmukhametov R, Stock D, Sandin S, et al. Cryo-EM structures of

the autoinhibited E. coli ATP synthase in three rotational states. Elife. 2016 Dec 21;5:e21598.

Available from: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.21598

5.   He J, Ford HC, Carroll J, Douglas C, Gonzales E, Ding S, et al. Assembly of the membrane domain

of ATP synthase in human mitochondria. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 2018 Mar 20 [cited 2022 Jul

25];115(12):2988–93. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1722086115

6.   Song J, Pfanner N, Becker T. Assembling the mitochondrial ATP synthase. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.

USA.   2018   Mar   20   [cited   2022   Nov   16];115(12):2850–2.   Available   from:

https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1801697115

7.   Arnold I. Yeast mitochondrial F_1F_0-ATP synthase exists as a dimer: identification of three dimer-

specific   subunits.   EMBO   J.   1998   Dec   15;17(24):7170–8.   Available   from:

https://doi.org/10.1093/emboj/17.24.7170

8.   Kühlbrandt W. Structure and Mechanisms of F-Type ATP Synthases. Annu Rev Biochem. 2019

Jun 20 [cited 2021 Feb 24];88(1):515–49. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-

biochem-013118-110903

9.   Strauss M, Hofhaus G, Schröder RR, Kühlbrandt W. Dimer ribbons of ATP synthase shape the
inner mitochondrial membrane. EMBO J. 2008 Apr 9 [cited 2022 Mar 25];27(7):1154–60. Available
from: https://doi.org/10.1038/emboj.2008.35

10. Davies KM, Strauss M, Daum B, Kief JH, Osiewacz HD, Rycovska A, et al. Macromolecular
organization of ATP synthase and complex I in whole mitochondria. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 2011
Aug   23   [cited   2020   Feb   6];108(34):14121–6.   Available   from:
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1103621108

11. Davies KM, Anselmi C, Wittig I, Faraldo-Gómez JD, Kühlbrandt W. Structure of the yeast $F_1 F_o$ -
ATP synthase dimer and its role in shaping the mitochondrial cristae. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.
2012   Aug   21   [cited   2020   Feb   6];109(34):13602–7.   Available   from:
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1204593109

12. Daum B, Walter A, Horst A, Osiewacz HD, Kühlbrandt W. Age-dependent dissociation of ATP
synthase dimers and loss of inner-membrane cristae in mitochondria. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA..
2013   Sep   17   [cited   2021   Nov   19];110(38):15301–6.   Available   from:
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1305462110

13. Vázquez-Acevedo M, Vega-deLuna F, Sánchez-Vásquez L, Colina-Tenorio L, Remacle C, Cardol
P, et al. Dissecting the peripheral stalk of the mitochondrial ATP synthase of chlorophycean algae.
Biochim Biophys Acta Bioenerg. 2016 Aug 1 [cited 2022 Jul 29];1857(8):1183–90. Available from:
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbabio.2016.02.003

14. Mühleip AW, Joos F, Wigge C, Frangakis AS, Kühlbrandt W, Davies KM. Helical arrays of U-
shaped ATP synthase dimers form tubular cristae in ciliate mitochondria. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.
USA.   2016   Jul   26   [cited   2022   Jul   29];113(30):8442–7.   Available   from:
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1525430113

15. Mühleip AW, Dewar CE, Schnaufer A, Kühlbrandt W, Davies KM. *In situ* structure of trypanosomal
ATP synthase dimer reveals a unique arrangement of catalytic subunits. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.

USA. 2017 Jan 31 [cited 2022 Jul 29];114(5):992–7. Available from:

https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1612386114

16.   Montgomery MG, Gahura O, Leslie AGW, Zíková A, Walker JE. ATP synthase from *Trypanosoma*

brucei has an elaborated canonical F_1-domain and conventional catalytic sites. Proc. Natl. Acad.

Sci. USA. 2018 Feb 27 [cited 2022 Jul 29];115(9):2102–7. Available from:

https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.172094011

17.   Davies KM, Kühlbrandt W. Structure of the catalytic F_1 head of the F_1-F_o ATP synthase from

Trypanosoma brucei. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 2018 Mar 27 [cited 2022 Jul 29];115(13):E2906–

7. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1801103115

18.   Murphy BJ, Klusch N, Langer J, Mills DJ, Yildiz Ö, Kühlbrandt W. Rotary substates of mitochondrial

ATP synthase reveal the basis of flexible F_1 -F_o coupling. Science. 1979. 2019 Jun 21 [cited 2022

Dec 2];364(6446):eaaw9128. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaw9128

19.   Arnold I, Pfeiffer K, Neupert W, Stuart RA, Schägger H. ATP Synthase of Yeast Mitochondria. J.

Biol. Chem. 1999 Jan 1;274(1):36–40. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.274.1.36

20.   Paumard P, Vaillier J, Coulary B, Schaeffer J, Soubannier V, Mueller DM, et al. The ATP synthase

is involved in generating mitochondrial cristae morphology. EMBO J. 2002 Feb 1;21(3):221–30.

Available from: https://doi.org/10.1093/emboj/21.3.221

21.   Gahura O, Mühleip A, Hierro-Yap C, Panicucci B, Jain M, Hollaus D, et al. An ancestral interaction

module promotes oligomerization in divergent mitochondrial ATP synthases. Nat Commun. 2022

Oct 11;13(1):5989. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-33588-z

22.   Hahn A, Parey K, Bublitz M, Mills DJ, Zickermann V, Vonck J, et al. Structure of a Complete ATP

Synthase Dimer Reveals the Molecular Basis of Inner Mitochondrial Membrane Morphology. Mol

Cell. 2016 Aug 4 [cited 2021 Feb 2];63(3):445–56. Available from:

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2016.05.037

23.   Spikes TE, Montgomery MG, Walker JE. Structure of the dimeric ATP synthase from bovine
410   mitochondria. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 2020 Sep 22 [cited 2021 Jan 29];117(38):23519–26.
411   Available from: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2013998117

412   24.   He J, Carroll J, Ding S, Fearnley IM, Montgomery MG, Walker JE. Assembly of the peripheral stalk
413   of ATP synthase in human mitochondria. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.2020 Nov 24;117(47):29602–
414   8. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2017987117

415   25.   Anselmi C, Davies KM, Faraldo-Gómez JD. Mitochondrial ATP synthase dimers spontaneously
416   associate due to a long-range membrane-induced force. J. Gen. Physiol. 2018 May 7 [cited 2022
417   Jul 25];150(5):763–70. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1085/jgp.201812033

418   26.   Blum TB, Hahn A, Meier T, Davies KM, Kühlbrandt W. Dimers of mitochondrial ATP synthase
419   induce membrane curvature and self-assemble into rows. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 2019 Mar 5 [cited
420   2022 Jul 18];116(10):4250–5. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1816556116

421   27.   Kühlbrandt W. Structure and function of mitochondrial membrane protein complexes. BMC Biol.
422   2015 Dec 29 [cited 2019 Oct 3];13(1):89. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1186/s12915-015-0201-
423   x

424   28.   Spikes TE, Montgomery MG, Walker JE. Interface mobility between monomers in dimeric bovine
425   ATP synthase participates in the ultrastructure of inner mitochondrial membranes. Proc. Natl. Acad.
426   Sci. 2021 Feb 23 [cited 2021 Apr 1];118(8):e2021012118. Available from:
427   https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2021012118

428   29.   Guo H, Bueler SA, Rubinstein JL. Atomic model for the dimeric F_O region of mitochondrial ATP
429   synthase. Science. 2017 Nov 17 [cited 2022 Jul 19];358(6365):936–40. Available from:
430   https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aao4815

431   30.   Markaki M, Tavernarakis N. Modeling human diseases in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Biotechnol J.
432   2010 Dec;5(12):1261–76. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1002/biot.201000183

31. Yasuda K, Ishii T, Suda H, Akatsuka A, Hartman PS, Goto S, et al. Age-related changes of

mitochondrial structure and function in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Mech Ageing Dev. 2006 Oct

1;127(10):763–70. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mad.2006.07.002

32. Grad LI, Sayles LC, Lemire BD. Isolation and Functional Analysis of Mitochondria From the

Nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans*. In: Leister D, Herrmann J M. Methods in molecular biology

(Clifton, NJ). Humana Press; 2007 [cited 2022 Jul 20]. p. 51–66. Available from:

https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-59745-365-3_4

33. Knapp-Wilson A, Pereira GC, Buzzard E, Ford HC, Richardson A, Corey RA, et al. Maintenance of

complex I and its supercomplexes by NDUF-11 is essential for mitochondrial structure, function

and health. J Cell Sci. 2021 Jul 1;134(13):jcs258399. Available from:

https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.258399

34. Murfitt RR, Vogel K, Sanadi DR. Characterization of the mitochondria of the free-living nematode,

Caenorhabditis elegans. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. B. 1976 Jan 1 [cited 2022 Jul 20];53B(4):423–

30. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/0305-0491(76)90191-7

35. Tsang WY, Lemire BD. The role of mitochondria in the life of the nematode, *Caenorhabditis

elegans.* Vol. 1638, Biochim. Biophys. Acta, Mol. Basis Dis.Elsevier; 2003. p. 91–105. Available

from: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0925-4439(03)00079-6

36. Okimoto R, Macfarlane JL, Clary DO, Wolstenholme DR. The mitochondrial genomes of two

nematodes, *Caenorhabditis elegans* and Ascaris suum. Genetics. 1992 Mar 1;130(3):471–98.

Available from: https://doi.org/10.1093/genetics/130.3.471

37. Fearnley IM, Walker JE. Two overlapping genes in bovine mitochondrial DNA encode membrane

components of ATP synthase. EMBO J. 1986 Aug;5(8):2003–8. Available from:

https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1460-2075.1986.tb04456.x

38. Shen J, Khan N, Lewis LD, Armand R, Grinberg O, Demidenko E, et al. Oxygen Consumption

Rates and Oxygen Concentration in Molt-4 Cells and Their mtDNA Depleted (ρ0) Mutants. Biophys

J. 2003 Feb 1;84(2):1291–8. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3495(03)74944-3

39. Stuart JA, Brown MF. Mitochondrial DNA maintenance and bioenergetics. Biochim. Biophys. Acta
– Bioenerg. 2006 Feb;1757(2):79–89. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbabio.2006.01.003

40. Jumper J, Evans R, Pritzel A, Green T, Figurnov M, Ronneberger O, et al. Highly accurate protein
structure prediction with AlphaFold. Nature. 2021 Aug 26;596(7873):583–9. Available from:
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03819-2

41. Evans R, O'neill M, Pritzel A, Antropova N, Senior A, Green T, et al. Protein complex prediction
with AlphaFold-Multimer. bioRxiv. 2021 [cited 2021 Nov 30]; Available from:
https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.10.04.463034

42. Davies KM, Daum B, Gold VAM, Mühleip AW, Brandt T, Blum TB, et al. Visualization of ATP
Synthase Dimers in Mitochondria by Electron Cryo-tomography. J. Vis. Exp. 2014 Sep
14;(91):e51228. Available from: https://doi.org/10.3791/51228

43. Mannella CA. Structure and dynamics of the mitochondrial inner membrane cristae. Biochim.
Biophys. Acta, Mol. Cell Res.2006 May 1;1763(5–6):542–8. Available from:
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbamcr.2006.04.006

44. Pereira GC, Lee L, Rawlings N, Ouwendijk J, Parker JE, Andrienko TN, et al. Hexokinase II
dissociation alone cannot account for changes in heart mitochondrial function, morphology and
sensitivity to permeability transition pore opening following ischemia. Lesnefsky EJ, editor. PLoS
One. 2020 Jun 24;15(6):e0234653. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0234653

45. Hackenbrock CR. Ultrastructural bases for metabolically linked mechanical activity in mitochondria
I. Reversible Ultrastructural Changes with Change in Metabolic Steady State in Isolated Liver
Mitochondria. J. Cell Biol.1966 Aug 1;30(2):269–97. Available from:
https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.30.2.269

46. Gu J, Zhang L, Zong S, Guo R, Liu T, Yi J, et al. Cryo-EM structure of the mammalian ATP synthase
tetramer bound with inhibitory protein IF1. Science. 2019 Jun 14;364(6445):1068–75. Available
from: https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaw4852

47.   Sievers F, Wilm A, Dineen D, Gibson TJ, Karplus K, Li W, et al. Fast, scalable generation of high-
quality protein multiple sequence alignments using Clustal Omega. Mol Syst Biol. 2011 Jan
11;7(1):539. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1038/msb.2011.75

48.   Goujon M, McWilliam H, Li W, Valentin F, Squizzato S, Paern J, et al. A new bioinformatics analysis
tools framework at EMBL-EBI. Nucleic Acids Res. 2010 Jul 38;2:W695–9. Available from:
https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkq313

49.   McWilliam H, Li W, Uludag M, Squizzato S, Park YM, Buso N, et al. Analysis Tool Web Services
from the EMBL-EBI. Nucleic Acids Res. 2013 Jul 41;W1:W597–600. Available from:
https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkt376

50.   Bryant P, Pozzati G, Zhu W, Shenoy A, Kundrotas P, Elofsson A. Predicting the structure of large
protein complexes using AlphaFold and Monte Carlo tree search. Nat. Commun. 2022 13:1. 2022
Oct 12 [cited 2023 Oct 16];13(1):1–14. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-33729-
4

51.   Yoshida M, Muneyuki E, Hisabori T. ATP synthase — a marvellous rotary engine of the cell. Nat
Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2001 Sep;2(9):669–77. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1038/35089509

52.   Courbon GM, Rubinstein JL. CryoEM Reveals the Complexity and Diversity of ATP Synthases.
Front Microbiol. 2022 Jun 16;13:864006. Available from:
https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2022.864006

53.   Lane N, Martin W. The energetics of genome complexity. Nature. 2010 Oct 20;467(7318):929–34.
Available from: https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09486

54.   Moreno E, McGaughran A, Rödelsperger C, Zimmer M, Sommer RJ. Oxygen-induced social
behaviours in *Pristionchus pacificus* have a distinct evolutionary history and genetic regulation from
Caenorhabditis elegans. Proc. R. Soc. B. 2016 Feb 24;283(1825):20152263. Available from:
https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2015.2263

55.   Tan KH. Principles of soil chemistry. 4th ed. New York: CRC Press; 2011. 362 p. Available from:
https://doi.org/10.1201/9781439894606

56.   Wang W, Wang X, Liu J, Ishii M, Igarashi Y, Cui Z. Effect of Oxygen Concentration on the

511   Composting Process and Maturity. Compost Sci Util. 2007 Jun;15(3):184–90. Available from:

512   https://doi.org/10.1080/1065657X.2007.10702331

513   57.   Fielenbach N, Antebi A. *C. elegans* dauer formation and the molecular basis of plasticity. Genes

514   Dev. 2008 Aug 15;22(16):2149–65. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.1701508

515   58.   Karp X. Working with dauer larvae [Internet]. WormBook, ed. The *C. elegans* Research Community,

516   WormBook;   [2018   August   9;   cited   2022   Sep   5].   Available   from:

517   https://doi.org/10.1895/wormbook.1.180.1

518   59.   Stiernagle, T. Maintenance of C. elegans [Internet]. WormBook, ed. The C. elegans Research

519   Community,   WormBook;   [2006   Feb   11;   cited   2022   Sep   5].   Available   from:

520   https://doi.org/10.1895/wormbook.1.101.1

521   60.   Gold VA, Chroscicki P, Bragoszewski P, Chacinska A. Visualization of cytosolic ribosomes on the

522   surface of mitochondria by electron cryo-tomography. EMBO Rep. 2017 Oct 21;18(10):1786–800.

523   Available from: https://doi.org/10.15252/embr.201744261

524   61.   Kremer JR, Mastronarde DN, McIntosh JR. Computer Visualization of Three-Dimensional Image

525   Data   Using   IMOD.   J   Struct   Biol.   1996   Jan;116(1):71–6.   Available   from:

526   https://doi.org/10.1006/jsbi.1996.0013

527   62.   Frangakis AS, Hegerl R. Noise Reduction in Electron Tomographic Reconstructions Using

528   Nonlinear Anisotropic Diffusion. J Struct Biol. 2001 Sep [cited 2020 Jan 27];135(3):239–50.

529   Available from: https://doi.org/10.1006/jsbi.2001.4406

530   63.   Schneider CA, Rasband WS, Eliceiri KW. NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image analysis. Nat

531   Methods. 2012 Jul 28;9(7):671–5. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2089

532   64.   Scheres SHW. Amyloid structure determination in RELION -3.1. Acta Crystallogr D Struct Biol.

533   2020 Feb 1;76(2):94–101. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1107/S2059798319016577

65.   Bharat TAM, Scheres SHW. Resolving macromolecular structures from electron cryo-Tomography

data using subtomogram averaging in RELION. Nat Protoc. 2016;11(11):2054–65. Available from:

https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2016.124

66.   Fukasawa Y, Tsuji J, Fu SC, Tomii K, Horton P, Imai K. MitoFates: Improved Prediction of

Mitochondrial Targeting Sequences and Their Cleavage Sites. Mol. Cell. Proteomics. 2015 Apr

1;14(4):1113–26. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1074/mcp.M114.043083

67.   Armenteros JJA, Salvatore M, Emanuelsson O, Winther O, Von Heijne G, Elofsson A, et al.

Detecting sequence signals in targeting peptides using deep learning. Life Sci Alliance.

2019;2(5):e201900429. Available from: https://doi.org/10.26508/lsa.201900429

68.   Pettersen EF, Goddard TD, Huang CC, Meng EC, Couch GS, Croll TI, et al. UCSF ChimeraX:

Structure visualization for researchers, educators, and developers. Protein Science. 2021 Jan 22

[cited 2022 Aug 15];30(1):70–82. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1002/pro.3943

69.   Kawasaki I, Hanazawa M, Gengyo-Ando K, Mitani S, Maruyama I, Iino Y. ASB-1, a germline-

specific isoform of mitochondrial ATP synthase b subunit, is required to maintain the rate of

germline development in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Mech Dev. 2007 Mar 1 [cited 2020 Jul

15];124(3):237–51. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mod.2006.11.004

70.   Srivastava AP, Luo M, Zhou W, Symersky J, Bai D, Chambers MG, et al. High-resolution cryo-EM

analysis of the yeast ATP synthase in a lipid membrane. Science. 2018 May

11;360(6389):eaas9699. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aas9699

71.   Spikes TE. Structural studies of the mitochondrial F-ATPase. PhD thesis. The University of

Cambridge; 2017.

72.   Runswick MJ, Bason J V., Montgomery MG, Robinson GC, Fearnley IM, Walker JE. The affinity

purification and characterization of ATP synthase complexes from mitochondria. Open Biol. 2012

Oct 29;3(2). Available from: https://doi.org/10.1098/rsob.120160

Data Availability

The sub-tomogram averaging maps generated in this study have been deposited in the Electron Microscopy Data Bank (EMDB) under accession code EMD-XXXX. The source image data have been deposited to the Electron Microscopy Public Image Archive (EMPIAR) under accession number [XXXX]. The Source Data accompanying Fig. 3B & E can be found in the accompanying Source Data file.

Acknowledgments

We thank Rebekah White in the lab of Cameron Weadick for sharing equipment, resources and knowledge for ongoing nematode maintenance. We acknowledge Werner Kühlbrandt at the Max-Planck Institute of Biophysics, Frankfurt, Germany, where the *S. cerevisiae* data were collected. We thank Agnieszka Chacinska at IMol Polish Academy of Sciences, Warsaw, Poland, for supporting the *S. cerevisiae* based experiments. We acknowledge access and support of the GW4 Facility for High-Resolution Electron Cryo-Microscopy, funded by the Wellcome Trust (202904/Z/16/Z and 206181/Z/17/Z) and BBSRC (BB/R000484/1), and are grateful to Ufuk Borucu of the GW4 Regional Facility for High-Resolution Electron Cryo-Microscopy for help with screening and data collection. We thank Kate Heesom from the Bristol Proteomics Facility for collecting and analysing mass spectrometry data. EB was supported by the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council-funded South West Biosciences Doctoral Training Partnership [DTP2: BB/M009122/1] awarded to VG. MM was supported by a BBSRC responsive mode grant (BB/R008639/1) grant awarded to VG. PB was supported by the Foundation for Polish Science First TEAM Programme co-financed by the European Union under the European Regional Development Fund (POIR.04.04.00-00-3F36/17). BD received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement No 803894). This work was also funded by the Wellcome Trust (a Wellcome Investigator award (104632) to IC, which supported HF. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication. For the purpose of Open Access, the authors have applied a CC BY public copyright license to any Author Accepted Manuscript version arising from this submission.

Figures

Figure 1. ATP synthase dimer rows, and sub-tomogram average of the ATP synthase dimer from *C. elegans*.

(A) Tomographic slice through a whole *C. elegans* mitochondrion (top) and corresponding segmentation (bottom; outer membrane green, inner membrane light blue, and a different colour for each crista membrane). The boxed region shows an enlarged image of a single crista membrane, with green, blue and orange arrowheads indicating the outer, inner and crista membranes respectively, and yellow arrowheads indicating ATP synthase F_1 heads. The crista membrane is coloured light blue in the corresponding segmentation; each ATP synthase dimer pair is coloured differently. **(B)** Tomographic slice through *C. elegans* isolated crista membranes (left, yellow arrowheads indicating ATP synthase F_1 heads) and

596   corresponding segmentation (right). The boxed region shows an enlarged image of a single crista

597   membrane, with the corresponding segmentation coloured as in panel A. Scale bars, 100 nm for

598   tomograms, and 50 nm for enlarged views of crista membranes. **(C)** Sub-tomogram average of the *C.*

599   *elegans* ATP synthase dimer. Upper panel shows side view, lower panel shows top-down view.

Figure 2. The *C. elegans* ATP synthase compared to other species.

(A) Structures depicting the range of average dimer angles observed in *S. cerevisiae* [EMD-7067] (29), bovine heart [EMD-11436] (28), and *C. elegans* (this work, [EMD-XXX]), using the highest resolution structures available. **(B)** Direct comparison between *S. cerevisiae* [EMD-2161] (11) and *C. elegans* ATP synthase sub-tomogram averages, with the angle between F_1 dimer heads, the angle of crista membrane curvature, and distance between the central stalks for each monomer indicated. A bracket highlights the extra mass at the *C. elegans* dimer interface not apparent in *S. cerevisiae*. Black, transparent blue and dark green mesh represent decreasing threshold levels for the averages. **(C)** Cartoon detailing occurrence of ATP synthase subunits in *S. cerevisiae* and *C. elegans*, each labelled with corresponding nomenclature for the species (details in Table S1).

Figure 3. Morphology of mitochondria isolated from *C. elegans* and *S. cerevisiae*.

(A) Tomographic segmentations of *C. elegans* and *S. cerevisiae* mitochondria are displayed (green, outer mitochondrial membrane; blue, inner mitochondrial membrane; multi-colour, crista membranes). See Movie S1 (*C. elegans*) and Movie S2 (*S. cerevisiae*). **(B)** The mean surface area to volume ratio per crista (n = 3 mitochondria for each organism, with n=47 cristae for *C. elegans* and n=63 cristae for *S. cerevisiae*) was calculated from the segmentations shown in (A). **(C)** A single tomographic segmentation from each organism is shown with all crista coloured blue. Pink dots indicate distances used to measure width. **(D)** Close up of a single crista membrane from each organism (location indicated by asterisks in D to highlight

621   the flatter and thinner crista morphology in *C. elegans* mitochondria compared to *S. cerevisiae*. **(E)** The

622   mean crista width (n= 63 crista tips for *C. elegans* and n= 61 for *S. cerevisiae*) was calculated from the

623   segmentations shown in (A). Error bars in B and E show standard deviation of the mean and significance

624   values were calculated using Welch's t-test for panel B or using the Mann-Whitney U-test for panel E. ****

625   p ≤ 0.0001. Scale bars in A & C, 200 nm; in D, 20nm.

Figure 4. AlphaFold homology model of the *C. elegans* ATP synthase dimer.

(A) AlphaFold predictions for *C. elegans* ATP synthase subunits (blue) overlaid with the atomic model of

the bovine ATP synthase dimer ([PDB 7AJB] (29), green) that was used as a scaffold, using cylinder

representation. Predicted models were fitted onto 7AJB using MatchMaker in ChimeraX. **(B)** Two

monomers from the *C. elegans* ATP synthase homology model (helical representation) fitted into the sub-

tomogram average of the *C. elegans* ATP synthase dimer. **(C)** Surface view of *S. cerevisiae* and *C. elegans*

ATP synthase dimer models coloured by chain in side (top) and top-down (bottom) views. Subunits are

annotated and shown as α, red; β, gold; γ, indigo; δ, magenta; ε, coral; c, grey; a, purple; b, blue; d,

turquoise; F_6, navy; OSCP, orange; e, pale blue; f, pink; g, yellow; j, brown; k, dark green; 8, lime. All

subunits are labelled in the side views apart from subunit 8 which is buried. Only the dimer interface subunits

are labelled in the top-down views. **(D)** Left, dimer interface subunits in the *S. cerevisiae* atomic model

[6B8H] (29) coloured by chain and fitted into an *S. cerevisiae* sub-tomogram average [EMD-2161] (11).

Right, dimer interface subunits in the *C. elegans* homology model coloured by chain fitted to the *C. elegans*

sub-tomogram average. Subunits are annotated with the same colours as panel C. **(E)** As per (D), but with

all subunits colored black, highlighting subunits missing in *C. elegans* relative to *S. cerevisiae* (j, k and 9)

in red (left) and extensions in *C. elegans* subunits e, f and g relative to *S. cerevisiae* in orange (right). **(F)**

Top-down view of the *C. elegans* ATP synthase dimer homology model fitted to the sub-tomogram average

showing sequential dimer pairs in a row. **(G)** As per (F), but exclusively showing dimer interface subunits

e, f and g coloured by chain as per panels C and D. **(H)** and **(I)** show the same interactions as in (F) and

(G) respectively but viewed from the side of a dimer row.

Supporting Information for

The consequence of ATP synthase dimer angle on mitochondrial

morphology studied by cryo-electron tomography

Emma Buzzard, Mathew McLaren, Piotr Bragoszewski, Andrea Brancaccio, Holly Ford, Bertram

Daum, Patricia Kuwabara, Ian Collinson & Vicki A.M. Gold.

This PDF file includes:

Supporting text

Figures S1 to S12

Tables S1 to S3

Legends for Movies S1 to S2

SI References

Other supporting materials for this manuscript include the following:

Movies S1 to S2

Supporting Information Text

Extended methods

ATP synthase purification from *C. elegans* mitochondria

C. elegans ATP synthase was purified using a His-tagged IF$_1$ as bait, following a scaled-down protocol designed for purification of bovine dimers (71,72). Residues 1-60 of the *C. elegans* F-ATPase inhibitor protein IF$_1$ fused to a hexa-histindine tag (ceI1-60His), were overexpressed from a pRSFDuet plasmid in *E. coli* BL21 (DE3), and purified by affinity chromatography on a 5 mL Nickel-Sepharose column (Cytiva) attached to an ÄKTA purification system (Cytiva). Fractions enriched in IF$_1$ were concentrated to ~50 mg/mL with a VivaSpin concentrator (molecular weight cut-off 3 kDa; Sartorius).

C. elegans mitochondria were washed in a phosphate buffer (50 mM sodium hydrogen phosphate, 100 mM sucrose and 0.5 mM EDTA) and then centrifuged at 13,700 *x g* for 45 minutes at 4°C. This wash step was repeated twice to remove endogenous *C. elegans* IF$_1$. Phosphate-washed mitochondria (~16 mg) were solubilised for 30 minutes at 18°C at 7.65 mg/ml with digitonin (0.92% w/v) and DDM (0.76% w/v). The resulting extract was centrifuged at 24,000 *x g* for 20 minutes at 4°C, and ceI1-60His was added to the supernatant at 2.7 μg per 1 mg mitochondria to form ATPase:ceI1-60His complexes. A solution of 200 mM ATP, 200 mM MgSO$_4$, and 400 mM Trizma (pH 8.0) was also added at 15 μl/ml before incubating for 15 minutes at 37°C, with further additions of this solution being added at 5 minute intervals. Precipitate was removed by centrifugation at 24,000 *x g* for 10 minutes at 4°C. NaCl and imidazole were added to the clarified sample to reach final concentrations of 150 mM and 25 mM respectively. This final extract was applied to a 1 mL HisTrap FF Nickel Column (Cytiva) installed on an ÄKTA purification system (Cytiva) and equilibrated in a buffer containing 20 mM Tris, pH7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 2 mM ATP, 2 mM MgSO$_4$, 10% (v/v) glycerol, 0.1% (w/v) glyco-diosgenin (GDN) and a 0.1 mg/mL phospholipid mix. The ATPase:ceI1-60His complexes were eluted from the column by addition of a linear gradient of imidazole up to 500 mM over 10 mL. 0.5mL fractions were collected and run on an SDS-PAGE gel to confirm which fractions contained the ATPase:I1-60His.

Nano-LC Mass Spectrometry

The sample of ATP synthase was run on a 10% SDS-PAGE gel until the dye front had migrated

approximately 1cm into the separating gel. The gel lane was then excised as a single slice and

subjected to in-gel tryptic digestion using a DigestPro automated digestion unit (Intavis Ltd.). The

resulting peptides were fractionated using an Ultimate 3000 nano-LC system in line with an Orbitrap

Fusion Lumos mass spectrometer (Thermo Scientific). Spectra were acquired with Xcalibur 3.0

software (Thermo Scientific).

The raw data files were processed and quantified using Proteome Discoverer software v2.1

(Thermo Scientific) and searched against the UniProt *Caenorhabditis elegans* database

(downloaded October 2022; 26728 sequences) using the SEQUEST HT algorithm. Search criteria

included oxidation of methionine (+15.995Da), acetylation of the protein N-terminus (+42.011Da)

and methionine loss plus acetylation of the protein N-terminus (-89.03Da) as variable modifications

and carbamidomethylation of cysteine (+57.021Da) as a fixed modification. Searches were

performed with full tryptic digestion and a maximum of 2 missed cleavages were allowed. The

reverse database search option was enabled and all data was filtered to satisfy false discovery rate

(FDR) of 5%.

Figure S1. Flow chart of tomogram processing and sub-tomogram averaging using IMOD and Relion.

719 **Figure S2. Fourier Shell Correlation (FSC) for the *C. elegans* ATP synthase sub-tomogram**

720 **averaging map.** The corrected FSC curve is an output from Relion 3.1 with a reported resolution

721 of 38.6 Å.

Figure S3. Inter-dimer distance and angle between consecutive dimer heads in oligomeric rows of *C. elegans* ATP synthase dimers. (A) 2D projection showing side view of a masked map of the *C. elegans* ATP Synthase dimer. **(B)** Side view shown in 3D, with distance between central stalks indicated. **(C)** 2D projection showing top-down view of an unmasked map of the *C. elegans* ATP synthase dimer. **(D)** Top-down view in 3D with inter-dimer distance indicated. **(E)** 2D projection showing side view (rotated 90° compared to A) of an unmasked map of the *C. elegans* ATP Synthase dimer. **(F)** Side view in 3D, with inter-dimer angle indicated. All indicated measurements were made in IMOD. Scale bars, 10 nm.

733 **Subunit e**

```
tr|Q21732|Q21732_CAEEL    MSAPLKHPNAVVLQPPTVTISPLIRFGRYAALSLGVVYGFFRLRQIREYHADIREWDH-E    59
sp|P81449|ATPJ_YEAST      -------------------MSTVNVLRYSALGLGLFFGFRNDMILKCNAKKKEEQAQYE    40
sp|Q00361|ATP5I_BOVIN     ------------MVPPVQVSPLIKLGRYSALFLGMAYGAKRYNYLKPRAEEEERRLAA-E    46
                                       :.. **:** **: :*  .   ::    . . .    *

tr|Q21732|Q21732_CAEEL    KAVAAAEEAAKKKKWLAKD-----------EMRYLMQVVNIPFEEGVKQFGVADLYKED-    107
sp|P81449|ATPJ_YEAST      EKLKLVEEAK---KEYAKLHPVVTPKDVPANASFNLEDPNIDFERVIL--NAVESLKEAS    95
sp|Q00361|ATP5I_BOVIN     EKKKRDEQK-RIERELAEA----------QEDTILK----------------------    71
                          :    *:   :  *:              :   ::

tr|Q21732|Q21732_CAEEL    -    107
sp|P81449|ATPJ_YEAST      T    96
sp|Q00361|ATP5I_BOVIN     -    71
```

736 **Subunit f**

```
sp|Q22021|ATPK_CAEEL    MAWFRPPPPHTQLRPWVPDAIFIPISRAVERVGVFFYNRVLNKTEVGLFDKRWNKNVHGP    60
sp|Q06405|ATPK_YEAST    MI-------------------F---KRAV---STLIPPKVVSS----------------    18
sp|Q28851|ATPK_BOVIN    ------------------------------------------------------------    0

sp|Q22021|ATPK_CAEEL    YCHWRYYGKLDTKFMDVKLGDLPAWM------ARREKTPSAFYNE--FMRNIWRVHNLYY    112
sp|Q06405|ATPK_YEAST    ---------------KNIGSAPNAKRIANVVHFYKSLPQGPAPAIKANTRLARYKAKYF    62
sp|Q28851|ATPK_BOVIN    --MASVVPLKEKKLLEVKLGELPSWI------LMRDFTPSGIAGA--FQRGYYRYYNKYV    50
                                     ::*. *            .  *..         *    *

sp|Q22021|ATPK_CAEEL    SGPVYNNTVKVIFRF-----IFAYSFLNWLVKSHRYVDFQKTMYHW       153
sp|Q06405|ATPK_YEAST    DGDNA--SGKPLWHFALGIIAFGYSMEYYFHLR----HHKGAEEH-        101
sp|Q28851|ATPK_BOVIN    NVK--KGSIAGLSMV-----LAAYVFLNYCRSYKELKHERLRKYH-        88
                        .    :   :  .      .* :  :        . :     *
```

739 **Subunit g (isoform 2)**

```
sp|Q18803|ATPL2_CAEEL    MAAPKLGFFEKIANLTGALYRHQHAQFPRR-------F-AILKAVGKHELAPPRQADWPA 52
sp|Q12233|ATPN_YEAST     -------MLSRIQNYTSGLVSKANLLSSKALYYGKVGAEISKQIYLKEGLQPPTVAQFKS 53
sp|Q28852|ATP5L_BOVIN    ----MAEFVRNLAEKAPALVNAAVTYSKPR-------L-ATFWYYAKVELVPPTPAEIPT 48
                                 :.  .: : : .*                     *   * ** *:  :

sp|Q18803|ATPL2_CAEEL    IKADWAKV-QSF-----IQTGGYKNLSIREGLVYTAVTLEVVFWFFVGEMIGRRYIFGYL 106
sp|Q12233|ATPN_YEAST     VYSNLYKQSLNFALKPTEVLSCLKNIQKNELLKYGAYGIQLIGFYSVGEIIGRRKLVGYK 113
sp|Q28852|ATP5L_BOVIN    AIQSLKKI-INS-----AKTGSFKQLTVKEALLNGLVATEVWMWFYVGEIIGKRGIIGYD 102
                           .  *   .        .  *:: .* *        ::   :: ***.**:* :.**

sp|Q18803|ATPL2_CAEEL    VPADYVSKSTKKTVKEQEALAALEN       131
sp|Q12233|ATPN_YEAST     HH----------------------       115
sp|Q28852|ATP5L_BOVIN    V-----------------------       103
```

Subunit b (isoform 2)

```
sp|Q19126|AT5F2_CAEEL  MSLSRCLPLGQNARVIIIPARLAHAASTQAAAATDDAPNFFQKLAHRFQGVPLKGEAHAP 60
sp|P05626|ATPF_YEAST   MSM----------------SM----------------------GVRGLALR---SVS 16
sp|P13619|AT5F1_BOVIN  MLS----------------RV---------------------VLS--AAA---AAA 14
                       *                    :                        .          .

sp|Q19126|AT5F2_CAEEL  KSMFEDCNKEWSAPEPLPAIPKDFKEHPDRDLVNYPYPARPMYPPKSRLLMMPDSWFTPF 120
sp|P05626|ATPF_YEAST   KTLFSQG---VRCPSMVIGA----RYM-SSTPEKQT---DPKAKANSIINAIPGN---NI 62
sp|P13619|AT5F1_BOVIN  PSLKNAA---LLGPGVLQAT----RIFHTGQPSLAPVPPLPEHGGKVRFGLIPEEFFQFL 67
                       ::  .          *   :  .    :           *     :  :  :*.   :

sp|Q19126|AT5F2_CAEEL  QKVTGVSGPYLFFGGLFAFLVNKELWVFEEQGHMTVGWILFYLLVTRTAGYKIDQGLYNG 180
sp|P05626|ATPF_YEAST   LTKTGVLG---TSAAAVIYAISNELYVINDESILLLTFLGFTGLVAKYLAPAYK-----D 114
sp|P13619|AT5F1_BOVIN  YPKTGVTGPYVLGTGLILYLLSKEIYVITPETFSAISTIGFLVYIVKKYGASVG-----E 122
                          *** *          . . : :..*::*: :    :   : * .  ::.  .

sp|Q19126|AT5F2_CAEEL  YQERVNF--------FKGLIQEDLKEAVEFKKTSAKQTESLNSIKESYPTALKESMALQL 232
sp|P05626|ATPF_YEAST   FADARMKKVSDVLNASRNKHVEAVKDRID----SVSQLQNVAETTKVLFDVSKETVELES 170
sp|P13619|AT5F1_BOVIN  FADKLNEQKIAQLEEVKQASIKQIQDAID----MEKSQQALVQKRHYLFDVQRNNIAMAL 178
                       : :          :       : ::: ::         .. : .  .    . ::.: :

sp|Q19126|AT5F2_CAEEL  EATYRKNVQSVATELKRRIDYLKETEESKARVEREQLLKLINSEVDKEFSDRSFKDKYLQ 292
sp|P05626|ATPF_YEAST   EAFELKQKVELAHEAKAVLDSWVRYEASLRQLEQRQLAKSVISRVQSELGNPKFQEKVLQ 230
sp|P13619|AT5F1_BOVIN  EVTYRERLHRVYREVKNRLDYHISVQNMMRQKEQEHMINWVEKRVVQSISAQQE-KETIA 237
                       *.    :.    :  * *  :*        :      : *:.:: : ..* ..:.  .  .:  :

sp|Q19126|AT5F2_CAEEL  NAIQQLKGLNVQL------  305
sp|P05626|ATPF_YEAST   QSISEIEQLLSKLK-----  244
sp|P13619|AT5F1_BOVIN  KCIADLKLLSKKAQAQPVM  256
                       :.* ::: *  :
```

Subunit d

```
tr|Q17763|Q17763_CAEEL  MSGAAKRVATSSVNWSKLAER--LVPEHAAELTRVKGVSGTFQSAVSQLPADLPKIDFAA          58
sp|P30902|ATP7_YEAST    --MSLAKSAANKLDWAKVISSLRITGSTATQLSSFKKRNDEARRQLLELQSQPTEVDFSH          58
sp|P13620|ATP5H_BOVIN   --MAGRKLALKTIDWVAFGEI--IPRNQKAVANSLKSWNETLTSRLATLPEKPPAIDWAY          56
                          :  : * ..::*  .. :  .  .  .. .* .  :  *  .   :*::

tr|Q17763|Q17763_CAEEL  LKKALPAHSA--VLDSLQKQYESVKIPYGEVPAEYL---------KEVDQWVDYNNARIK          107
sp|P30902|ATP7_YEAST    YRSVLKNTSVIDKIESYVKQYKPVKIDASKQLQ-------------VIESFEKHAMTNAK          105
sp|P13620|ATP5H_BOVIN   YKANV-AKAG--LVDDFEKKFNALKVPIPEDKYTAQVDAEEKEDVKSCAEFLTQSKTRI-          112
                        :   :     :  ::.  *::: :*:  :                     .:    ::.

tr|Q17763|Q17763_CAEEL  LHEVKVADGLQEAKKVEEKWAKAPPVEHFDRQHFVEYFPAHFYDLRYQNRIPDPCNIGLN          167
sp|P30902|ATP7_YEAST    ETESLVSKELKDLQSTLDNIQSARPFDELTVDDLTKIKPEIDAKVEE------MVKKGKW          159
sp|P13620|ATP5H_BOVIN   ----------QEYEKELEKMRNIIPFDQMTIEDLNEVFPETKLDKKKYPYWPHRPIETL-          161
                                  :: :.  ::  .  *.:.: ::.: : *     . .

tr|Q17763|Q17763_CAEEL  ETPEIENRFKDYKVLRRADKVDDH  191
sp|P30902|ATP7_YEAST    DVPGYKDRFGNLNVM--------  174
sp|P13620|ATP5H_BOVIN   ----------------------  161
```

748 **Subunit F$_6$**

```
tr|O16517|O16517_CAEEL    -----MFRAVQSV----RS___L-______ST___TAACRQDLIQQTFVTKIREIAK_--    35
sp|Q12349|ATP14_YEAST     -----MFPIASRRILLNASVLPLRLCNRNFTTTRISY----NVIQDLYLRELKDTKLAPS    51
sp|P02721|ATP5J_BOVIN     MILQRLFRLSSAV----QSAISV-SWRRNIGITAVAFNKELDPVQKLFVDKIREYRTK--    53
                               :*     .        *   :                 :   : :*. :: ::::

tr|O16517|O16517_CAEEL    --__NAGNLANSDPAVKKALQEELNRLATKFQLANADVVSKLPTNFEAAKVDSAVQSALE    91
sp|Q12349|ATP14_YEAST     TLQDAEGNVKPWNPPQKPNLPELELQ-----GPEALKAYTE--QNVETAHVA---KESEE   101
sp|P02721|ATP5J_BOVIN     --RQTSGGPVDAGPEYQQDLDRELFKLKQMYGKADMNTFPN--FTFEDPKFEVVE-----   104
                            *.      .*  :  *  .   :           ..  :    ..* ::

tr|O16517|O16517_CAEEL    GQTLASLLEGVKKD-HSEYVASRDAKKAEQAARNAALKQ          129
sp|Q12349|ATP14_YEAST     ---------GESEPIEEDWLVLDDAEETKESH-------          124
sp|P02721|ATP5J_BOVIN     -----------KP-QS--------------------          108
                                   :  ..
```

751 **Subunit OSCP**

```
tr|P91283|P91283_CAEEL    MAQ-------LMKRGFSTS--AALAKAQLVKTPIQVHGVEGRYAAALYSAGHKQNKLDQI    51
sp|P05626|ATPF_YEAST      ------MSMSMGVRGLALRSVSKTLFSQGVRCPSMVIGA--RYMSST---PEKQT-----   44
sp|P13621|ATPO_BOVIN      MAALAVSGLSQQVRCFSTS--VVRPFAKLVRPPVQIYGIEGRYATALYSAASKQNKLEQV    58
                                    * ::          :: *: *  : *    ** ::       **.

tr|P91283|P91283_CAEEL    STDLNNVRSVYKDNKKFQEFVLDPTLKANKK----------KTAIEAI------------    89
sp|P05626|ATPF_YEAST      ------------DPKAKANSIINAIPGNNILTKTGVLGTSAAAVIYAISNELYVINDESI    92
sp|P13621|ATPO_BOVIN      EKELLRVGQILKEPKM-AASLLNPYVKRSVK----------VKSLSDM------------    95
                                    : *       :::        .              : :

tr|P91283|P91283_CAEEL    --STKLGLTKETGNFLGLLA---ENGRLNKLESVV-----------SSFESI---MRAH   129
sp|P05626|ATPF_YEAST      LLLTFLGFTGLVAKYLAPAYKDFADARMKKVSDVLNASRNKHVEAVKDRIDSVSQLQNVA   152
sp|P13621|ATPO_BOVIN      --TAKEKFSPLTSNLINLLA---ENGRLTNTPAVI-----------SAFSTM---MSVH   135
                             :   ::  ..: :       :.*:.:  *:       . :.::    .

tr|P91283|P91283_CAEEL    -RGELFVQVTS-AEELSSS-----NQK----ALSDALSKIGKSGQKLT-V-TYAVKPSIL   176
sp|P05626|ATPF_YEAST      ETTKVLFDVSKETVELESEAFELKQKVELAHEAKAVLDSWVRYEASLRQLEQRQLAKSVI   212
sp|P13621|ATPO_BOVIN      -RGEVPCTVTT-ASALDEA-----TLT----ELKTVLKSFLSKGQVLK-L-EVKIDPSIM   182
                           ::   *:. :  *..         . .*..   *   :    : *::

tr|P91283|P91283_CAEEL    GGLVVTIGD-----KYVDLSIASRVKKYKDALATAI          207
sp|P05626|ATPF_YEAST      SRVQSELGNPKFQEKVLQQSIS-EIEQLLSKLK---          244
sp|P13621|ATPO_BOVIN      GGMIVRIGE-----KYVDMSAKTKIQKLSRAMREIL          213
                          . :   :*:    * :: *   .:::
```

Figure S4. Multiple sequence alignment for dimer interface and peripheral stalk subunits.

Comparisons were made between *C. elegans*, *S. cerevisiae* (Baker's yeast strain ATCC 204508 /

S288c) and *B. taurus* using Clustal Omega at EMBL-EBI (47–49). In the alignment output, an

asterisk (*) indicates a perfect alignment, a colon (:) indicates a site belonging to a group exhibiting

strong similarity, and full stop (.) indicates a site belonging to a group exhibiting weak similarity.

Residues are coloured according to their biophysical properties. Small and hydrophobic residues

are coloured red, acidic residues are coloured blue, basic residues are coloured magenta, and

hydroxyl, sulfhydryl, amine and glycine residues are coloured green. Extensions in *C. elegans*

subunits relative to both the *S. cerevisiae* and *B. taurus* homologues are underlined in black,

deletions are underlined in maroon. Where subunits have multiple isomers, the isomer used in the

homology model is used for alignment.

Subunit e (Q21732)

<mark>MSAPLKHPNAVVL</mark>QPPTVTISPLIRFGRYAALSLGVVYGFFRLRQIREYHADIREWDHEKAVAAAE

EAAKKKKWLAKDEMRYLMQVVNIPFEEGVKQFGVADLYKED

Subunit f (Q22021)

MAWFRPPPPHTQLRPWVPDAIFIPISRAV<mark>ERV</mark>GVFFYNRVLNK<mark>TEVGLFDKRWNKNVHGPYC</mark>H

WRYYGKLDTKFMDVKLGDLPAWMARREKTPSAFYNEFMRNIWRVHNLYYSGPVYNNTVKVIFR

FIFAYSFLNWLVKSHRYVDFQKTMYHW

Subunit g (isoform 2) (Q18803)

MAAPKLGFFEKIANLTGALYRHQHAQFPRRFAILKAVGKHELAPPRQADWPAIKADWAKVQSFIQ

TGGYKNLSIREGLVYTAVTLEVVFWFFVGEMIGRRYIFGYLVP<mark>ADYVSKSTKKTVKEQEALAALE</mark>

<mark>N</mark>

Subunit b (isoform 2) (Q19126)

MSLSRCLPLGQNARVIIIPARL<mark>AHAASTQAAAATDDAPNFFQKLA</mark>HRFQGVPLKGEAHAPKSMFE

DC<mark>NKE</mark>WSAPEPLPAI<mark>PKDF</mark>KEHPDRDLVNYPYPARPMYPPKSRLLMMPDSWFTPFQKVTGVSG

PYLFFGGLFAFLVNKELWVFEEQGHMTVGWILFYLLVTRTAGYKID<mark>QGLYN</mark>GYQERVNFFKGLIQ

EDLKEAVE<mark>FKKT</mark>SAKQTESLNSIKESYPTALKESMALQLEATYRKNVQSVATELKRRIDYLKETEE

SKARVEREQLLKLINSEVDKEFSDRSFKDKYLQNAIQQLKGLNVQL

Subunit d (Q17763)

MSGAAKRVATSSVNWSKLAERLVPEHAAELTRVKGVSGTFQSAVSQLPADLPKIDFAALKKALP

AHSAVLDSLQKQYESVKIPYGEVPAEYLKEVDQWVDYNNARIKLHEVKVADGLQEAKKVEEKWA

KAPPVEHFDRQHFVEYFPAHFYDLRYQNRIPDPCNIGLNETPEIENRFKDYKVL<mark>RRADKVDDH</mark>

Subunit F$_6$ (O16517)

MFRAVQSVRSLSTTAACRQDLIQQTFVTKIREIAKNAGNLANSDPAVKKALQEELNRLATKFQLA

NADVVSKLPTNFEAAKVDSAVQSALE<mark>GQTLASLLE</mark>GVKKDHSEYVASRDAKKAEQAA<mark>RNAALKQ</mark>

Figure S5. Mass spectrometry data for *C. elegans* ATP synthase subunits with significant

extensions. The sequence for each subunit of interest is shown and identified with a Uniprot code.

The predicted mitochondrial targeting sequences are coloured red. The *C. elegans* specific

extensions (revealed in sequence alignments from Fig. S4) are highlighted in yellow. Peptides

identified by mass spectrometry are underlined. Where subunits have multiple isomers, the isomer

used in the homology model is shown.

Alphafold predictions gallery

 Figure S6. AlphaFold predictions gallery

AlphaFold predictions (40) for each *C. elegans* ATP synthase subunit, coloured by pLDDT score

per residue. The pLDDT score is a per-residue measure of local confidence on a scale from 0 –

100. The structure of subunits b d and F_6 were predicted as a multimer. The confidence measure

for predictions made using AlphaFold multimer (41) is similar, but modified to score interactions

between residues of different chains. It is calculated using a weighted combination of predicted-TM

score (pTM) and interface predicted-TM score (ipTM), and has a scale from 0-1. The appropriate

mean confidence score for each AlphaFold / multimer prediction is shown beneath each subunit

name.

Figure S7. *C. elegans* **ATP synthase homology model workflow**

(A) MitoFates (66) or TargetP-2.0 (67) were used to predict the mitochondrial targeting sequence

of individual proteins of the ATP synthase, so that the mature protein sequence could be identified.

The example shown is the TargetP-2.0 prediction for subunit α. **(B)** AlphaFold was used to predict

structures of all mature *C. elegans* ATP synthase subunits; again the example shown is the

prediction for subunit α. **(C)** Predicted models were sequentially fitted into the *B. taurus* ATP

synthase model [PDB 7AJB] (29) used as a scaffold using MatchMaker in ChimeraX. **(D)** The

resulting homology model (blue) after all subunits have been fitted to the scaffold provided by 7AJB

(green). **(E)** The homology model without the *B. taurus* scaffolding. **(F)** The *C. elegans* ATP

synthase dimer was split into separate monomers. **(G)** The monomers were fitted sequentially into

the sub-tomogram average of the *C. elegans* ATP synthase using matchmaker in ChimeraX to

obtain the correct dimer angle. **(H)** The final homology model of the *C. elegans* ATP synthase dimer

fitted into the sub-tomogram average.

Figure S8. Comparison of different models fitted to the *C. elegans* ATP synthase dimer map.

Different ATP synthase dimer models were fitted into the *C. elegans* ATP synthase *in situ* map. All

models were fitted into the map at threshold 0.0429 in ChimeraX, and the percentage of atoms

outside the contour is shown for each model. **(A)** The purified *B. taurus* ATP synthase dimer atomic

model [PDB 7AJB] (29) used as a scaffold shows a poor fit, with 34% of atoms outside the contour.

(B) The *C. elegans* ATP synthase dimer homology model following scaffolding to the *B. taurus*

model also shows a poor fit, with 32% of atoms outside the contour. **(C)** Sequential fitting of

monomers from the *C. elegans* homology model shows an improved fit, with only 4% of atoms

outside the contour.

Figure S9. The *C. elegans* homology model fitted to the *C. elegans* ATP synthase dimer sub-

tomogram averaging map. Using the molmap command in Chimera X (68), the PDB of the *C.*

elegans homology model was converted into an MRC map at both 12 Å and 36 Å resolution.

Converted molmap maps (blue) were then fitted to the sub-tomogram averaging map of the *C.*

elegans dimer (grey) at equivalent threshold levels. Correlation scores between the homology

model and sub-tomogram averaging maps are displayed. **(A)** Maps of the 7AJB *B. taurus* ATP

synthase atomic model (29) used as a scaffold fitted to the sub-tomogram average for reference**.**

(B) Maps of the *C. elegans* original homology model (without adjusting for dimer angle) fitted to the

sub-tomogram average. **(C)** Maps of the dimer angle adjusted *C. elegans* homology model fitted to

the sub-tomogram average**.**

Figure S10. Overlays of individual subunits at the dimer interface and peripheral stalk, where there are extensions in *C. elegans* subunits compared with *S. cerevisiae*. *C. elegans* AlphaFold predictions (blue) are overlaid with their *S. cerevisiae* counterparts from the dimeric yeast ATP synthase atomic model ([PDB 6B8H], pink) (29). *C. elegans* subunit extensions are highlighted in orange. RMSD values (for pruned atom pairs) and sequence alignment scores output by ChimeraX when using the "fit to model" tool are shown for each overlay. Since the *S. cerevisiae* atomic model for the ATP synthase dimer [PDB 6B8H] does not contain complete density for subunit F_6, the S. cerevisiae monomeric atomic model [PDB 6CP6] (70) was used to display a more complete *S. cerevisiae* chain for the overlay.

Figure S11. Comparison of peripheral stalk subunit arrangement in *S. cerevisiae* vs *C. elegans* ATP synthase dimers. (A) *S. cerevisiae* and *C. elegans* peripheral stalk subunits coloured by chain. Subunits are annotated and shown as b, blue; d, turquoise; F_6, dark navy; and OSCP, orange. Left, peripheral stalk subunits b, d and OSCP in the 6B8H *S. cerevisiae* atomic model [PDB 6B8H] (29), and F_6 from the monomeric atomic model [PDB 6CP6] (70), fitted to the *S. cerevisiae* sub-tomogram average [EMD-2161] (11). The chain for F_6 was taken from 6CP6 (see Fig. S10B) as a large amount of density is missing from F_6 in 6B8H (70). Right, *C. elegans* homology model fitted to the *C. elegans* sub-tomogram average. **(B)** As per (A), but with all subunits colored black, highlighting extensions in *C. elegans* subunits b, d and F_6 relative to *S. cerevisiae* in orange.

Figure S12. Inter-dimer interactions mediated by subunits e and g in *C. elegans* ATP synthase dimer rows. Top-down view (left) and side view (right) of the *C. elegans* ATP synthase homology model (grey) fitted to each dimer pair in the unmasked sub-tomogram average of the *C. elegans* dimer. Dimer interface subunits are colored (e, pale blue; f, pink; g, yellow) to highlight inter-dimer interactions mediated by subunits e and g.

878 **Table S1. Nomenclature for homologues of ATP Synthase subunits**

C. elegans	*S. cerevisiae*	*B. taurus*
F$_1$ head		
α	α/Atp1	α
β	β/Atp2	β
F$_o$ head		
γ	γ/Atp3	γ
δ	δ/Atp16	δ
ε	ε/Atp15	ε
Peripheral stalk		
b	b/Atp4	b
d	d/Atp7	d
F$_6$	h/Atp14	F$_6$
OSCP	OSCP/Atp5	OSCP
F$_o$ motor		
a	a/Atp6	a
c	c/Atp9	c
Type I dimer-specific subunits		
e	e/Atp21	e
f	f/Atp17	f
g	g/Atp20	g
-	i/j/Atp18	6.8PL/ j
-	k/Atp19	DAPIT/ k
-	8/Atp8	A6L/ATP8

Nomenclature for yeast and mammalian species are described as detailed by Song and Pfanner
(6). In this work, we use primarily the mammalian nomenclature, which is also the standard used
to describe *C. elegans* subunits. Exceptions to this are in our comparisons between *C. elegans* and
S. cerevisiae dimers, where we use the *S. cerevisiae* naming system to describe subunits missing
in worms.

Table S2. Metrics to assess confidence and fit of AlphaFold predicted structures

Subunit name	*C. elegans* Uniprot Accession Number	Mean pLDDT (or weighted pTM & ipTM)[1]	RMSD between pruned atom pairs[2]	RMSD across all atom pairs	Sequence alignment score[3]
α	Q9XXK1	92.9066444	0.586	1.009	2171.8
β	P46561	87.5983733	1.161	3.202	2050.1
γ	Q95XJ0	92.17635904	0.743	1.764	978.4
δ	Q09544	85.82596715	0.901	0.941	368.7
ε[4]	O16298	65.4116052			
	P34539	71.51756013	0.631	6.924	39
c	Q9BKS0	95.27668763	0.558	0.62	332.8
e	Q21732	89.96603434	0.609	10.661	143.9
f	Q22021	87.12810426	1.231	6.257	167.2
g	Q18803	90.2590889	1.346	2.598	207.2
a	P24888	77.54888203	1.073	4.582	322.9
b	Q20053	84.43326886			
	Q19126	84.74422485	1.076	8.068	441.4
d	Q17763	82.60642993	1.175	4.902	296.7
F_6	O16517	89.9038886	0.79	6.717	76.2
OSCP	P91283	76.95722866	1.09	1.557	517.7
	Q7JNG1	76.43462181			
b,d,F_6 multimer	Q19126, Q17763, O16517	0.712090029	1.076	8.068	441.4

[1] pLDDT scores are shown for subunits where structure was predicted individually, a weighted pTM and iPTM score is shown for a complex of subunits predicted using AlphaFold multimer. The pLDDT score is a per-residue measure of local confidence on a scale from 0 – 100. The predicted-TM score (pTM) and interface predicted-TM score (ipTM), and has a scale from 0-1.

[2] RMSD (Root Mean Square Deviation) is a measure of the similarity between two superimposed atomic coordinates, in this case for the predicted *C. elegans* subunits and the model of the *B. taurus* ATP synthase dimer.

[3] Sequence alignment score between *C. elegans* and *B. taurus.*

[4] Where a subunit has more than one isoform, the version with the highest pLDDT score was used to build the homology model. RMSD and sequence alignment scores are only shown for the selected protein. In the case of subunit b, the isoform with the highest pLDDT score is also the only isoform expressed in somatic tissues (69).

885 **Table S3. Metrics to assess fit of atomic detail models to *C. elegans* ATP synthase dimer**

886 **sub-tomogram averaging map.**

	B. taurus atomic model [PDB 7AJB]	Original[5] *C. elegans* homology model	Adjusted[6] *C. elegans* homology model
PDB % atoms outside contour[7]	34	32	4
MRC map[8] correlation score	0.7165	0.723	0.9728

---

[5] Homology model following scaffolding of AlphaFold predicted *C. elegans* subunits onto the *B. taurus* atomic model without adjusting for dimer angle.

[6] Homology model following fitting of dimer angle adjusted *C. elegans* ATP synthase monomers to the *C. elegans* ATP synthase sub-tomogram averaging map.

[7] This value is given by Chimera when fitting a PDB model to a map using the "fit in map" command.

[8] MRC map generated from PDB's using molmap command in ChimeraX (68). This metric shows level of correlation between molmap map and our sub-tomogram average at the same resolution (38.6 Å).

Movie S1 (separate file). Movie showing a 360° rotation about the y-axis of a single segmented

C. elegans mitochondrion from the upper panel of Fig. 3A. An image sequence of 100 PNG files

was collected in IMOD, and the sequence montaged into a10fps AVI file in Image J (63).

Movie S2 (separate file). Movie showing a 360° rotation about the y-axis of a single segmented

S. cerevisiae mitochondrion from the lower panel of Fig. 3A. An image sequence of 100 PNG files

was collected in IMOD, and the sequence montaged into a10fps AVI file in Image J (63).

SI References

6. Song J, Pfanner N, Becker T. Assembling the mitochondrial ATP synthase. Proc. Natl. Acad.

Sci. USA. 2018 Mar 20 [cited 2022 Nov 16];115(12):2850–2. Available from:

https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1801697115

11. K. M. Davies, C. Anselmi, I. Wittig, J. D. Faraldo-Gómez, W. Kühlbrandt, Structure of the

yeast $F_1 F_o$-ATP synthase dimer and its role in shaping the mitochondrial cristae. *Proc.*

Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. **109**, 13602–13607 (2012).

29. Guo H, Bueler SA, Rubinstein JL. Atomic model for the dimeric F_O region of mitochondrial

ATP synthase. Science. 2017 Nov 17 [cited 2022 Jul 19];358(6365):936–40. Available

from: https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aao4815

40. Jumper J, Evans R, Pritzel A, Green T, Figurnov M, Ronneberger O, et al. Highly accurate

protein structure prediction with AlphaFold. Nature. 2021 Aug 26;596(7873):583–9.

Available from: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03819-2

47. Sievers F, Wilm A, Dineen D, Gibson TJ, Karplus K, Li W, et al. Fast, scalable generation

of high-quality protein multiple sequence alignments using Clustal Omega. Mol Syst Biol.

2011 Jan 11;7(1):539. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1038/msb.2011.75

48. Goujon M, McWilliam H, Li W, Valentin F, Squizzato S, Paern J, et al. A new bioinformatics

analysis tools framework at EMBL-EBI. Nucleic Acids Res. 2010 Jul 38;2:W695–9.

Available from: https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkq313

49. McWilliam H, Li W, Uludag M, Squizzato S, Park YM, Buso N, et al. Analysis Tool Web

Services from the EMBL-EBI. Nucleic Acids Res. 2013 Jul 41;W1:W597–600. Available

from: https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkt376

41. Evans R, O'neill M, Pritzel A, Antropova N, Senior A, Green T, et al. Protein complex

prediction with AlphaFold-Multimer. bioRxiv. 2021 [cited 2021 Nov 30]; Available from:

https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.10.04.46303464

63.   Schneider CA, Rasband WS, Eliceiri KW. NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image

analysis. Nat Methods. 2012 Jul 28;9(7):671–5. Available from:

https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.208967

66.   Fukasawa Y, Tsuji J, Fu SC, Tomii K, Horton P, Imai K. MitoFates: Improved Prediction of

Mitochondrial Targeting Sequences and Their Cleavage Sites. Mol. Cell. Proteomics. 2015

Apr 1;14(4):1113–26. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1074/mcp.M114.04308368.

J. J. A. Armenteros, *et al.*, Detecting sequence signals in targeting peptides using deep

learning. *Life Sci. Alliance* **2**, e201900429 (2019).

68.   Pettersen EF, Goddard TD, Huang CC, Meng EC, Couch GS, Croll TI, et al. UCSF

ChimeraX: Structure visualization for researchers, educators, and developers. Protein

Science. 2021 Jan 22 [cited 2022 Aug 15];30(1):70–82. Available from:

https://doi.org/10.1002/pro.3943

69.   Kawasaki I, Hanazawa M, Gengyo-Ando K, Mitani S, Maruyama I, Iino Y. ASB-1, a

germline-specific isoform of mitochondrial ATP synthase b subunit, is required to maintain

the rate of germline development in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Mech Dev. 2007 Mar 1 [cited

2020 Jul 15];124(3):237–51. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mod.2006.11.004

71.   Spikes TE. Structural studies of the mitochondrial F-ATPase. PhD thesis. The University

of Cambridge; 2017.

72.   Runswick MJ, Bason J V., Montgomery MG, Robinson GC, Fearnley IM, Walker JE. The

affinity purification and characterization of ATP synthase complexes from mitochondria.

Open Biol. 2012 Oct 29;3(2). Available from: https://doi.org/10.1098/rsob.120160